

Etymological Dictionary of

# Latin

and the other Italic Languages



Michiel de Vaan

LEIDEN INDO-EUROPEAN ETYMOLOGICAL DICTIONARY SERIES

BRILL

# Leiden Indo-European Etymological Dictionary Series

*Edited by*  
Alexander Lubotsky

VOLUME 7

# Etymological Dictionary of Latin and the other Italic Languages

*By*

Michiel de Vaan



BRILL

LEIDEN • BOSTON

2008

... made possible by the financial support of the Netherlands  
Organization for Scientific Research (NWO).

ISSN: 1574-3586

ISBN: 978 90 04 16797 1

Copyright 2008 by Koninklijke Brill NV, Leiden, The Netherlands.  
Koninklijke Brill NV incorporates the imprints Brill, Hotei Publishing,  
De Gruyter Mouton, Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, Brill Publishers,  
Martinus Nijhoff Publishers and VSP.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, translated, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission from the publisher.

Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use is granted by Koninklijke Brill NV provided that the appropriate fees are paid directly to The Copyright Clearance Center, 2 Rosewood Drive, Suite 910, Danvers, MA 01923, USA. Fees are subject to change.

PRINTED IN THE NETHERLANDS

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREFACE.....	vii
ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS.....	ix
A. Languages, texts and authors .....	ix
B. Reconstruction, grammar and text.....	xii
C. Symbols.....	xiii
INTRODUCTION .....	1
1. Aim of this dictionary .....	1
2. Definition of Italic.....	1
3. Research method.....	2
4. From Proto-Indo-European to Latin.....	4
4.1 Reconstructable stages .....	4
4.2 The phonology of Proto-Indo-European, Proto-Italic and Latin .....	6
5. The entries.....	10
5.1 Selection of entries .....	10
5.2 The entries.....	10
5.3 Dating.....	10
5.4 Derivatives .....	11
5.5 Proto-Italic.....	12
5.6 Italic cognates.....	12
5.7 Proto-Indo-European.....	12
5.8 Indo-European cognates .....	12
5.9 Etymology .....	13
5.10 Bibliography.....	13
6. Periodization of Latin.....	14
DICTIONARY .....	17
BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	693
Abbreviations of literature .....	693
Authors.....	694
INDICES.....	723



## PREFACE

This dictionary forms part of the project *Indo-European Etymological Dictionary*, which was initiated by Robert Beekes and Alexander Lubotsky in 1991. The aim of the project is to compile a new and comprehensive etymological dictionary of the inherited vocabulary attested in the Indo-European languages, replacing the now outdated dictionary of Pokorny (1959). The present work represents the Italic part of the project. Like much of the project, it has had a chequered history. In 1998, my colleague Michiel Driessen started his PhD-project on the etymology of Latin and the other Italic languages. After a few years, he changed his mind about his professional career and left the project, leaving behind a database which mainly contained the entries beginning with *a-* and *b-*, and sporadic entries elsewhere. Other results of his research were published in five articles (Driessen 2001, 2003ab, 2004, 2005). Since the Italic evidence is indispensable to the Indo-European etymological dictionary as a whole, it was decided that I would continue the database started by Driessen. The main body of my text was written in the academic year 2006-2007 during a sabbatical leave from teaching.

I wish to express my sincere gratitude to the colleagues of the Department of Comparative Indo-European Linguistics of Leiden University who took over my courses and some related obligations during the year 2006-2007: Alwin Kloekhorst, Guus Kroonen, Michaël Peyrot, and Alexander Lubotsky. For logistic support, I am indebted to the Leiden University Center for Linguistics (LUCL) that arranged the assistance of Ms. Marieke Meelen to help me sift through parts of the bibliography. In the Summer of 2007, I had the privilege to spend nearly three months as a visiting researcher at Cornell University (Ithaca, NY) in the United States to further reflect on some aspects of Latin etymology. I have greatly benefited from the assistance of and the discussions with my colleagues Michael Weiss and Alan Nussbaum, specialists in both Italic and Indo-European linguistics. Michael Weiss also read the proofs and his many detailed comments have helped to improve the final result. For technical support, I would like to thank Maarten Hijzelendoorn, who spent many days writing a macro for generating the indices of this book.

Leiden, April 2008.





## ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS

### A. LANGUAGES, TEXTS AND AUTHORS

Acc.	Accius	Cz.	Czech
Aeol.	Aeolic	Dan.	Danish
Afran.	Afranios	Dor.	Doric
Alb.	Albanian	Elog.Scip.	Elogia Scipionum
Andr.	Livius Andronicus	EM	Etymologicum Magnum (after Greek words)
Apul.	Apuleius	EMoBr.	Early Modern Breton
Ar.	Aristophanes	EMoIr.	Early Modern Irish
Arc.	Arcadian	Enn.	Ennius
Arm.	Classical Armenian	ep.	epic
Att.	Attic	Etr.	Etruscan
Aug.	Augustine	Fal.	Faliscan
Av.	Avestan	Fest.	Sextus Pompeius Festus
AV	Atharvaveda / -ic	Galat.	Galatian
Bac.	Bactrian	Gaul.	Gaulish
Bal.	Balochi	Gell.	Cn. Gellius
Bel.	Belorussian	gloss.	in glosses
Boeot.	Boeotian	Gm.	Germanic
Br.	Brāhmaṇa / -ic	Go.	Gothic
BrCl.	British Celtic	Gr.	Greek
Bret.	Breton	Gracch.	C. Sempronius Gracchus
BSl.	Balto-Slavic	H.	Homer
Bulg.	Bulgarian	Hdt.	Herodotus
Caecil.	Caecilius Statius	Hem.	Cassius Hemina
Caes.	Caesar	Hern.	Hernican
Cat.	Catullus	Hit.	Hittite
Cels.	A. Cornelius Celsus	HLuw.	Hieroglyphic Luwian
Celtib.	Celtiberian	Hor.	Horace
Cic.	Cicero	Hp.	Hipponax
CLat.	Classical Latin	Hsch.	Hesychius
CLuw.	Cuneiform Luwian	IE	Indo-European
Co.	Cornish	Ilr.	Indo-Iranian
Col.	Columella	Ion.	Ionic
Cret.	Cretan	Ir.	Irish
CS	Church Slavic		

It.	Italic	NHG	New High German
Juv.	Juvenal	Non.	Nonius Marcellus
Khot.	Khotanese	Norw.	Norwegian
Khwar.	Khwarezmian	Nov.	Novius ( <i>comm.</i> )
Lab.	Laberius	NPhryg.	New Phrygian
Lac.	Laconian	O.	Oscan
Laev.	Laevius	OAlb.	Old Albanian
Larg.	Scribonius Largus	OAv.	Old Avestan
Lat.	Latin	OBret.	Old Breton
Latv.	Latvian	OCo.	Old Cornish
LCo.	Late Cornish	OCS	Old Church Slavonic
Lesb.	Lesbian	OCz.	Old Czech
Lex Reg.	Lex Regiae	OE	Old English
Lex XII	Lex Duodecim Tabularum	OFr.	Old Frisian
Lith.	Lithuanian	OHG	Old High German
LG	Low German	OIc.	Old Icelandic
LLat.	Late Latin	OIr.	Old Irish
LPBr.	Late Proto-British	OLat.	Old Latin
Luc.	Lucan	OLFr.	Old Low Franconian
Lucil.	Lucilius	OLG	Old Low German
Lucr.	Lucretius	OLith.	Old Lithuanian
Luw.	Luwian	ONorw.	Old Norwegian
Lyc.	Lycian	OP	Old Persian
Lyd.	Lydian	OPhyg.	Old Phrygian
Marr.	Marrucinian	OPo.	Old Polish
Mars.	Marsian	OPr.	Old Prussian
Mart.	Martial	ORu.	Old Russian
MBret.	Middle Breton	OS	Old Saxon
MCo.	Middle Cornish	Oss.	Ossetic
MDu.	Middle Dutch	OSwe.	Old Swedish
ME	Middle English	Ov.	Ovid
MHG	Middle High German	OW	Old Welsh
MIr.	Middle Irish	Pac.	Pacuvius
MLG	Middle Low German	Pael.	Paelignian
MoDu.	Modern Dutch	Pal.	Palaic
MoE	Modern English	PAIb.	Proto-Albanian
Molr.	Modern Irish	PalU.	Palaeo-Umbrian
MoP	Modern Persian	Pamph.	Pamphylian
MP	Middle Persian	PAnat.	Proto-Anatolian
MW	Middle Welsh	Parth.	Parthian
Myc.	Mycenaean	Paul. <i>ex F.</i>	Paulus Diaconus <i>ex Festo</i>
Naev.	Gnaeus Naevius	PBr.	Proto-British
Nem. <i>Cyn.</i>	M. Aurelius Olympius Nemesianus, <i>Cynegetica</i>	PCl.	Proto-Celtic
		Petr.	Petronius

PGm.	Proto-Germanic	Sis.	Sisenna
Phryg.	Phrygian	Skt.	Sanskrit
Pi.	Pindar	Sln.	Slovene
PIE	Proto-Indo-European	Sogd.	Sogdian
PIr.	Proto-Iranian	SPic.	South Picene
Plt.	Proto-Italic	Suet.	Suetonius
Pkt.	Prakrit	Swe.	Swedish
Pl.	Plautus	ŚBr.	Śatapatha Brāhmaṇa
Plin.	Pliny the Elder <i>Naturalis Historia</i>	ŚrSū.	Śrauta Sūtra
Plut.	Plutarchus	Ter.	Terence
Po.	Polish	Thess.	Thessalian
Pompon.	L. Pomponius	Titin.	Titinius
Presam.	Pre-Samnite	ToA	Tocharian A
Prisc.	Priscian	ToAB	Tocharian A and B
PRom.	Proto-Romance	ToB	Tocharian B
Prop.	Propertius	Toch.	Tocharian
PSab.	Proto-Sabellic	Turp.	Sextus Turpilius
PSl.	Proto-Slavic	U.	Umbrian
PTo.	Proto-Tocharian	Ukr.	Ukrainian
Quad.	Quadrigarius	Ulp.	Ulpian
Quint.	Quintilianus	USorb.	Upper Sorbian
Rhet.Her.	Rhetorica ad Herennium	Vell.	C. Velleius Paterculus
Ru.	Russian	Ven.	Venetic
RuCS	Russian Church Slavic	Verg.	Virgil
RV	Rigveda / Rigvedic	Vest.	Vestinian
Sab.	Sabellic	Vitr.	Vitruvius
Sall.	Sallust	VLat.	Vulgar Latin
SCAsc.	Senatus Consultum de Asclepiade (CIL I 588)	VMax.	Valerius Maximus
SCBac.	Senatus Consultum de Bacchanalibus	VOLat.	Very Old Latin
SCr.	Serbo-Croatian	Vol.	Volscian
SeCS	Serbian Church Slavic	W.	Welsh
Sen.	Seneca	WGm.	West-Germanic
Serv.	Servius Maurus Honoratus	X.	Xenophon
		YAv.	Young Avestan
		Žem.	Žemaitian

## B. RECONSTRUCTION, GRAMMAR AND TEXT

abl.	ablative	id.	idem
acc.	accusative	impers.	impersonal
acc. to	according to	ind.	indicative
act.	active	indecl.	indeclinable
AD	anno domini	inj.	injunctive
adj.	adjective	ins.	instrumental
adv.	adverb	inscr.	inscription(s)
aor.	aorist	int.	intensive
ath.	athematic	interr.	interrogative
BC	before Christ	intr.	intransitive
c.	century	ipf.	imperfect
cf.	compare	ipv.	imperative
cj.	conjunction	ipv.II	future imperative
cogn.	cognomen	irr.	irregular
coll.	collective	lit.	literally
colloq.	colloquial	loc.	locative
comp.	comparative	m.	masculine
conj.	conjugation	med.	middle
cp.	compound	n.	neuter
cp <sub>1</sub>	first member of a compound	neg.	negative
cp <sub>2</sub>	second member of a compound	nom.	nominative
dat.	dative	num.	numeral
denom.	denominative	obl.	oblique
desid.	desiderative	opt.	optative
dial.	(in) dialects	p.	page
dim.	diminutive	p.c.	personal communication
du.	dual	pf.	perfect
dub.	dubious	pers.	personal
e.g.	for example	pl.	plural
encl.	enclitic	PN	personal name
f.	feminine	postpos.	postposition
fthc.	forthcoming	ppa.	active perfect participle
fut.	future	ppp.	passive past participle
fut.II	future perfect	pr.	present
gen.	genitive	pref.	prefix
gent.	gentilicium	prep.	preposition
gdve.	gerundive	pret.	preterite
H	any PIE laryngeal	prev.	preverb
h <sub>1/2</sub>	h <sub>1</sub> or h <sub>2</sub>	pron.	pronoun
I, II, III, IV	1 <sup>st</sup> , 2 <sup>nd</sup> , 3 <sup>rd</sup> , 4 <sup>th</sup> conjugation	ps.	passive
		ptc.	participle
		ptcle.	particle

red.	reduplicated, -tion	v.	verb
rel.	relative	var.	variant
sb.	subjunctive	vel sim.	or similarly
sg.	singular	viz.	namely, to wit
sing.	singulative	voc.	vocative
sup.	superlative	1s.	first person singular
s.v.	sub voce	1p.	first person plural
them.	thematic	1x, 2x, etc.	once, twice, etc.
tr.	transitive		

## C. SYMBOLS

>	becomes by regular phonological development
<	reflects by regular phonological development
>>	is replaced by way of analogy
<<	replaces by way of analogy
→	see also the entries
(?)	meaning uncertain <i>or</i> appurtenance uncertain
*X	a reconstructed item of a proto-stage
X*	a non-attested but certain form of an attested language
<X>	spelled with the symbol X
/X/	the phoneme X
[X]	phonetically pronounced as X
X°	X is cp <sub>1</sub>
°X	X is cp <sub>2</sub>



## INTRODUCTION

### 1. AIM OF THIS DICTIONARY

This book is not a complete etymological dictionary of Latin. Its main aim is to describe which roots and stems of the vocabulary of Latin and the other Italic languages are likely to have been inherited from Proto-Indo-European. In addition, two sources of loanwords in Latin have been taken into consideration: possible loanwords from Sabellic (cf. Rix 2005: 566-572), and probable loanwords from unidentifiable, but possibly old (Mediterranean) donor languages (cf. Cuny 1910, Biville 1990 II: 501-504).

This approach implies the exclusion of those Latin words which are certainly or probably loanwords from known, non-Italic languages, such as Celtic, Etruscan, Germanic, Greek, and Semitic. The loanwords from Celtic are discussed by Porzio Gernia (1981: 97-122), who gives a full list of certain or probable loanwords from Celtic. The Etruscan loanwords are more difficult to establish; see Breyer 1993, Whatmough 1997, and Briquel 1999. The number of Greek loanwords in Latin is very high, the Greek influence lasting from before the earliest Latin inscriptions until after the end of the Roman Empire. See Saalfeld 1884 for a full (though antiquated) collection, and Biville 1990 for a linguistic study of Greek loanwords. Many of the Semitic loanwords have entered Latin through Greek; a study of the Semitic loanwords in Greek is Masson 1967.

I also exclude from the discussion all onomastic material of Latin and Sabellic, with a few exceptions.

### 2. DEFINITION OF ITALIC

I distinguish the following three branches of Italic: Sabellic, Latino-Faliscan, and Venetic. It is disputed whether Venetic is in fact an Italic language or stems from a different Indo-European branch which happens to be attested close to the other Italic languages (Untermann 1980: 315f., Prosdocimi 1988: 418-420). The number of interpretable Venetic forms is small, but they allow a connection with Latin and Sabellic (van der Staaij 1995: 193-210); in order to facilitate further research in this direction, I therefore include Venetic. Following Weiss (fthc.a), I give the following overview of the Italic languages known at present:

- a. Sabellic (from the 7<sup>th</sup> c. BC)
  - 1. Oscan (5<sup>th</sup> – 1<sup>st</sup> c. BC)
    - Oscan tribes: Samnites (Samnium, Campania)
    - Paelignians, Vestinians, Marrucinians (North-Oscan)
    - Frentanians, inhabitants of Larinum and Teanum Apulum
    - Hirpinians
    - Hernicans
    - Siculians (Eastern Sicily)
  - 2. Umbrian (7<sup>th</sup> – 1<sup>st</sup> c. BC): Umbria, northern Latium
    - 2a. Palaeo-Umbrian, Volscian, Marsian, Aequian
    - 2b. Iguvine Tables (3<sup>rd</sup> – 1<sup>st</sup> c. BC)
  - 3. South-Picene (6<sup>th</sup> – 4<sup>th</sup> c. BC): central Adriatic coast
  - 4. Pre-Samnite (6<sup>th</sup> – 5<sup>th</sup> c. BC): Campania, Bruttium, Lucania
- b. Latino-Faliscan
  - 1. Latin (from the 6<sup>th</sup> c. BC): Latium
  - 2. Faliscan (7<sup>th</sup> – 2<sup>nd</sup> c. BC): the town of *Falerii*, 50 km north of Rome
- c. Venetic (ca. 550-100 BC): northeastern Italy

For the purpose of this book, Messapic (southeastern Italy) is not counted as an Italic language, and its vocabulary has been excluded from the dictionary. The interpretation of the extant Messapic inscriptions is too unclear to warrant the inclusion of Messapic in any Indo-European subfamily. There are obvious onomastic links with other Italic languages and possible lexical ties with Albanian (see Matzinger 2005), but Messapic offers no independent evidence for words of PIE origin.

### 3. RESEARCH METHOD

It is a cliché that every word has at least ten etymologies. Due to the long time span between Proto-Indo-European and Latin (2500 years for the oldest inscriptions, nearly 3000 years for the main body of literature), and the phonetic changes which took place in that period, we cannot be sure about the exact trajectory which even the most common Indo-European words have taken. Rather than right or wrong, the etymologies in this book must be regarded as points on a scale: some might approach relative certainty and have no competing etymologies beside them, whereas others represent just one among a number of etymologies that 3000 years of formal and semantic change render theoretically possible. For instance, it will be agreed upon by virtually all specialists that Latin negating *in-* ‘un-’ directly continues PIE *\*n-* in compounds (even if it would be hard to agree on a single complete lexical correspondence shared by Latin and at least two other branches of IE). The verb *videō*, to mention another example, will probably be derived from the PIE root *\*uid-*, which also surfaces as *\*ueid-* and *\*uoid-*, by all Indo-Europeanists. But details of their reconstructions may differ: some colleagues would write *\*wid-*, and some



reconstruct the root-final stop as \*[\*t]. Discussion of the present suffix Latin *-ē-* and the form of the perfect *vīdī* would bring to the surface still more differences between specialists. Many words have a much less certain etymology, for instance *cōlēī* ‘testicles’: it could be derived from *cōlum* ‘sieve’, which would be formally satisfactory but semantically not immediately convincing, or from *culleus* ‘bag’, which suffers the reverse handicap: semantically evident, but formally not so. In a case like *cōlēī*, more than with *in-* or *videō*, the assumptions and convictions of the individual researcher play a decisive role. In all cases, the reader must take into account that I adopt a certain systematic conception of Proto-Indo-European and the subsequent linguistic stages leading on to Latin (see below for their justification), which naturally influence the etymological solutions I eventually prefer.

An important article on the method of etymological research in general, and on Latin etymology in particular, was written by Eichner 1992. Here follow his main recommendations and a justification of my approach to them.

1. An etymological discussion should preferably give access to “die volle Fachdiskussion” (p. 61).

The scope of the present book and the limited amount of time that was available made full compliance with this requirement impossible. Complete reference to earlier scholarship would have taken many more years, and the printed version of this dictionary would have become far too heavy and too expensive. Earlier etymological research on Latin has been evaluated by Forssman 1983, while Forssman 2002 has discussed etymology in the TLL. The two main works of references for Latin etymology since the 1960s have been the dictionaries of Walde & Hoffmann (1930-1954) on the one hand, and of Ernout & Meillet (1959, with some corrections in the 1967 edition) on the other. Other etymological dictionaries that preceded WH and EM are Vaniček (1881), Regnaud (1908), Muller (1926), Tucker (1931), Juret (1942). Of these, I have only consulted Muller’s study occasionally.

For the purpose of the present dictionary I have consistently made use of WH and EM, who normally summarize the pre-1960 scholarship. Therefore, I usually refrain from quoting literature from before 1960. Each of the two dictionaries mentioned has its advantages and its drawbacks. WH provide a reliable indication of the first attestation of a Latin word in the Latin literature. Subsequently, they present an exhaustive and well-referenced overview of earlier proposals, usually including a long list of cognate forms in other IE languages. Unfortunately, their own opinion on the etymology of a word is usually stated without any explanation, and must be searched in a thicket of words. The dictionary of EM often gives a useful account of the inner-Latin word history. They evaluate the semantic shifts which a word underwent, and how it relates to other Latin lexemes. Their etymological discussion, however, is disappointingly short and very often remains agnostic even in cases where their contemporaries held clear views.

For Sabellic, I have relied on Untermann 2000 for the meaning and etymology of words. Since Untermann provides full references to earlier literature, I do not indicate the authorship of different etymologies for Sabellic words, unless their discussion

contributes to specific issues. Untermann's dictionary displays a great deal of skepticism towards the possibility of knowing the meaning and etymology of many Sabellic words. I have often adopted his agnosticism about the precise meaning of a word, while at the same time retaining the etymological explanation given for it by other contemporary specialists of the Sabellic languages, if a *communis opinio* could be discerned in the literature.

2. On a synchronic level, etymologies should take into account the phonological system of Latin, and, in our case, the other Italic languages.

Since this principle now forms part of every linguist's toolkit, there is little point in elaborating on it here.

3. A reliable etymological discussion must refer to the relative chronology of sound changes between Proto-Indo-European and Latin (termed "Glass-box-Verfahren" by Eichner, p. 72).

While this principle is equally uncontroversial, the number of studies elaborating on the relative chronology of more than a few Latin sound changes is small. I have tried to summarize my main guiding principles in the following section.

#### 4. FROM PROTO-INDO-EUROPEAN TO LATIN

##### 4.1 *Reconstructable stages*

The term *Proto-Indo-European* refers to the last reconstructible common stage from which all known Indo-European languages have evolved. For the period between PIE and Latin, we can reconstruct several intermediate stages through which the language must have passed. If the IE language family is represented as a traditional genealogical tree of descent, we can regard PIE as the trunk, and Latin as one of the branches; the intermediate stages are nodes between the trunk and the Latin branch. We can distinguish at least the following nodes:

*Proto-Indo-European* (also known as Indo-Hittite): Evidence has recently been accumulated showing that the Anatolian branch was the first of the known IE language to split off PIE; see Rieken 1999, Kloekhorst 2008: 7-11. In fact, this had already been argued by many scholars, e.g. Sturtevant in the 1920s, Pedersen in 1938, Cowgill in 1974; compare Adrados 2007. Accordingly, it must be decided for every reconstructed feature of 'traditional' PIE whether it was present before Anatolian split off, or developed afterwards. Subsequently, we should agree on a common terminology for both stages. Such work has yet to begin on a wider scale, and cannot be done here.

*(Late) Proto-Indo-European*: Since only the earliest node in the tree may properly be called PIE, any posterior common stage must be called differently. Thus, the common stage from which all other IE languages except the Anatolian ones descended could

be called Late PIE. Nevertheless, in view of the absence of agreement on this matter so far, and in order not to introduce novel and confusing terms, I will in practice use the term PIE to refer to “Late PIE” too.

*Proto-Italic-Celtic*: I acknowledge a separate Italo-Celtic stage, to which a number of phonetic and morphological developments common to the Italic and Celtic languages can be ascribed. The most recent defense of Italo-Celtic is by Schrijver 2006: 48-53 (against Meiser 2003: 36, among others). Here is an eclectic list of the morphological innovations that can be dated to the Italo-Celtic stage, as given by Schrijver 2006 and Kortlandt 2007: 151-157:

- the rise of a superlative suffix *\*-ismo-*
- the introduction of gen.sg. *\*-ī* in the *o*-stems (while maintaining *\*-osio*)
- the substitution of dat.pl. *\*-mus* and abl.pl. *\*-ios* by the ending *\*-b<sup>h</sup>os* (while maintaining ins.pl. *\*-b<sup>h</sup>i*)
- the introduction of gen. *\*-strom* in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> plural pronouns
- the spread of *\*s-* to the whole paradigm of the *\*so-/\*to*-pronoun
- (maybe:) the generalization of abl.sg. *\*-(e)d* in all declensions
- the pr. of ‘to be’ is thematic *\*es-e/o-* directly after focussed elements, athematic *\*es-* elsewhere (Schrijver 2006: 58)
- the rise of an injunctive (Kortlandt 2007: 153) or preterite (Schrijver 2006: 60-62) morpheme *\*-ā-*
- the rise of sigmatic futures with *i*-reduplication (Kortlandt 2007: 152)
- the spread of the morpheme *\*-ro* from the 3pl. to other middle endings

*Proto-Italic*: The concept of Proto-Italic has been challenged at various occasions, but agreement seems to be increasing on the view that there was indeed such a common prestage. Recent discussions of this topic, including enumerations of PIt. phonological and morphological innovations, are Rix 1994b, van der Staij 1995: 193-210, Heidermanns 2002: 186-189, Meiser 2003: 27-36 and Schrijver 2006: 48-53.

*Proto-Latino-Sabellic*: Most scholars assume that Venetic was the first language to branch off Proto-Italic, which implies that the other Italic languages, which belong to the Sabellic branch and to the Latino-Faliscan branch, must have continued for a certain amount of time as a single language. In view of the very small amount of Venetic material available, however, the Proto-Latino-Sabellic stage will hardly play a practical role in our discussions.

*Proto-Latino-Faliscan*: Most of the remaining Italic languages belong to the Sabellic branch, the main characteristic of which is the development of labiovelar stops to labials. The only two languages which are not Sabellic are Latin and Faliscan, which were spoken close to each other and differ from the Sabellic languages by a few common innovations (Baldi 1999: 172-174). Hence, we can reconstruct a common prestage.

#### 4.2 The phonology of Proto-Indo-European, Proto-Italic and Latin

I will use the following reconstruction of the *PIE phonological system*, based on Beekes 1995: 124. As noted above, at least some of the features reconstructed here might actually belong to the LPIE stage; but since we will be dealing with Italic mainly, this does not affect the discussion to any significant degree.

stops	<i>p</i>	<i>t</i>	<i>k̑</i>	<i>k</i>	<i>kʷ</i>
	<i>b</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>ǵ</i>	<i>g</i>	<i>gʷ</i>
	<i>bʰ</i>	<i>dʰ</i>	<i>ǵʰ</i>	<i>gʰ</i>	<i>gʷʰ</i>
fricatives		<i>s</i>			
laryngeals			<i>h<sub>1</sub></i>	<i>h<sub>2</sub></i>	<i>h<sub>3</sub></i>
liquids		<i>l</i>			
		<i>r</i>			
nasals	<i>m</i>	<i>n</i>			
semivowels	<i>i</i>	<i>u</i>			
vowels	<i>e</i>	<i>o</i>			
	<i>ē</i>	<i>ō</i>			

For *Proto-Italic*, I assume the following *phonological system* (van der Staaïj 1995: 66):

stops	<i>p</i>	<i>t</i>	<i>k</i>	<i>kʷ</i>
	<i>b</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>g</i>	<i>gʷ</i>
fricatives	<i>f</i>	<i>β</i>	<i>χ</i>	<i>χʷ</i>
		<i>s</i>		
laryngeals			( <i>H</i> )	
glides	<i>w</i>	<i>j</i>		
liquids		<i>l</i>		
		<i>r</i>		
nasals	<i>m</i>	<i>n</i>		
vowels	<i>i</i>	<i>ī</i>		<i>u</i> <i>ū</i>
		<i>e</i> <i>ē</i>		<i>o</i> <i>ō</i>
			<i>a</i> <i>ā</i>	
diphthongs	<i>ei</i>			<i>oi</i> <i>ou</i>
		<i>ai</i>	<i>au</i>	

Note 1: The series of PIE stops traditionally termed ‘voiced aspirate’ yields voiceless fricatives in word-initial position in Latin and Sabellic, voiced fricatives word-internally in Sabellic, and voiced stops (merging with the old voiced stops) word-internally in Latin. The Latin stops probably go back to voiced fricatives, as is shown by the variant forms of Lat. *ab-* (see s.v.). This points to a complementary distribution of Proto-Italic voiceless word-initial fricatives vs. voiced word-internal ones. In other words, there was one fricative phoneme with two allophones (see Stuart-Smith 2004: 196-198, and the critique of her phonemic interpretation by Kortlandt 2007: 150). I will note voiceless fricatives in my Pit. reconstructions, but it seems likely that they were voiced word-internally. After nasals and sibilants, the PIE voiced aspirates probably did not change into fricatives, but remained stops.

Note 2: Most Italic phonetic developments conditioned by the presence of laryngeals can be dated to the Italo-Celtic period, or before. The argument given by Schrijver 1991: 454 for the retention of *\*h<sub>3</sub>eu-* as distinct from *\*ou-* in Pit. on account of *bovem*, *-is* and *ovis*, has been rendered irrelevant by the novel interpretation in Vine 2006a. The different vocalization of PIE sequences *\*HNC-* according to which PIE laryngeal these sequences contained (Schrijver 1991: 56-65) suggests the survival of three different laryngeals until after the Italo-Celtic period; the vocalization may be an early Pit. development. Another phenomenon ascribed to the presence of consonantal laryngeals in Pit. by Schrijver 1991: 473f. is the preservation of *mo-* in open syllable in *mora* (I am less convinced about *monīle*), escaping the unrounding to *ma-*. *Mora*, however, has beside it the verb *morāre*, in which *-o-* might have been restored in Pit. as a characteristic of iterative verbs. As a result, laryngeals will play no role in my Pit. reconstructions. That is not to say that they had all indeed disappeared by the Proto-Italic period; but I find no certain traces.

Note 3: The main argument against assuming a Pit. shift *\*eu > \*ou* is the form *Leucesie* in the Carmen Saliare (cf. Leumann 1977: 70f., Meiser 1998: 59). This name with its unclear etymology cannot outweigh the remaining evidence in favour of *\*eu > \*ou*. In Venetic, some words are attested with *<eu>* and with *<ou>*, whereby the older inscriptions only have *<ou>*. Van der Staij (1995: 197f.) cautiously suggests that there may have been an inner-Venetic development *ou > eu*.

For the sake of reference, I give the following list of the most salient phonological changes which I assume to have taken place between PIE and (the last stage of) Proto-Italic (van der Staij 1995: 48-66, Schrijver 2006). The first number of each section indicates the order in the relative chronology of sound changes, whereas the second digit stakes no such claim.

#### A. Before Proto-Italo-Celtic split up:

1.1 PIE *\*h<sub>1</sub>e > \*e*, *\*h<sub>2</sub>e > \*a*, *\*h<sub>3</sub>e > \*o*

1.2 PIE *\*eh<sub>1</sub> > \*ē*, PIE *\*eh<sub>2</sub> > \*ā*, PIE *\*eh<sub>3</sub>, \*oH > \*ō* before a consonant

1.3 PIE *\*iH, \*uH > ī, ū* before a consonant

1.4 PIE  $*t > *t̥$  (and  $*-dd̥- > *-\check{d}d-$ ?)

2.1 Pretonic shortening of long vowel before resonant (Lat. *vir, ferus*)

2.2  $*uHijV > *wiHjV$  (*pius*-rule)

2.3  $*CHC > *CaC$

2.4  $*CRHC > *CRāC$

2.5  $*CRHTC > *CRaTC$  (Italic),  $*CRHT/s > *CRaT/s$  (Celtic)

2.6  $*RHT/s- > *RaT/s-$  (Italo-Celtic)

2.7  $*RDC > RaDC$  (Lat. *magnus*)

2.8  $*CCCC > *CaCCC$

B. Before Proto-Italic split up:

3.1  $*t̥ > *ss$

3.2  $*CLHV > CaLV-$  (e.g. *calēre, valēre, palma*)

3.3  $*CNHV > [CāNV-]$  (e.g. *similis, sine, tenuis*)

3.4  $*HLC- > aLC-$  (*argentum*)

3.5  $*h_{1/2/3}NC- > e/a/oNC-$  (*umbilicus, ambi*)

3.6  $*p_{-}(R)k^{w}- > *k^{w}_{-}(R)k^{w}-$  (*coquō, quīnque*)

4.1  $*b^h, *d^h, *g^h, *g^{wh} > *b, *d, *g, *g^w$  after  $*s$  and  $*N$

4.2  $*g^h > *g$  before  $*l, *r$  (Lat. *glaber, grāmen, trāgula*)

4.3  $*b^h, *d^h, *g^h, *g^{wh} > *f, *p, *χ, *χ^w$

4.4 PIE  $*ouV > *awV$  in pretonic position (Thurneysen-Havet-Vine's law)  
(Lat. *lavō* etc.)

5.1  $*p- > *f-$  (Fal. *filea*, Lat. *filius*)

6.1  $*fw- > *f-$  (Lat. *forum, fores*)

6.2  $*-g^{w}-, -χ^{w}- > *-w- /V\_V$  (Lat. *voveo, nūdus*)

6.3  $*mj > *nj$

7.1  $*o > a /b, l, m, w, k^{w}_{-}CV$  (Lat. *badius, canem, lacus, lanius, manus, mare*)

8.1  $*ew > *ow$  (Lat. *novus, moveo*)

8.2  $*r, *l > *or, *ol$  (Lat. *morior* etc.)

According to van der Staij 1995, there is positive evidence that Venetic shared in the following developments: fricativization of PIE 'voiced aspirates',  $*p- > *f-$  (Ven. *vhagsto*),  $*ew > *ow$  and  $*r, *l > *or, *ol$ . Hence, Venetic did not split off before stage 8.

The phonological developments between Proto-Italic and Old Latin are too numerous to discuss here. For details, I refer to the main handbooks in the field: Sommer 1914, Leumann 1977, Sommer / Pfister 1977, Schrijver 1991, Sihler 1995, Meiser 1998,

Baldi 1999, Weiss *fhc.a.*, to name only the best-known English and German works. Also, the relative chronology of the post-PIt. sound changes has not yet been clarified in all details, and would justify a separate study. Hopefully, the present dictionary may contribute to that aim.

For *Old Latin*, I assume the following phonological system (van der Staij 1995: 37, Meiser 1998: 52):

stops	<i>p</i>	<i>t</i>	<i>k</i>	<i>k<sup>w</sup></i>
	<i>b</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>g</i>	<i>g<sup>w</sup></i>
fricatives	<i>f</i>	<i>s</i>	<i>h</i>	
glides	<i>w</i>	<i>j</i>		
liquids		<i>l</i>		
		<i>r</i>		
nasals	<i>m</i>	<i>n</i>		
vowels	<i>i</i>	<i>ī</i>		<i>u</i> <i>ū</i>
		<i>e</i> <i>ē</i>	<i>o</i> <i>ō</i>	
		<i>a</i> <i>ā</i>		
diphthongs				<i>ui</i>
	<i>ei</i>	<i>eu</i>	<i>oi</i>	<i>ou</i>
		<i>ai</i>	<i>au</i>	

As to accentuation, we can posit the following three phases of accent placement (van der Staij 1995: 65, Meiser 1998: 53):

1. Mobile stress inherited from PIE. The presence of the inherited PIE accentuation in (early) Proto-Italic is required for Vine's reformulation (2006) of Thurneysen-Havet's Law *\*ou > \*au*, which would in his account have taken place in pretonic position. This sound law can be assigned to an early period in the relative chronology of PIIt. changes.

2. Word-initial stress. Word-initial stress is assumed to have applied in Etruscan and in Latin, Faliscan, and the Sabellic languages. The main Sabellic clues to initial stress are the occurrence of syncope of short vowels in front of word-final *\*-s* (Meiser 1986: 59-62), which may date to the Proto-Sabellic period, and syncope of word-internal short vowels in front of single consonants and *\*-sC-* in the different Sabellic languages individually (Benediktsson 1960, Meiser 1986: 131f.). In VOLat we find syncope of short vowels in final *\*-tos*, *\*-tis*, *\*-ros*, *\*-ris*, and maybe in final *\*-li* and *\*-ri* (Meiser 1998: 73f.). In internal syllables of VOLat., the effects of initial stress are syncope of short vowels in open medial syllable, and weakening (merger) of short vowels and diphthongs in non-initial syllable. For the Sabellic languages:

syncope is dated between 500 and 400 BC by Meiser (1986: 132), and the same period probably saw the start of syncope and weakening in Latin.

3. Penultimate / antepenultimate stress as in CLat. From about 300 BC (thus Radke 1981: 30 on the basis of *elephantus* and *Italia*, and *in-* 'in'), vowel weakening ceased to work in Latin, and we may assume that the accentual system known from CLat. had been established: accent on the penultimate syllable if it was metrically long, otherwise, accent on the antepenultimate syllable.

## 5. THE ENTRIES

### 5.1 Selection of entries

The entries were selected by means of a comparison between the two major etymological dictionaries WH and EM, the comprehensive Indo-European dictionaries IEW and LIV, and the Oxford Latin Dictionary. I have not done an additional exhaustive search for words attested only in glosses. Since such words are not normally included in the OLD, this implies that only those have been taken into account which are discussed in WH and EM, or in other etymological discussions. Personal names, place names and other toponyms are not included into the present dictionary. A few exceptions are made for names which are widely agreed to be Indo-European (e.g., *Monēta*, *Nerō*), or names for which an alleged Indo-European etymology must be dismissed (*Abella*).

### 5.2 The entries

The entry usually represents the derivationally most opaque member of a Latin word family: a present stem (quoted in the first person singular active), a noun or adjective (in the nominative singular or plural), an adverb or a cardinal numeral. I provide the English meaning of the word as given by the Oxford Latin Dictionary. Since the aim is merely to identify the word in question, not the whole range of meanings of a word is given. I provide the gender and stem type (*o*, *ā*, *i*, *u*, *ē*, or a consonant) of nouns, the conjugation (I, II, III, IV) of verbs, or another word type label. I also add deviant forms which do not conform to CLat. morphology of the inflectional or conjugational type given for the entry.

Latin entries are given in bold without a preceding abbreviation. The small number of inherited Venetic and Sabellic entries without cognate forms in Latin is preceded by the abbreviation of the respective language.

### 5.3 Dating

Between brackets, I indicate in which author or text the word given in the entry is first attested in Latin. A plus (+) after a given author means that the word can be found also in one or more later authors.



The main lexicographical sources for dating the age of a Latin word have been OLD and TLL; yet by means of random comparisons, I found that neither of these sources is exhaustive, and that quite often an older attestation can be found than would appear from TLL and OLD. In most cases, in fact, it turns out that WH are far more reliable. I have therefore turned to concordances of the older inscriptions, Livius Andronicus, Gnaeus Naevius (self-made) and Plautus (*Lexicon Plautinum*) in order to ascertain the first date of attestation of a given word. For the remaining words (appearing in Ennius, Cato, Terence or later), I have relied on the data provided by the OLD.

#### 5.4 Derivatives

The section 'Derivatives' provides derivatives of the head entry, and other closely related Latin forms. Since the dictionary only has about 1850 Latin entries, the section 'derivatives' contains many more Latin lexemes than the section 'entry'. Any reader familiar with Latin derivation will easily find most of the derivatives under their head entry. In addition, words can be retrieved via the Latin index at the end of the book.

The list of derivatives is restricted to words which make their first appearance before Cicero; in most cases, the last author to precede Cicero is Varro or Lucretius. In this way, the dictionary also provides information about the productivity and semantics of certain suffixes and preverbs in pre-Ciceronian times. From Cicero onwards, several suffixes become hugely productive while their inclusion into the dictionary would add nothing to our knowledge of OLat. or earlier stages. Only a few words which appear to contain an unproductive suffix, have no synchronic derivational basis, or appear to go back to OLat. for other reasons, are listed even if they first appear in or after Cicero. Some examples are *adūlor* (Cic.+), *bēs* (Cic.+), *sūbula* (Sen.+), *taxāre* (Sen.+).

Additional morphological information is given between square brackets, but only when needed to disambiguate two or more categories. The following endings are used as shorthand for their respective categories:

-a	f. noun, gen.sg. -ae
-ae	f.pl. noun, gen.pl. -ārum
-āx	adj., <i>k</i> -stem, gen.sg. -ācis
-ēdō	f. noun, <i>n</i> -stem, gen.sg. -ēdinis
-ēs	f. noun, <i>ē</i> -stem, gen.sg. -ēī
-iō	f. noun, <i>n</i> -stem, gen.sg. -iōnis
-is	noun, <i>i</i> -stem, gen.sg. -is
-is	adj., <i>i</i> -stem, f. -is, n. -e
-or	m. noun, <i>r</i> -stem, gen.sg. -ōris
-tās	f. noun, <i>t</i> -stem, gen.sg. -tātis
-tūdō	f. noun, <i>n</i> -stem, gen.sg. -tūdinis
-tūs	f. noun, <i>t</i> -stem, gen.sg. -tūtis
-um	n. noun, <i>o</i> -stem, gen.sg. -ī
-us	m. noun, <i>o</i> -stem, gen.sg. -ī
-us	adj., <i>o/ā</i> -stem, f. -a, n. -um

Some words which are listed as separate lexemes in OLD have been excluded because they belong to categories listed otherwise:

- n. nouns in *-um* or *-e* derived from attested adjectives or ppp.: to the adjective in *-us* or *-is*, or the ppp. in *-us*
- adverbs in *-(i)ter*: to the adj. they are based on
- adverbs in *-ē*: to the adj. in *-us* or *-is*
- nominal compounds with a noun or an adj. as the first member: to the respective noun or adj.

### 5.5 *Proto-Italic*

If possible, I provide a Proto-Italic reconstruction of the stem of the Latin entry, and occasionally of other Latin or Italic words which may plausibly go back to Proto-Italic. See section 1.4 for the phonological system of Proto-Italic.

### 5.6 *Italic cognates*

This section exhaustively lists all Faliscan, Sabellic and Venetic word forms which are or may be cognate with the Latin entry. All Sabellic forms are cited from Untermann 2000; I have usually adopting his rendering of the meaning and his morphological interpretation of the forms. Alternative interpretations of the meaning and etymology of Sabellic words are not usually discussed; instead, the reader is referred to the relevant section in Untermann. The Faliscan forms are cited according to Giacomelli 1963, and the Venetic forms according to Lejeune 1974, with additions as per Marinetti 1999, 2004 (to the exclusion of the Tavola da Este, which requires more detailed linguistic study). I have refrained from citing any Sicel forms, since their interpretation still seems too uncertain to me; the only exception is  $\pi\beta\epsilon$  ‘drink!’.

### 5.7 *Proto-Indo-European*

I reconstruct the form of the stem from which the Latin entry can be directly or indirectly derived. In some cases, there is more than one theoretical possibility.

### 5.8 *Indo-European cognates*

This section provides the main cognates of the Latin entry in the main languages used for reconstructing Proto-Indo-European. Since the details of the formation type often differ from language to language, non-specialists in Indo-European reconstruction may want to concentrate mainly on the identity of the root. Cognates from Celtic (Continental Celtic, Irish, Welsh, Cornish, Breton) are adduced first because of their relevance for the reconstruction of a Proto-Italo-Celtic stage. Subsequently, I provide the cognates from Anatolian (Hittite, Palaic, Luwian, Lydian, Lycian) because this branch first split off PIE. The remaining branches of IE are adduced in the following order: Indic (Sanskrit) – Iranian (Avestan, Old Persian, etc.) – Greek – Phrygian –

Armenian – Albanian – Baltic (Old Prussian, Lithuanian, Latvian) – Slavic – Germanic (Gothic, North Gm., West Gm.) – Tocharian. For the collection and interpretation of the cognate words, I have relied heavily on the work of colleagues in the IEED project: Kloekhorst 2008 for Anatolian, Alexander Lubotsky's Indo-Iranian database, Robert Beekes fthc. for Greek, Derksen 2008 for Slavic and Derksen fthc. for Baltic. Obviously, the responsibility for the selection and analysis of the forms as given here is entirely mine.

### 5.9 *Etymology*

If the etymology is undisputed and requires no further explanation – that is, if it can be understood applying the usual sound changes from PIE to Latin –, I refrain from a discussion. The etymology should then be clear from the reconstructed Proto-Italic and Proto-Indo-European forms. In the case of an uncertain, disputed or disputable Indo-European etymology, I discuss some of the options which must be rejected and/or those which seem likely to me. If some of the Latin derivatives are unproductive formations, I shortly discuss their linguistic history.

The main handbooks consulted for reference are IEW (1959), Leumann (1977) Schrijver (1991), Sihler (1995), Meiser (1998, 2003), Untermann (2000), LIV (2001) Other secondary literature is only mentioned where it adds extra arguments or information to the discussion. As stated in 1.3 above, the discussion is not exhaustive. In the case of etymological proposals which seem wholly unconvincing to me, or which have been discussed and refuted by one of the handbooks cited in the entry's bibliography, I generally refrain from mentioning them. This might have the unfortunate effect that this dictionary will not prevent future scholars from repeating proven errors of the past, but the alternative would have been a dictionary several times as thick, loaded with obsolete theories. It may be remarked that WH, who very often mention and reject former proposals, generally give short qualifications, such as "falsch", without stating their reasons; this I try to avoid.

If a word has not been given an IE etymology yet, or if the available etymological proposals all seem implausible to me, and I have no better solution to add myself, I usually state "Etymology unknown" or words of similar meaning.

### 5.10 *Bibliography*

I refer in all cases to page numbers in IEW, WH and EM, and to the PIE entry in LIV. In many instances, I refer to the page in Leumann (1977), Schrijver (1991), Sihler (1995) and Meiser (1998, 2003) on which the entry or its derivatives are discussed. I also provide the reference to the source of the Sabellic (Untermann 2000), Faliscan (Giacomelli 1963) and Venetic (Lejeune 1974) forms. Other secondary literature is only mentioned when it plays a role in the etymological discussion.

## 6. PERIODIZATION OF LATIN

Following Weiss (fthc.a), I adopt the following periodization of Latin:

6 <sup>th</sup> century – 240 BC	Very Old Latin (VOLat.)
240 – 50 BC	Old Latin (OLat.)
50 BC – 3 <sup>rd</sup> /4 <sup>th</sup> c.	Classical Latin (CLat.)
3 <sup>rd</sup> /4 <sup>th</sup> c. – 5 <sup>th</sup> /6 <sup>th</sup> c.	Late Latin (LLat.)

Although the term ‘Very Old Latin’ has a clumsy ring to it, it avoids the ambiguity which would follow from using ‘Early Latin’ versus ‘Old Latin’, or ‘Archaic Latin’ versus ‘Old Latin’. There is no natural chronological distinction between ‘early’ and ‘old’, while ‘archaic’ is also used for indicating the relative age of linguistic forms with respect to each other.

For the purpose of determining the first attestation of a Latin word, I use the following relative chronology of texts:

## I. Very Old Latin

In view of the different provenance of the inscriptions, and the uncertain dates of many of them, the order in which the texts appear is not intended as a relative chronology.

Older inscriptions: Lapis Niger (Forum Inscription), Lapis Satricanus, Duenos inscription, Corcolle Altar, Garigliano Bowl, the Tibur Base, the Madonnetta inscription (all 6<sup>th</sup> or 5<sup>th</sup> c.). The Praenestine Fibula is excluded since it is probably a forgery (cf. Baldi 1999: 125).

Several shorter inscriptions from Rome and outside (4<sup>th</sup> – 3<sup>rd</sup> c.); Elogium L. Cornelii Cn. f. Scipionis (CIL I<sup>2</sup> 6+7, ca. 260 BC), Elogium L. Cornelii L. f. Scipionis (CIL I<sup>2</sup> 8+9, ca. 230 BC).

Texts preserved (usually fragmentarily) in later sources: Lex Regiae, Law of the Twelve Tables, Carmen Saliare, Carmen Arvale.

Single words or phrases preserved by lexicographers: Nonius, Festus, Paulus Diaconus.

## II. Old Latin

The order in which the authors appear here is intended as a relative chronology:

Livius Andronicus (±284 – 204)	Caecilius Statius (died 168)
Naeivius (±270 – 201)	C. Lucilius (±180 – 102)
Plautus (died 184)	Accius (170 – ±85)
Ennius (239 – 169)	Sempronius Gracchus (trib. pl. 123, 122)
M. Porcius Cato (234 – 149)	Afranius (born ±150)
Terence (±195 – 159)	Cassius Hemina (mid 2 <sup>nd</sup> c.)
Pacuvius (220 – ±130)	

Cn. Gellius <i>hist.</i> (late 2 <sup>nd</sup> c.)	Quadrigarius (early 1 <sup>st</sup> c.)
Sextus Turpilius (died 103)	<i>Rhetorica ad Herennium</i> (early 1 <sup>st</sup> c.)
Titinius (2 <sup>nd</sup> c.)	Sisenna (praetor 78)
L. Pomponius <i>com.</i> (2 <sup>nd</sup> – 1 <sup>st</sup> c.)	M. Terentius Varro (116 – 27)
Sempronius Asellio (2 <sup>nd</sup> – 1 <sup>st</sup> c.)	Laberius (±115 – 43)
Laevius (early 1 <sup>st</sup> c.)	Lucretius (±94 – 55)
Helvius Mancina (early 1 <sup>st</sup> c.)	Catullus (± 84 – 54)
Novius (early 1 <sup>st</sup> c.)	

inscriptions:

Senatus Consultum de Bacchanalibus

CIL 364+365 from Falerii

Lex Sacra from Spoletium

other inscriptions from the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 1<sup>st</sup> c. BC.

Many Latin words make their first appearance in the comedies of Plautus. Steinbauer (1989: 39-40) regards the language of Plautus as older than that of Ennius for the following three reasons:

1. The plays of Plautus (maybe an Umbrian) were staged from at least 200 (but maybe earlier) until his death in 184. We know that Ennius (a Messapian) came to Rome in 204, and worked there till his death in 169. Thus, Ennius lived 15 years longer, and did not come to Rome earlier than Plautus.

2. The metre which Ennius uses (dactylic hexameter) excludes about 10% of the Latin vocabulary from occurring in it (according to Skutsch 1985), whereas no such restrictions seem to be imposed by Plautus' metre.

3. The genre of comedy allows for the use of a much broader spectre of the vocabulary.

### III. Classical Latin

Cicero (106 – 43)

Caesar (100 – 44)

Sallust (86 – ±34 BC)

Cornelius Nepos (±99 – 24 BC)

Virgil (70 – 19 BC)

Horace (65 – 8 BC)

Ovid (43 BC – ±17 AD)

L. Annaeus Seneca (±5 BC – 65 AD)

Columella (mid 1<sup>st</sup> c. AD)

etc.

I place the caesura between OLat. and CLat. before Cicero. This arbitrary division has a practical background: whereas Varro (who died later than Cicero) shows an explicit interest in archaic language, we find that many formations increase greatly in productivity from Cicero onwards, especially *u*-stem nouns and nouns in *-tiō* and *-tās*. The inclusion of words attested in but not before Cicero would imply the enumeration of a lot of new, predictable Latin formations which do not add to our knowledge of pre-Latin stages.



# DICTIONARY





# A

O. **aapam** [acc.sg.], **aapas** [gen.sg. or acc.pl.] ‘water’, ‘water basin’ vel sim. (inscriptions on water-basins).

Plt. *\*āpā-* [f.].

PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>ēp-s* [nom.sg.], *\*h<sub>2</sub>ep-*, *\*h<sub>2</sub>p-* ‘water’ [f.]. IE cognates: Skt. *āp-/ap-* ‘water’ [f.], *dvīpá-* ‘island’ [n./m.] < *\*dui-Hpa-* ‘having water on two sides’, Av. *āp-/ap-* [f.] ‘water’, YAv. *duuaēpa-* [m.] ‘island’, OP *ap-* [f.] ‘water’ < Ilr. *\*Hāp-*, *\*Hap-*; OPr. *ape*, Lith. *ùpė* [f.], Latv. *upe* ‘river, brook’; ToAB *āp* [f.] ‘water, river, stream’.

In theory, O. **-p-** could correspond with **-qu-** in Lat. *aqua* ‘water’; but in view of long initial /ā-/ in Oscan, it seems preferable to derive it from PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>ēp-* ‘water’ as attested in Ilr., Baltic and Tocharian.

Bibl.: IEW 1135f., EIEC 636, Untermann 2000: 42f. → *amnis*

**ab, abs, as-, ā-, af-, au-** ‘from; off, away’ [prep.; prev.] (Elog.Scip., Naev.+)

In compounds: *ab-* before vowels, *h-*, voiced dentals (*i-*, *d-*, *l-*, *n-*, *r-*) and *s-* (pronounced *ap-s-*); *ā-* before voiced labials (e.g. *āmoveō*, *āfluō*, *āvellō*, *ābitō*); *abs-* before voiceless stops: *aspellō*, *asportāre*, *aspermārī*, *abstineō*, *abstulī*, *abstrahō*, *abs tē*, *abscēdō*; *au-* only in *auferō* ‘to carry away’ (Pl.+), *aufugiō* ‘to run away’ (Pl.+). The distribution of the simplex *ab*, *abs*, *ā* follows the same rules as above, except that *abs* is rare, and we usually find *ā* in front of stops and *s*.

Plt. *\*ap(V)*, *\*aps* ‘away, off’. It. cognates: U. **ap-ehtre** ‘from outside’. Pael. O. *af-* ‘away, off’ in cp. (uncertain).

PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>ep-*. IE cognates: Skt. *āpa* ‘away, off’, *apa-* ‘without’, Av. *apa-*, OP *apa-* ‘away, from’, Gr. *ἀπό*, *ἄπο* ‘far (from), away (from)’, *ἄψ* ‘back, again’, Latv. *ap* ‘beneath’, Go. *af*, *af-* ‘from, since’.

Latin *ab* and *af-* go back to an *s*-less preform. *Ab* is explained by Leumann 1977 from voicing in front of a voiced stop, e.g. in *abdere*, *obducere*, *sub dīvō*. The original locus of *af* was in front of *l-* and *v-* followed by a back-vowel; this implies that the bilabial stop was assimilated to the following labial(ized) continuant, and *af* was probably pronounced as [aβ]; thus Vine 1993: 188. By the time of Cicero, *af* was considered to be archaic, and used only sporadically in account books. The form *abs* is probably a Latin or Italic creation on the model of *ec* vs. *ex*; it might also be compared with Gr. *ἄψ*. Its occurrence in front of *p,t,c* is understandable, but not its occurrence (surfacing as *ā-*) in front of voiced labials as opposed to *ab-* before voiced dentals. It is unlikely that Plt. *\*ap* would have been replaced by *\*aps* only in front of

dentals. It is therefore conceivable that  $\bar{a}$ - in front of voiced labials goes back to  $s$ -less  $*ab$ -. The variants  $af$ - and  $au$ - suggest that this  $*ab$ - underwent a dissimilatory lenition to  $*a\beta$ - before the labial consonant, with subsequent simplification of the consonants and vowel lengthening.

The variant  $au$ - is usually regarded as identical with the first element  $au$ - in *aut* and *autem* from PIE  $*h_2eu$  'away, off'. However, preverbal  $au$ - replaces  $ab$ - 'away' only before two verbs in  $f$ -. Usually, it is said that  $*au$ - was retained for the purpose of avoiding confusion between  $ad$ - and  $ab$ - in front of  $f$ , but this is more than questionable:  $ab$ - and  $au$ - would have coexisted for a while with the same meaning, and  $au$ - would have been lost without a trace except in front of  $f$ -. The complementary distribution is conspicuous, and suggests that  $au$ - developed out of  $ab$ -. In view of Vine's demonstration that  $af$  was probably pronounced as [aβ], it is likely that  $au$ - was the regular outcome of [aβ] in front of  $f$ -. The explanation of  $au$ - as regular from  $*ab$  goes back at least to Bréal 1894. Younger formations such as  $\bar{a}$ -*fuī* and  $\bar{a}$ -*fore* to *abesse* form no counter-argument, since  $\bar{a}$ - was productive in front of labials.

Bibl.: WH I: 1f., 79, EM 1f., 55, IEW 53f., 72f., Leumann 1940: 8, 1977: 157f., 561, Schrijver 1991: 46, 122, Vine 1993: 175-189, Untermann 2000: 56f., de Vaan *ftch.* → *aperiō*, *apex*, *po*

**abdōmen**, **-inis** 'the fat lower part of the belly, paunch, abdomen' [n. *n*] (Pl.+; originally said of pigs)

It has been suggested that *abdōmen* derives from the verb *abdere* 'to conceal' as  $*ap-d^hoh_1-mn$ , since *abdōmen* would originally mean 'hidden part'. The latter assumption is unfounded, however. The Germanic cognates which are adduced by WH (OHG *intuoma*, MLG *ingedōme* 'intestines; furniture') may rather have been derived from the verb 'to do' within Germanic. Thus, the origin of *abdōmen* remains unclear.

Bibl.: WH I: 3, EM 3, IEW 235ff., Leumann 1977: 370, Schrijver 1991: 147.

**Abella** 'Town in Campania (near Nola)' [f.  $\bar{a}$ ] (Verg.+)

Derivatives: *abellānus* [adj.] in *nux abellānus* 'hazelnut' (Cato+).

It. cognates: O. **abellanús** 'inhabitants of Abella', [adj. nom.pl.m.].

According to Virgil, the town of Abella abounded in fruit-trees (apple, nuts). EM and WH agree on the fact that *Abella* contains the 'apple' etymon, but this is very uncertain. It is a place-name, and its original meaning is unknown. The town was also known for other fruits than apples: *nux abellānus* 'hazelnut' (lit. 'nut from Abella'). Furthermore, it is uncertain how PIE  $*h_2b-e/ol$ - would yield *Abella* by regular phonetic development. The name of the 'apple tree' can be reconstructed as PIE  $*h_2(e)b-ol-n$ - on the basis of Celtic and BSl. cognates, but the outcome of *Plt.*  $*-ln-$  in Oscan is unknown.

Bibl.: WH I: 3, EM 3, IEW 1f., Hamp 1988: 158.

**abiēs**, **-etis** 'fir-tree' [f. *t*] (Pl.+)

*Plt.*  $*abiētis$  (nom.sg.),  $*abiet-$  (obl.).

Lat. *abiēs* resembles Gr. ἄβιν (acc.sg.) 'fir-tree' (Hsch.) <  $*abi$ -. For the formation,

compare Lat. *pariēs* ‘wall’ and Lat. *ariēs* ‘ram’. The fact that *\*abi-* is confined to the Mediterranean, and the extreme rareness of the phoneme *\*b* in PIE, point to a non-Indo-European origin. Moreover, it is uncertain that ἄβiv is Greek.

Bibl.: WH I: 4, EM 3, IEW If..

**aboleō** ‘to destroy; banish; abolish’ [v. II; pf. *abolēvī*, ppp. *abolitum*] (Col.+)

Plt. *\*ol-ē-*. It. cognates: maybe U. *holtu* ‘?’ (cursing an enemy while praying) [3s.ipv.II], if from *\*olatōd* < *\*h<sub>3</sub>elh<sub>1</sub>-tōd*.

PIE *\*h<sub>3</sub>olh<sub>1</sub>-eie-* ‘to bring down’. IE cognates: see s.v. *dēlēre*.

WH and EM wonder whether *aboleō* might have been formed as antonym to *adoleō* ‘to grow up’. LIV assumes an origin as a causative *\*h<sub>3</sub>olh<sub>1</sub>-eie-* to the root of Gr. ὄλλυμι. This seems more likely to me. As Praust 2005 and Neri 2007: 31-33 have independently concluded, Latin *aboleō* and Gr. ὀπόλλυμι may reflect the PIE combination *\*po* + *\*h<sub>3</sub>lh<sub>1</sub>-* with replacement of the preverb *\*po-* by *\*h<sub>2</sub>ep(o)-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 4, EM 3f., IEW 26f., 777, Untermann 2000: 328, Meiser 2003: 139, LIV *\*h<sub>3</sub>elh<sub>1</sub>-*. → *dēleō*

**accipiter, -tris** ‘hawk’ [m. (f. Lucr.) *i?*] (Pl.+)

Plt. *\*aku-petri-* ‘having swift/pointed wings’.

It is generally assumed that *accipiter* contains *acu-* < PIE *\*HHk<sub>u</sub>-* ‘swift’ and *-piter* < *\*petro-* or *\*petri-* ‘wing’; compare Gr. ὠκύπτερος ‘with swift wings’ < *\*He/oHku-ptero-*, ὠκυπέτης and Skt. *āśu-pátvan-* ‘flying swiftly’, all of which are used as epithets to birds of prey. Initial *acc-* would be due to association with the verb Lat. *accipere*, whence also Lat. *acceptor* (Lucil.+) ‘falcon’. Alternatively, *accipiter* could contain *acu-* ‘sharp’ and reflect a cp. ‘with pointed wings’.

Bibl.: WH I: 6, EM 5, IEW 18ff., Beekes 1972: 126. → *acu-*, *ōcior*, *penna*

**aceō** ‘to be acid, sour’ [v. II] (Cato+)

Derivatives: *acētum* ‘sour wine, vinegar’ (Pl.+), *acētābulum* ‘vinegar container’ (Cato+); *acidus* ‘acid, sour’ (Pl.+); *acor* [m.] ‘acid’ (Col.+); *aciēs* [f.] ‘sharp edge’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*akēje/o-*.

PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>ek<sub>1</sub>-* ‘to be sharp’. IE cognates: see s.v. *acu-*.

The Latin verb has been derived from the PIE nominal root; its derivatives in Latin belong to a productive Latin system of derivation. The meaning and later attestation of *acor* suggest that it was derived from *aceō*.

Bibl.: WH I: 6, EM 5f., IEW 18ff. → *ācer*, *acu-*, *acus*, *occa*, *ocris*

**acer, -eris** ‘maple-tree’ [n. *r*] (Ov.+)

Derivatives: *acernus* ‘made of maple’ (Verg.+).

Plt. *\*ak-er-*.

IE cognates: OHG *ahorn* [m.] < PGm. *\*axurna-*, ODan. *ær*, NHG *Acher* (dial. ‘maple-tree’ < PGm. *\*axira-*.

The Latin tree can be connected with PGm. \*axi/ur-, but further connections are uncertain. In Greek, we find tree-names with a similar form in ἄκαστος ‘maple’ (Hsch.) (from \*ἄκαρ-στος?) and Gr. ἄκαρνα ‘laurel-tree’ (Hsch.). This may well be a non-PIE tree name which was borrowed into Greek and Latin.

Bibl.: WH I: 6, EM 6, IEW 18ff.

**ācer, -is, -e** ‘sharp’ [adj. *i*] (Naev.+; *ācer* [nom.sg.f.] Naev., *acris* [nom.sg.m.] Enn.)

Derivatives: *ācrimōnia* ‘sharpness’ (Naev.+); *ācritās* ‘force’ (Acc.); *ācritūdō* ‘harshness’ (Acc.+); *ācrufolius* ‘tree with prickly leaves, holly’ (Cato).

Plt. \**ākri-* ‘sharp’. It. cognates: O. *akrid* [abl.sg.] ‘sharply’.

PIE \*h<sub>2</sub>ek-ro- ‘sharp’. IE cognates: Gr. ἄκρος ‘highest, outermost’, OLith. *āštras*, Lith. *aštrūs*, Latv. *ass*, OCS *ostrъ*, Ru. *óstryj* ‘sharp’ < BSl. \**ásro-*.

As against the PIE *o*-stem, the *i*-stem of Latin may have been caused by analogy with the noun *ocris* and the adj. *medi-ocris*. Long *ā* is unexplained, especially since the other derivatives of the root \*h<sub>2</sub>ek- in Latin all have short *a*-. Schrijver 1991: 134 suggests that \**ākris* was made to the adj. \**akro-* ‘sharp’ (as in *acerbus*) on the model of *sacer* ‘holy’ versus *sācris* (Pl., Cato) ‘holy’.

Bibl.: WH I: 7, EM 5f., IEW 18ff., Untermann 2000: 77. → *aceō, occa, ocris*

**acerbus** ‘harsh to taste, bitter, sour, briny’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Plt. \**akri-þo-* ‘having sharpness’ or \**akro-þo-* ‘sharp’.

PIE \*h<sub>2</sub>ok-ri- ‘sharpness’ or \*h<sub>2</sub>ek-ro- ‘sharp’. IE cognates: see s.v. *acer*.

Nussbaum 1999a: 392-400 assumes that Lat. *acerbus* contains the same PIE suffix which in other adj. yields Lat. *-idus*; its origin is disputed. While Nussbaum reconstructs *-idus* as PIE \**-idʰo-*, Balles (2003: 22) proposes to derive the suffix from PIE \*X-i- ‘X-ness’ + \**-dʰh<sub>1</sub>-o-* ‘putting’. If the *i*-stem noun had *o*-grade in PIE (cf. *ocris*), *acerbus* must be a secondary creation of Italic or Latin on the basis of (the short-vowel preform of) *ācer*. If the *i*-stem noun had *e/o*-ablaut in the root in PIE, as Nussbaum assumes, *acerbus* might directly continue the PIE abstract noun. The following development may then be reconstructed: Plt. \**akri-þo-* > \**akjþos* (syncope) > \**akerþos* (vocalization) > \**akerfos* > Lat. *acerbus*.

Bibl.: WH I: 8, EM 5f., IEW 18ff.. → *ācer, acu-, acus, occa, ocris*

**acerra** ‘casket for incense used in sacrifices’ [f. *ā*] (Lex XII+)

No related forms have been found in other Italic languages. A loan from Etruscan seems conceivable. No Etruscan vase name that might be the source of Lat. *acerra* has been found, but there are Etruscan containers ending in the adjective suffix *-ra*, cf. Etr. *capra* ‘container’ vel sim., Etr. *malehvra* ‘amphora’ vel sim.

Bibl.: WH I: 8, EM 6.

**acervus** ‘heap’ [m.? *o*] (Pl.+)

Uncertain etymology. Rix 1981: 118 posits Pre-It. \**akesuo-*, connecting it with Lat. *acus* ‘awn, chaff’. While formally conceivable, the required shift in meaning (\**akes-*

‘chaff’ > \*akes-uo- ‘heap of chaff’ > ‘heap’) is just a guess.

Bibl.: WH I: 8, EM 6, IEW 18ff., Rix 1981: 118 (= 2001: 286).

**acia** ‘thread or yarn’ [f. *ā*] (Titinius+)

It is generally assumed that *acia* must be derived from *acus* ‘needle’, but the semantics are not obvious: a thread is not sharp. One may think of a meaning ‘which belongs to a needle’, of course; but then one would rather expect \*aku-jā-.

Bibl.: WH I: 8, EM 5f., IEW 18ff.

**acu-** ‘sharp’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *acus*, -*ūs* ‘needle, pin’ (Pl.+), *acūtus* ‘pointed, sharp’ (Pl.+), *acuere* ‘to sharpen’ (Ter.+), *acūmen* ‘sharp point’ (Enn.+); *aculeus* ‘sting, thorn’ (Cic.+); *acupediū* ‘quick-footed’ (Paul. *ex F.*), *acupēnsēr* (Lucil.+)/ *acipēnsēr* (Hor.+) ‘a fish, probably the sturgeon’ (Pl.+); *acinus* [m./n.] ‘grape or other berry; also the seeds of grapes’ (Cato+).

Plt. \*aku-.

PIE \*h<sub>2</sub>ek-u- ‘pointed’. IE cognates: Lith. *āšutas* ‘hair of a horse’s tail or manes’, OCS *osъtъ* ‘thistle’ < BSl. \*ašuto-.

It is likely that the PIE *u*-stem adj. was retained in *acu-pediū* and *acuere*, while *acus* ‘needle’ was an independent formation on the nominal root \*ak-. Schrijver 1991 separates *acupediū* from the Latin words in *ac-* ‘sharp’, which seems unwarranted since *acupediū* is a hapax, and its meaning may just as well be ‘with sharp feet’ (i.e. volatile, fast) instead of ‘with fast feet’. The form *acupēnsēr*, with regular *u* in front of *p*, is attested with older authors than *acipēnsēr*. Forms in *aqui-* (*aquipēnsēr* Paul. *ex F.*, *aquipediū* gloss.) are thought to be recent (possibly formed on the basis of *aqua* ‘water’ by folk etymology). Similarly *aquifolium* to older *ācru/ifolius/m*, see *ācer*. The pointed beak of a sturgeon and/or its elongated form make a derivation from \*acu- ‘pointed’ likely. Oniga 1999 and Guasparri 2000 independently argue that the nom.sg. Mart. *acupensis* probably was the original form, which acquired an inflection in *-is*, *-eris*, and then generalized *-penser*, the form found in most authors. The element *-pensis* can then be regarded as an *i*-stem to *pensum* ‘weight’. Guasparri ultimately reconstructs a poss. cp. ‘who possesses a weight of needles’, based on the ‘needle-shaped barbels’ of the sturgeon. Lat. *acinus* is generally regarded a loanword from an unknown Mediterranean language; since the seeds of grapes are rather bitter, I see no reason to reject a derivation from the root \*ak- ‘sharp’.

Bibl.: WH I: 8-11, EM 5-7, IEW 18-22, Oniga 1999. → *aceō*, *ācer*, *occa*

**acus, -eris** ‘husks of grain or beans; chaff’ [n. *r*] (Cato+)

Derivatives: *acerōsus* ‘having the husks included’ (Lucil.+).

Plt. \*akos, -es-.

PIE \*h<sub>2</sub>ek-os [n.] ‘sharpness’ > ‘chaff’. IE cognates: Gr. ἀκοστή ‘barley’, ἀμφήκης ‘cutting on both sides’, τανυήκης ‘with thin edge’; Go. *ahs*, OHG *ehir* ‘ear of corn’; ToA *āk*, ToB *āke* ‘end, tip’.

The Greek compounds have a secondary lengthened grade, but otherwise point to a

PIE *s*-stem ‘sharpness’, also found in the word for ‘barley’. The Toch. words may continue the same abstract noun.

Bibl.: WH I: 11, EM 7, IEW 18-22, Stüber 2002: 97f. → *aceō*, *acu-*

**ad** ‘to, up to, into’ [prep.] (Andr.+)

Plt. *\*ad* ‘to’. It. cognates: O. *ad-*, U. *ař-*, *-ař*, Vol. *ar-*, SPic. *ad-* ‘towards’; O. *adpūd* ‘as long as’, *arnipo* ‘as long as not’ < *\*ad-(nē-)k<sup>w</sup>od*; O. *az* ‘by’ < *\*ad-s*.

PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>ed* ‘to’. IE cognates: OIr. *ad-*, Gaul. *ad-*, W. *add-* [pref.], W. *â*, before vowels *ag* ‘with’; Phryg. *αδ-δακετ* ‘he makes’; Go. *at* ‘at, near’, Olc. *at*, OE *æt*, OS *at*, OHG *az* < PGm. *\*at-*.

It is disputed whether *atque*, *ac* ‘on the other hand, as; and’ belong here or to *at* ‘on the other hand’. The latter seems more likely, since one might expect *ad* to have been restored in original *\*ad-que*.

Bibl.: WH I: 11f., EM 7f., IEW 3, Untermann 2000: 46, 53, 120.

**adeps, -ipls** ‘fat, lard’ [m. or f. *p*] (Cato+)

Derivatives: *adipātus* ‘containing fat, rich’ (Lucil.+).

The Lat. word is often connected with U. **ařepes, ařipes** [dat.abl.pl.] < *\*adēpā-*. Meiser 1986: 216ff. considers a possible Plt. form *\*ad-leip-a* ‘sticking onto’ > Sab. *\*ad-řep-a* >> *ař-řep-a* > *ařepa-*, which was then borrowed into Latin, where it was interpreted as a consonant stem. Others have regarded *adeps* as borrowing from Greek *ἄλειφα[ρ]* ‘unguent, oil’ via Etruscan. Yet Weiss (fthc.b) argues that there are no contextual indications that **ařepes** is connected with *adeps*, so that a different etymology must be found.

Bibl.: WH I: 12, EM 9, Untermann 2000: 47f.

**adminiculum** ‘prop, support, pillar’ [n. *o*] (Cato+)

Derivatives: *adminiculāre* ‘to support’ (Varro+).

Plt. *\*mino-* ‘structure, support’.

PIE *\*(H)mi-no-*.

EM and Leumann 1977: 313 prefer to derive *adminiculum* from the root *\*men-* ‘to protrude’ as in *minae* ‘protruding part’ > ‘threat’ and *ē-minēre*. WH and Serbat 1975: 144 explain *adminiculum* as *\*(H)mi-no-* to *moenia* ‘defensive walls’ from the root *\*(H)mi-* ‘to build’. In view of the preverb *ad-*, the latter solution seems more likely.

Bibl.: WH I: 13, EM 9, LIV 1. *\*mej-*. → *moenia*

**adoleō** ‘to burn (as an offering)’ [v. II; ppp. *adultum*] (Lucr.+)

Derivatives: *altāria* [n.pl.] ‘altar; offerings’ (Pac.+ ‘offerings’, Cic.+ ‘altar’); sg. *altare* (Paul. *ex F.*), *altarī* (Petr., Apul.).

Plt. *\*oleje-* ‘to feed (the fire)’, *\*alto-* ‘fed’. It. cognates: U. *uřetu* [3s.pr.ipv.] ‘?’ < *\*olē-tōd*. The verb takes the objects *pir* ‘fire’ and [abl.sg.] *esuku esunu* ‘at this *esunu*’.

PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>ol-eie-* [pr.] ‘to feed’, *\*h<sub>2</sub>l-to-* [ppp.]. IE cognates: see s.v. *alō*.

LIV explains the shift from ‘to cause to feed’ to ‘burn’ by the specialized use in

offerings as ‘to feed the fire’. This would match the etymology of *altāre* as a derivative from *\*alto-* ‘fed’ (Schrijver 1991: 71): semantically, *altāria* is primarily connected with *ad-olēre*. The suffix *\*-āli-* dissimilated to *-āri-* due to *l* in the root.

Bibl.: WH I: 13, 32, EM 9, 24, IEW 24, 26-27, Schrijver 1991: 70f., LIV *\*h<sub>2</sub>el-*. → *alō*

**ador, -oris** ‘coarse grain, spelt, barley’ [n. *r*] (Hor.+)

Derivatives: *adōreus* ‘pertaining to spelt, consisting of spelt, barley’ (Cato+).

Plt. *\*adōs, -os-*.

PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>ed-ōs* (coll.) ‘grain (dried stuff)’. IE cognates: Hit. *hāt-/hat-* ‘to dry up, become parched’ (< *\*h<sub>2</sub>od-/h<sub>2</sub>d-*), Gr. ἄζομαι ‘to dry up’, Arm. *hat* ‘grain’, *hačar* ‘barley’, Go. *atisk* ‘grainfield’ < *\*h<sub>2</sub>ed-*.

Lat. *ador* probably reflects a neuter collective *\*ad-ōs* or *\*ad-ōr*. If it reflects an s-stem, one could connect Go. *atisk* ‘grainfield’ < PGm. *\*ates(s)ka-*, probably a (s)ko-derivative of PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>ed-es-* ‘grain’. Arm. *hat* may reflect *\*h<sub>2</sub>ed-(e)s-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 14, EM 9, IEW 3, Watkins 1973a, LIV *\*h<sub>2</sub>ed-*.

**adūlor, -ārī** ‘to fawn (upon), court’ [v. I] (Cic.+)

The formation is that of a denominal verb, and scholars have compared Lith. *valai* ‘horse’s tail’, Skt. *vālā-*, *vāra-* ‘tail-hair’. Yet these seem to continue an *aniṣ* root, which would not yield Latin *ū*. The etymology is uncertain. In de Vaan 2007, I have proposed a connection with *aveō* ‘to be eager’ and *avidus* ‘eager’. Starting from an adj. *\*ad-awiḥo-* > syncopated *\*ad-audo-*, the second *d* might have been dissimilated to *l*, yielding a stem *\*adūlo-* ‘who is eager towards something’, ‘flatterer’. From this, the verb *adūlārī* would have been derived.

Bibl.: WH I: 14, EM 9f. → *aveō*

**aedēs, -is** ‘dwelling-place; temple’ [f. *i*] (Lex XII, Andr.+; nom.sg. also *aedis*)

Derivatives: *aedicula* ‘small room, small house’ (Pl.+); *aedilis* (Pl.+) ‘magistrate, aedile’ (Elog.Scip. *aidilis*), *aedilicius* ‘of or connected with an aedile’ (Pl.+), *aedilitās* ‘the office of an aedile’ (Pl.+); *aedificāre* ‘to build’ (Pl.+), *aedificium* ‘building’ (Pl.+), *aedificātor* ‘builder’ (Cato+), *aedificātiō* ‘building’ (Cato+); *aeditu/imus* ‘who has charge of a temple’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*aiḥ-* ‘fireplace’. It. cognates: Fal. *efiles, efile* [nom.pl. or sg.]; O. *aldil* [nom.sg.], *aidilis* [nom.pl.], Vol. *aidiles* [nom.pl.] borrowed from Latin.

PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>(e)id<sup>h</sup>-* ‘burning’. IE cognates: OIr. *áed* ‘fire’; Skt. *-idh-* ‘igniting’, Gr. αἴθω ‘to burn’, OE *ād*, OHG *eit* ‘pyre’.

The noun originally denoted the fireplace, but came to be used for the room with a fireplace, and then also for a small temple consisting of a single room. The plural *aedēs* denoted the house. Schrijver (1991: 373f.) concludes that neither the *ē-* nor the *i-* stem are original, but rather a root noun. This adopted the *ē-* inflection, and the latter type was in historical times influenced by the *i-* stem inflection. The early start of this influence in the case of *aedis* is attributed by Schrijver to the semantic split of nom.sg. *\*aedēs/aedis*, gen.sg. *\*aedis*, nom.pl. *\*aedēs* into two words: *aedis, -is* ‘temple’ and

*aedēs* (pl.) ‘house’. This split is not so clear from the attestations, however.

Bibl.: WH I: 15, EM 10, IEW 11f., Giacomelli 1963: 243f., LIV \*h<sub>2</sub>eid<sup>b</sup>-.

**aeger** ‘ill, sick’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *aegrōtus* ‘sick, diseased’ (Pl.+), *aegrōtare* (Pl.+) ‘to be sick’; *aegrēre* ‘to be sick’ (Lucr.), *aegrēscere* ‘to become sick’ (Lucr.+); *aegrimōnia* ‘mental distress’ (Pl.+); *aegritūdō* ‘illness, grief’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \**aigro-* [adj. / n.].

PIE \*h<sub>2</sub>eig-ro-.

Neither *-ōtus* nor *-ōtare* are productive types in Latin, so that *aegrōtus* must be regarded as an old form. Within Nussbaum’s theory of possessive de-instrumental formations such as those in Latin *-ītus*, *-ūtus*, and *-ētum*, *aegrōtus* could be analysed as \**aigroh<sub>1</sub>-to-* ‘with sickness’ to a noun \**aigro-* that may be present in Lat. *aegrum* ‘distress, grief’ (Pl.+). Although *aegrum* could just be the n. of *aege*, its meaning is slightly removed from corporeal ‘sick’, so that it might be an older noun. Other IE languages show derivatives in \**-oH-to-* too, e.g. Lith. *ragiūotas* ‘horned’ to *rāgas* ‘horn’, Gr. *χολώτος* ‘angry’ to *χόλος* ‘anger’. The connection of ToA *ekār*, ToB *aik(a)re* ‘empty’ with *aege* is less probable because of the semantics.

Bibl.: WH I: 16, EM 10, IEW 13, Nussbaum 1996: 3, 1998c: 8.

**aemidus** ‘swollen’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Paul. *ex F.*)

Plt. \**aid(s)mn-* [n.].

PIE \*h<sub>2</sub>eid-m(n)- ‘swelling’. IE cognates: Gr. *οιδέω* ‘to swell, become swollen’, *οιδάω* ‘to (make) swell’, *οἶσμα* ‘the surging (of waves)’, Arm. *aytnowm* ‘to swell’, OHG *eiz* ‘Eiterbeule’.

The word is isolated within Latin. The final part probably contains the suffix *-idus*. Possible preforms include \**aidmidos* (cf. *caementum* < \**kaid-mentom*) and \**aidsmidos*, which allow for a connection with the root \*h<sub>2</sub>eid- ‘to swell’. According to Nussbaum 1999a: 405, the ultimate starting-point could be \**h<sub>2</sub>eid-m(n)-*, similar to Gr. *οἶσμα* which has *o*-grade. For Latin, we might reconstruct a derivational basis \**h<sub>2</sub>eid-m(n)-i-* or \**h<sub>2</sub>eid-sm-i-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 16, EM 10, IEW 774, Schrijver 1991: 38, Klingenschmitt 1982: 256, Nussbaum 1999a: 379, 401, 405, LIV \*h<sub>2</sub>eid-.

**aemulus** ‘emulous, rival’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Lucr.+)

Derivatives: *aemulus* [m.] ‘rival’ (Ter.+), *aemula* (Pl.+) ‘female rival’, *aemulārī* ‘to rival, emulate’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \**aimo-*.

PIE \*h<sub>2</sub>ei-mo- ‘imitation’. IE cognates: Hit. *himma-* ‘imitation, substitute’ (< \*h<sub>2</sub>im-no-?).

Diminutive \**aimelo-* of a noun \**aimo-* ‘imitation’. Maybe the Latin noun ‘rival’ is original with regard to the adj.

Bibl.: WH I: 17, EM 10f., IEW 10f., Neumann apud Oettinger 1976: 64. → *imāgō*



**aequus** 'level, equal' [adj. *o/ā*] (Andr.+; SCBac. *aiquom*, OLat. *aecus*)

Derivatives: *adaequē* [adv.] 'to the same extent' (Pl.+); *aequor*, *-oris* [n.] 'level surface, the sea' (Enn.+); *aequāre* 'to make level, make even' (Varro+), *aequālis* 'equal, even' (Pl.+), *aequābilis* 'equal, uniform' (Cato+), *aequābilitās* 'analogy, equability' (Varro+), *aequāmen* 'instrument used for levelling' (Varro); *inīquus* / *inīcus* 'unfair, unequal, uneven' (Pl.+), *inīquāre* 'to ruffle (the mind)' (Lab.).

Probably *\*aikʷos*, but there is no convincing etymology available.

Bibl.: WH I: 17f., EM 11.

**aerumna** 'task; distress' [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

The word is often regarded as a loan from Greek αἰρομένη, but there is no example in Greek of the use of the f. of this participle as a noun meaning 'burden'. Borrowing from an Etruscan source has been claimed repeatedly, but is impossible to prove.

Bibl.: WH I: 18f., EM 12, Biville 1990 II: 103f.

**aeruscō, -āre** 'to beg' [v. I] (Gel., Paul. *ex F.*)

Plt. *\*iske/o-* 'to demand', *\*aisos(ko)-* 'demand(ing)'. It. cognates: U. *eiscurent* [3p.fut.II] 'they will demand/provide/take' (< *\*ex-* 'out' + *\*h<sub>2</sub>is-ske-*?).

PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>is-ske/o-* [pr.], *\*h<sub>2</sub>eis-os-* [n.] 'request'. IE cognates: Skt. *ichāti*, YAv. *isaite* 'to seek', Arm. *hayc'em* 'to beg' < *\*h<sub>2</sub>eis-ske-*, *ayc* 'investigation'; Lith. *ieškoti*, Latv. *iēškāt*, OCS *iskati* 'to look for, seek' < BSl. *\*iʔskaʔ-*; OHG *eiscon* 'investigate, demand' < PGm. *\*aisk-*.

Probably denominal from an adj. *\*aisos-ko-*, to PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>eis-os-* 'request'. The U. form *eiscurent* might directly reflect a PIE verb *\*h<sub>2</sub>is-ske-*. A similar vacillation between a zero grade pr. *\*h<sub>2</sub>is-ske/o-* (Ilr., Sl.) and a denominal full grade of the root in pr. *\*h<sub>2</sub>eis-ske/o-* (Arm., Baltic, Gm.) is found in other branches of IE.

Bibl.: WH I: 19, EM 12, IEW 16, Schrijver 1991: 38, Derksen 1996: 337, Untermann 2000: 206f., LIV *\*h<sub>2</sub>eis-*. → *quaerō*

**aes, aeris** 'copper, bronze, brass; money' [n.] (Lex XII+, CIL 1.383 *aired* [abl.sg.])

Derivatives: *a(h)ēnus* / *a(h)ēneus* 'made of bronze, brazen' (Pl.+ *-eus*, Enn.+ *-us*).

Plt. *\*ajos, -es-* [n.]; *\*ajes-no-*, *\*ajes-n-ejo-* [adj.] 'made of bronze'. It. cognates: U. *ahesnes* [abl.pl.] < *\*-no-*, O. αἰζνω [acc.pl.n.] 'made of bronze' < *\*-neio-*.

PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>ei-os*, *\*h<sub>2</sub>ei-es-* [n.] 'bronze', *\*h<sub>2</sub>eies-no-* [adj.] 'of metal'. IE cognates: Go. *aiz* 'bronze', Skt. *āyas-*, Av. *aiiah-* 'metal, iron'.

It is not entirely clear how Latin *aes, aeris* can be phonetically derived from earlier *\*ajos* or *\*ajes-*, since there are no entirely parallel words; cf. Schrijver 1991: 39. Most likely, nom.acc.sg. *\*ajos* was replaced by *\*ajes*, and the word regularly remained uncontracted in this disyllabic form. Initial *ae-* may then have been restored in the oblique case forms. As for *ahēnus*, it has been suggested that the spelling with *h* to fill the hiatus was adopted from Umbrian, and that the word itself may be a loanword from Umbrian. If it is a Latin word, the absence of contraction may be explained from the restoration of *\*ajes* which also seems to have taken place in the oblique forms of

*aes, aeris* ‘bronze’.

Bibl.: WH I: 19, EM 12f., IEW 15f., Untermann 2000: 63f., 74. → *ahēnus*

**aesculus** ‘kind of oak-tree’ [f. *o*] (Varro+)

IE cognates: perhaps Gr. αἰγύλωψ ‘haver-grass, Turkey oak’, PGm. \**aik-* ‘oak’.

The form and function of the suffix, if PIE, are unclear. It is possibly a substratum word, maybe Mediterranean, although in that case the presence of a Germanic cognate is surprising.

Bibl.: WH I: 20, EM 13, IEW 13.

**aestās** ‘summer’ [f. *t*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *aestus*, *-ūs* ‘heat; fervor; swell (of the sea)’ (Naev.+); *aestīvus* ‘of summer, summery’ (Pl.+), *aestivāre* ‘to spend the summer’ (Varro+).

Plt. \**aissāt-*, \**aissu-*.

PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>eid<sup>h</sup>-teh<sub>2</sub>t-*, \**h<sub>2</sub>eid<sup>h</sup>-tu-* ‘burning, heat’. IE cognates: see s.v. *aedēs*.

Most handbooks assume that *aestās* and *aestus* show the regular development of PIE \**-d<sup>h</sup>t-* in Latin, but this is unlikely: the usual reflex of dental clusters is *-ss-*. Hill (2003: 246f.) assumes that the Latin nouns in *aest-* were derived from a PIE reduplicated thematic present with *i*-reduplication \**h<sub>2</sub>e-h<sub>2</sub>id<sup>h</sup>-e/o-* > Lat. \**aīd<sup>h</sup>-tu-* > \**aistu-*. But the assumed PIE present, its *i*-reduplication and the alleged bisyllabicity of the root are all unfounded. It seems more likely that *aestās* and *aestus* go back to regularly developed forms \**aissāt-* and \**aissu-*, in which the suffixes *-tāt-* and *-tu-* were restored, yielding *-st-*; cf. Bammesberger 2003 (who assumes \**aissatāt-* for *aestās*).

Bibl.: WH I: 20, EM 13, IEW 11f., LIV \**h<sub>2</sub>eid<sup>h</sup>-*. → *aedēs*

**aestimō, -āre** ‘to estimate’ [v. I] (Pl.+; OLat. *aestimāre*)

Derivatives: *existimāre* ‘to esteem, judge’ (Pl.+).

PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>eis-*?

The explanation by Havet from *aes* ‘bronze’ and \**temos* ‘cut’ is not very credible. It is still followed by Hamp 1990, who posits a nominal form \**ajes-tomo-* ‘who cuts the metal’. If it continues an inherited word, it seems more likely that *aestimāre* is connected with the root PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>eis-* ‘to seek’ found in *aeruscāre* ‘to beg’.

Bibl.: WH I: 20, EM 13, IEW 15f.

O. *aeteis* [gen.sg.], **a]ittíúm** [gen.pl.] ‘part (of a possession)’; U. **aitu, aitu** [3s.ipv.II], **altuta** [3p.ipv.II] ‘to select, separate’(?) < \**ai-je/o-*?

Plt. \**ai-ti-* ‘part’, \**ai-je-* ‘to select’.

PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>ei-* ‘to give’. IE cognates: Gr. αἰνυμαι ‘to take, seize’, ἔξ-αιτος ‘selected’, αἴσα ‘share, destiny’ < \**aiti-h<sub>2</sub>-*, αἴτιος ‘guilty, responsible’, αἰτέω ‘to ask, beg’, ToB *ai-*, ToA *e-* ‘to give [act.], take [med.]’. Unrelated: Hit. *pai-<sup>i</sup> / pi-* ‘to give, pay’, cf. Kloekhorst 2008: 614-616. YAv. *aētahmāiiuš* does not contain a noun *aēta-* ‘punishment’ but the pronoun *aēta-* ‘that’, cf. Fischer-Ritter 1991.

Bibl.: IEW 10f., Untermann 2000: 55f., LIV 1.\**h<sub>1</sub>aj-*.

**aevus / aevum** ‘period of time; past; future’ [m. (Pl., Lucr., CIL); n. (mostly) o] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *aetās*, *-ātis* ‘age’ (Pl.+); < *aevitās* (Lex XII, Var.); *aeternus* ‘eternal’ (Pl.+); < *aeviternus* (Pac.+).

Plt. *\*aiwo-* [m.] ‘period, age’, *\*aiwo-tāt-* [f.] ‘age’. It. cognates: O. *aítateis* [gen.sg.], *aítatúm* [acc.sg.], Pael. *aetatu* [acc.sg.?], *aetate* [abl.sg.] ‘period, age’.

PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>ó/éi-u-*, *\*h<sub>2</sub>i-éu-* ‘a long time, lifetime’ >> *\*h<sub>2</sub>ei-uo-* ‘time’. IE cognates: Skt. *áyu* [n.] ‘life, lifetime’, *āyú-* [adj., m.] ‘full of life, lively’, Av. *āiiu-* [n.] ‘life, lifetime, time’, OAv. *yauuaē-jī-* [adj.] ‘living forever’, Gr. αἰψεί, αἰέξ, αἰῶ, αἰέν [adv.] ‘forever’ < *\*aiyes-*, αἰόν [m.] ‘lifetime, time, duration’, Gr. οὐ, Arm. *oč*’, Alb. *as* ‘not’ < *\*h<sub>2</sub>oiu(-k<sup>w</sup>e)*; Go. *aiwam* [dat.pl.], *aiwins* [acc.pl.] ‘times’, Go. *aiweins*, OHG *ēwīg* ‘eternal’.

Lat. *aetās* < *\*aiotās* < *\*aiwo-tāt-s* (with Schrijver 1991: 39; this would mean that *aevitās* has restored *aevi-* on the basis of *aevus*) or *aetās* < *\*ajitās* < *\*aiwitās* < *\*aiwo-tāt-s*. The suffix of *aeviternus* may have been adopted from *hesternus* and (especially) *sempiternus*. The Latin noun is a substantivized adj. in *-o-*, derived from the u-stem *\*h<sub>2</sub>e/oi-u-* seen in Skt. *áyu*. Masculine *aevus* is probably older, its replacement by *aevum* may have been triggered by n. *tempus*.

Bibl.: WH I: 21, EM 13f., IEW 17f., Untermann 2000: 70f. → *iuvenis*

**ager, -grī** ‘piece of land, territory’ [m. o] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *agrārius* ‘of land, agrarian’ (Lucil.+); *agrestis* ‘of fields, rural, wild’ (Pl.+), *agrestis* [m.] ‘peasant’ (Acc.+); *agricola* [m.] ‘farmer’ (Pl.+); *peregrī* [adv.] ‘away from home, abroad’ (Naev.+), *peregrē* [adv.] ‘abroad’ (Naev.+), *peregrīnus* ‘foreign, alien’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*agro-* [m.] ‘field’. It. cognates: U. *ager* [nom.sg.], *agre* [gen.sg.], SPic. *akren* ‘piece of land’ [loc.sg. + *\*en*].

PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>eǵ-ro-* ‘uncultivated field, pasture’. IE cognates: Skt. *ájra-* [m.], Gr. ἀγρός, Myc. *a-ko-ro/agros/*, Arm. *art*, Go. *akrs*, OHG *ackar*, *ahhar* ‘field’.

The suffix of *agrestis* was dissimilated from *\*agr-estris*. The element *-es-* in this suffix stems from *terrestris* (cf. Leumann 1977: 352), where it may continue a PIE or Plt. *s-*stem (see s.v. *terra*). Lat. *peregro-* < *\*pere-agro-* is ‘what is beyond the land or village’. The noun *\*h<sub>2</sub>eǵ-ro-* was derived from PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>ǵ* ‘to drive, lead’.

Bibl.: WH I: 22, II: 286, EM 14f., 498, IEW 4-6, Untermann 2000: 60f., LIV I. *\*h<sub>2</sub>eǵ-*. → *agō*

**agna** ‘an ear of grain’ [f. ā] (Paul. ex F.: *pennatas inpenntasque agnas*)

If *agna* represents *\*akna*, Greek ἄχνη ‘foam, froth; chaff’ (< *\*aksnā-*) and Go. *ahana* ‘chaff’ can be connected: they might go back to a PIE derivative in *\*-n-* of the root *\*h<sub>2</sub>ek-* ‘sharp’ (cf. *aceō* and *acu-*). On the other hand, the Greek is not a perfect formal match, and *agna* might equally well represent a loanword from a non-IE Mediterranean language.

Bibl.: WH I: 22, EM 15, IEW 18ff.

**agnus** ‘lamb’ [m. o] (Cato+)

Derivatives: *avillus* (Paul. *ex F.*), *agnellus* ‘young lamb’, *ambiegnus* (Varro; *ambegnus* Paul. *ex F.*) ‘sacrificial animal which is flanked on both sides by lambs’.

Plt. \**ag<sup>w</sup>no-* [m.] ‘lamb’, dim. \**ag<sup>w</sup>nelo-*.

PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>eg<sup>w</sup>-no-* ‘lamb’. IE cognates: OIr. *úan*, W. *oen*, OCo. *oin*, Bret. *oan* ‘lamb’ < \**Hog<sup>w</sup>no-*, Gr. *ἀμνός* < \**h<sub>2</sub>eg<sup>w</sup>no-*, OCS *agnę* [n. *nt*], ORu. *jagnja*, SCr. *jägne* [n.] ‘lamb’ < \**h<sub>2</sub>eg<sup>w</sup>-n-ent-*; OE *ēanian* ‘to yeap’ < \**aun-*.

It is uncertain whether U. *habina* ‘sacrificial animal’ belongs here, since the exact meaning does not appear clearly from the context. It has been suggested that the preform was \**ag<sup>w</sup>nīna* > \**abīna* with dissimilation of the first *n*, and then the addition of *h-* on the analogy of [the unattested U. cognate of Latin] *haedus* ‘goat’. That is rather speculative, of course. The stop in *agnus* developed from a labiovelar, judging by the diminutive Lat. *avillus*. Despite WH I: 84, Lat. *avillus* does not belong to *ovis* ‘sheep’: *a-* and *o-* are incompatible, and geminate *ll* would remain unexplained. A PIE labiovelar lost its labial feature before consonants, but the *v* of Lat. *avillus* < \**ag<sup>w</sup>ŋlo-* < \**ag<sup>w</sup>nelo-* demonstrates that the labial feature in \**g<sup>w</sup>n-* must have been present up to the Latin syncope at least. Greek and Latin together point to PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>eg<sup>w</sup>no-*, and \**g<sup>w</sup>* is confirmed by BSI. If \**g<sup>w</sup>* lost its labial feature at a very early stage in Pre-Celtic, *Hog<sup>w</sup>no-* would have yielded PCl. \**ogno-* which regularly yields OIr. *úan*, MW *oen*. PGM. \**awna-* seems to require \**g<sup>wh</sup>*, but \**aw-* may also be due to contamination with \**awi-* ‘sheep’; in that case, Germanic does not disprove \**g<sup>w</sup>*.

Bibl.: WH I: 23, 37, EM 15, IEW 9, Meiser 1998: 125.

**agō, -ere** ‘to drive’ [v. III; pf. *ēgī*, ppp. *āctum*; OLat. pr.sb. *adāxint, axim, axit*] (Lex XII, Naev.+)

Derivatives: (1) *actor* ‘performer, pleader’ (Pl.+); *actus, -ūs* ‘driving of cattle or carts, motion, activity’ (Cato+), *actūtum* ‘immediately’ (Naev.+); *actiō* ‘activity, action’ (Varro+); (2) *agitāre* ‘to stir, drive, disturb, be occupied, aspire to’ (Naev.+), *agitātor* ‘driver, charioteer’ (Pl.+); *agmen* (Enn.+) ‘stream, crowd’; *exāmen* ‘swarm (of bees) (Pl.+); needle of scales (Verg.+); *ammentum / āmentum* ‘thong or loop attached to a spear’ (Caes.+); *agilis* ‘swift, agile’ (Sis.+); *agolum* ‘shepherd’s staff’ (only Paul. *ex F.*); *rēmex* ‘oarsman, rower’ (Pl.+); *coāgutum* ‘bond, tie; rennet, curdled milk’ (Var.+); *ambāgēs* ‘detour, meanderings; circumlocution’ (Pl.+); *indāgō, -inis* ‘ring of huntsmen’ (Verg.+); (3) *abigere* ‘to drive away, reject’ (Pl.+), *adigere* ‘to drive, force into’ (Pl.+), *ambigere* ‘to dispute’ (Ter.+), *ambiguus* ‘undecided, doubtful’ (Pl.+), *cōgere* ‘to collect, compel’ [pf. *coēgī*, ppp. *coāctum*] (Pl.+), *cōgitāre* ‘to think, consider’ (Pl.+), *dēgere* ‘to spend one’s life, live’ (Pl.+), *exigere* ‘to drive out, remove’ (Naev.+), *exiguus* ‘small, scanty’ (Lucr.+), *exīlis* ‘thin, slender’ (Lucil.+), *inigere* ‘to drive in, push’ (Varro+), *prōdigere* ‘to waste, squander’ (Naev.+), *prōdigus* ‘wasteful, extravagant’ (Pl.+), *prōdigium* ‘unnatural event, wonder, marvel’ (Pl.+), *prōdigiālis* ‘of prodigies’ (Pl.+), *redigere* ‘to send back, restore, bring under control’ (Pl.+), *subigere* ‘to bring under, subdue, constrain’ (Naev.+), *subigitāre* ‘to excite sexually by fondling’ (Pl.+), *subigitātrix* (Pl.), *subigitātiō* ‘erotic fondling’ (Pl.); *circumagere* ‘to drive round, wind’ (Cato+),

*peragere* ‘to perform, finish’ (Enn.+); (4) *iūrigāre* ‘to quarrel’ (Pl.+); *lītīgāre* ‘to litigate’ (Pl.+); *nāvigāre* ‘to go by ship’ (Pl.+); *pūrigāre* ‘to clean, purify’ (> *purgō*) (Pl.+); *fatīgāre* ‘to tire, exhaust’ (Pac.+); *fastīgāre* ‘to taper, make pointed’ (Caes.+); *castīgāre* ‘to reprimand, reprove’ (Pl.+); *vectīgal* (Cato+) ‘revenue, income’; *aureax* (Paul. ex F.) / *aurīga* (Var.+) ‘charioteer’.

Plt. \*ag-e/o- ‘to do, act’, \*akto- [ppp.], \*akti- [f.] ‘act’. It. cognates: O. *acum* [inf.], *actud* [3s.ipv.II] ‘to act (legally)’; Marr. *agine* [abl.sg.], O. *aginss* [acc.pl.] ‘case, action, ritual’ < Plt. \*ag-ion-; U. *ahtisper* [abl.pl. + -per] ‘acts’ (< \*ag-ti-), *ahtimen* [acc.sg. + -en].

PIE \*h<sub>2</sub>eg-e/o- ‘to drive, lead’. IE cognates: OIr. *aigid\**, *aig* ‘to drive’, OW *a*, *hegit*, MW *eyt*, MCo. OBret. *a* ‘goes’, Gaul.(-Lat.) *ambactus*, W. *amaeth* ‘servant’ < \*amb(i)-akto-; Skt. *ájati* [3s.act.], *ījate* [3s.med.] (< \*h<sub>2</sub>i-h<sub>2</sub>g-e-toi) ‘to drive’, YAv. *aza-* ‘to drive (away)’, Arm. *acem*, Gr. ἄγω ‘to drive, lead, go’; Olc. *aka* ‘to ride’; Toch. *āk-* ‘to lead’.

According to Meiser 1998: 211, the pf. *ēgī* has been adopted from the original pf. of *aiō* ‘to say’ < \*h<sub>1</sub>g-, due to the identity of some pr. forms (\**agis*, *agit*) at an earlier stage. However, LIV reconstructs \*h<sub>2</sub>eg- for the root of *aiō*, in which case this theory does not work. The alternative is to regard *ēgī* as analogical after e.g. *faciō* – *fecī*. (2) *agmen* < \*ag-men and *ex-āmen* probably < \*ex-ag-(s)men. *Ammentum* / *āmentum* is problematic next to *agmen*; EM explain it from \**ap-mentum* ‘attachment’. But maybe *agmen* has restored *ag*-? Lat. *agilis* can reflect \**ag-li-* or \**agVli-*. Lat. *agolum* is a hapax in Paul. ex F.; it might be an Old Latin spelling for CLat. \**agulum*, thus \**age-lo-*. Long *ā* in *coāgulum* may be analogical, as in *ambāgēs*, *indāgō*, after *prōpāgēs*, *contāgēs*. (3) The adj. *exiguus* was derived from *exigere*, and *exilis* must (if it belongs here) also have been built directly on *exigere* (as \**exig(s)li-*, since \**ex-ag(s)li-* might be expected to yield \*\**exēlis*). (4) These forms show the root of *agō* as a second member of compounds. Dunkel 2000a explains the derivatives in *-igāre*, *-igium* from consonant stems + \*h<sub>2</sub>(e)g-, \*h<sub>2</sub>g-o-: *nāuig-* to \**nav-ag-* ‘ship-driver’, *pūrigāre* from \**pūr-ago-* ‘leading the fire’; *iūrigāre* from \**ieu(o)s-ago-* ‘bringing the oath’; maybe *lītīgāre* < \**stlū(i)-ag-*. The derivatives in *-igāre*, *-ig-* are based on *i*-stems: *fatīgāre* < \**fati-* + \*h<sub>2</sub>g-o- (cf. *affatim*); *fastīgāre* < \**b<sup>h</sup>rsti-h<sub>2</sub>g-*; *castīgāre* < \**k<sup>h</sup>sti-h<sub>2</sub>g-o-*; *vectīgal* < \**ueg<sup>h</sup>-ti-h<sub>2</sub>g-* ‘(fee for) vehicle-driving, toll’. For the analysis of U. *ahtis* and *ahtim*, cf. Weiss 2007a: 369.

Bibl.: WH I: 23f., 427, EM 15-18, 205f., IEW 4-6, Leumann 1977: 303, Dunkel 2000a, Schumacher 2004: 189-192, LIV \*h<sub>2</sub>eg-. → *ager*, *gerō*

**aiō**, **aīs** ‘to say’ [v.]; pr.ind. *āiō* or *aiio*, *ais* (*āis* Pl. 1x), *ait*, *āiunt* or *aiiunt*, ipf. *aiēbam* or *āībam*, ptc. *aiens*; pf. *aisti*, *ait*. (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *axāre* ‘to name’ (Paul. ex F.), *axāmenta* [pl.] ‘carmina Saliaria’ (Paul. ex F.).

Plt. \**agje/o-* [pr.], \**ag-s-* [pr.].

PIE \*h<sub>1/2</sub>eg-ie/o- ‘to say’. IE cognates: Gr. aor. ἦ ‘said’ (< \**h<sub>1e</sub>-h<sub>1</sub>eg-t*) > ἦμι ‘I say’, pf. ἕνωγα ‘I order’, Arm. *ar-ac* ‘proverb’, *asem* ‘to say’ (*s* < \**k*), ToAB *āks-* ‘to announce, proclaim, instruct, recite’ < PTo. \**āks-* < PIE \**h<sub>1</sub>g-s-*.

Originally, only a pr. \**ajj-*; the 3s. *ait* could also be interpreted as a perfect form, whence *aisti*. Greek, Armenian and Tocharian point to a root \**h<sub>2</sub>ǵ-* or maybe \**h<sub>2</sub>ǵ-*. If \**h<sub>2</sub>eǵ-*, this is generally assumed to be a different root than PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>eǵ-* ‘to drive’. In Latin, the sequence \**HǵiV-* may have regularly developed into \**agjV-* according to Schrijver 1991: 485, but the details remain difficult. It seems unlikely that *adagium* (Gel., Apul.) and *adagiō, -nis* ‘proverb’ (Varro) were derived from Plt. \**ag-* ‘to say’: word-internal *a* and their sporadic, relatively late attestation suggest that they were derived from *adigō* ‘to drive, force’, sb. *ad-āxīm*. Very uncertain is U. *aiu* [nom.pl.], meaning unknown. The *s*-formation *axāre* is explained by Nussbaum 2007b as Latin *sā*-present possibly rooted in a PIE *s*-present, cf. Toch. *āks-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 24f., EM 18f., IEW 290f., Leumann 1977: 531, Schrijver 1991: 26ff., 485, LIV 2.\**h<sub>2</sub>eǵ-*. → *agō*

**alacer, -cris** ‘lively, active’ [adj. *i*] (Pl.+; *alacris* [nom.sg.m.] (Enn.+)

Plt. \**ala-* ‘to wander’.

PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>(e)lh<sub>2</sub>-* [pr.] ‘to wander, roam’. IE cognates: see s.v. *ambulō*.

The adj. could be derived from the root \**h<sub>2</sub>elH-* of *ambulāre* ‘to walk about’, although the semantics do not compel us to assume that these words are cognate. Formally, the connection would imply a suffix \**-kri-*, which is rare. Its two other occurrences are in words derived from a verbal stem, viz. *volucer* ‘flying’ and *lūdicre* ‘playfully’. Viewed in this light, deriving *alacer* from (*amb*)*ulāre* is not such a strange idea. It may then serve as support for the view that *ambulāre* represents an athematic stem \**ala-*. The question remains how the suffix \**-kri-* came about. The *a* in the second syllable of *alacer* has been maintained unreduced due to vowel harmony across a resonant, as in *alapa, anas, calamitās*; cf. Leumann 1977: 100).

Bibl.: WH I: 25, EM 19, IEW 28. → *ambulāre*

**albus** ‘white’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *albēre* ‘to be(come) white’ (Sis.+), *albēscere* ‘to become white’ (Lucr.+), *albicāre* ‘to have a whitish tinge’ (Varro+), *albulus* ‘white’ (Varro+).

Plt. \**alfo-* ‘white’. It. cognates: U. *alfu* [acc.pl.n.], *alfir, alfer* [abl.pl.n.] ‘white’.

PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>elb<sup>h</sup>-o-* ‘white’. IE cognates: Gr. ἄλφους ‘white’ [acc.pl.] (Hsch.), ἄλφός ‘dull-white leprosy’, ἄλφι ‘barley-groats’, pl. ἄλφιτα, Alb. *elb* ‘barley’ (unless borrowed from Greek).

Paul. *ex F.* mentions that the *Sabini* used to say *alpus* for *albus*. Several toponyms may belong to *albus*: *Alba*, a town, *Albula*, earlier name of the Tiber, O. **alafaternum**, Pael. *alafis*. Indo-European forms with a suffix \**-d-* as in the word for ‘swan’, e.g. OHG *albiz*, OCS *lebedb*, probably do not belong here. Also uncertain is the appurtenance of many other IE geographical names such as the river-names Gr. Ἰαλφειός, Lat. *Albula*, Lat. *Albis* = NHG *Elbe*, Oic. *elfr* ‘river’, and the mountain range of the Alps (Lat. *Alpis, -is*). Hittite *alpa-* ‘cloud’ cannot reflect \**h<sub>2</sub>e-*, but could continue a PIE noun \**h<sub>2</sub>olb<sup>h</sup>-o-*, with different root ablaut than the adjective preserved in Latin and Greek (Alexander Lubotsky, p.c.).

Bibl.: WH I: 26, EM 20, IEW 30, Schrijver 1991: 66.

**ālea** ‘gamble; the die’ [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *āleō* ‘gambler’ (Naev.+).

No known etymology. The suggestion that it was borrowed from Gr. ἡλεός ‘distracted, crazed’ (Dor. \*ἄλεός) is better dismissed. Since knuckle-bones (*tālī*) were used as dice, *ālea* might speculatively be derived from *āla* ‘wing; arm-pit’: it would then have referred to other kinds of bones, or wings.

Bibl.: WH I: 28, EM 20, IEW 27f. → *axis*

**alga** ‘sea-weed’ [f. *ā*] (Turp.+)

No known etymology. Since Lat. *ulva* ‘various grass-like or rush-like aquatic plants’ (Cato+) has a very similar meaning, it is often connected. This is plausible, but the variation in forms (*al-* vs. \**o/ul-*, *-g-* vs. *-v-*) renders an origin as a foreign loanword likely. *Alga* is often connected with a number of words in \**VI-* for ‘putrid, rotten’: Norw. *ul* ‘mouldy’, dial. also ‘disgusted’, Dutch *uilig* ‘mouldy’ (of wood). This base appears with many different enlargements in Germanic: verbal \**k*, \**g* (Norw. dial. *olga* ‘to loathe’, *elgja* ‘to feel like vomiting’), \**h*, nominal \**t*, \**d*, \**m* (Norw. dial. *ulma* ‘to get mouldy’, MLG *olm*, *ulm* ‘rotteness, esp. of wood’). In Lith., we find *ēlmės*, *almens* ‘ichor, sanies’. But why would ‘sea-weed’ be called ‘filthy’?

Bibl.: WH I: 28, II: 813, EM 20, IEW 305.

**algeō** ‘to be cold, freeze’ [v. II; pf. *alsī*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *algor* [m.] ‘cold’ (Pl.+), *algus*, *-ūs* ‘id.’ (Pl.+), *algidus* ‘cold’ (Naev.+).

Plt. \**alg-*.

PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>(e)lg<sup>h</sup>/ǵ-* ‘(to be) cold’. IE cognates: Molc. *elgur* ‘frozen snow’?

Uncertain etymology. If these reflect an *s*-stem \**algos-* (which is uncertain), and if Latin *algor* is old and was not secondarily made to *algeō*, one could reconstruct a PIE *s*-stem (Schrijver 1991).

Bibl.: WH I: 29, EM 21, IEW 32, Schrijver 1991: 70, LIV ?\**h<sub>2</sub>el<sup>(ǵ)h-</sup>*.

**alica** ‘emmer groats’ [f. *ā*] (Cato+)

The form and meaning are almost the same as in Gr. ἄλιξ, -κος ‘groats of rice-wheat’, a word of unknown etymology. Possibly, the Latin word was borrowed from Greek; or both are loanwords from another Mediterranean language.

Bibl.: WH I: 29, EM 21, IEW 28f.

**ālium** ‘garlic’ [n. *o*] (Pl.+; *allium* in inscriptions from the 1st century AD onwards)

No accepted etymology. One may speculate on a derivation from *āla* ‘wing’, with the image of the garlic bulb being divided into several ‘wings’. For a similar image, compare OHG *klobalouh*, OS *klufłōk* ‘garlic’ in which \**klubō* ‘toe’ is derived from the verb *to clove*, PGM. \**kleoban* ‘to cleave’. Lat. *ālium* is also compared with the Greek gloss ἄλλην ‘vegetables’ ‘among the Italians’, which may stem from another Italic language. If so, it does not bear directly on the etymological analysis of *ālium*.

Bibl.: WH I: 30, EM 21, IEW 33. → *axis*

**alius** ‘other’ [adj. *o/ā*; nom.acc.sg.n. *aliud*] (Andr.+; *alid* for *aliud* Cat.)

Derivatives: *aliēnus* ‘belonging to others’ (Lex XII+); *aliquis* ‘someone’ (Pl.+), *alicubi* ‘somewhere’ (Ter.+); *alter* [o/ā] ‘second, other’ (Naev.+), *adulter* ‘clandestine lover’ (Pl.+), *alternus* ‘alternate’ (Pl.+), *altercārī* ‘to dispute’ (Ter.+).

PIt. *\*al-jo-* ‘another’; *\*al-tero-* ‘the other’. It. cognates: U. *arsir* [nom.sg.m.] < *\*aljos*, SPic. *alies* [gen.sg.m. or n.] ‘another’; O. *alttram* [acc.sg.f.], *alttrei* [loc.sg.n.], *altrei* [dat.sg.m.], *atrud* [abl.sg.m.] ‘id.’ < *\*alt(e)ro-*.

PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>el-io-* ‘another’; *\*h<sub>2</sub>el-tero-* ‘the other (of two)’. IE cognates: OIr. *aile* [m.], *aill* [n.] ‘other’, W. *ail*, Bret. *eil* < PCl. *\*aljo-*, Gr. ἄλλος, Arm. *ayl*, Go. *aljīs* ‘other’, *alja-leikō* ‘elsewhere’, OS *elilendi* [n.], OHG *elilenti* ‘foreign country’ < *\*alja-landja-*, OE *elles*, MoE *else* ‘otherwise’, ToB *alye-k*, ToA *ālak* (depalatalized) ‘another’.

The form *alid* must be an allegro form, or analogical to *quid*, *id*. The stem *ali-* in *aliquis* and *alicubi* may have been truncated from *ali-us*, or be an allegro form for *\*alie-quis*. The suffix in *aliēnus* is unclear: dissimilation from *\*ali-īno-*, as Leuman suggests, seems unlikely, since nouns in *-ium* normally take *-īnus*: compare *Samnium* > *Sabīnus*. One would expect a Latin outcome *\*alīnus* or (*\*alio-no-* >) *\*alienus* (cf. *pius* – *pietās*). Nussbaum apud Livingston 2004: 53 (and fn. 29-31) suggests that *aliēnus* might reflect *\*aliai-no-*, a decasuative adj. from a loc.sg. *\*aliai* ‘elsewhere’. For Latin *alter*, many scholars reconstruct *\*ali-tero-*, but, from the PIE point of view, a first member *\*h<sub>2</sub>eli-* makes less sense, whereas *\*h<sub>2</sub>elio-tero-* would not yield lose its second syllable. It is therefore simpler to assume *\*h<sub>2</sub>el-tero-* (maybe on the example of *\*h<sub>2</sub>en-tero-* as reflected in Gm. and Ilr.), as was seen by EM. Lat. *adulter* is regular from *\*ad-alteros*.

Bibl.: WH I: 30, EM 21f., IEW 24-26, Coleman 1992: 408f. → *ille*, *olle*, *uls*, O. *allo*

O. *allo* [nom.sg.f.], *allam* [acc.sg.f.] ‘whole, entire’ [adj. *o/ā*].

PIt. *\*al-no-*.

PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>el-no-* ‘that, yonder’. IE cognates: Gaul. *allos*, OIr. *all-* [pref.], W *all-* ‘other, second’ < PCl. *allo-*; Go. *ala-mans* [m.pl.] ‘all of humanity’, *alakjo* [adv.] ‘together’, OHG *ala-wāri* ‘wholly true’, OS *ala-jung* ‘very young’, OE *æł-tæw* ‘all sound’ < PGM. *\*ala-*, Go. *alls*, Olc. *allr*, OHG OS *al*, OE *eall* ‘all, every’ < PGM. *\*alla-* < *\*h<sub>2</sub>el-no-*; ToA *ālak*, ToB *allek*, *alek* [adj.] ‘other’ < PTo. *alle-kə* < *\*alno-* or *\*aljo-*.

The reconstruction *\*alno-* is rejected by Untermann on the grounds that PIt. *\*-ln-* would yield *-nn-*, but the latter rule has been established by Meiser 1986: 164f. only for U. *ampentu* < *\*an-pelnVtōd* and *endendu* < *\*en-telnVtōd*, where *-ln-* occurs outside the initial syllable. It is possible that, in these verb forms, syncope of *\*-V-* yielded a cluster *\*-lnt-* which was simplified to *\*-nt-*.

Bibl.: IEW 24-26, Untermann 2000: 81. → *alius*, *olle/ollus*, *uls*

**alnus** ‘alder’ [f. *o*] (Cic.+)

Derivatives: *alneus* [adj.] ‘of alder’ (Acc.+).

PIt. *\*alsno-* ‘alder’.

IE cognates: Lith. *ālksnis*, *eļksnis*, dial. *aliksniis* ‘alder’, dial. *ālksna*, *ēlksna* ‘alder thicket, marsh, dale’ < BSl. *\*a/el(i)snio-*; Ru. *ol'xá* ‘alder’, dial. *ēlxá*, *elxá*, Bulg. *elxá*



‘alder, spruce’ < BSl. *\*a/elisaH*; OIc. *ǫlr*, OE *alor* ‘alder’ < *\*aluz-* < *\*al-s-*, OHG *elira*, MoDu. *els*, Spanish *aliso* < Go. *\*alisa* < *\*alis/zō*.

The immediate preform must be *\*alsnos*. As argued by Derksen 2008: 370, the vacillation between initial *\*e-* and *\*a-* in BSl., as well as the suffix variation *\*-s-* / *\*-is-* in BSl. and Germanic, point to an originally non-IE loanword in BSl., Gm. and Italic.

Bibl.: WH I: 31, EM 23, IEW 302ff., Schrijver 1991: 40-42. → *farnus*, *fraxinus*, *ornus*, *quernus*

**alō, -ere** ‘to suckle, nourish’ [v. III] (Andr.+; pf. *aluī*, ppp. *altum*, *aliturum*)

Derivatives: *adultus* ‘full-grown, adult’ (Pl.+); *altilis* ‘fattened, fat’ (Pl.+); *alumnus* ‘nursling’ (Pl.+); *alimentum* (Lucr.+) ‘food’; *alimōnia* ‘id.’ (Varro+); *alēscere* ‘to grow up’ (Var.+); *adolēscere* ‘id.’ (Pl.+; pf. *adolēvī* Pl.), *adu/olēscēns* ‘youthful; youthful person’ (Naev.+), *adulescentia* ‘youth, young manhood’ (Pl.+), *adulescentiārī* ‘to behave in a youthful manner’ (Varro), *adulescenturīre* ‘to want to behave in a youthful manner’ (Lab.), *adulescentulus* ‘very young; young man, woman’ (Naev.+); *exolēscere* ‘to grow up’ (Pl.+), ‘fade away’ (Liv.+), *exolētus* ‘adult, old, faded’ (Pl.+); *almus* [adj.] ‘providing nurture, fostering’ (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*ale/o-* ‘to feed’, *\*alto-* ‘fed, raised’, *\*alē-* ‘to be raised’, *\*almo-* ‘fostering’.

PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>el-e/o-*, *\*h<sub>2</sub>l-to-*. IE cognates: OIc. *no-tail*, pret. *·alt* ‘to feed, raise’, MW *alu*, MBret. *halaff* ‘to calve’, OIc. *comaltae* ‘comrade’, MW *cyfeillt* ‘serf’, W. *cyfaill* ‘friend’ (< *\*komal-tjo-*), Gr. *ἄνατος* ‘insatiable’, *ἀλδαίνω* ‘to make grow, strengthen’ (< *\*h<sub>2</sub>el-d-*), *νεῶλής* ‘fresh, powerful, rested’ (< *\*νεο-αλ-ής* ‘newly fed’), Go. OE *alan* (pret. *ōl*) ‘to grow up’, OIc. *ala*, OE *alan* ‘to raise’, Go. *alands* ‘growing up’, *alips* ‘fattened’ (to a verb *\*aljan*).

The ppp. *altus*, *ad-ultus* reflects *\*al-to-* < PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>l-to-*, whereas *alitus* is a more recent formation of CLat. The noun *alumnus* reflect the pr.ptc.med. *\*h<sub>2</sub>el-o-mh<sub>1</sub>no-* ‘feeding, being fed’. *Adolēscere* betrays an earlier stative *\*alēre* ‘to be growing up’. Lat. *-ol-* (instead of *-il-*) in front of *-ē-* therefore reflects not only *\*-ol-* (cf. *aboleō*), but also *\*-al-*; probably, this reflex was conditioned by *-ē-*. The adj. *almus* can reflect *\*h<sub>2</sub>(e)l-mo-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 14, 31f., EM 3f., 23f., IEW 26-28, Leumann 1977 passim, Schrijver 1991: 42, 66, LIV *\*h<sub>2</sub>el-*. → *adoleō*, *-olēs*

**altus** ‘high’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Andr.+; ‘old’ in Acc.)

Derivatives: *altitūdō* ‘height, depth’ (Cato+).

PIt. *\*alto-* ‘high’.

PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>el-to-?* IE cognates: OIc. *alt* ‘height, bank, coast’, W. *allt* ‘hillside’, OCo. *als*, Bret. *aot*, *aod* ‘coast’; OS *ald*, OHG *alt* ‘old’, Go. *alds* [f.] ‘age, period’, OE *ield*, OIc. *ǫld*; Go. *alþeis* ‘old’; OIc. *aldr* [m.] ‘age, lifetime’, OE *ealdor* ‘life’ < *\*altro-*.

The form is identical to the participle of *alō* ‘to feed’, but the meanings are difficult to connect. EM and IEW simply assume identity, but the connection is termed ‘unlikely’ by Schrijver 1991: 66, 71. The Gm. word for ‘old’ might belong here if it results from a semantic development ‘high’ > ‘of high stature, grown tall’ > ‘old’.

Bibl.: WH I: 32, EM 24f., IEW 26f.

**alūmen, -inis** ‘alum’ [n. *n*] (Quad.+)

Derivatives: *alūta* ‘a piece of soft leather’ (Caes.+); *alum/s* ‘*symphytum officinale* = comfrey’ (Plin.).

PIt. \**alu-* ‘bitter substance’.

IE cognates: Gr. ἀλύδ(ο)μιον ‘sharp, bitter (in Sophron)’ (Hsch.); Lith. *alūs* ‘beer’, RuCS ORu. *olʹ* ‘fermented liquor, strong drink’ < BSl. \**alu-*; OIc. *ol* [n.] ‘beer, drink feast’, OE *ealu(ǣ)* [n.], MDu. *aal* ‘beer’, OS *alo-fat*, MHG *al-schaf* ‘drinking bowl’ < PGm. \**alu(p)-* ‘beer’.

The word *alum* refers to the plant *symphytum officinale*, the medicinal effects (especially the healing of wounds) of which were known to Pliny. These effects are somewhat similar to the properties of the material alum; in fact, alum was being used in antiquity among other uses as an adstringent medicine (*Der neue Pauly*, s.v. *Alaun*). The initial vowel of *alum* is often written long in the secondary literature because it is connected with *ālium* ‘garlic’, but we simply do not know the quantity of the initial *a-*. In view of the shared properties of alum and comfrey, it seems more likely that they contain the same root \**alu-*, and are not connected with *ālium*. *Alūmen* may have been formed to \**alu-* like *bitūmen* to \**bitu-*, and *alūta* (sc. *māteria*) as ‘which has been treated with alum’. In theory, Latin \**alu-* may be cognate with Greek ἀλύδ(ο)μιον for ‘bitter’, since alum is a salty matter. Then, the Germanic-BSl. word for ‘beer’ might also be connected. It seems less likely that ‘bitter’ can be derived from PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>el-* ‘to feed’; hence, it might have belonged to a European substratum language.

Bibl.: WH I: 34, EM 25, IEW 33-34, Schrijver 1991: 42-43.

**alvus** ‘belly; bee-hive (Varro+), hull (of a ship) (Tac.)’ [f. (m.) *o*] (Pl.+; m. gender is older, occurring from Plautus to Laberius)

Derivatives: *alveus* ‘cavity’ (Pl.+).

PIt. \**oulos*.

PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>eulo-* ‘tube, cavity’. IE cognates: Hit. *auli-* [c.] ‘tube-shaped organ in the neck’ (< \**h<sub>2</sub>ouli-*); Gr. αὐλός [m.] ‘hollow tube, pipe, flute’; OPr. *aulis* ‘shin’, Lith. *aũlas*, Latv. *aũle* ‘leg of a boot’, Lith. *aulỹs*, Latv. *aũlis*, RuCS *ulii* ‘(bee)hive’, SIn. *úlĵ* ‘hollow tree, (bee)hive’, Ru. *ũlica* ‘hollow road’ < PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>eul-o-*, -*io-*.

The connection of *alvus* with Gr. and BSl. requires a metathesis of \**oulos* to \**alwos*. There is no other example in Latin with *l*, but there are two with *r*: *nervus* < \**neuros* and *parvus* < \**pauros*.

Bibl.: WH I: 34, EM 25, IEW 88f., Schrijver 1991: 43.

U. **am-, an-, an-**, O. ἀνα- ‘up, onto’ [prep.]: in U. **am-paritu, am-pentu, an-seriato, an-stintu, an-stiplatu, an-tentu**, O. ἀνα:φακετ.

PIt. \**ana* ‘up’.

PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>en-(e)h<sub>2</sub>* ‘up’. IE cognates: OIr. *an-* ‘from’, as in *anall* [adv.] ‘thence’, *anis* ‘from below’, *aniar* ‘from the west’ < PCI. \**an(V)-*; Gr. ἀνά [adv., prep.] ‘up along’; Go. *ana* [prep.], Olc. *á*, OHG *an(a)*, OE *on* ‘on’ < PGm. \**ana*.

Unrelated are: Lat. *anhelāre* (from \**anēlos* ‘breath’), *antestārī* (if from \**anti-testārī*),

*anquīrere* (from \**ambi-quaerere*).

Bibl.: IEW 39f., Untermann 2000: 94f.

**amārus** ‘bitter’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *amāritūdō* ‘bitterness’ (Varro+), *amāror* [m.] ‘bitter taste’ (Lucr.+).

Plt. \**o/am-*?

PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>h<sub>3</sub>m-ro-*? IE cognates: Skt. *amlá-* ‘sour, acid’, OIc. *apr* ‘sharp, cold’, OE *ampre* ‘sour one’, MDu. *amper* ‘bitter, sour’ < PGm. \**am(p)ra-* ‘sour’; ? OIr. *om* ‘raw’, W. *of* possibly < \**h<sub>2</sub>h<sub>3</sub>-emo-*, Skt. *āmá-* [adj.] ‘raw, uncooked’, Gr. ὠμός ‘raw’, Arm. *howm* < \**h<sub>2</sub>eh<sub>3</sub>mo-*.

The suffix *-ārus* is only attested as a primary derivative in *avārus* ‘greedy’ to *aveo*, but there is no verb to which *amārus* might belong. Kortlandt 1980a connects it with Greek and Armenian words for ‘raw’ and reconstructs \**HHm-*, but Schrijver 1991: 77 argues that the semantics are not compelling. The connection with Skt. and Gm. ‘sour’ is semantically more convincing; these might go back to PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>em-ro-*. In that case, Latin must have replaced the suffix \**-ro-* by \**-āro-*. Thus, some details remain unclear. It is also possible to reconstruct earlier \**om-* ‘raw’ for Italo-Celtic, and posit unrounding of \**#omV-* > *amV-* as per Rasmussen 1993: 181.

Bibl.: WH I: 35, EM 25, IEW 777f., Kortlandt 1980a, Schrijver 1991: 43, Heidermanns 1993: 99f.

**ambi-, amb-, am-, an-** ‘round, about’ [pref.] (VOLat.+)

Normally *amb-* in front of vowels (*ambāctus*, *ambiguus*, *ambiō*, *ambustus*), and *am-*, *an-* in front of consonants (*amfāriam*, *amplector*, *amputō*, *ancīsus*, *ancilla*, *anquīrō*). The stop was lost in *amicīre* (Naev.+) ‘to cover, clothe’ < \**amb-iaciō*.

Derivatives: *ambi-* only in glosses and grammarians: *ambiaxiō-que* (Paul. *ex F.*), *ambiegnus* (Var., but Paul. *ex F.* *ambegnus*), *ambecīsus* ‘an incision on both sides’ (Var.). Other cp. in *ambi-* are not old: *ambidēns* ‘with teeth on both jaws’ was formed to *bidēns*, *ambifāriam* ‘in a contradictory way’ to *bifāriam*.

Plt. \**ambi*. It. cognates: U. *am-*, O. *am-*, *am-* ‘around’.

PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>mb<sup>h</sup>i* ‘to, around’. IE cognates: Gaul. *ambi-*, OIr. *imb-* [pref.] ‘around’; Skt. *abhi* ‘to, towards, against, upon’, *abhi* ‘surrounded by, directed to, very’, OAv. *aibi*, YAv. *aīfi*, *auui*, OP *abiy* [adv., prev.] ‘towards, against, upon’, Gr. ἀμφί [adv, prep.] ‘on both sides, around’, OHG *umbi*, OIc. *umb*, OE *ymb*, *ymbe* ‘around’.

EM mentions alleged traces of the independent use of *am* as a preposition (in glosses *am fines*, *am segetes*, in Paul. *ex F.* *am praepositio loquularis significat circum*), but these do not seem very reliable: they may be based on a contemporary analysis of the compounds. The PIE preverb might have developed from \**h<sub>2</sub>nt-b<sup>h</sup>i*, ins.pl. of the noun \**h<sub>2</sub>ent-* ‘front’ (→ *ante*).

Bibl.: WH I: 36, EM 26, IEW 34f., Schrijver 1991: 59, Untermann 2000: 83.

**ambō, -ae, -ō** ‘both’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Naev.+)

Plt. \**ambō* [du.].

PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>(e)nt-b<sup>h</sup>oH* ‘both’. IE cognates: Skt. *ubhā*, *ubhāu* [du.m.], *ubhé* [du.f.] ‘both’,

*ubháya-* [adj.] ‘on both sides, in both ways’, OAv. *uba-*, YAv. *uua-* ‘both’ < \*(h<sub>2</sub>u-)b<sup>h</sup>óH-; Gr. ἄμφω; Lith. *abù*, OCS *oba*, *obě*; Go. *bai* [m.], *ba* [n.] ‘both’, *bajops*, OS *bē thie*, OE *bā þā*, OHG *beide*, *bēde*, Oic. *bádir*, gen. *beggja*; ToA *āmpī*, ToB *āntpi*, *antapi* ‘both’.

The ending *-ō* reflects the PIE ending of the dual. Greek, Latin and Tocharian show an initial syllable in *\*aN(t)-*. Toch. *-t-* suggests that the word may have started life as a *b<sup>h</sup>*-case form of the word *\*h<sub>2</sub>ent-* ‘face’, cf. Jasanoff 1976.

Bibl.: WH I: 37, EM 27, IEW 34f., Schrijver 1991: 60, Coleman 1992: 392f. → *ante*, *duo*

**ambricēs** ‘roof-beams’ (Paul. *ex F.*: *regulae quae transversae asseribus et tegulis interponuntur*)

According to EM, *ambricēs* might be a dialectal variant of *imbrex*, *-icēs* ‘special tile, placed over the joints between roof tiles’; ‘a curved plate’ (Cato). The different initial vowels are reminiscent of the relationship between O. *anafriss* and Latin *imber*.

Bibl.: WH I: 37, EM 27. → *imber*

**ambulō, -āre** ‘to walk’ [v. I] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *ambulācrum* ‘promenade’ (Pl.+), *ambulātor* [m.], *-trīx* [f.] ‘who walks about’ (Cato+), *ambulātiō* ‘promenade, walk’ (Varro+); *dēambulāre* ‘to go for a walk’ (Cato+), *dēambulātiō* ‘a walk’ (Ter.), *inambulāre* ‘to pace up and down’ (Pl.+), *obambulāre* ‘to walk up to’ (Pl.+), *perambulāre* ‘to roam about’ (Pl.), *redambulāre* ‘to walk back’ (Pl.).

PIt. *\*ala-* ‘to wander’. It. cognates: U. *amb-oltu* ‘must go around’ < *\*-ala-tōd*.

PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>elh<sub>2</sub>-* / *\*h<sub>2</sub>lh<sub>2</sub>-* [pr.] ‘to wander’. IE cognates: Gr. ἰλάομαι ‘to wander, roam’, Latv. *aluôt* ‘to roam’.

Lat. (*amb*)*ulāre* and Umbrian *\*ala-* can both continue a PIE root present *\*h<sub>2</sub>elh<sub>2</sub>-* > *\*ala-*. Since presents in *-ere* are often replaced by the *ā*-conjugation in a compound (e.g. in *sternere* : *consternāre*), the sg. *\*alati* may lie at the basis of *ambulāre*; similarly Schrijver 1991. An additional argument for *\*ala-* can be found in *alacer*, see s.v.

Bibl.: WH I: 38, EM 27, IEW 27f., Meiser 1986: 270, Schrijver 1991: 40, 400ff., Rix 1999: 525, Meiser 2003: 70, LIV *\*h<sub>2</sub>elh<sub>2</sub>-*. → *alacer*, *pālor*

**amma** ‘mother’ [f. *ā*]. The word is only found in one Isidorus gloss, but has served as the basis for PN (*Amma*, *Ammius*, *Ammia*, *Ammiānus*) and survives in Romance.

Derivatives: *amita* ‘paternal aunt, father’s sister’ (Cic.+).

PIt. *\*ammā-*. It. cognates: O. **ammai** ‘mother’ [dat.sg.].

PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>em(m)-h<sub>2</sub>*. IE cognates: Gr. ἄμμά ‘mama, mother, nurse’ (EM), ἄμμία (Hdt.), Alb. *amë* ‘mother’, Oic. *amma* ‘grandmother’, OHG *amma* ‘mother, nurse’.

The quantity of the word-internal nasal or stop in the nursery words for ‘father’ and ‘mother’ vacillates in IE languages. *Amita* can probably be regarded as a diminutive to *amma*, although the suffix *-itus/a* is not usually used for this purpose. Hamp

1982-1983a: 97 connects *amita* with *amāre*, and reconstructs a ppp.  $*h_2emh_2-th_2-$  ‘beloved’. Yet in a Latin word of the structure  $*amatā-$ , we would expect syncope of the second syllable, yielding  $*amtā- > *antā-$ .

Bibl.: WH I: 39, EM 28, IEW 36.

**amnis** ‘river’ [f. *i*] (Naev.+)

Plt.  $*afni-$  ‘river’.

PIE  $*h_2eb^h-n-$  ‘river’. IE cognates: OIr. *aub*, gen. *abae* ‘river’ <  $*abō, -en-s$ , OIr. *abann*, MW *afon* ‘id.’ < PCI.  $*abon-$ ; Hit. *hapa-* [c.] ‘river’, *hapae-*<sup>21</sup> ‘to wet, moisten’, Pal. *hāpna-* [c.], CLuw. *hāpa/i-*, HLuw. *hapa/i-* ‘river’, Lyc.  $χba(i)-$  ‘to irrigate’ < PANat.  $*h_2ebo-$  ‘river’ < PIE  $*h_2eb^h-o-$ .

Anatolian shows both *o-* and *n-* stem derivatives with the meaning ‘river’. The *n-* stem is shown by Celtic, with different ablaut grades of the suffix. Latin also continues an *n-* stem, with an added  $*-i-$ .

Bibl.: WH I: 40, EM 28f., IEW 1; 51f.

**amō, -āre** ‘to love’ [v. I] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *amāscere* ‘to begin to love’ (Naev.), *amāsius* ‘lover’ (Pl.+); *amīcus* ‘friend’ (Naev.+), ‘friendly’ [o/ā] (Pl.+), *amīca* ‘female friend’ (Naev.+), *inimīcus* [adj. / m.] ‘unfriendly; an enemy’ (Pl.+), *inimīcītia* ‘enmity, ill will’ (Pl.+); *amor* ‘sexual passion, love’ (Naev.+).

Plt.  $*ama-$  ‘to take, hold’. It. cognates: Marr. *amatens* ‘they have received’.

PIE  $*h_3mh_3-$  ‘to take hold of’. IE cognates: OIr. *nāmae* ‘enemy’ (<  $*n-h_3mh_3-(e)nt-$ ); Skt. pr. *amīṣi, amānti* ‘to take hold of; swear’, *āma-* [m.], OAv. *āma-*, YAv. *ama-* [m.] ‘attacking power’; Gr.  $ἄμνυμι$  ‘to swear’ [aor.  $ἄμύσαι$ , fut.  $ἄμοῦμαι$ ],  $ἄνώμοτος$  ‘not under oath’.

The Latin meaning has developed from ‘to take the hand of’ > ‘regard as a friend’. Plt.  $*ama-$  could reflect PIE  $*h_3mh_3-$  in front of a vowel, cf. Schrijver 1991: 318. Schrijver 1991: 398ff. argues that the stative meaning of *amāre* and the presence of a derivative *amor* point to a stative verb  $*ama-ē-$ . Its formation must then post-date Plt., since Marr. *amatens* is not likely to have a stative suffix  $*-ē-$ , and has the transitive meaning ‘receive’ of the IE cognates. The form *amāsius*, because of its *s*, seems to be dialectal; maybe it is the same suffix as CLat.  $-ārius$ . The adjectival function of *amīcus* is original; the suffix  $-īcus$  might be decasulative from an ins. in  $*-ih_1$  plus  $*-ko-$  (parallel cases in Latin are *pudīcus* ‘chaste’ and *mendīcus* ‘needy’). The PIE root is reconstructed with initial  $*h_2-$  in LIV, but Gr. points to  $*h_3-$ .

Bibl.: WH I: 40, EM 29, IEW 778, Rix 1999: 523-24, LIV  $*h_2emh_3-$ . → *amplus*

**amoenus** ‘beautiful, charming’ [adj. o/ā] (Pl.+)

The presence of *oe* in the second syllable of a Latin word is rare: the only other case is *oboedio*, which seems to be a compound of  $*ob-audiō$ . Original  $*oi$  would almost certainly have yielded  $ū$  in this position, cf. *commūnis*. The *oe* could result from a recent contraction. One of the consonants that may have dropped is  $*w$  and Meiser 1998: 71 hence reconstructs  $*ama-wen-o- > *amuweno- > *amueno- > amoenus$ . The

original form would have been \*h<sub>2</sub>emh<sub>3</sub>-uēn-o-, thematic adj. derived from a noun \*h<sub>2</sub>emh<sub>3</sub>-uī/n- ‘the grabbing’. But there is no proof for the existence of the latter, one would rather expect a derivative \*h<sub>2</sub>emh<sub>3</sub>-uī-o-, and it seems unlikely that \*amuēno- would yield *amoēnus* (rather than \*amuīnus). Hence, *amoēnus* remains unexplained. It is conceivable that it is a loanword, given the similarity with Gr. antonyms such as σμῳός, μῳός ‘terrible’, ἄμῳος ‘bad’ (among the Sicilians).

Bibl.: WH I: 41, EM 29, IEW 36.

**amplus** ‘large, big’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *ampla* ‘opportunity, handle’ (Cic.); *ames*, *-itis* ‘pole for supporting bird-nets; cross-bar’ (Hor.+)?

PIt. \**am-lo-* ‘seizable’.

PIE \*h<sub>3</sub>m<sub>h</sub><sub>3</sub>- [pr.] ‘to grab’.

The derivation from the root \**am-* ‘to grab’ presupposes a change \**-ml-* > \**-mpl-* which we also find in *exemplum* to *emere*. However, \**amalos* would not normally be syncopated to \**amlos* early enough to undergo the same change: compare *famulus*, *similis*, *Siculus*, and others. Maybe the root PIt. \**ama-* was analysed as \**am-a-* at a certain point; cf. *amicus*. The meaning of *ampla* must represent some idiomatic use of *amplus*. Whether *ames* belongs here too, is uncertain.

Bibl.: WH I: 41,42, EM 30, IEW 35, LIV \*h<sub>2</sub>emh<sub>3</sub>-. → *amō*

**ampruō, -āre** ‘to execute a figure or movement’ [v. I] (Pac., Lucil.)

Derivatives: *redampruāre* (also *-ant-*) ‘to dance in response to the steps of a leader’ (Pac.+).

PIt. \**ambi-drewo-* ‘running around, servant’.

PIE \*h<sub>2</sub>mb<sup>h</sup>i-dreu-o-. IE cognates: Skt. pr. *drāvati*, aor. *ádudrot* [3s.act.], caus. *drāváyati* ‘to run, hurry’, *dravá-* [adj.] ‘running’.

If from \**ambi-truāre*, word-internal *-tr-* can be explained as the result of devoicing in the cluster \**-dr-*, compare *taeter* and *uter*, *-ris*. A PIt. preform \**ambi-drew-ā-* ‘to run around’ could be a denominative of \**ambi-drew-os* ‘running around’, ‘servant’, comparable in form and meaning with *anculus*.

Bibl.: WH I: 42, EM 30, IEW 205f., Leumann 1977: 198, Hamp 1978: 189, LIV \**dreu-*.

**amussis** ‘mason’s ruler’ [f. (dub.) *i*] (Varro+)

Derivatives: *examussim* [adv.] ‘exactly, regularly’ (Pl.+), *ad( )amussim* ‘with precision, exactly’ (Var.+).

Leumann 1977: 204 rejects an explanation of *ad amussim* as borrowed from Gr. ἄμυξίς ‘tearing, rending’. Biville (1990 I: 302) supports an etymology \**ad-mod-ti-* to \**med-* ‘to measure’; yet a PIE formation \**mod-ti-*, with *o*-grade, is questionable.

Bibl.: WH I: 43, EM 30.

**an** ‘really? maybe? or; whether, if’ [ptcle.] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *anne* < *an* + *ne* (in double questions, before a vowel, after a first *an*).

Plt. \**an*.

PIE \*h<sub>2</sub>en ‘there, on the other side’? IE cognates: OPr. *anga-anga* ‘whether’, Lith. *angu* ‘or’, Go. *an* ‘so? now?’.

May be compared with Go. *an* ‘so? now?’. Less certain is the appurtenance of Gr. ἄν: Lee 1967 is in favour of this assumption, whereas Forbes 1958 rejects it. Possibly from the same root as the demonstrative Skt. *aná*, OAv. *anā* ‘through this’, Lith. *anàs*, OCS *omъ* ‘that, he’ < PIE \*h<sub>2</sub>en-o-.

Bibl.: WH I: 44, EM 30f., IEW 37f., Schrijver 1991: 43.

**anas, -atis** ‘duck’ [f. *i*] (Pl.+; nom.pl. *anites* Pl., gen.pl. *anitum* Cic.)

Derivatives: *anatīnus* ‘of a duck’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \**anati-*.

PIE \*h<sub>2</sub>en<sub>h</sub>₂-ti- ‘duck’. IE cognates: Skt. *āti-* [f.] ‘duck’, Gr. (Ion.) νῆσσα, (Att.) νῆττα [f.] < PGr \**nātja*, OPr. *antis*, Lith. *ántis* [f.], CS *řty*, Ru. *útka*, SCr. *ùtka* ‘duck’ < BSl. \**an?t-*.

The forms in *anit-* show regular weakening of the unstressed vowel; *anat-* may preserve the original medial vowel, or it has assimilated the unstressed vowel after initial *aR-* (as in *alacer*, *calamitās* and others).

Bibl.: WH I: 44, EM 31, IEW 41, Schrijver 1991: 95.

**anculus** ‘man-servant’ [m.; f. *o/ā*] (Paul. *ex F.*)

Derivatives: *ancilla* ‘maidservant’ (Andr.+); *anc(u)lāre* ‘to serve (the gods)’ (Andr.+), *anclābris* ‘for serving (the gods)’ (Naev., Paul. *ex F.*).

Plt. \**ambi-k<sup>(w)</sup>olo-*.

PIE \*h<sub>2</sub>mb<sup>h</sup>i-k<sup>w</sup>olh<sub>1</sub>-os ‘going towards/around’. IE cognates: OIr. *buachail* [m.] ‘cowherd’; Skt. *abhicārá-* ‘witchcraft’ (AV+), *abhicara-* ‘servant, companion’ (cf. *pari-cará-* ‘servant’), OP *ābicarīš* [acc.pl.] ‘place inhabited/tilled by servants/slaves’ (presumably derived from \**abicara-* ‘servant’); Myc. *a-pi-go-ro*, Gr. ἀμφίπολος ‘moving around someone; (female) servant’, βουκόλος [m.] ‘cowherd’.

The word *anculus* apparently got restricted to liturgical use, and is hence preserved only by glossators. It was replaced in daily speech by *famulus* and *servus*. The word was reanalysed as a diminutive *anc-ulus*, to which a new dim. \**ank-elēla* > *ancilla* was formed, which is the normal female counterpart of *servus* in Latin.

Bibl.: WH I: 45, EM 32, IEW 639f., Rix 1994a: 30-34, LIV \*k<sup>h</sup>elh<sub>1</sub>-. → *colō*

**ancus** ‘with crooked arms’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Paul. *ex F.*)

Derivatives: PN *Ancus* (fourth king of Rome) (Enn.+).

Plt. \**anko-* ‘bended’.

PIE \*h<sub>2</sub>(e)nk<sup>h</sup>-o- [adj.] ‘bending’. IE cognates: Skt. *āñkas-* [n.] ‘turn (in a road)’, *āñkasá-* [m./n.] ‘side, groin (of a horse)’, YAv. *axnah-* [n.] ‘rein’, *aka-* [m.] ‘hook’, Gr. ἄγκων ‘bend (of the arm), nook’, ἄγκος ‘valley’, OIc. *angr* ‘bay’. Hit. *hai(n)k<sup>-tu(ri)</sup>*, *hink<sup>-a(ri)</sup>*, *hi(n)k<sup>-zi</sup>* ‘to bestow, bow’ reflects \*h<sub>2/3</sub>eink<sup>-</sup> and is unrelated, cf. Kloekhorst 2008: 268-271.

Bibl.: WH I: 46, EM 32, IEW 45f., Schrijver 1991: 43, LIV \*h<sub>2</sub>enk<sup>-</sup>. → *uncus*

**angō, -ere** ‘to strangle, choke’ [v. III; pf. *anxi*, ppp. *anctum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *angustus* ‘narrow’ (Pl.+); *angor* ‘suffocation; anxiety’ (Cic.+); *anxius* ‘worried’ (Cic.+); *angiportum* / *angiportus*, *-ūs* ‘a narrow passage’ [m.] (both Pl.+).

Plt. *\*ange/o-* [v.], *\*angos-* [n.], *\*angosto-* [adj.], *\*angu-* [adj.].

PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>emǵ<sup>h</sup>-e/o-* ‘to tie, tighten’; *\*h<sub>2</sub>emǵ<sup>h</sup>-os* ‘narrowness, anxiety’, *\*h<sub>2</sub>emǵ<sup>h</sup>-os-to-* ‘characterized by narrowness’, *\*h<sub>2</sub>emǵ<sup>h</sup>u-* ‘narrow’. IE cognates: Hit. *hamank<sup>i</sup>* / *hame/ink-* ‘to tie, betroth’ < *\*h<sub>2</sub>m-ón-ǵ<sup>h</sup>-* / *\*h<sub>2</sub>m-n-ǵ<sup>h</sup>-*; Skt. *amhú-*, Av. *qzu-* ‘narrow’, Gr. ἄγγω ‘to squeeze, strangle’, Arm. *anjowk* ‘narrow’, OCS *uvęsti*, Is. *uvęzq* ‘to tie’ (< *\*h<sub>2</sub>eu-h<sub>2</sub>mǵ<sup>h</sup>-*), RuCS *qziti* ‘to constrain, torture’, Ru. *ízit* ‘make narrow, straiten’ (< *\*h<sub>2</sub>omǵ<sup>h</sup>-*), OHG *angust*, OFr. *ongost* ‘fear’.

The attestation of *angor* is relatively late, but since an *s*-stem must have formed the basis for *angustus* (with outer-Italic cognates in Gm. and Slav.), it seems that we can still reconstruct an *s*-stem for Proto-Italic. The formation of *anxius* is unclear: was it based on the PIE *s*-stem? *Angiporto/u-* is a compound of *\*angu-* ‘narrow’ and *portus* ‘passage’ (here inflected as an *o*-stem, Wachter 2004: 375).

Bibl.: WH I: 47ff., EM 33, IEW 42f., Schrijver 1991: 43, Lindner 2002: 218, LIV *\*h<sub>2</sub>emǵ<sup>h</sup>-*.

**anguis** ‘snake’ [m., f. *i*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *anguīnus* ‘of a snake’ (Cato+), *anguilla* ‘eel’ (Varro, Sen.+ ) / *anguīla* (Pl.).

Plt. *\*ang<sup>v</sup>-i-* ‘snake’.

PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>(e)ng<sup>wh</sup>-i-* ‘snake’. IE cognates: Mlr. *escung* ‘eel’ (lit. ‘water-snake’), OPr. *angis*, Lith. *angis*, acc.sg. *āngj* ‘snake’ < *\*h<sub>2</sub>e/ong<sup>wh</sup>-*, Ru. *už*, gen.sg. *užá*, Po. *wqż* ‘id.’ (< PSl. *\*qžb* < *\*h<sub>2</sub>e/ong<sup>wh</sup>-iō-*), OHG *unc* ‘id.’ < *\*h<sub>2</sub>ng<sup>wh</sup>-*.

*Anguis* can be derived from PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>(e)ng<sup>wh</sup>-i-* ‘snake’. Several IE languages reflect a similar preform *\*h<sub>2</sub>e/og<sup>wh</sup>-* without an internal nasal: Gr. ἔχις ‘snake’, Arm. *iž*, Gr. ὄφις ‘snake’, Skt. *áhi-*, Av. *aži-* ‘snake, dragon’. Maybe *\*n* was introduced into this stem by analogy with verbs for ‘to twist, wind’. From *anguīnus*, *anguilla* can be derived as *\*anguīn-lā*. Katz 1998b: 322ff. analyzes *anguilla* as *anguis* ‘snake’ + Lat. *illa* ‘worm’ (gloss CGL II 77.10). He reconstructs *illa* as *\*ēluā-* ‘eel’, based on an imaginative comparison with Gr. ἔγγελος ‘eel’ and Hit. *Illuyankaš* ‘mythical dragon’ which is rightly rejected by Driessen 2005: 42f. Driessen suggests that the Plautine variant *anguīla* is older, and contains *\*-illa* ‘worm’ from *\*īlelā* > *\*illā* ‘(little) snaky creature’. Yet in this analysis, the origin of hypothetical *\*īlā-* ‘snake’ remains unexplained too.

Bibl.: WH I: 48, EM 33, IEW 43ff., Schrijver 1991: 43f.

**angulus** ‘angle, corner’ [m. *o*] (Pl.+)

Plt. *\*ang(e)lo-*.

PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>eng-(e)lo-* ‘corner’. IE cognates: Arm. *ankiwn*, OCS *qǫǫlb*, Ru. *úgol*, gen. *uglá* ‘corner’ < PSl. *\*qǫlb*, OÍc. *ekkja*, OHG *anchal* ‘ankle’.

The root is suspiciously close in form and meaning to the root *\*h<sub>2</sub>nk-* of *ancus*, yet there is no regular way to derive one from the other. If their similarity goes back to a



PIE voicing assimilation of the stop to the nasal, it must have occurred very early.

Bibl.: WH I: 48, EM 33, IEW 45ff., Schrijver 1991: 43. → *ancus*

**anhēlus** ‘gasp, panting’ [m. o] (Lucr.+)

Derivatives: *anhēlāre* ‘to breathe hard, pant’ (Caecil.+).

PIt. *\*anaslo-* ‘breath’.

PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>enh<sub>1</sub>-slo-* ‘a breathing’. IE cognates: OIr. *anaid*, *ana* ‘to stay, wait’, Skt. *āniti* [pr.] ‘to breathe’, *prāṇá-* [m.] ‘breath, breathing out, air’, Go. *\*-anan* ‘to breathe’, pret. *uz-on*, ToB *anāṣṣām* ‘breathed in’.

All modern etymologies assume that there was no Latin preverb *an-* which could be represented in this formation. The *h* could be regarded as onomatopoeic, or it was analogically introduced from *hālāre*. Schrijver 1991: 44f. assumes that the basic form was *an[h]ēlus* < *\*aneslo* < *\*anaslo-*; this form did not undergo syncope because the second syllable was closed by two consonants. The form and semantics of *anhēlāre* show that it is a more recent denominative derived from *anhēlus*.

Bibl.: WH I: 49, EM 34, IEW 38ff., Schrijver 1991: 44, LIV *\*h<sub>2</sub>enh<sub>1</sub>-*. → *animus*, *hālō*

**animus** ‘mind, spirit’ [m. o] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *anima* ‘breath’ (Naev.+); *animal*, *-ālis* ‘animal’ (Varro+), *animālis* [adj.] ‘living, animate’ (Pac.+), *animulus* ‘heart, soul’ (Pl.), *exanimis* [adj.] ‘dead’ (Lucr.+), *exanimāre* ‘to prostrate, kill’ (Pl.+), *exanimābilis* ‘as one who is dead’ (Naev.), *exanimālis* ‘dead, deadly’ (Pl.).

PIt. *\*anamo-* ‘breath, spirit’. It. cognates: O. *anams* [nom.sg.], *anamúm* [acc.sg.] ‘courage, energy’ or ‘breath’.

PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>enh<sub>1</sub>-mo-* ‘breath’. IE cognates: OIr. *anim* [f. *n*] ‘soul’, gen.sg. *anme*, Gr. *ἄνεμος* [m.] ‘wind’, Arm. *holm* ‘id.’ < *\*h<sub>2</sub>onh<sub>1</sub>-mo-*.

In Oscan, syncope yielded *\*anmo-* and subsequent anaptyxis led to *\*anamo-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 49, EM 34, IEW 38f., Schrijver 1991: 317f., Kortlandt 1980b: 127f., Peters 1980: 2, LIV *\*h<sub>2</sub>enh<sub>1</sub>-*. → *anhēlus*

**annus** ‘year’ [m. o] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *annuus* ‘yearly’ (Pl.+), *annālis* ‘annual’ (Varro+), *anniculus* [adj.] ‘one year old, yearling’ (Cato+), *annōna* ‘produce, supply, food, corn’ (Pl.+), *annōsus* ‘full of years, aged’ (Lab.+); *perennis* ‘that continues the year through, constant’ (Pl.+); *biennium* ‘period of two years’ (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*atno-* ‘year’. It. cognates: O. *aceneis* [gen.sg.], *acenei*, *akenei* [loc.sg.], *acunum* [gen.pl.], *akun.* [acc. or gen.pl.], U. *acnu* [acc.sg./pl.] ‘year’ < PSab. *\*akno-* < PIt. *\*atno-*. Possibly also in U. *peraknem*, *perakne* [acc.sg.m.], *perakre* [acc.sg.f.], *peracri*, *peracrei* [abl.sg.m.], *peracnio*, *peracrio* [gen.pl.], *perakneu* [nom.acc.pl.n.], *peracris* [abl.pl.] < *\*perakni-* ‘a certain quality of sacrificial animals’, maybe ‘more than a year old’. Probably also in U. *sevakne*, *sevakni* [acc.abl.sg.m.n.], *sevaknef*, *seuacne* [acc.pl.f.], *sevakne* [abl.pl.n.] ‘sollemnis’ < *\*sēuo-akni-* ‘in every single year’.

PIE \*h<sub>2</sub>et-no-; \*h<sub>2</sub>et-nio- ‘which goes, a year’. IE cognates: Skt. pr. *sám atasi* [2s.act.], *átamāna-* [ptc.med.] ‘to travel, wander’, *átya-* [m.] ‘steed, runner’, Av. *xʷāθra-* [n.] ‘well-being’, YAv. *apairiüāθra-* ‘unavoidable’; Go. \**aþn* [m.] ‘year’ (only dat.pl. *aþnam*), Go. \**at-aþni* [n.?] ‘year’.

Derived from a PIE root for ‘to go, wander’, hence ‘going around’ > ‘year’. Lat. *anniculus* has been explained as a derivative of \**annicus*, but Leumann regards it as a back-formation to \**bienniculus* ‘only two years old’.

Bibl.: WH I: 51, EM 35, IEW 69, Leumann 1977: 209, Sommer-Pfister 1977: 188, Rix 1978: 149ff., Meiser 1986: 96, Schrijver 1991: 501, Untermann 2000: 74f., 533, 674f., LIV 1.\*h<sub>2</sub>et-.

**ānsa** ‘handle (of a cup or other vessel), loop, clamp’ [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *ānsātus* ‘with a handle, grip’ (Pl.+).

PIt. \**ansā-* ‘handle’.

PIE \*h<sub>2</sub>ens-h<sub>2</sub>- ‘handle, grip’. IE cognates: Lith. *q̄sà*, Latv. *ūosa* ‘handle of a pot’ < \**ansā*; with different suffix Mlr. *éisi* pl. (f.?) ‘part of the harness of a chariot-horse, reins’, Gr. ῥίτια [n.pl.] ‘reins’, Myc. *a-ni-ja lanhiail*, dat. pl. *a-ni-ja-pi* [f.]; OPr. *ansis* ‘kettle-hook’, Latv. *ūoss* ‘handle’ < \**h<sub>2</sub>ens-i-*, OIc. *æs* ‘hole for shoe-strings’ < \**h<sub>2</sub>ens-ieh<sub>2</sub>-*.

The meaning and form of the other IE words for ‘handle, rein’ adduced here suggests that *ānsa* goes back to a PIE preform. Connected with the root \**am-* ‘to grab’ by Leumann 1977: 212, but since the latter is now reconstructed as \**h<sub>3</sub>emh<sub>3</sub>-*, the connection is no longer possible.

Bibl.: WH I: 51, EM 35, IEW 48, Schrijver 1991: 45, 61.

**ānser** ‘goose’ [m. (f.) *r*] (Pl.+)

PIt. \**χans-*.

PIE \*ǵ<sup>h</sup>h<sub>2</sub>ens [nom.], \*ǵ<sup>h</sup>h<sub>2</sub>ns-os [gen.] ‘goose’. IE cognates: OIc. *gēiss* ‘swan’, Skt. *haṁsá-* [m.], Gr. χήν, -ός [m. f.], Dor. Boeot. χήν, OPr. *sansy*, Lith. *žąsis* [f.], acc. *žąsi*, Ru. *gus*’, Po. *gęs*’ (< PSI. \**gōsb*), OHG *gans*, OE *gōs* ‘goose’.

Initial \**h-* has been dropped. The length of *ā* is automatic in front of *ns*. Leumann 1977: 380 reconstructs \**hāns*, \**hānesem*, \**hāns-os* > acc.sg. \**hānerem*, which was replaced by \**hānserem* on the analogy with the gen.sg. \**hāns-*. From the acc.sg., *-er-* would have been introduced into the other case forms.

Bibl.: WH I: 52, EM 36, IEW 412, Kortlandt 1985a: 119, Schrijver 1991: 113.

**antae** ‘square pilasters’ [f. (mostly pl.) *ā*] (Vitr., CIL)

PIt. \**an(a)tā-* ‘post, pillar’.

PIE \*h<sub>2</sub>enHt-h<sub>2</sub>- ‘door-post’. IE cognates: Skt. *ātā-* [f.] pl., YAv. *qiθiiā-* [f.pl.] ‘door-post’, *aθā-* [pl.] ‘house’ < Ilr. \**HanHt-*, \**HnHt-*; Arm. *drand(i)* ‘doorpost, threshold’; OIc. *þnd* ‘porch’ < \**and-ō-*.

Latin *ant-* may reflect either \**h<sub>2</sub>n(H)t-* or \**h<sub>2</sub>en(H)t-*. Trisyllabic \**anatā-* with subsequent syncope is also conceivable.

Bibl.: WH I: 52, EM 36, IEW 42, Schrijver 1991: 311.

**ante** ‘before; in front of’ [prep.; adv.] (Lex XII+); *ante* takes the acc., but earlier probably the abl.: *anteā*, *antidhāc*. In compounds *antid-*, probably on the analogy of *postid* ‘afterwards’: *antideā* = *anteā*, *antideō* ‘to surpass’.

Derivatives: *antīcus* = *antīquus* ‘lying in front; ancient, early; old’ (Pl.+); *antēs*, *-ium* ‘files, rows’ (Cato+); *antiae* [f.pl.] ‘locks of hair that hang in front’ (Apul., Paul. *ex F.*).

Plt. *\*anti* ‘before, in front of’. It. cognates: O. *ant* ‘up to’.

PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>ent-i*, loc.sg. of root noun *\*h<sub>2</sub>ent-* ‘front’. IE cognates: Hit. *hant-* ‘front side, face’ < *\*h<sub>2</sub>ent-*, *hanza* [adv.] ‘in front’ < *\*h<sub>2</sub>ent-i*, Skt. *ánti* [adv.] ‘before, near, facing’, Gr. *ἀντί* ‘opposed, facing’, Gr. *ἄντα* ‘over against, face to face’, Arm. *and* ‘for, instead of’, Go. *and(a)-* ‘toward, along’.

In word-final position, *\*-i* became *-e*, but original *-i* is still visible in *antiae*, in the inflexion of *antēs*, and in *antīquus*. Both *antiae* and *antēs* must be regarded as recent nominalizations of *\*anti*; *antiae* presupposes an adj. *\*antios*. *Antīquus* reflects PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>enti-h<sub>3</sub>k<sup>w</sup>-o-* ‘facing the front’, cf. Skt. *nyāñc-* ‘facing downward’, adv. *nīcā* ‘down’, and others. In the nom.sg., the labiovelar was regularly delabialized, leading to *antīcus* and a subsequent paradigm split. In the comp. and sup., *antīquus* (also) has the meaning ‘better, preferable’ (Lucil.+). According to Szemerényi 1992: 315, this is a calque on the use of Greek *πρέσβυς* which in the comp. also means ‘more or most important’. Theoretically, the Italic forms can go back to *\*h<sub>2</sub>nti*; the full grade is reconstructed on the basis of Skt. and Go. (but Go. also has *und*).

Bibl.: WH I: 53, EM 36f., IEW 48ff. → *ambi-*, *ambō*

**anus**, *-ūs* ‘old woman’ [f. *u*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *\*anās*, *-tis* ‘senility in women’ (*anatem* in Paul. *ex F.*) < *\*anitātem* (thus Leumann 1977:374), *anicula* ‘old woman’ (Ter.).

Plt. *\*anu-* ‘old woman’.

PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>enH-o-* ‘old woman’. IE cognates: Hit. *hanna-*, Lyc. *χῆνα-*, Arm. *han* ‘grandmother’, OPr. *ane* ‘old mother’, Lith. *anyta* ‘mother-in-law’, OHG *ana* ‘grandmother’.

The origin of the *u*-stem inflection of *anus* is unclear: maybe analogy to *nurus* ‘daughter-in-law; young woman’? PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>en-* may be a nursery word. For the affectionate nature, compare the geminate of Gr. *ἀννίς* ‘grandmother’ (Hsch.). Hence, word-internal *\*-nH-*, which is here reconstructed to accommodate Hit. *-nn-*, is not certain: the geminate may be due to the affective character of the word.

Bibl.: WH I: 55, EM 37, IEW 36f., Schrijver 1991: 45. → *mamma*, *nonnus*

**ānus** ‘ring or link’ (Pl., Var.), ‘anus’ (Cic.+) [m. *o*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *ānulus* ‘ring (for the finger)’ (Naev.), *ānellus* ‘little ring’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*āno-* ‘ring’.

PIE *\*h<sub>1</sub>eh<sub>2</sub>no-* ‘ring’. IE cognates: OIr. *áinne* ‘ring’, Arm. *anowr* ‘necklace, ring’.

Bibl.: WH I: 55, EM 37, IEW 47, Schrijver 1991: 53.

**aper, aprī** ‘wild boar; kind of fish’ [m. *o*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *aprīnus* ‘of a wild boar’ (Lucil.+), *aprugnus* ‘of the wild boar’ (Pl.+); PN *Aprō* [cogn.] (inscr.), *Aprōnius* [gent.] (Liy., inscr.).

PIt. \**apro-*; \**aprōn-*. It. cognates: U. **abrunu** [acc.sg.], **apruf**, **abrof**, **abrons** [acc.pl.] < \**aprōn(o)-* ‘boar’.

PIE \**h<sub>1</sub>pr-o-* (for Latin), \**h<sub>1</sub>ep-r-* (for Germanic). IE cognates: Latv. *vepris* [m. *io*] ‘castrated boar’, OCS *veprь*, Ru. *vepr’* ‘boar’ < BSl. \**ueprio-*; Thracian *έβρος* ‘buck’; OHG *ebur* < PGm. \**eburo-* ‘boar’.

The Italic form \**aprōn-* is explained as an *n*-derivative to \**apro-* by Untermann 2000: 45. Nussbaum 1973: 356f. reconstructs \**aprō-no-* for **abrunu**, but \**apro-* for three U. acc.pl. forms. The adj. *aprugnus* does not mean ‘born from a boar’, and therefore probably cannot be compared with e.g. *prīvignus* ‘born separately’, *benignus* ‘born with goodness’. Nussbaum 2003 suggests that *aprugnus* is “a *-gno*-variant that goes with the *-geno-/gino-* of *caprigenus* and *capreāginus* ‘caprine’ (whatever that is – Lindner 2002, 224).” In view of Gm. and Sl., one would expect Lat. \**aper*; maybe the *a-* was taken from *caper* ‘he-goat’.

Bibl.: WH I: 56, EM 38, IEW 323, Leumann 1977: 200, Schrijver 1991: 29f., Untermann 2000: 44ff. → *caper*

**aperiō, -īre** ‘to open’ [v. IV; pf. *aperuī*, ppp. *apertum*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *apertāre* ‘to expose’ (Pl.), *apertiō* ‘the act of opening’ (Varro).

PIt. \**ap-wer-je-* ‘to open’.

PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>uer-i(e/o)-* ‘to cover’. IE cognates: Skt. pr. *vr̥ṇōti* (RV+), *ūrmuté*, aor. *ávar* [23s.act.], pf. *vavāra* [3s.act.], caus. *vārāya-* ‘to cover, enclose’, *vr̥tá-* ‘enclosed’, OAv. *vərənauuaitē* [3s.pr.sb.med.], *ni-uuarānī* [1s.aor.sb.] ‘to enclose’, YAv. *aīβi.vərənuuaiti* ‘to hide’ < Ilr. \**Huar-*; Gr. *ᾠρον* ‘crowbar, porch, porter’ (Hsch.) (< \**h<sub>2</sub>uor-o-*); Lith. *atvérti* ‘to open’, (*už*)*vérti*, *su-vérti* ‘to shut’, OCS *za-vrěti* ‘id.’, Cz. *otevřiti*, SCr. *otvòriti* ‘to open’; Lith. *var̃tai*, SCr. *vr̃ata* ‘gate’.

Lat. *ap-* probably continues PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>(e)p* ‘off’ which is reflected in Lat. *ab*, O. U. *ap-*, *af-*. In PIt. \**ap-wer-je-*, the \**w* after the labial stop was lost, cf. *oportet* < \**ap-wort-* and U. *subocau* < \**sub-wokʷ-*. This loss may have been PIt. according to Meiser 1986: 185, cf. Lat. *probus*, Paelign. *pros* < \**pro-bʰwos*. The antonym \**ap-werje-* developed into *operiō* ‘to cover’.

Bibl.: WH I: 56, EM 38, IEW 1160ff., Leumann 1977: 202, Meiser 1986: 185, Schrijver 1991: 472, Lubotsky 2000, Untermann 2000: 845f., LIV \**H<sub>2</sub>uer-*. → *operire*, O. *veru*

**apex, -icis** ‘kind of mitre; top, point’ [m. *k*] (Lucil.+)

According to EM, it is impossible to say whether the meaning ‘top part of a hat’ is original (in which case a connection with the verb *apiō* seems plausible) or the meaning ‘point’. A large portion of the nouns in *-ex, -icis* represents technical terms without IE etymology, also building terminology (Leumann 1977: 375). Since *apex* refers to, among other things, the ‘pinnacle’ of a building, it might belong to this category. Theoretically, it might derive from PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>ep(o)* ‘away’, cf. the meaning of

derivatives such as Gr. ἄπιος ‘far off’, Skt. *āpara-* ‘next, further, more to the back’; see s.v. *ab*.

Bibl.: WH I: 57, EM 38, IEW 50f. → *ab*

**apis** ‘bee’ [f. *i*] (Pl.+; nom.sg. *apēs* (Quint.), gen.pl. *apum*, sec. *apium*, acc.pl. *apēs*)

Derivatives: *apicula* ‘little bee’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*api-?*

No accepted etymology. Vennemann 1998a proposes that *apis* is a loanword from Semitic, comparing Hieroglyphic Egyptian *ʿff* ‘bee’. This is conceivable.

Bibl.: WH I: 57, EM 39, Schrijver 1991: 374.

**ap̄iscor**, -scī ‘to seize; get, obtain’ [v. III; pf. *coepī* < *\*co-ēpī*, ppp. *aptum* / *coeptum*] (Naev.+; In the oldest texts, there is an act. *ap̄iscō*, and *apiscitur* in Pl. is passive)

Derivatives: *apiō*, -ere ‘to tie, fasten’ (Paul. *ex F.*), *aptus* ‘tied’ (Pl.+), *ineptus* ‘foolish’ (Pl.+), *aptāre* ‘to fit on, fix’ (Pompon.+); *coepiō*, -ere ‘to begin’ (Pl.+), *coeptāre* ‘id.’ (Pl.+); *cōpula* ‘bond’ (Pl.+); *adip̄iscor* ‘to catch up with, obtain’ (Pl.+; ppp. *adeptus*), *indip̄iscor* ‘to overtake, acquire’ (Pl.+; ppp. *indeptus*).

Plt. *\*ap-(e)i-* ‘to get, seize’.

PIE *\*h<sub>1</sub>p-i-* [pr.] ‘to get, grab’, *\*h<sub>1</sub>e-h<sub>1</sub>(o)p-* [pf.]. IE cognates: Hit. *epp-<sup>zi</sup>* / *app-* ‘to take, grab’ (< IE root pr. *\*h<sub>1</sub>ep-/\*h<sub>1</sub>p-*), *pai-<sup>i</sup>* / *pi-*, CLuw., HLuw. *pija-*, Lyc. *pije-* ‘to give’, Skt. *āpa*, YAv. *āpa* ‘has reached’ (< IE pf. *\*h<sub>1</sub>e-h<sub>1</sub>(o)p-*); OAlb. *ep* ‘gives’ < *\*h<sub>1</sub>op-eie-?*; PGm. *\*geban* ‘to give’ < *\*ga- + \*eb-?* (Kortlandt 1992).

For the semantics of the PIE root, compare English *to get*, which originally meant ‘to seize’ but often means ‘to reach’. I see no need to separate *apiō* from *ap̄iscor*. The latter continues the more general meaning of the verb, while *apiō* is used more specifically for ‘tying’. Seen in this light, the double use of *aptus* as ‘tied’ and ‘obtained’ loses its peculiarity. The pf. *-ēpī* can be derived from a PIE pf. *\*h<sub>1</sub>e-h<sub>1</sub>p-*. Initial *ap-* could phonetically have arisen in front of another consonant, e.g. in *aptus*, as proposed by Rasmussen 1993:180. In the pf., *\*co-ēp-* has apparently contracted to *coep-*, unless it is due to influence from the ppp. *coeptus* < *\*ko-aptos*; compare *cōpula* < *\*ko-apula*. The pr. *coepiō* has been built on the pf. *coepī*, which was no longer felt to contain the stem *ap-* or *ēp-*. We can probably reconstruct an *i*-present for Plt., cf. Schrijver 2003: 75. Whether this goes back to a PIE *i*-pr. is uncertain, since other IE languages mainly show a perfect. Kloekhorst 2006a argues that Hit. *pāi*, *pianzi* ‘to give’ goes back to a PIE *i*-pr. 3s. *\*h<sub>1</sub>p-ói-ei*, 3p. *\*h<sub>1</sub>p-i-énti*; in that case, the Lat. *i*-pr. may be cognate.

Bibl.: WH I: 57f., EM 39, 130, IEW 50f., Leumann 1977: 67, Schrijver 1991: 28f., Sihler 1995: 54, 123, Meiser 2003: 197, LIV *\*h<sub>1</sub>ep-*. → *epulum*, *optō*

**ap̄ricus** ‘sunny’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Cic.+)

Antonym of *opācus* ‘shady’. Nussbaum (p.c.) suggests that *ap̄ricus* represents a derivative in *\*-Hk<sup>w</sup>o-* ‘-looking’ to a first member *\*ap(e)ri-* ‘openness’, which could be a substantivization of an adj. *\*ap(e)ro-* ‘open’ from *\*ap(o)* ‘away, off’.

Bibl.: WH I: 59, EM 40, IEW 53-55. → *ab*

**aprīlis** ‘April’ [adj. *i*] (Varro+)

Plt. *\*ap(e)ro-*.

April was the second month of the Roman year. Hence, it is possible to connect it with *ab* < *\*h<sub>2</sub>epo* ‘away from, off’ as *\*ap(e)rīlis* ‘the following, next’. This could reflect the same preform *\*ap(e)ri-* ‘openness’ as posited s.v. *aprīcus*. Differently Neri 2007: 67, who posits *\*h<sub>1</sub>p-r-* to *\*h<sub>1</sub>(e)p(-i)* ‘toward’. The suffix *-ilis* can be analogical to the months *Quintīlis* and *Sextīlis* (Leumann 1977: 350).

Bibl.: WH I: 59, EM 40. → *ab*, *aprīcus*

**apud** ‘at, beside’ [prep.] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *apurfinem* ‘apud finem’ inscr. from the west bank of Lacus Fucinus, 4<sup>th</sup> c. BC (Mars.), *apor* (Paul. *ex F.*).

Not used in compounds or as an adverb, which may point to a recent origin from a nominal expression. Possibly cognate with *apiō* ‘to tie’, in which case *apud* may reflect an old pf. ptc. *\*ap-wot* ‘having reached’ > ‘in close connection’ (IEW, EM). However, the synchronic pf. has long *ē*: *coepī*. A different solution would be to derive *apud* from *\*ad-pod(V)*, with the preverb *ad* ‘to’ and the word for ‘foot’. The latter is found in *op-pidum* ‘what obstructs the feet, barrier’ > ‘fortress’ < *\*op-pedo-*; for the existence of the *o*-grade in Italic, cf. *tri-pudium* ‘three-step dance’ < Plt. *\*tri-podo-*. An original noun *\*ad-pod-* may have meant ‘the foot/side of sth.’ and have come to be used as a preposition (cf. French *chez* < *casa* ‘house’). In order to arrive at *apud*, however, a preform *\*ad-pod(i)* is required, which is difficult, if only since *ad* synchronically takes the accusative. One might suggest irregular loss of a final syllable from *\*ad-podV(C)* in unstressed position. Another solution would be to compare BSl. words for ‘bottom’, Lith. *pādas* ‘sole, metatarsus, floor of a stove’, Latv. *pads* ‘stone floor’, ORu. *podь*, Cz. *půda*, SCr. *pôd*, gen.sg. *pōda* ‘floor, bottom’ < BSl. *\*podo-*, which Derksen 2008 reconstructs as PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>po-d<sup>h</sup><sub>1</sub>-o-*. Note that the Russian word *pod* has come to be used as a preposition ‘under’. If *\*h<sub>2</sub>po-* was replaced in a prestage of Italic by its counterpart *\*h<sub>2</sub>epo* (cf. *ab*), this compound would yield Plt. *\*apopo-* ‘floor, basis’. From this, Latin *apud* could only be derived under the assumption of irregular vowel loss in, say, an acc.sg. *\*apodom* or an abl.sg. *\*apodō*.

Bibl.: WH I: 60, EM 40f., IEW 50f.

**aqua** ‘water’ [f. *ā*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *aquola* ‘a small stream’ (Pl.+), *aquōsus* ‘well-watered’ (Cato+), *aquālis* ‘watery, for water’ (Cato+), *aquāriusrius* [adj. / m.] ‘of / for water’ (Cato), *aquātus* ‘mixed with water’ (Cato+), *aquātilis* ‘watery, aquatic’ (Varro); *aquilex*, *-gis* ‘water-diviner’ (Varro+).

Plt. *\*akwā-* ‘water’.

IE cognates: Go. *ahva*, OHG *aha* < PGm. *\*ax<sup>w</sup>ō* ‘water’.

According to Beekes 1998, other suggested cognates, such as Olc. *ægir* ‘sea, ocean’, are very uncertain. Even if cognate, Germano-Italic *\*akwā-* may well be a loanword from a non-IE language.

Bibl.: WH I: 60, EM 41, IEW 23, Beekes 1998.

**aquila** ‘eagle’ [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *aquilinus* ‘like that of an eagle’ (Pl.+); *aquilō* ‘the North wind’ (Naev.+).

It is possible that ‘eagle’ was derived from *aquilus* ‘dark’ when this had received its colour meaning. It may not be the only dark bird, but it is certainly one of the biggest and most majestic of them. Cohen 2004 compares *accipiter* < \**aku-petri-*, and suggests that *aquila* goes back to a compound of \**aku-* ‘swift’ and \*(*a*)*wi-* ‘bird’, with a diminutive suffix \*-*lā-*. This seems unlikely for the following reasons: 1. whereas \**aku-petri-* ‘with swift wings’ is a possessive compound, \**aku-(a)wilā-* ‘swift bird’ would be a determinative compound. Yet this type is very rare (cf. Leumann 1977: 399). 2. The Plt. preform of ‘bird’ is \**awi-*, there is no trace of the zero grade PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>u-i-*; hence, we would have to posit a cp. \**aku-awilā-*. 3. From a preform \**aku-awilā-* > Pre-Latin \**akwiwilā-*, we can only arrive at *aquila* via an unwarranted haplology of \*-*wiwi-* > \*-*wi-*. I agree with Cohen 2004: 32f. that the wind name *aquilō* is most straightforwardly derived from *aquila* ‘eagle’, rather than from *aquilus* ‘dark’. As Cohen puts it, the cold north wind may have been personified as “a fast, aggressive raptor”.

Bibl.: WH I: 60, EM 42, IEW 23, Cohen 2004.

**aquilus** ‘dark in hue, swarthy’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

The Romans derives this colour from *aqua* ‘water’, which EM reject because they cannot imagine water being black. Still, this seems a more likely derivation to me than from *aquila* ‘eagle’, as assumed by Cohen 2004: 32.

Bibl.: WH I: 60f., EM 42, IEW 23. → *aqua*

**āra** ‘altar’ [f. *ā*] (Lex Reg., Pl.+; OLat. *asa* quoted by various CLat. and LLat. authors)

Plt. \**āsā-*. It. cognates: O. *aasai* [loc.sg.], *aasas* [nom.pl.], *aasass* [acc.pl.]; U. *asam-*, *asam-* [acc.sg.]. *asa*, *asa* [abl.sg.], *ase* [loc.sg.] ‘altar’.

PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>eh<sub>1</sub>s-h<sub>2</sub>-* ‘hearth’. IE cognates: Hit. *hāšš-* [c.] ‘ash(es), dust, soap’ < \**h<sub>2</sub>éh<sub>1</sub>s-*, Hit. *hāššā-* [c.] ‘hearth’ < \**h<sub>2</sub>eh<sub>1</sub>s-h<sub>2</sub>-*, Skt. *āsa-* (īn.) ‘ashes, light dust’, Khot. *astaucā* ‘dry land’, OHG *essa*, Runic *aRina* ‘ash’.

The original meaning ‘hearth, fireplace’ changed to ‘altar’ in Proto-Italic. The preservation of intervocalic \**s* in Umbrian is explained as a remnant of an earlier redaction of the Iguvine Tables by Meiser 1986: 255.

Bibl.: WH I: 61, EM 42, IEW 68-69, Harðarson 1994: 35-39, Untermann 2000: 43f., Kloekhorst 2008: 318, LIV \**h<sub>2</sub>eh<sub>1</sub>s-*. → *āreō*, *assus*

**arāneus** ‘spider’ [m. *o*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *arāneus* [adj.] ‘of spiders’ (Col.+), *arānea* ‘spider’s web, cobweb’ (Pl.+), ‘spider’ (Cat.+).

IE cognates: Gr. ὑράχνη ‘spider’, ὑράχνιον ‘cobweb’, ὑραχναῖος ‘of a spider’,

ἀραχναίη ‘spider’.

Possibly a Greek loanword, with Gr. *-khn-* borrowed as Lat. *-gn-* and subsequent loss of the velar and lengthening of the preceding vowel. However, the cluster Gr. *-khn-* was adopted as Latin *-cum-* in *dracuma* and *Alcumena* (cf. Leumann 1977: 103). Alternatively, the Gr. and Lat. words could have been independently borrowed from a common source *\*araksn-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 61, EM 42, IEW 55-61.

**arbiter, -trī** ‘eye-witness; judge’ [m. *o*] (Lex XII+)

Derivatives: *arbitrārī* ‘to witness, judge’ (Pl.+), *arbitrātus*, *-ūs* ‘decision, judgement’; *arbitrium* / *arbiterium* ‘arbitration, settlement’ (Lex XII, Cic.+).

It. cognates: U. *ařputrati* [abl.sg.] ‘according to the judgement’.

U. *ař-* regularly derives from *\*ad-*, and *-tl* can represent *\*-tūd*; thus, the U. word can match *arbitrātus*. Since the more basic word *arbiter* is attested in Latin, we must look for the etymology in Latin. The change of *ad* > *ar-* is only attested sporadically, especially outside Rome and in front of labials: *arfuise*, *arvorsum*, *apurfinem*. Possibly, this was a regular change which was later undone in most cases due to analogy with isolated *ad* and *apud*. Meiser 1986 considers *\*ad-bitrātu-* or *\*ad-betrātu-* for the Umbrian-Latin correspondence. If we start from *arbiter* < *\*ad-biteros*, the vowel *i* may continue any Plt. short vowel. The connection with *baetō* ‘to go’ is the only straightforward formal connection, but this verb has no certain etymology. The distinction Latin *b* : U. *p* remains unexplained under any theory: maybe the Romans heard Sabellic *p* after ř (a sound unknown to them) as *b*? In that case, one might connect the root of *putāre* ‘to reckon’, especially since the U. form is actually spelled with *u*. Yet since *putāre* is based on an adj. *\*puto-* ‘cut off, pruned’, the morphological details remain unclear.

Bibl.: WH I: 62, EM 42f, Untermann 2000: 53f., Meiser 1986: 53, 272, Sihler 1995: 151. → *ad*, *baetō*

**arbor, -oris** ‘tree’ [f. *s*] (Pl.+; nom.sg. *arbōs* (Verg., Ov.), acc.sg. *arbosem*, nom./acc.pl. *arbores* Paul. ex *F.*)

Derivatives: *arbustum* ‘wood, plantation’ (Naev.+), *arbuscula* ‘small tree’ (Var.+).

Plt. *\*arḃōs* [m.].

PIE *\*h<sub>3</sub>rd<sup>h</sup>-ōs*, *-os-* ‘height, uprightness’.

*Arbor* can be connected with *arduus* ‘high’ < *\*h<sub>3</sub>rd<sup>h</sup>-* ‘upright, high’, and go back to PIE *\*h<sub>3</sub>rd<sup>h</sup>-ōs*. The original meaning could have been ‘uprightness’, which acquired the concrete meaning of ‘upright tree’. The drawback of this solution is that all IE languages which have the adj. ‘high, upright’ from this root continue a stem *\*h<sub>3</sub>rd<sup>h</sup>-u-o-* ‘high, upright’, so that Lat. *arbor* would appear a very archaic formation.

Bibl.: WH I: 62, EM 43, IEW 339, Leumann 1977: 379, Schrijver 1991: 69. → *arduus*

**arca** ‘chest’ [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *arcula* ‘small chest’ (Pl.+), *arcānus* ‘secret’ (Hor.+), *arcera* ‘kind of



covered carriage' (Lex XII+).

Plt. *\*arkā-* 'container', *\*arkelā-* [dim.]. It. cognates: U. *arçlataf* [acc.pl. 'unidentified sacrificial gift' < *\*arkelātā-* 'small chest-like object'?

PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>r<sup>(k)</sup>-h<sub>2</sub>-*. IE cognates: see s.v. *arceō*.

Derived from the root of *arceō* 'to contain'. The noun itself might be PIE, but could also be an inner-Italic formation on the basis of the verbal root. The dim. might be Plt., if U. *arçlataf* belongs here. It is generally believed that *arcera* was formed after the example of *cumera*.

Bibl.: WH 62, EM 43, IEW 65f., LIV *\*h<sub>2</sub>er<sup>(k)</sup>-*. → *arceō*, *arx*

**arceō** 'to contain; to keep out' [v. II] (Cic.+)

Derivatives: *abarcēre* 'to keep away' (Paul. ex F., CIL), *coercēre* 'to confine, restrain' (Cato+), *exercēre* 'to exercise, keep busy' (Pl.+), *exercitus*, *-i/ūs* 'physical exercise, army' (Naev.+), *porcēre* 'to prevent' (Enn.+).

Plt. *\*ark-eje/o-* 'to contain, keep out', *\*ark-o-* 'who builds'. It. cognates: C *trībarakavúm* [inf.], *trībarakattíns* [3p.pf.sb.], *trībarakattuset* [3p.fut.pf.] 'to build (houses)' < *\*trēb-ark-ā-* [v.], probably derived from a noun *\*trēb-ark-(o-* 'house-builder'; O. *trībarakkiuf* [nom.sg.] 'building' < *\*trēb-ark-iōn-s*.

PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>(o)r<sup>(k)</sup>-eje/o-* 'to hold'. IE cognates: Hit. *har(k)-<sup>2</sup>* 'to hold, have' (< root *pr<sup>(h)</sup>\*h<sub>2</sub>(e)rk-*), Gr. ἀρκέω 'to avert, defend; suffice' (denom. to ὑρκος [n.] 'defence'), Arm. *argel* 'hindrance'.

Lat. *porceō* < *po* + *arceō* 'to hold off'. Schrijver considers an original stative verb *\*h<sub>2</sub>r<sup>(k)</sup>-eh<sub>1</sub>-*, but in view of the transitive meaning 'to keep out', I prefer a causative verb (with generalized root shape *arc-* for *\*ork-*).

Bibl.: WH I: 62, EM 43f., IEW 65, Klingenschmitt 1982: 236f., Schrijver 1991: 66f. Untermann 2000: 762f., Meiser 2003: 225, Neri 2007: 77f., LIV *\*h<sub>2</sub>er<sup>(k)</sup>-*. → *arce*, *arx*, *parcō*

**arcessō, -ere / accersō, -ere** 'to summon, send for' [v. III; pf. *arcessivī* / *-iī*, ppf. *arcessitum*] (Pl.+; pr. *accers-*, *arcess-* Pl. Ter. Cato, pf. *arcess-* Cic.+; several *i*-conforms, cf. TLL)

Plt. *\*kers-s-e/o-*.

PIE *\*kers-* 'to run'. IE cognates: see s.v. *currō*.

The stem occurs in two variants, *accers-* and *arcess-*, which suggests that one developed from the other by an unusual metathesis or an analogical replacement. Both variants seem to have been *sprachwirklich* in Plautus' times. The pr. *i* is productive from Pl. onwards, whereas the pf. is hardly attested before Cicero. Since the pf. clearly favors the variant *arcess-*, one might hypothesize that *arcess-* was in the process of ousting *accers-*, and that the latter must therefore be the original form. Nussbaum 2007b gives two more arguments for regarding *accersō* as original: the noun *dorsum* > *dossum* shows a phonetic change of *\*rs* > *ss*; a verb in *-cessō* meaning 'go get' would be favoured by its semantic neighbours *capessō* and *(ex)petessō*. Nussbaum suggests that *accersō* may reflect *\*ad-kers-s-e/o-*, an *s*-present to the root *\*krs-* 'to run' (whence Lat. *currō*).

Leumann's etymology (1977: 155) *arcessō* < \**ar-facessō* is unattractive, since nothing points to the presence of earlier \**-fa-*. Bartalucci 1963: 371f. also suggests that *arcessō* is the older form, and may be cognate with Hittite *ārku-zi* / *ark-* 'to chant, intone', which is reconstructed as \**h<sub>1</sub>erk<sup>w</sup>*- by Kloekhorst 2008: 205 (Skt. *arc-*, ToA *yārk-* 'to worship'). Yet we would expect Latin \**arquessō*. Bartalucci argues that the semantics of *arcessō* may have been influenced by *accīre* 'to summon' (Pl.+).

Bibl.: WH I: 63, EM 44, Bartalucci 1963, Meiser 2003: 237, LIV 1.\**(k)ers-*. → *currō*

**arcus**, -ūs 'bow; arch' [m. (f. 1x in Enn.) *u*] (Pl.+; gen.sg. *arquī* Cic., Lucr., nom.pl. *arquī* Varro)

Derivatives: *arquitēs* 'archers' (Paul. ex F.), *arcitenens/arquitenens* 'that carries a bow' (Naev.+), *arcuātus, arquātus* 'bow-shaped' (Var.+).

Plt. \**arkuo-* / \**ark<sup>w</sup>o-* 'bow'.

IE cognates: Go. *arhazna*, Olc. *qr, orvar*, OE *earh* 'arrow' < PGm. \**arχ<sup>w</sup>ō, -az-*; Gr. ἄρκουθος [f.], Latv. *ērcis* 'juniper' [m.], Ru. *rakita*, Cz. *rokyta*, SCr. *rākita* 'brittle willow' < PSll. \**ork<sup>w</sup>ta*.

The derivatives and a few *o*-stem forms show that the stem must have ended in \**-kuo-* or \**-k<sup>w</sup>o-*. This matches PGm. \**arχ<sup>w</sup>ō* well, so that we can assume an Italo-Gm. word for 'bow', PGm. \**arχ<sup>w</sup>-ō-* meaning 'belonging to a bow' = 'arrow'. This can be connected with BSl. and Greek words for 'willow' and 'juniper' under the well-founded assumption that the flexible twigs of juniper or willow were used as bows. BSl. and Greek point to \**arkū-*; as with many plant names, this is likely to be a non-IE loanword.

Bibl.: WH I: 64, EM 44, IEW 67f., Schrijver 1991: 46, 67, Untermann 2000: 119.

**ardea** 'heron' [f. *ā*] (Verg.+)

Without a clear etymology. If *ardea* is related to Gr. ἑρωδιός (H.), also ἑρωδιός, ῥωδιός (Hipponax), ἄρωδιός (Septuaginta) 'heron', Latin continues \**h<sub>1</sub>rd-* and Greek \**h<sub>1</sub>rōd-*. But the limited distribution and the unusual vowel vacillation rather point to non-Indo-European origin of this bird-name.

Bibl.: WH I: 64, EM 45, IEW 68, Schrijver 1991: 65,73.

**arduus** 'tall, high' [adj. *o/ā*] (Enn.+)

Derivatives: *arduitās* 'steepness' (Varro).

Plt. \**arḫwo-*.

PIE \**h<sub>3</sub>rd<sup>h</sup>-uo-* 'high, upright'. IE cognates: OIr. *ard*, W. *ardd* 'high' < PCll. \**ard-uo-*, Skt. *ūrdhvā-* 'tending upwards, upright, high', YAv. *arōdβa-* 'risen, upright, erect', Gr. ὀρθός 'upright, standing', Olc. *qrōugr* 'steep'.

Celtic and Ilr. point to \**Hrd<sup>h</sup>uo-*, while Greek shows that the laryngeal was probably \**h<sub>3</sub>-*. Long *ū-* of Skt. is not explained with certainty, but note that the sequence *urC-* is extremely rare in Skt. (only in *urvārā-* 'field' < Ilr. \**HrH-uar-*), so that *ūrdhvā-* might be the regular outcome of Ilr. \**Hrd<sup>h</sup>ua-*. Since \**-rd<sup>h</sup>-* normally yields Latin *-rb-*, an explanation must be found for *-rd-* in *arduus*. Lubotsky apud Schrijver 1991: 313 suggests that the combination of preceding *r* and following *w* may have

prevented the change to a labial. In that case, Latin, too, may continue  $*h_3rd^h$ uo-. PIE  $*h_3rd^h$ - could be a derivative of  $*h_3r$ - 'to rise' (Lat. *orior*).

Bibl.: WH I: 64, EM 45, IEW 339, Schrijver 1991: 69, 312f. → *arbor*

**āreō** 'to be dry' [v. II] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *āridus* 'dry, arid' (Pl.+; also *ardus* Pl.), *perāridus* 'very dry' (Cato+), *ardēre* 'to burn' (Pl.+), *ardor* 'a burning, fire' (Acc.+); *ārea* 'open space, clearing' (Pl.+); *ārefaciō* 'to make dry' (Cato+; also *arfaciō* Cato, *facit are* Lucr.); *adarēscere* 'to become dry' (Cato), *exārēscere* 'to dry up' (Pl.+), *perārēscere* 'to dry up thoroughly' (Varro+).

Plt.  $*ās-ē$ - 'to be dry'.

PIE  $*h_2eh_1s-eh_1-$  'to be dry'. IE cognates: ToA *asatār*, ToB *osotār* 'dries up'.

Lat. *ardeō* is derived from *ār(i)dus*, and *ardor* from *ardeō*. The form *ārea* does not correspond to a regular derivation type from a verb in *-eō*, and it is therefore uncertain that it belongs here. Semantically, it would mean 'the area cleared by burning' > 'any open area'. Lat. *ārea* might have been derived from Plt.  $*āsā$ - 'hearth', or from another derivative of the PIE root noun  $*h_2eh_1s$ -.

Bibl.: WH I: 65, EM 45, IEW 68-69, Schrijver 1991: 53f., Harðarson 1994, LIV  $*h_2eh_1s$ -. → *āra*, *assus*

**argentum** 'silver' [n. o] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *argenteus* 'silver' [adj.] (Andr.)

Plt.  $*argento$ -. It. cognates: Fal. *arcentelom* [acc.sg.n.] 'small silver coin', O. *aragetud* [abl.sg.], *arage* 'money'.

PIE  $*h_2rǵ-nt-o$ - [n.] 'silver'. IE cognates: Gaul. *arganto*- (*magus*), OIr. *argat*, W. *arian* 'silver', Skt. *rajatā*- 'silver-coloured; silver' (Skt. *ra-* is probably secondary for  $*r-$ ), Av. *ərəzata*-, OP *rdata*- [n.], Arm. *arcat* 'silver' (suffix like *erkat* 'iron').

In theory, the Latin word may have been borrowed into Oscan and Faliscan, but there is no positive proof of this.

Bibl.: WH I: 66, EM 45, IEW 64, Schrijver 1991: 67, 72f., Untermann 2000: 116f.

**arguō, -ere** 'to show; affirm' [v. III; ppp. *argūtum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *argūtus* (Naev.+) 'clever; producing sharp or clear sounds', *argūtiae* (Pl.+) 'sophistry, cleverness', *argūmentum* (Pl.+) 'proof, argument'.

Plt.  $*argu$ - 'bright'.

PIE  $*h_2erǵ-u$ - 'white'. IE cognates: Hit. *arkuuae*-<sup>zi</sup> 'to make a plea' (<  $*arkuue/a$ -<sup>zi</sup> <  $*arkuje/a$ -, Melchert 1998, < PIE  $*h_2orǵ-u$ -<sup>je</sup>- 'to make clear', Kloekhorst 2008: 206); Skt. *árju-na*- 'white, light', Gr. *ἀργυρος*, Myc. *a-ku-ro* 'silver', Gr. *ἀργυρος* 'gleaming white', ToA *ārki*, ToB *ārkwi* 'white'. Messapic *argorian* was probably borrowed from Gr. *ἀργύριον*, cf. Parlangèli 1960: 265.

Probably, *arguō* is a denominative verb 'to make bright, enlighten' to an adj.  $*argu$ - 'bright' as continued in *argūtus* and outside Italic. A closely similar formation is found in Hittite, but with *o*-ablaut in the root.

Bibl.: WH I: 66f., EM 46, IEW 64f., Schrijver 1991: 67. → *argentum*

**ariēs, -etis** ‘ram’ [m. *t*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *arietāre* ‘to strike violently, ram’ (Pl.).

Plt. *\*a/eriēt-s* (nom.), *a/eriet-* (obl.). It. cognates: U. *erietu* [acc.sg.] maybe ‘ram’.

PIE *\*h<sub>1</sub>r-i-(e)t-* ‘certain domestic animal’. IE cognates: OIr. *heirp, erb* [f.] ‘she-goat, doe, roe’ < PCI. *\*erbā-*; Gr. ἔριφος ‘kid’, maybe Arm. *oroj* ‘lamb’ (< *\*er-oj*), *erinj* ‘young cow’.

Since Plt. *\*ariet-* (or, for that matter, *\*ariot-*) would have yielded *\*arīt-* by sound law, the sequence *-iet-* must stem from *\*-iēt-*, or it was introduced analogically from case-form(s) which contained *\*-iēt-*. The nom.sg. is normally analysed as Lat. *ariess* with length due to position, but this seems unlikely. The rare reliable examples of *-ss* are found in Plautus, e.g. *es /ess/* ‘you are’, Lat. *mīles /mīless/*. But soon the cluster *-ss* was shortened: already in Ennius, we find *es* and *mīles* (Sommer 1914: 276f., Leumann 1977: 222). Since the last syllable of nom.sg. *ariēs* remains long throughout CLat., it is more likely that the length was due to the vowel *-ē-*. In U. *erietu*, the *-e-* must be the result of restoration, otherwise the outcome would have been U. *\*eritu /eritom/*. The *-e-* must have been taken from the nom.sg. *\*eriess*, nom.pl. *\*eriez*, thus confirming Latin *-ie-* (Benediktsson 1960: 273). Lat. *a-* and U. *e-* are incompatible phonologically: U. may contain an analogically introduced *e*-grade, or have vowel assimilation *\*a-e > e-e*. Alternatively, the original paradigm was alternating: *\*ar-* next to *\*er-*. The Italic noun may go back to a PIE stem *\*h<sub>1</sub>er-*, but it is difficult to reconstruct a complete single correspondence.

Bibl.: WH I: 67, EM 46, IEW 326, Untermann 2000: 229, Schrijver 1991: 65f., Leumann 1977: 222, 433.

**arma, -ōrum** ‘arms, weapons’ [n.pl. *o*] (Pl.+; gen.pl. *armum* Pac., Acc.)

Derivatives: *armātus* ‘armed’ (Pl.+); *armentum* ‘herd of cattle’ (Var., Lucr.+), *armenta* ‘id.’ (Enn., Pac.); *armiger* ‘armour-bearer, squire’ (Pl.+), *armāre* ‘to arm, equip’ (Cic.+); *inermis* ‘unarmed’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*armo-*, *\*armnto-*.

PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>(e)r-mo-* [adj.] ‘fitting’, *\*h<sub>2</sub>er-mn-to-* [n.]. IE cognates: Skt. *ṛtá-* [adj., n.] ‘truthful; agreement, (world-)order; oath’, *ará-* [m.] ‘spoke of a wheel’, Skt. *áram* [adv.], OAv. *arām*, YAv. *arəm* [adv.] ‘fitting, just’, Av. *aša-* [n.] ‘truth’, Gr. ἀρπίσκω ‘to fit together’, aor. ἄρσα, ptc.med. ἄρμενος ‘fitting, equipped’, Myc. *a-ra-ro-wo-a /ararwohal* [n.pl.], *a-ra-ru-ja /araruia(i)/* [f.sg.] ‘fitted’ [ptc.pf.act.], Gr. ἄρμα, -τος [n.] ‘wagon, chariot’, Myc. *a-mo /armol*, dat. *a-mo-te-i*, pl. *a-mo-ta* ‘wheel’, Gr. ἀρμόζω ‘to fit together, connect’; Arm. aorist *arari* ‘I made’ (pr. *arnem*).

Lat. *arma* and *armentum* seem two independent formations on the basis of the PIE root ‘to join’. The meanings developed from ‘what is fitted together’ or ‘tools’ to ‘weapons’ (*arma*), and from ‘grouping, joining’ to ‘herd’ (*armentum*). From *arma* were derived *armātus* and *armiger*.

Bibl.: WH I: 67f., EM 46f., IEW 55, Schrijver 1991: 46, 68, LIV 1. *\*h<sub>2</sub>er-*. → *ars*, *artus*, *rītus*

**armus** ‘shoulder or upper arm (of an animal)’ [m. o] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *armilla* ‘arm-band, bracelet’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*ar(a)mo-*.

PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>(e)rH-mo-* ‘arm’. IE cognates: Skt. *īrmá-* ‘arm, shoulder(-joint)’, Av. *arəma-*, OPr. *irmo* ‘arm’, Lith. *irmédė* [f.] ‘gout’ (“arm-eating”) < PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>rH-mó-*; OCS *ramo*, Cz. *rámě*, SCr. *rāme* ‘shoulder’, Go. *arms*, OHG *aram* ‘arm’ < *h<sub>2</sub>orH-mo-*, -mn-.

According to Schrijver 1991: 314, PIE *\*HrHmo-* would yield Lat. *\*ramus*; hence, *armus* could reflect PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>erHmo-* (> *\*armo-*) or *\*h<sub>2</sub>rHemo-* (> *\*aramo-*); the former is more probable in view of the IE cognates, none of which have *\*-emo-*. Since Ilr. and Baltic require *\*HrHmo-*, such a preform cannot be completely excluded for Latin.

Bibl.: WH I: 69, EM 47, IEW 58, Schrijver 1991: 194, 197, 313-318.

**arō, -āre** ‘to plough’ [v. I] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *arātiō* ‘an estate of arable land’ (Pl.+), *arātor* ‘ploughman’ (Lucret.+), *arātrum* ‘a plough’ (Cato+).

Plt. *\*araje/o-*.

PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>erh<sub>3</sub>-ie/o-* ‘to plough’, *\*h<sub>2</sub>erh<sub>3</sub>-tro-* [n.]. IE cognates: Mlr. *airim*, W. *arddu*, Gr. ἀρόω, Lith. *árti*, Is. *ariù*, Latv. *ārt*, OCS *orati*, Is. *orjō*, Go. *arjan* ‘to plough’; Mlr. *arathar*, Arm. *arawr*, Lith. *árklas* ‘plough’ < BSl. *\*arH-tlo-*, OCS *ralo*, Cz. *rádlo* ‘id.’ < *\*arH-d<sup>h</sup>lo-*, Olc. *arōr* < PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>erh<sub>3</sub>-tro-*.

The *ā* in *arātrum* must be secondary after the verb, since PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>erh<sub>3</sub>-tro-* would yield *\*aratrum*.

Bibl.: WH I: 69, EM 48, IEW 62, Schrijver 1991: 45, LIV *\*h<sub>2</sub>erh<sub>3</sub>-*. → *arvum*

**ars, artis** ‘skill, art; trick’ [f. i] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *iners* ‘clumsy, lazy’ [abl.sg. *inerti*] (Naev.+); *sollers* ‘clever, skilled’ (Cato+); *al(l)ers* ‘learned, clever’ (gloss.); *artifex*, *-ficus* (Var. *artufices*) ‘practitioner, craftsman’ (Pl.+), *artificium* ‘skill, craft’ (Cic.+).

Plt. *\*arti-*.

PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>r-ti-* ‘the fitting’. IE cognates: OAv. *arəiti-*, YAv. *aši-* [f.] ‘reward’, Gr. ἄρτι ‘just, exactly’, ἄρτι ‘correctly’ in cp.

Lat. *sollers* < *\*soll-arti-* to *sollus* ‘entire’; *al(l)ers* < *\*all-arti-* to O. *allo-* ‘entire’. According to Untermann 2000, Latin *\*all-* was probably borrowed from Sabellic, since Latin does not have this word in its lexicon. For a word only occurring in glosses, this is of course possible. Others have proposed an etymology *\*ad-arti-* with intervocalic *\*d* becoming *l*; the spelling *allers* would then be analogical to *sollers*.

Bibl.: WH I: 70, EM 48f., IEW 55ff., Schrijver 1991: 68, Untermann 2000: 81, LIV I. *\*h<sub>2</sub>er-*. → *arma*

**artus** ‘close, firm’ [adj. o/ā] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *artē* ‘tightly’ (Naev.+), *artīre* ‘to insert tightly’ (Cato+), *artāre* ‘to insert tightly, pack’ (Col.+).

Plt. *\*arto-* [adj.].

PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>r-tó-* ‘fitted’. IE cognates: Skt. *rtá-* ‘truthful; truth, agreement’, Av. *aša-* [n.]

‘truth’, YAv. \**ərata-*.

Theoretically, *artire* could be derived from *ars*, *artis*, but it seems more likely that it belongs to the adj. *artus*, cf. *blandus* – *blandīri*, *saevus* – *saevīre*.

Bibl.: WH I: 70, EM 49, IEW 55ff., Schrijver 1991: 68, LIV I.\**h<sub>2</sub>er-*. → *arma*, *ars*

**artus, -ūs** ‘joint, limb’ [m. *u*] (Pl.+; almost always plural; nom.pl. *artua* 1x Pl.)

Derivatives: *articulus* ‘joint, limb, juncture’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \**artu-* [m.].

PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>r-tú-* ‘junction, ordering’. IE cognates: Skt. *ṛtú-* [m.] ‘fixed time, order, rule’, Av. *rātu-* ‘section of time, period’, Gr. ἀτύς ‘arrangement’, ἀτύον ‘friendship and arrangement or decision’ (Hsch.), ἀτύω ‘to arrange’, Arm. *ard*, gen. *ardow* ‘order’.

Since *artus* came to function as a plurale tantum, it does not seem unlikely that *articulus* was especially coined as a singulative.

Bibl.: WH I: 70, EM 49, IEW 55ff., Schrijver 1991: 68, LIV I.\**h<sub>2</sub>er-*.

**aruīna** ‘fat, lard’ [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Plt. \**arow-i-* ‘entrails’?

Gr. ὀρύα ‘sausage’ (WH I 71), a title of a comedy by Epicharmus, might attest to a Sicilian form \**arūi-* or \**orūi-* (Meiser 1986: 195).

In view of the uncertain etymology of *haru-spex*, and the vacillating state of initial *h-* in Latin, *haru-spex* may have hypercorrect *h-*, or *aruīna* may have already lost earlier \**h-*; both could then go back to \**aru-*. Loss of initial \**h-* in U. would be unexpected, though. Gr. ἀββίυνη ‘meat’ among the Sicilians (Hsch.) is probably a loan from Latin (or from another Italic language).

Bibl.: WH I: 71, IEW 68, EM 49, Campanile 1969: 318f., Meiser 1986: 194f., Untermann 2000: 125f. → *haruspex*

**arvum** ‘ploughed land’ [n. *o*] (Cic.+)

Derivatives: *arva* ‘ploughed land’ (Andr., Naev., Pac.); *aruos* (Pl.), *arvius* [adj.] ‘ploughed, cultivated’ (Var., Cic.).

Plt. \**ara/owo-*. It. cognates: U. **arvamen** [acc.sg. + *-en*], **arven** [loc.sg. + *-en*] [f.] ‘field’ vel sim. U. **arvia**, **aruvia**, **arviu**, **arvio** [acc.pl.] ‘grain’ < \**arvio-*.

PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>erh<sub>3</sub>-uo-* ‘ploughable’. IE cognates: OIr. *arbor*, gen.sg. *arbae* ‘grain’, W. *erw* ‘acre’, Skt. *urvārā-* ‘arable land’, Gr. ἄρουρα ‘sowing-land’ < PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>erh<sub>3</sub>-ur, -uen-*.

The adj. *arvius* is probably primary, *arva* and *arvum* are substantivizations. The earliest texts have f. *arua*, coinciding with the Umbrian noun. U. **arvia** is often translated as ‘part of a sacrificial animal’, maybe ‘entrails’, but this is disputed. Others translate the word as ‘grain’ and derive it from *arvum*; see Meiser 1986: 194f. for a discussion. The Italic forms are probably substantivized from a PIE adj. \**h<sub>2</sub>erh<sub>3</sub>-uo-* ‘ploughable’ (or, theoretically, \**h<sub>2</sub>rh<sub>3</sub>-e<sub>u</sub>o-*). Other IE languages continue a heteroclitic noun \**h<sub>2</sub>erh<sub>3</sub>-ur, -un-* ‘ploughed land’.

Bibl.: WH I: 71, EM 50, IEW 63, Schrijver 1991: 250f., Untermann 2000: 124f., LIV \**h<sub>2</sub>erh<sub>3</sub>-*. → *arō*

**arx, arcis** ‘citadel, stronghold’ [f. *k*] (Pl.+)

PIt. \**ark-* ‘a building’.

PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>(e)r<sup>(k)</sup>-s* ‘container’.

In view of Lat. *arceō* ‘to contain’, *arca* ‘chest’, and Oscan \**trēb-ark-o-* ‘house-builder’, it seems that the Italic root \**ark-* was applied not only to small ‘containers’, but also to ‘buildings’. Hence, Lat. *arx* may continue a (PIE or PIt. or even more recent) root noun \**ark-* ‘building’.

Bibl.: WH I: 63, EM 50, IEW 65, Untermann 1992: 142, LIV \**h<sub>2</sub>er<sup>(k)</sup>-*. → *arca, arceō*

**ās, assis** ‘copper coin, penny’ [m. *s*; gen.pl. *assium*] (Cato+)

Derivatives: *bēs, bēsis* ‘two-thirds’ (Cic.+), *sēmis* ‘one half of an *as*’ (Varro+), *tressis* ‘the sum of three asses’ (Varro+), *nōnussis* ‘the sum of nine *asses*’ (Varro+).

The nom.sg. probably was \**ass*. Originally a rectangular bronze plaque weighing a pound. In imperial times, it became a round coin. EM suspect a loanword, maybe from Etruscan, because *libra* and *nummus* would also be loanwords. For *bēs*, WH assume a compound of \**duo* + gen.sg. \**ass(is)* ‘two parts of an *as*’ > \**duass* > \**duess* > \**dwess* > \**bess* > *bēs*.

Bibl.: WH I: 71, 101, EM 50, 69, Leumann 1977: 489, Untermann 2000: 41.

**ascia** ‘axe; trowel’ [f. *ā*] (Lex XII, Plin.+)

Since a sequence \**ks* is usually retained in intervocalic position in Latin (cf. *axis, texō, auxiliū*, etc.), *ascia* cannot go back directly to a PIE form in \*-*ks-*. Although it is similar in form and meaning to Gr. ἀξίμη [f.], Go. *aqizi*, OHG *acchus* ‘axe’, these forms cannot be reduced to one PIE preform. Hence, it is possible that we are dealing with a loanword in \**aks-* which underwent metathesis to \**ask-* before it entered Latin. See s.v. *viscum* ‘mistle-toe, bird-lime’ for a similar phenomenon.

Bibl.: WH I: 71, EM 50, IEW 9.

**asīlus** ‘gadfly’ [m. *ō*] (Nigid.+)

According to EM, probably an indigenous word, but from where? Intervocalic *-s-* would point to an earlier cluster such as \**ss* or \**ts*, but we would expect a long vowel *ā*. Therefore, probably a borrowing. Greek has οἰστρος ‘gadfly’, the form of which is not sufficiently similar to *asīlus* to warrant an etymological connection.

Bibl.: WH I: 72, EM 51.

**asinus** ‘ass, donkey’ [m. *o*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *asina* ‘she-ass’ (Var.+), *asellus* ‘ass, donkey’ (Varro+), *asinārius* ‘connected with asses’ (Pl.+).

The preservation of intervocalic *s* points to a recent loanword. Most IE words for ‘ass’ are loanwords. Some scholars try to trace *asinus* and Gr. ὄνος back to \**os(o)no-*, but this is contradicted by Latin *a-* and by the lack of any trace of word-internal \*-*s-* in Greek. Possibly, HLuw. *tarkasna-* ‘ass’ and Sumerian *anšu* ‘ass’ contain the basis to which the Gr. and Latin words go back.

Bibl.: WH I: 72, EM 51, IEW 301f., Leumann 1977: 179, EIEC 34.

**asper, -era, -erum** ‘rough, harsh’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *asperāre* ‘to make rough’ (Varro+), *exasperāre* ‘id.’ (Liv.+).

Plt. *\*aspi-ro-?*

PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>esp-i-* ‘cutting’? IE cognates: CLuw. *hašp-* ‘to cut down’; Gr. ἄσπις, -ίδος ‘shield’.

Possibly from *\*ab-sper-o-* ‘rejecting, repulsive’, similar to Skt. *apa-sphúras* ‘speeding on’. In the absence of further confirmation this is somewhat gratuitous; *asper* and Skt. *apa-sphúras* would have to be regarded as two independent formations, since the Skt. vocalism follows that of the present *sphuráti*. Melchert 2007a: 255 reiterates the semantic difficulties involved in deriving *asper* from *\*ab-spero-*, and proposes to derive it from a root *\*h<sub>2</sub>esp-* ‘to cut’ instead. This root he sees in Luw. *hašp-* ‘to cut down’ and in Gr. ἄσπις ‘shield’ (< ‘skin, hide’ < ‘cut off’). In view of the latter noun, *asper* might go back to an earlier adj. *\*aspi-ro-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 73, EM 51, IEW 992f., Leumann 1977: 203, Meiser 1998: 117, Melchert 2007a, LIV *\*sp<sup>h</sup>erH-*. → *ab, spernō*

**assis / axis** ‘plank, board’ [m. *i (s?)*] (Caes.+)

Derivatives: *asser, -eris* [m., mainly pl. *asserēs*] ‘wooden beam’ (Naev.+), *assula* ‘splinter, chip’ (Pl.+).

The spellings *assis* and *axis* are variants for the same word; *axis* seems to be a hypercorrection. EM propose original *\*assis*, gen.sg. *\*asseris*, whence pl. *asserēs*, backformed to *asser*. IEW connects *\*ost-* ‘bone’, but we have *o-* in Latin *os, ossis* ‘bone’, so this cannot be correct. Also, we would need a nom.sg. *\*asts* to get *-ss*, but *asser* is an *r-* or *s-*stem.

Bibl.: WH I: 74, EM 51, IEW 783.

**ássyr** ‘blood’ (Paul. *ex F.*; *aser, ascer* in glosses)

Derivatives: *assarātum* ‘mixture of wine and blood’ (Paul. *ex F.*).

PIE *\*h<sub>1</sub>ésh<sub>2</sub>-r* [nom.acc.], *\*h<sub>1</sub>sh<sub>2</sub>-én-s* [gen.sg.] ‘blood’. IE cognates: see s.v. *sanguis*.

Generally interpreted as Latin */aser/*. No rhotacism because of the *-r-* in the next syllable, cf. *miser*. Klingenschmitt reconstructs *\*h<sub>1</sub>s-h<sub>2</sub>ēr* on ablaut-theoretical grounds, but in view of *femur, iecur*, one would like a zero grade in the suffix. Schrijver regards *assyrr* as too uncertain to be used, because of the vacillating forms.

Bibl.: WH I: 72, EM 52, IEW 343, Leumann 1977: 360, Schrijver 1991: 29, Klingenschmitt 1992: 118. → *sanguīs*

**assus** ‘roasted, baked’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Plt. *\*asso-* ‘dried, roasted’. It. cognates: U. *aso* [acc.sg.m.] probably ‘ignited, burning’.

PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>d-to-* ‘dried up’. IE cognates: Hit. *hāt-<sup>i</sup> / hat-* ‘to dry up, become parched’ < *\*h<sub>2</sub>ód-/\*h<sub>2</sub>d-*, Gr. ἄλω ‘to dry’ < *\*h<sub>2</sub>(e)d-ie/o-*, Cz. *ozditi* ‘to dry malt’.

EM derive *assus* from *\*ars[us]* ‘burnt’, verbal adj. to *ardeō*; but the latter is derived from *āridus*. *Assus* could be a *to-*ptc. to the root of *āreō*, in which case the expected



form *\*astus* must have been replaced analogically by *assus* (cf. Untermann 2000); but on which model? Schrijver (1991: 53) reconstructs *\*as-d-to-*, on the strength of the comparison with Gr. ἄζω ‘to dry’, Cz. *ozditi* ‘to dry malt’; but these forms can be derived from the root *\*h<sub>2</sub>ed-* ‘to dry’, in which case *assus* could be the *to*-derivative *\*adto-* > *\*asso-* (Nussbaum, p.c.). U. *aso* could go back to the same preform.

Bibl.: WH I: 65, EM 51f., IEW 68, Untermann 2000: 130, LIV *\*h<sub>2</sub>ed-*.

**ast** ‘but if, and if; in that case’ [cj.] (Lex XII+)

Because of the meaning, it is suspected that *at* ‘but’ is hidden in *ast*, which would then reflect *\*atst* < *\*at-est* ‘but is’.

Bibl.: WH I: 74, EM 52, Leumann 1977: 203. → *at*

**astus, -ūs** ‘cunning, craft’ [m. u] (Pl.+; from Plautus to Ovid, only the abl.sg. *astū* is used)

Derivatives: *astūtus* [adj.] ‘cunning, clever’ (Pl.+), *astūtia* ‘cunning, craft’ (Pl.+).

The Romans themselves derive *astū*, the only OLat. form attested, from Greek ἄστν ‘town’; according to EM this might be a play on the abl.sg. *urbāne* ‘wittily, urbanely’ (Cic.+). This may be seriously considered, but the chronology of attestations renders it problematic: the shift of *urbāne* to ‘wittily’ seems to post-date OLat. Vendryes (1920: 106) proposes to derive *astū* from a *u*-stem *\*ad-štu-* < *\*-sth<sub>2</sub>-u-* ‘standing by’ to the verb *astō* ‘to stand by’. In particular, he compares the adv. *praestō* ‘available’ to *praestō* ‘to excel, make available’; some inscriptions show *praestū* for the adverb. See s.v. *tribus* for another proposed *u*-stem noun from a preverb plus a verbal root.

Bibl.: WH I: 74f., EM 52f., Leumann 1977: 334.

**at** ‘but, whereas’ [cj.] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *atque* (Andr.+), *ac* (Andr.+) [*atque* in front of vowels and *h-*, *ac* in front of consonant] ‘and ... too, and even, and’, *atquī* ‘but, nevertheless’ (Pl.+), *at-avus* ‘great-great-great-grandfather’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*ati*. It. cognates: Ven. *ati-* in *atisteit* ‘adstat’; U. *ape*, *api*, *appei*, *ape* ‘when, as soon as’ < *\*at/d-k<sup>w</sup>e?* is uncertain because of the different meaning.

PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>et(i)* ‘back, away’. IE cognates: Gaul. *ate-*, OIr. *aith-* ‘again’, Gr. ἄτ-ἄπ ‘nevertheless, but’, Lith. *at-*, *ata-* ‘back’, OCS *otъ*, Ru. *ot(o)* ‘from’, Go. *ap-þan* ‘but’.

Final *-t* in Latin is explained from Plt. *\*-ti*. Lat. *atavus* occurs in an enumeration: “*pater, auos, proauos, abauos, atauos, tritauos*”. The use of *at* in this compound would seem to reflect the earlier use as a preverb/preposition rather than as a conjunction. Dunkel 2000b: 21 interprets *atque* as *\*ad-k<sup>w</sup>e*, citing Ennian *atque atque accedit muros*, which is not implausible. Latin distinguishes the conjunctions *at* ‘but’ and *et* ‘and’, but it is not completely clear which IE cognates belong to each of these two conjunctions. I adduce only the languages which must contain *\*h<sub>2</sub>e-* (Celtic, Greek) or which show a meaning similar to ‘but’ (BSl., Gm.). Iir. *\*ati* ‘beyond’, which semantically may be connected with either *at* or *et*, is adduced s.v. *et*.

Bibl.: WH I: 75, 421 f., 863, EM 53, IEW 70f., Lejeune 1974: 331, Schrijver 1991: 46, Untermann 2000: 113f. → *et*

**āter, -tra, -trum** ‘black’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *ātrium* (Pl.+) ‘first main room in a Roman-style house’.

Plt. \**ātro-*. It. cognates: U. *atru*, *adro* [acc.pl.n.], *adri*, *adrer* [abl.pl.n.] ‘black’ < \**ād/tro-*.

PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>eh<sub>1</sub>-t(-)r-* ‘fireplace’. IE cognates: OIr. *áith*, W. *odyn* ‘furnace, oven’ < PCl. \**āti-*; Pal. *hāri*, [3s.], *hānta* [3p.] ‘to be warm’, Av. *ātarš*, gen.sg. *āθrō* ‘fire’ < Plr. \**ātr-*.

The appurtenance of *ātrium* depends on the interpretation that this room originally contained the fireplace. This etymology was already current in ancient times, but there is no independent evidence for it. Still, there is no good alternative. A semantic shift from \**ātr-o-* ‘firy, like fire’ to Plt. ‘black’ does not seem very compelling, since soot is black, but not the fire itself. One might suppose that Proto-Iranian \**ātr-* originally meant ‘fireplace’ like PCl. \**āti-*, and that the Plt. adj. \**ātr-o-* meant ‘like a fireplace’ > ‘having a fireplace-like colour, black’. LIV conjectures that \**āt-* may be cognate with the PIE root \**h<sub>2</sub>eh<sub>1</sub>s-* ‘to dry up’.

Bibl.: WH I: 75, EM 53f., IEW 69, Schrijver 1991: 54, Untermann 2000: 55, LIV \**h<sub>2</sub>eh<sub>1</sub>-*. → *āreō*, *atrōx*

**atrōx, -ōcis** ‘dreadful, fierce’ [adj. *k*] (Naev.+) (abl.sg. *atrōci* passim)

Derivatives: *atrōcitās* ‘savageness, horror’ (Acc.+).

Plt. \**atrōk<sup>w</sup>-*.

PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>h<sub>1</sub>-tr-* ‘fireplace’?

Probably a derivative of the adj. *āter* ‘black’ and the PIE root \**h<sub>3</sub>ek<sup>w</sup>-* ‘to look’, thus ‘having a black aspect’. In order to explain short initial *a-*, Schrijver reconstructs \**HHtro-*, but the change in ablaut should preferably be motivated. As we posit an *r*-stem \**h<sub>2</sub>eh<sub>1</sub>-t-r-* ‘fireplace’ for *āter* ‘black’, this could be analysed as a derivative in \**-tr-* to the root \**h<sub>2</sub>eh<sub>1</sub>-*, and we could posit an ablauting noun: \**h<sub>2</sub>éh<sub>1</sub>-tr*, \**h<sub>2</sub>h<sub>1</sub>-tr-ós*. The short vowel in *atrōx* could then be explained from the weak case forms of the IE *tr*-stem noun. Still, this would require the survival into Plt. of two forms \**ātr-o-* ‘like a fireplace’ > ‘black’ and \**atro-Hk<sup>w</sup>-* ‘looking like a fireplace’ > ‘blackened’, making this hypothesis somewhat cumbersome.

Bibl.: WH I: 77, EM 54, IEW 775ff., Schrijver 1991: 54, 77, LIV \**h<sub>3</sub>ek<sup>w</sup>-*. → *āter*

**atta** ‘father, daddy’ [m. *ā*] (Paul. *ex F.*)

Plt. \**atta-*.

PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>et-o-* ‘daddy’. IE cognates: Hit. *atta-*, CLuw. *tata/i-*, HLUw. *tati-*, Lyd. *taada-* ‘father’, Gr. *ἄρτα* ‘little father’, Alb. *at*, OCS *otъcbъ*, Go. *atta* ‘father’.

A nursery word for ‘father’. It usually has the form *TaTa* or *aTTa*, with *T* being a dental or labial stop.

Bibl.: WH I: 77, EM 54, IEW 71. → *amma*, *anus*

**audeō** ‘to intend, dare’ [v. II; opt. *ausim*, pf. *ausī*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *audāx* ‘daring, bold’ (Naev.+), *audācia* ‘boldness, audacity’ (Pl.+).

Derived from *avidus* ‘greedy’; see under *aveō* for the further etymology. The semantics of *audeō* differ somewhat from its base. Originally, *\*awid-ēje/o-* must have meant ‘to be greedy, to want very much’, as shown by the relic form *sōdēs* ‘if you please’ < *\*sī audēs* ‘if you want very much’ in Plautus, and in a few other usages of *audēre* in the oldest texts. *Audeō* then developed the meaning ‘to dare’.

Bibl.: WH I: 80, EM 55, IEW 77f., Untermann 2000: 135. → *aveō*

**audiō, -īre** ‘to hear’ [v. III] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *oboedīre* ‘to obey’ (Pl.+), *exaudīre* ‘to hear, heed’ (Pl.+), *peraudīre* ‘to hear to the end’ (Pl.).

Plt. *\*awizdje/o-* ‘to hear’.

PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>eu-is* ‘clearly’ + *\*d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-ie/o-* ‘to render’. IE cognates: Hit. *au-* / *u-* ‘to see, look’ < *\*h<sub>2</sub>ou-* / *\*h<sub>2</sub>u-*, CLuw. *a<sub>2</sub>a* (interj.) ‘look!’; Skt. *āvīṣ* ‘evidently’, Av. *āuuīš* < Iir. *\*Hāuīš*, Gr. *αῖω* ‘to perceive, hear’, *ἐπιστός* ‘known’, *αἰσθάνομαι* ‘to perceive’ < *\*h<sub>2</sub>(e)ui-s-(d<sup>h</sup>)-* ‘(to make) manifest’; Lith. *ovyje* ‘in reality’, OCS *(j)avě* ‘manifestly’ < *\*h<sub>2</sub>ēu-ēis* (or loanword from Iranian?).

*Audiō* probably represents a cp. of *\*h<sub>2</sub>euī(s)* ‘manifest’ and the root *\*d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-*. *Audīre* and *oboedīre* can be explained in the most straightforward way if we assume that the Plt. compound originally was *\*auis-ḫ-je/o-*, in the way argued earlier by Pedersen and Solmsen, see the summary in Mąrtzloff 2006: 548-555: *\*áwizdijō* > *\*auzdijō* (for the syncope, cf. *mōnstrum*, *fenstram*, *ṣēmestris*) > *audiō*, and *\*ób-awizdijō* > *\*obowizdijō* > *\*oboizdijō* > *oboediō*. In other words, the rare sequence *-oe-* in non-initial syllable can be explained by assuming that the reduced *\*-a-* in second syllable was rounded and the following *\*w* was lost, before *\*a* could develop into /u/ (as in e.g. *dēpuviō* to *paviō*); the resulting diphthong /oi/ was protected by the following *\*z* from monophthongization to *ū* or *ē*.

Bibl.: WH I: 80, EM 55, IEW 78, Meier-Brügger 1980: 257ff., Schrijver 1991: 76, 135, Meiser 1998: 71. → *auris*

**augeō** ‘to increase (tr.)’ [v. II; pf. *auxī*, ppp. *auctum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *augēscere* ‘to increase, grow’ (Naev.+); *augur*, *-uris* (older *auger* according to Priscian) ‘bird-observer, augur, prophet’ (Cato+), *augurium* ‘augury, omen’ (Pl.+; acc.pl. *augura* Acc. 1x), *augurāre* ‘to foretell’ (Pl.+), *augustus* ‘solemn, venerable’ (Enn.+), *auxilium* ‘assistance, aid’ (Pl.+); *auctāre* ‘to cause to grow’ (Pl.+), *auctārium* ‘overplus’ (Pl., Paul. *ex F.*), *auctor* ‘seller, authoritative person’ (Pl.+), *auctōritās* ‘right of ownership, authority’ (Lex XII+), *auctiō* ‘public sale’ (Pl.+), *auctus*, *-ūs* ‘increase’ (Lucr.+); *augmen*, *-minis* ‘addition’ (Lucr.), *augmentum* ‘increase’ (Sen.+), *augificāre* ‘to make larger’ (Enn. 1x).

Plt. *\*augeje/o-* [v.]; *\*augos*, *-es-* [n.]; *\*auktōr* [m.]. It. cognates: Ven. *augar* ‘offering’ < *\*aug-ār(i)*; maybe U. *uhtur* [nom.sg.], *uhturu* [acc.sg.], which might be the title of a certain official, from *\*auk-tor*. It is disputed whether this is a loan from Latin, or a Plt. formation.

PIE pr. *\*h<sub>2</sub>eug-eie-* (with *e*-grade from the aorist?), present or aorist *\*h<sub>2</sub>eug-s-* ‘to grow’. IE cognates: Skt. *vakṣ-* ‘to grow’ (pr. *ukṣāti*; caus. *vakṣaya-* ‘to make strong’),

Av. *uxšiiia-* ‘to grow’, aor. *vaxš-*, caus. *vaxšaiia-* ‘to let grow’; Gr. αὔξω, ἀέξω, αὐξάνω ‘to increase’, Lith. *áugti*, Go. *aukan*, Olc. *auka*, Go. *wahsjan* ‘to grow’; Skt. *ójas-* [n.] ‘strength’, Av. *aojah-*.

The *s*-stem which we find in IIr. is preserved in *augur* < \**augos* ‘reinforcement, confirmation’ with *r* from the oblique cases. The abstract noun then developed into concrete ‘who makes grow’. The Priscian form *auger* may preserve the ablaut \*-*es-* of the oblique cases. Lat. *augurium* is derived from *augur*, whereas *augustus* is a \**to*-derivative to \**aug-os* (cf. *honustus*). The old pl. of \**augos* is preserved in Acc. *augura*, which has the meaning of *augurium*. The analysis as \**au-gus-* ‘bird-taster’ (cf. Lindner 2002: 232 with further references) seems wholly unmotivated. According to EM, *auxilium* is a back-formation to *auxilia*, pl.n. to \**auxilis* < \**aug-s-ili-*, which must be built on the *s*-variant of the verb (cf. *faciō – facilis*).

Bibl.: WH I: 82, EM 56ff., Lejeune 1974: 331, Leumann 1977: 379, Schrijver 1991: 47, Untermann 2000: 788f., Morani 1984, LIV \**h<sub>2</sub>eug-*.

**aulla** ‘cooking pot, jar’ [f. *ā*] (Naev.+; <*aula*> Naev., Plaut., Cato, Paul. *ex F.*, <*aulla*> rare variant, <*olla*> after Cato)

Derivatives: *auxilla* ‘small jar’ (Paul. *ex F.*), *Aululāria* title of a comedy by Plautus (referring to a jar of money).

Plt. \**auksla-*.

IE cognates: Skt. *ukhá-*, *ukhá-* ‘boiler, pan’; Gr. ἰνός ‘furnace’ (maybe from \**ινός*, cf. Ἐφ-ἰνός, Attic *ἠννε[ύεσθαι]*), Myc. *i-po-no*; Go. *auhns*, OSwe. *oghn* ‘oven’ < PGm. \**úyna-*, \**uyná-*; Olc. *ofn*, OE *ofen*, OHG *ovan* < PGm. \**ofna-* < \**úfna-*.

The dim. *auxilla* shows that *aul(l)a* reflects \**auk-sla-*. It is impossible to unite the Skt., Greek, Germanic and Latin forms under a single preform; they may have nothing to do with each other. For Greek, Vine 1999b has proposed \**sp-no-* to the root \**sep-* (IE?) ‘to cook’ found in ἔψω and Arm. *ep‘em* ‘to cook’, with \**i* from the zero grade between two obstruents. Germ., Skt. and Latin might go back to \**h<sub>2</sub>(e)uK-*, but the unclear status of the velar (Gm. maybe has labiovelar, Skt. a voiceless aspirate, Latin any velar) renders this very uncertain. Latin and Gm. may continue the same substratum word.

Bibl.: WH I: 84, EM 59, IEW 88, Schrijver 1991: 47.

**aurīga** ‘charioteer, pilot’ [m. *ā*] (Varro+)

Derivatives: *aureax* ‘charioteer’ (Paul. *ex F.*).

It is often assumed that *aurīga* contains *aureae* ‘reins’ (once in Paul. *ex F.*), which would be a hypercorrect form for \**ōreae* ‘mouth-pieces’ (e.g. Fraenkel 1948: 165). We might then reconstruct with Dunkel 2000a \**ōs-ei-h<sub>2</sub>g-* ‘bit-driver’ yielding *aureax*. EM reject this hypothesis because of the semantics. Dunkel wants to get *aurīga* from \**ōs-i-h<sub>2</sub>g-*, an ablaut variant of the former, but I doubt whether ablaut would occur in the compound. It seems more likely that *aurīga* represents the older cp., whereas *aureax* contains a restored version of both \**ōreio-* ‘mouth-piece’ and \**-ag-* ‘driver’.

Bibl.: WH I: 85, EM 59, IEW 784f., Dunkel 2000a: 95, Lindner 2002: 217. → *agō*

**auris** ‘ear’ [f. *i*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *auricula* ‘ear’ (Pl.+), *auscultāre* ‘to listen’ (Pl.+), *inaurēs*, *-ium* ‘ear-rings’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*aus(i)-* ‘ear’.

PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>eu(-)s-* ‘ear’. IE cognates: OIr. *áu*, gen.sg. *ae* (*s*-stem) ‘ear’, Av. *uši* [du.] ‘two ears’, Gr. οὖς, οὐρατος, Dor. ὠς < *\*ous*, *\*ous-n-to-*, Alb. *vesh*, OPr. *āusins* [acc.pl.], Lith. *ausis* [f.] ‘ear’, OCS *uxo* [nom.acc.], *ušese* [gen.sg.], Go. *auso*, gen. *ausins* ‘ear’.

From a PIE stem *\*h<sub>2</sub>eus-* (if the *o*-grade in Greek was taken from ‘eye’), which could be a root noun or an *s*-stem to *\*h<sub>2</sub>eu-* ‘to see’ (cf. *audiō*). In the latter case, the verb must have shifted to ‘hear’ after Anatolian split off from the other IE languages. Latin remade the PIE noun into an *i*-stem. The unrhottacized form *\*aus-* has been preserved in *auscultāre*. The latter is often explained from *\*-klut-* ‘hearing’, cf. Skt. *śrut-*: Klingenschmitt (apud Lühr 2000: 292) reconstructs *\*h<sub>2</sub>eus-klut-eh<sub>2</sub>-ie/o-*. The Latin metathesis to *-cult-* could be justified via a stage with syllabic *\*ʃ*: *\*aus-klut-ā-* > *\*áuskʃtā-* > *auscultā-*. If correct, this explanation would all but prove that *auscultāre* contains the unenlarged PIE root noun (or *s*-stem) of ‘ear’, since *\*ausi-klutā-* would not yield *auscultāre*.

Bibl.: WH I: 85, EM 59f., IEW 785, Leumann 1977: 101, Schrijver 1991: 47.

**aurōra** ‘dawn’ [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *Aurēlius* ‘name of a Roman gens’ (Cic.+).

Plt. *\*ausōs*:

PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>éus-ōs*, *-os-m*, *\*h<sub>2</sub>us-s-ós* [f.] ‘dawn’. IE cognates: Skt. *uṣās* [nom.sg.], *uṣás* [gen.sg.], Av. *ušah-* [f.], Gr. ἔως, Ion. ἠώς, Dor. ἀφώς, ἀφώρ, Aeol. αὔως [f.] ‘dawn’.

Latin *aurōra* represents an *a*-stem extension to an original *\*ausōs*, *\*ausōsem* > *\*aurōs*, *\*aurōrem*; probably due to the f. gender of the PIE word. See Driessen 2003b: 357 for an explanation of *Aurēlius* on the basis of a noun *\*ausēla* ‘sun’ vel sim.

Bibl.: WH I: 86, EM 60, IEW 86f., Schrijver 1991: 47, 74, LIV 1. *\*h<sub>2</sub>ues-*. → *auster*

**aurum** ‘gold’ [n. *o*] (Lex XII+)

Derivatives: *aureus* ‘golden, of gold’ (Andr.+), *aureolus* ‘id.’ (Pl.+), *aurārius* ‘concerned with gold’ (Pl.+), *aurātus* ‘gilded’ (Pl.+); *aurifex/aurifex*, *-icis* ‘goldsmith’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*auso-*. It. cognates: Lat. *ausum* ‘gold’ from Sabine, according to Paul. *ex F*.

PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>é-h<sub>2</sub>us-o-* [n.] ‘glow’. IE cognates: OPr. *ausis*, Lith. *áuksas* (AP 3), dial. *áusas* (AP 1) ‘gold’. ToA *wās*, ToB *yasa* have been explained as borrowings from Uralic by Driessen 2003b: 349f. (from Proto-Samoyed; Kallio 2004 is sympathetic to this idea.).

Driessen 2003b reconstructs a reduplicated form in order to get a sequence of laryngeal plus vowel causing the acute intonation of Lith. *áu(k)sas*. He ventures the hypothesis that *\*h<sub>2</sub>é-h<sub>2</sub>us-o-* has its neuter gender from starting out as an attribute of *\*h<sub>2</sub>eies-* ‘metal’.

Bibl.: WH I: 86, EM 60, IEW 86, Schrijver 1991: 47, LIV 1. *\*h<sub>2</sub>ues-*.

**auster, -trī** ‘south wind; south’ [m. o] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *austrālis* ‘southern’ (Cic.+).

Plt. *\*aus-tero-* [adj.] ‘towards the dawn’.

PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>eus-tero-*. IE cognates: Skt. *usrá-* ‘red, matutinal’, *ušar-búdh-* ‘waking at dawn’; Gr. *αὔριον* ‘tomorrow’, *ἄρχι-αυρος* ‘near the morning’ < *\*h<sub>2</sub>eus-r-*; Lith. *aušrà*, Latv. *àustra* ‘dawn’, OCS (*j*)*utro* ‘dawn, morning, tomorrow’ [n.] < *\*h<sub>2</sub>eus-ro-*; OHG *ōst(a)ra, -ūn* ‘Easter’.

Although Latin *auster* indicates a different wind than Germanic *\*aust-* ‘east’, it may still be cognate. Whereas BSl. and Germ. *\*austra-/oustro-* can go back to an adj. *\*h<sub>2</sub>eus-ro-*, we must posit a suffix *\*-tero-* for Latin (since *\*-sr-* would yield *-br-*). The adj. of BSl. and Gm. was probably derived from an *r*-stem noun in PIE; we find *e*-grade in the Greek forms and zero-grade in Skt. *usrá-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 87, EM 60, IEW 86f., Schrijver 1991: 47, Driessen 2003b: 356f., LIV 1. *\*h<sub>2</sub>ues-*. → *aurōra*

**aut** ‘either...or’ [cj.] (Lex XII, Andr.+)

Derivatives: *autem* ‘on the other hand, but, indeed’ (Andr.+).

Plt. *\*aute/i, \*autī/ei*. It. cognates: O. *aut, avt* ‘but, or’ (< *\*aute/i*); O. *auti*, U. *ute, ote* ‘or’ [cj.] (< *\*autī/ei*).

PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>eu* ‘away’. IE cognates: OIr. *úa* ‘from’, Skt. *áva* ‘off, down’, OAv. *auuā*, YAv. *auua* ‘towards’ < *\*h<sub>2</sub>euo*; Gr. *αὔ* ‘again, on the contrary’, Gr. *αὔτε, αὐτάρ*, OPr. Lith. *au-*, OCS. *u-* ‘away from’.

Lat. *aut* < *\*auti* or *\*aute*; *autem* < *\*auti* + *m*. Final *-em* may be the particle *\*-em* found in *īdem, item*, or the regular reflex of PIE *\*-im* (in which case *-im* in the *i*-stems must be due to restoration of *-i-*, whereas the adverbs in *-im* continue *\*-īm* < *\*-iH + m*).

Bibl.: WH I: 87, EM 61, IEW 72f., Schrijver 1991: 48, Sihler 1995: 65, Untermann 2000: 136f. → *vē-*

**autumnus** ‘autumn’ [m. o] (Enn.+)

EM and others suppose an Etruscan origin for this word. Although ‘summer’, ‘winter’ and ‘spring’ are inherited IE words in Latin, a foreign origin of *autumnus* is conceivable, since we cannot reconstruct a PIE word for ‘autumn’; cf. Mallory–Adams 1997: 504.

Bibl.: WH I: 87f., EM 61.

**autumō, -āre** ‘to allege, say’ [v. I] (Pl.+)

Maybe derived from *autem*, as *negō* from *nec*. The word died out at the end of the Republic. See Ernout 1937 for the meaning of the word.

Bibl.: WH I: 88, EM 61.

**avēna** ‘oats; stalk, straw’ [f. ā] (Enn.+)

Plt. *\*aweksnā-*.

IE cognates: OPr. *wyse*, Lith. *avižà*, Latv. *àuzas* ‘oats’ [nom.pl.], ORu. *овьсь*, Ru.

*ovēs* [m.], SCr. *òvas* 'id.' < PSl. \**ovьsь* < PBSl. \**awiž(s)-*.

WH assume that \**avīna* was changed to *avēna* on the model of *arēna* 'sand' and other words in *-ēna*, but this is unlikely: the suffix *-ēna* occurs in other Latin words too, and *arēna* is too far removed from *avēna* in meaning. Probably a non-IE substratum word: the suffix \**-igʰ-* is strange, Slavic and Baltic do not have the same suffix, and in Latin we would expect the suffix to yield \**-īna* rather than *-ēna*. The loanword may have been incorporated into Latin as \**aweksna-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 81, EM 56, IEW 88, Leumann 1977: 323, Schrijver 1991: 46f.

**aveō** 'to be eager' [v. II] (Varro+)

Derivatives: *avidus* 'desirous' (Pl.+), *aviditās* 'greed; lust' (Pl.+); *avārus* 'greedy, stingy (esp. with regard to money)' (Naev.+), *avāritia* 'greed of gain' (Pl.+).

PIt. \**awē-* 'to be eager'.

PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>eu-eh<sub>1</sub>-* 'to enjoy, consume'. IE cognates: W. *ewyllys*, Co. *awell* 'will' < \**awi-sl-* (thus Schrijver 1991); Skt. *avasá-* [n.] 'refreshment, food', *avisyánt-* 'longing for food', *avisyā-* [f.] 'gluttony', *avisyú-* 'gluttonous', *āvayat* [3s.ipf.act.] 'to eat, digest', YAv. *auuanḡha-* [n.] 'provision'; Arm. *aviwn* 'lust'; maybe Alb. *ha* 'to eat'.

The verb is interpreted as an old caus. by LIV (although the alleged meaning is not specified), and compared with Skt. *āvaya-* 'to eat'. Vine 2006a: 226f. argues that a preform \**ou-éie-* would confirm his view of \**ou* > \**au̯* as conditioned by pretonic position. Lat. *avārus* is obviously a derivative of (the stem of) *aveō* 'to desire', but the exact formational process is unclear. The only other clear adj. in *-ārus* is *amārus* 'bitter', the appurtenance of which to the verb *amāre* is disputed, and which would in any case have been opaque to speakers of Latin. One might compare *ignārus* 'ignorant' and *gnārus* 'knowing' to (*i*)*gnōtus* etc.; but since the verb is (*i*)*gnōscō*, this yields no model for *aveō* : *avārus*.

Bibl.: WH I: 79, 81, EM 55f., IEW 77f., Leumann 1977: 315, Schrijver 1991: 47, LIV \**h<sub>2</sub>eu-*.

**avis** 'bird' [f. *i*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *avīcula* 'little bird' (Varro+); *auspex*, *-icis* 'augur, bird-diviner' (Pl.+), *auspicium* 'augury, omen' (Elog., Naev.+), *auspicāre/ī* 'to take the auspices, enter upon' (Naev.+); *auceps*, gen.sg. *aucupis* 'bird-catcher' (Pl.+), *aucupāre/ī* 'to go bird-catching' (Pl.+), *aucupium* 'bird-catching; wild fowl' (Pl.+); *auca* 'bird, esp. goose' (Avianus [ca. 400 AD], gloss.).

PIt. \**awi-* 'bird'. It. cognates: U. *avif*, *avef*, *auif*, *aeif*, *auiei* [acc.pl.], *avis*, *aves*, *aveis* [abl.pl.] 'bird' (< \**awi-*); *auie* [dat./loc. sg.] 'bird-watching'; *aviekate* [dat.sg.f., analysis uncertain]; *aviekla*, *auiecla* [abl.sg.f.], *avieklufe* [acc.pl.m. + *-en*], *auiehclu*, *auieclu* [acc.pl.m.], *auieclir*, *auiehcleir* [abl.pl.m.] 'auguralis' < \**awjē-klo-* < \**-tlo-*?

PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>eu-i-* 'bird'. IE cognates: MW *hwyat*, MBret. *houat* 'duck' < PBr. \**swijatV-* < (\**s-*?)*h<sub>2</sub>ui-eto-*; Skt. *váy-* [m.], nom.sg. *véh/vih*, YAv. *vaii-* [m.] 'bird', Gr. αἰετός 'eagle' < \**h<sub>2</sub>euī-eto-*, Arm. *haw* 'bird'.

Lat. *auspex* < \**awi-speks*, *auceps* < \**awi-kaps*. The Italic and Indo-Iranian forms point to an *i*-stem: PIE nom.sg. \**h<sub>2</sub>éu-i-s*, gen.sg. \**h<sub>2</sub>u-éi-s* or nom.sg. \**h<sub>2</sub>uói-s*, gen.

\**h<sub>2</sub>u<sub>é</sub>i-s*. Since Schindler 1969, the word is often interpreted as a root noun, but this is unlikely for the following reasons: 1. The root would have ended in \*-*ui*, 2. We need a full grade \**Heu-* in Latin and Armenian. If ‘egg’ is indeed a *vyddhi* derivative \**h<sub>2</sub>ōuio-* (see s.v. *ōvum*), it is likely that an *o*-grade \**h<sub>2</sub>ou-i-* existed at some stage. Lat. *auca* is probably a back-formation to \**aucula* and/or postclassical *aucella*.

Bibl.: WH I: 79, 84, EM 58, IEW 86, Schindler 1969: 158f., Schrijver 1991: 30, 1995: 102, Beekes 1995: 175, Untermann 2000: 140f. → *ōvum*

**avus** ‘grandfather’ [m. *o*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *avunculus* ‘maternal uncle’ (Pl.+); *avia* ‘grandmother’ (Pl.+); *avitus* ‘belonging to a grandfather, ancestral’ (Cic.+).

PIt. \**awo-*.

PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>euh<sub>2</sub>-* ‘grandfather (on mother’s side)’, also ‘grandmother’? IE cognates: OIr. *ae* ‘grandson’ < \**aujo-*; MW *ewythr*, Bret. *eontr* ‘uncle’ < \**auontir* < \**h<sub>2</sub>eu-on-tēr/tr(i)o-* (vel sim.); Hit. *huhha-*, CLuw. *hūha-*, HLuw. *huha-*, Lyc. *χuge-*, Arm. *haw* (gen. *hawow*) ‘grandfather’, OPr. *awis*, Lith. *avynas*, OCS *ujb*, SCr. *ūjāk* ‘uncle on mother’s side’ < BSl. \**aujo-*; Go. *awo* ‘grandmother’, OIc. *á* ‘great-grandfather’.

Lat. *avitus* is more recent, and will have been formed after *maritus*. *Avunculus* is a diminutive of an original *n*-stem, which is also preserved in the British Celtic words for ‘uncle’. Lat. *avia* is regarded as a relatively old f. to *avus* by Leumann 1977, but it may also represent a f. to \**awjo-* ‘grandson/uncle’ as in BSl. and OIr. Kloekhorst 2008: 352f. reconstructs an original root noun \**h<sub>2</sub>euh<sub>2</sub>-*/\**h<sub>2</sub>uh<sub>2</sub>-*, in order to explain the difference between a geminate *-hh-* in Hittite and the single *-h-* of the Luwian languages.

Bibl.: WH I: 88, EM 61f., IEW 89, Beekes 1976, Leumann 1977: 307, Schrijver 1991: 48, Schrijver 1995: 326, 360, 366.

**axiō** ‘the horned owl’ [*n*] (Pliny)

PIt. \**ak(e)s-* + *-iōn-* ‘the one with tufts’.

Without etymology so far. Some owls have two feathery protuberances on their heads, after which they are named: Dutch *ooruil*, NHG *Ohreule* ‘ear owl’. Judging by Pliny’s description, the *axiō* belongs to this type of owls, and maybe it was called after its protuberances. Lat. *axiō* may be derived from the basis \**h<sub>2</sub>ek<sup>h</sup>-os-* of *acus* ‘chaff’, thus meaning ‘the pointed one’.

Bibl.: WH I: 89, EM 62. → *acus*

**axis** ‘axle; chariot’ [m. *i*] (Pac.+)

Derivatives: *āla* ‘arm-pit, wing’ (Naev.+), *axilla* ‘arm-pit’ (Varro).

PIt. \**aksi-* ‘axle’, \**akselā-* ‘arm-pit / wing’.

PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>éks-(i)-* ‘axle, arm-pit’. IE cognates: W. *echel*, Bret. *ahel* ‘axle, axis’, Skt. *ákṣa-* ‘axle’, YAv. *aša-* ‘arm-pit’, Gr. ἄξων [m.] ‘axle’; Gr. ἄμαξα [f.] ‘four-wheeled cart’ (< PIE \**sm-h<sub>2</sub>éks-ih<sub>2</sub>-*); OPr. *assis*, Lith. *ašis* [m.], CS *osb*, Ru. *os* [f.] ‘axle, axis’ < \**h<sub>2</sub>éks-i-*; OHG *ahsa*, OE *eax* ‘axle’ < PGm. \**axsō-*, OIc. *oxull* ‘id.’ (<



\**axsula-*), OIc. *qxl*, OE *eaxl*, OHG *ahsala* ‘arm-pit’.

As Hamp 1981b: 83 points out, the PIE date of the *i*-stem is not certain, since Gm., Ilr. and Gr. do not show an *i*-stem. Lat. *āla* can have the same Italo-Celtic morphological origin as PBr. \**akselo/ā-*, whence *axilla* as a secondary diminutive. The root \**h<sub>2</sub>ek(s)-* in ‘axle’ might be derived from the root \**h<sub>2</sub>eg-* ‘to lead’.

Bibl.: WH I: 25, 89, EM 19, 62, IEW 4-6, Schrijver 1991: 48.

## B

**bāca** ‘fruit of tree or shrub, berry, nut, etc.’ [f. *ā*] (Cato+; sometimes *bacca*)

Derivatives: *bācula* ‘small berry’ (Plin.), *bacar* ‘wine-vessel like a *bacriō*’ (Paul. *ex F.*), *bacriō* ‘kind of vessel with a rather long handle’ (Paul. *ex F.*).

The connection with the Greek god Βάκχος ‘Bacchus; also the twig which his followers bear’ is uncertain, since the Greek name was probably borrowed from Lydian *Baki-* in the name *Bakivalis* = Διονυσικλέους (Beekes *flh.*). Boutkan-Kossmann 1999 have suggested a comparison with Berber \**bqā* ‘blackberry, mulberry’. Latin and Berber might have borrowed the word from a substrate language.

Bibl.: WH I: 91, EM 63, Boutkan-Kossmann 1999: 28f.

**baculum** ‘stick, staff’ [n. *o*] (Cic.+)

Derivatives: *bacillum* (Afran.+) ‘small stick’.

PIt. \**bak-(k)elo-*.

IE cognates: Ir. *bacc* ‘hook, crooked staff’, W. *bach* ‘id.’ < PCI. \**bakko-*; Gr. βάκτρον, βακτηρία, βακτήριον ‘stick, staff’; OE *pægel* ‘wine-jug’, MDu. *pegel* ‘peg, pin, bolt’ < PGm. \**pagila-* < \**bak-elo-*, ME *pegge* ‘peg’ < \**bak-ion-*. Possibly also Lith. *bàkstelėti* ‘to thrust’, Latv. *bakstīt* ‘to poke’.

A number of languages show words in \**bak-* meaning some kind of ‘staff’ or ‘peg’. Since \**b* was very rare in PIE, and Celtic shows an unexplained geminate, we are probably dealing with a loanword from an unidentified source.

Bibl.: WH I: 92, EM 64, 309f., IEW 93, Schrijver 1991: 100.

**badius** ‘brown, chestnut coloured (of horses)’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Varro+; rare)

PIt. \**boþjo-*.

The presence of *di* in *badius* suggests an original voiced aspirate (as in *medius*), since PIE \**di* would have yielded *ii* (as in *pēior*). The Latin word can be connected with OIr. *buide* ‘yellow’ < PCI. \**bod-io-*. The limited distribution and initial \**b-* render PIE origin unlikely. If we assume a preform \**bad<sup>h</sup>ios*, the Irish word must belong to those words in which \**o* was raised between a labial and a palatal consonant, cf. Thurneysen 1946: 50. Yet such raised forms usually exist side by side with unraised forms in Irish (e.g. *moirb/mairb*, *muig/maig*), which is not the case for *buide*. If we

assume *\*bodʰios*, we might assume that Latin *badius* represents a case of unrounding of *\*o* after a labial consonant, which Schrijver 1991: 454ff. only assumes after *\*m*, *\*ɥ* and PIE labiovelars. The latter solution seems slightly more attractive; there are no counterexamples with *bo-* < PIE *\*bʰo-* in Latin.

Bibl.: WH I: 92, EM 64, IEW 92, Leumann 1977: 156.

**baetō, -ere** ‘to go’ [v. III] (Lex XII+; *bae-* Lex XII, Nonius, *bae-*, *bē-* Pac., *bī-* 4x Pl.)

Derivatives: *adbūtēre* ‘to approach’ (Pl.), *ēbūtēre* ‘to go out’ (Pl.), *imbūtēre* ‘to enter’ (Pl.), *interbūtēre* ‘to fail’ (Pl.), *perbūtēre* ‘to perish’ (Andr.+), *praeterbūtēre* ‘to go past’ (Pl.), *prōbūtēre* ‘to go forward’ (Pac.), *rebūtēre* ‘to return’ (Pl.), *trānsbūtēre* ‘to come across’ (Pl.).

The attestations suggest that *baetō* was the original form which sometimes became *bētō*, while *-bītō* was regular in non-initial syllables (especially in Plautus). Where *bītō* occurs independently (4x in Plautus), it must be a decomposed form. A possibly cognate form is U. *ebetraf* [acc.pl.], maybe ‘city border’, if from *\*eχ-bait-rā-* ‘exit’. There is no good etymology.

Bibl.: WH I: 92f., EM 64, Untermann 2000: 142, 143, 196. → *arbiter*

**bāiulus** ‘porter, carrier’ [m. *o*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *bāiulāre* ‘to carry’ (Pl.+).

Theoretically, *bāiulus* could go back to *\*bagelos* (thus Schrijver 1991), with a stem *\*bag-* which might be cognate with Germanic *\*pak-* (ME *packe*). Romance words such as Prov. *baga* ‘bundle’, Span. *baga* ‘capsule of flaxseed’ are either borrowings from Germanic, or they continue a Celtic form, which could also have been borrowed into Latin and yielded *bāiulus*. In either case, PIE origin seems unlikely.

Bibl.: WH I: 93, EM 64, Schrijver 1991: 100.

**balbus** ‘stammering’ [m. *o*] (Lucil.+)

Derivatives: *balbuttīre* / *balbūtīre* ‘to stammer’ (Cic.+).

Plt. *\*balbo-*.

PIE *\*bl-bl-* ‘meaningless twaddle, stammering’. IE cognates: Skt. *balbalā-karōti* ‘stammers’, Gr. βαμβαλόζω ‘to have chattering teeth’, Lith. *balbāsyti*, *blebēnti*, *blebēti* ‘to chatter’, Ru. *bolobólitʹ*, Bulg. *blabólʹv*, *bʹlbólʹv* ‘to blether’, SCr. *blābositi*, Cz. *beblati*, *biblati* ‘to stammer’.

Lat. *balbūtīre* presupposes an adj. *\*balb-ūtus* (cf. *canūtus*, *hirsūtus*). This can go back to a reduplicated PIE formation in *\*bl-bl-*, of onomatopoeic origin, mimicking incomprehensible speech. The exact form of this expression cannot be reconstructed.

Bibl.: WH I: 94, EM 65, IEW 91f.. → *blatiō*

**ballaena** ‘whale’ [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

According to EM, Greek φάλλαίνα, φάλλη ‘whale’ and Latin *ballaena* were probably borrowed from a common, unknown source. Leumann 1977, however, thinks that *ballaena* may have been directly borrowed from Greek, since the Gr. f. formation

would be regular from an earlier \*φαλλων. It remains unclear why Latin would have rendered Gr. *ph-* by means of *b-*. In any case, the borrowing into Latin must have occurred recently enough for *-ae-* to have been retained in the second syllable.

Bibl.: WH I: 94, EM 65, IEW 120ff., Leumann 1977: 158f.

**bālō, -āre** 'to bleat' [v. I] (Pl.+; *bēlō* in glosses)

Derivatives: *bālitāre* 'to bleat' (Pl.).

The rendering of sheep's bleating in the IE languages seems to vacillate between *ā* and *ē* (cf. Eng. *baa*, Dutch [bɛ]). The suffix *-lāre* is reminiscent of *ululāre*; since the latter is probably a reduplicated (onomatopoeic) formation *ul-ul-āre*, *bālāre* has probably adopted it from there. EM and WH compare IE forms in \**blē-* but these must be connected with *flēre*, and hence continue PIE \**b<sup>h</sup>l-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 95, EM 65, IEW 96. → *balbus*, *blatiō*, *ululāre*

**barba** 'beard' [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *barbātus* 'bearded' (Elog.Scip., Pl.+), *barbula* 'little beard' (Lucil.+), *barbitium* 'a growth of beard' (Apul.+); *imberbis* [adj.] 'beardless' (Lucil.+).

PIt. \**farfā-* 'beard'. Modern Italian *farfecchie* 'moustache' may reflect Sab., probably U. \**farfa*.

IE cognates: OPr. *bordus* 'beard', Lith. *barzdà* (acc.sg. *barždq*), Latv. *bārda*, OCS *brada*, Ru. *borodá* 'id.' < BSl. \**bordá?*, Lith. *barzdótas*, OCS *bradatyi* 'bearded'; OHG *bart*, OE *beard*, OFr. *berd* [m.] < Gm. \**bard-a-*;

From PIIt. \**farfa*, we expect Latin \**farba*. WH and EM explain initial *b-* from assimilation to *-rb-*. The \**a* in the PIE root is difficult to explain via ablaut, and rather points to a non-IE borrowing into the European languages. Lat. *barbātus* 'bearded' and the BSl. forms for 'bearded' might go back to a PIE denominal adj. in *-eh<sub>2</sub>-to-* but may also be independent innovations of the respective branches.

Bibl.: WH I: 96, EM 66, IEW 110, Schrijver 1991: 488, Kuiper 1995: 66.

**bardus** 'stupid, dull' [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

WH and EM assume a loanword, possibly from Etruscan.

Bibl.: WH I: 96, EM 66.

**bāsium** 'kiss' [n. *o*] (Cat.+)

Derivatives: *bāsiāre* 'to kiss' (Cat.+).

The recent date of attestation renders a loanword likely. Since Catullus, who introduced the word into the written language, was from Verona, it might have been Celtic. The original meaning of *bāsium* included an erotic connotation absent from *ōsculum*; in Imperial Latin, *bāsium* became the general word for 'kiss'. Many IE and non-IE languages contain a word for 'kiss' in \**bu-* plus a sibilant, pointing to onomatopoeic origin: Mir. *bus*, *pus* 'lip', *busóc*, *pusóc* 'kiss', MoP *bōsīdan*, Lith. *bučiūoti* 'to kiss', NHG *Buss*, Eng. *buss*, Swe. *puss* 'kiss'. It seems likely that *bāsium* has a similar source.

Bibl.: WH I: 97f., EM 67.

**bellum** ‘war, warfare’ [n. *o*] (Naev.+; older *duellum*, e.g. in Pl.)

Derivatives: *bellicus/duellicus* ‘of war’ (Pl.+), *Bellōna* ‘Roman goddess of war’ (Pl.+), inscr. *Duelonai* (gen.sg.); *perduellis* [m.] ‘national enemy’ (Pl.+), *perduelliō* ‘(state) treason’ (Valerius Antias+); *bellātor* ‘warrior’ (Pl.+). (Pl. 1x *duellator*).

The retention of *du* in *perduellis* is ascribed to juridical terminology by Meiser 1998. In Plautus, *duellum* is disyllabic, whereas later poets use it as a trisyllable. This may be either the result of folk etymology with *duo*, or a regular development (Sihler 1995: 180). The change of *\*dwe-* > *bo-* may not have taken place because of *ll exilis* (Schrijver 1991: 467f.), but *Duelona* would not fit into this explanation: it would have to be a very recent formation on the basis of *duellum*. The best etymology for *duellum* so far has been proposed by Pinault 1987, who posits a dim. *\*duenelo-* to *bonus*. If *\*duenelo-* meant ‘quite good, quite brave’, its use in the context of war (*bella acta, bella gesta*) could be understood as a euphemism, ultimately yielding a meaning ‘action of valour, war’ for the noun *bellum*.

Bibl.: WH I: 100, EM 68, IEW 179ff., Schrijver 1991: 251. → *bonus*

**bēlua** ‘beast’ [f. *ā*] (Naev.+; var. *bellua* in some mss.)

Derivatives: *bēluātus* ‘provided with beasts’ (Pl.+), *bēlūtus* [adj.] ‘like a beast’ (Paul. *ex F.*).

The derivatives would be regular to a *u*-stem, but they must be more recent, since *-lua* must go back to earlier *\*-lVwa*. The similarity in meaning with *bēstia* has prompted an etymology as *\*bēslVwa*; since words for ‘animal’ can be derived from ‘to breathe’ (cf. Gm. *\*diusa-* ‘animal’ to PIE *\*d<sup>h</sup>us-* ‘to breathe’), a preform *\*d<sup>h</sup>ues-lo-* has been proposed for *bēlua*. Pokorny 1959 is very sceptical, since initial *\*d<sup>h</sup>u-* should give Lat. *f-*. Meiser 1998: 118 explains *b-* from word-internal sandhi, but nothing points to this word having formed part of a compound. Thus, the etymology remains uncertain.

Bibl.: WH I: 100, EM 68, IEW 268ff., Leumann 1977: 341. → *bēstia, furō*

**beō, -āre** ‘to make happy, gladden’ [v. I] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *beātus* ‘happy, fortunate’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*dwejo-*?

A connection with *bonus* < *\*dwenos* is semantically attractive, but the morphology is unclear. One might start from an adj. *\*bejo-* ‘happy’ (or a noun *\*beja* ‘happiness’) from which *beāre* could be derived. We would then have *\*dwe-no-* ‘good’ beside *\*dwe-jo-* ‘happy’; for the difficult task of finding a PIE root, see s.v. *bonus*.

Bibl.: WH I: 101, EM 69, IEW 218f. → *bonus*

**berber** ‘?’ [adj. *o/ā?*] (Carmen Arvale)

The exact meaning of *berber* is unknown, which renders etymologizing difficult. It only occurs in the following verse in the Carmen Arvale: *Satur fu, fere Mars. Limen sali. Sta berber*. ‘Be satisfied, fierce Mars. Leap (over) the threshold. Stand *berber*’. The interpretation of this verse seems strange: why do we twice find the word order  $P_{[\text{predicate}]}V_{[\text{erb}]}$  (*fu, sali*), but once VP (*sta*)? Katz 1998a and 2006 translates *berber* as

‘firm, still’ and reconstructs *\*ferbro-* < *\*ferfro-* < *\*ferχro-* by postulating two rules: (a) a Pan-Italic change *\*rχ > \*rf*, (b) assimilation of *\*f-* to a following *\*b* preceded by *\*r*, as might be assumed for *barba* < *\*far(r)bā-* < Plt. *\*far(s)fā-*. Both rules are ad hoc, as Driessen 2001: 58f. rightly points out (see also the reaction in Katz 2006), and, moreover, the translation of *berber* as ‘firm’ is a guess. The two other examples which Katz adduces for his rule (a), viz. *masturbor* and *orbis*, are too uncertain to establish this development.

Bibl.: WH I: 101, EM 69.

**bēstia** ‘beast, animal’ [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Uncertain etymology, cf. *bēhua*.

Bibl.: WH I: 102, EM 69, IEW 268ff.

**bi-** ‘consisting of two, having two’ [pref.] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *dvi-* in *dvidēns* ‘with two teeth’ (Paul. *ex F.*) (*bidens* [adj.] Acc.+), *bidens* [m./f.] Lab.+), *dvicēnsus* ‘cum altero, id est, cum filio census’ (Paul. *ex F.*). Earliest attested cp. in *bi-*: *bipēs*, *bipedis* ‘two-footed’ (Naev.+). Opaque forms in *\*bi-*: *bīgae*, *-ārum* ‘pair of horses (rarely other animals), chariot with two horses’ (Enn.+), < *\*dwi-jug-ā-* ‘having two yokes’, *bīmus* ‘two years old, of two years’ (Cato+) < *\*dwi-χimo-* ‘having two winters’, *bignae* [f.pl.] ‘twins’ (Paul. *ex F.*).

Plt. *\*dwi-* ‘two’.

PIE *\*dui-* ‘two’. IE cognates: Skt. *dvi-*, *vi-* ‘apart’, Av. *bi-*, Gr. *δι-*, Arm. *erki* (< *\*ki-* + analogical *\*er-*), OPr. *dwi-*, Lith. *dvi-*, Olc. *tve-*, *tvi-*, OE *twi-*, OHG *zwi-* ‘two’.

Long *ī* in *bīduum* ‘period of two days’ (Cato+) is ascribed to analogy with *trīduum*, where it came from *postrī-diē* (thus WH). Since the length of the *i* in *bignae* cannot be established, it is uncertain whether it continues *\*dwi-gno-* or *\*dwis-gno-*. Forms in *di-* ‘two’, such as *diennium*, *dīmus* ‘bīmus’, *divium*, *dissulcus*, *difāriam*, are only attested in glosses and have graecisizing *di-*. The comparison of U. *difue* ‘?’ with Gr. *διφύης* ‘with two shapes’ is rejected by Meiser 1986: 185f.; the U. word might be a loan from Greek (thus Heidermanns apud Untermann 2000: 179). Lat. *bi-* regularly reflects PIE *\*dwi-* ‘two’ used as the first member of a compound. The origin of *\*i* in the PIE formation is not clear. It might be a compositional suffix, but maybe it was rather added analogically after *\*tri-* ‘three’, where *\*i* belongs to the stem. In Ilr. we also find the preverb Skt. *vi-*, Av. *vī-* < *\*(H)ui-* ‘apart’, which Lubotsky 1994 has reconstructed as *\*dwi-* ‘(into) two’.

Bibl.: WH I: 104-107, EM 70, IEW 228ff., Leumann 1977: 487f., Meiser 1986: 185f., Coleman 1992: 422, Lubotsky 1994, Sihler 1995: 408, Untermann 2000: 178f., 191ff. → *bis*, *duo*

**bibō, -ere** ‘to drink’ [v. III; pf. *bibī*, ppp. *bibitum*] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *bibōsus* ‘addicted to drink’ (Lab.+), *bibulus* ‘fond of drinking, absorbent’ (Lucr.+); *adbibere* ‘to drink in’ (Pl.+), *combibere* ‘to absorb’ (Cato+), *combibō* ‘drinking-companion’ (Lucil.+), *ēbibere* ‘to drink up, swallow’ (Pl.+), *perbibere* ‘to drink deeply, absorb’ (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*pibe/o-*. It. cognates: Fal. **pafo**, **pipafo** /p/bafō/, /p/bibāfō/ [Is.fut.] 'I will drink' (Lejeune 1990); Sicel πῖε [2s.ipv.act.] 'drink!'.

PIE *\*pi-ph<sub>3</sub>-e/o-* [pr.] 'to drink'. IE cognates: Gaul. *ibeti-s* 'drink!' < *\*pibete*, OIr. *ibid*, *ib*, MW *yuet*, MCo. *eva* 'to drink', MBret. *euaff*, *yvet* < PCl. *\*pibe/o-*; Skt. *pībati*, Arm. *əmpem* 'to drink' < *\*pimb-* (Klingenschmitt 1982: 156). Less certain OAlb. *pīi* /pī/ 'to drink' (Demiraj 1997: 318f.).

In view of the etymology *\*pibe-*, initial *b-* of *bibō* must be due to assimilation to the word-internal *-b-*. PIIt. *\*pibe/o-* is confirmed by Sicel πῖε, if this indeed means 'drink!'. Faliscan *pafo* and *pipafo* are ambiguous as regards their stop and the length of *a*: the interpretation given here is that by Lejeune 1990. The Lat. pf. *bibī* probably replaces *\*bebī* < *\*be-b-ī* (Leumann 1977: 587, Meiser 2003: 210), which must have assimilated initial *\*p-* to word-internal *b*. Word-internal *-b-* is probably the result of voicing in the cluster *\*-ph<sub>3</sub>-* in PIE times. The root *\*ph<sub>3</sub>-* 'to drink' is furthermore found in Lat. *pōtus* and *pōcūm*.

Bibl.: WH I: 103, EM 70, IEW 839f., Leumann 1977: 92, 580, Schrijver 1991: 412f., Praust 1998, Schumacher 2004: 516f., LIV *\*peh<sub>3</sub>(i)-* → *pōtus*

**bīlls** 'bile' [f. *i*] (Pl.+)

PIt. *\*bistli-*.

IE cognates: W. *bustl*, MCo. *bystel*, Bret. *bestl* 'gall, bile' < PCl. *\*bistli-*.

Schrijver 1995: 400 has shown that the British Celtic word for 'gall, bile' goes back to *\*bistlis*; the same can be true for Lat. *bīlis*. Comparison with Lat. *pīlum* 'a pounder, pestle of a mortar' < *\*pillo-* < *\*pidlo-* < *\*pistlo-* (next to the dim. *pistillum* 'little pounder' < *\*pistlelo-*; cf. Driessen 2004) suggests a development *\*bistli-* > *\*bisdli-* > *\*bislli-* > *bīlis*. Since we must posit initial *\*b-*, and since this word is only found in Italic and Celtic, it is possible that the word is not PIE. But if *\*bistlis* were borrowed from Celtic into Italic, one might think of a preform *\*bid-tli-* to PIE root *\*b<sup>h</sup>eid-* 'to split off', which in Germanic has come to meaning 'bite': 'bile' is a biting substance.

Bibl.: WH I: 105, EM 71, IEW 102. → *findō*

**bis** 'twice' [adv.] (Pl.+; *dvīs* Cic. *Orator* 153)

Derivatives: *bīnī*, *-ae*, *-a* [adj.pl.] 'two at a time; a set of two' (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*dwis*.

PIE *\*dwis* 'twice'. IE cognates: Skt. *dvīṣ*, Av. *biš*, Gr. *δίς*, MHG *zwir* 'twice'.

The multiplicative *\*dwis* may be analyzed as 'twice' plus PIE *\*-s*, or as 'two' plus PIE *\*-is* by analogy with *\*tris* 'thrice'. Lat. *bīnī* < *\*dwis-no-* presupposes earlier *\*dwi-no-*, which can be compared with Gm. *\*twi-na-* in OHG *zwinā*, *zwenel* 'twinned'; Gm. *\*twai-na-* in OS *twēne* 'two', OHG *zwēne*; Gm. *\*twiz-na-* in OIc. *tvennr*, *tvinnr* 'twofold', pl. *tvenner* 'two belonging together', OHG *zwirnēn* 'to twist together twice'.

Bibl.: WH I: 107, EM 71, IEW 228ff. → *dis-*

**bitūmen**, **-minis** 'pitch, asphalt' [n. *n*] (Cato+)

PIE *\*g<sup>w</sup>et-u-* 'pitch, resin'. IE cognates: OIr. *be(i)the* 'buxus', MW *bedw* 'birches', Co. *bedewen* 'poplar', Bret. *bezuen* 'birch' < PCl. *\*g<sup>w</sup>etuǵā-* (if OIr. was borrowed

from British); Skt. *jātu* [n.] 'lac, gum' (Sū.+), Pash. *žāwla* 'resin'; OE *hwīt cuidu*, *cweodo*, *cwudu* 'mastic', OHG *cuti* 'gluten', NHG *kütt*, *kitt* 'mastic' < PGm. *\*k<sup>w</sup>edu-*; OIc. *kváða* [f.] 'resin' < *\*g<sup>w</sup>ēt-*.

The Latin word presupposes *\*betu-* 'resin, pitch', which it must have borrowed either from Sabellic (where *\*g<sup>w</sup>* > *b*) or from Celtic (compare Lat. *betulla* 'birch' from British or Gaulish Celtic *\*betujā*). According to Pliny, pitch was extracted from birch trees in Gallia; but the same procedure may have been practised among Italic peoples. The vowel *i* for *\*e* may go back to the lending language (in front of a following *\*u*, raising of *\*e* would not be surprising), but it may also be connected with other Latin words in which *\*e* > *i* was due to a preceding labial (Watkins 1973b: 196), such as *firmus*, *vitulus*, *fiber/feber*, *pinna/penna*.

Bibl.: WH I: 107, EM 71, IEW 480.

**blandus** 'charming, seductive' [adj. *o/ā*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *blandīrī* 'to flatter' (Pl.+), *blandīmentum* 'cajolery' (Pl.+).

Uncertain etymology. The connection with *mollis* 'weak', suggested by WH and IEW, is phonetically impossible. Others have connect Gr. μαλακός 'soft' and OIc. *mláith* 'soft'; adopting this connection, Schrijver proposes a preform *\*mlh<sub>2</sub>-d-no-* (to PIE *\*melh<sub>2</sub>-* 'to grind?') > *\*blādno-* > *blandus*. EM suggest an original meaning 'having a flattering voice' in which case a connection with *balbus* and *blatiō* comes in view.

Bibl.: WH I: 108, EM 71, IEW 716ff., Schrijver 1991: 223. → *blatiō*

**blatiō, -īre** 'to prate, babble' [v. IV] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *blat(t)erāre* 'to prate, babble' (Afran.+).

PIt. *\*bl-*?

The form *balbus* seems to be (based on) a reduplicated formation *\*bl-bl-*; in *blatiō*, we could be dealing with the simplex variant *\*bl-* with an extension *-at-*. The forms *blat(t)erāre* may go back to *\*blat(t)elāre* with the same *-lāre* as in *sibilāre*, *cuculāre*. All Latin verbs which refer to 'crying' take *-īre*, cf. *crōciō*, *garriō*, *glattiō*, *glōciō*.

Bibl.: WH I: 109, EM 72, IEW 102. → *balbus*, *bālō*

**bonus** 'good' [adj. *o/ā*] (VOLat.+: *duenos*, *duenoi* 'bonō' CIL 1.4, *Duenos* inscription, *duonus* Carmen Saliare, *duona* Andr., *duonoro* Elog.Scip.)

Derivatives: *benē* 'well' (Pl.+), *bellus* 'pretty, fine' (Pl.+); *benīgnus* (*o/ā*) 'kind; generous' (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*dwenō-* 'good'. It. cognates: Fal. **duenas** [gen.sg.f.], **duenom** [nom/acc.sg.n.] 'good, brave'.

PIE *\*duh<sub>2</sub>-eno-*?

Lat. *benē* < abl.sg. *\*duenēd* shows that the change of *\*due-* > *\*duo-* was conditioned by a non-front vowel in the next syllable, and prevented by intervening *ll*. *Bellus* reflects a dim. *\*duenelos* > *\*duenlos* > *\*duellos* > *\*bellos*. The dim. meaning is the reason why *bellus* was originally used to refer to women and children; it was applied

to men only ironically. The meaning '(little) good (one)' still shines through in Varro *in quo Graeci belliores quam Romani nostri* 'at which the Greeks are better than our Romans', and Nonius *belliores* 'meliores'. The long vowel in *benīgms* is phonetic from \**i* in front of *gn*, cf. Leumann 1977: 113. Nussbaum 2003 points to the unlikelihood of *beni-gms* as a recent formation, and concludes that it must represent an inherited form \**dweni-gn-o-*, in which \**dweni-* yielded \**beni-*. A derivational relationship of \**dweni-* 'good' → \**dweni-ǵn(h<sub>1</sub>)-o-* 'born with goodness, good-natured' would confirm the pattern of *o*-adj. → *i*-stem abstract as first member of compounds. The PIE etymology is disputed. If OLat. *due-* reflects \**duHe-*, *duenos* could belong to Go. *taujan*, Gr. δύναιμαι from PIE \**deuh<sub>2</sub>-* 'to join, fit together', or to Lat. *duim* from PIE \**d(e)h<sub>3</sub>-u-* 'to give'. It is as yet unclear, however, whether PIE \**duHV-* would yield *bV-* just as \**duV-* does (note *duo*, not \**bō* 'two'). Of course, one might interpret *bonus* as the proof that it did. The absence of vowel colouring is another problem: \**duh<sub>2</sub>-eno-* is expected to yield \**duanos*, whereas \**duh<sub>3</sub>-eno-* should yield \**duonos* (Schrijver 1991: 109f.). Morphologically, the role of the suffix \*-*eno-* that is required for these etymologies is unclear. For the moment, I regard the etymology of *bonus* as unsolved.

Bibl.: WH I: 101, 111, EM 73, IEW 218f., Giacomelli 1963: 243, Lindner 2002: 224. → *bellum*, *duim*

**bōs**, **bovis** 'bovine animal, cattle; ox, bull; cow' [m., f.] (Naev.+). Variants: nom.sg. *būs* Varro lx, *bouis* Varro lx, Petr. lx, abl.sg. *bouid* CIL 11.4766, gen.pl. *bouerum* Cato, Varro, *bouum*, *bouom* Varro. Quint., Fest., *būbum* Ulp., dat.abl.pl. *būbus*, *bōbus* passim.

Derivatives: *bovile* [n.] (Cato+), *būbile* [n.] (Plaut+) 'stall for oxen', *būbula* 'beef' (Pl.+), *būbulus* 'pertaining to, belonging to cattle' (Naev.+); *bubulcus* 'one who ploughs with oxen, herdsman' (Cato+), *bubulcitāre* 'to be a herdsman, keep, feed, drive oxen' (Pl.+); *būcētum* 'pasture' (Varro+); *būcula* 'young cow' (Cic.+), *būculus* 'young bull' (Col.+); *būcaeda* [m.] 'ox-slaughterer' (Pl.+); *Būbōna* 'cattle goddess' (Aug.); *būcina* 'shepherd's horn, trumpet' (Varro+).

PIt.nom.sg. \**g<sup>w</sup>ous* / \**g<sup>w</sup>ōs*, acc.sg. \**g<sup>w</sup>ōm*, gen.sg. \**g<sup>w</sup>owos*, acc.pl. maybe \**g<sup>w</sup>ōns*. It. cognates: U. *bum* [acc.sg.], *bue* [abl.sg.], *buo* [gen.pl.], *buf*, *buf* [acc.pl.] to /*bō-* ' (male) cow'. The appurtenance of Volscian *bim* [acc.sg.] as /*bīm*/ 'cow' < \**būm* is uncertain, since the meaning of the word is not established for certain. If correct, it would imply unrounding of this word in Volscian after earlier raising of \**ō* to \**ū*. SPic. *boúediín* '?' has been etymologized as \**bou-ed-io-* 'place where cattle are fed', but the meaning of the word is unknown.

PIE nom.sg. \**g<sup>w</sup>eh<sub>3</sub>-u-s*, acc.sg. \**g<sup>w</sup>eh<sub>3</sub>-u-m* or \**g<sup>w</sup>h<sub>3</sub>-eu-m*, nom.pl. \**g<sup>w</sup>h<sub>3</sub>-eu-es*, dat.pl. \**g<sup>w</sup>h<sub>3</sub>-u-b<sup>h</sup>os* 'cow'. IE cognates: OIr. *bó* 'cow'; Skt. *gāuṣ*, acc. *gām*, OAv. nom.sg. *gāuš*, acc.sg. *gām* 'bull, cow', Myc. *qo-o* (acc.pl.?), Gr. βούς, gen.sg. βοός, acc.sg. Dor., H. βῶν, Arm. *kov* (*u*-stem), Latv. *gūovs* 'cow', Ru. *govjádó* (dial.), Cz. *hovado*, SCr. *gòvedo* 'head of cattle' (< \**g<sup>w</sup>h<sub>3</sub>-eu-* + *-nd-*), OHG *chuo*, ToA *ko*, *ki*, ToB *keu* 'cow'.

From PIt. nom.sg. \**g<sup>w</sup>ous* or \**g<sup>w</sup>ōs*, acc.sg. \**g<sup>w</sup>ōm*, we would expect Latin nom.sg.



\*ūs/\*vōs, acc. \*vōm. Initial *b-* cannot be the regular reflex in Latin of PIE \*g<sup>w</sup>-, and is therefore generally assumed to have been borrowed from Sabellic. The question is whether the remainder of the paradigm also reflects this borrowing. At least two different solutions may be envisaged: (1) All Latin forms represent regular phonetic or analogical developments of Latin, except for initial *b-*, which was adopted from surrounding Sabellic dialects. The reason would seem to be to resolve the homonymy with *vōs* 'you'. (2) The Sabellic paradigm was \*bōs, \*bowes, \*bōm, in cp. \*bū-, and this was adopted as such in Latin. The stem used in Latin compounds and in some of the older inflectional forms in front of consonant is *bū-*, in which *ū* can regularly reflect PIE \*ou. The origin of the stem variant *būb-* is unclear. Lat. *bubulcus* is regarded as analogical after *subulcus* 'swine-herd' by WH. The Greek circumflex in βουῖς implies that these forms must once have contained a hiatus, which can only result from the loss of an intervocalic laryngeal. The inflection points to a proterodynamic *u*-stem; the proterodynamic character is most clearly revealed by Skt. obl. *gav-* from \*g<sup>w</sup>h<sub>3</sub>-eu-. The long vowel and the loss of the primary suffix in the acc.sg. were accounted for in an attractive way by Schindler 1973: 148ff. who proposes regular assimilation and loss of \*μ before \*m. In his view, however, the PIE stem was \*g<sup>w</sup>ou-.

Bibl.: WH I: 112, 118-121, EM 74, IEW 482, Schrijver 1991: 447, 453f., Sihler 1995: 334ff., Untermann 2000: 147, 152f., 354. → *-bulcus*

**brevis** 'short' [adj. *i*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *brūma* 'the shortest day, winter solstice, mid-winter' (Cato+).

Plt. \*mreχ-u(-i-). It. cognates: maybe SPic. *brīmeidinai* [dat.pl.f.], of unknown meaning, but maybe indicating a time or period (thus Eichner 1993b: 51): \*brēmo- would be a sup. to the Sab. correspondence of *brevis*.

PIE \*mrǵ<sup>h</sup>-ú- 'short'. IE cognates: Skt. *mūhuḥ*, *mūhu* 'suddenly', *muhūrtá-* [n.] 'short time, moment' (for \*mrǵ<sup>h</sup>-), Av. *mərəzu-*, Oss. *morz* 'short' < Plr. \*m(a)rzu- (cf. Cheung 2002: 129), Gr. βραχύς 'short', Go. \*maurgus in *ga-maurgjan* 'shorten', OHG *murg(i)* 'short', OE *myrge* 'briefly'.

The PIE *u*-stem [adj. was enlarged by means of \*i in the prehistory of Latin, as happened with other *u*-adj. (e.g. *dulcis*, *gravis*, *mollis*, *suāvis*, *temis*). Like *gravis* (on which see Fischer 1982), *brevis* must have introduced the *e*-grade; Cowgill 1970 and Sihler 1995 suggest that \**e* comes from the comp. and sup., which, in their turn, must have the suffix -*u-* from the positive. With Cowgill 1970: 127 we can assume that the positive acquired \**e* early on, yielding \*mreǵ<sup>h</sup>u-i- > \*breg<sup>h</sup>ui- > *brevis*. The original sup. *brūma* is explained by Cowgill by different scenarios, from which he hesitates to choose. However, his scenario involving a metathesis \*morχ- > \*mroχ- is unmotivated. Hence, his first solution seems preferable: PIE \*mrǵ<sup>h</sup>-is-mHo- introduced \*μ from the positive, and then regularly developed via \*mreuisema > \*mrouisema > \*brousema > \*brouisma > *brūma*.

Bibl.: WH I: 115f., EM 75f., IEW 750f., Cowgill 1970: 126f., Leumann 1977: 165, 346, 498, Fischer 1982, Sihler 1995: 211, 358, Untermann 2000: 152.

**brūtus** ‘heavy, inert, brute; brutish’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Naev+ ‘brutish’, Lucr.+ ‘heavy’)

Derivatives: *obbrūtescō*, *-ere* ‘to become dull, brutish’ (Afran.+).

Plt. \**g<sup>w</sup>rūto-*.

PIE \**g<sup>w</sup>rh<sub>2</sub>-u-to-* ‘heavy’. IE cognates: Latv. *grūts* ‘heavy’; see further s.v. *gravis*.

Generally regarded as a (Sabellic) dialect word with PIE \**g<sup>w</sup>-* > \**b-*, and hence derived from the same stem as *gravis* ‘heavy’. The praenomen *Brūtulus* is labelled Oscan by Livy. The original meaning ‘heavy’ is rare: it only appears once in Lucr., Hor., Apul. and Paul. *ex F.* “*brutum* is what the ancients used for *gravem*”. PIE \**g<sup>w</sup>rH-ú-to-* would have regularly metathesised to \**g<sup>w</sup>ruH-to-*; Schrijver suggests that this was conditioned by the accent (stressed \**Hu* yielding *ū*).

Bibl.: WH I: 117, EM 77, IEW 476f., Schrijver 1991: 249. → *gravis*

**būbō** ‘horned or eagle owl’ [m. (f.) *n*] (Varro, Asellio+)

Derivatives: *būbu/ilāre* ‘to say *bū*’ (of the owl) (gloss.).

Probably onomatopoeic for the sound [bu:] of the owl, as can be found in other IE languages: MoP *būm* ‘owl’, Gr. βῦῶς ‘eagle-owl’, Arm. *bu* ‘owl’. The noun *būbō* can be regarded as (quasi) reduplicated.

Bibl.: WH I: 119, EM 77, IEW 97f.

**bucca** ‘puffed, filled out cheek; mouth’ [f. *ā*] (Pl.+; ‘mouth’ Pompon.+)

Derivatives: *buccō* ‘fathead, dolt’ (Pl.+), *bucculentus* ‘having fat cheeks’ (Pl.), *buccula* ‘cheek; cheek-piece (of a helmet); side-part of a machine’ (Liv.+).

Plt. \**bukkā-?*

The meaning ‘mouth’ is secondary, and was originally used in a derogatory way. EM suspect Celtic origin, because it resembles *beccus* ‘beak’ (Suet.+), and because PN such as *Buccus*, *Buccō*, *Bucciō* are Celtic names. Similarly Porzio Gernia 1981. Sihler (1995: 224) acknowledges two categories of words in which geminates are often found: abusive epithets, and affectionate or hypocoristic forms of names. He ranges *bucca* with the second category, but to me it rather seems to belong to the first. IEW connects *bucca* with the Germanic etymon for ‘back’ resp. ‘frog’, PGM. \**pukk-*, \**pūk-*, \**puggō(n)* which might go back to PIE \**bukk-* (or even \**buk-n-*). If correct at all, initial *b-* would point to a non-IE substratum origin.

Bibl.: WH I: 120, EM 77, IEW 98-102.

**būfō** ‘toad; hamster (vel sim.)’ [m. *n*] (Verg.+)

Intervocalic *f* indicates that *būfō* is a loan from a different Italic dialect. The word apparently referred to a small, creeping animal. Servius glosses it as *rana terrestris*, while another gloss calls it *sorex silvestris*. Leumann 1960 argues that *būfō*, a hapax in Virgil, does not mean ‘toad’ but rather ‘hamster’, or another animal that damages the corn stocks. The word with its *-f-* is continued in several Romance dialects, e.g. Logodurese (Sardinia) *buvone* ‘weevil’, Gascon *buhun* ‘mole’, Sicilian *bifuluna* ‘turtle’. These diverging meanings render the connection with BSl. words for ‘toad’ (OPr. *gabawo*, OCS *žaba*, Ru. *žába*, SCr. *žāba* ‘toad’) uncertain. In addition, if

inherited, BSl. reflects PIE \*g<sup>w</sup>eb-eh<sub>2</sub> according to Derksen 2008; this form cannot be directly related with *būfō*.

Bibl.: WH I: 121, EM 77, Leumann 1977: 169, Rix 2005: 568.

**-bulcus** ‘-herd’ [m. o]

Derivatives: *aububulcus* ‘cowherd’ (CGL V 346, 39), *bubulcus* ‘who ploughs with oxen’ (Cato+), *subulcus* ‘swineherd’ (Cato+).

The connection with Gr. φύλαξ, -ακός ‘guard’, accepted in many handbooks, is unconvincing: the suffix being -ακ-, the root would be φύλ-, but there is no evidence for a PIE full grade \*b<sup>h</sup>uel-. Formally, *-bulcus* could be derived from a noun \*b<sup>h</sup>olk-o- ‘supporter’ to a root \*b<sup>h</sup>elk- from which also Lat. *fulciō* ‘to support’ can be derived. Semantically, a ‘supporter’ is not necessarily a ‘herdsman’, so the etymology remains quite uncertain. Italian *bifolco* ‘ox-driver’ seems to presuppose a VLat. variant \**bifulcus* which may hide a Sabellic form with regular *f* from *-b<sup>h</sup>-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 119, EM 74, Lindner 2002: 218f., LIV ?\*b<sup>h</sup>elk-. → *bōs*, *ovis*, *sūs*

**bustum** ‘funeral pyre, ruin’ [n. o] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *bustirapus* ‘grave-robber’ (Pl.), *bustuārius* ‘connected with tombs’ (Cic.+).

*Bustum* was derived from compounds of *ūrō* ‘to burn’; ppp. *ustum*, by metanalysis: *amb-ūrō* was analyzed as *am-būrō*, leading to a ppp. *am-bustum*. The same metanalysis led to *combūrō* (see *ūrō*).

Bibl.: WH I: 124, EM 79. → *ūrō*

**būteō** ‘hawk or buzzard’ [m. n] (Plin.+)

Probably onomatopoeic, rendering the call of a hawk or buzzard. Therefore not to be regarded as genetically related to *būbō* ‘owl’.

Bibl.: WH I: 124, EM 79, IEW 97f.

## C

**caballus** ‘horse, esp. workhorse’ [m. o] (Lucil.+)

IE cognates: Gr. PN Καβαλλᾶς (4<sup>th</sup> cent.), καβάλλης ‘nag’ (Plut., Hsch.), καβάλλ(ε)ιον [n.] ‘workhorse’ (inscr. Callatis 3<sup>rd</sup> c. BC, Hsch.).

The age of the Greek words shows that they are independent of *caballus*. Beekes interprets the word as an Asian loanword, and compares Turkish *kāvāl* adjunct of *at* ‘horse’, MoP *kaval* ‘second class horse of mixed blood’. Although the ultimate source remains unclear, Lat. *caballus* must also be regarded as a loanword.

Bibl.: WH I: 125, EM 80.

**cacō, -āre** ‘to defecate’ [v. I] (Pompon.+)

Plt. \**kak(k)-*.

IE cognates: Mlr. *caccaim*, W. *cachu*; Gr. *κακκῶ* ‘to shit’, *κάκκη* ‘(human) faeces’, Arm. *k’akor* ‘manure’, Ru. *kákat*, MDu. NHG *kacken* ‘to shit’.

Either a nursery word or an onomatopoeia. Kluge-Seebold 1999 call the verb symbolic, with the velar stop imitating the sound accompanying the action of shitting. The Germanic forms, however, may have been borrowed from Latin.

Bibl.: WH I: 127, EM 80, IEW 521.

**cacūmen, -inis** ‘peak, top’ [n. n] (Cato+)

IE cognates: Skt. *kakúbh-* [f.] ‘peak, top’, *kakubhá-* ‘lofty, excelling’, *kakuhá-* ‘id.’; *kakūd-* [f.] ‘peak’ (RV+), *kakūd-mant-* ‘having a hump’ (RV+); *kākāid-* [f.] ‘throat, mouth’ (RV); Gr. *κῦφος* [n.] ‘hump, hunch’, *κύπτω* ‘to bend forward’.

According to WH, *cacūmen* represents \**kakud-*, reformed after *acūmen*. For PIE, IEW reconstructs a root \**keu(H)-* ‘to bend’. Connecting *cacūmen* with Skt. *kakúbh-*, Schrijver 1991 concludes on a possibly reduplicated form \**kekub<sup>h</sup>-* of a root \*(s)kub<sup>h</sup>- or \*k<sup>w</sup>ub<sup>h</sup>-. These root structures would be irregular in PIE. Also, the vowels do not match perfectly. Especially in view of *acūmen*, I regard it as uncertain that *cacūmen* goes back to a PIE form.

Bibl.: WH I: 127, EM 81, IEW 588-592, Schrijver 1991: 426. → *-cumbō*, *cumulus*, *cūpa*

O. *cadeis* [gen.sg.] ‘enmity’.

Plt. \**kādō/i-*.

PIE \*k(e)h<sub>2</sub>d-o/i- ‘hatred, angriness’. IE cognates: OIr. *cais*, W. *cas* ‘hatred’ < \**kad-s/t-i-* (LEIA – C22), W. *cawdd* ‘angriness’ < \**kād-o(s)-*, Av. *sādra-* ‘woe’, Gr. *κῆδος* [n.] ‘care, anxiety’, Go. *hatis*, Oic. *hatr*, OE *hete* ‘hate’ < PGm. \**χatis-* [n.].

Bibl.: IEW 517, Untermann 2000: 359, Stüber 2002: 114f., LIV \**keh<sub>2</sub>d-*.

**cadō, -ere** ‘to fall, sink’ [v. III; pf. *cecidī*, ppp. *cāsum*] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *cadūcus* ‘falling, fallen’ (Cato+), *cāsus*, *-ūs* ‘fall’ (Pl.+); *cadāver*, *-eris* ‘corpse’ [n.] (CIL 401, Cic.+), *cadāverōsus* ‘cadaverous’ (Ter.); *occāsiō* ‘opportunity, chance’ (Pl.+), *occāsus*, *-ūs* ‘opportunity, chance; the sinking (of the sun)’ (Lex XII, Enn.+); *cassāre* ‘to totter’ (Plaut.), *cassābundus* ‘staggering’ (Naev.+); *accidere* ‘to fall down, be heard, happen’ (Andr.+), *concidere* ‘to fall down, collapse’ (Pl.+), *dēcidere* ‘to fall off, go wrong’ (Pl.+), *excidere* ‘to fall out, escape’ (Naev.+), *incidere* ‘to happen, change into, fall’ (Pl.+), *occidere* ‘to go down, be ruined, die’ (Pl.+), *recidere* ‘to fall back’ (Pl.+), *succidere* ‘to give way under one’ (Pl.+); *gelicidium* ‘a frost’ (Cato+), *stīllicidium* ‘a dripping liquid, stillicide’ (Varro, Lucr.+).

Plt. \**kad-e/o-*, \**kad-s-* [pr.]

PIE \*(ke-)kh<sub>2</sub>d-? ‘to fall’. IE cognates: Skt. *śad-* ‘to fall’ (pf. *śasāda*, fut. *śatsyanti*) ; Gr. *κεκαδών* ‘robbing’, *ὕπὸ ... κεκάδοντι* ‘they receded’.

The form of *cadāver* is difficult to explain. WH assume a ppa. \**kadā-wes-* ‘having fallen’, which is fine semantically; but where would *ā* come from, and why would the neuter form have been lexicalized? The appurtenance of Gr. pf. *κεκαδ-* is disputed: ‘to

recede' may have developed from 'to fall back', but this would probably imply that the active forms are secondary. The v. *cassāre* can be a *sā*-present to \**kad*-.

Bibl.: WH I: 127f., EM 81f., IEW 516, Lubotsky 1981, Schrijver 1991: 100, LIV \**kad*-.

**caecus** 'blind, dark, invisible' [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *caecāre* 'to make blind' (Lucr.+), *caecilia* 'the blind-worm' (Col.), *caecitās* 'blindness' (Cic.+), *caeculus* 'small (and) blind' (Lab.+), *caecūtire* 'to be blind, see badly' (Varro+), *caecultāre* 'to be purblind, see badly' (Pl., Paul. ex F.).

Pf. \**kaiko*-.

PIE \**keh<sub>2</sub>i-ko-* / \**kh<sub>2</sub>ei-ko-* 'one-eyed'. IE cognates: OIr. *cáech* 'one-eyed', *coeg* 'empty', W. *coeg-dall*, OCo. *cuic* 'one-eyed' < PCl. \**kaiko*-, Go. *haihs* 'id.' < PGM. \**χαιχα*-. Skt. *kekara*- is probably not related (Schrijver 1991: 266), nor is Gr. *καυκίας* 'northeast wind'.

*Caecultāre* probably results from contamination with *occultāre* 'to hide'. The *ko*-derivatives have a limited distribution and need not date back to PIE, but the root \**kh<sub>2</sub>i-* probably does and may have meant 'alone, bare'.

Bibl.: WH I: 129, EM 82, IEW 519f., Schrijver 1991: 266. → *caelebs*

**caedō, -ere** 'to cut, hew, fell' [v. III; pf. *cecīdī*, ppp. *caesum*] (Lex XII+)

Derivatives: *caedēs, -is* 'killing, slaughter' (Cato+), *caediū* 'ready for felling' (Cato+); *caelum* 'chisel' (Varro+), *caelāre* 'to adorn, engrave' (Enn.); *caementum* 'small stones, rubble' (Enn.+); *caestus, -ūs* 'strip of leather, boxing-glove' (Pl.+); *cāia* 'cudgel' (Isidorus), *cāiāre* 'to beat, thresh' (Pl.); *ancaesa* 'caelata' (Paul. ex F.), *ancīle* [n.] 'small shield' (Enn.+), *ancīsus* 'cut about' (Lucr.+); *homicīda* 'murderer' (Cic.+), *homicīdium* 'homicide' (VMax.+), *pāricīda/parricīda* [m.] 'murderer of a near relation' (Pl.+); *occillāre* 'to smash up' (Pl.); *incīle* [n.] 'channel, ditch' (Cato+), *incīlis* [adj.] 'id.' (Cato), *incīlāre* 'to revile, abuse' (Pac.+); *abscīdere* 'to cut away' (Pl.+; ppp. *-cīsus*), *circumcīdere* 'to prune off, curtail' (Varro+), *circumcīdāneus* 'kind of must' (Cato), *concīdere* 'to cut up, kill' (Pl.+), *dēcīdere* 'to cut off, decide' (Pl.+), *excīdere* 'to cut out, destroy' (Pl.+), *incīdere* 'to cut open, engrave' (Cato+), *occīdere* 'to kill, destroy' (Naev.+), *occīsor* 'killer' (Pl.+), *praecīdere* 'to cut off, sever' (Naev.+), *recīdere* 'to cut back' (Cato+), *succīdere* 'to cut from below' (Enn.+), *succīdāneus* 'killed as a substitute' (Pl.+), *trāncīdere* 'to strike across the whole body' (Pl.).

Pf. \**kaid-e/o-*. It. cognates: possibly Hernician *kait*[-].

PIE \**keh<sub>2</sub>id-* / \**kh<sub>2</sub>eid-* 'to cut, hew'. IE cognates: Arm. *xait'em* 'to stab' < \**kHei-t-*, Alb. *qeth* 'to shave' possibly < \**kaid-*; MDu. *heien* 'to drive piles', OHG *heia* 'wooden hammer' < PGM. \**χajjan* < \**kh<sub>2</sub>ei-(i)e/o-* or \**kHoi-*.

Lat. *caelum* < Pf. \**kaid(s)lo-*, whence *caelāre*, *ancīle* < \**ambi-kaid-sli-*; *incīlis* [adj.] < \**en-kaid-sli-* 'cut in, hewn out'; Steinbauer (1989: 196) convincingly explains *occillāre* as \**occīlāre* < \**ob-caelāre*. Similarly, *incīlāre* may be explained as 'to hew in onto, scold'. Lat. *caementum* < Pf. \**kaid(s)mento-*. A stem \**caes-* was metanalyzed from the ppp. and led to *caestus* << \**kaissu-* < \**kaid-tu-*, *ancaesa* <

\**ambi-caesa*. The forms *caiāre* and *caia* are not well-attested, but seem to be old, with *i* < \**dj*: *cāia* < \**kaidjā-*, *cāiāre* < \**kaidjā-* (for the phonetic change, cf. *pēior*).

Bibl.: WH I: 44, 129, 690, EM 82-83, 314, IEW 917, Schrijver 1991: 266,378, Untermann 2000: 364, LIV \**kh<sub>2</sub>eid-*. → *parricida*, *trux*

**caelebs, -ibis** ‘unmarried (usually said of men)’ [adj. *b*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *caelibātus*, *-ūs* ‘celibacy’ (Sen.+).

PIt. \**kaili-b/f-i-?*

PIE \**keh<sub>2</sub>i-lo-* ‘whole’. IE cognates: OPr. *kails* ‘hail!’, *kailūstiskan* ‘health’ [acc.sg.f.], OCS *cělъ*, ORu. *kělъ* (Novg.) ‘whole’, *cěljq* ‘to heal’ < BSl. \**kailo-*, Go. *hails* ‘whole, healthy’ < PGM. \**χaila-*.

The comparison with Skt. *kévala-* ‘characteristic, own, secluded’ < \**kaiyelo-* has led to a reconstruction \**kaiyelo-lib<sup>h</sup>-* for *caelebs* (cf. WH), but Schrijver 1991 has argued that it is uncertain that this reconstruction would indeed yield *caelebs*; it is not impossible though, if we compare Lat. *aetās* < PIt. \**aiwotāt-s*. More problematic is the fact that the root and formation of the supposed \**kaiyelo-* are unclear; also, Germanic ‘to live’ is now reconstructed as PIE \**leip-*. A good alternative for *caelebs* is the European word \**kailo-* ‘whole’, which may have yielded a noun \**kaili-* ‘wholeness’; if this developed to ‘unboundness, celibacy’, it may explain the meaning ‘unmarried’ of *caelebs*; cf. WH. The origin of the suffix *-b-* remains unclear.

Bibl.: WH I: 130, EM 83, IEW 520, Schrijver 1991: 267. → *caelum*

**caelum** ‘sky, heaven, vault of heaven’ [n. *o*; often m. *caelus*, pl. always *caelī*] (Naev.+; *cael* [nom.sg.m.] 1x Enn.)

Derivatives: *caeles*, *-itis* ‘dwelling in heaven’ (Enn.+), [m.] ‘god, divinity’ (mostly pl., gen.pl. *-itum*; Pl.+); *caelestis* ‘in/from the sky, heavenly’ (Varro+), *caerul(e)us* ‘blue’ (Pl.+).

PIt. \**kailo-* ‘sky’. It. cognates: O. *kaīla* ‘kind of building’ may belong here as ‘vaulted’, but may very well be something different.

PIE \**keh<sub>2</sub>i-lo-* ‘whole’.

Lat. *caeles* < PIt. \**kail-it-* ‘sky-going, sky-goer’ (Leumann 1977: 372). Lat. *caelestis* has the suffix \**-estris* of local adjectives (cf. the antonym *terrestris*), but adopted the dissimilated variant *-estis* from *agrestis*; cf. Leumann 1977: 351f. The colour *caerulus* / *caeruleus* has dissimilated from \**caelulus*, orig. ‘sky-colour’. The variant *cael*, attested twice, if linguistically real, might have developed regularly from nom.sg. \**kailos*, cf. Lat. *famul* beside *famulus* ‘servant’, and perhaps nom.sg. *vigil* ‘nightwatch’ < \**uigilis*. However, in the given passage, Ennius uses more abbreviations: *gau* for *gaudium*, *dō* for *domum*.

One older etymology, preferred by WH, connects *caelum* with the Germanic and Baltic words for ‘clear’: OIc. *heið* ‘clear sky’, *heiðr*, OHG *heitar* ‘clear’ < PGM. \**hait-*, Lith. *skaidrius*, Latv. *skaidrs* ‘clear’, Lith. *skáistas*, *skaištùs* ‘id.’ < \**skeit/d-*. Schrijver 1991 argues that these must continue a PIE root without an internal laryngeal, i.e. \*(s)kei-, which renders it difficult to account for Latin *-ae-*. The alternative etymology, proposed by Schrijver, seems more attractive to me. He

connects *caelum* with W. *coel* ‘presage, omen’, OBret. *coel* ‘priest’ < PCl. *\*kailo/ā-* ‘presage’. Watching the flight of birds in a demarcated area of the sky as a form of divination played a prominent role in the religion of the Italic peoples. There are some indications that bird watching as a form of divination was practised by the Celts, too. The ultimate origin may be sought in the word reflected as *\*χaila-* ‘whole’ in Germanic, OPr. *kailūstiskan* [acc.sg.] ‘health’, OCS *čělъ* ‘whole, healthy’ < *\*kailo-*: the ‘sky’ can be referred to as a ‘whole’, and so can a ‘presage’ (via ‘truth’; cf. Go. *hailags*, MoE *holy*). Possibly, *caelum* got its meaning in the augural sphere, as ‘the whole’ in contrast with *templum* ‘the part’. This etymology would imply a preform PIE *\*keh<sub>2</sub>ilo-* / *\*kh<sub>2</sub>eilo-*, or, if originally a non-IE loanword, *\*kailo-*. If *caelum* is related to *\*kair/so-* (cf. *caerimōnia*), a PIE stem *\*keh<sub>2</sub>i-* seems more likely.

Bibl.: WH I: 130-131, EM 84-84, IEW 916f., Schrijver 1991: 267f., Untermann 2000: 363. → *caelebs*, *sincērus*

**caenum** ‘mud, filth, slime’ [n. o] (Pl.+)

The relationship of *caenum* with *cūnīre* ‘to shit’, *in-quināre* ‘to soil’, which has been proposed e.g. by WH and which presupposes original *o*-grade *\*koino-*, is formally impossible unless by means of speculative assumptions (cf. Schrijver 1991). The connection with Swe.dial. *hven*, Olc. *\*hvein* (in place-names) ‘low, marshy field’?, Latv. *svīnīt* ‘to soil oneself’ is invalidated by the same objections: the Latin form does not point to *\*k(u)oinom*. *Obscaenijs* is probably unrelated, see s.v.

Bibl.: WH I: 131, EM 84, IEW 628, Schrijver 1991: 265. → *inquinō*

**caerimōnia** ‘sacredness; reverence’ [f. ā] (Cic.+)

PIt. *\*kair/so-mon-*?

PIE *\*keh<sub>2</sub>i-r/so-* ‘whole’?

For the suffix, cf. *castimōnia*, *sanctimōnia*. The Roman belief that the word had to do with the Etruscan city of Caere is a folk-etymology. Probably, *caerimōnia* is derived from an adj. *\*caerus* which also formed the second member of the cp. *sin-cērus* ‘whole, sound’ < *\*sm-kair/so-* (see s.v.).

Bibl.: WH I: 132, EM 84, IEW 520?, Hiltbrunner 1958: 146-154. → *sincērus*

**caesariēs** ‘long hair; plume’ [f. ē] (Pl.+)

Probably formed on the basis of an *r*-stem *\*caesar*, which might be identical to the name *Caesar*. Old word-internal *\*-ar-* would have given *-er-* (cf. *perperī*, *Numeriō*). Word-internal *s* was preserved because of *r* in the next syllable. An ingenious etymology was proposed by Pinault 1998, who posits *\*kaikro-kseh<sub>2</sub>-es-* ‘having a combing of the hair’ > *\*kaikerksās-* > *\*kairksās-* > *\*kairsās-* > *caesār-*. Especially the alleged haplology from *\*kaikerksās-* to *\*kairksās-* is hard to believe, since it would involve a structurally different haplology from the one seen in non-initial syllable in e.g. *mediālis*, *fastidium*, *sēmodius*. Semantically, the meaning ‘who has combed hair’ is not necessarily the same as ‘having long hair’. A direct connection with Skt. *kéśa-* ‘hair on the head’ is impossible if this derives from Ilr. *\*kaića-*. Skt. *késara-* ‘hair (of the brow)’, *késaravant-* ‘having manes’ (of a horse) are uncertain: these may belong

to *késa-*, with an occasional dissimilation of *ś* to *s* in some traditions. The appurtenance of Lith. *kaĩšti*, *-šiu* ‘to plane, to polish’ is uncertain too, cf. Fraenkel 1955-1965 s.v.

Bibl.: WH I: 133, EM 85, IEW 520, Schrijver 1991: 268, Pinault 1998. → *sarriō*

**caesius** ‘grey-eyed, grey’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Ter.+)

IE cognates: Lith. *skaidrus*, *skáidrus* ‘clear, bright’, *skáistas*, *skaistūs* ‘bright’ < \*skoid-; Go. *haidus* ‘way, manner’, OHG *heitar* ‘bright, clear’ < PGm. \**haiþ-/t-* < PIE \**koit-/d-*.

Possible preforms include \**kaid-to-* and \**kait-to-*. The connection with the Germanic and Baltic forms is semantically unproblematic, but the formation in Latin, with a suffix \*-*to-* after the root to indicate a colour, would be unusual. Also, Latin *-ae-* is difficult to explain from PIE \**-oi-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 133, EM 85, IEW 916f., LIV ?\*keit- (?). → *caelum*

**caespes, -itis** ‘sod, turf’ [m. *t*] (Cic.+)

The original meaning may have been ‘a cut-off piece’. The etymology is unknown. O. **kaispatar** (form? meaning?) is too uncertain to be used.

Bibl.: WH I: 134, EM 85, Untermann 2000: 364.

**calamitās** ‘disaster, ruin’ [f. *t*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *calamitōsus* ‘liable to damage or disaster’ (Cato+); *incolumis* [adj.] ‘unharméd, safe’ (Pl.+).

PIt. \**kalamo/i-* ‘damaged’, \**n-kalami-* ‘safe’.

PIE \**klh<sub>2</sub>-em-/i-* ‘beaten, damaged’. IE cognates: see s.v. *-cellō*.

The sequence *calam-* may reflect PIE \**klh<sub>2</sub>-em-*; there is no good alternative explanation for *ca-*. The second *a* has been retained unreduced due to influence of the preceding *a*, as in in *alacer*, *anas*. The PIE adjective is also attested in the cp. *incolumis* ‘unharméd, safe’ < \**enkalamis* < \**n-klh<sub>2</sub>emi-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 135, EM 85, IEW 545-547, Leumann 1977: 374, Schrijver 1991: 194, 205f., 426, LIV \**kelh<sub>2</sub>-* ‘to beat’. → *clādēs*, *-cellō* (2)

**calendae** ‘first day of the month’ [f.pl. *ā*] (Pl.+; *calendae*, usually abbreviated as *Kal.*, *K.* or *Kalend.*)

PIt. \**kalā-dno-*.

PIE \**kelh<sub>1</sub>-/\*klh<sub>1</sub>-* ‘to call’. IE cognates: see *calō*.

A substantivized gerundive, meaning ‘(the days which are) called out’. Initial *kal-* must reflect \**klh<sub>1</sub>-V-*. In the pr. ‘to call’, Latin apparently generalized a paradigm \**kala-* ‘to call’ while Sabellic used \**kalē-*, see s.v. *calō*. The preform \**kalā-dno-* > \**kalāndo-* developed to \**kalando-* by Osthoff’s shortening and subsequent vowel reduction to *calend-* (Meiser 1998: 75).

Bibl.: WH I: 136f., EM 86, IEW 548-50, Leumann 1977: 107, Meiser 1986: 207, Steinbauer 1989: 175, Schrijver 1991: 206, Untermann 2000: 360, LIV \**kleh<sub>1</sub>-*. → *calō*



**caleō** 'to be warm' [v. II; pf. *caluī*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *calēscere* 'to grow warm' (Cato+); *calidus* (*caldus*) 'hot, warm' (Pl.+); *calor* 'heat' [m.] (Pl.+); *cal(ē)facere* 'to make hot' (Pl.+), *calefactāre* 'to heat' (Pl.+).

PIt. \**kalē-*.

PIE \**klh<sub>1</sub>-eh<sub>1</sub>-* 'to be hot'. IE cognates: W. *clyd*, Lith. *šiltas*, Latv. *silts* 'warm' < \**klto-*.

Lat. *caleō* represents a stative verb \**klH-eh<sub>1</sub>-* 'to be hot'; *calidus* may be directly from \**klH-i-d'o-* (cf. Nussbaum 1999a).

Bibl.: WH I: 137, EM 86, IEW 55If., Schrijver 1991: 206f., LIV \**kel-*.

**cālidus** 'with a white mark on the forehead' [adj. *o/ā*] (glosses: Isidorus, Chiron)

It. cognates: U. *kaleřuf*, *calersu* [acc.pl.m.] 'a certain colour of cattle'.

The length of *a* cannot be established. Nussbaum 1999a: 382 suggests that a Gr. (Doric) adj. \**kālad-* 'spotted' (cf. Gr. *κηλίς*, Dor. *kālīs* 'stain, spot') was borrowed into Latin, where it joined the *idus*-adjectives. U. *kal-* may also be a borrowing from Greek. Schrijver 1991 reconstructs a PIE root \**kel-*, based on the comparison with Skt. *karkī-* 'white cow', MoP *čarma* 'grey horse', Lith. *kalýbas*, *kalývas* 'having a white neck'. Yet the Latin sound change \**kel-* > *kal-* which he assumes must probably be dismissed, cf. Meiser 1998: 82f.

Bibl.: WH I: 139, EM 86, IEW 547f., Leumann 1977: 330, Schrijver 1991: 427, Nussbaum 1999a: 381f., Untermann 2000: 365.

**caliga** 'boot (esp. of soldiers)' [f. *ā*] (Cic.+)

Derivatives: PN *Caligula* (Tac.+).

The earlier etymology as haplology from \**calca-liga* 'binding the heel' has already been refuted by WH. The etymology is unknown: to *cālō* 'wooden shoe' (Paul. *ex F.*)? Or to *calix* 'vessel'?

Bibl.: WH I: 138, EM 87.

**cāligō, -inis** 'darkness, obscurity' [f. *n*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *cāligāre* 'to be dark' (maybe Pac.+ 'to make dark' (uncertain attestation), otherwise Cic.+).

PIt. \**kāl-i-* 'dark'(?).

IE cognates: Gr. *κηλάς*, *-άδος* [f.] 'mottled, windy' (epithet of clouds), *κηλίς*, *-ῖδος* (Dor. *kālīs*) 'stain, spot', *κηλήνη* 'black' (Hsch.).

Like other nouns in *-īgō*, it may be derived from an adj. Hence the proposed connection with Skt. *kāla-* 'black', still supported by Leumann 1977, which EWAia I: 343 rejects. The most likely cognate seems to me Lat. *cālidus* (see s.v.), although the semantics are not perfect. Schrijver 1991 separates *cālidus*, retains Gr. *κηλίς*, and reconstructs PIE \**keh<sub>2</sub>-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 138, EM 87, IEW 547f., Schrijver 1991: 141, 426.

**calix, -icis** 'vessel for food or drink' [m. *k*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *caliculus* 'small cup' (Cato+).

PIt. *\*(s)kalik-*. It. cognates: U. *skalçeta*, *scalseto* [abl.sg. + postpost. -ta] , *scalsie* [loc.sg. + postpos. -en] ‘sacrificial vessel’ < *\*skalik-*.

IE cognates: Gr. κύλιξ, -ικος ‘(drinking) cup’, maybe Gr. σκόλλιον ‘small cup’, σκαλίσ (Hsch.).

A connection of the Italic forms with Gr. κύλιξ would be possible under the assumption that *\*k<sup>w</sup>lH-ik-* regularly yielded the Greek form (with *u*-colouring of the anaptyctic vowel to the left of *\*l*) and the Italic ones (with *\*sk<sup>w</sup>-* > *\*sk-*, and subsequent spread of the pure velar to the *s*-less form of Latin); thus Schrijver 1991: 207. The connection of Skt. *kaláśa-* ‘jar, pot, dish’ is doubtful, since this has a different ablaut structure; possibly, Latin and Greek took the word for ‘vessel’ from a substratum language. Similarly, Beekes (fthc.) argues that κύλιξ has a typical pre-Greek word structure.

Bibl.: WH I: 138f., EM 87, IEW 550f., Untermann 2000: 683f.

**callis** ‘rough track, path’ [m.f. *i*] (Varro+; inscr.)

PIt. *\*kaln/si-?*

WH reconstruct *\*kalnis* < *\*klnis*, and explicitly reject appurtenance to *callum* as ‘terra trita’ (as do EM). To me, this connection seems quite plausible as far as the semantics are concerned.

Bibl.: WH I: 140, EM 87, IEW 524, Schrijver 1991: 100, 427.

**callum** ‘hard substance: flesh of animals or fruit; hide; cicatrix, induration’ [n. *o*; pl. always m. *callī*] (Naev.+; *callus* [m.] Naev., Cels.)

Derivatives: *callēre* ‘to be or grow hard; to know (how)’ (Pl.+), *obcallēscere* ‘to acquire a thick skin’ (Pl.+); *callidus* ‘experienced, clever’ (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*kaln/so-* [adj.] ‘hard’.

IE cognates: Olr. *calath*, *calad*, W. *caled* ‘hard’ < *\*kal-eto-*, maybe Gaul. *Caleti*, *Caletes*; Ru. *kalit* ‘to heat, roast’, SCr. *káliti* ‘to temper, case-harden’ < *\*kHl-* (?).

Indo-European origin is uncertain. Hamp 1985a reconstructs *\*kHl-no-*. It may originally have been an adj. ‘hard’, which would explain the vacillating gender and the formation of *calleō*. This accords well with Celtic *\*kaletō-* ‘hard’. If PIE, it might be the zero-grade of the root *\*kh<sub>2</sub>l-* which can be reconstructed for Latin *cālīgō* (but this might be a loanword). Schrijver (1995: 86) connects W. *caled* to Lith. *šálti* ‘to freeze’, which has a PIE palato-velar, and which may have the same root *\*k<sup>h</sup>l<sub>1</sub>-* as *calleō*. But in that case, the *-a-* of *callum* is difficult to account for.

Bibl.: WH I: 140-141, EM 87, IEW 523f., Schrijver 1991: 100, 427.

**calō**, **-āre** ‘to announce, summon’ [v. I] (Varro+; Forum cippus *kalatorem* [acc.sg.] ‘herald’)

Derivatives: *calābra* [adj.] ‘?’ (Varro *nec curia calabra sine calatione potest aperiri*), *k/calātor*, *-ōris* ‘personal attendant, servant’ (Pl.+), *nomenc(u)lātor* ‘a slave who informs his master of the names of those he meets’ (Cic.+), *calātiō* ‘convoking’ (Varro), *intercalāre* ‘to insert into the calender’ (Cato+); *concilium* ‘debate; popular assembly, council’ (‘debate’ Pl. Lucil.; the other meanings Lucr.+ and inscr.), *conciliāre*

'to bring together, win over, obtain' (Pl.+), *conciliātrix* 'intermediary' (Pl.+), *conciliābulum* 'meeting-place' (Pl.+), *reconciliāre* 'to restore, reconcile' (Naev.+).

Plt. \**kela-* / \**kal-* [pr.]. It. cognates: U. *kařetu*, *kařitu*, *carsitu* [3s.ipv.II] 'he must call' < \**kalē-tōd* < \**kaleie-*.

PIE \**kelh<sub>1</sub>-/klh<sub>1</sub>-* 'to call'. IE cognates: Gr. *καλέω* 'to call', *καλήτωρ* (adj.) 'caller', *ἐκέκλετο* 'called', *κικλήσκω* 'invoke', OHG *hellan* 'to resound', OE *hlōwan* 'to roar'.

For the verb, Schrijver 1991: 206, 400 assumes original athematic flection \**kelh<sub>1</sub>-ti*, \**klh<sub>1</sub>-enti* > \**kelati*, \**kalenti*. The former would explain the first cj. of *calāre*, whereas the second would have given risen to the U. *ē*-conjugation. Apparently, the pl. form \**kal-* was generalized. The noun *concilium* may reflect \**-kelh<sub>1</sub>-io-*, \**-kolh<sub>1</sub>-io-* or \**-klh<sub>1</sub>-io-* (Schrijver 1991: 206). The verb is not alive anymore in the classical period with the meaning 'to call', but only survives in technical specializations.

Bibl.: WH I: 141f., EM 87f., IEW 548-50, Rix 1999: 528, LIV \**kleh<sub>1</sub>-*. → *calendae*, *clāmāre*, *clārus*

**cālō** 'soldier's servant' [m. n] (Acc.+)

Etymology unknown.

Bibl.: WH I: 141, EM 87.

**calvor, -ī** 'to deceive' [v. III] (Léx XII, Pl.+; also 'to be deceived' Pac.+)

Derivatives: *calumnia* [f.] 'false accusation' (CIL I, Cj.c.+).

Plt. \**kalwe/o-* [pr.].

PIE \**kʰ<sub>2</sub>l-u-* (Schrijver) or \**klh<sub>1</sub>-u-* (LIV). IE cognates: Gr. *κηλέω* 'to cast a spell', Go. (*af*)*holon* 'to slander', Oic. *hæla* 'to praise', OE *hōlian*, *hēlan* 'to betray', OHG *huolen* 'to deceive' < \**keh<sub>2</sub>l-* (if cognate).

LIV derives *calvor* from PIE 'to call', which is semantically attractive. Lat. *calumnia* < \**kalwomnia* to \**kalwomno-* 'deceiving, accusing'. The absence of the development \**ly* > Lat. *ll* suggests either an early variant \**kalu-* or \**kalVw-*. If derived from 'to call', this could point to a preform \**klh<sub>1</sub>-u-* > \**kalu-*, thematized to \**kalu-e-* > *calvor*.

Bibl.: WH I: 143, EM 88, IEW 551, Schrijver 1991: 95, 113, LIV \*(<sup>k</sup>)*elh<sub>1</sub>-*.

**calvus** 'bald' [adj. *o/ā*] (Pompon.+)

Derivatives: *calva* 'bald head' (Pompon.+), *calvāria* 'skull' (Gel.+), *recalvus* 'having receding hair' (Pl.).

Plt. \**kale/owo-*. It. cognates: O. PN *kaiúvieis* [gen.sg.], *kalaviis* [nom.sg.] 'Calvius'.

PIE \**klH-e/oyo-* 'bald'. IE cognates: Skt. *āti-kulva-* 'exceedingly thin-haired', *kulva-* 'bald, thin-haired', YAv. *kauruua-* 'thin-haired' < \**klH-uo-*.

Since \**ly* yields Lat. *ll* (cf. most recently Nussbaum 1997: 190-192 in defense of this), *calvus* must go back to \**kalVyo-*. For the meaning of YAv. *kauruua-* and the length of the vowel in Skt., cf. Lubotsky 1997: 142.

Bibl.: WH I: 143-144, EM 88, IEW 554, Schrijver 1991: 294f.

**calx, -cis** ‘heel; hoof’ [f. *k*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *calcāre* ‘to trample, tread’ (Cato+), *conculcāre* ‘to tread underfoot’ (Pl.+); *calcitrō* ‘who kicks with the heels’ (Pl.+); *calcar, -āris* ‘spur’ (Pl.+); *calceus* ‘shoe’ (Pl.+), *calceāmentum* ‘id.’ (Cato+), *calceolārius* ‘shoemaker’ (Pl.+).

IE cognates: OPr. *culczy* ‘hip’, Lith. *kulkšnis* ‘ankle(-bone)’ [f.], Latv. *kulkšnis* ‘tarsal joint, hough’ [m.]; Ru. *kolk* (dial.) ‘bony stump underneath a horn of a cow or bull’, Bulg. *kalka*, SCr. *kūk* ‘hip, thigh’ < BSl. *\*kulʔk-* [f.] < PIE *\*k<sup>h</sup>l<sup>h</sup>k<sup>(w)</sup>-(n-)?*

The connection with BSl. *\*kulʔk-* ‘some part of the leg’ is doubtful at the very best; the vowel *-u-* might be explained if the second velar was PIE *\*-k<sup>w</sup>-* (Lubotsky, p.c.). Some scholars have pleaded in favour of a non-IE (possibly Etruscan) origin of *calx*, but a concrete comparandum is lacking.

Bibl.: WH I: 144, EM 88f., IEW 928, Schrijver 1991: 207.

**calx, -cis** ‘lime, limestone’ [f. *k*] (Cato+; nom.sg. *cals* Varro)

Derivatives: *calcārius* [m. / adj.] ‘lime-burner; for burning lime’ (Cato+), *calicāre* ‘to coat with lime’ (Paul. *ex F.*, CIL 1.1529, between 134 and 90 BC).

A loanword from Gr. *χάλιξ, -ικος* [m.f.] ‘small stone, gravel’ (in which case *calicāre* regularly continues the Gr. stem *χάλικ-*, whereas *calx* shows unexpected syncope) or from a different Mediterranean language, whence it entered Latin and Greek independently.

Bibl.: WH I: 145, EM 89, Biville 1990 II: 144f.

**campus** ‘flat land, field’ [m. *o*] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *campestris (-ster)* [adj.] ‘flat, level, on a plain’ (Cato+); *Campānus* ‘Campanian’ (Enn.+), *campānicus* ‘from Campania’ (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*kampo-* ‘field’.

IE cognates: Gr. *κάμπτω* ‘to bend, curve’, *καμπή* ‘bow, curvature’, Lith. *kaĩpas* ‘corner’, *kuĩpti* ‘to bend (intr.)’, *kuĩpas* ‘curved’, OCS *кѡтъ* ‘corner’ [m.] (< *\*komp-to-?*), Go. *hamfs* ‘mutilated, lame’, OHG *hamf*.

Latin *ca-* is difficult to explain; for the Greek forms, Beekes (fthc.) arrives at the conclusion that these words come from a substratum language. This could well be a European substratum word from agricultural terminology.

Bibl.: WH I: 148f., EM 90f., IEW 525, LIV *\*kamp-* ‘to bend’ (here *campus*), *\*k(u)emp-* ‘to tremble’ (only Ilr.)

**cancer, -rī** ‘crab; Cancer’ [m. (sometimes n. in nom.acc.sg.) *o*] (Pl.+; *canceres* [acc.pl.] Cato ‘ulcers’, *cancrī* [pl.] ‘lattice or barrier’ Paul. *ex F.*, Apul.)

Derivatives: *cancellī, -ōrum* ‘barrier, grille’ (Varro+).

PIt. *\*karkro-*.

PIE *\*kr-kr-o-* ‘circular’?

The Latin word can only be connected with evidence outside Italic if we assume a dissimilation of earlier *\*karkros* ‘enclosure’ (cf. *carcer*) > *\*kankros*. Since the pincers of a crab form a circle, this may have been the cause of its denomination. The

appurtenance of Cl.Skt. *karkaṭa-* 'lobster' (theoretically from *\*karkṣta-*) is rejected by EWAia III: 64. Greek *καρκίνοσ* 'crab' might be from *\*kr-kr-ino-*, according to Schrijver, which would come close to the Latin word.

Bibl.: WH I: 151, EM 91f., IEW 531f., Schrijver 1991: 428, 435. → *carcer*

**candeō** 'to shine, gleam' [v. II; pf. *candui*] (Enn.+)

Derivatives: *candor*, *-ōris* 'bright light' (Naev.+); *candidus* 'bright' (Pl.+), *candidātus* 'dressed in white' (Pl.+); *candēla* 'candle' (Varro, Hem.+), *candēlābrum* 'stand for burning candles' (Cato+); *candefaciō* 'to make white' (Pl.+), *excandēscere* 'to catch fire' (Cato+); *cicindēla* 'firefly' (Plin., Paul. *ex F.*).

PIt. *\*kand-ē-* 'to shine'.

PIE *\*knd-ro-*, *-no-* 'shining'. IE cognates: W. *cann* 'brilliant', MBret. *cann* 'full moon' < *\*knd-*; Skt. *chāndas-* [n.] 'hymn of praise' < *\*skend-os-*, *cāndrá-* 'brilliant' < *\*knd-ro-*; Alb. *hënë* 'moon' < *\*skondnā*.

Lat. *candeō*, if from PIE *\*knd-*, has an unexpected vowel *-a-* instead of *\*-e-*. Applying Schrijver's observation (1991: 495f.) that a cluster of four consonants can be resolved by inserting *a* after the first consonant (as in *castrum*, *māla*, etc.), *\*kand-* may have arisen in PIE formations such as *\*knd-ro-* (cf. Skt. *cāndrá-*) or *\*knd-no-* (Alb. *hënë*).

Bibl.: WH I: 152f., EM 91f., IEW 526, Schrijver 1991: 428, LIV *\*(s)kend-*. → *-cendō*

**canēs, -is** 'dog' [m.f. *n*] (Pl.+; nom.sg. usually *canis*, but older *canēs* in Enn., Pl., Lucil., Varro (all 1x), abl.sg. *cane*, gen.pl. *canum*)

Derivatives: *canīcula* 'bitch' (Pl.+); dog-star, Sirius; dog-fish or shark' (Varro+), *canīnus* 'of a dog' (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*kō*, *\*kwanem*, *\*kanos*.

PIE *\*kūōn* [nom.sg.], *\*kūon-m* [acc.sg.], *\*kūn-os* [gen.sg.] 'dog'. IE cognates: Olr. *cú* (gen.sg. *con*), W. *ci*, pl. *cwn* 'dog'; Hit. *kuṽan/kun-* [c.] 'dog-man', HLuw. *swan(i)-* 'dog'; Skt. *śvā* [nom.sg.], *śvānam* [acc.sg.], *śūnas* [gen.sg.] 'dog', *śunī-* [f.] 'bitch', YAv. *span-* [m.] 'dog', *sūniš* [f.pl.] 'bitch', *spaka-* 'dog-like', Gr. *κύων*, *κυνός*, *κύνα* [m./f.]; Arm. *šown*, OPr. *sunis*, Lith. *šuō*, Latv. *suns*, Go. *hunds*, Oic. *hundr*, OE *hund*, OHG *hunt*, Toch. *ku* [m.] (obl. ToA *kom*, ToB *kwem*) 'dog'.

The forms *cane* and *canum* show that the word continues an old consonant stem, so that the original nom.sg. will have been *canēs* rather than *canis*. To explain the root vowel *-a-*, one may assume that the development of *\*wo* > *\*wa* in open syllable yielded acc.sg. *\*kwanem* (Schrijver 1991: 461). The resulting paradigm was leveled to nom.sg. *\*kō*, acc.sg. *\*kanem*, and on this acc.sg., a new paradigm was built with nom.sg. *canēs*, acc.sg. *canem*, gen.sg. *canis*. Finally, the nom.sg. was replaced by *canis*.

Bibl.: WH I: 152f., EM 92, IEW 632f., Schrijver 1991: 374, 461.

**canō, -ere** 'to sing' [v. III; pf. *cecinī*, ppp. *cantum*] (Carmen Saliare, Pl.+)

Derivatives: *cantāre* 'to sing' (Naev.+), *cantitāre* 'to sing(repeatedly)' (Ter.+), *cantor* 'singer' (Pl.+), *cantus*, *-ūs* 'singing, song' (Andr.+), *cantiō* 'song' (Pl.+); *canor*, *-ōris* [m.] 'song, music', *canōrus* 'resonant, loud' (Pl.+); *cantilēna* 'refrain'

(Ter.+); *carmen*, *-inis* ‘song’ (Lex XII+); *vāticinārī* ‘to prophesy, rave’ (Pl.+); Lat. *-cen*, *-cinis* [m.] ‘instrument, singer’, in: *cornicen* ‘trumpeter’ (Var., Cic.+), *fidicen* ‘lyre-player’ (Cic.+), *fidicina* ‘female lyre-player’ (Pl.+), *fidicinius* ‘for lyre-playing’ (Pl.), *liticen* ‘trumpeter’ (Cato+), *oscen* ‘a bird that gives omens by its cry, song-bird’ (Cic.+), *siticen* ‘player on some kind of musical instrument’ (Cato apud Gell.), *tībīcen* ‘piper’ (Pl.+), *tībīcina* ‘female piper’ (Pl.+), *tubicen* ‘trumpeter’ (Cato+).

Plt. *\*kan-e(je)-* [pr.], *\*kekan-* [pf.]. It. cognates: U. *kanetu* [3s.ipv.II] ‘he must (make) sing’ (< *\*kan-ē-*), *procanurent* [3p.fut.pf.]; U. *ařkani* [acc.sg.] ‘(ritual) song’ < *\*ad-kan-iom*.

PIE *\*kh<sub>2</sub>n-e-* [pr.] ‘to sing’, *\*kh<sub>2</sub>on-eie-* [caus.]. IE cognates: Olr. *cainid*, *cain*, pf. *cechain*, W. *canu*, Bret. *cana* ‘to sing’, W. *g(w)o-gawn*, *gogoniant* ‘famous’ < *\*kān-/\*kōn-*; Gr. *ἦ-καρός* ‘singing early’ = ‘cock’, maybe *καράχη* ‘noise’; Go. *hana*, OHG *hano* ‘cock’, Oic. *hæna*, OHG *huon* ‘hen’ < PGm. *\*χan- / \*χōn-*.

The adj. *canōrus* is derived from *canor* ‘song’. The verb *cantāre* was derived from the ppp. *cantus*. The noun *cantilēna* is explained by dissimilation from *\*cantilēla* (to an unattested *\*cantilāre*) by Leumann 1977: 323. Following Ernout, Leumann 1977: 551 argues that the compounds in *-cinōr* stem from *vāticinōr*, built on a noun *\*vāti-cinium* ‘what the soothsayer sings’. Lat. *carmen* is dissimilated from *\*canmen*. There seems to be no agreement on the PIE form of the root. It is unlikely that Germanic *\*χan-* renders a root structure *\*knH-*, so that we may opt either for *\*kan-* (in which case it is a non-IE root), or *\*kh<sub>2</sub>n-*.

The compounds in *-cen* all have a noun as their first member (the basis of *siticen* is unknown) except for *oscen*, which contains the preverb *\*obs-*. Lindner 2002: 219 regards *oscen* < *\*obs-can* ‘who sings before/in the face’, *cornicen* < *\*kornu-can* and *tubicen*, *tībīcen* < *\*tibia-can* as the archetypes of these compounds. This type of root noun cp. is probably archaic, but the asigmatic character of nom.sg. *-cen* is exceptional within Latin, as opposed to e.g. *-dex*, *-ex*, *-fex*. Hence, it is possible to regard the cp. in *-cen* as analogical to those in *-fer* < *\*foros* and *-ger* ‘carrying’.

Bibl.: WH I: 154f., II: 226, EM 93f., 470, IEW 525, Leumann 1977: 393, 551, Benedetti 1988: 53-60, Schrijver 1991: 95, 219, Untermann 2000: 50f., 366, Lindner 2002: 219f., LIV *\*k̑an-*.

**cānus** ‘white (esp. of hair)’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *canūtus* ‘grey’ (Pl.; uncertain attestation).

Plt. *\*kasno-* ‘grey’. It. cognates: Pael. *casnar* [nom.sg.] ‘old man’ (also in Paul. *ex F.*) < *\*kasnāri-*.

PIE *\*k̑h<sub>1</sub>s-no-* ‘grey’. IE cognates: W. *ceinach* ‘hare’; Skt. *śasá-* [m.] (< *\*śasa-* < *\*k̑h<sub>1</sub>-es-*), Khot. *saha-*, OPr. *sasins* [m.], OHG *haso*, OE *hara*, Oic. *heri* ‘hare’, OHG *hasan* ‘grey, shining’, Oic. *hōss* (*\*χas-ua-*), OE *hasu* ‘grey-brown’, MHG *heswe* ‘bleak’ (< PIE *\*k̑h<sub>1</sub>-(o)s-*).

Together with *cascus*, *cānus* < *\*kasnos* points to Plt. *\*kasno-* ‘grey’ < PIE *\*k̑h<sub>1</sub>s-no-*, which is cognate with the word for ‘hare’ in other IE languages. Lubotsky 1989 connects several other words in initial *\*k̑-* meaning ‘grey’: Olr. *cíar* ‘dark-brown’ < *\*k̑h<sub>1</sub>-ei-ro-*, Oic. *hárr*, OE *hār* ‘grey, old’ < PGm. *\*χaira-*, RuCS *šěrb*, OCz. *šěry*, Po.

*szary* 'grey' < PSl. \**xoiro-* < \**kh<sub>1</sub>-oi-ro-*. Thus, the root ultimately was \**kh<sub>1</sub>-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 156, EM 94, IEW 533, Lubotsky 1989: 56f., Schrijver 1991: 91, Untermann 2000: 374. → *cascus*

**caper, -rī** 'he-goat, buck' [m. o] (Caes.+)

Derivatives: *capra* 'she-goat' (Pl.+), *caprea* 'roe-deer' (Varro+), *capreāginus* 'resembling a roe' (Pl.), *caprīnus* 'of goats' (Cato+); *caprificus* [f. o] 'wild fig-tree' (Ter.+).

Plt. \**kapro-* 'he-goat', \**kaprīno-* 'of a goat'. It. cognates: U. **kaprum, kapru, kabru** [acc.sg.], **kapres** [gen.sg.] 'he-goat', U. *cabriner* [gen.sg.m/n.] < \**kaprīno-* 'of the goat'. IE cognates: W. *caer-iwrch* 'roebuck', Ir. *caera* 'sheep' < \**kapero-*; Gr. *κάπρος* 'wild boar', OIc. *hafr* 'he-goat'.

Schrijver argues that the suffix may have had ablaut \*-ro-/ero-, but this might be analogical. One could reconstruct a PIE root \**kh<sub>2</sub>p-*, but it is more likely that we are dealing with a loanword: no single PIE word for 'goat' can be reconstructed, and \**kap-* is suspiciously close to Celtic \**gab-ro-* (Olr. *gabor*, W. *gafr* [m. / f.] '(he-)goat').

Bibl.: WH I: 157, EM 94f., IEW 539, Schrijver 1991: 96, Untermann 359, 368f.

**capillus** 'hair' [m. o] (Pl.+; *capillum* once Pl. apud Nonium)

The attempts to derive *capillus* from *caput* 'head' are difficult on the formal side, since \**kaput-(s)lo-* should yield \**capullus*. Semantically, a derivation of 'hair' from 'head' is far from compelling, since *capillus* is a diminutive, and would mean 'little head', which hardly amounts to 'hair'. Phonologically, one expects *capillus* to be derived from a stem \**kap-n-* or \**kap-r-*, but there are no good candidates. The attempts to reconstruct \**kapit-lo-* (e.g. Nyman 1982, Hamp 1983) are not convincing.

Bibl.: WH I: 158, EM 95, IEW 529f. → *capiō*

**capiō, -ere** 'to take' [v. III; pf. *cēpī*, ppp. *captus*; fut. *capsō, -is* Pl., Enn.]) (VOLat.+; Foruminscr. *kapia(d)* [3s.pr.sb.])

Derivatives: *capulus* '(sword-)handle; bier' (Pl.+), *capulāris* 'ready for the bier' (Pl.+), *mūscipulum* 'mousetrap' (Lucil.+), *capulāre* 'to attach' (Col.), *concupilāre* 'to lay violent hands on, seize' (Pl.+); *capāx* 'capable of holding' (Lucr.+); *captāre* 'to try to touch, grasp at' (Pl.+), *captus, -ūs* [m.] 'capacity' (Ter.+), *captiō* 'trick, loss' (Pl.+), *captīvus* 'taken prisoner' (Naev.+); *anticipāre* 'to occupy beforehand' (Varro+), *nuncupāre* 'to declare, appoint' (Lex XII, Pac.+), *occupāre* 'to seize to oneself, take possession' (Andr.+); *recuperāre* 'to recover, get back' (Cato+), *reciperātor* 'assessor' (Pl.+); *capessō, -ere* 'to grasp, seize' (pf. *-īvī*, ptc.fut.act. *-īturus*) (Naev.+; Pac. 1x *capissam*); *praecipuus* 'special, exceptional' (Pl.+); *hosticapās* 'who captures enemies' (Paul. ex F.), *urbicapus* 'who captures cities' (Pl.); *capistrum* 'halter, band' (Cato+); *accipere* 'to take, receive' (Naev.+), *concupere* 'to receive, perceive' (Pl.+), *dēcupere* 'to deceive' (Pl.+), *incipere* 'to start' (Pl.+), *intercupere* 'to intercept, steal' (Pl.+), *occipere* 'to take up, begin' (Pl.+), *percipere* 'to perceive, acquire, earn' (Pl.+), *praecipere* 'to seize beforehand, to give notice, advise' (Pl.+), *recipere* 'to receive, admit, accept' (Pl.+). Possibly *capula* 'sacrificial cup' (Varro), *capulāre* 'to draw off (oil) from the oil-press' (Plin.), *capulātor* 'who

draws off oil from the oil-press' (Cato+) belong here too, if built on *\*kap-elo-* 'instrument for seizing'.

PIt. *\*kap-i-*.

PIE *\*kh<sub>2</sub>p-i-* / non-IE *\*kap-i-* 'to seize'. IE cognates: Gr. *κάπτω* 'to gulp down', *κωπή* 'grip'; Go. *haban* 'to have' < *\*kap-eh<sub>1</sub>-je/o-*, Go. *hafjan* 'to heave, lift', OHG *heffen* < *\*kap-je/o-*.

The pf. *cēpī* was probably formed on the model of *fēcī* and *iēcī*, maybe also of *ēpī*. The ins. meaning of *\*kap-elo-* surfaces in *capulus* and *mūs-cipulum*. Lat. *captāre* is based on the ppp. *captus*, while *captīvus* may have been derived from *\*kap-ti-* (as may be continued in *captiō*). The verbs *anticipāre* and *occupāre* are originally denominal, e.g. to a noun in *-caps*, cf. Steinbauer 1989: 136. *Nuncupāre* < *\*nōmo-capāre* 'to take the name' is denominal to a noun *\*nōmo-kaps* 'who takes the office'. The pr. *recuperāre* goes back to *\*-kapizā-*, showing the suffix *\*-sā-* after the present stem *\*kap-i-*. Nussbaum 2007b explains it as the result of analogical introduction of the suffix *\*-is-* (or > *\*-ez-*) into the *ā*-present *-kupā-* which is found in compounds, beside the simplex in *\*-kapi-*. The form *capissam* shows that *capessere* goes back to *\*kap-i-ss-* (Sommer 1914: 585). Lat. *capistrum* has an unexpected *-i-*; it could be the same PIIt. stem-vowel *\*-i-* of the verb. Serbat 1975: 326 suggests that the uniqueness of an inherited ending *-itrum* (if the noun goes back to *\*kap-i-tro-*) caused the replacement by *-istrum* (e.g. on the basis of Greek loanwords in *-istrum*).

As to the IE etymology, the appurtenance of the Greek verb is regarded as uncertain by Beekes (fthc.) on account of its meaning. The certain forms are Latin and Germanic, but whereas *capīō* and *haban*, *hafjan* require a root *\*kap-*, Latin *habēō* and Go. *giban* require *\*g<sup>h</sup>a/eb<sup>h</sup>-* (which must be connected with OIr. *gaibid*). According to Schrijver apud Boutkan-Siebinga (2005), this is reminiscent of the alternation *\*kap- / \*g<sup>h</sup>ab<sup>h</sup>-* in the word for 'he-goat', Lat. *caper*. Since this is probably a non-IE loanword, *capīō* might also be one. Schrijver 1991: 411 and 2003: 67 reconstructs a PIE *i*-present for the Latin verb, 3s.pr. *\*kapiti*, 3p. *\*kapi(e)nti*. Note that also the Italo-Celtic verb *\*g<sup>h</sup>ab<sup>h</sup>-* was originally an *i*-present according to Schrijver 2003: 79.

Bibl.: WH I: 159-163, II: 188, 424, EM 95-97, IEW 527f., Giacomelli 1963: 241, Schrijver 1991: 96, 411; 2003, LIV *\*keh<sub>2</sub>p-*. → *-ceps*

**capis, -idis** 'bowl, cup' [f. *d*] (Lucil.+; acc.pl. *capidas* Lucil.)

It. cognates: U. *kapiře*, *capirse* [dat. or abl.sg.], *kapiře* [abl.sg.], *capirso* [acc.sg.], *kapiř*, *kapi*, *capif* [acc.pl.], *kapiřus* [abl.pl.] 'sacrificial bowl' < *\*kapid-*.

Maybe *capis* goes back to a loanword from Greek *σκαφίς*, *-ίδος* 'bowl, drinking vessel' (H.+). Since the Umrbian word already occurs on Iguvine Table I, it seems likely that we are dealing with a parallel borrowing from Greek into various Italic languages.

Bibl.: WH I: 160, EM 97, Untermann 2000: 367f.

**capsa** 'case, box' [f. *ā*] (Cic.+)

Derivatives: *capsus* 'the body (of a carriage), cage' (Vitruv., Fest., Veil.).

PIt. *\*kap-s-o-*.



Probably derived as \**kapso-* ‘container’ from *capiō*, or built directly on a Plt. *s-pr.* \**kap-s(-e/o)-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 162f., EM 97, IEW 527f., LIV \**keh<sub>2</sub>p-*. → *capiō*

**cāpus** ‘capon, castrated cock’ [m. o] [Varro, Col.]

A variant is *cāpō* (Mart.+) or rather \**cappō*, as continued in Romance and borrowed into Germanic. Comparing OCS *skopъcb* ‘eunuch’, *skopiti* ‘to cut off, castrate’, IEW derives *cāpus* from a root \**skVp-* ‘to cut off, hew’; from the same root, *scapulae* would be derived. As argued s.v. *scapulae*, there are reasons to reconstruct a non-IE root \**skap-*. Alternatively, *cāpus* might be connected with *caper* ‘he-goat’, another male animal of the domestic sphere, which may be a substratum word. In both cases, the ablaut *a : ā* could be of substratum origin.

Bibl.: WH I: 161, EM 98, IEW 930-933. → *scapulae*

**caput, -itis** ‘head’ [n. t] (Lex XII, Andr.+)

Derivatives: *capitālis* ‘punishable by death, fatal’ (Naev.+), *capitō* ‘big-headed’ (Cato+), *capitulum* ‘(little) head, end’ (Pl.+); *anceps, -cipitis* ‘two-faced, two-edged’ (Pl.+) (nom.sg.f. *ancipes* 1x Pl.), *biceps* ‘two-headed’ (Varro+), *praeceps* ‘headlong, precipitous’ (Pl.+) (nom.sg. *praeceps* Pl., acc.sg. *praecepem* Laev., abl.sg. *praecepe* Enn.); *occipitium* ‘the back of the head’ (Pl.+); *sinciput, -ītis* ‘half-head, cheek’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \**kaput* [n.] ‘head’, \**prai-kaput-i-*.

IE cognates: OIr. *cúāch*, W. *çawg* ‘cup’ < \**kapuko-*; Ğo. *haubiþ* ‘head’, Olc. *hofuð*, Olc. *haufuð*, OE *hēafod*, OFr. *haved*, OHG *houbit*, NHG *Haupt* ‘id.’ < PGm. \**haubid-*, \**haubud-*; \**hafud-*; OE *hafola* < \**kapu-lon-*.

While *sinciput* < \**sēmi-caput* retains the *u*-vowel in the suffix, Lat. *ancipit-*, *bicipit-* and *praecipit-* show vowel weakening. The nom.sg. of the type *anceps* is sometimes regarded as lacking the suffix *-(u)t-*, but since all other derivatives of *caput* show \**-ut-*, it seems just as likely that the suffix vowel was lost analogically after the type in *-ceps* ‘taking’ (*auceps, -cipis* etc.). Occasional forms such as *ancipes, praecipes* also point in this direction. Parker 1988: 237f. argues that *anceps, praeceps* are *i*-stem compounds \**am̄bi-kaput-i-*, \**prai-kaput-i-*, regularly weakened to \**prai-kepeti-s* > \**praekapets* > *praecipes*. The n.pl. *-ia*, gen.pl. *-ium* of these compounds would prove their *i*-stem character. Since words meaning ‘cup’ frequently become ‘head’, it is not unlikely that *caput* and its Germanic counterparts are derived from the root of *capiō* ‘to seize’, which would point to a substratum form \**kap-ut-* ‘cup’ > ‘head’. Germanic shows a vacillation between \**kap-ut-* and \**kaup-i/ut-*, which may be due to some kind of *u*-infection. The Celtic form \**kapuko-* and OE *hafola* may continue an earlier form \**kap-u-*, a *u*-extension to a substratum root \**kap-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 163f., EM 98f., IEW 529f., Schrijver 1991: 100f., 1997: 294ff., Beekes 1996, Boutkan-Siebinga 2005, LIV \**keh<sub>2</sub>p-*. → *capiō*

**carbō** ‘piece of charcoal’ [m. n] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *carbōnārius* ‘charcoal-burner’ (Pl.+); *carbunculus* ‘a coal; variety of sandstone; tumour’ (Pl.+).

The root which Pokorny 1959 postulates as 'to burn' is now no longer regarded as a verbal root (Lith. *kūrti* is simply 'to make'). The meaning of *carbō* is quite specific, and the suffix not attested in the words outside Italic which are usually compared (e.g. Go. *hauri* 'charcoal', OIc. *hyrr* [m.] 'fire' < PGM. \**hurja-*), so that PIE origin is uncertain. Lat. *carbō* may go back to pre-syncope forms \**kar(a)b<sup>(h)</sup>ō* or \**kar(a)d<sup>h</sup>ō*.

Bibl.: WH I: 165, EM 100, IEW 571f., Schrijver 1991: 194, 207f. → *cremō*

**carcer, -is** 'jail, prison' [m. *r*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *carcerārius* 'of a prison' (Pl.+).

PIt. \**karkr(o)-*.

PIE \**kr-kr-* 'circular'? IE cognates: Gr. *καρκίνοϛ* 'crab'.

It seems best to connect *carcer* with other IE words for 'circle, round object', such as Latin. *curvus*, Gr. *κίρκος* 'ring', OIc. *hringr*, although not all of these have a good IE etymology. The reduplication in Latin *carcer* could be iconic; thus, the original meaning would have been 'enclosure'. In *cancrī*, *cancellī*, \**kar-kr-* has dissimilated to \**kan-kr-*. Latin *-a-* could have arisen phonetically in a cluster \**karkr-*, cf. Schrijver 1991: 495f.

Bibl.: WH I: 166, EM 99. → *cancer*

**cardō, -inis** 'pivot, axis' [m. *n*] (Pl.+)

Uncertain etymology. We find semantically comparable forms in Germanic, OE *heorr(a)*, OIc. *hjarri* 'hinge' < \**χerzan-* < IE \**ker-s-n-*, OHG *scerdo* 'hinge' < \**sker-t-ōn*, OIc. *hrata*, OE *hratian* 'to reel, stagger' < \**krod-*; but there is no complete formal match, so that these connections remain gratuitous. The meaning of the Greek words compared by Pokorny 1959, such as Gr. *κράδῃ* 'spray at the end of a branche, twig, esp. of figs' < \**krd-* and *κόρδαξ* 'name of a dance', is not specific enough to warrant a relationship.

Bibl.: WH I: 166, EM 99f., IEW 933-935, Schrijver 1991: 429.

**careō** 'to lack, be without' [v. II; pf. *caruī*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *castus* 'free from, pure, chaste' (Pl.+), *castus*, *-ūs* 'state of abstinence' (Naev.+), *castīgāre* 'to correct, reprimand, chasten' (Pl.+), *castīgātor* 'one who reproves' (Pl.+); *cassus* 'devoid of, lacking' (Pl.+).

PIt. \**kas-ē-* 'to lack', \**kas-so-*. It. cognates: Fal. *carefo* 'I will lack' [1s.fut.] < \**kas-ē-*; O. *kasit* [3s.pr.] 'is necessary, must' < \**kasēt*.

The relationship *careō* : *castus* proves an original root \**kas-*, with *castus* as the ppp. \**kas-to-*. The other forms in *cast-* have been built on *castus*. Lat. *cassus* can be understood as a case of analogical introduction of *-sus* in the ppp. (Sommer 1914: 608; usually, this happens when the pf. ends in *-sī*), or as an original form \**kas-s-o-*. There are no certain IE cognates of the root. The connection with \**kes-* 'to cut', retained in LIV, does not explain Latin *-a-* and is semantically not obvious (note the stative in Latin: 'to be cutting' = 'to lack?'). A mechanical reconstruction would be PIE \**kHs-eh<sub>1</sub>-* (Schrijver 1991), but there are no certain solutions for this form: to Ilr. \**kaH-* 'to desire'? Verbs of this type may also be denominal ('to be in a state of

lacking’). Latin *castīgāre* is explained by Dunkel 2000a: 95 from *\*k̑Hs-ti-h<sub>2</sub>ǵ-o-* ‘carrying out a rebuke’, thus resuming the connection favoured by WH with the root of Skt. *śās-* ‘to teach, correct’. This would imply an earlier form *\*castis* ‘reprimand’ in Latin. The original meaning assumed here departs from the meaning ‘lacking, pure’ which is clearly basic to the other Latin forms of this word family, and I see no way to derive the meaning ‘lacking, pure’ from ‘to teach, reprimand’.

Bibl.: WH I: 167,178, EM 100, IEW 586, Schrijver 1991: 101, Untermann 2000: 373, LIV *\*kes-*. → *cariēs*

**cārex, -icis** ‘reed-grass or sedge’ [f. *k*] (Verg.+; nom.sg. also *cārix*)

Muller 1926 suggests a connection with *carrere*, *\*cārere* ‘to card’. The suffix *-ex, -icis* is found in many tree names and plant names, many of which have no etymology.

Bibl.: WH I: 167, EM 100.

**cariēs** ‘decaying, rot’ [f. *ē*] (Varro+; sg.tantum)

Derivatives: *cariōsus* ‘decayed, rotten’ (Cato+).

PIt. *\*kas-* ‘to lack, be missing’ (*cariēs* probably post-PIt.).

The suffix *-iēs* to derive verbal abstracts is not productive anymore in Latin. The isolated formation *cariēs* points to an earlier verb *\*kar-* or *\*kas-*. Earlier dictionaries have derived *cariēs* from PIE *\*k<sub>1</sub>rh<sub>2</sub>-* ‘to break’, as in Slā. *śynāti* ‘breaks’, Av. *asarata-* ‘unbroken’, *sari-* [m.] ‘piece, sherd’; Gr. *καταίω* ‘to ravage’. Yet, semantically, *cariēs* may just as well belong to *careō* ‘to lack’ as ‘defect, state of defectiveness’; since *careō* is attested in Latin (cf. *aceō* > *aciēs*) while no other derivatives of *\*k<sub>1</sub>rh<sub>2</sub>-* ‘to break’ are known, the derivation from *careō* is more straightforward.

Bibl.: WH I: 167f., EM 100, IEW 578, Leumann 1977: 285, Schrijver 1991: 292f., LIV *\*kes-*. → *careō*

**carīna** ‘keel or hull of a ship; the half of a walnut shell’ [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

PIt. *\*ker-* or *\*kar-*.

IE cognates: W. *ceri* ‘stone of a fruit’ (< *\*ka/lorī*), Gr. *κάρυον* ‘nut’, Myc. *ka-ru-pi* ins.pl., Gr. *καρύϊνος* ‘of nuts, nut-brown’.

The earliest and usual meaning refers to ships; only from Pliny onwards do we find ‘walnut shell’. WH consider borrowing as ship’s terminology from Greek *καρύϊνος* \*‘like a nutshell’ likely, because the ship’s connection is attested earlier. EM, on the contrary, argue that the chronology is accidental, and that ‘nutshell’ must be the original meaning. They suspect an inherited word, maybe to *cancer* if this is from *\*ker-* ‘hard’ (but see s.v. *cancer*). Schrijver 1991 also considers *\*ker-* ‘hard’ as a possible source, but argues that it is possibly a non-IE word.

Bibl.: WH I: 168, EM 100, IEW 531, Schrijver 1991: 208.

**carīnō, -āre** ‘to use abusive language’ [v. I] (Enn.)

PIt. *\*karīno-* [adj.] ‘insulting’.

IE cognates: OIr. *caire* [f.], W. *caredd*, MBret. *carez* ‘blame, disapproval’ < *\*kr-ieh<sub>2</sub>-*; Gr. *κάρινη* ‘penalty’, *αὐτόκαρινος* ‘self-punishing’ (Hsch.); Lith. *káirinti* ‘to

provoke', Latv. *karināt* 'to pester', CS *korь* 'contumely', SCr. *kôr* (dial.) 'reproach', OHG *harawēn*, OE *hierwan* 'to mock'; ToA *kārn-*, ToB *karn-* 'to vex' < PIE \**kr-n-*.

The verb occurs twice in lines from Ennius, *Annales* 458 (564) *neque me decet hanc carinantibus edere cartis* and 576 (563) *contra carinantes verba atque obscena profatus*. Skutsch 1985 argues quite convincingly that 576 contains a long *ī*, which was therefore the original vowel, which was shortened to *carinantibus* in 458 for metrical reasons. The verb was probably derived from an adj. \**karīno-* 'abusing, insulting', which itself might be derived from an ins.sg. \**karih<sub>1</sub>* to an *i*-stem noun \**kari-* 'abuse, insult', To this, we can connect PCI. \**kriā-* 'blame'. There appear to be several IE forms of the type \**kor-*, \**kr-n-*, but these do not yield a clear etymology for *carināre*.

Bibl.: WH I: 168f., EM 100, IEW 530, Leumann 1977: 551, Skutsch 1985: 60, 616, 716f., Schrijver 1991: 429, Vine 1999c. → *festinō*

**carō, carnis** 'flesh, meat' [f. *n*] (Andr.+; *carnēs* [pl.] 'pieces of meat'. A new nom.sg. *carnis* in Andr., abl.sg. *carni* lx in Plautus)

Derivatives: *carnārium* 'meat-rack' (Pl.+), *caruncula* 'small piece of flesh' (Varro+); *carnu/ifex*, *-ficis* 'executioner; scoundrel' (Naev.+), *carnu/ificina* 'the work of an executioner, execution' (Pl.+), *carnu/ificius* [adj.] 'of a hangman'.

Pit. \**kerō(n)* [nom.], \**kar-(V)n-* [acc.] 'piece of meat'. It. cognates: U. *karu* [nom.sg.], *karne* [dat.sg.], *karne* [abl.sg.], *karnus* [abl.pl.], O. *carneis* [gen.sg.], *carnom* [acc.sg.] 'part' (of the assembly); U. *kartu* [3s.ipv.II] 'to lay apart' vel sim. Uncertain: O. *karanter* [3p.pr.ps.] 'they feed themselves'.

PIE \**k(e)rH-n-* 'piece'. IE cognates: Olr. *scaraid\**, *scara*, MW *yscar* 'to separate', MBret. *discar* 'to sever' < PCI. \**skara-*, Lith. *skārti*, Is. *skiriū* 'to separate, distinguish'.

According to EM, the Italic noun would be an *n*-stem built on a root noun; yet I find no good evidence pointing to a root noun. Sihler 1995: 295 suggests that the acc.sg. and nom.pl. are syncopated from \**karonem*, \**karones*, "in view of the especial readiness of vowels to drop in Latin after *r*". If accepted, this may also apply to Oscan, where the acc.sg. *carnom* is the only attested form with possible \*-*Vn-*; cf. von Planta 1892: 223 for syncope in front of resonants. A PIE ablauting paradigm nom. \**kerH-ōn*, acc. \**krH-on-m*, would yield Pit. \**kerō*, \**karonem*; apparently, the stem form of the acc.sg. was generalized.

Bibl.: WH I: 170, EM 101, IEW 938-947, Schrijver 1991: 208, 429, Untermann 2000: 370-373, Schumacher 2004: 576f., LIV \*(s)kerH- 'to separate, divide'. → *corium*, *curtus*

**carpinus** 'hornbeam' [m. *o*] (Cato+)

Pit. \**karp-i/eno-*.

IE cognates: maybe Hit. *karpina-* 'kind of fruit tree' < \*(s)kerp-ino-; OPr. *skerptus*, Lith. *skīrpstas* 'elm', *skīrpstūs* 'beech'.

Since these trees are characterized by their serrated leaves, it is possible that they derive from a root 'to cut'. In that case, *carpinus* can be derived directly from *carpō*.

Bibl.: WH I: 171, EM 101, IEW 938-947, Schrijver 1991: 430, LIV \*(s)kerp-. → *carpō*

**carpō, -ere** 'to pluck, gather' [v. III; *carpsī, carptum*] (Lex XII+)

Derivatives: *dēcerpō* 'to remove by plucking' (Cato+), *discerpō* 'to tear to pieces' (Enn.+), *excerpō* 'to select, pick out' (Ter.+).

Plt. \**karp-*.

PIE \**kerp-e/o-* 'to pick, pluck'. IE cognates: Hit. *karp(ije/a)-<sup>zi</sup>* 'to take (away), lift, pluck', Lyd. *fa-korfid* 'to undertake' (vel sim.) < \**kṛp-ié/ó-*, \**k(é)rp-*; Gr. *καρπός* 'fruit', Myc. *ka-po*, Lith. *kerpù, kir̃pti* 'to chop, cut', Latv. *cirpt* 'to shave', OCS *počrěti* 'to scoop, draw' < \*(s)k(e)rp-; OHG *herbist*, OE *hærfest* 'autumn' ('time of reaping' < \**karpistos*), OIc. *harfr* [m.], *herfi* [n.] 'harrow' < \**korp-*. Compare \**sker-b<sup>h</sup>*- in OE *sceorfan* 'to bite', OHG *scirbi* 'sherd', *scerf* 'halfpenny'.

Latin *-a-* is problematic. Instead of assuming a sound change PIE \**ke-* > *ca-*, as per Schrijver 1991: 429f., I prefer to explain *-ar-* from vocalization of a zero grade \**kṛp-* in front of another consonant (Schrijver 1991: 495f.), e.g. in the ppp. \**kṛp-to-* or aor. \**kṛp-s-*. The Greek noun might point to a non-IE word because of its *a*, but since both Latin and BSl. have verbs, non-IE origin is unattractive. Therefore, one may conjecture that Greek \**κρῆτος* was remade into *καρπός* on the analogy of the verb \**kerp-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 172-173, EM 102, IEW 938-947, Kloekhorst 2008: 452, LIV \*(s)kerp-. → *scirpus*

**carrō, -ere** 'to card (wool)' [v. III] (Naev., Pl.)

Derivatives: *carmen* 'iron comb for wool' (Claudianus+), *carmināre* 'to card wool' (Varro+), *carduus* 'thistle' (Verg.+).

Plt. \**kars-e-*.

PIE \*(s)ker-s- 'to comb (wool)'. IE cognates: Lith. *kar̃šti, kar̃šiù*, Latv. *kārst* 'to card, comb' < \**kors-*; OHG *skerran* 'to scratch' < \**skers-*.

EM explicitly point out that *carrō* is not attested in the texts: Varro has *carō*, the Plautus mss. *cārp-*, the glosses *cariō* or *carriō*. Thus, *carrō* is a correction by modern editors. WH derive *carduus* from \**carridus* 'scratching', which seems less likely (the *-idus* adj. are predominantly formed to verbs in *-ēre*, nouns in *-or*). The root form \*(s)ker-s- can semantically be understood as an extension of \*(s)ker- 'to shear', cf. \*(s)ker-H- 'to separate, cut'.

Bibl.: WH I: 173, EM 101, IEW 532f., EIEC 570, Schrijver 1991: 430, LIV \*(s)kers-.

**cārus** 'dear, precious, esteemed; affectionate' [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *cāritās* 'dearness; love' (Cato+).

Plt. \**kāro-* 'dear'. It. cognates: Ven. *kanei* [dat.sg.f.] 'dear' (\**kā-ni-*?), *karis* [nom.sg.] 'caritās' (\**kā-ri-*?); Fal. *karai* [dat.sg.f.] 'dear'.

PIE \**keh<sub>2</sub>-ro-* 'desired / desirable'. IE cognates: Gaulish PN in *Caro-*, *-carus*, Latv. *kārs* 'lustful', Go. *hors* 'adulterer' < PIE \**keh<sub>2</sub>-ro-*; OIc. *carae* 'friend' < \**kh<sub>2</sub>r-ent-*

(denom. OIr. *caraid* ‘to love’, W. *caru*) ; Skt. pr. *kāyamāna-*, pf. *caké* ‘to wish, love, desire’, Av. pr. *kaiia-* ‘to love’; ToA obl. *krant*, ToB *krent* ‘good’ < \**krh<sub>2</sub>-ont-*.

A PIE *ro*-derivative with full grade of the root. Ven. \**kāri-* recalls Lat. \**sakro-* vs. \**sākri-* [both adj.], Gr. \**akro-* vs. Lat. \**ākri-*. It thus seems likely that *karis* represents an original adjective, which was substantivized in Venetic. As for *kanei*, it might belong to a different root, viz. Ilr. \**kan(H)-*, LIV ?\**k<sup>(u)</sup>enH-*. The Venetic form would show that this root did not contain a labiovelar.

Bibl.: WH I: 175, EM 102, IEW 515, Lejeune 1974: 331, Leumann 1977: 347, Schrijver 1991: 112, Sihler 1995: 628, LIV \**keh<sub>2</sub>-*.

**casa** ‘cottage, hut’ [f. *ā*] (Ter.+)

According to WH, *casa* reflects a dialectal development from \**kat-ja* (to \**kat-* ‘to plait’). This is of course conceivable, but a connection with European words for ‘hut, dwelling place’ (\**ket-*, \**kot-*) is also envisageable: Av. *kata-* ‘chamber’, CS *котѣць* ‘cell, nest’, OCz. *kot* ‘booth, stall (market)’, SCr. *kôt* (dial.) ‘sty for domestic animals’, OE *heador* [n.] ‘incarceration, jail’. Still, because of Latin *-a-* and single *s* after short vowel, it seems more likely that *casa* is a loanword.

Bibl.: WH I: 175f., EM 103, IEW 534.

**cascus** ‘old’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Enn.+)

PIt. \**kas-ko-* ‘grey’.

PIE \**kh<sub>1</sub>s-ko-* / \**kh<sub>2</sub>(e)s-ko-*. IE cognates: see s.v. *cānus*.

Possibly, *cascus* was used pejoratively, cf. *caecus* ‘blind’, *luscus* ‘one-eyed, cross-eyed’, which also have \**-ko-*. The meaning ‘old’ probably developed from ‘old of age’ < ‘having white hair’; compare NHG *Greis*, MoDu. *grijsaard* ‘old man’. Lat. *cascus* never occurs in Latin texts: all attestations are glosses. According to Varro, the word is Sabine and Oscan.

Bibl.: WH I: 176, EM 103, IEW 533, Schrijver 1991: 91. → *cānus*

**cāseus** ‘a cheese’ [m. *o*] (Pl.+; *cāseum* ‘cheese’)

PIt. \**kās(s)ejo-?*

IE cognates: Latv. *kūsāt* ‘to boil’, OCS *kvasъ* ‘leaven, fermented drink’, SCr. *kvās* ‘sour milk’, OCS *vъ(s)-kysnŏti*, *-kysěti* ‘to turn sour’, *kysělъ* ‘sour’, Cz. *kysati* ‘to turn sour, rot’ < BSl. \**ku<sub>2</sub>s-*.

This word has mostly been reconstructed as \**kwāt-so-* and connected with the root \**kuath<sub>2</sub>-* ‘to boil, bubble’ (LIV); cf. Go. *hwapō* ‘foam’, Skt. *kvāthant-* ‘fuming’. Yet \**u* should not be lost in Latin, and the discussion in Schrijver 1991 shows that no etymology can be found which does not require some poorly-founded assumptions. In Schrijver’s view, intervocalic *-s-* does not have to point to \**ss*, but may be explained as a dialectal characteristic in urban Latin. He argues in favor of a connection with the Slavic words for ‘sour’ and Latv. ‘to boil’, for which he reconstructs a root \**kHu-*, to which an *s*-stem \**ku(a)H-s-* would have been formed. Latin *cās-* would go back to a nom.sg. \**kHu-ōs* > \**kauōs*; yet the subsequent contraction to \**kās-* seems uncertain to

me. The *s* in Sl. *kys-* is also problematic, and is explained as analogical (to *kvas*) by Schrijver. All in all, the etymology of *cāseus* must still be regarded as unknown; it may well be a loanword.

Bibl.: WH I: 176, EM 103, IEW 627, Schrijver 1991: 251f.

**cassis, -idis** ‘metal helmet’ [f. *d*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *casilam* ‘metal helmet’ (Paul. *ex F.*).

IE cognates: OIc. *hōtr*, *hatr*, OE *hætt*, MoE *hat* (< \**χad-tu-*), OIc. *hetta* ‘cap’; OHG *huota* [f.] ‘guard’, OE *hōd*, OFr. *hōde* ‘guard, watch’, OHG *huot* [m.] ‘hat, helmet’, OE *hōd* [m.] ‘cap’.

Only the Gm. words for ‘hat’ in \**χōd-* and \**χad-* would be semantically credible cognates of *cassis*, but a PIE root \**kad<sup>h</sup>-* would violate the root structure constraints. If *cassis* was a loanword, the type *-is*, *-idis* would seem Greek. The form *casilam* in Paul. *ex F.* is explained by WH as \**casidam* with dialectal \**d > l*.

Bibl.: WH I: 177, EM 103, IEW 516, Schrijver 1991: 101.

**cassis** ‘hunting-net’ [m. *i*] (Verg.+)

Lat. *cassis* can go back to \**kat-ti-* or \**kat-s-i-*. The meaning makes a connection with *catēna* ‘chain’ very attractive, and maybe also with *caterva* ‘band’. If *catēna* reflects \**kates-na*, a preform \**kat-s-i-* is more likely. The basic meaning of \**kat-* might be ‘to string together, plait’. A connection with the forms for ‘hut, cabin’, advocated in IEW, seems unlikely.

Bibl.: WH I: 177, EM 103, IEW 534. → *caterva*

**castrō, -āre** ‘to castrate; to thin out (plants)’ [v. II] (Pl.+)

PIt. \**kastro-*.

PIE \**ks-tro-* ‘knife’. IE cognates: Skt. *śas-* ‘to slaughter’, *śāstra-* [n.] ‘knife, dagger’ (Br.+); Gr. *κεάζω* ‘to split’, Myc. *ke-ke-me-no- /kekesmeno-* ‘?divided’, Alb. *thadër* ‘double-sided axe’, CS *kosa* ‘scythe’.

According to most scholars, this verb is derived from a noun \**kas-trom* ‘instrument for cutting’ to the PIE root \**kes-* ‘to cut’. If connected with Skt. *śāstrá-* < \**ke/os-tro-*, Latin *castr-* must reflect \**ks-tro-* with a vocalization \**CCCC-* > \**CaCCC-* (Schrijver 1991: 496).

Bibl.: WH I: 179, EM 104, IEW 586, Schrijver 1991: 488, Weiss 1993: 104f., Untermann 2000: 374f., LIV \**kes-*.

**castrum** ‘fortified post or settlement’ [n. *o*] (Pl.+; mostly pl. *castra, -ōrum* ‘id.’ Pl.+)

Derivatives: *castellum* ‘fortified settlement’ (Sis.+); *prōce/astria* ‘buildings outside the city gate’ (Paul. *ex F.*).

PIt. \**kastro-* ‘part, share’. It. cognates: O. *castrous* [gen.sg.], *castrid* [abl.sg.], U. *kastruvuf*, *castruo* [acc.pl.] < \**kastru-* ‘field, possession?’.

PIE \**ks-tro-*.

WH and EM interpret *castrum* as ‘cut-off part’, whence ‘fenced area, property’ >

‘camp’. The root could be PIE *\*kers-* ‘to cut off’ or *\*kēs-* ‘to cut’. The latter seems more likely since it has provided the basis Plt. *\*kastro-* ‘knife’ for the derivation of *castrāre*. Lat. *prōcestria* is a hypostasis from *prō castrīs*.

Bibl.: WH I: 180, II: 367, EM 104, IEW 586, Untermann 2000: 374f., LIV *\*kēs-*.

**catēna** ‘chain’ [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *catēlla* ‘light chain’ (Cato+).

Plt. *\*kates-nā-?*

*Catēna* might be derived from a form *\*kat-es-*, also attested in *caterva* (see s.v.).

Bibl.: WH I: 177f., EM 105, IEW 534, Schrijver 1991: 430. → *cassis*, *caterva*

**caterva** ‘company, band’ [f. *ā*] (Lucr.+)

Derivatives: *catervātim* [adv.] ‘in bands or herds’ (Lucr.+).

Plt. *\*kates-wā-*. It. cognates: maybe U. *kateramu*, *caterahamo* [2p.ipv.II.ps.] ‘?’ < denom. *\*katerrā-* ‘to form a band’ to a noun *\*kates-wa* (e.g. Meiser 1986: 184).

IE cognates: OE *heaðor* [n.] ‘enclosure, prison’?

Semantically, a connection with *cassis* ‘net’ and *catēna* ‘chain’ is possible; at the basis there may be an *s*-stem *\*kates-* ‘node, connection’. *Caterva* is usually reconstructed as *\*kates-owa*, which is morphologically strange. Rix 1981: 119 (= 2001: 287) proposes *\*kates-wā-* with the sound law *\*sw > rv* which he discovered. There is no PIE root to which *\*kat-es-* can safely be linked.

Bibl.: WH I: 181, EM 105, IEW 534, Leumann 1977: 303, Schrijver 1991: 430, Untermann 2000: 376.

**catīnus** ‘deep vessel, bowl, dish’ [m. (n. in Cato) *o*] (Cato+)

Derivatives: *catillus/-um* ‘bowl, dish’ (Cato+), *catillāre* ‘to lick plates’ (Pl.).

Plt. *\*katīno-*.

This word has been connected with Greek forms such as *κοτύλη* ‘bowl, dish’. Yet the Greek word is no perfect formal match, and words for types of vessels are very often loanwords. It seems best to assume this for *catīnus* too.

Bibl.: WH I: 182, EM 105, IEW 587.

**catulus** ‘young of an animal, puppy’ [m. *o*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *catellus* ‘puppy’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*kat-elo-*. It. cognates: U. *katel* [nom.sg.], *katlu* [acc.sg.], *katles* [gen.sg.], *katle* [gen. or dat.sg.] < *\*katlo-* ‘certain sacrificial animal’.

IE cognates: MHG *hatele* ‘goat’, OIc. *haðna* ‘young goat’, SCr. *kôt* ‘(time of) having young, litter, breed’, Po. *kót* (dial.) ‘place where forest animals young’.

*Catulus* also means ‘(iron) fetter’ (Lucil., Paul. *ex F.*), as does *catellus*. According to Szemerényi 1992, the meaning ‘fetter’ is a calque on Gr. *σκύλαξ* ‘little dog; iron neck-chain’. Although we find a word of the form *\*ka/oT-* in Latin, Germanic, and Slavic, Indo-European origin seems unlikely. The Slavic forms indicate that *\*a* does not reflect a laryngeal, and they do not match perfectly semantically.



Bibl.: WH I: 183, EM 106, IEW 534, Untermann 2000: 375f.

**catus** ‘clever, shrewd’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *Catō* [cogn.] (Fasti Consulares Capitolini+).

Plt. *\*kato-*.

PIE *\*kʰ<sub>3</sub>-to-* ‘sharpened’. IE cognates: OIr. *cath* ‘wise, able’, Skt. *śitá-* ‘sharpened’.

Adj. derived from a PIE root for ‘to sharpen’; in Italic, it has developed from ‘sharp’ to ‘clever’. According to Varro, *catus* is Sabine, which is possible, but would not affect the Plt. reconstruction.

Bibl.: WH I: 183f., EM 106, IEW 542, Schrijver 1991: 91, LIV *\*kʰ<sub>3</sub>-*. → *cōs*

**cauda** ‘tail’ [f. *ā*] (Acc.+; also *cōda*)

Derivatives: *caudeus* (Pl., Paul. *ex F.*) in *cistella caudea* ‘small boxes made from *cauda*’, *cōdētum* (Suet., Paul. *ex F.*) ‘piece of land at Rome with certain trees on it’.

Plt. *\*kaud-ā-* ‘part, tail’.

PIE *\*keh<sub>2</sub>u-d-* ‘cleaved, separate’.

The forms *caudeus* and *cōdētum* suggest that *cauda* could refer to a certain tail-formed plant, which is unsurprising. Since words for ‘piece, part’ are often derived from ‘to cut, cleave’, the tail may have been referred to as the loose ‘part’ of the animal. Thus, *cauda* can be derived from the same root as the verb *cūdō*. The diphthong *au* could hardly have been formed on the basis of *cūdō* or its derivatives. As Vine 2006a: 232f. (fn. 65) points out, *cauda* and *caudex* may derive from the unmetathesized variant of the root *\*kh<sub>2</sub>u-*, hence *\*keh<sub>2</sub>u-d-* > *\*kaud-*. Incidentally, this would prove that the dental involved was *\*d* and not *\*dʰ*, since the latter would yield Latin *\*kauba*.

Bibl.: WH I: 185, EM 106, IEW 535, LIV 2. *\*keh<sub>2</sub>u-*. → *caudex*, *cūdō*

**caudex, -icis** ‘tree-trunk; wooden tablets forming a book’ [m. *k*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *caudicālis* ‘dealing with tree-trunks’ (Pl.), *cōdicillus* ‘small log, set of writing-tablets’ (Cato+).

Plt. *\*kaud-ek-*.

PIE *\*keh<sub>2</sub>u-d-* ‘cleaved, separate’.

Since there is no way in which *caudex* could have been formed to *cūdō* at a recent stage, it is likely that it was derived from (the stem of) *cauda*. *Cōdex* is a younger development from *caudex* and always refers to ‘books, codices’.

Bibl.: WH I: 186, EM 130, IEW 535, Schrijver 1991: 285f., LIV *\*keh<sub>2</sub>u-*. → *cauda*, *cūdō*

**caulae** ‘railing or lattice barrier; pores (of the skin)’ [f.pl. *ā*] (Lex Cornelia (81 BC)+; Lucr.)

Plt. *\*kaχ-ela* ‘little hole, juncture’. It. cognates: maybe O. *kafla* [acc.sg.] ‘a certain sacral building’ < *\*kaχi/elā-*.

A connection with *cōlum* ‘sieve’ is not very likely, since there are no spelling variants

*cōlae*, and also no spelling variant *caulum* for *cōlum*. The latter must first of all be compared with *quālum*. Lat. *caulae* may be an original diminutive *\*kahola* ‘little tie, juncture’ < *\*kaχ-ela* (thus IEW). See *cohūm*, for the further etymology.

Bibl.: WH I: 187f., EM 107, IEW 518, Untermann 2000: 363. → *cohūm*

**caulis** ‘stem, stalk, penis’ [m. i] (Cato+)

Derivatives: *cauliculus* ‘small stalk, sprout’ (Cato+); *cōleī, -ōrum* ‘testicles’ (Lab.+), *cōleātus* ‘provided with testicles’ (Pomponius).

PIt. *\*kauli-* ‘stalk’.

PIE \*(s)keh<sub>2</sub>u-l-i- ‘stem of a plant, stalk’. IE cognates: OIr. *cúal* ‘faggot, bundle of sticks’ < *\*kaulā*, Gr. *καυλός* ‘stem, stalk, pole’, Arm. *c’awl* ‘stalk, straw’ < *\*sk-*, OPr. *kaulan*, Lith. *káulas* ‘bone’, Latv. *kaūls* ‘stem, bone’ < *\*keh<sub>2</sub>uló-*.

The variant *cōlis* ‘penis’, with *-ō-* for *-au-*, is regarded as a borrowing from a neighbouring non-urban dialect. The suffix *\*-li-* instead of *\*-lo-* as found in the other languages is likely to be a Latin innovation.

Bibl.: WH I: 188f., EM 107, IEW 537, Schrijver 1991: 268f.

**caupō** ‘shopkeeper, innkeeper’ [m. n] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *caupōnārī* ‘to traffic in’ (Enn.), *caupōnius* ‘of a tavern’ (Pl.).

IE cognates: Gr. *κάπηλος* ‘huckster, innkeeper’.

Borrowing from an unknown source; in view of the Greek form, probably from a Mediterranean language.

Bibl.: WH I: 189, EN 107.

**caurus** ‘north wind; the north-west wind’ [m. o] (Lucret.+; also *cōrus*)

PIt. *\*kawero-*.

PIE *\*kh<sub>1</sub>u-er-o-* ‘northern (wind?)’. IE cognates: Lith. *šiáurė* ‘north’ < *\*keh<sub>1</sub>ur-*, *šiūras, šiūrus* ‘cold, northern’ << *\*kuHr-* < *\*kh<sub>1</sub>ur-*; OCS *sěverbь* ‘north’, SCr. *sěvēr*, Sln. *séver* < *\*keh<sub>1</sub>uer-o-*.

If the Latin and BSl. forms are cognate, *caurus* can derive from *\*kh<sub>1</sub>u-er-o-* with vocalization of the laryngeal. The ablaut *\*-r/-er-* shows that *\*(u)r-* is a suffix, the root being *\*kh<sub>1</sub>u-(r-)*. WH and EM also adduce Go. *skūra windis* ‘storm (of wind)’, OHG *skūr* ‘rain shower’ and Arm *c’owrt* ‘cold’. As argued in de Vaan 1999: 40f., these forms are probably not cognate. The connection of *caurus* with MW *cawad* ‘gust, shower’, OBret. *couatou* ‘showers’ (but not OIr. *cúa*, which is a ghost word) is confirmed by Schrijver 1995: 337, who reconstructs PIE *\*k(e/o)uH-Vt-* > PCl. *\*kouVt-* or *\*kuuVt-*. This would confirm that *\*-r-* in Latin and BSl. belongs to the suffix.

Bibl.: WH I: 190, EM 107f., IEW 597, Schrijver 1991: 252, 1995: 337f.

**causa** ‘legal case, trial; cause, purpose’ [f. ā] (Lex XII, Naev.+; *caussa* in inscr. until 1<sup>st</sup> c. BC)

Derivatives: *causārī* ‘to plead a cause’ (Pac.+); *accūsāre* ‘to blame, charge’ (Pl.+), *accūsātor* ‘prosecutor’ (Mancia+), *excūsāre* ‘to excuse, justify’ (Pl.+), *incūsāre* ‘to

make answerable for, reproach' (Pl.+), *rēcūsāre* 'to object, reject' (Pl.+); *causidicus* 'advocate' (Lucr.+), *causificārī* 'to allege a reason' (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*kaud-ta?*

Intervocalic *s* from *ss* points to earlier *\*ss*, *\*ts* or *\*tt*. WH consider 'a strike as cause' as the original meaning, hence they connect *cūdō* 'to strike'. This would imply *\*keh<sub>2</sub>ud<sup>h</sup>-t-*. EM are more sceptical about possible IE origin.

Bibl.: WH I: 190, EM 108. → *cūdō*

**caveō** 'to take care, beware' [v.; pf. *cāvī*, ppp. *cautus* II] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *cautus* 'on one's guard, wary' (Pl.+), *cautiō* 'care, precaution' (Pl.+), *cautor* 'one who takes precautions' (Pl.+), *cautiēla* 'caution' (Pl.+); *praecaveō* 'to be on one's guard' (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*kawē-*. It. cognates: U. *kutef* [ptc.pr., nom.sg.m.] 'in silence' vel sim. < *\*kayētēns*.

PIE *\*kouh<sub>1</sub>-éie-* 'to perceive'. IE cognates: Skt. *ā-kuvāte* 'intends', *ā-kūta-* 'intention'; Skt. *kavī-*, Av. *kauui-* [m.] 'seer, poet, wise man' < *\*kouH-i-*; Gr. *κοέω* 'to perceive, understand', Gr. *ἴθο-σκόος* '(priest) who observes the sacrifice', SCr. *čūti* 'to hear', OHG *scouwōn* 'to watch' < *\*(s)kouh<sub>1</sub>-*.

The pr. *\*kaw-ē-* can be explained from pre-PIt. *\*kou(H)-éie-* by means of Thurneysen-Havet-Vine's unrounding of PIE *\*ou* in PIE pretonic position (Vine 2006a: 225). The pf. *cāvī* can be phonetically regular from *\*kawe-wai*, ppp. *cautus* < *\*kawitos* < *\*kouH-e-to-*. The Ū. form *\*kawetē-* must be derived from a nominal form *\*kawe-to-* (a PIt. innovation) which also yielded Lat. *cautus*.

Bibl.: WH I: 186, EM 107, IEW 587f., Schrijver 439f., Meiser 1998: 206, Untermann 2000: 422, Vine 2006a, LIV *\*(s)keuh<sub>1</sub>-*.

**cavus** 'hollow, excavated, concave, deep (of water)' [adj. *o/ā*] (Enn.+)

Derivatives: *cavum* [n.] 'a hole, hollow' (Pl.+), *cavātus* 'hollow' (Varro+), *cavāre* 'to make hollow' (Lucr.+), *caverna* 'cave, hole' (Varro, Lucr.+), *cavea* 'cage; auditorium of a theatre' (Pl.+); maybe *cavilla* 'jesting, banter' (Pl.), *cavillātiō* 'banter, sophistry' (Pl.+), *cavillātor* 'jester, banterer' (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*kawo-* < *\*kowó-*.

PIE *\*kouH-ó-* 'hollow'. IE cognates: OIr. *cúa* 'hollow', Bret. *keo*, *kev* 'cave' < PIE *\*kouio-*; Gr. pl. *κόοι* 'hollows, excavations, gaps, crevices, gorges' (Hsch.) < *\*kóuo-*, Gr. *κοῖλος* 'hollow' < *\*κόφιλος*; Arm. *soyl* 'cavity' < *\*keulo-*; maybe Alb. *thellë* 'deep'.

If *cavus* belongs to Av. *sūra-* 'hollow', Skt. *śūna-* 'emptiness', Gr. *κόρα* 'hole, eye of a needle' < PIE *\*kuH-* 'to swell', we must reconstruct *\*kouH-o-* for the Latin form. Vine 2006a: 235f. posits a PIE oxytone adj. *\*kouH-ó-*, since he reformulates Thurneysen-Havet's Law in the sense that unrounding of *\*ou* > *\*au* only occurred in pretonic position (with regard to the PIE place of the accent). Greek *κόοι*, in his view, would have retracted the stress due to substantivization. Lat. *caverna* seems to follow the example of *cisterna*, *taberna*, *lanterna*. The noun *cavea* presupposes an adj. *\*caveus* 'hollow'. The etymology of *cavilla* as *\*calvilla* was proposed in Antiquity (to *calvor* 'to deceive', *calumnia* 'deceit'), but has little to recommend itself. Since

jokes are ‘unserious’ speech, *cavilla* may well derive from *cavus* ‘hollow’. The etymology as *\*keuh<sub>2</sub>i-d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-(s)leh<sub>2</sub>* ‘striking joke’ by Hackstein 2002a: 18 is impossible: this would yield *\*kewi<sub>2</sub>ba(s)la-*, and the change of pre-Latin *\*ou* > *\*au* chronologically precedes that of PIE *\*eu* > *\*ou* (Schrijver 1991: 454).

Bibl.: WH I: 187f., 191f., EM 106-108, IEW 593, Schrijver 1991: 109, 440f., LIV *\*k<sub>1</sub>ueh<sub>1</sub>-*. → *cumulus*, *inciens*

**-ce** ‘here, there’ [ptcle.]. Lat. *-ce* or *-c* in: *ecce* ‘look!’ (s.v.), *hic(c)*, *hicine*, *hoco* [pron.adj.] ‘this’, *hinc(e)* ‘hence’, *hūc*, *hūcine* ‘hither’ (s.v. *hic*), *illic* [pron.adj.] ‘that’, *illīc* ‘there’, *illūc* ‘thither’ (s.v. *ille*), *istic* [pron.adj.] ‘that (of yours)’, *istinc* ‘from there’, *istūc* ‘to there’ (s.v. *iste*), *sīc* ‘thus’ (*sīcine*), *nunc* ‘now’, *tunc* ‘then’. Archaic forms are *ipsiusce* [gen.sg. to *ipse*], *cuiusce* [gen.sg. to *quī*]. According to Watkins 1973b: 205, Marsian Latin *ceip* ‘here’ reflects *\*ke+i-p(e)*.

PIt. *\*ke*. It. cognates: Pael. *ecuc*, O. *ek(úk)*, U. *eso* [nom.sg.f.], O. *ekik*, Pael. *ecic* [nom.acc.sg.n.], O. *ekúk* [acc.sg.m.], Marr. *ecan*, O. *ekak* [acc.sg.f.] ‘this’ < PSab. *\*eko*, *\*ekā* [nom.acc.]. Oblique case-forms: O. *eksuk*, U. *essu*, *esu*, *esu-ku* [abl.sg.m.n.], O. *exeic* [loc.sg.n.], O. *exac*, U. *esa* [abl.sg.f.], O. *ekas*, *ekask* [nom.pl.f.], O. *ekass*, *eka* [acc.pl.f.], Marr. *esuc* [gen.pl.m.], U. *esumek*, *esome* [gen.pl.n.], O. *exaisc-en* [abl.pl.f.], U. *esir*, *isir*, *esis-co* [abl.pl.n.] ‘this’ < PSab. *\*eke/oso-*, *-ā-*. Pael. Marr. *ecuf* ‘here’ [adv.] < *\*-d<sup>h</sup>i*.

Adverbs from case-forms: O. *ekss*, *ex* ‘thus’ < *\*ekso* (+ *-s?*); U. *esuk*, *esu*, *esoc*, *eso* ‘thus’ < *\*eksodke* [nom.acc.sg.n.] or *\*eksāke* [pl.]; U. *isek*, *isec* [adv. / cj.] ‘then’ vel sim. < *\*eksei-k(e)* [loc.sg.]; U. *isunt* ‘in the same way’ < *\*ekso* + ptc. *-hont*. O. *ekcum* ‘similarly’ (*\*ed-ke-om?*). A suffix *-k* also in: O. *izic*, U. *erek* ‘this’ (s.v. *is*). Initial *ce-*: O. *cebmust* ‘he will have come over’ < *\*ke-ben-us-* (to *ben-* ‘come’).

PIE *\*ké* / *\*kí* ‘this, here’. IE cognates: Hit. *ki* ‘this’, *ki-nun* ‘now’; Gr. *\*κε* in *κεῖνος*, *ἐκεῖνος*, Dor. Lesb. *κῆνος* ‘that one’ < *\*(ε)κε-ενος*; Arm. *ays* ‘this’, *sa* ‘he’, *soyn* ‘the same’, OPr. *schis*, Lith. *šis*, Latv. *šis*, OCS *sb* ‘this’, Gm. *\*χ<sub>i</sub>-*, *\*χ<sub>e</sub>-* ‘this’.

Theoretically, *-ce* might come from *\*-ki*, but *cedō* points to *\*-ke*. Closely related is the stem *\*ki*, found in *cis*, *citrā*. The productive usage in Italic apparently was suffixation to the demonstrative pron. In Sabellic, *\*is*, *ea*, *id* also takes this suffix, but in Latin, it does not. Maybe the demonstrative meaning had developed too much toward a personal pronoun. The particle *\*ke* is found in word-initial position in *cedo*, *cette* and in *cēterus* (s.v.). PIE *\*ké* / *\*kí* displays the rare ablaut *\*e/i*. The Sab. pronoun *\*eko*, *\*ekā* [nom.acc.] was built from PIE *\*e-* + *-ké*, which became inflected as a pronoun. The variants O. *ekso-*, U. *eso-* found in the oblique cases reflects the addition of the pronoun *\*so* / *\*sā* to this stem: PSab. *\*e-ke/o-so*.

Bibl.: WH I: 192, EM 109, IEW 609f., Leumann 1977: 468, Kortlandt 1983b, Klingenschmitt 1987, Sihler 1995: 391, Untermann 2000: 214-218, 238, 346, 348f., 378. → *cis*, *ecce*, *hic*, *ille*, *iste*, *sīc*, *num*, *tum*

**cedo** ‘give, tell’ [v. ipv.] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *cette* ‘give, tell’ [pl.] (Naev.+).

PIt. *\*ke-dō*, *\*ke-date* ‘give here!’.

PIE sg. \*deh<sub>3</sub>, pl. \*dh<sub>3</sub>-te [ipv.aor.]. IE cognates: see s.v. *dare*.

The original form was probably \*cedō, to which iambic shortening applied. The form is generally interpreted as the original ipv. to the root aorist of \*deh<sub>3</sub>- 'to give', Latin *dare*. The forms *cedo* and *cette* have probably arisen as univerbations of the syntagms \*ke \*dō and \*ke \*date 'give here!' A similar univerbation from 'come here' could lie at the basis of O. *cebmust* 'he will have come over' to the root \*g<sup>m</sup>- 'to come'. *Cedo* apparently survived the generalization of \*da-je- as the present 'to give' in Latin.

Bibl.: WH I: 193, EM 109, IEW 609f., Leumann 1977: 528, Sihler 1995: 68, 79, 544, Meiser 1998: 185, 2003: 62, LIV \*deh<sub>3</sub>-. → -dere

**cēdō, -ere** 'to go, proceed' [v. III; *cessī, cessum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *cessāre* 'to hold back, hesitate; desist, rest' (Pl.+), *cessātiō* 'rest, respite' (Pl.+); *abscēdere* 'to go away' (Naev.+), *accēdere* 'to approach' (Pl.+), *accessiō* 'approaching; addition' (Pl.+), *accessitāre* 'to approach repeatedly' (Cato), *antecēdere* 'to surpass, precede' (Pl.+), *concēdere* 'to go (away), give way' (Pl.+), *concessāre* 'to desist' (Pl.+), *dēcēdere* 'to go away, yield' (Pl.+), *excēdere* 'to retire, depart' (Pl.+), *incēdere* 'to arrive, walk' (Andr.+), *intercēdere* 'to intervene' (Pl.+), *intercessiō* 'veto' (Sisenna+), *occēdere* 'to go so as to confront' (Pl.+), *prōcēdere* 'to progress' (Pl.+), *recēdere* 'to withdraw' (Pl.+), *recessim* 'in retreat' (Pl.), *sēcēdere* 'to draw aside' (Pl.+), *succēdere* 'to succeed; come under' (in Pl. and Ter. only 'succeed'); *nesesse* [adv.] 'essential, inevitable' (Naev.+), *necessus/um esse* 'to be indispensable' (SCBac.+), *necessitās* 'constraint; need' (Pl.+), *necessārius* 'necessary' (Pl.+).

Plt. \*kesd-e/o- 'to go away, avoid'.

PIE \*kiesd<sup>h</sup>- 'to drive away; (intr.) go away'. IE cognates: Skt. *sedhati* (< \*siazd<sup>h</sup>-) 'to drive, chase away', OAv. *siazdaṭ* [3s.aor.sb.] 'will chase away', *siiōzdūm* [2p.aor.ipv.] 'banish', *sīzdūiamna-* 'withdrawing', YAv. <sup>+</sup>(*fra*)*siazdaiia-* 'to chase away' < Ir. \*siazd<sup>h</sup>- / \*sīzd<sup>h</sup>-.

This verb is often explained as a univerbation of \*ke 'here' plus \*sd- 'to sit', but this is semantically not convincing. Also, the frequentative *cessāre* and Lat. *nesesse* (see below) point to 'cede, desist' as the original meaning, which developed into a more general 'to go' in OLat. Lubotsky 2004 connects *cēdō* with Av. *siazd-*, Skt. *sedh-* (< \*siazd<sup>h</sup>-) 'to chase away, (intr.) withdraw' from PIE \*kiesd<sup>h</sup>-, possibly an original cp. \*kies-d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-. The Latin development of \*-ezd- > -ēd- matches the similar development of \*-Vzd- in *audiō* and *crēdō*.

Lat. *nesesse* is generally regarded as the n. to \*ne-cessis < \*ne-cēd-tis 'no avoiding' (used as a noun phrase). The variant *necessus/m est* would have been modelled on *opus est* 'to be needed'. But *necessus esse* already occurs in the SCBac., and, furthermore, *nessis* does not occur independently. Pariente 1975: 24 therefore assumes that \**necessum est* phonetically yielded \**nessesest*, and that *nesesse* was extracted from this by metanalysis. He also points to the fact that *cēdō* is intransitive, and that \**cessus* cannot therefore be the ppp. of this verb, since *necessus* would then mean \*'there is no having gone'. He (p. 35) therefore proposes a compound of *ne* and *cassus* 'it is not contingent, not casual', but this is semantically unattractive: it lacks

the compelling connotation of 'inevitable'. Maybe the original noun was \**cessus*, -*ūs* 'the going (away)', *ne cessus (est)* 'there is no going (from), no escape'? Unfortunately, a noun *cessus*, -*ūs* is only attested in later imperial times (Iulius Paulus, 2/3c. AD).

Bibl.: WH I: 193f., II: 152f., EM 109f., 434, IEW 887, Leumann 1977: 345, Sihler 1995: 583, Meiser 2003: 111.

**celeber, -bris, -bre** 'frequent(ed); famed, loud, clear' [adj. *i*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *concelebrāre* 'to celebrate' (Pl.+), *celebrēscere* 'to become famous' (Acc.), *celebrāre* 'to celebrate, praise' (Acc.+).

Plt. \**kelisri*-?

The etymology of *celeber* is unknown, cf. Nussbaum 1999a and Bader 1983. Phonetically, \**kelesri*-, \**kelisri*-, maybe \**kelVd<sup>h</sup>li*- are possible. The earlier date of attestation and the morphology (*con-* -*āre*) show that *concelebrāre* is the oldest derivative of *celeber*, from which *celebrāre* was back-formed. Bader shows that, semantically and in view of the attestations, a connection with *calāre* 'to call out' (esp. for religious occasions) is possible. But a suffix \**-d<sup>h</sup>li*- is unlikely, since *celeber* does not show the instrumental meaning which adj. in *-bilis* and *-bris* usually have (cf. Leumann 1977: 348f.); phonetically, \**kelH-bli*- should yield \**kelabri*- > \**koliber*-. Thus, Nussbaum 1999a: 388 is probably right in positing \**kelisris* which yielded a non-velarized \**l*, and with lowering of \**izr* > \**-ezr*-.

Bibl.: WH I: 194, EM 110, IEW 548, Bader 1983: 43f., Nussbaum 1999a: 388, 411, LIV \**kel*- 'to incite'.

**celer, -is, -e** 'fast' [adj. *i*] (Andr.+; nom.sg.m. also *celeris* Naev.)

Derivatives: *Celerēs* 'the historical precursors of the Equites' (Liv.+); *celerāre* 'to hasten' (Lucr.+), *celeranter* 'speedily' (Acc.), *accelerāre* 'to quicken' (Acc.+); *celōx*, -*ōcis* 'a light and fast boat' (Pl.+).

Plt. \**kelī-r/li*- 'fast'.

PIE \**kel-i*- 'speeding'. IE cognates: Gr. κέλωμαι, aor. (ἐ)κέκλετο 'to spur on', κέλλω (< \**kel-je/o-*) 'to drive on', κέλης, -ητ- 'racehorse', Go. *haldan* 'to tend cattle'.

Certainly an old *i*-stem, cf. Nussbaum 1999a: 411. Since PIE *-ri-* usually makes nouns, and in view of the regular dissimilation of *-ālis* and \**-plis* in Latin to *-āris* and \**-bris* when the root contains *l*, the PIE suffix may have been \**-li*-. The noun *celōx* represents a loan from Gr. κέλης with influence from *vēlōx*, or is a rhyming formation to *vēlōx* with *ce-* from *celer*; cf. Nussbaum 1999a: 388.

Bibl.: WH I: 194f., EM 110, IEW 548, LIV \**kel*- 'to incite'.

**cella** 'store, larder' [f. *ā*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *cellārius* [adj.] 'of a store-room' (Pl.), [m.] 'storekeeper' (Pl.+), *cellula* 'small room' (Ter.+).

Plt. \**kēli/elā*-. It. cognates: maybe Fal. *cela* [nom.sg.] PN (cf. Giacomelli 1963: 239f.); maybe O. *kellaked* [3s.pf.] 'he has stored(?)', denom. to \**kella*-.

PIE \**kēl*- 'hiding'? IE cognates: Skt. *śālā*- 'large tent, building'?

In theory, *cella* may go back to *\*cēla* by means of the *littera*-rule: a sequence of long vowel plus simple consonant can be replaced by a short vowel plus a geminate consonant (Leumann 1977: 183, Meiser 1998: 77). In that case, *cella* would preserve the long-vowel base of *cēlare* 'to conceal'. *Cella* could also be a dim. *\*kēl-elā-* > *\*kēllā-* > *cella*. If *cella* is an original diminutive to a root form *\*kel-*, the relative chronology demands *\*kel-ila* (with every other internal vowel, we expect *\*colla*; cf. Nussbaum 1997: 397). The cluster *-ll-* can arise in various ways: other possible preforms from a root *\*kel-* are *\*kelnā-*, *\*kelsā-* and *\*keldā-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 195f., EM 110f., IEW 553f., Rix 1993: 329, Untermann 2000: 382, LIV 1. *\*kel-* 'to hide'. → *cēlō*, *occulō*

**-cellō, -ere 1** 'to raise oneself' [v. III; (*excelluī*), *-celsum*] (Naev.+; only in compounds)

Derivatives: *celsus* 'high, tall' (Andr.+); *antecellere* 'to surpass, excel' (Cic.+), *excellere* 'to be higher; surpass' (Cato+), *excelsus* 'high, tall' (Cato+), *praecellere* 'to be superior, surpass' (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*kelne/o-* [pr.], *\*k(e)lto-* [ppp.]. It. cognates: SPic. *ešelsit* [3p.pr.?] 'they have erected'?

PIE *\*kel-n-H-* (thus Schrijver) << *\*kl-né/n-H-* (thus LIV) 'to rise'. IE cognates: Lith. *kėlti*, Is. *keliū* 'to lift', *kilti*, Is. *kylū* 'to stand up'.

The pr.ind. is sometimes attested with *-ē-* (2<sup>nd</sup> cj.): Pl. *praecellet* Ix, Cic.+ *excellet*, *-ent*, *-eas*. WH and EM assume that *-celsus* shows an original dental-final root *\*keld-*, but the *d*-extension does not occur elsewhere in IE derivatives of this root, and *-ls-* can be analogical in Latin for *\*-lt-*. The root is reconstructed as *\*klh<sub>3</sub>-* by Schrijver on the basis of Gr. *κολώνη*, *κολώνός* 'hill', but the suffix is productive in Greek. The full grade root in nasal presents is frequent in Latin (*e* from the aorist?).

Bibl.: WH I: 197, EM 111, IEW 544, Schrijver 1991: 406, Eichner 1993b, Rix 1999: 403, Untermann 2000: 234, LIV *\*kelH-*. → *collis*, *columen*

**-cellō, -ere 2** 'to hit' [v. III; pf. *-culī*, ppp. *-culsum*] (Naev.+; only in compounds)

Derivatives: *percellere* 'to strike down' (Naev.+), *prōcellere* 'to throw violently forward' (Pl.), *prōcella* 'violent wind, storm' (Pl.+), *recellere* 'to swing back, recoil' (Lucr.+).

PIt. *\*kelne/o-* [pr.].

PIE *\*-kel-n-h<sub>2</sub>-e/o-* 'to beat'. IE cognates: Gr. *ἀπο-κλάς* 'breaking', *κλάω* 'to break' < *\*kla(s)je/o-*, Lith. *kálti*, Is. *kalū* 'to beat', Latv. *kaīt* 'id.', maybe Lith. *kėlmas* 'tree trunk'; OCS *klati*, Is. *koljō* 'to kill', Ru. *kolót* 'to prick, stab' < BSl. *\*kolʔ-* 'to beat'.

The noun *procella* was probably derived from *prōcellō*. Schrijver 1991: 174 dismisses a reconstruction with PIE *\*-d<sup>(h)</sup>-* on the grounds that a dental enlargement is absent from the IE cognates, and is not needed for explaining the Latin verb. The pf. *-culī* may be regarded as the regular reflex of PIE *\*-kolh<sub>2</sub>-* (see also Meiser 2003: 187), whereas *-culsum* has replaced *\*lt* by *\*ls* on the example of verbs such as *fallō* *fefellī* *falsum*, which did contain a dental. The *e* in the present may stem from the aorist.

Bibl.: WH I: 225f., EM 111, IEW 545-547, Schrijver 1991: 173-175, LIV *\*kelh<sub>2</sub>-*. → *calamitās*, *clādēs*

**cēlō, -āre** ‘to conceal’ [v. I] (Pl.+)

Plt. \**kēl-e/o-*.

PIE \**kēl-* ‘hiding-place’? IE cognates: Skt. *śārman-* ‘shelter, cover’, *śaranā-* ‘protecting, defending’ (RV+), *ā-śāra-* [m.] ‘shed, shelter’ (AV); OHG *hāla* ‘the hiding’, MHG *hāle* ‘concealment’, Olc. *hæli* [n.] ‘hide-out’, OHG *hāli* ‘concealing’ < PGm. \**χēl-*.

Most scholars assume that the verb is denominal to a noun with *ē*-grade, cf. Steinbauer and Schrijver. The obvious candidate for such a noun would be a root noun \**kēl-* / \**kel-*. Germanic shows a few forms from \**χēl-*, but these could be inner-Germanic innovations. A PIE thematic present \**kel-e-* is continued in Latin *occulere*.

Bibl.: WH I: 196, EM 111, IEW 553f., Steinbauer 1989: 142, Schrijver 1991: 124, LIV 1. \**kel-* ‘to hide’. → *cella, occulō, clam, color*

**cēna** ‘meal’ [f. *ā*] (Carmen Saliare, Naev.+; *cēsna*s Paul. ex F. 2x)

Derivatives: *cenāre* ‘to have dinner’ (Naev.+), *cenāticus* ‘of a dinner’ (Pl.+), *cenātus* ‘having dined’ (Pl.+), *cenāculum* ‘top-story, attic’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \**kert(e)snā-* ‘meal’. It. cognates: O. *kersnu* [nom.sg.], *kerssnais* [abl.pl.], U. *śesna* [acc.sg.] ‘meal’; O. *kerssnasias, kersna<t>ias* [nom.pl.f.] ‘connected with a meal (?)’ < \**kersnā* + *-āsio-*; U. *çersnatur furent* [3p.fut.pf.ps.] ‘cēnātī erunt’, denom. verb to U. *śesna*.

PIE \**kert-s-nh<sub>2</sub>-* ‘portion’. IE cognates: Skt. *ḷṅtāti* ‘to cut’, Arm. *k’ert’em* ‘to skin’, Lith. *kérti* ‘to cut off’; maybe Alb. *qeth* ‘to cut’, OHG *scrintan* ‘to burst, split up’.

The phonological form (Latin *sn* > *n*, Sab. *rsn*) suggests that a vowel was lost between *r* and *s* or between *s* and *n*, or that a fourth consonant was lost, yielding *rsn* after original \**rsn* had become *rn* (as in *perna* ‘ham’ < \**tpērsna*). The traditional etymology \**kert-snā-* (Leumann) chooses the latter solution, and assumes a semantic development ‘cut part’ > ‘meal’, which seems unproblematic. The PIE root \**krt-* might be an extension of the root \**kr-*, which lies at the basis of Latin *carō* ‘meat’. Schrijver, however, regards the semantics as unlikely, and proposes an alternative connection with *Cerēs*, reconstructing \**ker-s-inā-*, from a derived adj. to \**kerH-* ‘fruit, grain’. Semantically, this does not seem better than the traditional etymology.

Bibl.: WH I: 198, EM 112, IEW 941f., Leumann 1977: 209, Schrijver 1991: 432, Sihler 1995: 221, Untermann 2000: 392ff., LIV \*(s)kert-. → *cortex, scortum*

**-cendō, -ere** ‘to ignite, set to fire’ [v. III; pf. *-cendī*, ppp. *-cēsum*] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *accendere* ‘to set on fire’ (Andr.+), *incendere* ‘id.’ (Pl.+), *incendium* ‘destructive fire’ (Pl.+), *succendere* ‘to set alight’ (Lucr.+).

Plt. \**-kend-e/o-*.

PIE \*(s)kend- [aor.] ‘to shine, appear’. IE cognates: Skt. *chadáyati*, Av. *sađaiia-* < \**sknd-eie-*, aor. Skt. *achān* ‘to appear, please’ < \**sknd-s*, pf. Skt. *śāśad-*, Gr. *κέκαδ-* ‘to excel’ < \*(s)ke-(s)kond-.

The Latin present *-cendō* is derived from a PIE present by LIV, and the perfect *-cendī* from a PIE perfect. In view of the Ilr. evidence, it seems more likely that *-cendō* is



based on a PIE root aorist *\*(s)kend-*, to which a new perfect was formed within Latin. See Lubotsky 2001: 32f. and 49f. on the development of the words in Indo-Iranian. Lubotsky's analysis of the palatalization in Ilr. strongly suggests that *candeō* and *-cendō* derive from one PIE root.

Bibl.: WH I: 151f., EM 92, IEW 526, Schrijver 1991: 428, LIV *\*(s)kend-*. → *candeō*

**cēnsēō** 'to estimate, think, give an opinion; recommend, decide' [v. II; pf. *cēnsuī*, ppp. *cēnsūm*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *cēnsus*, *-ūs* 'registration; wealth' (Pl.+); *cēnsor* 'magistrate' (Elog.Scip.+), *censōrius* '(of a) censor' (Pac.+); *cēnsiō* 'assessing, rating' (Pl.+); *cēnsūra* 'the office of censor' (Cic.+); *accensus* [m.] 'supernumerary, attendant' (Pl.+), *percensēre* 'to survey, inspect' (Varro+), *suscensēre* 'to be angry with' (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*knsē-* 'to estimate'. It. cognates: Fal. **censor** [nom.sg.] may be a loan from Latin; O. *ancensto* [nom.sg.f.] 'unestimated'; O. *censaum* [inf.pr.], *censazet* [3p.fut.], *censamur* [3s.ipv.pr.ps.], *censas fust* [3s.fut.pf.ps.] (all Tabula Bantina) 'to pass the census on' < *\*kns-ā-*. O. *censtomen* [acc.sg. + *-en*] 'census'; O. **keenzstur**, *censtur* [nom.sg.], **kenzsur**, *censtur* [nom.pl.] 'censor', borrowed from Latin. O. **kenssurineis** [gen.sg.m.] 'Cēnsōrīnus', honorary cognomen; O. *κενσορατη* [loc.sg.] 'the office of censor', inner-Oscan derivative of *\*kensor-*.

PIE *\*kns-eh<sub>1</sub>-* / *\*kns-eie-* 'to announce'. IE cognates: Skt. *śams-*, Av. *sqh-* 'to speak solemnly', OAv. pr. *sāngha-*.

According to García-Ramón 1993b: 124, the *ē*-conjugation of Latin *censēre* results from a transitive pr. *\*kns-eie-* 'estimates' or from a stative *\*kns-eh<sub>1</sub>-* 'to be estimated', or both. Later, a diathesis opposition would have been created by opposing *censē* + *-tur* to *censē-t*.

Bibl.: WH I: 198ff., EM 112f., IEW 566, García-Ramón 1993b, Untermann 2000: 102, 382-386, LIV *\*keNs-*.

**centō** 'blanket, patched cloth' [n. *n*] (Pl.+)

PIt. *\*k(e)nt-n-*.

PIE *\*k(e)ntH-ñ-*. IE cognates: Skt. *kanthā-* [f.] 'rag, patched cloth'.

If Skt. *kanthā-* continues an original *n*-stem, *centō* and *kanthā-* can reflect *\*kentH-o/en-*. However, it is quite possible that both words have nothing to do with each other. Other forms which are adduced by IEW, such as OHG *hadara* 'rags', and Arm. *k'ot'anak* 'cloth', show no trace of the nasal of Lat. *centō* and Skt. *kanthā-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 200, EM 113, IEW 569, EWAia III: 55.

**centum** 'hundred' [num. indecl.] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *centēsimus* 'hundredth' (Pl.), *centēnī* 'one hundred each' (Cato+), *centiē(n)s* 'a hundred times' (Pl.+); *centuria* 'unit of a hundred men' (Cincius+), *centuriō* 'centurion' (Lucil.+); *ducentī* '200' [adj.] (Pl.+), *trecentī* '300' (Pl.), *quadringentī* '400' (Pl.+), *quingentī* '500' (Pl.+; Paul. ex F. cites *quincent-*), *sescentī* '600' (Pl.+), *octingentī* '800' (Pl.+), *nōngentī* '900' (Cic.+); *centumplex* 'hundredfold' (Pl.).

PIt. *\*kntom.*

PIE \*d̥kmtom ‘hundred’. IE cognates: OIr. *cét*, MW *cant*, Skt. *śatá-*, Av. *satəm* ‘hundred’, Lyc. *s̄nta* ‘unit of ten or hundred’, Gr. ἑκατόν, Lith. *šim̄tas*, OCS *s̄to*, Go. *hund*, OHG *hund*, ToB *kante*, ToA *kānt* ‘hundred’.

The form *centum* directly goes back to PIE. Lat. *-centī* functions as an adj., and surfaces as *-gentī* after nasals. The *-g-* must have originated in ‘700’ and ‘900’. The ordinal *centēsimus* must be a remake of \**cēsimus* (< \**kent-tamo-*) on the basis of *vigēsimus* etc. The initial *du-* of *ducentī* has been influenced by *duo* and/or by compounds in *du-*. The absence of lengthening in front of *-centī* suggests that the hundreds were formed when ‘hundred’ was not longer \**dkmtom* but already \**kmtom*. PIE \**d̥knto-* ‘hundred’ probably derives from PIE \**dekm̄* ‘ten’ as the ordinal ‘tenth’. Hence, ‘hundred’ was the ‘tenth ten’.

Bibl.: WH I: 200f., EM 113f., IEW 192, Coleman 1992: 403-406, Sihler 1995: 222-224, Untermann 2000: 394. → *decem*, *-gintā*

**cēpa** ‘onion’ [f. *ā*] (Naev.+; also *caepa*)

Derivatives: *cēpe* [n.] (Enn.+).

IE cognates: Gr. *καπια* ‘onions’ among the *Κηρυνηται* (Hsch.).

Probably a loanword from an unknown language; the same word might be reflected in Gr. *καπια*.

Bibl.: WH I: 201, EM 114.

**-ceps, -cu/īpis** ‘taking’ [m./f., adj. *p*]: *auceps*, *-cupis* ‘bird-catcher, fowler’ (Pl.+); *deinceps* [adv.] ‘in succession, next’ (Var.+); *forceps*, *-īpis* ‘tongs, pincers’ (Cato+); *inceps* [adv.] ‘subsequently’ (Fest., gloss.); *manceps*, *-u/īpis* ‘contractor, agent’ (Pl.+); *mūniceps*, *-īpis* ‘citizen or native of a community’ (Lucil.+); *particeps*, *-īpis* ‘participant, sharer’ (Andr.+); *princeps*, *-īpis* ‘first; initiator’ (Pl.+); *quarticeps*, *-īpis*, *quinticeps*, *sexticeps*, *terticeps* ‘fourth, fifth, sixth, third in order’ (Varro); *vesticeps*, *-īpis* ‘sexually mature’ (Paul. *ex F.*, Apul.+)

Derivatives: *mancu/īpium* ‘confiscation, ownership, slave’ (Lex XII+), *mūnicipium* ‘community, municipality’ (CIL+), *participium* ‘participle’ (Varro+), *prīncipium* ‘start, origin’ (Pl.+).

PIt. \**-kap-s* ‘seizing’.

The oldest form may be *princeps* (with syncope) ‘first’; on this model, *deinceps* ‘next’ (\**dein(de)-kap-s*) was created, and also the forms with an ordinal number as their first member. *Particeps* belongs to this semantic sphere, too. *Forceps* ‘pliers’ is etymologized as *quae forma capiunt* by Festus, which would imply \**formo-kap-s*. *Manceps* < \**manu-kap-s*, which may belong to the same legal-social sphere as *princeps*, *particeps*: the expression *manu capere* still existed. *Mūniceps* may have been back-formed to *mūnicipium*, or directly from \**mūnia-kap-s*, cf. *mūnia capessere* (Col.). *Vesticeps* < \**vesti-kap-s* (or more recent) ‘who takes the *toga virilis*’.

Bibl.: EM 96, Benedetti 1988: 60-71. → *capiō*

**cēra** ‘beeswax, wax’ [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *cērātus* ‘coated with wax’ (Pl.+).

IE cognates: Gr. κηρός, Dor. κᾰρός ‘wax’, Gr. κηρίον ‘honeycomb’, Lith. *korj̃s* ‘honeycomb’, Latv. *kāres* ‘id.’.

Probably a loanword from an unknown language, or a direct borrowing into Latin from Greek. If the Doric form in *ā* is reliable, Latin would have borrowed the Ionic-Attic variant, and remade it into a fem. in *-a* (which is not trivial). If the Baltic forms are cognate, the substrate word might have been *\*kār-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 202, EM 114, IEW 532.

**-cerda** ‘faecies’ [f. *ā*]: *mūscerdae* [f.pl.] ‘mouse droppings’ (Plin., Fest.), *sucerdae* [f.pl.] ‘pig’s dung’ (Titin.+), *ovicerda* ‘sheeps’ droppings’ (Fest.)

Plt. *\*(s)ker-d-* ‘excretion’.

PIE *\*(s)ker-(d-)* ‘part, excretion’. IE cognates: OIr. *scerdaid\**, *sceird\** ‘to plane, scratch off’ < *\*skerd-(i)e/o-*; Gr. κείρω, Arm. *k’erem*, OIc. *skera* ‘to cut, shave’.

Most dictionaries assume original *\*sker-d-*, in which case *mūscerdae* must be the older form (*\*mūs-skerd-*) which gave rise to *sucerdae*. The word might be a derivative of the PIE root *\*(s)ker-* ‘to cut, tear, separate’, with a suffix *-d-* from PIE, or which arose by analogy with Lat. *merda* ‘dung’ (Hor.+). If PIE, the dental suffix may be compared with OIr. *\*skerd<sup>(h)</sup>-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 133f., 621, EM 114, IEW 947f., Schumacher 2004: 582, LIV 2. *\*(s)ker-*.

**cerebrum** ‘brain’ [n. *o*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *cerebellum* ‘brain’ (Titin.+), *cerebrōsus* ‘passionate, enraged’ (Lucil.+).

Plt. *\*kerasro-*.

PIE *\*kerh<sub>2</sub>-s-ro-* [n.] ‘brain’ (‘thing in the head’). IE cognates: Skt. *śiras*, obl. *śīrṣán-* ‘head, top’, YAv. *sarah-* ‘head’, Gr. κάπᾰ [n.], gen.sg. κᾰάτος ‘id.’, κᾰρῆνα [pl.n.] ‘head, top’; Gr. κέρας ‘horn’; OHG *hirn(i)* < *\*kerh<sub>2</sub>snio-*, MDu. *hersene* ‘brain’.

Nussbaum 1986: 243 explains *cerebrum* as a substantivization of an adj. *\*kerh<sub>2</sub>sro-* ‘in the head’, itself an adj. made to a loc.sg. *\*kerh<sub>2</sub>s-er* ‘in the head’ (to PIE *\*kerh<sub>2</sub>s-*, *\*kerh<sub>2</sub>es-* ‘head’).

Bibl.: WH I: 203, EM 114f., IEW 574-577, Nussbaum 1986, Schrijver 1991: 96. → *cermus*, *cervix*, *cornū*

**Cerēs, -eris** ‘goddess of grain and fruits’ [f. *r*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *Cerus manus* ‘creator bonus’ (Paul. *ex F.*), Varro *duonus Cerus* ‘good Cerus’; *Ceriālis* ‘of Ceres, of wheat’ (Pl.+); Oscan *caria* ‘panis’, *carēnsēs* ‘pistores’ (gloss.).

Plt. *\*kerēs*, *\*keres-* [f.] ‘with grain, Ceres’; *\*kereso-* [m.] ‘with grain’; adj. *\*keresjo-* ‘belonging to Ceres’; *\*kare/o-* ‘to feed’. It. cognates: Fal. *ceres* [nom.]. O. *kerrī*, *keri* [dat.sg.] ‘Cererī’ < *\*ker-s-ēi* < dat.sg. *\*ker-es-ēi*; U. *çerfe*, *şerfer*, *serfer* [gen.sg.], *çerfe*, *şerfe* [dat.sg.], *serfe* [voc.sg.] of the god’s name ‘Cerrus’ < *\*keres-o-*, male counterpart of Ceres; O. *kerrīúí*, *kerrīúí* [dat.sg.m.], *kerrīái* [dat.sg.f.], *kerrīún* [loc.sg. + *-en*], *kerrīúís* [dat.pl.m.], *kerrīáis* [dat.pl.f.], Pael. *ceria*, *cerria* [nom.sg.f.], Marr. *cerria* [nom.sg.f.], *cerie* [dat.sg.f.?] ‘belonging to Ceres’, U. *şerfiar* [gen.sg.f.], *çerfie*, *şerfie* [dat.sg.f.], *çel* | *fi*, *şerfi* [dat.sg.m.?), *şerfia* [voc.sg.f.]

'belonging to Cerrus', all < Plt. *\*keres-jo-*. The stem of Pael. *cerfum* [gen.pl.] 'Cererum' is uncertain. Maybe O. *karanter* [3p.pr.ps.] 'they feed themselves', unless to *carō*, *carnis*.

PIE *\*kérh<sub>1/3</sub>-os* [n.] 'nourishment' (> 'grain'); adj. *\*-kérh<sub>1/3</sub>-ēs* 'with nourishment'. IE cognates: Gr. *κοπένωμι* 'to satiate, feed', Lith. *šerti*, ls. *šeriù* 'to feed'; OHG *hirso*, OS *hirsī* [m.] 'millet' < PGm. *\*χersja-* < *\*kérh<sub>1/3</sub>-s-io-* 'like grain'.

The spelling *Cerus* might reflect *Cerrus*, which would better match the Sabellic forms. Eichner (1993b: 84f.) reconstructs *\*kérh<sub>1</sub>-ēs*, *\*kérh<sub>1</sub>esos*, a poss.adj. of the type *ψευδής*, to a noun *\*kérh<sub>1</sub>os* 'growth' (Arm. *ser*, *seroy*). Sab. *\*kereso-* can then be a simple thematization of the s-stem. Whereas Eichner's morphological analysis is attractive, I agree with Hill 2006: 197-200 that a connection of *\*kérh<sub>1/3</sub>-* 'to feed' with *\*k<sup>(w)</sup>reh<sub>1</sub>-* 'to grow' is difficult because of the schwebeablaut and the different semantics. Thus, *Cerēs* derives from *\*kérh<sub>1/3</sub>-* 'to feed', while *crēscō* belongs to a different IE root.

Bibl.: WH I: 204, EM 116f., IEW 577, Schrijver 1991: 124, 432, Untermann 2000: 370, 386-390, Stüber 2002: 117, Hill 2006: 197-200, LIV *\*kérh<sub>3</sub>-*.

**cernō, -ere** 'to sift, distinguish, decide' [v. III; pf. *crēvī*, ppp. *crētum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *dēcernere* 'to decide, determine' (Pl.+), *dēcrētum* 'idea, decision' (Cato+), *discernere* 'to separate, distinguish' (Varro, Lucr.+), *discerniculum* 'hair-needle' (Lucil.+), *incernere* 'to sprinkle on with a sieve' (Cato+), *incerniculum* 'vessel for collecting sieved materials' (Cato+), *sēcernere* 'to detach, discard' (Enn.+), *sēcrētus* 'separate, withdrawn, secret' (Pl.+); *crīmen*, *-inis* 'indictment, accusation' (Pl.+), *crīmināre/ī* 'to accuse' (Pl.+), *crīminātor* 'accuser' (Pl.+), *discrīmen* 'separating line, distinction' (Varro, Lucr.+), *discrīmināre* 'to divide up' (Varro+).

Plt. *\*krin-e/o-*, ppp. *\*kritos*.

PIE pr. *\*kr-n-i-*, aor.(?) *\*krei-* 'to sift, separate', ppp. *\*kri-to-*; *\*(-)krei-mn* [n.]. IE cognates: Olr. *ar-a-chrin* 'to perish' (< *\*to shake it?*), W. *crynu*, MCo. *krena*, MBret. *crenaff* 'to shiver' < PCl. *\*krini-* [pr.] 'to sift, shake', Gr. *κρίνω* 'to separate' (< *\*krinjē/o-*), *κρίτος* 'separate'; OCS *krai* 'edge, end, shore' < *\*kroh<sub>1</sub>i-*, Latv. *krijāt* 'skin', Ru. *kroit*, SCr. *krōjiti* 'to cut into pieces' < *\*kroh<sub>1</sub>i-*.

The form *crētus* replaces the original ppp. *certus* < *\*kritos*, which got detached from the verbal paradigm. The PIE root of *cernō* has been variously reconstructed as *\*krh<sub>1</sub>(i)-* or *\*krih<sub>1</sub>-*, beside *\*kri-* which must in any case be assumed for *certus* and Gr. *κρίτος*. Seldeslachts 2001 claims that a single root *\*kri-* suffices to explain all attested forms, with the exception of *crēvī*, which he explains as analogical to *lēvī*, the pf. to *linō*. The ppp. *crētus* would be analogical to *crēvī*.

Bibl.: WH I: 205f., EM 115, IEW 938-947, Serbat 1975: 165f., Rasmussen 1989: 276f., Schrijver 1991: 407f., Seldeslachts 2001: 59-66, Schumacher 2004: 420f., LIV *\*kreh<sub>1</sub>(i)-*. → *certus*, *crībrum*

**cernuus** 'head foremost' [adj. *o/ā*] (Lucil.+)

Derivatives: *cernuāre* 'to fall head first' (Varro+).

Plt. \**kēro-nowo-*.

PIE \**kērh<sub>2</sub>-o-* 'skull, head'. IE cognates: see s.v. *cerebrum*.

Explained by Nussbaum 1986 as \**kērh<sub>2</sub>o-nouo-* 'inclining the head' > \**kēronouo-* > \**kērnouo-* > *cernuus*. The first member would be identical to YAv. *sāra-* 'head'.

Bibl.: WH I: 206, EM 116, IEW 574-577, Leumann 1977: 210, Nussbaum 1986: 111-114. → *cerebrum*, *-nuō*

**certus** 'fixed, certain' [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *certāre* 'to contend for superiority' (Pl.+), *certāmen*, *-inis* 'competition, contest' (Pl.+), *certātim* 'with rivalry' (Pl.+), *certātiō* 'contention' (Enn.+), *concertāre* 'to contend' (Ter.+), *dēcertāre* 'to fight an issue out' (Asellio+), *incertus* 'not fixed, uncertain' (Pl.+), *incertāre* 'to make uncertain' (Pl.+).

Plt. \**krito-* 'distinguished'.

PIE \**krito-* 'sifted'. IE cognates: Gr. *κρίτός* 'separate'.

The adj. *certus* continues the earlier ppp. to *cernere* 'to distinguish'. EM dismiss the view that *certāre* would be denominative to *certus*, and argue that it is an iterative to *cernō*. However, the iteratives are built on ppp. in \*-*tos*, so the explanation is essentially the same. The meaning of *certāre* can be based on the original ppp-meaning 'distinguished, decided' of \**kritos*, before it acquired the meaning 'certain'.

Bibl.: WH I: 205, EM 116, IEW 938-947, Leumann 1977: 548, Schrijver 1991: 407f., Meiser 1998: 80, LIV \**kreh<sub>1</sub>(i)-*. → *cernō*, *crībŕum*

**cervix**, *-īcis* 'neck' [f. *k*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *incurvicervīcus* 'having the neck arched' (Pac.).

WH suggest original \**kers-ueik-s* 'head band' to *vincīre*, but this is semantically very doubtful. The suffix recalls that of *coxendīx* 'hip', but the analysis of *cerv-* does not yield clear results (cf. Nussbaum 1986: 5).

Bibl.: WH I: 207, EM 116, IEW 576.

**cervus** 'stag, deer' [m. *o*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *cerva* 'hind, doe' (Pl.+), *cervīnus* 'of a deer' (Varro+).

Plt. \**ker(a)wo-* 'horned animal, stag'.

PIE \**ker(h<sub>2</sub>)-uo-* 'having horns'. IE cognates: W. *carw*, Bret. *karo* 'stag, deer' < \**kr(h<sub>2</sub>)uo-*; CLuw. *zarūani(ia)-* [adj.] 'of a horn' < \**kr-uen-*, Hit. <sup>(S1)</sup>*karāuar / karaun-* 'horn, antlers'; Av. *sruuā-* 'horn, nail', Gr. *κεραός* 'horned'; OPr. *sirwis* 'roebuck' < \**kr(H)u-*, *curwis* 'ox', Lith. *kārvė* 'cow', OCS *krava* 'cow' < \**korh<sub>2</sub>-uh<sub>2</sub>-*.

Originally 'horned (animal)'; this derivative from 'horn' seems to be Italo-Celtic. The different ablaut grades (*e/o/zero*) found in the root of the *-u*-derivative in the IE languages suggest that many forms were influenced by the noun \**ker-h<sub>2</sub>-* 'horn, bone'.

Bibl.: WH I: 208, EM 117, IEW 576, Nussbaum 1986, Schrijver 1995: 73f. → *cerebrum*, *cernuus*, *cornu*

**cēterus** ‘the rest, the other’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

PIt. \**etero-* ‘other’. It. cognates: U. **etrama** [acc.sg. + *ad*] , **etru** [abl.sg.m.], **etre** [loc.sg.m/n.], **etraf** [acc.pl.f.], **etres** [abl.pl.ḡ/n.] ‘second, other’ < \**etero-*.

PIE \**h<sub>1</sub>e-tero-* ‘the other (of two)’. IE cognates: Av. *atāra-* ‘this one (of two)’, Alb. *jetër, tjetër* ‘other’, OCS *eterъ, jeterъ* ‘someone’.

A compound of the particle *ce* ‘here’ (prefixed, as in *cedo*) and PIIt. \**etero-* ‘other’. The latter goes back to a PIE adj. of comparison in \**-tero-* derived from the pronoun \**h<sub>1</sub>e-* ‘this, here’.

Bibl.: WH I: 208f., EM 117, IEW 281-286, Untermann 2000: 242f. → *-ce, iterum*

**ceu** ‘as, like’ [ptcle.] (Enn.+)

PIIt. \**keiwe*.

PIE \**ke* ‘here’ + \*(*h<sub>1</sub>*)*i-ue* ‘as’. IE cognates: Skt. *iva* ‘like, as’.

WH assume \**kei* ‘here’ + \**ue* ‘or’, but Watkins 1973b compares Skt. *iva*, which seems morphologically more convincing. Hence, *ceu* can be explained from the particle \**ke* (Lat. *-ce*) and PIE \*(*h<sub>1</sub>*)*i-ue* ‘as’. With Watkins, we can posit a development \**keiwe* > \**keiw* > \**kēw* > *ceu*. The development \**-eiwV-* > \**-īwV-* did not take place because of the early apocope of \**-e-*; compare *seu* next to *sīve*.

Bibl.: WH I: 209, EM 117, IEW 73-75, Watkins 1973b: 202-206, Leumann 1977: 64, Meiser 1998: 86. → *-ce, -ve*

**cēveō** ‘to shake the hips’ [v. II] (Mart.+)

PIE \**keh<sub>1</sub>u-ejē/o-* ‘to throw, shake’. IE cognates: OCS *kyvati*, Cz. *kývati*, Slv. *kívati* ‘to nod’ < \**kū-*.

Schrijver suggests that *cēveō* reflects a causative verb with *e*-grade in the root, of which there are more examples in Latin. But the meaning is iterative rather than causative. If the word originally had an *o*-grade (as LIV assumes), it is difficult to see why this was replaced by an *e*-grade.

Bibl.: WH I: 209f., EM 117, IEW 595, Schrijver 1991: 288f., 449, LIV ?\**keh<sub>1</sub>u-*.

**cibus** ‘food’ [m. *o*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *cibārius* ‘of food’ (Pl.+), *cibātus, -ūs* ‘food, fodder’ (Pl.+).

A root structure \**k-b<sup>h</sup>* is not allowed in PIE, whereas \**b* is an exceedingly rare phoneme. Hence, a PIE etymology would have to start from a root \**ki-*, with a suffix \**-b<sup>h</sup>*. No good match was found. It may very well be a loanword, for instance, from Gr. *κίβος, κίβισις* ‘box, chest’, as proposed by Thurneysen 1907 (cf. WH).

Bibl.: WH I: 210f., EM 118, Untermann 2000: 379.

**clcāda** ‘cicada, cricket’ [f. *ā*] (Lucret.+)

Probably an onomatopoeic formation, with reduplication. Compare *cicōnia* ‘stork’, a bird also characterized by its clattering sound. Maybe, but not necessarily, a loanword.

Bibl.: WH I: 211, EM 119. → *cicōnia*

**cicātrīx, -īcis** ‘scar-tissue, cicatrice’ [f. *k*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *cicātricōsus* ‘scarred’ (Pl.+).

Etymology unknown.

Bibl.: WH I: 211, EM 119, IEW 565, Leumann 1977: 377.

**cicer, -eris** ‘chick-pea’ [n. *r*] (Pl.+)

PIt. \**kiker-*.

IE cognates: Gr. κίκερροι ‘pale’ (Hsch.); Arm. *siser̄n* ‘chick-pea’, Alb. *thjer* ‘lentil’.

Alb. *thjer* might reflect \**kikér-* (cf. Demiraj 1997), but the initial syllable \**ki-* is of course not warranted by the Albanian word. The preform of Latin and Albanian might be derived from \**kérh<sub>3</sub>-* ‘to feed’, as Jokl assumes – I do not share the semantic objections voiced by Demiraj. On the other hand, Arm. *siser̄n* could reflect \**ke/oiker-n-*, which together with Latin would rather point to a loanword from an unknown source. Gr. κρῖός ‘ram; kind of chick-pea’ is unrelated: it is named after its curved shell.

Bibl.: WH I: 212, EM 119, IEW 598, Sihler 1995: 293.

**cicōnia** ‘stork’ [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *cōnea* ‘stork’ (Pl. *Truc.* : ‘Praenestinis cōnea est cicōnia’).

Cannot be connected with *canēre* ‘to sing’, unless via \**kōh<sub>2</sub>n-*. But I doubt that anyone would refer to the bill-clattering of a stork as ‘singing’, – unless in a very ironic way. The reduplication is probably part of the onomatopoeic formation, as in *cicāda*.

Bibl.: WH I: 212, EM 119, IEW 525f., Leumann 1977: 382. → *cicāda*

**cicur, -is** ‘tame, mild’ [adj. *r*] (Enn.+)

Derivatives: *cicurāre* ‘to tame’ (Pac.+).

The alleged cognate Skt. *śakura-* ‘tame, quiet’ (only in Harṣa carita) is attested too late to be credible. The etymology of *cicur* remains unknown.

Bibl.: WH I: 213, EM 119.

**cieō** ‘to move, stir up’ [v. II; pf. *civī*, ppp. *citum*] (Pl.+; variant *ciō, -ere*)

Derivatives: *accīre* ‘to summon’ (Pl.+), *conciēre* ‘to stir up’ (Pl.+), *exciēre* ‘to cause to move away, summon’ (Pl.+), *perciēre* ‘to stir up’ (Pl.+), *citus* ‘quick, rapid’ (Andr.+), *citō* [adv.] ‘quickly, fast’ (Naev.+), *incitae* [f.pl.] ‘checkmate’ (Pl.+), *incitus* ‘set in rapid motion’ (Lucr.+); *citāre* ‘to set in motion, summon’ (Pl.+), *concitāre* ‘to set in rapid motion, stir up’ (Acc.+), *excitāre* ‘to rouse’ (Pl.+), *suscitāre* ‘id.’ (Pl.+); *sollicitus* ‘restless, uneasy, anxious’ (Pl.+), *sollicitāre* ‘to disturb’ (Pl.+), *sollicitūdō, -inis* ‘anxiety’ (Pl.+), *sollicitātiō* ‘vexation’ (Ter.+).

PIt. pr. \**ki-eje-?* aor. \**kei-?* ppp. \**kito-* ‘stirred’.

PIE aor. \**k<sup>(l)</sup>ei(h<sub>2</sub>)-* ‘to start to move’, ppp. \**k<sup>(l)</sup>i(h<sub>2</sub>)-to-*. IE cognates: Gr. aor. ἔκικε ‘started to move’, κίκατο ‘they moved’ (Hsch.), pr. κίνυμαι ‘to be moved’ < \**k<sup>(l)</sup>i(h<sub>2</sub>)-*.

The verb *-ciēre* has become obsolete in CLat., and was replaced by the frequentative *citāre*. *Solli-citus* derives from *sollus* ‘entire’ and *citus* ‘stirred’. LIV interprets *cieō* as

a secondary causative present with zero grade of the root; as García-Ramón 1993a argues, it may have been grafted on *ci-tus*. The long vowel *-ī-* of *accīre* surfaces in the pf. (which might have *\*kei-w-*, and concuꝛ with the Greek aorist). For *citus*, we must posit a preform without laryngeal (cf. Schrijver), or assume that the ppp. was grafted relatively recently on the pr. *\*ki-ē-*.

Bibl.: Sommer 1914: 509, 561, WH I: 213f., EM 119f., IEW 538f., Leumann 1977: 544, Schrijver 1991: 237f., Untermann 2000: 396, Meiser 2003: 228, LIV *\*k<sup>(j)</sup>eih<sub>2</sub>-*.  
→ *concinno*, *cunctus*

**cilium** ‘the eyelid’ [n. o] (Plin.+)

Derivatives: *supercilium* ‘eyebrow’ (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*kel-jo-* [n.] ‘eyelid’.

PIE *\*kel-io-* ‘hiding’. IE cognates: see w.v. *cēlō*, *occulō*.

Since *cilium* is more recent than *supercilium*, the former can be interpreted as a back-formation to the latter (WH, EM). If indeed derived from the root *\*kel-* ‘to hide’, we must still assume that a noun *\*kiliūm* ‘eyelid’ existed, since the eyelid can ‘hide’ the eye, whereas the eyebrow does not have such a function. Thus, *supercilium* may originally have meant ‘what is above the *cilium*’.

Bibl.: WH I: 214f., EM 120, IEW 553f., Leumann 1977: 294, LIV 1. *\*kel-* ‘to hide’.  
→ *cēlō*, *clam*

**cīmex, -icis** ‘bed-bug’ [m. k] (Andr.+)

For the suffix, cf. *culex*, *pūlex*. The etymological connection with IE words for ‘black, dark-coloured’ such as Skt. *śyāvā-* ‘dark, black’ < *\*k<sub>2</sub>eh<sub>1</sub>-uó-* (thus e.g. IEW) is not compelling. The etymology must be regarded as unknown.

Bibl.: WH I: 216, EM 121, IEW 540f.

**cingō, -ere** ‘to surround, gird’ [v. III; pf. *cinxi*, ppp. *cinctum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *cingulum* ‘belt’ (Varro+), *cingillum* ‘woman’s girdle’ (Varro+), *cinctus*, *-ūs* ‘the means of girding up clothes’ (Lucil.+), *cincticulus* ‘belt or girdle’ (Pl.); *accingere* ‘to gird, surround’ (Ter.+), *discingere* ‘to remove the belt’ (Pl.+), *praecingere* ‘to encircle, gird’ (Pl.+), *succingulum* ‘garment round the loins’ (Pl.+), *succinctus* ‘gathered up, girded’ (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*keng-*? It. cognates: *\*kink-to-* in U. *śihitu anśihitu*, *sihиту ansihitu* [acc.pl.m.], *śihitir anśihitir*, *sihitir anśihitir*, *śitir anśihitir* [dat.pl.m.] ‘girded ungirded’? These words refer to certain high officials, but meaning and etymology are uncertain.

Uncertain etymology. Of the possible cognates adduced in IEW, Lith. *kinkýti* ‘to bridle horses’ fits semantically, but requires a root *\*kin-k-*, which cannot be PIE because of its structure, and which conflicts with Meiser’s explanation of the Italic words (from *\*kenk-*). Skt. *kāñcate* ‘he ties’, *kañcuka-* ‘armour’ do not help much, cf. EWAia III: 42-45: the words are attested at a late date, and present formal irregularities.

Bibl.: WH I: 216f., EM 121, IEW 565, Sihler 1995: 534, Meiser 1998: 83.



**cinis, -eris** ‘residue of fire, ashes, ashes of a dead person’ [m. r] (Pl.+; sometimes f.)

Derivatives: *cinerārius* [m.] ‘hair-dresser’ (Lucil.+).

Plt. \**kenis-* ‘ashes’.

PIE \**k̑/kon(H)-i-*, \**k̑/ken(H)-i-* ‘residue of fire, ashes, dust’. IE cognates: Gr. κόνις, gen. -ιος, -εως (-εος) [f.] ‘ashes, dust’, κονίσαλος ‘cloud of dust’, κονίω ‘to cover with dust’, κόνιος ‘dusty’, ToB *kentse* ‘dust’ < \**koniso-*.

Lat. \**kenis* > *cinis* by assimilation, cf. *similis* < \**semili-* < \**semali-*. Whereas Latin seems synchronically to reflect a normal *s*-stem (except maybe for *cinisculus*), Greek contains an original \**-is*-stem, which was secondarily interpreted as *i*-stem. Latin \**ken-i-* may reflect either \**ken(H)-i-* or zero-grade \**knH-i-*. ToB *kentse* seems to reflect \**kon-is-o-*. If PIE, this would have to be a very early *s*-extension of an *i*-stem \**kon-i-*. Thus, there may have been a PIE *i*-stem noun \**kon(H)i-* / \**ken(H)-i-* ‘dust’, of which Latin *cinis* continues the *e*-grade. IEW connects *cinis* to PIE \**kneh<sub>2</sub>-* ‘to plane, rub’ (Gr. -κναίω), which is not compelling.

Bibl.: WH I: 217f., EM 121, IEW 559f., Leumann 1977: 101, Sihler 1995: 308.

**cippus** ‘boundary stone’ [m. o] (Lucil.+; Mars., CIL 5: *Cei/p.apur( )finem*)

Plt. \**keipo-*?

PIE \*(s)*keip-o-* ‘pole, stick’? IE cognates: Skt. *śépa-*, *śépha-* ‘tail, penis’.

If the attestation *ceip* does indeed represent *ceipus*, or if it is directly cognate with *scīpiō* ‘stick’, later *cippus* is an instance of the *littera*-rule (see s.v. *cella*). Together with the *s*-variants, discussed under *scīpiō*, Plt. \**keipō-* can then go back to a noun \**ke/oip-o-* ‘pole, stick’.

Bibl.: WH I: 219, EM 122, IEW 543, Leumann 1977: 183. → *scīpiō*

**circus** ‘circle; circus’ [m. o] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *circum* [prep.] ‘round about, near’ (Pl.+), *circā* [prep.] ‘round, about’ (Lex Repetund.), *circiter* [adv.; prep.] ‘nearly; near’ (Pl.+), *circumcircā* [adv.] ‘round about’ (Pl.+), *idcircō* [adv.] ‘therefore’ (Pl.+), *quōcircā* ‘on account of which’ (Varro+); *circulus* ‘circle, ring’ (Acc.+).

*Circum* is the old acc.sg. of *circus*; *circā* is a more recent formation (end 1st c. BC). *Circus* is probably a loanword from Gr. κρίκος ‘ring’, also κρίκος (Hell.), κικκώ ‘to hoop round, secure with rings’ (Aeschylus), of uncertain origin. EM suggest that *circus* shows ‘partial reduplication’ of the element \**kr-* in *curvus* ‘curved’, hence \**ki-kr-o-*, but this is speculative.

Bibl.: WH I: 220f., EM 122, IEW 935-938, Untermann 2000: 419.

**cis** ‘before, within; on this side of’ [prep.] (+ acc.) (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *citer* ‘nearer’ (Cato), *citerior* ‘nearer, earlier’ (Cic.+), *citimus* ‘nearest’ (Cic.+), *citrā* [prep. + acc.] ‘on this side of’ (Lucr.+), *citrō* [adv.] ‘to this side; on both sides’ (Cato+).

Plt. \**ki* ‘here, by’, \**ki-tero-*. It. cognates: U. *çimu*, *çimo* [adv.] ‘back’ < \**ki-mo-* ‘on this side’, U. *çive* ‘outside, in front’ < loc.sg. of \**kiuo-*. Theoretically, a preform \**keim/uo-* is also possible.

PIE \**kí* ‘this here’. IE cognates: Hit. *kā-* / *kū-* / *ki-*, Pal. *kā-* ‘this’, *kijāt* ‘here’, CLuw. *zā-* / *zi-* ‘this’ < PIE \**kó-*, \**kí-*, OPr. *schis*, Lith. *šis*, OCS *sb* ‘this’; Go. *himma* ‘to this’, *hina* ‘this’ [acc.], Go. *hidrē* ‘hithet’.

The older system is *cis* – *citer* (*citro*) – *citimus*; Lat. *citerior* is a more recent remake of *citer*. Final *-s* in *cis* (as in *uls*) may be analogical to *abs*, *usque*, *ex*. That would imply that \**ki* remained alive as a separate word until quite recently; it shifted from being a pronoun to a preposition. PIE \**kí* is a variant of \**ke* ‘here, this’, as represented in Latin by *-ce*. For the IE background, see Kortlandt 1983b.

Bibl.: WH I: 222, EM 123, IEW 609f., Leumann 1977: 317f., Untermann 2000: 397ff. → *-ce*, *ceu*, *cedō*

**citrus** ‘citron-tree (*citrus medica cedra*); African tree (*thuaia articulata*)’ [f. o] (Varro+)

Derivatives: *citrum* ‘the wood of the citron-tree’ (Cato+), *citrosus* ‘smelling of citron-wood’ (Naev.).

IE cognates: Gr. κέδρος ‘juniper-berry, cedar-cone’; κίτριον (Hell.) ‘citron-tree’.

Probably, Gr. κίτριον was borrowed from Latin *citrus*, which itself may have been borrowed from Gr. κέδρος and underwent the Latin change of \**dr* > *tr* (later, the word was again borrowed, now surfacing as Latin *cedrus*). But the initial vowels of κέδρος and *citrus* differ, and there may have been an Etruscan intermediate between Greek and Latin. Alternatively, both *citrus* and κέδρος might have been borrowed from a third (Mediterranean?) language.

Bibl.: WH I: 223, EM 124, Leumann 1977: 198.

**cīvis** ‘citizen’ [m., f. i] (Lex XII+ (*ceivis*), Pl.+)

Derivatives: *cīvicus* ‘of one’s town; civil’ (Pl.+), *cīvilis* ‘of the citizens, civil’ (Lucr.+), *cīvitās* ‘an organized community, state’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \**keiwi-* ‘society’. It. cognates: O. *ceus* [nom.sg.] ‘citizen’.

PIE \**kei-uo-* ‘friendly, intimate, dear’. IE cognates: Skt. *śivá-* ‘favourable’, Latv. *siēva* ‘wife’, Go. *heiwa-frauja* ‘master of the household’, OE *hīwan* [pl.] ‘members of the household’, OHG *hīwo* ‘husband’, Olc. *hýski* ‘household, family’.

O. *ceus* was probably borrowed from Latin \**cēvis* before this became *cīvis*; hence, between 250 and 150 BC. The Lat. *i*-stem inflection is mostly explained from analogy to the word *hostis*, but it could also be older. Vine 2006b assumes a PIE *i*-stem abstract noun ‘society’ derived from the adj. \**kei-uo-* ‘socially close’ continued in other IE languages. This would also explain why the derivatives *cīvicus*, *cīvilis* mean ‘pertaining to society/the civic order’ rather than ‘pertaining to a citizen’. Lat. *cīvis* resulted from the singulative use of the abstract, as in *optiō* [fi] ‘choice’ > [m.] ‘assistant’. The IE root behind this formation is often assumed to be \**kei-* ‘to lie’, but this is semantically difficult. Vine 2006b: 148 embroiders on an earlier suggestion by Bader: he proposes a derivative in \**-uo-* from the pronominal stem \**kí-* ‘this (here)’ (> Skt. *śivá-*), with full grade \**keiuo-* ‘close’.

Bibl.: WH I: 224, EM 124, IEW 540, Untermann 2000: 395, LIV \**kei-*.

**clādēs, -ls** ‘destruction, disaster, defeat’ [f. *ē/i*] (Pl.+)

PIt. \**klādē-*, obl. \**klād-*.

PIE \**klh<sub>2</sub>-d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-* ‘beating, destruction, disaster’. IE cognates: Gr. *κλάω* ‘to break’, Lith. *kálti* ‘to beat (with a hammer)’, Ru. *kolót*, SCr. *klati* ‘to slaughter’.

Schrijver 1991 has argued that no cognate forms of the root \**klh<sub>2</sub>-* ‘to beat’ can be found outside Italic with a certain dental enlargement. Hence, he reconstructs a PIE compound of the type Skt. *śraddhā-* ‘trust, belief’ < \**kred-d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-*. In view of the circumflex accentuation of Lithuanian nouns in *-dē̃*, one might also envisage \**-d<sup>h</sup>ēh<sub>1</sub>-* for the Latin nouns in *-dēs* (cf. Kortlandt 2005: 169).

Bibl.: WH I: 225, EM 124, IEW 545-547, Schrijver 1991: 173-175, LIV \**kelh<sub>2</sub>-*. → *-cellō* (2), *calamitās*

**clam** ‘secretly’ [adv.] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *clandestīnus* ‘done in secret’ (Pl.+), *clanculum* ‘secretly’ (Pl.+).

PIt. \**klām*.

PIE \**kl-eh<sub>2</sub>-* ‘concealment’.

For the suffix, cf. *palam*, *cōram*. *Clanculum* is a dim. in *-culum* directly built on *clam* (cf. *plūsculum*). *Clandestīnus* presupposes an earlier adv. \**clam-de* (cf. *quande*), to which the suffix \**-stīno-* was added (see s.v. *intesīnus*). The form *calim* in Paul. *ex F.* ‘antiqui dicebant por clam’ is probably corrupt (thus, WH I: 138). It seems to be mostly agreed that *clam* derives from \**klām*, but the PIE origin is less clear. Schrijver assumes a root variant \**kelh<sub>2</sub>-*, the final laryngeal of which would be necessary for *καλύπτω*. Yet this stem has variants with different labials in Greek (*καλυπ/β/φ-*), and no forms without this suffix, or with *e*-grade. The Greek forms are not taken into account by LIV, who reconstruct only an *aniṭ* root \**kel-*. Under these circumstances, a reconstruction as acc.sg. \**klh<sub>2</sub>-m* is hard to justify. The zero grade in *cl-* cannot be secondary within Latin, and probably reflects PIE \**kl-*. The best option is to assume a stem \**kl-eh<sub>2</sub>-* ‘concealment’, and regard *clam* as its original acc.sg.

Bibl.: WH I: 226f., EM 111, IEW 553f., Schrijver 1991: 175, 209, LIV \**kel-*. → *cella*, *cēlāre*, *color*, *occulō*

**clāmō, -āre** ‘to shout’ [v. I] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *clāmor* ‘shout, cry’ (Pl.+, *clāmōs* Enn. Lucr.); *clāmitāre* ‘to shout repeatedly’ (Pl.+), *clāmitātiō* ‘shouting’ (Pl.); *exclāmāre* ‘to cry out’ (Pl.+), *inclāmāre* ‘to call out to’ (Pl.+), *reclāmāre* ‘to call out in response’ (Lucr.+).

PIt. \**klām-o/ā-* ‘shout(ing)’.

PIE \**klh<sub>1</sub>-m(o)-*. IE cognates: see *calō*.

Lat. *clāmāre* looks like a derivative of a noun, maybe \**klāmā-* or \**klāmo-* ‘shout, shouting’, which was later replaced by *clāmor*.

Bibl.: WH I: 227, EM 124, IEW 548ff., Schrijver 1991: 175, LIV \**kleh<sub>1</sub>-*. → *calendae*; *calō*; *clārus*

**clārus** ‘loud, sonorous; bright, shining, clear’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *clārere* ‘to shine brightly’ (Enn.+), *clārēscere* ‘to become loud or clear’

(Lucret.+); *clāritūdō* ‘fame; brightness’ (Cato+); *clārāre* ‘to make clear’ (Enn.+), *dēclārāre* ‘to make known’ (Lucret.+); *praeclārus* ‘outstandingly bright’ (Lucil.+).

PIt. \**klāro-* ‘loud’ > ‘clear’.

PIE \**klh<sub>1</sub>-ro-* ‘loud, sonorous’. IE cognates: see *calāre*.

The semantic shift from ‘loud’ to ‘clear, bright’ is also found in OHG *hel* ‘loud’, NHG *hell* ‘clearly resounding; shining’.

Bibl.: WH I: 228, EM 125, IEW 548f., Schrijver 1991: 175, LIV \**kleh<sub>1</sub>-*. → *calendae*; *calō*; *clāmō*

**classis** ‘(social) class; levy; fleet’ [f. *i*] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *classicus* ‘of the highest class; of the fleet’ (Cato+).

PIt. \**klāssi-* ‘call-out, appeal’.

PIE \**klh<sub>1</sub>-d<sup>(h)</sup>-ti-* ‘a call’

EM regard ‘roll-call, appeal’ as the oldest meaning. The word has been connected with Gr. κέλαδος ‘noise’, but this is semantically unattractive. If we accept the native Roman etymology with *calāre*, we can reconstruct a derivative in a double dental, yielding \**clāssis* > *classis*. Obviously, without external support for this morphological build-up, it remains a paper reconstruction. Also, one would expect a simplification of the geminate *ss* to *s* after *-ā-*. The meaning would have been ‘the calling, call-out’, which developed through ‘class of soldiers being called out’ to the later Latin meanings.

Bibl.: WH I: 228, EM 125, IEW 548ff., Schrijver 1991: 185, LIV \**kelh<sub>1</sub>-*. → *calō*

**claudō, -ere** ‘to close’ [v. III; pf. *clausī*, ppp. *clausum*] (Cato+)

Derivatives: *claustrum* ‘bolt, bar; prison’ (Pl.+); *conclūdere* ‘to shut up, confine’ (Pl.+), *disclūdere* ‘to separate’ (Varro+), *exclūdere* ‘to keep out’ (Pl.+), *exclūsio* ‘debarring’ (Ter.+), *inclūdere* ‘to insert, shut up’ (Varro+), *interclūdere* ‘to block, cut off’ (Pl.+), *occlūdere* ‘to block access, to stop’ (Pl.+), *reclūdere* ‘to open’ (Pl.+).

PIt. \**klaud-e/o-*.

PIE \**kleh<sub>2</sub>u-d-* ‘to shut’. IE cognates: see *clāvis*.

Note that the simplex does not yet occur in Plautus, but some compounds do. Lat. *claustrum* < \**klaud-tro-*. See *clāvis* for the reconstruction of the root. Latin *claudere* might reflect \**klāw-V-d-*, in which case it can be a derivation of a *d*-stem to *clāvis* or *clāvus*, or from their earlier basis \**klāw-*. But *claudere* may also reflect \**klaud-* < \**kleh<sub>2</sub>u-d-*, in which case it would be rather close to OHG *sliozan* ‘to shut’ < \**skleud-*. Obviously, the Gm. verb must have a secondary *e*-grade. I prefer the second, verbal solution for *claudere*.

Bibl.: WH I: 229f., EM 126, IEW 604, Leumann 1977: 97, Schrijver 1991: 175, LIV ?\**kleuH-*. → *clāvis*, *clāvus*

**claudus** ‘lame, limping’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+ also *clōdus*)

Derivatives: *claudēre* ‘to limp’ (Caecil.+), *claudicāre* ‘to be lame’ (Pl.+).

The etymology is uncertain. Many scholars have tried to connect *claudus* with *clāvis*

‘bar’ and *clāva* ‘club, staff’, as ‘he who goes with a staff’ or ‘he who has a hook, is hampered’. In that case, *claudus* may reflect *\*klāwidos* < *\*klāw-* + *-īpo-*. Yet adj. in *-idus* are usually derived from verbs (which is unlikely here), or from nouns, in which case they indicate that someone or something is (like) the basic word. But a ‘lame’ person is not ‘stick-like’, at most, he ‘walks with a stick’.

Bibl.: WH I: 231, EM 126, IEW 604, Nussbaum 1999a.

**clāvis** ‘door-key, bar’ [f. *ī*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *conclāve* [n.] ‘a room’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*klāwi-* ‘bar, bolt’.

PIE *\*kleh<sub>2</sub>u-* ‘to close’. IE cognates: Myc. *ka-ra-wi-po-ro* /κλαρι-φόρος/, Gr. ep. Ion. κληίς, -ῖδος ‘bar, bolt’, Dor. κλαίς, Att. κλείς, κλειδός < PGr. *\*klāwī-* based on a noun *\*klāu(o)-*. Denominative pr. κλειώ, Old Attic κλήω, Hdt. κληίω ‘to shut’ < *\*κλαῤ-je-*. SCr. *kljūč* ‘hook, seedling, key’, gen.sg. *kljūča* [m.], Ru. *ključ* ‘key’, SCr. *kljūka* ‘hook, door-knob’ [f.], Ru. *kljuká* ‘walking-stick’ < *\*kleh<sub>2</sub>u-k-*; Lith. *kliūti*, 3s. *kliūva* ‘to brush against, be caught in, obstruct’ < *klh<sub>2</sub>u-*; OHG *sliozan* ‘to shut’, *sluzzil* ‘key’, *sloz* ‘lock’ < *\*skleu-d-*. Maybe here also OIc. *hljóta*, OE *hlēotan* ‘to ballot for, toss’, Go. *hlauts* ‘fate, inheritance’ < *\*kleud-*, *\*kloud-*.

The oldest reconstructible form in Greek is *\*klāu-*; if we assume that this was the basic form in Italic too, we can explain why Latin derived both an *i*-stem (*clāvis*) and an *o*-stem (*clāvus*) from it. The *\*ā* of Latin and Greek, together with the acute accent of the Slavic forms, points to *\*kleh<sub>2</sub>u-*. In Germanic, if the forms are cognate, we find a root enlargement *\*-d-*, as well as *s*-mobile. The *e*-grade in *sliozan* must be secondary if the root was *\*klh<sub>2</sub>d-*, and the same goes for Slavic (*\*kleuh<sub>2</sub>-k-* << *\*kluh<sub>2</sub>-* < *\*klh<sub>2</sub>u-*). The Gm. *d*-enlargement would match Latin *claudere*.

Bibl.: WH I: 229f., EM 125, IEW 604, Schrijver 1991: 175, LIV *\*kleuH-*. → *claudō*, *clāvus*

**clāvus** ‘nail, peg’ [m. *o*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *clāva* ‘wooden club, staff’ (Pl.+), *clāvātor* ‘one who fights with a club’ (Pl.+), *clāvula* ‘graft, cutting’ (Varro), *clāvulus* ‘small nail’ (Cato+).

Plt. *\*klāwo-* ‘closing, barring’. It. cognates: possibly U. *klavlaf* [acc.pl.], *klavles* [abl.pl.] ‘sacrificial instrument’ < *\*klaυVlā-*.

PIE *\*kleh<sub>2</sub>u-* ‘to close’. IE cognates: see *clāvis*.

*Clāvus* derives from the same nominal basis as *clāvis*. Since we also find Lat. *clāva* ‘wooden club’, the Plt. form *\*klāwo-* may have been an adj. ‘which closes off’.

Bibl.: WH I: 229f., EM 125, IEW 604, Schrijver 1991: 175, Untermann 2000: 399f., LIV *\*kleuH-*. → *claudō*, *clāvis*

**clēmēns, -tis** ‘mild, lenient’ [adj. *nt*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *clēmēntia* ‘clemency’ (Ter.+), *inclēmēnter* ‘rudely’ (Pl.+).

WH adopt an earlier suggestion by Bréal and Osthoff, positing *\*klejomenos* ‘leaning’ > *\*klēmenos* > *\*klēmēns*, with analogical shift to *nt*-inflection. Yet the contraction in initial syllable of *\*ejo* > *ē* is doubtful, and the disappearance of *o*-stem inflection

completely unexpected. In view of *vehemēns* ‘violent’, with its clear reference to *vehō* ‘to move’, EM’s suggestion that *clēmēns* is its antonym, and that both are compounds with *mēns* ‘mind’ as their second member, is attractive. In order to connect *clēmēns* with *clinō*, one might reconstruct *\*kloi-wo-ment-*, compare the most productive nominal derivative of this root in Latin: *clīvus*, *prōclīvis* ‘slope, hill’. Latin *\*oi* under stress between *l* and *\*w* developed via *\*ē* to *ī* (as in *clīvus*), but in front of *\*-wo-*, it remained at a stage *\*ē*, cf. *deus* < *\*dēos* < *\*dēwos* < *\*deiwoos* ‘god’. Thus also *oleum*, *balneum*. But the examples of shortening of *\*ē* > *e* all occur in front of word-final *-us*, *-um*. The answer will thus depend on the relative chronology. If the reduction of the medial vowel precedes the loss of *\*-w-*, we expect an outcome *\*klēwoment* > *\*klēwiment* > *\*klīwiment-* > *\*clīment-*. An ad hoc solution to our problem might be an analogical remodelling of *\*clīment-* into *clēmēt-* on the example of *vēmēt-*. If the reduction of medial vowels occurred after *w*-loss, the result might have been *\*klēwoment-* > *\*klēoment-*. The outcome of the latter is uncertain, but contraction to *ē* in the first syllable seems possible (cf. *dēgō* < *\*dē-agō*).

Bibl.: WH I: 231f., WH 126, IEW 600ff. → *clīvus*

**clepō, -ere** ‘to steal’ [v. III; pf. *clepsī*, ppp. *cleptum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: Lat. *cleps* is attested once in a gloss, translated as ‘thief’. Whether this is an old form is impossible to say.

PIt. *\*klep-e/o-* ‘to steal’, *\*kolpo-* ‘thief’. It. cognates: O. *kulupu* [gen.pl.] < *\*kolpo-* ‘thief’ (Rix 2002b: 424ff.).

PIE *\*klep-e/o-*, *\*kolp-o-* ‘thief’. IE cognates: Mlr. *cluain* ‘deceit’, Gr. κλέπτω ‘to steal’, κλέπος [n.], κλέπτης [m.] ‘thief’, κλοπή ‘theft, secret act’, ἐπι-κλοπος ‘deceitful’, κλοπός, κλώψ ‘thief’, OPr. *auklipts* ‘hidden’, Go. *hlifan* ‘steal’, ToB sb. *kālypi-* ‘steal’.

Bibl.: WH I: 232, EM 127, IEW 604, LIV *\*klep-*.

**cliēns, -tis** ‘client, vassal’ [m., f. *nt*] (Lex XII+)

Derivatives: *clienta* [f.] ‘female dependant’ (Pl.+), *clientēla* ‘clientship’ (Ter.+).

PIt. *\*klient-* ‘who supports’ or ‘who depends’.

PIE *\*kli-ent-* ‘who leans’. IE cognates: Skt. aor. *ásret* ‘leaned’, YAv. *ni-srīta* ‘is handed over’, Skt. *śrayate* ‘to lean’, Alb. *fle* ‘to sleep’ (< *\*kloi-eie-*?), Lith. *šlieti*, 3s. *šlieja* ‘to lean, rest (against)’, *šliėti*, 3s. *šliėja* ‘id.’, Latv. *sliet*, Is. *sliemu* ‘to support, erect, (-tiēs) lean’ < *\*klei-*.

PIE *\*kli-ent-* is in origin an act. ptc. of the root aorist *\*klei-/k̑li-* ‘to lean’.

Bibl.: WH I: 233, EM 127, IEW 600ff., Meiser 1998: 183, LIV *\*klej-*. → *-clīnō*, *clitella*, *clīvus*

**clīngō, -ere** ‘to gird / close’ [v. III?] (hapax legomenon: Paul. *ex F.* ‘clingere, cingere, a Graeco κυκλοῦν dici manifestum est’)

PIt. *\*kleng-e/o-*?

IE cognates: Lith. *klénkti* ‘to walk with difficulty’, Latv. *klencēt* ‘to hobble’, OCS *klęčęšta* [nom.du.] ‘kneeling’, SCr. *klęčati* ‘to kneel’ < *\*klenk-*; OHG *lenk\**, *lenc\**

‘left’ < \**kleng-o-*, OE *hlanc* [adj.] ‘slim’ < \**klong-o-*, OIc. *hlekk* [m. i] ‘chain, ring’, OE *hlénce* [f. n] ‘armour’ < \**klong-i-*, OHG *lanka\**, *lanca*, *hlanka\** ‘kidney, loin, hip’, OE *flanc* [m.] ‘flank’.

The precarious transmission of this word in Latin renders its linguistic reality uncertain. If taken seriously, *clingō* might go back to a root \**kleng-* ‘bent’, as found in Germanic nouns and adjectives. BSl. has a verb with a different final stop, but similar semantics. Possibly, U. \**kreng/kā-tro-* ‘girdle’ (?) is cognate.

Bibl.: WH I: 233f., EM 127, IEW 603. → U. *krenkatrum*

**-clīnō, -āre** ‘bend’ [v. I] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *dēclīnāre* ‘to deflect, divert’ (Pl.+), *inclīnāre* ‘to cause to lean, bend downwards’ (Pl.+), *reclīnāre* ‘to cause to lie back’ (Varro+); *trīclīnium* ‘dining-room’ (Naev.+); *clīnāmen* ‘a turning aside’ (Lucr.).

PIt. \**kl(e)in-e/o-*.

PIE \**kl-n(e)-i-* ‘to lean to, bend’ (often replaced by \**kli-n-*). IE cognates: YAv. *-sirinaoiti* ‘leans’, Gr. κλίνω, Lesb. κλίννω ‘to cause to lean’ < PGr. \**klin-i-e/o-*, OHG *hlinēn*, OE *hlinian* ‘to lean’.

Lat. *trīclīnium* is a calque on Gr. τρικόλινον ‘dining-room with three couches’ (thus WH). Lat. *-clīnāre* can be derived from the PIE nasal present \**kli-n-* ‘to lean’; cp. *-nāre* next to a simplex in \**-nere* corresponds to a pattern found in other verbs too, e.g. *spernere* – *aspernārī*. The long vowel in *-clīn-* may have been introduced from the root aorist \**klei-* / \**kli-* (cf. *cliēns*).

Bibl.: WH I: 234f., EM 127f., IEW 600ff., Seldeslachts 2001: 67-74, Praust 2004, LIV \**klei-*. → *cliēns*, *clītella*, *clīvus*

**clīpeus** ‘round shield’ [m., n. o] (Pl.+; also *clupeus*)

Derivatives: *cli/upeātus* ‘armed with a shield’ (Pl.+), *cli/upeāre* ‘to provide with a shield’ (Pac.).

The vacillation between *i* and *u* is observed especially after *t-*, and in front of labials; cf. *libet*. The etymology of *clīpeus*, however, remains unknown.

Bibl.: WH I: 235f., EM 128, Leumann 1977: 89.

**clītellae** ‘pack-saddle’ [f.pl. ā] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *clītellārius* ‘used for carrying a pack-saddle’ (Pl.+).

PIt. \**kleitrā-* ‘support’. It. cognates: U. *kletram* [acc.sg.], *kletra* [abl.sg.] ‘portable litter, seat’ for the icons of the divinities (M. Weiss, fthc.b).

PIE \**klei-tro/h<sub>2</sub>-* ‘instrument for leaning, supporting’. IE cognates: MIt. *clithar* ‘shelter’, Go. *hleipra* ‘tent’ < \*-tro-; OHG (*h*)*leitara*, OE *hlæd(d)er* ‘ladder’ < \*-d<sup>h</sup>ro-.

Lat. *clītella* < \**klei-tre-la*, the Latin diminutive of PIt. \**kleitra-*, which is also continued in Umbrian. Peruzzi 1992 assumes that *clītella* had the form of two L-shaped supports which were jointly placed over the back of the pack animal.

Bibl.: WH I: 236, EM 128, IEW 600ff., Leumann 1977: 313, Untermann 2000: 400f., LIV \**klei-*. → *cliēns*, *-clīnō*, *clīvus*

**clīvus** ‘hill, slope, declivity’ [m. o] (Pl.+; *clīva* [n.pl.] Cato)

Derivatives: *clīvius* ‘bad, ominous’ (Paul. ex F., Plin.); *acclīvīs* ‘sloping upwards’ (Lucil.+); *prōclīve* [n.] ‘downward slope’, (Naev.+), *prōclīvīs* ‘sloping down’ (Pl.+), *prōclīvus* ‘sloping down’ (Naev.+).

PIt. \**kle/oivo-*.

PIE \**kloi-uo-* ‘slope, hill’ or \**klei-uo-* ‘sloping’. IE cognates: Lith. *šleīvas* ‘bow-legged’, Go. *hlaiw*, Old Norse *hlaiwa*, OHG OS *hlēo* ‘grave mound’.

Lat. *clīvius* was used in the religious practice of auspices (*auguria clīvia* Paul. ex F.) and referred to birds (*avis clīvia* Plinius) that entered the augur’s templum from the left side. It thus shifted from ‘sloping’ through ‘awkward, wrong’ to ‘ominous’.

Bibl.: WH I: 236, EM 127f., IEW 600ff., LIV \**klei-*. → *cliēns*, *-clīnō*, *clītella*

**cloāca** ‘sewer, underground drainage’ [f. ā] (Pl.+; *clouāca* Varro, inscr.)

Derivatives: *Cloācīna* ‘cult-title of Venus’ (‘the Purifier?’) (Pl.+), *cluere* ‘to purify’ (1x Plin.), *cloāre* ‘purify’ (1x Serv.).

PIt. \**klowā-*.

PIE \**kleuH-o-* ‘clean’. IE cognates: W. *clir* ‘clean’ < \**kluH-ro-*, Gr. κλύζω ‘to wash’ < \**klu-d-*, Lith. *šlioti* ‘to sweep’, *šliota* ‘broom’ < \**kleh<sub>3</sub>u-tei* or \*(*ke-*)*kloH-tei*, Go. *hlūtrs* ‘clean’ < \**kluH-d-ro-*.

Since an original sequence \**klowV-* would have yielded \**clau-* (at least, in pretonic position), Vine 2006a: 217f. posits an adj. \**kleuH-o-* ‘clear, clean’ from which a factitive pr. \**kleuH-eh<sub>7</sub>-ie/o-* > \**klewāje/o-* > \**klowā-* could have been derived. This verb might be preserved in the Servius gloss *cloare*, although its reliability is often doubted. From \**clowā-*, the noun *cloāca* can then be explained. WH and Rix argue that *cluō* may have been invented by Plinius to explain *Cluācīna*; but it might also derive from \**cluwere* < \**klowere* < \**kleuH-e/o-*. For the root, Derksen (fthc.) reconstructs \**k<sub>1</sub>h<sub>3</sub>-u-*, whereas Rasmussen posits \**k<sub>1</sub>h<sub>1</sub>-u-*. If one accepts such a root structure, the ablaut \**kle/ou(H)-* of Latin must represent a secondary full grade based on a zero grade \**kluH-* < \**k<sub>1</sub>Hu-C-*. The short vowel of Greek κλύζω remains unexplained under any account.

Bibl.: WH I: 237, 239f., EM 128, IEW 607, Leumann 1977: 99, Rasmussen 1989: 71, Schrijver 1991: 394, 447f., Rix 1999: 519, LIV \**kleuH-*.

**clueō** ‘to be known’ [v. II] (Pl.+; *cluere* only Sen.)

Derivatives: *inclitus*, *inclutus* ‘famous, renowned’ (Naev.+).

PIt. \**klu-ē-* ‘to be known’, \**klu-to-* ‘known’. It. cognates: SPic. *kduiú* [1s.pr.] ‘I am called’ < \**klu-ē-ō-*.

PIE \**kl(é)u-* [aor.] ‘to hear’, \**klu-to-* ‘known’. IE cognates: Skt. aor. *ásrot* ‘heard’, OAv. *sraotū* ‘must hear’, Gr. aor. κλύτε ‘hear!’, them. ἐκλυον, Latv. *sluv*, *sluvēt* ‘to become known’, OCS *sluti*, 1s. *slovŕ* ‘to count as, be called’; nasal pr. OIr. *ro-cluinethar*, Skt. *śṛṇóti* ‘hears’, YAv. *surunaoiti*, ToB *kalnem*, ToA *kālñiñc* ‘to resound’. Ppp. OIr. *cloth* [n.] ‘fame’, Skt. *śrutá-*, Av. *srūta-*, Gr. κλυτός ‘known, famed’, Arm. *low* ‘known’, OHG *Hlot-* in names.

Both *inclitus* and *inclutus* are found in inscriptions and in the mss., but *inclitus* seems



more frequent in the older authors, cf. TLL. Since, next to *clueō*, *inclitus* is also the *lectio difficilior*, it seems likely that the latter represents the regular Latin development; *inclutus* may be due to restoration of the *-u-*. Among the other IE languages, only Latvian also has a stative *\*ē*-present, but this is probably an independent innovation. The use of *in-* in *inclutus* probably indicates intensification of the sound, as in *increpāre* and *insonāre*.

Bibl.: WH I: 237ff., EM 129, IEW 605ff., Leumann 1977: 80, Untermann 2000: 378, LIV *\*kleu-*.

**clūnis** ‘buttocks, haunch (of animals)’ [m.f. *i*] (Pl.+)

Plt. *\*klouni-*.

PIE *\*klou-ni-* ‘hip, buttock’. IE cognates: W. *clun* ‘haunch’, Skt. *śróni-* ‘buttock, hip, loin’, Av. *sraoni-* ‘id.’, Lith. *šlaunis* ‘haunch, hip’, OIc. *hlaun* ‘buttocks, loin’. Maybe Gr. κλόνις ‘haunch’; it cannot continue *\*kloun-*, but is often assumed to have undergone contamination with κλόνοϛ ‘excitement’. This is an imaginative hypothesis, but rather gratuitous.

The morphological formation of *\*klou-ni-* is unknown, there is no good PIE root etymology.

Bibl.: WH I: 239, EM 129, IEW 607f.

**cohors, -tis** ‘farmyard, contingent, cohort’ [f. *i*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *cohortāri* ‘to exhort, encourage’ (Cato+).

Plt. *\*kom + \*χorti-*.

PIE *\*ǵ<sup>h</sup>r-ti-* ‘enclosure’ or ‘bundle’. IE cognates: Skt. *hárati* ‘takes, brings’.

*Cohors* looks like a *ti*-derivative to a verbal root, possibly to PIE *\*ǵ<sup>h</sup>er-* ‘to take, grab’, maybe even a compound *\*kom-ǵ<sup>h</sup>er-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 242f., EM 131, IEW 442f., Leumann 1977: 345, LIV ?2. *\*ǵ<sup>h</sup>er-*. → *hortus*

**cohūm** Varro: ‘the hollow in the middle of a yoke’, Paul. *ex F.*: ‘leather strap, by which the pole of a plough-beam is connected with the yoke’ [n. *o*] (Varro+)

Derivatives: *cohūm* ‘vault of the sky’ (Enn.); *incohāre* ‘to start making’ (Enn.+), *incohātus* ‘unfinished, only begun’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*koχo-* ‘hole’ or ‘tie, juncture’. It. cognates: O. *kahad* [3s.pr.cj.], *kaχas* [2s.pr.cj.?] maybe ‘to take’ < *\*kag<sup>h</sup>-?* U. *cehefi* [inf.ps.], *kukehes* [*com* + 2 or 3s.fut.] ‘to take/get’ < *\*kaχ-je-* (M. Weiss, p.c.).

IE cognates: Gaul. *caii* ‘fence’, OBret. *caiou* [pl.] ‘stockade’, W. *cae* ‘fence, collar, Co. *kē* ‘fence’; OHG *hag* ‘hedge, fence’, OE *haga* ‘fence, garden’.

The word *cohūm*, *coum* “is not attested outside glosses, and its primary meaning is obscure” (Schrijver 1991: 441). Varro seems to be influenced by folk etymology with *cavus*, whereas Paulus invokes *cohibēre*. It has been derived from *\*coyom* ‘hollow’ by Thurneysen, but he later dropped this explanation. In fact, it is extremely unlikely for chronological reasons. On the other hand, *incohō* is a frequent verb, and its meaning can easily have derived from ‘to yoke a plough to a team of oxen’ vel sim.,

in other words, ‘to start work’. Thus, there might be a core of truth in the ancient connection of *cohūm* with a yoke. In order to connect *cohūm* with the Sabellic root *\*kaχ-* ‘to take’, only *\*kh<sub>2</sub>g<sup>h</sup>-* would be possible, but such a root violates the PIE root structure constraints. Since we further only find Germanic and Celtic nominal forms in *\*kag<sup>h</sup>-*, we are probably dealing with a loanword into Germanic and Italo-Celtic.

Bibl.: WH I: 243f., EM 131, 314, IEW 518, Rix 1976, Schrijver 1991: 441, Untermann 2000: 362, 380f., LIV *\*k<sup>(j)</sup>ag<sup>h</sup>-*. → *caulae*

**coinquō, -ere** ‘to prune’ [v. III] (Acta Arvalia, Paul. *ex F.*)

The Acta Arvalia speak about *lūcō co(i)nquendī* ‘of pruning in the grove’; Paul. *ex F.* defines *coinquere* as *coercere* and *deputare*. WH propose an etymology *\*co-in-sec-ō* to *secāre* ‘to cut’, via *\*co-inscō* > *\*coincō*. This would have secondarily got *-uō*, as in *instinguō* next to *instīgō*; yet see s.v. *stinguō*, where it is assumed that *-uō* was original.

Bibl.: WH I: 244, EM 131.

**cōleī** ‘testicles’ [m.pl. *o*] (Lab.+)

Derivatives: *cōleātus* ‘provided with testicles’ (Pompon.).

WH derive *cōleus* from *cōlum* ‘sieve’ as original ‘sieve-sack’, but an adjective *\*cōleus* derived from *cōlum* would rather have meant ‘made of a sieve’, which makes less sense. It seems preferable to connect *culleus* ‘bag’, but the details are unclear; an IE origin seems distant anyway.

Bibl.: WH I: 244, EM 131. → *culleus*

**collis** ‘hill’ [m. *i*] (Pac.+)

Derivatives: *collīnus* ‘belonging to hills’ (Varro+).

Pit. *\*kolni-*.

PIE *\*kolH-n-* ‘hill’. IE cognates: Gr. *κολώνη* ‘hill’, also *κολωνός* (*Hymn to Ceres*+) < *\*kolH-ōn-o/h<sub>2</sub>-*, Lith. *kálnas* ‘hill’, Latv. *kaīns* ‘mountain’ < *\*kolHno-*, Lith. *kalvà* [f.] ‘small hill’, Latv. *kalva* ‘hill, island in a river’; Go. *hallus* ‘rock’ < *\*kolH-nu-*, OE *hyll* ‘hill’ < *\*klH-ni-*.

The root is PIE *\*klH-* ‘to lift’ as in *-cellō 1*. The cognate Greek, BSl. and Germanic words for ‘hill’ or ‘mountain’ are built on an *n*-stem *\*kolH-ōn-*, which itself is not preserved, but Greek does preserve the *ō*-grade of the nom.sg. suffix. The other languages have the zero grade *\*-n-* plus an extra suffix *-i-*, *-u-* or *-o-*. In Latin, *\*kolHni-* would be expected to yield *\*kolanis* whence *\*colnis*. Therefore, *collis* may be an instance of the disappearance of *\*H* when bordering a resonant which in its turn borders on an *o*-grade (the so-called ‘de Saussure effect’). Of course, *\*H* may regularly have been lost in front of the suffix variants *\*-ōn* and *\*-on*. Nussbaum 1997 considers the alternative etymology *\*kol(H)u-i-* equally possible; this is true as far as the phonetics are concerned, but the pervasive *n*-stems in the other languages (only Baltic has *\*kolH-u-*) render the traditional etymology more likely.

Bibl.: WH I: 245, EM 132, IEW 544, Schrijver 1991: 326, Nussbaum 1997: 195, LIV *\*kelH-* ‘to tower’. → *-cellō 1*, *columen*

**collus** ‘neck (of men and animals)’ [m. o] (Naev.+; *collum* Cic.+)

Derivatives: *collāre* [n.] ‘neck-band, collar’ (Pl.+).

PIE \*k<sup>w</sup>ol(H)s/no- ‘neck’. IE cognates: Go. *hals* ‘neck’, OHG *hals*, OIc. *hals*.

The word for ‘neck’ may derive from ‘wheel’, as is shown by Lith. *kāklas* ‘neck’, Latv. *kakls* ‘neck, throat’ < PIE \*k<sup>w</sup>o-k<sup>w</sup>l-o- ‘wheel’ to the PIE root \*k<sup>w</sup>el(H)- ‘to turn’. Since Latin -ll- may reflect \*-ls-, *collus* could go back to a thematized derivative \*k<sup>w</sup>e/ol-s-o- of the s-stem for ‘wheel’ attested in Slavic: OCS *kolo* [n.], gen.sg. *koleso*, Ru. *koleso* ‘wheel’ < PIE \*k<sup>w</sup>ol(H)-es-. Go. *hals* is also often derived from PIE \*k<sup>w</sup>el(H)- ‘to move, turn’, but it is uncertain whether \*k<sup>w</sup>o- was regularly unrounded to \*ha- in Germanic; it seems safer to reconstruct only \*kolso-. The Gm. word could still be related to Lat. *collus*, but in that case the derivation of *collus* from \*k<sup>w</sup>el(H)- must be given up. Mlr. *coll* ‘head, chief’ could simply be an abridged form of Lat. *collus* (LEIA C-158).

Bibl.: WH I: 245, EM 132, IEW 639f., Meiser 1998: 116.

**colō, -ere** ‘to live in, inhabit; to take care of, honour’ [v. III; pf. *coluī*, ppp. *cultum*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *colōnus* ‘cultivator, farmer; colonist’ (Cato+), *colōnia* ‘settlement, colony’ (Pl.+), *colōnicus* ‘of a colony’ (Varro+); *incultus* ‘not cultivated’ (Pl.+); *cultor* ‘inhabitant, cultivator’ (Pl.+), *cultus*, -ūs ‘habitation; cultivation’ (Pl.+), *cultūra* ‘cultivation, care’ (Cato+); *accōlere* ‘to live near’ (Naev.+), *accōla* [m.] ‘neighbour’ (Pl.+), *incolere* ‘to dwell’ (Pl.+), *incolā* [m.] ‘inhabitant’ (Pl.+), *excolere* ‘to cultivate, develop’ (Varro+), *recolere* ‘to resume, practise again’ (Pl.+); *agricolā* [m.] ‘farmer’ (Pl.+), *caelicolā* [m.] ‘inhabitant of heaven’ (Enn.+), *latebricolā* [m.] ‘who skulks in concealment’ (Pl.), *silvicolā* ‘inhabiting woodlands’ (Naev.+); *inquilīnus* ‘inmate, lodger’ (Cic.+). Maybe *Exquiliae* ‘one of the hills of Rome’ (Varro+).

Plt. \*k<sup>w</sup>ele/o- [pr.], \*k<sup>w</sup>olō-no-? It. cognates: U. *ařpeltu* [3s.ipv.II]?

PIE \*k<sup>w</sup>elh<sub>1</sub>-e/o- ‘to go round’. IE cognates: Skt. *cāratī*, Av. *cara-* ‘to move, walk’, Gr. πέλομαι ‘to move (intr.)’, Cret. τέλομαι ‘I will be’, ἀμφιπέλομαι ‘to surround’, Alb. *sjell* ‘turn, bring’ < \*k<sup>w</sup>el-e/o-; Gr. τέλος [n.] ‘goal’, Lith. *kelỹs* ‘knee’ < \*k<sup>w</sup>el-; OCS *kolěno* ‘knee, descent’, OCS *kolo*, gen.sg. *koleso* ‘wheel’ < \*k<sup>w</sup>ol-es- [n.].

The change of \*e to ō was conditioned by initial \*k<sup>w</sup>- and a back vowel in the next syllable, and/or by the intermediate velarized l. The vowel -o- was retained in open syllable, and shifted to u in front of -lC-. If *inquilīnus* (which is not attested before Cicero) is to be trusted, it probably shows the development \*-k<sup>w</sup>e- > \*-k<sup>w</sup>i- (no change to \*-k<sup>w</sup>o- because of the palatal l; reduction to i in medial syllable). Since there is no vowel reduction in *incolā*, *agricolā*, these nouns must have restored -o- from the simplex *colere*. The suffix of *colōnus* is explained by Leumann 1977: 323 as an antonymical formation versus *patrōnus*, grafted on the stem of *agri-cola*. Alternatively, one might regard *colōnus* as a deinstrumental noun in \*-no- from an ins.sg. \*k<sup>w</sup>olh<sub>1</sub>-oh<sub>1</sub> ‘with cultivation’, i.e. from an earlier action noun \*k<sup>w</sup>ólh<sub>1</sub>-o- ‘going round’. The agent noun \*k<sup>w</sup>olh<sub>1</sub>-ó- is represented in Latin *anculus*.

Bibl.: WH I: 245ff., EM 132f., IEW 639f., Leumann 1977: 86f., 323, Untermann 2000: 52f., LIV \*k<sup>w</sup>elh<sub>1</sub>- ‘turn’. → *anculus*

**color** ‘colour’ [m. *r*] (Pl.+; nom.sg. *colōs* Pl.+)

Derivatives: *colōrāre* ‘to give colour’ (Cato+).

Plt. \**kelōs*- [m.] ‘outside, aspect’.

PIE \**kel-ōs*, acc.sg. -os-m ‘cover, covering’. IE cognates: Skt. *śāras*- ‘skin on milk’, OHG *hulis(a)* ‘hull, shell’, MHG *hulst* ‘cover’.

Latin \**kelōs* is an animate collective, built to an *s*-stem PIE \**kel-os*- ‘cover’. This might be directly preserved in Skt. *śāras*-, although this is semantically not compelling (cf. Stüber). Germ. \**χulisjō*- has also been derived from the PIE *s*-stem. In Latin, the word shifted its semantics from ‘covering’ to ‘colour’ (cf. Skt. *vārṇa*-).

Bibl.: WH I: 247, EM 133, IEW 553f., Nussbaum 1999a: 387, Stüber 2002: 116, LIV 1.\**kel*-. → *cēlāre*, *cella*, *occulere*, *clam*

**colubra** ‘snake, serpent’ [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *colubrīnus* ‘snake-like’ (Pl.+), *coluber*, -*brī* ‘snake’ (Verg.+).

Plt. \**kolos-ro*-?

WH and IEW propose a preform \*(*s*)*kelo-d<sup>h</sup>ro*- ‘winding itself’, whereas EM consider *colubra* a possible loanword from Gr. *χέλυδρος* ‘amphibious serpent’ (Nicander, *Th*.411). The etymology with the suffix \**-d<sup>h</sup>ro*- is difficult, since this usually makes instrument nouns. A borrowing from Gr. *χέλυδρος* is very unlikely, since the word is attested too recently, and its meaning ‘serpent’ is probably secondary; note that *χέλυξ* means ‘(kind of) tortoise’. In view of the meaning, one might connect *colubra* with *colus* ‘distaff’ < \**k<sup>w</sup>elh<sub>1</sub>-u*-. A distaff is used to wind a thread or fibre around it. Hence, a preform \**kolos-ro*- would mean ‘distaff-like’ or ‘of a distaff’ (cf. *tenebrae*), and since a snake also winds around its own axis, it might have been called ‘distaff-like animal’. Morphologically, this solution is not straightforward, since *colus* probably continues a *u*-stem. We would have to assume that an *s*-stem \**k<sup>w</sup>elh<sub>1</sub>-os*- existed beside it, which is unwarranted – but not impossible, cf. Slavic *kolo* ‘wheel’ (<< \**k<sup>w</sup>olh<sub>1</sub>-o*-).

Bibl.: WH I: 248, EM 133, IEW 928. → *colus*

**cōlum** ‘strainer, sieve’ [n. *o*] (Cato+)

PIE \**k<sup>w</sup>ot-slo*-?

Since the oldest form of a sieve was a wicker basket, it has been assumed that *cōlum* is identical to *caulae* ‘railing, lattice barrier’. In that case, *ō* would be a non-urban monophthongization of *au*, but it seems strange that *au* is not once attested in *cōlum*. Since Lat. *quālum* ‘basket’ denotes more or less the same object as *cōlum*, it seems preferable to look for a joint solution for both. See s.v. *quālus/m*.

Bibl.: WH I: 248f., EM 134, IEW 518, Schrijver 1991: 462. → *quālus/m*

**columba** ‘pigeon, dove’ [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *columbus* ‘male pigeon’ (Pl.+), *columbīnus* ‘of pigeons’ (Cato+), *columbār(e)* [n.] ‘compartment for pigeons’ (Pl.+), *columbārium* ‘id.’ (Varro+), *columbārius* ‘pigeon-keeper’ (Varro).

IE cognates: OPr. *golimban* ‘blue’, Lith. *gelumbė* ‘cloth’, OCS *golq̂bъ* ‘pigeon,

dove', Ru. *gólub* 'id.'; Ru. *golubój* 'pale blue'. Further removed in meaning is Gr. *κόλυμβος* 'small diver'.

The BSI. forms presuppose a form *\*ge/ol-o/embī/o-* 'blue', whence 'dove'. Whereas a suffix *\*-b<sup>h</sup>o-* is often found in PIE colours and animals, the preceding syllable with a nasal consonant does not make a very IE impression. If *columba* is cognate, we would have to posit an irregular consonant alternation in anlaut. Latin *palumbēs* 'wild dove' may have replaced an earlier *\*palēs* (vel sim.) 'gray/blue dove' on the example of *columba*, cf. Lockwood 1990.

Bibl.: WH I: 249, EM 134, IEW 547f. → *palumbēs*

**columen, -inis** 'top, summit' [n. n] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *culmen*, *-inis* 'summit (of a building), peak' (Varro+); *columna* 'column, pillar' (Pl.+), *columella* 'small upright post' (Cato+), *columnātus* 'supported by pillars' (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*kelamen-*.

PIE *\*keIH-men-* 'top, summit'. IE cognates: OS *holm* 'hill', NHG *Holm*, OE *holm* 'island', OIc. *holmr*, *holmi* 'small island' < *\*χulma-* < *\*klH-mo-*.

Lat. *culmen* arose in the four-syllabic oblique case-forms: nom. *\*kelamen*, gen. *\*kelamenos* > *\*kolamen*, *\*kolamenos* > *\*kolamen*, *\*kolmenos* > *columen*, *\*culminis*. On the basis of the oblique forms, a new nom.sg. *culmēn* was then created. But it seems difficult to derive *columna* from *columen* by any normal process. WH reconstruct *\*kel-o-meno-* 'who towers up' to *ex-cellō*. Yet this verb goes back to *\*kel-n-*, and there is no other evidence for a present PIE *\*kelH-(e/o-)* in Italic with the meaning 'to rise'. There is a present *\*k<sup>w</sup>eIH-e/o-* 'to turn', of course, but the semantic shift from 'going around' to 'pillar' is difficult (although not impossible: the pillars of a portico or a temple together usually 'surround' the sanctuary).

Bibl.: WH I: 249f., EM 134, IEW 544, Schrijver 1991: 326f., LIV *\*kelH-*. → *-cellō*  
*l, collis*

**colus, -ī-ūs** 'distaff' [m./f. o/u] (Pl.+)

It. cognates: *\*k<sup>w</sup>elū-*.

PIE *\*k<sup>w</sup>eIH<sub>1</sub>-u-* 'turning'. IE cognates: see s.v. *colō*.

Has been compared with Gr. *πόλος* 'axis, pivot', but WH are hesitant, because a distaff itself does not spin around.

Bibl.: WH I: 250, EM 134f., IEW 639f., Schrijver 1991: 469, LIV *\*k<sup>w</sup>eIH<sub>1</sub>-* 'turn'.  
→ *colō, colubra*

**colustra** 'beestings, first milk of a milking' [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *colostrum* 'beestings' (Mart.+).

The older form *colustra* (Pl., Lucil., Lab.) has developed into *colostra* in more recent texts, probably by progressive vowel assimilation of the type *alacer*, *vegetus* (cf. Sommer 1914: 66f.). The etymology is uncertain. It is tempting to connect *colustra* with *colōr* 'colour' < *\*'cover*', and to postulate a semantic link between 'colour' or

'cover' and 'beestings'. Yet this is not semantically straightforward (see WH), nor is the suffix *-tero-* normally used to derive comparatives from any adjective.

Bibl.: WH I: 247, EM 133. → *colōr*

**com-, con-, co-; cum** 'ready, completely; with' [pref.; prep.] (VOLat.+): Garigliano Bowl *kom*). Variants: *com-* before *p/b/m-*, *con-* before *t/d/n/s/j/f/v-*, *con-* [*koŋ-*] before *c/qu/g-*, *cor-* before *r-*, *col-* before *l-*, *co-* before vowels and *h-*.

PIt. \**kom*. It. cognates: U. **kum-**, **ku-**, *com-*, *co-*, O. **kūm-**, *com-*, Vol. *co-* 'to, together, with'; prepos. O. *com*, *con*, U. *com* + abl. 'with', postpos. U. **-kum**, **-ku**, **-com**, **-co** 'at'.

PIE \**kom* 'with' (+ ins.). IE cognates: OIr. *con-* 'with', pref. Gaul. *com-*, OIr. *com-* (proclit. *con-*), W. *cyf*, *cyn-*, Co. *kev-*; Hit. **-kkan** '?' (locative sentence particle); Gm. \**ga-*.

Rosén 1992 distinguishes the perfective-valency prefix *co-* from the comitative-sociative postposition and prefix *cum*, *cu*, *co-*. He shows that in the oldest texts, *co-* does not contain the notion of 'together', but only perfectivizes the verb: *concedere* vs. *cēdere*, *complēre* vs. *plēre*, *colloquī* vs. *loquī*, *combibere* vs. *bibere*, etc. Only from the end of the Republican era do we find *co(n)-* in the function of an adverb 'together': *concadere* (older *concidere*), *compugnāre*, etc. Also, the word-final nasal is absent in front of vowels, where we most expect it. Yet we cannot reconstruct a different preform for this perfectivizing *co(n)-*, such as \**k<sup>w</sup>o*, which would match Celtic forms. Attractive as this may seem, it does not explain why Sabellic does not continue a labiovelar stop, while it does show a final nasal. Also, Latin *q-* should have been retained in initial position. Thus, it seems better to stick to the traditional etymology \**kom*, and to assume that *com-* and *cum* 'with' are the same words. The 'perfective' meaning of *co(m)-* present in older Latin is unproblematic (compare Slavic *sъ-* and Lithuanian *su-*); nor is it problematic that a preverb meaning 'towards' when movement or action of two or more people is referred to, comes to mean 'together' at a later stage. The disappearance of *-m* before vowels (and \**w-*?) and *h-* points to word-final sandhi behaviour, \**kom a-* > \**kō a-* > *co-a-*. In words in which the morpheme boundary after *com-* has become obscure, *m* remains: *comes*, *-itis*, *comedō*.

Bibl.: WH I: 251f., EM 156, IEW 612f., Leumann 1977: 137, 226, 559, Schrijver 1991: 82, Rosén 1992, Untermann 2000: 409ff. → *contrā*, *cūria*

**combrētum** 'some kind of aromatic plant with thin leaves' [n. o] (Plin.+)

Probably, a plant collective in *-ētum*. In Latin, the *o* of *combrētum* is probably secondary, or reflects \**-we-*. IEW connects *combrētum* with Molr. *cuinneog*, Gael. *contrán* 'Angelica silvestris', Lith. pl. *šveñdrai* 'reed, reed-mace, Typha latifolia', MoDan. *quander* 'Angelica silvestris', Olc. *hvõnn* 'Angelica silvestris'. These alleged cognates have been studied in detail by Heiermeier 1980, who concludes that the evidence is uncertain or simply does not exist. Therefore, this connection can be abandoned. No alternative etymology is available.

Bibl.: WH I: 253, EM 135, IEW 631, Heiermeier 1980.

**comes, -itis** ‘companion’ [m. *f*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *comitium* (Lex XII+), pl. *comitia* ‘people’s assembly’ (Pl.+), *comitārī* ‘to accompany, attend’ (Lucret.), *comitiālis* ‘of the comitia’ (Pl.+), *comitiātus, -ūs* ‘an assembly of the people’ (Lex XII+), *incomitiāre* ‘to revile, abuse’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*kom-i-t-* ‘going together’ or (pl.) ‘who come together’.

PIE *\*-h<sub>1</sub>i-t-* ‘going, goer’.

A compound of *com-* plus the root for ‘to go’, enlarged with a PIE suffix *\*-t-*. The nom.sg. *-es* must be due to analogical replacement of *\*-is* by *-es* on the model of *t-*stem nouns.

Bibl.: WH I: 253, EM 135, IEW 293ff., Leumann 1977: 94, 275, Lindner 2002: 225, LIV *\*h<sub>1</sub>ei-* → *eō, pedes*

**cōmis** ‘kind’ [adj. *i*] (Naev.+; Duenos inscription *cosmis*)

Derivatives: *cōmitās* ‘friendliness’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*kom-smi-?*

PIE *\*smi-* ‘smile’? IE cognates: Skt. *smáyate* ‘smiles’, Gr. φιλο-μμειδής ‘who likes to laugh’, Latv. *smiēt* ‘to laugh’, OCS *smijati se*, ToB *smiyām* ‘smiles’.

The etymology *\*ko-smi-* (cf. WH) is based on an interpretation of *cōmis* as ‘smiling (to/along)’. Eichner 1993a: 212, 230 proposes to derive VOLat. *cosmis* from a verb *\*ko-smei-* ‘to contract the face to a smile, smile’; but he leaves open the possibility of a prefixed possessive adj. ‘who has a smile, who is accompanied by a smile’. In my view, only the latter option can explain why *cōmis* is an adjective. Still, this would require a root noun *\*smi-* ‘smile’ for which there is no supporting evidence in IE, and which, in the absence of a cognate Latin verb, cannot be a recent formation. Therefore, I regard the proposed etymology as uncertain.

Bibl.: WH I: 254, EM 135, IEW 967f., Meiser 1998: 4, 112, LIV *\*smej-*.

**concinnus** ‘set in order, neat’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *concinnāre* ‘to make ready, make into’ (Naev.+), *exconcinnāre* ‘to embellish’ (Pl.+), *rēconcinnāre* ‘to refurbish’ (Pl.+), *cinnus/m* ‘grimace’ (Sen.).

Plt. *\*kom-kid-no-* ‘set in motion’.

PIE *\*kid-no-* ‘which started to move’.

WH derive *concinnāre* from *cinnus* ‘mixed drink’ (Nonius), but EM are probably right when they point to the rare and late attestation of *cinnus*, see also Haug 2004: 24f. Leumann suggests that *concinnus* might be a back-formation to *concinnātus*. Latin *-nn-* may reflect *\*-tn-*, *\*-dn-* or *\*-nn-*. Hence, a connection with *caedō* ‘to hit’, which is mentioned but rejected by WH, seems quite possible: *\*kom-kid-no-* ‘hit, arranged’. Since the root is reconstructed as *\*kh<sub>2</sub>id-*, this would imply a development *\*kom-kh<sub>2</sub>id-no-* > *\*kom-kīnno-* > *concinnus*. Haug also rejects this etymology, since it would require an intermediate step ‘to cut’, for which there is no evidence. But in fact, I do not think that this step is necessary. Haug 2004 proposes a different etymology: a root *\*keid-* ‘to set in motion’, which would be a variant of *\*keih<sub>2</sub>-* ‘to start to move’. He then derives *concinnus* from *\*kom-kid-no-* and *-cinnāre* from an adj. *\*kid-no-*, which would survive in *cinnus* ‘grimace’. This etymology is attractive on the formal

side; it would imply that the Germanic forms reflecting PIE \**k̑eid-* ‘to call’ (Go. *haitan*) and Latin \**kidno-* would derive from a variant of \**k̑/keih<sub>2</sub>-* ‘to set in motion’.

Bibl.: WH I: 218f., EM 136, Leumann 1977: 268, Haug 2004, LIV \**k̑<sup>(j)</sup>eih<sub>2</sub>-*. → *caedō, cieō*

**condiō, -īre** ‘to season, flavour (food)’ [v. IV] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *condimentum* (Pl.+) ‘seasoning, condiment’, *conditiō* ‘method of preserving (food)’ (Varro+), *conditīvus* ‘suitable for preserving’ (Cato+).

Probably a derivation from *condere* ‘to put; store up (e.g. food)’ (see s.v. *-dō, -dere*) by analogy with another verb in *-īre* (but which one is uncertain).

Bibl.: WH I: 258f., EM 137. → *-dō*

**cōnīvēō** ‘to be tightly closed, close (of the eye)’ [v. II; pf. *cōnīxī*, more recent variant *cōnīvī*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *nictāre* ‘to blink, signal with the eyes’ (Pl.+), *adnictāre* ‘to wink (to)’ (Naev.), *nictus, -ūs* ‘a wink’ (Caecil+).

Plt. \**kneiχ<sup>h</sup>-ē-* ‘to blink’, or ‘to draw together (the eyes)’, \**knikto-*. It. cognates: uncertain U. *kunikaz, conegos* [ppp., nom.sg.m.] ‘?’ (‘kneeling?’) < \**kom-nigā-tos*.

IE cognates: Go. *hneivan*, OHG *nīgan* ‘to bow, be inclined’, caus. Go. *hnaiwjan* ‘to humiliate’, OHG *neigen*.

*Cōnīvēre* shows a phonetic development \**kom-kn-* > \**koŋχn-* > \**koχn-* > *cōn-*, cf. Leumann 1977: 218. *Nictāre* is a frequentative built on the ppp. \**nikto-*. The root has the structure \**T-D<sup>h</sup>*, which was rare or absent in PIE; therefore, and since the word occurs only in Germanic and Italic, we may be dealing with a loanword from an unknown, ‘European’ language. The vowel *-ī-* in medial syllable points to \**-ei-*, not \**-oi-*, hence the verb may have been a stative ‘to be blinking, drawing the eyes together’.

Bibl.: Sommer 1914: 265, WH I: 260, II: 166, EM 137f., 440, IEW 608, Leumann 1977: 188, 218, Meiser 1986: 88, Sihler 1995: 163, de Vaan 1999: 12, 21, Untermann 2000: 417, LIV \**Kneig<sup>h</sup>-*. → *nītor*

**cōnor, -ārī** ‘to make an effort, attempt’ [v. I; ppp. *conātum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *cōnātus, -ūs* ‘effort, attempt’ (Ter.+), *cōnāmen* ‘effort’ (Lucret+).

Plt. \**kōnāje/o-* ‘to attempt’.

PIE \**kōn-* ‘ascent’? IE cognates: MW *di-gawn, di-chawn* ‘works; is able’, Av. *āsanaoiti* ‘ascends’, *sanaŋ* ‘ascended’, Gr. *ἐγ-κονέω* ‘to be quick and active’, *δῆκονος* ‘servant’, Arm. med. *snaw* ‘was raised’, SCr. *kāniti* ‘to intend’.

LIV reconstructs PIE \**kōnh<sub>1</sub>-je-*, adopting a specific type of presents posited by Klingenschmitt 1978. In my view, the existence of this type is unwarranted. Isebaert reconstructs \**kōn-eh<sub>2</sub>-je-*, whereas Tremblay, who connects Iranian \**san-*, reconstructs the root as \**ken-* ‘to be raised, moved’. Tremblay assumes that this type of verbs derives from lengthened-grade thematic adjectives which in their turn are based on nouns with *o*-grade. Hence, \**kōn-o-* >> \**kōn-o-* [adj.] >> \**kōn-eh<sub>2</sub>-je-* [v.]. This analysis is attractive, but it is uncertain at which stage the denominal adj. and hence



the verbs were derived: it may have been a post-IE process. Also, the original PIE formation may rather have been a root noun \**kōn-* ‘ascent’ > ‘effort, attempt’, with regular development of a long vowel in the monosyllabic nom.sg.

Bibl.: WH I: 262, EM 138, IEW 564, Tucker 1990: 226ff., Sihler 1995: 528, Tremblay 1998, Isebaert 1992: 205, LIV \**kenh*<sub>1</sub>-, \**ken-*.

**conquiniscō, -ere** ‘to crouch down’ [v. III; pf. *conquēxī*] (Pl., Pompon.)

Derivatives: *ocquiniscere* ‘to bend over before someone’ (Pompon.).

The pf. *-quēx-* contains an original long vowel, since short \**k<sup>w</sup>eks-* would yield \**-cox-*. From a stem \**k<sup>w</sup>eg-*, we can explain the present via \**-k<sup>w</sup>eg-no-* > \**-k<sup>w</sup>igno-* > \**-quīno-*, remade into *-quīniscō*. That is, if *-quī-* has long *ī*, which is not certain – the dictionaries give it as short. For the adv. *cozzim*, see s.v. *coxa*. The IE etymology is unknown.

Bibl.: WH I: 262, EM 138, Leumann 1977: 536, LIV \**k<sup>w</sup>ejit-*, \**k<sup>w</sup>enk-*.

**cōnsulō, -ere** ‘to consult’ [v. III; pf. *consulūi*, ppp. *consultum*; SCBac. *co[n.]soleretur, consoluerunt*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *cōnsul*, *-is* ‘consul, magistrate’ (Naev.+, Elog.Scip. *consol*); *cōnsilium* ‘debate, advice’ (Pl.+), *cōnsiliārius* [adj.] ‘advising’ (Pl.+), *cōnsiliōsus* ‘instructive’ (Cato apud Gell.+); *cōnsultum*, ‘decision, decree’ (Pl.+), *incōnsultus* ‘thoughtless, rash’ (Pl.+), *incōnsultus, -ūs* ‘lack of consultation’ (Pl.); *cōnsultāre* ‘to deliberate, consult’ (Pl.+), *cōnsultātiō* ‘deliberation consultation’ (Pl.+).

PIt. \**kom-se/ol-e/o-* ‘to consult’, \**kom-sel-* [m.] ‘advisor’.

PIE \**s(e)lh<sub>1</sub>-e/o-* ‘to take’. IE cognates: OIr. *ad-roilli, do-sli* ‘to earn’, MW *dyrlid* ‘id.’, MCo. *deleth* ‘to be appropriate’, MBret. *dellit* ‘to earn’ (< \**tu-ari/ro-*) < PCI. \**-slije/o-* (<< \**s(e)lh<sub>1</sub>-e/o-*), Gr. *εἶλον* ‘took’ < \**selh<sub>1</sub>-*, Go. *saljan* ‘to sacrifice’, Oic. *selja* ‘to sell, hand over’ < \**solh<sub>1</sub>-eie-*.

The oldest inscriptional evidence predates the change \**-ol-* > \**-ul-*. The noun *cōnsilium* < \**kom-sel-io-* was derived from *cōnsul*. Since *consulere* does not look like a derivative of *cōnsul* (we would rather expect *consulāre*), it appears that the verb was original and meant ‘to get together, deliberate’. This might have been a full-grade present, or a zero-grade present or aorist. The noun *cōnsul* can be a root noun of the productive type which was made to verbs (cf. *-fexs*). The alternative etymology \**kom-se/od-* ‘to sit together’ is based on the dialectal change of intervocalic \**d* to *l* in Latin. This proposal is semantically attractive but formally less so: it would require a verb \**kom-se/od-e/o-*, but as a present to this root, Latin only has *sedeō*. If the noun \**kom-se/od-* was original, the change of *d* to *l* would be unlikely in view of the retained *d* in *obses, -idis* and others.

Bibl.: WH I: 264f., EM 138f., IEW 899, Leumann 1977: 293, 393, Sihler 1995: 151, 304, Meiser 1998: 82, Schumacher 2004: 588-590, LIV \**selh*<sub>1</sub>-.

**contāminō, -āre** ‘to pollute, spoil’ [v. I] (Ter.+)

Derivatives: *incontāminātus* ‘uncorrupted’ (Varro+).

PIt. \**tag(s)men* ‘contact’.

PIE \*th<sub>2</sub>g-men-.

The original meaning was ‘to come into contact with’. In view of the other verbs in *-minō* and *-minor*, *contāminō* is most likely based on a noun \**tāmen* ‘contact’. The fact that *contāminātus* serves as the antonym to *integer* has led to the etymological connection with \**tag-* already in Roman times. We may thus reconstruct \**-tagmen* or \**tagsmen-*; the latter form would be parallel to *exāmen* < \**ex-agsmen*, but note that it is impossible to prove the presence of \**s* in this formation; cf. *agō*. In theory, long *ā* could stem from *contāgiō*, *tāctus*, but this seems unlikely since no *-g-* is synchronically present in the verb. Verbs in *co(m/n)-* can be formed either from a prefixed noun (often with sociative meaning), or from an uncompounded noun, *com-* giving the verb its telic meaning (cf. Haug 2004: 44). The meaning of *contāmināre* points to the second alternative: \**tagmen* ‘contact’ > \**con-tagmen-ā-* ‘to make contact with’.

Bibl.: WH I: 266f., EM 139f., IEW 1054f., Leumann 1977: 208, 371, LIV \*teh<sub>2</sub>(g)<sup>1</sup>-.  
→ *tangō*

**contiō** ‘meeting, assembly’ [f. *n*] (Pl.+; *coventionid* [abl.sg.] SCBac.)

PIt. \**ko(m)-wentiōn-*.

PIE \*g<sup>w</sup>m-ti- ‘the coming’. IE cognates: Skt. *gāti-* [f.], Av. *gati-* ‘motion’, Gr. βάσις ‘step’, Go. *ga-qumþs* ‘meeting’, OHG *chumft* ‘coming, motion’, OIc. *samkund* ‘meeting’.

The PIE *ti-* stem was remade into an *ōn-* stem in Latin, and prefixed with sociative \**kom-*. Lat. *contiō* and *cūria* (see s.v.) show the loss of the final nasal of \**kom* in front of \**w-*, which is probably the older development in contrast with the CILat. sequence *con-ν-*. Subsequently, contraction of \**kowent-* (with unsyncopated \**e* in a closed syllable) yielded *-ō-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 267, EM 140, IEW 464, Leumann 1977: 133, Schrijver 1991: 277, Sihler 1995: 57, LIV \*g<sup>w</sup>em-. → *veniō*

**contrā** ‘in front of, against’ [prep. + acc., adv.] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *contrārius* ‘opposite’ (Varro, Lucr.+).

PIt. \**kom-tero-* ‘the other of two who meet’ > ‘opposite’. It. cognates: O. *contrud* prep. + loc. ‘against’, abl.sg.m./n. \**kom-terōd*.

PIE \**kōm-* ‘with’.

Latin has *contrō-* in the cps. *contrōversia* and *contrōversus*, see s.v. *vertō*. The existence of O. *contrud* suggests that the Latin forms are not merely recent innovations on the model of other adverbs in *-trō-*, *-trā-*, but continue a PIt. adj. \**kom-tero-*. Whereas *contrā* continues the abl.sg.f. in \**-ād*, *contrō-* represents the abl.sg.m.n. in \**-ōd*, as does O. *contrud*. There is no evidence for a PIE formation in \**-tero-* to this preposition, hence we must interpret it as an Italic innovation.

Bibl.: WH I: 251f., EM 140, IEW 612f., Untermann 2000: 417. → *com*, *vertō*

**contumāx, -ācis** ‘proud, stubborn’ [adj. *k*] (Cic.+)

Derivatives: *percontumāx* ‘very stubborn’ (Ter.).

Although *percontumāx* is attested significantly earlier than *contumāx*, this does not guarantee that the latter was back-formed from the former. *Contumāx* may be derived from a verb *\*con-tumeō* ‘to be proud’ (also presupposed by *contumēlia*, see below) to *tumeō* ‘to be swollen’.

Bibl.: WH I: 267, EM 140, Leumann 1977: 376. → *tumeō*

**contumēlia** ‘insulting language or behaviour’ [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *contumēliōsus* ‘insulting, outrageous’ (Ter.+).

Plt. *\*tum-ē-*.

Probably a substantivization of an adj. *\*contumēlis* ‘insulting’, which suggests a derivational basis *\*contumē-* ‘to insult’. This would formally be easiest to derive from the present *tum-ē-* ‘to be swollen’, via ‘boasting’ > ‘insulting’. Possibly, the prefix *com-* was added in analogy with *contemnō* ‘to scorn, despise’, which is semantically very close.

Bibl.: WH I: 267f., EM 140, Leumann 1977: 350. → *contumāx*, *tumeō*

**convexus** ‘curving outwards, arching’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Cic.+)

Derivatives: *dēvexus* ‘sloping downward, inclined’ (CIL 1.583+), *subvexus* ‘sloping upwards from below’ (Liv.).

Plt. *\*wexso-*?

PIE *\*ueǵ<sup>h</sup>-* ‘to carry’.

Lat. *-vexus* may contain *\*-vēK-* or *\*-vaK-*. The connection, made by WH, with Skt. *vāñcati* ‘staggers, sways’, *vakrá-* ‘curved’ is implausible, since these words are now derived from PIE *\*uenk-*, a root with a nasal which could not have disappeared in Latin. A connection with the word-family of *vacāre*, *vacuus* seems difficult semantically. Nussbaum 2007b connects OIr. *fán* ‘a slope, a hollow’ < *\*uāgno-*, OW *guoin*, W. *gwaun* [f.] ‘lowland, meadow’ < *\*uāgnā-*. Judging by the meaning, *-vexus* would best be connected with *vehō* ‘to convey’, cf. English *way* from ‘to move’. Latin *convexus* might be ‘moving along’ (with outward movement), *dēvexus* ‘moving down’. I do not understand WH’s blunt rejection of this etymology, which was proposed by Thurneysen 1907. He interprets *convexus* as ‘moved together’, but ‘moving along’ seems preferable to me. The form *-vexus* could represent *\*-vekt-to-*, a ppp. to a verb *\*vektēre* (attested is *vectāre*, but this is irrelevant), or the ppp. *\*vectus* might have been changed to *vexus* by analogy with *flexus*, *nexus*. A third possibility is inherited *\*ueǵ<sup>h</sup>-so-*, derived from a PIE *s*-present.

Bibl.: WH I: 268f., EM 141, IEW 1134f., Leumann 1977: 617, LIV *\*ueǵ<sup>h</sup>-*. → *vehō*, *vexō*

**convīcium** ‘angry noise; mockery’ [n. *o*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *convīciārī* ‘to scold’ (Varro+).

Etymology disputed. The older suggestion that it represents *\*uēk<sup>v</sup>-* to *vōx* ‘voice’ is untenable for morphological reasons. Leumann suggests a denominal origin to a noun *\*convīcī* ‘house-mates’, but this is far-fetched. Semantically, I find a connection with *vīnciō* ‘to tie’ much more attractive: mockery and scolding can be described as

‘encircling’ someone. In that case, \**kom-uoik-io-?*

Bibl.: WH I: 269, EM 141, Leumann 1977: 54, 293, Sihler 1995: 48. → *vinciō*

**coquō, -ere** ‘to boil, bake’ [v. III; pf. *coxī*, ppp. *coctum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *coquus, cocus* ‘cook’ (Naev.+); *coquināre* ‘to cook’ (Pl.); *coquīnus* [adj.] ‘of cooks’ (Pl.), *conquīnāris* ‘belonging to a kitchen’ (Varro); *coculum* ‘cooking vessel’ (Pl.+); *coctilis* ‘baked’ (Varro+); *praecox, -ocis/-oquis* ‘early ripening, premature’ (Enn.+); *concoquere* ‘to cook down, digest, ripen’ (Pl.+), *dēcoquere* ‘to diminish by boiling, melt away’ (Cato+), *excoquere* ‘to remove by cooking, melt down’ (Cato+), *percoquere* ‘to cook or ripe thoroughly’ (Pl.+); *popīna* ‘eating-house, bistro’ (Pl.+); *aulicoquis/-cocius* ‘cooked in a pot’ (inscr. *Pratica di Mare*, 3<sup>d</sup> c. BC, dat.abl.pl. *auliquoquibus*, Paul. *ex F. aulicocia exta*); *culīna* ‘kitchen’ (Pl.+).

PIt. \**k<sup>w</sup>ek<sup>w</sup>e/o-* ‘to cook’, \**k<sup>w</sup>ok<sup>w</sup>o-* [m.] ‘a cook’.

PIE \**pek<sup>w</sup>-e/o-* ‘to cook’. IE cognates: MW *pobi*, MBret. *pibi, poba*, Co. *pobas* ‘to bake, cook’ < PCl. \**k<sup>w</sup>ok<sup>w</sup>o-* < \**k<sup>w</sup>ek<sup>w</sup>o-*, MW *poeth*, MBret. *poaz* ‘hot’ < \**k<sup>w</sup>ok<sup>w</sup>to-*; Skt. *pācanti* ‘they cook’, YAv. *pacaiti* ‘bakes’, Skt. *pācyate* ‘ripens’; Gr. πέσσω, Att. πέττω < \**pek<sup>w</sup>-ie-*, Myc. *a-to-po-ḡo*, Gr. ἄρτο-κόπος ‘bread-baker’, Alb. *pjek*, Lith. *kėpti*, OCS *pešti*, Is. *pekḡ* ‘to bake’, ToAB *pāk-* ‘to cook, ripen’.

The oldest forms are probably *coquere* (< \**pek<sup>w</sup>-e/o-*), the noun *coquus* (< \**pok<sup>w</sup>os*), and maybe the ppp. *coctus* (< \**pe/ok<sup>w</sup>to-*). The mutation of \**e* > *o* seems to be regular in front of *o* in the next syllable, thus e.g. in *coquō*, from where it would have spread to 2ss. \**quequis* etc. But -*o-* may also stem from the noun *coquus*. The cp. *praecox* contains a root-noun \**-pok<sup>w</sup>-s*, probably with *o*-grade, since there would not have been many case-forms with a back vowel in the final syllable in order to cause vowel mutation. Alternatively, one might assume that \**-k<sup>w</sup>ek<sup>w</sup>-* yielded \**-k<sup>w</sup>ok<sup>w</sup>-* anyway. The length of the *i* in the verb *coquināre* is not unambiguously ascertained. Most likely, it is a short vowel, cf. Leumann 1977: 551 and Steinbauer 1989: 165ff. Steinbauer therefore posits a noun \**coquō, -inis* ‘cook’ (not \**-ō, -ōnis*), from which the verb was derived as \**k<sup>w</sup>ok<sup>w</sup>e/on-ā-* ‘to be a cook’. The noun *popīna* must reflect \**k<sup>w</sup>ok<sup>w</sup>īna*, and hence represent the Sabellic development of the same preform as Latin *coquīna* ‘the art of cookery’ (Apul.). Probably unrelated is Lat. *cōciō* ‘dealer’ (Lab.+). The form *culīna* cannot be derived in a regular way from *coquō*. EM suggest that it was formed from \**k<sup>w</sup>ok<sup>w</sup>īna* under the influence of *cūlus* ‘arse’, “les latrines étant le plus souvent attenantes à la cuisine.” This explanation is not credible.

Bibl.: WH I: 270f., 303, II: 338, EM 141, 155, 521, IEW 798, Leumann 1977: 551, Steinbauer 1989: 165ff., Schrijver 1991: 467, Sihler 1995: 41, 145, Meiser 1998: 82, Lindner 2002: 222, Schumacher 2004: 429f., LIV 1. \**pek<sup>w</sup>-*.

**cor, cordis** ‘heart’ [n. *d*] (Andr.+; long scansion /*cōr*/ < /*corr*/ in Pl. is uncertain)

Derivatives: *corculum* ‘little heart, sweetheart’ (Pl.+), *cordātus* ‘sensible, judicious’ (Pl.+); *cordolium* ‘heartfelt grief’ (Pl.+); *concors, -dis* ‘agreeing, harmonious’ (Naev.+), *concordia* ‘harmony, agreement’ (Pl.+), *concorditās* ‘id.’ (Pac.), *concordāre* ‘to be on good terms, agree’ (Ter.+); *discors, -dis* ‘disagreeing’ (Lucr.+), *discordia* ‘discord’ (Pl.+), *discordābilis* ‘disagreeing’ (Pl.), *discorditās*

'disagreement' (Pac.), *discordāre* 'to disagree' (Pl.+); *excors* 'senseless' (Pl.+), *misericors* 'tender-hearted' (Pl.+), *miserīcordia* 'compassion' (Pl.+), *socors* 'inert, inactive' (Pl.+), *socordia* 'lack of energy' (Pl.+), *vēcors* 'mad, demented' (Andr.+), *vēcordia* 'frenzy' (Ter.+); *praecordia*, *-ōrum* 'lower part of the chest, body-parts in that area' (Pl.+); *recordārī* 'to call to mind' (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*kord*, *\*kordo/es*.

PIE nom.sg. *\*kērd*, gen.sg. *\*krd-o/es* 'heart'. IE cognates: OIr. *crīde* 'heart', W. *craidd* (< PCl. *\*kred-jo-*); Hit. <sup>(UZU)</sup>*ker / kard(i)-* [n.] 'heart, center', Pal. *kārt-*, CLuw. *zārt-*, HLuw. *zart(i)-* < *\*kēr*, gen. *\*krdios*, Skt. *hṛd-* 'heart', nom.sg. *hārdi*, Gr. κήρ, καρδιά (Att.), καρδίη (H.), Arm. *sirt*, Lith. *širdis*, Go. *hairto*, OHG *herza*, Olc. *hjárta* 'heart', ToA *kri* 'will', ToB pl. *kāryāñ* 'hearts'.

The Latin paradigm of *cor* was built on the oblique case forms in *\*krd-* of the PIE noun. The dim. *corculum* represents *\*kord-keło-*, which means that the athematic stem was used as a derivational basis. Leumann 1977: 295 assumes that *cordolium* goes back to *\*kordi-doliom*, but Kümmel 2004b: 348 objects that compounds in *cordi-* are generally more recent, and that *cordolium* shows that we may just as well posit *\*kord-dolium*. For *so-cors* < *\*swe-kord-* or *\*sēd-kord-*, see s.v. *sē-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 271f., EM 142, IEW 579f., Leumann 1977: 217, 221, Schrijver 1991: 484. → *crēdō*

*cōram* 'face to face' [adv.] (Pl.+); also prep. 'in the presence of' (Cic.+)

A compound of *co-* 'with' + *ōs*; *ōris* 'mouth, face'. WH explain *cōram* from an adj. *\*co-ōs-o-* 'in front of the face', which adopted the ending of its antonym *clam* 'secretly' and its synonym *palam* 'overt'. Hence, we might posit *\*ko-ōs-om* >> *\*ko-ōs-ām* > *cōram*.

Bibl.: WH I: 272, EM 142, IEW 612f., Leumann 1977: 119. → *ōs*

**corbis** 'basket' [f., m. *i*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *corbīta* 'ship-load; cargo ship' (Pl.+), *corbula* '(small) basket' (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*k(o)rfi-* 'basket'.

PIE *\*k(o)rb<sup>h</sup>-i-* 'basket, wickerwork'? IE cognates: Lith. *kaŗbas* 'basket', Latv. *kāŗba* 'bag made from alder or birch bark', Ru. *kórob* 'box, basket', Sln. *kraba* 'box' < PSI. *\*korbb*, *\*korba*.

The Balto-Slavic words might go back to a similar preform as *corbis*; in that case, the circumflex accentuation of Lith. and Slavic would point to aspirated *\*b<sup>h</sup>*. The root structure *\*k-b<sup>h</sup>* was irregular in PIE, and would point either to a loanword, or to an original root *\*skrb<sup>h</sup>-*. But many scholars argue that the BSl. words have been borrowed from Germanic (cf. NHG *Korb*), which itself has borrowed the word from Latin. Thus, the whole question is undecided. Words for 'basket' are frequently loanwords. Very questionable is the comparison with Gr. κάρφος [n.] 'dry stalk, dry twigs' and its Greek cognates.

Bibl.: WH I: 272, EM 142, IEW 948f.

**cordus** ‘produced late in the season (crops, hay, lambs)’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Cato+)

If inherited, *cordus* must go back to *\*k(o)rd-o-*. WH compare OE *scort*, OHG *scurz* ‘short’ < *\*skr-d-* if from the root *\*(s)ker-* ‘to cut’. The semantic motivation would be ‘which stayed behind in growth, withered’. Yet there is no indication in the texts that *cordus* has a negative connotation, it merely concerns products with late ripening, or (with hay) from a second harvest. Etymology unknown.

Bibl.: WH I: 273, EM 142f.

**corium** ‘skin, animal hide’ [n. *o*] (Pl.+; rarely *-us* 2x Pl., Varro)

PIt. *\*korjo-*.

PIE *\*k(o)r-io-* ‘torn off’. IE cognates: Skt. *cárman-*, Av. *carāman-* ‘hide, skin’, OCS *kora*, Cz. *kůra*, SCr. *kōra* ‘bark, crust’ < PIE *\*(s)kor-h<sub>2</sub>-*.

Probably a derivative of PIE *\*kor-o-* ‘which is torn off, peeled off’ > ‘bark, skin’.

Bibl.: WH I: 274, EM 143, IEW 938ff., LIV 2. *\*(s)ker-*. → *carō*, *cortex*, *curtus*, *scortum*

**cornīx, -īcis** ‘crow’ [f. *k*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *Cornīscāe* [f.pl.] ‘local deities’ (Paul. *ex F.*).

PIt. *\*kornik-*, *\*kornāk-* ‘crow’. It. cognates: U. *curnaco* [acc.sg.], *curnase* [abl.sg.] ‘crow’ < *\*kornāko-*.

PIE *\*kor-n-* ‘crow, raven’. IE cognates: Gr. *κορώνη* ‘crow; shearwater’, *κόραξ*, *-ακος* ‘raven’, OPr. *sarke*, Lith. *šárka* ‘magpie’, CS *svraka*, Ru. *soróka* < PSI. *\*s(v)órka* ‘magpie’ < *\*korH-k-*.

The suffix *-īk-* occurs in f. agent nouns, and in f. individuals, as here in ‘crow’. The alternation between Lat. *-īk-* and U. *-āk-* points to a recent date of these formations (although U. may have suffix substitution for *\*-īk-*). Maybe the vowel *-ā-* is preserved in Ital. *cornacchia* < *cornacula*. All IE languages show words in *\*kr-* denoting ‘crow’, ‘raven’, ‘magpie’ or similar birds, which clearly are onomatopoeic. The suffixes usually are productive, as here in the case of *cornīx*.

Bibl.: WH I: 275, EM 143, IEW 567, Leumann 1977: 377. → *corvus*, *crōciō*

**cornū, -ūs** ‘horn’ [n. *u*] (Pl.+; *cornus* Varro, *cornum* Ter.+)

Derivatives: *cornūtus* ‘horned’ (Acc.+); *cornicen* ‘trumpeter’ (Varro+), *cornifrons* ‘with horns on the forehead’ (Pac.), *corniger* ‘having horns’ (Lucr.+).

PIt. *\*kornu-*, *\*korno-* ‘horn’.

PIE *\*k<sup>h</sup>rn-o-* >> *\*k<sup>h</sup>rn-u-* ‘horn’. IE cognates: Galat. *κάρνον* ‘trumpet’, Gaul. *καρνυξ* ‘trumpet’, Gallo-Lat. *carnuātus* ‘horned’, W. *carn* ‘hoof’ < *\*kr-no-*, W. *asgwrn*, Bret. *askorn* ‘bone’ < *\*ast-kor-n-* ‘bone-horn’; Skt. *śṛṅga-* ‘horn’ < *\*k<sup>h</sup>rn-g<sup>(w)</sup>-*; Lith. *stirna* ‘roe’, Latv. pl. *sirnas*, RuCS *srъna* ‘id.’ < *krH<sub>2</sub>-nh<sub>2</sub>-*; Go. *haurn* ‘horn’, OHG Olc. *horn* < PGm. *\*χurna-*; OHG (*h*)*rind*, OE *hrīðer* [n.] ‘horned animal’ < *\*k<sup>h</sup>rn-én-to-*, OE *hrýðer*, Dutch *rund* ‘cow’ < *\*k<sup>h</sup>rn-to-*.

The quantity of the vowel in *cornū*, and in other n. *u*-stems such as *genū*, *verū*, is uncertain. See Klingenschmitt 1992 for a detailed account of the (im)possibilities to

use the metre as indication for the vowel length in *cornū*. If the original form was *cornū*, word-final *-u* instead of *\*-e* might be due to restoration of *-u* on the basis of the acc.sg. If *ū* is original, *cornū* may reflect the nom.acc.dual ending *\*-u-h<sub>2</sub>*; especially for *cornū* *\*‘pair of horns’* > ‘horn’ and *genū* *\*‘pair of knees’* > ‘knee’, this would be attractive. Others (e.g. Klingenschmitt 1992: 125) posit an original n.pl. in *\*-u-h<sub>2</sub>*. Klingenschmitt mentions as an alternative explanation metrical lengthening in sequences short-short-short (e.g. in *\*genu*, if followed by a following short syllable). Obviously, this would not work for *cornū*.

Many languages show an *n*-extension of the root *\*k<sub>1</sub>r-* ‘horn’, but only Celtic and Gm. seem to share *\*k<sub>1</sub>r-n-o-*, whereas no other language has a *u*-stem. It thus appears most likely that Latin has switched from *o*-stem to *u*-stem at an earlier stage, maybe on the example of *pecu*, or of a derivative *\*k<sub>1</sub>r-n-(o)u-o-*, which may be regarded as the source of W. *Cernyw*, Co. *Kernow*, Bret. *Kernev* < *\*kor-ou-(i)ī*, cf. Schrijver 1995: 54. There may also have been influence from the noun *\*ker-u-o-* ‘horned animal’ > Latin *cervus*, see s.v. The root *\*k<sub>1</sub>r-* is usually regarded as the derivational basis for *\*k<sub>1</sub>r-h<sub>2</sub>-* ‘head, skull’, from which Latin *cerebrum* is derived. This presupposes that *\*k<sub>1</sub>r-* indicates the material (‘bone, horn’), with *\*ker-h<sub>2</sub>-* indicating a collective ‘head’ or ‘made of bone’ (or ‘top?’), and *\*k<sub>1</sub>r-n-* an individual ‘horn’.

Bibl.: WH I: 276, EM 143, IEW 576, Leumann 1977: 441f., Nussbaum 1986, Beekes 1989: 55ff., Schrijver 1991: 83, Klingenschmitt 1992: 121ff., Schrijver 1995: 54, Sihler 1995: 67, 323, Meiser 1998: 146. → *cerebrum*, *ceruus*, *cervix*, *cervus*; *cornix*

**cornus** ‘cornel cherry-tree’ [f. *o*] (Varro+; variants *cornus*, *-ūs* [f.], *cornum* Varro+)

Derivatives: *corneus* ‘of cornel-trees’ (Cato+), *cornētum* ‘plantation of cornel-cherries’ (Varro).

Plt. *\*korno-* ‘cornel cherry, cherry’.

IE cognates: Gr. κράνον ‘cornel cherry tree’, κράνεα ‘id.’, Lith. *Kirnis* ‘divine protector of the cherry’; Gr. κέρυκος ‘bird-cherry’.

The Lithuanian form *Kirnis* might also derive from *\*k<sub>1</sub>rno-*, but the comparison is rather isolated. The Latin *u*-stem may be secondary after *quercus*, *-ūs* ‘oak’. It is likely that the Greek-Latin correspondence between *cornus* and κράνον represents a loanword from a third language. Gr. κέρυκος may be related, and would then point more strongly to a loanword, probably from an eastern language, from where the cherry was introduced into Europe.

Bibl.: WH I: 276f., EM 143f., IEW 572f.

**corpus, -oris** ‘body, substance’ [n. *r*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *corpusculum* ‘small body, small object’ (Pl.+); *corporeus* ‘corporeal, material’ (Varro, Lucr.+), *corporāre* ‘to kill’ (Enn.); *corpulentus* ‘corpulent’ (Pl.+); *bicorpor-*, *-is* ‘double-bodied’ (Naev.+).

Plt. *\*korp-os-*.

PIE *\*k<sub>1</sub>rp-os-*, *\*k<sub>1</sub>rp-* ‘body’. IE cognates: Ml. *cri* ‘body’ < *\*k<sub>1</sub>rp-?*; Skt. *kṛp-ā* [RV; ins.sg.f.] ‘appearance’, OAv. *kəhrpəm* [acc.sg.], YAv. *kəhrp-* [f.] ‘figure, appearance,

body'; OE *hrif* 'belly, womb', OHG *href* 'lap', OFr. *rif, ref* 'belly, abdomen' < \**χref-ales-*. Italo-Celtic and Germanic point to a PIE (palato)velar, and to an ablaut \*-*r-* vs. \*-*re-*. Since palatovelars were depalatalized in Ilr. in front of PIE \**r* (unless this was followed by \**i* or \**H* – Weise's Law), the Ilr. noun can also go back to \**krp-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 277f., EM 144, IEW 620, Schrijver 1991: 466ff., Meiser 1998: 82.

**corrigia** 'shoe-lace' [f. *ā*] (Varro+)

Plt. \**kom-rig-jo-* 'tying together'.

PIE \**Hriǵ-(i)o-* 'stretching'. IE cognates: OIr. *do-rig\** 'to lay bare', *con-rig* 'to tie together', MW *gwarae, chwarae* 'to play' (< \**uo-rig-*), MBret. *aeren* 'to bind' (< \**ad-rig-*).

*Corrigia* is the only Latin survivor of the compound verbs in Proto-Italo-Celtic \**rig-* 'to stretch, bind', cf. Schumacher 2004: 543-548. Another derivative of this root in Latin is *rigeō*.

Bibl.: WH I: 278f., EM 144, IEW 861f., LIV \**reiǵ(ǵ)-*. → *rigeō*

**cortex, -icis** 'bark of a tree' [m. (f.) *k*] (Cato+)

Derivatives: *corticeus* 'made of bark' (Varro+).

Plt. \**k(o)rt-ek-*.

PIE \**k(o)rt-* 'a cutting'. IE cognates: Skt. *kṛntāti*, YAv. *kərənta-* 'to cut', Arm. *k'ert'em* 'to peel off the skin', Lith. *kir̃sti (kertū)* 'to hew off, cut', OHG *herdo* 'skin, hide', OE *heorda* 'hide'.

Within Latin, *cortex* can be explained as *cort-* plus the productive suffix *-ek-*, which is also found e.g. in *caudex* 'tree-trunk', *frutex* 'shrub' and many plant-names. The element *cort-* is similar to *corium* 'skin, hide' and can go back to the same PIE basis \**(s)ker-* 'to cut off', but with a root enlargement \*-*t-* which is found in Gm. nominal forms \**χerδō-* 'skin', and in verb forms in other branches of PIE. The exact basis for *cortex* is unknown. In view of *vortex* > *vertex* 'vertebra', *cortex* might be built to a verbal form of \**(s)kert-*, which has disappeared from the language. But since verbal forms are absent, *cortex* may be more safely derived from a PIE nominal form of the root, such as \**kert-h<sub>2</sub>-* which is shown by Gm., and Lat. *cēna*, based on \**kert-sn-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 279, EM 144, IEW 938ff., LIV \**(s)kert-* 'to cut'. → *scortum*

**cortīna** 'rounded pot, cauldron; arch' [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *cortīnipotēns* 'master of the cauldron, Apollo' (Lucil.).

WH and IEW accept a connection with *curvus* as 'curved'; the original form of the pot or archetypical *cortīna* would then be based on a ppp. \**k<sub>q</sub>-to-* 'bent'. Yet there are no IE verb forms justifying the reconstruction of a verbal root \**(s)ker-* 'to turn' (cf. LIV), so that this etymology remains unfounded.

Bibl.: WH I: 279, EM 145, IEW 935ff. → *curvus*

**corulus** 'hazel-tree, hazel-wood' [f. *o*] (Cato+)

Derivatives: *columus* 'of hazel' (Verg., Paul. *ex F.*).



Plt. *\*koso/ulo-* 'hazel'.

IE cognates: Olr. *coll*, OW *coll* 'hazel' < *\*koslo-*, OLith. *kasūlas* 'hunter's spear, stick, bush', OHG *hasal*, OIc. *hasl* 'hazel'.

Lat. *columnus* < *\*kolo/urinos* with metathesis from *\*koro/ulinos* < *\*kosoulinos*. Celtic and Gm. continue *\*koslo-*, whereas Lithuanian reflects *\*kosulo-*. The diverging suffixes, the restriction to Europe, and the fact that it concerns a tree-name, could point to non-IE origin (cf. EIEC for an additional paleobotanic argument).

Bibl.: WH I: 280, EM 145, IEW 616, Schrijver 1995: 433, Sihler 1995: 70; EIEC 260.

**coruscus** 'moving rapidly; flashing' [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *coruscāre* 'to move rapidly' (Pac.+).

WH consider appurtenance to *\*(s)ker-* 'to jump' as in Gr. *σκαίρω* 'to skip, dance', LIV I. *\*(s)k(er)-*. This seems a long shot. There may be a connection with *queror*, *questus sum* 'to lament', if initial *coru-* reflects *\*k<sup>w</sup>eru-*. But the details are unclear, as is the suffix. The only word which formally comes close as far as the suffix is concerned is the verb *aeruscō* 'to beg', maybe from *\*h<sub>2</sub>eis-os-ko-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 280, EM 145.

**corvus** 'raven' [m. *o*] (Pl.+)

Plt. *\*korwo-*.

PIE *\*k<sup>r</sup>or(h<sub>2</sub>)-uo-* 'crow, raven'. IE cognates: see s.v. *cornīx*.

All IE languages show words in *\*k<sup>r</sup>-* denoting 'crow', 'raven', or similar birds, and thus are clearly onomatopoeic. The many Latin colour adjectives in *-vus* show that the suffix *\*-uo-* must once have been productive. There is no good match for the formation of *corvus* outside Italic. WH connect it with Mlr. *crú*, glossed as 'raven', but this word is only found twice in the expression *crú fechto* .i. *badb* 'raven of battle?' This expression may just as well mean 'blood of battle', in which case it would be identical with *crú*, W. *cri* 'blood' (C. aan de Wiel, p.c.).

Bibl.: WH I: 275, EM 145, IEW 567. → *cornīx*, *crōciō*

**cōs, -tis** 'whetstone' [f. *i*] (Cic.+)

Derivatives: *cōtēs, -is* [f.] 'rock, cliff' (Enn.+), spelled with *ō* until Vergil, then *cautēs* with hypercorrect *au*; *dēcōtes* [pl.] 'togae detritae' (Paul. *ex F.*).

Plt. *\*kōt-* 'whetstone'; *\*kōti-* 'sharp point, rock'.

PIE *\*k<sup>e</sup>/oh<sub>3</sub>-t-* 'sharpening'. IE cognates: Skt. *śā-* 'to sharpen', Arm. *sowr* 'sharp' < *\*k<sup>e</sup>/oh<sub>3</sub>-ro-*. Av. *saēni-* 'pointed', OE *hān* 'whetstone' < *\*k<sup>e</sup>/oh<sub>3</sub>-i-ni-*.

EM suggest that *cō/autēs* might have been the old plural to *cōs*, hence 'sharp points, promontories'; this seems plausible. In that case, we are dealing with a PIE *t*-stem 'the sharpening one', of the type *sacer-dōt-*; the sg. *i*-stem would have been formed secondarily.

Bibl.: WH I: 184, 190f., EM 108, 145, IEW 542, Leumann 1977: 275, Schrijver 1991: 91, 148, LIV *\*k<sup>e</sup>h<sub>3</sub>-*. → *catus*

**costa** ‘rib’ [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *costātus* ‘having ribs’ (Varro+).

Plt. *\*ko(n/r)stā-*.

*Costa* has been compared with Slavic *\*kost-i-* ‘bone’, yet it is unlikely that it is cognate. In Slavic, *kostь* may be the reflex of PIE *\*Host-* ‘bone’, since there is no other word which qualifies for this. This would then be a unique case of *kV-* < *\*HV-* in Slavic. Yet in Latin, PIE *\*Host-* is reflected by *os*, *ossis*, so that *costa* cannot reflect the same etymon. Since it also does not mean ‘bone’ but ‘rib’, which may have different semantic roots, we must regard *costa* as an isolated word without etymology.

Bibl.: WH I: 281, EM 146, IEW 616.

**coxa** ‘hip of a human, haunch of an animal’ [f. *ā*] (Nigidius+)

Derivatives: *coxendīx*, *-īcis* ‘hip, hip-bone’ (Pl.+); *cossim* / *coxim* ‘squatting on the haunches’ (Pompon.+); *incoxāre* ‘to squat on the haunches’ (Pompon.); *coxus* ‘lame’ (Maecenas).

Plt. *\*koksā-* ‘hip’; *\*koksednī-k-* ‘hip’.

PIE *\*koks-h<sub>2</sub>-* ‘limb, joint’; *\*kokse-dn-iH-*. IE cognates: OIr. *coss* ‘foot’, Skt. *kákṣa-*, Av. *kaša-* ‘armpit’, ToB *kakse* ‘midriff loins’.

WH object to the connection of *coxim* with *coxa*, but I see no semantic problems: ‘to crouch’ can easily be called ‘to sit on the thighs’. Furthermore, the verb *incoxāre* occurs in the same text of L. Pomponius as *coxim*, both referring to the action of defecating. Lat. *coxa* has switched from m. to f. gender, maybe under the influence of other body parts. IEW and others also connect the Germanic family of OHG *hāhs(i)na* ‘Achilles tendon’, but these reflect *\*hanhs-* < *\*kon(H)k-s-* and do not belong here. There is no Latin suffix *-endīx*. Pinault (1999) connects *coxendīx* with ToA *kapśaṇi*, ToB *kektseṇe* ‘body’ < PIE *\*koksedon-*. The Latin word may then represent a feminine derivative *\*kokse-dn-ih<sub>2</sub>*.

Bibl.: WH I: 283, EM 146, IEW 611, Leumann 1977: 377.

**crābrō** ‘hornet’ [m. *n*] (Pl.+)

Plt. *\*krāsrōn-*.

PIE *\*krH-s-r-on-* ‘the one with antennae, hornet’. IE cognates: OPr. *sirsilis*, OLith. *širšuō*, Latv. *siŗsuonis*, CS *srŗsenь*, SCr. *sŗŗljēnj*; OHG *hornuz*, NHG *Hornisse*, MoE *hornet*; MoDu. *horzel*, all ‘hornet’.

PIE *\*kr-h<sub>2</sub>-s-* meant ‘head’, whence *\*kr-h<sub>2</sub>-s-ró-* ‘what is in/on the head’ > ‘antennae, horns’. Lat. *crābrō* and the cognates here given (*\*krHs-r-en-* with dissimilation of the second *\*r* in Balto-Slavic) indicate ‘the one with antennae, hornet’.

Bibl.: WH I: 283, EM 147, IEW 576, Leumann 1977: 206, Nussbaum 1986, Schrijver 1991: 176. → *cerebrum*, *cornū*

**crās** ‘tomorrow’ [adv.] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *crastinus* ‘of tomorrow’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*krās?* It. cognates: Fal. *cra* [adv.] ‘tomorrow’.

PIE *\*ker-h<sub>2</sub>* [nom.], *\*kr-h<sub>2</sub>-os* [gen.], *\*kr-éh<sub>2</sub>* [loc.], *\*kr-éh<sub>2</sub>* [coll.] ‘head’? IE

cognates: Hit. *ketkar* [adv.] ‘at the head (of), on top’ < PIE \**ked* + \**kr*(*h*<sub>2</sub>), Gr. ἐπὶ κάπ ‘head down’, ἀνὰ κάπ ‘upwards’ < \**kr*; κάπα [n.], κάπη (ep.) ‘head’ < \**kr*-*eh*<sub>2</sub>-.

There is no way in which *crās* can be formally connected with Av. *sūrām* ‘early in the morning’, *sūirīm* ‘breakfast’ (as advocated by WH). In general, no PIE word for ‘tomorrow’ can be reconstructed, but Latin *crās* could still be an old formation. In theory, it could be represent \**krās* < \**kre**h*<sub>2</sub>-(e)s, gen.sg. (maybe replacing earlier \**krh*<sub>2</sub>ós) of the PIE stem \**ker*-*h*<sub>2</sub>- ‘head’ which is reconstructed by Nussbaum 1986. The word for ‘head’ could have shifted to ‘front’ (cf. *ante*), which, used as a partitive genitive, might have been used for ‘up front, at the front’ → ‘tomorrow’. The reconstruction \**kr*-*eh*<sub>2</sub>-s has already been put forward in a footnote by Eichner 1978: 160, who compares Hit. *karū* ‘early’. But the latter is cognate with HLuw. *ruwan-* ‘formerly’, and is therefore reconstructed with initial \**g*<sup>h</sup>*r*- by Kloekhorst 2008: 458.

Bibl.: WH I: 285, EM 147, Nussbaum 1986, Beekes 1989: 56.

**crassus** ‘thick’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *crassitūdō* ‘thickness’ (Pl.+), *crassundia* [n.pl.] ‘fat pork’ (Varro).

WH and IEW derive *crassus* from a root \**kert-* ‘to wind’, but this is semantically gratuitous (WH assume \*‘tied together’ > \*‘clenched’ > ‘thick’). The comparison with *crātis* can also be rejected. Formally, *crassus* might go back to a form \**krHt-* using Schrijver’s rule (1991: 191) that \**CRHTC* yields Latin *CRaTC*. Yet no root of the structure \**KrHt-* is known which would match the meaning of *crassus*. Since *crassus* belongs to a category of pejorative adjectives indicating human shapes (*flaccus*, *grossus*, *gibber*), all of which show intervocalic geminate, it is conceivable that the older form was \**crāsus*. This, however, does not clarify the etymology.

Bibl.: WH I: 285, EM 147, IEW 584, Leumann 1977: 182f.

**crātis, -is** ‘construction of wickerwork, hurdle’ [f. *i*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *crāticula* ‘grid-iron’ (Cato+), *crātīre* ‘to bush-harrow’ (Plin.).

PIt. \**krāti-* ‘wickerwork’.

IE cognates: OPr. *corto* ‘fence’; Go. *haurds* ‘door’, OHG *hurd* ‘hurdle’.

If from PIE, *crātis* must continue either \**Kreh*<sub>2</sub>-*ti-* or \**KrHti-*. The Germanic forms for ‘door’ or ‘hurdle’ might go back to \**krH-ti-*, but in that case, they must be separated from the PIE root \**kert-* ‘to turn, spin’. OPr. *corto* ‘hedge’ might reflect \**korH-th*<sub>2</sub>-. But since no PIE root of the form \**KrH-* with an appropriate meaning is known, chances are that the Latin-Gm.-OPr. correspondence is non-IE.

Bibl.: WH I: 285f., EM 147, IEW 584f., Schrijver 1991: 176.

**crēdō, -ere** ‘to entrust, give credence’ [v. III; pf. *crēdidī*, ppp. *crēditum*; pr.sub. *crēduam, -s, -t*, pr.sub. *crēduūs, -t* (Pl.)] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *crēdibilis* ‘credible’ (Pl.+), *incrēdibilis* ‘unbelievable’ (Pl.+); *accrēdere* ‘to believe’ (Pl.+), *concrēdere* ‘to entrust’ (Pl.+; pf. *concrēduī*).

PIt. \**krezde/o-*.

PIE \**krēd-d*<sup>h</sup>*eh*<sub>1</sub>- ‘to place (in?) the heart’ > ‘believe’. IE cognates: OIr. *creitid*\*, *creiti*, W. *credu*, Co. *krysi*, *cregy*, OBret. *critim*, Bret. *crediff* < PCl. \**krēd-dī-*

‘believe’; Skt. *śraddhā-* [f.] ‘confidence, devotion’, Av. *zrazdāiti-* [f.] ‘trust, confidence, belief’, OAv. *zrazdā-* [adj.] ‘affectionate, trusting, believing’; YAv. *zras-ca dāt* [and] ‘may she believe’.

In view of Avestan *-zd-* (< \**d̥d*) and Celtic unlenited \**-d-*, we may well assume that \**-dd̥-* yielded pre-Latin \**-dzd-*, whence \**zd*. Hence, we can reconstruct \**kr̥ed-d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-e/o-* (pace Schrijver 1991: 134f.). It cannot be excluded that the second part of the compound was replaced by \**-deh<sub>3</sub>-* ‘to give’, in view of the pr.sub. stem \**-du-* found in Plautus. The root form \**kr̥ed-* of ‘heart’, with *-e-* between the second and third consonant, is unexpected next to Gr. κῆρ, Arm. *sirt*, and Go. *hairto* ‘heart’, all of which continue \**kr̥ed-*. Tremblay 2004: 581-585 explains \**kr̥ed-* as an original loc.sg. \**kr̥-ed* of a *d*-stem, which is worth considering.

Bibl.: WH I: 286f., EM 148, IEW 579f., Leumann 1977: 168, 527, Sihler 1995: 203, 625, Hill 2003: 250, Schumacher 2004: 278-280.

**cremō, -āre** ‘to burn’ [v. I] (Enn.+)

Derivatives: *cremitāre* ‘to cremate’ (Enn.), *cremor* ‘a thick juice made by boiling grain’ (Pl.+). It. cognates: possibly U. *krematra*, *krematru*, *krematru* [acc.pl.] ‘?’ < \**krema-tro-*?

IE cognates: Gaul. *curmi*, κόρμια, κοῦρμι, OIr. *cuirm*, W. *cwrwf*, OCo. *coref*, *coruf* ‘beer’ < PCl. \**kormi-*.

Probably a denominative present. The connection with *carbō* is possible in theory (\**krbn-* > \**karbn-*, \**krebm-* > *crem-*), but neither \**krb-* nor \**krb<sup>h</sup>-* can be further connected; nor do they look like well-formed PIE roots. The only forms worth comparing with *cremāre* seem the Celtic words for ‘beer’ from PCl. \**kormi-* (to which compare Lat. *cervēsia* ‘beer’ borrowed from a Celtic form \**kerβ̥-* < \**kerm-*), but the alternation Latin \**krem-* / Celtic \**korm-* is in need of an explanation.

Bibl.: WH I: 287, EM 148f., IEW 571f., Schrijver 1991: 207f., Untermann 2000: 403, LIV ?\*(*k*)remH-. → *carbō*

U. *krenkatrum*, *krikatru*, *cringatru* [acc.sg.] ‘an object which the priest wears across his shoulder’, maybe ‘belt, girdle’.

PIt. \**kreng/kā-tro-* [n.].

PIE \**kreng<sup>h</sup>-o-* ‘round, curved’. IE cognates: OCS *krōgъ*, Cz. *kruh*, SCr. *krūg* ‘circle’ < \**krong<sup>h</sup>-o-*, OIc. *hringr*, OHG OS OE *hring* ‘ring’, Go. *hrugga* ‘staff’, OE *hrung* [f.] ‘rung (of a ladder), spoke’.

The U. noun could be derived from a verb in \**-āje/o-* which in its turn was derived from a PIE adj. \**kreng<sup>h</sup>-o-* ‘round’.

Bibl.: IEW 935-938, Meiser 1986: 75, Untermann 2000: 404, LIV ?\*(s)kreng<sup>h</sup>-. →

**creō, -āre** ‘to procreate; be born; cause’ [v. I] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *creātrix* ‘mother, creatress’ (Lucr.+); *prōcreāre* ‘to bring forth, produce’ (Pl.+), *recreāre* ‘to re-create, restore’ (Pl.+).

PIt. \**krē-jā-* [v.].

IE cognates: see *crēscō*.

The original meaning was ‘to make grow’, which can still be found in older texts: *aliud stercus herbas creat* (Cato) ‘another dung makes the grasses grow’. According to WH, *creāre* is a denominative to a noun *\*krējā*. Hill (2006: 190f.) interprets *creāre* as an inner-Latin back-formation on the model of the more numerous presents in *-ēscere* with a pf. in *-uī*, to which synchronically a factitive pr. in *-āre* belongs: *albēscere*, *albuī* ‘to become white’, *albāre* ‘to make white’. Hence, to *crēscere*, *creuī* ‘to grow’ would have been made a new pr. *cre-āre* ‘to procreate’. Yet since the type *albāre* is denominal, while no noun/adj. *\*creus* existed, this solution seems too far-fetched.

Bibl.: WH I: 286, 288f., EM 149, IEW 577, Leumann 1977: 106. → *Cerēs*, *crēscō*, *procērus*

**creper** ‘obscure, uncertain’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pac.+)

Derivatives: *crepusculum* ‘twilight, dusk’ (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*krepos*, *-es* [n.] ‘twilight’; *\*krepeso-* [adj.]?

According to Leumann 1977, *\*kreperos* ‘uncertain’ was derived from abl.sg. *\*krepere* ‘in the twilight’ of *\*krepos*; Lat. *crepus-culum* would be another derivative of this *s*-stem. Unfortunately, there is no known root of the form *\*krep-* from which the extant meanings can be derived.

Bibl.: WH I: 289, EM 149, Leumann 1977: 278.

**crepō**, *-āre* ‘to make a sharp loud noise’ [v. I; pf. *crepuī*, ppp. *crepitum*] (Pl.+; Varro Ix *percrepis*)

Derivatives: *crepundia*, *-ōrum* ‘child’s rattle’ (Pl.+); *crepitus*, *-ūs* ‘short sharp sound’ (Naev.+), *crepitācillum* ‘child’s rattle’ (Lucr.+), *crepitāre* ‘to rattle, crackle’ (Andr.+); *perterricrepus* ‘making a crackling sound’ (Lucr.); *concrepāre* ‘to make a noise’ (Pl.+), *discrepāre* ‘to differ in sound or opinion’ (Acc.+), *discrepitāre* ‘to be out of harmony’ (Lucr.), *increpāre* ‘to make a sharp or loud noise’ (Pl.+), *percrepāre* ‘to resound, speak loudly’ (Lucil.+).

PIt. *\*krepa-*; *\*kropaje-*.

PIE *\*krep-/k̑ȓp-* [aor.] ‘to rattle, crackle’, *\*k̑ȓp(e)ie/o-* [pr.]. IE cognates: Hit. *karp(ije/a)-<sup>ta(ri)</sup>* ‘to be angry, rage’, *karpī-* [c.] ‘anger’, Skt. *k̑pate* ‘to wean, mourn’, *s*-aor.med. *akrapīṣṭa*, Ru. *kropotá* ‘fight’.

Varro’s *per-crepis* may be an old remnant of a 3<sup>rd</sup>-conj. verb *crepere*, or a back-formation to *crepuī*. The root vowel of *crepāre* may be analogical to the perfect (< PIE aor.), cf. Isebaert 1995. It may have replaced an original zero grade (as reflected in Skt. and in Hittite) or *o*-grade (though less likely). The initial velar of the root was depalatalized in Slavic and Ilr., and the zero grade was generalized in Hittite (Kloekhorst 2008: 452). Latin *-āre* has been interpreted as a reflex of a root-final laryngeal, but it may also be iterative *-āre*.

Bibl.: WH I: 290, EM 149, IEW 569, Leumann 1977: 544, Rix 1999: 519, LIV *?\*k<sup>(u)</sup>RepH-*, *?\*KrepH-*.

**crēscō, -ere** ‘to be born; increase’ [v. III; pf. *crēvī*, ppp. *crētum*] (Cato+)

Derivatives: *crēber* [adj. *o/ā*] ‘at frequent intervals, numerous’ (Pl.+), *crēmentum* ‘increase’ (Varro+); *accrēscere* ‘to increase, grow larger’ (Pl.+), *concrēscere* ‘to harden, congeal’ (Cato+), *concrētus* ‘composed; solid’ (Lucr.+), *dēcrēscere* ‘to grow less, decline’ (Pl.+), *excrēscere* ‘to grow out, grow larger’ (Cato+), *incrēbrēscere* ‘to increase in frequency’ (Pl.+), *incrēbrāre* ‘to multiply’ (Pl.), *prōcrēscere* ‘to grow up’ (Lucr.+), *succrēscere* ‘to grow up from below’ (Pl.+).

PIt. \**krē-* ‘to grow, increase’, \**krē-pro-* ‘growing, in large numbers’.

PIE \**k<sup>(w)</sup>reh<sub>1</sub>-* [pr./aor.] ‘to become bigger, stronger’. IE cognates: SeCS *okrijati* ‘to recover’, OCz. *křati*, pr. *krěji*, Ru.dial. *krejāt* ‘to heal’, Ukr. *krijaty* ‘to become healthy’, Bulg. *krēja* ‘to become weak, be ill’ < PSl. \**krějo* ‘to heal’.

The adj. *crēber* and the ppp. *crētus* suggest that the present stem was \**krē-*, from a PIE root present or aorist. From this, the Latin *ske/o*-present and the *v*-perfect could be derived. The long vowel does not require a PIE lengthened grade (pace Rieken 2003: 47). It is tempting to derive *crēscō* from PIE \**k<sup>(w)</sup>erh<sub>3</sub>-* ‘to feed’, but the position of the ablaut vowel is problematic; cf. s.v. *Cerēs*. Hill 2006: 196 derives *crēber* from \**k<sup>(w)</sup>rēsro-*, a *ro*-adj. built to an earlier *s*-stem \**k<sup>(w)</sup>reh<sub>1</sub>-e/os-* [n.] ‘growth’.

Bibl.: WH I: 288f., EM 150, IEW 577, Schrijver 1991: 124, Hill 2006, LIV \**k<sup>(w)</sup>erh<sub>3</sub>-*. → *Cerēs*, *creō*, *prōcērus*

**crēta** ‘fine clay’ [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *crētātus* ‘whitened with chalk’ (Lucil.+), *crēteus* ‘made of clay’ (Lucr.), *crētōsus* ‘abounding in clay’ (Cato+).

PIt. \**krēt-?* \**krējVt-?* ‘clay’.

IE cognates: OIr. *cré*, gen.sg. *criad*, W. *pridd*, Co. *pry*, Bret. *pri* ‘mud, clay’ < PCI. nom.sg. \**k<sup>(w)</sup>rūat-s*.

The word has formerly been regarded as a possible substantivization of (*terra*) *crēta* ‘sifted (earth)’, ppp. of *cernere*. This is phonetically possible but semantically unconvincing, since ‘clay’ is not ‘sifted earth’, but at most ‘fine sand’, ‘as fine as sifted earth’. More attractive is Pedersen’s connection (apud WH) of *crēta* with the Celtic words for ‘mud, clay’ in initial \**k<sup>(w)</sup>r-*. Celtic and Latin can jointly go back to a PIE stem \**k<sup>(w)</sup>reh<sub>1</sub>-i-e/ot-*, but the root is unknown in PIE, and the morphology is not straightforward. It is conceivable that Latin borrowed ‘clay’ from Celtic (as it did with some other technical words) before the shift of \**ē* to \**ī* in PCI. or in Lepontic. As suggested by Pedersen, the Italo-Celtic correspondence might be a loanword from an unknown third party.

Bibl.: WH I: 290f., EM 150, Schrijver 1991: 282f., 1995: 292.

**cribrum** ‘sieve’ [n. *o*] (Pl.+)

PIt. \**kreipro-*.

PIE \**krei-d<sup>h</sup>ro-* ‘sieve’. IE cognates: OIr. *criathar*, W. *crwydr*, MBret. *croezr* ‘sieve’ < \**krei-tro-*, OE *hrīdder*, OHG *rītera* ‘id.’.

Bibl.: WH I: 205, EM 150, IEW 938-947, Rasmussen 1989: 277, Sihler 1995: 148, 534, Schrijver 1995: 224, LIV \**kreh<sub>1</sub>(i)-*. → *cernō*, *certus*

**crīnis** ‘hair of the head’ [f. *i*] (Pl.+; m. Pac.+; usually pi.)

Derivatives: *crīnītus* ‘having hair’ (Enn.+).

PIt. \**krisni-*.

PIE \**kris-ni-*. IE cognates: Go. *af-, us-hrisjan* ‘to shake off, out’, OE OS *hrissan* ‘to shake, shiver’; OIc. *hrís* ‘shrubs’, OE *hrūs* ‘branch, brush’.

One may detect a Latin stem \**kris-* ‘crest, plume, hair’ in *crīnis* and in Lat. *crista* and *crispus*. IEW compares Celtic and Gm. verbs in \**kris-* or \**krīs-* which mean ‘to swing, shake’, under the assumption that the hair was referred as ‘what you can shake back and forth’. This is possible, but not very appealing; and in Germanic, the vowel is long.

Bibl.: WH I: 292, EM 151, IEW 937. → *crīsō*, *crispus*, *crista*

**crīsō, -āre** ‘to move the haunches as in coitus’ [v. I] (Lucil.+)

IEW proposes \**kreits-e/o-* or \**krūtse/o-*, but the comparative evidence is small. It seems more straightforward to connect the Celtic and Germanic verbs in \**kris-* or \**krīs-* ‘to swing, shake’ discussed s.v. *crīnis*. We would have to assume that the \**s* was geminated in Latin, yielding \**krīss-* > *crīs-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 292f., EM 151, IEW 935-938. → *crīnis*

**crispus** ‘curly, curled (of hair)’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

PIt. \**krispo-* ‘curly, crumpled, twisted’.

Many scholars (e.g. IEW, Meiser 1998) assume PIt. \**kripso-* on account of the cognate Celtic forms: W. *crych*, Bret. *crec’h* ‘curly; wrinkled’ (< \**kripso-* or \**krispo-*). Schrijver 1995, however, has shown that intervocalic *ps* and *sp* give the same result in British Celtic. Since *crispus* can be connected with other forms in \**kris-* in Latin, especially *crīnis* and *crista*, it seems more likely that the original form was Italo-Celtic \**kris-po-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 293, EM 151, IEW 938, Schrijver 1995: 374, Meiser 1998: 127. → *crīnis*

**crista** ‘crest on the head of a bird or beast, plume’ [f. *ā*] (Lucret.+)

PIt. \**kristā-*.

PIE \**kris-th<sub>2</sub>-*.

Derived from the same stem \**kris-* ‘crest, plume, hair’ as *crīnis* and *crispus*.

Bibl.: WH I: 292, EM 151, IEW 938. → *crīnis*

**crōciō, -īre** ‘to croak’ [v. IV] (Pl.+; variant *crocciō*)

PIt. \**kro-k-*.

IE cognates: Skt. *krós-* ‘to call’, Av. *xraos-* ‘cry’ < \**kreu-k-*, Skt. *kṛkara-*, *krakara-*, *kṛkaṇa-* [m.] ‘kind of partridge’, Av. *kahrkatāt-* ‘cock’; Lith. *krokoti*, ORu. *krakati* ‘to croak’, OPr. *kracto* ‘black woodpecker’, Lith. *kr(i)ōkti* ‘to rattle, grunt’, OCS *krǫkŋŋti* ‘to squeak’.

Most IE languages contain words in <sup>(\*)</sup>*k(V)r-* indicating a creaking, croaking sound, but the formations are largely independent and are constantly renewed. In *crōciō*, one

may see onomatopoeic reduplication of the type *kr-kr-*. A random selection of other IE forms which also have a second syllable in initial dental is given above.

Bibl.: WH I: 293, EM 151, IEW 567ff. → *cornīx*, *corvus*

**crūdus** ‘raw, bloody, rough, cruel’ [adj. *o/a*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *crūditās* ‘indigestion’ (Varro+); *crūdēlis* ‘cruel’ (Enn.+), *crūdēlitās* ‘cruelty’ (Acc.+).

PIt. *\*krowoþo-*.

PIE *\*kreh<sub>2</sub>-od<sup>h</sup>-o-* (?) ‘raw’. IE cognates: Mlr. *cruaid* ‘hardy, harsh, stern’.

If the root had zero grade, *crūdus* can reflect a dissimilation of *\*kruh<sub>2</sub>-ro-* > *\*krūro-* > *\*krūdo-* (cf. Av. *xrūra-* ‘bloody’ < *\*kruh<sub>2</sub>-ro-*); thus EIEC 71. However, the assumed dissimilation is unusual and cannot be accepted without further support. In view of *cruor*, and the frequent connection of nouns in *\*-ōs* with adj. in *-idus* (Nussbaum 1999a), *crūdus* might reflect *\*kreh<sub>2</sub>-id<sup>h</sup>-o-*, but this would only work via *\*krewiþo-* > *\*krowido-* with syncope of *\*i*, since unsyncopeated (or restored) *\*-owi-* yields *-ō-* (Schrijver 1991: 272-282). Yet syncope is not common in the adj. in *-idus*, so that we may still look for an alternative solution. One of the possible reconstructions for *nūdus* ‘naked’ is (and has usually been) *\*ne/og<sup>w</sup>-od<sup>h</sup>-o-*, which would lead to a reconstruction *\*kreh<sub>2</sub>-od<sup>h</sup>-o-* for *crūdus*; note the semantic relationship between ‘naked’ and ‘raw’. For Mlr. *cruaid*, Driessen (p.c.) suggests the loss of *\*u* between two identical rounded vowels with resulting vowel contraction: *\*kreh<sub>2</sub>-od<sup>h</sup>-i-* > PCI. *\*krouod-i-* > *\*krōd<sup>h</sup>-i-* > *cruaid*.

Bibl.: WH I: 294, EM 152, IEW 621f., Leumann 1977: 330, Schrijver 1991: 232, Nussbaum 1999a: 404. → *cruentus*, *cruor*

**cruentus** ‘bloody, blood-thirsty, cruel’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Enn.+)

Derivatives: *cruentāre* ‘to cause to bleed; stain with blood’ (Enn.+).

PIt. *\*kruwnto-* [adj.].

PIE *\*kruh<sub>2</sub>-(e/o)nt-* ‘bloody, raw’.

The closest comparandum in form and meaning is YAv. *xruuant-* ‘bloody, gory’, which may be a derivative in possessive *\*-e/ont-* to PIE *\*kruh<sub>2</sub>-* ‘blood, gore’ (Olr. *crú*, OCS *kry* [f. *ū*]). According to Nussbaum (p.c.), the neuter *\*kruh<sub>2</sub>-nt-* of this adj. could have functioned as a collective ‘blood, goriness’, whence with thematization a new adj. *\*kruh<sub>2</sub>-nt-o-* ‘blood, gory’ > Lat. *cruentus*. Alternatively, it might be assumed that *cruentos* was built on a PIE loc.sg. *\*kruH-en-* to the root noun *\*kruH-*. The formation may be compared with that of Skt. *hemantá-* ‘wintry’ > ‘winter’ as per Nussbaum 2004b: *\*kruH-en* ‘in blood’ > *\*kruH-en-t-* [noun] ‘bloodiness, cruelty’ > *\*kruHent-o-* [adj.] ‘with bloodiness, bloody, cruel’ = Lat. *cruentus*.

Bibl.: WH I: 294, EM 152, IEW 621f., Leumann 1977: 360. → *crūdus*, *cruor*

**cruor**, *-ōris* ‘blood from a wound; bloodshed’ [m. *r*] (Acc.+)

PIt. *\*kruwōs* [m.] ‘blood’.

PIE *\*kruh<sub>2</sub>-ōs* ‘blood’. IE cognates: Olr. *crú* ‘id.’, W. *crau*, Mlr. *cruaid* ‘hardy, harsh, stern’, Skt. *kraviṣ-* ‘raw meat’, Gr. κρέας ‘id.’, OPr. *krawian*; *crauyo*, Lith. *kraūjas*,



OCS *krъ*, Ru. *krov* 'blood' < BSl. \**kruHs*, \**krouio-*; OE *hrēaw* 'raw', OHG *hrō*.

The fact that we find a PIE *s*-stem \**kreuH-s* continued in Ilr. and Gr. lends credibility to a reconstruction \**kruh<sub>2</sub>-ōs* for *cruor*.

Bibl.: WH I: 294f., EM 152, IEW 621f. → *crūdus*, *cruentus*

**crūs, -ris** '(lower) leg, shank' [n. *r*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *crūsculum/s* 'little shank' (Pl.); *crūricrepida* [m.] 'one who has chains clanking about his legs' (Pl.), *crūrifragius* [m. PN] 'broken-shin' (Pl.).

Plt. \**krūs-*.

Together with Arm. *srunk* ' [pl.] 'calf, shin', Lat. *crūs* could go back to a root \**kruH-*; but the Armenian form might also represent a loanword from Iranian \**sraun-*, as argued by Georgiev 1962 and Beekes 2003: 175. Georgiev tries to derive *crūs* from the same stem as *clūnis*, but this requires too many unwarranted assumptions.

Bibl.: WH I: 295, EM 153, IEW 624.

**crusta** 'crust, shell; thin slab, leaf' [f. *ā*] (Lucr.+)

Derivatives: *crustulum* 'small cake or pastry' (Pl.+), *crustum* 'cake, pastry' (Verg.+).

Plt. \**krusto-* 'hardened'. It. cognates: possibly O. *krustatar* '?' (a denominative verb?) belongs here.

PIE \**krus-to-* 'crushed, pounded'. IE cognates: Av. *xrūždra-* 'hard', *xrūždisma-* '(made from) hard soil', *xrūždā-* 'hardship'; Gr. *κρούω* 'to strike, smite' < \**krous(i)e/o-*; Lith. *krūšti* 'to crush', OCS *sv-krušq*, *-krūšiti* 'to break into pieces', Lith. *krušà* 'hail', CS *krůxa* 'grain', Ru. *króxa* 'crumb' < \**krus-ā-*. Uncertain: Gr. *κρύος* 'frost', *κρύσταλλος* 'ice', *κρυσταίνομαι* 'to be congealed with cold'; Latv. *kruvesis* 'frozen dirt on a field'; OHG *hrusa* 'ice, crust', OE *hrūse* 'earth, soil, ground'; ToB *króšce* 'cold' (adj.).

In spite of WH, I think that *crusta* is best connected with PIE \**krus-* 'to thrust, pound' (attested in BSl. and Gr.), since a 'crust' can easily be referred to as the 'hardened' part (hardened by battering or pounding). Beekes (fihc.) rejects the traditional connection with the Gr. words for 'ice, frost' since there is no indication that *crusta* originally referred to ice or cold. The position of the Germanic words is uncertain: what is their original meaning? It is possible to connect Ilr. \**krusd-* 'hard', as reflected in the Avestan words in *xrūžd-*, although the origin of *-d-* would remain unclear.

Bibl.: WH I: 295f., EM 153, IEW 622, Untermann 2000: 404.

**crux, -cis** 'wooden frame, cross' [f. *k*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *cruciāre* 'to torture, cause pain' (Pl.+), *cruciābilitās* 'torment' (Pl.), *cruciābiliter* 'with torture' (Pl.), *cruciāmentum* 'a torture' (Pl.+), *cruciātus, -ūs* 'the act of tormenting, pain' (Pl.+); *excruciāre* 'to torture' (Pl.+), *excruciābilis* 'deserving torture' (Pl.); *crucius* [adj.] 'cross, bad' (Lucil. apud Paul. *ex F.* ).

Plt. \**kruk(-i)-?*

IE cognates: Ir. *crúach* [f.] 'heap, hill', Gaul. \**krouka* 'summit', whence \**krōkka*, *krūk(k)a* 'id.', W. *crug* 'cippus, tumulus', Co. OBret. *cruc* 'hill', Bret. *crug*; OIc. *hryggr* 'backbone', OE *hrycg*, OS *hruggi*, OHG(h) *rukki* 'back'; OIc. *hrúga*, *hraukr*

‘heap’, OE *hrēac*, MoDu. *rook*.

Originally an *i*-stem? Leumann explains the suffix of *cruc-iāre* as based on the dat.sg. *crucī*, and *crucius* as a back-formation to *cruciāre*; but this is not a common procedure in Latin. The Celtic and Gm. forms are often reconstructed as *\*kr(e)u-k-*, but we find vacillating vocalism within Gm.; also, the meanings ‘backbone’ and ‘heap’ are not necessarily connected. Even if the words in *\*kruk-* from Latin and Italo-Celtic belong together, the root structure does not look PIE (and a root enlargement *k* is unknown), and might be interpreted as a non-IE substratum word borrowed into Italo-Celtic. But Latin may also just have borrowed the word from a contemporary language.

Bibl.: WH I: 296, EM 153, IEW 935-938, Leumann 1977: 546, Sihler 1995: 283.

**cubitus** ‘elbow, forearm’ [m. *o*] (Pl.+; *cubitum* Lucil.+)

This word has generally been regarded as a derivative of a PIE root *\*keu-b-*, like the verb *cubāre*. Cognate nouns outside Italic would be Gr. κύβος ‘hollow above the hip (with cattle); cube, dice’, W. *gogof*, Bret. *kougoñ* ‘hole, hollow’ (< *\*upo-kubā*), Go. *hups* (< *\*hupi-*) ‘hip’. Yet the first meaning of the Greek word is clearly secondary to ‘dice’, the Celtic words have a meaning not remotely similar to *cubitus*, and Gm. ‘hip’ is also quite a different body part. Even if *cubitus* were to be derived from a PIE root *\*kub-*, the morphology would remain unclear. Furthermore, none of the possible root structures *\*kub-*, *\*kub<sup>h</sup>-* or *\*kud<sup>h</sup>-* is regular in PIE. It seems much safer to assume that *cubitus* ‘elbow’ is a specific instance of the ppp. *cubitus* of the verb *cubāre* ‘to lie down’. People lie down on their elbow if they sleep on their side, and the Romans even reclined when dining. It matters little whether the original meaning was ‘forearm’ or ‘the elbow joint’. One may even suggest that the verb *cubitāre* ‘to lie down’ (Pl.+) is not (only) a frequentative to *cubāre*, but (also) arose as a denominative ‘to rest on the elbow’ to *cubitus*.

Bibl.: WH I: 297, EM 153, IEW 588-592, LIV ?\*(k')eubh<sub>2</sub>-. → *-cumbō*

**cucūlus** ‘cuckoo; fool’ [m. *o*] (Pl.+; variant *cucullus*) IE cognates: MĪr. *cūach*, W. *cog* ‘cuckoo’; Skt. *kokilā-*, *kóka-* [m.] ‘id.’ < *\*kouk-*; Gr. κόκκυξ, -ῦγος [m.] ‘id.’ (dissimilated from *\*κυκκυ-*), κόκκυ ‘cry of the cuckoo’; Lith. *kukuoti*, lett. *kūkuoti* ‘to cry cuckoo’; NHG *Kuckuck*, MoE *cuckoo* (unshifted *k*).

An onomatopoeic form “saying *cucu*” which can be found in many IE languages. Within Latin, compare *cuccubire* ‘to hoot’ (of owls).

Bibl.: WH I: 299, EM 154, IEW 627.

**cucumis, -eris** ‘cucumber’ [m. *r*] (Pl.+; *cucumis, -is* Plin.)

Probably a loanword from a non-IE language. The original form may have been *\*kuku(m)-*, cf. Gr. κύκυον and σίκυον ‘fig’.

Bibl.: WH I: 299f., EM 154, Leumann 1977: 382.

**cucurbita** ‘gourd’ [f. *ā*] (Varro+)

Derivatives: *cucurbitivus* ‘a variety of pear or fig’ (Cato+).

Probably a loanword. Compare *cucumis* with the same initial sequence *cucu-*, and a similar meaning.

Bibl.: WH I: 300, EM 154.

**cūdō, -ere** ‘to beat, hammer’ [v. III; pf. *cūdī*, ppp. *cūsum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *accūdere* ‘to coin in addition’ (Pl.), *prōcūdere* ‘to forge’ (Pl.+), *excūdere* ‘to hammer out’ (Pl.+); *incūs, -dis* ‘anvil’ [f.] (Pl.+), *subscūs, -dis* ‘wooden peg, dowel’ (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*kūd-e/o-*.

PIE *\*kuh<sub>2</sub>-d-e/o-* ‘to hit’. IE cognates: Gr. κέασαι ‘to cleave’, Lith. *kāuti, kāuju*, Latv. *kaūt* ‘to hit’, OCS *kovati*, 1s. *kovq* ‘to forge’ < *\*kouH-*, OIc. *hoggva*, OHG *houwan* ‘to hew, beat’ < *\*kouH-*; ToA *ko-*, ToB *kau-* ‘to kill’ < *\*keh<sub>2</sub>u-*; ToA *kot-*, ToB *kaut-* ‘to split’ < *\*keh<sub>2</sub>u-d<sup>h</sup>e-*.

In non-initial syllable, a change *\*au > ū* took place in Latin. It is often surmised that this happened in the compounds in *-cūdō*, from which *ū* was then introduced in the simplex. Schrijver 1991: 285 objects that the attestations of the simplex are too early for this to be true. He proposes to explain *cūdō* from *\*keuh<sub>2</sub>-d<sup>h</sup>ō > \*keuad<sup>h</sup>ō > \*kouad<sup>h</sup>ō > \*koudō > cūdō*, whereas *caudex* would reflect *\*kouh<sub>2</sub>-d<sup>h</sup>-ek- > \*kouad<sup>h</sup>ek- > \*kauadek- > kaudek-* (if *\*u* and *\*d<sup>h</sup>* were contiguous, *\*d<sup>h</sup>* would yield *b* (cf. *iūbeō*). Since the unrounding of *\*ouV > \*auV* must be placed in the relative chronology before the PIIt. change of *\*eu > \*ou*, this forces Schrijver to reconstruct a separate *o*-grade for *caudex*. Such an alternation is of course possible, especially in view of the iterative *\*kouh<sub>2</sub>-* attested by other IE languages; but it does make the reconstruction less certain. Hackstein 2002a: 15 gives the alternative etymology *\*ke/ouh<sub>2</sub>-d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-o-* ‘placing a strike’ > with laryngeal loss *\*ke/ou-d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-o-*, from which (when verbalized) *cūdō* would derive; yet in *\*d<sup>h</sup>* was in direct contact with *\*u*, we would expect a Latin outcome *\*cūbō*. Hackstein and LIV assume a PIE root enlargement *\*-d<sup>h</sup>-* because of Tocharian *-t-*, but it is possible that Tocharian and Latin generalized two different dental stops here. It is therefore easier to assume that *cūdō* reflects *\*kuh<sub>2</sub>-d-* (with laryngeal metathesis), while *cauda* and *caudex* reflect *\*keh<sub>2</sub>u-d-*; see also Vine 2006a: 232f.

Bibl.: WH I: 300f., EM 154, IEW 535, Benedetti 1988: 76f., Schrijver 1991: 285-288, LIV *\*keh<sub>2</sub>u-* ‘to hit, cleave’. → *cauda, caudex*

**cūius** ‘whose’ [adj. *o/ā*; OLat. *quius*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *cūiās, -ātis* [adj.] ‘of what country, from which place?’, *cūiusnam* ‘of whatever person?’ (Pl.).

PIIt. *\*k<sup>w</sup>osjo-* ‘whose’. It. cognates: O. **pūiuu** [nom.sg.f.], **pūiieh** [gen.sg.m.], PalU. **poiei** [dat.sg.m.] ‘whose’.

PIE *\*k<sup>w</sup>osio* [gen.sg.]. IE cognates: Gr. ποῖος [adj.] ‘what kind of’.

Built on the gen.sg. *cūius* of *quī* ‘who, what’, which was taken as a new nom.sg. of a possessive adj. The same process can be observed in Sabellic, so that it will have been

PIt. Gr. ποῖος < \**k<sup>w</sup>osios* is probably the result of an independent development in Greek on the basis of PIE gen.sg. \**k<sup>w</sup>osio* ‘of whom?’.

Bibl.: WH I: 301, EM 560, IEW 644, 648, Sihler 1995: 387, Meiser 1998: 166, Untermann 2000: 597. → *quī*

**culcita** ‘cushion’ [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *culcitula* ‘small mattress’ (Pl.+).

No etymology. The Skt. word *kūrcā-* ‘bunch, bundle (of grass)’ is unrelated (cf. EWAia I: 386).

Bibl.: WH I: 302, EM 155, IEW 630.

**culex, -icis** ‘gnat, midge’ [m. *k*] (Pl.+)

PIt. \**kul-i-k-*?

PIE \**k<sup>u</sup>H-ló-* ‘sharp’. IE cognates: OIr. *cuil* [f.] ‘fly’, W. *cylionen* ‘midges’ < \**kūli-*; Skt. *śūla-* [m. / n.] ‘spear’.

Due to Dybo’s shortening of long pretonic vowels in front of resonants (cf. Schrijver 1991: 343), Italic-Celtic \**kūlV-* would yield Latin *culV-*. Of course, the place of the accent is uncertain: Skt. would point to initial accent, but since *śūla-* is a noun, it may have secondarily acquired initial accentuation.

Bibl.: WH I: 302, EM 155, IEW 626, Schrijver 1991: 239, 527. → *cīmex, pūlex*

**culleus** ‘leather sack’ [m. *o*] (Pl.+; Cato n.pl. *cullea*, gen.pl. *culleum*)

Derivatives: *culleāris* [adj.] ‘holding a *culleus*’ (Cato+); *cōleī, -ōrum* ‘the testicles’ (Lab.+).

IE cognates: Gr. *κολεόν* ‘sheath of a sword’ (possibly < \**κολεϝ-όν*).

Probably a loanword from a non-IE language, independently into Latin and Greek. Lat. *cōleī* is probably a variant of \**culleī*.

Bibl.: WH I: 303, EM 155, IEW 553f.

**culmus** ‘stalk, stem, straw’ [m. *o*] (Varro+)

PIt. \**kol(a)mo-*.

PIE \**k<sup>o</sup>lh<sub>2</sub>-mo-* ‘stalk, stem, straw’. IE cognates: Gr. *κάλαιμος* ‘reed, straw’, *καλάμη* ‘stubble’ < \**k<sup>o</sup>lh<sub>2</sub>-em-*, Latv. *saīms*, OPr. *salme*, CS *slama*, Ru. *solóma*, SCr. *slāma* ‘straw’ < \**k<sup>o</sup>lH-m-eh<sub>2</sub>*; OHG *hal(a)m* ‘stalk, straw’.

Greek requires \**k<sup>o</sup>lh<sub>2</sub>-em-h<sub>2</sub>-*, whereas BSl. and Gm. agree on \**k<sup>o</sup>lh<sub>2</sub>-m-*. In Latin, this would yield \**kolamos*, which Nussbaum argues may have given \**kolmos* > *culmus*. Schrijver, however, is very reluctant to assume that \**a* would be syncopated in a trisyllabic word with a short-vowel stem. One might assume that the laryngeal was never vocalized because it was dropped between resonants after an *o*-grade (the so-called de Saussure effect; Nussbaum, too, allows for this scenario). For the root etymology, a connection with \**k<sup>o</sup>lH-* ‘to rise’ is impossible if the latter really has a pure velar; but semantically, it would be attractive.

Bibl.: WH I: 303f., EM 155, IEW 612, Schrijver 1991: 327, Nussbaum 1997: 196f.

**culpa** 'blame, guilt' [f. *ā*] (Pl.+; older *colpa*, Prisc.)

Derivatives: *culpāre* 'to blame' (Pl.+), *culpitāre* 'to censure' (Pl.).

PIt. *\*k(w)e/ol(V)pā-* 'wrong, mistake'.

PIE *\*k<sub>u</sub>olp-h<sub>2</sub>-* 'bend, turn'. IE cognates: Gr. κόλπος [m.] 'bosom, lap' < *\*k<sub>u</sub>olp-o-*; OPr. ppp. *po-quelbton* 'kneeling'; OIc. ppp. *holfinn* 'vaulted', *hvelfa* 'to make vaulted, turn over', OHG *bewelben* [v.], OIc. *hualf*, OE *hwealf* [f.] 'vault'.

The original meaning of *culpa* is 'a state of error' rather than 'an error committed', according to EM. We might then connect *culpa* with PGm. *\*hwelf-a-* 'to wind, bend', maybe also OPr. *po-quelbton* 'kneeling', for which LIV reconstructs PIE *\*k<sub>u</sub>elp-* 'to wind, turn'. A noun *\*k<sub>u</sub>olp-h<sub>2</sub>-* may have indicated a 'wrong', cf. the etymology of this English word.

Bibl.: WH I: 304, EM 155, IEW 630, LIV *\*k<sub>u</sub>elp-*.

**culter, -trī** 'knife' [m. *o*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *cultellus* 'small knife' (Asellio+).

PIt. *\*k(e/o)l-tro-* 'knife'.

PIE *\*(s)kolh<sub>2/3</sub>-tro-* 'instrument for cleaving'. IE cognates: Hit. *iškalla<sup>i</sup> / iškall-* 'to slit, split, tear' < *\*skólh<sub>2/3</sub>- / \*sklh<sub>2/3</sub>-*; Gr. σκάλλω 'to stir up, hoe' (if from *\*sklh<sub>2/3</sub>-ie/o-* > *\*sklie/o-*), Arm. *c'elaw* 'split, tore' [pret.], Lith. *skilti* 'to strike fire', *skélti* 'to cleave, strike fire'.

If connected with a root in *-l*, *culter* might belong to the root PIE *\*(s)kelh<sub>2/3</sub>-* 'to split, cleave'; the ablaut of the root cannot be decided for *culter*. Some (Leumann 1977) prefer to explain *culter* as the result of a dissimilation from *\*ke/ortro-*, in which case it may have been derived from the root *\*(s)ker-* 'to shear, cut off' (Gr. κείρω 'I shear'; cf. Latin *carō*). But since this explanation requires an extra assumption, and since a dissimilation might equally well have yielded *\*certulum*, a PIE root in *\*-l-* seems preferable.

Bibl.: WH I: 304, EM 155, IEW 923-927, Leumann 1977: 313, LIV *\*skelH-*.

**cūlus** 'anus, arse' [m. *o*] (Catullus+)

PIt. *\*kūlo-* 'back'.

PIE *\*kuH-lo-* 'backside, rear'. IE cognates: OIr. *cúl* 'back', OCo. *chil*, W. *cil* 'corner, angle' < PCl. *\*kūlo-*.

The original accentuation of Italo-Celtic *\*kuHlo-* is unknown. Pokorny 1959 connects these words with a PIE root *\*(s)keu-* 'to cover', but as a verbal root, this reconstruction has been abandoned by LIV. Lat. *cūlus* might be connected with Gm. 'hide' (*\*hūδ-*), Latin *cutis*. That word is reconstructed (implicitly) as *\*kHu-ti-* by Kortlandt (1980a: 15). If it is accepted that these are cognates, *cūlus* would continue *\*kHú-lo-* with barytonesis, with (by Kortlandt's rule) subsequent laryngeal metathesis to *\*kuHlo-*. This implies that the PIE root may have had the form *\*kHu-*. This might be identified as the root PIE *\*keh<sub>2</sub>u-* 'to cleave', from which I also derive *cauda* 'tail' and *caudex* 'tree-trunk'.

Bibl.: WH I: 305, EM 156, IEW 951ff., Schrijver 1991: 232, 1995: 193.

**cum** ‘when’ [adv.] (Lex XII+; older form *quom*, before Cicero)

Derivatives: *-cumque* ‘-ever, -soever’; *quondam* ‘formerly’ (Naev.+), *quoniam* ‘as soon as; because’ (Andr.+).

PIt. *\*k<sup>w</sup>om* ‘when’. It. cognates: U. **pumpe** ‘whenever’ < *\*k<sup>w</sup>om-k<sup>w</sup>e*; O. **pún, pun, pon**, U. **pune, puni, ponne, pone** ‘when’ < *\*k<sup>w</sup>om-de*; O. **punum** ‘id.’ < *\*k<sup>w</sup>om-de + -um*.

PIE *\*k<sup>w</sup>om* [acc.sg.m.]. IE cognates: OPr. *kan*, Lith. (dial.) *ką* ‘when’; OCS *ko-gda* < *\*ko-g(o)da*; Go. *hvan* ‘when’.

Lat. *quoniam* < *\*quom iam*.

Bibl.: EM 561, WH II: 411f., IEW 644ff., Leumann 1977: 137, Sihler 1995: 66, Meiser 1998: 166, Untermann 2000: 601f., 604-606, 609. → *quī, umquam*

**-cumbō, -ere; cubō, -āre** ‘to lie down, recline; be lying’ [v. III; I; pf. *cubūī*, ppp. *cubitum* (for both)] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *cubiculum* ‘bedroom’ (Pl.+), *cubiculāris* ‘of a bedroom’ (Varro+); *cubīle* [n.] ‘bed, couch; den’ (Pl.+); *cubitāre* ‘to recline; have intercourse with’ (Pl.+), *cubitus, -ūs* ‘reclining, resting’ (Cato+), *cubitūra* ‘reclining’ (Pl.); *accubāre* ‘to lie, recline’ (Pl.+), *accumbere* ‘to lie down’ (Pl.+), *concumbere* ‘to lie together’ (Ter.+), *concupina* ‘concubine’ (Pl.+), *concupinātus, -ūs* ‘concubinage’ (Pl.+), *concupitus, -ūs* ‘sexual intercourse’ (Pl.+), *concupius* [adj.] ‘of the bed, of lying down’ (Pl.+), *dēcumbere* ‘to lie down’ (Pl.+), *discumbere* ‘to lie down’ (Pl.+), *excubiae* [f.pl.] ‘the keeping of a watch, vigil’ (Pl.+), *incubāre* ‘to lie or recline on’ (Pl.), *incubitāre* ‘to lie on, sit’ (Pl.+), *prōcumbere* ‘to lean forward, fall down’ (Lucr.+), *recubāre* ‘to lie back’ (Lucr.+), *recumbere* ‘to lie or lay back’ (Enn.+), *succumbere* ‘to sink to the ground, give way’.

PIt. *\*kumbe/o-* ‘to lie down’, *\*kubāje/o-* ‘be lying’. It. cognates: Fal. **cupat, cubat, cupa** [3s.pr.], **cupat, (cupa)nt** [3p.pr.] ‘to lie down’, Pael. *incubat* [3s.pr.], SPic. **qumat** [3s.pr.], Marr. *cibat, encubat* [3s.pr.] ‘lies (buried)’.

IE cognates: MW *kyscu*, MCo. *koska*, MBret. *cousquet* ‘to sleep’ < PCI. *\*kuφ-ske/o-* < *\*kub-ske/o-*.

Because of *\*b* and the restriction to Italo-Celtic, PIE origin of *\*kub-* is uncertain. If PIE, the nasal present and class I conjugation point to a laryngeal-final root *\*kubH-*. However, *-āre* is strange for a stative meaning; for this reason, LIV considers *\*(<sup>h</sup>)kubh<sub>2</sub>-éh<sub>1</sub>-je-* > *\*kubā(i)e-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 298, EM 153f., IEW 590, Leumann 1977: 350, 564, Steinbauer 1989: 61f., Rix 1999: 520f., Untermann 2000: 418, Schumacher 2004: 424f., LIV *?(<sup>h</sup>)k<sup>h</sup>ēubh<sub>2</sub>-*. → *cacūmen, cubitus*

**cumulus** ‘heap, pile’ [m. o] (Lucil.+)

Derivatives: *cumulāre* ‘to gather, pile up’ (Pl.+), *accumulāre* ‘to pile up’ (Lucr.+).

PIt. *\*kumo-*.

PIE *\*<sup>h</sup>kuh<sub>1</sub>-mo-* ‘heap’. IE cognates: Gr. *κῦμα, -ατος* ‘wave, breakers; foetus, sprout’.

It is striking that *cumulāre* is attested significantly earlier than *cumulus*. *Cumulus* presupposes earlier *\*kumos*. Schrijver 1991 doubts the connection with *\*<sup>h</sup>kuH-* ‘to swell’, because short *u* would then be difficult to account for. However, if pretonic

long vowels were indeed shortened in front of resonants in Plt. (Schrijver 1991: 343), a preform *\*kūmós* would phonetically yield *\*kumos*. Another possible explanation for *cumulus*, if it does reflect *\*kūmos*, is analogy with *tumulus* ‘heap, mound’, which must have had a short vowel from the outset.

Bibl.: WH I: 306, EM 157, IEW 592ff., Schrijver 1991: 235, LIV *\*k̑ueh<sub>1</sub>-*. → *cavus*, *inciens*

**cūnae** ‘cradle’ [f.pl. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *incūnābula*, *-ōrum* ‘(apparatus for the) cradle’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*koina-* ‘cradle’.

PIE *\*koi-no-* ‘lair, cradle’ (vel sim.). IE cognates: Hit. *kā<sup>na(ri)</sup>*, Pal. *kā-*, CLuw. *zī-*, Lyc. *si-* ‘to lie’ < *\*kei-(t)o*; Skt. *śāye*, *śere*, Av. *saēte*, *sōire*; Gr. *κεῖται* ‘to lie’, *κοῖτος* [m.], *κοίτη* ‘lair’, *ἄκοιτις* ‘spouse’, Oic. *híd*, *hídi* [n.] ‘a bear’s lair’ (< *\*kei-to-*); PIE *\*koi-mo-* in OIr. *cóim*, *cóem* ‘dear’; Latv. *sàime* ‘family’, OCS *sěmьja* ‘household, slaves’; Go. *haims* ‘village’, Oic. *heimr* ‘home, world’, OE *hām*, OS *hēm*, OHG *heim* ‘home, house’.

Lat. *incūnābula* does not necessarily presuppose a verb *\*incūnāre* ‘to lay in a cradle’, but may have been formed directly on *cūnae* (Serbat 1975: 45). WH derive *cūnae* from PIE *\*kei-* ‘to lie’, but EM reject this comparison, on the grounds that this root is not otherwise attested in western IE languages. This is not a strong objection, and we may accept the etymology as an *-nh<sub>2</sub>*-derivative with *o*-grade in the root.

Bibl.: WH I: 306f., EM 157, IEW 539f., Leumann 1977: 314, 320, LIV 1. *\*kei-*.

**cūnctor**, *-ārī* ‘to be slow, hesitate’ [v. I] (Pl.+; Pl., Enn., Acc. also active *cunctāre*)

Derivatives: *cunctātiō* ‘hesitation’ (Acc.+).

Plt. *\*konkito-* ‘hanging (intr.)’.

PIE *\*konk-(e)ie-* ‘to hang (tr.)’. IE cognates: Hit. *kānk<sup>i</sup>*, *kank-* ‘to hang (tr.), weigh’ < *\*konk-* / *\*k̑nk-* (Kloekhorst 2008: 437); Skt. *śānkate* ‘is afraid, fears’ (< *\*kénk-to*), Go. *hāhan* ‘to leave in uncertainty’, OE *hōn* ‘to hang (tr.)’ (< *\*konk-*), Oic. *hengja* ‘to hang, suspend’ (< *\*konk-eie-*).

Usually, a cluster *\*-nkt-* develops into *-nt-* (*quīntus*), unless the velar was restored. Since there was no model for restoration in the case of *cūnctor*, Sihler suggests that this is the phonetic outcome, and that simplification of the cluster only took place regularly after a front vowel. This seems counter-intuitive, since the position of the tongue is closer to the velum in the case of back vowels than front vowels. Leumann’s explanation (p. 217) seems more likely: the earlier form was *\*cuncitā-*. This is in line with the standard etymology, viz. a frequentative in *-itāre* to a stem *\*konk-e/o-* ‘to hang’, or a derivative of a ppp. *\*konk-e/i-to-*. The semantic shift from ‘be suspended’ to ‘hesitate’ is unremarkable. Most cognate IE verbs show an *o*-grade in the root, as does Latin.

Bibl.: WH I: 307, EM 157, IEW 566, Leumann 1977: 96, 217, 547f., Sihler 1995: 221, LIV *\*kénk-*.

**cunctus** ‘whole, all’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Carmen Arvale (acc.pl.m. *conctos*), Pl.+)

Plt. *\*kom-kito-* ‘collected’.

PIE *\*ki-to-* ‘stirred up’.

The traditional etymology is *\*kon-kitos*, ppp. to *con-ciēre*, hence ‘collected, called together’. This is semantically not completely straightforward, and EM reject it; on the other hand, such a development does not seem impossible. A preform *\*konkitos* is formally attractive, because in order to explain the preserved cluster *-nct-*, we must assume earlier *\*-nkVto-* (see s.v. *cūnctor*). Since syncope in medial syllable is unusual in *o*-stems, it may be proposed that the frequent use of *cunctus* as a plural *cunctī*, *-ōrum*, with corresponding final long vowels, caused the syncope of *\*i*. For the short vowel in the ppp. *citus*, see *cieō*. Sihler adopts the connection with Hit. *pa-an-ku-uš* ‘assembly’, but this must be given up, since the Hit. word has a gen.sg. *pankaṣas*, and is more likely to be cognate with Skt. *bahú-* ‘many, much’.

Bibl.: WH I: 307f., EM 157, IEW 538f., Polomé 1966, Leumann 1977: 96, 217, Sihler 1995: 145, 300, LIV *\*k<sup>(j)</sup>ei<sub>h</sub>₂-* → *cieō*, esp. *conciēre* ‘to stir up’ (Pl.+)

**cuneus** ‘wedge, plug; closely packed formation’ [m. *o*] (Pl.+)

WH and IEW support the connection with *culex* ‘gnat’ and Skt. *śūka-* ‘sting (of an insect)’, Av. *sūkā-* ‘needle’, but these presuppose a root *\*kuH-* which would yield Lat. *\*\*cūneus*. Long *\*ū* might have been shortened in pretonic position, but in the absence of any clear cognates of *cuneus*, this explanation is too uncertain. Lat. *-eus* usually forms adjectives of appurtenance or renders loanwords, e.g. such in Greek *-ιος*. Therefore, EM propose to see in *cuneus* a rendering of an Etruscan word borrowed from Greek γώνιος (pap.), γωνία ‘corner’ (Hdt.+). But the Gr. m. word is attested very late, and the quantity of the first vowel does not match. Hence, a Gr. origin is unconvincing (cf. Biville 1990 I: 228).

Bibl.: WH I: 308, EM 157, IEW 626f.

**cūniō**, *-īre* ‘to shit’ [v. IV] (Paul. *ex F.* ‘*cunire est stercus facere*’)

The length of *u* is unknown. WH and IEW assume long *ū*, and by means of an ingenuous (but not impossible) hypothesis connect *cūnīre* with *caenum* ‘mud, filth’ and Gm. words continuing *\*kuoin-*. Schrijver rejects this as too speculative. Indeed, nothing is certain. For all we know, *cuniō* is a derivative of (the stem of) *cunnus* ‘vagina’; for the semantics, cf. Dutch *kont* ‘arse’, Eng. *cunt* ‘vagina’.

Bibl.: WH I: 131f., EM 158, IEW 628, Schrijver 1991: 265. → *caenum*, *inquinō*

**cunnus** ‘vagina’ [m. *o*] (Cat.+)

PIE *\*kut-no-* ‘scrotum, vagina’. IE cognates: W. *cwd* ‘bag, scrotum’; Gr. κυσός ‘vagina, buttocks, bladder’ (< *\*kut-so-*?), maybe κύτος [n.] ‘hollow, vessel’; Lith. *kučys* ‘(money)bag’; OHG *hodo*, OFr. *hotha* ‘testicles’ < *\*χup-an-*.

We may distinguish a root *\*kut-* meaning ‘bag’, ‘scrotum’, and metaphorically also ‘female pudenda’. Lat. *cunnus* could be a thematization of an *n*-stem, such as found in Germanic ‘testicles’ (thus Leumann 1977: 320).



Bibl.: WH I: 309, EM 158, IEW 951-953, Schrijver 1991: 239.

**cūpa** ‘cask, tub, barrel’ [f. *ā*] (Cato+; variant <*cuppa*>)

Plt. \**kūp-*.

IE cognates: Skt. *kūpa-* [m.] ‘pit, hole’ (EWAia I: 385); Gr. κόπη ‘gap, hole’ (Hsch.) (*ū?*), κόπελλον ‘beaker’, κόπρος [m.] ‘corn measure’; OIc. *húfr* ‘ship’s hull’, OE *hýf* ‘beehive’ < PGm. \**χūfa-*.

Probably a non-IE loanword \**kūp-* which was borrowed by and from many languages. This explains, among others, the vacillating length of the *ū*.

Bibl.: WH I: 310f., EM 158, IEW 588-592, Schrijver 1991: 245f.

**cupiō, -ere** ‘to desire’ [v. III; pf. *cupī(v)ī*, ppp. *cupītum*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *cupienter* ‘eagerly’ (Pl.+), *cupidus* ‘longing, eager’ (Pl.+), *cupiditās* ‘greed’ (Pl.+), *cupīdō, -inis* ‘passionate desire; Cupid’ (Pl.+); *cuppēs, -ēdis* ‘gluttonous’ (Pl.+), *cuppēdia, -ōrum* [n.pl.] ‘delicacies’ (Pl.+) [var. *cūpē-/cūpī-*], *cuppēdō, -inis* ‘desire; gourmanderie’ (Varro+), *cuppēde/inārius* ‘confectioner’ (Ter.+); *concupiscere* ‘to desire ardently’ (Lucil.+), *discupere* ‘id.’ (Pl.+), *percupere* ‘to desire strongly’ (Pl.+).

Plt. pr. \**kup-i-*, \**kup-ei-*; aor. \**kup-ē-*; adj. \**koup-o-* ‘desiring’, \**koup-ēd-*. It cognates: maybe U. *cuprar*, *cupras*, *cupr[as]* [gen.sg.f.], SPic. *kuprī, qupírīh* [adv.]: an adj. \**kup-ro-* used as an attribute to ‘mother’ in U., and often identified as Sabinian *cuprus* ‘bonus’ in Varro, and with *dea Cupra* in Picenum (inscr.).

PIE \**kup-(e)i-* [pr.], \**kup-eh<sub>1</sub>-* [aor.] ‘to tremble, desire’, \**kup-ro-* ‘desirable’? IE cognates: OIr. *ad:cobra* ‘wants’, *accobor* [vn.] ‘desire’ (denomin. to \**kupro-*?); Skt. *ákupyant-* [neg.ptc.act.], *kupyati* [3s.act.] (ep.+), caus. *kopáyati* ‘to make quake (RV); to make angry (ep.)’; *prá-kupita-* ‘trembling, quaking’; Lith. *kūpėti*, 3s. *kūpa*, OCS *hypěti* ‘to simmer, to boil’ < BSl. \**kup-*; OIc. *hjúfa* ‘to lament’ < \**keup-e/o-*?

Whereas the 3<sup>rd</sup> conjugation with zero-grade root suggests a present \**kup-i-*, the pf. in *-īv-*, the inchoat. in *-iscere* and *cupīdō* point to a stem \**kup-ī-*, which may reflect \**kup-ei-* (cf. Schrijver 2003: 74). The stem \**kup-i-* may also be present in *cupidus*, cf. Nussbaum 1999a. In Skt., the PIE *i*-present was apparently thematized to \**kup-i-e-*. As Schrijver (2003: 79) notes, *i*-presents can occur beside *ē*-stative (aorists). The *ē* of *cuppēd-* may therefore reflect PIE \**kup-eh<sub>1</sub>-*. Double *-pp-* in *cuppēs* can be explained from \**cūpēd-* < Plt. \**koup-ēd-* ‘gluttonous’ by means of the *littera*-rule (see s.v. *cella*).

Bibl.: WH I: 312, EM 158, IEW 596f., Leumann 1977: 367, Schrijver 1991: 211, 260, Sihler 1995: 224, Untermann 2000: 405f., Schrijver 2003: 74, 78, Nussbaum 1999a, LIV \**keup-*.

**cūr** ‘why?; why’ [adv.; rel.] (Naev.+; *quōr* 12x Pl., Varro, Lucr., Cic., mss. variants *quīr*, *quīr*)

Plt. \**k<sup>w</sup>ōr*.

PIE \**k<sup>w</sup>ōr* < \**k<sup>w</sup>or* ‘where?’. IE cognates: Skt. *kār-hi* ‘when?’, Go. *hvar*, OIc. *hvar* ‘where’ < \**k<sup>w</sup>or*, OE *hwær*, OS OHG *hwār* ‘where’ < \**k<sup>w</sup>ēr*. From the stem \**k<sup>w</sup>u-*,

compare Alb. *kur* ‘when’, Lith. *kur̃* ‘where’.

For the phonetics, cf. *fūr* ‘thief’ < \*b<sup>h</sup>ōr. Apparently, the raising to -ūr only took place in stressed syllables, since the final -ōr of *auctōr*, *uxōr* etc. (shortened to -or around 200 BC) did not take part in it. PIE \*k<sup>w</sup>ōr can be explained from a PIE adverb in \*-r ‘where’ to the interr. pronoun \*k<sup>w</sup>o-, with lengthening in a monosyllable [and] in front of word-final resonant. The original meaning ‘where?’ has developed via ‘whence?’ to ‘why?’.

Bibl.: WH I: 313, EM 159, IEW 644ff., Schrijver 1991: 122, Sihler 1995: 399.

**cūra** ‘anxiety, care’ [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *cūrāre* ‘to watch over, care for, take care of’ (VOLat.+) [*coira-* and *coera-* in inscr. from the 2nd and 1st c. BC; *courare* 2x; *cūra-* not before 1<sup>st</sup> c. BC], *cūrātiō* ‘treatment, business’ (Pl.+), *cūrātor* ‘supervisor’ (Pl.+), *cūrātūra* ‘treatment’ (Ter.+), *cūrīōsus* ‘careful, curious; careworn’ (Pl.+); *incūria* ‘neglect’ (Cato+), *sēcūrus* ‘free from anxiety, safe’ (Naev.+); *accūrāre* ‘to give attention, attend’ (Pl.+), *excūrāre* ‘to take good care of’ (Pl.), *prōcūrāre* ‘to look after’ (Pl.+), *prōcūrātor* ‘superintendent’ (Pl.+), *prōcūrātiō* ‘car, responsibility’ (Varro+).

Plt. \*k<sup>w</sup>ois-ā- ‘care, watch’. It. cognates: Pael. *coisatens* [3p.pf.], U. *kuraia* [3s.pr.sb.], *kuratu si* [3s.pf.sb.ps.], *kuratu eru* [inf.pf.ps.] ‘to provide’, denom. of a noun \**kōisā-*. Untermann assumes that the verb was borrowed from Latin into Sabellic in pre-rhotacism times.

PIE \*k<sup>w</sup>ei-s- [pr.] ‘to heed’. IE cognates: Gaul. \**ad-pis-e/o-* ‘to see’, *pissiumi* ‘I will see’, OIr. *ad-cī\** ‘to see’, *do-écei\** ‘to regard’ (< \**de-en-*) < PCl. pr. \*k<sup>w</sup>is-e/o-; OIr. sb. *·ce-* (dep.) < \*k<sup>w</sup>eis-se/o-; OAv. *cōišta*, 2p. *cəuuīštā*, YAv. *cōišta* ‘to grant, allot’, OAv. *cinas*, *cīšmahī*, YAv. *cinahmi*, *cinasti*, *cīšmaide* ‘to decide, allot’ < \*k<sup>w</sup>(e)is-, \*k<sup>w</sup>ins-; Av. *ikaēša-* [m.] ‘teacher; teachings’ < \*k<sup>w</sup>ois-o-.

Leumann 1977: 341 explains *cūrīōsus* as a back-formation to *incūrīōsus*; Lat. *sē-cūrus* seems to have been formed directly to *cūra*. If the Sabellic forms were indeed borrowed from pre-Latin \**kōisa-*, possible etymologies for *cūra* are Plt. \**kōis-* and \*k<sup>w</sup>ois- (if \*k<sup>w</sup>o- > *co-* preceded \**yo-* > \**ye-*) ‘care’. Semantically, *cūra* would fit the meaning of the PIE root \*k<sup>w</sup>ei- ‘to take notice, heed’, and the *o*-grade would be fitting for a noun in \*-h<sub>2</sub>. The *s*-present \*k<sup>w</sup>ei-s- ‘to heed’ of this root may have been interpreted as a separate root in PIE already (thus LIV), and is well represented in Celtic. The *o*-grade formation \*k<sup>w</sup>ois- is also found in Iranian: Av. *ikaēša-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 314, EM 159, IEW 611, Sihler 1995: 171, Untermann 2000: 407, LIV \*k<sup>w</sup>eis-.

**curculiō** ‘corn-weevil’ [m. *n*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *curculiunculus* ‘small weevil’ (Pl.).

Apparently, a noun formed by means of intensive reduplication, accompanied by a dissimilation of *r-r* to *r-l*: \**kur-kur-* > *curcul-*. WH connect *curvus* and a (now abandoned) PIE root \**ker-* ‘to curve’, but it is not certain that *curculiō* refers to a ‘coiled’ insect. It seems more likely that *curculiō* in some way relates to *gurguliō* ‘gullet, throat’.

Bibl.: WH I: 314, EM 159, Leumann 1977: 231. → *gurguliō*

**cūria** ‘division of the Roman people; meeting-place’ [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *cūriālis* ‘of a cūria’ (Pl.+), *cūriō* ‘priest presiding over a cūria’ (Pl.+); *excūriāre* ‘to expel from the senate’ (Varro).

It. cognates: Vol. *couehriu* [abl.sg.] ‘some kind of state institution with sacral duties’ (uncertain).

Mostly etymologized as *\*ko-wir-ija* ‘assembly of men’ derived from a cp. *\*ko-wir-ijom* to *vir* ‘man’, cf. *convivium*, *coniugium*, *consortium*, etc. Since the usual reflex of *cum* in front of *v-* is *con-* (*convivium*, *convenire*), the development in *cūria* must be older.

Bibl.: WH I: 315, EM 160, IEW 612f., Leumann 1977: 134, Schrijver 1991: 273, Untermann 2000: 422f. → *vir*

**currō, -ere** ‘to run’ [v. III; pf. *cucurrī* (*oc-cecurrī* Gel., *cecurrīt* inscr.), ppp. *cursum*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *currus*, *-ūs* ‘chariot’ (Naev.+), *curriculum* ‘the run, race’ (Pl.+); *cursum*, *-ūs* ‘the action of running, course’ (Pl.+), *cur sare* ‘to rush to and fro’ (Ter.+), *cur sim* ‘rapidly’ (Pl.+), *cur siō* ‘the running’ (Varro), *cursor* ‘runner’ (Pl.), *cur sitāre* ‘to run about’ (Ter.+), *cur sūra* ‘running’ (Pl.+); *accurrere* ‘to run up to, help’ (Pl.+), *concurrere* ‘to hurry together, rally’ (Enn.+), *concur sare* ‘to run together’ (Acc.+), *concur sum*, *-ūs* ‘running to and fro; concourse’ (Pl.+), *dēcūrrere* ‘to run down; travel’ (Lucr.+), *dēcūrsus* ‘downrush, course’ (Lucr.+), *excūrrere* ‘to run out’ (Pl.+), *incūrrere* ‘to rush in, meet’, *incūrsim* ‘precipitately’ (Caecil.+), *incūrsāre* ‘to charge at’ (Pl.+), *incūrsus* ‘attack’ (Lucr.+), *intercurrere* ‘to run, hasten’ (Lucr.+), *intercur sare* ‘to run in between’ (Lucr.+), *intrōcurrere* ‘to run inside’ (Naev.+), *occurrere* ‘to hurry to meet, meet’ (Pl.+), *occur sare* ‘to run up repeatedly’ (Pl.+), *percurrere* ‘to run through’ (Ter.+), *praecurrere* ‘to run ahead, precede’ (Pl.+), *prōcurrere* ‘to run forward’ (Lucr.+), *recurrere* ‘to run back’ (Pl.), *recur sare* ‘to keep running back’ (Pl.+), *succurrere* ‘to run to the rescue of’ (Ter.+), *transcurrere* ‘to hurry across’ (Pl.).

Pf. *\*korse/o-* [prī] ‘to run’; *\*korsō-* ‘chariot’.

PIE *\*krs-e/o-* ‘to run’; *\*krs-o-* ‘running > chariot’. IE cognates: Gaul. *\*karros* (> Lat. *carrus*), OIr. *carr* ‘vehicle’, W. *car* < PCl. *\*krso-*; Gr. ἐπίκουρος ‘helper; helping’ < *\*epi* + *\*korsō-* ‘running towards’; OHG *hros*, OIc. *hross*, OE *hors* ‘horse’ belong here too, if from PGM. *\*hursa-* (not *\*hrusa-*) < *\*krs-o-*.

The old pf. *cecurrī* (< pf. *\*ke-kors-ai*) was assimilated to *cucurrī*. The ppp. *cursum* must be secondary for *\*\*kostus* < *\*korstus* < *\*krs-to-* (for the phonetics, cf. *tostus* < *\*t̥s-to-*); the model was provided by stems in *-lt/d* and *-rt/d* (cf. Leumann 1977: 616). The nouns in *cur-* have been built on the ppp. *cursum*. In general, the verbal compounds in *-currō* more often have a figurative sense, while those in *-cursō*, being more recent, always show the concrete sense of ‘running’. The appurtenance of *curūlis* [adj.] ‘of state, or curule office, of curule rank’ (Cato+) is uncertain. Derived from the *u*-stem *currus*, *\*curr-ūlis* would be a regular adj. derivative (cf. *currūlis* ‘of chariots’), with regular pretonic simplification of the geminate. Yet the semantic link with ‘chariot’ is not clear; some therefore regard it as a loanword from Etruscan

(office terminology). Plt. *\*-or-* is reflect both as *or* and as *ur* in Latin; no phonetic conditioning has been found. We may therefore accept the traditional etymology *\*k̥s-* > *\*kors-* > *\*kurs-* > *curr-*. It is somewhat troublesome that only Latin attests a verb, but since *currō* is of a primary derivation, it cannot be derived from the noun and must be original. The Gr. adj. might then reflect PIE *\*kors-o-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 315f., EM 160, IEW 583f., Leumann 1977: 57, Schrijver 1991: 495, Meiser 1998: 63, 2003: 194, Driessen 2001: 61f., LIV 1.\*<sup>(k)</sup>ers-. → *arcessō*, *equirria*?

**curtus** ‘mutilated, circumcised; imperfect’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Lucil.+)

Plt. *\*korto-*.

PIE *\*kr-to-* ‘cut off’. IE cognates: Hit. *karš(ije/a)-<sup>zi</sup>* ‘to cut off, separate, stop’, CLuw. *karš-* ‘to cut’ < pr. *\*kers-*; Gr. κείρω ‘to cut off, shave’, καπτός ‘cut off, shorn’, Arm. *k’erem* ‘to scratch, scrape off’; Alb. *shqerr* ‘tears’; Lith. *skirti* ‘to separate’; OHG *sceran* ‘to shave, cut off’, Olc. *skera* ‘to cut off’.

Lat. *curtus* seems to reflect *\*kr-to-* > *\*korto-* > *\*kurto-*, with the development *\*orC* > *urC* (see s.v. *currō* for this problem). WH derives *curtus* from the root *\*(s)ker-* ‘to cut off’, whereas EM and Meiser 1998 connect it with *\*k<sup>w</sup>er-* ‘to cut’, on the basis of Hit. *kuerzi*. The verbal forms of *\*k<sup>w</sup>er-* mainly mean ‘to cut’ (Hit. *kuerzi*) or ‘to make’ (in Ìr., Skt. *kṛnóti*), whereas the forms of *\*(s)ker-* are given as ‘to shave’, ‘to scratch off’. In view of the meaning ‘mutilated, circumcised’ of *curtus*, I prefer to connect it with *\*(s)ker-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 316f., EM 160f., IEW 938ff., Meiser 1998: 63, Driessen 2001: 61, LIV *\*(s)ker-* ‘to shave, scratch’ and *\*(s)kerH-* ‘to separate, divide’. → *carō*, *corium*

**curvus** ‘curved, bent’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *curvor* ‘curvature’ (Varro); *concurvāre* ‘to bend down’ (Lab.); *incurvēscere* ‘to become curved’ (Enn.), *incurvus* ‘crooked’ (Ter.+).

Plt. *\*ku/orwo-*.

PIE *\*kur-uo-?* IE cognates: OIr. *corr*, MW *cwrr* ‘hooked’ < *\*kurso-*; Gr. καπτός ‘curved’.

The etymology is uncertain. WH and IEW mention a host of possible cognates, all from a root *\*(s)ker-*, under the assumption that *curvus* goes back to *\*k̥s-uo-*. Yet the words connected are of very different forms and meanings, and there is no verbal root PIE *\*(s)kr-* meaning ‘to turn’. The best connection seems to be with the Celtic words for ‘hooked’ from *\*kurso-*; Gr. καπτός might continue the same root. Yet *\*kur-* is not a valid PIE root structure, it would have to be analyzed as *\*k(e)u-* plus a root enlargement *\*-r-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 317, EM 161, IEW 935ff., Meiser 1998: 64, Schrijver 1997a: 297. → *carcer*?

O. *kúru*, SPic. *qora* [nom.sg.], *koram* [acc.sg.], O. *kúrass*, SPic. *qoras* [acc.pl.] ‘stone object’.

Plt. *\*korā-*.

PIE \*kor-h<sub>2</sub>- ‘piece’?

By way of conjecture, it has been suggested that \*korā- was derived from the verb \*(s)ker- ‘to cut’. This is conceivable, since words for ‘stone’ or ‘stone objects’ are often derived from meanings such as ‘piece’, which can be referred to as a ‘piece cut off’ (cf. Lat. *carō*). But since the real meaning of \*korā- remains uncertain, so does its etymology.

Bibl.: Untermann 2000: 420f., LIV 2. \*(s)ker-.

**cuspis, -dis** ‘sharp point, spear’ [f. *d*] (Varro+)

Derivatives: *curis* ‘spear’ (Ov., Paul. *ex F.*).

Szemerényi 1989: 26 etymologizes *cuspis* as \**kuri-spīd-*, a compound of *curis* ‘spear’ and a Plt. noun \**spis, spidos* ‘lance’, which would be cognate with Gm. \**spit-u-* (OHG *spiz*, OE *spitu*). However, a dvandva compound ‘spear-lance’ would be abnormal in Latin. The origin of *curis* is unclear (Sabine according to Paul. *ex F.*). In theory, it might reflect \**kusi-* and go back to the same stem as *cuspis*. No further etymology.

Bibl.: WH I: 315, 318, EM 160f., IEW 98If.

**custōs, -ōdis** ‘guardian’ [m., f. *d*] (Lex XII+)

Derivatives: *custōdēla* ‘custody’ (Pl.+); *custōdia* ‘protection, guard’ (Naev.+); *custōdīre* ‘to keep safe, guard’ (Pl.+), *concustōdīre* ‘to watch over’ (Pl.+); *subcustōs* ‘deputy keeper’ (Pl.).

The currently accepted etymology is \**kusto-sd-* ‘who sits near the hidden/near the treasure’, proposed by Nowicki 1978. The element *-sd-* would be the zero grade of \**sed-* ‘to sit’, and \**kusto-* < \**kud<sup>h</sup>-to-* ‘hidden’ as in Go. *huzd*, OHG *hort* and Gr. κεύθω ‘to hide’. Apart from the difficulty of a development \**-d<sup>h</sup>t-* > *-st-*, this seems semantically far-fetched. There is no textual evidence for *custōs* being the guardian of something hidden: as the text samples adduced by Nowicki show, it concerns known persons who need to be guarded, or known contents of a cellar. Morphologically, this etymology is also unlikely. The expected ablaut of the second member would be nom.sg. \**-sēds*, gen.sg. \**-sd-os*, and Nowicki assumes that the oblique case form was generalized. But in view of the other Latin compounds containing this root (*subses*, *praeses*, *obses*), it seems more likely that the full or lengthened grade would have been introduced in all forms, thus retaining the connection with *sed-* ‘to sit’. The zero grade has only survived in (PIE) thematized \**ni-sd-o-* ‘nest’ > *nīdus*. Finally, the uninflected state of the first member \**kusto-* does not suggest the meaning ‘by the hidden’ as hypothesized by Nowicki. In Ilr., compounds in \**-s(e)d-* indicating where something or someone is placed have a preverb as their first member, or a locative of a noun. I conclude that the etymology of *custōs* is still unknown. In view of *herēd-* and *cuppēd-*, one might surmise a stem \**kustō-*, maybe originally an ins.sg. of a noun or adj., to which \**-d-* was suffixed.

Bibl.: WH I: 319, EM 161, IEW 951ff., Leumann 1977: 168, Nowicki 1978, Meiser 1998: 119.

**cutis** 'skin' [f. *i*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *intercus*, *-tis* [adj.] 'subcutaneous' (Pl.+).

Plt. \**kuti-*.

PIE \**kHu-t-i-* 'skin, hide'. IE cognates: MW *eskit*, Co. *eskit*, *esgis* 'shoe' (< \**ped-skūt-*); Gr. σκῦτρος [n.] 'leather, skin', Gr. ἐγκυτί 'onto the skin' < \**kHut-*; OPr. *keuto* 'skin', Lith. *kiáutas* 'shell, rind' < \**keHu-to-*; Olc. *húð*, OE *hȳd*, OHG *hūt*, ToA *kāc* 'skin' (< \**kwāc*) < \*(s)kuHt-(i/-es-).

Latin *cutis* exactly matches the Germanic forms and ToA *kāc* 'skin'; it is an *i*-stem based on a *t*-stem. Greek and Celtic show *s*-mobile, as does Baltic indirectly, by means of the pure velar. In the originally barytone *s*-stem and in Gm., accented \**-Hu-* was metathesized to *-uH-*, whereas in Latin and in Gr. ἐγκυτί, we may assume oxytonesis (thus Schrijver), which is why a short vowel resulted. The nom.sg. *intercus* was backformed to *inter cutem* according to WH, but might also phonetically continue \**enter-kutis* (Forssman 1998: 123).

Bibl.: WH I: 320, 709, EM 161, IEW 952, Schrijver 1991: 239f.

## D

**-dam -dē -dō -dum** [suffix, prep., pref.]: 1. *quīdam*, *quaedam*, *quoddam* [adj.; declension like *quī*] 'a particular, a certain' (Pl.+); *quīdam*, *quaedam*, *quiddam* 'a certain' (old nom. or acc.sg. *quesdam* 1x Acc.) (Pl.+); *quondam* 'formerly, once' (Naev.+); 2. *dē* [prep. + abl., pref.] 'from, off; without; about' (Lex XII, Andr.+); *dēnique* 'finally, at last' (Pl.+). 3. *dōnec* [cj.] 'until, while' (Lex XII+), *dōnicum* 'until' (Andr.+), *quandō* 'when' (Andr.+). 4. *dum* [adv.; cj.] 'in the meantime; as long as' (Pl.+), (-)*dum* enclitic particle of stress.

Derivatives: (2) *dehinc* [adv.] 'from now on, next' (Pl.+), *dein*, *deinde* 'then, next' (Lex XII+), *dēmum* 'at last, only' (Andr.+; Andr. also has *dēmus*), *dēnique* 'finally' (Pl.+), *deorsum* [adv.] 'down' (Pl.+), *dēsubitō* 'suddenly' (Naev.+); *dēterior* [comp.] 'worse' (Pl.+); *dēbilis* 'weak' (see s.v.) (3) *quandōque* 'whenever, some day' (Lex XII, Cato+), *quandōquidem* 'seeing that' (Pl.+), (4) *dūdum* 'just now; for a long time' (Pl.+), *etiamdum* 'yet, already' (Pl., Ter.), *interdum* 'sometimes' (Pl.+), *nēdum* 'still less' (Ter.+), *nequedum* or *necdum* 'and not yet' (Pl.+), *nōndum* 'not yet' (Pl.+), *primumdum* 'in the first place' (Pl.+), *quīdum* 'why?' (Pl., Ter.), *vixdum* 'scarcely' (Ter.+).

Plt. \**do-/dā-* 'this', \**dē* 'with this'. It. cognates: Fal. *de* 'from' (possibly Latin, see Giacomelli 1963: 242). O. *dat* [prep. + abl.] 'from', prev. *da-*, U. *da-*, probably < \**dād* [abl.sg.f.] to \**do-/dā-*; U. *nersa* 'as long as not, ere' < \**ne-dām*.

PIE \**de*, \**do* 'here'. IE cognates: Olr. *dí*, *de*, *dí-*, OW *dí*, W. *dí-*; Bret. *dí*; Olr. *dí* 'from' < \**dē*; Olr. *do*, *du* < PCl. \**do* 'to' [prep.]; Gr. δῆ 'even, indeed, right'; OCS *do* 'to' < PSI. \**do*; OE OS *tō*, OHG *zuo*, NHG *zu* 'to' < PGm. \**tō*.

These are inflected forms of the PIE particle *\*de*, *\*do*: (1) *-dam* < *\*dām* [acc.sg.f.] ‘as far as this, in this respect’ (cf. *quam*). (2) *dē* < *\*dē* [ins.sg.] ‘with this, as far as this is concerned, (viewed) from here’. Lat. *dēmum/s* is an old sup. to *dē*, ‘last, farthest’. (3) *dō* < *\*dō* [ins.sg.] ‘to this, until’. Lat. *dōnec* < *\*dō-ne-k<sup>w</sup>e* and *dōnicum* < *\*dō-ne-k<sup>w</sup>om*. Lat. *dōnelique* is probably a recent remake on the model of *nec/neque*. (4) *dum* < *\*dom* [acc.sg.m/n.] ‘as far as this, as long as’. The temporal specialization may have occurred under the influence of *tum* and *cum*.

PIE probably had an indeclinable particle *\*de*, *\*do* ‘here, there’, see s.v. *-de* and *endo*. Like some of the other particles (*\*ke/\*ki*, *\*h<sub>2</sub>eu*, *\*g<sup>h</sup>e/\*g<sup>h</sup>o*), it acquired (pro)nominal inflection in some of the daughter languages. In Italic, we find traces of an ins.sg. *\*doh<sub>1</sub>*, and *\*deh<sub>1</sub>*, of an acc.sg.f. *\*dām*, of an abl.sg.f. *\*dād*, of an acc.sg.m/n. *\*dom*. The *o*-grade *\*do* is found in *endo*, and may also go back to PIE. Some have assumed that the root might be the same as in *\*h<sub>2</sub>ed-* (Latin *ad*), but with an ins. case-ending *\*-eh<sub>1</sub>*. Yet this does not explain the *e/o*-ablaut after the *d*. The origin of *-dem* in *idem* etc. is disputed: some scholars regard it as another reflex of *\*de/do*, but there is no phonetically regular way to arrive at *-dim*. Alternatively, it may reflect *\*-Vm* with metanalysed *d*; see s.v. *-dim*.

Bibl.: WH I: 325f., 339, EM 164, 168, 183f., 187, IEW 181-183, Kortlandt 1983b, Untermann 2000: 120, 155f., 491. → *-de*; *-dem*; *endo*, *indu-*, *indi-*

**damnum** ‘loss, expense’ [n. <sup>3</sup>o] (Lex XII+) ‡  
‡

Derivatives: *damnōsus* (Pl.+)<sup>1</sup> ‘detrimental, causing loss’, *damnāre* (Pl.+)<sup>2</sup> ‘to penalize, condemn’; *condemnāre* (Pl.+)<sup>3</sup> ‘to pass sentence, condemn’; *damnās estō* (Cato+)<sup>4</sup> < *\*damnātus estō* ‘he must be condemned to’.

PIt. *\*dapno-*.

PIE *\*dh<sub>2</sub>p-no-* ‘expense, investment’.

Bibl.: WH I: 322, EM 163f., IEW 176f., LIV *\*deh<sub>2</sub>p-*. → *daps*

**daps, -is** ‘sacrificial meal, feast’ [f. *ip*] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *dapsālis* ‘sacrificial’ (Cato+), *dapsilis* ‘plentiful, abundant’ (Naev.+).

PIt. *\*dap-*.

PIE *\*dh<sub>2</sub>p-s* ‘sacrificial meal, portion’. IE cognates: Hit. *tappala-* ‘person responsible for court cooking’; Gr. *δάπτω* (*\*δαπτω*) ‘to tear to pieces, slaughter’, Gr. *δαπάνη* ‘loss, expense’, Arm. *tawn* ‘feast’, Oic. *tafn* ‘sacrificial animal, sacrificial meal’.

PIE *\*dh<sub>2</sub>p-* is attested for the specific meaning of ‘cutting pieces of meat from a victim, preparing a sacrificial meal’. The meaning suggests that it might be an enlarged variant of the root *\*dh<sub>2</sub>-* ‘to allot’, although *\*-p-* is rarely found as a root extension.

Bibl.: WH I: 323f., EM 164, IEW 175-179, 196f., LIV *\*deh<sub>2</sub>p-*. → *damnum*

**dautia, -ōrum** ‘the entertainment provided for foreign guests of the state at Rome’ [n. *o*] (Paul. *ex F.*)

Derivatives: *lautia* (SCAsc., Livy several times, Apuleius 1x).

Plt. *\*dawetio-* ‘gift’.

PIE *\*douH-ó-* ‘giving, bestowing’. IE cognates: OIr. *dúas* ‘gift, reward, recompense given to poets’; Skt. *dívas-* [n.] ‘gift, sacrifice, homage’ < *\*duH-es-*.

Lat. *dautia* only occurs in Paulus *ex Festo*, where it is adduced as another example of the ancients saying *d-* for more recent *l-*, as in Livy’s *dacrimas pro lacrimas* (Paul. *ex F.*). The form *lautia* occurs a few times in the literature (mostly in Livy), and always in the expression *locus lautiaque* or *loca lautia* (Apul.), as TLL observes. Therefore, the *d-* of *dautia* might have been replaced by *l-* under influence of *locus* in order to create alliteration (thus WH I: 324, following Schrijnen). In addition, the adj. *lautus* ‘washed; splendid; sumptuous’ may have played an attracting role, too. If Vine’s formulation of Thurneysen-Havet’s Law is correct (unrounding in PIE pretonic position), *dautia* might be explained with him as deriving from a them. adj. *\*douH-ó-* ‘bestowing’ > *\*dauo-*, whence a *t*-stem *\*daw-et-* ‘bestowal’ was formed, ultimately concretized as *\*dawet-jo-* ‘gift’. The PIE root *\*duH-* ‘to give’ refers not just to the act of giving, but to the act of honouring with gifts.

Bibl.: WH I: 324f., EM 346, Driessen 2003b: 354f., Vine 2006a: 238, LIV ?\*deh<sub>3</sub>u-. → *duim*

**-de** ‘there’ [ptcle.]: *quamde*, *quande* ‘than’ (Andr.+), *inde* ‘thence’ (Pl.+), *deinde* ‘afterwards’ (Lex XII+), *exim*, *exin*, *exinde* ‘thereafter’ (Pl.+), *unde* ‘whence?’ (Pl.+), *undique* ‘from every side’ (Pl.+)

Plt. *\*-de*. It. cognates: O. **pún**, **pun**, **pon**, U. **pune**, **puni**, **ponne**, **pone** ‘when’ < *\*k<sup>w</sup>om-de*; O. **punum** ‘when’ < *\*k<sup>w</sup>om-de* + *-um*.

PIE *\*de* ‘here, there’. IE cognates: MW *hwnn*, OIr. *sund* < PCI. *\*so-de* ‘this’, OIr. *suide*, n. *sodain* < *\*so-de-so* ‘that’, *\*sin-de* (anaphoric pronoun) > article *\*sindos*, f. *sindā*, OIr. *féin*, *fadéin* ‘own, self’ < *\*sue-de-sin*, OIr. *céin*, *cadéin*, *caresin* < *\*ke-de-sin* ‘even, namely’; Gr. *-δε* ‘towards; this’, *δέ* ‘but’.

Lat. *quande* < *\*quām* ‘than’ + *-de*, vide s.v. *quam*. Lat. *inde* < *\*im-de* ‘that one there’ with acc.sg. PIE *\*im* (cf. *is*). Lat. *unde* next to *ubī* ‘where’ was probably modelled on *inde* next to *ibī* ‘there’, although *unde* might also have been formed directly to the interrogative (post-PIE) stem *\*k<sup>w</sup>u-*. Furthermore, *-de* is contained in *clandestīnus* ‘done in secret’, built on *\*clande* < *\*clam-de* (see s.v. *clam*). Plt. *\*-de* represents an indeclinable deictic particle; an ablaut variant *\*-do* is preserved in *endo* ‘in’.

Bibl.: WH I: 325f., 692, II: 818, EM 164, 315, 747, IEW 181-183, Watkins 1962: 26-28, Schrijver 1997b: 131-146, Untermann 2000: 604-6, 609. → *-dam*, *endo*

**dēbeō** ‘to be under an obligation, owe’ [v. II; pf. *dēbūī* (Pl. 1x. *dēhibuistī*), ppp. *dēbitum*] (Pl.+)

Contracted from *\*dē-habeō* ‘I hold away from someone, I withhold’.

Bibl.: WH I: 326, EM 165, IEW 407ff., Leumann 1977: 118, Sihler 1995: 83. → *habeō*

**dēbilis** ‘weak; crippled’ [adj. *i*] (Pl.+; *dēbil* [nom.sg.m.] Enn.)

Derivatives: *dēbilitāre* ‘to weaken’ (Varro+).

Plt. *\*dē-be/oli-* ‘without strength’.



PIE \*bel-o- ‘strength, power’. IE cognates: Skt. *bála-* [n.] ‘strength, power’, Gr. βέλτερος ‘better’ (post-H. βελτίων), βέλτατος, βέλτιστος ‘best’; OCS *boŕii* ‘bigger, better’, f. *boŕŕši*, n. *bolje*, SCr. *bŕlji* ‘better’ < \**bol-(i)is-io-*.

The expression *dēbil homō* in Enn. may have been formed on the model of *vigil* (thus Leumann). Originally, *dēbilis* is a compound of the denominative type *in-ermis* ‘unarmed’ to *arma* ‘arms’. Although this type retained productivity into Latin, the isolation of *dēbilis* in the Latin lexicon indicates that it cannot have been formed very recently. Hence, *dē-bilis* may contain an old *i*-stem abstract. While Skt. *bála-* and Gr. βέλτερος contain the *e*-grade \**bel-*, the isolated Slavic comparative shows the *o*-grade. Since no verbal forms are known and the meaning is adjectival, the root \**bl-* may have had the ablaut properties of an adjectival root. Hence, Skt. \**bel-o-* and Gr. \**bel-* may show the ablaut \**e* : zero of the root noun ‘strong one’, whereas the *o*-grade of Slavic cannot stem from the root noun, but must be explained on the basis of an adnominal adjective \**bol-o-* ‘strong’. Latin *dēbilis* can contain either \**bel-i-* or \**bol-i-* ‘strength’; in view of Slavic, the *o*-grade has the better odds.

Bibl.: WH I: 326f., EM 165, IEW 96, Leumann 1977: 449, Meiser 1998: 99, Nussbaum 2007a.

**decem** ‘ten’ [num. indecl.] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *ūndecim* ‘eleven’ (Pl.+), *duodecim* ‘twelve’ (Pl.), *trēdecim* ‘thirteen’ (Liv.+), *quattuordecim* ‘fourteen’, *quīndecim* ‘fifteen’, *sēdecim* ‘sixteen’, *septem/ndecim* ‘seventeen’; *decu/imus* ‘tenth’ (Naev.+), *decu/ima* ‘a tenth part, tithe’ (Pl.+), *decumānus* [adj.] ‘related to the tenth’ (Lucil.+); *decīē(n)s* [adv.] ‘ten times’ (Pl.+); *december* ‘the month December, the tenth month’ (Cic.+); *dēnī* [pl.adj.] ‘ten at a time’ (Pl.+), *dēnārius* [adj.] ‘containing ten’ (Varro+), *dēnārius/m* [m./n.] ‘Roman coin’ (Cic.+); *decuria* ‘group of ten men’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \**dekm* ‘ten’; \**dekamo-* ‘tenth’; the ordinal \**deknto-* may be preserved in O. \**dekmtāsio-*; \**dekuria* ‘group of ten’, \**oinos/m-dekm* ‘eleven’. It. cognates: U. *desenduf* [acc.pl.f.] ‘twelve’ < \**desen* ‘ten’ + *duf* < \**duōns* ‘two’ [acc.pl.mf.]; O. δεκμος ‘a tithe’ < \**dekm-o-*; O. *dekmanniúis* [dat.abl. or loc.pl.] ‘?’ probably < \**dekemānjo-* ‘who guards the tithes’; maybe O. *degetasis* [nom.sg.m.], *degetasiús* [nom.pl.m.], *deketasiúii* [dat.sg.m.] ‘?’ (attr. to *meddiss*), probably \**dekm-to-* ‘tenth’ + \**-āsios* ‘who is responsible for a tenth’. U. *tekuries*, *dequrier* [dat.abl.pl.] ‘?’; also U. *tekvias* [nom.pl. or gen.sg.] ‘?’

PIE \**dekm* ‘ten’, \**dekm-to-* ‘tenth’. IE cognates: OIr. *deich*, W. *deg*, Skt. *dāśa*, YAv. *dasa*, Gr. δέκα, Arm. *tasn*, Go. *taihun*, ToA *šāk*, ToB *šak* ‘ten’; Gaul. *decametos*, Celtib. *tekametam*, Skt. *daśamā-*, Av. *dasəma-*, Gr. δέκατος ‘tenth’.

Lat. *decem*, U. *desen* < Plt. \**dekm*. In *-decim*, the *i* is not well explained. It is often believed to be due to a metathesis of \**-dicem* > \**-decim*, but a metathesis of two vowels is extremely rare in IE languages, and hence unlikely. The numerals in *decim* probably have *-dec-* from *decem* ‘ten’ and *-im* on the model of the ordinal numbers in *-decimus*. The ordinal \**dekamo-* yields *decumo-* or *decimo-*, and from it, *decumānus* is derived. The distributive *dēnī* might be from \**deksno-*, with the suffix \**-sno-* which was metanalyzed from the lower numerals (especially ‘five’?). The noun *decuria*,

cognate with or borrowed as U. **tekuries**, must go back to \**dek-ur-ia*, see Leumann 1977: 292. Ultimately, the suffix derives from the paradigm of \**k<sup>w</sup>et-ur-* ‘four’.

Bibl.: WH I: 327-329, EM 165-166, IEW\*191, Leumann 1977: 292, Coleman 1992: 396, Sihler 1995: 416ff., Untermann 2000:157f., 165-167, 169, 740f. → *vīgintī*, *-gintā*, *centum*

**deceit** ‘to add grace; be right’ [v. II; pf. *deceit*; only in 3s. and 3p.] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *decus*, *-oris* [n.] ‘high esteem, dignity’ (Pl.+), *decor* [m.] ‘beauty’ (Naev.+), *decorāre* ‘to embellish, honour’ (Naev.+), *decōrus* ‘handsome, decent’ (Pl.+), *condecorāre* ‘to embellish’ (Pl.+); *dīgnus* ‘appropriate, worthy’ (Pl.+), *dignāre* ‘to consider worthy’ (Pac.+), *dignitās* ‘dignity, excellence’ (Pl.+); *dēdecus*, *-oris* ‘discredit’ (Pl.); *condecet* ‘it is fitting for’ (Pl.+).

PIt. \**dek-ē-* ‘fits, is right’, \**dek-no-* ‘worthy’, \**dek-o/es-* ‘dignity’. It. cognates: U. *tiçit* [3s.pr.] ‘ought to’ < \**dek-ē-ti*; U. *dersecor* [nom.pl.n.] maybe ‘required’ < \**de-dek-o-*. In the second syllable, *e* would have been restored.

PIE \**dek<sup>h</sup>-eh<sub>1</sub>-*, \**dek<sup>h</sup>-es-* ‘which is received’ > ‘decoration’ > ‘dignity’, \**dek<sup>h</sup>-no-*. IE cognates: OIr. *dech* ‘best’ < \**dek<sup>h</sup>-os*: Skt. pr. *dāṣṭi*, *dāṣat-* [ptc.act.]; pr. *dāṣati* [3s.act.]; pr. *dāṣnōti* [3s.act.]; *dāś-* [f.] ‘worship’, *daśasyati* ‘to render service’ < *dekes-ie/o-*; Gr. pr. *δέχομαι* / *δέκομαι*, aor. *δέξασθαι*, 3p. *δέχεται*, ep. aor. ptc. *δέγγμενος*, ind. *ἐδέγγμην* ‘to take, accept’, Myc. *de-ka-sa-to* / *deksatol* [3s.aor.med.], Arm. *etes* ‘saw’.

Lat. *decōr-* ‘beauty’ may have been formed productively to *deceit*. In its turn, this noun must be the source for the long *-ō-* of *decōrus* ‘handsome’ (\**dekōs-o-* ‘with beauty’). According to Leumann 1977: 278, *indecōrus* (Cic.+), was the starting point for *decōrus*; but the more recent date of *indecōrus* does not support this scenario.

Bibl.: WH I: 330, EM 166f., IEW 189-191, Untermann 2000: 168, 754f., Stüber 2002: 77, LIV \**dek<sup>h</sup>-*. → *dexter*, *discō*, *doceō*

**dēcrepitus** ‘worn out (with age), decrepit’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

PIt. \**krep-eto-* ‘strong / quick’.

PIE \**krep-eto-* ‘strong’. IE cognates: MÍr. *crim* ‘quick’, W. *cryf*, Bret. *kreñv* ‘strong’ < PCI. \**krimo-* < \**krp-mo-* (cf. Hamp 1960-61); OCS *krěpъ* ‘strong’ < PBSl. \**kre?p-*, OÍc. *hræfa* ‘to endure’ < \**krēp-*.

A compound formed after adjectives like *dē-bilis*, *dē-mēns*, *dē-formis*, with *dē-* ‘off, away’ and a nominal second member. Yet *-crepitus* was not made into an *i*-stem like *-bilis* and *-formis*, nor does it show word-internal vowel reduction. Hence, *dē-crepitus* must be a recent formation containing a noun or adj. \**krepVt(o)-*. WH and EM explain it from the ppp. of *crepāre* ‘to make a cracking sound’, hence they interpret *dē-crepitus* as ‘rattled off’. This is not inconceivable, nor is it immediately convincing. M. Driessen (p.c.) suggests a different etymology: *-crepitus* might be from a root \**kr(e)p-* ‘strong’ found also in Celtic, Slavic and Germanic.

Bibl.: WH I: 332, EM 167.

**dēfrutum** ‘grape juice boiled down into a syrup’ [n. o] (Pl.+; once *dēfritum* Nonius 551.7 15)

Derivatives: *dēfrutāre* ‘to boil down into a syrup’ (Cato+).

Plt. *\*fruto-*.

PIE *\*b<sup>h</sup>ru-to-* ‘boiled’. IE cognates: W. *brwd*, f. *brod*, Bret. *brout* ‘warm, fervent’ < *\*b<sup>h</sup>ruto-*, OIr. *bruth* [n.] < *\*-tu-*, *bruithe* ‘boiled, broth’ < *\*-tio-*; OHG *prod*, OE *broð*, Olc. *broð* [n.] ‘broth’ < *\*b<sup>h</sup>ruto-*.

Lat. *f* is the reflex of *\*b<sup>h</sup>-* in anlaut, hence the compound of *dē* and *\*fruto-* is not very old. In *dēfritum* we find regular vowel reduction in medial syllable. Lat. *-frutum* reflects the ppp. of the same root ‘to boil’ from which *ferveō* derives. In contrast to earlier treatments, Schrijver 1991: 252-256 separates IE reflexes of a root *\*b<sup>h</sup>r(e)h<sub>1</sub>u-/\*b<sup>h</sup>ruh<sub>1</sub>-* ‘to whirl, seethe’ from a root *\*b<sup>h</sup>ru-* ‘to boil’, even if these may be cognate at a deeper level (p. 256). After all, the meanings are quite similar.

Bibl.: WH I: 333f., EM 167, IEW 144f., Schrijver 1991: 254f., LIV *\*b<sup>h</sup>eru-*. → *fermentum*, *ferveō*

**dēleō** ‘to remove, efface’ [v. II; pf. *dēlēvī*, ppp. *dēlētum*] (Cato+)

Derivatives: *dēlētilis* [adj.] ‘that erases’ (Varro), *dēlētiō* ‘destruction’ (Lucil.).

Plt. *\*ol-eje/o-*.

PIE *\*h<sub>3</sub>elh<sub>1</sub>-eie/o-* ‘to destroy’. IE cognates: Hit. *hallanna/i-* ‘to trample down, to flatten (fields and plants)’, Gr: *ῥᾶλλυμι, -μαι* ‘to wreck, destroy, lose’, intr. ‘to go to waste, be ruined, be lost’.

WH and IEW assume that *dēlēre* is a back-formation to pf. *dē-lēvī* to *\*dēlinere* ‘to wipe off’, because of the long vowel *ē* in the pf. The model would have been the antonym *implēre* – *implēvī*. In the oldest attestations, the main meaning is ‘to wipe out’ rather than ‘to destroy’. Yet in view of the persistence of *linō*, *lēvī*, the alleged disappearance of *\*dēlinō* would be conspicuous (a new *dēlinō* exists since Varro). Schrijver hesitatingly suggests that *dēleō* may stem from *\*-h<sub>3</sub>leh<sub>1</sub>-ti* or *\*-h<sub>3</sub>leh<sub>1</sub>-ie-ti*. Meiser 2003 simply assumes that *dēleō* derives from a causative *\*dē-oleō*; according to him, the pf. and ppp. have been influenced by *fleō* and *com-pleō*. If Hit. *hallanna-* is indeed cognate, it might continue the original PIE meaning, which shifted to ‘destroy’ elsewhere (Kloekhorst 2008: 271f.).

Bibl.: WH I: 335f., EM 167f., IEW 662ff., Schrijver 1991: 403, Meiser 1998: 190, 2003: 139, Seldeslachts 2001: 84f., LIV *\*h<sub>3</sub>elh<sub>1</sub>-*. → *aboleō*, *linō*, *ulcīscor*

**dēlicus** ‘weaned’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Varro, *Rust.* 2.4.16: *cum porci depulsi sunt a mamma, a quibusdam deli<c>i appellantur neque iam lactantes dicuntur* [mss.: *deliti, delicti*]; Cato, *Agr.* 2.7: *boves vetulos, armenta delicula, oves deliculas, lanam, pellem, ... vendat.*)

Derivatives: *dēlicuus* ‘lacking, missing’ (Pl.+), *dēliculus* ‘having a small defect’ (Cato).

Several explanations have been put forward, but none is obviously correct. WH and IEW opt for a connection with *lac* ‘milk’, hence *\*dē-lak-o-* ‘who has been taken away from the milk’. A connection with *laciō* ‘to entice’ is semantically less

straightforward, whereas a connection with *dēlinquō* ‘to be lacking, fail’ (Pl.+), does not explain the form *dēliculus*, according to WH.

Bibl.: WH I: 337, EM 168, IEW 400f. → *kaciō*

**-dem** [ptcle.]: *ibīdem* ‘in the same place’ (Andr.+), *īdem* ‘the same’ (Andr.+), *identidem* ‘repeatedly’ (Pl.+), *itidem* ‘in the same way’ (Pl.+), *quidem* ‘certainly, surely’ (Pl.+), *prīdem* ‘previously’ (Pl.+), *tandem* ‘really; at last’ (Pl.+), *tantusdem* ‘just as much’ (Pl.+), *totidem* ‘just as many’ (Pl.+)

PIt. *\*-im*. It. cognates: see s.v. *īdem* for the Sabellic cognates with *\*-om*.

PIE *\*im* ‘this’.

Originally a suffix *-em* indicating emphasis or focus, as in *aut-em*, *quid-em*. This was metanalysed from the n. *idem* ‘the same’ (analysed as *id-dem*), or, according to Sihler, from the abl.sg. *eōdem*, *eādem* < *\*eōd-em*, *\*eād-em*, which came to stand beside simple *eō*, *eā* < *\*eōd*, *\*eād*. But I see no great problems in assuming a metanalysis of *\*idem* as *lid-dem*!

Latin suggests a particle *\*im*, which was the PIE acc.sg. of anaphoric *\*h<sub>1</sub>e* ‘he, that one’ (Beekes 1995: 203), and is found as *im*, *em* in Old Latin (see s.v. *is*, *ea*, *id*). Sabellic has a suffix *\*-om* attached to the (reduplicated) pronoun (O. *ísidum*, *esidum* etc.), and one would naturally prefer a common Italic ancestor; but this appears to be impossible. If Paul. *ex F. emem* is reliable, and O. *ídik*, *ídik*, *idic*, U. *eřek* reflect *\*id-id-ke*, it is possible that Latin changed *\*imim* [acc.sg.m.], *\*idid* [n.] to *\*imim*, *\*idim*, with *\*-im* being reanalysed as a fixed suffix.

Bibl.: WH I: 326, 671, II: 406, EM 168, IEW 181-183, Sihler 1995: 392. → *aut*; *-dam* – *dē* – *dō* – *dum*, *-de*; *īdem*; *ita*; *-nem*, *quis*, *quid*; *tam*

**dēns, -tīs** ‘tooth’ [f. *ɪ*] (Lex XII+; abl.sg. *dentē*, gen.pl. *dentium*, Varro *dentum*)

Derivatives: *dentātus* ‘with teeth’ (Pl.+); *ēdentāre* ‘to knock the teeth out of’ (Pl.+), *ēdentulus* ‘toothless’ (Pl.); *dentifrangibulus* ‘that breaks teeth’ (Pl.), *dentilegus* ‘one who collects teeth’ (Pl.); *bidens*, *-ntis* ‘with two teeth or points’ (Acc.+), *bidens*, *-ntis* [m./f.] ‘sacrificial animal, esp. sheep’ (Lab.+).

PIt. *\*dent-*. It. cognates: O. *dunte* [Capua 37] is regarded by some scholars as the word for ‘tooth’, but the actual meaning is uncertain.

PIE *\*h<sub>3</sub>d-nt-* ‘tooth’. IE cognates: OIr. *dét* ‘tooth’, W. *dant* < *\*h<sub>3</sub>dnt-*; Skt. *dánt-* (nom.sg. *dán*, acc.sg. *dántam*, gen.sg. *datás*), Av. *daitika-* [m.] ‘wild, undomesticated animal’, YAv. *vīmītō.dantān-* [adj.] ‘with shapeless teeth’, MoP *dandān* ‘tooth’; Gr. Ionic *ὀδών*, later *ὀδοῦς* ‘tooth’, *νοδός* ‘toothless’ < *\*n-h<sub>3</sub>d-o-*; Aeol.Gr. *έδοντες* ‘teeth’ (only in a 12<sup>th</sup>-c. AD gloss which states that “the Aeolians say *édontas* for *ódontas*, and *edúnas* for *odúnas*”), Arm. *atamn* ‘tooth’; OPr. *dantis*, Lith. *dantis* ‘tooth’, gen.pl. *dantių*, Ru. *desná* ‘gum’, Go. *tunþus*, OHG *zand*. From the same root: Lith. *úodas*, Latv. *uóds* ‘gnat’ < *\*ōdas* < *\*ódos* < *\*h<sub>3</sub>e/odos*, Gr. *ὀδυή* ‘pain’.

The initial laryngeal of the PIE preform can only be decided on the basis of Armenian and Greek. In Greek, Aeolian *ed-* is found versus *od-* in Ionic-Attic. Sihler aptly summarizes the possibilities: either *\*ed-* is archaic, and *odont-* was assimilated from *\*edont-*; or *od-* is archaic, and *\*odont-* was changed analogically to *edont-* in Aeolic

under the influence of ἔδω ‘to eat’. In Armenian, *at-* can reflect \*h<sub>2</sub>d- or \*h<sub>3</sub>d-, which confirms that Gr. *odont-* is original.

Bibl.: WH I: 340, EM 169, IEW 289, Beekes 1969: 55, Leumann 1977: 343, Schrijver 1991: 23, 416, Sihler 1995: 85, 89, Beekes 1995: 179, Meiser 1998: 99, Untermann 2000: 189f.

**dēnsus** ‘dense, thick, closely packed’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *densāre* ‘to thicken, condense’ (Enn.+), *densere* ‘id.’ (Lucret.+); *condēnsus* ‘dense, tightly packed’ (Lucret.+).

PIt. \**d(e)nso-*?

PIE \**d(ē)ns-o-* ‘thick’. IE cognates: Gr. *δαρός* ‘hairy, thick with leaves; aspirated’. Kloekhorst 2008: 853ff. reconstructs \**dens-u-* for Hit. *daššu-* ‘strong’ and derives it from the root \**dens-* ‘to be skilled’. This is semantically somewhat removed from the Latin and Greek adj., so that the connection is uncertain.

The factitive *denseō* is regarded as a nonce-form instead of *dēnsāre* by Sihler 1995: 531. If connected with the Greek adj., Latin has a different stem formation, \**d(e)ns-o-* or \**dns-uo-* (since from \**d(e)ns-u-* one would expect \**dēnsuis*). The connection with *δαρός* can only be upheld if PIE \**s* was indeed retained after \**ŋ* in Greek, which is disputed.

Bibl.: WH I: 341f., EM 169f., IEW 202f.

**deus** ‘god, deity’ [m. *o*] (VOLat.+; nom.sg. *deiuos* (Düenos inscr.), *deiva* (Pisaurum, 3<sup>d</sup> c.); CLat. *deus*, nom.pl. *dī, deī, dīi*, gen.pl. *deōrum, deum*, dat.abl.pl. *dīs, deīs, dīis, dibus*)

Derivatives: *dea* ‘goddess’ (Pl.+); *dīvus* [m./adj.] ‘a god; godlike’ (CIL, Andr.+), *dīus* [adj.] ‘divine’ (Enn.+); *dīvīnus* ‘id.’ (inscr., Pl.) (variants: *deimus, dīnus* CIL, Pl.), *dīvīnitus* [adv.] ‘by divine inspiration’ (Pl.+).

PIt. \**deiwo-*. It. cognates: Ven. *deivos* [acc.pl.] ‘god’; O. *deivaī* [dat.sg.f.], *deivas* [gen.sg.f.?], Vol. *deue* [dat.sg.m. or f.] ‘goddess’ or ‘godlike’; O. *deiuatud* [3s.ipv.II], *deiuaid* [3s.pr.sb.], *deiuast* [3s.fut.], *deiuatu<n>s* [ppp., nom.pl.m.] ‘to swear’, denom. verb to \**deiwo-*. Probably O. *deivinais* [dat.abl.pl.f.] ‘of the Deiva’ < \**deiwo-* + *-īno-*.

PIE \**dei-u-o-* ‘god, divinity (god of the clear sky)’. IE cognates: OIr. *día* ‘god’, OW *duintit* ‘divinity’; Skt. *devá-*, Av. *daēuua-* [m.] ‘god’, OPr. *deywis*, Lith. *dīēvas*, Latv. *dīevs* ‘god’; OIc. *Týr* ‘name of a wargod’, pl. *tívar* ‘gods’, OE *Tīw*, OHG *Zīo* ‘wargod’.

PIt. \**deiwo-* monophthongized to \**dēwo-*, at which stage a split occurred: \**w* was lost before back vowels, but not before front vowels. Hence, *ē* was retained in front of *u* < \**wo*, yielding \**dēwos* > \**dēus* > *deus*, \**dēwom* > *deum*, and similarly dat.sg. *deō*; but the long vowel was raised to *ī* where \**u* had remained: gen.sg., nom.pl. \**dēwī* > \**dīwī*, dat.abl.pl. \**dīwīs*. Eventually, the two different reflexes were semantically differentiated: *deus, deī* ‘god’, but *dīvus, dīvī* ‘godly, heavenly’. The latter stem changed from noun to adj., probably in appositional position (e.g. *et Manibus dīvīs inferiās mittunt* Lucr. 3.5.2). A subsequent sound-law (thus Nussbaum 1999b) brought

about the loss of \*w between two identical vowels (often, this law is restricted to certain positions of the stress). This yielded e.g. nom.pl. \**dīwī* > *dīī*, dat.abl.pl. *dīs*, which formed the basis for the creation of a new adj. *dīus*, with basically the same meaning as *dīvus*. Note that, under this analysis, the adj. *dīus* ‘divine’ is not the same word as *dīus* ‘daylit’ (see s.v. *dīū*).

PIE \**deiuo-* is a derivation from PIE \**dī-u-* ‘God of the sky, divine sky’, with introduction of *e*-grade in the root, and *o*-suffixation.

Bibl.: WH I: 345f., EM 170f., IEW 185f., Lejeune 1974: 332, Meiser 1998: 86, Untermann 2000: 161-163. → *diēs*, *dīū*, *Iūpiter*

**dexter, -(e)ra, -(e)rum** ‘right (opposite of left)’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Andr.+; comp. *dexterior*, sup. *dextimus*);

Derivatives: *dext(e)ra* [f.] ‘right hand’ (Pl.), *dext(e)rā* ‘on the right-hand side’ (Pl.+), *dextrorsum*, *dextrōorsum* (Pl.+) [adv.] ‘toward the right-hand side’.

Pit. \**dekstero-*; \**deks(i)wo-*. It. cognates: U. *destrame* [acc.sg.f. + *-en*] , *testru* [abl.sg.m/n.], *testruku*, *destruco* [abl.sg.m. + *-com*] , *testre e*, *destre* [loc.sg.m. + *-en*] , O. *destrst* [nom.sg.f. + *ist*] ‘right’; U. *desua*, *dersua*, *tesvam* [acc.sg.f.], *dersua* [abl.sg.f.] ‘right’ [adj.] (*o/ā*) < \**deksyo-* or \**deksiyō-*.

PIE \**deks-tero-* ‘right, right-hand side’, \**deks-(i)uo-* ‘what is proper, right’. IE cognates: OIr. *dess* ‘right, south’ (< \**deks-yo-*), MW *deheu*, W. *deau*, MCo. *dehow*, Bret. *dehou* ‘right, south’ < LPBr. \**dexou* < \**deksoujā* ‘right (side)’ << \**deks(i)yo-* ‘right’; Skt. *dākṣina-*, Gr. δεξιτερός, δεξιός ‘(to the) right (side)’, Myc. PN *de-ki-si-wo* /*deksiwos*/, Gr. δεξιφος, δεξιότης ‘dexterity, cleverness’ (Pamphyl.), Alb. *djathhtë* ‘right’, Lith. *dėšinas*, OCS *desnъ*, Go. *taihswa*, OHG *zeso* ‘id.’.

On the basis of syncopated forms such as *dextrum* (in which \*-ter- > -tr-), Beekes 1994: 88 assumes that the preform had no \*i, hence was \**deksteros* in Latin; this is in agreement with the Sabellic forms, cf. Meiser 1986: 41, 169. The stem \**deks(i)wo-* found in Umbrian can be connected with PCI. \**deks-wo-* and with Germanic \**texswō(n)-*. For PIE, Beekes assumes doublets \**deks* and \**deks-i* ‘right(-hand side)’, from which *tero-* derivatives could be derived. Stüber (2002: 77) suggests that \**deks* might contain the *s*-stem noun \**dekos* ‘what is fitting, proper’ (see s.v. *decei*). In Stüber 2006, she proposes to regard \**deks-iyō-* as analogical to the word for ‘left’ < \**skeh<sub>2</sub>iyō-* and \**leh<sub>2</sub>iyō-* (see s.v. *scaevus*, *laevus*). PIE \**deksitero-* would be a more recent derivative in \*-tero- on the basis of \**deksiyō-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 331, EM 171, IEW 189-191, Beekes 1994, Schrijver 1995: 330f., Untermann 2000: 169-171.

**Diāna** ‘goddess’ [f. *ā*] (Naev.+; insc. also *Deana*, *Deuiana*. In older scansion *Dīāna*, with long *ī*)

Probably derived from *dīus* ‘godly’. Explained from \**dīwjā-na* by Solmsen, as ‘the one who belongs to the moon goddess \**Divia* (the Shiner)’. Leumann 1977 explains the name as a derivation from *sub dīū* ‘in the open air’.

Bibl.: WH I: 347, EM 172, IEW 183ff., Leumann 1977: 106, 325. → *deus*, *diēs*, *dīū*

**dicō, -ere** 'to talk, speak; declare' [v. III; pf. *dīxī*, ppp. *dictum*; gdve. also *dīcundus* (Pl.+)] (Naev.+; inscr. *deicere* SCBac., *deixistis* CIL 586)

Derivatives: (1) *indīcens* 'without (my) speaking' (Ter.+), *indictus* 'not said' (Cato+); *addīcere* 'to assign, award' (Lex XII+), *addictus* 'enslaved person', *condīcere* 'to engage oneself in, fix by contract' (Pl.+), *condictor* 'one who fixes' (Pl.), *ēdīcere* 'to proclaim' (Naev.+), *ēdictiō* 'decree' (Pl.), *ēdictāre* 'to declare' (Pl.), *indīcere* 'to proclaim' (Pl.+), *indictīvus* 'publicly proclaimed' (Varro+), *interdīcere* 'to forbid' (Pl.+), *praedīcere* 'to prescribe; say beforehand' (Naev.+), *praecō* 'crier, announcer' (Andr.+), *praecōnium* 'declaration, auctioneer's function' (Pl.+), *prōdīcere* 'to give notice' (CIL 583, Varro+); *benedīcere* 'to speak well of' (Pl.+), *maledīcere* 'to insult' (Pl.+), *maledicāx* 'slandorous' (Pl.); (2) *dicāre* 'to assign, dedicate; indicate' (Pl.+), *abdīcāre* 'to deny, renounce' (Pac.+), *dēdicāre* 'to declare, dedicate' (Cato+), *indicāre* 'to make known, reveal' (Pl.+), *indicātiō* 'valuation' (Pl.+), *praedicāre* 'to make known' (Pl.+), *praedicātiō* 'special mention, statement' (Pl.+); (3) *dicāx* 'having a ready tongue' (Pl.+), *dicāculus* 'talkative' (Pl.+); (4) *diciō* 'dominion, power' (Pl.+), *condiciō* 'contract, term' (Pl.+); (5) *dicis causā* 'for the sake of appearance' (Varro+); (6) *-dicus* 'one who says': *benedicē* 'with friendly words' (Pl.), *causidicus* 'advocate' (Lucr.+), *maledicus* 'evil-speaking' (Pl.+); (7) *-dex, -diciis* 'one who indicates/declares': *index* (Acc.+) 'revealing, a sign', *indicium* 'disclosure, sign' (Pl.+), *iūdex* 'judge' (Lex XII, Pl.+), *iūdicium* 'legal process, trial; decision' (Naev.+), *iūdicāre* 'to judge, try' (Pl.+), *iūdicātum* 'judgement debt' (Lex XII+), *iūdicātiō* 'juridical power' (CIL 1.583+), *vindex* 'surety, defender' (Lex XII, Pl.+), *vindiciae* [f.pl.] 'interim possession' (Lex XII, Cato+), *vindicta* 'the claiming of liberty' (Pl.+), *vindicāre* 'to lay claim to' (Lex XII, Pl.+); (8) *dictātor* 'dictator' (Naev.+), *dictātrix* 'f. dictator' (Pl.); *dictiō* 'speaking, utterance' (Ter.+); *dictitāre* 'to repeat' (Pl.+); *dictus, -ūs* 'the saying' (Ter.+); *dictāre* 'to indicate, dictate' (Cic.+).

Plt. pr. *\*deik-e/o-* 'to say', aor. *\*deik-s-*; pf. *\*de-dik-[us-]*, ppp. *\*dik-to-*; root noun *\*-dik-s* 'saying'. The meanings of Lat. *iūdex* and PSab. *\*med(es)-dik-* are so similar that they suggest a common origin or mutual influence. It. cognates: (1) O. *deikum*, *deicum* [inf.pr.], *deicans* [3p.pr.sb.], U. *teitu*, *deitu* [3s.ipv.II] < pr. *\*deik-e/o-*; O. *dicust*, U. *dersicust* [3s.fut.pf.], *dersicurent* [3p.fut.pf.] 'to say' < pf. *\*de-dik-us-*; (2) O. *dadīkatted* [3s.pf.] is probably a calque on *dēdicāre*. Very uncertain is U. *tikamne* [dat.sg.], a theonym, which has been explained as *\*dik-ā-men-(o-)*; (7) O. *meddiss*, *meddīs*, *meddis* [nom.sg.], *μεδεκον* [acc.sg.], *medikeis* [gen.sg.], *medikei* [dat.sg.], *medikid* [abl.sg.], *medd[i]ks*, *μεδδειξ* [nom.pl.], Marr. *medix*, Mars. *medis*, *meddiss* [nom.sg.], Pael. *medix*, Vol. *medix* [nom.pl.] 'judge' (vel sim.) < PSab. *\*med-dik-* or *\*medes-dik-* 'who speaks law', O. *μεδεκον* [acc.sg.] to f. *\*med-de/ikā-* 'female judge', O. *meddikiai*, *medikkiai*, *μεδικιαι* [loc.sg.] < *\*med-dik-jā-* 'the office of a meddix', O. *medicim* [acc.sg.], *meddixud* [abl.sg.], *μεδδικεν* [loc.sg. + -en] < *\*med-dik-jo-* 'place of the tribunal, office of the magistrate', O. *medicatud* [ppp., abl.sg.m.] 'judged' < *\*med-dik-ā-to-*, O. *medicatinom* [acc.sg.] 'judgement'. This points to a PSab. verb *\*med-dikā-*.

PIE pr. *\*deik-e/o-* 'to show', aor. *\*deik-s-*, root noun *\*-dik-s*. IE cognates: Skt. *dis-* 'to show': *disánt-* [ptc.act.], *disāmāna-* [ptc.med.]; *dides-* [pr.]; *diṣṭá-* 'shown

(direction)'; *dīs-* [f.] 'direction, point of the compass'; OAv. *daēdōišť* [3s.inj.act.], aor. *dāiš* [2s.inj.act.], *dōišā* [1s.sb.act.], *dōišť* [2s.ipv.act.] 'to show'; Gr. δεικνῶμι 'to show'; δίκη 'manner, custom'; Go. *ga-teihąn* 'to indicate, proclaim', OHG *zihan* 'to show; incriminate'.

The relative chronology shows that *dictātor* and *dictātrix* (8) are independent of the verb *dictāre*, which was formed later. The verb *dicāre* may well have been backformed from compounds in *-dicāre*. This verb in its turn may derive from the root noun *-dic-*. In (7), nom.sg. *-dex* is analogical for *\*-dix*, probably on the model of *-spex* (to *-spiciō*) and *-fex* (to *-ficiō*). Lat. *iūdex* < *\*ious-dik-*, *vindex* from *vindicīt* (Lex XII) < *\*vim dicit*, and *index* analogical to *indicāre*. *Praecō* shows syncope from *\*praidikō* > *\*praedkō* > *praecō*.

Bibl.: WH I: 348f., EM 172f., 320, IEW 188f., Benveniste 1969 II: 107ff., Leumann 1977: 94, 267, 549, Schrijver 1991: 164, 216, Untermann 2000: 154, 159f., 455ff., 753, LIV 1.\*dejĕk-

**diēs, diē** 'day, daytime' [m. (f.) ē] (Lex XII, Andr.+)

Derivatives: *diēcūla* 'brief day' (Pl.+); *hodiē* 'today' (Naev.+), *merīdiē* 'midday' (Pl.+), *cottīdiē* 'daily' (Pl.+), *perendiē* 'on the day after tomorrow' (Pl.+), *postrīdiē* 'on the following day' (Pl.+), *prīdiē* 'the day before' (Pl.+); *diālis* 'of Jupiter' in *flāmen Diālis* (Varro, Cic.+), and in *novendiālis* 'lasting nine days' (Cic.+).

PIt. *\*diē-*. It. cognates: O. *iūkleī* [loc.sg.] 'day' < *\*djo-kelo-* << *\*djou-kelo-*; O. *zicolom* [acc.sg.], *ziculud* [abl.sg.], *zicel[ei]* [loc.sg.], *zicolom* [gen.pl.] 'day' < *\*djē-kelo-* 'little day'. Disputed: U. *tiĕl* [nom.sg.], *tiĕlu* [abl.sg.] 'day'(?).

PIE *\*di-eu-* 'God of the clear sky, Clear Sky'. IE cognates: see s.v. *Iūpiter*.

Lat. *diēs* is based on the disyllabic PIt. acc.sg. *\*dijēm* (Lat. *diem*) < PIE *\*di(i)eu-m* '(god of the) sky', with *di-* analogically from gen.sg. *\*diwos*. A new stem *\*dijē-* was created on the basis of this acc.sg., and became one of the sources for the fifth declension: the endings were largely adapted to those of the first declension (*ā*-stems). Oscan *\*djē-kelo-* shows the monosyllabic variant of the stem. The semantic shift to 'day' is based on the period in which the sky is clear, i.e. the day. The original meaning together with the form *diē-* has been preserved in *Diespiter*, cf. *Iūpiter*. Oscan *iūkleī* << *\*djou-kelo-* may have been built on the Italic stem *\*djou-* from loc.sg. *\*djeu* 'in the sky', see s.v. *diū*.

Bibl.: WH I: 350, EM 174f., IEW 184f., Sihler 1995: 339, Untermann 2000: 352, 754, 868f. → *Iūpiter*, *peren[diē]*

**digitus** 'finger, toe' [m. o] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *digitābulum* 'finger-stall' (Varro), *digitulus* 'finger, toe' (Pl.+).

The meaning makes a connection with *dicō* very attractive, which is why many scholars prefer to explain the *g* of *digitus* from assimilation to the preceding *d* (thus Meiser), or dissimilation from the following voiceless *t* (Sommer 1914: 213, WH, IEW). Yet distant voicing assimilation or dissimilation is otherwise unknown in Latin (Leumann 1977: 232 does not have a single other example), and the semantic connection of a hypothetical form *\*dicitus* with *dicō* and *dicō* would have remained



clear throughout the prehistory of Latin. Unfortunately, no PIE root of the form *\*d(e)ig-* is with certainty attested. IEW reconstructs *\*doig-* for Go. *taikns* 'sign', but *k* might be due to Kluge's Law in Germanic.

Bibl.: WH I: 351, EM 175, IEW 188f., Leumann 1977: 232, Meiser 1998: 126.

**-dinus** 'day' [adj. *o/ā*]: *diēs perendinus* 'the day after tomorrow' (Pl.+), *nūndinae* [f.pl.] 'a market-day, occurring every ninth day' (Lex XII, Cic.+), *nūndinālis* 'of market-days' (Pl.+), *nūndinum* [n.] 'the period from one market-day to the next' (Cic.+) *noundinum* [gen.pl.] (SCBac.)

Plt. *\*perno-dino-* 'of the foremost day' > 'of the day after', *\*noweno-dino-* 'of the ninth day'.

PIE *\*di-n-* 'day' (>> *\*-dino-* 'of a day'). IE cognates: OIr. *denus* 'period of time', *trēdenus* 'period of three days'; Skt. *madhyam̐dina-* [m.] 'midday, noon', *su-dīna-* [n.] 'dawning beautifully, light of the day'; OPr. *deinan* [acc.sg.], Lith. *dienà*, Latv. *diena*, OCS *дѣнь* 'day' [m.], *дѣне* [gen.sg.], Ru. *den'* [m.], gen.sg. *dnja* < BSl. *\*d(e)in-*; Go. *sinteins* 'always, daily' < *\*sem-deino-*.

These are petrified occurrences of the adj. *\*di-no-* 'of a day'. The BSl. forms show that this was probably a PIE *n*-stem, whereas the widespread *o*-stem must have arisen in compounds.

Bibl.: WH II: 188, 287, EM 175, 447, 498, IEW 183-187, Leumann 1977: 134, Schrijver 1991: 275. → *diēs, dīu, heri, peren[diē]*

**dīrus** 'awful, dreadful' [adj. *o/ā*] (Cic.+)

Derivatives: *dīrae* [f.pl.] 'bad omens' (Cic.+), *Dīrae* 'the Furies' (Verg.+).

PIE *\*duei-ro-* 'fearful' / *\*dueis-o-* 'hated'. IE cognates: Skt. *dvīṣ-* 'hate, enmity, enemy', 'enemy', *-dvīṣ-* 'hating' (RV+), OAv. *daibiš-uuant-* 'enemy'; Skt. *dveṣ-* 'to hate', *dvéṣas-* 'hate, enmity, hater, enemy', *a-dveṣá-* 'not hostile'; Gr. *δείδω* 'to fear' < pf. *\*δέ-δφοι-α*; Att. *δέδουκα* < *\*δέ-δφοι-κα*, aor. *δείσαι* (II.) < *\*δφεῖ-σαι*.

A religious term. Mostly reconstructed as *\*dwei-ro-*, in which case initial *d-* instead of *b-* < *\*dw-* is unexpected. *Dīrus* is therefore explained as a dialectal form (EM, IEW), which seems to be confirmed by Serv. auct. Aen. 3, 235 *Sabīnī et Umbrī, quae nōs mala, dīra appellat*; cf. Rix 2005: 569. The recent date of appearance, and the absence of any derivatives within Latin, might also be interpreted as a support for this explanation. We may accept it, but with the necessary precautions, since it remains an explanation ex obscuro. Since intervocalic *\*s* yields *r* in Latin and in Umbrian, one might also posit original *\*dweis-o-* 'hateful / to be hated'.

Bibl.: WH I: 353f., EM 176, IEW 227f., LIV *\*duei-*.

**dis-** 'away, apart' [pref.] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *dī-* (in front of *b,d,g,l,m,n,v,r*; *dismota* SCBac.), *dir-* (in front of vowels), *dif-* (in front of *f*).

Plt. *\*dis-* 'in two, apart'; *\*dus-* 'bad' (in *difficilis*). It. cognates: possibly U. *disleralinsust* [3s.fut.pf.] '?will have gone wrong' < *\*dis-leis-*?

PIE *\*dus* 'into two > bad', *\*dui-* 'two, into two'. IE cognates: Gr. *διύ* 'in two, apart,

through' [adv.], 'through' [prep.] < \*δισ-α; also δα- in δαφουινός, esp. from animals, 'very red', δάσκιος 'very shady', developed from δια-, or Aeol. ζα-.

Borrowed into Gothic as the prefix *dis-* 'apart'. Lat. *dis-* seems to be cognate with Gr. διά < \*δισ-α. Whereas διά can function both as a preverb and as a preposition, in Latin, *dis-* is only a preverb. In the older texts, it is nearly always prefixed to verbs, with the exception of the adj. *difficilis*. Exactly in this compound, *dis-* does not mean 'away, apart', but rather 'non-, opposite'. Therefore, it may well be that *difficilis* contains PIE \**dus-* 'apart; bad' (thus Wackernagel and Leumann 1977: 400), which is otherwise unattested in Latin. Yet Forssman 1992: 309 maintains that *difficilis* was built from *dis* + *facilis* on the example of *similis* : *dissimilis*. Even if *difficilis* does not directly continue \**dus-*, the restriction of *dis-* to (verbal) compounds would suggest that all of Latin *dis-* is a remake of \**dus-* by analogy with \**dui-* 'into two, apart'. Another possibility is a dissimilation \**dwis-* > \**dis-* in front of verbs starting in \**w-*, especially in the compounds *dīvidere* 'to divide' and *dīvertere* 'to divert'. Yet a separation of *dis-* from δια- is unattractive; and in Greek, δυσ- has remained alive as a prefix – but meaning 'bad'. Hence, Proto-Greek may have had all three forms: \**dus-* 'bad', \**dui-* 'two' and \**dis-(a-)* 'into two, apart'. Whereas \**dus-* developed from 'into two' to metaphorical 'bad', the novel form \**dis-* retained the literal meaning 'into two, apart'.

Bibl.: WH I: 354f., EM 176, IEW 232, Untermann 2000: 180f. → *bis*

### discipulus 'pupil' [m. o] (Pl.)

Derivatives: *disciplīna* 'teaching, discipline' (Pl.+), *disciplinōsus* 'well-trained' (Cato).

PIt. \**kapelo-* 'who takes'.

WH derive *discipulus* from \**dis-capiō* 'to assume mentally, interpret' (cf. *disceptāre* 'to negotiate, decide' Cic.+), which is semantically not compelling. EM are very hesitant about it. On the other hand, *-pulus* is difficult to explain on the basis of *discō*.

Bibl.: WH I: 355, EM 176. → *capiō*

### discō, -ere 'to learn' [v. III; pf. *didicī*] (Pl.+)

PIt. \**dikske/o-* [pr.], \**de-dok-* [pf.] (>> \**di-dok-* after the present, Leumann 1977: 586).

PIE \**di-dk-sk-e/o-*, pf. \**de-dok-* 'to take, accept'.

The present is reconstructed by LIV (after Leumann 1977: 586) as a desiderative pr. \**di-dk-se-* > pre-Lat. \**dik-se-* → \**dik-ske-* > *disce-*, but this seems unwarranted. The meaning is not 'desire to observe' vel sim., but 'to take in (repeatedly)'. Thus, I reconstruct an iterative suffix \**-ske/o-* plus reduplication.

Bibl.: WH I: 331, EM 176, IEW 189-191, Sihler 1995: 115, 507, Meiser 1998: 210, LIV \**dek-*. → *decet, doceō*

### diū, diu 'by day; for a long time' [adv.] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: (1) *nūdiūstertius* 'three days ago' (Pl.+), *Diūs Fidius* 'god of oaths' (Pl.+); (2) *dius* [adv.] in *noctū neque dius* 'by night nor day' (Pl.); (3) *diū* 'by day' (usually together with *noctū* 'by night') (Pl.+), *diū* [adv.] 'for a long time' (Andr.+),

comp. *diūtius*, *interdiū* [adv.] ‘by day’ (Cato+), *interdius* [adv.] ‘by day’ (Pl.+), *quamdiū* ‘how long, as long as’ (Pl.+); (4) *diurnus* ‘of the day; daily’ (Cic.+), *diutinus* ‘lasting for a long time’ (Pl.+); *bīduum* ‘period of two days’ (Cato+), *trīduum* ‘period of three days’ (Pl.+), *quadrīduum* ‘period of four days’ (Pl.+); (5) *dīus* [adj.] ‘daylit’ (Enn.+).

Plt. nom. \**di(j)ous*, gen. \**diwos*, loc. \**di(j)ou* / \**djowi* ‘day’; ad. \**-diwo-* ‘of the day’.

PIE \**di-eu-* ‘day; sky’. IE cognates: see s.v. *Iūpiter*; Skt. *divyá-* [adj.] ‘heavenly, divine’ (RV+), Gr. *δῖος* [adj.] ‘heavenly’ (Il.), also ‘belonging to Zeus’ (trag.).

(1) The form *dīūs*, which is suspected to be attested in *nudiustertius* and *mediusfidius*, might be the old nom.sg. \**dijēus* > \**dijēus* (syllabic \**di-* analogically from the gen.sg.), with monophthongization of \**eu* > *ū*. (2) The adverb *dīus* can directly represent the PIE gen.sg. \**dijuos* ‘of the day’; maybe *noctū* also replaces an older gen.sg. \**nox* used as a temporal adverb. (3) The disyllabic form *diū*, with iambic shortening *dīu*, can represent the loc.sg. \**dijeu* > \**dijū* > \**dīū*. It also occurs as *dīū*, with long *ī* imported from *dīvus*. Nussbaum analyzes *interdiū* as a sequence of two originally independent adverbs, ‘in the meantime by day’. The comp. *diutius* might have its *t* from *diutinus* (thus Leumann 1977: 322; this would be unique); WH I: 358 assume that the suffix was taken from *sētius*, *cītius*. (4) The adj. *diurnus* has probably adopted *-urnus* from *nocturnus* ‘by night’. The adj. *diutinus* has the suffix *-tinus* which is also found in other temporal adj., e.g. *crastinus*. Maybe it is PIE \**-t-ino-* (cf. *vernus*, Gr. *εἰαπνός* ‘in the spring’). The adj. in *-duum* are from \**-diuom*, n. of the adj. \**-diu-o-*. WH I: 104 explain *ī* from analogy with *postrūdiē*, but the model is not perfect, since \**bīdius* is an adj. One might consider analogy with *bīmus* ‘lasting two years’ < \**bi-him-o-*. (5) The adj. *dīus* (often substantivized to *dīum* ‘the open sky’) may be compared to Sab. \**djouwjo-* ‘of Jupiter’; it may thus reflect \**dijū-jo-* (cf. Gr. *δῖος*; and *Gāius* < OLat. *kavios*), unless it is identical with *dīus* ‘god-like’ after all.

Bibl.: WH I: 104, 357-360, II: 740, EM 174-177, IEW 183-187, Leumann 1977: 357, Sihler 1995: 339. → *deus*, *dīēs*, *Iūpiter*, *-dinus*

O. *diuvil(u)*, *iūvilu*, *iūvil(ú)* [nom.sg.], *diuvilam*, *iūvilam* [acc.sg.], *iūvilas*, *iuvilas* [nom.pl.] ‘kind of stele, image’ < \**djowjelā-*?

Since (d)*iuvila-* is the name for stone or clay steles found in a Capua necropolis, it is tempting to derive it from the name of Jupiter. Since a preform \**djow-e/ilā-* would undergo syncope in open second syllable, the suffix *-ila-* could be explained from \**-ī/ēlā-*, from \**-eljā-* or from \**-jelā-*. The latter option would enable us to derive (d)*iuvila-* from the adj. (d)*iuvio-*, U. *iuvio-* ‘belonging to Jupiter’; hence \**djowje-lo-* ‘which belongs to the (feast?) of Jupiter’.

Bibl.: IEW 183-187, Untermann 2000: 188. → *Iūpiter*

**dīves**, **-itis** ‘wealthy, rich’ [adj. *t*] (Pl.+; contracted *dīs* Ter., *dītis*, comp. *dītior* Naev.+)

Derivatives: *divitiae* [f.pl.] (Pl. Ter. also *dītiae*) ‘abundance, riches’ (Pl.+), *divitāre* ‘to enrich’ (Acc.+), *dītēscere* ‘to grow rich’ (Lucret.+); *Dīs*, *Dītis* [m.] ‘ruler of the underworld’ (Cic.+).

Lat. *dīves* is an adj. which was probably derived from *dīvus* as \**deiu-(o/e)t-* ‘who is

like / protected by the gods'. The older paradigm was nom. *dīves*, gen. *dītis*, which contraction *\*-īwi-* > *ī*. This led to the creation of two new, full paradigms, one in *dīvit-*, one in *dīt-* (with nom.sg. *dīs*). The occurrence of the deity *Dīs* together with *pater* may be due to association with *Di(e)spiter*.

Bibl.: WH I: 358f., EM 177, IEW 183-187, Leumann 1977: 373, Untermann 2000: 168. → *deus*

**dīvidō, -ere** 'to separate, divide' [v. III; pf. *dīvīsī*, ppp. *dīvīsum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *dīvidia* 'vexation' (Naev.+), *dīvidus* 'separated' (Acc.+), *dīviduus* 'divided into two or more parts' (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*dis-wi-p-e/o-*.

PIE *\*(d)ui-d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-* 'to separate, distinguish'. IE cognates: Skt. *ávidhat* [3s.aor.act.] 'allotted', OAv. *vīda-* 'to devote oneself to'; ToAB *wātk-* 'to separate, distinguish' < *\*uid<sup>h</sup>(h<sub>1</sub>)-sk-e/o-*.

The original PIE verb *\*dui-d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-* (which became thematic in Latin) meant 'to divide in two, separate'. It lost initial *\*d-* through dissimilation in front of the next dental stop, and was reinforced by *dis-* in Latin, which itself is another reflex of *\*duis* 'two'.

Bibl.: WH I: 359, EM 177f., IEW 1127f., Lubotsky 1994, LIV *\*d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-*. → *viduus*

**dō, dare** 'to give' [v. I] (VOLat.+; 3s.pf. *dedet* (Elog.Scip. 230 BC), *dedit* (211 BC), Tibur *dede*, Tusculum *deded*, *dedet*, Minturnae *dede*, Caes. *ded*, Praeneste *dedit*, 3p. Praeneste *dedrunt*, Paestum *dedere*; *datu* [nom.n. of ppp.] in Faliscan inscr.). In OLat.: pr. *dō, dās, dat, damus, datis, dant; danunt* 'dant' (CIL 1.1531.7, Naev., Pl. Paul. *ex F.*); pf. *dedī*, ppp. *datum*, fut. *dabō*, ipv. *dā, date*.

Derivatives: *datāre* 'to be in the habit of giving' (Pl.+), *datātīm* 'from hand to hand' (Naev.+); *datiō* 'the act of giving' (Varro+), *datum* 'present; debit' (Pl.+), *dator* 'a giver' (Pl.+), *datus, -ūs* 'the act of giving' (Pl.); *dōs, -tis* [f.] 'dowry, endowment' (Pl.+), *dōtālis* 'forming part of a dowry' (Pl.+), *dōtātus* 'provided with a dowry' (Pl.+); *circumdare* 'to place round' (Pl.+), *dēdere* 'to surrender' (Naev.+), *dīdere* 'to distribute' (Pl.+), *ēdere* 'to eject, emit' (Pl.+), *interdare* 'to place between' (Pl.+), *prōdere* 'to project, betray' (Pl.+), *prōditor* 'traitor' (Pl.+), *reddere* 'to give back' (Naev.+), *trādere* 'to hand over, deliver' (Naev.+), *trāditiō* 'delivery' (Varro+), *vendere* 'to sell' (Naev.+), *venditāre* 'to offer for sale' (Pl.+); Forum inscr. *dotaue[re?]* 'he gave/they gave (as a privilege)'.

Plt. red.pr. *\*di-d-e-ti* < *\*didati* [Sab. pres, Latin cp. in *-dere*], aor. *\*dō-/da-*, pf. *\*deda-*, ppp. *\*datos*; *\*dōt-* [f.] 'endowment'. It. cognates: Ven. *doto* [3s.pret.] 'gave' < *\*d(e)h<sub>3</sub>-to*; Vest. *didet* [3s.pr.], Pael. *dida*, U. *teřa, dīrsa, dērsa* [3s.pr.sb.], *dīrsans, dīrsas* [3p.pr.sb.], *titu, tetu, dītu, teřtu, tertu, dīrstu* [3s.ipv.II], *teřte* [3s.pr.ps.], O. *didest* [3s.fut.], *deded*, δεδετ, Mars. *ded*, Presam. *tetet*, U. *dede* [3s.pf.], O. *dedens, δεδενας* [3p.pf.], U. *teřust, dīrsust* [3s.fut.pf.], O. *δατας*, Pael. *datas*, Vest. *data* [ppp., gen.sg.f.]; with prev. *dād-* O. *dadid* [3s.pf.sb.], *da[da]d?* [3s.pr.sb.]?; with preverb *am-* 'around' U. *ateřafust, andersafust, andīrsafust* [3s.fut.pf.], all 'to give'. Ven. [?d]idor [3s.pr.med.] of 'to give', if *d-* is correctly restored.

PIE pr. *\*di-d(e)h<sub>3</sub>-* 'to give', pf. *\*de-dh<sub>3</sub>-*, pf.sb. *\*de-dh<sub>3</sub>-ih<sub>1</sub>-*; root aor. *\*deh<sub>3</sub>-m*,

\*dh<sub>3</sub>-me (whence Latin *dare*); ppp. \*dh<sub>3</sub>-to-; noun \*dē/ōh<sub>3</sub>-t-. IE cognates: OIr. *do-rata*\* < \*tu-ro-ad-dā- 'be able to give', *iadaid* 'to close' < \*epi-dā- < PCl. \*dā- 'give'; Hit. *dā-i* / *d-* 'to take, wed, decide', CLuw. *lā-* 'to take', *lalā-* 'id.' < \*doh<sub>3</sub>/dh<sub>3</sub>-, Skt. pr. *dādāti*, aor. *ádāt*, OAv. pr. *dadā-*, YAv. *dadāiti*, Gr. *δίδωμι* 'to give', aor. *ἔδωκα*, *ἔδομεν*, *δός* 'gift', Arm. *tam* 'I give', *etow* 'I gave', OAlb. *dhæ* 'gave', Lith. *dúoti* 'give', OCS *dati* 'give'.

The form *damunt* must be secondary. Sommer has proposed an equation *situs* : *simunt* = *datus* : *X*, *X* = *damunt*; this is accepted by Livingston 2004: 15, who argues that the other 3p. forms in *-munt(ur)* were modeled on *damunt*. But Sihler 1995: 544f. rightly objects that this equation renders the restriction to the 3p. difficult to understand. In view of the pervading short vocalism in the present of *dare*, the two forms *dās* and *dā!* are probably analogical (thus Schrijver). Due to the merger of \*(*dī*)*dare* and \**d<sup>h</sup>i**d<sup>h</sup>are* in compounds, the appurtenance of all compounds in *-dere* to either *dō* or *-dō* (see the following lemma) is not always certain. Judging by their meanings, *circumdare* and *interdare* may represent \**-d<sup>h</sup>ere*, with hypercorrect *-are*. *Vendere* may reflect \**venum dare* 'to put up for sale'. The reduplicated present generalized the zero grade of the root in Italic, hence \**dida-* in all forms. In Sabellic, this was preserved, whereas in Latin, a new present was created on the basis of the pl. of the root aorist. Possibly, the loss of the reduplication syllable in compounds led to the analogical loss of \**dī-* in the present stem. The root aorist was preserved in Venetic.

Bibl.: WH I: 360-363, EM 178-180, IEW 223-226, Giacomelli 1963: 242f., Lejeune 1974: 332f., Leumann 1977: 527, 560, Schrijver 1991: 147, 402, Sihler 1995: 520, 544ff., Meiser 1998: 185, 188, 216, Untermann 2000: 173-179, 613-615, Untermann 2002: 494, LIV \*deh<sub>3</sub>- 'give'. → *cedo*, *dōnum*, *duim*; *sacerdōs*

**-dō, -dere** 'to put' [v. III; pf. *-didī*, ppp. *-ditum*; pr.sb. sometimes *-duim*, *-duis*, *-duit*, *-duint* in Pl.]: *abdere* 'to conceal' (Pl.+), *addere* 'to add' (Lex XII, Pl.+), *condere* 'to put, insert, establish' (Pl.+), *indere* 'to introduce, apply' (Pl.+), *perdere* 'to destroy; lose' (Naev.+), *subdere* 'to place under, subject' (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *additiō* 'addition' (Varro); *condire* 'to season, flavour' (Pl.+), *condimentum* 'seasoning, spice' (Pl.+), *conditiō* 'method of preserving food' (Varro+), *conditāneus* 'suitable for preserving' (Varro), *conditīvus* 'suitable for preserving' (Cato+); *perditus*, *-ūs* 'ruination' (Pl.); *subditīvus* 'spurious' (Pl.+).

Plt. pr. \**-pō*, \**-pas*, etc.; pf. \**-pēpai*, ppp. \**-pato-*. It. cognates: O. **prúffed** [3s.pf.], **prúttúset** [3p.pf.ps.n.], **prúftas sú[nt]** [3p.pf.ps.f.] 'to erect' < \**profefet*, \**profato-* < pf. \**pro-d<sup>h</sup>e-d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-e-*, ppp. \**pro-d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-to-*; O. **manafum** [1s.pf.?], **aamanafed**, **aamanafed**, **amanafed** [*ā-* + 3s.pf.], **emanafed** [*ē-* + 3s.pf.] 'to commission, order' < pf. \**man-fe-f-* < \**man-* 'hand' + \**d<sup>h</sup>e-d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-*.

PIE pr. \**d<sup>h</sup>i-d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-* 'to put', aor. \**d<sup>h</sup>(é)h<sub>1</sub>-*. IE cognates: PCl. \**-dī-* 'to put' in OIr. *creitid* 'to believe' (see s.v. *crēdō*); Hit. *dāi-* / *ti-* 'to lay, put, place', Lyc. *ta-* 'to put' < \**d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-oi-*, Hit. *titta-* / *titti-* 'to install, assign' < \**d<sup>h</sup>i-d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-oi-*, Skt. *dhā-*, Av. *dā-* 'to put, make', Gr. *τίθημι*, aor. *ἔθηκα*, pl. *ἔθεμεν*, Lith. *dėti* 'to lay, put', OCS *děti* 'do, say', Toch. *tā-* 'place, set'.

Verbal compounds in *-dō* can represent either PIE \*deh<sub>3</sub>- 'to give' or \*d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>- 'to put'.

Since the simplex of 'to put' is not retained in Latin, I here give the verbs of which WH and EM suggest that they may be ascribed to PIE \*d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-. The Latin reflex *-d-* is regular only after certain consonants, but not in absolute anlaut, where *f-* results from \*d<sup>h</sup>-. Thus, *-dere* may reflect an athematic aorist \*-d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-si, possibly thematized to \*d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-e/o-. It is also possible that the forms go back to the PIE reduplicated present, e.g. 1p. \*-d<sup>h</sup>i-d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-mes > Plt. \**-bīpames* > \**-b̥pames*.

Bibl.: WH I: 362f., EM I78f., IEW 235ff., Schrijver 1991: 402, Klingenschmitt 1992: 111, Untermann 2000: 448f., 585, LIV \*d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-. → *crēdō, dō, dare*

**doceō** 'to tell, inform; teach' [v. II; *docuī, doctum*] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *docilis* 'ready to learn' (Cic.+), *doctor, -ōris* 'teacher' (Lucr.+), *doctrīna* 'teaching, instruction' (Pl.+), *doctus* 'learned, wise' (Pl.+), *documentum* 'example' (Pl.+), *documen, -inis* [n.] 'warning, caution' (Lucr.+); *perdocēre* 'to inform, instruct' (Naev.+).

Plt. \**dok-eje-*.

PIE \**dok-ējē/o-* 'to have someone accept sth.'. IE cognates: Hit. *dākki, takkanzi* 'to seem, be similar' < \**dokh<sub>1</sub>-/\*dkh<sub>1</sub>-*, Gr. *δοκεῖ* 'it seems'.

All nominal derivatives are productive formations. Leumann 1977: 96 assumes *doctus* < \**dok-i-tos*.

Bibl.: WH I: 331, EM 180f., IEW 189-191, LIV \**dek-*. → *decet, discō*

**doleō** 'to be in pain, feel painful' [v. II; pf. *doluī*, ppf. *dolitūrum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *dolor, -ōris* 'pain' (Pl.+); *dolitāre* 'to be painful' (Cato+).

Plt. \**dolē-* 'to pain, cause pain'.

PIE \**dolh<sub>1</sub>-eie-* 'to split'. IE cognates: MW *e-thyl* 'chooses' < \*(*do-*)*eks-dolī-* < \**-dol-ē-* < \**-dol-eie-* 'to split out'.

Derived from the root \**delh<sub>1</sub>-* 'to chop' under the assumption that 'pain' was expressed by the feeling of 'being torn apart'. A causative \**dolh<sub>1</sub>-eie-* 'to make somebody (feel) split' could have become 'to cause pain'. The experiencer must originally have been expressed in the dative.

Bibl.: WH I: 364, EM 181, IEW 194-96, Rix 1999: 528, LIV \**delh<sub>1</sub>-*. → *dolō*

**dōlium** 'large earthenware vessel' [n. o] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *dōliāris* [adj.] 'of or like a *dolium*' (Pl.).

IE cognates: maybe Ir. *delb* 'form' [f.], OCS *dobly* 'barrel' [f. *ū*], *doblyve* [gen.sg.] < \**dl(H)-u-*.

The connection with *dolāre* 'to chop' is doubted by EM and Schrijver because the root of *dolāre* usually refers to woodwork, not earthenware. To me, this does not seem a decisive objection, but the long *ō* cannot be regularly explained. Since it is a pottery term, it may be a loanword.

Bibl.: WH I: 364, EM 181, IEW 194-196, Schrijver 1991: 123.

**dolō, -āre** 'to hew or chop into shape' [v. I] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *dolābra* 'a pick or similar tool' (Liv.+).

PIt. *\*dolaje-*.

PIE *\*dolh<sub>1</sub>-ie/o-* ‘to cut repeatedly’ or *\*d(e)lh<sub>1</sub>-ie/o-* ‘to cut’. IE cognates: Lith. *dĩlti*, 1s. *delũ* ‘to wear off, disappear’ (Žem.), Latv. *dĩlt*, Is. *dēlu* ‘to decrease’ < pr. *\*delh<sub>1</sub>-e-*.

Lat. *dolā-* may reflect *\*delā-* or *\*dolā-*. LIV posits a pr. *\*delh<sub>1</sub>-ie/o-*, whereas Rix 1999 considers a zero grade of the root possible. Rix also regards the instrument noun *dolābra* (for *\*dolābra* < *\*delh<sub>1</sub>-d<sup>h</sup>reh<sub>2</sub>-*) as evidence for a primary verb, since a denominative verb would have us expect a noun *\*\*dolāmentum*. Schrijver 1991: 215 reconstructs an *o*-grade, which he derives from the basic noun (if *dolāre* is a denominal verb), but which may as well stem from an iterative verb in PIE. The PIE root *\*delg<sup>h</sup>-* ‘to cut, hew’ (Olr. *as-dloing* ‘splits’, OIc. *telgja* ‘to cut up’) has a very similar meaning, but the root forms cannot be reconciled.

Bibl.: WH I: 364-365, EM 181, IEW 194ff., Schrijver 1991: 215f., 400, Rix 1999: 527-28, LIV *\*delh<sub>1</sub>-*. → *doleō*

**dolus** ‘unlawful intention, malice’ [m. *o*] (Lex XII+)

Derivatives: *dolōsus* ‘sly, deceitful’ (Pl.+); *subdolus* ‘id.’ (Pl.+); *sēdulō* ‘sincerely; diligently’ (Pl.+), *sēdulitās* ‘painstaking attention’ (Varro+).

PIt. *\*dolo-* ‘trick’. It. cognates: O. *dolom*, *dolum* [acc.sg.], *dolud* [abl.sg.] ‘intention, ruse’. Probably a loanword from Latin.

PIE *\*dolh<sub>1</sub>-o-* [m.] ‘pain’? IE cognates: Gr. δόλος ‘bait, trick’, OIc. *tal* [n.] ‘account, number’.

For *sē-* ‘apart, away’ < *\*sēd-*, in *sēdulō* < *\*sē dolōd* [abl.sg.] ‘without malice’, cf. Meiser 1998: 157f. IEW argues that *dolus* was borrowed from Greek; others have compared Gm. *\*tala-* ‘reason, account’. In view of *doleō* ‘to feel pain’ and *dolor* ‘pain’, an inherited word *\*dolh<sub>1</sub>-o-* ‘pain/which pains’ > ‘deceit, malice’ cannot be dismissed.

Bibl.: WH I: 366, II 509, EM 182, IEW 193, Untermann 2000: 189. → *doleō*

**dominus** ‘master of a household, ruler’ [m. *o*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *domina* ‘female head of the household, mistress’ (Pl.+), *dominium* ‘rule, dominion’ (Laev.+), *dominicus* ‘of a master’ (Afran.+), *domināri* ‘to rule, be in control’ (Acc.+).

PIt. *\*dom-o/u-no-* ‘of the house’.

Pinault 2000: 90-91, building on a suggestion by Schindler, reconstructs *\*dom-h<sub>3</sub>en-*, thematized as *\*dom-h<sub>3</sub>n-o-* ‘who profits of the house’. Since Skt. *dāmūnas-* ‘lord of the house’ probably goes back to *\*dom-u-h<sub>3</sub>n-o-*, Pinault suspects that both words go back to the same PIE word; Skt. introduced the *u*-stem into the noun. But since Skt. and Lat. have independent remodellings anyway, and since *dominus* can go back to *\*domHno-* or *\*domVno-*, there is no way to exclude that *dominus* continues *\*domu-no-* or *\*domo-no-*. Semantically, *dominus* can simply be ‘he of the house’ (like Go. *kindins* ‘he of the gens’, *thiudans* ‘he of the people’), and does not have to mean ‘he who possesses/profits from a house’ (like *Bellōna*, *Portūnus*, *colōnus*).

Bibl.: IEW 198f., Meid 1956-57, 1957, 1958, Peters 1980: 172, Pinault 2000, LIV 1. *\*demh<sub>2</sub>-*. → *domus*

**domō, -āre** ‘to subdue’ [v. I; pf. *domuī*, ppp. *domitum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *domitāre* ‘to subdue by taming’ (Verg.+), *domitor* ‘a trainer (of animals); conqueror’ (Cic.+).

PIt. \**domaje-*.

PIE \**domh<sub>2</sub>-eie/o-* ‘to tame’. IE cognates: OIr. *daimid\**, *daim* ‘to allow, give in’, MW *aðef* ‘to confess’ (< \**ad-*), MCo. *godhaff*, MBret. *gouzaff* ‘to suffer’ < PCl. \**dam-je/o-*, OIr. *damnaid\**, *damna\** ‘to bind, subdue’ < PCl. \**dam-na-*; Hit. *tamāšš-/tame/išš-* ‘to (op)press’ < PIE \**dméh<sub>2</sub>-s-* / \**dmh<sub>2</sub>-s-*, Skt. *dam<sup>i</sup>* ‘to control’, caus. *damáyati* (RV+), *damitár-* [m.] ‘tamer, subduer’, Gr. *δάμνημι* ‘to tame, subdue, conquer’, Go. *ga-tamjan* ‘to tame’.

Lat. *domitāre* was either built directly to *domāre* as an iterative, or to the ppp. *domitus* as a denominal verb. Theoretically, Lat. *domāre* might reflect \**demā-*, but the absence of a nasal present or *s*-suffix, and the suffix *-ā-*, suggest an original causative. The perfect *domuī* < \**doma-wai* was built secondarily on the basis of the present, which was reanalyzed as \**doma-je-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 367f., EM 182, IEW 199f., Isebaert 1988, Schrijver 1991: 400, Sihler 1995: 505, Meiser 1998: 186, 2003: 137f., LIV \**demh<sub>2</sub>-*. → *redimiō*

**domus, -ī / -ūs** ‘house, home; household’ [f. *o/u*] (Andr.+); sg.nom. *-us*, voc. *-us*, acc. *-um*, gen. *-ī* (usually in Pl.) >> *-ūs*, dat. *-uī*, also *-ō*, abl. *-ō*, also *-ū*, loc. *-ī*; pl.nom. *-ūs*, acc. *-ūs* or *-ōs*, gen. *-uum*, *-ōrum*, dat.abl. *-ibus*. The abl.sg. *domū* once in Pl. (*fugere ... ex hac domū*), otherwise *domō* as adv. (*domō abeas*); 1x acc.pl. *domōs* in Pl.

Derivatives: *domesticus* ‘of the household’ (Varro+); *domicilium* ‘habitation, dwelling-place’ (Pl.+).

PIt. \**domo-* [f.] ‘house’.

PIE \**dōm*, \**dem-* ‘house’; \**dom-o-?* IE cognates: Skt. *dām-* [n.], Av. *dam-*, Skt. *dāma-* [m.] ‘house’, Gr. *δόμος* ‘house, layer of bricks’, Lith. *nāmas* ‘house’ < \**dom-o-*; Gr. *δμῶς*, *-ώς* [m.] ‘slave, servant’ < \**dmōu-*, OCS *domъ* [m. *u/o*] ‘house’, Ru. *dom*, loc.sg. *na domú* ‘at home’ < \**dom-u-*, Ru. *domóvyj*, *domovój* [adj.] ‘house-’.

In PIE, there was a root noun nom.sg. \**dōm*, gen. \**dem-s*. Probably, a *u*-stem derivative also existed (yielding Slav. \**domu-* ‘house’ and Gr. *δμῶς* ‘slave’ < \**dm-ou-*). There are *o*-stems in Lithuanian and Ilr., but they appear to be independent innovations of those branches. The f. gender of *domus* probably is due to the original root noun. Old Latin mainly has *o*-stem forms; the change into a *u*-stem which some case forms show may be explained from an attempt to adapt the declension type of *domus* to its f. gender, which is unusual for Latin *o*-stems (apart from tree-names) (M. Weiss, p.c.). Pace Gerschner 2002: 172, the loc.sg. *domī* does not warrant an original loc. \**dem-ei* of a root noun, but can represent a genuine *o*-stem form \**domoi*. PIt. \**-om-*, \**-oNC-* mostly became *-um-*, *-uNC-* in Latin, but this change is sometimes impeded by a preceding dental: *domāre*, *tongeō*, *longus*. Hence, *domus* may be the regular outcome of PIt. \**domos*.

The adj. *domesticus* cannot contain an *s*-stem \**domes-* (for which there is no evidence), but was rather formed to its antonym \**rowestikos* (> *rūsticus*), cf.



Leumann 1977: 339. For *domicilium*, WH suggest an abstract *\*domo-kol-io-* ‘house-dwelling’ from *\*domo-* + *\*k<sup>w</sup>el-* + *-ium*. Phonologically more regular would be *\*-k<sup>w</sup>ol-io-*, since *\*-k<sup>w</sup>el-io-* would yield Lat. *\*-quilium*. EM suggest that Lat. *domicilium* might be based on Lat. *\*domicola* ‘house-dweller’. But such a noun is unattested, and if Lat. *domicilium* is a relatively late creation, one would rather expect *\*domicolium*. A third etymology, dismissed by WH as less likely than *\*domokolio-* (see above), entails a reconstruction *\*domu/o-kel-io-* ‘domestic shelter, cover of the home’, from *domus* + the root of *cēlāre* ‘to cover, hide’, *cella*. This reconstruction seems both semantically and morphologically plausible and is phonologically impeccable: *\*domo-kel(H)-io-* regularly yields Lat. *domicilium*.

Instead of initial *dom-*, there are several forms in *dem-*: *demī* Mi. 738, *demum* Am. 654, Au. 326, Ep. 452, each time only in one ms. branch (Gerschner 2002). It seems to me that these are too few to warrant a linguistically real stem *demu-* at the time of Plautus. The three instances of *demum* occur in sentences where one can imagine that a writing error *de-* for *do-* might not have been corrected because *dēmum* would also fit the context (though not the metre, which requires a short penultimate): Am 654 *Edepol me uxori exoptatum credo adventurum domum /*, Ep 452 *Immo si audias / meas pugnas, fugias manibus dimissis domum /*, Ba 326 *ut illud reportes aurum ab Theotimo domum /*. In Mi 739 this argument does not hold, but *demi* is found in only one of the 4 main mss.: *ut ... / meae domi accipiam benigne, lepide et lepidis victibus*.

Bibl.: Sommer 1914: 65, 404f., WH I: 367-370, EM 182f., IEW 198f., Meier-Brügger 1977, Meiser 1998: 83, 150, Pinault 2000: 89, Gerschner 2002: 172f., LIV *\*demh<sub>2</sub>-* ‘to build’. → *dōminus*

**dōnum** ‘gift, present’ [n. o] (Pl.+; VOlat. *donom* [acc.])

Derivatives: *dōnāre* ‘to present, give’ (Pl.+), *dōnābilis* ‘worthy to be the recipient of’ (Pl.), *dōnāticus* ‘formally presented’ (Cato+).

Plt. *\*dōno-* [n.] ‘gift’. It. cognates: Ven. *donom* [nom.acc.] ‘gift’; *donasto*, *tonasto* [3s.pret.] ‘gave’ < *\*donā-s-to*, *donasan* [3p.pret.] < *\*donā-s-nt*; O. *dunúm*, *dúnúm*, *dunum*, U. *dunum*, *dunu*, *dun.*, Mars. *dunom*, *donom*, Vol. *duno*, Pael. *donom* [acc.sg.], O. *dūneis* [gen.sg.] n. ‘gift’; O. *δουνακλου* [nom.acc.] ‘gift’ or ‘act of giving’ < *\*donāt<sup>h</sup>lom*; O. *dunat* [3s.pr.], *duunated* [3s.pf.] to *\*dōnā-* ‘to bestow’.

PIE *\*doh<sub>3</sub>-no-* or *\*deh<sub>3</sub>-no-* ‘gift’. IE cognates: OIr. *dán* ‘poem, gift’ < *\*dōno-*, OIr. *dán*, W. *dawn* ‘gift, talent’ < *\*dōnu-*; Skt. *dāna-* ‘gift, present’ (< *\*déh<sub>3</sub>-eno-*, Lubotsky 1988: 84), Lith. *duōnis* ‘gift’, OCS *danь* ‘tax, tribute’.

The verb *dōnāre* is derived from *dōnum*. In view of the Oscan and Venetic verb forms, this derivation may go back to Plt.; but it cannot be excluded that these are separate innovations of the different languages (thus Untermann 2000: 195).

Bibl.: WH I: 371f., EM 179, IEW 225, Lejeune 1974: 333, Untermann 2000: 190, 194f., LIV *\*deh<sub>3</sub>-*. → *dō*, *dare*; *duim*

**dormiō, -īre** ‘to sleep’ [v. IV] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *dormītāre* ‘to feel sleepy’ (Pl.+), *dormītātor* ‘one who sleeps all day’ (Pl.); *obdormīre* ‘to fall asleep’ (Pl.+).

PIt. \**dormje/o-*.

PIE \**drm-je-* 'to sleep'. IE cognates: CS *drěmati*, Ru. *dremát'*, SCr. *drijěmati* 'to doze, slumber' < \**drēm-*, Gr. *δαρθάνω* 'to fall asleep'.

The PIE roots \**drem-* and \**dreH-* both have two meanings: 'run' and 'sleep'. The meaning 'sleep' is only found in Latin and Slavic for \**drem*, in Indic for \**dreH*, and in Greek for \**dr-*. The accentuation of the Slavic verb points to \**drēm-*; this may be regarded as an inner-Slavic formation with lengthened vowel (Klingenschmitt 1989: 81, Derksen 2008: 117).

Bibl.: WH I: 372, EM 184, IEW 226, LIV 2. \**drem*.

**dorsum** 'the back, a ridge' [n. *o*] (Pl.+; *dorsus* [m.] Pl.lx; A variant *doss-* occurs for both words, and is due to a recent assimilation.)

Derivatives: *dorsuārius* 'that carries a load on its back' (Varro).

A compound of *dē-* 'away from' and *versus* 'turned towards', as the Romans themselves thought: Paul. *ex F.* equals *dorsum* with *deorsum* 'down, below'. This is phonologically impeccable: \**dē-worsum* 'turned away from' > \**dēorsum* > *deorsum* (cf. *deus*). As in *seorsum* 'apart' < *sē-worsum*, a recent change has reduced *-eo-* to *-o-* in front of *rs*, hence *sorsum* 'apart' and *dorsum* \*'turned away from' > 'back'. Apparently, lexical differentiation made the spelling *deorsum* canonical for the adv. 'down' (but inscriptional evidence for *dorsum* does occur), whereas the noun 'back' is always spelled *dorsum/dossum*. I do not understand WH's statement that "herabgewandt den Bedeutungskern des vulgären Wortes nicht trifft": the 'back' is precisely what is 'turned away' from the viewer.

Bibl.: WH I: 372, EM 184, Leumann 1977: 211, Sihler 1995: 83, 178, 221. → *vertō*

**dubius** 'hesitant, in doubt' [adj. *o/ā*] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *dubat* 'dubitat' (Paul. *ex F.*); *dubitāre* 'to be in doubt' (Pl.+), *dubitātīm* 'hesitatingly' (Sis.+), *dubitātīō* 'doubt, hesitation' (Varro+); *addubānum* 'dubium' (Paul. *ex F.*).

PIt. \**du(i)-fwo-*.

PIE \**dui-b<sup>h</sup>h<sub>2</sub>u-o-* 'double'.

These forms presuppose an adj. \**dubos* 'in doubt', from which a denom. verb \**dubāre* and a new adj. *dubius* were derived. The origin may have been \**du-b<sup>h</sup>Hu-o-* 'of two forms, double'. Leumann 1977 suggests an original ins.pl. \**du-b<sup>h</sup>i* 'on two sides', remade into an adjective; yet in view of Gr. *διφύης* 'with two shapes', a connection with \**b<sup>h</sup>h<sub>2</sub>u-* 'to be(come)' seems more likely. The formans may be the same as in *probus* and *superbus*. The form *du-* must represent an inner-Italic abstraction from *duō*, \**dui-* (as in *ducentī*, *duplex*); the older form of 'two' in possessive compounds was \**dui-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 375f., EM 185, IEW 146ff., Leumann 1977: 278, Untermann 2000: 179. → *probus*, *superbus*

**dūcō, -ere** ‘to lead, conduct’ [v. III; pf. *dūxī*, ppp. *ductum*] (Lex XII+; Elog.Scip. 3s. *abdoucit*)

Derivatives: *dux, -cis* ‘leader, guide’ (Pl.+); *ductāre* ‘to conduct, lead’ (Pl.+), *ductus, -ūs* ‘military leadership; motion’ (Pl.+), *ductābilitās* ‘gullibility’ (Acc.), *ductim* ‘in draughts’ (Pl.+), *ductitāre* ‘to regularly lead off’ (Pl.); *abdūcere* ‘to lead away’ (Elog.Scip.+), *addūcere* ‘to lead, bring’ (Pl.+), *condūcere* ‘to bring together’ (Pl.+), *conductīcius* ‘hired’ (Pl.+), *conductor* ‘hirer’ (Pl.+), *dēdūcere* ‘to lead away’ (Andr.+), *dīdūcere* ‘to divide’ (Cato+), *ēdūcere* ‘to lead out’ (Pl.+), *ēducāre* ‘to bring up, rear’ (Pl.+), *ēductiō* ‘the leading out (of troops)’ (Cato), *indūcere* ‘to lead, bring’ (Pl.+; *indouc-* CIL 586), *intrōdūcere* ‘to introduce’ (Pl.+), *obdūcere* ‘to lead towards’ (Pl.+), *obductāre* ‘to introduce before’ (Pl.), *perdūcere* ‘to conduct, bring’ (Pl.+), *perductāre* ‘to conduct’ (Pl.), *perductor* ‘who conducts’ (Pl.+), *prōdūcere* ‘to bring forth’ (Pl.+), *prōductāre* ‘to prolong’ (Ter.), *redūcere* ‘to lead back’ (Pl.+), *redux, -ucis* ‘leading back home, returning’ (Naev.+), *sēdūcere* ‘to draw aside’ (Pl.+), *trādūcere* ‘to bring across, convert’ (Pl.+), *trādūx, -ucis* [m./f.] ‘side-branch of a vine’ (Varro+).

Plt. *\*doux-e-*, *\*(-)duk-aje-* [v.], *\*(-)duk-* [m.].

PIE *\*deuk-e/o-* [pr.] ‘to draw’, *\*-duk-* [in compounds]. IE cognates: MW Is. *dygaf*, 3s. *dwc* ‘to lead, bring’, OBret. 3s. *duc* ‘carries’, MCo. 3s. *dek, deg* ‘takes’ < PCI. *\*duke/o-*; Hit. *tukzi-, tukkanzi-* ‘cultivation of plants and animals’ [c.] < *\*duk-(ent)-i-* (Melchert 1999), Oss. *duc-īdōc-* ‘to milk’, Gr. δα-δύσσομαι ‘to be distracted’, ἐνδουκέως ‘kindly, greedily’, Alb. *n-duk* ‘to pull’, Go. *tiuhan* ‘to draw’.

All forms in *duct-* (except *dūctor*) are derived from the ppp. *ductus*. The zero grade and the agentive meaning of *dux* suggest that it was taken from compounds (cf. OHG *heri-zogo*). The type *ē-ducāre* probably also goes back to such nominal forms.

Bibl.: WH I: 377f., EM 185f., IEW 220f., Leumann 1977: 549, Schrijver 1991: 164, Schumacher 2004: 286-288, LIV *\*deuk-*.

**dūdum** ‘some time ago’ [adv.] (Pl.+)

Plt. *\*dū?* [adv.].

PIE *\*duh<sub>2</sub>-* (āce. *\*dueh<sub>2</sub>m*, gen. *\*duh<sub>2</sub>os?*) ‘far, long’. IE cognates: Hit. *tūyan* ‘to this side’, *tūyaz* ‘from afar’ < PIE *\*dueh<sub>2</sub>-m*, Skt. *dāvīyas-* ‘further, more distant’, sup. *daviṣthā-*, OP *duvaiṣtam* [adv.] ‘very long, very far’, Gr. δῆν ‘long, far’ (< *\*δῆν*), Dor. δάν, δοάν < *\*dueh<sub>2</sub>-m*; Arm. *tev* ‘duration’ < *\*deuh<sub>2</sub>-*; Ru. *dave* (dial.) ‘recently, yesterday’ [adv.] < PSI. *\*davě* < PIE *\*dōuh<sub>2</sub>-*, Ru. *davnó* ‘long ago’, SCr. *dāvan* ‘ancient’.

Consists of the root *dū* ‘long (time)’ (see *dūrus*) plus enclitic *-dum*. Latin *dū-* might represent the zero grade of the root noun which is also reflected in Gr. δῆν and in the Hit. adverbs. This root is homonymous with the verbal root *\*deuh<sub>2</sub>-* ‘to fit together’. It seems to show schwebe-ablaut: *\*dueh<sub>2</sub>m* and *\*dueh<sub>2</sub>-ro-* (cf. *dūrus*) next to *\*deuh<sub>2</sub>-* and *\*dōuh<sub>2</sub>-*. Indo-Iranian (Old Persian) suggests that *\*dueh<sub>2</sub>-* is the older variant.

Bibl.: WH I: 378f., EM 186, IEW 219f., Schrijver 1991: 232. → *dūrus*; *-dam* – *dē* – *dō* – *dum*

**duim** 'that I give' [pr.sb.]: Lex XII *duuit*, Pl. *duim*, *duis*, *duit*, *duint* 'dem, des, det, dent'; *duas* 'des'; *duīs* 'dederīs' (Paul. *ex F.*), *prōduit* 'porrō dederit' (Fest.)

Derivatives: Pl. *concrēduō* 'concrēdidero' is a secondary form, based on the (secondary) pf. *concrēduī*; Pl. *interduō* from *interdare* is an uncertain form; if real, it will be based on the sb. *interduim*. The occurrences of *duim* etc. in the verbs with *-dō*, *-dere* 'to put' are analogical.

Plt. pr. *\*dowje/o-*, aor.opt. *\*dū-ī-*, ppp. *\*dū-to-*. It. cognates: Fal. *douiad* [3s.sb.] < *\*dowjā-* (Giacomelli 1963: 242f., Untermann 2000: 174); U. **purtuvitu**, **purtuviðu**, **purtuvetu**, **purtuetu**, *purdouitu* [3s.ipv.II], **purtuvies** [2s.fut.], **purtius**, **purtitius**, **purtinçus**, *purdinsiuust*, *purdinsus*, *purdinsust* [3s.fut.pf.], **purtitu futu** [3s.ipv.II.ps.], *purditom fust*, *purdito fust*, **purtitu fust** [3s.fut.pf.ps.], **purtitaf**, *purdita* [ppp., acc.pl.f.] 'to proffer, offer'; pf. *\*di-nkj-* vs. *\*dī-* < *\*dū-* < *\*duH-*, ppp. *\*dīto-*. Maybe U. *dia* [3s.sb.ps.?] 'one can'? < *\*dīāt/r* < *\*duh<sub>3</sub>-i-eh<sub>2</sub>-t/r* (Untermann 2000: 174).

PIE aor. *\*d(e)uh<sub>3</sub>-* to *\*dh<sub>3</sub>-u-* 'to give'. IE cognates: CLuw. *tūya-*, HLUw. *tu(va)-*, Lyc. *tuwe-* 'to put, place', Skt. *dīvas-* [n.] 'gift, oblation, favour' < *\*duH-as-*, Lith. *daviaū*, *dāvē* 'I, he gave', *dovanà* 'gift', Latv. *dāvana* 'gift', OCS *-davati*, Ru. *davát* 'to give' < BSl. *\*dožua?*.

Latin *duim* is explained by Meiser 1998 as an original opt.aor. of a stem *\*deuH-*. This stem may be based on a zero grade *\*dHu-C-* > *\*duH-C-* of *\*dh<sub>3</sub>-u-*, that is, the root 'to give' plus a *u*-extension. The present stem *\*dowje-* of Sabellic and Faliscan may reflect a secondary present *\*deh<sub>3</sub>-u-je-* > *\*douje-*, cf. LIV.

Bibl.: WH I: 362f., EM 178, IEW 223-226, Meiser 1998: 184, Sihler 1995: 544f., Meiser 1998: 184, 2003: 182f., Untermann 2000: 173f., 613-615, LIV *\*deh<sub>3</sub>u-*. → *dautia*; *dō*, *dare*; *dōnum*

**dulcis** 'sweet' [adj. *i*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *dulciculus* [adj.] 'sweet little' (Pl.+), *dulcitās* 'sweetness' (Acc.+), *dulcēdō*, *-inis* 'sweetness' (Lucr.+); *dulcifer* 'containing sweetness' (Pl., Enn.).

Plt. *\*dulkwi-* 'sweet'.

IE cognates: Gr. γλυκός [adj.] 'sweet', Myc. *de-re-u-ko* perhaps */dleukos/*, Gr. γλεῦκος [n.] 'sweet wine' (Arist.; recent); ἀγλευκῆς 'sour' (Epich.).

Since Latin *i*-stem adj. are often formed from *u*-stems, it is often assumed that *dulcis* represents *\*dulku-i-*; yet the disappearance of the second *\*u* is unexpected: *\*dulquis* would be unproblematic. Greek γλυκός is explained as an assimilation from *\*dʎkus*, while Lat. could show *\*dʎk-* > *\*dolk-* > *dulcis*; but the explanation for Greek is ad hoc. Even if it were correct, we would still not have an etymology for Graeco-Latin *\*dl(u)ku-*. It is likely that we are dealing with a common borrowing from an unknown source. Since 'milk' is termed a 'sweet' substance in languages, there may be a link with *lac*, *lactis*, Gr. γάλα < *\*glg-t*.

Bibl.: WH I: 379f., EM 186f., IEW 222.

**dūmus** 'shrub' [m. *o*] (Andr.+; sg. only in Ov., usually pl. *dūmī* Cic.+; *dusmo* [adj.] Andr. apud Paul. *ex F.*)

Derivatives: *dūmētum* 'thicket' (Cic.+).

PIt. \**dūs*mo-.

IE cognates: OIr. *dos* 'shrub' (< \**dus-o*?). The appurtenance of Gm. forms in \**tus-*, \**tūs-* 'to spread, tear' is uncertain: Norw. *tos* 'ravel, fuzz', *tossa* 'to strew', ME *tōtūsen* 'to ruffle', MLG *tōsen* 'to tear', OHG *zirzūsōn* 'to ruffle', MHG *zūsach* 'brushwood', *zūse* 'brushwood, frizzle'.

A Latin-OIr. correspondence \**dus-*, without further connections.

Bibl.: WH I: 381, EM 187, IEW 175ff., Leumann 1977: 205, Schrijver 1991: 246.

**duo, duae, duo** 'two' [adj. num.] (VOLat., Naev.+). Forms: nom.m. *duo* (Pl.+), acc.m. *duōs*, nom.acc.n. *duo* (also *dua* in inscr.), gen.m.n. *duom* (Garigliano Bowl), *duum* (Naev.+), *duōrum* (Pl.+), dat.abl.m.n. *duōbus*, nom.f. *duae*, acc.f. *duās*, gen.f. *duārum*, dat.abl.f. *duābus*. The form *duo* is also used case-indifferent, e.g. *duo verbīs* (Nov.), acc. *duo vilicōs* (Pl.), *inter nōs duo*.

Derivatives: *duodecim* 'twelve' (Pl.+), *ducentī* 'two hundred' (Pl.+), *duumvirī* 'board of two men' (CIL, Cic.+).

PIt. nom.m. \**duō*. It. cognates: U. *dur* [nom.m.], *tuf* [acc.f.?], *tuva* [nom.acc.n.], *tuves*, *duir* [dat.m., n.], *tuvere* [loc.-abl.f. + -en] 'two'. U. *desenduf* [acc.pl.f.] 'twelve' < \**dekm* + \**duōns*; U. *dupursus* [dat.pl.] 'biped' < \**du-pōd-*.

PIE \**du-o-h<sub>1</sub>* (nom.du.m.) 'two', \**du-o-ih<sub>1</sub>* (nom.du.n.f.). IE cognates: OIr. *dáu*, *dóu*, *dó*; OW *dou*, MW *deu* < PC]. \**duyo*; Hit. *tān* 'for the second time' < \**duoióm*, HLuw. *twa/i-* 'two', *twisu* 'twice', Lyc. *kbi-* '(an)other' < \**dui-*; Skt. *dváu* / *duváu* / *dvā* [nom.acc.d.m.], *dvé* / *duvé* [nom.acc.d.f.n.], YAv. *duua* [nom.d.m.], *duuaē-ca* [n.], *duiie* [acc.d.f.]; Gr. *δύο*, *δύω*, Arm. *erku* 'two', *erkōtasan* 'twelve', Alb. *dy*, Lith. m. *dū*, OCS *dъva* 'two' < PIE \**duo-h<sub>1</sub>*, Lith. *dvì*, OCS *dъvě* < PIE \**duo-ih<sub>1</sub>*; Go. *twai*, OE m. *tū*, OIc. m. *tveir* 'two'; ToA m. *wu*, f. *we*.

Original \**duō* has become *duo* by iambic shortening. A hapax *duō* is sometimes alleged for Plautus (*Mil.* 1384), but the example is not probative (WH I: 382). The Latin forms other than the nom.m., and all the other Italic forms of the cardinal, must have been modeled on the nominal and pronominal plural endings. The retention of -u- shows that the syllabification was \**duo* rather than \**dwo*. The noun *duumvirī* goes back to a syntagm *duum virum* 'of two men'. U. *dupursus* has replaced PIE \**dui-pod-* by \**du-pod-* or \**duō-pod-*. Latin has replaced \**dui-* by \**du-* in a number of transparent possessive compounds containing 'two': *dubius*, *duplex*, *duplus*, *dupondium*, *ducentī*.

Greek *δύο* beside the dual *δύω* must be old, and the existence of a form with final short vowel is confirmed by Arm. *erko-tasan* 'twelve' and Skt. *dva-ká-* 'joined as a pair'. The vacillation between PIE \**duo* and \**duyo* seems also to have been of PIE age, at least, it appears in Rigvedic, in Greek (*δῶδεκα* 'twelve') and in Latin (*bis* but *duō*). Different solutions are conceivable. Lindeman 1965 has posited a phonetic origin, and this was accepted by Schindler 1977.

Bibl.: WH I: 381f., EM 187f., IEW 228f., Leumann 1977: 485f., 488, Cowgill 1985, Coleman 1992: 390-392, Kortlandt 1993: 253, Schrijver 1995: 331, Sihler 1995: 407f., Meiser 1998: 170, Untermann 2000: 192-194. → *bi-*, *bis*, *dis*, *dubius*; U. *duti*

**dūrus** ‘hard, solid, endurable’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *dūriter* ‘harshly’ (Enn.+), *dūritia* ‘hardness’ (Pl.+), *dūritūdō* ‘insensibility’ (Cato), *dūracinus* ‘having a hard berry or fruit’ (Cato+), *dūrāmen* ‘hard growth’ (Lucr.+), *dūrāre* ‘to harden; hold out, endure’ (Pl.+); *obdūrāre* ‘to be persistent’ (Pl.+), *obdūrēscere* ‘to become hard’ (Pl.+), *perdūrāre* ‘to hold out’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \**dūro-*.

PIE \**du(e)h<sub>2</sub>-ro-* ‘far, long’. IE cognates: Skt. *dūrā-* ‘far, distant’, Av. *dūrāt* ‘from afar’, *dūrē* ‘far’, OP *dūraiy* ‘far and wide’, *duvaištam* ‘very long, very far’; Gr. *δηρός* ‘long, too long’, Dor. *δᾶρός*, mostly *δηρόν*, *δᾶρόν* [adv.] ‘long’ < \**duēh<sub>2</sub>-ro-*, Arm. *erkar* ‘long (in time)’ < \**duēh<sub>2</sub>-ro-*.

The meaning of *dūrāre* must have evolved from ‘be hard’ to ‘endure, last’. The difference in root ablaut between PIE \**duh<sub>2</sub>-ro-* (Iir., Latin) and \**duēh<sub>2</sub>-ro-* (Greek, Arm.) is explained by Vine 2002 from the original substantival value of the latter. He adduces textual evidence from Homer to show that *δηρός* was actually a noun *δηρόν* ‘extent, duration’, and this seems convincing.

Bibl.: WH I: 384-386, EM 188f., IEW 219f., Schrijver 1991: 232, Vine 2002: 340-342. → *dūdum*

U. *duti* [adv.] ‘for the second time’.

Plt. \**du-tjo-m*.

IE cognates: Skt. *dvitīya-* ‘second’, OAv. *daibitiia-*, YAv. *bitiia-* ‘second’, *ādbitīm* [adv.] ‘two times’ < PIir. \**dwi-t(i)ja-*; ToA *wāt*, ToB *wate* ‘second’ < \**dwi-to-*.

Plt. \**du-tjo-* probably replaces PIE \**dwi-tio-*, cf. U. *dupursus* ‘biped’ for \**dwi-pod-*. The suffix was probably adopted from \**tri-tio-* ‘third’, shown by U. *tertio-* and Latin *tertius*. A similar formation arose independently in Iir.

Bibl.: WH I: 376, EM 188, IEW 228ff., Untermann 2000: 193f. → Lat. *duō*

## E

**ēbrius** ‘drunk’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *ebriācus* ‘intoxicated’ (Lab.), *ebriolus* ‘tipsy’ (Pl.), *ebriolātus* ‘id.’ (Lab.).

Plt. \**ēχ<sup>wh</sup>rio-*.

PIE \**h<sub>1</sub>ēg<sup>wh</sup>-ro-* or \**h<sub>1</sub>eh<sub>1</sub>g<sup>wh</sup>-ro-* (or \**h<sub>1</sub>(o)g<sup>wh</sup>-r-o-*) ‘drinking’. IE cognates: Hit. *eku<sup>zi</sup>/aku-* *l̥eg<sup>w</sup>-*, *ʔg<sup>w</sup>-/* ‘to drink, drink to, toast’, Pal. *ahu-* ‘drink’, CLuw. *ū-*, 2s.pr.act. *ūt̥tis* ‘drink(?)’, HLuw. inf.gen. BIBERE-*u-na-sa* ‘drink’; Gr. *νήφω* ‘to be sober’, Dor. *vāφε* (*vā-* is of secondary origin, cf. Weiss 1994: 97) < \**nēg<sup>wh</sup>ō* < \**ne-h<sub>1</sub>(e)h<sub>1</sub>g<sup>wh</sup>-e/o-* ‘not-drink’; ToAB *yok-* ‘to drink’, *yokiye* ~ *yoko* [n. f.] ‘thirst; desire’ < PTō. \**yok-* < \**yek<sup>v</sup>-* < PIE \**h<sub>1</sub>e-h<sub>1</sub>g<sup>wh</sup>-*.

*Ebrius* can be derived from PIE \**h<sub>1</sub>eg<sup>wh</sup>-* ‘to drink’. The phonetic development of

\*-g<sup>wh</sup>r- to Latin *-br-* is also attested in *febris*. Weiss (1994) posits \*h<sub>1</sub>ēg<sup>wh</sup>-r-io- << \*h<sub>1</sub>ēg<sup>wh</sup>-r-o-, derived from a PIE *r/n-*stem which would also be continued in Greek νήφωv, -ovoc (whence the verb νήφειv). Long \*ē would be due to Narten ablaut in the verb. But there is no long vowel in Hittite (which continues a normal root present), and, in general terms, the long vowel may just as well reflect reduplication: \*h<sub>1</sub>eh<sub>1</sub>g<sup>wh</sup>-, cf. Schrijver 1991: 139. Since we do not in principle expect reduplication in a *ro-*derivative, Latin *ēbrius* must have its *ē-* from a disappeared verb form.

Bibl.: WH I: 387f., EM 190, Schrijver 1991: 54, 139, LIV \*h<sub>1</sub>eg<sup>wh</sup>-. → *sōbrius*

**ebulus** ‘dwarf elder, danewort (a plant)’ [f. o] (Cato+; also *ebulum*)

Plt. \**eplo-*.

PIE \*h<sub>1</sub>ed<sup>h</sup>-l(o)- ‘kind of tree’. IE cognates: OPr. *addle*, Lith. *ėglė* ‘spruce, fir’; Ru. *el’*, OCz. *jedl* ‘spruce, fir’ [f. *ī*], OPo. *jedl* [f.], *jedla* < BSl. \**edli-* < PIE h<sub>1</sub>ed<sup>h</sup>-li- ‘pine’; maybe with root ablaut Gaul. *odocos* ‘elder’ (borrowed into Gm. > OHG *attuh*, *attah* ‘dwarf-elder, danewort’).

Bibl.: WH I: 388f., EM 190, IEW 289f.

**ecce** ‘look!’ [interj.] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *eccum*, *eccōs*, *ecca*, *eccam*, *eccās* ‘here he/she/they (is/are)’ (Pl., Ter.); *ecquī* ‘any?’ (Pl.+), *ecquid* ‘is it true that? whether’ (Pl.+), *ecquis* ‘is there anyone who?’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \**ek* + \**ke*. It. cognates: Sabellic \**ek(e)-* in Pael. *ecuc*, O. *ek(úk)*, U. *eso* [nom.sg.f.] ‘this’ < \**eko*, \**ekā* [nom.acc.], \**ekso*, *-ā* [obl.].

A combination of PIE \*h<sub>1</sub>e- ‘he’ (see s.v. *is*, *ea*, *id*) extended by means of \**k*, plus \**-ke* (see s.v. *-ce*). Originally, the Italic combination \**ek(e)* was uninflected; it became an inflected pronoun in Sabellic. In Latin, it was reinforced with \**-ke*, and originally remained uninflected. The gendered forms *eccum* etc. are explained from \**ecce* + \**hom* etc. by WH, but it cannot be excluded that these are simply inflected forms of what was originally an uninflected demonstrative; cf. the same process in *iste* and *ipse*. The older etymology of *ecce* as \**ed-ke* is unlikely, since Latin and PIE had no n. pronoun \**ed*, only \**id*.

Bibl.: WH I: 390, EM 190f., IEW 281ff., Schrijver 1991: 33, Untermann 2000: 217f. → *-ce*; *is*, *ea*, *id*

**edō**, *ēsse* ‘to eat’ [v. irr.: *edō*, *ēs*, *ēst*, *edimus*, *ēstis*, *edunt*; *ēstur*; inf. *ēsse*, pf. *ēdī*, ppp. *ēsum*] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *edāx* ‘voracious’ (Pl.+), *edācītās* ‘voracity’ (Pl.+), *edō* ‘glutton’ (Varro), *edūlia*, *-ium* [n.pl.] ‘eatables’ (Afran.+), *ellum* ‘spoon’ (gloss.); *ēscā* ‘food; bait’ (Andr.+), *ēscārius* [adj.] ‘of food’ (Pl.+), *inescāre* ‘to entice with bait’ (Ter.+); *ēsitāre* ‘to feed on’ (Pl.+); *ēsus*, *-ūs* ‘the eating’ (Novius+); *ēsurīre* ‘to be hungry’ (Pl.+), *ēsurīō* ‘a hungry man’ (Pl.), *ēsurīgō* ‘hunger’ (Varro), *ēsurīālis* ‘of famine’ (Pl.+); *inedia* ‘starvation, fasting’ (Pl.+); *adēsse* ‘to eat into’ (Lucr.+), *ambēsse* ‘to consume’ (Pl.+), *ambēstrīx* ‘gluttoness’ (Pl.), *comēsse* ‘to eat up, spend’ (Pl.+), *comedō* ‘a glutton’ (Lucil.+), *exēsse* ‘to eat up’ (Pl.+), *exēsor* ‘which eats away’

(Lucr.), *obēsus* ‘fat, thick’ (Laev. apud Gell.+), *perēsse* ‘to eat up’ (Pl.+), *subēsse* ‘to eat away below’ (Pl.+); *vēscus* ‘thin, attenuated’ (Lucil.+).

PIt. \**ed-* ‘to eat’. It. cognates: O. *edum* [inf.] ‘to eat’; maybe also U. *ezariaf* [acc.pl.] ‘certain oblation’ < \**ed-es-āsio-*?

PIE \**h<sub>1</sub>éd-mi*, \**h<sub>1</sub>d-énti* [pr.] ‘to eat’, pf. \**h<sub>1</sub>e-h<sub>1</sub>d-*. IE cognates: OIr. *ithid*, *-ith* ‘eats’, *ethait* ‘they eat’ < \**ed-*, sb. *estir* < \**ed-*; MW *ys* ‘eats’ (denominal); Hit. *ed<sup>z</sup>* / *ad-*, Pal. *ad-*, CLuw. *ād-/ad-* ‘to eat’, HLuw. *ád-*, Skt. *ádmi*, *át*, *adánti* ‘id.’, Gr. *ἔδω* ‘to eat, devour’, inf. *ἔδμεναι* (H.), fut. *ἔδομαι*, Arm. *owtem*, OLith. *edmi* ‘to eat’, Lith. *ésti* ‘feeds’ (of animals), *ėskà* ‘food’, OCS *jasti* ‘to eat’, 1s. *jamb*, 3s. *jastb* < *h<sub>1</sub>ed-tei*, *jadb* ‘poison’ < \**h<sub>1</sub>ed-o-*, Go. *itan*, OHG *ezzan*, OIc. *eta* < \**ed-e/o-*, ToB *mātsts-* ‘to starve’, ToA *nātsw-* < PTo. \**nātsw-* < PIE \**n-h<sub>1</sub>d-tu-ie/o-*, ToB *yesti* ‘food, meal’.

Long *ē* in the present paradigm and in *ēsus* is due to Lachmann’s law in the sequences \**edt-* (> *ēss-*) and \**eds-*. Lat. *ellum* < \**edlo-*, *ēscā* < \**ed-sk-a*. The derivational basis for *edūlis* is unknown. According to Risch 1954, *ēsūrīre* was formed as an antonymous formation to \**satur-īre* ‘to be satisfied’, giving rise to the class of desideratives in *-urīre*. Like Keller 1982: 88f., I doubt whether the adj. *vēscus* is related to *vēscor*, since the meaning can only be connected via a complicated chain of shifts. One may alternatively suggest a compound of *vē-* (taken from *vēsāmus*, *vēgrandis*) ‘unlike, under-/over-’ and \**ēsko-* ‘nourishing’ or \**ēskā-* ‘food’.

For PIE, the assumption of a long vowel in the root (as per Meiser 1998 and LIV) is unnecessary: the long vowel in Latin is due to Lachmann’s Law, the long vowel in Lithuanian to Winter’s Law. In Hittite, the normal root present ablaut is found. For Old Irish, Schumacher’s assumption (2004: 378) of an original ablaut \**īd-* : \**ed-* is not compelling, as the extant forms can also be explained on the basis of original \**ed-* in sg. and pl. (Kortlandt 2007: 137).

Bibl.: WH I: 392f., EM 191f., IEW 287ff., Leumann 1977: 350, 528f., 557, Schrijver 1991: 35, 135, Sihler 1995: 543, Meiser 1998: 99, 223, Untermann 2000: 197, 245, LIV \**h<sub>1</sub>ed-*. → *prandium*

**egeō** ‘to need, want’ [v. II] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *egēmus* ‘lacking, in need of’ (Pl.+), *egestās* ‘extreme poverty’ (Pl.+); *indigēre* ‘to need, lack’ (Pl.+), *indigem* [acc.sg. of \**indigis*] ‘bereft’ (Pac. apud Cic.), *indigus* ‘needy’ (Lucr.).

PIt. \**egos*, *-es-* ‘need, necessity’; \**egē-* ‘to lack’. It. cognates: O. *egmo* [nom.sg.], *egm[as]* [gen.sg.], *egmad* [abl.sg.], *egmazum* [gen.pl.] ‘case, question’ < \**eg-mā-*.

PIE \**h<sub>1</sub>ég-es-* ‘lack, need’. IE cognates: OIc. *ekla* ‘lack’, OHG *eko-rōdo* ‘only’; ToAB *yāk-* ‘to neglect, be careless about’, ToB sb. *yāknāntār* ‘they must neglect’.

The noun *eges-tās* and the adj. *egēmus* < \**egesno-* betray an old *s*-stem \**egos*, *-es-*. The compounds \**indigis* and *indigus* < \**end-ego-* contain \**endo* ‘in’. Untermann suggests that O. \**egmā-* ‘case, question’ may be derived from ‘what one needs’, hence from the root of ‘to want, need’ attested in *egeō*. The pair *egēre* : \**egos-* matches part of the ‘Latin Caland system’ as described by Nussbaum 1999a. Either of these forms may be old. The root etymology and the cognates are not tremendously convincing, Tocharian ‘to neglect’ seems to match best. Hit. *āk<sup>i</sup>-/akk-* ‘to die, be



killed' is reconstructed as *\*Hó'k'-ei*, *\*H'k'-énti* to a root *\*h<sub>1/3</sub>e'(k)*- by Kloekhorst (2008: 167f.), and must thus be separated. Latin also continues a (probable) root *\*h<sub>1</sub>eg-* in *aiō* 'to say'; it is possible to derive the meaning 'case, question' (O. *\*eg-mā-*) from 'to say', but a connection of 'to say' and 'need' (Latin *\*egos-*) is much more difficult.

Bibl.: WH I: 394, EM 192, IEW 290, Schrijver 1991: 35, Untermann 2000: 198, LIV ?*\*h<sub>1</sub>e'(g)H-*. → *aiō*

**egō** 'I' [pron. pers. Is. nom.] (VOLat.+; Ardea, vase inscr. (CIL 474) *ego*; *egō* Pl.+)

Plt. *\*egō*. It. cognates: Ven. **ego**, Fal. **eqo**, **eko**, **eco** 'I', SPic. **ekú** 'I' (?).

PIE *\*h<sub>1</sub>eg-*, *\*h<sub>1</sub>eg-(o)H*, *\*h<sub>1</sub>eg-H-om* 'I'. IE cognates: Hit. *ūk*; Skt. *ahám*, OAv. *azām*, *as-cū*, YAv. *azam*, OP *adam* < *h<sub>1</sub>egHom*; Gr. *ἔγω*, Lesb. ep. Dor. also *ἔγών*, Lac. Tarent. *ἔγώνη* < *\*h<sub>1</sub>eg-* + *-e/oH*; Arm. *es*; OPr. *es*, *as*, Lith. *ąš*, OLith. *eš*, Latv. *es*, OCS *azъ*, Ru. *ja*, ORu. (*j*)*azъ* < BSl. *\*eǰz(-um)*; Go. *ik*, OHG *ih*, OS *ic*, Runic *ek*, *ik*, OIc. *ek*, encl. *-ika* < PGm. *\*éka*"; ToA *ñās* [m.], *ñuk* [f.], ToB *ñās* 'I'.

The stem *\*h<sub>1</sub>eg-* without enlargements is found once in OAv. *as*, in Baltic, and maybe in Hittite. Elsewhere, either a suffix yielding long *-ō* was added (Latin, Greek), or a suffix yielding aspiration of the velar in Sanskrit and an ending *\*-om* in Ilr., Sl. and Gm. Probably, several variants of this pronoun existed side by side in PIE.

Bibl.: WH I: 395, EM 192f., IEW 291, Giacomelli 1963: 244, Lejeune 1974: 333f., Schrijver 1991: 36, van der Staaij 1995: 140, Sihler 1995: 368f., Beekes 1995: 207, Meiser 1998: 156, Untermann 2000: 340, Kloekhorst 2008:111-115. → *mē*

U. **eikvasatis** [abl.pl.], **eikvasese** [abl.pl. + postpos. *-en*] 'gathering, meeting'.

May reflect an adj. */eikwässāti-/*, while **eikvases-** may represent a noun */eikwässī-/*. The forms probably go back to a compound with a second element *\*wassī-* and *\*wasso-* (> *\*wassāto-*). Meiser 1986 interprets **eikvasatis** as 'containing a formula', and etymologizes **eik-** as *\*agiko-* 'speech, formula' and **vasi-** < *\*wassī-* < *\*h<sub>2</sub>u(e)d-ti-* 'speaking' (to Skt. *vādati*). Yet this does not explain the *-a-*, and is furthermore tautological. Weiss 2007a: 367-369 suggests that the second elements *\*-wässī-* and *\*-wässāti-* derive from a *ti*-abstract *\*wässī-* < *\*ueh<sub>2</sub>d<sup>h</sup>-ti-* and a *to*-verbal adjective *\*wässso-* < *\*ueh<sub>2</sub>d<sup>h</sup>-to-* to the root of *vādō* 'to go', cf. Lat. *con-ven-tiō*, *con-ven-tus*, *con-gressus* for the semantics. The first element **eik-** could reflect, according to Weiss, *\*V<sub>[-backj]Ke/iKV-</sub>*, but he finds no good candidates for etymologizing this part. The sequence *-KV-* could reflect *\*ko-* 'together', as in *cōntiō* and maybe *cūria*, U. **ko-**, **kom-**. This would leave initial *\*V<sub>[-backj]Ke/i-</sub>* to be explained: it could be etymologized as *\*h<sub>1/2</sub>eg-jo-* 'speech', as in U. **aiu** [nom.pl.] (Meiser 1986: 205). The cp. would then reflect Plt. *\*ajjo-* + *\*ko-wässī-*.

Bibl.: Meiser 1986: 250f., Untermann 2000: 204-206. → *aiō*, *vādō*

O. **eitiuam**, *eituam* [acc.sg.], *eituas* [gen.sg.], *ei(tuo)* [nom.sg.], **eitiuvad** [abl.sg.]; Marr. *eituam* 'money' [acc.sg.] < *\*eituā-* [f.].

Etymology uncertain. Possibly to *\*h<sub>1</sub>ei-* 'to go': 'that which goes' > 'livestock' > 'money' (cf. *pecūnia*). Semantically, a connection with Lat. *ūtor* < *\*oit-* would be

attractive, but if this root indeed goes back to PIE \*h<sub>3</sub>eit-, there is no way in which Sab. \*eit- could be justified.

Bibl.: Untermann 2000: 211-213.

**emō, -ere** ‘to take (Paul. *ex F.*), buy (Pl.+)’ [v. III; pf. *ēmī*, ppp. *ēmtum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: (1) *em* particle ‘here you are’, *emāx* ‘fond of buying’ (Cato+), *emptīcius* ‘obtained by purchase’ (Varro+), *emptor* ‘buyer’ (Pl.+), *empturire* ‘to hanker after buying things’ (Varro), *emptus, -ūs* ‘the purchasing’ (Varro); maybe *ellum* ‘there he is!’ (Pl., Ter.) and *ellam* ‘there she is!’ (Pl.) is from \**em-illu/am*; (2) Compounds based on the meaning ‘to take’: *adimere* ‘to remove, take away’ (Naev.+), *cōmere* (pf. *cōmpsī*) ‘to adorn, arrange’ (Pl.+), *comptus, -ūs* ‘adornment’ (Lucr.+), *dēmere*, pf. *dēmpsī* ‘to remove’ (Pl.+), *dēmtiō* ‘removal’ (Varro), *vindēmia* ‘vintage’ (Pl.+), *vindēmitor* ‘grape-picker’ (Naev.), *vindēmiātor* ‘grape-picker’ (Varro+), *virgidēmia* ‘a harvest of rods’ (Pl.+), *dirimere* ‘to pull apart, separate’ (Lucr.+), *eximere* ‘to take out, omit’ (Pl.+), *eximius* ‘excepted; exceptional’ (Pl.+), *exemptiō* ‘removal’ (Varro+), *exemplum* ‘example’ (Pl.+), *exemplar, -āris* ‘typical instance’ (Lucr.+), *interimere* ‘to kill, destroy’ (Pl.+), *perimere* ‘to destroy’ (Cato+), *praemium* ‘payment, reward, booty’ (Pl.+), *praemiōsus* ‘profitable’ (Cato+), *praemiātor* ‘who collects rewards’ (Naev.), *prōmere*, pf. *prōmpsī* ‘to bring out, forward’ (Pl.+), *promptus, -ūs* ‘the action of making available’ (Lucr.+), *prōmus* ‘steward’ (Pl.+), *supprōmus* ‘assistant steward’ (Pl.), *promptāre* ‘to be in charge, be steward’ (Pl.), *promptārius* ‘that serves for storing’ (Pl.+), *exprōmere* ‘to bring out, reveal’, *sūmere*, pf. *surēmī, surēmpsī* (Andr. apud Paul. *ex F.*) and *sūmpsī* ‘to take up, seize’ (Andr.+), *sumptiō* ‘the taking’ (Cato+), *sumptuōsus* ‘expensive, lavish’ (Pl.+), *sumptus, -ūs* ‘spending, expense’ (Pl.+); *absūmere* ‘to use up’ (Pl.+), *absūmēdō* ‘act of squandering’ (Pl.), *assūmere* ‘to take, add’ (Varro+), *consūmere* ‘to consume, destroy’ (Cato+), *rēsūmere* ‘to pick up again, put on again’ (Enn.+); (3) Compounds based on the meaning ‘to buy’: *coemere* ‘to buy’ (Ter.+), *comptiōnālis* ‘suitable for purchase in batches’ (Pl.+); *redimere* ‘to buy back; make good’ (Pl.+), *redemptitāre* ‘to redeem’ (Cato), *redemptor* ‘contractor’ (Cato+).

PIt. pr. \**eme/o-*, pf. \**ēm-* ‘to take’. It. cognates: U. *emantur, emantu* [3p.pr.sb.ps.], *emps (est)* [3s.pf.ps.], O. *emmens* [3p.pf.act.] ‘to buy, take’; O. *peremust* [3s.fut.pf.] ‘to receive’, *pertumum* [inf.], *pertemest* [3s.fut.], *pertemust* [3s.fut.pf.] ‘to prevent’, U. *sumtu* [3s.ipv.II] ‘to grab’ < \**em-e/o-*.

PIE pr. \**h<sub>1</sub>em-e/o-* ‘to take’, pf. \**h<sub>1</sub>e-h<sub>1</sub>m-*. IE cognates: OIr. *ar-foim* ‘to receive, allow’ < \**ari-wo-em-*, 3s.sb.rel. *ar-a-foima* < \**ari-wo-em-*, pret. *ar-foet* < PCl. \**em-o-*; OPr. *īmt*, Lith. *īmti*, 3s.pr. *ima*, 3s.pret. *ėmė*, Latv. *jeimt* ‘to take’, OCS *jeŕti*, 1s. *imō*, Ru. *jat* (dial.) ‘to take, begin’, ORu. *jati* < BSl. \**im-* < PIE \**h<sub>1</sub>m-*.

The pcl. *em* < ipv.sg. \**eme* ‘take!’. The pf. in *-(p)sī* has secondarily been created in those roots which did not synchronically seem to belong to *emere* anymore, such as *dēmere*. The pf. *suremit* ‘sumpsit’ and *surempsit* ‘sustulerit’ are of uncertain origin: they might continue \**suz-ēm-* < \**subs-ēm-*, but they may also have introduced \**sus-* more recently on the model of other verbs, such as *suscipiō*. The long vowel in *emptus* may be phonetic (as in front of *nct* in *iūnctus*), but there is no other example;

in theory, *ē* may stem from the perfect. Lat. *praemium* < \**prai-em-io-*, *vindēmia* < \**wīno-dē-em-ia* ‘wine-reaping’. The forms *prōmus*, *praemium* and *vindēmia* point to an agent noun \**emo-* ‘who takes’. The noun *exemplum* < \**ex-em-lo-* ‘what is taken out, highlighted’.

Bibl.: WH I: 400f., 426, II: 354, 630, EM 195, 205, 531, 666f., IEW 301f., Leumann 1977: 209, Sihler 1995: 75, 205, Untermann 2000: 219-221, LIV \**h<sub>1</sub>em-*. → *pōmus*

**ēn** ‘ever?; behold!’ [interj.] (Pl.+; in OLat. *ēn* only occurs in *ēn umquam* ‘ever’)

PIE \**h<sub>1</sub>ēn?* IE cognates: Gr. ἦν, ἦν [interj.] ‘hey!’.

Interjection which calls the attention. At least a part of the Latin attestations, esp. from Verg. on, will represent the identical Greek word ἦν. According to Leumann, *ēn* derives from \**est-ne* ‘is it not?’. For the OLat. usage with *umquam*, this seems quite conceivable; the blunt rejection by WH is unmotivated. But it seems doubtful whether all syntactic uses in Lat. can be explained either from \**estne* or from the Greek use of ἦν; hence, it remains possible that Latin inherited a particle \**ēn*.

Bibl.: WH I: 403f., EM 196, IEW 314, Leumann 1977: 93, 209.

**endo, indu(-), indi-** ‘in, on, to’ [pref., postpos.; prep.] (Duenos inscr. *ted. endo* ‘to you’, Lex XII *endo* ‘within, onto, thereupon’, OLat. *endo, indu* [prep.] from Ennius to Cicero, as an archaism)

Derivatives: *indolēs, -is* [f.] ‘nature’ (Pl.+); *indotuētur* ‘watches’ (Enn.); *induere* ‘to put on’ (Pl.+); *indugredior* ‘ingredior’ (Lucr.); *indūpedīre* ‘to hinder’ (Lucr.); *induperātor* ‘imperātor’ (Enn., Lucr., Juv.), *induperāre* ‘imperāre’ (Enn.); *industrius* ‘diligent’ (Pl.+); *induvolāre* ‘involāre’ (Enn.); *indigena* [f.] ‘native’ (Verg.+); *indigēre* ‘to need, require’ (Pl.+), \**indigis* ‘bereft of’ (Pac.), *indigus* ‘needy’ (Lucr.); *indiges* ‘native (deity)’ (Verg.+); *indipiscō/or* ‘to overtake, acquire’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \*(*e*)*ndo* ‘in, into’.

PIE \**h<sub>1</sub>(e)n-do* ‘inside’. IE cognates: OIr. *and* ‘in it’, Hit. *anda* ‘into, within’, *andan* ‘within, inside’, CLuw. *ānta* ‘into’, HLuw. *anta* ‘within’, Lyc. *ñte* ‘inside’ < \**h<sub>1</sub>ndo(m)*; Gr. ἔνδον ‘inside, at home’.

Word-final short *-o* after a heavy first syllable can only be explained as a retention of PIE \**-o*, since iambic shortening does not apply. It must be assumed that the shift of \**-o* > \**-e* (whence *indi-gena*; cf. 2s.ipv.med. *-re* < \**so*) was relatively recent. Sommer 1914 argues that *-o* was also supported by Greek reminiscences (like ἔνδον). Leumann (1977: 562) assumes that *endo* altogether was borrowed from Greek, first into *indigena* as a calque on Gr. ἐνδογενής, then becoming separated. This seems unlikely in view of the recent date of *indigena*, and because of the generally archaic look of the words in which *indi/u-* occurs. The vowel raising of *endo* to *indo* can be explained as part of the general raising of \**e* in front of nasal + consonant, or from pretonic vowel reduction, as must in any case be assumed for *in* ‘in’.

Bibl.: WH I: 694, EM 312, IEW 181ff., Sommer 1914: 145, Leumann 1977: 561, Schrijver 1991: 58f., Sihler 1995: 40. → *-de, egeō, in, indiges, apīscor, -olēs, parō*

**enim** ‘well!; for’ [ptcle.] (Naev.+)

Plt. \**eno-* ‘that one’, \**enim* [acc.sg.]. It. cognates: O. **ínim, inim, inim, ini**, εινειμ, *inim*, Pael. *inim li(:)ni(:)ml*, U. **ene, eiŋe, enem** ‘and’ *léneml* < \**enim*; in U. only connecting two sentences. The first element also in O. *e-tanto*. With a different suffix vowel: Pael. *inom* ‘and’ < \**enom* (or spelling error for *inim*) . U. **enu, ennom, enno, enom, eno** ‘(and) then’ < \**endom* < \**eno-dom*; U. **enuk** ‘then’ < \**en-dō(d)-k(e)*; U. **enumek** ‘then’ < *ennom-ek*; **inumek, inumk, inuk** ‘(and) then’ < *inom + -ek*, or spelling variant for **enumek**.

PIE \**h<sub>1</sub>e-no-*. IE cognates: Gr. ἐκεῖνος ‘that’ < \**e-ke-eno-*.

IEW derives *enim* from the stem of Lith. *anàs* ‘that’, OCS *onъ* ‘he’. Yet I reconstruct this pronoun as \**h<sub>2</sub>en-*. Since Gr. ἐκεῖνος seems to contain a stem \**en(i/o)-*, Beekes (1995: 202) suggests that \**h<sub>2</sub>en-* was “transformed in \**eno-*” in Greek. Initial \**e-*, I presume, would have been taken from the pronoun \**h<sub>1</sub>e*, \**h<sub>1</sub>i* ‘this’ (see Lat. *is, ea, id*). This is possible. Alternatively, PIE \**h<sub>1</sub>e* might have acquired a suffix \*-*no-*, directly yielding Plt. \**eno-*. This is reminiscent of Skt. *aná* [adv.] ‘thus’, OAv. *anā*, YAv. *ana* [ins.sg.] ‘with this’ (Fischer-Ritter 1991: 10). Since Sabellic also shows evidence for a stem \**eno-*, this may have been the Plt. stem. The isolated Plt. form \**enim* may point to the earlier existence of pronominal variants with an additional \*-*i*, as found e.g. in Hittite *aši / uni / ini* ‘that (one)’ < \**h<sub>1</sub>ós+i*, \**h<sub>1</sub>óm+i*, \**h<sub>1</sub>i+m+i* (Kloekhorst 2008: 220f.). If interpreted as an accusative of direction, \**eni-m* can be explained from a semantic shift ‘to that’ > ‘in addition’ > ‘and’.

Bibl.: WH I: 404f., EM 96, IEW 319ff., Meiser 1986: 110f., Klingenschmitt 1987: 175, Schrijver 1991: 36, Untermann 2000: 225f., 343f. → *nam, nunc*

**ēnsis** ‘sword’ [m. *i*] (Cato+; almost only in poetry)

Derivatives: *ēnsiculus* ‘toy sword’ (Pl.).

Plt. \**ensi-* ‘sword’.

PIE \*(*h<sub>1</sub>e*)ns-i- ‘sword, large knife’?

All the alleged IE cognates are uncertain: the connection with Skt. *así-* [m.] ‘sword, slaughtering knife’ is doubted by EWAia I: 145; in Palaic *hašira-* ‘dagger’, there is no trace of a nasal. The appurtenance of Gr. ἄορ ‘sword’, allegedly an Aeolic reflex of \**ns-ŷ*, is also disputed. Morphologically, \**ns-(o)r* in Greek vs. \**ns-i* in Latin would be difficult to account for.

Bibl.: WH I: 406, EM 197, IEW 771, Schrijver 1991: 63.

**enubrō** ‘restraining, prohibitive (in augury)’ [adj., dat.sg. *o/ā*] (Paul. *ex F.* 76)

Derivatives: in glossaries OLat. *eniber* [nom.sg.m.], *enibra* [nom.sg.f.], *enibrum* [acc.sg.m.]; *inebrae* [nom.pl.f.] (Paul. *ex F.* 109) ‘aves, quae in auguriis aliquid fieri prohibent’, *inebra* [nom.pl.n.] ‘omnia, quae tardant vel morantur agentem’.

Plt. \**n-χafro-* ‘inhibiting’.

The meaning suggests a derivation from (the same preform as) *inhibeō* ‘to hold back, prevent’. We find once <*enubro*> and several times <*enibr-*> (gloss.) and <*inebr-*> (Paul. *ex F.*). These point to a stem \**enu/ibro-*, nom.sg.m. \**enu/iber*. The forms in unraised *en-* are the oldest, while the vowel quality *-u-* is determined by the following

labial. If cognate with *\*en-habēre*, the source form was *\*ṛ-ḫafros* > *\*en-hābros* > nom.sg.m. OLat. *en-uber*. In the oblique cases, *\*a* in a closed syllable regularly gave *e*, hence *inebr-*. The resulting alternation *\*enu/iber* : *\*enebr-* was levelled in different directions.

Bibl.: WH I: 406, EM 197. → *habeō*

**eō, ire** 'to go' [v. irr.] (Lex XII, Pl.+). Forms: pr. *eō, īs, it, īmus, ītis, eunt*; ptc. *iēns, euntis*; 3p.pr.ind. *obīmunt, prōdīnunt, redīnunt* (Enn.); pf. IV: *īī / īvī* (both Pl.+), ppp. *itum*.

Derivatives: *itus, -ūs* 'the going' (Lucr.+); *abīre* 'to go away' (Naev.+), *abitiō* 'a departing' (Pl.+), *abitus, -ūs* 'departure' (Pl.+), *adīre* 'to approach' (Pl.+), *aditiō* 'the act of approaching' (Pl.+), *aditāre* 'to approach often' (Enn.), *aditus, -ūs* 'approach, access' (Pl.+), *ambīre* 'to solicit (for); go round' (Pl.+), *ambitiō* 'soliciting, candidature' (Pl.+), *ambitus, -ūs* 'circuit, cycle' (Varro+), *ant(e)īre* 'to surpass; precede' (Pl.+), *antiđire* 'to surpass' (Pl.), *circumīre* 'to go round' (Pl.+), *circu(m)itiō* 'circumlocution, rotation' (Ter.+), *circu(m)itus, -ūs* 'cycle' (Varro+), *coīre* 'to come together, have sexual intercourse' (Pl.+), *coetus, -ūs* 'encounter, assembly' (Pl.+), *coitiō* 'meeting, partnership' (Ter.+), *exīre* 'to come out' (Naev.+), *exitiō* 'the going out' (Pl.), *exitus, -ūs* 'departure, conclusion' (Lucil.+), *exitium* 'destruction, death' (Pl.+), *exitiābilis* 'causing death' (Pl.+), *exitiālis* 'causing death' (Lucr.), *inīre* 'to go into; begin' (Pl.+), *inītare* 'to enter habitually' (Pac.), *initus, -ūs* 'entry' (Lucr.+), *initium* 'start, original form' (Ter.+), *inītiāre* 'to admit; introduce' (Ter.+), *interīre* 'to die, be lost' (Pl.+), *introīre* 'to enter' (Pl.+), *introitus, -ūs* 'entry' (Lucr.+), *obīre* 'to meet, visit' (Pl.+), *obitus* 'a dead person' (Laev.+), *obitus, -ūs* 'approach; death' (Ter.+), *perīre* 'to vanish, perish' (Andr.+), *disperīre* 'to perish' (Pl.+), *praeīre* 'to go in front, dictate' (Pl.+), *praetor* 'leader, magistrate' (Naev.+), *praetōrius* 'of/by a praetor' (Varro+), *praetūra* 'the office of praetor' (Pl.+), *praeterīre* 'to go past, pass' (Pl.+), *praeteritus* [adj.] 'past' (Lucr.+), *prōdīre* 'to come forward, advance' (Pl.+), *redīre* 'to come back, return' (Andr.+), *reditiō* 'return' (Pl.+), *reditus, -ūs* 'return' (Lucil.+), *sēditiō* 'violent discord, rebellion' (Pl.+), *sēditiōsus* 'factionous' (Varro+), *subīre* 'to go underneath, undergo' (Lex XII+), *subitus* 'sudden' (Pl.+), *subitō* 'suddenly' (Pl.+), *subitārius* 'requiring prompt action' (Pl.+), *trānsīre* 'to cross over, transfer, pass' (Naev.+), *trānsitiō* 'the passing' (Varro+); *simītū* [adv.] 'at the same time, together' (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*ei- / \*i-* 'to go', *\*ito-* 'gone', *\*eitu- / \*itu-* 'the going'. It. cognates: Pael. *eite* [2p.ipv.], U. *ef* [2s.ipv.], *etu, etu, eetu* [3s.ipv.II], *etuta, etutu, etuto* [3p.ipv.II], *est, eest* [3s.fut.], *iust* [3s.fut.pf.], *ier* [3s.ps.?] 'to go'. With preverbs: U. *amprehtu, apretu* [3s.ipv.II], *ambretuto* [3p.ipv.II], *amprefu<u>s* [3s.fut.pf.], *ambrefurent* [3p.fut.pf.] 'to lead the way' (< *\*ambi-prai-*); U. *enetu, enetu* [3s.ipv.II], SPic. *enet* [3s.pr.?] 'to start' (*\*en-*); U. *daetom est, peretom est* [3s.pf.ps.] 'is neglected'. From *\*ei-* [pr.], *\*eise-* [fut.], *\*i-us-e-* [fut.pf.], *\*fu-* [fut.pf. of 'to be'].

PIE pr. *\*h<sub>1</sub>ei-/h<sub>1</sub>i-* 'to go'. IE cognates: ?Celtib. (*ne-*)*ito* 'must not go', OIr. *ethae\**, *eth* 'someone went', *do-eth* 'someone came' < *\*i-to-*; Hit. *i-<sup>z</sup>*, CLuw. HLUw. *i-* 'to go' < *\*h<sub>1</sub>ei-/h<sub>1</sub>i-*, Hit. *ijanna-<sup>1</sup> / ijanni-* 'to march', Hit. *je/a-<sup>aa(n)</sup>* 'to go, come, walk'

< \*h<sub>1</sub>i-ie/o-, *paīi-*<sup>zi</sup> ‘to go, pass’, HLuw. *pa-* ‘to go’ < \*h<sub>1</sub>pói + \*h<sub>1</sub>(e)i-, *we-*<sup>zi</sup> / *uwa-* ‘to come’ < \*h<sub>2</sub>ou + \*h<sub>1</sub>(e)i-; Skt. pr.3s. *éti*, 3p. *yánti* ‘to go’, pf. *iyáya*, YAv. *aēiti* ‘id.’; Gr. εἶμι; OPr. 3s *ēit*, OLith. *eimi*, OGS *iti*, Is. *idō* ‘to go’; Go. *iddja* ‘went’; ToB *yam* ‘goes’, ToA *yīñc* ‘they go’.

In the pr., Latin and Sabellic seem to have generalized the full grade \**ej-*; with Dunkel 1998: 97, this can be explained from the use of the sb. \**ej-*e/o-. In the pf., the form *ivī* is recent. Whether the pf. *iī* continues an old pf. is disputed, but in view of the limited likelihood that \*h<sub>1</sub>i- had a pf. in PIE, it seems more likely that it is a recent formation. The Ennian pl. forms in *-īnunt* are also recent, probably on the model of *danunt* ‘they give’. Lat. *praetor* < \**prai-itōr*; *simītū* < \**sem-eitu-*. For an explanation of the pr.ptc. *iens*, *euntis*, see Beekes 1985. The nouns *comes* and *pedes*, *-itis* probably contain a noun \**-is*, *-itis* < \*h<sub>1</sub>i-t-, see. s.v. *comes*. The U. deity *puemunes* [gen.sg.], *puemune* [dat.sg.], Mars. *poimunien* [dat.sg.], is one of the two chief honorands of the New Year’s festival, as interpreted by Weiss 2007b. He posits \**po-ei-mōn* ‘the goer’ or ‘who has a going’ (\**po-ei-mn*), which came to mean ‘(of the) year’, as often happens with words meaning ‘to go’.

Bibl.: WH I: 406ff., EM 26, 197ff., IEW 293ff., Leumann 1977: 521, Beekes 1985: 67f., Sihler 1995: 542, Meiser 1998: 222f., Untermann 2000: 207-210, Schumacher 2004: 375f., LIV \*h<sub>1</sub>ej-. → *iter*; *queō*; *comes*

**epulum** ‘public feast’ [n. o] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *epulae* [f.pl.] ‘sumptuous meal, banquet’ (Pl.+), *epulōmus* ‘banqueter’ (Paul. *ex F.*), *epulārī* ‘to dine sumptuously’ (Acc.+), *coepulōmus* ‘table-companion’ (Pl.).

PIt. \**ep(V)lo-* ‘ritual’?

PIE \*h<sub>1</sub>ep-lo-. IE cognates: OHG *uoba* ‘festival’ (deverbal to *uoben*?) .

There is no hard evidence that *epulum* referred to a religious or sacrificial banquet: all attestations can mean [just ‘banquet, large meal for celebrating’. If derived from a verb, the only good candidate within Latin is *apiō* < \*h<sub>1</sub>p- ‘to seize’: a ‘banquet’ as an ‘opportunity to grab food’. In fact, Nussbaum 1997: 188 has proposed the etymology \**h<sub>1</sub>ep-lo-*; he sees the same stem reflected in *sollemnis*. Since the cognate forms (if they are cognate) in Gm. contain a different root vowel, and since it is uncertain that *epulum* has anything to do with ‘taking’ or ‘seizing’, the connection with \**h<sub>1</sub>ep-* ‘to take’ remains a root etymology. WH and EM connect *epulum* with *ops* ‘work’, but this is semantically unconvincing, and it has now formally become impossible in view of the reconstruction \*h<sub>3</sub>ep- for *ops*.

Bibl.: WH I: 410, EM 199, Schrijver 1991: 36. → *apīscor*, *sollus*

**equus** ‘horse’ [m. o] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *equa* ‘mare’ (Pl.+), *equola* ‘young mare’ (Pl.+), *eculeus* ‘foal, pony’ (Varro+); *equīle* ‘stable for horses’ (Cato+), *equīmus* ‘of horses’ (Acc.+); *equīsō* ‘horse groom’ (Varro+); *equāria* ‘herd of horses’ (Varro), *Equirria/Ecurria*, *-ōrum* [n.pl.] ‘annual horse races’ (Varro+); *eques*, *-itis* [m.] ‘horse rider; knight’ (Pl.+); *equitāre* ‘to ride a horse’ (Lucil.+), *equitātus*, *-ūs* ‘cavalry’ (Cato+).

PIt. \**ekwo-* ‘horse’. It. cognates: Ven. *ekvon* [acc.sg.] ‘horse’, *ekvopetaris*, *ekvopetars*,

*equpetars*, *ecupetaris*, *ekupetaris*, *eppetaris*, *epetaris* [nom.sg.m.] ‘of a horse-rider’ < \**ekwo-pet-āri-*, presupposing \**ekwo-pet-o-* ‘horse-rider’ or ‘charioteer’.

PIE \**h<sub>1</sub>ek<sup>h</sup>-u-* ‘horse’. IE cognates: Gaul. *epo-*, OIr. *ech* ‘horse’; Hit. \**ekku-* [c.], CLuw. *āššu-* or *azzu-* [c.], HLuw. *āsu-*, Lyc. *esb-* ‘horse’ < PANat. \**Hek-u-*; Skt. *āśva-* ‘horse’, Av. *aspa-*; Myc. *i-go*, Gr. ἵππος ‘horse’, ἵπότης ‘horse rider’, Arm. *ēš* ‘horse’; OPr. *aswinan* ‘horse milk’, Lith. *ašvīenis* ‘stallion’; OE *eoh*, OIc. *jór*, ToB *yakwe*, ToA *yuk* ‘horse’.

The original Latin paradigm must have been \**ekos*, acc.sg. \**ekom*, gen.sg. \**ek<sup>h</sup>ī-*; the stem *equ-* was generalized from the oblique cases. The noun *equus* < \**ek<sup>h</sup>(o)-it-* may have been formed on the model of *pedes* ‘pedestrian’ < \**ped-it-* ‘going on foot’. Kloekhorst 2008: 237ff. argues that the Anatolian forms point to an original PIE *u*-stem \**h<sub>1</sub>ek<sup>h</sup>-u-* ‘horse’, which was thematized to \**h<sub>1</sub>ek<sup>h</sup>uo-* in the other branches of IE.

Bibl.: WH I: 412, EM 199f., IEW 301, Lejeune 1974: 334, Pulgram 1976, Leumann 1977: 86, 372, Schrijver 1991: 36.

**ēr, -is** ‘hedgehog’ [m. r] (Pl.+; <*irim*> Capt. 184; <*erem*> Nemes. Cyn. 57)

Derivatives: *ērīcius* ‘hedgehog; spiked barrier’ (Varro+).

PIt. \**χēr-*.

PIE \**ǵ<sup>h</sup>ēr-* ‘hedgehog’. IE cognates: Gr. χήρ ‘hedgehog’ (only attested in Hesych), χοῖρος ‘porcupine’ < \**ǵ<sup>h</sup>orjo-*, Alb. *derr* ‘pig, swine’.

The form *ērīcius* suggests that Pl: *irim* is a mistake of the transmission for \**erim*. In that case, the original stem may have been *lēr(-i)-*, which can be connected with Greek χήρ if Latin earlier had \**hēr* < \**ǵ<sup>h</sup>ēr(-)*. This may reflect a PIE root noun nom.sg. \**ǵ<sup>h</sup>ēr-s* to the root \**ǵ<sup>h</sup>er-* ‘to be excited, be bristly’ found in *horior* and others.

Bibl.: WH I: 413f., EM 200, IEW 445, LIV \**ǵ<sup>h</sup>ers-*. → *hircus*, *hirsutus*, *hordeum*, *horior*, *horreō*

**erciscō, -ere** ‘to divide (an inheritance)’ [v. III] (Cic.+; usually only in gdve. *erciscundus*. Sometimes spelled *herc-*, probably under the influence of *hērēs*)

Derivatives: *erctum* (*ciēre*) ‘inheritance, inherited part’ (Cic.+), *inercita* ‘indivisa’ (Paul. *ex F.*), *disertiōnes* ‘divisiones patrimoniorum inter consortes’ (Paul. *ex F.* 72) (if \**dis-erctiōnes*).

PIt. \**erk-i-*.

PIE \**h<sub>1</sub>rk-i-* ‘to divide’? IE cognates: Hit. *ārki<sup>i</sup>* / *ark-* ‘to cut off, divide’ < PIE \**h<sub>1</sub>or<sup>(k)</sup>-* / \**h<sub>1</sub>r<sup>(k)</sup>-*.

The Latin formation suggests an original present \**ercīre*. According to Eichner 1982: 23, this may be connected with Hit. *ārki*, which would point to a root in \**h<sub>1</sub>-*. He suggests original \**orc-* for Latin, which was analogically changed to *erc-*. LIV, on the other hand, reconstructs a preform \**h<sub>1</sub>rk-*i*é-* which would phonetically yield *arc-* (thus Schrijver 1991: 72f.); in view of existing *arceō*, it seems unlikely that \**arcīre* would have been changed to \**erc-*. Hence, the preform for Latin \**ercīre* may have been an *i*-present \**h<sub>1</sub>erk-i-*. Yet all of this remains rather uncertain. Petit 2004 argues that Lith. *aršyti* ‘to tear up’ < \**h<sub>1</sub>or<sup>k</sup>-* also belongs here.

Bibl.: WH I: 640f., EM 200, Leumann 1977: 536, LIV ?\**h<sub>1</sub>erk-*.

**ergō** ‘on account of; therefore’ [prep. (+ gen.); ptcl.] (Lex XII+)

Derivatives: *ergā* [prep. + acc.] ‘next to, towards’ (Pl.+), *corgō* ‘forwards’ (Paul. *ex F.*).  
Plt. \**re/ogo-* ‘direction’.

PIE \**h<sub>3</sub>re/oǵ-o-*. IE cognates: see s.v. *regō*.

In the collocation \**ē re/ogō* ‘from the direction of’, the middle vowel was syncope, whence *ergō*. Lat. *corgō* < \**com re/ogō* ‘with the direction’. Since no other IE language shows a thematic noun \**h<sub>3</sub>roǵ-o-*, this may be an inner-Italic formation. In that case, the root vowel may also have been \**e*.

Bibl.: WH I: 273, 415, EM 143, 201, IEW 854ff., Leumann 1977: 239, LIV \**h<sub>3</sub>reg-*  
→ *regō*

**errō, -āre** ‘to roam; waver’ [v. I] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *errantia* ‘misguided condition’ (Acc.), *errābundus* ‘wandering’ (Lucr.+), *errāticus* ‘wandering, wild’ (Cato+), *errātiō* ‘the roaming’ (Pl.+); *error* ‘doubt; mistake’ (Pl.+); *aberrāre* ‘to wander away, stray’ (Pl.+), *dēerrāre* ‘to wander off’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \**ers-āje-*.

PIE \**h<sub>1</sub>ers-o/h<sub>2</sub>-* ‘erring, error’. IE cognates: Arm. *eṛam* ‘to boil; be restless’ < \**ersā-*; Go. *airzeis* ‘mistaken’, *airzjan*, OHG *irren* ‘to be mistaken; lead into mistake’ < \**erz-(i)io-*.

Both Latin and Armenian seem to continue a denominative verb \**ers-āje-* ‘to be restless’ to a noun \**erso-* or \**ers-h<sub>2</sub>-*. Although these words are not discussed in LIV, their phonological shape matches PIE \**h<sub>1</sub>ers-* ‘to flow’ (Skt. *árṣati*). If the noun meant ‘flowing to and fro, uncontrolled flowing’, it is conceivable that a derived meaning ‘erring, error’ arose already in PIE. Nussbaum (2007b) connects the PIE root \**h<sub>1</sub>er-* ‘to arrive, reach’, and compare the *sk*-present \**h<sub>1</sub>r-ske/o-* (Skt. *ṛcchāti*, Gr. ἔρχομαι).

Bibl.: WH I: 416f., EM 201, IEW 336f., Klingenschmitt 1982: 96, Schrijver 1991: 36, LIV \**h<sub>1</sub>ers-*.

**ērūca** ‘caterpillar; rocket (kind of herb)’ [f. *ā*] (Moretum+; a variant is *ūrūca*)

The most likely analysis is *ēr-ūca*. If the basis is indeed the same is *ēr* ‘hedgehog’, the caterpillar was denominated for its rugged back, as it often is. The suffix was probably adopted from a semantically close word, cf. especially *verrūca* ‘wart; hillock’.

Bibl.: WH I: 417, EM 201, Leumann 1977: 340. → *ēr*

**erus** ‘master, lord’ [m. *o*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *era* ‘woman, mistress’ (Pl.+), *erīlis* ‘of a master’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \**eso-* ‘master’.

PIE \**h<sub>1</sub>esh<sub>2</sub>-ó-* ‘master’. IE cognates: Gaul. *Esus*, *Aesus* ‘important god of the Gauls’; Hit. *išhā-* ‘master, lord’ [c.].

The most obvious connection is with the Gaulish deity \**Esu/o-*; Lucian indicated that



the *e-* of the Celtic word equals Latin *ē*, but that does not mean much historically. IEW connects *erus* with Gr. *ἔός* 'good, noble' < \**h<sub>1</sub>su-*. If Ilr. \**ásu-* 'life' also belongs to this root, Latin *erus* might also continue \**h<sub>1</sub>es-u-* 'the good one' > 'master'. Yet the *u*-stem would have switched to an *o*-stem in the prehistory of Latin, which is unusually early. Also, the semantics are not compelling. Hit. *išhā-* would match perfect semantically, as well as phonetically (if the reconstruction of Hit. is correct), and this option is preferred by Schrijver. Still, the word remains isolated within IE.

Bibl.: WH I: 419, EM 201f., IEW 342, Schrijver 1991: 36.

**ervum** 'a kind of cultivated vetch' [n. *o*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *ervilia* 'cultivated vetch' (Varro+).

Plt. \**erVg<sup>w</sup>-o-?*

IE cognates: Mlr. *orbaind* 'grains'; Gr. *ὄροβος*, Gr. *ἐρέβινθος* 'chick-pea'; OHG *araweiz*, OS *erwit* 'peas' < PGm. \**arwait-*.

The Latin, Greek and Gm. words clearly belong together, but a common phonological preform cannot be reconstructed. Since the morphological make-up of these words is different (Gr. *-ινθος*, Gm. \**-ait*; presence vs. absence of a medial vowel) and the word refers to an autochthonous crop, it may represent a loanword from a non-IE substratum language.

Bibl.: WH I: 419, EM 202, IEW 335, Schrijver 1991: 36.

**et** 'and what is more, too; and' [cj., adv.] (Lex XII, Andr.+)

Derivatives: *etiam* 'still, also, even' (Andr.+), *etenim* 'and indeed' (Pl.+), *etsī* 'even if' (Pl.+).

Plt. \**eti*. It. cognates: U. *et*, *et*, Pael. *et* 'and' < \**eti*. In U., *et* connects syntagms, not sentences.

PIE \**h<sub>1</sub>eti* 'still, also'. IE cognates: Gaul. *eti* 'yet, still, equally', *etic* 'and' < \**eti-k<sup>w</sup>e*; Skt. *āti*, Av. *aiti* 'beyond, over, to', Gr. *ἔτι* 'still, also, further', NPhr. *ετι-* 'and'; Go. *ip* 'but', *id-weit* [n.] 'reproach', OIc. *iðja-grænn* 'green again', OHG *it(i)-wiz* [n.], OE *ed-wit* [n.] 'disgrace' < PGm. \**ip(i)*, OHG *it-māli* 'festive, solemn', MDu. *ad/t-mael* [n.] 'period of the day', OFr. *et-mēl* [n.] 'half or whole a day', OE *ed-mæle* [n.] 'feast' < PGm. \**id(i)*.

Originally used to add something to a previous utterance, or to indicate the sequence of different actions. Later on, it replaces *-que*. Possibly, PIE \**h<sub>1</sub>eti* is an old loc.sg. to a root noun from \**h<sub>1</sub>et-* 'go beyond' (reflected in Skt. *at-* 'to travel, wander').

Bibl.: WH I: 421, EM 202, IEW 344, Schrijver 1991: 37, Untermann 200: 240.

**ex, ē, ec-** 'out of, away' [prep., prev.] (Lex XII, Andr.+). In composition, we find *ex-* in front of vowels, *c-*, *qu-*, *t-* and *s-*; in front of *p-*, *ex-* is normal except for *ēpāscere* and *ēpōtāre*. Lat. has *ē-* < \**egz-* before voiced *b-*, *d-*, *g-*, *l-*, *m-*, *n-*, *r-*, *i-* *u-*; Lat. *ec-* only occurs in compounds front of *f-*, and even there, it is often assimilated to *ef-*.)

Derivatives: *exter(us)* 'external; foreign' (Lucr.+), *extrā* [adv., prep.] 'outside, apart from' (Pl.+), *extrārius* 'external' (Pl.+), *extrīnsecus* [adv.] 'from without; on the

outside' (Cato+); *exterior* 'outer, outward' (Varro+), *externus* 'on the outside, extraneous' (Lucr.+); *extimus* 'outermost, farthest' (Pl.+), *extrēmus* 'situated at the end, last' (Cato+).

PIt. \*eχ, \*eks 'out'. It. cognates: O. *eh*, U. *ehe*, *eh*, *e*, SPic. *eh-* [prep. + abl.] 'out'. As a preverb: O. *eh-*, *ee-*, U. *e-*, *eh-*, *ehe-*, *e-*, *eh-* /ē-/ < \*eχ-. O. *ehtrad* [prep. + acc.] 'outside', U. *apehtre* 'from outside' < *ap-* + \**ekterē(d)*.

PIE \*h<sub>1</sub>eǵ<sup>h</sup>, \*h<sub>1</sub>eǵ<sup>h</sup>s 'out'. IE cognates: OIr. *ess-*, W. *eh-* 'out', OIr. *echtar*, MW *eithyr* 'outside'; Gr. ἐξ 'from, out of', ἔσχατος 'outmost, last' (< \**ek<sup>h</sup>s-katos*); OPr. *is*, *assa*, *assae*, Lith *iš*, Latv. *iz* 'from, out of', OCS *iz* 'out' < BSl. \**iz* (\**iš*) < \*h<sub>1</sub>eǵ<sup>h</sup>(s) [\**i-* of BSl. is unexplained].

The original sup. *extimus* < \**ekstamo-* was eventually replaced by *extrēmus* < \**eksterezemo-* < \**ekster-isamo-*. The cp. *extrinsecus* was built from \**exterim* '(to) the outside' and *-secus*. Sabellic continues the *s*-less variant of the PIE preposition \*h<sub>1</sub>eǵ<sup>h</sup> which is also found in Gr. ἔσχατος and in Balto-Slavic (cf. Weiss 2002: 356).

Bibl.: WH I: 423f., 433f., EM 203f., IEW 292f., Leumann 1977: 558f., Schrijver 1991: 37, Meiser 1998: 117, 152, Untermann 2000: 114, 199, 202, Derksen 2008: 217.

**exprētus** 'extinguished (vel sim.)' [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl. 1x *Bac.* 446, Paul. *ex F.*)

PIt. \**eks-prēto-* or \**eks-sprēto-*.

In the literature, *exprētus* only occurs in Plautus, in the line *it magister quasi lucerna / uncto expretus linteo*. Equating *lintheus* with the wick of a candle, Klingenschmitt (1989: 95) translates the line as follows: 'The teacher withdraws like an oil lamp, extinguished, when the wick is still drenched [scil. with oil]'. Hence, *exprētus* would mean 'extinguished'. K. assumes a word play with *lintheum*, which can also mean 'hair', which, in the given context, may be drenched with blood from a wound inflicted on the teacher. K. assumes that a candle was usually 'extinguished' by means of blowing it out, and hence he suggests that *exprētus* belongs to a root \**preh<sub>1</sub>-* 'blow', which LIV and others reconstruct for Gr. πῖμπρημι. This is conceivable. Yet a derivation from *spernere*, *sprētus* 'to disdain, scorn' cannot be dismissed as easily as K. does. He doubts that *spernere*, which originally meant 'to kick, tread', would have undergone a semantic shift from 'kicked out' to 'blown out'. Yet in view of the sudden extinction of a flame when blown out, I think that such metaphorical usage cannot be excluded.

Bibl.: WH I: 431, EM 206, Klingenschmitt 1989 (= 2005: 269-283), LIV \**preh<sub>1</sub>-?* \**sp<sup>h</sup>erH-?* → *spernere*

**ex(s)ul, -is** 'banished person' [m. /] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *ex(s)ulāre* 'to be banished' (Pl.+), *ex(s)ilium* 'exile' (Pl.+) [only <*exul-*> and <*exil-*> in inscr.].

PIt. \**ek(-)s(-)Vl-* (or derived from a verb in \**ek(-)s(-)Vl-*).

Several etymologies are possible. It might be a derivative of a verb \**ex-sulere* 'to take out' to the root \**selh<sub>1</sub>-* 'to take', cf. *cōnsul* and *cōnsulere*; hence *exsul* 'the one who is taken out'. It might belong to *amb-ulāre* < \**-al-* 'to walk', hence 'who walks out'. It might even belong to \**h<sub>1</sub>elh<sub>2</sub>-*, the root of Gr. ἐλαύνω 'to drive': *ex-ul* 'who is driven

out' (cf. *proelium*).

Bibl.: WH I: 432, EM 207, Benedetti 1988: 164-169. → *ambulō*

## F

**faba** 'bean' [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *fabulus* 'bean' (Pl.+), *fabāginus* 'of beans' (Cato), *fabālia* 'bean-stalks' (Cato+), *fabālis* 'of beans' (Varro+), *fabārius* 'of/for beans' (Cato+), *fabātus* 'made of beans' (Varro+).

PIt. \**fafā*- 'bean'. It. cognates: Fal. *haba* 'bean' [Velius Longus, 2<sup>nd</sup> c. AD].

IE cognates: OPr. *babo* 'bean', Ru. *bob*; OIc. *baun*, OHG *bōna*, OE *bean* 'bean' < PGM. \**baunō* < \**bab-nō*? Farther removed are Gr. φακός 'lentil' and Alb. *bathë* 'horse-bean' < \**b<sup>(h)</sup>ak-*.

No PIE word can be reconstructed. Since the Italic, Slavic and Germanic words are similar in form and meaning, they are probably independent loanwords from a European substratum word of the form \**bab-* (or similar) 'bean'.

Bibl.: WH I: 436, EM 208, IEW 106, Schrijver 1991: 488, Kuiper 1995: 79f.

**faber, -brī** 'craftsman, artisan' [m. *o*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *fabrē* 'skilfully' (Pl.+), *infabrē* 'unskilfully' (Pac.+), *fabrīlis* 'of a workman' (Varro+); *fabrica* 'workshop; craft; plan' (Pl.+), *fabricāre/ī* 'to fashion, build; devise' (Pl.+), *perfabricāre* 'to fashion completely' (Pl.), *fabricātor* 'maker' (Lucr.+).

PIt. \**fafro-*. It. cognates: Pael. *faber* [nom.sg.?] is probably a loan from Latin.

PIE \**d<sup>h</sup>Hb<sup>h</sup>-ro-* 'craftsman'? IE cognates: Arm. *darbin* 'smith' (< \**dabr-ino-*); Lith. *dabà* 'nature, habit, character', *dabār* 'now', *dabnūs* 'smart, well-dressed, elegant', OCS *podoba* 'ornamentation, decency', Ru. *dóba* (dial.) 'time, measure', OCS *dobrŭ* 'good, beautiful', Ru. *dóbryj* 'good'; Go. *ga-daban* 'to happen', pf. *gadob* 'it fits', *gadof ist* 'it is fitting', OE *gedēfe* 'fitting'.

The noun *fabrica* suggests the earlier existence of a feminine noun to which an adj. \**fabriko-* referred; maybe *ars* 'art, craft'. According to Leumann 1977: 337, *fabrica* was formed after a Greek example, e.g. ναυτική (τέχνη). The closest cognate is Armenian *darbin*; together with *faber*, it could reflect PIE \**d<sup>h</sup>Hb<sup>h</sup>-*. The BSl. words meaning 'nature' and 'good' (with short vowel in front of *b* < \**b<sup>h</sup>*) and the Gm. words \**dab-*, \**dōb-* could go back to PIE \**d<sup>h</sup>ob<sup>h</sup>-*. Their connection with *faber* is possible, but semantically not compelling. Beekes (1996: 230) argues that, if all these words are cognate, they can only be explained from a non-IE root \**d<sup>h</sup>ab<sup>h</sup>-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 436f., EM 208, IEW 233f., Schrijver 1991: 102, Untermann 2000: 254, LIV \**d<sup>h</sup>eHb<sup>h</sup>-* 'to fit'.

**faciō**, -ere 'to make' [v. III; pf. *fēcī*; ppp. *factum*. sb. *faxō*, *faxim*, *faxīs*, pr.ipv. *fac*] (VOLat.+ : Duenos inscr. *feced /fēkedl* 'fēcit', Praeneste (315BC) *fecid*, Corchiano (4<sup>th</sup> c. BC) *feced*, Lacus Fucinus *fecront*, Paestum *fecere* 'fēcerunt'; Lex XII+)

Derivatives: *facilis* 'easy' (Pl.+), *facul* 'facile' (Pac., Acc., Lucil.), *facilitās* 'ease' (Ter.+), *facultās* 'easiness; ability, skill, chance' (Ter.+), *difficilis* 'difficult' (Pl.+; *difficul* Varro), *difficultās* 'difficulty' (Pl.+); *faciēs* 'appearance, face' (Naev.+), *superficiēs* 'top, surface' (Varro+); *facinus*, -oris [n.] 'deed, act; crime' (Pl.+); *factor* 'maker, perpetrator' (Pl.+), *factum* 'deed' (Naev.+), *prōfectō* [adv.] 'undoubtedly' (Pl.+), *factus*, -ūs 'a pressing of olives' (Cato, Plin.), *factiō* 'the making; group, party' (Pl.+), *factiōsus* 'busy; factious' (Pl.+);

*prōficīscor* 'to start on a journey, start' (Pl.+); *facessō*, -ere 'to carry out, perform; depart' (Pl.+); *factitāre* 'to do frequently' (Pl.+); *calefactāre* 'to heat' (Pl.+); -*fex*, -*ficis* 'maker': *artifex* 'practitioner of an art, craftsman' (Pl.+), *aurifex* 'goldsmith' (Pl.+), *carnifex* 'executioner, murderer' (Naev.+), *opifex* 'craftsman' (Pl.+), *pontifex* 'priest' (Cato+); derived from the cp. in -*fex* are: -*ficus* 'doing, making', -*ficium* 'the office of...';

*afficere* 'to have an effect on, influence' (Pl.+), *afficticius* 'attached' (Varro+), *affectāre* 'to try to accomplish, aspire' (Ter.+), *conficere* 'to do, perform, complete' (Andr.+), *confector* 'destroyer (Lucil.+), maker (Cic.+)', *dēficere* 'to be lacking, fail; defect' (Cato+), *efficere* 'to make, cause' (Pl.+), *inficere* 'to dye, colour; infect' (Pl.+), *infector* 'a dyer' (Pl.+), *interficere* 'to kill, destroy' (Naev.+), *afficere* 'to stand in the way' (Varro+), *perficere* 'to bring to an end, achieve' (Pl.+), *perficus* 'that completes' (Lucr.), *perfector* 'who brings to completion' (Ter.+), *praeficere* 'to put in charge' (Pl.+), *praefica* 'woman who leads the funeral mourning' (Naev.+), *praefectiō* 'appointing as leader' (Varro), *praefectūra* 'command; rank or duty of a praefectus' (Pl.+), *praefectus* 'person in charge; praetor' (Pl.+), *prōficere* 'to make progress' (Lucil.+), *prōfectiō* 'departure' (Pac.+), *reficere* 'to restore, repair' (Lucr.+), *sufficere* 'to supply, provide; be sufficient' (Lucr.+).

Pit. \**fak-i-* [pr.], \**fēk-* / \**fak-* [aor.]. It. cognates: Ven. *vhagsto*, *hvhagsto*, *vhasto* [3s.pret.] 'made' < \**fak-s-to*; Fal. *faced* (Berenguer Sánchez – Luján Martínez 2004), *facet* (Wallace 2005) [3s.pf.]; O. *fakiliad* [3s.pr.sb.], *factud* [3s.ipv.II], *fe<f>acid* [3s.pf.sb.], *fefacust* [3s.fut.II], *facus estud* [3s.ipv.II.ps.m.], Mars. *fecront* [3p.pf.], Marr. *fec(ed?)* [3s.pf.], Vol. *façia*, U. *façia*, *feia* [3s.pr.sb.], U. *fetu*, *feitu*, *fetu*, *feitu* [3s.ipv.II], PalU. *face* [3s.pf.], U. *fakust* [3s.fut.pf.], *fakurent*, *facurent* [3p.fut.pf.], *façiu*, *façu* [inf.], *fetu* [3s.pf.ps.n.?], *feta* [ppp. acc.sg.f.] 'to do, act, sacrifice, make'; O. ava: *fakēt* [3s.pf.] 'has erected'. O. *fakinss* [acc.pl.] 'deeds' < \**fak-jon-*. U. *façefe* <D>e [acc.sg.f.] 'fit to be sacrificed' < \**faki-fli-* < \**d'li-*. Maybe U. *anfehtaf* [acc.pl.f.]. O. *praefucus* [nom.sg.] 'praefect' (calque on the Latin term). For Fal. *fifiked* and *ffifjiqod*, see s.v. *fiŋō*.

PIE \**d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-* 'to put' [aor.]; \**d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-k-* 'to make', \**d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-k-i-* [pr.]. IE cognates: Gr. aor. *ἐθήκα* 'I put, placed', Phryg. *αδ-δακετ* 'afficit', middle *αδ-δακετορ*.

The Italic evidence mainly continues a root \**fak*, pf. also \**fēk-* 'to make'. Probably, the root-final *k* has arisen in the PIE root aorist of \**d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-*, and can be equated with Gr. -*κ-* in the aor./pf. of these verbs, and with Phrygian *αδδακετ(ορ)*. In Italic, the

stem *\*fak-*, in the pf. also *\*fēk-*, was generalized in the whole paradigm. For *difficilis* see s.v. *dis-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 439-444, EM 209-212, IEW 235ff., Lejeune 1974: 334, Schrijver 1991: 92, Untermann 1993, Untermann 2000: 255-261, Marinetti 2004: 426, LIV *\*d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>k-*. → *-dō*, *-dere*; *fēcundus*; *fēriae*; *fētīālis*

**faex, -cis** ‘wine-lees, dregs’ [f. *k*] (Cato+)

Derivatives: *faecārius* ‘used for lees’ (Cato), *faecātus* ‘made from lees’ (Cato), *faeceus* ‘resembling dregs, foul’ (Pl.), *faecula* ‘dried lees of wine’ (Lucret.); *dēfae/īcāre* ‘to remove the dregs’ (Pl.+).

No etymology.

Bibl.: WH I: 444, EM 213.

**fāgus** ‘beech’ [f. *o*] (Varro+)

Derivatives: *fāgineus* ‘beech-’ (Cato+), *fāgūtālis* ‘of the beech-grove’ (Varro+).

Plt. *\*fāgo-*.

PIE *\*b<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>2</sub>g-o-* ‘oak’ / ‘beech’. IE cognates: Gaul. *\*bāgos* ‘beech’ (in French place names), Gr. φηγός, Dor. φᾶγός [f.] ‘kind of oak, acorn’, OHG *buoh* ‘written document, book’, OHG *buohstap* ‘letter’ < *\*‘piece of beech for writing’*, OIc. *bók*, OE *bōc*, *bēce* (< *\*bōkjōn-*), OHG *buohha* ‘beech’, Go. *boka* ‘letter’. Unrelated: Alb. *bung* ‘kind of oak’ and Slav. *\*huzb* ‘elder’.

The adj. *fāgūtālis* presupposes a derivative *\*fāgūtum* ‘beech-grove’. The restriction to western IE languages and the reference to different trees have suggested to some scholars that this word was not PIE, but a later loanword. In the Balkans, from which the beech started to spread after 6000 BC, the Gr. word means ‘oak’, not ‘beech’. Yet ‘oak’ and ‘beech’ are both ‘fruit-bearing trees’, so that a semantic shift from ‘oak’ to ‘beech’ appears quite conceivable. The word itself may then have been PIE after all.

Bibl.: WH I: 445, EM 213, IEW 107f., Leumann 1977: 276, Schrijver 1991: 142, Demiraj 1997: 112f., EIEC 58f. → *fraxinus*

**fallō, -ere** ‘to deceive’ [v. III; pf. *fefellī*; ppp. *falsum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *fallācia* ‘deceptive behaviour, trick’ (Pl.+), *fallāx* ‘deceitful’ (Lucil.+); *falsus* ‘erroneous, untrue’ (Lex XII+), *falsārius* ‘a forger’ (Cato+); *falsidicus* ‘lying’ (Pl.), *falsificus* ‘acting deceitfully’ (Pl.), *falsiūrius* ‘swearing falsely’ (Pl.), *falsiloquus* ‘speaking deceitfully’, *falsimōnia* ‘deception’ (Pl.); *refellere* ‘to refute’ (Ter.+).

Plt. *\*fal-s-e/o-* [pr.], *\*fal-s-* [pf.].

PIE *\*sg<sup>wh</sup>h<sub>2</sub>(e)l-n-* ‘to stumble’. IE cognates: Skt. (Br.+) *skhalate* [3s.pr.med.] ‘to stumble, fail’, MP *škarwīdan* ‘to stumble, stagger’; Gr. σφάλλω ‘to bring down’, σφάλλομαι ‘to fall’, aor. ἐσφάλην ‘I fell down’, Arm. *sxalem* ‘to stumble, fail’.

The pr. may represent either *\*fal-d-*, *\*fal-n-* or *\*fal-s-*. The pf. *fe-fellī* is a recent formation *\*fe-fall-* on the basis of the pr., as shown by word-internal *-f-* and by *-ellī* (instead of *-ulī* < *\*-VI-ai*, cf. *pellō* – *pepulī*). The original pf. may have been *\*fal-s-ai*; thus Meiser 1998 and 2003. The ppp. can have been formed analogically after e.g.

*sallō -salsus*. The Ilr., Arm. and Gr. forms are very close in meaning and form, and can be connected if Gr. continues a labiovelar. The correspondence is irregular inasmuch as it seems to require a PIE voiceless aspirate stop, but this might be due to an original heavier cluster of consonants in (pre-)PIE, cf. Lubotsky 2006 on PIE \**TsperH-* ‘to kick with the heel’ possibly from \**pds-per(H)-*. If *fallō* belongs here, it has developed metaphorically from ‘stumble’ to ‘deceive’ (the tr. semantics are explained by the nasal present, as in Greek).

Bibl.: WH I: 447ff., EM 213f., IEW 489f., Leumann 1977: 169, Meiser 1998: 211, 2003: 176f., LIV \*(s)g<sup>uh</sup>h<sub>2</sub>el-.

**falx, -cis** ‘hook, scythe, sickle’ [f. *k*; gen.pl. *-ium*] (Cato+)

Derivatives: *falcula* ‘pruning-knife, bill-hook’ (Cato+).

IE cognates: OIr. *delg* [m.] ‘thorn, pin’, W. *dala* ‘sting’ < PCl. \**delgo-* ‘pin, needle’; Lith. *dilgė* ‘nettle’, Lith. *dilgūs* ‘stinging, smarting’, Oic. *dálkr* ‘pin, spine, dagger, knife’ [m.], OE *delg* ‘clasp’, *dalc* ‘bracelet’.

The suggested connection with Sicilian Ζάγκλη, Δανκλή ‘sickle’ (thus still IEW) is too uncertain. EM suspect a loanword origin, which in case of a technical word like this would not be surprising. Semantically, the comparison with OIr. *delg*, Oic. *dálkr* seems acceptable, but Latin *-al-* cannot be derived from a root \**d<sup>h</sup>lg-*, nor can word-final *k*. So at most, *falx* is a borrowing from a non-Latin IE language of Italy.

Bibl.: WH I: 449f., EM 214, IEW 247.

**famēs, -is** ‘hunger’ [f. *ē* >> *i* (gen.sg. *famī* Cato, Lucil., *famis* more recent; abl.sg. *famē*, later *famē*)] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *famēlicus* ‘starved’ (Pl.+).

The noun is shifting from the fifth to the third declension type. Lat. *famēlicus* presupposes an earlier adj. \**famēlis* ‘hungry’. WH and, hesitatingly, IEW, reconstruct \**d<sup>h</sup>H-m-*, connecting Latin *fatīgō*, OIr. *ded-* ‘to vanish’, and Oic. *dási* ‘slow’, MiE *dasen* ‘to deafen, daze’. Yet this comparison is too vague, both semantically and formally. The formation of *fam-ē-* might point to a root \**fam-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 451, EM 215, IEW 239, Leumann 1977: 444ff., Schrijver 1991: 381, Sihler 1995: 342. → *fatīgō*

**fāmex/-ix, -icis** ‘swelling, abcess’ [m.] (Col.: acc.sg. *famicem*)

Etymology unknown.

Bibl.: WH I: 452, EM 215, IEW 111f.

**famulus** ‘servant, slave’ [m. *o*] (Pl.+; nom.sg. *famul* Enn., Lucr., gen.pl. *famulum* Verg.)

Derivatives: *famula* ‘serving woman, maid’ (Cic.+), *famulanter* ‘in the manner of a servant’ (Acc.), *famulitās* ‘servitude’ (Pac.+); *familia* ‘household, servants’ (Lex XII+; gen.sg. usually *familiās*), *familiāris* ‘of one’s household, private’ (Pl.+), *familiāricus* ‘of household slaves’ (Varro+), *familiāritās* ‘close friendship’ (Ter.+).

PIt. *\*famelos* ‘slave’, *\*famelia* ‘household’. It. cognates: Pael. *famel*, O. *fml* [nom.sg.] ‘slave’ < *\*famelos*, O. *famelo* [nom.sg.], U. *fameñias* [nom.pl.?] ‘household’ < *\*fameliā-*.

PIE *\*d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-m-elo-* ‘fundament’. IE cognates: Gr. *θεμεῖλια* [n.pl.] ‘fundaments’ (from metrical lengthening of *θεμέλια*), *θεμέλιος* ‘belonging to the fundamentals’, *θεμούςς* ‘expositions, admonitions’ (Hsch.), *θέμις* ‘justice, law’.

Rix 1994a interprets PIIt. *\*famelo-* as a back-formation to *\*famelia* ‘household’, f. of an adj. *\*famelio-* ‘basic; of the house’ which could belong to a pre-form PIE *\*d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-m-elo-* ‘fundament’. The latter was probably a diminutive to *\*d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-mo-* ‘basis’. This explanation implies that a semantic shift from ‘basis’ to ‘house, dwelling-place’ took place at some point; compare the meanings of Skt. *dhāman-* ‘law; dwelling-place’.

Bibl.: WH I: 452f., EM 215, IEW 235ff., Benveniste 1969 II: 102-105, Rix 1994a: 35ff., Untermann 2000: 262-264, LIV *\*d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-*.

**fānum** ‘shrine, temple, consecrated place’ [n. o] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *hānula* (Paul. *ex F.*) ‘small temples’, *fānāre* ‘to dedicate’ (Varro+), *fānāticus* ‘of a temple; enthusiastic’ (Cic.+); *profānus* ‘secular, not religious’ (Pl.+), *profānāre* ‘to offer in front of a temple; to desecrate’ (Cato+).

PIt. *\*fasno-* ‘temple’. It. cognates: O. *fīisnú* [nom.sg.], *fīisnam*, *fīisnam*, Pael. *fesn(am)* [acc.sg.], U. *fesnafe* [acc.pl. + *-en*], *fesnerē* [abl.pl. + *-en*] ‘temple’ < PSab. *\*fēsna-*.

PIE *\*d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>s-no-* ‘divine, holy; consecrated place’. IE cognates: see s.v. *fēriae*.

*Fānum* represents a derivative in *\*-no-* from the root *\*d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>s-* ‘gift’ which is also reflected in *fēriae* (see there for the further etymology). According to Schrijver, U. *fesna-* may continue an original plural (collective) *\*d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>s-n-h<sub>2</sub>* to the sg. *\*d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-s-no-*. Alternatively, one might assume that Sabellic has adopted the full grade *\*fēs-* from *\*fēs-io-*, cf. Lat. *fēriae*. The form *hanula* is probably a dialectal form, with *f* > *h*.

Bibl.: WH I: 453f., EM 215f., IEW 259, Schrijver 1991: 92, Untermann 2000: 281-283, LIV *\*d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-*. → *fērālis*, *fēriae*

**far**, **-rris** ‘husked wheat, emmer; grain, flour’ [n. r] (Lex XII+)

Derivatives: *farīna* ‘flour’ (Pl.+ (< *\*farrīna*), *fārinārius* ‘of flour’ (Cato+), *farrāceus* ‘of emmer’ (Varro+), *farrāgō*, *-inis* ‘mixed crop of inferior grains’ (Varro+), *farrārius*, *farreārius* ‘for grain’ (Cato).

PIt. *\*fars*, gen.sg. *\*fars-Vs* ‘flour’. It. cognates: O. *far*, U. *far* [acc.sg.], *farer* [gen.sg.] ‘flour’; U. *farariur* [nom.pl.m.] ‘of the grain’ < *\*-āsio-*; U. *fasiu*, *farsio*, *fasio* [acc.pl.n.] ‘of flour’ < *\*farseio-*. Maybe Fal. **farme(n)tom** [acc.n.] < *\*fars-mentom*, if correctly restored.

IE cognates: Olr. *bairgen* ‘bread, loaf’, W. Co. Bret. *bara* ‘bread’; OCS *brašъno* ‘food’, ORu. *borošъno* ‘(farinaceous) food’, SCr. *brāšno* ‘flour, food’ < *\*b<sup>h</sup>arsinom*; Latv. *barība* ‘food’; Go. *barizeins* ‘from barley’, OIc. *barr* ‘grain’, OE *bere* ‘barley’ < PGM. *\*bar(e/a)z-*.

Italic and Slavic can continue a stem *\*b<sup>h</sup>ars-*, which Gm. has remade into an *s*-stem

with ablauting suffix. Celtic may have the same root, but the suffix does not contain \*-s-. In view of the vowel \*a and the semantic field (grains), it may well be a loanword \*b<sup>h</sup>ars- ‘primitive kind of cultivated wheat, emmer, spelt’ from a non-IE language.

Bibl.: WH I: 455f., EM 216, IEW 111, Giacomelli 1963: 244f., Leumann 1977: 180, 184, Meiser 1986: 174, Schrijver 1991: 113f., Sihler 1995: 211, 306, Untermann 2000: 265f.

**farcīō, -īre** ‘to fill completely, stuff’ [v. IV; *farsī, fartum*] (Enn.+)

Derivatives: *farcīmen* ‘sausage’ (Lab. apud Gell.+); \**fars, fartim* [acc.], *farte* [abl.] ‘stuffing, mincemeat’ (Pl.), *fartim* [adv.] ‘tightly, densely’ (Lucil.+), *fartacula* ‘small dish of stuffing’ (Titin.), *fartor* ‘one who fattens birds for table’ (Pl.+), *fartum* ‘sausage; stuffing’ (Varro+), *fartūra* ‘the stuffing’ (Varro+); *confercīre* ‘to pack closely together’ (Varro+), *confertus* ‘thronging’ (Enn.+), *effercīre* ‘to cram’ (Pl.+), *suffarcināre* ‘to stuff a person by padding out his clothes’ (Pl.+).

PIt. \**fark-je-* ‘to stuff’, \**fark-to-*, \**fark-ti-*.

IE cognates: Gr. φράσσω, Att. φράττω ‘to fence in, surround’?

The verb *suffarcināre* will be based on a noun \**suf-farkōn, -inis* ‘who stuffs’. Latin \**fark-* may reflect \**frk-je/o-* or \**frk-to-*, cf. Schrijver 1991: 495. The appurtenance of *frequēns* is uncertain, see s.v. The only conceivable cognate is the Gr. verb for ‘to surround’, which does not completely concur in semantics with ‘to stuff’. Also, it is unclear whether it continues \**k* or \**g*. LIV reconstructs a labiovelar in order to include *frequēns*, but a structure \**b<sup>h</sup>-k<sup>w</sup>* (\**D<sup>h</sup>-T*) is non-PIE. This might be a reason to reconstruct \**b<sup>h</sup>reg-*, or to regard the word as non-IE.

Bibl.: WH I: 456f., EM 216f., IEW 110f., Steinbauer 1989: 165ff., Schrijver 1991: 488f., 495f., LIV \**b<sup>h</sup>rek<sup>w</sup>-*. → *fer(c)tum, frequēns*

**-fāriam** ‘in *n* parts’ [adv.]: *bifāriam* ‘in two parts or places, in two ways’ (Pl.+), *quadrifāriam* ‘in four ways’ (Varro+), *multifāriam* ‘in many places’ (Cato+)

PIt. \**X-ḡo-* ‘having X parts’ >> \**X-ḡāsjo-* ‘having X parts, in X ways’.

PIE \**-d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-o-*. IE cognates: Skt. *dvidhā* [adv.] ‘twofold, in two ways’, *tridhā* ‘threefold’.

Lat. *-fāriam* has been derived from *fārī* ‘to say’ as *-fās-io-* ‘having *n* utterances’, but the alleged semantic development to ‘in *n* ways’ is obscure. Much more attractive is the solution proposed by Weiss 2007a: 373f. He posits a PIt. or PIE adj. \**tri-d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-o-* ‘having a tripartite division’ to explain *tribus* (see s.v.), and from the same adj., Latin could have derived an adj. in *-ārius*, cf. *prīmus* beside *primārius*. Since Lat. *-f-* would not arise from PIt. \**ḡ* after *ā* and before *i*, the *-f-* must be explained differently. Weiss adopts the solution which has been generally accepted for *īnferus*, viz., that \**-ḡāsio-* was interpreted as being in word-initial position because \**ḡui-*, \**tri-*, etc. were analyzable first members.

Bibl.: WH I: 105, EM 70, 217. → *tribus*



**farnus** ‘ash-tree (?)’ [f. o] (Vitr.)

The meaning of this near-hapax cannot be ascertained. As Schrijver 1991 shows in detail, it is very difficult to connect *farnus* with *fraxinus* by means of a common preform or a common PIE root. The word cannot be derived from PIE \*b<sup>h</sup>rHǵ- ‘birch’ by means of known phonetic rules and without a number of ad hoc assumptions, and the meaning is uncertain anyway. It is best to regard the etymology as unknown.

Bibl.: WH I: 458, EM 217, IEW 139f., Schrijver 1991: 106, 186f., 489, Rasmussen 1993: 182. → *fraxinus*

**fās** ‘what is right by divine law, morally right’ [n. (indecl.) s] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *nefastus* ‘contrary to law, unfit’ (Pl.+), *nefās* ‘offence’ (Varro+), *nefārius* ‘wicked, immoral’ (Cato+); *fāstus* ‘lawful’ (Varro+), *fastī*, -*ōrum* [m.pl.] ‘days on which business may be done, list of festivals, list of consuls’ (Cic.+).

PIt. \**faos* > \**fās*.

PIE \*b<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>2</sub>-os, -es- [n.] ‘saying, utterance’.

The noun originally survived only in the sequence *fās est* \*‘the saying exists’ > ‘it is right’. From this, \**fās-to-* and \**fās-io-* were derived.

Bibl.: WH I: 105, 458, EM 70, 217, IEW 105f., LIV 2. \*b<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>2</sub>-. → *for*, *fārī*.

**fascinum/s** ‘evil spell; penis’ [n./m. o] (Paul. *ex F.*, Verg.+)

IE cognates: Gr. βάσκανος, -ων ‘sorcerer, slanderer’ (Att.), βάσκειν ‘to say, slander’ (Hsch.).

If βάσκανος and *fascinum* are indeed related, they would point to a meaning ‘curse, spell’ in a loanword from an unknown third language.

Bibl.: WH I: 459, EM 218, IEW 91f., Leumann 1977: 167, Schrijver 1991: 102.

**fascis** ‘bundle, faggot; (symbol of) power’ [m. ī] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *fascia* ‘ribbon, band’ (Varro+), *fasciculus* ‘bundle, bunch’ (Cato+), *fascina* ‘bundle’ (Cato), *fasciola* ‘ribbon, band’ (Varro).

PIt. \**faski-* ‘bundle’.

IE cognates: Ōlr. *basc* ‘necklace’, W. *beich* ‘burden’, Bret. *bec’h* ‘load’ < PCl. \*b<sup>(h)</sup>askio-.

An Italo-Celtic correspondence \*b<sup>h</sup>aski(o)- ‘bundle’, for which no PIE etymology is available. Greek words such as φάκελος ‘bundle’ and βάσκειοι ‘bundles of osiers’ (Hsch.) are probably unrelated, since they show irregular alternations within Greek, and do not have exactly the same preform \*b<sup>h</sup>aski(o-).

Bibl.: WH I: 459f., EM 218, IEW 111, Schrijver 1991: 102f.

**fastīgō**, -*āre* ‘to make pointed, taper’ [v. I] (Caes.+)

Derivatives: *fastigium* ‘sharp point, tip, roof; height, depth’ (Varro+).

PIt. \**farstīg-* / \**farsti-ag-*.

PIE \*b<sup>h</sup>rs-tí- ‘top, point’. IE cognates: OHG *burst*, *borst*, OE *byrst* ‘bristle’ < \*b<sup>h</sup>rs-tí-; Skt. *bhṛṣṭi-* ‘point’, YAv. *vouru.barāṣti-* ‘name of a part of the earth which

lies in the northwest' are derived from a root *\*bh<sup>h</sup>rs-* 'to whet' by EWAia II: 273, 277, but this does not seem certain.

EM suppose that *fastīgāre* was backformed from *fastīgātus* 'pointed', but this would presuppose a noun *\*fastīgum*, for which there is no evidence. Still, *fastīgum* is older than *fastīgāre*, which induced Leumann to suggest that the verb was derived from the noun in *-ium*. The PIE form *\*b<sup>h</sup>rs-ti-* would yield pre-Latin *\*b<sup>h</sup>arsti-* by means of Schrijver's rule that *\*γ > ar* in front of CCC. The (nominal) root *\*b<sup>h</sup>rs-* is found without suffix in Celtic: Ir. *barr*, W. *bar*, Bret. *barr* 'top' < *\*b<sup>h</sup>rs-o-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 461f., EM 218, IEW 109f., Leumann 1977: 294, Schrijver 1991: 490, Dunkel 2000a: 94f. → *fastus*

**fastus, -ūs** 'pride' [m. *u*] (Cat.+)

Derivatives: *fastidium* 'disdain, aversion' (Pl.+), *fastidiōsus* 'critical, squeamish' (Pl.+), *fastidire* 'to show aversion to, scorn' (Pl.+), *fastidiliter* 'in the manner of one who is hard to please' (Varro).

Plt. *\*fars-tu-*?

PIE *\*b<sup>h</sup>rs-tu-* 'top, point'?

Lat. *fastidium* reflects a cp. *\*fastu-taid-o-*, with haplology, to *taedet* 'to be averse' (Pl.+). The only reasonable connection of *fastus* is with *\*farsti-* 'top'; this would imply a semantic shift from 'top' to 'haughtiness' which is conceivable, but the *u*-stem is not attested independently. Also, *fastidium* would be a tautology.

Bibl.: WH I: 460ff., EM 218f., IEW 109f., Leumann 1977: 556, Schrijver 1991: 490. → *fastīgō, taedet*

**fateor** 'to acknowledge, admit' [v. II; ppp. *fassum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *confitēri* 'to admit' (Lex XII+), *infitiās ire* 'to deny; disown' (Pl.+), *prōfitēri* 'to declare, promise' (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*fat-ē-*. It. cognates: O. *fatium* [inf.] 'to speak'.

PIE *\*b<sup>h</sup>h<sub>2</sub>-to-* 'spoken' and/or *\*-b<sup>h</sup>h<sub>2</sub>-t-* 'who speaks'. IE cognates: Gr. *-φατος* 'said'.

At first sight, *fatēri* seems based on *\*fa-to-* 'said', but Leumann avows his reticence to derive an *ē*-verb directly from a ppp. EM regard *confitēri* and *prōfitēri* as older than *fatēri*, and based on nominal compounds of the type *\*kom-fat-*, *\*prō-fat-*. This type of cp. does occur in Latin in roots in PIE *\*-H*, but the *ē*-type of verbs is rare; it occurs in *latēri*. The noun *\*infitiāe* may continue *\*in-fatō-* 'unsaid'. The ppp. *fassus* < *\*fat-to-* must be a recent derivative from *\*fat-*; the old ppp. of *fāri* survives in *fātus*, with introduction of the full grade from the present.

Bibl.: WH I: 462f., EM 219, IEW 105f., Leumann 1977: 555, Schrijver 1991: 96, Untermann 2000: 266f., LIV 2. *\*b<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>2</sub>-*. → *for, fās*

**fatīgō, -āre** 'to tire out, harass' [v. I] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *affatim, ad fatim* 'amply, sufficiently' (Andr.+), *fatiscere/-scī* 'to split open; become weary' (Pac.+), *dēfe/atīgāre* 'to exhaust, tire out' (Pl.+), *dēfeīscī* (ppp. *dēfessus*) 'to suffer exhaustion, become worn out' (Pl.+), *fessus* 'tired, exhausted' (Varro+).

Plt. *\*fati-* ‘exhaustion’, *\*fasso-* ‘exhausted’.

All based on a noun *\*fatis* ‘exhaustion, fill’, preserved in *affatim*. The verb *fatīgāre* is explained by Dunkel 2000a from *\*fati-h<sub>2</sub>ǵ-* to *agere*. The verb *fatīscor* was probably built on the cp. *dēfetīscor*. In the latter, the reduction to *e* is irregular, since we expect *\*dēfitīscor* from *\*dē-fati-*. Probably, the *e* was taken from *dēfessus*. In *dēfessus* < *\*dē-fat-to-*, the reduction to *e* is regular; from this cp. adj., *fessus* has later been detached. All of this implies that the oldest system was a noun *\*fati-* and a ptc. *\*fasso-*, hence, there must have existed a root *\*fat-*. Wachter 2004: 378 interprets Pl. *Cist.* 654 *fassa* as ‘tired, exhausted’, and as the only preserved instance of *\*fat-to-* > *\*fassus*, which was replaced by *fessus* (taken from compounds) elsewhere. The reconstruction of a PIE root *\*d<sup>h</sup>H-* ‘to vanish’ by WH is gratuitous. Theoretically, we would need a form *\*b<sup>h</sup>Ht-* or *\*d<sup>h</sup>Ht-* to explain *fat-*. Unfortunately, no cogent etymology is available.

Bibl.: WH I: 463, EM 219f., IEW 239, Leumann 1977: 83, 536, Keller 1992: 260-265, Sihler 1995: 67, Dunkel 2000a: 94.

**fatuus** ‘silly, foolish’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *Fatuus* (Varro) an oracular god.

Plt. *\*fatowo-* ‘of speech’. It. cognates: O. *fatuveís* [gen.sg.], *fatofē* [voc.sg.] maybe = *Fatuus*.

PIE *\*b<sup>h</sup>h<sub>2</sub>-tu-* ‘speech’.

Lat. *fatuus* looks like a derivative from a nominal or verbal stem *\*fat-* or an adj. *\*fatu/o-*, but neither pre-Latin *\*fati-* ‘exhaustion’ nor *\*fato-* ‘said’ provide an understandable semantic basis. However, if we connect the fact that *Fatuus* is said to be an alternative name for *Faunus*, and that he predicted the future, and that this god is attested on an Etruscan mirror as *Fatuvus* in a clear oracular function (Weiss 2007b), we may venture a derivation from *for* ‘to say’ (Untermann 2000). The name of the god would then have come to be used pejoratively as ‘silly’.

Bibl.: WH I: 464, EM 220, IEW 111f., Untermann 2000: 268, LIV 2. *\*b<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>2</sub>-*. → *fās*, *for*

**Faunus** ‘mythical king; (pl.) deities of the countryside’ [m. *o*] (Enn.+)

Derivatives: *Fauna* ‘a rustic goddess’ (Var.); *Favōnius* ‘the west wind, Zephyr’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*fawe/ono-*; *\*fawōn(-jo)-*. It. cognates: U. *fons*, *fos* [nom.sg.m.], *foner* [gen.sg.f.], *foner* [nom.pl.] ‘merciful’ < *\*fVu(V)ni-* (Schrijver).

PIE *\*b<sup>h</sup>h<sub>2</sub>u-n-* ‘favourable’. IE cognates: OIr. *búan* ‘good, favourable; firm’, MW *bun* ‘maiden, sweetheart’.

Formerly, there was no good comparison for *Faunus*. Since Lat. *faveō* and U. *fons* ‘merciful’ are now derived from the PIE root *\*b<sup>h</sup>h<sub>2</sub>u-* ‘to become’, it becomes possible to derive *Faunus* from a similar preform as *fons*, viz. Plt. *\*fayVno-* < *\*b<sup>h</sup>h<sub>2</sub>u-e/ono-* ‘favourable’. Theoretically, *\*b<sup>h</sup>h<sub>2</sub>eu-no-* would also yield Plt. *\*fauno-*, but we have no other evidence for an ablaut grade *\*b<sup>h</sup>h<sub>2</sub>eu-* in Plt., nor would it be expected in view of *\*b<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>2</sub>u-* in other IE branches.

If *Favōnius* is indeed cognate, it would most likely go back to an earlier noun

\**favō*, -ōnis ‘who favours’, an individualizing form of a PIE *n*-stem adj. as attested in Umbrian and Celtic. This also yields a good semantic motivation: the wind that stimulates vegetation can be called favourable. *Favōnius* was regarded by the Romans as the herald of spring and the start of new vegetation (e.g. *Cato Agr.* 50.1, *Cicero Ver.* 5.27, *Lucretius* 1.11, *Vitruvius* 2.9.1). The connection of *Favōnius* with *fovēre* ‘to warm up, heat’, supported by WH, is not compelling, and yields insurmountable phonetic difficulties in explaining the -a-.

Bibl.: WH I: 468f., EM 221, IEW 146ff., 235, 240f., Leumann 1977: 167, Schrijver 1991: 442, 444. → *faveō*

**faveō** ‘to be favourably inclined, to approve’ [v. II; pf. *fāvī*, ppp. *fautum*] (Naev.+; *fove* CIL I<sup>2</sup> 573)

Derivatives: *faventia* ‘auspicious behaviour’ (Acc.), *fautor* ‘supporter, partisan’ (also *favitor*) (Pl.+), *fautrix*, -īcis ‘female supporter’ (Ter.+); *faustus* ‘fortunate; favourable’ (Pl.+); maybe *favea* ‘maidservant’ (Pl.).

PIt. \**faw-ē-*.

PIE \**b<sup>h</sup>h<sub>2</sub>u-eh<sub>1</sub>-* ‘to be favourable to’. IE cognates: OIr. *báe* ‘profit, benefit’ < \**b<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>2</sub>u-io-*, Skt. *bhūṣ-* ‘to exert oneself for someone’.

Because of the rule \*-ouV- > -avV-, *faveō* might reflect \**foueō*, but the hapax *fove* is unreliable (see also Vine 2006a: 225f.). Lat. *faustus* << \**foustus* < \**faves-to-*. Schrijver 1991 rejects the etymologies \**b<sup>h</sup>ouH-eie-* ‘to make someone grow’ (for semantic reasons) and \**d<sup>h</sup>og<sup>wh</sup>-eie-* ‘to make warm’ (for phonetic reasons). He opts for PIE \**g<sup>wh</sup>ou-eie-* ‘to worship’, derived from PIE \**g<sup>wh</sup>u-* ‘to heed, worship’. Lubotsky 1995 points out that the semantics of \**g<sup>wh</sup>u-* hardly compel us to derive *faveō* from it, and, furthermore, that it is not necessary to derive -ēre from a causative verb: it can also be a stative suffix. Lubotsky then supports Kortlandt’s suggestion that the original shape of the root of ‘be(come)’ was actually \**b<sup>h</sup>h<sub>2</sub>u-*, from which OIr. *báe* ‘profit, benefit’ can be derived as \**b<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>2</sub>u-io-*. This comes close to *faveō* in meaning, as do, in Lubotsky’s view, some of the Skt. usages of *bhū-* and *bhūṣ-*. Hence, *faveō* may reflect \**b<sup>h</sup>h<sub>2</sub>u-eh<sub>1</sub>-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 464ff., 468, EM 220f., IEW 453, Schrijver 1991: 441f., Lubotsky 1995: 225, Untermann 2000: 302, LIV \**d<sup>h</sup>eu-* ‘run’. → *Faunus*, *fiō*, *fiū*

**favilla** ‘ashes’ [f. *ā*] (Ter.+)

PIt. \**fawV-*.

PIE \**d<sup>h</sup>ouH-V-* ‘smoke / smoking’.

WH and EM do not doubt the appurtenance of *favilla* to *foveō* ‘make warm’ < ‘burn’; WH reconstruct \**fouilla*, EM \**d<sup>h</sup>g<sup>wh</sup>-* > *fav-*. Schrijver 1991 argues that “the obscure formation” (in *-illa*) “and technical meaning of the word” render it likely that it is a non-native word. In any case, the sequence *fav-* would conflict with *fov-* if both were from \**d<sup>h</sup>og<sup>wh</sup>-*, and none of the proposed solutions to this problem has been satisfactory. The suffix is reminiscent of *scintilla* ‘spark’, but difficult to explain historically. Vine 2006a: 241-243 now offers a new etymology: a derivation from \**d<sup>h</sup>uH-* ‘to smoke’. If Thurneysen-Havet’s Law applied in Vine’s formulation (i.e. in

pretonic position with PIE place of the accent), *favilla* must reflect a stem form \*d<sup>h</sup>ouH-V- with unaccented first syllable. Of the various possible scenarios to arrive at such a form, Vine's first proposal is the following: PIE \*d<sup>h</sup>óuh<sub>2</sub>-i- 'smoke, soot', ins.sg. \*d<sup>h</sup>óuh<sub>2</sub>-ih<sub>1</sub> 'with smoke, with soot' > deriv. Plt. \*pouī-nó- 'smoky, sooty' > \*pauīnó- > deriv. \*fauīn(e)lā- '(small) smoky stuff' = 'ashes'. Another possible starting point would be a PIE *o*-stem \*d<sup>h</sup>ouh<sub>2</sub>-ó- 'smoking', whence an adj. in \*-iH-no- could be derived. In any case, Vine's etymology seems more likely than the earlier proposals.

Bibl.: WH I: 466, EM 221, IEW 240f., Schrijver 1991: 442f., LIV \*d<sup>h</sup>eg<sup>uh</sup>-, \*d<sup>h</sup>ueh<sub>2</sub>-. → *foveō*, *fūmus*

**favus** 'honeycomb' [m. *o*] (Varro+)

Etymology unknown. One might follow WH and derive *favus* from PIE \*b<sup>h</sup>uH- / \*b<sup>h</sup>h<sub>2</sub>u- 'to dwell', in the sense that the honeycomb is (part of) the 'dwelling' of bees. Applying Vine's (2006) formulation of Thurneysen-Havet's Law, *favus* could then reflect a preform \*b<sup>h</sup>ouh<sub>2</sub>-ó-, but final accentuation usually indicates an agent noun, whereas *favus* would rather require a resultative noun 'building'. Alternatively, one may think of a formation \*b<sup>h</sup>ueh<sub>2</sub>-uo- > \*f<sub>2</sub>ua<sub>2</sub>uo- 'being' > \*f<sub>2</sub>uo- 'building', but this reconstruction is unsupported by other evidence.

Bibl.: WH I: 469, EM 222, Schrijver 1991: 444.

**faux, -cis** 'pharynx, throat' [f. *i*] (Pl.+; usually pl. *faucēs*, -*ium*; only sg. case form abl. *faucē*)

Etymology unknown.

Bibl.: WH I: 469f., EM 222.

**fax, -cis** 'torch; a light' [f. *k*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *facula* 'torch' (Pl.+); *facēs* (Paul. *ex F.*; meaning not indicated); *facētus* 'clever, adept' (Pl.+), *inficētus* 'boorish, humourless' (Pl.+), *facētia* 'cleverness, joke' (also pl. -*ae*) (Pl.+).

Plt. \*fwak<sup>(w)</sup>-(ē-).

IE cognates: Lith. *žvākė* 'candle'; Gr. φῶψ 'light'?

The derivation of *facētus* from \*fakē- (whence also *facēs*) was doubted by EM, but would morphologically be sound. Semantically, one may envisage a shift from 'enlightened' to 'clever'. Whether *facēs* is a remnant of the stem \*fak-ē- is uncertain, since its meaning is unknown. It would fit, though. Schrijver 374f. is unsure whether \*fak- or \*fakē- must be regarded as the oldest nominal stem in Latin – it is hard to decide. The appurtenance of Gr. φῶψ is uncertain, since it is a hapax from Hesych. Schrijver (p. 461) conjectures that it represents a remake of Gr. φῶς 'light' on the model of ὄψ 'eye', in which case it would not belong here. Since the Lith. form, might reflect PIE \*ǵ<sup>h</sup>uok-, this removes the need to reconstruct a root-final labiovelar, though it is still a possibility. In Latin, \*fuo- would have been unrounded to \*fua- in open syllable, i.e. in the oblique case forms. The root structure \*D<sup>h</sup>-T does not occur in certain PIE words, nor can \*k<sup>(w)</sup> be regarded as a root extension: this would imply a

suffix ablaut *\*-ok<sup>(w)</sup>-* after a root *\*g<sup>h</sup>u-* (homonymous with ‘to pour’?), which would be unique. Hence, if this connection of two isolated words in Latin and Baltic is correct at all, it probably reflects a later loanword.

Bibl.: WH I: 438, 471, EM 209, 222, IEW 495, Leumann 1977: 165, Schrijver 1991: 371, 374f., 461, 465.

**febris** ‘fever’ [f. *i*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *febriculōsus* ‘fever-ridden’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*fex<sup>w</sup>ri-*.

PIE *\*d<sup>h</sup>eg<sup>wh</sup>-ro-* ‘burning’ > *\*d<sup>h</sup>eg<sup>wh</sup>-ri-* ‘burn, fever’. IE cognates: Gr. τέφρα ‘ashes’.

Bibl.: WH I: 471, EM 222, IEW 240f., Leumann 1977: 166, Schrijver 1991: 186, Sihler 1995: 165, Vine 2002: 336, LIV *\*d<sup>h</sup>eg<sup>uh</sup>-*. → *foveō*

**februum** ‘means of purification, expiatory offerings’ [n. *o*] (Varro+; *februm* Varro, pl. *februa*, *-ōrum* Ov., Paul. *ex F.*)

Derivatives: *februāre* ‘to purify’ (Varro, Paul. *ex F.*), *februālis* ‘concerned with purification’ (said of *Iuno*, Paul. *ex F.*), *Februārius* [adj.] ‘(the month) February; of February’ (Varro, Cic.+), *februātiō* ‘ceremony of purification’ (Varro), *februātus* ‘concerned with purification’ (Varro, Paul. *ex F.*).

PIt. *\*f(w)esro-* or *\*fex<sup>w</sup>ro-*.

PIE *\*d<sup>h</sup>ues-ro-* ‘the smoking’ or *\*d<sup>h</sup>eg<sup>wh</sup>-ro-* ‘the burning’.

*Februum*, *-ua* represent a substantivized adj. *\*februus* ‘purificatory’, which in its turn presupposes earlier *\*febro-* ‘purification’. This would match WH’s reconstruction *\*d<sup>h</sup>ues-ro-* ‘smoking’ more or less: we would only need to assume a semantic shift from ‘the smoking’ to ‘the purifying’. The development *\*d<sup>h</sup>ue-* > *fe-* is generally accepted, although there are no completely certain instances. If correct, this preform would be another instance of an *e*-grade in a substantival *ro*-formation, cf. Vine 2002. However, I see no way to exclude root identity of *februus* with *febris* < PIE *\*d<sup>h</sup>eg<sup>wh</sup>-ri-*. That is, *\*febro-* might have meant ‘a burning, offering’, whence *\*febro-* ‘belonging to an offering, means of purification’.

Bibl.: WH I: 472f., EM 222f., IEW 268-271, Pisani 1979.

**fēcundus** ‘fertile’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

PIt. *\*fēk-odno-?*

PIE *\*d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-k-*? IE cognates: see *fēmina*.

Latin has seven gerunds in *-cundus*: *secundus* (< *\*sek<sup>w</sup>-omeno-?*), *fācundus*, *fēcundus*, *īrācundus*, *iūcundus*, *verēcundus* and *rubicundus*. Apart from *secundus* (which can hardly have led to the recognition of *-cundus*), the only form which may have had an original *\*k* is *fēcundus*. It is usually derived from *\*d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-(i)-* ‘to suck’, but this verb is homophonous to *\*d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-* ‘to put’, and may well in origin be the same verb. Since ‘to put’ takes a *k*-suffix in some Italic, Greek and Phrygian forms (see s.v. Lat. *faciō*), some of the forms meaning ‘to suckle’ may also have had this suffix. In view also of *fēlix* ‘fortunate’, *fēnum* and *fēnus*, it seems that *fē(k)-* had developed from ‘suckling’ to ‘bringing forth, giving birth to’ (maybe already in PIE), hence *fēc-undus*

‘characterized by producing, suckling’ > ‘fertile’.

Bibl.: WH I: 473, EM 223, IEW 242, Leumann 1977: 332, Schrijver 1991: 139, Meiser 1998: 228, LIV \*d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>(i)-. → *fēlīx*, *fēlō*, *fēmina*, *fēnus*, *fētus*, *filius*

**fel, fellis** ‘bile, gall’ [n.] (Pl.+)

IE cognates: Gr. χόλος ‘gall, wrath’, χολή ‘bile’; Lith. *tulžis*, Latv. *žul(k)ts* ‘bile’ < ḡ<sup>h</sup>lh<sub>3</sub>-; OCS *zlbčb* ‘bile’ [f.], Ru. *žělč’*, SCr. *žuč*, gen.sg. *žūči*; OIc. *gall*, OHG OS *galla* ‘gall, bile’ < PGm. \**gallōn-*.

Etymology uncertain. The nom.sg. must be from \**fell*, since it did not change to \**fol* (Cowgill 1978: 38). \**Fell* was analogically introduced for the original nom.sg. (\**felō*?), and then *-ll* was simplified to *-l*. The gen.sg. *fellis* might reflect \**fel-n-os*. But intervocalic *-ll-* can also stem from \**-lw-*. Alternatively, *fel* and its paradigm may have been analogically influenced by *mel*, *mellis* ‘honey’, cf. Cowgill. Yet the root is uncertain: the closest cognates which also mean ‘bile’ have \*ḡ<sup>h</sup>-, but if *fel* is from from \*ḡ<sup>h</sup>elH- ‘yellow’, initial *f-* instead of \**h-* must be a dialectal feature. If the root was \*b<sup>h</sup>l(H)-, it is possible to derive *fel* from a Latin root for colour terms (*flāvus*) For the meaning of the Greek forms, see Driessen 2003a: 287ff.

Bibl.: WH I: 473f., EM 223, IEW 429ff., Sihler 1995: 298. → *flāvus*, *flōrus*, *helvus*

**fēlēs, -is** ‘small carnivora, such as ‘marten’, ‘wild cat’’ [f.] (Pl.+)

IE cognates: W. *bele* ‘marten’ < \**b<sup>h</sup>elego-*?

The connection with W. *bele* is possible, but *fēlēs* can go back to other preforms than \**b<sup>h</sup>el-*. The inflection may represent an earlier *ē*-stem, but also an *n*-stem with nom.sg. \**-ēn*, or maybe a root noun. The *ē*-stem inflection may have been productive in wild animal names, cf. *mēlēs*, *volpēs*, *verrēs*. No etymology.

Bibl.: WH I: 474, EM 223f., IEW 118ff., Schrijver 1991: 123, 375.

**fēlīx, -īcis** ‘fruitful; fortunate’ [adj. k] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *fēlīcītās* ‘luck’ (Ter.+), *īnfēlīx* ‘unlucky, unhappy’ (Pl.+), *īnfēlīcītās* ‘misfortune’ (Ter.+), *īnfēlīcāre* ‘to bring bad luck’ (Pl.+).

PIt. \**fēl(w)ī-* ‘suckling’.

PIE \*d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-l(u)-i-. IE cognates: OIc. *deil* ‘female pig’, *dela*, *delech* ‘having udders, milch cow’ < \*d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-l-o/h<sub>2</sub>; Skt. *dhāru-* [adj.] ‘sucking’ (AV) < \*d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-l/ru-, Gr. *θηλυς* ‘female’ < \*d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-lu-, *θηλή* ‘breast, nipple’, Arm. *dayl* ‘beestings’ < \*d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-l-i-, Latv. *dēls* ‘son’, *dēle* ‘leech’, Lith. *dėlė* ‘id.’ < \*d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-lo-/lh<sub>2</sub>.

Lat. *fēlīx* developed from ‘suckling’ or ‘with young’ to ‘fruitful’ and ‘fortunate’. We find different extensions (\*-u-, \*-i-, \*-o-, \*-h<sub>2</sub>-) of a nominal stem \*d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-l-, which in itself must have meant ‘sucking animal’ (cf. also *filius*). This means that *fēlīx* may be a very old f. of an *l*-stem adj., or a relatively early (hyper)feminization to \*d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-li- or \*d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-lu- to \*d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-l(u)-iH. The fem. suffix \*-ī- was extended by means of \*-k- in Latin, cf. Schrijver 1991: 148-154. The development \*-lwī- > \*-lī- is assumed to be regular, and was confirmed by Nussbaum 1999a: 387, 410.

Bibl.: WH I: 474f., EM 224, IEW 242, Schrijver 1991: 139, 149, 344, LIV \*d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>(-i)- ‘to suck, suckle’. → *fēcundus*, *fēlāre*, *fēmina*, *fēnus*, *fētus*, *filius*

**fēlō, -āre** ‘to suck (milk)’ [v. I] (Varro+)

Derivatives: *fellāre* ‘to suck (sexually)’ (Cat.+).

Plt. \**fēlo-*. It. cognates: U. *feliuf, filiu* [acc.pl.m.] ‘suckling’ < \**fēlio-*.

PIE \**d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-l-o-* ‘suckling’. IE cognates: see *fēlīx*.

The derivation of *fēlāre* and U. *fēlio-* from a noun \**fēla-* ‘breast’ is not very plausible (pace Untermann 2000). Since there is ample evidence for an adj. \**d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-l-(o/h<sub>2</sub>-)*, it seems more likely that the Latin verb ‘to suckle’ derives from an adj. ‘who suckles’, whereas the Umbrian adj. can simply have replaced the suffix \*-*lo-* by \*-*lio-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 475f., EM 224, IEW 242, Schrijver 1991: 139, 344, Untermann 2000: 271f., LIV \**d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>(i)-*. → *fēcundus, fēlīx, fēmina, fēnus, fētus, filius*

**fēmina** ‘woman, female’ [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *fēminīnus* ‘female, of a woman’ (Titinius+).

Plt. \**fēmanā-*.

PIE \**d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-mh<sub>1</sub>n-h<sub>2</sub>-* ‘(the one) nursing, breastfeeding’. IE cognates: PIE \**d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-* in Skt. pf. *dadhūr* ‘they have sucked’, caus. *dhāpāya-*, inf. *dhātave* ‘to drink’, (*payo*)-*dhā-* [adj.] ‘sucking (milk)’, Gr. pr.inf. *θῆσθαι*, aor. *θῆσατο* ‘he sucked’, Latv. *dēt, dēju* ‘to suck’, OCS *děva* ‘virgin, maiden’, CS *děť*, Ru. *děti* ‘children’. PIE \**d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-i-* in Skt. pr. *dháyati* ‘sucks, drinks mother’s milk’, *dhénā-* [f.] ‘stream of milk’, Oss. *dæjyn / dæjun* ‘to suck’, Arm. *diem* ‘id.’, OCS *doiti*, Is. *dojq* ‘to breast-feed, nurse’ (< \**d<sup>h</sup>oih<sub>1</sub>-* or *d<sup>h</sup>oh<sub>1</sub>i-*), Go. *daddjan*, OHG *tāju* ‘to suck’; OIr. *denaid\**, *den\**, pret. *did*, W. *dynu* ‘id.’ < PCl. \**di-na-*.

An original pr.ptc.med. of the root \**d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-* ‘to nurse’, without the *i*-extension which many IE languages show.

Bibl.: WH I: 476f., EM 224, IEW 241f., Schrijver 1991: 344, LIV \**d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>(i)-*. → *fēcundus, fēlīx, fēlāre, fēnus, fētus, filius*

**femur, -inis** ‘thigh’ [n. *n*] (Pl.+; secondary forms: nom.sg. *femen* Ampellius, *femus* Apul., gen.sg. *femoris* Cic.+)

PIE \**d<sup>h</sup>en-ur?*

An isolated *r/n*-stem, which must be an archaic formation. EWAia I: 773f. follows a suggestion by Steinbauer 1979, who derives *femur* from PIE \**d<sup>h</sup>en-ur, -uen-s* ‘bow’. Semantically, this is not problematical; cf. also Janda 1998. Yet phonetically, there is no indication that \**n<sub>1</sub>* yielded (*n*)*m* in Latin.

Bibl.: WH I: 477, EM 224, Janda 1998.

**-fendō, -ere** ‘to hit, strike’ [v. III; -*fendī, -fēnsūm*]: *dēfendere* ‘to ward off, defend’ (Lex XII+), *offendere* ‘to strike against; encounter’ (Pl.+), *īnfēnsus* [adj.] ‘aggressive, hostile’ (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *dēfensāre* ‘to protect’ (Pl.), *dēfensor* ‘protector, defender’ (Ter.+), *offensiō* ‘obstacle, offence’ (Varro+), *offensāre* ‘to collide with’ (Varro+), *offensus, -ūs* ‘collision’ (Lucr.+).

Plt. \**χ<sup>v</sup>(e)nd-*.

PIE \**g<sup>wh</sup>en-d<sup>h</sup>* ‘to hit, strike’ or ipv.sg. \**g<sup>wh</sup>nd<sup>h</sup>i*. IE cognates: OIr. *gonaid, goin*



‘wounds, kills’, W. *gwan* ‘to thrust, hit’, MCo. *gwana* ‘to sting’, OBret. *goanaff* ‘to punish, sting’ < \*g<sup>w</sup>an-elo-; Hit. *kue(n)-zi/ kun- / kuṃa(n)-* ‘to kill’, Lyc. 3p *qānti* ‘they destroy’; Skt. pr. 3s. *hānti*, 3p. *ghnānti* ‘to kill’; Gr. *θείνω*, Arm. *jnem* ‘to strike’; Lith. *ginti* ‘to protect, defend’, 3s. *gina* (the acute is unclear), OCS *gъnati* ‘chase, persecute’ < BSl. \*g<sup>wh</sup>n-.

This inherited verb is only preserved in compounds. The adj. *īnfēnsus* presupposes an earlier verb \*in-fendere ‘to strike into, attack’. PIE had a root present \*g<sup>wh</sup>en-/ \*g<sup>w</sup>n-, from which *-fendō* was derived either by suffixation of PIE \*-d<sup>(h)</sup>-, or the whole paradigm was derived from an original pr.ipv. sg. \*fende < \*g<sup>wh</sup>nd<sup>h</sup>i ‘strike!’ (thus LIV).

Bibl.: WH I: 332f., EM 224f., IEW 491ff., Leumann 1977: 166, 603, Sihler 1995: 510, LIV \*g<sup>uh</sup>en-.

**fēnum / faenum** ‘hay’ [n. o] (Cato+)

Derivatives: *faenārius* ‘of hay’ (Cato+); *faenisex*, *-cis* ‘a mower’ (CIL, Varro+), *faenisicia* ‘the mowing’ (Cato+); *faeniculum* ‘fennel’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \*fēno- [n.].

PIE \*d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-no- ‘yield’.

Because of an inscriptional form *faenisicei* in 117 BC, TLL and Leumann 1977 assume that *fae-* is the oldest spelling. If so, the etymology is unknown. Others, e.g. WH, assume that *fae-* is a hypercorrect spelling for original *fē-*. In that case, we can reconstruct \*fē-no- < \*d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-no- ‘the yield’ to the root \*d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-. This would confirm that the root ‘to suckle’ also meant ‘to bring forth’; hence the noun means ‘produce, yield’ > ‘hay’.

Bibl.: WH I: 479, EM 225, IEW 242, Leumann 1977: 68, LIV \*d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>(i)-. → *-dō*, *-dere*, *fēcundus*, *fēlix*, *fēmina*, *filius*

**fēnus / faenus, -oris** ‘interest (on capital)’ [n. r] (Pl.+; the spelling *fae-* seems to be prevalent, TLL; it occurs beside *fē-* and *foe-*; abl.sg. *-ori*)

Derivatives: *fae/ēnerāre* ‘to lend money at interest’ (Cato+), *fae/ēneratō* [adv.] ‘with interest’ (Pl.), *fae/ēnerātor* ‘money-lender’ (Cato+); *fae/ēnusculum* ‘a small sum of interest’ (Pl.).

Plt. \*fēnos- [n.].

PIE \*d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-ne/os- [n.] ‘yield’.

If *fē-* is the original form, we can assume the same semantic origin as a noun ‘yield’ as in *fēnum* ‘hay’.

Bibl.: WH I: 479, EM 225, IEW 242, Manessy-Guitton 1964, LIV \*d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>(i)-. → *fēnum*

**fērālis** ‘of the dead, funerary’ [adj. i] (Verg.+)

Derivatives: *Fērālia*, *-ium* [n.pl.] ‘festival of the dead (21 Feb.)’ (Varro+).

Plt. \*fēs-āli- ‘religious’.

PIE \*d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-s-o- ‘divine, holy’. IE cognates: see s.v. *fēriae*.

WH and Leumann 1977 assume that *fērālis* is without a derivational basis in Latin, but a connection with *fēriae* 'religious festival' seems quite plausible to me. WH assume that such a connection would imply a semantic shift from 'festival of the dead' to 'any festival', but this is not necessary. Lat. *fēriae* and *fēstus* point to bases *\*fēs-o-*, *\*fēs-to-* 'divine, holy'; from the former was derived *\*fēs-āli-* 'religious' which has been narrowed down to 'funerary' in *fērālis*. WH connect *fērālis* with words for 'to breathe, rage', and reconstruct *\*d<sup>h</sup>uēs-o/ā-* 'soul, ghost', but the lengthened grade remains unaccounted for.

Bibl.: WH I: 479f., EM 226, IEW 268-271. → *fēriae*

**ferē, fermē** 'approximately, nearly' [adv.] Naev.+)

Plt. *\*fero-* 'close by', sup. *\*feramo-* 'very close by, near'.

PIE *\*d<sup>h</sup>er-o-* 'holding', 'tight'. IE cognates: see *firmus*.

*Fermē* seems to be a more archaic form, which disappears after the Republic. There is no difference in meaning with *ferē*, hence it is preferable to regard *fermē* as an abl.sg. in *-ē* to the superlative *\*ferimo-* of *ferē*, rather than as a variant of *firmus* 'firm, strong'. If the original meaning was 'holding' or 'fixed', the semantic change implies a hyperbole ('certainly' > 'nearly'). The use of the sup. suffix *\*-amo-* would point to *\*fero-* having an (adverbial or adjectival) meaning of place or time, such as 'close by'.

Bibl.: WH I: 480, EM 226, IEW 253, LIV *\*d<sup>h</sup>er-*. → *firmus*

**fer(c)tum** 'a kind of sacrificial cake' [n. o] (Cato+)

Plt. *\*ferkto-*.

PIE *\*b<sup>h</sup>erǵ-to-* 'roasted'? IE cognates: Skt. pr. *bhṛjjāti* 'to fry' (Middle Indic for *\*bhṛjyāti?*), *bhṛjjana-* [n.], *bhrāṣtra-* 'frying-pan' [n.] (metathesized from *\*bhrāṣtra-*?); Khot. *brījs-*, MP *briṣtan* 'to fry, roast'; Lith. *biṛgelas* 'kind of beer'.

The cluster *rc̥t* can be regarded as a case of archaic spelling; but in view of *-fertus*, it may also carry restored *-c-*. Skt. and Baltic may reflect *\*b<sup>h</sup>r-ǵ-* (but only if the velar was depalatalized in Baltic, for which there seems to be no context available; and the Lith. circumflex does not fit), and Latin *\*b<sup>h</sup>er-ǵ-* 'to roast' (Vine 1986). This root might be an enlarged variant of PIE *\*b<sup>h</sup>r-* 'to boil, seethe', reflected in *\*ferveō*. Yet the semantic origin of *fer(c)tum* is unknown, and it may also be connected with *farciō* 'to stuff'. In that case, it would represent a full grade *\*b<sup>h</sup>erǵ-to-* 'stuffed' > 'cake'.

Bibl.: WH I: 486f., EM 230, IEW 137, Leumann 1977: 217, Schrijver 1991: 255, LIV *\*b<sup>h</sup>erǵ-*. → *farciō*, *ferveō*?

**fēriae** 'religious festival' [f.pl. *ā*] (Pl.+; Paul. ex *F. fesiae*)

Derivatives: *fēriātus* 'keeping holiday' (Pl.+); *fēstus* [adj.] 'holiday' (Pl.+), *fēstīvus* 'festal, excellent' (Pl.+), *fēstīvitās* 'festivity, charm' (Pl.+), *prōfēstus* 'ordinary, working' (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*fēs-io-* 'holiday', *\*fēs-to-* 'holiday'. It. cognates: O. **fiisialis, fiisialis, fisialis** [dat.abl.pl.] '(religious) holidays' < *\*fēsja-*.

PIE *\*d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-s-io-*, *\*d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-s-to-* 'divine, holy'. IE cognates: Skt. *dhiṣā* 'approximately: with impetuosity'; Gr. *θεός* 'god', *θεοπατός* 'decreed by a god' < *\*d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-s-o-*, Arm. pl.

*dik* 'gods' < \*d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-s-.

These words seem to be derived from a PIE *s*-stem \*d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-s- 'religious gift' or 'rite' (cf. Av. *dāh-* /*daʔah-* 'gift' < Ilr. \*d<sup>h</sup>aH-as-), cf. Benveniste 1969 II: 13. Apparently, the different derivatives with suffixes such as \*-o-, \*-io-, \*-to- came to mean 'divine' at an early stage.

Bibl.: WH I: 454f., 481, 489, EM 226f., IEW 259, Schrijver 1991: 139, Meiser 1998: 107, Untermann 2000: 281, LIV \*d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-. → *fānum*, *fērālis*

**feriō, -īre** 'to strike' [v. IV; no pf. or ppp.; Paul. *ex F.* 3p. *ferīnunt*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *referīre* 'to strike in return' (Pl.+).

Plt. \**fer-je/o-*.

PIE \*b<sup>h</sup>erH- 'to pierce, strike'. IE cognates: Alb. *bie*, *bjerrē* 'to fall, get through' < \**ber(īe)-*.

The etymology is based on the assumption that *feriō* belongs to the root for 'to pierce', attested with *o*-grade in *forāre* and several BSl. and Gm. cognates. Since this was a laryngeal-final root, *feriō* cannot go back directly to a PIE *e*-grade, since \*b<sup>h</sup>erH-*je-* would yield Latin \**feraie-* > \**ferā-* (as per Rix 1999, Meiser 1998: 186f.). Also, since most languages continue the *o*-grade of \*b<sup>h</sup>erH-, the *e*-grade of Latin and Albanian is diverging. Possibly, the root-final laryngeal was lost in prevocalic position, and the resulting form \*b<sup>h</sup>er- was recharacterized with \*-ie/o-.

Bibl.: WH I: 481f., EM 227, IEW 133ff., Schrijver 1991: 216, LIV \*b<sup>h</sup>erH-. → *forō*

**fermentum** 'ferment; yeast' [n. *o*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *fermentāre* 'to cause fermentation' (Varro+).

Plt. \**fer(a)mentom*.

PIE \*b<sup>h</sup>er(H)-mn [n.] 'ferment'. IE cognates: OE *beorma*, MLG *barme*, NHG *Burme* 'barm'.

Form and meaning of the original root are not clear. Semantically, it is most attractive to regard *fermentum* as a derivative of the primitive root \*b<sup>h</sup>r(H)- underlying the extended root \*b<sup>h</sup>rH-u- or or \*b<sup>h</sup>r-u- 'to boil' reflected in *ferveō* and *dēfrutum*.

Bibl.: WH I: 482f., EM 227, IEW 132f., Schrijver 1991: 255, LIV \*b<sup>h</sup>er<sub>u</sub>-. → *ferveō*, *dēfrutum*

**ferō, ferre** 'to carry, take' [v. irr.] (VOLat.+; CIL 560 (Praeneste) *asom fero*). Forms: pr. *ferō*, *fers*, *fert*, *ferimus*, *fertis*, *ferunt*, ipv. *fer!* *ferte!*, pf. (*te*)*tulī*, ppp. *lātus*; OLat. sb. *abstulās*, *attulās* (Nov.) *attolat* (Pac.).

Derivatives: *ferāx* 'bearing rich crops, productive' (Pl.+); *ferentārius* 'light-armed soldier' (Pl.+); cp. in *-fer*, *-era*, *-erum* 'bringing, carrying'; *afferre* 'to bring, deliver' (Pl.+), *auferre* 'to take away' (Naev.+), *circumferre* 'to carry round, spread' (Pl.+), *conferre* 'to bring, bestow, collect' (Naev.+), *dēferre* 'to bring down, transfer' (Pl.+), *differre* 'to carry away, spread, put off' (Pl.+), *efferre* 'to carry out, bring forth' (Pl.+), *inferre* 'to carry into, bring forward' (Pl.+), *īferius* 'used in offerings' (Cato+), *īferiae* [f.pl.] 'offerings made to a dead person's manes' (Lucr.+), *offerre* 'to put in someone's path, offer, provide' (Pl.+), *perferre* 'to carry, deliver' (Pl.+), *praeferre* 'to

carry in front of, exhibit' (Aedituus+), *prōferre* 'to bring forth, display, utter' (Naev.+), *referre* 'to bring back, withdraw, return; record' (Naev.+), *rēfert* 'it makes a difference, is important' (Pl.+), *sufferre* 'to offer; submit to' (Pl.+), *trānsferre* 'to transport, transfer' (Pl.+).

Plt. pr. \**ferē/o-*, pf. \*(*te*)*tol-*, ppp. \**tlāto-*. It. cognates: Vol. *ferom* [inf.], Marr. *feret* [3p.pr.], *ferenter* [3p.pr.ps.], U. *f<e>rar* [3s.sb.ps.?], *fertu*, *fertu* [3s.ipv.II], *fertuta* [3p.ipv.II], *ferest* [3s.fut.], O. *fjerríns* [3p.sb.] 'to carry, bring'; with prev. *am-*: U. *aferum*, *afero* [inf.], O. *amfret* [3p.pr.], U. *anferener* [gdve., gen.sg.m.] 'to surround'. U. *ařfertur*, *arsfertur*, *arfertur* [nom.sg.], *ařferture*, *arsferture* [dat.sg.], *arsferturo* [dat.sg.] 'leading priest' < \**ad-fertor-*. Maybe Pael. *fertlid* [abl.sg.?] '?'.  
 PIE pr. \**b<sup>h</sup>er-e/o-* 'to carry', ppp. \**tlh<sub>2</sub>-to-* 'lifted'. IE cognates: OIr. *beirid*, *beir* 'to carry', OW *beryt* [3s.], MBret. *beraff* 'to flow' < PCI. \**berē/o-*, Skt. *bhāra-*, Av. *bara-*, Gr. φέρω, Phryg. αβ-βερετ, Arm. *berem*, Alb. *bie* 'to bear, carry', Lith. *ber̃ti*, *ber̃ti* 'to scatter, OCS *b̃rati*, 1s. *ber̃q* 'to gather, select', Go. *bairan*, ToB act. *par̃am*, ToA med. *p̃art̃ar*; see *tollō* for the cognates of *tulī*, *lātus*.

Pres. *fers* < \**feres*, *fert* < \**feret*, *fertis* < \**feretis*, inf. *ferre* < \**feresi*, ipv. *fer* < \**fere*. The cp. *rēfert* contains a case form of *rēs* 'case, thing' in the first member, most likely the abl.sg. *rē*. The suffix *-tilis* instead of *-ilis* in *fertilis* is striking. Szemerényi 1989: 38 derives *fertilis* via dissimilation from \**fer-tr-ī-*, which he compares with Av. *bar̃θrī-* 'giving birth', i.e. \**b<sup>h</sup>er-tr-iH-*. But *fertilis* is attested so recently (Cic.+), that this seems a moot possibility. It seems more likely that *-tilis* was taken from other adj. in *-tilis* (built to ppp. in *-tus*), e.g. *fictilis*, *plectilis*, *textilis*. Also its antonym *sterilis* 'barren' (Pl.+), may have played a role.

Bibl.: WH I: 483ff., II: 426, EM 227ff., 567, IEW 128ff., 1060f., Leumann 1977: 347f., 530, Schrijver 1991: 181, Sihler 1995: 541f., Meiser 1998: 224, Untermann 2000: 48f., 275-278, Schumacher 2004: 218-223, LIV \**b<sup>h</sup>er-*, \**telh<sub>2</sub>-*. → *forceps*, *forda*, *fors*, *fūr*, *tollō*

**ferrum** 'iron, steel' [n. o] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *ferreus* 'made of iron' (Pl.+), *ferrāmentum* 'iron implement' (Pl.+), *ferrātus* 'bound or covered with iron' (Pl.+), *ferrārius* 'iron-' (Pl.+), *ferrāria* 'iron-mine' (Cato+), *ferrātilis* 'connected with iron-working' (Pl.); *ferrūgineus* 'having a dark purplish colour' (Pl.+), *ferrūginus* 'id.' (Lucr.), *ferrūgō* 'iron-rust' (Cat.+).

Loanword from an unidentified source. Possibly, from a Phoenician dialect: *ferrum* < \**fer-s-o-*, cf. Phoenician *barzel*, Syriac *parzlā* 'iron'.

Bibl.: WH I: 485f., EM 229f.

**ferula** 'giant fennel' [f. ā] (Varro+)

Under the assumption that the 'giant fennel' was named for its long stalks, *ferula* may be connected with *festūca*, showing a stem \**fes-* in both words. Without further etymology.

Bibl.: WH I: 487, EM 230. → *festūca*

**ferūmen, -inis** ‘cement, glue’ [n. *n*] (Petr.+; most texts and mss. have *ferrumen*)

Derivatives: *offerrūmenta* ‘seam, joint’ (Pl.).

If the spelling *ferrumen* was influenced by *ferrum* (another building material), the form *ferūmen* can be regarded as the oldest. The suffix *-ūmen* may contain the stem *\*b<sup>h</sup>er-u-* of *fervere*, if one assumes that ‘glue’ was obtained by heating and therefore melting a gluing substance, like resin. Still, referring to this as ‘which is to be made hot’ is not as specific as ‘glue’. The suffix *-ūmen* also occurs *bitūmen* ‘pitch’ and *alūmen* ‘alum’. In its use, *ferūmen* is not unlike *bitūmen*, hence it may have been influenced by it. But *ferūmen* can also be regarded as a semantic neighbour of *fermentum* ‘yeast’, in which case both nouns could continue *\*fermen*. Thus, the precise origin is unclear. The form *offerrūmenta* in Pl. is probably a nonce-formation.

Bibl.: WH I: 486, EM 230, 459. → *fermentum, ferveō*

**ferus** ‘wild, savage, brutal’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Carmen Arvale, Naev.+)

Derivatives: *fera* ‘wild animal’ (Pac.+), *ferinus* ‘of wild beasts’ (Lucr.+), *ferōx* ‘fierce, arrogant’ (Pl.+), *ferōcia* ‘fierceness, arrogance’ (Cato+); *efferus* ‘untamed, wild’ (Lucr.+), *perferus* ‘completely wild’ (Varro).

Plt. *\*χwero-*.

PIE *ǵ<sup>h</sup>ueh<sub>1</sub>(-)-r-* ‘wild animal’ (> *\*ǵ<sup>h</sup>ueh<sub>1</sub>ro-*). IE cognates: Gr. *θηρ*, *-ός*, OPr. [acc.pl.] *swīrins*, Lith. *žvėris*, Latv. *zvērs*, OCS *zvěrb*, SCr. *zviđer* [i], Bulg. *zvjār* ‘wild animal’ < BSl. *\*žueǰri-*; ToB *šerwē* ‘hunter’ (< *\*ǵ<sup>h</sup>uēr-uō-*).

The retention of *-rus* (as opposed to *vir* < *\*viros*) must be due to the influence of *f. fera*, n.pl. *fera*. The adj. *fer-ōx* may be (one of) the source(s) of the suffix *-ōx*, if from *\*[f.]ēro-h<sub>3</sub>kw-* ‘having a fierce aspect’. This can be disputed, but I see no better source for this suffix. The short *-e-* of *ferus* can be explained from pretonic shortening in front of a resonant, as per Schrijver’s formulation of Dybo’s Law in Latin (1991: 343).

Bibl.: WH I: 487f., EM 230, IEW 493, Leumann 1977: 377, Schrijver 1991: 337, Sihler 1995: 159, Meiser 1998: 111., → *serēnus, vir*

**ferveō** ‘to be intensely hot, boil’ [v. II; pf. *ferbuī* (Hor.+)] (Varro+). Also pr. *ferv-ere*, pf. *fervī* (Naev.+).

Derivatives: *fervēscere* ‘to become hot’ (Pl.+), *fervēfacere* ‘to make very hot’ (Pl.+), *fervidus* ‘hot’ (Acc.+), *fervor* ‘heat, ardor’ (Varro+); *confervēfacere* ‘to make thoroughly hot’ (Lucr.+), *dēfervēfacere* ‘to boil thoroughly’ (Cato+), *dēfervēscere* ‘to come to a full boil; cool off’ (Cato+), *efferv(e)ō* ‘to boil up/over’ (Lucr.+), *effervēscere* ‘to boil up or over’ (Cato+), *infervēfacere* ‘to bring to the boil’ (Cato+), *infervēre* ‘to come to the boil’ (Cato+), *infervēscere* ‘id.’ (Cato+), *perfervēfierī* ‘to become very hot’ (Varro+), *praefervidus* ‘exceedingly hot’ (Acc.+).

Plt. *\*ferwe/o-*.

PIE pr. *\*b<sup>h</sup>eru-e/o-* ‘to be hot, boil’. IE cognates: OIr. *berbaid*, W. *berw* ‘to boil’ < *\*b<sup>h</sup>er-u-*.

*Fervere* occurs mainly from Pl. to Verg., whereas *fervēre* occurs mainly from Verg. onward (with the exception of *infervēre*). This suggests that *fervere* was replaced by *fervēre* in the course of time. Still, it is likely that *fervēre* had been around longer,

since we find *infervēre* in Cato, and since *fervēscere* (whence *fervēfacere*) and *fervidus* are most likely to be derived from a verb in *-ēre*. This begs the question of the original semantic distribution. LIV hesitatingly assumes that *fervēre* goes back to *\*forvēre* from a caus. *\*b<sup>h</sup>or-*u*-eie-*; the *e*-grade would then have been adopted from *fervere*. Yet there is no discernable difference in meaning between *fervō* and *ferveō*, and Latin would have tolerated a difference in vocalism if there was one in meaning. It seems more likely that the original verb was *fervere*, after all, but that it was replaced (or, initially: joined) by *fervēre* before the time of Plautus. The rise of *fervēre* can be ascribed to the stative meaning ‘to be hot, be boiling’.

Bibl.: WH I: 487, EM 230, IEW 143ff., Schrijver 1991: 252-256, Meiser 1998: 214, LIV *\*b<sup>h</sup>er<sub>u</sub>-*. → *dēfrutum, fermentum*

**festīnō, -āre** ‘to make haste, hurry’ [v. I] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *festīnus* ‘quick, in a hurry’ (Sall.+), *praefestīnāre* ‘to make great haste’ (Pl.+), *praefestīnātim* ‘in great haste’ (Sis.); *confestim* ‘immediately’ (Naev.+).

PIt. *\*fristi-* ‘haste’.

PIE *\*b<sup>h</sup>risti-*. IE cognates: W. *brys* ‘haste; speedy’, MBret. *bresic, brezec* ‘swift, hurried’ < *\*b<sup>h</sup>ris(s)ti-*.

The form *confestim* points to an earlier noun *\*festi-*. Schrijver 1990 reconstructs a noun *\*festi-* ‘hurry’ from a root *\*b<sup>h</sup>ris-* which he also finds in Celtic, and compares it with Skt. *bhrī-*. Vine 1999c assumes that *festīnus* is older than *festīnāre*, in spite of its more recent attestation. In that case, there would have been an ins.sg. *\*fris-tī* ‘with haste’ from which *\*fristī-no-* was derived. Even if the actually attested *festīnus* is regarded as a more recent creation, Vine argues, *festīnāre* may have been built on an earlier adj. *\*festīno-*. Vine’s explanation is attractive semantically, since the earlier explanation of *festīnāre* as a denominative to an alleged noun *\*festi-on-* ‘haste’ does not explain the meaning ‘to haste’ (one would expect ‘to be a haste’, which is nonsense). Italo-Celtic *\*b<sup>h</sup>risti-* might be connected with *\*b<sup>h</sup>riH-* ‘to cut’ (Skt. *bhrīṇānti* ‘they wound’, YAv. *pairi.brīnaṅha* ‘you have cut’, RuCS *brijō, briti* ‘to shave’). The bare root would be *\*b<sup>h</sup>ri-*, with a suffix *\*-s-*. But the semantic connection with ‘haste’ is hardly compelling, so this etymology remains gratuitous.

Bibl.: WH I: 259, 488, EM 231, IEW 143, Leumann 1977: 327, Schrijver 1990, 1995: 410, Vine 1999c. → *īnfestus*

**festūca** ‘stalk, straw; ram, pile-driver’ [f. *ā*] (Pl.+; in CLat. sometimes *fistūca*)

Derivatives: *festūcāre* ‘to ram down’ (Cato+), *festūcula* ‘chaff’ (Varro).

If *ferula* ‘giant fennel’ < *\*fes-ela* is cognate, this would point to PLat. *\*fes-*. The suffix *-ūca, -ūcus* is found in several plant names (*sambūcus, albūcus, lactūca*), which points to *\*festo-* as the earlier stem. No etymology.

Bibl.: WH I: 489, EM 231. → *ferula*

**fētīālis** ‘one of the college of twenty priests of Rome’ [m. *i*] (Varro+)

PIt. *\*fēti-āli-*.

PIE *\*d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-ti-* ‘the putting, making’. IE cognates: Skt. *-dhiti-* [f.] ‘id.’, Av. *ni-dāiti-*

‘concealment’, Gr. θέσις [f.] ‘setting, position’, Lith. *dėtis* ‘load’, OCS *blago-dětb* ‘benediction’, Go. *gadeds* ‘adoption’, *missadeþs* ‘crime’, OIc. *dáð*, OHG *tāt* ‘deed’.

A substantivized adjective meaning ‘of the (religious) congregation’. Thus, the inherited noun *\*fēti-* < *\*d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-ti-* ‘putting, placement’ came to mean ‘prescription, law’, and eventually ‘body of priests’.

Bibl.: WH I: 489f., EM 231, Leumann 1977: 344f., Untermann 2000: 260, LIV *\*d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-*. → *-dō*, *-dere*

**fētus** ‘having recently given birth; fertile’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Enn.+)

Derivatives: *fēta* ‘a female animal which has just given birth’ (Pl.+), *fētūra* ‘breeding, parturition’ (Var.+), *fētus*, *-ūs* [m.] ‘parturition, breeding, offspring’ (Pl.+); *effētus* ‘that has borne fruit; exhausted’ (Lucr.+).

Plt. *\*fēto-*.

PIE *\*d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-to-* ‘having given birth’.

Risch 1984: 189-191 has shown that the earliest meaning attested for *fētus* is ‘having given birth’, ‘breeding’ (of birds), from which ‘fertilized, fertile’ was derived by means of a metaphor mainly applied to the earth and to plants. Only rarely does it mean ‘pregnant’. For the semantics of the root, see *fēnum*. Probably, *fētus* is an inner-Italic formation, as is *fēcundus*.

Bibl.: WH I: 490, EM 231, IEW 242, LIV *\*d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>(i)-*. → *fēcundus*, *fēlix*, *fēlare*, *fēnus*, *fētus*, *filius*

**fiber**, *-brī* ‘beaver’ [m. *o*] (Pl.+; also *feber* Varro+)

Plt. *\*fifro-* / *\*fefro-* ‘beaver’.

PIE *\*b<sup>h</sup>e-b<sup>h</sup>r-ú-*, *\*b<sup>h</sup>e-b<sup>h</sup>r-o-* (or *\*b<sup>h</sup>i-b<sup>h</sup>r-o-*) ‘brown; brown animal, beaver’. IE cognates: Gaul. *bebru-* (in PN); Skt. *babhrū-* ‘red-brown; brown horse’, Av. *baβra-* ‘beaver’, OPr. *bebrus*, Lith. *bēbras*, *bēbras*, *bebrūs*, *bēbrus*, Latv. *bēbrs*, CS *bebrǔ*, *bobrǔ*, Ru. *bobr*, gen.sg. *bobrá*, SCr. *dābar*, Sln. *bóbār*, *bébār* ‘beaver’ < BSl. *\*bebrus*, *\*bobros*; OHG *bibar*, OS *bibar*, *bever*, OE *beofor*, *bebr*, *bebir* < WGM. *\*bebru-*, OIc. *bjórr*, ONorw. *bifr-*, OSwe. *biūr*, ODan. *biæver* < North-Germanic *\*bebru-*.

It is not clear whether the few attestations of *feber*, *febr-* in glosses and in Varro represent a linguistically real, older form. In any case, the IE cognates all continue *\*b<sup>h</sup>eb<sup>h</sup>r-*, so that *fiber* can be interpreted in two ways: either its initial vowel was raised to *-i-* in Latin at some stage; or it alone continues *\*b<sup>h</sup>ib<sup>h</sup>r-*. In view of the vacillation between the reduplication vowels *\*e* and *\*i* in PIE, the matter cannot be settled. In It. and BSl., *o-* and *u-* stems occur side by side, whereas Gm. has only a *u-* stem and Latin an *o-* stem. If the word is cognate with PIE *\*b<sup>h</sup>ruH-no-* ‘brown’, the *u-* stem may be older (for this type, cf. Skt. *dadru-* ‘skin disease’, *-ta-tu-* ‘spanning’); but the *o-* stem was probably PIE too.

Bibl.: WH I: 490f., EM 231, IEW 136f., Kümmel 2004a.

**fibra** ‘radical or sheathing leaf; lobe, division, section’ [f. *ā*] (Cato+)

Derivatives: *fimbriae* [f.pl.] ‘fringe on a garment, fringe of curly hair’ (Varro).

*Fimbriae* can easily be interpreted as a specialized meaning of *fibra*. It has been assumed that *fibra* < \**fisra* would be cognate with *filum* ‘thread’ (WH, EM), but the latter is now reconstructed as \**g<sup>wh</sup>iH-lo-*. PIE \**g<sup>wh</sup>iH-s-ro-* would yield a form \**fisra*, in contrast with the short *i* of *fibra*. The nasal of *fimbriae* is unexplained; WH assume a different etymon \**d<sup>h</sup>uensriā-* ‘falling off’ or ‘spraying’, but this is semantically unwarranted. The irregular alternation may point to a loanword.

Bibl.: WH I: 491, EM 232, IEW 268ff.

**ficus** ‘fig-tree; fig’ [f. *o*] (Pl.+; *ficus*, -*ūs* Varro+)

Derivatives: *ficula* ‘fig’ (Pl.), *ficulneus*, *ficulnus* [adj.] ‘of figs’ (Cato+), *ficēdula* ‘small bird feeding on figs, beccafico’ (Lucil.+), *ficēdulensēs*, -*ium* [pl.] ‘beccafico-men’ (Pl.), *ficētum* ‘fig-orchard’ (Varro+).

IE cognates: Gr. σῦκον, Boeot. τῦκον, Arm. t’owz ‘fig’.

Loanword from another language in the Mediterranean. The word may have been adopted into pre-Latin in the form \**ḡūko-* or \**ḡiko-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 492, EM 232.

**fidō, -ere** ‘to trust’ [v. III; ppp. *fisum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *fidus* ‘faithful, reliable’ (Pl.+), *infidus* ‘faithless, treacherous’ (Pl.+); *fiducia* ‘guarantee, confidence’ (Pl.+); *fidēs* ‘trust, guarantee’ (Pl.+), *fidēlis* ‘faithful, loyal’ (Naev.+), *fidēlitās* ‘faithfulness’ (Pl.+), *infidēlis* ‘disloyal’ (Pl.+); (*Dius*) *Fidius* ‘a god sworn by in oaths, maybe Jupiter’ (Pl.+); *foedus*, -*eris* [n.] ‘treaty, agreement’ (Pl.+; *fidus* Enn. apud Varronem), *foederātus* ‘bound by treaty’ (SCBac. *foederatei* +), *cōnfoedustī*, -*ōrum* [m.pl.] ‘allies’ (Paul. ex F. ), *fidusta* ‘trustworthy’ (Paul. ex F. ); *perfidia* ‘faithlessness’ (Pl.+), *perfidiosus* ‘treacherous’ (Pl.+); *confidere* ‘to put one’s trust in, be sure’ (Pl.+), *confidentia* ‘self-confidence’ (Naev.+), *confidentiloquus* ‘speaking audaciously’ (Pl.), *diffidere* ‘to have no confidence in’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \**feibe-* [v.], \**feibo-* [adj.], \**fiḡ-ē-* [f.], \**foiḡo-* [m.], \**feiḡo-* [n.] ‘trust’. It. cognates: U. *kumpifiatu*, *kupifiatu*, *combifiatu* [3s.ipv.II], *kupifiāia* [3s.pr.sb.], *combifiānsi* [3s.pf.c.], *combifiānsiust*, *combifiānsust*, *combifiānsiust* [3s.fut.pf.] ‘to announce, communicate’, denom. verb to a noun \**kombifiom* < \**kom-b<sup>h</sup>eid<sup>h</sup>-io-*. U. *fise*, *fiso* [dat.sg.] probably a deity ‘Trust’ < \**b<sup>h</sup>idto-* and \**b<sup>h</sup>idtu-*; U. *fisim*, *fisi*, *fisei* [acc.sg.m.], *fisier*, *fisie* [gen.sg.m.], *fisie*, *fisi*, *fisei* [dat.sg.m.], *fisiu*, *fissiu*, *fisiu* [abl.sg.m.], *fisie* [loc.sg.m.], *fisiem* [loc.sg.m. + -en] < \**fis-ḡo-* ‘belonging to Fiso-’; U. *fisouie* [gen.sg.], *fī<s>uvi*, *fisoui* [dat.sg.], *fisouie*, *fisoui* [voc.sg.] ‘Fiso-’ < adj. \**fisou-io-*, deriv. to \**fisu-*; U. *fisouina* [abl.sg.f.] ‘belonging to Fiso-’, deriv. from *fisu-* or from *fisouio-*.

PIE \**b<sup>h</sup>eid<sup>h</sup>-e/o-* [pr.] ‘to trust’, \**b<sup>h</sup>eid<sup>h</sup>-o-* [adj.] ‘faithful’, \**b<sup>h</sup>id<sup>h</sup>-eh<sub>1</sub>-* [f.] ‘faith’. IE cognates: Gr. πείθομαι ‘to be convinced, obey’, aor. ἐπιθόμην, pf. πέποιθα ‘trust’; act. (sec.) πείθω, aor. ἔπεισα ‘to convince’; Alb. *bē* ‘oath’, *besē* ‘faith’, *bindem* ‘to be convinced, believe’; OCS *běda* ‘distress, necessity’ < \**b<sup>h</sup>oid<sup>h</sup>-eh<sub>2</sub>*, *běditi* ‘to force, persuade’, Is. *běždq* < \**b<sup>h</sup>oid<sup>h</sup>-eie-*. Maybe also Go. *beidan* ‘to wait’, *baidjan* ‘to force’, OE *bædan*, OHG *beitten* ‘to demand’.

The oldest forms are *fidere* < pr. \**b<sup>h</sup>eid<sup>h</sup>-e-*, the adj. *fidus* < \**b<sup>h</sup>eid<sup>h</sup>-o-* and the noun



*fidēs* < \*b<sup>h</sup>id<sup>h</sup>-eh<sub>1</sub>-. The adj. *fidē-lis* was derived from *fidēs*. This noun was interpreted by Meillet as a remake of PIE \*k<sup>r</sup>ed-d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>- ‘trust, belief’ because of the closeness in meaning; this would explain why we find *-ē-* as a suffix vowel. However, this stretches the imagination too far. Hamp 1999 compares Gr. *πειθῶ* ‘persuasion’ < \*ō(i) and proposes a stem \*b<sup>h</sup>id<sup>h</sup>-Hi-, with different paradigmatic ablaut: \*-Hō-, -Hoi-m, \*-Hei-s in Greek, \*-Hēi-s, \*-Hēi-m, \*i-ós in Latin. I do not see the need to reconstruct a laryngeal: nom.sg. \*b<sup>h</sup>id<sup>h</sup>-ēi, acc.sg. \*b<sup>h</sup>id<sup>h</sup>-ei-m would also work. The *s*-stem *foedus* has probably replaced \**fidos*, *-eris*, since Varro reports an Ennian form *fichus*, and Paul. *ex F.* mentions an adj. *fichustus*, of the type of adjectives usually built to *s*-stems. The *o*-grade seen in *foedus* may result from contamination with an *o*-stem noun \*b<sup>h</sup>oid<sup>h</sup>-o-, the *f.* of which is preserved in Alb. *bē* and Slav. *běda*.

Bibl.: WH I: 493ff., EM 233, 243, IEW 117, Schrijver 1991: 380, Hamp 1999, Untermann 2000: 285-288, 413, Stüber 2002: 64, LIV \*b<sup>h</sup>eid<sup>h</sup>-.

**figō, -ere** ‘to drive in, insert; to fasten’ [v. III; *fixī, fictum*] (SCBac., Pl.+; *figere* Paul. *ex F.*)

Derivatives: *offivēbant* ‘they shut with bars’ (gloss); *affigere* ‘to fix, attach’ (Pl.+), *cōnfigere* ‘to fasten together; pierce’ (Pl.+), *dēfigere* ‘to plant, stick’ (Pl.+), *infigere* ‘to drive, implant’ (Lucil.+), *offigere* ‘to drive in, fasten’ (Pl.+), *suffigere* ‘to fix beneath’ (Pl.+); *fixus* [secondary ppp.] ‘set, established’ (Lucr.+); *fibula* ‘bolt, peg, pin’ (Cato+). It. cognates: possibly O. *fiikus* [2s.fut.pf.] ‘to make(?)’ < \**fi-fig-us-s* (Kortlandt 2007: 153); reluctantly Untermann 2000 for U. *fiktu, afikta* [3s.ipv.II] ‘?’.

PIE \*d<sup>h</sup>eig<sup>w</sup>-e/o- or \*d<sup>h</sup>iHg<sup>w</sup>-e/o-. IE cognates: Lith. *dīgti* ‘to plant, sting’; maybe ToB 3s.sb. *tsākam* ‘bites’.

The forms *figere* and *offivēbant* retain the regular intervocalic reflex of \*g<sup>w</sup>. The *g* was later introduced analogically from the perfect. *Fibula* < \**fiwibula* < \**fiye-d<sup>h</sup>la*. LIV explains *fiwō* from the thematization of the weak stem \*d<sup>h</sup>iHg<sup>w</sup>- of a PIE root present (or rather a root aorist?). The reconstruction of the root-internal laryngeal is based on Toch. *tsāk-*, which may rather belong to a root in PIE \**d-*. The acute and the long vowel of Lith. *dīgti* ‘to sprout’ can be explained from Winter’s Law. For Baltic and Latin, a root \*d<sup>h</sup>eig<sup>w</sup>- would suffice.

Bibl.: WH I: 492, 495f., EM 232, 234, IEW 243f., Sihler 1995: 583, Untermann 2000: 279, LIV \*d<sup>h</sup>eiHg<sup>w</sup>-.

**filius** ‘son’ [m. o] (Lex XII, Andr.+)

Derivatives: *filia* ‘daughter’ (Andr.+), *filiolus* ‘little son’ (Pl.+).

PIt. \**filio/ā-* ‘son, daughter’. It. cognates: Ven. *filia* [nom.sg.] ‘daughter’, Fal. *fileo, hileo, fio, fi, f.* [nom.sg.m.] ‘son’, *filea* [nomsg.f.], *file(ai)* [dat.sg.f.] ‘daughter’; U. *fel* (abbreviated) probably a loan from Latin.

PIE \*d<sup>h</sup>(e)h<sub>1</sub>i-l- ‘suckling, child’. IE cognates: see s.v. *fēlix* and *fēmina*.

A PIE *l*-adj. meaning ‘suckling’ can be reconstructed both from the PIE root \*d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>- and from its extended variant \*d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>i-. The U. suffix \*-io- after \*d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-l- is matched in Latin by *fil-ius* after \*d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>i-. Although Latin *fil-* can reflect \**feil-*, Faliscan *fileai* shows PIt. \**fil-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 496, EM 234, IEW 241f., Schrijver 1991: 242, Giacomelli 1963: 245f., Lejeune 1974: 335, Meiser 1998: 85, Untermann 2000: 270f., Wallace 2005, LIV \*d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>(-i)-. → *fēcundus*, *fēlix*, *fēlō*, *fēmina*, *fēnus*, *fētus*

**filix**, **-cis** 'large fern, bracken' [f. *k*] (Vitr.+; var. *felix*)

Derivatives: *filicula* 'small kind of fern' (Cato+).

PIt. \**fel-e/ik-*.

PIE \*b<sup>h</sup>el-e/ik- 'henbane'. IE cognates: W. *bele*, *bela* 'henbane' < MW \**beleu* [pl.] < LPBr. \**bel-* 'henbane'; Gaul. deity \**Belenos*, Brit. \**Belinos* in PN; RuCS *belerъ*, MoRu. *belená*, Cz. *blén*, *blín* 'henbane' < \**belno-*, SCr. *bûn* < \**btno-*; OHG *bilisa*, *bilesa*, *bilsa*, MDutch *bilse* 'henbane' < \**belos-*; OE *beolone*, *belene*, OS *bilene* < \**behun-ôn-*, Dan. *bylne* < \**buln-*.

It is uncertain which spelling is the oldest, but since *filix* might be an assimilation from *felix*, the latter form might be original (or \**felex*). The suffix *-ik-* or *-ek-* is found in other plant names (*larix* 'larch', *cārex*, *rumex*), and can have been added secondarily. The stem \**fel-* has been identified with PIE \*b<sup>h</sup>el- 'henbane' in Celtic, Germanic and Slavic, which was rejected by WH on semantic grounds. Schrijver 1999: 37f. rightly restores this connection: the stems of henbane show a superficial resemblance to the feathered leaves of fern, and both plants have well-known medicinal properties. This often suffices to create formal similarities in languages.

Bibl.: WH I: 497, EM 234, IEW 120, Schrijver 1991: 37f.

**filum** 'thread, line; build (of a person)' [n. *o*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *filātim* 'thread by thread' (Lucret.).

PIt. \**fi(s)lo-*.

PIE \*g<sup>wh</sup>iH-(s-)lo- 'sinew, sinew thread'. IE cognates: MW *gieu*, W. *gïau* 'sinew, nerves', OCo. *goïuen*, MCo. (lenited) *ieyw* < \**gi̯-* < \**gi-* < PIE \*g<sup>wh</sup>iH-; Skt. *jyā-* 'tendon, bow-string', Av. *jiiā-* 'bow-string' < Ilr. \**jiHaH-*, Gr. βίός 'bow; bow-string' < \*g<sup>wh</sup>iH-o-; Arm. *jil* 'sinew, cord' < \*g<sup>wh</sup>iH-sl-; OPr. *pettegislo* 'back vein', Lith. *gýsla*, Latv. *dzi(k)sla* 'vein, sinew' < Proto-Baltic \**giHla*; OCS *žila*, Ru. *žila*, SCr. *žila*, Sln. *žila* 'vein' < PSI. \**žila*.

The unenlarged root is shown by Ilr. and Greek, but they also have initial \*g<sup>w</sup>- instead of \*g<sup>wh</sup>-. In BSl. and Celtic, the velar is uncertain, whereas Arm. needs \*g<sup>w</sup> like Latin. Some languages show the suffix \*-sleh<sub>2</sub>- (Baltic, Armenian), some do not (Slavic). In Latin, this is impossible to determine.

Bibl.: WH I: 497f., EM 235, IEW 489, Schrijver 1991: 242, 1995: 286f. → *fūnis*

**flumum** 'excrement, dung' [n. *o*] (Cato+; var. *flimus* [f.])

EM assume that the m. form is older, the n. being influenced by *stercus*, *-oris* 'dung'. If cognate with *fūmus* and *suffiō* 'to smoke', Lat. *flimus* 'stinking' was probably derived from the verb \**-fiō* at a stage when this had already acquired the form \**fi-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 499, EM 235, IEW 261-267. → *suffiō*

**findō, -ere** ‘to split, cleave’ [v. III; pf. *fidī*, ppp. *fissum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *fissum* ‘cleft, split’ (Pl.+), *fissilis* ‘split’ (Pl.+); *diffindere* ‘to divide, split off’ (Lex XII+), *infindere* ‘to cleave’ (Acc.+).

Pit. \**find-e-*. It. cognates: maybe Hern. *hvidas* /*fidas*/ [2s.pr.sb.] ‘to break’.

PIE pr. \**b<sup>h</sup>i-n-d-* ‘to cleave’. IE cognates: Celtib. *bidetuđ* [3s.ipv.] ‘to split?’, *robiseti* [3s.sb.] < PCI. \**bid-e/o-*, \**bid-se-*; Skt. pr. *bhināti*, aor. *abhedam*, pf. *bibhēda* ‘to split’, *bhinnā-* ‘split’, *bhid-* [f.] ‘splitting’, *pūr-bhid-* ‘breaking the walls’, YAv. *astō.bid-* ‘who breaks a bone’; Gr. *φείδομαι* ‘to spare’, Go. *beitan*, OE *bītan*, NHG *beißen* ‘to bite’.

WH and EM suspect that the pf. was \**ffidī*. *Fissilis* was derived productively from the ppp.

Bibl.: WH I: 500f., EM 235, IEW 116f., Untermann 2000: 278, Schumacher 2004: 224, LIV \**b<sup>h</sup>ejd-*.

**fingō, -ere** ‘to form, fashion’ [v. III; pf. *finxī*, ppp. *fictum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *figulus* ‘potter’ (Varro+), *fig(i)linae* [f.pl.] ‘potter’s workshop’ (Varro+), *figulāris* ‘of a potter’ (Pl.); *figūra* ‘form, appearance’ (Ter.+), *figūrāre* ‘to shape, fashion’ (Varro.+), *figūrātor* ‘who shapes’ (Varro); *fictor* ‘id.’ (Pl.+), *fictrix* ‘deceiver’ (Lucil.), *fictilis* ‘made of pottery’ (Cato+), *fictūra* ‘formation’ (Pl.); *affingere* ‘to add, attach’ (Varro+), *cōnfingere* ‘to form; invent, fabricate’ (Pl.+), *effigia* (Pl.+) / *effigiēs* (Cic.+) ‘statue, portrait’, *effingere* ‘to wipe clean (Cato+), to shape, reproduce (Cic.+); *fitilla* ‘kind of cake offered in sacrifices’ (Sen., Plin.).

Plt. \**fingelo-* [pr.], \**fe-fig-* [pf.] ‘to knead, form’, \**fixlo-* ‘who forms’, \**fixtlo-* ‘cake, offering’, \**feixo-* [m.] ‘wall’. It. cognates: Fal. *fiiked* [3s.pf.], *f(i)iqod* [3p.pf.], *fitā* [nom.sg.f. of ppp.] ‘to produce’; Presam. (Tortora A2) *fefikēd* ‘has made’ [3s.pf.], O. *feihúss* [acc.pl.], *feihúis* [abl.pl.] ‘wall’ [m. o]. Uncertain U. *fikla*, *ficlam*, *ficla* [acc.sg.], *fiklas* [gen.sg.] ‘certain sacrificial substance’ < \**fig-klā-* < \**d<sup>h</sup>ig<sup>h</sup>-tl-h<sub>2</sub>-?* O. *fiikus* [2s.fut.pf.] < \**fi-fig-us-s?* Maybe also U. *fiktu*, *afikta* [3s.ipv.II] ‘?’.

PIE pr. \**d<sup>h</sup>i-n-ǵ<sup>h</sup>-*, aor. \**d<sup>h</sup>(e)ig<sup>h</sup>-*; noun \**d<sup>h</sup>eig<sup>h</sup>-(o-?)* ‘wall’. IE cognates: Verb: Celtib. inf. *ambi-tinkounei* ‘to build’, OIr. *dingid\**, *-ding* ‘to oppress’ < PCI. \**dinge/o-* ‘to press, form’; Skt. *deh-* ‘to smear, to anoint, to plaster’ [pr.], YAv. *pairi.daēzaiia-* ‘to embank’, *uz-dišta-* ‘piled up’; Arm. *dizanem*, aor. *edēz* ‘to pile up’; Lith. *žiestī*, *žiedžiū* ‘to mould (from clay)’, OCS *zъdati*, *zīzdq* ‘to build’, Go. *digan* ‘to form mould’, Toch. *tsik-* ‘to form’; Noun: Skt. *dehī-* ‘embankment, dam, wall’, Av. *uz-daēza-* ‘id.’, *pairi.daēza-* ‘wall’, OP *didā-*, MP *diz*, MoP *diz* ‘fortress’, Bac. *λιζα* / *λιζο* ‘id.’; Gr. *τεῖχος* [n.], *τοιχος* [m.] ‘wall’, OPr. *seydis* ‘id.’, Cz. *zed’*, gen.sg. *zdi*, SCr. *zīd* ‘stone wall’; Go. *daigs* ‘dough’, ToA *tseke* ‘sculpture’.

The presence of *g* in *figulus* suggests an origin as \**d<sup>h</sup>ig<sup>h</sup>-lo-*; in *fig-ūra* and *effig-ia*, also with *g* instead of *h*, the element *fig-* must be analogical from *fingō*. Lat. *fitilla* < \**d<sup>h</sup>ig<sup>h</sup>-tla-*, cf. Vine 1986, who explains *fitilla* for \**fictilla* as a dialectal form. The O. noun \**feiho-* ‘wall’ matches nouns in \**d<sup>h</sup>eig<sup>h</sup>-(o-)* ‘wall’ found in other IE languages. For PIE, LIV acknowledges an *s*-aorist, a root present and a nasal present. But the *s*-aorist is based on *finxī* only, which clearly is secondary to *fingō*. Hence, the original

forms may be a root aorist and a nasal present.

Bibl.: WH I: 501f., EM 235f., IEW 244f., Giacomelli 1963: 246, Leumann 1977: 165, 316, Untermann 2000: 269f., 279, 283f., Lazzarini-Pocchetti 2001, LIV \*d<sup>h</sup>eiǵ<sup>h</sup>-.

**finis** ‘boundary, limit; territory [pl.]’ [m. *i* (acc.pl. *fineis* in inscr., abl.sg. *finī* Pl.+)] (Pl.+; f. in Acc.+)

Derivatives: *finitimus* ‘living near the boundary’ (Lucret.+); *finīre* ‘to draw boundaries, limit’ (Varro+), *finītor* ‘one who marks out boundaries’ (Pl.+); *affinis* ‘bordering on, connected’ (Pl.+), *affinis* [m.] ‘a relation by marriage; neighbour’ (Pl.+), *affinitās* ‘relationship (by marriage)’ (Pl.+), *confinis* ‘adjacent, akin’ (Varro+), *confinium* ‘common boundary’ (Pl.+), *dēfinīre* ‘to fix, settle, define’ (Pl.+), *dēfinitiō* ‘definition, marking’ (Varro+), *īnfinītus* ‘indefinite, unlimited’ (Varro+), *praefinīre* ‘to prescribe, determine’ (Ter.+); *perfinēs* ‘perfringās’ (Fest.).

Plt. \**fini*-? \**fī/eiǵ*”*sni*-?

The etymology is disputed. WH reconstruct \**fig-s-ni*- ‘driven in, implanted’, as referring to a material boundary marker (a standing stone, a pole). Another possibility would be \**b<sup>h</sup>iH-ni*- to \**b<sup>h</sup>iH*- ‘to hit, strike’, especially in view of *perfinēs* ‘you must strike’. Bammesberger 1990 connects PGm. \**baina*- ‘bone, leg’ and OIc. *beinn* ‘straight’, which may suggest that \**baina*- originally referred to a pole or an upright boundary mark. Whereas PGm. \**baina*- could go back to \**b<sup>h</sup>oiH-n-o*-, Lat. *finis* could reflect \**b<sup>h</sup>iH-n-i*-.

Bibl.: WH I: 502f., EM 236f., IEW 243f., Leumann 1977: 343. → *figō*, *perfinēs*

**fiō**, **fieri** ‘to happen, become’ [v. IV] (Andr.+). Forms: pr. *fiō*, *fīs*, *fit*, *finus*, *fitis*, *fiunt*, ipv. *fī*, *fite*, *fitō*, ps. *fitur*, *fitum est*; inf. *fiere* (Enn.), *fieri* (elsewhere), sb. *fiam*, ipf. *fiēbam*.

Derivatives: *dēfierī* ‘to be lacking’ (Pl.+), *īnfit* ‘begins’ (Pl.+), *superfierī* ‘to be superfluous’. As pr. to *-faciō*: *cōnfieri* ‘to be done, happen’ (Ter.+), *interfierī* ‘to be destroyed’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \**fwije/o*-. It. cognates: O. **fiēt**, **fiēt** [3p.pr.], U. **fuia** [3s.pr.sb.], **fuiest** [3s.fut.], *fito* [ppp., acc.sg.n.] ‘to take place, happen’ < \**fū-je/o*-. The vowel *ī* can be directly from \**ū*, or from the *pius*-rule. The U. ppp. *fito*- may reflect \**fū-to*-.

PIE \**b<sup>h</sup>₂u-ie/o*- ‘to become’. IE cognates: Gaul. *biēte* ‘you are/must be’, OIr. *biuu* ‘I am’, *būd*, *biūth*, *·bí* ‘is’, MW *byðaf* ‘I am’, *byð*, *byt*, OW *-bid* ‘is’ (cj.), MBret. *bezaff*, *bezaf* ‘I am’, *bez*, MCo. *bethaf*, *bythaf* ‘I am’, *beth*, *byth*, OBret. *-bid* ‘is’ < \**bijē/o*- < PCl. \**bujē/o*-; ?Gr. Att. *φύομαι* ‘to grow, become’.

Originally an active verb with a stem \**fī-*, the inf. of which (*fiere*) acquired a ps. ending *-ī*. Used as an intransitive counterpart to *facere* ‘to make’. To explain the stem *fī-*, Schrijver mentions two possible scenarios: 1. Thurneysen’s rule \**-ūjV-* > \**-ūjV-*, or 2. a development (e.g.) 3s. \**b<sup>h</sup>Hu-ie-ti* > \**b<sup>h</sup>uīeti* > \**fuiēti* > \**fūit* > *fit*. See also Schrijver 2003: 77, for Celtic. Kortlandt 2007: 136 now opts for the second possibility, especially in view of the Celtic cognates. \**fī-* would develop phonetically in the 23s. and 12p. pr. forms, and then spread to the other forms of the paradigm. The inf. *fiere* shows its recent date by the absence of contraction, and it and *fiō*, *fiunt*

retain the long vowel in front of hiatus. Maybe U. **fuīa** retains \**fū-* in front of \*-*iā-*? Since *fīerī* does not normally have a pf., the form *fītum* in Andr. must be a nonce-formation, cf. Meiser 1986.

Bibl.: WH I: 504f., EM 231, 317, IEW 146ff., Leumann 1977: 106, 530, Meiser 1986: 53, Schrijver 1991: 322ff., Sihler 1995: 545f., Untermann 2000: 279f., Schumacher 2004: 241ff., LIV \*b<sup>h</sup>ueh<sub>2</sub>-. → *fuī*

**firmus** 'firm, stable, strong, reliable' [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *firmitās* 'strength, stability' (Pl.+), *firmiter* 'firmly' (Pl.+), *firmitūdō* 'firmness' (Pl.+); *firmāre* 'to confirm, make strong' (Ter.+), *firmāmentum* 'support, prop' (Afran.+); *affirmāre* 'to add strength, confirm' (Pl.+), *cōnfirmāre* 'to strengthen, encourage' (Pl.+), *īnfirmus* 'weak' (Ter.+), *īnfirmitās* 'weakness' (Ter.+), *īnfirmāre* 'to weaken' (Acc.+), *offirmāre* 'to make obdurate' (Pl.+).

Plt. \*fermo-.

PIE \*d<sup>h</sup>er-mo- 'holding'. IE cognates: Skt. *dhar-* 'to hold, keep, bear, support', *dhárman-* [n.] 'support, firm hold, fixed order, law', Av. *dar-* 'to hold'. Lith. *daryti* 'to do' < \*d<sup>h</sup>or-, *derėti*, 3s. *dēra* 'to bargain, bet'.

The *i* of *firmus* may go back to \**e* and be due to raising after a labial, cf. Watkins 1973b: 196. It seems less likely that the raising can be ascribed to a cluster \**rg*, as Leumann 1977: 45 suggests: in the cases which he adduces, the velar is still present, and *Mirqurios* and *commircium*, also have a preceding labial; only *stircus* does not. WH reject a preform \**ferGmo-* because they reject an outcome *-rm-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 505f., EM 237, IEW 253, LIV 145ff. → *ferē, fortis, frēnum, frētus*

**fiscus** 'basket, money-bag' [m. *o*] (Lucil.+)

Derivatives: *fiscella* 'small basket' (Cato+), *fiscina* 'basket of rush, wickerwork' (Naev.+).

Theoretically, a derivation \**fid-sko-* from *findō* 'to cleave' is envisageable, but gratuitous, as is the connection with *fidēlia* 'large pot' (Pl.+). No etymology.

Bibl.: WH I: 506, EM 237, IEW 153.

**fistula** 'pipe, tube' [f. *ā*] (Cato+)

Derivatives: *fistulōsus* 'full of holes; tubular' (Cato+).

No certain etymology. The best comparison seems to be with *festūca* 'stalk, straw' and maybe *ferula* 'giant fennel' (if from \**fesula*): the forms of a 'pipe' and a 'stalk' are similar. The vacillation between *fest-* and *fist-* occurs within *festūca* itself, and might be dialectal, or allophonic within Latin.

Bibl.: WH I: 506f., EM 238. → *festūca*

**flaccus** 'lop-eared' [adj. *o/ā*] (Varro+)

Derivatives: *flaccēre* 'to decline in strength' (Acc.+), *flaccēscere* 'to languish' (Pac.+), *flaccidus* 'weak, drooping' (Lucr.+).

For the meaning of *flaccus*, see Parker 2000. *Flaccus* belongs to a category of adj.

with (expressive?) internal geminate, hence from *\*flako-* or *\*flāko-*. No etymology. All etymologies based on a development *\*ml-* > *fl-* must be discarded.

Bibl.: WH I: 507f., EM 238, IEW 124, Lœumann 1977: 182.

**flāgitō, -āre** ‘to beset with demands, summon’ [v. I] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *flāgitium* ‘demonstration of disapproval; disgrace’ (Pl.+), *flāgitātor* ‘one who makes importunate demands’ (Pl.+); *diffilāgitāre* ‘to importune on all sides’ (Pl.).

Plt. *\*flāg-e/o-* ‘to whip’.

PIE *\*b<sup>h</sup>l(e)h<sub>2</sub>g-e/o-?* IE cognates: OIc. *blaka, blakra* ‘to hit back and forth’, *blak* ‘a blow’, *blekkja* (< *\*blakjan*) ‘to hit’; Lith. *blokšti, blaškiū* ‘to swing back and forth’.

All built on an iterative *flāg-itāre* to an earlier, unattested verb *\*flāg-ere*. The original meaning was ‘to whip somebody as a punishment’, whence ‘to scold’; cf. WH. This means that this word family is connected with *flagrum* ‘whip’, and that the stem appears as *flag-r-* in the latter and *flāg-V-* here. This would match Schrijver’s rule (1991: 191) of a development *\*CRHCC* > *CRaCC* as opposed to *\*CRHC* > *\*CRāC*. If correct, this inference would support the likelihood of an early (PIE?) origin of these words. If *\*flāgere* contains a PIE full grade, we may reconstruct *\*h<sub>2</sub>*; but the situation does not seem certain enough: it might be zero grade, and the cognates in Gm. and Bl. are not certain.

Bibl.: WH I: 508f., EM 238, IEW 154, Schrijver 1991: 185, LIV ?*\*b<sup>h</sup>leh<sub>2</sub>g-*. → *flagrum*

**flagrō, -āre** ‘to be ablaze, burn’ [v. I] (Cic.+)

Derivatives: *flagrantia* ‘blaze, passionate glow’ (Pl.+); *dēflagrāre* ‘to burn down’ (Enn.+); *flamma* ‘flame’ (Naev.+), *flammārius* ‘one who dyes garments flame-coloured’ (Pl.+), *flammēscere* ‘to become fiery’ (Lucret.), *flammeum* ‘flame-coloured veil’ (Caecil.+), *flammeus* ‘flaming, fiery’ (Enn.+), *flammāns* ‘flaming’ (Lucret.), *īnflammāre* ‘to set on fire’ (Enn.+); *flammifer* ‘carrying flames’ (Enn.+).

Plt. *\*flagro-*, *\*flagma*. It. cognates: O. **flagiúi, flagiúi** [dat.sg.] of *flagio-*, an epithet of Iuppiter.

PIE *\*b<sup>h</sup>lg-ro-* ‘burning’, *\*b<sup>h</sup>lg-mh<sub>2</sub>-* ‘flame’. IE cognates: see *fulgō*.

The verb is probably a denom. of an adj. *\*flagro-* ‘burning’. Schrijver (1991: 485) posits a rule PIE *\*R̥DC* > Latin *RaDC*, which serves to explain *\*flagro-* < *\*b<sup>h</sup>lg-ro-*, among other forms. The noun *flamma* reflects a noun *\*flag-ma* from a zero grade *\*b<sup>h</sup>lg-m-* which is striking next to PIE *\*b<sup>h</sup>log-mo-* > Gr. φλογμός ‘flame’.

Bibl.: WH I: 510f., 513, EM 238f., IEW 124f., Schrijver 1991: 477ff., Meiser 1998: 64, Untermann 2000: 289f., LIV *\*b<sup>h</sup>le<sup>(g)</sup>-*. → *fulgō*

**flagrum** ‘whip’ [n. o] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *flagellum* ‘whip, lash’ (Cato+); *flagritriba* ‘one who wears out whips by being flogged’ (Pl.).

Plt. *\*flagro-* ‘whip’.

PIE *\*b<sup>h</sup>lHg-ro-*.

See s.v. *flāgitō*.

Bibl.: WH I: 511f., EM 238, IEW 154, Schrijver 1991: 185, 191, LIV ?*\*b<sup>h</sup>leh<sub>2</sub>g-*.

**flāmen, -inis** ‘certain kind of priest, flamen’ [m. n] (Varro+)

Derivatives: *Flāminius* ‘a Roman gentilicium’ (Varro+).

Plt. \**flā(d)men*-? \**flagsmen*-? ‘sacrificial act’.

PIE \**b<sup>h</sup>leh<sub>2</sub>(d)-mn* ‘sacrifice’? \**b<sup>h</sup>lg-s-mn* ‘burnt offering’? IE cognates: Go. *blotan* ‘to honour through sacrifice’, OHG *bluozan* ‘to sacrifice’, OIc. *blóta* ‘to sacrifice’ < \**b<sup>h</sup>leh<sub>2/3</sub>d-*.

The old connection of *flāmen* with Skt. *brahmán-* is highly problematic, and has been dismissed by Schrijver. As WH surmise, the ending *-en* points to an archaism, probably a n. noun ‘sacrificial act’ which changed its semantics to ‘priest’; for a similar shift, cf. *augur* ‘bird-observer’, see s.v. *augeō*. The only viable comparanda are found in Gm., but they show root-final (or suffixal) \**-d-*. In Latin, it is impossible to decide whether *flāmen* reflects \**flā-men*, \**flād-men*, \**flag-smen*, or yet another preform. Schrijver reconstructs PIE \**b<sup>h</sup>leh<sub>2</sub>-mn* (a n. with *e*-grade), but \**b<sup>h</sup>leh<sub>2</sub>-d-mn* is also possible. A connection with \**b<sup>h</sup>lg-* ‘to shine, burn’ would yield \**b<sup>h</sup>lg-s-mn* ‘burning, burnt offering’ > \**flagsmen* as a possible preform.

Bibl.: WH I: 512, EM 239, IEW 154, Leumann 1977: 208, Schrijver 1991: 176, Sihler 1995: 198.

**flāvus** ‘yellow, blonde’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Enn.+)

Derivatives: *flavescere* ‘to turn yellow’ (Cato+).

Plt. \**flāwo-*.

PIE \**b<sup>h</sup>leh<sub>3</sub>-uo-* ‘yellow’? IE cognates: Mlr. *blá* ‘yellow’; OHG *blāo*, OE *blāw*, OIc. *blár* ‘blue’ < PGm. \**blēua-*.

Schrijver derives *flāvus* from a root \**b<sup>h</sup>lh<sub>3</sub>-* which he also sees reflected in *flōs* and *flōrus*. The only way to connect *flāvus* and the Germanic words for ‘blue’ (if they are cognate) is to posit a development \**b<sup>h</sup>leh<sub>3</sub>-uo-* > \**b<sup>h</sup>leh<sub>1/2</sub>-uo-* (loss of labialization in \**h<sub>3</sub>*) for both Gm. and Latin, as Schrijver does (p. 300). This seems a hazardous assumption, but there is no better alternative, unless one separates *flāvus* from the Gm. words. In that case, one could posit PIE \**b<sup>h</sup>lh<sub>1</sub>-uo-* > *flāvus*. Of course, it is uncertain whether *flāvus* is related to *flōrus* to start with.

Bibl.: WH I: 513f., EM 239, IEW 160, Leumann 1977: 55, Schrijver 1991: 147, 177, 298, 301, LIV \**b<sup>h</sup>leh<sub>3</sub>-*. → *flōrus*, *flōs*

**flectō, -ere** ‘to bend, curve; modify, soften’ [v. III; pf. *flexī*, ppp. *flexum*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *flexāre* ‘to bend’ (Cato), *flexibilis* ‘easily bent, flexible’ (Lab.+), *flexus, -ūs* ‘the bending, turn’ (Andr.+), *flexuōsus* ‘winding’ (Cato+), *flexūra* ‘curve; inflexion’ (Varro+); *īnfectere* ‘to bend (inwards), turn’ (Pl.+), *īnflexus, -ūs* ‘winding’ (Naev.+), *reflectere* ‘to bend back, turn around’ (Ter.+); *flexanimus* ‘persuasive; distracted’ (Pac.+).

Plt. \**flek-t-*.

Form and meaning render it possible that *flectō* contains a suffix \**-t-* which was added on the model of *plectō* ‘to plait’, maybe also of *nectō*. Possible preforms of the root are \**b<sup>h</sup>leK-*, \**d<sup>h</sup>leK-*, \**g<sup>wh</sup>leK-*, none of which has obvious IE comparanda.

Bibl.: WH I: 514f., EM 239, Leumann 1977: 539, Sihler 1995: 535. → *nectō*, *plectō*

**fleō** ‘to weep, cry’ [v. II; pf. *flēvī*, ppp. *flētum*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *flēbilis* ‘worthy of tears; causing tears’ (Lucil.+), *flētus*, *-ūs* ‘weeping’ (Enn.+); *afflère* ‘to weep at’ (Pl.), *dēflère* ‘to mourn the loss of’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*flē(je/o-)*.

PIE pr. *\*b<sup>h</sup>leh<sub>1</sub>-/\*b<sup>h</sup>lh<sub>1</sub>-* or *\*b<sup>h</sup>leh<sub>1</sub>-ie/o-* ‘to bleat, cry’. IE cognates: Hit. *palūae*-<sup>zi</sup> ‘to cry out, shout for joy’ (< *\*b<sup>h</sup>lh<sub>1</sub>-uo-ǵé-?*; cf. Kloekhorst 2008: 623); RuCS *blējati*, Ru. *bléjat* ‘to bleat, (dial.) ‘speak, chatter, cry’, Cz. *bleti* (arch.), Latv. *blēt* ‘to bleat’ < *\*b<sup>h</sup>leh<sub>1</sub>-*; MHG *blæjen* ‘to bleat’ < *\*blē-*; OHG *blāzzen*, OE *blætan*, MDu. *blāten* < *\*blē-t-* < PIE *\*-d-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 515f., EM 240, IEW 154f., Schrijver 1991: 403, Meiser 1998: 188, LIV *\*b<sup>h</sup>leh<sub>1</sub>-*. → *flō*

**flīgō, -ere** ‘to strike down’ [v. III; pf. *flīxī*, ppp. *flīctum*] (Andr., Acc.)

Derivatives: *flīctus*, *-ūs* ‘collision’ (Pac.+); *affligere* ‘to strike, cause destruction’ (Pl.+), *afflictāre* ‘to strike repeatedly, vex’ (Pl.+), *cōnfligere* ‘to collide, argue’ (Lucil.+), *cōnflīctāre* ‘to contend; harass’ (Ter.+), *effligere* ‘to strike dead’ (Pl.+), *efflīctāre* ‘id.’ (Pl.), *afflictim* (Naev.), *efflictim* ‘passionately’ (Pl.+), *prōflīgāre* ‘to crush, overwhelm’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*flīg-* or *\*fleig-*.

PIE *\*b<sup>h</sup>leig<sub>1</sub>-e/o-* or *\*b<sup>h</sup>liH-ǵ-e/o-* ‘to hit, crush’. IE cognates: Gr. *φλῖβω* ‘to rub, crush’; Lith. *bláizyti* ‘to tear off’, Latv. *blaizīt* ‘to squeeze, beat, rub’, *bliēzt* ‘to beat, hew’ < *\*b<sup>h</sup>loig<sub>1</sub>-*, CS *blizъ* ‘near, close’, Ru. *blizyj* (dial.) ‘short-sighted’, Ru. *blizkij* ‘near, close’ < *\*b<sup>h</sup>liǵ-*. Less certain: Go. *bliggwan*, OHG *bliuwan* ‘to hit’ < PGm. *\*bliwwan-* < *\*b<sup>h</sup>liH-u-?*

The meaning of *fligere*, the Gr., BSl. and Gm. words is close enough to render a correspondence in form likely, but the preforms cannot be identical. Greek requires a suffix *\*g<sup>ʷ</sup>*, Latin and BSl. can have *\*ǵ*, Gm. has no velar but probably needs *\*-H-*. The long vowel in Latin can be from *\*iH* or *\*ei*, Greek may have secondary lengthening, BSl. does not need a laryngeal because the acute and the Slavic long vowel can be explained from Winter’s Law. Hence Schrijver’s suggestion that the root was *\*b<sup>h</sup>li-* with different enlargements in different branches.

Bibl.: WH I: 517, EM 240, IEW 160f., Schrijver 1991: 230f., LIV *\*b<sup>h</sup>leig<sub>1</sub>-*.

**flō, flāre** ‘to blow’ [v. I] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *flābellum* ‘fan’ (Ter.+), *flābellifera* ‘maid holding a fan’ (Pl.), *flābellulum* ‘small fan’ (Ter.); *flābrum* ‘gust of wind’ (Lucret.+), *flāmen* ‘gust, wind’ (Enn.+), *flātus*, *-ūs* ‘blowing, blast, breath’; *afflāre* ‘to breathe, blow’ (Varro+), *āfflātus*, *-ūs* ‘breath, breeze’ (Varro+), *conflāre* ‘to blow on, bring about’ (Pl.+), *dēflāre* ‘to blow away’ (Varro+), *diffilāre* ‘to disperse by blowing’ (Pl.+), *efflāre* ‘to emit, breathe out’ (Pl.+), *inflāre* ‘to fill with air, blow on’ (Pl.+), *perflāre* ‘to blow through’ (Varro+), *reflāre* ‘to blow back, blow out again’ (Acc.+), *sufflāre* ‘to puff up’ (Pl.+).

PIE pr. *\*b<sup>h</sup>leh<sub>1</sub>-/\*b<sup>h</sup>lh<sub>1</sub>-* ‘to blow’? IE cognates: see *fleō*.

It is possible to derive *sufflāmen* ‘bar used for breaking wheeled vehicles’ (Juv.+)



from *sufflāre*. It can then be detached from *fulciō*. If *flāre* is related to *fleō*, the root was probably \*b<sup>h</sup>lh<sub>1</sub>-. The ppp. might directly reflect \*b<sup>h</sup>lh<sub>1</sub>-to-, as Schrijver posits, but of course it can be secondary. The pr. is explained by Schrijver (1991: 402) from \*b<sup>h</sup>lh<sub>1</sub>-C-, the zero grade of the PIE root present (accepted by Meiser 1998), because he expects \**falje/o-* as the outcome of the latter. LIV reconstructs \*b<sup>h</sup>lh<sub>1</sub>-je-, but does not say how \**flaje-* > *flā-* arises from this preform. Alternatively, one might separate 'to blow' from 'to cry', since the semantics are sufficiently different, and reconstruct PIE \*b<sup>h</sup>lh<sub>2</sub>- for *flāre*; yet this would leave PGm. \*ē in OHG *blāen*, Go. *blesan* 'to blow' unexplained.

Bibl.: WH I: 517, II: 625, EM 240f., IEW 120ff., Schrijver 1991: 177, 402f., Meiser 1998: 124, LIV \*b<sup>h</sup>leh<sub>1</sub>-. → *fleō*

**floccus** 'tuft of wool' [m. o] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *floccēs* [pl.] 'lees of wine' (Caecil.+); *dēfloccāre* 'to rub the nap of clothes, strip of possessions' (Pl.).

The appurtenance of *floccēs* to *floccus* seems reasonably certain. There is no etymology. The connection with OHG *blaha* 'coarse linnen cloth' is much too imprecise to inspire confidence.

Bibl.: WH I: 517f., EM 241, IEW 161.

**flōrus** 'light coloured, fair' [adj. o/ā] (Naev.+)

Plt. \**flōro-*.

PIE \*b<sup>h</sup>loh<sub>1/3</sub>-ro- or \*b<sup>h</sup>leh<sub>3</sub>-ro- 'blossoming'. IE cognates: OIr. *blár*, W. *blawr* 'grey'; Mlr. *bláth*, W. *blawd* 'flower'; Alb. *blertë*, dial. *blerë* 'green', OHG *bluojan* 'to blossom'.

If *flōrus* is related to *flāvus*, there might be reason to posit a root \*b<sup>h</sup>lh<sub>3</sub>-, in the vein of Schrijver 1991 (see s.v. *flāvus*), but for *flōrus* itself, a root \*b<sup>h</sup>lh<sub>1</sub>- would also do.

Bibl.: WH I: 513, EM 241, IEW 160, Schrijver 1991: 177, 298, 301, LIV \*b<sup>h</sup>leh<sub>3</sub>- → *flāvus*, *flōs*

**flōs, -ris** 'blossom, flower' [m. s] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *Flōra* 'the goddess of flowers' (Varro+), *flōrālia*, -ium [n.pl.] 'flower-gardens' (Varro+), *flōrēre* 'to blossom, bloom' (Cato+), *flōrēns*, -ntis 'prosperous' (Pl.+), *flōrēscere* 'to begin to flower' (Varro+), *flōridus* 'flowery' (Varro+); *flōrififer* 'producing flowers' (Lucr.+).

Plt. \**flōs(-)* 'flower', \**flōs-ā-* 'goddess of flowers'. It. cognates: Vest. *flusare* [abl.sg.m.] month name, from \**flōs-āri-* 'of Flōra'; O. *fluusai* [dat.sg.] the goddess 'Flōra'; O. f<l>*uusasiais* [dat.abl.pl.] 'Flōrālia', feast of Flōra < \**flōs-āsio-*.

PIE \*b<sup>h</sup>leh<sub>3</sub>-ōs 'blossoming' > 'flower'. IE cognates: OIr. *bláth*, W. *blawd* 'flower, bloom', OCo. *blodon*, LCo. *bledzhan* 'flower' < \**blātu-* < PIE \*b<sup>h</sup>leh<sub>3</sub>-tu-, MBret. *blezu*, Bret. *bleuñv* 'flowers' < \**blātmV-*; OHG *bluowen*, OE *blōwan* 'to bloom' < \**blōjan-*, Go. *bloma*, NHG *Blume* < \**blō-m-* 'flower'.

The derivation of *Flōra* from *flōs* is reminiscent of *aurōra* to earlier \**ausōs*. Oscan *fluusa-* shows that the cult of this goddess was known more widely among the Italic

peoples. The ablaut grade of the root in the PIE *s*-stem cannot be determined with certainty.

Bibl.: WH I: 518f., EM 241, IEW 160, Schrijver 1991: 131, 1995: 179, Sihler 1995: 310, Untermann 2000: 290-293, Stüber 2002: 76, LIV \*b<sup>h</sup>leh<sub>3</sub>-. → *flāvus*, *flōrus*

**fluō, -ere** 'to flow, run (of waters)' [v. III; pf. *flūxī*, ppp. *flūctum* (younger *flūxum*); inscr. (CIL 584) *conflouont*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *fluentum* 'a stream' (Lucr.+), *flu(u)idus* 'flowing, liquid' (Lucr.+); *flu(u)itāre/flūtāre* 'to flow, run' (Lucr.+); *flūctus, -ūs* 'wave, billow' (Pl.+), *flūctuāre* 'to surge, be in agitation' (Pl.+), *flūctuōsus* 'agitated' (Pl.+); *flūctifragus* 'that breaks the waves' (Lucr.); *flūmen* 'river, stream' (Enn.+); *fluuius* 'river' (Naev.+; *fluvia* [f.] Acc. Sis.); *flūstra, -ōrum* 'calm (of the sea), swell' (Naev.+); *affluere* 'to flow towards; be rich' (Laev.+), *āfluere* 'to flow away; abound in' (Pl.+), *cōnfluere* 'to flow together, assemble' (Pl.+), *cōnfluvium* 'place where streams meet' (Varro), *cōnflūgēs* 'meeting place of rivers' (Andr.; *conflāgēs* in Paul. *ex F.* may be a mistake for \**conflūgēs*), *dēfluere* 'to flow down, away' (Cato+), *diffluere* 'to flow away in all directions, dissolve' (Ter.+), *effluere* 'to flow out, escape' (Cato+), *īnfluere* 'to flow in' (Varro+), *perfluere* 'to stream' (Ter.+), *perflūctuāre* 'to flood over' (Lucr.+), *prōfluere* 'to flow forth, overflow' (Naev.+), *prōfluuius* 'fluctuating' (Caecil.), *prōfluvium* 'a discharge' (Lucr.+).

Pit. \**flow-e/o*-.

PIE \*b<sup>h</sup>leuH-(e/o-) 'to flow (over)'. IE cognates: Gr. Att. φλέω 'to abound', φλύω 'to seethe, boil over'; Lith. *bliauti*, 3s. *bliauna* 'to bleat, sob, weep', Latv. *blāūt* 'to bleat, bellow', OCS *blъvati*, Is. *blujō* 'to vomit', Ru. *blevát* (vulg.) < PIE \*b<sup>h</sup>leuH-.

Leumann regards the spelling *flu-* as irregular for \**flow-*, having been introduced from compounds where \**-fLou-* was in non-initial syllable. Leumann 1977: 279, 436 explains *fluentum* as a singularized form of a n.pl. *fluenta* to *fluens, -ntis*. The verb form *conflouont* points to a pr.stem \**flu-* < \**fLou-*, but *cōnflūgēs* and the pf. *flūxī* seem to require a stem \**flūg-*. Hence, Meiser reconstructs \*b<sup>h</sup>leug<sup>w</sup>-. Yet, as EM have already pointed out, PIE labiovelars lost their labiality after \*-u-. Weiss 1994 shows that both *flūxī* and the noun *cōnflūgēs* can easily be explained as secondary creations by means of proportional analogy, cf. *contāmen* : *contāgēs* for *cōnflūgēs*, and *struō* : *strūxī* for *flūxī*. The same goes for *flūctus*.

Bibl.: WH I: 519f., EM 241f., IEW 158f., Leumann 1977: 135, Sihler 1995: 214, 583, Weiss 1994: 139f., Meiser 1998: 194, 208, LIV 1. \*b<sup>h</sup>leuH-.

**focus** 'hearth, fireplace' [m. o] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *foculus* 'small stove' (Cato+).

A connection with Lat. *fax* 'torch' and Lith. *zvakė* 'candle' is formally impossible, since Pre-Lat. \**fwakV-* would not yield *foc-*. Any etymology positing PIE \*D<sup>h</sup>-k<sup>(w)</sup> would violate the PIE root structure constraints. Hamp 1992 proposes to explain *focus* as a back-formation to *foculus*, which he explains from \**fweklo-* < \**d<sup>h</sup>g<sup>wh</sup>-e-tlo-* 'hearth'. Yet this is chronologically difficult: initial \**g<sup>wh</sup>* > Pit. \**χ<sup>w</sup>e-* is expected to yield \**fe-*, and could only yield \**fo-* if the simplification of \**χ<sup>w</sup>-* > *f-* were dated after \**-we-* >

\*-wo-, which happened in the fifth c. BC. But that is rather late for the change \*χ<sup>w</sup> > f.  
Bibl.: WH I: 521, EM 243, IEW 495, Hamp 1992.

**fodiō, -ere** 'to pierce; to dig' [v. III; pf. *fōdī*, ppp. *fossum*; *fodīrī* Cato 1x] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *fodicāre* 'to stab, prick' (Pl.+); *fossa* 'ditch, trench' (Cato+), *fossula* 'small trench' (Cato+), *fossicius* 'obtained by digging' (Varro+), *fossilis* 'obtained by digging' (Varro+); *circumfodere* 'to dig round' (Cato+), *cōnfodere* 'to dig up; pierce' (Pl.+), *dēfodere* 'to bury, insert in the ground' (Pl.+), *effodere/ecfodīrī* 'to dig up, gouge out' (Pl.+), *īnfodere* 'to place in the earth' (Cato+), *interfodere* 'to pierce' (Lucr.), *perfodere* 'to make a hole through' (Pl.+), *perfossor* 'burglar' (Pl.+).

Plt. \**fob-i-*.

PIE \*b<sup>h</sup>od<sup>h</sup>-i- 'to poke, dig'. IE cognates: Hit. *padda-* / *padd-* 'to dig (the ground)' < \*b<sup>h</sup>od<sup>h</sup>h<sub>2</sub>-; OPr. *boadis* 'stab' [m.], *embaddusisi* 'they stick', Lith. *bèsti*, 3s. *bēda* 'to stick, drive (into), dig', Latv. *best* 'dig, bury', Lith. *badyti*, Latv. *badīt* 'to butt, prick'; OCS *bosti*, Is. *bodq*, Is.aor. *basъ* 'to stab', SCr. *bōsti*, *bōdēm* < BSl. \**bed-*, \**bod-*; ToA *pātar* 'they ploughed' < \*b<sup>h</sup>od<sup>h</sup>-.

The long -ō- in the pf. is probably analogical to pr. *fod-*, for instance on the model of *veniō* : *vēnī*. Since all languages show verb formations with o-grade (Hit., Latin, BSl., Toch.), the original formation may have been iterative or intransitive: \*b<sup>h</sup>od<sup>h</sup>-(i-). The Latin *i*-stem conjugation might be a rest of the PIE suffix, which surfaces as \*-ie/o- in this category in other branches of IE.

Bibl.: WH I: 521, EM 243, IEW 113f., Leumann 1977: 568, Schrijver 1991: 411, Meiser 1998: 212, LIV \*b<sup>h</sup>ed<sup>h</sup>h<sub>2</sub>-.

**foedus** 'foul, unclean; fearful, repugnant' [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *foedāre* 'to wound, dishonour, make unclean' (Pl.+).

Plt. \**fo(j)ipo-* 'afraid'.

PIE (\*b<sup>h</sup>e-)b<sup>h</sup>oiH-/b<sup>h</sup>iH- [pf.] or \*b<sup>h</sup>oH-i- [pr.] 'to be afraid'. IE cognates: Skt. *bibháya* 'is afraid', ptc. *bibhīvāms-*, YAv. *bišiuuā* 'afraid'; OPr. *biātwei*, Lith. *bijóti(s)*, Latv. *būtiēs* 'to fear, be scared', OCS *bojati se* 'id.' < BSl. \**b(o)i(?)-a?*; OHG *bibēi* (< PGm \**bi-baj-*) [3s.pr.act.] 'to tremble' (from an old pf.).

If the original meaning was 'awful, frightful, terrible' (thus surmised by WH, and reiterated by Nussbaum), the adj. can be derived from PIE \*b<sup>h</sup>iH- 'to be afraid'. Since there is no productive adjectival suffix \*-d<sup>(h)</sup>o-, and since *foed-* may represent either \**foid-* or \**fojid-*, Nussbaum interprets *foedus* as an *idus*-adj. He does not dwell on the o-ablaut, but since *idus*-adj. are often derived from stative verbs (pr. in -ē-), *foedus* may be based on the PIE pf. \*(b<sup>h</sup>e-)b<sup>h</sup>oiH- (preserved in Skt. and Slavic) 'to be afraid', or from an o-grade *i*-present \*b<sup>h</sup>oH-i- (cf. Hamp 1985b).

Bibl.: WH I: 522f., EM 244, IEW 161f., Nussbaum 1999a: 390f., LIV \*b<sup>h</sup>eih<sub>2</sub>-.

**foeteō** 'to stink' [v. II] (Pl.+; variants *faet-*, *fēt-*)

Derivatives: *foetidus* 'stinking' (Pl.+).

Plt. \**fwoit-* / \**fwōje/ot-* 'smoking, smelly'.

PIE \*d<sup>h</sup>uoh<sub>2</sub>-i- 'to smoke'. IE cognates: OIr. *dé* [f.], gen. *diad* 'smoke', Mlr. *dethach*

'id.' < \**duijot-* < \**d<sup>h</sup>uh<sub>2</sub>-i-ot-* (see s.v. *suffiō* for the phonetics); Hit. *tuhhuai-* / *tuhhui-* [c.] 'smoke' < PIE nom. \**d<sup>h</sup>uéh<sub>2</sub>-u-ōi-s*, acc. \**d<sup>h</sup>uh<sub>2</sub>-u-ōi-m*, gen. \**d<sup>h</sup>uh<sub>2</sub>-u-i-ós*.

EM suggest that *faeteō* may be the original form, but also consider a relationship with *foedus* 'repulsive'. If we apply the rule that \**oi* yielded *oe* after a labial (*Poenus*) except in front of *i* in the next syllable (*pūnicus*), then *foetidus* cannot be old, or proves \**faetidus*. But if *foetē-* is more original, we can posit earlier \**f(w)oit-* or \**f(w)ojVt-*, which enables a connection with OIr. *dé* 'smoke'. Tocharian and Hittite show that the full grade of \**duh<sub>2</sub>-* was of the type \**dueh<sub>2</sub>-*, so that we could assume a basis \**d<sup>h</sup>uoh<sub>2</sub>-i-t-* (> \**fwoit-*) or \**d<sup>h</sup>uoh<sub>2</sub>-i-e/ot-* (> \**fwōje/ot-*) for the verb *foetēre*. The *i*-stem derivative which forms the basis of Italo-Celtic \**d<sup>h</sup>uoh<sub>2</sub>-i-t-*, \**d<sup>h</sup>uh<sub>2</sub>-i-ot-* may be attested in Hit. *tuhhuai-* / *tuhhui-* 'smoke'.

Bibl.: WH I: 499f., EM 244, IEW 261-67, Leumann 1977: 65, Schrijver 1995: 292, Kloekhorst 2008: 895. → *fimum*, *suffiō*, *fūmus*, *fūligō*

**folium** 'leaf' [n. o] (Pl.+)

Plt. \**folio-*.

PIE \**d<sup>h</sup>olH-io-* 'leaf'. IE cognates: W. *dail* 'foliage' < \**d<sup>h</sup>lH-ih<sub>2</sub>-*; Gr. aor. ἔθαλον, pr. θάλλω 'to flourish, grow', θάλος [n.] 'sprout', \**θαλύς*, -ύ [n.] (only in gen.pl. θαλέων), θαλερός 'flourishing', θαλέθω 'to flourish', θύλλα 'leaves' (Hsch.); Arm. *dalar* 'green, fresh', Alb. *dal* [Is.], *del* [23s.] 'to come out'.

*Folium* has often been compared with Gr. φύλλον 'leaf' < \**b<sup>h</sup>ol-io-* (with raising of \**o* in Gr. between labial and resonant). Beekes 1991 considers the possibility that OS *blad*, OHG *blat* 'leaf' is a *t*-derivative from the stem \**b<sup>h</sup>l-*. Yet there is no verbal stem from which the noun could have been derived. Michiel Driessen (p.c.) proposes to connect *folium* with the root \**d<sup>h</sup>lH-* 'to sprout, blossom'. The colour of the laryngeal might be determined as \**h<sub>1</sub>* on the strength of θαλερός, but this might be a recent formation to the stem \**θαλύ-*. Possibly, the *o*-grade and the suffix of *folium* are also preserved in Gr. θύλλα 'foliage', although this is a hapax from Hsch.

Bibl.: WH I: 523f., EM 244, IEW 122, 234, Klingenschmitt 1982: 172, Sihler 1995: 43, LIV \**d<sup>h</sup>alh<sub>1</sub>-*. → *fulvus*

**folliculus** 'bag, sack; ball, testicles' [m. i] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *follitus* 'enclosed in a sack' (Pl.), *folliculus* 'bag, skin, husk' (Lucil.+).

The meaning suggests a connection with PCI. \**bol-* as in OIr. *ball* [m.] 'member, body part', W. *balleg* 'sack, purse'; W. *dym-fol* 'glove', *arfolli* 'to become pregnant' (LEIA B-12). No further etymology.

Bibl.: WH I: 524, EM 244, IEW 120ff., Schrijver 1991: 177.

**fōns, fontis** 'spring, well' [m. i] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *Fontānālia*, -ium [n.pl.] 'the festival of Fons' (Varro).

Plt. \**fonti-*. It. cognates: possibly U. *funtlere*, *fondlire* [abl.pl. + -en] '?', a certain locality; maybe \**fontelo-*.

PIE \**d<sup>h</sup>onh<sub>2</sub>-ti-* 'flowing'. IE cognates: Skt. *dhan<sup>i</sup>* 'to run, to flow', pr. *dhánva*

[2s.ipv.act.], OP *danu*[...] [3s.pr.] ‘flows’ < IE \**d<sup>h</sup>énh<sub>2</sub>-u-*; maybe Av. *dānu-* ‘river’, Oss. *don* ‘water, river’ < Plr. \**dānā-*, ToB *tsnamo*\* ‘flowing’, ToA sb. *tsnāntār*, ptc.pf. *tsno* ‘to flow’ < \**tsān-* < PIE \**d<sup>h</sup>en-*.

Semantically, the connection with words for ‘to run, flow’ are unproblematic. Formally, WH suggest a contamination of \**fontos* and \**fentis*, whereas EM argue that the *o*-grade betrays an old root noun. Since we now reconstruct the root as \**d<sup>h</sup>énh<sub>2</sub>-* with a final laryngeal, neither hypothesis is very attractive: the zero grade \**d<sup>h</sup>nh<sub>2</sub>-* would yield Lat. \**fnātis*, whereas an old root noun would yield \**d<sup>h</sup>onh<sub>2</sub>* > \**fona*. One might instead posit a *ti*-stem \**d<sup>h</sup>onh<sub>2</sub>-ti-* with loss of the laryngeal due to the de Saussure effect. For the formation type, cf. *mōns*.

Bibl.: WH I: 525, EM 244f., IEW 249, Untermann 2000: 301, LIV \**d<sup>h</sup>énh<sub>2</sub>-* ‘to run’.

**for, fārī** ‘to speak, say’ [v. II; occurs mainly in 3s. and 3p.; ppa. *fāns*, gdve. *fandus*, ppp. *fātum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *fātum* ‘prophecy, destiny’ (Pl.+); *fāma* ‘news, rumour, public opinion, reputation, fame’ (Naev.+), *infāmia* ‘disgrace, bad reputation’ (Pl.+), *infāmis* ‘of ill repute’ (Pl.+), *fāmigerābilis* ‘famous’ (Varro), *fāmigerātiō* ‘gossip’ (Pl.), *fāmigerātor* ‘a gossip’ (Pl.), *fāmōsus* ‘infamous, notorious’ (Pl.+); *fābula* ‘talk, rumour; story, tale’ (Naev.+), *fābulīnus* ‘the god of speech’ (Varro), *fābulārī* ‘to talk’ (Pl.+), *cōnfābulārī* ‘to converse’ (Pl.+); *fācundus* ‘eloquent’ (Pl.+), *fācunditās* ‘eloquence’ (Pl.+), *fācundia* ‘the ability to speak eloquently’ (Ter.+); *affābilis* ‘easy to talk to’ (Ter.+), *affārī* ‘to speak to’ (Acc.+), *effārī* ‘to utter, say’ (Enñ.+), *infāns*, *-ntis* ‘unable to speak; infant’ (Pl.+), *infantia* ‘muteness; childhood’ (Lucr.+), *infandus* ‘unspeakable, monstrous’ (Ter.+), *nefāns*, *-ntis* ‘wicked’ (Lucil.), *praefārī* ‘to recite; say beforehand’ (Cato+), *prōfārī* ‘to give warning, speak out’ (Andr.+).

Plt. \**fā-* ‘to speak’, \**fato-* ‘said’, \**fāmo/a-* ‘tale’, \**fā-~~blo-~~* ‘story’. It. cognates: U. *fato* [ppp., nom.acc.sg.n.] ‘said’ < \**fāto-*; O. *faamat* [3s.pr.], *faammant* [3p.pr.], *famatted*, *faamated* [3s.pf.]; with preverb *ā-* or *ad-*: *αφαματεδ*, *αφαματεδ* [3s.pf.], unclear *αφαματεν*[ς] [3p.pf.] ‘to order’, denom. to a noun \**fāma-*; O. *fatuveis* [gen.sg.], *φατοφε* [voc.sg.] maybe ‘Fatuus’ < \**fatowo-*.

PIE pr. \**b<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>2</sub>-*/\**b<sup>h</sup>h<sub>2</sub>-* ‘to speak’, \**b<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>2</sub>-mo/h<sub>2</sub>-* ‘speech’. IE cognates: Gr. φημί, Dor. φᾶμί, Aeol. φᾶμι ‘I say’; Gr. φήμη, Dor. Aeol. φάμα ‘speech’, φάτις, φάσις [f.] ‘speech, rumour’, ἄφατος ‘unknown; ineffable’, παλαί-φατος ‘long said’, φωνή ‘voice, sound’; Arm. *bay* ‘says’; RuCS *bajati* ‘to tell fables’, SCr. *bājati* ‘to practise sorcery, exorcize’; ?OE *bō(ia)n* ‘to brag’.

The origin of the suffix in *fā-cundus* is uncertain; see s.v. *fēcundus* for a possible explanation. In this verb, Latin (or already Italic?) has generalized the full grade of the root in all derivatives except *fateor* and *fatuus*, which show the original ppp. \**fāto-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 437f., 444, 450, 525f., EM 245, IEW 105f., Schrijver 1991: 142, 405, Untermann 2000: 253f., 267f., LIV 2. \**b<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>2</sub>-*. → *fās*, *fateor*, *fatuus*

**forceps, -ipis** ‘tongs, pincers’ [f. *p(i)*] (Cato+; gen.pl. *-ium* Lucil.)

Since the meaning is the same as with *forfex*, it is often suggested that one arose from the other by way of metathesis. The first member is regarded as a reduced form of

*formus* ‘warm’ on account of Paul. *ex F.* 91: ‘*formucapes forcipes dictae, quod forma capiant, id est ferventia*. Since the first member of cp. in *-ceps* are normally nouns, this requires a substantivized adj. *\*forma* ‘warm things’ as the first member: *\*forma-kap-* > *\*formkap-* > *\*forkap-*. The formation gives an artificial impression, and even if *formucapes* ever existed, it may well have been a folk etymology. There are several alternatives. *Forceps* might reflect *\*foro-kap-*, in which the second vowel would syncopate in the four-syllabic case forms (cf. *auceps*, *manceps*, etc.). The element *\*foro-* might reflect *\*b<sup>h</sup>or-o-* ‘burden’ to the root of *ferō*. Another option would be that *for-* is cognate with *ferrum* ‘iron’, a word with an uncertain etymology, but possibly a loanword. Note that *forceps* often occurs as the instrument of a smith.

Bibl.: WH I: 526, EM 246, Leumann 1977: 393, Sihler 1995: 68. → *ferō*, *ferrum*, *forfex*, *formus*

**forda** ‘carrying, pregnant (of cows)’ [adj. *ā*] (Varro+; also *horda*)

Derivatives: *fordicidia*, *-ōrum* [n.pl.] ‘festival at which pregnant cows were sacrificed’ (Varro+).

Pit. *\*for(i)dā-*.

PIE *\*b<sup>h</sup>ori-d-* ‘giving birth’? IE cognates: Lith. *ber̃(g)ždžias* ‘barren (of a cow)’, *ber(g)ždē* ‘barren cow’, RuCS *brěžda*, *brěž(d)a* ‘pregnant’, Ru. *berěžaja* (dial.) ‘mare in foal’ < *\*b<sup>h</sup>erdio-* ‘carrying, pregnant’.

The initial syllable of the BSl. words is circumflex (suggesting *\*-d<sup>h</sup>-* in the suffix rather than *\*-d-*), but Latin *forda* cannot reflect *\*-rd<sup>h</sup>-* (which would yield *\*forba*). Thus, Latin and BSl. continue two independent formations on the basis of PIE *\*b<sup>h</sup>r-*. Nussbaum 1999a proposes that Latin *forda* was built on an *i*-stem *\*b<sup>h</sup>ori-* ‘birther, who gives birth’, which was enlarged by *\*-d-* (as often in Greek, and as in Latin *pecu-d-* of the same semantic sphere) and finally hypercharacterized by adding fem. *\*-a*. Pre-Latin *\*forida* > *forda*.

Bibl.: WH I: 527, EM 228, IEW 128ff., Nussbaum 1999a: 381, 406, LIV *\*b<sup>h</sup>er-*. → *ferō*

**forfex, -icis** ‘tongs, pincers; shears, scissors’ [f. (m.) *k*] (Celsus+; also *forpex*)

Pit. *\*forpo-* ‘shearing’, *\*forpāje/o-* ‘to shear’. It. cognates: U. *furfaθ*, *furfant* [3p.pr.], *efurfatu* [3s.ipv.II + *e-* ‘out’] certain action with ‘sheep’ as direct object, ‘to shear’?

PIE *\*b<sup>h</sup>rd<sup>h</sup>-o-* [adj.]. IE cognates: Gr. *πέρω* ‘to capture, take in’, *πολίπορος* ‘capturing cities’, *πορθέω* ‘to pillage’.

Either a noun with a word-internal cluster *-rf-*, which is irregular according to the standard Latin sound laws, or a compound in *-fex* ‘making’. However, since cp. in *-fex* normally do not show syncope (*aurifex*, *opifex*, etc.), the latter is less probable. Also, the recent date of *forfex* makes a foreign origin more likely. An old connection is with U. *furfa-*, which is often translated as ‘to shear’ (e.g. Meiser 1986: 101). One might connect Gr. *πέρω* ‘to capture, take in’, *πολίπορος* ‘capturing cities’ (Janda 2000: 230-240), in which case the U. verb could be denominal to an adj. *\*b<sup>h</sup>rd<sup>h</sup>-o-* ‘capturing, harvesting, shearing’.

Bibl.: WH I: 526, EM 247, IEW 138, Untermann 2000: 302f., LIV *\*b<sup>h</sup>erd<sup>h</sup>-*. → *forceps*

**foria** 'diarrhoea' [f. *ā*] (Varro)

Derivatives: *foriolus* 'suffering from diarrhoea' (Lab.); *cōnforīre* 'to defile with ordure' (Pompon.).

Plt. *\*foriā-?*

WH tentatively propose a connection with a PIE root *\*d<sup>h</sup>er-* 'to shit', which is otherwise only attested in enlarged form in other branches of IE: Lith. *derkti* 'to make dirty' < *\*d<sup>h</sup>er-k-*, OIc. *drīta* (*dreit*), OHG *trīzan* 'cacāre' (maybe a Gm. rhyming formation to *\*skāta-* 'to shit'), Ru. dial. *dristátb* 'to suffer from diarrhoea', Bulg. *drīskam*, *drīstb*. WH admit themselves that this renders it uncertain that Latin would continue an unenlarged root form. Since 'to defecate' is often referred to by means of euphemisms, a derivation from the root *\*b<sup>h</sup>er-* 'to carry', e.g. iterative *\*b<sup>h</sup>er-b<sup>h</sup>or-je-* 'to bring (away) repeatedly' seems at least equally likely; or *foria* might be a derivative from *forāre* 'to pierce', as 'piercing' (cf. German *Durchfall*).

Bibl.: WH I: 527f., EM 247, IEW 256. → *ferō*, *forō*

**foris** 'door' [f. *i*] (Pl.+; rarely sg., usually pl. *forēs*, *-ium* ('folding doors').)

Derivatives: *forās* 'to the outside' (Naev.+), *forīs* 'on the outside' (Pl.+), *foricula* 'window-shutter' (Varro+).

Plt. *\*fwor-(i-)* > *\*for-i-*; *\*for-ā-*.

PIE *\*d<sup>h</sup>uōr-*, *\*d<sup>h</sup>ur-* 'door'. IE cognates: OIc. *dorus* [m.·u] , *Dor*, *Duir* [toponyms], W. *dor*, Bret. *dor* < PCl. *\*duorā*, *\*duro-* 'door'; Hit. *andūrza* [adv.] 'inside, indoors' < *\*h<sub>1</sub>n-d<sup>h</sup>ur-*, Skt. *dvār-* [f. (du.pl.)] 'door, gate', YAv. *duuar-*, OP *duvar(a)-* 'gate', Gr. *θυρᾶ* 'door', Myc. *o-pi-tu-ra-jo lopithuraiōil* 'door-keeper', Arm. *dowr-k'* [pl.] 'door', Alb. *derë* < *\*d(u)ōr-om/ā*, Lith. pl. *dūrys*, OCS *dvъrb* < BSl. *\*dvir-*, *\*dur-*; Go. *daur* [n.], OHG *turi*, OIc. *dyrr* < *\*d<sup>h</sup>ur-(i-)*, ToB *twere* 'door' < *\*d<sup>h</sup>uoro-*.

Lat. *forās* and *forīs* reflect the acc.pl. and loc.pl. (> ins.pl.) of an *ā*-stem *\*forā-*: hence, these developed from 'to the door' and 'at the door', respectively. The evidence points to a PIE root noun *\*d<sup>h</sup>uōr*, *\*d<sup>h</sup>uor-*, *\*d<sup>h</sup>ur-* 'door', maybe used as a plural or dual tantum; Greek, Albanian (possibly) and Latin (and Celtic) show an additional derivative *\*d<sup>h</sup>u(o)r-h<sub>2</sub>-* '(set of) door(s)'. The Latin *i*-stem was probably derived from *\*d<sup>h</sup>uor-* (cf. Schrijver 1991: 472) and may originally have been used as a singular, but it usually occurs as a plural from the earliest literary texts onwards. Sihler assumes that *foris* is a back-formation to the pl. *forēs*, which cannot be ruled out. In view of the zero grade which is reflected e.g. in Germanic and Slavic, however, the original pl. or du. seems to have had *\*d<sup>h</sup>ur-*, which would yield Latin *\*fur-ēs* (the inf. *fore* < *\*fuse* has lowering in front of *r* < *\*z* < *\*s*). Also, the sg. *foris* is attested in the oldest literature (Plautus).

Bibl.: WH I: 529f., EM 246, IEW 278f., Schrijver 1991: 471f, Sihler 1995: 148. → *forum*

**fōrma** 'form, contour, appearance; beauty' [f. *ā*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *formāre* 'to mould, fashion' (Lucr.+), *formāmentum* 'arrangement' (Lucr.), *formaster*, *-trī* 'kind of pastry' (Titin.), *formātūra* 'the shaping' (Lucr.), *formōsus* 'beautiful' (Ter.+), *formula* 'pretty appearance; register, formula, document' (Pl.+); *dēformis* 'misshapen, disfigured' (Lucil.+); *dēformāre* 'to design, sketch;

spoil' (Pl.+), *īformāre* 'to fashion, sketch' (Varro+).

EM stress the length of *o* in *fōrma*, but there seems to have been a recent lengthening of short \**o* in front of -*rC*- (Leumann 1977<sup>f</sup>: 114). Leumann derives *formaster* (with the pejorative suffix -*aster*) from *formus* 'hot', but it seems more likely that *forma* was its basis. Since *formīca* 'ant' and *formīdō* 'ghost, scarecrow' point to a dissimilation \**m* – *m* > \**f* – *m*, *forma* might go back to \**morma*. Maybe *forma* and Gr. μορφή 'form, shape, contour' were borrowed from a third party; or the Greek word was borrowed into another language, such as Etruscan, and passed thence into Latin as \**morma*.

Bibl.: WH I: 530, EM 247, Leumann 1977: 21, 114, 319, Sihler 1995: 76.

### formīca 'ant' [f. ā] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *formīcīnus* 'ant-like, crawling' (Pl.).

PIt. \**mormīkā*-.

PIE \**moru-o/ī*-(?). IE cognates: OIr. *moirb*, W. *myr*, Bret. *merien* 'ant' < \**morui*-; Skt. *vamrā*- 'ant', *valmīka*- [m.] 'ant-hill'; Av. *maoiri*-, Oss. *mælyz/mulzug*, Pašto *mežay*, Sogd. *ym'wrc* < PIr. \**marui(ka)*-, Sogd. *zm'wr*'k, Khwar. *zmwrk*, MP, MoP *mōr* < \**maruaka*-; Gr. μύρμηξ, -ηκος 'ant', μύρμος, βύρμαξ βόρμαξ, ὄρμικας (with β- and zero < \**f*-); RuCS *mravbjb*, ORu. *morovej*, Po. *mrówka*, SCr. *mrāv* 'ant' < \**moru-iH*-; Oic. *maurr* < \**mour-o*-, Far. *meyra* < \**maurōn*-, Dan. *myre*, OE *mȳre*, ME *mire*, MDu. *miere*, Crimean Go. *miera* < \**meur(j)ōn*- [f.] 'ant'; ToB *warme\**, nom.pl. *warmi* 'ant' < \**yrmo*-.

The word for 'ant' is difficult to reconstruct because of the deviating forms; probably, taboo distortions took place in many languages. This process can still be observed in modern dialects, for instance of Dutch and German. Latin *f*- might go back to \**m*- via a dissimilation of \**m*- – *m* > \**f* – *m*. Meillet (1918) assumes an intermediate stage \*[b] (voiced labial fricative), which he regards as an argument for the view that all PIE \**b*<sup>h</sup> changed to \**b̥* before yielding Latin *f*-. In the IE branches, we find the stem structures \**moru-o/ī*- (Celtic, Iranian, Slavic, Gm.), \**mormo/i*- (Greek) and \**uormo/i*- (Skt., Toch., Greek?). Since the latter is quite similar to PIE \**u(o)rmo/i*- 'worm, insect' (see s.v. *vermis*), it may have been influenced by it; consequently, for 'ant' the form \**moruo/i*- is more likely to be old.

Bibl.: WH I: 531, EM 247f., IEW 749, Leumann 1977: 191.

### formīdō, -inis 'fear, alarm, awe; bogy' [f. n] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *formīdāre* 'to fear, dread' (Naev.+), *formīdo/ulōsus* 'alarming; frightened' (Naev.+).

PIt. \**morm-īdo/en*-?

PIE \**mor-mo*- 'fear'? IE cognates: Gr. μορμά, -οῦς 'bogy, monster', μορμούσσομαι 'to frighten', μόρμορος, μόρμος 'fear'; maybe to Gr. μορμύρω 'to roar and boil'?

Leumann (1977: 341) regards *formīdulōsus* as a rhyming formation to *peric-ulōsus* 'dangerous'. The noun *formīdō* can be interpreted as the antonym of *cupīdō* 'desire' and *lubīdō* 'lust', which may explain the suffix. Since there are no verb forms \**form-(ī)*- indicating 'to fear', Latin *form-* might go back to \**morm-*, in which case a



connection with the Greek words is possible. This would imply a noun *\*mormo-* ‘fear’ as is reconstructed by IEW. There is no PIE etymology for this connection, if it is correct.

Bibl.: WH I: 532, EM 248, IEW 749, Leumann 1977: 191, 367.

**formus** ‘warm’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Paul. *ex F.*)

Derivatives: *formidus* ‘warm’ (Cato apud Paul. *ex F.*).

Plt. *\*χ<sup>w</sup>ormo-*.

PIE *\*g<sup>wh</sup>o/er-mo-* ‘warm’. IE cognates: Skt. *gharmá-* ‘heat, glow’, Av. *garāma-* ‘warm; heat’, OP *garma-pada-* ‘the fourth month (June-July)’ < *\*g<sup>wh</sup>or-mó-*; Gr. *θερμός*, Arm. *ǰerm* ‘warm’ < *\*g<sup>wh</sup>er-mó-*; maybe Alb. *zjarm* ‘heat’ < *\*g<sup>wh</sup>ermo-* (cf. de Vaan 2004b: 82); OPr. *gorme* ‘heat’, Latv. *gar̃me* ‘warmth’ *\*g<sup>wh</sup>or-mó-*.

The adj. *formidus* can be explained as modelled on its antonym *frigidus*. Theoretically, *formus* may reflect *\*g<sup>wh</sup>ormos* or *\*g<sup>wh</sup>imos*; but since no other language shows a zero grade, *\*g<sup>wh</sup>ormo-* seems more likely. The PIE vacillation in the root vowel between *\*g<sup>wh</sup>ermo-* and *\*g<sup>wh</sup>ormo-* may be due to the different verbal formations of the root, of which we find bot *\*g<sup>wh</sup>er-e/o-* ‘to heat’ and *\*g<sup>wh</sup>or-i-* ‘to be hot’, cf. LIV.

Bibl.: WH I: 532f., EM 248, IEW 493ff., Leumann 1977: 329, Schrijver 1991: 420, Meiser 1998: 103, Nussbaum 1999a: 405, LIV *\*g<sup>wh</sup>er-*. → *forceps, formus*

**fornus** ‘oven’ [m. *o*] (Varro; usually *furnus* Pl.+)

Derivatives: *fornāx* [f.] ‘furnace’ (Cato+), *fornācālia, -ōrum* [n.pl.] ‘Baking Festival’ (Varro+); *praefurnium* ‘furnace-entrance’ (Cato+).

Plt. *\*χ<sup>w</sup>orno-*.

PIE *\*g<sup>wh</sup>r-no-* ‘heat’ or ‘oven’. IE cognates: OIr. *gorn* ‘fire’ < *\*g<sup>wh</sup>or-no-*; Skt. *ghṛná-* ‘heat, glow’ [n.], *ghṛni-* ‘hot time, heat’ [f.], Alb. *zjarrë* ‘fire’ < *\*g<sup>wh</sup>er-no-* [n.] or *\*g<sup>wh</sup>er-os-* [n.] (de Vaan 2004b: 82), RuCS *gr̃no* ‘cauldron, pot, oven’ [n.], Ru. *gorn* ‘blacksmith’s hearth’ [n.], gen.sg. *górna*; *gornó* ‘blacksmith’s hearth, clay-oven’ < *\*g<sup>wh</sup>ino-*.

The original form must have been *forn-*, which became *furn-* in part of the Roman speech. The raising of *-or-* in front of a consonant seems to have been an ongoing process; it might have been dialectal, since it is regular in Sabellic. Since Skt. and Slav. show zero-grade *\*g<sup>wh</sup>r-no-*, this is also the most likely reconstruction for *formus*. Of course, in view of *formus* with a PIE *o*-grade, it is conceivable that Plt. or Latin introduced the *o*-grade into the noun, which would give *\*g<sup>wh</sup>or-no-* > *formus*.

Bibl.: WH I: 533f., EM 248, IEW 493ff., Leumann 1977: 48, Sihler 1995: 43, Meiser 1998: 64, LIV *\*g<sup>wh</sup>er-*. → *formus*

**forō, -āre** ‘to bore through, pierce’ [v. I] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *forāmen* ‘aperture, hole’ (Cato+); *inforāre* ‘to bore into’ (Pl.+), *perforāre* ‘to make a hole, pierce’ (Varro+).

Plt. *\*forajelo-*.

PIE *\*b<sup>h</sup>orH-ie/o-* ‘to pierce, strike’? Or a noun *\*b<sup>h</sup>orH-h<sub>2</sub>-* ‘hole’? IE cognates: Lith.

*bārti*, 3s. *bāra* ‘to scold, accuse, forbid’, Latv. *bārti* ‘to scold, blame’, OCS *brati* (*se*), 1s. *borjō* ‘to fight’, Ru. *borót* ‘to overpower’ < \*b<sup>h</sup>orH-, OIc. *berja* ‘to beat, hit’, OHG *berjan* ‘to hit, pound, knead’ < PGm. \**barjan-*.

Regarded as a denominal verb by WH and IEW. This is conceivable, but the *o*-grade presents in other branches of IE suggest a different solution: an iterative verb \*b<sup>h</sup>orH-(i-) ‘to pierce many times, bore’. If the final laryngeal was vocalized in Latin, we can assume a phonetic development \*b<sup>h</sup>orHje- > \**foraje-* > *forā-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 481f., EM 248, IEW 133ff., Schrijver 1991: 216, LIV \*b<sup>h</sup>erH-. → *feriō*

**fors, -tis** ‘chance, luck’ [f. *i*] (Pl.+; only nom. *fors* and abl. *forte* ‘by chance’)

Derivatives: *forsitan* ‘perhaps’ (Ter.+); *fortasse* (Pl.+), *fortassīs* ‘id.’ (Pl.+); *fortūitus* ‘by chance, random’ (Pl.+), *fortūna* ‘fortune, favourable outcome’ (Naev.+), *fortūnātus* ‘fortunate, lucky’ (Naev.+), *fortūnātīm* ‘prosperously’ (Enn.), *fortūnāre* ‘to make fortunate’ (Pl.+), *īnfortūnātus* ‘unfortunate’ (Pl.+), *īnfortūnium* ‘misfortune’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \**forti-* [f.] ‘luck’, \**fortu-* ‘luck’. It. cognates: Pael. *forte* [gen.sg.?] \**forteis*, maybe borrowed from Latin.

PIE \*b<sup>h</sup>r-ti- ‘bearing, case’? IE cognates: see s.v. *ferō*.

The adv. *forsitan* derives from \**fors sīt an* ‘perchance it be that’. The origin of *fortasse* seems to be \**forte an sīt/s*, but the phonetics (especially the short final vowel) are unclear. The noun *fortūna* and the adj. *fortu-ītus* presuppose a *u*-stem \**fortu-* ‘chance, luck’, whence an adj. \**fortūno-* ‘lucky’. The precise origin of *-ītus* in *fortu-ītus* and in its semantic neighbour *gratu-ītus* ‘free of charge’ is unclear. The semantic shift from ‘load’ or ‘the carrying’ to ‘chance, luck’ is not obvious, and EM go so far as to reject the connection between *fors* and *ferō*. Yet the co-occurrence of *ti-* and *tu-*stems seems old, and there are many ways in which a meaning ‘chance, luck’ can originate.

Bibl.: WH I: 534f., EM 249, IEW 128ff., Leumann 1977: 323, Untermann 2000: 304, LIV \*b<sup>h</sup>er-. → *ferō*

**fortis** ‘strong, robust’ [adj. *i*] (Lex XII+; variant *horctus, forctus, forctis* ‘good’ Festus 348, Paul. *ex F.* 84, 102)

Derivatives: *fortitūdō* ‘strength, courage’ (Ter.+).

Plt. \**forkti/o-*. It. cognates: O. *fortis* [comp., nom.acc.sg.n., adv.] ‘stronger’; maybe borrowed from Latin.

PIE \*b<sup>h</sup>org-to- ‘stuffed’? \*d<sup>h</sup>(o)rǵ<sup>h</sup>-to- ‘strong, robust’? IE cognates: Skt. caus: *nī barhayati* ‘to lay low’, pr. \**byṃha-*, aor. *barh-* ‘to make strong’, *pāri-byḍha-* [ppp.] ‘firm, strong’, *dvibārhas-* [adj.] ‘with double strength’, *bṛhánt-* ‘great, large’, YAv. *us . . . barəzaia-* ‘to make strong / big’, *barəzah-* [n.] ‘height’, *barašna* [ins.sg.] ‘elevated place, height’, YAv. *barəzant-* ‘rising high, high, loud’ < b<sup>h</sup>(e)rǵ<sup>h</sup>-, OIr. *brí* ‘hill’, *Brigit* (woman’s name), Arm. *barjr* ‘high’, ToA *pārkar* ‘long’ // Skt. *dṛṃha-* ‘to make firm’, pf. *dādṛhāná-* [ptc.med.] ‘holding fast’, ptc. *dṛḍhá-* (< \**dṛḍhá-*) ‘fixed, firm, solid’, OAv. *dīdarəžō* [2s.desid.inj.act.] ‘to desire to fasten’, *dərəz-* ‘fetter’, YAv. *darəzaia-* ‘to fasten’, *dərəzra-* ‘strong, firm’; Lith. *dir̃žti* ‘to become hard’.

The form and meaning are not specific enough to decide on the etymology. In view of the adj. meaning, one would expect the suffix to have been \*-to- rather than \*-ti-. The root may have a structure \*b<sup>h</sup>/d<sup>h</sup>/g<sup>wh</sup> + (o)r + K-. Within Latin, *farciō* ‘to stuff’ seems the best semantic match which would also satisfy the phonetics: PIE \*b<sup>h</sup>org-to- would yield \**forcto-*. A connection with PIE \*b<sup>h</sup>erǵ<sup>h</sup>- ‘high, elevated’ (WH) does not explain the meaning of *fortis*. Alternatively, one might connection *fortis* with the Ilr. and Baltic forms for ‘to make firm, become hard’; but only if these continue PIE \*d<sup>h</sup>-, not if they continue PIE \*d- (as LIV suspect). Semantically, this would be satisfactory.

Bibl.: WH I: 535f., EM 249f., IEW 140f., Untermann 2000: 304f., LIV \*b<sup>h</sup>erǵ<sup>h</sup>- / \*d<sup>h</sup>erǵ<sup>h</sup>-. → *firmus*

**forum** ‘market place, public space; place where the fruit was laid for pressing (Cato+)’ [n. o; *forus* Lucil., Pompon., CIL] (Lex XII+)

Derivatives: *forus* ‘deck (on a ship); passage (in a beehive); rows of benches (in a stadium)’ (Enn.+), *forēnsis* ‘of the forum, public’ (Varro+).

PIt. \**fwo-ro-* ‘(room) near the door’. It. cognates: U. *furu*, *furo* [acc.sg.] ‘forum’.

PIE \*d<sup>h</sup>uor-o- ‘(room near the) door’. IE cognates: Skt. *dvāram* [n.] ‘door, gate, passage’, Lith. *dvāras* [m.] ‘estate; court’, OCS *dvorъ* ‘court’, PTo. \**twere* ‘door’.

WH interpret *forum* as ‘fenced area’ to the root of *forāre*, but Pokorny 1959 rejects this. *Forum* is generally regarded as a derivative of PIE ‘door’, and connected with other IE forms from \*d<sup>h</sup>uor-o-. The required semantic development is ‘area at the doors’ > ‘entrance room, vestibule’ > ‘public room’ > ‘public space’; this is not so problematic as to overrule the formal correspondences with Lith. *dvāras*.

Bibl.: WH I: 537f., EM 250, IEW 278f., Meiser 1986: 116, Schrijver 1991: 471f., Sihler 1995: 180, Untermann 2000: 305. → *foris*

**fovea** ‘pit’ [f. ā] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *favīsaefavissae* [f.pl.] ‘vaults, subterranean chambers’ (Gel.+).

The connection with Gr. χεία, H. χείη ‘serpent’s den’ must be dismissed. It is uncertain that *fovea* and *favīsaefavissae* belong together, as their etymology is unknown.

Bibl.: WH I: 538, EM 250, IEW 451, Schrijver 1991: 448.

**foveō** ‘to make or keep warm; to relieve’ [v. II; pf. *fōvī*, ppp. *fōtum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *fōculum* ‘device for warming’ (Pl.), *fōculāre* ‘to revive, cherish’ (Varro+); *fōmentum* ‘soothing application, remedy’ (Hor.+), *fōmes*, -*itis* [m.] ‘chips of wood’ (Verg.+), *fōtus*, -*ūs* ‘heating’ (Plin.).

PIt. \**foχ<sup>v</sup>eje/o-*.

PIE \*d<sup>h</sup>og<sup>wh</sup>-eie- ‘to burn’. IE cognates: Mlr. *daig*, gen.sg. *dega* ‘fire, pain’; Skt. *dāhati*, caus. *dāhāyati*, YAv. *dažaiti* ‘burns’, Gr. τέφρα ‘ashes’, Alb. *djeg* ‘to burn’, *n-dez* ‘to ignite’; Lith. *dėgti* ‘to burn’, OCS *žěsti*, 1s. *žěgō*, Toch. *tsāk-* ‘to burn up, consume by fire’, *tsāk-<sup>l</sup>* ‘to illuminate’.

Schrijver 1991: 278 concludes that *fō-* probably reflects unsynopated \**fowi-* < \**fowe-*. Thus, *fōculum* < \**fowe-culum*, *fōmentum* < \**fowe-mentum*. Apart from

*fōculum*, all derivatives appear quite late. The pf. *fōvī* has developed by contraction from *\*fowe-wai*. *Foveō* escaped the delabialization of *\*ou* > *\*au* because *\*g<sup>wh</sup>* had not changed into *\*u* at that time (Schrijver 1991, Vine 2006a: 212).

Bibl.: WH I: 466f., EM 250, IEW 240f., Schrijver 1991: 277ff., 448, Meiser 1998: 206, LIV *\*d<sup>h</sup>eg<sup>uh</sup>*. → *favilla*, *febris*

**fracēs, -um** ‘fragments of olive pulp left after pressing’ [f.pl. *k*] (Cato+; sg. *frax* (gloss.), pl. also *flacēs*)

Derivatives: *fracidus* ‘soft, pulpy’ (Cato), *fracēscere* ‘to become soft’ (Cato+), *cōnfracēscere* ‘to putrify, rot’ (Varro).

PIt. *\*frak-*.

IE cognates: OPr. *dragios* ‘yeast’, Lith. *drāgēs*, Latv. *dradži*, OCS *droždbye* ‘dregs’ < BSl. *\*dro(z)gi(?)a?*; OIc. *dregg* ‘yeast, dregs’. Alb. *dra* ‘dregs, sediment’ (maybe to *dregē* ‘scab, sore’, Demiraj 1997) may have *\*drag-*, but *\*drab-* also seems possible. With *\*-b-*: MĪr. *drab* ‘dredgs’, Ru. *drob* ‘yeast’, OHG pl. *trebir* ‘husks (of grapes)’ < *\*d<sup>h</sup>rab<sup>h</sup>-*. PGm. *\*drōsna-* in OE *drōsne*, OHG *truosana*, MoDu. *droesem* ‘dregs’.

The spelling *fracc-* may have been influenced by *flacceō* ‘to decline in strength’, *flaccus* ‘drooping, floppy’, which are semantically quite close. *Flacēs* may have *-l-* from *flocēs*. The Gm., BSl. and Latin words for ‘dregs’ clearly belong together, but their vowels do not match, and point to a non-IE *\*a*. The velars do not match either, but Latin voiceless *\*k* may have arisen in the nom.sg. *\*praks* and thence spread through the paradigm. It appears that we are dealing with a loanword from an unknown, non-IE language, or from a lost IE language in which the root *\*d<sup>h</sup>reg<sup>h</sup>-* ‘to drag’ or *\*d<sup>h</sup>reh<sub>2</sub>g<sup>h</sup>-* ‘to soil’ yielded a form *\*d<sup>h</sup>rag<sup>h</sup>-* ‘dredges of wine, oil, fat’.

Bibl.: WH I: 538f., EM 251, IEW 251, Schrijver 1991: 486.

**fragrō, -āre** ‘to smell strongly’ [v. I] (Cat.+)

PIt. *\*fragro-*.

PIE *\*b<sup>h</sup>rh<sub>1</sub>g-ro-* ‘smelling’. IE cognates: MĪr. *brén* ‘putrid, foul’, MW *braen*, B *brein* ‘putrid, corrupt’ < PCI. *\*bragno-* < *\*b<sup>h</sup>rh<sub>1</sub>g-no-*; OĪr. *braig(a)id\**, *braig* ‘to fart, break wind’ < *\*brag-ie-*, OĪr. *broimm*, W. *bram*, Co. Bret. *bramm* ‘fart’ < *\*brag-smn*; MHG *bræhen* < *\*brēkjan* ‘to smell’ < *\*b<sup>h</sup>reh<sub>1</sub>g-*; OHG *braccho*, MLG, MDu. *bracke* ‘hound’ < *\*b<sup>h</sup>rh<sub>1</sub>g-n-*.

Schrijver adopts the etymology preferred by WH and IEW, viz. of an adj. *\*fragros* from which the verb *fragrāre* was derived. The postulated *\*fragros* can reflect PIE *\*b<sup>h</sup>rh<sub>1</sub>g-ro-*; if one connects the Gm. words cited here, the laryngeal is *\*h<sub>1</sub>*. Leumann has proposed a connection with Skt. *ava-ghrāti* ‘smells’, *abhi-jighrant-* ‘smelling’, but Schrijver shows that this is formally very difficult. In 1995, Schrijver connects the Celtic words cited. Schumacher is hesitant to build on MHG *bræhen*, and reconstructs the root as *\*b<sup>h</sup>rh<sub>1</sub>g-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 540, EM 251, IEW 163, Leumann 1977: 166, Schrijver 1991: 185f., 1995: 170f., Schumacher 2004: 232.

**frāga, -ōrum** ‘strawberry’ [n.pl. o] (Vergilius+)

Plt. *frāgo-*.

IE cognates: Alb. (Eastern Geg) *drathe*, (Tosk) *dredhë* ‘strawberry’.

The meaning of *frāga* closely matches that of Alb. *dredhë*, and the two may reflect \*d<sup>h</sup>rHǵ-o-. It seems unlikely that the word indicated ‘strawberry’ in PIE, however, and it may well be a loanword. An alternative connection of *frāga* with Gr. *ῥαῖς*, *ῥαγός* ‘grape’, *ῥώξ* ‘grape’ is possible if one starts from \**srāg-*; both the phonological form and the diverging meanings ‘strawberry’ and ‘grape’ would then point to a third (non-IE?) language from which the word was borrowed.

Bibl.: WH I: 540, EM 251, Schrijver 1991: 177, Demiraj 1997: 144.

**frangō, -ere** ‘to break’ [v. III; pf. *frēgī*, ppp. *frāctum*] (Lex XII+)

Derivatives: *fragēscere* ‘to become subdued’ (Acc.), *fragilis* ‘fragile, crackling’ (Lucr.+), *fragmen* ‘a piece broken off’ (Sis.+), *fragmentum* ‘fragment’ (Lucil.+), *fragor* ‘the breaking, crash, roar’ (Lucr.+), *fragōsus* ‘brittle, rugged’ (Lucr.+); *cōnfringere* ‘to destroy, ruin’ (Andr.+), *cōnfragōsus* ‘uneven, difficult’ (Pl.+), *dēfringere* ‘to break off’ (Cato+), *diffringere* ‘to break up’ (Pl.+), *effringere* ‘to break open’ (Pl.+), *īnfringere* ‘to break, crush, deprive of’ (Pl.+), *interfringere* ‘to break (here and there)’ (Cato), *offringere* ‘to break up by cross-ploughing’ (Varro+), *perfringere* ‘to break, fracture’ (Lucr.+), *praefringere* ‘to break at the end’ (Pl.+), *refringere* ‘to break back, force open’ (Pl.+), *suffringere* ‘to break the lower part of’ (Pl.+); compounds in *-fragium* ‘the breaking’, *-fragus* ‘who breaks’.

Plt. \**frang-* [pr.], \**fragto-* [ppp.].

PIE \*b<sup>h</sup>r-n-ǵ- [pr.] ‘to break’, \*b<sup>h</sup>rǵ-to- [ppp.]. IE cognates: Go. *brikan*, OHG *brehhan* ‘to break’ < \*b<sup>h</sup>reg/ǵ-.

The long vowel of *frāctus* is due to Lachmann’s Law. Schrijver 1991 argues that Latin and Irish have continued a PIE root present \*b<sup>h</sup>reǵ-/ \*b<sup>h</sup>rǵ- in different ways, whereas LIV opts for an old athematic aorist. The latter seems more likely in view of the meaning. Since the Irish forms which Schrijver connected in 1991 are now connected with *fragrāre*, it may be that Gm. continues the old root aorist, and Latin the nasal present.

Bibl.: WH I: 539, 541, EM 251, IEW 165, Schrijver 1991: 137, 478, 483f., LIV \*b<sup>h</sup>re(ǵ)-. → *suffrāgium*

**frāter, -trīs** ‘brother; member of a fraternity, friend’ [m. r] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *frāterculus* ‘little brother’ (Pl.+), *frāterculāre* ‘to swell up (of a boy’s breasts at puberty)’ (Pl.); *frāternus* ‘of a brother’ (Pac.+).

Plt. \**frāter-*. It. cognates: Ven. [f.] *hraterēi* [dat.sg.], U. *frater*, *frater* [nom.pl.], O. *fratrūm*, U. *fratrum*, *fratru*, *fratrom* [gen.pl.], *fratrus* [dat.pl.], *fratruspe(r)* [abl.pl. + *-per*] ‘brother’; U. *fratreks*, *fratrexs* [nom.sg.m.], *fratrecci* [dat.sg.m.], *fratreca* [abl.sg.f.] ‘belonging to the brotherhood’ \**frātr-iko-*; U. *fratreccate* [loc.sg.?] ‘the office of a *fratres*’ < \**frātrikāto-*.

PIE \*b<sup>h</sup>rēh<sub>2</sub>-tr- ‘brother’. IE cognates: OIr. *bráthair*, W. *brawd*; Skt. *bhrātar-*, Av. OP *brātar-* ‘brother’, Gr. *φράτηρ* [m.] ‘member of a brotherhood’, *ἀφρήτωρ* ‘not

bound by social ties', Phryg. βρωταρε 'brothers', Arm. *elbayr* 'brother', OPr. *brāti, brote*, Lith. *brólis*, Latv. *brālis*, OCS *bratrъ, bratъ*, Go. *broþar*, OHG *bruoder*, OIc. *bróðir*, ToB *procer*, ToA *prācar* 'brother'.

For PIE 'brother', Pinault (2007: 276f.) assumes an etymology \*b<sup>h</sup>r-éh<sub>2</sub>- 'group of males borne by the same mother' > \*b<sup>h</sup>réh<sub>2</sub>-tr 'belonging to the \*b<sup>h</sup>réh<sub>2</sub>'. In his view, kinship terms in PIE \*-t(e)r- contain the "contrastive" suffix \*-t(e)r which is also found in adverbs, e.g. Latin *subter*.

Bibl.: WH I: 541f., EM 252, IEW 163f., Lejeune 1974: 335, Schrijver 1991: 178, Untermann 2000: 293-295.

**fraus, -dis** 'harm, danger; deceit' [f. d] (Lex XII+)

Derivatives: *fraudāre* 'to cheat, swindle' (Pl.+), (pf. *fraudāvī* and *frausus sum*), *fraudātiō* 'cheating' (Pl.+), *dēfraudāre* 'to cheat' (Pl.+); *fraudulentus* 'dishonest' (Pl.+), *fraudentia* 'dishonesty' (Pl.); *frūstra* 'in vain' (later *frūstrā*) (Pl.+), *frūstrārī* 'to delude; frustrate' (Pl.+), *frūstrātiō* 'deception, trick' (Pl.+), *frūstrātus, -ūs* 'deception' (Pl.), *frūstrāmen* 'id.' (Lucr.), *dēfrūstrārī* 'to foil completely' (Pl.).

PIt. \**frawV-*. It. cognates: U. *frosetom est* [3s.pf.ps.] 'is not valid (?)' < \**frauss-ito-* < intensive formation on the basis of \**fraud-to-?* (Meiser 1986: 242).

PIE \*d<sup>h</sup>rou-*V-d*<sup>(h)</sup>-? IE cognates: Skt. *dhrūti-* 'deception, error', *-dhrūt-* 'deceiving', YAv. *drāuuaiiāt* 'will deceive', Parth. *dr'w-* 'to seduce' < \*d<sup>h</sup>r(o)u-.

Lat. *frūstra* is pl. of \**frūstrum* < \**fraud-tro-*. Szemerényi 1989: 33ff. and Schrijver 1991: 444, independently of one another, derive *fraus* from PIE \*d<sup>h</sup>reug<sup>h</sup>- 'to deceive', but not in the same way. Szemerényi posits an abstract \*d<sup>h</sup>reug<sup>h</sup>-os, which would have yielded a paradigm \**frōs*, \**frōris*, whence with diss. \**frōdis*, and with hypercorrect *au* for urban *ō* finally *fraus*. These assumptions (\**eu* > \**ō*, the dissimilation and the hypercorrection) are ad hoc and render the solution unlikely. Schrijver postulates that *fraus* derives from a PIE root \*d<sup>h</sup>ru- as reflected in Skt. *dhrūti-* 'deception', *varuṇa-dhrūt-* 'deceiving Varuna', Parth. *dr'w* 'to deceive'. He then posits \*d<sup>h</sup>rou-*V-d*<sup>(h)</sup>- whence \**frowVd-* and with unrounding of \**ow* > \**frawVd-* > *fraud-*. For *frūstra*, Schrijver reconstructs \*d<sup>h</sup>rou-*C-* or \*d<sup>h</sup>reu-*(V)C-*. This solution is relatively elegant on the phonetic side, but the status of the reconstructed suffix remains unclear. According to the rule established by Vine 2006a, the first syllable should have been pretonic: \**frou-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 543, EM 252, 257, IEW 277, Szemerényi 1989: 33ff., Schrijver 1991: 444, Untermann 2000: 301, LIV 2.\*d<sup>h</sup>reug-.

**fraxinus** 'ash-tree' [f. o] (Enn.+)

PIt. \**frakse/ino-*.

PIE \*b<sup>h</sup>rHg-s-e/ino-. IE cognates: Skt. *bhūrjā-* 'kind of birch', Oss. *bærz / bærzæ* 'birch', OPr. *berse*, Lith. *béržas*, Latv. *bērzis*, CS *brěza*, Ru. *berěza*, OIc. *björk*, OHG *birihha* 'birch'.

The quantity of the *a* is unknown, but Schrijver convincingly argues that short *ā* is more likely. All existing etymologies start from the assumption that *fraxinus* is cognate with the word for 'birch' in other IE languages. Schrijver proposes the

following scenario: a PIE root noun *\*b<sup>h</sup>erHǵ-/ \*b<sup>h</sup>rHǵ-* ‘birch’ acquired the meaning ‘ash-tree’, and became formally influenced by the PIE paradigm of *\*Heh<sub>3</sub>-s-* ‘ash’ (Lat. *ornus*). The new *s*-stem *\*b<sup>h</sup>erHǵ-(ō)s*, gen.sg. *\*b<sup>h</sup>rHǵ-s-os* ‘ash’ was extended by means of the suffix *\*-eno-* or *\*-ino-* (cf. *alnus, farnus, ornus, quernus*). The resulting *\*b<sup>h</sup>rHǵ-s-e/ino-* yielded *\*frak-s-e/ino-* by means of Schrijver’s vocalization rule of *\*CRHDC > \*CraCC*. If PIE ‘birch’ is indeed derived from the root *\*b<sup>h</sup>reh<sub>1</sub>ǵ-* ‘to shine’, it probably refers to the white bark of the birch. But the full grade in the word for ‘birch’ (in BSl. and Gm.) would have schwebe-ablaut with regard to the verbal forms Skt. *bhrája-*, YAv. *brāza-* ‘shines’.

Bibl.: WH I: 544, EM 252, IEW 139f., Schrijver 1991: 186ff., LIV *\*b<sup>h</sup>reh<sub>1</sub>ǵ-*. → *farnus, ornus*

**fremō, -ere** ‘to utter a deep dull continuous sound’ [v. III; *fremuī, fremitum*] (Enn.+)  
Derivatives: *fremitus, -ūs* ‘roar, rumble’ (Pl.+), *fremibundus* ‘roaring, growling’ (Acc.+); *perfremere* ‘to fill a place with roaring’ (Acc.).

Plt. *\*freme/o-*.

PIE *\*b<sup>h</sup>rem-e/o-* ‘to hum, rumble’. IE cognates: W. *brefu* ‘to bleat, roar’, Parth. *brm-*, MP *brām-* ‘to cry’ < Ilr. *\*brama-*, Po. *brzmieć* ‘to hum, sound’, OHG *pram* [pret.], MHG *brimmen* ‘to roar’.

The reconstruction of the PIE root is not completely certain: especially from the point of view of Latin morphology, a *ṣet* root is also conceivable (Meiser 2003).

Bibl.: WH I: 544f., EM 252f., IEW 142f., Meiser 2003: 125, LIV *\*b<sup>h</sup>rem-*.

**frendō, -ere** ‘to grind one’s teeth’ [v. III; ppp. *frēsum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *nefrēns, -ndis* ‘not able to chew yet, infant’ (Andr.+).

Plt. *\*χ<sup>u</sup>rend-*.

PIE *\*g<sup>wh</sup>rend<sup>(h)</sup>-e/o-*. IE cognates: Lith. *gręsti*, Is. *gréndžiu* ‘to plane, scour’ < *\*-nd-*, OE *grindan* ‘to grind’, Go. *\*grinds* ‘ground, crushed’, OHG *grint*, MoDu. *grind* ‘gravel’, OIc. *grandi* ‘sandbank’, OIc. *grunnr*, Go. *grundu-* ‘ground, base’ < *\*-nd<sup>h</sup>-*.

The morphology of the adj. *ne-frend-* ‘not grinding its teeth’ is odd: one would expect *\*in-frend-* (Bader 1962: 37). Livingston 2004: 67f. argues that *ne-frend-* is a recent reformation of *\*in-frend-*, to avoid semantic ambiguity with *\*frendere* ‘*in* biting (on)’. She assumes that *nefrēns* was modeled on *dēprāns* ‘not eating’ (Naev.+). For PIE, it is impossible to unite the Latin, Baltic and Gm. words under one certain preform: Gm. must have *\*-d<sup>h</sup>-*, whereas Baltic need *\*-d-* (Winter’s Law). Are these different dental suffixes to an earlier root *\*g<sup>wh</sup>ren-*?

Bibl.: WH I: 545, EM 253, IEW 459, Schrijver 1991: 186, Sihler 1995: 163f., LIV *\*g<sup>(u)</sup>rend-*.

**frēnum** ‘horse’s bridle or harness’ [n. o; nom.acc.pl. usually *frēnī, frēnōs*] (Acc.+)

Derivatives: *infrenāre* ‘to curb, restrain’ (Acc.+), *effrēnātus* ‘unrestrained’ (Acc.+), *refrēnāre* ‘to hold back, restrain’ (Varro+).

Plt. *\*frēno-*.

PIE *\*d<sup>h</sup>r-eh<sub>1</sub>-no-* ‘holding’.

The nom.pl. *frēnī* (usual in prose instead of *frēna*) possibly continues a PIE n. dual ending \*-oih<sub>1</sub> (Sommer 1914: 335). EM propose an etymology *\*freds-no-m* (to *frendō*) ‘what the horses chew on’, vjz. ‘what they have in their mouth’. This is possible, but not very straightforward. Also, this presupposes that *frendere* has a nasal infix to a root *\*fred-*, which is uncertain (see s.v. *frendō*). WH in their turn connect *frēnum* with *frētus* ‘relying on’, which EM reject without telling why. I think that it is semantically and formally more straightforward. It would presuppose a stem *\*frē-* ‘to support, rely’, for which see s.v. *frētus*.

Bibl.: WH I: 546, EM 253, IEW 252ff., LIV \*d<sup>h</sup>er-. → *frētus*

**frequēns** ‘occurring at close intervals’ [adj. *nt*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *frequentāre* ‘to populate, occupy, visit’ (Pl.+), *īnfrequens* ‘not crowded, absent’ (Pl.+); *fraxāre* ‘to go the watchman’s rounds’ (Paul. *ex F.*), *flaxāre* ‘id.’ (gloss.).

Nussbaum 2007b connects *frequēns* with *fraxāre*. Semantically, this is quite attractive. Formally, the forms could go back to a root *\*b<sup>h</sup>rk<sup>w</sup>-*: *frequens* < *\*b<sup>h</sup>rek<sup>w</sup>-*, whereas the zero grade *\*b<sup>h</sup>rks-* would yield *\*farks-* (> *\*farsā-*) by Schrijver’s rule (1991: 495f.). The preform *\*farks-* could then have been leveled to *\*fraks-* by analogy with *\*frek<sup>w</sup>-*. Note that a *\*b<sup>h</sup>rek<sup>w</sup>-* would have an unusual PIE root structure \*D<sup>h</sup>-T. Alternatively, *frequens* has been connected with *farciō* (WH, Eichner 1982: 19), which is semantically less straightforward. See s.v. *farciō* for further considerations.

Bibl.: WH I: 456, EM 253, IEW 110f., LIV \*b<sup>h</sup>rek<sup>w</sup>-. → *farciō*

**fretum** ‘sea strait’ [n. *o*] (Naev.+; also *fretus*, -ūs / -ī)

Derivatives: *fretāle* ‘frying-pan’ (Apicius)?

Schrijver defends the etymology *\*b<sup>h</sup>r-eto-* ‘which seethes’ to the root of *fermentum*, *ferveō*, but I see little support for it. The meanings ‘raging, swelling’ (Lucretius) are clearly derived from ‘strait, channel’. Formally, the suffix *\*-eto-* usually takes the zero-grade of the root only in compounds (cf. Vine 1998a), or when derived from existing nouns (νιφετός). No good other etymology exists. Note that PIE \*D<sup>h</sup>-T is not a canonical root structure, so that we cannot reconstruct a root *\*b<sup>h</sup>rt-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 546f., EM 253, IEW 132f., Schrijver 1991: 255.

**frētus** ‘relying on’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Naev.+)

Plt. *\*frēto-*. It. cognates: U. *frite* [abl./loc.sg. or adv.] ‘relying on’ or ‘with the aid of’ from *\*frēt(o)-*.

PIE \*d<sup>h</sup>r-eh<sub>1</sub>-to-. IE cognates: see s.v. *firmus*.

Since the PIE root did not end in a laryngeal, the only way to explain *frētus* as a ptc. is to a (stative) verb ‘to rely’ in *\*-ē-*, like EM suggest. This would require *\*-eh<sub>1</sub>-to-* in the vein of Nussbaum 1999a: 409, who discusses the type *acētum* ‘vinegar’ to *acēre* ‘to be sour’. The basic verb might be *\*d<sup>h</sup>er-* ‘to hold’, unattested as a verb but continued in *firmus* and *ferē*, or *\*b<sup>h</sup>er-* ‘to bear’ as in *ferre*. Semantically, since *\*d<sup>h</sup>er-* is an aoristic root, it seems more likely that this would have served to derive a stative verb: ‘to be holding’.



Bibl.: WH I: 505, EM 253f., IEW 253, Untermann 2000: 298, LIV \*d<sup>h</sup>er-. → *ferē*, *firmus*, *frēnum*

**frīgō**, -ere 'to roast' [v. III]; *frīxī* [not in CLat.], *frīctum* (Pl.+)

It. cognates: maybe U. *frehtef* [acc.pl.]'?', *frehtu* '?' (object).

IE cognates: Gr. φρῦγω 'to roast'.

Since the Greek verb is very close in form and meaning, but cannot be matched by means of sound laws, the verb might be a loanword from a third party, or from Greek into Latin in an indirect way. Giacomelli 1994: 36 assumes a Greek loanword, of which *ph-* >> Lat. *f-* and *u* >> Lat. *i* show that it does not belong to the oldest layer of Greek loanwords. MMP *bryz* 'to roast' < PIr. \**bra(i)j-* has secondary *i*-vowels, cf. Cheung 2007. The absence of a *pf* in CLat. (*frīxī* only attested in grammarians) could also point to a loanword (M. Weiss, p.c.).

Bibl.: WH I: 548, EM 254, IEW 137, Schrijver 1991: 256, Untermann 2000: 296.

**frīgus**, -oris 'cold, frost' [n. r] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *frīgēre* 'to be cold, lack vigour' (Andr.+; pf. *frīxī*), *frīgēfactāre* 'to make cold' (Pl.), *frīgēscere* 'to become cool' (Cato+), *frīgīdus* 'cold' (Pl.+), *frīgīdārium* 'cold room' (Lucil.+), *frīgēdō* 'cold' (Varro); *perfrīgēfacere* 'to make very cold' (Pl.), *perfrīgēscere* 'to become very cold' (Varro+), *refrīgerāre* 'to cool down (tr.)' (Cato+), *refrīgēscere* 'to cool down (intr.)' (Cato+).

PIt. \**srīgos-* [n.], \**srīgēd-n-*.

PIE \**sriHǵ-o/es-* 'frost, bitter cold'. IE cognates: Gr. ῥῖγος 'cold, frost', ῥῖγιον 'colder', pf. ἔρριγα 'to be cold', pr. ῥιγέω (Pi.+), ῥιγεδανός 'ghastly, terrible'.

In view of the zero grade in the root, which is unusual for *s*-stems, Schrijver considers the possibility that Gr. ῥῖγος and Latin *frīgus* are independent formations. In his view, *frīgus* might be derived from *frīgēre*, a stative verb with regular zero grade. However, the productive process in Latin usually yields *s*-stems in *-ōr*, *-ōris* from verbs in *-ēre*; hence *frīgus* seems older than this process. It seems more likely that the root had zero grade throughout in PIE, or in the derivative from which Gr. and Lat. took their reflexes. According to Nussbaum 2004a, Latin *frīgēdō* and Gr. ῥιγεδανός jointly point to an apparent *n*-stem \**srīgēd-(ō)n-*, from earlier \**srīge-(e)d-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 547, EM 254, IEW 1004, Schrijver 1991: 231, Stüber 2002: 152, LIV ?\**sreǵH(ǵ)-*.

**friō**, -āre 'to pulverize, crumble' [v. I] (Varro+)

Derivatives: *īnfriāre* 'to crumble ingredients in or on' (Cato+); *fricāre* 'to rub, chafe' (Pl.+; pf. *fricuī* >> *fricāvī*, ppp. *fric(ā)tum*), *circumfricāre* 'to rub round about' (Cato), *cōnfricāre* 'to rub, massage' (Pl.+), *dēfricātē* [adv.] 'sharply, keenly' (Naev.), *perfricāre* 'to rub all over' (Cato+), *refricāre* 'to rub again' (Cato+); *frīvolus* [adj.] 'worthless, insignificant' (Phaedrus+), *frīvolāria* 'a play about trifles' (Pl. apud Varronem), *frīvusculum* 'slight quarrel' (Ulp.), *refrīvus* 'shredded' (Fest., Plin.).

PIt. \**frī-ā-*, \**fri-k-*, \**fri-wo-*.

PIE \**b<sup>h</sup>riH-o-*, \**b<sup>h</sup>riH-uo-* 'cut'. IE cognates: OIr. *bried\**, *bria* 'to hurt, damage' <

\**brei-ase/o-* [pr.sb.], Skt. pr. *bhrīnānti*, YAv. *pairi.brīna-* ‘to cut (around)’, *brōiθra-* ‘blade’; OCS *briti*, Cz. *bříti* (arch.) ‘to shave’.

The verb *friāre* can be denominal to an adj. \**frio-* < \**b<sup>h</sup>riH-o-*, while *re-frīvus* and *frīvolus* continue an adj. \**b<sup>h</sup>riH-uo-*. The v. *fricāre* presupposes an adj. \**fri-ko-*, which was probably built secondarily to \**frio-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 549, EM 255, IEW 166f., Schumacher 2004: 235, LIV \**b<sup>h</sup>reiH-*.

**frōns, -ondis** ‘foliage, leaves’ [f. *nd*] (Enn.+; var. *fruns, frund-* a few times in Enn.)

Derivatives: *frondēre* ‘to have leaves’ (Cato+), *frondēscere* ‘to become leafy’ (Enn.+), *frondōsus* ‘leafy’ (Enn.+); *frondifer* ‘leaf-bearing’ (Naev.+).

WH hesitatingly connect Germanic words in \**b<sup>h</sup>rm-* for ‘brim, bud’, whereas EM regard the etymology as unsure. Solmsen (*ZVS* 35, 474ff.) compared Ru. *děrn* ‘lawn, grass’, Gr. *ῥόνα* ‘herbs, flowers’, which might go back to a stem \**d<sup>h</sup>r(o)n-*. Yet in order to connect Lat. *frond-* to this, a suffix \**-d-* or \**-di-* must be added, which makes the comparison less likely. Of course, the Greek and Latin forms might go back to an identical Mediterranean substrate word of floral character. Alternatively, one might think of a stem \**b<sup>h</sup>r-n-* ‘load, yield, fruit’ to the root \**b<sup>h</sup>er-*, the nom.sg. of which would have been \**b<sup>h</sup>(e)rōn*. To this, a suffix \**-d<sup>h</sup>-* could have been added (as in *glāns* ‘acom’), yielding \**b<sup>h</sup>r-on-d<sup>h</sup>-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 550f., EM 255, IEW 142.

**frōns, -ontis** ‘forehead, brow; front’ [f. *nt(i)*] (Naev.+; Pl.+ also m.; gen.pl. *frontium*)

No plausible etymology. WH and IEW connect several Celtic and Germanic words in \**b<sup>h</sup>rVnT-* meaning ‘side’ or ‘steep’, but their meaning is not specific enough. Semantically, it is tempting to compare *frōns* with PIE \**h<sub>3</sub>b<sup>h</sup>ruH-* ‘eyebrow’, but a putative formation \**h<sub>3</sub>b<sup>h</sup>rōuH-nt-* > \**frōwant-* would have a suffix \**-nt-* that remains unaccounted for, and might phonetically rather be expected to yield \**frūnt-*. If *frendō* ‘to grind one’s teeth’ is indeed an extension of a root \**g<sup>wh</sup>ren-*, *front-* might reflect \**g<sup>wh</sup>ron-t-* ‘the side where the mouth is, front’, vel sim. But this is very speculative, of course.

Bibl.: WH I: 551, EM 255, IEW 167.

**fruor, fruī** ‘to enjoy (the produce of)’ [v. III; ppp. *frūctum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *frūctus, -ūs* ‘enjoyment, revenue; fruit, produce’ (Lex XII+), *frūctuārius* ‘fruit-bearing, usufructuary’ (Varro+), *frūctuōsus* ‘fruitful, profitable’ (Varro+); *frūx, -ūgis* [f.] ‘crop, yield (sg.), fruit(s) (pl.)’ (Lex XII+; esp. pl. *frūgēs*), *frūgī* [\*dat.sg. of *frūx*] ‘having merit, good, valuable’; *frūgāliter* ‘economically’ (Pl.+), *frūgālior* ‘having more merit, more honest’ (Pl.+); *frūmentum* ‘fruit of plants, corn, grain’ (Pl.+), *frūmentārius* ‘of corn’ (Cato+), *frūmentārius* [m.] ‘a dealer in corn’ (Pl.+); *frūniscere, frūnītus sum* ‘to enjoy’ (Pl.+); *frūgifer* ‘fruitful, productive’ (Enn.), *frūgiferēns* ‘fruitful’ (Lucr.), *frūgiparus* ‘giving birth to fruits’ (Lucr.).

Plt. pr. \**frūg-je/o-*, root noun \**frūg-*. It. cognates: U. *frif, fri* [acc.pl.] ‘fruits’ < \**b<sup>h</sup>rūg-*; O. *fruktatiuf* [nom.sg.] ‘usufruct’ < \**frūgtātiōn-* built to \**frūg-i-tā-* < \**b<sup>h</sup>rūg-ito-*, ppp. of \**b<sup>h</sup>rūg-io-* ‘to use’.

PIE pr. \*b<sup>h</sup>ruHg-ie/o- ‘to use’. IE cognates: Go. *brukjan*, pret. *bruhta*, OE *brūcan*, OHG *brūhhan*, OS *brūkan* ‘to use’, Go. *brūks*, OHG *brūhhi*, OE *brýce* ‘useful’.

*Fruor* reflects a development \**frūg-ie-* > \**frūje-* > \**frūwe-* > \**frūe-*. The stem \**frūg-* appears in the root noun *frūx* (from Plt. date, as U. *fri(f)* shows), and in *frūmentum* < \**frūg-men-* and *frūniscere* < \**frūg-n-*. The latter can reflect an earlier nasal present stem \**frūg-n-e/o-*, which may be compared with Go. *us-bruknan* ‘to break off’, even though *-nan*-inchoatives are productive in Gothic. The restriction to Gm. and It., and the pervading zero grade, may cast doubts on a PIE origin; yet there is no decisive argument against it.

Bibl.: WH I: 551ff., EM 256f., IEW 173, Schrijver 1991: 232f., Untermann 2000: 297-299, LIV ?\*b<sup>h</sup>reuH(ǵ)-.

**frustum** ‘crumb, fragment’ [n. o] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *frustulentus* ‘full of crumbs’ (Pl.), *frustātim* ‘in little pieces’ (Pompon.+), *frustillātim* ‘id.’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \**frusto-*.

PIE \*b<sup>h</sup>rus-to- ‘broken’. IE cognates: OIr. *bruīd* ‘to break, smash’, Old French *bruiser* ‘to break’ < PCl. \**brus-ie-*, OIr. *bronnaid* ‘to injure’ < \**brus-na-ti*, MW *breu*, W. *brau* ‘brittle, fragile’, MCo. *brew*, Bret. *brev* ‘broken’ < \**bruso-*, OE *briesan*, *brýsan* ‘to break, bruise’ < \**brausija-*; maybe Alb. *breshër* ‘hail’ < \*b<sup>h</sup>*reus-n-*.

Latin *frustum* could represent an isolated Latin reflex of a root \*b<sup>h</sup>rus- ‘to break’ found in Celtic and Germanic (and maybe Albanian). It has also been connected with Lith. *druskà* ‘salt’, Latv. *druska* ‘crumb, scrap, bit’, Go. *drauhsos* ‘crumbs, lumps’, W. *dryll* ‘piece, lump’ < \*d<sup>h</sup>rus-. This is phonetically equally possible, but the presence of verb forms from \*b<sup>h</sup>rus- in Celtic and Germanic renders a derivation of *frustum* from \*b<sup>h</sup>rus- more likely (because of \*-to-).

Bibl.: WH I: 553, EM 257, IEW 171, Schrijver 1995: 341, LIV \*b<sup>h</sup>reus-.

**frutex, -icis** ‘shrub, bush; shoot, ‘blockhead’’ [f. k] (Pl.+)

Plt. \**frut-*.

Since the root of OE *breowan* ‘to brew’ is now reconstructed as \*b<sup>h</sup>réuH- ‘to sprout’, *frutex* can only be connected through a shortening from \**frūto-*, but Schrijver 1991 acknowledges no shortening of long pretonic vowels in front of stops. Also, the semantic link between ‘to boil’ and *frutex* is not obvious. Alternatively, *frutex* may be connected with OIr. *broth* ‘awn, hair’ (possibly from \*b<sup>h</sup>*ruto-*), but PIE origin is uncertain; it might be a borrowed word for a plant.

Bibl.: WH I: 554, EM 257, IEW 169, Schrijver 1991: 254.

**fūcus** ‘drone, gadfly, hornet’ [m. o] (Pl.+)

PIE \*b<sup>h</sup>oi-ko-? \*b<sup>h</sup>ou-ko-? IE cognates: OIr. *bech* ‘bee’ [m.] < \*b<sup>h</sup>*eko-*; OPr. *bitte*, Lith. *bitė*, Latv. *bite* < \*b<sup>h</sup>*i-t-*, OCS *bъčela*, *bъčela* ‘bee’ < \*b<sup>h</sup>*i-kel-eh<sub>2</sub>*; OIc. *bý* [n.], MoDu. *bij*, OHG *bini* [n.], OHG *bīa* [f.], OE *bēo* ‘bee’ [f.] < \*b<sup>h</sup>*i-ōn-*, \*b<sup>h</sup>*i-ni-*. OE *bēaw* ‘gadfly’, MoFr. *bau* ‘hornet’?

If from *\*foiko-*, *fūcus* can be cognate with the Celtic, Gm. and BSl. words for ‘bee’. But the ablaut grade *\*b<sup>h</sup>oi-* does not occur elsewhere. Since Celtic has *\*b<sup>h</sup>e-* in Olr. *bech*, it might be the case that we are dealing with an onomatopoeic word *\*b<sup>h</sup>e/i-*; Latin *\*b<sup>h</sup>oi-* can be a separate ablaut variant. There is another possibility, which was favoured by WH: a connection with Gm. *\*bau-a-* (?) ‘hornet, gadfly’. In fact, *fūcus* does not refer to the drone only, because it is said to be black by Varro, and Plautus says that it *apibus peredit cibum*. This would point to one of the bee’s enemies, such as a wasp or a hornet. Instead of WH’s reconstruction *\*b<sup>h</sup>ouk<sup>v</sup>o-*, which is unlikely (cf. Weiss 1995), *\*b<sup>h</sup>ou-ko-* would do.

Bibl.: WH I: 555, EM 258, IEW 163.

**fugiō, -ere** ‘to run away, flee’ [v. III; *fūgī, fugitum*] (Lex XII, Andr.+)

Derivatives: *fuga* ‘the running away, fleeing, escape’ (Pl.+), *fugāx* ‘fugitive, elusive’ (Pl.+), *fugāre* ‘to cause to flee’ (Pl.+), *fugēl(l)a* ‘flight’ (Cato+), *fugitāre* ‘to run away from, avoid’ (Pl.+), *fugitor* ‘who runs away’ (Pl.), *fugitivus* ‘runaway, fugitive’ (Pl.+), *fugitivārius* ‘who recaptures runaway slaves’ (Varro+); *aufugere* ‘to run away’ (Pl.+), *cōnfugere* ‘to flee for protection’ (Pl.+), *dēfugere* ‘to make one’s escape’ (Pl.+), *diffugere* ‘to scatter’ (Lucr.+), *effugere* ‘to flee, escape’ (Naev.+), *effugium* ‘means of escape’ (Lucr.+), *perfugere* ‘to take refuge’ (Sis.+), *perfugium* ‘refuge, shelter’ (Pl.+), *prōfugere* ‘to run away’ (Pl.+), *refugere* ‘to turn back and flee, recoil’ (Enn.+), *suffugere* ‘to escape from under’ (Lucr.+), *trānsfugere* ‘to go over to the other side, desert’ (Pl.); *lucrifuga* ‘a spendthrift’ (Pl.).

Plt. pr. *\*fug-i-*, pf. *\*foug-*, subst. *\*fug-(ā-)*.

PIE pr. *\*b<sup>h</sup>ug-i-* ‘to flee’, pf. *\*(b<sup>h</sup>e-)b<sup>h</sup>oug-* (or root aorist *\*b<sup>h</sup>eug-*). IE cognates: Gr. φεύγω, aor. φυγείν, pf. πέφευγα ‘to flee’, φύγα-δε ‘on the flight’, φύζα [f.] ‘flight, panic’, φυγή ‘flight, exile’; Av. *būj(i)-* [f.] ‘penance, atonement’, *būjaṭ* ‘frees’, *·bunjaiṅti* ‘they free’, *būjaiṅtamna-*, MP *bōz-* ‘to free, release’.

Bibl.: WH I: 556f., EM 258, IEW 152, Schrijver 2003: 66, LIV 1. *\*b<sup>h</sup>eug-*.

**fuī** ‘to be(come)’ [v. pf.] ind. *fū, fuistī, fūit, fūimus, fuistis, fūērunt*; sb. *fuā, fuat*; ptc.fut. *futūrus*, inf.fut. *fore* (Lex XII, Andr.+)

Derivatives: *futāre* ‘saepius fuisse’ (Cato apud. Paul. *ex F.*); for the proverbial cp., see s.v. *sum*.

Plt. aor. *\*fu-*, ipv. *\*fu-tōd*, pf. *\*fuf-* (whence plq.pf. *\*fufā-* > ipf.), fut. *\*(fe-)fu-s-*. It. cognates: U. *futu, futu* [2s.ipv.II], U. *fututo* [2p.ipv.II], Presam. *fufroδ* [3p.pf.], O. *fufans* [3p.ipf.], *fusid* [3s.ipf.sb.], *fufens* [3p.pf.], *fuid* [3s.pf.sb.], O. *fust, adfust*, U. *fust, fust, fus, atērafust, andersafust, andirsafust, amprefu<u>s* [3s.fut.pf.], *furent, fefure, ambrefurent* [3p.fut.pf.] ‘to be’.

PIE aor. *\*b<sup>h</sup>(e)h<sub>2</sub>u-* ‘to become’, pf. *\*b<sup>h</sup>e-b<sup>h</sup>h<sub>2</sub>(o)u-*, -ppp. *\*b<sup>h</sup>h<sub>2</sub>u-tó-* (> *futūrus*). IE cognates: Olr. 3s. *boi* ‘was’, 3p. *·bátar*, MW *bu*, MCo. *bue*, OBret. *a-bu* ‘was’ < pf. *\*bu-b(u)-*; Skt. *ābhūt* ‘has become’ [3s.aor.]; Gr. *ἔφωv* ‘grew, became’; OLith. *bit(i)* ‘he was’, Lith. *būti* ‘to be’, OCS 23s. *bystъ* ‘was, became’, OCS *byti* ‘to be’.

Original *\*fū-ī*, with shortening of long *ū* in front of the next vowel. The form *fu-* was generalized quite early, yielding *fu-tūrus* and *fore* < *\*fu-se*. The forms *fuās, fuat*

represent an old aor.sb. in *-ā-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 557f., EM 257f., IEW 146ff., Leumann 1977: 524, Kortlandt 1986 (= 2007: 75-79), Schrijver 1991: 240, Sihler 1995: 552, Untermann 2000: 245-252, Lazzarini-Pocetti 2001, Meiser 2003: 201, LIV \*b<sup>h</sup>ueh<sub>2</sub>-. → *fiō*; *probus*, *superbus*; *sum*

**fulciō, -īre** 'to support' [v. IV; pf. *fulsī*, ppp. *fultum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *fulcrum* 'head- or back-support of a couch' (Varro+), *fulmenta* 'prop, support' (Pl.+), *fulmentum* 'support' (Varro+); *cōnfulcīre* 'to press together' (Lucr.), *praefulcīre* 'to place as a support, prop up' (Pl.+), *suffulcīre* 'to prop from below' (Pl.+).

Plt. \*d<sup>h</sup>/b<sup>h</sup>-(o)l-k-.

Lat. *fulcrum* < \*fulk-(t)lo-, *fulmentum* < \*fulk-men-to-, pf. *fulsī* < \*fulk-s-ai. The etymology is unclear. It is often assumed that Latin *fulk-* is cognate with Gr. φάλκης [m.] 'rib (of a ship)', φάλαγξ, -γγοσ 'beam' and OIc. *bjalki* [m.], OHG *balko* 'beam' (< PIE \*b<sup>h</sup>elg<sup>h</sup>-?). These are connected with the verbal root \*b<sup>h</sup>elg<sup>h</sup>- 'to swell', from which words for 'beam' seem to be derived in Baltic: Lith. *balžiena* 'longbeam', Latv. *bālziēns*, *bēlziēns* 'prop'. Yet the semantic connection of 'beam' or 'prop' to 'swell' is unclear to me, and the velar suffixes of Gr. and Baltic do not match; at most, we could posit a root \*b<sup>h</sup>el- with different velar suffixes.

Bibl.: WH I: 559, EM 258f., IEW 122f., LIV ?\*b<sup>h</sup>elk-.

**fulgō, -ere** 'to shine brightly' [v.; III; pf. *fulsī*] (Pac.+)

Derivatives: *fulgēre* (Lucil.+), *refulgēre* 'to shine brightly' (Lucr.+), *fulgens* 'flashing, gleaming' (Enn.+), *fulgetrum* 'lightning' (Varro+), *fulgidus* 'shining' (Lucr.), *fulgor* 'brightness; flash' (Lucr.+); *fulgur*, -uris [n.] 'flash of lightning' (nom.sg. *fulgus* Paul. ex F.; also -eris Lucr.), *fulgurātor* 'one who interprets omens from lightning' (Cato+), *fulgurīre* 'to send lightning' (Naev.+; Naev. *fulgorivit*); *fulmen*, -inis 'lightning, thunderbolt' (Naev.+), *fulmineus* 'of/like lightning' (Lucr.+).

Plt. \*folg-e/o- 'to shine', \*folg-os- [n.] 'brightness'.

PIE \*b<sup>h</sup>lg-e/o- 'to shine'. IE cognates: Skt. *bhārgas-* 'radiance, splendour' (appurtenance uncertain; schwebe-ablaut?); Gr. φλέγω 'to burn', Gr. φλόξ, -γός 'flame', φλογμός 'flame'; OHG *blecchen* 'to make visible' < PGm. \*blakjan; ToAB *pālk-* 'to shine', ToB *pālketār* 'burns' < \*b<sup>h</sup>lg-.

From VOLat. \*folg- from \*flg-. For the primarity of *fulgere* over *fulgēre*, compare the similar situation with *fervere* and *fervēre*. Yet the attestations allow no certain order, and the ptc. *fulgēns* (the oldest attested form) is ambiguous. *Fulgidus* and *fulgor* are recent derivatives of *fulgēre* following the productive pattern. The zero grade in *fulgur* < \*flgos, -es- suggests that this noun was derived from the verb *fulgere* at an earlier stage; for *fulmen* < \*fulgmen, the deverbal origin is certain, since the sound laws would have us expect \*\**flagmen* as the outcome of PIE \*b<sup>h</sup>lg-men-. If *fulgēre* is indeed more recent than *fulgere*, the cause for the zero grade in Latin (and in Tocharian) remains somewhat unclear. LIV assumes a PIE athematic present, but only Tocharian possibly presents evidence for such a formation.

Bibl.: WH I: 511, EM 259, IEW 124f., Schrijver 1991: 477, 483f., Stüber 2002: 75, LIV \*b<sup>h</sup>le(ǵ)-. → *flagrō*

**fulica** ‘a water-bird (the coot?)’ [f. *ā*] (Afran.+)

Derivatives: *fulix*, *-icis* ‘heron’ (Cic.).

The suffix *-ik-* is found in other bird-names too. *Fulica* is often compared with OHG *belihha*, *-o*, NHG *Belche* ‘coot’ < PGm. *\*bel-ik-* < *\*b<sup>h</sup>el-ig-* ‘having a white spot’; but Latin *ful-* can only reflect *\*-ol-* (unless it is a form from a different dialect, which is an ad hoc assumption), and the suffixes do not match completely. The basic word is assumed to be *\*b<sup>h</sup>e/olH-* ‘white’ (Lith. *bālas*, OCS *bělъ* ‘white’, Gr. φαλός ‘white’ Hsch.), but there is no guarantee that the Latin words refer to a bird with white characteristics. I conclude that the origin of *fulica* and *fulix* remains unknown.

Bibl.: WH I: 559f., EM 259, IEW 118ff.

**fūlgō, -inis** ‘soot’ [f. *n*] (Pl.+)

PIt. *\*fūli-* ‘smoke’.

PIE *\*d<sup>h</sup>uh<sub>2</sub>-li-* ‘smoke, dust’. IE cognates: Mlr. *dūil* ‘desire’; Skt. *dhūli-*, *dhūli* [f.] ‘dust’, *dhūlikā* ‘fog’, Lith. *dūlis*, Latv. *dūlis* ‘smoke used in bee-culture’.

Latin derivation to an original *i*-stem *\*d<sup>h</sup>uH-li-* ‘dust’, which may be derived from an adj. *\*d<sup>h</sup>uh<sub>2</sub>-lo-* ‘smoking, dusty’.

Bibl.: WH I: 560, EM 259, IEW 261-67, Leumann 1977: 368, Schrijver 1991: 233, 342, LIV *\*d<sup>h</sup>ueh<sub>2</sub>-*. → *fūmus*, *suffiō*

**fullō, -nis** ‘fuller, launderer; kind of beetle’ [m. *n*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *fullōnia* ‘the fuller’s trade’ (Pl.), *fullōnica* ‘a fuller’s shop’ (Lab.+), *fullōnius* [adj.] ‘of/for fulling’ (Pl.+).

Since *\*o* does not become *u* in front of *ll*, *fullō* must represent PLat. *\*fu-*. There are many combinations which would yield *-ll-*, but no root is available which contains *\*-u-* and would provide a probable basis for *fullō*. Etymology unknown.

Bibl.: WH I: 560, EM 260.

**fulvus** ‘brown, sandy, gold-coloured’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Enn.+)

PIt. *\*fe/olawo-?*

PIE *\*d<sup>h</sup>e/olH-uo-* ‘yellow’?

The theoretical preforms for *fulvus* were listed by Driessen 2005: *\*b<sup>h</sup>/d<sup>h</sup>/g<sup>wh</sup>/g<sup>h</sup>u + \*-e/o- + \*-lHVu-/\*-lHu- + -os*. Unless, of course, *\*-wo-* (which is also found in other colour adjectives) was a recent addition. The often cited connection with Lith. *gēltas* ‘yellow’ < PIE *\*g<sup>h</sup>elh<sub>3</sub>-* is not possible, see s.v. *helvus*. Driessen connects *fulvus* with EMoDu. *dēluw*, MoDu *del* ‘yellow(ish)’, which could, among other forms, go back to *\*t/d<sup>h</sup>eIH-uo-*. Latin *fulvus* could, in theory, reflect the same preform *\*d<sup>h</sup>eIH-uo-*. Yet in view of the isolated position of the Dutch word within Gm., and the presence of rhyming colour words in *-uw* in Dutch, it seems a hazardous assumption. More promising is the connection with Armenian *dalowkn* ‘jaundice’, *deṭin* ‘yellow’, *deṭb* ‘yellow, blond’, which could point to a root *\*d<sup>h</sup>lH-*, *\*d<sup>h</sup>eIH-* for ‘yellow’.

Bibl.: WH I: 561, EM 260, IEW 160, Schrijver 1991: 469, Nussbaum 1999a: 386f., Driessen 2005.

**fūmus** ‘smoke, fumes’ [m. o] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *fūmāre* ‘to emit smoke or steam’ (Pl.+), *fūmōsus* ‘smoky’ (Cato+), *fūmidus* ‘smoking, smoky’ (Lucr.+); *fūmigāre* ‘to treat with smoke’ (Var.+); *fūmificāre* ‘to make smoke; (Pl.+), *fūmificus* ‘making smoke’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \**fūmo-*.

PIE \**d<sup>h</sup>uh<sub>2</sub>-mó-* ‘smoke, fume’. IE cognates: Skt. *dhūmá-* ‘smoke, fume’, Gr. *θυμός* ‘spirit’, OPr. *dumis* ‘smoke’, Lith. pl. *dūmai* ‘smoke’, OCS *dymъ* ‘smoke’; OHG *toum* ‘steam’.

In a preform \**d<sup>h</sup>uHmó-* we would expect Dybo’s shortening (of pretonic shortening of long vowels in front of resonants in Proto-Italo-Celto-Germanic) to have taken place. The long vowel of *fūmus* is explained by Schrijver 1991 from (possible) analogy with the (\**ū* in *fūlīgō* and *suffiō*. The reconstruction of root-final \*-*h<sub>2</sub>* is based on Hit. *antuwahhaš* ‘human’ and *tuhhae-* ‘to cough’.

Bibl.: WH I: 561f., EM 260, IEW 261, Schrijver 1991: 233, 342, 357, LIV \**d<sup>h</sup>ueh<sub>2</sub>-* ‘to smoke’ (intr.). → *fūmum*, *foeteō*, *fūlīgō*, *furō*, *suffiō*

**funda** ‘leather strap, sling’ [f. ā] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *fundulum* ‘the blind gut, caecum’ (Varro), *fundula* ‘blind alley’ (Varro).

Theoretically, *funda* may go back to \**b<sup>h</sup>ond<sup>h</sup>-h<sub>2</sub>-* ‘tie, strap’, a derivative of the verbal root \**b<sup>h</sup>end<sup>h</sup>-* ‘to bind’ attested in Gm. and Ilr. Alternatively, it might be a loanword from an unknown language; the Gr. noun *σφενδόνη* ‘sling’ might come from the same source.

Bibl.: WH I: 562, EM 260, Leumann 1977: 162.

**fundō, -ere** ‘to pour, let go, emit’ [v. III; pf. *fūdī*, ppp. *fūsum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *fūsus, -ūs* ‘a pouring’ (Varro), *fūsus* ‘spindle’ (Lucr.+), *funditāre* ‘to pour out continuously; shoot at with slings’ (Pl.+); *affundere* ‘to pour onto’ (Varro+), *circumfundere* ‘to pour round, distribute’ (Cato+), *cōnfundere* ‘to pour together, mix, confuse’ (Pl.+), *confūsīcius* (Pl.) ‘confused’, *confūsim* ‘confusedly’ (Varro), *dēfundere* ‘to pour out’ (Cato+), *diffundere* ‘to spread widely, diffuse’ (Cato+), *diffunditāre* ‘to dissipate’ (Pl.), *diffūsilis* ‘diffusive’ (Lucr.), *effundere* ‘to pour out, shed, utter’ (Pl.+), *infundere* ‘to pour in’ (Pl.+), *infundibulum* ‘funnel, hopper’ (Cato+), *offundere* ‘to pour, extend’ (Pl.+), *prōfundere* ‘to pour forth’ (Naev.+); *fūtis, -is* ‘water-vessel’ (Varro), *exfutī* ‘*effusī*’ (Paul. ex F.).

Plt. \**χund-(e/o-)*. It. cognates: maybe Fal. **huti[c]ilom** [acc.n.?] ‘?’; uncertain U. *hondu* [3s.ipv.II] ‘throw down!’(?).

PIE pr. \**ǵ<sup>h</sup>u-n-d-* ‘to pour’, pf. \*(*ǵ<sup>h</sup>e-*)\**ǵ<sup>h</sup>(o)u-*; noun \**ǵ<sup>h</sup>e/ou-ti-?* IE cognates: Hit. *kūt-*, *kutt-* [c.] ‘wall’ < \**ǵ<sup>h</sup>eut-*, \**ǵ<sup>h</sup>ut-*; Skt. *juhōti* ‘pours; sacrifices’ < \**ǵ<sup>h</sup>i-ǵ<sup>h</sup>(e)u-*, *ā-huti-* [f.] ‘offering’, Av. *ā-zūiti-* [f.] ‘clarified butter, sacrificial fat’ < \**ǵ<sup>h</sup>uti-*, Gr. *χέω* < pr. \**ǵ<sup>h</sup>éu-e-*, aor.intr. *ἔχυτο* ‘to pour’, *χυτός* ‘spilled’, *πάρχυ* [adv.] ‘completely’; Go. *giutan*, ToB. *ku-* ‘to pour’.

See Kümmel 2004b: 357 on *confūsīcius*. Initial *f* < PIE \**ǵ<sup>h</sup>-* is only attested in *fundō*, and might be conditioned by the vowel *u* (labial assimilation). Germanic also shows the root extension \*-*d-*. In Latin, the *d*-present was remade into a nasal present. The

forms *fūtis* and *\*ex-futus* could continue the *d*-less form of the root, with *fūtis* < *\*ǵ<sup>h</sup>eu-ti-*. Note, however, that the semantics are not perfect (*fūtis* is not an abstract) and that the noun appears only in Varro.

Bibl.: WH I: 563f., EM 260f., IEW 447f., Giacomelli 1963: 248, Meiser 1986: 168, Untermann 2000: 331, Vine 2004: 373, LIV *\*ǵ<sup>h</sup>eu-*, *?\*ǵ<sup>h</sup>eud-*.

**fundus** ‘bottom’ [m. *o*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *funditus* [adv.] ‘completely; from the bottom’ (Pl.+), *fundāre* ‘to lay the foundations’ (Pl.+), *fundāmentum* ‘foundation, basis’ (Pl.+); *profundus* ‘very deep, boundless’ (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*fundo-*.

PIE *\*b<sup>h</sup>ud<sup>h</sup>-n-ó-* ‘bottom’, probably < *\*b<sup>h</sup>ud<sup>h</sup>-mn-ós*, gen.sg. of *\*b<sup>h</sup>ud<sup>h</sup>-men-*. IE cognates: Mlr. *bond* ‘foot sole’, Skt. *budhná-* ‘bottom, foot, root’, Av. *būna-*, Gr. *πυθμήν* ‘bottom, depth, root’, Oic. *botn*, OHG *bodam*, OS *bothme* [dat.sg.], OE *botem*, OE *bodan*, OFr. *bodem*, MDu. *bodem* ‘bottom’ < PGM. *\*budmē/ōn* [nom.], *\*buttaz* [gen.] < *\*b<sup>h</sup>ud<sup>h</sup>-mē/ōn*, *\*b<sup>h</sup>ud<sup>h</sup>-(m)n-ós* (thus Kroonen 2006: 21-23).

The Latin form is due to metathesis of PIE *\*b<sup>h</sup>ud<sup>h</sup>nó-* to Italo-Celtic *\*b<sup>h</sup>und<sup>h</sup>ó-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 564, EM 261, IEW 174, Schrijver 1991: 501.

**fungor, -ī** ‘to perform; go through, enjoy; die; function’ [v. III; *fūctus sum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *dēfungī* ‘to be quit, settle; come to an end; [pf.] die’ (Ter.+), *perfungī* ‘to carry through one’s part, be done’ (Ter.+).

PIt. *\*fung-e/o-*.

PIE pr. *\*b<sup>h</sup>u-n-g-* ‘to be of use, be used’. IE cognates: OIr. *bongaid\**, *·boing* ‘to break, harvest’, pf. *bobaig\**, MW *difyngaf* ‘to rush forward’ (< *\*dī-bunge-*) < PCI. *\*bunge/o-*; Skt. pr. *bhuñjáté*, *bhuñjáte* [3p.], *-bhuñjant-* [ptc.act.], aor. *má bhojam* [1s.inj.act.] act.: ‘to benefit, make benefit; atone’; med.: ‘to benefit, enjoy, consume’, OAv. *būj-* [f.] ‘atonement, expiation’; Arm. *bowcanem* ‘to feed’, aor. *bowci*.

Bibl.: WH I: 565, EM 262, IEW 153, Schumacher 2004: 238f., LIV 2. *\*b<sup>h</sup>eug-*.

**fungus** ‘fungus, mushroom’ [m. *o*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *fungīnus* ‘like a mushroom’ (Pl.).

IE cognates: Gr. *σπόγγος* ‘fungus’, Arm. *sownk/g* ‘mushroom’.

Probably a loanword from a non-IE language, borrowed independently into Greek, Latin and Armenian in a form *\*sp<sup>h</sup>ong-* vel sim.

Bibl.: WH I: 566f., EM 262.

**fūnis** ‘rope, cable’ [m. (f.) *i*] (Cato+; gen.pl. *fūnium*, abl.sg. *fūne*, acc.sg. *fūnem*, abl.sg. *fūnī* lx Cato)

Derivatives: *fūniculus* ‘thin rope, cord’ (Cato+); *fūnambul* ‘tightrope walker’ (Ter.+); *sēmifūnium* ‘half-length of rope’ (Cato).

PIt. *\*χ<sup>w</sup>oini-*.

PIE *\*ǵ<sup>wh</sup>oiH-ni-* or *\*ǵ<sup>wh</sup>oHi-ni-* ‘rope’. IE cognates: see s.v. *filum*.

Since the meaning is very close to *filum*, *fūnis* might represent an *o*-grade derivative



of the root \*g<sup>wh</sup>iH- or \*g<sup>wh</sup>Hi- which can be reconstructed for *filum*.

Bibl.: WH I: 567, EM 262, IEW 272, Schrijver 1991: 242. → *filum*

**fūnus, -eris** ‘funeral rites’ [n. r] (Lex XII+; one gloss has *ex libris antiquis ... profunus fo<i>mus*, but whether the addition of <i> is correct is uncertain.)

Derivatives: *fūnestus* ‘concerned with death or mourning, lamentable’ (Acc.+), *fūnebris* ‘funerary’ (Cic.+).

If the form *fonus* attested in the gloss is to be restored to \**foinos*, the noun might be derived from the PIE root \*b<sup>h</sup>eih<sub>2</sub>- ‘to be afraid’, viz. as \*b<sup>h</sup>oih<sub>2</sub>-nos- [n.] ‘fear’ > ‘mourning’. But this is not very compelling, of course. If the spelling *fonus* represents a real form *fōnus*, it could represent a non-urban monophthongization of \**founus* > Lat. *fūnus*. One could then reconstruct PIE \*d<sup>h</sup>e/ou-nes- ‘the passing away’ from the root \*d<sup>h</sup>eu- ‘to go, pass away’. Watkins 1990 compares Hit. *tuhhuš-<sup>zi</sup>* ‘to end’, *tuhhu(i)šta* ‘has ended’ < PIE \**Teuh<sub>2</sub>* or \**Tueh<sub>2</sub>* (Kloekhorst 2008: 890). Latin *fūnus* would then continue PIE \*d<sup>h</sup>euh<sub>2</sub>-nes- ‘closing ceremony’. Other derivatives from this root are uncertain: Watkins connects \**dūno-* ‘hill-fort, enclosure’, but this may also contain PIE \*d-.

Bibl.: WH I: 568, EM 262, IEW 260f.

**fūr, -is** ‘thief’ [m. r] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *fūrāx* ‘given to stealing’ (Pl.+), *fūrīnus* ‘of thieves’ (Pl.), *fūrārī* ‘to steal’ (Cato+), *furtificus* ‘thievish’ (Pl.), *furtim* ‘secretly’ (Pl.+), *fūrtum* ‘theft, deception’ (Lex XII+), *furtīvus* ‘stolen, stealthy’ (Pl.+); *suffūrārī* ‘to steal unobtrusively’ (Pl.).

Plt. \**fōr-*.

PIE \*b<sup>h</sup>ōr ‘thief’ [m.]. IE cognates: Gr. φῶρ ‘thief’.

It has been assumed that *fūr* was borrowed from Greek. Yet it is questionable whether Greek φ- would be rendered with Latin f- so early: in view of the many derivatives, the word seems to have been in the language well before Plautus. Also, PIE \*-ōr turns into -ūr in Latin around 200 BC (cf. *cūr*), so that the vowel of *fūr* is no compelling argument for a Greek origin. Finally, the noun *fūrtum* can hardly be explained as a derivative from *fūr*. According to Forssman 1992: 309, *fūrtum* originally had a short vowel and reflects \*b<sup>h</sup>rtom, with the zero-grade of the root of *fūr*. Lat. *furtīvus* would have been formed on the basis of \*b<sup>h</sup>rtos > \**furtos*.

Bibl.: WH I: 569, EM 262f., IEW 128-39, Schrijver 1991: 120, Forssman 1992: 309, LIV \*b<sup>h</sup>er-. → *ferre*

**furca** ‘fork or similarly shaped instrument’ [f. ā] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *furcifer* ‘one who is punished with the ‘fork’, scoundrel’ (Pl.+); *furcilla* ‘wooden pitchfork’ (Varro+), *furcillāre* ‘to impugn’ (Pl.), *furcillātus* ‘forked’ (Varro).

All etymologies adduced in WH presuppose PIE \*ǵ<sup>h</sup>-, which yields Latin h- in front of vowels except in *fundō*. In *furca*, just as in *fundō*, we find -u- after the velar stop. Yet Lith. *žer̃gti* ‘to spread the legs’, *žirk̃lės* ‘scissors’ presuppose a root \*ǵ<sup>h</sup>(e/o)rg-, whereas for *furca* would require old \*-u-, since it is inconceivable that the recent

change of \*-orc- > -urc- (ca. 200 BC) would have been able to influence the outcome of the initial stop. One might assume a development \*ǵ<sup>h</sup>orka > \*fo/urca in a different dialect, from which Latin then borrowed the word; but this is an emergency scenario.

Bibl.: WH I: 569f., EM 263.

**furfur, -is** 'husks of grain, bran' [m. r] (Pl.+)

The derivation from a root \*ǵ<sup>h</sup>er- 'to rub', as advocated by WH and IEW, is phonetically impossible. *Furfur* might derive from a root \*ǵ<sup>h</sup>ur- (unattested). The form looks like a reduplicated formation, which is possible in the case of a small object always occurring in large numbers.

Bibl.: WH I: 570, EM 263, IEW 439f.

**furō, -ere** 'to be mad, rave' [v. III] (Enn.+)

Derivatives: *furia* 'fury, rage; Fury' (Enn.+), *furiōsus* 'mad' (Lex XII, Cic.+), *furor* 'violent madness' (Lucr.+); *perfurere* 'to rage' (Lucr.+).

Many etymologies have been proposed, but none is clearly the best. In view of the rule \*i, \*u > e, o in front of \*-rV-, a preform in \*-us- or \*-ur- (or PIE \*-uH- > \*-ū-, with subsequent shortening as per Dybo) must be excluded (Parker 1988: 230).

Bibl.: WH I: 570f., EM 263, IEW 268-271, Puhvel 1998, LIV \*b<sup>h</sup>erh<sub>2</sub>-.

**furvus** 'dark-coloured, dusky' [adj. o/ā] (Varro+)

Plt. \*fuswo-.

PIE \*d<sup>h</sup>us-uo- 'dark, black, brown'. IE cognates: OIr. *donn* 'dun, light brown', MW *dwnn* 'dun, dusky', OE *dox* 'dark-haired, dusky', MoE *dusk*, OE *dosen* 'dun, dingy-brown, dark coloured'.

For *furvus*, Leumann 1977 assumes \*fusoyos. More satisfactory is Rix 1981: 199 (= 2001: 287) who posits \*d<sup>h</sup>us-uo- > \*furyo-, with the suffix \*-uo- which is also found in many other colours (*flāvus*, *fulvus*, *helvus*, etc.). The root \*d<sup>h</sup>us- is found in several colour adjectives referring to a brown, dark colour, all with suffixes that are abundant in colour adjectives. It might be connected with PIE \*d<sup>h</sup>ues- 'to fume, breathe; dust', hence 'dusty, mirky colour'.

Bibl.: Sommer 1914: 70, WH I: 572, EM 263, IEW 268-271, Leumann 1977: 50, 206. → *furō*, *fuscus*

**fuscus** 'dark-coloured, dusky; (of persons) dark-skinned' [adj. o/ā] (Varro+)

Derivatives: *infuscāre* 'to darken; contaminate' (Pl.+).

Plt. \*fusko-.

PIE \*d<sup>h</sup>us-ko- 'dark-coloured'.

The suffix \*-ko- was used, among others, to indicate physical properties of people: *cascus*, *mancus*. Hence, EM suppose that *fuscus*, as opposed to *furvus*, was originally used for this purpose.

Bibl.: WH I: 572, EM 263, IEW 268-271. → *furvus*

**fūstis** ‘stick, rod’ [m. i] (Lex XII+; abl.sg. *fūstī* Lex XII, Pl.)

Derivatives: *fūstitudinūs* [adj.] ‘stick-beating’ (Pl.).

Plt. *\*fūsti-* / *\*feusti-* / *\*fousti-*.

The most obvious connection would be with Latin *-fūtāre*. Since the usual outcome of PIE \*-TT- in Latin is -ss- (cf. Hill 2003: 221ff.), *fūstis* would be an exception. Latin *fūstis* might reflect *\*fūt-ti-* > *\*fūssi-* with subsequent reintroduction of the suffix *\*-ti-*. Hill 2003 does not discuss the possible connection with *fūtāre*, and starts from a root ending in PIE *\*-d-*. In view of Dutch and German *\*būsk-*, he (p. 236) reconstructs the root with a full grade *\*b<sup>h</sup>eHud-*, but I am not convinced that long *ū* in the WGm. words is old: there is a productive ablaut pattern *u : ū : au : iu* in West-Germanic, so that *\*būska-* might be explained as a secondary formation *\*būd-sk-*. Hill needs a dissyllabic base *\*boūd-* to explain the rise of *-st-* from *\*-dt-* in Latin, but if the root was merely *\*b<sup>h</sup>ud-*, this does not work. Still, I think that the connection with the Gm. words is possible; see s.v. *-fütō*.

Bibl.: WH I: 573, EM 264, IEW 112, Hill 2003: 229ff., LIV ?*\*b<sup>h</sup>eud-*. → *-fütō*

O. **futír** [nom.sg.], **fuutrei**, **futrei** [dat.sg.], **futre[is** [gen.sg.?] ‘daughter’.

Plt. *\*fuxtēr*, *\*fuxtros*.

PIE *\*d<sup>h</sup>ugh<sub>2</sub>-tēr*, -tr-os ‘daughter’. IE cognates: Gaul. *duxtir*, Celtib. *tuater*; Hit. <sup>MUNUS</sup> *duttarriiata/i-* [c.] a female functionary, HLuw. *tuwatra/i-*, Lyc. *kbatra-* ‘daughter’ < PAnat. *\*duegr-*, *\*dugtr-* (Kloekhorst 2008: 902ff.), Skt. *duhitár-*, OAv. *dugədar-*, YAv. *duyōar-*, Gr. θυγάτηρ, -τρός, Arm. *dowštr*, OPr. *duckti*, Lith. *duktė*, OCS *děšti*, gen.sg. *děštere*, Ru. *doč’*, gen.sg. *dóčeri*; Go. *dauhtar*, OIc. *dóttir*, OHG *tohter*, ToB *tkācer* ‘daughter’.

The Oscan forms point to */futr-/* < *\*fuxtr-*. Apparently, the PIE laryngeal remained unvocalized in Sabellic; Schrijver 1991: 105 suggests that this was caused by the following cluster *TC*. The absence of *h* in all Oscan attestations is striking, but not so problematic as to raise doubts about the etymology.

Bibl.: IEW 277, Schrijver 1991: 105, 331f., Untermann 2000: 306f.

**-fütō**, **-āre** ‘to strike (vel sim.)’ [v. I]: *confūtāre* ‘to abash, restrain’ (Pl.+), *refūtāre* ‘to check, refute’ (Lucr.+); *fūtilis/futtilis* ‘brittle, fragile, in vain’ (Pl.+), *effūtīre* ‘to utter foolishly, babble’ (Ter.+)

Plt. *\*füt-?*

IE cognates: OE *bēatan*, OHG *bōzzan* ‘to strike’ < Gm. *\*bautan-*, OIc. *beysta* < *\*bausljan-*, MHG *būsch* ‘stick’, MDu. *buuschen* ‘to strike’ < *\*būska-* ‘blunt end of a stick’.

The meaning of the forms renders it attractive to connect Gm. *\*baud-* ‘to hit’, but Latin has final *\*-t-*. Root identity could be saved by assuming a PIE root *\*b<sup>h</sup>u(H)-* ‘to hit’ with different dental enlargements in Latin and in Gm.; but this is unattractive. It is possible to posit a substrate root *\*būt-* ‘to strike’, with different realizations per IE branch. The words *fūtilis* and *effūtīre* are separated from *-fūtāre* by Vine 2004, who translates *fūtilis* as ‘leaky’ and propose an etymology *\*g<sup>h</sup>eu-ti-* to the root of *fundō*. Note that *fūtis* ‘vase’ might show this very formation, but see s.v. *fundō*.

Bibl.: WH I: 259f., EM 137, 264, IEW 112, Vine 2004, LIV ?*\*b<sup>h</sup>eud-*. → *fūstis*, *futuō*

**futuō, -ere** ‘to fuck’ [v. III] (Cat.+)

Might be derived from the root *-fūt-* ‘to strike, hit’. The formation in *-uere* suggests an original noun or adj. *\*futu-*, which may have meant ‘a strike, a get-together’ vel sim. In his PhD dissertation (to be published), Romain Garnier proposes an alternative etymology: a noun *\*futu-* ‘capacité d’éjaculer’ < *\*g<sup>h</sup>u-tu-* to the root *\*g<sup>h</sup>eu-* ‘to pour’. As García-Ramón (2006: 88f.) argues, this root may be the source of several words for ‘force’ and ‘manly vigour’ such as OIr. *gus* ‘force’. Earlier, the same etymology was proposed in a short note by Forssman (1972: 669).

Bibl.: WH I: 574, EM 264, IEW 112. → *-fūtō*

## G

**gallus** ‘farmyard cock’ [m. o] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *gallīna* ‘hen’ (Pl.+), *gallīnāceus* ‘of poultry’ (Pl.+), *gallīnārius* ‘one who looks after poultry’ (Varro+).

IE cognates: W. *galw* ‘to call’ < PCl. *\*galuo-*; OCS *glasъ*, Ru. *gólos* ‘voice’ < *\*ga/olso-*, OCS *glagolъ* ‘word’ < *\*ga/ol-ga/ol-*, *glagolati* ‘to speak’; OIc. *kalla*.

Theoretically, the bird could have been denominated ‘the Gaulish one’ (*Gallus*), but there are no indications that chicken were regarded as having come from Gaul. WH prefer the connection with Gr. *καλαῖς*, *-ιδος* ‘precious stone; cock’, but this does not have the same velar, and may be connected within Greek with *καλέω*. IEWs and Schrijver’s connection with a root *\*glH-* ‘to call’ seems much better; *gallus* would have been the ‘caller’. Formally, though, there are difficulties: can *gallus* represent *\*glH-o-* > *\*galos*, whence *\*gal-n-o-* > *gallus*? Since the root represents a sound, and is attested only in Slavic, Gm. and Italo-Celtic, it might reflect an onomatopoeia *\*gal-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 580f., EM 266, IEW 350f., Schrijver 1991: 208.

**gāneum** ‘tavern, eating-house’ [n. o] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *gānea* ‘tavern’ (Cic.+), *gāneō* ‘pub-crawler’ (Naev.+).

Probably a loanword. In form and meaning, one might connect West-Semitic *\*gann* ‘garden’ (Ugaritic, Aramaic *gn*, Hebrew *gan* ‘garden’), whence also Gr. *γάνος* ‘pleasure-garden’ (on Cyprus), *γάνεα* ‘gardens’ (Hsch.); for the semantics, compare French *restaurant* and German *Bier-garten*.

Bibl.: WH I: 582, EM 267, Masson 1967: 74, Leumann 1977: 361.

**ganniō, -ire** ‘to whimper, snarl’ [v. IV] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *oggannīre* ‘to speak menacingly, growl’ (Pl.+), *gannītus*, *-ūs* ‘whimpering, snarling’ (Lucr.+).

IE cognates: RuCS *gugnati* ‘to whisper, grumble’, Ru. *gugniti* ‘to tell, speak’, OCS

*gōgōnivъ* ‘murmuring’, Ru. *gugnívyyj*, Bulg. *gāgnív* ‘speaking through the nose’.

Most of the other IE forms mentioned by IEW are of the structure *gVn-gn-* and mean ‘to mock’, except for the Slavic forms. In Latin, the onomatopoeic aspect seems primary (‘to growl’ rather than ‘to mock’). Thus, the Slavic forms are the most likely comparanda. But Plt. *\*gangn-* (in which *\*a* would be difficult to explain) would not normally develop to *gann-*. WH therefore invoke onomatopoeic change in this word, which is possible.

Bibl.: WH I: 582, EM 267, IEW 352.

**garriō, -ire** ‘to chatter, jabber’ [v. IV] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *garrulus* ‘talkative’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*gārje/o-?*

PIE *\*ǵ(e)h<sub>2</sub>r-ie/o-* ‘to shout’? IE cognates: OIr. *gairid\**, *gair*; MW *garðu* ‘to shout’ < PCI. *\*gar-je/o-* < PIE *\*ǵeh<sub>2</sub>r-*, OIr. *gáir*, W. *gawr* ‘shout’ < PCI. *\*gāri-* ‘shout, call’, OIr. *gairm* ‘shouting, calling’, W. Bret. *garm* < PCI. *\*garsman-* ‘cry, shout’; Khot. *ysār-* ‘to sing’, Sogd. *z’ry*, *z’r’k*, *z’r’y* ‘compassionate; pitiful’, Khwar. *zrȳ-* ‘to announce, reveal, speak about (sorrow)’, Oss. Iron *zælyn/zæld* ‘to sound’, (caus.-iter.) Iron *zaryn/zard*, Digoron *zarun/zard* ‘to sing’ < PIr. *\*zaHr-* (*\*zarH-?*) ‘to bewail the deceased’; Gr. γῆρυς f. ‘voice, speech’, Dor. γᾰρυς, -υος < *\*geh<sub>2</sub>ru-*; OHG *chara* ‘mourning, complaint’, Go. *kara*, OE *cearu* ‘worry, care’ < PGm. *\*karō-*, OS *karm*, OE *cearm*, *cierm* ‘shouting’ < *\*karma-*.

Latin *garriō* might reflect earlier *\*gāriō* by means of the *littera*-rule (see s.v. *cella*). An original long vowel would match that of Greek and (partly) Iranian. Yet the OIr. verb has short *a*, and cannot contain old long *\*ā*. In Gm., we find a short *\*a*. An alternation between *\*ǵeh<sub>2</sub>r-* and *\*ǵh<sub>2</sub>r-* could explain all the forms, but we may equally well be dealing with independent onomatopoeic formations in */gār-/* of the individual languages.

Bibl.: WH I: 583, EM 267, IEW 352, Schrijver 1991: 178, Sihler 1995: 49, Schumacher 2004: 331-333, LIV *\*ǵar-*. → *grāculus*, *grundiō*, *grūs*

**gaudeō** ‘to be glad, rejoice’ [v. II; ppp. *gāvīsus* (pf. *gāvīsī* in Andr. and Hem.)] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *gaudium* ‘joy, delight’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*gāwep-ē-* [v.] or *\*gāwipō-* [adj.].

PIE *\*ǵeh<sub>2</sub>u-ed<sup>h</sup>-* ‘to rejoice’ or *\*ǵeh<sub>2</sub>u-i-d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-o-* ‘rejoicing’. IE cognates: Gr. γάνυμαι ‘to brighten up, be glad’ < *\*gh<sub>2</sub>-n-u-*, γαίω ‘to take pride in’ < *\*γᾰf-jw*; γηθέω ‘rejoice’, Dor. γᾰθέω, pf. γέγηθα < *\*geh<sub>2</sub>d<sup>h</sup>-*; Toch. *kātk-* ‘to rejoice’.

*Gaudeō* was contracted from *\*gāwVd-* with a short second vowel. Since a disyllabic base *\*gāwVd<sup>h</sup>-* was probably denominative, or contains the present suffix *\*(e)d<sup>h</sup>-*, the participle *gāvīsus* is probably a secondary formation. Its *ī* may continue an earlier short vowel which was analogically lengthened (after the verb *videō* : *vīsus*). Forssman 1972: 668 suggests an original adj. *\*gāvidus* ‘rejoicing’ on which *\*gāvidēre* was built (like *ārdēre* ‘to burn’ to *āridus*), and the same is proposed by Nussbaum 1999a: 392 and Livingston 2004: 37f. This seems a good option, and it

would allow for an adj. in \*-id<sup>h</sup>o- (Nussbaum 1999a). If the present was built on a suffix \*-d<sup>h</sup>-, the vowel of the second syllable was probably \*e. The rise of the ppp. can then be dated after the vowel reduction of \*e to i in unstressed syllables.

Bibl.: WH I: 584, EM 268, IEW 353, Schrijver 1991: 289, LIV \*(ǵ)eh<sub>2</sub>u-.

**gǎvia** ‘sea-bird, possibly a tern’ [f. *ā*] (Plin., Apul.)

PIE \*gouh<sub>2</sub>-i- ‘shouter’? IE cognates: Skt. pr.int. *jōguve* [3s.med.(stat.)], *jōguvāna*- [ptc.med.] ‘to call, invoke, praise’, *jōgu*- [adj.] ‘singing loudly’; Gr. γοάω ‘to groan, weep’, γόης, -ητος [m.] ‘sorcerer’ (Ion.-Att.); OCS *govorb* [m.] ‘noise, shout, rumour, murmur’ < \*gou(H)-; maybe OHG *gikewen* ‘to call’, OE *cīegan* ‘shout’ (< PGm. \*kaujan).

The length of the *a* is uncertain. Lat. *gāius* ‘jay’ is close in form and meaning, which would point to a (onomatopoeic?) root \*gǎ-. If one assumes a short vowel in *gavia*, it can be derived from \*gou-i-éh<sub>2</sub>-, and connected with a PIE root \*guh<sub>2</sub>-. This remains uncertain.

Bibl.: WH I: 584, EM 268, IEW 403, LIV \*geuh<sub>2</sub>-.

**gelus, -ūs** ‘cold, frost, ice’ [m. *u*] (Andr.+; *gelum* [n.] Cato+, marginally *gelū* [n.]

Derivatives: *gelidus* ‘cold, icy’ (Cato+), *gelāre* ‘to freeze’ (Sen.+); *gelicidium* ‘a frost’ (Cato+); *congelāre* ‘to cause to freeze’ (Varro+).

PIt. \**gelus*, \**gel-ou*- [m.].

PIE \*ǵel-u- [m.] ‘cold, frost, ice’. IE cognates: Go. *kalds* ‘cold’, OE *calan* ‘to freeze’, OIc. *kala* ‘to freeze’ < PIE \*ǵol-to-; Gr. γελανδρόν ‘cold’ (Hsch.) is uncertain. OCS *xladb* ‘coolness, cool breeze’, Ru. *xólod* ‘cold’ < PSI. \**xōldb* ‘(the) cold’ << PIE \*ǵold<sup>h</sup>o-?

For the interpretation of the stem form throughout the Latin period, cf. Nussbaum 1999a: 380. By sound change, \**gelu*(-) should become \**gol*u(-), but we may assume that *e* was restored on the basis of *gelidus* < \**gel-i*-, cf. Nussbaum 1999a: 387.

Bibl.: WH I: 585f., EM 268, IEW 366, Leumann 1977: 151, Schrijver 1991: 433, Sihler 1995: 41. → *glaciēs*

**geminus** ‘born at the same time, twin-born’ [adj. *o*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *gemināre* ‘to repeat, double’ (Ter.+), *congemināre* ‘to double’ (Pl.+), *congeminātiō* ‘doubling’ (Pl.), *gemitūdō* ‘likeness’ (Pac.); *trigeminus* ‘threefold’ (Pl.+), *quadrigeminus* ‘fourfold’ (Naev.+).

PIt. \**jemno*- ‘paired’.

PIE \*iemH-no- ‘paired, connected’. IE cognates: OIr. *emon* ‘twin’ < \**emno*- < \**jemno*-; Skt. *yamá-* ‘twin’, *yamī-* [f.] ‘twin sister’, OAv. *yāma-* [m.] ‘twin’ < Ilr. \**iamHa-* < \**imHo-*; Latv. *jūmis* ‘pair’.

Traditionally, *geminus* is connected with Ilr. \**iamá-* ‘twin’ because of the meaning; this is supported by the OIr. cognate, which still shows *je*-. If correct, Latin has analogically introduced *g*- from elsewhere, probably from *gignō* ‘to give birth to’, *genus* ‘offspring’.

Bibl.: WH I: 586f., EM 269, IEW 505, Steinbauer 1989: 256, Schrijver 1991: 94.

**gemma** ‘bud or eye (in trees); jewel’ [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *gemma* ‘to come into bud’ (Varro+), *gemma* ‘decorated with gems’ (Lucr.+).

PIt. \**gebmā*- ‘bud, sprout’.

PIE \**geb-m-* ‘sprout, bud’. IE cognates: Lith. *žėmbėti* ‘to germinate, sprout’, 3s. *žėmbi*, OCS *prozėbnŋti* ‘to germinate’.

The meaning ‘bud, sprout’ is primary. There are two competing traditional etymologies. WH and IEW prefer a derivation \**gemb<sup>h</sup>-nā* to the root \**gemb<sup>h</sup>-* ‘to bite’, whereas others have suggested a connection with \**gem-* ‘to press’ (Lat. *gemō*). The second etymology is semantically unconvincing, and leaves the geminate *-mm-* unexplained. The first etymology is based on (or at least thought to be strengthened by) the similar forms of Lith. *žėmbti* (colloq.) ‘to cut slantwise, sharpen’, 3s. *žėmbia*, OCS *zėbomi* ‘to pull out’ on the one hand, and Lith. *žėmbėti* ‘to germinate, sprout’, 3s. *žėmbi*, OCS *prozėbnŋti* ‘to germinate’ on the other. Yet the accentuation of these verbs is different, a problem which was seen by IEW, but solved in an unsatisfactory way, viz. by assuming a lengthened grade present for Lith. *žėmbėti*. Since the meanings ‘to cut’ and ‘to germinate’ are difficult to derive from one another, and since the accentual difference may go back to different root-final consonants, we can reconstruct \**gemb<sup>h</sup>-* for the circumflex root ‘to cut’, and \**gemb-* for the acute root of ‘to germinate’ (thus Schrijver, without references). Latin *gemma* can then reflect a noun \**geb-ma* of the deverbal type (as in *fāma*, *flammā*). Whether Germanic words can be connected (OÍc. *keppr* ‘stick’, OE *cipp* ‘beam, ploughshear’ < \*PGm. \**kippa-*) is uncertain.

Bibl.: WH I: 587f., EM 269, IEW 369, Schrijver 1991: 434.

**gemō, -ere** ‘to groan, moan’ [v. III] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *gemitus*, *-ūs* ‘groaning, moaning’ (Pl.+); *ingemere* ‘to moan’ (Lucr.+).

PIt. \**gene/o-*?

PIE \*Gen(H)- ‘to call’? IE cognates: Gr. *γέγωνα* [pf.] ‘to shout so as to make oneself heard’, ToA *ken-* ‘to call’.

Lat. *gemō* has been connected with Gr. *γέμω* ‘to be full’ by most scholars, but the assumed semantic change from ‘to grasp; to press’ to ‘groan, moan’ is hard to imagine. This point is stressed by Vine 2007, who instead proposes to connect Gr. *γέγωνα* and ToA *ken-* ‘to call’ < (\**ge-*)\**gon-*. He posits an original root \*Gen(H)- / \*Gon(H)- (with unspecified initial velar stop), which would have acquired *-m-* for \**-n-* in Latin on the model of semantically similar verbs such as *fremere* ‘to roar’, *tremere* ‘to tremble’.

Bibl.: WH I: 588f., EM 269, IEW 368f., Meiser 1998: 83, Vine 2007.

**gena** ‘cheek, side of the face’ [f. *ā*] (Lex XII+; usually pl. *genae*)

Derivatives: *genuīmus* (*dēns*) [m./adj.] ‘back tooth, molar’ (Cic.+).

PIt. \**genu-*.

PIE \**gen-u-* [f.] ‘jaw’. IE cognates: OÍr. *gin* [m. *u*] ‘mouth’, W. *gen* ‘cheek’, pl. *geneu* < PCl. \**genu-*; Skt. *hānu-* [f.], YAv. \**zanauna* [du.], Khot. *ysanuva* ‘jaw’ < Iír.

\**f<sup>(h)</sup>anu-* (with unclear aspirate in Skt.); Gr. γένυς, -υος [f.] ‘jaw’, γένειον (< \*γενεφ-ιον) ‘chin, beard’; Arm. *cnawt* ‘jaw, chin’; Lith. *žándas* [m.] ‘cheek, jaw’, Latv. *zuóds* < \**ǵonH-dʰo-* or \**ǵon-d-o-*; Go. *kinnus* [f.] ‘cheek’, Olc. *kinn* [f.] ‘slope’ < PGM. \**kinnu-* (< \**ǵenu-/ǵenuo-*); ToA *śanwem* [du.f.] ‘jaws’ < PIE \**ǵēnu-* (root vocalism after ToA *kanwem* ‘knees’?).

Originally the same word \**genu-* as ‘knee’, meaning ‘curve’? The adj. *genu-īnus* ‘molar’ would indeed suggest this. Original \**genu-* has apparently changed to *gena* because it was f., and maybe under the influence of *māla*.

Bibl.: WH I: 589f., EM 269, IEW 381f., Leumann 1977: 327. → *genu*

**gener, -erī** ‘son-in-law’ [m. o] (Pl.+)

Plt. \**g(e)mro-*.

PIE \**ǵ(e)m-ro-* ‘son-in-law’. IE cognates: Skt. *jāmātar-* [m.] ‘son-in-law’, YAv. *zāmātar-* [m.] ‘id.’, *zāmaoiia-* ‘id.’ < Ilr. \**jāma(Htar)-*; YAv. *zamanā-* [f.] ‘reward, payment, wages’, Khot. *ysam̐tha* ‘payment for use’, Sogd. ‘wz’*m-* ‘to repay, requite, condemn’, Bactr. ωζ- ‘to be liable’ < Plr. \**zam-* ‘to repay, reward’; Gr. γαμβρός ‘son-in-law’ < \**ǵm-ro-*, denom. γαμέω ‘to marry’; Alb. *dhëndër*, *dhëndër* ‘son-in-law, bridegroom’. From a different preform: Lith. *žentas* [m.] ‘son-in-law, brother-in-law’, Latv. *znuōts* ‘id.’, OCS *zety* ‘bridegroom’, Ru. *zját* ‘son-in-law, brother-in-law’ < \**ǵenh<sub>3</sub>-to/i-* (Derksen 2008: 543).

The *m* of Ilr. and Greek cannot go back to *n*, and the Greek form can hardly reflect a *seǵ* root. If the root was \**ǵm-*, we can explain *gener* from \**ǵmros* > \**gemros* > \**genros* > *gener*.

Bibl.: WH I: 590f., EM 270, IEW 369f., Schrijver 1991: 218, EIEC 332-335, 532f., Viredaz 2002.

**gēns, -ntis** ‘race, nation, people’ [f. i] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *gentilis* [m.] ‘a member of the same gens’ (Lex XII+), *gentilitās* ‘members of a gens’ (Varro+), *gentilicius* ‘of a gens’ (Varro+).

Plt. \**genti-*.

PIE \**ǵenh<sub>1</sub>-ti-* ‘child’. IE cognates: Skt. *prá-jāti-* [f.] ‘birth, production’, Av. *frazain̐ti-* ‘offspring, posterity’; Gr. γένεσις ‘birth’; Olc. *kind* ‘kind, race’ < \**kenǵi-*, OE *gecynd*, OHG *gikunt* ‘origin, race’ < \**kunǵi-*.

The original meaning is ‘clan’, that is, ‘people of the same descent’. Schrijver argues that Lat. \**genti-* is a relatively recent formation, since PIE \**ǵnh<sub>1</sub>-ti-* is continued in Latin by *nātiō*. A different approach is chosen by Beekes 1969, and, for instance, Schaffner 2001. They argue that the PIE paradigm had ablaut, and that *gēns* reflects the full grade case forms, whereas *nātiō* stems from a zero-grade case form. However, the large amount of *e*-grade forms across the IE languages suggests that maybe PIE already had two stems, \**ǵenh<sub>1</sub>-ti-* ‘child’ and \**ǵnh<sub>1</sub>-tí-* ‘offspring’. Since some of the extant forms can be explained secondarily (e.g. Skt. *prá-jāti-*, see de Vaan 2004a), an alternating paradigm in PIE is not a necessary assumption.

Bibl.: WH I: 592, EM 271, IEW 373ff., Beekes 1969: 228, Schrijver 1991: 330, Schaffner 2001: 93, de Vaan 2004a: 596f., LIV \**ǵenh<sub>1</sub>-*. → *gignō*, *nāscor*



**genu, -ūs** 'knee' [n. u] (Andr.+); mainly pl. *genua* in the oldest sources; also sg. *genus* (Lucil.+), and maybe *genum* (Fronto). Nom.sg. also *genū* in Verg., Ov. (before caesura).

Derivatives: *geniculum* 'small knee; joint' (Varro+), *congenuclāre* 'to fall on one's knees' (Sis.+).

PIt. \**gemǵ*.

PIE \**ǵen-u(-h<sub>1</sub> dual)*, \**ǵen-u-* (obl.) 'both knees'. IE cognates: OIr. *glūn* [n.], W. *pen-(g)lin*, Co. *penglin*, Bret. *penn-glin* 'knee' < \**glūn-*; Hit. <sup>(UZU)</sup>*genu-* / *ganu-* [n. > c.] 'knee' < \**ǵén-u-* / \**ǵn-eu-*; Skt. *jānu-*, in cp. *jñu-*, Av. *zānu-*, *fra-šnu-* 'holding the knee forward'; Gr. γόνυ 'knee', γνύξ [adv.] 'with bent knee' (II.), πρόχυνυ 'kneeling', Arm. *cownr* < \**ǵonu-*, with an *r*-addition to older *u*-stem; Alb. *gju* / *gjū* [m.] 'knee', Buzuku pl. *glunj* < PAIb. \**glun-*; Go. *kniu*, OHG *chniu*, OIc. *kné* < PGm. \**knewa-*; ToA *kanwem* [m.du.], ToB *keni\** [m.du.] 'knees' < PTo. \**kenw-* < PIE \**ǵonu-*.

The PIE paradigm seems to have been nom.acc.sg. \**ǵon-u*, gen.sg. \**ǵen-u-s*, but \**ǵn-eu-s* also occurred; probably, \**-ǵn-u-* was the form in several compounds. The dual ending \**-uh<sub>1</sub>* may also be seen in PCl. \**gl-ū-n-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 592f., EM 273, IEW 380f., Leumann 1977: 441, Klingenschmitt 1992: 121-125, Meiser 1998: 146. → *gena*

**gerō, -ere** 'to bear, carry' [v. III; *gessī, gestum*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *gestāre* 'to carry'; (Pl.+), *gestitāre* 'to carry habitually' (Pl.+), *gestor* 'who carries' (Pl.+), *gestus, -ūs* 'movement, gesture' (Ter.+), *gestīre* 'to desire eagerly, be elated' (Pl.+); cp. in *-ger, -era, -gerum* 'bearing' (Pl.+); *salūtigerulus* 'employed to carry salutations' (Pl.), *scūtigerulus* 'shield-bearer' (Pl.); *gerulifigulus* 'accessory, hodman vel sim.' (Pl.); *aggerere* 'to bring; pile up' (Pl.+), *agger, -is* [m.] (Lucil.+), 'pile of earth, ramp', *congerere* 'to bring together, collect' (Pl.+), *congestus, -ūs* 'heap, pile' (Lucr.+), *digerere* 'to carry away, distribute' (Cato+), *ēgerere* 'to carry away, remove; bring forth' (Cato+), *ingerere* 'to heap on, pour into' (Pl.+), *oggerere* 'to place in large quantities on/before' (Pl.+), *suggerere* 'to supply, pile up' (Ter.+), *suggestus, -ūs* 'platform' (Cato+).

PIt. \**ges-e/o-*.

PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>ǵ-es-* 'to carry'. IE cognates: see s.v. *agō*.

The meaning of *gestīre* presupposes the meaning 'gesture' of *gestus* (i.e. \*'to make wild gestures'). The compound *agger* < \**ad* + *-ger* cannot contain a PIE root noun, since the stem \**-ges-* < PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>ǵ-es-* is of post-IE date (pace Benedetti 1988: 107f.). The derivation from the root of *agō* was proposed at an early date (by Osthoff), but has only gained acceptance since the laryngeal theory has become endorsed, cf. Schrijver.

Bibl.: WH I: 22, 595, EM 15, 273f., Schrijver 1991: 18f., LIV 1.\**h<sub>2</sub>ǵ-*. → *agō*

**gibber, -is** 'hump' [m./n. r] (Lucil.+)

Derivatives: *gibber* [adj.] 'hump-backed' (Varro+); *gibbus* 'hump' (Juv.+), *gibbus* [adj.] 'bulging' (Cels.).

PIt. \**ǵīfri-* 'hump', \**ǵīfro-* 'hump-backed'.

PIE \*geib<sup>h</sup>? IE cognates: Lith. *geibùs* 'gawky, plump', *geibstù*, *geĩbti* 'to become weak'; Norw. dial. *keiv* 'slanted, wrong', *keiva* 'left hand'.

Lat. *gibb-* can continue earlier \*gīb-, an interchange which we find in many words for bodily defects. Nussbaum 2004a interprets the facts in the sense that the PIE adj. in \*-ro- yielded an abstract noun in \*-ri-. In theory, \*gīber and the Baltic forms could reflect \*geib<sup>h</sup>-, but the meanings are not identical. The distribution of these forms is very restricted, and the etymology therefore remains very uncertain. The Gm. forms in \*k- also occur with *sk-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 597, EM 274, IEW 354f., Leumann 1977: 182, Sihler 1995: 224.

**gignō**, -ere 'to create, engender; to be born' [v. III; pf. *genuī*, ppp. *genitum*] (Pl.+; OLat. pr. also *genō*, -ere until Varro)

Derivatives: *genitor* 'father, creator' (Enn.+), *genetrīx* 'mother' (Enn.+), *genetīvus* 'of birth, acquired at birth' (Varro+), *genitālis* 'of (pro)creation' (Enn.+), *genitābilis* 'having the power of creation' (Varro+); *ēgignere* 'to bring forth' (Lucr.), *prōgignere* 'to bring into being, come into existence' (Pl.+), *prōgeniēs* 'offspring, descent' (Enn.+), *prōgenitor* 'ancestor' (Acc.+), *regignere* 'to bear again' (Lucr.); *ingenuus* 'free-born, liberal; native' (Pl.); *genus*, -eris 'origin, offspring, race, gender' (Naev.+), *generāre* 'to beget, father' (Pl.+), *generālis* 'shared by all, general' (Varro+), *generāscere* 'to come to birth' (Lucr.), *generātīm* 'by classes' (Varro+), *congenerāre* 'to bind by kinship; give birth at the same time' (Acc.+); *genius* 'the male spirit of a gens' (Pl.+); *ingenium* 'natural disposition, character' (Naev.+), *ingeniātus* 'endowed with a special character' (Pl.+); *germen*, -inis 'shoot, sprout' (Lucr.+), *germānus* 'having the same father and mother; true' (Pl.+); maybe *germināscere* 'to sprout' (Cato; uncertain reading, cf. Keller 1992: 302); *bigener* [adj. *o/ā*] 'hybrid' (Varro, Paul. *ex F.*), *multigener*, -is 'of many different sorts' (Pl.+); -gena 'born person' in *indigena* 'native, indigenous' (Ov.+), *aliēnigena* 'a stranger' (Varro+); -genus in *caprigenus* 'sprung from goats' (Pac.+), *prīmigenus* 'of origination' (Lucr.); -genius in *prīmigenius* 'first of its kind' (CIL 1.60 *primogenia*, Varro+); -gnus 'born, originated' in *benīgnus* 'kind, generous' (Pl.+), *bīgnae* 'twins' (Paul. *ex F.*), *malīgnus* 'ungenerous, grudging' (Pl.+), *prīvīgnus* 'stepson' (CIL 1.583.22+).

It. cognates: O. *genetaī* [dat.sg.] 'daughter' (?) < \*gēnh<sub>1</sub>-to-. Second -e- is problematic: because of the general Sabellic syncope of word-internal vowels, one would expect \*genatā- > \*gentā-.

PIE pr. \*gī-ǵnh<sub>1</sub>-e/o- 'to engender', aor. \*gēnh<sub>1</sub>-; \*gēnh<sub>1</sub>-tor- [m.]; in cp. \*-ǵnh<sub>1</sub>-o- > PIE \*-ǵn-o-; \*gēnh<sub>1</sub>-o/es- 'race, offspring', \*gēnh<sub>1</sub>-mn- 'germ, seed'. IE cognates: OIr. *gainidir\**, *gainedar*, W. *geni*, Co. *genys* [ppp.], Bret. *guenell* 'to be born' < PCl. \*gan-jo-; OIr. *gniid*, *gni* 'to do', MW *gweini* 'to serve', MCo. *gonis* 'to work', MBret. *go(u)nit* 'to earn' < PCl. \*(yo-)gn-ijo- (remade within Celtic); OIr. *Éo-gan*, OW *Mor-gen* [PN]; OBret. *gen* 'ethnicus'; Gaul. *Ad-genus*, *Cintu-genus* [PN] < PCl. \*genos- 'race'; W. *geneth*, Gaul. *geneta*, *genata*, *gnata* 'girl' < PCl. \*genetā; Hit. <sup>(UZU)</sup>*genzu-* [n.] 'abdomen, lap' < \*gēnh<sub>1</sub>-s-u-; PIIr. \*janH- > Skt. *janí* 'to be born, produce, create', pr. *jána-*, pr. *jāya-* 'be born', s-aor. *ájaniṣṭa*, red.aor. *ájījanat* 'has produced, has created'; ta-ptc. *jātá-*; *jā-* [m./f.] 'child, creature, family, descendence';

*jána-* ‘man’, *jánas-* (1x RV) ‘race’. YAv. *zīzana-* ‘to bear’, *zaiia-* ‘to be born’, *zāta-* ‘born’; *ā-sna-* (< IE *\*-ǵnh<sub>1</sub>-o-* ‘born’) ‘inherent’; Khot. *ysan-* ‘to give birth’, Sogd. *zn-* ‘to bring forth’. PIIr. *\*janHtar-* ‘progenitor’ > Skt. *janítár-* [m.], *jánitar-* [m.] (in the RV only with *jajāna*), *jánitrī-* [f.] ‘mother’; Skt. *jániman-* [n.] ‘birth, origin, creature, kind’, *jánman-* [n.] ‘birth, origin’; PIIr. *\*jaHtu-* ‘birth’ > Skt. *jātu* [adv.] ‘from birth, by nature’, *devá-jātu-* [adj.] ‘having his birth from the gods’, *jātú-bharman-* ‘protector by birth’, OP *dātū-vahya-* [m.] PN; PIIr. *\*janH-tu-* ‘tribe’ > Skt. *jantú-* [m.] ‘creature, tribe’, OAv. *hu-zāntu-* ‘of good lineage, noble’, YAv. *zantu-* [m.] ‘region’. Gr. γίγνομαι ‘to become’, aor. ἔγενετο; γένος, -εος [n.] ‘race, kind’, γενετή ‘birth’ (H.); γενέτωρ (Ion. Dor.) and γενετήρ (Arist.) ‘begetter’, γενέτειρα [f.] ‘mother’; νεογνός ‘newborn’, ὁμόγνιος ‘of the same origin’; Arm. *cnawl* ‘parent’ < *\*genH<sub>1</sub>-tlo-*, pr. *cnanim*, aor. *cnay* ‘is born; engenders’; Arm. *cin* ‘birth’ < *\*genH-os-*; Go. *niu-kla-hs* ‘minor’ < *\*niu-kna-?*, *aina-kla-* ‘unique’ < *\*-kna-?*; ToAB *kän-* ‘come to pass [of a wish]; be realized’.

The pr. *genere* seems secondary, built on the aor. *\*gen-*. The ppp. *genitus* is relatively recent, replacing *\*gnātos* on the model of the aor. *genuī*. The truth of this view is confirmed by the pair *prōgignō* : *prōgnātus*, cf. Schwyzer 1929. The noun *prōgeniēs* derives from *prōgignere*, as does *prōgenitor*. The noun *genitor* seems to be inherited, but it cannot be excluded that it was derived from the ppp. *genitus*. One argument for a recent origin is the medial vowel: in *\*genatōr-*, the *\*a* would have been syncopated in most case forms. The form *genetrix* shows that we must go back at least to *\*genetor-* < *\*genator-*, but this may still be built on *\*genatos*. The adj. *genetivus* also preserves medial *-e-* from the stage *\*gene-tos* of the ppp.; according to Leumann, its preservation in *genetivus* may be due to the specific sequence of vowels in this word. The words in *gener-* are derived from *genus*, *-eris*. The noun *germen* < *\*gen-men* lies at the basis of *germānus* < *\*germn-āno-*, even if the noun is attested significantly later than the adj. The original form of the noun must have been *\*genamen* < *\*ǵenh<sub>1</sub>-men-*; apparently, the *a* was syncopated in foursyllabic oblique caseforms. Still, an inner-Latin formation on the pr. stem *gen-* cannot be excluded. Of the compounds in *-gena*, *-genus*, *-genius*, *-gnus*, only the last type seems to be inherited: the meaning has moved away from literal ‘to beget’, the morphology is synchronically opaque, and the other three types are only attested in CLat. The noun *genius* is derived from PIE by IEW, who compares among others Gm. *\*kunja-* (Go. *kuni* ‘race’), but the meaning of *genius* clearly shows its dependance on *genus* and/or the verbal stem, and it can easily be secondary. The cp. in *-gena* are regarded as calques on Greek -γενής by Leumann 1977: 280. *Malignus* was created as an antonym to *benignus*, as the exclusive meaning ‘ungenerous’ (not: ‘unkind’) indicates; thus Nussbaum 2003. *Prīvīgnus* < *\*preiwo-gno-* ‘born separately’ > ‘stepson’; the reflex *-ignus* instead of expected *\*prīvognus* is explained by Nussbaum from analogy with *bigno-* ‘twin’.

Bibl.: WH I: 597ff., EM 270ff., IEW 373ff., Leumann 1977: 84, 358, Schrijver 1991: 92, 329f., Meiser 1998: 110, 142, Untermann 2000: 308, Lindner 2002: 224, Stüber 2002: 82, Schumacher 2004: 327, LIV *\*ǵenh<sub>1</sub>-*. → *gēns*, *nāscor*

**gingīva** ‘flesh around the teeth, the gum’ [f. *ā*] (Cat.+)

Etymology unknown. IEW compares words such as Gr. γογγύλος ‘round’, Lith. *gūnga* ‘hunch, lump’ and Germanic words meaning ‘ball’ and ‘winding’: OIc. *koḱkr* ‘ball’, MLG *kinke* ‘bend’. Yet the semantic connection of ‘gums’ with ‘winding’ is not compelling (it is not the most typical aspect of the gums), and alternative analyses are possible for the compared forms (Lith. may have \**u*, for instance). For the suffix, cf. *salīva*.

Bibl.: WH I: 601, EM 275, IEW 379f., Meiser 1998: 83.

**-gintā** ‘decade’ [num. indecl.]: *trīgintā* ‘thirty’ (Pl.+), *quadrāgintā* ‘forty’ (Pl.+), *quīnquāgintā* ‘fifty’ (Pl.+), *sexāgintā* ‘sixty’ (Cato+), *septuāgintā* ‘seventy’ (Varro+), *octōgintā* ‘eighty’ (Cic.+), *nōnāgintā* ‘ninety’ (Varro+)

Derivatives: *trīcēnī* [pl.adj.] ‘thirty apiece’ (Varro+), *trīcē(n)simus* ‘thirtieth’ (Cato+); *quadrāgēnārius* ‘of, containing forty’ (Cato+), *quadrāgēnī* [pl.adj.] ‘forty at a time’ (Cato+), *quadrāgēsīmus* ‘fortieth’ (Varro+), *quadrāgiē(n)s* ‘forty times’ (Varro+); *quīnquāgēnārius* ‘of, containing fifty’ (Cato+), *quīnquāgēsīēs* ‘fifty times’ (Pl.), *quīnquāgiē(n)s* ‘fifty times’ (Varro+); *sexāgēnārius* ‘of, containing sixty’ (Varro+), *sexāgēnī* ‘sixty apiece’ (Pl.+), *sexāgē(n)simus* ‘sixtieth’ (Ter.+); *septuāgēnī* ‘seventy apiece’ (Varro+); *octōgēnī* ‘eighty apiece’ (Lucil.+); *nōnāgēsīmus* ‘ninetieth’ (Varro+).

Plt. \**trīgmta* ‘thirty’, \**k<sup>w</sup>adrāgmta* ‘forty’.

PIE \**tri-/k<sup>w</sup>tr-/* etc. + *-dkmt-h<sub>2</sub>* > \**tridkmth<sub>2</sub>*, \**k<sup>w</sup>dradkmth<sub>2</sub>* > \**tri?kmth<sub>2</sub>*, \**k<sup>w</sup>dra?kmth<sub>2</sub>* > \**tri?gmth<sub>2</sub>*, \**k<sup>w</sup>dra?gmth<sub>2</sub>* > \**trīgmta*, \**k<sup>w</sup>adrāgmta*.

The ordinal *-c/gēsīmus* reflects < \**-gēssamo-* < \**-genītamo-* < \**-gṃt-tamo-*. Distributive *-ēnī* spread analogically from the lower numerals, e.g. *septēnī* and *novēnī*; cf. Leumann 1977: 495. Originally, the numerals in *-gintā* are compounds of the lower numerals (in the zero grade) and the nom.acc.pl. of the n. noun \**dkmt-* ‘decad’. In ‘thirty’ and ‘forty’, initial \**d<sub>r</sub>* of the second member probably became a glottal stop, and lengthened the preceding vowel. In ‘forty’, this vowel was a secondary prop vowel *a*. The \**t* in original \**k<sup>w</sup>tr-dkmt-* was lenited (voiced) in the consonant cluster, as was the \**k* in the second member in all forms. Final *-ā* for expected \**-ā* is explained by Klingenschmitt (1992: 92) as analogical to final *-ī* in *vīgintī* ‘twenty’. The higher decades have adopted *-āgintā* from ‘thirty’ and ‘forty’. The expected form of ‘fifty’ would have been \**penk<sup>w</sup>e-dkmt-h<sub>2</sub>* > \**quīnquēgintā*, of ‘sixty’ \**sexgintā*/\**sēgintā*. *Octōgintā* is regular and *nōnāgintā* probably for \**mūnāgintā* < \**h<sub>1</sub>neun-dkmt-*. The number *septuāgintā* is explained by Meiser from remodelling of \**septmāgintā* < \**septm-h<sub>1</sub>kmth<sub>2</sub>*, in other words, he assumes a prior development to \**septma-h<sub>1</sub>kmth<sub>2</sub>*. Others have assumed that *-uāgintā* was taken from ‘eighty’, which would then have had an earlier form \**octuāgintā* < \**oktowā-* or \**oktō-ā-*. This question is not settled yet; cf. also *septuennis* ‘of seven years’, attested early in the literature. Other IE languages (Celtic, Greek) have *o*-grade, which suggests that the noun had ablaut in PIE: *-dkomt-/dkmt-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 179, 200, 394, 407f., 518, 528, 703, EM passim, IEW 191f., Leumann 1977: 490, Kortlandt 1983a, Schrijver 1991: 81, 182, Sihler 1995: 418ff., Meiser 1998: 173. → *centum*, *decem*, *vīgintī*

**glaber, -bra, -brum** 'without hair, smooth, bald' [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

PIt. \**χlapro-*.

PIE \**g<sup>h</sup>lh<sub>2</sub>d<sup>h</sup>-ro-* 'smooth'. IE cognates: Lith. *glodūs*, Latv. *glūošs* 'smooth', OCS *gladъkъ*, Ru. *gládkij*, SCr. *glādak* 'smooth, even' < PIE \**g<sup>h</sup>leh<sub>2</sub>d<sup>h</sup>-*; OHG *glat* 'smooth, shiny', OIc. *glaðr* 'shiny' < PIE \**g<sup>h</sup>lh<sub>2</sub>d<sup>h</sup>-*.

Schrijver regards *glaber* as the phonetic outcome of the PIE preform, by a vocalization rule \**CRHTC* > \**CRaTC*. Since the usual reflex of \**CRHC* is \**CRāC*, this may imply that the laryngeal was actually ousted before the putative allophonic prop vowel was phonologized. The acute accent of the BSl. forms points to the presence of a laryngeal.

Bibl.: WH I: 603, EM 275, IEW 431f., Schrijver 1991: 188, Meiser 1998: 103.

**glaciēs** 'ice' [f. *ē*] (Varro+)

Derivatives: *conglaciāre* 'to freeze' (Cic.+).

The meaning is the same as that of *gelus*, but *glaciēs* cannot be derived from a root \**ǵl-* 'to be cold' in any meaningful way.

Bibl.: WH I: 603, EM 275, IEW 366. → *gelus*

**gladius** 'sword' [m. *o*] (Pl.+; n. in Lucil., Var.)

Derivatives: *gladiātor* 'who fights with the sword' (Cato+), *gladiātōrius* 'of gladiators' (Ter.+).

IE cognates: W. *cleddyf*, Co. *clethe*, MBret. *clezeff* 'sword' < \**kladimo-* (Olr. *claideb* is a loanword from W.).

The close connection with Celtic words for 'sword', together with the imperfect match of initial consonants, and the semantic field of weaponry, suggests that Latin borrowed a form \**gladio-* or \**kladio-* (a hypothetical variant of attested British Celtic \**kladimo-* 'sword') from PCl. or from a third language.

Bibl.: WH I: 603, EM 275f., IEW 545ff.

**glāns, -andis** 'acorn' [f. *nd*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *glandium* 'delicate kernel (in meat)' (Naev.); *glandifer* 'acorn-bearing' (Lucr.); *iūglāns* 'walnut' (Varro+).

PIt. \**g<sup>w</sup>land(i)-* < \**g<sup>w</sup>lānd-*.

PIE \**g<sup>w</sup>lh<sub>2</sub>-n-d<sup>(h)</sup>-(i)-* 'acorn'. IE cognates: Skt. *gula-* 'acorn, penis, clitoris' (uncertain); Gr. βάλανος 'acorn' < \**g<sup>w</sup>lh<sub>2</sub>-eno-*, Arm. *kaḷin*, gen. *kaḷnoy* 'acorn' (but \**g<sup>w</sup>lh<sub>2</sub>-eno-* would give *-an-* in Arm.); maybe Alb. *lënd*, Tosk *lëndë* 'acorn'; OPr. *gile* 'acorn, oak', Lith. *gilė* 'acorn', *gylė* (dial.), Latv. *zīle* < \**g<sup>w</sup>lh<sub>2</sub>-eh<sub>1</sub>*; RuCS *želudъ*, Ru. *želud'*, SCr. *žělūd* 'acorn' < PSl. \**žělqdb* < \**g<sup>w</sup>elh<sub>2</sub>-on-d<sup>(h)</sup>-(i)-*.

Beside Latin, only Slavic shows a dental stop in the suffix. In view of *mēns*, *mentis* < \**mn-ti-*, *glāns* may reflect an old *i*-stem, which would match Slavic. Yet the endings of e.g. the abl.sg. do not point in this direction, so it is safer to assume a consonant stem. The other languages either continue an original *n*-stem (Arm., Gr.) or a different formation. Lat. *iūglāns* is probably a calque on Greek Διὸς βάλανος 'chestnut', with

the gen.sg. \**djowes* (> *Iovis*), or with *iū-* taken from *Iūpiter*.

Bibl.: WH I: 604f., 727, EM 276, 326, IEW 472f., Leumann 1977: 134, Schrijver 1991: 223, 273, Sihler 1995: 96.

**glārea** ‘gravel’ [f. *ā*] (Cato+)

Might be derived from the same root as *grānum* via a dissimilation: PIE \**grH-ro-* > \**grāros* ‘pebble, corn’ > deriv. \**grārejos* ‘of pebbles’ > diss. \**glārejos*. But this depends on the original meaning of *grānum*: if this was ‘ripened, aged’, it is unlikely that *glārea* is cognate.

Bibl.: WH I: 605, EM 276, IEW 390f. → *grānum*

**glēba** ‘lump of earth, clod’ [f. *ā*] (Cato+; <*glæb-*> mainly in Pliny)

Derivatives: *glēbārius* ‘who cuts off lumps’ (Varro).

IE cognates: Lith. *glēbti*, 3s. *glēbia* ‘to embrace, clasp, (dial.) take care of’, Lith. *glēbys* ‘embrace, armful’, Latv. *glēbt* ‘to guard, protect’ < \**glēb<sup>h</sup>-*.

If *glēba* is older than *glæba*, one might posit a root noun \**glēb-* vs. an *o*-stem \**globo-*. The Gm. forms which are often compared (OHG *klāftra*) do not mean ‘round’, and are better ignored. Only the Baltic words are likely to be cognate. In theory, Latin and Baltic may continue a root \**glēb<sup>h</sup>-*, \**glob<sup>h</sup>-*; yet because of the restricted distribution, and the existence of deviant vocalism within Baltic, a PIE origin is not very likely.

Bibl.: WH I: 606, EM 276, IEW 359f., Schrijver 1991: 125. → *globus*

**glīs, -ris** ‘dormouse’ [m. *r*] (Pl.+)

PIt. \**glīs*.

PIE \**glh<sub>2</sub>-i-* ‘husband’s sister, aunt’ > ‘weasel’. IE cognates: Skt. *giri-* [f.] ‘sister-in-law’, Gr. γαλέη, γαλή (Ar.+) ‘weasel, marten’ (the word originally indicated the skin), γαλήοπις ‘weasel-eyed’, γαλιάγκων ‘with an arm like a weasel’, γαλιδεύς ‘young weasel’ (Crat.), γαλιάω ‘to be mad’ (Hsch.); γάλις ‘γαλαός’ (Hsch.); Arm. *tal* ‘husband’s sister’. Skt. *giri-*, *girikā-* [f.] ‘mouse’ probably did not exist.

According to Szemerényi 1995, Lat. *glīs* was borrowed from Gr. γαλέη ‘weasel, marten’, with Hellenistic pronunciation as [gali:]. This seems unlikely: it has a different meaning, and [gali:] does not explain the form of *glīs*. The semantic distance between ‘dormouse’ and ‘weasel’ also mars the comparison with Skt. *giri-* ‘sister-in-law’ < \**glh<sub>2</sub>-i-*, which would formally be attractive. Cuesta Pastor (1996) argues that the Gr. gloss γάλις ‘husband’s sister’ together with Skt. *giri-* and Arm. *tal*, points to a PIE stem \**glh<sub>2</sub>-í-* ‘sister-in-law’, and, since ‘aunt’ is often used metaphorically for ‘weasel’, Latin *glīs* can represent the same PIE stem. Oettinger 1998, too, points out that in Romance languages and dialects often ‘weasel’ and ‘aunt’ are homonyms, because of the behaviour of the aunt; similarly for Baltic Nepokupnyj 2002.

Bibl.: WH I: 607, EM 276f., IEW 367, Schrijver 1991: 242f. → *glōs*

**gliscō, -ere** ‘to swell, increase’ [v. III] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *congliscere* ‘to blaze up’ (Pl.), *regliscere* ‘to grow’ (Pl.).

No certain cognates.

Bibl.: WH I: 607, EM 277.

**globus** ‘round and compact mass’ [m. o] (Pl.)

Derivatives: *globōsus* ‘round’ (Pac.+).

Probably a loanword, see s.v. *glēba*.

Bibl.: WH I: 608, EM 277, IEW 359f., Schrijver 1991: 125. → *glēba, glomus*

**glomus, -eris** ‘ball-shaped mass’ [n. r] (Varro+)

Derivatives: *glomerāre* ‘to form into a ball, collect’ (Varro+), *glomerāmen* ‘aggregation’ (Lucr.+); *conglomerāre* ‘to concentrate, heap up’ (Enn.+).

Plt. *\*glemos, -es-*.

PIE *\*glem-o/es-* [n.]. IE cognates: OIr. *glomar* ‘gag, curb’; Lith. *glomóti* ‘to embrace’, *glemžti* ‘to grab together; rumple’, Latv. *glemzt* ‘to eat slowly, talk nonsense’; OE *clam(m)* ‘tie, fetters’, OHG *klamma* ‘trap, gorge’.

Since some Romance forms seem to continue *\*glem-* (Rom. *ghem*, Venetian *gjemo*), it is assumed that the original Latin form was *\*glemus*, which was able to survive in parts of the Latin speech area. The change of *\*glem-* to *glom-* was conditioned by the preceding velarized *l* and the non-front vowel in the next syllable (see Schrijver 1991: 468). According to Schrijver, the Romance form *\*glem-* may be a remnant of the oblique case forms, which must originally have had *e*-grade in the root: *\*glem-es-os* etc. There might also have been a PIE *o*-stem *\*glom-o-*, the *o* of which was then introduced into an *s*-stem *\*glemos, \*glemesos*. But since there is no synchronic evidence for an *o*-stem, it seems preferable to reconstruct only an *s*-stem. Semantically, only the connection with OIr. *glomar* and Gm. *\*klam-b-* makes reasonable sense, although Gm. and Celtic fit better together than with Lat. *glomus*. If Baltic is cognate, we would have a verbal root *\*glem-* ‘to contain, embrace’. Within Latin, *globus* and *glēba* seem the closest connection to *glomus*, and they may go back to (substrate?) *\*gle/ob-*. Hence, there may have been a substrate word ‘ball’ vacillating between *\*glem-* and *\*gleb-*; or *glomus* is from *\*glob-mo-*. The words for ‘slimy mass’, connected by IEW, are much more remote.

Bibl.: WH I: 609, EM 277, IEW 359f., Schrijver 1991: 468, Meiser 1998: 83. → *globus*

**glōria** ‘praise, glory’ [f. ā] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *glōriārī* ‘to pride oneself, boast’ (Ter.+), *glōriōsus* ‘glorious, boastful’ (Naev.+).

Plt. *\*gnōsia / \*gnōria* ‘knowledge, fame’.

PIE *\*gneh<sub>3</sub>-s-* ‘to recognize, know’ or *\*gne/oh<sub>3</sub>-ri-* ‘knowledge’.

The etymology as *\*gnōria* ‘knowledge, fame’ to *gnārus* ‘known’ and *i-gnōrāre* has been acknowledged by some scholars, and rejected by others. In its favour speak the semantics of words for ‘glory’, which in Indo-European societies mostly have to do

with 'spoken praise', 'reputation by hearsay'. Against the assumed etymology speak the phonetics. A dissimilation \**gnōr-* (> \**grōr-*?) > \**glōr-* is contradicted by *gnārus* and *ignōrāre*. On the other hand, *gnārus* kept its *gn-* on the model of *ignārus*, so that the retention of *gn-r-* in *gnārus* and *ignōrāre* may be due to non-initial (= VOLat. unstressed) position of the syllables in question. In \**gnōria*, the stress may have played a part in the dissimilation. It may be assumed that the semantic connection of *glōria* to 'to know' was no longer perceived, hence no restoration of *gn-* took place. *Glōria* could be a derivative of Plt. \**gnōs-* 'to know' (see s.v. *ignōrō*) or of PIE \**ǵne/oh<sub>3</sub>-ri-* 'knowledge', cf. Gr. γνώριμος 'well-known, familiar'.

Bibl.: WH I: 609f., EM 277. → *gnārus*, *ignōrō*

**glōs, -ris** 'husband's sister, brother's wife' [f. *r*] (Pl.+)

Plt. \**glōs-*.

PIE \**ǵloh<sub>2</sub>-u-* 'husband's sister'. IE cognates: Skt. *giri-* [f.] 'sister-in-law', Gr. γάλως, -ω 'husband's sister, brother's wife', γάλις 'γαλαός' (Hsch.) < PGr. \**γαλ-αρ-ο-*; Arm. *tal* 'husband's sister'; OCS *zъlъva* 'id.' < \**ǵIH-uH-*.

As Schrijver points out, it is impossible to derive *glōs* directly from a form \**ǵIH-V-*. His own tentative solution of a preform \**ǵIH-ōu-* with early loss of \**H* is ad hoc, but possible. Alternatively, one may observe that none of the branches of IE completely agree on the stem suffix, and that PIE may have had a root noun \**ǵlh<sub>2</sub>-*, or a *u*-adj. \**ǵlh<sub>2</sub>-u-*. Latin may then reflect \**ǵloh<sub>2</sub>-(u)-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 610, EM 277, IEW 367f., Schrijver 1991: 131, 199, Sihler 1995: 332, Nepokupnyj 2002. → *glīs*

**glūbō, -ere** 'to peel, strip the bark from' [v. III; pf. *glūpsī*, ppp. *glūptum*] (Cato+)

Derivatives: *glūma* 'husk, chaff' (Enn.+); *dēglūbere* 'to skin, strip' (Pl.+); *glūbēre* 'to shed its bark' (Cato).

Plt. \**gloufe/o-* [v.], \**glouf(s)ma-*.

PIE \**gleub<sup>h</sup>-e/o-* 'to split', \**gle/oub<sup>h</sup>-(s)mh<sub>2</sub>-*. IE cognates: Gr. γλύφω 'to carve out', OHG *klioban*, OE *clēofan*, OIc. *kljúfa* 'to cleave' < \**gleub<sup>h</sup>-e/o-*.

The noun *glūma* can continue *e-* or *o-* grade. It may contain \**-s-*, but not necessarily. If the connection with *glūbō* is correct, its meaning would be 'skin, hull' of the corn. The intr. verb *glūbēre* can be interpreted as 'to be in a state of peeling, losing its bark'.

Bibl.: WH I: 610f., EM 277f., IEW 401f., Sihler 1995: 209, Meiser 1998: 121, LIV \*<sup>(ǵ)</sup>leub<sup>h</sup>-.

**glūten, -inis** 'glue, paste' [n. *n*] (Varro, Lucr.+)

Derivatives: *glūtimum* 'glue, paste' (Lucil.+), *glūtinātor* 'person who glues papyri' (Lucil.+); *agglūtināre* 'to glue together, attach' (Pl.+), *conglūtināre* 'to stick together' (Pl.+); *glūtus* 'sticky, cohesive' (Cato, Paul. ex F.).

Plt. \**gloiten-* 'glue'; \**glūtos?*

PIE \**ǵloh<sub>1</sub>i-t-* 'slime, glue'. IE cognates: OIr. *glenaid*, *glen*; *glieid\**, *glia\** [sb.], W. *ghynu*, MCo. *glena*, Bret. *englenaff* 'to stick, glue' < \*(*en-*)*gli-na-* [pr.], \**glei-ase/o-*



[sb.]; Gr. γλοιός [m.] 'glutinous substance, gum', γλία 'glue', γλίνη, γλίον 'flexible, strong' (Hsch.), γλίπτον 'gum' (Hsch.); Lith. *gléinė* 'moist clay', *gliėti* 'to putty', *gléima* 'slime', Latv. *gleīmas* 'sticky liquid', Lith. *gliemežys* 'snail' < PIE \*gleh<sub>1</sub>i-m-; Ru. *glej* (dial.) 'clay, loam', SCr. *glěj* (dial.) 'kind of clay' < PSi. \*gl<sub>1</sub>jb < PIE \*glh<sub>1</sub>i-; RuCS *glěrb*, Ru. *glen* 'moisture, juice', Bulg. *glen* (dial.) 'waterplant, duckweed', Sln. *glěn* (dial.) 'mucus, sediment, clay, waterplant' < PIE \*gloH<sub>1</sub>i-n-; Ru. *hlína*, Cz. *hlína*, Bulg. *glína* 'clay' < PSi. \*glína < PIE \*gleH<sub>1</sub>i-n-; OE *clæg*, MLG *klei* 'clay' < PGm. \*klaiia-.

Latin presupposes a stem \*gloit- with a suffixal \*-t- which is otherwise unattested, but which might be connect with *glittus*. The latter is basically a hapax; by means of the *littera*-rule (see s.v. *cella*) it might go back to \*glītus < \*glh<sub>1</sub>i-to-, with laryngeal metathesis, or < \*gleh<sub>1</sub>i-to-. The *n*-stem inflection in Latin \*gloiten- might be secondary after *unguen* 'ointment', or hide an earlier *r/n*-stem 'glue'. The Hesych gloss γλίπτον is conspicuously similar to *glittus*, maybe it is based on the Latin form or was taken from another Italic language.

Bibl.: WH I: 611f., EM 277f., IEW 356-364, Schumacher 2004: 337f., LIV \*gleiH-

**gluttō, -nis** 'glutton, gourmand' [m. n] (Paul. ex F.)

Derivatives: *gluttīre* 'to swallow' (Pl.+); *ingluviēs* 'gullet, crop, throat' (Varro apud Serv.+); *singultus, -ūs* 'sobbing; hiccough' (Lucr.+).

In theory, Latin might contain the same stem \*glut- as found in PSi. \*gl<sub>1</sub>tb 'gullet' < \*gul-to-, see s.v. *gula*. But in *ingluviēs*, the *t* is absent. We seem to be dealing with an onomatopoeic formation of the form \*gul- / \*glu-.

Bibl.: WH I: 612f., EM 277, IEW 365, Leumann 1977: 183, 354. → *gula*

**gnārus** 'knowing, experienced' [adj. o/ā] (Pl.+; *nārus* Varro)

Derivatives: *ignārus* 'having no knowledge, ignorant' (Pl.+), *prōgnārīter* 'with full knowledge' (Pl., Enn.); *gnāruris* [adj.] 'having knowledge' (Pl.); *gnārigāre* 'to publish' (Andr. apud Paul. ex F.); *narrāre* 'to relate, tell' (Andr.+), *narrātiō* 'story' (Ter.+), *dēnarrāre* 'to relate in full' (Pl.+), *ēnarrāre* 'to recount' (Pl.+), *praenarrāre* 'to explain in advance' (Ter.).

PIt. \*gnāro- 'knowing', \*gnārāje- 'to make knowing, tell'. It. cognates: U. *naratu, naratu* [3s.ipv.II] 'to speak, recite' < \*gnārā-; U. *naraklum* [nom.acc.sg.] 'oral statement' < \*gnārā-tlo-.

PIE \*ǵnh<sub>3</sub>-ro- 'knowing'. IE cognates: Gr. γνώριμος 'well-known', γνωρίζω 'to make known' (< \*ǵne/oh<sub>3</sub>-ri- 'knowledge').

The adj. *gnārus* kept its *g*- on the model of *ignārus*; while *narrāre* lost it, because no compounded counterpart with *-gn-* was available. The verb *narrāre* can be explained from \**nārāre* via the *littera*-rule. The verb itself must have been PIt., judging by the U. forms; it is denominal to the adj. \**gnāro*-. Leumann 1977: 550 interprets *gnār-igāre* as a rhyming formation to *clārigāre* to *clārus*.

Bibl.: WH I: 613f., EM 278, IEW 376ff., Leumann 1977: 188, 546, 550, Schrijver 1991: 178, Meiser 1998: 77, Untermann 2000: 486f., LIV \*ǵneh<sub>3</sub>-. → *nōscō*

**(g)nāvus** 'busy, diligent, assiduous, active' [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+; in Pl. only adv. *nāvē*; CLat. *nāvus*)

Derivatives: *īgnāvus* 'lazy, indolent' (Pl.+), *īgnāvia* 'idleness' (Naev.+), *īgnāvāre* 'to dispirit' (Acc.).

Plt. \**gnāwo-*.

PIE \**gn(e)h<sub>3</sub>-uo-* 'knowing'. IE cognates: Ir. *gnó* 'business', W. *go-gnaw* 'active, persistent', MBret. *gnou* 'obviously', OBret. *bodo-cnous*, Mlr. *gnou* 'excellent'; uncertain: OIc. *knár* 'hardy, vigorous', Crimean Go. *knawen* 'good'.

If a development \**-ōw-* > *-āw-* is acknowledged, *gnāvus* can reflect \**gnōwo-* or \**gnāwo-* < PIE \**gnēh<sub>3</sub>-uo-* or \**gnh<sub>3</sub>-uo-* 'knowing'. The semantic shift from 'knowing' > 'experienced' > 'busy' is unremarkable. It is also possible that \**gnāwo-* was formed on the model of *gnārus*.

Bibl.: WH II: 851, EM 432, IEW 387, Schrijver 1991: 298ff., Meiser 1998: 86, LIV \**gnēh<sub>3</sub>-*. → *nātinor*

**gracilis** 'slender, slight' [adj. *i*] (Ter.+; f. *gracila* in Ter.)

Derivatives: *gracilentus* 'slender' (Enn.+), *gracilēns* 'id.' (Laev.), *gracilitās* 'slenderness' (Varro+), *gracilitūdō* 'id.' (Acc.); *cracēns, -ntis* 'slender' (Enn.).

It. cognates: possibly U. *kurçlasiu* [abl.sg.m.], attr. of 'moon': \**k(o)rkelāsio-* 'waning' (M. Weiss, p.c.).

Leumann holds that *gracilentus* was created as an antonym to *corpulentus*. The form *cracens* is only preserved in Paul. *ex F.*; it may be the original form, which was subsequently dissimilated to *grac-* in Latin. Lat. \**krakilis* would reflect PIE \**k<sub>1</sub>ḱ-* and be cognate with Skt. *kṛśá-*, Av. *kərəsa-* 'lean, meagre', OIc. *horr* (\**hurha-*) 'leanness', Lith. *karšėti, kāršti* 'to grow old'. Yet PIE \**k<sub>1</sub>ḱ-i-* would normally yield Latin \*\**corci-*, and the alleged dissimilation of velars is unwarranted.

Bibl.: WH I: 284, EM 279, IEW 581, Leumann 1977: 284, 336.

**grāculus** 'jackdaw' [m. *o*] (Varro+)

IE cognates: RuCS, ORu. *grakati*, 1s. *graču* 'to caw, croak' < PSI. \**grakati*; RuCS, ORu. *gǫrkati* 'to coo', Ru. (dial.) *górkat* < PSI. \**gǫrkati*; OIc. *kráka* 'crow', *krákr* 'raven', OE \**crācian, cracettan* 'to crow (of raven)' < pre-Gm. \**grāg-*.

Lat. *grāculus* could formally correspond with Slavic \**grā-k-*, but in view of the obviously onomatopoeic character of *grā-*, this does not suffice to prove PIE origin. One might reconstruct a PIE stem \**greh<sub>2</sub>-* for Lith. *gróti* 'to caw, croak', PSI. \**grajati* 'to caw, croak', but the same objection applies.

Bibl.: WH I: 615, EM 279, IEW 383-385, Schrijver 1991: 178. → *crōciō*

**gradior, gradī** 'to step, walk, proceed' [v. III; ppp. *gressus*] (Pl.+). Forms of the 4<sup>th</sup> cj.: *adgredimur* (Pl.), *aggredimur* (Pac.).

Derivatives: *gradibilis* 'able to tread' (Pac.); *gradus, -ūs* 'step, pace' (Pl.+); *gradātim* [adv.] 'by steps, progressively' (Varro+), *praegradāre* 'to go in front of' (Pac.); *grallae* [f.pl.] 'stilts' (Varro), *grallātor* 'who walks on stilts' (Pl.+); *grassārī* 'to press on, march, proceed' (Pl.+), *grassātor* 'vagabond, highway robber' (Cato+);

*gressiō* ‘stepping’ (Pac.), *gressus*, *-ūs* ‘step, walk’ (Acc.+); *aggredi*, *-gressus* ‘to advance, approach’ (Pl.+), *congrēdi* ‘to meet, join battle’ (Pl.+), *congressus*, *-ūs* ‘meeting, encounter’ (Lucr.+), *dēgredi* ‘to depart, go down’ (Pl.+), *dīgredi* ‘to go away’ (Ter.+), *ingredi* ‘to enter, begin’ (Pl.+), *indugredi* ‘to enter’ (Lucr.), *prōgredi* ‘to advance, proceed’ (Pl.+), *regredi* ‘to go back’ (Pl.+); *aggretus* ‘departure’, *ēgretus* ‘surge’? (Paul. *ex F.*).

PIt. *\*grad-(e)i-*.

PIE *\*g<sup>(h)</sup>rd<sup>(h)</sup>-(e)i-*. IE cognates: OIr. *in·greinn\** ‘to chase’, *do·greinn\** ‘to chase, drive’, MW *grynnyaw* ‘to press, thrust’ < PCl. *\*grinde/o-* (BrCl. *\*grindje/o-*), OIr. sb. *do·grē* < *\*greid-se/o-*.

All based on a stem *\*grad-*, yielding the noun *gradus* (whence *gradātīm*, *praegradāre*), the noun *grallae* < *\*grad-(s)la-*, and the verb *gradī/gradīrī*. The ppp. *gressus* for *\*grassus* < *\*grad-to-* is based on the compounds *ag-gressus* etc., with regular *-e-* in non-initial syllable. The meaning of the verb suggests that it was initially used mainly with preverbs in the pf., because of the perfective aspect. The present form *-gredior* in the cp. instead of *\*-gridior* may also be due to analogy with *gressus*. The iterative verb *grassārī* was regularly built on the ppp. *\*grasso-*. The fact that all fourth-cj. verb forms are made from compounded verbs, conforms to the pattern of uncompounded third-cj. and compounded fourth-cj. *i*-stem verbs as in *pariō* – *experīrī*. The noun *grallae* precludes a preform *\*grad<sup>h</sup>-lā-* (which would yield *\*grabulae*), unless the noun was derived from *\*grad-* after PIE *\*-d<sup>h</sup>l-* had become *\*-fl-* (> Lat. *-bul-*).

IEW and other earlier reference works assume that *grad-* derives from a PIE root *\*g<sup>h</sup>red<sup>h</sup>-* attested, among others, in Go. *grid* ‘step’, OIr. *ad·greinn* ‘to track, follow’ and OCS *grędō*, *gręsti* ‘to come’. Yet the Gm. and BSl. forms are now unanimously derived from a PIE root *\*g<sup>h</sup>rd<sup>h</sup>-*, and the same has been proposed for the Celtic verb (LIV, Schumacher 2004). Schrijver 1991 proposes *\*grn(d)-n-* for Celtic, which has the disadvantage that the OIr. sb. *·gré* < *\*greid-* must be explained as a secondary form. If originally from PCl. *\*grd-*, this would, together with Lat. *grad-*, point to an Italo-Celtic root *\*g<sup>(h)</sup>rd<sup>(h)</sup>-*, from which Celtic would have a nasal present, and Latin an *i*-present. The forms *aggrētus* ‘departure’ and *ēgrētus* ‘surge’ (?), attested only in Paul. *ex F.*, are unclear. Sommer 1914: 609 considers the possibility that they represent something old, possibly from a different root. Paul. *ex F.* explains the two words as borrowings from Greek *-γρητος* ‘awake’, but this is unlikely to be true.

Bibl.: WH I: 430, 615, EM 279f., IEW 456f., Leumann 1977: 83, 166, 208, 548, Schrijver 1991: 478f., Sihler 1995: 67, Meiser 1998: 194f., Schumacher 2004: 353f.

**grāmen, -inis** ‘grass’ [n. n] (Cato+)

PIt. *\*grā(s)men-* / *\*grasmen-*.

PIE *\*g<sup>h</sup>rh<sub>1</sub>-(s)mn-* ‘grass’. IE cognates: Go. *gras*, OHG *gras*, OIc. *gras* ‘grass’; OE *grōwan*, OIc. *gróa*, OHG *gruoen* ‘to grow’ < *\*g<sup>h</sup>roh<sub>1</sub>-ie/o-*; OS *grōni*, OHG *gruoni* ‘green’ < *\*g<sup>h</sup>roh<sub>1</sub>-ni-*.

Latin *grāmen* can be from *\*g<sup>h</sup>rh<sub>1</sub>-mn-*, but the connection with Gm. *\*grasa-* point to *\*g<sup>h</sup>rh<sub>1</sub>-s-mn-* as another possibility. PGM. *\*grasa-* ‘grass’ cannot be derived by

regular rules from \*g<sup>h</sup>rh<sub>1</sub>-s-, but it might contain a secondary full grade which was made to the lengthened grade of the verb \*g<sup>h</sup>roh<sub>1</sub>-ie-. The restricted distribution (Latin and Gm.) and the difficult *a* of Gm. \*grasa<sub>1</sub> render a substratum origin conceivable.

Bibl.: WH I: 616, EM 280, IEW 404, Schrijver 1991: 487.

**grāmiae** 'rheum in the eye' [f.pl. *ā*] (Pl.+; Pl. *grāmae*)

Derivatives: *grammōsus* 'rheumy' (Caecil.).

IE cognates: RuCS *gr̃měžd̃b* 'pus in the eyes', SCr. *k̃rmēlj*, *k̃rmēlj* 'fester in the corners of the eyes'; Oic. *kramr* [adj.] 'damp', Go. *grammīþa* 'moisture' (if for \**krammīþa*).

EM suggest that the original noun was \**gramma*. The meaning and form of Latin and Slavic are remarkably close; the appurtenance of Gm. is semantically less compelling. It is impossible to reduce these forms to a common PIE protoform, so if related, they will represent a common loanword from a third source. In that case, Gr. γλάμων, -ωνος 'blear-eyed' may also be cognate, with liquid dissimilation. The latter stem was borrowed into Latin as *glamae* 'rheum in the eye' (Paul. *ex F.*).

Bibl.: WH I: 617, EM 280, IEW 405, Schrijver 1991: 487f.

**grandis** 'grown up, big, tall' [adj. *i*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *grandiculus* 'fair-sized' (Pl.+), *grandire* 'to make large' (Pl.+), *grandescere* 'to increase in size' (Lucr.+), *granditās* 'advanced condition' (Sis.+); *grandaevitās* 'agedness' (Pac., Acc.), *grandaevus* 'of great age' (Lucil.+); *pergrandis* 'very large' (Pl.+), *pergrandescere* 'to grow very large' (Acc.), *praegrans* 'exceptionally large' (Pac.+), *vēgrandis* 'far from large' (Pl.+).

WH and IEW connect Gr. βρένθος 'pride' and OCS *gr̃d̃b* 'breast' < \**gra/ond-i-*. Yet Latin *grand-* cannot be explained from a root \*g<sup>wh</sup>nd<sup>h</sup>-, and the semantic connection between 'breast' and 'pride', and between 'breast' and 'large', is gratuitous. Vennemann 1998b proposes to regard *grandis* and Basque *handi* 'big' as two survivors of an earlier Vasconian substrate; yet the number of ad hoc assumptions which is necessary to explain the Basque side of this comparison is rather large.

Bibl.: WH I: 617f., EM 281, IEW 485.

**grandō, -inis** 'hail' [f. *n*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *grandinat* 'it hails' (Pac.+).

Plt. \**grand-* / \**gradn-*?

PIE \*g<sup>h</sup>reh<sub>3</sub>-d-ōn, \*g<sup>h</sup>rh<sub>3</sub>-d-n- 'hail'. IE cognates: Skt. (RV+) *hrādūni-* 'hail', Sogd. *žydn* 'hail'; Arm. *karkowt*, gen.dat.sg. *karkti*, ins. *karktiw* 'hail'; OCS *grad̃b*, Ru. *grad*, SCr. *grād*, gen.sg. *grāda* 'hail' < BSl. \**gro?d-o-*.

Rasmussen 1984 explains the BSl. cognates from nom.sg. \**gróHd-ōn*, remade into an *o*-stem; I would prefer \**gréh<sub>3</sub>d-ōn*. Latin would have metathesized e.g. the gen.sg. \**grHd-n-* > \**grādn-* into \**grānd-* (cf. *unda* < \**ud-n-*); paradigmatic leveling then yielded a paradigm *grand-ō*, *grand-in-*. Rasmussen explains Armenian *karkut* from \**karkrut* < \**gr-grōd-i-* < \**gr-gróHd-i-*, a reduplicated *i*-adjective. This, then, would point to an originally verbal root \**grh<sub>3</sub>d-*. Since two plain voiced stops cannot

co-occur in one PIE root, and since *\*-d-* is often found as a root enlargement, the root is likely to have been *\*grh<sub>3</sub>-*. If the Ilr. words for 'hail' are cognate, they would require a palatal velar (which could have been depalatalized in BSl. and Arm. in front of putative vocalic *\*r*); but they may also belong to Skt. *hrād-* 'to resound'.

Bibl.: WH I: 618, EM 281, IEW 406, Rasmussen 1984 = 1999: 152-154, Schrijver 1991: 223.

**grānum** 'grain, seed' [n. o] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *grānārium* 'granary' (Pl.+), *grānātus*, *-ūs* 'the production of a crop' (Cato), *grānea* 'pap made from pounded corn' (Cato).

Pft. *\*grāno-*.

PIE *\*grh<sub>2</sub>-no-* 'which has ripened, fruit, grain'. IE cognates: OIr. *grán* [n.], W. *grawn*, Co. *gronen*, Bret. *greun* 'grain' < PCl. *\*grāno-*; Pashto *zaṇai*, *zaṛai* 'kernel, seed', OPr. *syrne* 'grain', Lith. *žirnis* [m.], Latv. *ziṛnis* [m.] 'pea', OCS *zrěno* [n.], Ru. *zernó* [n.], SCr. *zr̃no* [n.] 'grain' < BSl. *\*žirn-*; Go. *kaurn*, OHG *korn* 'grain' < PGM. *\*kurna-*.

There are two possible root etymologies: either *\*grH-no-* means 'which has ripened', and belongs to the root 'to be(come) old, ripen' (Skt. *járantī* 'they let grow old'), or it means 'which has been pounded, ground' and belongs to a root 'to rub, ground'. Yet in the latter case, the root would be without attested finite verb forms, and also, the semantics would be less evident: 'grains' and 'seeds' *cān* be ground, but *are* not by definition. Hence, I prefer the former solution.

Bibl.: WH I: 618f., EM 281, IEW 390f., Schrijver 1991: 178, LIV *\*gerh<sub>2</sub>-*.

**grātus** 'thankful, grateful; pleasant, charming' [adj. o/ā] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *grātārī* 'to congratulate' (Pac.+), *grātēs*, *-ium* [f.pl.] 'thanks' (Pl.+), *grātia* 'favour, goodwill' (Pl.+); *ingrātus* 'ungrateful' (Pl.+), *ingrātīūs* 'against the wishes of' (Pl.+), *ingrātificus* 'ungrateful' (Acc.); *grātulārī* 'to give thanks, congratulate' (Naev.+), *congrātulārī* 'to congratulate' (Pl.+); *grātuītus* 'free of charge' (Pl.+).

Pft. *\*g<sup>w</sup>rāto-* 'grateful', *\*g<sup>w</sup>rāt-* 'mercy, grace', *\*g<sup>w</sup>rāti-* 'mercy'; *\*g<sup>w</sup>rātu-* 'grace'? It. cognates: O. *brateis*, *brateis*, βρατης, β[ρα]ιτης, Vest. *brat.*, Pael. *brat.*, *brais* [gen.sg.], O. βρατωμ, Pael. *bratom* [acc.sg.] to *brāta-* or *brāti-* 'grace, mercy' < *\*g<sup>w</sup>rā-t-*.

PIE *\*g<sup>w</sup>rH-to-* 'praised (in a song)'. IE cognates: W. *barnu*, MBret. *barn* 'to judge' < PIE *\*g<sup>w</sup>er-n-H-*; OIr. *bráth* [m.], W. *brawd* 'judgement', Gaul. βρατου 'out of gratitude' < PIE *\*g<sup>w</sup>rH-tu-* 'proclamation'; Skt. pr. *gr̥nāti*, *gr̥nūte* 'to praise, honour, welcome', *gūrtá-* 'welcome'; *gūrti-* [f.] 'praising, song of praise'; OAv. *aibī.jarətar-* [m.] 'who welcomes'; YAv. *auui garənte* 'welcomes'; Skt. *gir-* [f.] 'song of praise, invocation'; Alb. *grah* 'to rouse' < *\*g<sup>w</sup>rH-sk-*; OPr. *girtwei* 'to praise', Lith. *girtas* 'praised', *girti*, Latv. *dziṛt* 'to praise, boast' < PIE *\*g<sup>w</sup>rH-*; Lit. *gėras* 'good', if < PIE *\*g<sup>w</sup>erH-o-*. OCS *žrbcb* [m.] 'priest', Ru. *žrec* [m.] < PSl. *\*žrbcb*; OCS *žrbti* / *žrėti*, Is. *žbrq*, ORu. *žereti*, *žreti*, *žrbti* 'to sacrifice' < PIE *\*g<sup>w</sup>rH-*; OCS *gramъ*, *grano* 'verse, line' < *\*g<sup>w</sup>orH-no-*.

Most forms are built on the inherited adj. *grātus* and the noun *grātēs*. According to Leumann 1977: 551, *grātārī* was backformed to *grātulārī*, but I see no compelling reason to assume this. Leumann also assumes that *grātia* is secondary from *ingrātia*, replacing *grātēs*. The adj. *grātu-ītus* seems to presuppose a *u*-stem *\*grātu-*, which is attested in Celtic. For *grātulārī*, an intermediate stage *\*grātti-tulārī* is assumed (Leumann), but this is unnecessary: a derivation *grātus* > *grātulārī* is unproblematical. Maybe there was an intermediate adj. *\*grātulus*, as EM propose.

Bibl.: WH I: 619f., EM 281f., IEW 478, Leumann 1977: 292, 429, 551, 613, Schrijver 1991: 178f., Demiraj 1997 s.v. *grish*, Meiser 1998: 108, Untermann 2000: 149f., Schumacher 2004: 213, LIV *\*g<sup>w</sup>erH-*.

**gravis** ‘heavy; serious, weighty’ [adj. *i*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *gravāre* ‘to make heavy, oppress’ (Pl.+), *gravātīm* ‘grudgingly’ (Lucr.), *gravēīdō*, *-inis* ‘a cold in the head’ (Pl.+), *gravēscere* ‘to become stronger; be weighed down’ (Lucr.+), *gravidus* ‘pregnant, laden’ (Pl.+), *gravidāre* ‘to make pregnant’ (Caecil.+), *gravitās* ‘heaviness’ (Lucil.+), *graviter* ‘heavily’ (Pl.+); *aggravēscere* ‘to become heavy’ (Ter.+).

Plt. *\*g<sup>w</sup>ra(w)u-*. It. cognates: maybe O. **bravūs** [‘heavy?’ < *\*g<sup>w</sup>reh<sub>2</sub>-u-o-*].

PIE *\*g<sup>w</sup>reh<sub>2</sub>-u-* ‘heavy’. IE cognates: Skt. *gurī-* ‘heavy’, Khot. *ggarka-* ‘heavy, respectable’, Gr. βαρύς ‘heavy’, Latv. *grūts* ‘heavy, pregnant’ (< *\*g<sup>w</sup>rh<sub>2</sub>-u-to-*), Go. f.pl. *kaurjos* ‘weighty, oppressive’, ToB *krāmār* ‘weight, heaviness’, ToA *krāmārts* ‘heavy’.

As with other PIE *u*-stem adjectives, Plt. *\*g<sup>w</sup>rau-* < PIE *\*g<sup>w</sup>reh<sub>2</sub>-u-* ‘heavy’ was remade into an *i*-stem within Italic. In view of the *o*-stem O. **bravūs** < *\*g<sup>w</sup>rauo-* << *\*g<sup>w</sup>rau-*, this development must post-date the split of Sabellic and Latino-Faliscan.

Bibl.: WH I: 620f., EM 282, IEW 476, Fischer 1982, Rix 1995b: 85ff., Schrijver 1991: 269, Fischer 1991, Untermann 2000: 151. → *brūtus*

**gremium** ‘lap or bosom; interior’ [n. *o*] (Pl.+)

Plt. *\*grem-o-*.

PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>gr-em-* ‘to gather’? IE cognates: Skt. *grāma-* [m.] ‘train, troop’, *grām<sub>(i)</sub>ā-* ‘relating to a village’, Sogd. *yr’m’k* ‘riches’, MP *grāmag* ‘wealth, property’, Khwar. *yr’m* ‘weight, burden’ < Ilr. *\*(H)grāma-*; Gr. ἀγείρω ‘to gather’; Lith. *grūmulas* ‘lump’, OCS *gramada* ‘heap, pile’, SCr. *gramáda* ‘clod, pile of firewood’ < PSł. *\*gramada* < PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>gr-ōm-*; OHG *krimman* ‘to press, grab’, OIc. *kremja* ‘to press’, Norw. dial. *krem(m)e* [f.] ‘handful, fist’ < *\*kremm-j-*.

The original meaning is believed to be ‘armload, embrace’. The retention of *m* in front of *i-*, as opposed to *veniō*, *quoniam* and compounds in *con-iV-*, may be due to a suffix *\*-ijo-*; alternatively, *gremium* could be a more recent derivative of *\*gremo-* postdating the change *\*-mj-* > *\*-nj-*. None of the alleged IE cognates show *\*grem-* (different vocalism in Ilr., Lith., OCS) except Germanic, but here, the semantics are not compelling. If the original meaning was ‘what is grabbed, a handful’, the vacillation *\*grom-* / *\*grem-* in the attested forms may be explained from a derivative *\*h<sub>2</sub>gr-o/em-* to the root of Gr. ἀγείρω.

Bibl.: WH I: 621, EM 283, IEW 382f., Sommer 1914: 216f., LIV ?*\*h<sub>2</sub>(ǵ)er-*.

**grex, -gis** 'flock, herd, troop' [m. (f.) g] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *gregālis* 'of a flock' (Varro+), *gregātim* 'in a flock' (Varro+); *ēgregius* 'outstanding, excellent' (Enn.+), *congregāre* 'to bring together' (Lucr.+), *sēgregāre* 'to separate' (Pl.+).

Plt. \*g<sup>w</sup>reg-.

PIE \*g<sup>w</sup>reg- 'group, herd'. IE cognates: Khot. *ham-grīs-*, (caus.) *hamga'j-* (*hamggalj-*) 'to gather, assemble', Gr. γάργαρα [n.pl.] 'heaps, lots (of people)', γέργερα 'heaps' (Hsch.), γαργαίρω 'to swarm', Lith. *gūrguolė* 'heap'. Probable loanwords from Latin are Olr. *graig* [n. i] 'flock of horses', MW *gre* 'herd' < \*gregi-.

The cp. *ēgregius* is based on a prepositional phrase \*ē grege, while *sēgregāre* was built on \*sē grege 'beside the herd'. Lat. *grex* might contain the unreduplicated variant of the stem \*g<sup>(w)</sup>rg<sup>(w)</sup>- found reduplicated in Baltic and Greek. Khot. *ggalj-* can be reconstructed as a denominative verb based on the root noun reflected by *grex*: \*g<sup>w</sup>rg-je/o- > Pllr. \*grj-ya- >> \*grj-aya- > Khot. *ggalj-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 622, EM 283, IEW 382f., Leumann 1977: 290, 564, Schrijver 1991: 19, 1995: 60, 69, 140.

**grossus** 'immature fig' [m. o] (Cato+); 'thick, unripe' [adj.] (Col.+)

IEW connects *grossus* with W. *bras* 'thick', but this is reconstructed as \*brs-t/so- by Schrijver 1995: 55. The Romance languages continue the adj. *grossus* 'thick': Italian *grasso*, Spanish *grueso*, etc.

Bibl.: WH I: 623, EM 283, IEW 485.

**grūmus** 'heap of earth, hillock' [m. o] (Acc.+)

Derivatives: *dēgrūmāre* 'to level off' (Enn.+).

Plt. \*grōmo- 'heap'.

PIE \*h<sub>2</sub>gr-ōm-o-. IE cognates: see s.v. *gremium*.

Lat. *grūmus* could be connected with *gremium* < \*grem- and OCS *gramada* 'heap, pile' < \*grōm-. A preform \*grōmos may have turned into *grūmus* phonetically: the change of \*ōm > ūm might also be found in *hūmānus* (see s.v. *homō*). The words that retain -ōm- either have a following front vowel (*abdōmen*, *nōmen*, *fōmes*, *mōmentum*, *tōmentum*, *ōmen*, *vōmer*, *cōmis*) or are due to a contraction of \*o+e (*pōmum*, *prōmus*); the only exception is *Rōma*. Thus, the raising of \*ō in front of *m* may require the additional condition of a following back vowel (no exceptions) or non-front vowel (exception *Rōma*; but being a name, this may have escaped the sound change). For the relevance of the vowel in the next syllable for the a vowel change, compare the change \*e > o /m,w \_ CV<sub>[non-front]</sub> discussed by Schrijver 1991: 466-470. Note also that the raising of \*ē to Lat. ī is conditioned in a similar way, viz. by -i- in the next syllable.

Bibl.: WH I: 623, EM 283, IEW 376ff. → *gremium*

**grunda** 'roof' [f. ā] (only in glossaries)

Derivatives: *suggrunda* 'projecting ledge or sill on a building' (Varro+), *suggrundium* 'id.' (Vitr., Plin.).

Plt. \*χρονδα-.

PIE \*g<sup>h</sup>rond<sup>h</sup>-h<sub>2</sub>- 'beam, bar, bolt'. IE cognates: Lith. *grindà* (dial.) 'flooring of a bridge, (pl.) wooden floor in a barn', Ru. *grjadá* 'ridge, bed (of flowers)', SCr. *gréda* 'garden bed, ridge' < \*g<sup>h</sup>rnd<sup>h</sup>-h<sub>2</sub>-, OIc. *grind* 'gate made of spars or bars, fence, dock, store-houses' [f.], OE *grindel*, OS *grindil* 'bolt', OHG *grintil* 'bolt, plowbeam' < \*g<sup>h</sup>rend<sup>h</sup>-.

*Grunda* is probably a backformation to *suggrunda*. As for the IE cognates, the existence of three different ablaut grades of a h<sub>2</sub>-stem is striking. Possibly, Latin *-grunda* is a collective derived from an o-stem \*g<sup>h</sup>rond<sup>h</sup>-o-.

Bibl.: WH I: 623f., EM 283f., IEW 459f.

**grundiō, -īre** 'to grunt' [v. IV] (Caecil.+; the more recent variant is *grunnīre*)

IE cognates: Gr. γρῦ 'certain sound, among others, the grunting of swine', γρῦζω 'to grunt', γρῦλλος 'piglet'; OE *grun(n)ian*, OHG *grunzian*, NHG *grunzen*, OE *grunnetan*, MoE *to grunt* < PGm. \*grunnatjan-.

The suffix *-īre* also occurs in other verbs of sound, such as *glōcīre* and *hinnīre*. Probably, an onomatopoeic formation \*g<sup>(h)</sup>ru(n)- which may or may not be cognate with the similar words in Greek and Gm.

Bibl.: WH I: 624, EM 284, IEW 406, Leumann 1977: 216.

**-gruō, -ere** 'to rush' [v. III] (only in one gloss)

Derivatives: *congruere*, pf. *-uī* 'to unite, correspond, agree' (Pl.+), *congruus* 'according' (Pl.+), *ingruere*, pf. *-uī* 'to attack, make an onslaught upon' (Pl.+).

Plt. \*χρουε/ο-.

PIE \*-g<sup>h</sup>r(e)uh<sub>1</sub>-e/o- 'to rush in'. IE cognates: Gr. ἔχραον 'attacked', ζα-χρηής 'furious' if from \*χρῶϝ-; Lith. *griáuti*, Is. *griáuju* 'to destroy', *griūti*, Is. *griyvù* 'to crumble'.

Although *-gruō* is only attested in cp., there are enough good examples of word-initial \*g<sup>h</sup>r- > Latin *gr-* to assume that it would be the regular reflex in the simplex, too.

Bibl.: WH I: 700, EM 284, IEW 460, Sihler 1995: 158, LIV \*g<sup>h</sup>reh<sub>1</sub>u-. → *ruō*

**grūs, -uis** 'crane' [f. u] (Lucil.+)

Derivatives: *gruere* 'to crinkle' (Suet., Paul. *ex F.*).

Plt. \*grū-.

PIE \*gérh<sub>2</sub>-ōu-s, \*grh<sub>2</sub>-eu-, \*grh<sub>2</sub>-u-os 'crane'; also \*g(e)rh<sub>2</sub>-n-. IE cognates: W. Co. Bret. *garan* 'crane', Gaul. *tri-garanos* 'with three cranes' < PIE \*gérh<sub>2</sub>no-; Gr. γέρανος [f.(m.)] 'crane', maybe Myc. *ke-re-na-i* dat.pl. /kerenāhi/ < \*gérh<sub>2</sub>-n-; Gr. γέρην (or γερήν) < \*gérh<sub>2</sub>-ēn; Arm. *krownk*, Lith. *gėrvė*, Latv. *dzērve* < \*gérH-ueh<sub>1</sub>-, Ru. *žurávl'* [m.], ORu. *žeravlb* [m.], SCr. *žērāv* 'crane' < PIE \*gérh<sub>2</sub>-ōu-; OIc. *trani* [m.], OLG *crano*, OE *cran* [m.] 'crane' < PGm. \*krana(n)- < \*gréh<sub>2</sub>-(u)n-?; OHG *chranuh* [m.], OE *cranoc*, *cornuc* [m.] 'id.' < \*kranaka-.

The PIE cognates point to a u-stem \*grh<sub>2</sub>-u- 'crane'. Lat. *gruō* can be the phonetic result of metathesised \*gruh<sub>2</sub>- in a nom.sg. \*grh<sub>2</sub>-u-s, which introduced the zero grade



from the oblique case forms.

Bibl.: WH I: 624, EM 284, IEW 383f., Schrijver 1991: 246, Kortlandt 1997b: 162.

**gula** 'throat, gullet' [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

PIt. \**gula*.

IE cognates: Arm. *ekowl* 'devoured' (pr. *klanem* secondary?); OCS *gl̥tati*, Ru. *glotát* 'to swallow', Ru. *glot*, *glotók* 'gulp, mouthfull', Cz. *hlt*, maybe < PBSl. \**gul-to-*.

The traditional etymology \**g<sup>w</sup>l-h<sub>2</sub>-* > *gula* is morphologically unlikely, and will phonetically not work: such a preform would yield \**gla*, \**gala*, \**guala* or \**vala*, maybe \**vola*; cf. *glāns*, *gravis* from roots with a labiovelar. A preform \**gel-* is impossible too, since this would yield Lat. \*\**gola*. Hence, LIV posits a root \**guel-*, with a zero grade \**gul-*. The schwebe-ablaut is conspicuous, as is the absence of direct evidence for \**guel-*. Hence, the root may be onomatopoeic, having only the shape \**gul-*. In fact, all forms may have arisen in the separate branches. Relatedness of *gula* to the stem *glut-* is possible, but cannot be demonstrated.

Bibl.: WH: 625f., EM 284f., IEW 365, Leumann 1977: 138, Klingenschmitt 1982: 211f., LIV 1.\**guel-*. → *gluttō*

**gumia** 'glutton' [f. *ā*] (Lucil.+)

PIt. \**gem-e/o-* 'to be full, loaded'. It. cognates: U. *kumiaf*, *gomia* [acc.pl.f.] < \**gom-ijo-* 'pregnant' (of pigs).

IE cognates: Gr. γέντο 'he took' (H.), γέμω 'to be full (of)', γόμος 'freight, cargo'; Arm. *čim*, *čem* 'bridle', *čmlem* 'to compress'; Latv. *gūmt* 'to seize', SeCS *žęti*, Is. *žm̥q* 'press, squeeze', Ru. *žat*, 3s. *žmēt* 'press, squeeze' < PSl. \**žęti* < BSl. \**gem-*, \**gm-ti*; Lith. *gāmalas*, *gāmulas* 'lump, chunk'; RuCS *gomola*, *gomula*, ORu. *gomola* 'lump', Cz. *homole* 'cone', SCr. *gōmoļa* 'pile (of cheese)' < BSl. \**gomo/ulo-*, *-ā-*; OE *cumbol* 'wound, ulcer', OIc. *kumla* 'to crush'; Toch. pret. *lkāmā-l*, past ptc. *lkākāmā-l* 'to carry, wear' < PTo. \**kemā-*.

Lat. *gumia* is often explained as a borrowing from Umbrian \**gomio-*, but the meaning is not the same as 'pregnant'.

Bibl.: WH I: 626, EM 285, Untermann 2000: 310, Meiser 2003: 229, LIV \**gem-*.

**gurdus** 'blockhead, dolt' [m. *o*] (Lab.+)

PIt. \**g<sup>w</sup>ord-o-* 'heavy, stubborn'.

PIE \**g<sup>w</sup>rd-o-*. IE cognates: Gr. βραδύς 'slow', Lith. *gurdūs* 'id.', Latv. *guŗds* 'tired', OCS *gr̥dvъ*, Ru. *górđyj* 'proud, haughty' < BSl. \**gur?du-*.

Quintilian calls *gurdus* of Spanish origin; while this might of course be true, there is no proof of this. Latin sometimes shows *-ur-* < \**-r-* after a labiovelar (Meiser 1998: 63), so that \**g<sup>w</sup>rd-o-* 'slow' > 'heavy' could have given *gurdus*. This would imply two different adj. in PIE, however: a *u*-stem and an *o*-stem.

Bibl.: WH I: 627, EM 285.

**gurges, -itis** 'swirling mass of waters' [m. *i*] (Lucil.+)

Derivatives: *ēgurgitāre* 'to pour forth in floods' (PI.), *ingurgitāre* 'to pour in by

streams, drench' (Naev.+); *gurguliō* 'gullet, throat' (Pl.+).

PIE \*g<sup>w</sup>r[h<sub>3</sub>]\*g<sup>w</sup>[rh<sub>3</sub>]-et- 'devouring'?

Both *gurgēs* and *gurguliō* might represent a reduplicated form of the root 'to devour', but the morphology is unclear. The suffix of *gurguliō* is otherwise only found in *curculiō*.

Bibl.: WH I: 627f., EM 285, IEW 474ff., Meiser 1998: 63, LIV \*g<sup>u</sup>erh<sub>3</sub>- → *vorō*

**gustus, -ūs** 'taste' [m. *u*] (Pl.+; rarely *gustum* Fronto+)

Derivatives: *gustāre* 'to taste, have some knowledge of' (Pl.+), *dēgustāre* 'to take a taste of, glance at' (Cato+); *dēgūnere* 'to taste' (Paul. *ex F.*).

PIt. \**gustu-* [m.], \**gusto-* [adj.], \**gus-n-* [v.].

PIE \*g(e)us- [aor.] 'to taste', \*gus-tu- 'taste', \*gusto- 'tasted'. IE cognates: OIr. *do·goa*\* 'to choose' << \**tu-gus-o-*, sb. *do·gó* < \**-geus-se/o-*, OIr. *guss* 'excellence'; Hit. *kūša-* 'daughter-in-law, bride', <sup>LU</sup>*kūša-* 'son-in-law' < \**geus-o-* 'the chosen one' (Rieken 1999: 258), *kukuš-<sup>zi</sup>* 'to taste'; Skt. *jōsati* 'to enjoy', ppp. *juṣṭá-*, *juṣṭa-* 'welcome, wished, agreeable', *juṣṭi-* [f.] 'favour', *jōṣa-* [m.] 'satisfaction', Av. *zaoša-* [m.] 'pleasure', YAv. *āzūzušte* [3s.pr.med.] 'to like', OP *dauštar-* 'friend', Gr. γεύομαι 'to taste', ἄγευστος 'not tasting, inexperienced', Alb. *desha* 'I loved', Go. *kiosan* 'to test', OIc. *kjósa* 'to choose', OHG OS *kiosan* < \**geus-e/o-*; Go. *kausjan* 'to test, taste'; Go. *ga-kusts* [f.] 'test', OFr. *kest*, OE *cyst* [m.] 'choice' < \**gus-ti-*; Go. *kustus* 'test', OE *cost* 'choice, excellence', OIc. *kostr* 'selection' < \**gus-tu-*.

The old them. pr. \**geus-* was apparently replaced by the intensive *gustāre*, built on the ppp. \**gusto-*. In *dēgūnere*, the zero grade \**-gus-* (from the PIE root aorist) was preserved in front of the nasal suffix.

Bibl.: WH I: 628f., EM 285f., IEW 399f., Sihler 1995: 623, Schumacher 2004: 356f., LIV \**geus-*.

**gutta** 'drop (of liquid)' [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *guttātim* 'drop by drop' (Pl.+), *guttula* 'small drop' (Pl.).

No etymology.

Bibl.: WH I: 629, EM 286.

**guttur, -is** 'throat' [n. *r*] (Naev.+; also m. Naev. to Varro)

The *ur-*stem is difficult to explain from a known PIE inflectional type: *guttur* can hardly be interpreted as a *uer/huen-*stem, since the base is unknown. The geminate *tt* is also problematic: it either belongs to the group of expressive / iterative words showing this characteristic, or it reflects earlier \**gūtur*. Note that *gula*, *glut-* and *gurguliō* also refer to the 'throat' and 'swallowing', and also contain *g(l)u-*. *Guttur* may belong to this same family, which has no PIE etymology. IEW connects Hit. <sup>(UZU)</sup>*kuttar-* [(n.)] 'strength, force, power; back of neck, top of shoulders' and MLG *koder*, NHG dial. *Köderl*, *Goderl* 'double chin, goitre' < \**gut-* (to OE *cēod(a)* 'bag', OHG *kiot* 'bag'). Yet the connection of the Hittite word is semantically unconvincing. The Gm. words might be related if the 'throat' was referred to as 'goitre'; but Gm.

might also continue \*gud<sup>h</sup>-.

Bibl.: WH I: 629, EM 286, IEW 393ff., Leumann 1977: 379.

## H

**habeō** ‘to have, hold’ [v. II; pf. *habuī*, ppp. *habutum*] (Lex XII, Andr.+)

Derivatives: *habēna* ‘rein, strap’ (Varro+), *habilis* ‘easy to handle’ (Enn.+), *habitāre* ‘to live in, dwell’ (Pl.+), *habitātiō* ‘residence’ (Pl.+), *habitūdō* ‘physical condition’ (Ter.+), *habiturire* ‘to be eager to have’ (Pl.), *habitus* [adj.] ‘in a good physical condition’ (Pl.+), *habitus*, -ūs ‘condition, character, dress’ (Lucr.+); *abhibere* ‘to hold at a distance’ (Pl.), *adhibere* ‘to apply, bring into play, consult’ (Pl.+), *cohibere* ‘to hold together, contain, restrain’ (Pl.+), *diribere* ‘to distribute’ (Varro+), *exhibere* ‘to produce, exhibit’ (Pl.+), *inhibere* ‘to exert; restrain, check’ (Pl.+), *perhibere* ‘to bestow; regard as, call’ (Pl.+), *posthibere* ‘to treat as less important’ (Ter.+), *praebere* ‘to put forward, present, provide’ (Pl.+), *praebia*, -ōrum ‘prophylactic charms, amulets’ (Naev.+), *praebitiō* ‘the supplying’ (Varro+), *praehibere* ‘to provide’ (Pl.), *prōhibere* (also *prōbēre* Lucr.) ‘to keep off, prevent, forbid’ (Pl.+), *redhibere* ‘to return; take back’ (Pl.+); *manubiae* [f.pl.] ‘prize-money, gain’ (Naev.+), *manubiārius* ‘concerned with booty’ (Pl.); *manubrium* ‘handle, haft’ (Pl.+).

Plt. pr. \*χab/f-ē- ‘has taken > has, holds’ (> Latin *habēre*, U. \*habē-), \*χab/f-(e)i- (> Oscan) (semantics as per Schrijver 2003: 80). It. cognates: U. **habia** [3s.pr.sb.], **habetu**, **habitu** [3s.ipv.II], **habetutu**, **habituto** [3p.ipv.II], **habiest** [3s.fut.], **habus** [3s.fut.pf.], **haburent** [3p.fut.pf.], **habe**, **habe** [3s.pr.act/ps.] ‘to have, hold’; with negation and *ar-*: **neīrhabas** [pr.sb.]; with *pre-*: **prehabia**, **prehubia** [3s.pr.sb.] ‘to furnish, achieve’ < \*χab/f-ē-. O. *hafie*<*i*>*st* [3s.fut.], *hipid* [3s.pf.sb.], *hipust* [3s.fut.pf.] ‘to organize, hold’, *pruhipid* [3s.pf.sb.], *pruhipust* [3s.fut.pf.] ‘to prevent’ < pr. \*χab/f-i-, pf. \*χēp-; without a suffix U. **hahtu**, **hatu**, **hatu** [3s.ipv.II], **hatutu**, **hatuto** [3p.ipv.II] ‘to grab’.

PIE pr. \*g<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>b<sup>(h)</sup>-(e)i- ‘to take’, aor. \*g<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>b<sup>(h)</sup>-eh<sub>1</sub>-. IE cognates: Gaul. *gabi* [2s.] ‘take!’, Olr. *gaibid*, *gaib* ‘to take’ < PCI. \*gab-i-; MW *caffael* ‘to get’, MCo. *kavoēs*, MBret. *caffout* < \*kab- << \*gab-ei-tu (Schrijver 2003: 74); Skt. *gābhasti-* [m.] ‘hand, fore-arm’, YAv. *gauua-* [m.] ‘hand (of daēvic beings)’, *asəngō.gauua-* ‘with hands made of stone’, Khot. *ggoštā* ‘hand’, Wa. *gawust* ‘fist’ < PIlr. \*gab<sup>h</sup>a-; Lith. (dial.) *gābana* [f.], Latv. *gabana* ‘armful (of hay)’, Lith. *gabėnti*, 3s. *gabėna* ‘to transport, remove’, *gabūs* ‘gifted, clever, skilful, (dial.) greedy’, *gebėti*, 3s. *gėba* ‘be able, be capable’, *gobūs*, *gobšūs* ‘greedy’; Belorussian *habác*, Cz. *habati* ‘to seize’, Slv. *gábati* ‘to be in need, starve, die’.

The pr. *habitāre* is a frequentative of *habēre*. The noun *manubiae* < \*manabiai < \*manu-habiai may well stem from a singular \*manu-habiēs (the form is not discussed

by Schrijver 1991: 382ff.); Leumann posits an intermediate adj. *\*manu-habo-*, which accords well with Schrijver's findings. Whether *manubrium* is really derived from *habēre* is uncertain; but in view of *emubrō*, *inebra*, and the adj. *\*en-habro-* which possibly underlies that formation, *manubrium* may well continue a form *\*manu-habro-* 'held by hand'. Schrijver 1991 separates the Italo-Celtic forms from Gm. and most of Baltic, but connects Lith. dial. *at-gėbau* 'I have brought'. Because of the acute accent in Lith. and the long *ē* in the Oscan pf., Schrijver reconstructs the root as *\*g<sup>h</sup>(e)h<sub>1</sub>b<sup>h</sup>-*. Yet long *ē* in Oscan can also be explained analogically from other perfects. See Kortlandt 1992 for a rejection of the appurtenance of PGm. *\*geban* 'to give'. LIV reconstructs root-final *\*b*, which is possible but less likely since *\*b* was a very rare phoneme in PIE. The suggestion in LIV that these roots show an onomatopoeic structure is incomprehensible to me: 'taking' or 'having' is not normally associated with a specific sound. Italo-Celtic *\*g<sup>h</sup>ab<sup>(n)</sup>-* and BSl. *\*gab-*, *\*gē/āb-* point to a PIE root *\*g<sup>h</sup>Hb<sup>h</sup>-* or a non-IE loanword *\*g<sup>h</sup>ab<sup>(n)</sup>-*; Ilr. *\*gab<sup>h</sup>-* could only be cognate if reflecting *\*g<sup>h</sup>He/ob<sup>h</sup>-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 630f., EM 287f., IEW 407ff., Leumann 1977: 285, 292, Meiser 1986: 126, Schrijver 1991: 92f., Sihler 1995: 497, Untermann 2000: 311-316, Schrijver 2003: 68-85, Schumacher 2004: 318ff., LIV *\*g<sup>h</sup>eHb-* → *dēbeō*, *emubrō*

**haedus** 'young goat-buck, kid' [m. o] (Pl.+; variants *ēdus*, *fēdus* (Varro), *aedus*, *faedus*)

Derivatives: *haedillus* 'kid' (Pl.), *haedimus* 'of a kid' (Varro+).

Plt. *\*χaido-*.

IE cognates: Go. *gaitis* [m.], OHG *geiz*, OS *gēt*, OIc. *geit* 'goat' < PGm. *\*gait-s* [nom.].

The restricted distribution, together with the impossibility to derive this word from a known IE root, suggest a loanword *\*g<sup>h</sup>aid-* 'goat'.

Bibl.: WH I: 632, EM 288, IEW 409f., Schrijver 1991: 269; de Tollenaere 1983.

**haereō** 'to adhere, stick' [v. II; pf. *haesī*, ppp. *haesum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *haerēscere* 'to stick together' (Lucr.), *haesitāre* 'to stick, hesitate' (Ter.+); *adhaerēre* 'to cling, adhere to' (Pl.+), *adhaerēscere* 'to become attached' (Pl.+), *adhaesus*, *-ūs* 'adhesion' (Lucr.), *cohaerēre* 'to stick, adhere, be consistent' (Ter.+), *obhaerēscere* 'to become stuck' (Lucr.+).

Pft. pr. *\*χais-ē-*, aor. *\*χais-s-*.

The pf. and the ppp. show that *-r-* goes back to *\*-s-*. The connection with Lith. *gāšiti* 'to linger, be slow', which was supported by WH and IEW, is rejected by Fraenkel 1955-1965. Mechanically, one might think of an *s*-present to a root *\*g<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>2</sub>-i-*, but no credible comparanda are available.

Bibl.: WH I: 632, EM 288, IEW 410.

**(h)allus/x** 'the great toe' [m.?] (Paul. *ex F.*, gloss.)

The original form is unclear (probably *hallus* or *hallux*); *hallux* would have a unique suffix, only *hallus* has a structure that might be IE. But no etymology is available.

Bibl.: WH I: 633, EM 288.

**hālō, -āre** ‘to emit, be fragrant’ [v. I] (Lucr.+)

Derivatives: *hālītāre* ‘to exhale’ (Enn.+), *hālītus, -ūs* (m.) ‘an exhalation, vapour’ (Lucr.+); *exhālāre* ‘to exhale’ (Pac.+), *redhālāre* ‘to breathe back’ (Lucr.).

Plt. *\*anaslo-* ‘breath’ > *\*anaslāje-* ‘to breathe’.

PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>enh<sub>1</sub>-slo-* ‘a breathing’. IE cognates: OIr. *anaid*, *ana* ‘to wait, remain’, MW *kynhanu* ‘to pronounce’, MBret. *ehanaff* ‘to dwell’ < PCl. *\*ana-*; Skt. pr. *āniti* [3s.act.], *ānīt* [3s.ipf.act.] ‘to breathe’, YAv. *āntiia̎ parāntiia̎* [gen.du.] ‘breathing in, breathing out’, Go. *uz-anan* ‘to breathe out’.

Schrijver 1991: 44f. regards *hālāre* as an early denominative from a noun *\*anaslo-* ‘breath’, with regular syncope of the second syllable in front of the long third syllable (in a four-syllabic word): *\*anaslāje-* > *\*anslā-* > *\*ālā-*. The *h-* was added as an onomatopoeic element, or it is hypercorrect (initial *h-* tended to be lost in the historic period), as in *hūmor* next to *ūmor*.

Bibl.: WH I: 633, EM 288f., IEW 38ff., Schrijver 1991: 44f., Schumacher 2004: 196, LIV *\*h<sub>2</sub>enh<sub>1</sub>-*. → *anhēlus, animus*

**hāmus** ‘hook, fish-hook’ [m. o] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *hāmātīlis* ‘employing hooks’ (Pl.), *hāmātus* ‘furnished with hooks’ (Lucr.+), *hāmiōta* ‘member of the ‘fishing fraternity’’ (Pl.+).

Only the Gr. words χαμός and χαβός ‘curved’ are close in form and meaning, but the formal vacillation within Greek is unexplained, and the vowel length of Latin cannot be explained from a loan. No etymology.

Bibl.: WH I: 633, EM 289.

**hara** ‘small enclosure for domestic animals, pigsty’ [f. ā] (Pl.+)

The preform *\*ǵ<sup>h</sup>r-h<sub>2</sub>-* (morphologically difficult) to the root *\*ǵ<sup>h</sup>er-* ‘to grab’ (cf. *cohors*) as given by WH, is impossible: this would yield *\*hora*. No etymology.

Bibl.: WH I: 634, EM 289.

**harēna** ‘sand’ [f. ā] (Cato+; variants *asena*, ‘Sabine’ *fasena* Var.)

Derivatives: *harēnātus* ‘sandy’ (Cato+), (*h*)*arēnōsus* ‘sandy’ (Cato+).

The suffix might reflect a derived adj. in *\*-es-no-* (cf. *aēnus, terrēnus*), a derivation from a verb in *-ē-*, or something else. No etymology.

Bibl.: WH I: 634, EM 289.

**(h)arundō, -inis** ‘reed, cane, rod’ [f. n] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: (*h*)*arundinētum* ‘reed-bed’ (Cato+).

Plt. *\*charund-en-*.

One might connect Gm. *\*hreud-* or *\*hreup-* ‘reed’ and ToB *karwa* (n.pl.), ToA *kru-* ‘reeds’, but this does not lead to a common preform. M. Driessen (p.c.) proposes to connect *harundō* with Gaulish *\*garunda-* for ‘shallow water-course, river, river bank’ (with regular *\*nd* > *nm* in Celtic), as reflected in many names in Southern France and northern Spain: Gasc. *Garouno* ‘water-course’, the river *Garonne* in France,

Provençal *garouno* ‘drainage canal’, the *Guareca* (*Garonna* 1156 AD) in the Spanish province Zamora. Since reed thrives excellently in shallow water, it is conceivable that Lat. *harundō* derives from the same source as Gaul. *\*garunda-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 634, EM 289, IEW 68.

**haruspex, -icis** ‘diviner, priest who inspects the organs of sacrificial animals’ [m. *k*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *haruspica* ‘female diviner’ (Pl.); (*h*)*ariolus* ‘soothsayer’ (Naev.+), *hariola* ‘female soothsayer’ (Pl.), (*h*)*ariolārī* ‘to prophesy’ (Pl.+), *hariolātīo* ‘prophecy’ (Enn.+).

Plt. *\*χaruspek-* ‘diviner’, *\*χario-*. It. cognates: Fal. **harasp[ex]** , **harisp[ex]** [nom.sg.] ‘haruspex’.

PIE *\*ǵ<sup>h</sup>rH-u-* ‘intestines’. IE cognates: Skt. *hirá-* ‘vein’, Lith. *žarnà* ‘intestine, hose’, Oic. *gorn* ‘intestines’ < *\*ǵ<sup>h</sup>orH-nh<sub>2</sub>-*.

There is vacillation between *haruspex* and (*h*)*arisplex*, but *-u-* is earlier and better attested; also, Hellenistic Greek has borrowed the word as ἁρούσπικα. *Haru/ispex* has been assumed to be a loanword from Etruscan, in which case the vacillation may be due to the source language. On the other hand, we find several IE forms from a root *\*ǵ<sup>h</sup>rH-* ‘intestines’ to which *haru-* can be connected as a *u*-stem. The dim. *hariolus* might be based on a preform *\*hari-* or on *\*haro-* >> *\*hario-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 635, EM 289f., IEW 443, Giacomelli 1963: 247, Benedetti 1988: 157ff., Schrijver 1991: 208, Lindner 2002: 230. → *aruīna, speciō*

**hasta** ‘spear, staff’ [f. *ā*] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *hastātus* ‘spearman, soldier’, *hastīle* ‘(shaft of a) spear’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*χastā-*. The comparison with U. *hostatu* [acc.pl.m.], *hostatir* [dat.pl.m.] ‘?’ is problematic because of the unknown meaning, and U. *o* which does not regularly correspond to Latin *a*.

IE cognates: Mlr. *gat* ‘osier, withe’, Mlr. *gass* ‘twig, branch’; Go. *gazds* ‘sting’, OHG *gart*, Oic. *gaddr* ‘goad’ < PGm. *\*gazda-*, OHG *gerta*, OS *gerdia* < *\*gazdjō*.

Probably not of Indo-European origin, cf. Lubotsky 2004: 329f. Latin *-st-*, Mlr. *-t* and PGm. *\*zd* point to a cluster *\*-sT-* in this loanword.

Bibl.: WH I: 636, EM 290, IEW 412f., Schrijver 1991: 134, Meiser 1998: 119, Untermann 2000: 336f., Hill 2003: 244.

**haud** ‘not’ [ptcle.] (Andr.+; variants *hau* before consonant, *haut* Andr., Naev.)

IE cognates: OIr. *gáu, gó*, acc. *goí* ‘falsehood’, ME *geu*, W. *gau* ‘lie, deceit’ < *\*goṷā-*.

According to Leumann 1977: 229, *haud* was used proclitically and was subject to word-internal sandhi: *haud aliter* but *haut temere*. Eichner 1995: 66ff. proposes that VOLat. *hauelod* (Forum cippus) represents an abl.sg. in *-ōd* of an original adj. *\*haued-o-* ‘false, insufficient, lacking’; the latter would be the source of *haud*. Note that irregular apocope of an ending *\*-os* or *\*-om* is needed for this explanation to be correct. Since Latin *\*-awV-* can result from (pretonic) *\*-owV-*, the preform may have been *\*ǵ<sup>h</sup>ou-i-d<sup>h</sup>ó-* ‘false’, which could be compared with PCI. *\*gowā-* ‘lie, deceit’ (as

Eichner does). The root could be identified as \*g<sup>h</sup>eu- ‘to hide’, which we find with different enlargements in Ilr. \*g<sup>(h)</sup>auj<sup>h</sup>- (Skt. *gūhā* [adv.] ‘in secret’, *gūhate*, YAv. *guza-*, OP *apa-gaudaya-* ‘to hide’) and Gr. κεύθω ‘to hide’ if from PIE \*g<sup>h</sup>eu<sup>h</sup>-. In view of the uncertainties surrounding the meaning of *hauelod* and the form of *haud*, this remains a speculative etymology.

Bibl.: WH I: 636, EM 290, IEW 414, LIV ?\*g<sup>(u)</sup>heuǵ<sup>h</sup>-.

**hauriō, -īre** ‘to draw, scoop up’ [v. IV; *hausī, haustum*] (Cato+)

Derivatives: *haustum* ‘a scoop on a water-wheel’ (Lucr.), *haustus, -ūs* ‘the drawing, scooping’ (Lucr.+); *dēōriō* ‘to drain off’ (Cato), *exhauriō* ‘to draw off, exhaust’ (Pl.+).

Pft. pr. \*aus-je-, pf./aor. \*aus-s-.

PIE \*h<sub>2</sub>eus-ie/o- ‘to scoop’. IE cognates: Gr. αὔω ‘to get a light, light a fire’ < \*αὔσω or \*αὔσjω (with secondary limitation of ‘to scoop’ to ‘fire’), Oic. *ausa* ‘to scoop’ < \*ausanan.

Since initial *h-* may be hypercorrect, the original form may be \*ausje- or \*hausje-. LIV assumes that *hauriō* and Gr. αὔω have secondary *e*-grade of the root (possibly taken from the *s*-aorist, Meiser 2003: 121), whereas the aorist \*h<sub>2</sub>eus- would be continued by the pf. *hausī*.

Bibl.: WH I: 637, EM 290, IEW 90, LIV \*h<sub>2</sub>eus-ie- ‘to scoop’.

**hebes, -etis** ‘blunt, weak’ [adj. *t̄*] (Pl.+, Caecil. acc.sg. *hebem*)

Derivatives: *hebēre* ‘to be blunt, be inactive’ (Verg.+), *hebēscere* ‘to grow blunt, become feeble’ (Lucr.+).

The acc.sg. *hebem* in Enn. and Caecil. is probably analogical to the nom. *hebes*, compare the rise of *requiem, requiē* (Cic.+) to *requiēs, -ētis*. It seems impossible to derive the verb from the adj., but also, to derive the adj. from the verb (one would rather expect \**hebidus*, for instance). Hence, we must posit a stem \**heb-*, whence the verb \**heb-ē-* ‘to be blunt’ and the adj. \**heb-et-* ‘blunt’. Other *t*-stem adjectives are *teres* ‘round’ and *dāves* ‘rich’. The *-e-* in *-et-* (instead of regular *-it-*) will be due to vowel assimilation to the first syllable. No etymology.

Bibl.: WH I: 637f., EM 291, Leumann 1977: 285, 373.

**hedera** ‘ivy’ [f. *ā*] (Lab.+)

Derivatives: *hederāceus* ‘of ivy’ (Cato+).

IEW and Meiser explain *hedera* from the root \*g<sup>h</sup>ed- ‘to grab’ of *prae-hendō*. Whereas IEW assumes a thematized *s*-stem \**hedes-o-*, Leumann posits PIE \**-er-o-*. The connection with *-hendō* is suggested by the gloss Paul. *ex F. ‘quod edera vincit ad quodcumque se applicat’*, but this is not enough evidence. Of course, ivy is a climbing (or ground-creeping) plant, and one may surmise that its name means ‘the grabbing one’, but this is just a guess, especially since the morphology is uncommon: no *s*-stem of this root is attested elsewhere in IE. Adjectival \**hed-ro-* ‘grappling’ > m. \**heder* would be slightly better.

Bibl.: WH I: 638, EM 291, IEW 437f., Leumann 1977: 315, Meiser 1998: 83. → *prehendō*

**(h)el(l)uō** ‘squanderer, glutton’ [m. *n*] (Ter.+; the oldest texts have *hell-*)

Derivatives: (*h*)*elluārī* ‘to spend immoderately on eating and other luxuries’ (Cic.+).

PIt. \**χelsVwo-*.

In spite of its earlier attestation, *helluō* is probably a derivative to *helluor*. This verb suggests an earlier noun or adj. \**helluus* or \**hellua* ‘luxury, spendthrift’ vel sim. This would require a preform \**χelsVwo-*, which yields no promising etymology. Initial *h-* seems secure, so WH’s etymology from \**ē-luō* ‘to bathe abundantly’ can be rejected already for this reason. Knobloch 1973: 63 proposes to connect *helluō* with U. *felsva* ‘banquet, ceremonial meal’, but U. *f-* normally reflects \**b<sup>h</sup>*, \**d<sup>h</sup>* or \**g<sup>wh</sup>*, not \**g<sup>h</sup>*.

Bibl.: WH I: 638f., EM 291.

**helvus** ‘yellow, dun’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Varro+)

Derivatives: *helvolus* [adj.] ‘a variety of wine and grape’ (Cato+), *helvius* ‘id.’ (Varro), *helvella* ‘a pot-herb’ (Titin.+).

PIt. \**χeliwo-*.

PIE \**ǵ<sup>h</sup>elh<sub>3</sub>-i-uo-* ‘yellow, green’. IE cognates: Skt. *hāri-*, Av. *zairi-* ‘yellow, greenish’ < PIE \**ǵ<sup>h</sup>el(h<sub>3</sub>)i-* or \**ǵ<sup>h</sup>olh<sub>3</sub>i-*, Skt. *hīri-* ‘yellow’ (in cp.) < \**ǵ<sup>h</sup>lh<sub>3</sub>i-*; Gr. *χλωρός* ‘pale green, greenish yellow’ < \**ǵ<sup>h</sup>lh<sub>3</sub>-ró-*; Lith. *žėlvas* ‘greenish’ < \**ǵ<sup>h</sup>elh<sub>3</sub>-uo-*, *žėlti* ‘to grow, flourish’, Latv. *zēlt*, OCS *zelenъ* ‘green’, Ru. *zelėnyj* < \**ǵ<sup>h</sup>elh<sub>3</sub>-en-*; OHG *gelo* < PGm. \**gelwa-*, Olc. *gulr* ‘yellow’ < PGm. \**gula-*.

Ilr. shows an *i*-stem adj., which must also be assumed as the basis for the Latin form. The latter was extended with \**-uo-*, as in some other colour adjectives. Leumann and Sihler reconstruct \**ǵ<sup>h</sup>elswo-* > \**hellwo-* > *helvus*, but the PIE preform is based only on Lith. *gėlvas*, with a productive suffix in Lith. Meiser suggests that *helvus* was borrowed from a Sabellic dialect, but gives no arguments. Rix (2005: 567) just states that *helvus*, if it were an originally Latin word, should have *u* instead of *e* in front of velarized *l*. An additional argument seems to be that other colour terms for animals (*rūfus*, *callidus*) are also suspect of borrowing.

Bibl.: WH I: 639, EM 291, IEW 429f., Leumann 1977: 141, Cowgill 1978: 42, Schrijver 1991: 110, 433, Sihler 1995: 41, 181, Meiser 1998: 82, Nussbaum 1999a: 386f., 410. → *holus*

**herba** ‘small plant, weed’ [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *herbeus* ‘grass-green’ (Pl.), *herbidus* ‘grassy’ (Varro+), *herbilis* ‘that is fed on grass’ (Lucil.+), *herbōsus* ‘grassy’ (Cato+).

The reconstruction \**ǵ<sup>h</sup>er-d<sup>h</sup>-* posited by WH and IEW is impossible if the root of *grāmen* is \**ǵ<sup>h</sup>rh<sub>1</sub>-*. No viable alternative is available.

Bibl.: WH I: 639f., EM 291f., IEW 454.

**hērēs, -ēdis** ‘heir’ [m. *d*] (Lex XII+; Naev. acc.sg. *hērem*)

Derivatives: *hērēditās* ‘inheritance’ (Pl.+), *hērēdium* ‘hereditary estate’ (Lex XII apud Plin., Varro+); *exhērēs, -dis* ‘disinherited’ (Pl.+).

PIt. \**χērēd-*.

PIE \**ǵ<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>ro-* ‘derelict’ + *-ēd-*. IE cognates: Gr. *χῆρος* ‘orphaned, empty’, *χίρα*



‘widow’, *χηρωσταί* ‘who divide the property of somebody who died without sons, usurpers’.

The form *hērem* is analogical to the fifth declination. See Dunkel 1987 for an overview of earlier etymologies. If *hērēs* is indeed cognate with *χηρωσταί*, a derivation from the root \**g<sup>h</sup>ed-* ‘to take’, Lat. *-hendō*, is impossible. Beekes 1975 suggests a *d*-stem \**g<sup>h</sup>eHr-ōd-*, *-ed-m*, *-d-ós*, to which Dunkel objects that the meaning of the suffix remains unclear (in itself not a strong argument) and that *d*-suffixes are extremely rare in PIE. This stance may be modified if one accepts Nussbaum’s explanation (2004a) of the Latin type in *-ēdō*, Gr. *-ηδών*, *-εδών*, as inherited. Nussbaum explains *hērēd-* as a substantivization in \**-o/ed-* of an adj. \**g<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>ro-* ‘derelict’ identical to Gr. *χηρος*. Long \**-ēd-* would be due to a suffix conglomerate \**-e-ed-*. While one may question the last point, it seems to me that Nussbaum’s explanation is the most likely one. Dunkel himself returns to Prellwitz’ explanation of *hērēs* as a compound of (in my reconstruction) \**g<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>ro-* plus the root \**h<sub>1</sub>ed-* ‘to eat’. The original meaning would have been ‘who eats what has been abandoned’. Although conceivable in theory, this is not very convincing, since no collocation of \**g<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>ro-* and \**h<sub>1</sub>ed-* is actually attested elsewhere.

Bibl.: WH I: 641f., EM 292, IEW 418f., Beekes 1975: 9f., Leumann 1977: 393, 450, Dunkel 1987, Schrijver 1991: 139, LIV \**g<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-*.

**heri** ‘yesterday’ [adv.] (Naev.+; also *here* Ter., 1x *heri* Ter.)

Derivatives: *hesternus* ‘of yesterday’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \**yes-i*.

PIE \**g<sup>h</sup>-di-es* ‘yesterday’, \**g<sup>h</sup>di-es-tro-* ‘of yesterday’. IE cognates: OIr. *indé*, MW *doe*, OCo. *doy* ‘yesterday’ < PCI. \**γdes(i?)*; Skt. *hyás*, Bal. *zī*, *zīk*, Oss. *znon/æzinæ* < Ilr. \**j<sup>h</sup>ias*, Gr. *χθές*, Alb. *dje*, OIc. *i gær* ‘yesterday’, Go. *gistra-dagis* ‘tomorrow’, OE *giestron*, OHG *gesteron* ‘yesterday’ < \**g<sup>h</sup>es-t(e)ra-*.

The form *heri* in Ter. is generally explained as analogical from other adverbial expression (*domi*, *rūri*). Since PIE word-final \**-i* usually appears as Lat. *-e* (*ante*, OLat. *poste*) or is lost altogether, the form *here* must reflect Plt. \**-si*. This means that *heri* must be understood as the result of iambic shortening from \**heri*. Lat. *hesternus* from \**hes-tr-ino-* shows the same adj. stem \**g<sup>h</sup>es-tro-* as attested in Germanic. It is uncertain whether word-internal \**-i-* belongs to the original form, since it is only attested in Ilr. Since most of the words involving a difficult dental+velar cluster go back to an original sequence of dental (± vowel) + velar, the same may be true for ‘yesterday’. It has been suggested that the original PIE form was \**g<sup>h</sup>-di-es* ‘at that day’ with the pronominal stem \**g<sup>h</sup>e/o-* and the gen.sg. of \**di-* ‘day’, the stem possibly reflected in Skt. *sadyáh* ‘within one day’. The zero-grade of the pronoun \**g<sup>h</sup>e/o-* would then be a very archaic trait of the compounds. In simplifying the initial cluster \**g<sup>h</sup>dj-*, most languages have ousted one of the two stops.

Bibl.: WH I: 642f., EM 292, IEW 416, Sihler 1995: 225f., Schrijver 1995: 390, Meiser 1998: 97. → *-dimus*, *hic*

**hic, haec, hoc** 'this' [pron.adj.] (Lex XII, Andr.+); *ho[n/dce]* 'this' (Forum cippus), *hoi* 'here' (Tiburbasis), *honc* [acc.sg.m.], *hec* [nom.sg.m.] (Elog.Scip.). See Leumann for other attestations.

The classical paradigm is recent as regards the presence or absence of *-c(e)*. In inscriptions and OLat., the vowel *-e* is preserved in several forms. Nom.sg.m. also *hec*. Nom.acc.sg.n. also *hōc* < *hocc* < \**hod-ce*. Without *-c(e)*: nom.pl.m. *hī*, nom.pl.f. *hae* (and paradigm). Petrified forms: *hāc* 'by this way, in this manner' (Pl.+)\*[abl.sg.f.], *hīc*, *hīcine*, *hīcin* 'here' (Naev.+)\*[loc.sg. \**hoi*], *hinc* 'hence' (Pl.+), *hōc* 'hither' (Pl.+)\*[ins.sg.mn.], *hūc* 'hither, to this amount' (Naev.+)< \**hoi-ke* (or \**hou-ke*? thus Nussbaum, p.c.).

Plt. \**χo*, \**χα(-ī)*, \**χod*. It. cognates: Fal. **hac** [acc. or abl.sg.f.] 'this', **hec, he, fe** [adv.] 'here' < \**g<sup>h</sup>ei-ke*; possibly U. *-hont*, *-ont*, *-font*, **-hunt**, **-unt**, **-hu** as second element of pron. *eri/era-hunt* 'the same' (cf. *is*, *īdem*).

PIE \**g/g<sup>h</sup>e/o-* 'this' \**-ke* 'here'. IE cognates: Skt. *gha*, *ghā* [ptcle.] 'certainly, at least', OCS *že* 'now, and, even'; or Skt. *hi*, Av. *zī* 'then, well, indeed', Gr. *vuí-χt* 'surely, indeed, well' < PIE \**g<sup>h</sup>i*.

The forms are mostly adopted from the *o/ā*-stem adj., nom.sg.f. *haec* from f. *quae*. Nom.sg.m. *hic*, *hec* < \**hi-ke* with nom.sg. \**hi* < Plt. \**χo* as in *ille*, *ipse*, *iste*. The form *hec* in CIL I<sup>9</sup> is interpreted by Hamp (1993: 157f.) as *hēc* < \**heic* < \**g<sup>h</sup>ei-ke*. Pl. *hīcine* < \**hī-ce-ne*. If U. *-hont*, **-hu** is indeed a petrified form of the same stem, a Plt. origin would be proven. It is explained as a m.sg. \**χom*, to which \**-t* was added for unclear reasons (van der Staij 1995: 137). The stem \**g/g<sup>h</sup>-* seems to be one of the many deictic elements of PIE, which could become pronominal stems in the daughter languages (cf. Kortlandt 1983b).

Bibl.: WH I: 644f., EM 293, IEW 418, Giacomelli 1963: 246f., Leumann 1977: 468f., Sihler 1995: 393, Meiser 1998: 161f., Untermann 2000: 229f. → *-ce*, *hodiē*

**hiems, -mis** 'winter, storm' [f. m] (Pl.+; Also nom.sg. *hiemps*)

Derivatives: *hiemālis* 'wintry' (Varro+), *hiemātiō* 'passing the winter' (Varro); *hibernus* 'of winter' (Pl.+), *hibernāre* 'to spend the winter' (Varro+); *bīmus* 'two years old' (Cato+), *trīmus* 'three years old' (Pl.+), *quadrīmus* 'four years old' (Pl.+), *quadrīmulus* 'only four years old' (Pl.).

Plt. \**χiem-* 'winter', \**χeim-r-ino-* 'wintry', \**-χim-o-* 'n winters old'.

PIE \**g<sup>h</sup>éiōm*, \**g<sup>h</sup>iéim-m*, \**g<sup>h</sup>im-ós* 'winter'; loc.sg. \**g<sup>h</sup>eim-en*? IE cognates: OIr. *gaim*, Gaul. *giamoni*, *giamon*, *giamo*, *giam*, *gia* (Coligny), OW *gaem*, MW *gaeaf*, OCo. *goyf*, OBret. *g(u)oiām*, Bret. *goañv* 'winter' < PCl. \**giem-i-*, OIr. *gaimred*, W. *gaeafrawd* 'winter' < \**giemi-rāto-*; Hit. *gimm-* [c.], *gimmant-* [c.] 'winter' < \**g<sup>h</sup>im-n-(ent-)*, *gimanniē/a-*<sup>21</sup> 'to spend the winter'; Skt. *himá-* 'cold, frost', *himā-* [f.] 'winter' (RV+), *héman* [loc.sg.] 'in winter', *hemantá-* (RV+) [m.] 'winter', OAv. *zimō* [gen.sg.], YAv. *ziā* [nom.sg.m.], acc.sg. *ziqm(ca)*, gen.sg. *zāmō*, *zamahe* 'winter', Skt. *hāyaná-* 'year', YAv. *zaiiana-* [adj.] 'wintry', [n.] 'wintertime' < \**g<sup>h</sup>eimn-o-*; Gr. *χίωv* 'snow', *δύσ-χιμος* [adj.] 'storming, horrible', *χεῖμα* [n.] 'winter', *χειμών* [m.] 'winter, winterstorm'; Arm. *jiown*, gen.sg. *jean* 'snow'; Alb. (Geg) *dimën* 'winter'; Lith. *žiemà*, OCS *zima* 'id.' < \**g<sup>h</sup>éim-*; Germ. \**-gim(ro)-* in animal names,

e.g. Lex Salica \**aingim-* ‘one year old’; ToA *śarme* ‘winter’ < \**ǵ<sup>h</sup>i-em-ro-* << \**ǵ<sup>h</sup>i-em-*, ToB \**śiñc-* ‘winter’ < \**śimāñc-*.

Lat. *hibernus* < \**heibrinos* < \**heimrinos*. The solution given by Sihler 1995: 211 (\**hiemērnos*) is very unlikely because of the phonetic development that would have to be assumed. Probably, *hiems* represents an original *m*-stem with hysterodynamic (amphidynamic) inflection. Latin – like Celtic – generalized the ablaut grade \**ǵ<sup>h</sup>i-em-* of the acc.sg., but retains full grade of the root in the derivation \**heimrino-* ‘wintry’. The double zero grade \**ǵ<sup>h</sup>i-m-* is preserved in the compounds with numerals.

Bibl.: WH I: 645, EM 293f., IEW 425, Leumann 1977: 165, Schrijver 1995: 108-110, Sihler 1995: 211, 304, Beekes 1995: 178.

**hīlum** ‘a minimal quantity’ [n. o] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *nihilum*, *nīlum* ‘nothing, by no degree’ (Pl.+), *nihil*, *nīl* ‘nothing’ (Pl.+).

Usually employed with a negation. The form *nihil* must have developed in unstressed position from *nihilum* < \**ne hīlom* ‘not a bit’. The short second vowel in *nihil* can be due to shortening of long \**i* in front of final *-l*, or iambic shortening. No etymology.

Bibl.: WH I: 646, EM 294f.

**hiō, hiāre** ‘to be wide open, gape’ [v. I] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *hīscere* ‘to open (the mouth)’ (Pl.+); *hietāre* ‘to open the mouth wide’ (Pl.+); *hiāscere* ‘to open out’ (Cato), *hiātus*, *-ūs* ‘opening, gaping’ (Varro+); *hiulcus* ‘having the mouth wide open’ (Pl.+); *inhiāre* ‘to open one’s mouth, be avid’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \**χi-ā-* [v.]. It. cognates: U. *erom ehiato* [inf.fut.ps.] maybe ‘to procure’ < \**en-hiā-* ‘to crave for’ or \**eks-hiā-* ‘to spit out’.

PIE \**ǵ<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>i-eh<sub>2</sub>-*; \**ǵ<sup>h</sup>ih<sub>1</sub>-ské-* ‘to gape, be wide open’. IE cognates: OCS *zinōti* ‘to open (one’s mouth)’, Ru. *razīnut*, SCr. *zīnuti* ‘to yawn’; Lith. *žiōti*, OCS *zijati*, Is. *zējō / zijajō* ‘to open (one’s mouth)’, Ru. *zijāt* ‘to yawn’, SCr. *zijati* ‘id.’ < BSl. \**ziaǵ-aǵ-*; Olc. *gīna* ‘yap, yawn’, *gīne*, OHG *ginēn* ‘to be wide open’; OHG *giwēn*, *giwōn* ‘to yawn’, OE *giwian*, *giowian*, *giwan* ‘to request’.

The verb *hietāre* is probably based on a stem \**hieto-* < \**hiato-*, which may have been formed to pr. *hiāre* (instead of \**hīto-*) on analogy with *stare*, *status*, cf. Steinbauer 1989: 127 and Schrijver. The noun *hiulcus* suggests an earlier adj. \**hiulus* (Fruyt 1986: 167). As to the PIE reconstruction, see Rasmussen 1989: 52 for a discussion and more forms. Lat. *hiāre* might reflect PIE \**ǵ<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>i-* plus \**-eh<sub>2</sub>-*, like Lithuanian *žiōti*. Since PIE \**ǵ<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-* means ‘to leave, allow’, it is conceivable that \**ǵ<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>i-* represents an earlier *i*-present \**ǵ<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>i-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 647f., EM 295, IEW 419ff., Schrijver 1991: 243, LIV \**ǵ<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>i-*.

**hīra** ‘intestine’ [f. ā] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *hīllae* [f.pl.] ‘small intestine, sausage’.

The only way WH can connect this formally to *haru-* is by assuming \**hēra* with a Sabellic or rustic development to *hīra*. This is ad hoc. No etymology.

Bibl.: WH I: 649, EM 295, IEW 443, Schrijver 1991: 208. → *haruspex*, *hirūdō*

**hircus** ‘he-goat’ [m. *o*] (Pl.+; variants *ircus*, Sabine *fircus* Varro)

Derivatives: *hircinus* ‘like a goat, of a goat’ (Pl.+), *hircōsus* ‘smelling like a goat’ (Pl.+); *hirquitallus* ‘adolescent boy’ (Paul. *ex F.*); maybe *hirpus* ‘wolf’ (Samnitic).

WH and IEW lump together a larger number of words, the connection of which is unwarranted. The combination of *hirc-* : *hirqu-* : Sab. *hirp-* is used to suggest a preform *\*herk<sup>w</sup>-*, but ‘goat’ and ‘wolf’ are no good friends. In general, words for ‘goat’ lack a PIE etymology. A possible source is the word for ‘rough-haired’, see *hirtus*.

Bibl.: WH I: 649, EM 296, IEW 445f. → *hirtus*

**hirtus** ‘hairy, shaggy’ [adj. *o*] (Lucil.+)

Derivatives: *hirsūtus* ‘hairy, rough’ (Cic.+).

Plt. *\*χe/irk-to-*, *\*χe/irk-so-* ‘rough-haired’?

These forms may represent *\*herto-*, with dialectal raising of *\*e* in front of *rC*. They are connected with *horreō* ‘to be stiff’, which is semantically in order; but since the PIE root was *\*ǵ<sup>h</sup>ers-*, it is unclear how *-s-* could be lost from a preform *\*ǵ<sup>h</sup>ers-to-* > *\*hersto-*. Alternatively, *\*herto-* might belong to the *s*-less variant of the root, *\*ǵ<sup>h</sup>er-* (cf. *ēr*, *horior*). In any case, *hirsūtus* probably goes back to an *o*-stem *\*hirso-*, which is explained variously as a dialectal development from *\*hirtio-* (Leumann 1977: 334), a consonant group such as *\*-rks-*, or analogy to participles of the type *pulsus* (to *pultāre*). Since *-rt-* can reflect *\*-rkt-* and *-rs-* can reflect *\*-rks-*, the stem may be *\*hi/erk-* ‘rough-haired’, which may then indeed be connected with *hircus* ‘he-goat’. *Hirtus* would be a *to*-derivative of this. The preform *\*herso-* (>> *hirsūtus*) might be analogical to other adj. in *\*-so-* which indicate physical properties of people: *russus*, *crassus*, *grossus*.

Bibl.: WH I: 650, EM 296, IEW 445f., LIV *\*ǵ<sup>h</sup>ers-*. → *horreō*

**hirūdō, -inis** ‘leech’ [f. *n*] (Pl.+)

WH and EM assume that *hirūdō* has the same suffix as *testūdō* ‘tortoise’, but whereas the latter can be explained from the stem *testu-* ‘pot’, no stem *\*hiru-* is known. A *u*-stem *haru-* ‘intestines’ is (maybe) attested in *haruspex*, whereas *hīra* ‘intestines’ shows *hīr-*, and semantically these would fit: the ‘intestines’ have the same worm-like shape as leeches. But these two forms cannot be united with *\*hiru-* under one reconstruction. Thus, they may be cognate, but then they are almost certainly non-IE loanwords.

Bibl.: WH I: 652, EM 296. → *haruspex*, *hīra*

**hirundō, -inis** ‘bird-name (swallow, martin, et sim.)’ [f. *n*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *hirundinīnus* ‘of a swallow’ (Pl.+).

WH assume onomatopoeic origin from *hirriō* ‘to snarl’ (Paul. *ex F.*). This is possible, but the suffix remains unclear. Lockwood 2001 convincingly argues that the most likely naming motive for a ‘swallow’ is its forked tail, and he gives examples from Germanic and Celtic etymology. For *hirundō*, he suggests earlier/standard *\*herundō*,

which he connects with *harundō* 'reed'; he compares Go. *wandus*, Olc. *vōndr* 'rod, stick' which are derived from Gm. *\*wend-* 'to wind'. Unfortunately, the proof that Lat. *harundō* could also refer to a 'forked' stick is missing.

Bibl.: WH I: 652, EM 296.

**hodiē** 'today' [adv.] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *hodiernus* 'of today' (Lucr.+). It. cognates: Fal. *foied* 'today'.

A compound of *hic* 'this' plus *diēs* 'day'. The second member probably continues an abl.sg. *\*diēd*, although this remains uncertain since final *-d* is nowhere attested. Fal. *foied* suggests that Latin *-d-* is due to a replacement of original *\*hoiē* by *\*hodiē*. The interpretation of the first member *ho-* is disputed. It is reconstructed as *\*ho* (the bare stem), *\*hōd* (abl.sg.) or *\*hoi* (loc.sg.; thus Meiser, who then regards *\*diēd* as the replacement of an older loc.sg.). I see no way to decide this point. In any case, a preform *\*hō diēd* could have yielded *\*hōdiēd* in the syntagm. The adj. *hodiernus* is analogical after *hesternus*.

Bibl.: WH I: 653f., EM 297, Giacomelli 1963: 247f., Leumann 1977: 110, 126, 468, Sihler 1995: 189, Meiser 1998: 78. → *diēs*, *hic*, *hōrnus*

**(h)olus, -eris** 'vegetable(s)' [n. r] (Pl.+; OLat. nom.acc.sg. *helus*, nom.acc.pl. *helusa* Paul. *ex F.*; dial. *folus* Paul. *ex F.*)

Derivatives: *(h)olitor* 'vegetable-grower' (Naev.+), *(h)olitōrius* 'of vegetables' (Varro+), *(h)olerāre* 'to plant with vegetables' (Cn. Matius).

Plt. *\*χelos-* [n.].

PIE *\*g<sup>h</sup>elh<sub>3</sub>-os, -es-* 'green things'. IE cognates: see s.v. *helvus*.

In the oblique cases, *\*holor-* regularly developed into *holer-*. There are some doubts as to the linguistic reality of Paulus' forms *helus* and *helusa* (cf. Nussbaum), but we have no choice but to take them seriously. It seems unlikely that they show a PIE *\*us-* stem, so *helus* probably has *-us* for *\*-os*, and *helusa* may have been provided with the same vowel in the transmission of these old words (for a really early form, one would expect to find *\*helosa* or *\*helesa*).

Bibl.: WH I: 654, EM 297, IEW 439f., Leumann 1977: 47, 168, Schrijver 1991: 110, Meiser 1998: 103, Nussbaum 1999a: 389f., Stüber 2002: 174. → *helvus*

**homō, -inis** 'human being, man' [m. n] (Lex XII, Andr.+; acc.sg. *hemōnem* Paul. *ex F.* 100, *homōnem* Enn. 1x, *homōnēs* Andr. 1x)

Derivatives: *nēmō, -inis* 'nobody' (Andr.+); *homullus* 'a mere man' (Varro+), *homunculus* 'id.' (Pl.+), *homunciō* 'id.' (Ter.+); *hūmānus* 'of a human being, human' (Andr.+; Paul. *ex F.* *hemonem*), *hūmānitus* [adv.] 'in the manner of human beings' (Enn.+), *inhūmānus* 'inhuman, uncultured' (Ter.+).

Plt. *\*χem-ō, \*χe/om-on-m*. It. cognates: O. *humuns* [nom.pl.], U. *homonus* [dat.pl.] 'man'; maybe SPic. *nemúneí* [dat.sg.] if 'nobody'.

PIE *\*d<sup>h</sup>g<sup>h</sup>(e)m-ōn* [nom.sg.], *\*d<sup>h</sup>g<sup>h</sup>m-on-m* [acc.sg.] 'earthling, human'. IE cognates: Olr. *duine*, W. *dyn*, Co. Bret. *den* 'man' < PCl. *\*donjo-*; OPr. *smunents*, *smūnets* 'man', OLith. *žmuō* 'person', Lith. *žmogùs* 'man', *žmónēs* 'people', Go. *guma* 'man',

OHG *gomo*, Olc. *gume*.

The suffix *\*-ōn-* is the older variant, which was replaced by *-ōn-* in some forms on the analogy with the type *sermō*, *-ōnis*. Lat. *hemōnem* seems to show that original *\*χem-* developed into *\*χom-* due to the following *m* (plus a back-vowel); unless *hemonem* was back-formed to *nēmō* ‘nobody’ as *\*ēmō* ‘somebody’. *Nēmō* can reflect *\*ne-hemō* or *\*ne-homō*. Sabellic, however, shows *\*χom-*, which could match PCI. *\*don-jo-* ‘man’ if from *\*d<sup>h</sup>ġ<sup>h</sup>-om-io-*. Thus, we arrive at a Plt. stem *\*χe/om-on-* ‘man’, which may with Nussbaum 1986: 187fff. be explained as PIE *\*d<sup>h</sup>ġ<sup>h</sup>(e)m-ōn* [nom.sg.], *\*d<sup>h</sup>ġ<sup>h</sup>m-on-m* [acc.sg.] ‘earthling’, derived from a loc.sg. in *\*-én* to the word *\*d<sup>h</sup>ġ<sup>h</sup>-m-* ‘earth’ (see s.v. *humus*). In view of the cognate Baltic and Germanic words for ‘man’ which also require a structure *\*d<sup>h</sup>ġ<sup>h</sup>m-on-*, this *n*-stem derivative seems to be of pre-Italo-Celtic date.

The explanation of *hūmānus* is unknown. Neither *\*-oi-* nor *\*-eu-*, *\*-ou-* nor *\*-uH-* yield an acceptable etymology. Leumann 1977: 117 conjectures a nom.sg. *\*hūm* ‘earth’ < *\*hōm* (Gr. χθών), with a development similar to *fūr* < *\*fōr*. Yet the word-final nasal is always dropped after long *\*ō*, so that it would have to have been restored from the oblique cases, in particular the acc.sg. *\*hōm* >> *\*hōmem*. Also, in *fūr* and *cūr*, the labial consonant may have determined the vowel shift. Since the meaning is now ‘human’, *\*hūm-āno-* would be a later instance of the semantic shift ‘earth’ > ‘of the earth’ > ‘human’ which *homō* underwent much earlier. Another solution is offered by WH, and accepted by Schrijver 1995: 310: together with Olr. *doīni* ‘persons’ (the pl. of *duine*), *hūm-ānus* would go back to a stem *\*ġ<sup>h</sup>d<sup>h</sup>oim-*. But where, then, does *-oi-* come from?

Bibl.: WH I: 654f., EM 297f., IEW 414f., Schrijver 1991: 468, Sihler 1995: 295, Meiser 1998: 83, Untermann 2000: 329-330, 490, Livingston 2004: 31-36. → *humus*

**honōs, -ōris** ‘honour’ [m. r] (Pl.+; nom.sg. also *honor*)

Derivatives: *honorārius* ‘supplied voluntarily, complimentary’ (Cato+), *honorātus* ‘honoured’ (Pl.+); *honestus* ‘honourable’ (Pl.+), *honestāre* ‘to honour’ (Pl.+), *honestitūdō* ‘honourableness’ (Acc.), *honestās* ‘honour, integrity’ (Sis.+); *inhonestus* ‘of ill repute, shameful’ (Pl.+), *co(ho)nestāre* ‘to pay respect’ (Acc.+).

Lat. *honestus* < *\*hones-to-*. Latin *hon-* can hardly reflect anything but *\*ġ<sup>h</sup>on-* or *\*g<sup>h</sup>on-*, but no further etymology is known.

Bibl.: WH I: 655f., EM 298, Leumann 1977: 179, 379.

**hordeum** ‘barley’ [n. o] (Pl.+; dial. *fordeum*)

Derivatives: *hordeāceus* ‘of barley’ (Cato+).

Plt. *\*χor(s)d-ejo-* ‘barley’.

IE cognates: Hit. *karaš-* [n.] ‘wheat, emmer-wheat’ (< *\*ġ<sup>h</sup>ersd-*), Gr. κριθή, ep. nom.acc.sg. κῖ ‘barley’, Arm. *gari*, gen. *garwoy* ‘wheat’ < *\*ġ<sup>h</sup>rijo-*; Alb. *drithë* ‘cereal, grain’ < *\*ġ<sup>h</sup>r(i)sD-*; OS OHG *gersta* ‘barley’.

The form *fordeum* probably has a hypercorrect *f-*. Latin *-eum* suggests a stem *\*hord-* with the adj. suffix *\*-ejo-*. Lat. *hordeum* can be connected with Greek, Albanian and Gm. words for ‘barley’ or ‘grain’, and maybe with Arm. and Hit. words for ‘wheat’.

Yet it is difficult to derive them all from one common preform: Latin and Gm. can go back to \*g<sup>h</sup>(e/o)rsd-, but Greek has no -s-and the vowel can hardly reflect PIE \*e or \*ɣ. Greek and Albanian could reflect \*g<sup>h</sup>riT- (Armenian too?). Since barley was known in Europe from the seventh millennium BC, and since at least two main types of barley were in use, it is quite conceivable that the different IE dialects adopted 'barley' as a loanword when they migrated into Europe and Asia Minor.

Bibl.: WH I: 656f., EM 299, IEW 446, Demiraj 1997, EIEC 51, Kloekhorst 2008: 444f.

**horior** 'to encourage, urge' [v. III] (Enn. *horitur*)

Derivatives: *hortārī* 'to incite, urge on' (Pl.+; Enn. 1x *horitātūr*); *hortāmentum* 'encouragement' (Pl.+), *hortātor* 'inciter, encourager' (Pl.+), *hortātrīx* 'female inciter' (Pac.+); *adhortārī* 'to urge, exhort' (Pl.+), *cohortārī* 'to exhort, rouse' (Pl.+), *dēhortārī* 'to discourage, dissuade' (Pl.+).

Plt. pr. \**χerj-/χ(o)r-ei-*, aor. \**χr-ē-*, ppp. \**χorto-*. It. cognates: Ven. <sup>+</sup>*horeionte* 'glad' (for <*horvionte*> [nom.du.m.]; Lejeune 1974: 82, 246, Meiser 2003: 66). U. **heri** [3s.pr.?], O. **heriad**, U. **heriiei** [3s.pr.sb.], O. *herest*, U. **heries**, *heriest* [3s.fut.], *heries* [2/3s.fut.], **herter**, **herte**, *herti*, *hertei* [3s.ps.], **herifi** [inf.ps.], **eretu**, *heritu*, *hereitu* [ppp., abl.sg.n.?] 'to wish, want', PalU. **herusei** [ppa., dat.sg.] 'to want' < \**χer-je-*; Pael. *herentas* [nom.sg.], O. **herentateis**, **herettates** [gen.sg.], **herentatei**, **heretatei** [dat.sg.] 'name of a goddess', probably \**χerent-tāt-*; Marr. *herentatia* [nom.sg.f.] 'of the goddess Herentas'; probably U. **herinties**, **herintie** '?'; U. **heris** – **heris**, **heris** – **heri**, **heri** – **heri**, *heri* – *heri*, *herie* – *herie*, *heriei* – *heriei* 'either – or' < \**χer-je-s* (for **heris**, **heri**) , < \**χer-jē-s/d* (for *herie*, *heriei*) . U. *pisher* 'whoever' < \**χer-V-s*. Opinions differ on the reconstruction of the suffix; Schrijver 2003 conjectures pr. \**χer-i-* beside aor. \**χer-ē-*.

PIE pr. \**g<sup>h</sup>er-i-*, aor. \**g<sup>h</sup>r-eh<sub>1</sub>-* 'to enjoy' < 'to be excited' < 'to stick out' (?) IE cognates: Skt. *hāryati* 'to enjoy', *haryatā-* 'enjoyable', OAv. *zara-* 'aim, goal' < Ilr. \*<sup>j</sup>ar(H)-; Gr. χαίρω 'to be glad', aor. ἐχάρην, χάρις [f.] 'enjoying, favour, pleasure' < \**g<sup>h</sup>erH-i-*; OHG. *ger* < \**gera-* 'eager, zealous', OHG. *gerno* 'eager, readily', OIr. *gor* 'pious' < \**g<sup>h</sup>or-ó-*.

The frequentative *hortārī* is based on a ppp. \**hortus*, or has been syncopated from *horitāre*, which is attested in Ennius. Skt. and Sabellic require a full grade present; since this is unusual in *ie/o*-presents, and since Sabellic is best interpreted as having a suffix \**ē* or \**i* (along Schrijver's lines), a PIE *i/ei*-present is the best solution. Latin *horior* will have the zero-grade root from the forms with a full grade of the suffix.

Bibl.: WH I: 657f., EM 299, IEW 440f., Nussbaum 1976, Meiser 1998: 194, Rieken 1999: 63-65, Untermann 2000: 319ff., Schrijver 2003: 81ff., LIV 1. \**g<sup>h</sup>er-*. → *horreō*

**hörnus** 'grown, produced in this year' [adj. o] (Hor.+)

Derivatives: *hörnō* [adv.] 'this year' (Pl.+), *hörnōtinus* 'of this year's growth' (Cato+).

Most scholars assume that *hörnus* is a derivative in \**-ino-* of \**ho-jōr(o)-* 'this year', from PIE \*(H)ie/oH-r 'year'. Yet the uninflected state of \**ho-* is strang: maybe one

could posit a loc.sg. *\*hoi jōroi* > *\*hojōroi* > *\*hōrī*, whence *\*hōrino-* was derived; but why with a short suffix vowel? Szemerényi (1960a: 114) has suggested that “*hōr(i)nus* is from a Gr. *\*ὄρνωός*, or transformed in Latin from the attested *ὄρνωός* ‘ripe, timely’”. Note that *hōrnus* is mainly used of crops and yield, and thus seems to mean ‘of the season’ more than ‘of this year’.

Bibl.: WH I: 658f., EM 299, IEW 293ff.

**horreō** ‘to be stiffly erect, shudder’ [v. II; pfi *-uī*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *horrēscere* ‘to stand up stiffly, shudder’ (Pl.+), *horribilis* ‘inspiring fear, monstrous’ (Acc.+), *horror* ‘dread, trembling, roughness’ (Pl.+), *horridus* ‘rough, crude, horrible’ (Pl.+), *horridulus* ‘upstanding, unkempt’ (Pl.+); *horrifer* ‘dreadful’ (Pac.+), *horrificus* ‘dreadful’ (Lucr.+), *horrificābilis* ‘frightful’ (Acc.+), *horrisonus* ‘making a dreadful noise’ (Lucr.+); *abhorrēre* ‘to shrink back from’ (Pl.+), *inhorrēscere* ‘to become restless, become stiff’ (Pac.+).

PIt. *\*χors-ē-* ‘to be stiff’.

PIE *\*g<sup>h</sup>rs-eh<sub>1</sub>-* ‘to be stiff, surprised’. IE cognates: Skt. *hárṣate*, *hṛṣyati* ‘to be delighted’, pf. *jāhṛṣāñá-* [ptc.med.], caus. *harṣáyati*; *hṛṣitá-* ‘glad, excited’, *ghṛṣu-* ‘lively, agile’, YAv. *zarəšiiamna-* ‘excited’, Sogd. *wyš-* ‘to be glad’.

The verb can be old, and so can the derivative in *\*-ōs-*, *horror*. Still, the meaning shows that *horror* was probably derived from *horreō*, since a direct shift from the root ‘to be stiff’ to *horror* seems less likely. The root *\*g<sup>h</sup>rs-* may be an *s*-extended variant of PIE *\*g<sup>h</sup>r-* ‘to stick out; be excited’ which is found in *horior*. The palatovelar was depalatalized in IIr. in the zero-grade *\*g<sup>h</sup>rs-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 659, EM 299f., IEW 445f., Schrijver 1991: 495, LIV *\*g<sup>h</sup>ers-*. → *horior*

**horreum** ‘storehouse for grain’ [n. *o*] (Pl.+)

No agreed etymology. It is tempting to compare the preforms *\*g<sup>h</sup>(o)r-to-* and *\*g<sup>h</sup>or-d<sup>h</sup>o-* (> Lat. *hortus*) and *\*g<sup>h</sup>rti-* (> *cohors*) from a root *\*g<sup>h</sup>er-* ‘to grab’. Even though no *s*-formations from this root are known (as WH point out), it does not seem impossible that *horreum* goes back to a preform *\*g<sup>h</sup>r-so-* > PIt. *\*χor-so-* ‘enclosed’, since derivatives in *\*-so-* were productive for a certain amount of time (cf. Nussbaum 2007b).

Bibl.: WH I: 659f., EM 300, LIV ?2. *\*g<sup>h</sup>er-*.

**hortus** ‘garden’ [m. *o*] (Lex XII+; in OLat. probably also ‘villa’, Pliny)

PIt. *\*χorto-*. It. cognates: O. *húrz* [nom.sg.], *húrtúf* [dat.sg.], *húrtúm* [acc.sg.], *húrtin* [loc.sg. + *-en*] ‘enclosure’.

PIE *\*g<sup>h</sup>(o)r-to-* and *\*g<sup>h</sup>or-d<sup>h</sup>o-* ‘enclosure’. IE cognates: Olr. *gort* ‘field, standing crop’, MW *garth* ‘pen, fold’, Gr. *χόρτος* ‘enclosed place, feeding place’; maybe Go. *garda* ‘pen’, OFr. *garda*, OHG *garto* ‘garden’; Skt. *grhá-* ‘house’, YAv. *garəða-* ‘dwelling-place of the daevas’ < PIE *\*g<sup>h</sup>rd<sup>h</sup>o-*; Go. *gards* ‘house’, OIc. *garðr* ‘fence, yard’, OE *geard*, OHG *gart* [m.] ‘circle’; Go. *garda* ‘fence, cattle-yard’, OFr. *garda* ‘garden’, OS *gardo*, OHG *garto* < *\*PIE \*g<sup>h</sup>ord<sup>h</sup>o-* / *\*g<sup>h</sup>ortó-*; Lith. *gārdas* ‘pen, enclosure’, *gardis* ‘fence’; OCS *gradъ* ‘town, garden’, Ru. *górod* ‘town’ < PIE



\*g<sup>h</sup>ord<sup>h</sup>os.

For PIE, we can reconstruct two nouns, \*g<sup>h</sup>(o)rto- and \*g<sup>h</sup>(o)rd<sup>h</sup>o-, with exactly the same meaning 'enclosure; house'. Ilr. deviates in having the zero-grade of the root, whereas this is also possible but never seriously considered for Latin and Oscan. The noun in \*-to- might be regarded as a verbal adjective to a root \*g<sup>h</sup>r- 'to enclose'. Within Latin, *hortus* may be connected with *cohors*, a stem in \*-ti-, which would then be a derivative \*g<sup>h</sup>r-ti-; unless the *i*-stem inflection is recent, and *cohors* was built on *hortus*. The *o*-grade in the root is conspicuous; this might be explained by reconstructing \*g<sup>h</sup>r-to- 'enclosed' and \*g<sup>h</sup>or-d<sup>h</sup>o- 'enclosure', and subsequent contaminations in the various languages (or already in PIE?).

Bibl.: WH I: 242f., EM 300, IEW 442f., Untermann 2000: 334f., LIV ?2.\*g<sup>h</sup>er-. → *cohors*

**hospes, -itis** 'guest, visitor; host, entertainer' [m. *t*] (Naev.+; gen.pl. *hospitum*)

Derivatives: *hospita* 'female guest, stranger; landlady' (Pl.+), *hospitālis* 'of hospitality; hospitable' (Pl.+), *hospitium* 'hospitality; guest accomodation' (Pl.+).

Plt. \*χostipot-. It. cognates: Pael. *hospus* [nom.sg.] 'stranger' (< \*-pot-(i)s). IE cognates: OCS *gospodъ*, Ru. *gospód'* 'the Lord, god' < \*g<sup>h</sup>ost(i)-pot- (Slav. -d- from the voc.sg. \*-pot?).

Compound of *hostis* and the root of *potis*. It is inflected as a consonant stem, and the stem in -pot- 'able' seems to be confirmed by *impos*, *compos* (see s.v. *potis*). However, *compotire* shows that the latter may still contain an *i*-stem.

Bibl.: WH I: 660, EM 300f., IEW 453, 842, Leumann 1977: 398, Parker 1988: 233f., Sihler 1995: 65, 68, Forssman 1998: 121-124, Untermann 2000: 335f. → *hostis*, *potis*

**hostis** 'foreigner, enemy' [m., f. *i*] (Lex XII+; also *foctis* Paul. *ex F.* 84, probably hypercorrect *f*-)

Derivatives: *hosticus* 'foreign, of the enemy' (Pl.+), *hostīlis* 'of an enemy' (Pl.+), *hostificus* 'hostile' (Acc.+).

Plt. \*χosti-.

PIE \*g<sup>h</sup>osti- 'stranger, guest'. IE cognates: OCS *gostъ*, Ru. *gost'*, SCr. *gōst*, gen. *gōsta*, Go. *gastis*, OHG *gast*, Olc. *gestr* 'guest'.

In theory, 'guest' could be derived from the root \*g<sup>(u)h</sup>es- (in that case, rather \*g<sup>h</sup>es-) 'to eat, devour' of Skt. *ghas-*. Yet a suffix -ti- does not normally indicate an agent noun, nor is *o*-grade common in this type of derivative. Heidermanns 2002: 190 proposes \*g<sup>h</sup>o-sth<sub>2</sub>-i- 'standing apart', from the stem \*g<sup>h</sup>e/o- 'this' (cf. *hic*) and \*sth<sub>2</sub>- 'to stand'. Semantically and phonetically this seems impeccable, but the use of a pronominal stem as the first member of a (verbal governing) compound has no parallels in other PIE reconstructions. Vine 2006b: 144 returns to the idea (found e.g. in WH) that *hostis* was derived from the same root \*g<sup>h</sup>es 'to take, give in exchange' as *hostus* (see below). In that case, *hostis* would have developed from an earlier abstract noun 'exchange' vel sim.

Bibl.: WH I: 662, EM 301, IEW 453, LIV ?1.\*g<sup>(u)h</sup>es-. → *hospes*

**hostus** ‘the yield of olive from a single pressing’ [m. o] (Cato, Varro)

Derivatives: *hostīre* ‘to recompense, requite’ (Pl.+), *hostīmentum* ‘recompense, requital’ (Pl.+), *redhostīre* ‘to requite’, (Naev.+); *hostia* ‘sacrificial animal’ (Pl.+) [*foſtia* in Paul. *ex F.*], *hostiātus* ‘provided with a sacrificial victim’ (Pl.).

Plt. \*χosto-.

PIE \*ǵ<sup>h</sup>osto- ‘yield’?

See Eichner 2002 for a discussion of the attestations of *hostus*. He suggests that *hostīre* was derived directly from *hostus*, and explains *hostia* as the substantivized f. of an adj. \**hostius* ‘substitute’ (e.g. in \**hostia ovis*), which was formed on the basis of *hostus*. Maybe the gloss *hostōrium* ‘lignum quō modius aequatur’ also belongs here, if this referred to a ‘branch’ or ‘bunch’ of olives. Eichner derives the Latin words from a PIE root \*ǵ<sup>h</sup>es ‘to take, give in exchange’, with which he connects the word for ‘hand’ PIE \*ǵ<sup>h</sup>es-r, and Greek ξένος ‘foreign; guest’ < \*ǵ<sup>h</sup>s-en-uo-.

Bibl.: WH I: 661, EM 301f., Eichner 2002, LIV ?1.\*g<sup>(u)h</sup>es-.

**humus** ‘earth, ground’ [f. (m.) o] (Pl.+; loc.sg. *humī*)

Derivatives: *humāre* ‘to bury’ (Varro+), *inhumātus* ‘unburied’ (Pac.+), *humilis* ‘low, humble’ (Ter.+), *humilitās* ‘lowness, humbleness’ (Acc.+).

Plt. \*χomo-. It. cognates: O. *húntram* [acc.sg.f.], *huntrus* [nom. or acc.pl.m.], *huntras* [gen.sg., nom. or acc.pl.f.], *huntruīs* [dat.pl.m.] ‘who is below’ < \*ǵ<sup>h</sup>om(i)-tero-; U. *hutra*, *hondra* ‘underneath’ [prep. + acc.], petrified case-form of \**hom-tero-*; U. *hodomu* [abl.sg.m.] ‘who is most below’ < \*ǵ<sup>h</sup>om-tmHo-. Possibly the deity U. *hunte*, *honde* [dat.sg.] < \*ǵ<sup>h</sup>om-to- ‘who is below’.

PIE \*d<sup>h</sup>ǵ<sup>h</sup>-ōm [nom.sg.], \*d<sup>h</sup>ǵ<sup>h</sup>-em-m [acc.sg.], \*d<sup>h</sup>ǵ<sup>h</sup>-m-os [gen.sg.] ‘earth’. IE cognates: Olr. *dú* ‘place, spot’, Hit. *tēkan* / *takn-* [n.], CLuw. *tijamm(i)-*, HLuw. *takam-* ‘earth’, Skt. *kṣāḥ*, gen.sg. *jmás*, Av. *zā*, acc.sg. *zqm*, Gr. χθών, Alb. *dhe*, Lith. *žėmė*, OCS *zemlja*, ToB *keṃ*, ToA *tkam* ‘earth’.

Italic must have introduced the *o*-grade into the acc.sg. (> \*(δ)χom-em). The Latin *o*-stem is probably based on an ambiguous locative singular \*χom-ei (Lat. *humī*) << \*χom-i. The same locative lies at the basis of (some of) the Sabellic derivatives. The resemblance of *humilis* to Gr. χθαμαλός ‘near the ground, humble’ seems accidental; in any case, *humilis* presupposes the Italic introduction of the *o*-grade into the root.

Bibl.: WH I: 664f., EM 302, IEW 414f., Untermann 2000: 330-334. → *homō*

## I

**iaciō, -ere** ‘to throw’ [v. III; pf. *iēcī*, ppp. *iacum*] (Lex XII+)

Derivatives: *iactus*, -ūs ‘throw, cast’ (Pl.+), *iaculus* [adj.] ‘used for throwing’ (Pl.), *iaculum* ‘throwing-spear’ (Sis.+), *iaculārī* ‘to throw, shoot’ (Lucr.+); *iacēre* ‘to lie’

(*iacuī, iacitum*) (Pl.+), *adiacēns* ‘neighbouring’ (Caecil.+), *obiacēre* ‘to lie nearby, obstruct’ (Enn.+); *abicere* (*abiēcī, abiectum*) ‘to throw away’ (Naev.+), *ad(i)icere* ‘to throw at, add, attach’ (Pl.+), *amicire* (*amicuī/amixī, amictum*) ‘to cover, clothe’ (Naev.+), *amictus, -ūs* ‘garment’ (Titin.+), *amiculum* ‘cloak’ (Pl.+), *circumicere* ‘to put round’ (Varro+), *circumiectus, -ūs* ‘wrap’ (Varro+), *co(n)icere* ‘to throw, dispatch, form’ (Pl.+), *conictor* ‘soothsayer’ (Pl.+), *coniectrīx* ‘female interpreter’ (Pl.), *coniectūra* ‘inferring, reasoning’ (Pl.+), *coniectus, -ūs* ‘te throwing’ (Lucr.+), *dēicere* ‘to throw down’ (Pl.+), *disicere* ‘to break up, disperse’ (Enn.+), *disiectus, -ūs* ‘dispersal’ (Lucr.), *ēicere* ‘to throw out, remove’ (Pl.+), *ēiectus, -ūs* ‘expulsion’ (Lucr.), *in(i)icere* ‘to throw in, put on’ (Pl.+), *intericere* ‘to throw between’ (Varro+), *obicere* ‘to throw in the way, put before’ (Pl.+), *obiectus, -ūs* ‘interposition’ (Lucr.+), *porricere* ‘to offer as a sacrifice’ (Pl.+), *prōicere* ‘to throw forth, fling’ (Naev.+), *prōiectficius* ‘abandoned’ (Pl.), *prōiectus, -ūs* ‘projection’ (Lucr.), *rēicere* ‘to throw back, reject’ (Pl.+), *rēiculus* ‘discarded’ (Varro+), *subicere* ‘to throw from below, make subject’ (Lucil.+), *trāicere* ‘to thrust, transport’ (Cato+), *trāiectus, -ūs* ‘crossing’ (Acc.+); *iactāre* ‘to throw, toss, brag’ (Pl.+), *coniectāre* ‘to infer’ (Ter.+), *disiectāre* ‘to scatter’ (Lucr.+), *obiectāre* ‘to object’ (Pl.+), *prōiectāre* ‘to banish’ (Enn.), *rēiectāre* ‘to repulse’ (Lucr.+), *subiectāre* ‘to throw up from below’ (Pac.+); *ōbex, -icis* [m.] ‘bolt, barrier’ (Verg.+), *subicēs, -um* [f.pl.] ‘underlying parts’ (Enn.+).

Plt. *\*jak-i-* ‘to throw’, *\*jak-ē-* ‘to lie down’.

PIE aor. \*(H)ieh<sub>1</sub>-, pr. \*(H)ih<sub>1</sub>-k-(i-) ‘to throw, let go’. IE cognates: Hit. *peje<sup>-zi</sup>/pej-* (>> *peije/a-*) ‘to send’) < PIE *\*h<sub>1</sub>poi + \*h<sub>1</sub>βieh<sub>1</sub>-ti, \*h<sub>1</sub>βih<sub>1</sub>-enti*; Gr. ἵημι ‘to send (away), let go, throw, hurl’ < pr. \*(H)i-(H)ieh<sub>1</sub>-, aor. ἔηκα, ἦκα, inf. ἔμεναι, εἶναι, fut. ἦσω, Myc. (*jo-*)*i-je-si* [3p.pr.].

In Schrijver’s view (1991: 411, 2003), *amicire* may show thematization of *\*ambic-i-*. Lat. *iacere* can be interpreted as the stative counterpart of *iaciō* ‘to throw’; hence, the meaning was ‘to be thrown down’ > ‘to lie’. The meaning shows that *iaciō* must have been primary, as is also shown by *-k-*. The nouns continue *\*-iak-s, -iak-os*. It is possible that *iac-* is the phonetic outcome of PIE *\*(H)ih<sub>1</sub>k-* (Schrijver 1991: 171), compare *vacuus*; *iac-* is the only form in *ia-* of this structure. If not phonetic, *iaciō* might be explained analogically from the proportion *faciō – fēcī* : *\*iciō – iēcī*.

Bibl.: WH I: 666f., EM 303f., IEW 502, Leumann 1977: 128, 553, Schrijver 1991: 163, Meiser 1998: 212, Untermann 2000: 158f., LIV *\*H<sub>1</sub>eh<sub>1</sub>-*.

**iam** ‘now, already’ [adv.] (Lex XII, Andr.+)

PIE *\*h<sub>1</sub>i-h<sub>2</sub>-m* [acc.] ‘this’. IE cognates: see s.v. *is*.

Probably *\*iām*. Since the meaning of the adverbs in *\*-ām* (acc.sg.f.) was ‘as far as, in respect of’, *\*iām* meant ‘as far as this is concerned’ > ‘now’. Possibly, *\*iām* is a remake of PIE acc.sg. *\*īm* ‘he, she’, with *\*-ām* from other inflected pronouns (*quam* etc.). Later, the form was replaced by *eam*.

Bibl.: WH I: 668, EM 304, IEW 281ff. → *is; -dam, nam, quam, tam*

**ianitrīcēs, -um** ‘wives of brothers’ [f.pl. *k*] (only in LLat. glosses. The vowel length in the initial syllable is therefore unknown.)

Plt. *\*jenater-?*

PIE *\*ienh<sub>2</sub>-ter-* ‘wife of husband’s brother’. IE cognates: Skt. *yātar-* [f.] ‘husband’s brother’s wife’ (AVP+), MoP *yār-* ‘id.’ < *\*yaθrī-*, Isfahānī dial. *yād*, Pash. *yor* ‘id.’ < Ilr. *\*iātā* (or *\*iantā*), gen. *\*iātras*; Gr. εἰνατέρες, -έπων [f. (pl.)] ‘wife of the husbands brother’, voc. εἰνατερ, gen. -τερος (Hdn.), sg. ἐνατηρ, -τρι, -τερα (in late Anat. inscr.); Arm. *nēr*, gen. *niri* (*\*ienH<sub>1</sub>-* > *\*Hin-* > *ən-* > *n-*, cf. Kortlandt 1997a); OLith. *jentē*, Lith. *intė* ‘husband brother’s wife, wife’s sister, daughter-in-law’, Latv. *ietere*, *iētaļa* ‘id.’; CS *jetry* ‘husband’s brother’s wife’, *jětrǔve* [gen.sg.], Ru. *játrov*’ (dial.), ORu. *jatry*, OCz. *jatrev*, SCr. *jětrva*, *jětrva*, *jětrva* < PSl. *\*jetry* (*uH*-stem in analogy to *\*svekrǔ*).

PIE *\*ienh<sub>2</sub>-ter-* would have regularly resulted in *\*ienater-* > *\*ieniter-*. Schrijver’s conjecture of a development *\*inHtr-* > *\*iantr-* is ad hoc. In front of *-tr-*, we would expect *\*ienetr-*, but the suffixation of *-ik-* may be recent, and *\*ienitrik-* may have adopted *-i-* from an earlier form *\*ie/aniter-*. Alternatively, the f. *iānitrix* ‘portress, gate-keeper’ (Pl.+ ) may have influenced our noun, but this seems far-fetched. In view of the changes from *iānuārius* > *iēnuārius* and *iāiūnus* > *iēiūnus* in Imperial Latin, *ianitrīcēs* (which does not seem to have been a commonly used word anymore) may be a hypercorrection for *\*ienitrīcēs*. Differently, Hamp 1982-83a: 102 starts from an ablauting paradigm *\*ienatr-* beside *\*inatr-*; the latter form would have received a secondary full grade *\*ianatr-* by analogy. Yet it is unclear what the model for the introduction of *\*ia-* would have been.

Bibl.: WH I: 668, EM 304f., IEW 505f., Schrijver 1991: 107f., 219, 490, Kortlandt 1997a, Beekes 2003: 163.

**iānus** ‘arched passage, doorway; god of gates and doors’ [m. *o*] (Pl.+; *u*-stem only in Fest.; VLat. *iēnuārius*, PRom. *\*iēnuā*)

Derivatives: *iānuā* ‘door, entrance’ (Pl.+), *iānuālis* ‘of Janus’ (Varro), *iānuārius* [adj.] ‘(month) of Janus’ (Varro+), *iānitor* ‘doorkeeper’ (Pl.+), *iānitrix* ‘female doorkeeper’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*jānu-* ‘door’.

PIE *\*ieh<sub>2</sub>-n-u-* ‘passage’. IE cognates: Olr. *áth* ‘ford, passage’ < PCI. *\*iātu-*; Skt. *yāti* ‘to go, travel’, *yayí-* ‘hastening, running’, *yātar-* [m.] ‘charioteer’, *yésṭha-* ‘going the fastest’; Lith. *jóti* ‘to go, ride’, Latv. *jāt* ‘to drive, to go’; CS *jaxati* ‘ride’, Ru. *éxat*’, SCr. *jāhati* ‘to go’ < PSl. *\*jěxati*. ToAB *yā-* ‘to go, travel’, ToB *yoñiya* ‘path, way, course’.

Although all old attestations show *iānus* as an *o*-stem, the derivative *iānuā* suggests that the earlier noun was a *u*-stem. *iānuā* can be an original plural (or dual?) to this stem. The oldest meaning will have been ‘passage, corridor’. The *n*-derivative might be a shared inheritance of Italic and Tocharian.

Bibl.: WH I: 668f., EM 305, IEW 296, Schrijver 1991: 142, LIV 1. *\*ieh<sub>2</sub>-*

**ibī** ‘there’ [adv.] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *ibīdem* ‘in that very place, in the same place’ (Naev.+), *inibi* ‘there’ (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*ipei* / *\*ifei* ‘there’. It. cognates: U. *ife*, *ife* [adv.] ‘there’ < *\*ib<sup>h</sup>ei*; U. *ifont* [adv.] ‘at the same place’ = *ife* + particle *-hont*.

PIE *\*h<sub>1</sub>i-d<sup>h</sup>ei* or *\*h<sub>1</sub>i-b<sup>h</sup>ei*. IE cognates: Skt. *ihá*, *idha* ‘here’, OAv. *idā*, YAv. *ida* ‘here, in the same way’ < PIE *\*h<sub>1</sub>i-d<sup>h</sup>e*; possibly Gr. ἰθα(ι)γενής ‘born from a lawful marriage, indigenous’; OCS *kъde* ‘where’ < *\*k<sup>w</sup>u-d<sup>h</sup>e*.

Theoretically, *ibī* can directly reflect *\*ib<sup>h</sup>ei*, with a suffix that recalls the ins.pl. ending *\*-b<sup>h</sup>i*. It is also possible that *ibī* has adopted *-b-* from *ubī* ‘where’, in which *b* can reflect *\*d<sup>h</sup>*. In that case, the deictic suffix *\*-d<sup>h</sup>i* (also *\*-d<sup>h</sup>e*) is the origin. In view of the closely parallel formation of Skt. *ihá*, I have a slight preference for a preform in *\*-d<sup>h</sup>ei*.

Bibl.: WH I: 669, EM 305, IEW 281ff., Leumann 1977: 168, Untermann 2000: 339.  
→ *-de*, *is*

**icō, -ere** ‘to strike, smite’ [v. III; pf. *icī*, ppp. *ictum*] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *ictus*, *-ūs* ‘stroke, blow’ (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*ike/o-* [pr.].

PIE pr. *\*h<sub>2</sub>i-h<sub>2</sub>ik-e/o-* ‘to hit, pierce’, ?pf. *\*h<sub>2</sub>i-h<sub>2</sub>ik-*, ppp. *\*h<sub>2</sub>ik-to-*. IE cognates: Gr. αἰχμή ‘point of a spear, spear’, Myc. *ai-ka-sa-ma* /aiksma/; OPr. *aysmis* ‘spit’, Lith. *iėšmas* ‘spit, bayonet’ < *\*h<sub>2</sub>eik(s)mo-*; OPr. *ayculo* ‘needle’, Ru. *iglá*, SCr. *igla* ‘id.’ < PS1. *\*jǝgǝlǝ* < *\*h<sub>2</sub>eik-tlo-* (?).

Bibl.: WH I: 670, EM 305, IEW 15, Peters 1980: 108, Schrijver 1991: 37, Meiser 2003: 215, LIV *\*h<sub>2</sub>eik-*.

**idem, eadem, idem** ‘the same’ [pron., adj.] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *identidem* ‘repeatedly’ (Pl.+).

It. cognates: comparable formations are O. *isídum*, *isídu*, *esídum*, *esídu*[m, εἰσείδομ, εἰζίδομ [nom.sg.m.], *íússu*, *íúsu*, *íúsúm* [nom.pl.m.] ‘the same’ < *\*is-id* + particle *\*-om* (sg.), *\*ejōs* + *\*-o(m)* (nom.pl.).

Conflation of the pronoun *is*, *ea*, *id* with enclitic *-(d)em*. VOLat. *\*isdem* yields *īdim*. The element *-dem* was metanalysed from n. *\*id-em*, analysed as *lid-dem*!. In other case forms with *s* (*eōsdem* etc.), *s* has been restored.

Bibl.: WH I: 671, EM 306, IEW 181ff., Leumann 1977: 467f., Sihler 1995: 392, Meiser 1998: 161, Untermann 2000: 347f. → *-dem*, *is*

**idōneus** ‘suitable, appropriate’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

No etymology. The morphology can be interpreted as an adj. in *-neus* to *\*idō* (‘there?’) or *\*ido-*, or as an adj. in *-eus* to *\*idōn*. But neither form yields a probable etymology.

Bibl.: WH I: 671f., EM 306.

**idūs, -uum** ‘15<sup>th</sup> or 13<sup>th</sup> day of the month (depending on the month)’ [f.pl. *u*] (Cato+)

It. cognates: O. *eiduis*, *eídúis*, [abl.pl.] probably the 13<sup>th</sup> or 15<sup>th</sup> day of each month.

No Latin etymology. According to Varro, the word was borrowed from Etruscan, and that may well be true.

Bibl.: WH I: 672, EM 306f., Untermann 2000: 203f.

**iecur** 'liver' [n. *r/n*] (Pl.+): nom.voc.acc.sg. *iecur* (passim), nom.sg. *iocur* (1x Plin.), gen.sg. *iocineris* (Liv., Larg., Celsus), *iecinoris* (Larg.), *iecoris* (Cic. 1x, Liv. 1x), abl.sg. *iecore* (Pac.), *iocinore* (VMax.), *iecinore* (Larg.), dat.sg. *iecorī*, nom.acc.voc.pl. *iocinera* (passim), dat.abl.pl. *iocineribus* (passim), gen.pl. *iecorum* (Cic. 1x), *iocinerum* (Plin. 1x)

Plt. \**jek<sup>w</sup>or* [n.], \**jek<sup>w</sup>en-*.

PIE \**iek<sup>w</sup>-r/n-* [n.] 'liver'. IE cognates: Skt. *yákr̥t*, gen.abl.sg. *yaknás* 'liver' (RV+), loc.sg. *yakani* (AV), Av. *yakarə* (see de Vaan 2003: 68f.), Khot. *gyagarrā* (< \**iakṛna-*), MP *ǰagar*, MoP *ǰigar*, Oss. *igær* 'liver'; Gr. ἥπαρ, gen.sg. ἥπατος 'liver', OCS *ikra* 'roe', Ru. *ikrá* 'roe, spawn, caviar, calf (of the leg)', *ikró* (dial.) < PSI. *jǰkrā*, *jǰkro* < PIE \**ik<sup>w</sup>-r-eh<sub>2</sub>*; OPr. *ycroy* '(anat.) calf', Lith. *ikras* [m.] 'fish-egg, (anat.) calf, [pl.] roe, spawn, caviar'; OPr. *lagno* [iagno], Lith. *jėknos* (dial.) [m.], *jekanas* (Bretkūnas) 'liver' [m.], Latv. *aknas* [nom.pl.f.] < PIE \**iek<sup>w</sup>-n-h<sub>2</sub>-*.

The attestations show *ie-* in all di- and trisyllabic forms (one exception: *iocur* in Pliny), and *io-* in most four- and fivesyllabic forms (two exceptions: *iecinore* and *iecinoris* in Larg.). This points to a phonetic ratio: in pretonic position, we find *io-*, whereas the syllable that was stressed in pre-classical and in CLat. has *ie-*. Thus, one might regard only *ie-* as old, and *io-* as a weakening in pretonic position, compare *ianitrices* < \**ienitrices*. Yet such a weakening seems strange, and we have no way to verify it since *io-* further only occurs in the disyllable *iocus*. Klingenschmitt 1992 assumes a metathesis of the first and third vowel in \**iecinoris* to *iocineris*, a metathesis which would have served the goal to restore the ending *-ineris* which occurs in *itineris*. In that case, one wonders why the Romans did not make \**iecineris*, but introduced a strange *o*. Rix 1965 proposes to explain the *-o-* on the basis of a PIE locative \**iok<sup>w</sup>-en*. This seems hazardous to me on comparative grounds (no evidence for *o*-grade elsewhere) and because of the distribution within Latin as described above.

Bibl.: WH I: 673, EM 307, IEW 504, Rix 1965, Klingenschmitt 1992: 118, Sihler 1995: 300, Meiser 1998: 142, Weiss (fthc.a).

**ieiūnus** 'fasting, hungry' [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+; phonologically /ieii-/)

Derivatives: *ieiūnitās* 'soberness, hunger' (Pl.+), *ieiūnium* 'fasting' (Pl.+), *ieiūniōsus* 'hungry' (Pl.+); *ieientāre* 'to have breakfast' (Afran.+), *ieientāculum* 'breakfast' (Pl.+).

Plt. \**jagje/o-*, \**jagju-*, \**jagjūno-*.

PIE \**Hieh<sub>2</sub>ǵ-ie/o-* [v.] 'to sacrifice', \**Hieh<sub>2</sub>ǵ-iu-* [adj.]. IE cognates: PIIr. \**iaj-* > Skt. pr. *yájati*, ppp. *iṣṭá-* 'to honour, worship, sacrifice', *su-yáj-* 'sacrificing well', *yájus-* [n.] 'worshipping, act of sacrifice', *yáṣtar-*, *yaṣtár-* [m.] 'sacrificer', *yájyu-* 'praiseworthy', Av. *yaza-* 'to worship', *iṣta-*, *yašta-* [ppp.], *yaṣtar-* 'worshipper', OP *yada-* 'to worship', *ā-yadana-* [n.] 'sacrificial place'; Gr. ἅγιος (Hdt.), ἅγιός (H.) 'holy', ἄζομαι (< \*ἄγγομαι) 'to honour'.

In the oldest layer of Plautus mss., Skutsch 1892 has found *iai-*, a spelling which also

appears in Pliny. This must be the older form, which underwent assimilation to *iei-*. The two oldest words are then *iaiūnus* and *iaientāre*, phonologically /*iaii-*/. Forssman 1993 explains them from Plt. \**iagi-*, to the root PIE \**ih<sub>2</sub>ǵ-* ‘to sacrifice’. He reconstructs an adj. \**iagiu-* ‘opferfreudig’ (to Skt. *yajyú-*), which was remade into \**iagiūno-* in Latin, and a present \**iag-je/o-* ‘to sacrifice’ (to Gr. ἄζομαι). The verb *iaientāre* would have been built on an *nt*-stem \**iaient-* < \**iag-jent-*. These words would be based on the habit to perform the first sacrifice of the day on an empty stomach.

Bibl.: WH I: 674f., EM 307, IEW 501f., Leumann 1977: 54, Meiser 1998: 80, LIV \**H<sub>1</sub>ag-*.

**igitur** ‘in that case, then’ [cj.] (Lex XII, Andr.+)

Probably the post-tonic development of \**agetor* ‘it is done’ (> *agitur*) after e.g. *quid*.

Bibl.: WH I: 675, EM 307, Leumann 1977: 82. → *agō*

**ignis** ‘fire’ [m. *i*] (Lex XII+)

Derivatives: *ignēscere* ‘to catch fire’ (Lab.+), *igneus* ‘of fire, fiery’ (Lucret.+), *ignifer* ‘bearing fire’ (Lucret.+).

Plt. \**ng<sup>w</sup>ni-* ‘fire’.

PIE \**h<sub>1</sub>ng<sup>w</sup>ni-* ‘(a) fire’. IE cognates: Hit. *akniš* ‘a deity’ (borrowed from Ir.), Skt. *agni-* ‘fire’, Lith. *ugnis*, OCS *ògnjъ*, Ru. *ogón*’, SCr. *òganj* ‘fire’ [m.] < Late-BSl. \**ugni-* < Early-BSl. \**ungni-* < PIE \**h<sub>1</sub>ng<sup>w</sup>ni-*; Skt. *āngāra-* ‘coal’, Sogd. *’nk’yr* ‘hearth’ < \**angārijā-*, Arm. *acowl* ‘coal’, Lith. *anglis* [m.], Latv. *ùogle*, OCS *oglb* [m.], Ru. *úgol* ‘coal’ < BSl. \**on?glis* < PIE \**h<sub>1</sub>ong<sup>w</sup>-l-*.

Lat. *ignis* shows a development from PIE \**Hng<sup>w</sup>ni-* > \**Həngni-* > \**engni-* > with dissimilation \**egni-* (or > \**ingni-* > *igni-*).

Bibl.: WH I: 676, EM 307f., IEW 293, Schrijver 1991; 63f., 416, 484.

**ignōro, -āre** ‘to have no knowledge, be ignorant’ [v. I] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *ignōrantia* ‘ignorance’ (Lucret.+).

Plt. \**gnōs-(e/o<sup>1</sup>)*.

PIE \**ǵneh<sub>3</sub>-s-* ‘to recognize, know’. IE cognates: Hit. *kane/išš-zi* ‘to recognize, acknowledge’ < \**ǵneh<sub>3</sub>-s-ti*, \**ǵnh<sub>3</sub>-s-énti*; Skt. *s-aor. ajñāsam, ajñāsthās* ‘to recognize’, ToA *kñasāšt* ‘you recognized’.

The verb *ignōrare* has been regarded as a denominal verb to *ignārus*; according to this view, the vowel \**ā* was replaced by *ō* on the model of *ignōtus*. Yet it seems highly unlikely that \**en-gnārāre* was replaced by \**en-gnōrāre*, while the adj. *gnārus* ‘knowing’ itself was left unchanged. Nussbaum (2007b) has proposed a more likely solution: *ignōrāre* reflects a *sā*-present \**gnō-sā-* ‘to know’ of the once productive Latin type, derived from a noun \**gnō-sā-* ‘knowledge’, or more directly from its source, a PIE *s*-present as found in Hittite.

Bibl.: WH I: 614, EM 279, IEW 376-378, LIV \**ǵneh<sub>3</sub>-*. → *glōria, gnārus, nōscō*

**īlex, -icis** 'holm-oak, ilex' [f. *k*] (Enn.+)

Derivatives: *īlignus* 'of the holm-oak' (Ter.+), *īligneus* 'of the holm-oak' (Cato+).

No etymology. The adj. *īlignus* reflects \**īliknos* < pre-syncope \**īlik-ino-s*.

Bibl.: WH I: 678, EM 308, Leumann 1977: 287, 321.

**īlia, -ium** 'side part of the body, from the hips to the groin' [n.pl. *io*] (Cat.+)

No etymology. The Gm. words adduced by WH (cf. OFr. *ili*, OE *ile* 'footsole', MLG *ēle* 'callus', Oic. *il* 'footsole') have short \**i-*. Gr. ἰλία [n.pl.] 'female body-parts' (Hsch.) might be a loan from Latin.

Bibl.: WH I: 678, EM 308, IEW 499.

**ille, illa, illud** 'that' [pron.adj. *o/ā*] (Lex XII+)

Derivatives: *illic, -aec, -uc* 'that, the following' (Pl.+); adverbs: *illā* 'by that way, there' (Pl.+), *illāc* 'by that way' (Pl.+), *illī* 'there' (Pl.+), *illīc* 'there, then' (Naev.+), *illim* 'thence' (Pl.+), *illinc* 'from that place' (Naev.+), *illō* 'thither' (Naev.+), *illōc* 'thither' (Andr.+), *illūc* 'thither' (Pl.+).

This pronoun replaces *olle / ollus*. The change of *o-* to *i-* is generally explained from analogy with *iste*, although Sihler 1995 considers a proclitic form \**elle*, which turned to *ille* by phonetic influence of *l exilis*. If *ollus* derives from \**ol-no-*, the original nom.sg.m. must have been *ollus*. Lat. *olle* may go back to nom.sg.m. \**ol-so*, as in *iste* < \**es-to*.

Bibl.: WH I: 679f, EM 309, IEW 24-26, Leumann 1977: 470, Meiser 1991: 163, Sihler 1995: 394. → *olle / ollus*

**īmāgō, -inis** 'picture, image' [f. *n*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *imitāre* (Andr., Var.), *imitārī* 'to copy, imitate' (Pl.+).

PIt. \**imā(je-)*.

PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>i-m-h<sub>2</sub>-* 'image'. IE cognates: Hit. *himma-* 'imitation, substitute'.

Both the frequentative *imitāre/ī* and *īmāgō* can be derived from an earlier verb \**imā-je/o-*. If this is cognate with *aemulus* (< \**h<sub>2</sub>eim-elo-*, probably dim. to earlier \**h<sub>2</sub>eim-o-*), it suggests a noun \**imā-* from which the verb was derived, since thematic stems do not normally have ablaut. The PIE root is poorly attested; we only find a few nominal derivatives. Devoto 1967 suggests a deverbal origin from \**imāre* 'to copy' from a root aor. \**im-e-* to a root \**iem-* which he sees in Skt. *yamá-*, OAv. *yāma-* 'twin'; but no verbal forms which could be cognate have been discovered.

Bibl.: WH I: 680, EM 309, Leumann 1977: 369, Schrijver 1991: 38, 74, Meiser 1998: 106. → *aemulus*

**imbēcillus** 'physically weak, fragile' [adj. *o/ā*] (Afran.+; also *i*-stem)

The word has been etymologized as \**n-bak(t)lelo-* 'without a (walking) stick' > 'weak' because of a Juvenal scholia: *imbecillis: quasi sine baculo*. The meaning is hardly compelling: it seems to me that exactly the persons who can walk *without* a support are the stronger ones. In addition, *imbēcillus* has long *ē* in Lucr. and Horace.



EM suggest original *\*imbeccillus*, which would have arisen on the model of *vaccillō* vs. *vacillō*. This is very far-fetched. I conclude that the word is without etymology.

Bibl.: WH I: 92, EM 309f., IEW 93. → *baculum*

**imber, -bris** ‘rain, rain shower’ [m. *i*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *imbrex, -icis* ‘semi-cylindrical tile, placed over the joints between roof-tiles’ (Pl.+), ‘a curved plate’ (Cato), *imbricus* ‘rainy’ (Pl.+), *imbricator* ‘who causes rain’ (Enn.+).

PIt. *\*ɲfr-i-* ‘cloud’. It. cognates: O. **anafriss** ‘to the rain deities [dat.pl.]’.

PIE *\*nb<sup>h</sup>-r-o-* ‘(rain) cloud’. IE cognates: Skt. *abhrá-* ‘cloud’, Av. *afra-* ‘rain, rain-cloud’, Khot. *ora-* ‘sky’; Gr. ἀφρός [m.] ‘foam, saliva’ (the appurtenance of the Gr. word is less certain because of the meaning; still, it is conceivable); Arm. *amb, amp* ‘cloud’.

The adj. *imbricus* corresponds to a productive type in *-icus*, whereas *imbric-itor* is a typical Ennian neologism. The vowel *e* in *imbrex* < *\*imbri-k-s* is irregular (Leumann 1977: 375) for *\*-ix*, but can easily be explained from analogy, as indeed can the whole suffix. Most of the nouns in *-ex/-ix, -icis* are non-IE, and in the technical sphere we also find, e.g., *ɸūmex, apex, irpex, silix, calix, fornix*. Especially *fornex* ‘vault, arch’ (Enn.+), and *apex* ‘top, crown’ (Lucil.+, Varro) are close to *imbrex*. For PIE we can reconstruct an *o*-stem *\*nb<sup>h</sup>ro-* ‘cloudy, misty’, from which an *i*-stem noun was derived.

Bibl.: WH I: 680f., EM 310, IEW 315f., Schrijver 1991: 64, Meiser 1998: 106, Untermann 2000: 95f. → *ambricēs, nebula*

**imbuō, -ere** ‘to drench, wet; to fill’ [v. III; pf. *imbuī*, ppp. *imbūtum*] (Pl.+)

PIt. *\*enɸu-*.

PIE *\*h<sub>1</sub>en-d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-u-* ‘in-placement’.

Weiss 2007a: 374f. observes that most of the OLat. forms of this verb concern the ppp. or the passive, so that the etymological analysis should start from *imbūtus*. This could be a deinstrumental adj. *\*en-d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>uh<sub>1</sub>-to-* ‘having in-placement’ to a *u*-stem *\*en-d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-u-* ‘in-placement’; the latter noun Weiss regards as a substantivization of an earlier adj. compound *\*en-d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-o-* ‘placed/placing in’. The verb *imbuō*, then, continues an etymon made up of *\*h<sub>1</sub>en* ‘in’ and *\*d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-* ‘to put’, which apparently was applied especially to drenching something or someone in a liquid, steeping clothes in dye, etc. The reflex *b* of *\*d<sup>h</sup>* in front of vocalic *\*u* may have been regular; it is supported by Weiss’ analysis of *tribus* (see s.v.). A different etymology was proposed by Panagl-Lindner 1995: 167ff., who separate ‘to drench’ from ‘to fill with’. As they show themselves, however, this is not supported by the chronology of the attestations. For *imbuerē* ‘to fill with’, Panagl-Lindner posit *\*en-b<sup>h</sup>uh<sub>2</sub>-je/o-* ‘to plant; attach (oneself)’, cognate with Gr. ἐμφύω ‘to grow on; to plant’. Formally this is possible, although Latin normally has *fu-* for this root; but semantically I see no reason why we should connect ‘to drench’ with ‘to grow’.

Bibl.: WH I: 682, EM 310.

**immō** ‘rather, on the contrary’ [ptcle. introducing the correction of a preceding statement, giving a negative answer to a question] (Naev.+)

PIt. \**immō*(C).

PIE \*im-moH? IE cognates: Hit. *imma* ‘truly, indeed’, CLuw. *imma*, HLuw. *ima* [adv.] ‘indeed’.

Eymology uncertain. Semantically, an abl.sg. \**īmō* to *īmus* ‘lowest, last’ would be a good candidate, but the scansion as *immō* would be irregular. Could it be due to the expressiveness of the negative semantics? The Anatolian forms *imma* look suspiciously similar in form and meaning; they might contain PIE \**im* [acc.sg.] plus a form \**moh*<sub>2</sub> (thus Kloekhorst 2008: 384), or maybe \**moh*<sub>1</sub>, an *o*-grade variant of \**meh*<sub>1</sub> ‘not’, which has a similar contrastive meaning. Yet for Latin, the preservation of geminate \*-*mm*- up to the literary period would be unexpected.

Bibl.: WH I: 682f., EM 310, Melchert 1985. → *īmus*, *inde*

**īmus** ‘lowest, deepest, innermost’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Naev.+)

It. cognates: perhaps O. *imad* [abl.sg.f.] ‘?’ (if ‘down below’).

PIE \*nd<sup>h</sup>-mHo-?

The explanation is disputed. WH and Meiser assume that *īmus* somehow represents a remodelling of *īnfirmus* (synonym with *īmus*, and the more usual word) after *summus*. In view of *summus* < \**supemo*- < \**sup-mHo*-, one could envisage a phonetic development \**infirmos* > \**infmos* > \**immos* > *īmus*. The co-occurring form *īnfirmus* would be due an earlier paradigmatic alternation of syncopated forms (in front of a long-vowel ending) and unsyncopated ones, e.g. nom.sg. \**infirmos*, gen.sg. \**infirmī*. Cowgill 1970: 130 proposes a proportion \**superior* : *summus* = *inferior* : *X*, *X* = \**īn-mmus*, but this morphological analysis is too artificial.

Bibl.: WH I: 685f., EM 311, Meiser 1998: 152, Untermann 2000: 341 f. → *īnferus*

**in** ‘into, in’ [prep., prev.] (VOLat.+; Duenos inscr. *en*, Lex XII+ *in*)

Derivatives: *intus* [adv.] ‘inside, within’ (Pl.+).

PIt. \**en* ‘in’, \**entos* ‘inside’. It. cognates: Ven. *es* ‘unto’ < \**ens*, *entol* ‘inside’ < \**entos* + *l*- of the next word; O. *en* [prep. + gen.], Pael. *i* [prep. + acc.]; postpos. + acc.: O. -*en*, Pael. -*e*, U. -**em**, -**en**, -**e**, -*e*; postpos. + abl.: O. -**en**, -*en*; postpos. + loc.: O. -**in**, Vest. -(*e*)*n*, U. -**en**, -*em*, -*eme*, SPic. -**in**, -**en** ‘in, at (loc.), into (acc.), for (gen.)’. As preverb: O. **em**-, Pael. *em*-, *in*-, U. *en*-.

PIE \**h*<sub>1</sub>(e)n ‘in’, \**h*<sub>1</sub>entos ‘(from) inside’. IE cognates: OIr. *in*-, *en*-, *ī*’, OW, OBret. *en*, *in* ‘in’, W. *yn*-; Skt. *ānīka*-, YAv. *ainika*- [m.] ‘face’ < \**h*<sub>1</sub>eni-*h*<sub>3</sub>k<sup>w</sup>-o-; Gr. ἔν, ἐν, Arm. *i*, OPr. *en*, Latv. *ie*- ‘in’, Lith. *j* ‘in(to)’ [prep. / pref.], OCS *ъb(n)*, Ru. *v(o)*, SCr. *u* ‘in(to)’ < BSl. \**in*-; Go. *in*, OHG OS OE *in*, OIc. *i*; ToAB *y*-, *yn*-, ToB *in*- ‘in’. Gr. ἐντός ‘inside’ [adv., prep.].

The change *en* > *in* is regular in unstressed position and in front of several consonants. From there, *in* was generalized. Whereas most IE languages continue PIE \**h*<sub>1</sub>en, BSl. requires a zero grade \**h*<sub>1</sub>n.

Bibl.: WH I: 687f., EM 312f., IEW 311ff., Lejeune 1974: 334, Schrijver 1991: 37, Sihler 1995: 439f., Untermann 2000: 223-225. → *endo*, *inter*, *intestīnus*

**in-** ‘not, un-’ [pref.] (Lex XII+); assimilates to following consonant: *im-* in front of *b-/p-/m-*, *il-* before *l-*, *ir-* before *r-*, *ī-* before *g-*.

PIt. \**n-*. It. cognates: O. *an-*, *am-*, U. *a-*, *an-*, *a-*. Only before adj. and *to*-participles.

PIE \**n-* ‘not, un-’. IE cognates: OIr. *in-*, *ē-*, *an-*, W. Co. Bret. *an-* ‘not, un-’; Skt. Av. OP *a-*, in front of vowels *an-* ‘un-, -less’, Gr. *ἀ-*, in front of vowels *ἀν-*; also *νη-*, *vā-*, *vω-* < \**n-h<sub>1/2/3</sub>C-*; Go. OHG OS *un-*, OIc. *ó-*, *ú-*; ToAB *a(n)-*, *am-*, *e(n)-*, *em-*, *on-*.

The form *in-* has regularly developed in front of consonants; from there, it replaced antevocalic \**en-*. PIE \**n-* is the zero grade of the negative ptcle. \**ne* ‘not’.

Bibl.: WH I: 686f., EM 311f., IEW 756ff., Leumann 1977: 386f., Untermann 2000: 93f. → *ne-*, *nē*, *nī*

**inānis** ‘empty, hollow’ [adj. *i*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *ināniae* [f.pl.] ‘nothingness’ (Pl.), *inānīre* ‘to make empty’ (Lucret.+); *inānilogista* [m.] ‘babblers’ (Pl.).

The chronology of attestations suggests that ‘empty, devoid of’ is older than ‘hollow’. No certain etymology.

Bibl.: WH I: 688f., EM 314.

**inciēns** ‘big with young (of a female)’ [adj. *nt*] (Varro+)

On the strength of the comparison with Gr. *κυέω* ‘to be pregnant’, *inciēns* is mostly analysed as a derivative of the PIE root \**kuH-* ‘to swell’. The formal aspects of this etymology are disputed. According to Thurneysen’s rule (cf. Meiser 1998: 86 and Schrijver 1991: 322-324), \**ū* became *ī* before \**j*, yielding a phonetic change \**kuH-je-* > \**kūje-* > \**kīje-*. Yet according to Schrijver 2003 and 2006: 50, the sequence \**kuH-je-* would undergo a development to \**kwi̯je-* in Proto-Italo-Celtic, whence we expect Lat. \**qui-*. This problem is absent from the other examples for Schrijver’s rule (*pīus*, *suffire*, *fieri*) since \**w* might have disappeared after the labial obstruent. An alternative etymology is the following. The attestations show that *inciēns* meant a woman ‘at the verge of parting’ (Paul. *ex F. inciēns propinqua partū*) as opposed to *gravidā* and *praegnāns*, which were more general terms for ‘pregnant’. Of course, this might be a recent semantic specialization. Nevertheless, if *inciēns* derives from a verb \**inciēre* of the same semantic structure as *incipere* ‘to take in hand, start, begin an action’, it could mean ‘starting to give rise to, giving birth’. In that case, it would be a simple and recent derivative of *cieō*.

Bibl.: WH I: 690, EM 314, IEW 592ff., Meiser 1998: 86, Schrijver 1991: 322ff., 2003: 77f., LIV \**kueh<sub>1</sub>-*. → *cavus*, *cieō*, *cumulus*

**indiges, -etis** ‘epithet of certain gods’ [m., adj. *t*] (Verg.+)

Derivatives: *indigitāre* ‘to invoke (deities) by certain formulas’ (Varro+), *indigitāmenta* [n.pl.] ‘certain formulas used in invoking deities’ (Paul. *ex F.*).

PIt. \**end[ō]-ag-et-* ‘working within’.

The noun *indiget-* can be a derivative in \**-o/et-* of a compound \**endo-ag-o-* ‘working within (the community)’, cf. *inter-pret-* ‘go-between’, *prae-stes*, *-stit-* ‘witness’. The

verb *indigitāre* will then be a recent derivative from the noun, meaning ‘to turn to the *indigitēs*’.

Bibl.: WH I: 693, EM 315. → *agō*, *endō*

**indulgeō** ‘to be indulgent’ [v. II; pf. *indulsī*, ppp. *indultum*] (Ter.+)

Derivatives: *indulgitās* ‘leniency’ (Caelius, Sisenna).

Plt. *\*-dolx-ē-*.

PIE *\*dlǵ<sup>h</sup>-eh<sub>1</sub>-* ‘to be(come) fixed’? IE cognates: Gaul. *delgu* [1s.pr.] (?) ‘to hold’ < PCI. *\*delge/o-*, MW *daly*, *dala* ‘to hold’, OBret. *delgim* ‘to hold’ < *\*dalge/o-* (< PIE *\*dlǵ-ske/o-*); Skt. *dṛmha-* [pr.], *dṛhya* [2s.ipv.act.] ‘to fix, make firm’, OAv. *dādarāžō* [2s.desid.inj.act.] ‘to desire to fasten’, *dārəz-* ‘fetter’, YAv. *darəzaiia-* ‘to fasten, tie’, *dārəzra-* ‘firm, strong’; Go. *tulgjan* ‘to fasten’.

Probably a compound verb, with as a first member *\*en-* ‘in’, *\*n-* ‘not’ or *\*end(o)* ‘in’, and as the second member *\*dVlg-* or *\*Vlg-* or (after *\*end-*) *\*(d)IVg-*. *Indulgēre* has been compared with *longus*, under the assumption that ‘to be indulgent with someone’ can mean ‘to show perseverance, wait a long time’. But the nasalless variant of this adj. (PIE *\*dlHg<sup>h</sup>-*) is not attested in Italic. A connection with *langueō* has been proposed, and is semantically better, but the root was *\*slh<sub>2</sub>g-*. LIV connects the root *\*delǵ<sup>h</sup>-* ‘to be hard, get fixed’. If the verb was a causative *\*dolǵ<sup>h</sup>-eie*, one could posit a semantic shift from ‘let so. become hard’ to ‘let so. get his way, be indulgent toward so.’ Yet initial *in-* remains hard to explain. If analysed as a stative verb in *\*-eh<sub>1</sub>-*, *indulgeō* might be derived from a negated form of an adj. *\*n-dlǵ<sup>h</sup>-ro-* ‘not hard’. Thus *\*n-dlǵ<sup>h</sup>-eh<sub>1</sub>-* ‘not to be hard toward’ = ‘to be lenient toward, indulge’.

Bibl.: WH I: 694f., EM 315, IEW 196f., Schumacher 2004: 271f., LIV *\*delǵ<sup>h</sup>-*.

**indūtiaē** ‘armistice, truce’ [f.pl. *ā*] (Pl.+)

The noun suggests an adjectival base *\*dūto-*. Michael Weiss suggests to me a possible connection with PIE *\*duh<sub>2</sub>-* ‘to be able, arrange’, hence *\*n-duh<sub>2</sub>-tio-* ‘inability’. This would be interesting in view of the possible derivation of *bellum* from *bonus* (see s.v.), which also concerns the context of war and battle.

Bibl.: WH I: 696, EM 316.

**inferus** ‘lower’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+; Cato nom.sg.m. *infer*)

Derivatives: *inferī*, *-ōrum* [m.pl.] ‘the inhabitants of the underworld’ (Pl.+), *inferior* ‘lower’ (Pl.+); *infimus* ‘lowest’ (Pl.+), *infumātis* [adj.] ‘of the lowest rank’ (Pl.); *infernus* ‘of the underworld; further down’ (Pac.+); *infra* [adv.; prep.] ‘below’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*enpero-* ‘lower’. It. cognates: Fal. *ifra* ‘beneath’ [adv.].

PIE *\*nd<sup>h</sup>ero-* ‘lower’, *\*nd<sup>h</sup>mHo-* ‘lowest’. IE cognates: Gaul. *anderon* [gen.pl.] ‘gods of the underworld’(?); Skt. *ādharma-*, YAv. *aḍara-* ‘lower’, ms <’dl> *ēr* ‘low’, YAv. *aḍairi* ‘below’; Go. *undar*, OHG *untar*, *untari* ‘under’.

Nom.sg. *īnferus* must have restored *-us* on the basis of the other case forms. According to Giacomelli, the Fal. form confirms that the *f* in Latin can be a dialectal form. Others (WH, Leumann, Meiser) assume that *\*d<sup>h</sup>* underwent treatment as if in anlaut because *\*en-* was metanalysed as the preposition ‘in’ and *\*-d<sup>h</sup>ero-* as a separate

stem. This view can be supported by *suf-fiō* (where the primary status of the simplex is clear) and maybe *-fāriam*, where *f* < \**-d<sup>h</sup>*- also occurs word-internally.

Bibl.: WH I: 698, EM 317, IEW 771, Giacomelli 1963: 248f., Leumann 1977: 169, 423, Sihler 1995: 69, Meiser 1998: 105. → *īmus*

**īnfestus** 'hostile, aggressive' [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *manu/īfestus* 'caught in the act, plainly guilty; obvious' (Lex XII+), *manifestārius* 'caught in the act' (Pl.+).

Plt. \**en-fristo-* 'rushing in'?

According to Leumann 1977: 390, *manu/īfestus* derives from \**manū festus* with iambic shortening of the first element. If *manifestus* may be interpreted as 'caught by hand', the meanings seem to point to 'grabbing' or 'attacking' for *-festus*. The connection with PIE \**d<sup>h</sup>ers-* 'to be bold' is not very compelling. Latin *-fest-* may stem from \**fast-*, *-st-* may be from *-Rst-*, *-RTst-* or *-Tst-*. Closest in form would be *fastūgium* 'top, summit', *fastus* 'pride' < \**b<sup>h</sup>rst-*, but this yields no sense. The etymology of *īnfestus* as 'implacable' < \**n-g<sup>wh</sup>ed<sup>h</sup>-to-*, still supported by Leumann 1977: 168, is semantically farther off, and phonetically possible only if we assume restoration of \**-to-* after dental clusters became *ss* (unlikely) or if we assume a suffix \**-sto-*. If *festīnāre*, *confestim* contain a noun \**festi-* 'hurry' < \**fristi-*, this would match *īnfestus* ('rushing in'), but not so well *manifestus*. Thus, maybe the two must be separated.

Bibl.: WH I: 698f., EM 317, 385, IEW 259. → *festīnō*

**ingēns, -entis** 'huge, vast, numerous' [adj. *nt*] (Pl.+)

Plt. \**mgānt-*.

PIE \**mǵ-(e)h<sub>2</sub>-(e)nt-*. IE cognates: Skt. *mahāntam* [acc.sg.f.], *mahatī* [f.] 'great, big', YAv. *mazānt-* 'id.' < Ilr. \**maj(a)H-ant-* < PIE \**meǵ-h<sub>2</sub>-nt-*.

WH's explanation as *in-gēns* 'wovon es kein Entstehen gibt' is incomprehensible to me: it cannot be compared with Skt. *ābhva-*, since the latter is endocentric, whereas *ingēns* would have to be exocentric. Muller's proposal (1926) of a derivative in \**-nt-* to PIE \**mǵ-* is more promising. Since a theoretical preform \**mǵ-nt-* 'great' would yield Lat. \**magēnt-* (cf. *magnus* < \**mǵ-no-*), we may derive *ingēns* from PIE \**mǵ-h<sub>2</sub>-ént-* (> \**ingant-* > *ingent-*) or \**mǵ-éh<sub>2</sub>-(e)nt-* (> \**ingānt-* > \**ingant* > \**ingent-*). Thus, the Latin form would have the same two suffixes as Ilr.

Bibl.: WH I: 700, EM 317, IEW 373ff., Schrijver 1991: 484. → *magnus*

**inguen, -inis** 'swelling on the groin, bubo; groin' [n. *n*] (Lucil.+)

Plt. \**ng<sup>w</sup>en-*.

PIE \**ng<sup>w</sup>-ēn, -n-os* 'the nude one'. IE cognates: Gr. ὀδῆν, -ένοϛ [f., m.] 'gland'.

Schrijver 1991 and Beekes (fthc.) separate the Greek word from Latin and North-Germanic (Olc. *økkvern* 'thick, clodded', Molc. *økktr* [m.] 'glans, gland, tumour' < PGm. \**enkua-* < IE \**eng<sup>w</sup>o-*), because Greek cannot have had an initial laryngeal, whereas in meaning, Latin and Gm. are closer to each other. Yet both Greek 'gland' and Latin 'groin' can be explained semantically on the basis of 'naked',

the meaning of PIE \*neg<sup>w</sup>- (see *nūdus*). Hence, we may rather discard the Germanic forms (their primary meaning seems to be 'swelling, ulcer'), and reconstruct a PIE *n*-stem derived from 'nude'.

Bibl.: WH I: 701, EM 317f., IEW 319, Šchrijver 1991: 59, Sihler 1995: 162. → *nūdus*

**inquinō, -āre** 'to make dirty' [v. I] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *coinquināre* 'to pollute' (Acc.+); *cūnīre* 'to defecate' (Paul. *ex F.*); *ancunulentaē* 'women having their period' (Paul. *ex F.*).

Pīt. \*k<sup>w</sup>inā-je/o-, \*k<sup>w</sup>oin-je/o-?

The word cannot be connected with *caenum*; see s.v.

Bibl.: WH I: 131, EM 318, Schrijver 1991: 265. → *caenum, cuniō*

**īnsece / inquam** 'to say' [v. irr.] (Andr.+); pr.ipv. *īnsece, īnseque* (Andr., Enn.), pf. *īnsexit* (Enn.) 'to tell'; pr.ind. *inquam* (Pl.+) 'I say; of course', *inquis, -it, -imus, -itis, -iunt* 'says' > 'said', ipv. *inque, inquitō* 'say!'; other moods and tenses follow conjugation III<sup>b</sup> (Pl.+).

Derivatives: *insectiō* 'story' (Gel.).

Pīt. pr. \*en-sek<sup>w</sup>-e/o- (?), aor. \*en-sk<sup>w</sup>-e/o-.

PIE aor. \*sk<sup>w</sup>-e/o- 'to follow'. IE cognates: OIr. *insce* 'discourse' < \*en(i)-sk<sup>w</sup>-iā, OIr. *seichid\**, *seich\** 'to say', OW MW *hebu* 'to say', MCo. *gorthybi* 'to answer', OBret. *hep* 'says' < PCI. \*sek<sup>w</sup>-e/o-; Gr. pr. ἐν(ν)έπω < \*en-sek<sup>w</sup>-; aor. ἐνίσπεϊν, ipv. ἔσπετε < \*ἐν-σπ-ετε; ἐνίσσω < \*si-sék<sup>w</sup>-/sk<sup>w</sup>-; Lith. (dial.) *sėkti*, 3s. *sėka* 'to tell'; Oic. *segja*, OS *seggian* 'to say' < IE \*sok<sup>w</sup>éie-.

The shift from 'follow' to 'tell' can be explained via 'repeat, relate'. The forms *īnsece* and *īnseque* occur in texts modelled on Greek epic, and might be calques on ἐννέπω, using Latin \**in-sequō*. But they can also be regarded as inherited from the PIE present; the delabialized velar would have been generalized (\**inseque* >> *insece*). Latin *inquam* < \*en-sk<sup>w</sup>-ā- (original 1s.sb. 'I will say') and *inquit* < \*en-sk<sup>w</sup>-e-t, probably from the PIE (thematized) aorist. To *inquit*, a complete verbal paradigm was then built. The disappearance of \*s in \*en-sk<sup>w</sup>- is difficult to account for, but must be accepted. The only possible parallel is *tranquillus*, but its etymology is uncertain. Much more problematic is the assumption of a reduplication present \*en-si-sk<sup>w</sup>-e- (Hackstein 1997: 37-42), since this would in addition require syncope in a closed syllable.

Bibl.: WH I: 702f., EM 318, IEW 897f., Leumann 1977: 212, 531, Sihler 1995: 546f., Meiser 1998: 117, 214, Meiser 2003: 147, Schumacher 2004: 565f., LIV 2. \*sek<sup>w</sup>-. → *sequor*

**īnsolēscō, -ere** 'to become overbearing' [v. III] (Sall.+)

Derivatives: *īnsolēns, -ntis* 'unaccustomed, unfamiliar' (Ter.+), 'immoderate, haughty' (Cic.+), *īnsolentia* 'unfamiliarity' (Turp.+), 'extravagance, arrogance' (Cic.+).

The older etymology says that these words are derived from *soleō* 'to be accustomed', via a semantic shift from 'to be unaccustomed' to 'be out of the ordinary, exaggerated'. This is actually supported by the chronology of the meanings of *īnsolēns* and *īnsolentia*. The pr. *īnsolēscō* would then be a more recent derivative

from *īnsolēns* (Sall.+). Another etymology, first proposed by Prokrovskii in 1898, separates *īnsolēscō* from *soleō*, and connects it with IE verbs for ‘to swell’ such as German *schwellen*, whence with a frequently observed semantic shift ‘to brag’. Melchert 2005 has taken up this proposal, connecting *īnsolēscō* with Hit. *šulle-/šulla-* ‘to become arrogant’, *šullatar* ‘swollenness; wantonness’ < PIE *\*s<sub>u</sub>lH-eh<sub>1</sub>-* ‘to be(come) swollen’. Similarly LIV. To my mind, the inner-Latin chronology of the meanings points to the derivation from *soleō*.

Bibl.: WH I: 704, EM 318f., LIV *?*\**s<sub>u</sub>elH-*. → *soleō*

**īnstar** ‘counterpart, the equivalent’ [n. only as nom. or acc.] (Varro+)

It is tempting to see in *īnstar* a derivative of *\*in-stāre* [inf.] ‘to stand in’ > ‘balance’ (WH), but the use of an inf. as a n. noun is not ancient in Latin. Also, the apocope of *-e* is unusual (even if it might be regular originally). Finally, the semantic motivation is weak: *īnstar* + gen. simply means ‘the equivalent of’ whereas *īnstāre* means ‘to assail, take a stand’. The origin would have to lie in an earlier period, when *in* + *stāre* meant ‘to stand in’. One might think of an original cp. *\*(h<sub>1</sub>e)n-steh<sub>2</sub>-os* ‘the standing in’ > *\*in-stās* ‘the cost’ vel sim. Compare *iubar* for the phonetics of *-ar*.

Bibl.: WH I: 705, EM 319. → *stō*

**īnstauro-, -āre** ‘to repeat, restore’ [v. I] (Cic.+)

Derivatives: *restaurāre* ‘to restore, rebuild’ (Tac.+).

Plt. *\*stauro-* ‘big, strong’.

PIE *\*sth<sub>2</sub>u-ro-* (>> *\*steh<sub>2</sub>u-ro-*) ‘big, strong’. IE cognates: Skt. *sthūrā-*, YAv. *\*stūra-* [part of names] ‘big, strong’, Oss. *styr/(i)stur* ‘id.’; OSw. *stūr*, MLG *stūr* ‘big, strong, coarse’.

If the word is inherited, the composition of *in* + *staurāre* must be recent, otherwise we would expect *\*instūrāre*. According to EM, *restaurāre* replaced *īnstauro-* because the meaning ‘re-’ is unusual for *in-*. A nominal form *\*stauro-* could reflect PIE *\*sth<sub>2</sub>u-ro-*, which reminds us of Skt. *sthūrā-* ‘big, strong’ < *\*stuh<sub>2</sub>-ró-* < *\*sth<sub>2</sub>-u-ro-*, to the root *\*steh<sub>2</sub>-* ‘to stand’. Thus, pre-Latin *\*stauro-* would have meant ‘strong, big’, from which ‘to restore’ is easier to understand than from words for ‘pole, staff’ adduced by WH (Gr. *σταυρός*, OIc. *staurr*). The full grade can be due to influence from the verb.

Bibl.: WH I: 705f., EM 319, IEW 1004ff. → *stō*

**īnstigāre** ‘to incite, provoke’ [v. I] (Ter.+)

Plt. *\*steig-(e/o-)*.

PIE *\*steig-* ‘to prick, sting’. IE cognates: Skt. *āti stigh-* ‘to overcome’, *ā-stig-* ‘to harm, penetrate, assail’, *stegá-* [m.] ‘which stings / cane’, YAv. *stija* [ins.sg.] ‘with the tip (of the tusk)’, Skt. *tejate* [pr.med.], *tétikte* [3s.med.int.] ‘to sharpen’, *tīkṣnā-* [adj.] ‘sharp, keen-eyed’, *téjas-* [n.] ‘sharp edge (of knife), sharpness’, YAv. *bi-taēya-* ‘having two sharp edges’, *brōiθrō.taēža-* ‘sharp due to the blade’, *tiyra-* ‘cutting, pointed, sharp’ (in cp. *tīzi-*), Gr. *στίγω* ‘to sting, tattoo’, *στίγμα* [n.] ‘stab, brand’; NHG *stechen* ‘to sting’, *Distel* ‘thistle’ (< PGm. *\*pīhstila-*).

Probably a denominal verb to *\*steig-(o-)* ‘sharp point’, or a Latin compound verb in *-āre* derived from an earlier present *\*stīge/o-* < *\*steig-(e/o-)*.

Bibl.: WH I: 706f., EM 649, IEW 1016f., Cheung 2007: 361f., Lubotsky (fthc.), LIV *\*(s)teig-*. → *stinguō*

**Insula** ‘island’ [f. *ā*] (Naev.+)

IE cognates: Olr. *inis*, W. *ynys* ‘island’ < *\*ine/issī-*; Gr. νῆσος [f.] ‘island’, Dor. *vāσος*, Rhod. *vāσσος*.

The etymology as *\*en-sal-o-* ‘what is in the salt(y)’ > ‘in the sea’ > ‘island’ is theoretically possible as far as the phonetics go, but being ‘in the sea’ is not a very precise description of what an island is; furthermore, the Indo-Europeans seem to have indicated with ‘island’ mainly ‘river islands’. One might connect Lat. *solum* ‘soil, ground’, but a formation *\*en-sol-h-* with a preverb would be hard to explain. Since no other etymology is obvious, it may well be a loanword from an unknown language. The same language may be the source for the Celtic and Greek words, which also contain *n* and *s*.

Bibl.: WH I: 707, EM 319, IEW 878f.

**inter** ‘among, between’ [prep.] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *interior* ‘inner, internal’ (Ter.+), *intrā* [prep., adv.] ‘within, inside’ (Pl.+), *intrō* [adv.] ‘inside’ (Pl.+); *intrāre* ‘to enter’ (Pl.+); *interātim* ‘interim’ (Pl.+), *intereā(d)* ‘in the meantime’ (Andr.+), *interim* ‘meanwhile’ (Pl.+); *intimus* ‘inmost, closest’ (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*nter* ‘between’, *\*ntero-* ‘interior’, *\*ntamo-*. It. cognates: Ven. **a(n)tra** ‘within’; O. **anter** prep. + acc. ‘between’, + abl. ‘within’; as preverb O. **anter-**, **ander-**, U. **anter-**; *\*nter* ‘between’.

PIE *\*h<sub>1</sub>(e)nter* [adv.] ‘between’, *\*h<sub>1</sub>(e)n-tero-* ‘situated within’, *\*h<sub>1</sub>(e)n-tmHo-* ‘innermost’. IE cognates: Olr. *eter*, OW *ithr*, Co. *ynter*, *yntre*, Bret. *etre* ‘between’ < PCl. *\*enter*; Skt. *antār*, OAv. *aṅtarā*, YAv. *aṅtarā* ‘between, within’ < *\*enter*, Skt. *ántara-*, YAv. *aṅtara-* ‘interior’ < *\*entero-*; Skt. *ántama-*, YAv. *antāma-* ‘most intimate’; Gr. ἔντερα [pl.] ‘intestines’, Arm. *ənderk*; RuCS *jatro* ‘liver, (pl.) entrails’, SCr. *jětra* ‘liver’ < PSl. *\*jětrō* < *\*h<sub>1</sub>en-tr-om*; Olc. *iðrar* [pl.] ‘intestines’ < PGm. *\*énþerōz*, Go. *undaurni-mat* ‘midday meal’, OIc. *undorn* ‘before midday’, OHG *untorn* ‘midday’ < *\*nþurná-* < *\*ntrnó-*, OE OS *undern* ‘before midday’ < *\*ntrnó-*; Go. *undar*, OHG *untar* ‘between’ < PGm. *\*undér* < *\*ntér*; OPr. *instran* ‘fat’ < *\*n-s-tro-*, Lith. *įščios* [f.pl.] ‘womb, entrails, interior’, Latv. *iekšas* ‘entrails’ < *\*n-s-tio-*.

Lat. *inter* continues the PIE locative adverb derived from *\*h<sub>1</sub>en* ‘in’. The adj. in *\*-tero-* is continued in the original abl.sg. forms *intrā* and *intrō*, and in *inter-ior*. The sup. *intimus* is also inherited from PIE. The n. of *\*h<sub>1</sub>en-tero-* was apparently lexicalised to ‘entrails’ in PIE already.

Bibl.: WH I: 708-712, EM 312f., IEW 311-314, Lejeune 1974: 331, Leumann 1977: 316, Meiser 1986: 69, Schrijver 1991: 59, Untermann 2000: 108f., Schaffner 2006b: 157f. → *in*, *intestīnus*



**interpres, -tis** ‘intermediary, agent’ [m. *t*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *interpretārī* ‘to explain, interpret’ (Pl.+), *interpretātiō* ‘explanation’ (Varro+).

PIt. *\*-pore-t-* ‘who crosses’.

PIE *\*por-o-* ‘crossing’? IE cognates: Gr. πόρος [m.] ‘passage, ford, road; means’, ἄπορος ‘with no way out, impassable’; see s.v. *portō*.

WH propose that *interpres* is a backformation to *interpretārī*, which would mean ‘to determine the mutual value’ to *pretium*. Yet in that case, one would expect *\*interpretārī*. A root *\*pret-* has been proposed for Go. *frapjan* and Lith. *pràsti* ‘to understand’, but ‘understanding’ is a secondary meaning of the Latin forms. Forms such as *super-stes* to *stāre* bring Nussbaum 2004b to the following analysis: the second member contains a *t*-stem derivative to the IE root *\*per-* ‘to come over, cross’. Hence: *\*enter-poro-* ‘going between’ >> *\*enter-pore-t-* ‘who goes between’, then syncope nom.sg. *\*enterpōress* > *\*enterpress* > *interpres*. A thematized compound *\*enter-pr-o-* may also be envisaged.

Bibl.: WH I: 710f., EM 320, LIV 1. *\*per-*. → *portō*, *pretium*

**intestīnus** ‘internal, civic, domestic’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Varro+)

Derivatives: *intestīnum* ‘intestines, guts’ (Pl.+), ‘alimentary canal’ (Lucret.+).

PIt. *\*pter-sto-* ‘internal’.

The meaning ‘intestines’ in the earlier attested *intestīnum*, and the meaning ‘domestic’ of the later adj., suggest that we are dealing with an adj. originally meaning ‘internal’. WH seem to assume a derivative in *\*-tio-* to PIE *\*entos* ‘inside’, which is possible, but not the best solution. Leumann 1977 reconstructs *\*inter-stīno-* with regular development of *\*-rst-* to *-st-*, referring to Forssman 1965. This would mean that *interstes* has restored *r*, which is unproblematic. The suffix can be reconstructed as *\*-stīno-*, which Forssman regards as the noun *\*-sth<sub>2</sub>-i-* plus the suffix *\*-no-*. Maybe more likely is *\*-sth<sub>2</sub>-o-* (based on compounds in *\*-steh<sub>2</sub>-* as in Ilr.) with subsequent replacement of the suffix *\*-o-* by *\*-īno-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 712, EM 313f., IEW 311-314, Leumann 1977: 327. → *inter*, *stō*

**invītō, -āre** ‘to entertain, invite’ [v. I] (Pl.+)

PIt. *\*wītā-je/o-* ‘to pursue’.

PIE *\*uih<sub>1</sub>-to-* ‘pursued’. IE cognates: see *invītus*.

We may posit a derived verb *\*wītāje-* ‘to pursue’, prefixed with *in-* ‘in’. Job 1999 proposes to derive *invītāre* from *\*in-wiwītāre* < *\*en-ueg<sup>wh</sup>-i/etā-*, a frequentative of the root of *voveō*. Yet the frequentatives are normally built on the ppp., but *vōtus* < *\*uoyeto-* shows *o*-vocalism.

Bibl.: WH I: 713f., EM 321, IEW 1123f., Schrijver 1991: 231, LIV *\*uejh<sub>1</sub>-*. → *invītus*

**invītus** ‘unwilling’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

PIt. *\*ḡ-wītō-* ‘unwilling’.

PIE *\*n-uih<sub>1</sub>-to-* ‘not turned to, not pursuing’. IE cognates: Skt. *vīyānti* [3p.act.] ‘they pursue’, *vītā-* ‘turned to’, YAv. *vīia-* ‘to pursue’, *vītar-* [m.] ‘pursuer’; Gr. ἔμμαι ‘to

strive after, wish'; Lith. *výti* 'to drive, pursue'.

Bibl.: WH I: 713f., EM 321, IEW 1123f., Schrijver 1991: 231, Sihler 1995: 540, LIV \**uejh<sub>1</sub>-*. → *invītō*, *via*, *vīs*

**iocus** 'joke, jest' [m. *o*] (Pl.+; also *ioca*, *-ōrum* [n.pl.])

Derivatives: *iocārī* 'to jest, joke' (Pl.+), *iocōsus* 'fond of jokes, funny' (Varro+), *ioculāris* [adj.] 'laughable' (Ter.+), *ioculārius* 'id.' (Ter.), *ioculus* 'joke' (Pl.).

Plt. \**joko-*. It. cognates: U. *iuka*, *iuku* [acc.pl.] 'words' or 'prayers' < \**iok-o-*, Pael. *iocatin* [3p.pf.] maybe 'to order, command', denom. to \**ioko-*.

PIE \**iok-o-* 'word, utterance'. IE cognates: MW *ieith*, W. *iaith*, Bret. *yezh* 'language', Mir., Molr. *icht* 'people' < PCI. \**iextV-*; OHG *jehan*, OS *gehan* 'to express, utter', OHG *jih* 'confession'. Lith. *juōkas* 'laugh, laughter, (pl.) joke(s)', Latv. *juōks* 'joke' are probably borrowings from German.

Bibl.: WH I: 715f., EM 322, IEW 503f., Schrijver 1995: 106f., Untermann 2000: 350f., LIV \**iek-*.

**ipse, ipsa, ipsum** 'himself, herself, itself' [pron. adj.] (Lex XII, Andr.+; nom.sg.m. *ipsus* Andr. to Cato)

Derivatives: *ipsissimus* 'the very same' (Pl.+).

Plt. \**so-pe-so* >> \**e(s)-pe-so*. It. cognates: O. *essuf*, *esuf*, U. *esuf* [pron.] '(he) himself' or 'there', maybe < \**eps(o) + -ōn-s*.

PIE \**soso* 'that'.

The oldest forms are compounds of inflected *is*, *es*, *id* + *-pse*, probably < \**-pe-so*, with the PIE pronoun \**so*: *eapse*, *eumpse*, *eampse*, *eāpse*. Some forms have double inflection in Plautus and other OLat. authors: *eumpsum*, *eapso*; but Jiménez Zamudio 1989: 120 argues that these forms are erroneous spellings for *eumpse*, *eōpse*. There are also a few isolated forms with \**so-* in both members: nom.sg.f. *sapsa* (Enn.Pac.), acc.sg.m. *sumpse* (Pl.). Meiser regards *-p-* as an anaptyctic consonant between two *m*'s in the acc.sg. (\**sumsum*, \**samsam*), but it may have been the particle \**-pe*: \**so-pe-so*, etc. In that way, the Sabellic forms can be connected more easily.

Thus, we may posit the following chronology: PIE \**soso* 'this' (cf. Lat. *-so*) was replaced in Plt. by \**so-pe-so* (> Lat. *sapsa*) and \**e(s)-pe-so* (> \**ispse*, *eapse*, *eumpse* etc.). Both members of this reduplicated pronoun were originally inflected. In the nom.sg.m., final \**-so* gave Lat. *-se*, medial \**-e-* was syncopated (as it was in Sab.) and initial *i-* was not recognized as a pronoun anymore. Final *-se* seems to have been generalised in the pronouns attested in Plautus, but it is doubtful whether this happened in the whole language. In post-Plautine Latin, initial *i-* spread to the other forms of the pronoun, and the inflection was restricted to the ending of the word: *ipse*, *ipsa*, *ipsum*.

Bibl.: WH I: 716f., EM 322f., IEW 281-286, Leumann 1977: 471, Jiménez Zamudio 1989, Sihler 1995: 394f., Meiser 1998: 163f., Untermann 2000: 235f. → *is*, *-pe*, *-so*

**ira** 'anger, rage' [f. *ā*] (Naev.+; Pl. <*eira*>)

Derivatives: *irācundus* 'irascible' (Pl.+), *irācundia* 'hot temper, passion' (Pl.+);

*irāscī* ‘to be(come) angry’ (Pl.+), *irātus* ‘angry’ (Pl.+).

PIt. \**eis/ra-*.

PIE \**h<sub>1</sub>eis-h<sub>2</sub>-* ‘anger’. IE cognates: Av. *aešma-* ‘wrath’, Gr. οἶμα ‘spring, rush’ < \**h<sub>1</sub>ois-mo-*; Skt. *īṣ-* ‘refreshment, strength’, ToB *aise* ‘power’.

The spelling *eira* is found in a word-play with *ēra* ‘mistress’, which might point to an (archaic) pronunciation [e:ra] of the word ‘anger’. In that case, the word must contain PIIt. \**ei*. The suffix *-cundus* is rare, but cannot be original in *irācundus*; it must have been adopted from other adj. in *-cundus*. The adj. *irātus* was formed directly from *irā*; the verb *irāscī*, on the other hand, cannot be derived from the noun, and must be a back-formation to *irātus*. It is uncertain that *ira* contains intervocalic \*-s-, and also the semantic connection between the surmised PIE root \**h<sub>1</sub>is-* ‘to urge’ and words for ‘anger’ is hardly compelling. Thus, I accept this etymology in the absence of a better one.

Bibl.: WH I: 717f., EM 323, IEW 299-301, Leumann 1977: 63f., 333, Schrijver 1991: 37, Meiser 1998: 58, LIV \**h<sub>1</sub>eish<sub>2</sub>-*.

*irritō, -āre* ‘to provoke, annoy, excite’ [v. I] (Pl.+)

PIIt. \**rīto-* ‘stirred’.

PIE \**h<sub>3</sub>riH-to-* ‘whirled, stirred’. IE cognates: MIt. *rīan* ‘river, sea’; Skt. *rīyate* ‘to flow (producing whirlpools), whirl’, *rināti* ‘to make flow, make run’; Gr. ῥίπνω, Lesb. ῥίπνω ‘to whirl’; Ru. *rějat* ‘to stream fast, flow’, *rinut* ‘to stream, flow’; OE *rið* ‘stream, brook’, OHG *rinnan* ‘to drip’.

Probably, a denominal verb from \**rīto-* ‘stirred’. A frequentative would be possible only if we assume haplogy from \**rītūtāre*.

Bibl.: WH I: 718f., EM 323, IEW 326-332, Schrijver 1991: 24, LIV \**h<sub>3</sub>reiH-*. → *rīvus*

*is, ea, id* ‘this, that’ [pron. adj.] (Lex XII, Andr.+); sg. nom.m. *is* (1x *eis*), acc.m. *im, em* (Lex XII) >> *eum*, nom.acc.n. *id*, gen.m.n.f. *eius* (= *eiius*), dat.m.n. \**eieie* > *eī* > *ei*, abl.m.n. *eōd* > *eō*, nom.f. *ea*, acc.f. *eam*, dat.f. *eae* >> *ei*, abl.f. *eād* > *eā*; pl. nom.m. *eeis* (CIL), *eī, eīs* (Pl.) > *iī, īs*, acc.m. *eōs*, nom.acc.n. *ea*, gen.m.n. *eum* (Paul. ex F., CIL) >> *ēōrum*, dat.abl.m.n. *eieis* (CIL) > *iīs*, >> *ibus* (Pl.+), nom.f. *eae*, acc.f. *eās*, gen.f. *eārum*, dat.abl.f. *eīs* (Pl.) > *iīs*, >> *eābus* (Cato)

Derivatives: *eā* ‘along that path’ (Cato+), *eō* ‘thither’ (Cato+), ‘therefore’ (Pl.+), *ideō* ‘for the reason (that)’ (Pl.+).

PIIt. nom.sg.m. \**is*, acc.sg.m. \**im*, nom.acc.sg.n. \**id*; f. \**ejo/ā-* in nom.sg.f., acc.sg.f. \**esm-* in dat.loc.sg.m.n. It. cognates: O. *izic*, U. *erek, ere, erēc, ere* [nom.sg.m.], O. *iúk, iiuk, ioc* [nom.sg.f.], *ionc*, U. *eu* [acc.sg.m.], O. *lak*, U. *eam* [acc.sg.f.], O. *idik, idik, idic*, U. *eřek* [nom.acc.sg.n.], O. *eiseis, elseis, eizeis*, U. *ererek, erer, irer* [gen.sg.m.n.], *erar* [gen.sg.f.], *esmik*, SPic. *esmik* [dat.sg.m.n.], O. *eisúd, eis[ud]*, *eizuc, eizucen*, U. *eruku, erucom* (+ *-com*) [abl.sg.m.n.], O. *eisak*, U. *erak* [abl.sg.f.], O. *elsei, esei, eizeic* [loc.sg.m.n.], SPic. *esmen, esmin* [idem + *-en*], O. *e]isai* [loc.sg.f.], *iusc* [nom.pl.m.], *eisiuss* [acc.pl.m.?], U. *eaf, eaf*, Marr. *iafc* [acc.pl.f.], O. *ioc*, U. *eu, eo* [nom.acc.pl.n.], O. *eisunc*, Marr. *esuc*, U. *eru, erom, ero* [gen.pl.m.n.], O. *eizazunc* [gen.pl.f.], *eizois* [dat.abl.pl.m.n.], *eizasc* [dat.abl.pl.f.]

'this' < stems *\*i-*, *\*eio-*, *\*eiso-*, *\*esmo-* with or without particle *\*-ke*: *\*i-* in nom.sg.m., nom.acc.sg.n.; *\*eio-* in nom.sg.f., acc.sg.pl.f.; *\*esm-* in dat.loc.sg.m.n.; *\*eiso-* in remaining case forms. Nom.acc.sg.n. *\*id-id-k(e)*, the second syllable of which spread to the nom.sg.m. and sometimes gen.sg.

PIE *\*(h<sub>1</sub>)i-* [nom.acc.sg. m.f.n.], *\*h<sub>1</sub>e-sm-/si-/i-* (elsewhere) 'he, she, it'. IE cognates: OIr. *é (hé)* 'he' < *\*e(i)s* or *\*em, ed (hed)* 'it', *sí* 'she', gen.sg.m.n. *aí, áe* 'his (one)', MW *eid-aw* 'his' < *\*esjo*; Gaul. *eiabi* [ins.pl.f.], *eianom* [gen.pl.f.] < *\*e(s)jā-*; Hit. *aši / uni / ini* 'that (one)' < *\*h<sub>1</sub>os + -i*, *\*h<sub>1</sub>om + i* (*h<sub>1</sub>o-* << *\*h<sub>1</sub>e-* according to Kloekhorst 2008), *\*h<sub>1</sub>i-*, dat.loc.sg. *edi* < *\*h<sub>1</sub>e-*; Skt. *iyām* [nom.sg.f.], *idām* [nom.acc.sg.n.], *imām* [acc.sg.m.] 'this here, he', OAv. *ī* [nom.acc.sg.n.], YAv. *īm* [nom.sg.f.], *iməm* [acc.sg.m.], OP *iyam* [nom.sg.m.f.] < *\*h<sub>1</sub>i-*; Skt. *ayām* [nom.sg.m.], *ásmāi* [dat.sg.m./n.], *asmāt* [abl.sg.m./n.], *ásya* [gen.sg.m./n.] 'this here, he', Av. *aēm* [nom.sg.m.], OAv. *aiiām* [nom.sg.m.], *ahmāi* [dat.sg.m.], *ahmāt* [abl.sg.m.]; *ahiiā*, *axiiācā* [gen.sg.m./n.], YAv. *ahe* [gen.sg.m./n.] < PIE *\*h<sub>1</sub>e-*; Gr. (Cypr.) *iv* 'eum, eam', Gr. *μiv, viv*; Lith. *jis* 'he', *ji* 'she', OCS *i* 'that, he, who', *ja* 'she', *je* 'it' < PIE *\*(h<sub>1</sub>)i-(o)-*; Go. *is* 'he', acc.sg. *ina*, n. *ita*, acc.sg.f. *ija*, OHG *er, ir* [nom.sg.m.].

In Latin, the stem *\*i-* survives in *is*, *id*, and archaic *im*, *em*, whereas *\*ei-* from the plural and from gen.sg. has become the basis for the other case forms. Beekes (1995: 203) explains nom.sg.m. *is* < *\*es*, with unstressed development of the vowel. This is possible, but not compelling. Gen.sg. *\*esjo[s]* has been used as a new stem for the whole pronoun. The gen. *eius* itself is difficult to explain. In Sabellic, we find remains of PIE *\*e-sm-* in the oblique case forms, the partial spread of *\*eis-* and also of *\*ejo-*.

Bibl.: Sommer 1914: 417-420, WH I: 399f., 720f., EM 323f., IEW 281-286, Leumann 1977: 466f., Sihler 1995: 391f., van der Staaij 1995: 112-123, Schrijver 1997b: 51-70, Meiser 1998: 159-161, Untermann 2000: 355-358. → *-ce*, *-de*, *-dem*, *ibī*, *īdem*, *ipse*, *iste*, *ita*

**iste, ista, istud** 'that of yours' [pron., pron. adj.] (Naev.+); gen.sg. *istiūs*, dat.sg. *isti*, but Pl.Cato also gen.sg.m. *istī*, dat.sg.f. *istae*

Derivatives: *istic*, *-aec*, *-uc* [pron. adj.] 'that of yours' (Pl.+); *istīc* 'over there' (Pl.+), *istīnc* 'from over there' (Pl.+), *istō* (Pl.+), *istōc* (Pl.+), *istūc* (Pl.+) 'to where you are', *istorsum* 'in your direction' (Ter.).

Plt. *\*es-to-*. It. cognates: U. *estu* [acc.sg.m.], Presam. *estam* [acc.sg.f.], U. *este*, *este* [acc.sg.n.], SPic. *estas* [nom.pl.f.?], U. *estu*, *esto*, *estac* [acc.pl.n.] 'this' < *\*i/es-to-*; n. *\*es-ti-d*.

IE cognates: see s.v. *is* and *so-*.

Latin *iste* and Sab. *\*esto-* may go back to the same preform *\*es-to-* if Latin has replaced *\*es-* by *is-*. The first element might be the same *\*es-* found in the oblique case forms of *\*e-/i-*, dat. *\*esmōi*, etc. The second element can be identified with the PIE pronoun *\*so-/\*to-* (see Lat. *so-*). The asigmatic nom.sg.m. *\*so* was apparently replaced (maybe already in Italo-Celtic) by *\*to*. It has been proposed that, alternatively, this may have been the uninflected particle *\*-te*, but since all Italic

languages show inflection (unlike with *\*-ke*), this seems less likely.

Bibl.: WH I: 721f, EM 324, IEW 281-286, Leumann 1977: 470, van der Staaij 1995: 137, Sihler 1995: 394, Meiser 1998: 163, Untermann 2000: 236f. → *is, so-*

**ita** 'in the same way as, thus' [adv.] (Lex XII+)

Derivatives: *itaque* 'in consequence, so' (Pl.+), *item* 'in the same way' (Pl.+), *itidem* 'in the same way' (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*i-to-*. It. cognates: U. **itek** [adv.] 'thus, as said' < *\*itei + -k(e)*.

*Itidem* < *\*ita-dim*. Theoretically, *ita* may represent *\*itā* with iambic shortening, but *item* and *itidem* cannot have *\*itā*. When we compare Skt. *iti* 'in this manner', Lith. *it* [adv.] 'just like, in a manner of speaking' < *\*h<sub>1</sub>iti* (?), Skt. *itthā*, *itthād* 'here, there', Av. *ipā* 'thus', Lat. *ita* could reflect PIE *\*h<sub>1</sub>ith<sub>2</sub>*. Alternatively, we may compare *-ta* with the second element of *is-te*, and regard it as the original nom.acc.pl.n. *\*teh<sub>2</sub>* 'those', which acquired short *\*-a* as the other neuters, and was used as acc. of extension: 'in this respect' > 'in this way'. In origin, then, *ita* could go back to a compound pronoun from PIE *\*h<sub>1</sub>i* 'it' and *\*to-* 'that'.

Bibl.: WH I: 722f, EM 324, IEW 281-286, Leumann 1977: 92, Schrijver 1991: 80, Untermann 2000: 349f. → *is, iste*

**iter, itineris** 'journey, route, road' [n. *r/n*] (Naev.+; variants: nom.acc.sg. *itiner* Pl.+; gen.sg. *iteris* Naev.+; abl.sg. *iterę* Acc.+)

Plt. *\*eitor*, *\*iten(o)s*?

PIE *\*h<sub>1</sub>éi-tr*, gen.sg. *\*h<sub>1</sub>i-tén-s*, loc.sg. *\*h<sub>1</sub>i-tén* 'way, journey'. IE cognates: Hit. *itar* [n.] 'way' (< *\*h<sub>1</sub>éi-tr*, *\*h<sub>1</sub>i-tén-s*? cf. Rieken 1999: 374-377); YAv. *pairiθna-* 'due lifetime' (< PIIr. *\*pari-itna-* < PIE *\*h<sub>1</sub>it-n-o-*); ToB *ytārye* 'road, way', ToA *ytār* 'road, way' < *\*h<sub>1</sub>i-tōr*.

The nom.sg. in *-er* seems to point to *\*-ēr*, which would be unique. Lat. *\*/aser/* 'blood' (if this is the right reconstruction) would be the only other *r/n*-stem in *-er*, but its IE cognates point to a proterodynamic neuter. For *iter*, Tocharian seems to continue a collective in *\*-ōr*, but Hittite *-t- /d/* may be best explained by assuming an original PD paradigm. For Latin, one could assume that the nom.acc.sg. *iter* replaces earlier *\*itur* < *\*itor* << *\*h<sub>1</sub>éi-tr*. Klingenschmitt and Meiser assume a paradigm with nom.sg. *\*h<sub>1</sub>i-tēr*, gen.sg. *\*h<sub>1</sub>i-tn-és*, which would be unique for a n. noun. The loc.sg. *\*h<sub>1</sub>i-tén* which Meiser 1998 assumes to have served as the basis for *itin-eris*, is consistent with either kind of paradigm. The syllable *\*-en-* can be the source for the analogical *-e-* in the nom.acc. *iter*. Willi 2004: 326 assumes that the oblique ending *-neris* was adopted as such from the neuter abstracts in *-nus*, *-neris* such as *facinus* 'crime'. Obviously, there is no perfect model for such a replacement, but it seems the best explanation for *itineris* so far.

Bibl.: WH I: 408, EM 197, IEW 294f., Klingenschmitt 1992: 18, Meiser 1998: 142, LIV *\*h<sub>1</sub>ei-*. → *eō*

**iterum** 'again, for the second time' [adv.] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *iterāre* 'to repeat' (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*itero-* ‘the other’.

PIE *\*(h<sub>1</sub>)i-tero-*. IE cognates: Skt. *ítara-* ‘the other (of the two), another’.

Bibl.: WH I: 723f., EM 325, IEW 281<sub>4</sub>-286, Sihler 1995: 429. → *cēterus, is*

**iuba** ‘mane; plume’ [f. *ā*] (Enn.+)

Derivatives: *iubātus* ‘having a mane’ (Naev.+).

PIt. *\*jubā-*.

PIE *\*(H)iud<sup>h</sup>-h<sub>2</sub>-* ‘that moves, moveables’.

WH assume that *iuba* was derived from the root of *iubēre* as ‘moving to and fro’, Leumann 1977 ‘shaking’. This is rejected by EM, but since a better etymology is absent, we may accept it for the time being: *\*iub-a* ‘that moves (to and fro)’ > ‘mane, plume’.

Bibl.: WH I: 724, EM 325, IEW 51 If., Leumann 1977: 279, LIV *\*H<sub>1</sub>jeud<sup>h</sup>-*. → *iubeō*

**iubar, -aris** ‘the first light of day; brightness’ [n. *r*] (Enn.+; 1x *iubār* Enn.)

PIt. *\*dju-faos, dju-fās-*.

PIE *\*diu-b<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>2</sub>-es-* ‘having/bringing the light of daytime’. IE cognates: OIr. *bán* ‘white’; Skt. *bhās-* [n.] ‘light, radiance, gleam, glow’ < *\*b<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>2</sub>-s-*, *bhāsas-* [n.] ‘splendour’, maybe HLuw. *pihas-* ‘splendor, might’ < *\*b<sup>h</sup>ēh<sub>2</sub>-o-*; Skt. *bhāti*, YAv. *fra-uuāiti* ‘to shine’; Gr. φάε ‘lighted up, appeared’, φαίνω ‘to shine, reveal’.

The *-a-* in gen.sg. *iubaris* must have been adopted from the nom.acc.sg.; conversely, the *-r-* in the nom.acc.sg. must have originated in the oblique case forms. Dunkel 1997 summarizes the earlier proposals to see in *iubar* a compound of *\*diu-* with the root *\*b<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>2</sub>-*, and gives a convincing etymological analysis. Since it is a n. noun, the original cp. *iubar* must originally have been an epithet to a n. head noun, but which one is uncertain.

Bibl.: WH I: 724, EM 325, IEW 104, 184-87, Leumann 1977: 84, Dunkel 1997, LIV 1. *\*b<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>2</sub>-*. → *deus, Iūpiter*

**iubeō** ‘to order’ [v. II; pf. *iussī*, ppp. *iussum*; SCBac. *ioub-*, *ious-*] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *iussus*, *-ūs* ‘bidding, command’ (Pl.+), *iniussū* [adv.] ‘without orders’ (Cato+).

PIt. *\*jouþ-eje/o-*.

PIE *\*Hioud<sup>h</sup>-eie/o-* ‘to cause to move’. IE cognates: Skt. pr. *yúdhya-* ‘to fight’, *yodha-* ‘to rebel’, caus. *yodháyati*, ppp. *yuddhá-* ‘conquered’, *yúdh-* [f.] ‘fight’, YAv. *yūidiia-* ‘to fight’, *aspāiiaoda-* [m.] ‘horse warrior’; Gr. ὕσμινη (< Gr. *\*husmó-*) [f.] ‘battle, fight’; Lith. *judūs* ‘belligerent’; Lith. *judėti* ‘to move (intr.)’; ToA *yutk-* ‘to care for’ < *\*jeud<sup>h</sup>-ske-*.

The oldest pr. form *ioub-* matches the reconstructed PIE causative type. It has been replaced by *iub-* on the model of the short vowel in the pf. and ppp. The pf. *ious-* has been replaced by *ius-* on the model of the ppp.; but OLat. *ious-* itself must be secondary for *\*iub-s-*, the expected *s*-perfect to a secondary verb stem. The Latin meaning has developed from ‘to cause to move’ > ‘order’.

Bibl.: WH I: 724f., EM 325, IEW 51 If., Meiser 1998: 209, LIV *\*H<sub>1</sub>jeud<sup>h</sup>-*.

**iūbilō, -āre** ‘to let out whoops’ [v. I] (Varro+)

Derivatives: *iūgere* ‘to utter its natural cry’: *milvi dicuntur cum vocem emittunt* (Paul. ex F.).

Plt. \**iū*.

PIE \**iū*. IE cognates: Gr. *ὠ* ‘interjection of amazement’, *ἰυγή*, *ἰυγμός* ‘crying’, *ἰύζω* (fut. *ἰύξω*) ‘to cry aloud’; MHG *jū*, *jūch* ‘exclamation of joy’ whence MHG *jūwen*, *jūwezen* ‘ju rufen, jubeln’, *jūchezen*, MoDu. *juichen* < \**jū(χ)an*, Olc. *ýla*, MoE *yowl* ‘to howl’ < \**jūljan*.

Probably, a derivative in *-bilāre* (as in *sībilare* ‘to whistle’) from an exclamation of joy \**iū*. There seems to be enough evidence to reconstruct a PIE exclamation \**iū*, even though this is obviously an onomatopoeia.

Bibl.: WH I: 725f., EM 326, IEW 514, Schrijver 1991: 75. → *sībilāre*

**iūgis** ‘constant, continuous’ [adj. *i*] (Pl.+)

Plt. \**j(o)u-gī-*.

PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>iu-g<sup>w</sup>ih<sub>3</sub>-* ‘having eternal life, living forever’. IE cognates: Av. *yauuaējī-* ‘living forever’; Gr. *ὕγις* ‘sound, healthy’; Go. *ajukduþs* ‘eternity’ < \**ajuki-dūþi-*, OE *ēce*, *æce* ‘eternal’ < \**ajuki-*.

Usually used of waters (‘everflowing’). Traditionally, *iūgis* is regarded as a derivative of *iugum* ‘yoke’, in the sense ‘connected to each other’. This etymology was rejected by Weiss 1994, since the meaning points rather to ‘continually’ from the start, and long *-ū-* is difficult to explain from *iugum*. Weiss proposes an etymology as ‘having eternal life’, a combination found in other IE languages as a compound \**h<sub>2</sub>iu-g<sup>w</sup>ih<sub>3</sub>-*. Weiss assumes that \**g<sup>w</sup>* was delabialized in PIE after \**u* (which is conceivable), and that the final \**-ī* which should have resulted from \**-iH-* was reintegrated as a short *i*-stem, since Latin had no long *ī*-stems. The long *ū* instead of *u* he explains from the introduction of the full grade \*(*H*)*ieu-* on the basis of the putative comp. and sup. containing such a full grade. Alternatively, one could derive the full grade from the paradigm of the noun \**h<sub>2</sub>i-u-*, as found in Av. *āiuu*, gen. *yaoš* < \**Hoi-u-*, gen.sg. \**Hi-eu-s*.

Bibl.: WH I: 727, EM 327, IEW 508-510, Weiss 1995. → *iūs*, *iuvenis*

**iuncus** ‘reed, rush’ [m. *o*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *iunceus* ‘of/like rushes’ (Pl.+), *iuncētum* ‘bed of rushes’ (Varro); *ēiuncidus* ‘soft like a rush’ (Varro+); *iūnipelirus*, *-ī* [f.] ‘juniper-berry; juniper-tree’ (Cato+).

Plt. \**joiniko-*.

IE cognates: Mlr. *ain* ‘reeds, rushes’ (< Olr. \**oīn* < \**ioini-*); Olc. *einir*, Swed. *en* ‘juniper’ if from \**jainia-*.

According to WH, *iuncus* derives from \**ioini-* by means of the common *ko-* suffix. It developed from \**ioiniko-* > \**ioinko-* > \**iūnko-* > *iuncus*. The stem \**ioi-ni-* gives the impression of being non-IE. If *iūniperus* was derived from the same stem, we must explain why syncope took place in *iuncus* but not in *iūniperus*. One would expect the reverse, if anything: retention in \**ioiniko-*, syncope in \**ioini-pVro-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 729f., EM 328, IEW 513.

**iungō, -ere** ‘to put in the yoke, join’ [v. III] (Pl.+; *iūnxī, iūnctum*)

Derivatives: (1) *adiungere* ‘to join, combine, attach’ (Pl.+), *coniungere* ‘to connect’ (Pl.+), *coniunctiō* ‘joining, union’ (Varro+), *coniugulus* [adj.] ‘name of a species of myrtle’ (Cato+), *dēiungere* ‘to unyoke’ (Varro+), *dī(s)iungere* ‘to separate, unyoke’ (Pl.+), *sēiungere* ‘to separate, exclude’ (Lucr.+), *subiungere* ‘to harness, attach’ (Varro+); *iunctus, -ūs* ‘the joining’ (Varro); (2) *coniu(n)x, -gis* ‘husband, wife’ (Pl.+), *coniugium* ‘marriage’ (Ter.+), *coniugālis* ‘marital’ (Varro+); *sēiugis* [adj.] ‘separate’ (Paul. *ex F.*); *iniugēs* ‘bovēs quī sub iugō non fuerint’ (Paul. *ex F.*); (3) *iugus* [adj.] ‘combined together’ (Cato+), *bīgae* [f.pl.] ‘pair of horses’ (Enn.+), *biugus* ‘yoked in pairs’ (Lucr.+), *quadriugus* ‘drawn by four horses’ (Enn.+), *quadriga* ‘a chariot with its team of four horses’ (Pl.+), *quadrigārius* ‘charioteer’ (Varro+); (4) *iūgera, -um* [n.pl.] ‘a measure of land’ (Cato+; sg. *iūgerum* Varro+); (5) *iugum* ‘yoke’ (Pl.+), *lora subiugia* ‘yoke-straps’ (Cato+); *iugāre* ‘to fasten’ (Laev.+), *iugātiō* ‘the training of vines along crossbeams’ (Varro+), *abiugāre* ‘to separate’ (Pac.), *adiugāre* ‘to attach’ (Pac.+), *dēiugāre* ‘to disconnect’ (Pac.); (6) *iugulum* (also *-us*) ‘throat’ (Pl.+), *iugulae* [f.pl.] ‘name for part of the constellation of Orion’ (Pl.+), *iugulāre* ‘to kill by cutting the throat’ (Pl.+); (7) *iuges, -etis* [adj.] ‘relating to yoked animals’ (said of *auspicium*) (Cic., Paul. *ex F.*); (8) *iūmentum* ‘beast of burden’ (Pl.+; Forum cippus *iouxmenta*), *adiūmentum* ‘assistance’ (Pl.+); (9) *iug(u)mentum* ‘lintel’ (Cato+).

Plt. *\*jung-e/o-*, *\*jug-*, *\*jug-o-*, *\*joug-es-*, *\*joug-s-mn-to-*.

PIE \*(H)iunégti, \*(H)iungénti ‘to yoke’; \*(H)iugo- [n.] ‘yoke’, \*(H)ieug-os- [n.] ‘yoked animals, team’. IE cognates: (1) Skt. pr. *yundjmi* [1s.], *yūkté* [3s.med.] ‘to yoke’, YAv. *yunjinti* [3p.pr.], Gr. ζεύνομι, Lith. *jūgti*, 3s. *jūngia* ‘to tie, join, yoke’, Latv. *jūgt*. (2) Hit. *iūk-*, <sup>(GIS)</sup>*iuka-* [n.] ‘yoke, pair’ < \*iéug-, \*iugo-, Hit. *iuga-* ‘yearling’, *tāiuga-* ‘two-year-old’ < \*iugos, gen.sg. of *iūk-*. Since Hit. *iūk-* was only thematized to *iuka-* within the Hittite period, the other IE words that reflect \*iugom might be due to a post-IE thematization (Rieken 1999: 61f.). Skt. *yūj-* [m.] ‘yoke-fellow, ally, associate’, Gr. ἄζυξ ‘not yoked’ (4) Gr. ζεύγος [n.] ‘team’, ἄζυγῆς ‘unbound’, MHG *jiuch* [n.] ‘a morgen of land’, OHG *jūhhart* ‘a measure of land’, Go. *jukuzi* [f.] ‘yoke, servitude’, OE *gycer* ‘yoke’ (< \*jukizi-) (5) OIr. *cuing* [f.] ‘yoke’ (< \*kom-jung-i); OW *iou* ‘yoke’, MW *iau, yeu*, Co. *ieu*, Bret. *yeu, ieo* < PCl. \*jugo-; Skt. *yugá-* [n.] ‘yoke, team, race, tribe’, *prá-ūga-* (< \*prá-yuga-) [n.] ‘the fore part of the shafts of a chariot’, YAv. *yuiiō.samī* (< \*yugō.s-) [nom.du.] ‘yoke and (wooden) pin’, MP *jury*, MoP *jury*, Gr. ζυγόν, Arm. *lowc*, Lith. *jūngas*, Latv. *jūgs*, OCS *igo*, Ru. *igo* ‘yoke’ < PSI. \*jēgo; Go. *juk* ‘pair’, OHG *joh*, OIc. *ok* ‘yoke’; (8) Gr. ζεύγμα ‘what is used for joining, bridge of boats, canal-lock’.

(1): PIE nasal present \*iu-n-g-, thematicized in Latin; (2) prepositional compounds with the root \*iug-; (3) o-stem derivative \*iug-o- ‘yoked’, with numerals \*dwi-iug-o- etc.; (4) s-stem PIE \*ieug-e/os- ‘yoked animals, team’; in Latin, it shifted to indicate the amount of land which a team of oxen could plough in a day; (5) PIE n. o-stem ‘yoke’, with derived verbs; (6) probably ‘small yoke’, ‘connecting part’ > ‘throat’; (7) the t-stem is uncertain; the attested form *iuges* might also belong to the adj. *iugis* (cf. 2); (8) Probably, \*ieug-s-mn-to- is the earlier remake of PIE \*ieug-mn ‘yoking’; (9) is the more recent, productive deverbal derivative in *-mentum*.



Bibl.: WH I: 726-730, EM 326-328, IEW 508-510, Stüber 2002: 113f., LIV \*iēug-  
→ *iūxtā*

**Iūpiter, Iovis** ‘Jupiter’ [m.] (VOLat., Naev.+); nom. *Iūpiter*, later *Iuppiter*, also *Iouis* (Enn., Acc.+); acc.sg. *Diouem* [Praeneste], *Iouem*; gen. *Diouos*; *Iovos*, *Diovo* [Praeneste, Norba], *Diouis*, *Iouis*; dat. *Diovei* [Falerii, Mesagne], *Iovei* [Praeneste, Spoleto], *Iouī*, abl. *Ioue*; nom.pl. *Iouēs* (Cic.)

Derivatives: *Diesptr* [nom. Praeneste], *Diespiter*, *-tris* (Pl., Var.+), *Dispiter* (Paul. ex F.) ‘father Jupiter’; *Vēdiovis*, *Vēiovis* ‘an ancient deity, considered to be an underworld counterpart of Jupiter’, *Vēdius* ‘name of a Roman gens’ (Sen.+).

Plt. nom. \**djous*, acc. \**d(i)jēm*, gen. \**dīwos*, dat. \**djowei* >> Plt. (1) nom. \**dijēs*, acc. \**dijēm*, (2) nom. \**djous*, acc. \**djowem*, gen. \**djowes*, dat. \**djowei*. Sab. adj. \**djow-jo-* ‘of Jupiter’. It. cognates: (1) O. δωφης, *lúveis*, *zoves* [gen.], Mars. *ioue*, O. διοφει, ζοφη, ιουφη, *diúvei*, *iúvei*, *iuvei*, U. *iuve*, *iue* [dat.], U. *di*, *dei* [voc.] ‘Zeus’ < gen. \**djoweis*, dat. \**djowei*, voc. \**dijē* (and \**djou* in *iupater*). O. *dipatir* [nom.], Presam. διποτερες, Marr. *ioues patres*, u. *iuvip(atres?)* [gen.], U. *iuepatre*, *iue patre* [dat.], *iupater* [voc.] ‘Iuppiter’. Possibly Presam. *iioφουι* /*Iowijōi* (in Tortora AI), but the ending does not fit. (2) U. *iuvie*, *iuvl*, *ioui*, *iouie*, Vol. *iouio*, O. διοφουι [dat.sg.m.], U. *ioui* [acc.sg.m.], U. *iuviu*, *iouiu* [abl.sg.m.], *iouie*, *iouie* [voc.sg.m.], Pael. *iouiois*, Mars. *iouies* [dat.pl.m.], Marr. *iouia* [nom.sg.f.], O. διοφουας, Marr. *iouias* [gen.sg.f.], U. *iuvie*, *iouie* [dat.sg.f.], O. *iúvīia* [acc.sg.f.], U. *iouia* [voc.sg.f.], O. *diuvia* [s [nom.pl.f.], *iúvīais* [acc.pl.f.] ‘belonging to Iuppiter’ < \**djou-io-*, from the obl. stem of *Iuppiter*, *Iovis*; O. *diíviiai* [dat.sg.f.] ‘of Iuppiter’ (? for \**diúvīiai*?).

PIE nom. \**diēus*, voc. \**dieu*, acc. \**diēm* (< \**dieum*), gen. \**dīuos* (>> Plt. \**dieuos*), dat. \**dīuei*. IE cognates: OIr. *die* (*día*), OW *did* [m.] ‘day’; Hit. <sup>(d)</sup>*šīu-*, <sup>(d)</sup>*šīuna-*, Pal. *tiuna-*, Lyd. *ciw-* ‘god’ < \**diēu-*, Skt. *dyāv-* [m.f.] ‘heaven, god of the sky, Father Sky, day’ (*dyáuh* [nom.sg.], *dyàuh* [voc.sg.], *dyám / divam* [acc.sg.], *divā* [instr.sg.], *divé* [dat.sg.], *divás / dyóh* [gen.abl.sg.], *dyávi / divi* [loc.sg.]), YAv. *dīiaoš* ‘of the hell’, Mýc. dat. *di-we / diwei*, Gr. nom. Ζεύς, voc. Ζεῦ, gen. Δι(φ)ός, dat. (loc.) Δι(φ)ί, dat. also Διφεί (e. g. Διφεί-φίλος), acc. Ζῆν, since H. also Δί-α, Ζῆν-α; Arm. *tīw* ‘day(-time)’; OCS *dvždъ* ‘rain’ < PIE \**dus-diu-* ‘bad weather, rainstorm’. In combination with PIE \**ph₂tēr*: Gr. Ζεὺς πατήρ, Skt. *dyáuh pitā*.

The Latin forms *Diespiter*, *Dispiter*, U. *di*, *dei* together with the word *diēs* ‘day’ point to the generalization of a stem \**dijē-*, whereas *Iūpiter*, *Iovis* reflect Plt. \**djow-*. These can be derived from a single PIE paradigm for ‘(god of the) sky, day-light’, which phonetically split in two in Plt. and yielded two new stems with semantic specialization. Syllabic \**dij-* in the nom.acc.sg. can stem from the oblique cases (gen.sg. \**dīwos*, etc.), in which syllabic \**di-* occurred. The acc.sg. \**dijēm* led to the creation of a new nom.sg. \**dijēs* and a separate paradigm meaning ‘day’ (see Lat. *diēs*); some traces of ‘sky(-god)’ remain in Lat. *Diespiter*, U. *di*, *dei*. The acc.sg. of ‘Zeus’ was restored as \**diewm* > \**diowem* in Plt., on which the attested paradigm in Latin (*Iouis* etc.) and Sab. (\**dioueis* etc.) was built. The word \**dieu-* also occurred as a fixed combination with PIE \**ph₂tēr-* ‘father’, meaning ‘Zeus’. Both members were

originally declined, and the voc.sg. \**diēu pater* yielded Lat. *Iūpiter*, U. *iupater*. In Sab., this combination is still declined for case forms. Other case forms of \**dieu-* have been petrified in Latin *diū* and *-djus-*, see s.v.

Bibl.: WH I: 732, EM 329, IEW 183-187, Leumann 1977: 357, Sihler 1995: 339, Meiser 1998: 143f., Nussbaum 1999b, Untermann 2000: 163, 179, 182-187, Rix 2004. → *deus*, *diēs*, *diū*, *iūglans*, *pater*

**iūs, -ris** ‘broth, sauce’ [n. *r*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *iūsculum* ‘broth, soup’ (Cato).

Plt. \**jowas-* or \**jūs-*.

PIE \**i(e/o)uH-s-* ‘broth, soup’. IE cognates: Skt. *yūṣ-* ‘soup, broth’, Khot. *yūṣ* ‘id.’, Gr. ζῦμη ‘leaven, beer-yeast’ < \**iuHs-meh<sub>2</sub>-* (more difficult to connect: Gr. ζωμός [m.] ‘sauce, soup’); OPr. *juse* [f.], Lith. *jūšė* ‘broth, soup’; CS *juxa* ‘broth’, Ru. *uxá* ‘fish-soup’, Cz. *jícha* ‘liquid, sauce, (arch.) soup’ < PSl. \**jūxà* < PIE \**ie/ouH-s-*.

Whereas Skt. and Lith. point to \**iuH-s-*, Slavic requires \**ious-* < \**ieuH-s-*. Latin could have either the full grade or the zero grade. Hence, we seem to be dealing with an original *s*-stem. The appurtenance of Gr. ζῦμη is not completely certain because of the deviant meaning. The root is often analysed as \**ieu-* ‘to hold tight, fasten’, as attested in Skt. *yauti*, Lith. *jaūti*, but the semantics are remote, and the root does not contain a final laryngeal (unless, of course, ‘soup’ would be analysed as \**iu-H-*).

Bibl.: WH I: 734, EM 330, IEW 507, Schrijver 1991: 233.

**iūs, -ris** ‘law’ [n. *r*] (Lex XII+; in VOLat. inscr. *ious*)

Derivatives: *iūstus* ‘lawful, just’ (*iouestod* ‘iūstō’ Forum inscr.+; *iouistē* Paul. *ex F.*), *iūstitia* ‘justice’ (Ter.+); *iūrāre* ‘to take an oath, swear’ (Pl.+) (3s. *iouvesat* ‘swears’, Duenos inscr.), *iūrātor* ‘certain official’ (Pl.+); *abiūrāre* ‘to deny knowledge of’ (Pl.+), *adiūrāre* ‘to swear’ (Pl.+), *dēie/iūrāre* ‘to swear’ (Pl.+), *ēiū/erāre* ‘to reject under oath’, *pēiie/urāre* ‘to swear falsely’ (Pl.+), *pēiūriōsus* ‘addicted to perjury’ (Pl.), *pēiūrium* ‘perjury’ (Pl.+), *pēiūrus* ‘perjured’ (Pl.+); *iniūrātus* ‘unsworn’ (Pl.+), *iniuria* ‘unlawful conduct, injustice’ (Lex XII+), *iniūrus* ‘lawless, unjust’ (Naev.+), *iniūrius* ‘unjust’ (Naev.+); *iūr(i)gāre* ‘to quarrel’ (Pl.+), *obiūr(i)gāre* ‘to reprove’ (Pl.+), *iūrgium* ‘quarrel, dispute’ (Pl.+); *iūdex* ‘judge’ (Lex XII, Pl.+), *iūdicium* ‘legal process, trial; decision’ (Pl.+), *iūdicāre* ‘to judge, try’ (Pl.+), *iūdicātum* ‘judgement debt’ (Lex XII+), *iūdicātiō* ‘juridical power’ (CIL 1.583+).

Pit. \**jowos*, \**jowes-* ‘oath, law’, \**jowesto-* ‘just’, \**jowes-ā-je-* ‘to swear’, \**jowesago-* ‘dispute’, \**jowes-dik-* ‘judge’.

PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>oi-u*, gen.sg. \**h<sub>2</sub>i-eu-s* ‘vital force, eternity’ >> *s*-stem \**h<sub>2</sub>ieu-os*, *-es-*. IE cognates: OIr. *uisse* ‘just, right, fitting’ (< \**iu-s-t-io-*); Skt. *yós(-)* ‘of life’, Av. *yaož-dā-* [adj.] ‘possessing power (of life)’; OAv. *yaoš* ‘life, health’; Skt. *áyus-* ‘life, life span’, Av. *āiū-* [n.] ‘life, lifetime, time’ (gen.sg. OAv. *yaoš*, dat.sg. OAv. *yauuōi*, *yauuē*, YAv. *yauue*), *yauuaē-sū-* ‘thriving forever’, OAv. *yauuaē-jī-* ‘living forever’ < PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>oiu-* (gen.sg. \**h<sub>2</sub>éius* / \**h<sub>2</sub>iéus*; in compounds \**-h<sub>2</sub>iu-*); Gr. *οὐ*, Arm. *oč*, Alb. *as* ‘not’ < \**h<sub>2</sub>oiu(-k<sup>w</sup>e)*.

The noun *iūs* probably reflects an *s*-stem \**ieuos* > \**iouos* > *iūs*, with *iūstus* as a

to-derivative. The verb *iūrāre* reflects a denominative verb Plt. \**jowes-ā-je-*. The verb (*ob*)*iūrgāre*, with spellings (*ob*)*iūrigāre* in Pl., and *iūrgium*, seem to be based on a noun \**iūrago-* < \**ious-ago-* < \**ieuos-h<sub>2</sub>ǵ-o-* ‘bringing the oath’ vel sim. According to Leumann 1977: 546, the alternation between *-ierāre* and *-iūrāre* in *dē-*, *ē-*, *pē/per-ie/iūrāre* is due to contamination of *per-iūrāre* with *peierāre* ‘to worsen’ to *peius*. The noun *iūdex* has analogical *-ex* instead of *-ix*; it probably reflects \**ieuos-dik-*, or it has been formed from *iūs* plus \**dik-* after the contraction of \**iowos* > *iūs*. The Latin word goes back to a PIE *s*-stem, which apparently was derived from an ablauting *u*-stem \**h<sub>2</sub>oi-u*, gen.sg. \**h<sub>2</sub>i-eu-s*, as reflected in Av. *āiiu-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 733, EM 329, IEW 512, Leumann 1977: 96, 391, 546, Schrijver 1991: 273f., Sihler 1995: 213, 306, Dunkel 2000a: 94. → *aevum*, *iūgis*, *iuvenis*

**iuuencus** ‘young bull’ [m. o] (Lucr.+)

Derivatives: *iuuencā* ‘young cow, heifer’ (Varro+).

Plt. \**juwnko-*. It. cognates: U. *iuengar* [nom.pl.], *iveka*, *iuenga* [acc.pl.] ‘young cow’.

PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>iu-h<sub>1</sub>n-ko-* ‘young one, young animal’. IE cognates: OIr. *óac*, W. *ieuanc* ‘youth’ < PCl. \**juuanko-*, Skt. *yuvaśá-* ‘young’, Go. *jugga-laups* ‘youth’, OHG *jung*, OIc. *ungr* ‘young’.

A derivative in \*-*ko-* of the PIE word reflected in *iuvenis*. The sequence *-enc-* instead of *-inc-* is unexpected. *Iuuencus* can be explained as a Sabellism, or as influenced by *iuvenis* and *iuuentus*.

Bibl.: WH I: 735, EM 330, IEW 510f., Schrijver 1991: 321f., Meiser 1998: 81, 91, Untermann 2000: 354. → *iuvenis*

**iuuenis** ‘young man’ [m. i] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *iuuentūs* ‘youth’ (Pl.+), *iuuenta* ‘youth’ (Lab.+), *iuuentās* ‘youth’ (Lucr.+); *iūnior* ‘younger’ (Pl.+); *iūnīx*, *-īcis* ‘young cow, heifer’ (Persius Flaccus, hapax), *iūnius* [adj.] ‘the month of June’ (Enn.+), *iūnō* ‘the goddess Juno’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \**juwen-* ‘young’, \**jūniōs* ‘younger’. It. cognates: maybe U. *iouies* [dat.pl.], *iouie* [acc.pl.] ‘?’, party of able-bodied men: \**ieū-iē-*?

PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>iu-h<sub>1</sub>ēn-* ‘who possesses vital force’ > ‘young’; comp. \**h<sub>2</sub>iu-h<sub>1</sub>n-iōs*. IE cognates: Skt. *yuvan-* ‘young; young man’, YAv. *yuuānəm* [acc.sg.], *yūnqm* [gen.pl.] ‘youth’, *yōišta-* ‘youngest’; *yauua* [m.] ‘youth’; Lith. *jáunas* ‘young’, OCS *junь* < PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>iou-Hn-o-*; Go. *jund-* [f.] ‘youth’ < \**h<sub>2</sub>iu-h<sub>1</sub>n-ti-*.

The original *n*-stem \**iuwen-* was made into an *i*-stem. The *e* instead of *i* in the second syllable of *iuuenis* is probably due to *iuuentūs*, where *-e-* was phonetically retained. The comparative *iūnior* occurs beside *iuuenior*, suggesting that it represents a contraction of the latter. The abstract *iuuentūs* is clearly more original than *iuuenta* and *iuuentās*. Lat. *iūnīx* is derived from \**h<sub>2</sub>iu-Hn-ih<sub>2</sub>-* by Rix 1981: although *iūnīx* is a comparatively recent hapax, it is difficult to see how it could have arisen secondarily. Rix assumes that the Etr. counterpart *uni* of Lat. *iūnō* reflects a borrowing from Latin \**iūnī* before the suffix \*-*k-* was added. The goddess *iūnō* will then represent a different extension of the stem \**iūn-* ‘young’. The month name *iūnius* might be a derivative of f. \**iūnī-*, as Rix p. 279 proposes. Fortson 2002 connects the family name

*Iūnius* as from \**iou-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 735f., EM 331, IEW 510f., Leumann 1977: 83, Rix 1981: 274-279, Schrijver 1991: 152, 322, Meiser 1998: 155, Untermann 2000: 353. → *aevum*, *iūgis*, *iūs*, *iuvencus*

**iuvō, -āre** ‘to help, assist’ [v. I; pf. *iūvī*, ppp. *iūtum*] (Carmen Arvale+, Pl.+; CIL sb. *iouent*, also pr. *iuvere* Acc.)

Derivatives: *iūcundus* ‘agreeable’ (Pl.+); *adiuvāre* ‘to help’ (Pl.+), *dēiuvāre* ‘to refuse help to’ (Pl.); *adiūtāre* ‘to help’ (Pl.+), *adiūtābilis* ‘helpful’ (Pl.+), *adiūtor* ‘helper’ (Pl.+), *adiūtrīx* ‘female helper’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \**ijow-*.

PIE \**h<sub>1</sub>i-h<sub>1</sub>euH-(e/o-)* [pr.] ‘to help’. IE cognates: OIr. *con·oí*, *·oat* ‘to protect’; Skt. pr. *ávati*, pf. *āva* [3s.act.], *ta*-ptc. *ūta-* ‘to help, protect’, OAv. *auuāmī* [1s.pr.] ‘to help, care’, *uz-ūiθiōi* [inf.] ‘to protect’.

Pf. *iūvī* < \**iuwa-wī*, *iūtus* < \**iuwatos*. This suggests an earlier stem \**iuwa-*, as is preserved in some forms of the verb *iuvere* < Plt. \**i(j)ewa-*. Lat. *iuvere* has replaced \**iovere* by analogy with *iuvāre*. Lat. *iuvāre* may be a secondary iterative to (the predecessor of) *iuvere*, or it has been backformed from *ad-iuvāre* (cf. *pellere* – *appellāre*); in the first case, *iuvāre* has its *-u-* (for \**iovāre*) by analogy with *ad-iuvāre*. The pf.sb. forms *iūverit*, *iūverint* could be replacements of \**iūveri(n)t* on the model of *fuert* (thus Leumann), or they reflect a Plt. reduplicated *s*-present \**Hi-HieuH-es-* (as O. *didest* ‘will give’; cf. also *monerint* < \**-es-*).

Bibl.: WH I: 736f., EM 331, IEW 77-78, Leumann 1977: 596, García Ramón 1996, Meiser 1998: 184, 188, 206, Rix 1999: 520, LIV \**h<sub>1</sub>euH-*.

**iuxtā** ‘near by, equally; next to’ [adv.; prep.] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *iuxtim* [adv.] ‘in close proximity’ (Andr.+).

Plt. \**jougVsto-*.

PIE \*(H)*ieug-s-* ‘yoke’?

The preservation of the cluster *-kst-* points to syncope from \**iūgVstād*, abl.sg.f. of \**iūgVsto-*. One might posit an adj. \**ieug-s-to-* ‘yoked’ derived from \**ieug-e/os-* [n.] ‘team of animals, yoke’ which is preserved in Lat. *iūgera*. This would have to be a rather old derivative, from before the generalization of the full-grade suffix \**-es-/os-*. This hypothesis seems more likely than to posit \**iug-isto-* ‘most connected with, closest’ with the PIE superlative suffix \**-ist(H)o-*, since this suffix is not otherwise found in Latin, and also, \**iug-* is not an adjective.

Bibl.: WH I: 737, EM 328, IEW 508-510, Cowgill 1970: 125, LIV \**ięug-*. → *iungō*

## L

**labium** 'lip' [n. o] (Pl.+; mostly pl. *labia*)

Derivatives: *labia* / *labea* 'lip' (Pl.+), *labeōsus* 'thick-lipped' (Lucret.); *labrum* 'lip, brim' (Naev.), *labellum* 'lip' (Pl.+), *collabellāre* 'to make by putting lips together' (Lab.).

PIt. \**labjo-*, \**labro-*.

IE cognates: OE OFr. *lippa* 'lip' < PGm. \**lepjan-*, OLFr. *lepor*, OFr. *lepur* 'lip', OHG *lefs* < PGm. \**lep-e/os-*. Less certain: Gr. \**lob-* in λοβός [m.] 'lobe, lap, slip', πρόλοβος 'crop of birds, Adam's apple', προλόβιον 'the front part of the lobe of the ear'.

Lat. *a* in *labrum* might be due to the development \*(C)RDC > \*(C)RaDC proposed by Schrijver 1991 (cf. *magnus* < \**mǵ-no-*). Yet nominal cognates of \**lb-* 'lip' are only found in Germanic, and \**b* is a rare PIE phoneme. It is furthermore uncertain that 'lip' can be derived from the verb forms for 'to waver' (It.+Gm. \**lab-*, LIV \**lembH-* 'to hang loosely'), as IEW assumes. Hence, \**lab-* 'lip' may be a borrowing from an unknown adstrate.

Bibl.: WH I: 738, EM 333f., IEW 655-657, Schrijver 1991: 479, Sihler 1995: 146.  
→ *labō*, *lambō*

**labō, -āre** 'to stand unsteadily, waver' [v. I] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *labāscere* 'to become uncertain; dissolve' (Pl.+), *collabāscere* 'to waver at the same time' (Pl.), *labefacere* 'to make unsteady, weaken' (Ter.+), *labefactāre* 'to undermine' (Pl.+), *collabefierī* 'to collapse' (Lucret.); *lābī*, pf. *lāpsus* 'to glide, slip' (Pl.+); *lābēs, -is* 'fall (of earth), landslip; disaster' (Pl.+), *lābōsus* 'slippery' (Lucret.), *lābundus* 'gliding' (Acc.), *lapsus, -ūs* 'the gliding, falling' (Andr.+); *collābī* 'to slip, collapse' (Pl.+), *dēlābī* 'to slip down, drop' (Varro+), *dīlābī* 'to flow away, perish' (Naev.), *perlābī* 'to glide along, skim' (Lucret.), *prōlābī* 'to slide forwards' (Acc.+).

PIE \**lh<sub>2</sub>b-eh<sub>2</sub>-*, \**leh<sub>2</sub>b-e/o-*, \**leh<sub>2</sub>b-eh<sub>1</sub>-* 'weak'. IE cognates: OCS *slabъ*, Ru. *slábyj*, SCr. *slāb* 'weak' < PIE \**slob-(n)o-* (according to Derksen 1996: 83, Latv. *slābs*, *slābēns* 'weak', and Lith. *slābnas* (Žem.) 'weak' were borrowed from Slavic); OHG *slaf*, MoDu. *slap*.

Schrijver regards *labāre* as the regular reflex of a zero-grade of a root \*(s)lh<sub>2</sub>b-. For the assumed cognates of *labō*, a different solution is proposed by LIV: \**sleh<sub>1</sub>b-*. With some additional assumptions, this would explain all the cognates, but it cannot explain the Latin ablaut *lab-* : *lāb-*. Even if *lab-* < \**IHb-* were the oldest form within Latin, it is difficult to imagine *lāb-e/o-* as a secondary full grade to this root. There is one other way out: the meanings 'to waver' and 'to slip' are not necessarily connected. Thus, one might separate *labāre* and connect it to the Baltic and Germanic

words for 'weak'; *lābī* then remains isolated.

Bibl.: WH I: 739, EM 333f., IEW 655-657, Schrijver 1991: 163f., 179, 378f., LIV ?\*sleh<sub>1</sub>b-

**labor** 'work, labour' [m. r] (Naev.+; nom.sg. *labos* Pl.+)

Derivatives: *laborāre* 'to toil, labour, be worried' (Pl.+), *labōriōsus* 'toilsome' (Pl.+).

Often connected, albeit hesitantly, with *labō* 'to waver', under the assumption of a semantic shift from 'nearly collapsing under a load' > 'burden' > 'labour'. This seems unconvincing to me.

Bibl.: WH I: 739f., EM 334, IEW 655-657.

**lac, -tis** 'milk' [n. t] (Pl.+; nom.acc.sg. also *lacte* Pl.+, *lact* Varro, Plin.)

Derivatives: *lactēs, -ium* 'the small intestines; chitterlings' (Pl.+), *lacteus* 'of milk' (Andr.+), *lactārius* 'suckling' (Varro+), *lactāns* 'unweaned, sucking; full of milk' (Andr.+), *lactēns* 'unweaned, sucking; full of milk' (Cato+), *lactūca* 'lettuce' (Varro+).

PIt. \*(g)lagt-

PIE \*glg-t- 'milk'. IE cognates: Gr. γάλα, γάλακτος/γάλαχος [n.] 'milk' < \*glg-(t-), γαλασθηνός 'sucking milk', Arm. *kaxc'* (dial.) < \*glg-t-s, *kat'n* 'milk' < acc.sg. \*glg-t-m. The semantics of Hit. *kala(n)k-* 'to soothe, satisfy' (3s.ipv.act. *kalankaddu*, ptc. *kalankant-*) are too far removed to warrant a connection.

The *a* of \*lakt- can be explained by Schrijver's rule of a development \*CRDC > \*CRaDC. The loss of initial \*g- is explained by distance dissimilation by Meiser, whereas e.g. Sihler reconstructs the word with initial \*dl-, in which case Greek and Armenian would have undergone assimilation to the following velar. Leumann regards *lac* as a loanword from Greek \*glakt-. To my mind, the last explanation is very unlikely (Greek has a different nom.sg. form), and original \*dl- is not supported by any evidence. Thus, Lat. *lact-* goes back to \*glgt- > \*glagt-, and initial \*g- has been lost in Latin through dissimilation.

Bibl.: WH I: 741, EM 335, IEW 400f., Leumann 1977: 187, Schrijver 1991: 479f., Sihler 1995: 96, Meiser 1998: 114. → *dēlicus*

**lacer** 'mutilated' [adj. o/ā] (Lucr.+)

Derivatives: *lacerāre* 'to tear, torment, ruin' (Pl.+), *dīlacerāre* 'to tear to pieces' (Pl.+); *lacinia* 'the edge of a garment' (Pl.+); *lancināre* 'to tear in pieces' (Cat.+).

PIt. \*lak(V)-ro- 'torn, ragged', \*lank- 'to tear'.

PIE pr. \*lh<sub>2</sub>-n-k- 'to tear', adj. \*lh<sub>2</sub>k-(V)-ro-. IE cognates: Gr. [aor.] ἀπέληκα 'I have torn off' among the Cyprians (Hsch.; for \*ἀπέλακα); λακίς, -ίδος 'rent, rending; tatters of clothes', λακίζω 'to tear', λάκη 'rags' among the Cretans (Hsch.), λάκημα 'rent, piece, fragment' (pap.); Po. *lach*, Ru. *lachón* 'rag'?

(Dī)lacerāre is denominal to *lacer*, even if *lacer* is attested much later. The pr. *lancināre* looks like a contamination of a pr. \*lank- with a nasal stem \*lak-e/on- (which sometimes gave rise to verbs in -ināre), from which also *lacinia* must be derived. While Meiser 1998 reconstructs \*lh<sub>2</sub>k-ero-, Melchert 2007a: 257 suggests

that λακίς was made from an earlier noun \*lh<sub>2</sub>ki- ‘tearing’, and that *lacer* represents a derived adj. \**laki-ro-* ‘torn’.

Bibl.: WH I: 742f., EM 335, IEW 674, Schrijver 1991: 164f., Meiser 1998: 107.

**lacertus** ‘upper arm’ [m. o] (Lucil.+; also n. *lacertum*)

Derivatives: *lacertōsus* ‘muscular’ (Varro+), *lacerta* / *lacertus* ‘lizard; Spanish mackerel’ (Cic.+).

IEW proposes a connection with Gr. λόξ, λάρδην [adv.] ‘with the foot’, λάκτις, -ιος ‘pestle’ on the one hand, and Olc. *leggr* ‘lower leg, bone’, *arm-*, *hand-leggr* ‘arm’, *fōt-*, *lær-leggr* ‘calf of the leg’ (< \**lagi-*), Langob. *lagi* ‘thigh’ on the other hand. None of these connections is semantically convincing. The connection with λικερτίζειν ‘to jump, dance’ (Hsch.) is adopted by WH.

Bibl.: WH I: 743f., EM 336, IEW 673.

**laciō, -ere** ‘to entice’ [v. III(?)] (Paul. ex F. *laciō*)

Derivatives: (1) *allicere* ‘to entice, attract’ (Pl.+; pf. *-lexī*, ppp. *-lectum*), *dēlicere* ‘to lure’ (Titin.), *dēlicātus* ‘luxurious, self-indulgent’ (Pl.+), *dēliciae* [f.pl.] ‘pleasure, luxuries’ (Pl.), *ēlicere* ‘to coax, draw forth’ (Pl.+; pf. *ēlicuī*), *inlicire* (Naev.), *illicere* ‘to entice’ (Pl.+; pf. *illexī*), *illicium* ‘lure’ (Varro+), *pellicere* ‘to win over, seduce’ (Lex XII+), *prōlicere* ‘to lure forward’ (Pl.+); *lactāre* ‘to entice’ (Pl.+), *dēlectāre* ‘to charm, entice’ (Pl.+), *dēlectāmentum* ‘instrument of pleasure’ (Ter.+), *dēlectātiō* ‘source of delight’ (Ter.+), *ēlectāre* ‘to worm out (information)’ (Pl.+), *oblectāre* ‘to delight’ (Pl.+), *prōlectāre* ‘to induce to do’ (Pl.+), *sublectāre* ‘to coax’ (Pl.); *laccessere* ‘to challenge, provoke’ (Pl.+; pf. *-īvī*, ppp. *-ītum*); *lax* ‘deceit’ (Paul. ex F. ), *illex* ‘who attracts’ (Pl.+); *ēlecebra* ‘a means of wheedling something out of a person’ (Pl.+), *illecebra* ‘enticement’ (Pl.+), *illecebrōsus* ‘enticing’ (Pl.), *pellecebra* ‘decoy’ (Pl.); *pellācia* ‘seductiveness’ (Lucr.+); (2) *colliciae* [f.pl.] ‘gutter’ (Vitr.+; *-qu-* Col.), *colliciāris* ‘designed for making gulleys’ (Cato), *dēlicia* ‘corner beam supporting a roof’ (Vitr.+); *ēlix, -cis* [m.] ‘furrow in a corn field for draining off water’ (Ov.+); *sublica* ‘wooden stake or pile’ (Naev.+), *sublicius* ‘supported on wooden piles’ (esp. of bridges) (Varro+).

Plt. \**lak-i-*, aor. \**lak-s-*, ppp. \**lak-to-* ‘to draw, pull’. It. cognates: maybe Ven. **lag[sto]** [3s.pret.] ‘?offered’; O. **kellaked** as 3s.pf. \**ke-le-lak-ed* in the analysis of Untermann 2002: 492f.

WH connect \**lak-* to the root \**lak*’- of *laqueus* ‘loop, rope’. Whereas \**k<sup>w</sup>t* > *ct* and \**k<sup>w</sup>s* > *x* are unproblematic, the supposed development \**k<sup>w</sup>i* > *ci* (in *laciō*, *dēliciae*) is uncertain; one would rather expect *-qui-*, as in *reliquium*. Similarly, one would expect \**laquessere* and *-lequebra*. Therefore, we must assume a Plt. stem \**lak-*. It is possible to connect this with *lacer* ‘torn, tearing’ if *laciō* originally meant ‘to draw, attract’. Note that the simplex verb is only attested by Paulus ex F., and hence likely to be a nonce form. The others are all compounds, in which *-lak-* may simply have meant ‘to draw’. The technical words *collic-*, *dēlic-* and *ēlix* are usually derived from *liqueō* ‘to liquify’. None of them is attested before CLat, except *colliciāris*. EM ascribe the spellings with *-c-* instead of *-qu-* to analogy with adjectives of the type *ēlicius*. It

seems uncertain to me that they derive from *liqu-* at all: they may also be derived from *laciō*. Formally this is easier because of *-c-*, and semantically it is more straightforward: gutters, corner-beans and furrows are not made for ‘making something liquid’, but for ‘draining’, thus ‘drawing away’, rain and other liquids.

Bibl.: WH I: 336, 744f., EM 168, 346f., IEW 673f., Lejeune 1974: 335, Leumann 1977: 148, 592, Schrijver 1991: 411, Meiser 2003: 115. → *dēlicus*, *laqueus*, *liqueō*

### **lacruma** ‘tear’ [f. *ā*] (Andr.+)

Variant forms: *dacrima* (Andr., Paul. *ex F.*), *lacrima* (Andr., Naev.). According to EM, the Pl. mss. often have *-uma*; TLL does not give the distribution of *-ima* and *-uma*.

PIt. *\*d(r)(k)akrunā-?*

PIE *\*drk-h<sub>2</sub>(e)kru-* ‘eye-bitter’. IE cognates: OIr. *dér*, W. *deigr* ‘tear’, Hit. *išhahru-* [n.] ‘tear(s), weeping’ < *\*s* + *\*h<sub>2</sub>ekru-?*, Skt. *ásru-*, YAv. *asrū* [pl.], Gr. *δάκρυ*, Arm. *artasuk* [pl.], Lith. *āšara*, OHG *zahar*, ToB *akrūna* [obl.pl.] ‘tear’.

Many investigators regard the word as a loanword from Greek *δάκρῶμα* / *δάκρυμα* ‘tear’. EM give the following arguments for this view: if the form were genetically related to the Greek form, i.e., PIt. *\*dakru-mn*, one would expect Latin *\*dacrumen*. The suffix *-ma* is not productive in Latin, and there are no examples of a suffixation *-u-ma* Latin. Lat. *-ma* only occurs in *flamma* < *\*flag-ma*, where it is found directly after the root, as expected from a PIE point of view (Gr. *φλογμός*). But Hamp 1972 points out that Greek *δάκρῶμα* / *δάκρυμα* itself is relatively recent (unattested before the fifth century), and it never becomes the normal word for ‘tear’ in Greek (which is *δάκρυον*). If the Latin word was inherited, the origin is still problematic: PIE *\*drk-h<sub>2</sub>(e)kru-* + *-mo-?* To explain *-ma*, Hamp 1972: 296 suggests an original n.pl. *\*dlakruna*, in which *\*n* assimilated to *u* to give *\*dlakruma*. To me, this assimilation and initial *\*dl-* seem unlikely. A good alternative would be to suppose a distant dissimilation of *\*d-n* to *\*d-m*, thus *\*dakruna* > *\*dakruma*; compare the reverse in *\*temabrae* > *tenebrae*. Obviously, this solution is speculative. For the IE words in initial *\*d-*, the solution proposed by Kortlandt 1985b is attractive: the more archaic form *\*h<sub>2</sub>ekru-* has been replaced by the compound, or perhaps syntagm, *\*drk-h<sub>2</sub>kru-* ‘eye-bitter’. The plural to this word must have been *\*(drk-)h<sub>2</sub>ekru-n-h<sub>2</sub>*, which is reflected in ToA obl.pl. *ākrunt*, ToB obl.pl. *akrūna* and Lat. *dacruma*.

Bibl.: WH I: 746, EM 336, IEW 179, Hamp 1972: 292, Kortlandt 1985b, Schrijver 1991: 98, Sihler 1995: 150, Meiser 1998: 100, LIV *\*derk-*.

### **lacus**, *-ūs* ‘lake’ [m. *u*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *lacūna* ‘hollow, pit, pond’, *lacūnar*, *-āris* [n.] ‘a panel in a panelled ceiling’ (Cic.+).

PIt. *\*laku-*.

PIE *\*lok-u-* ‘lake’. IE cognates: OIr. *loch* < PIE *\*lok-u-*; Bret. *lagen* ‘small lake’; Gr. *λάκκος* ‘pond’ < *\*lk-u-o-*, OCS *loky*, gen.sg. *lokъve* ‘puddle, pool, reservoir’ [f. *ū*], SCr. *lōkva* < *\*lok-uH-*; OE *lagu* < *\*loku-*.

Lat. *lacūnar* apparently means ‘which contains hollows’. Its meaning renders it comparable to *laqueātus*, but this will be a coincidence. The *a* in *lacus* can be



understood as the product of unrounding after a velarized [ɫ], cf. Schrijver 1991: 475 and *lanius*.

Bibl.: WH I: 747f., EM 337, IEW 653, Schrijver 1991: 475, Meiser 1998: 84. → *laqueus*

**laedō, -ere** ‘to injure, damage’ [v. III; *laesī, laesum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *ēlīdere* ‘to crush, force out’ (Pl.+), *allīdere* ‘to strike against, crush’ (Acc.+), *illīdere* ‘to injure by crushing, beat’ (Varro+).

IEW connects some Greek and Baltic words. The connection with Gr. λίστρον ‘spade, shovel’, however, is very uncertain. The Baltic words (Latv. *līst*, Is. *līdu* ‘to clear (land)’, Lith. *lūdymas, lūdīmas* ‘clearance’) have been connected with Lith. *lėisti* ‘to let’ < PIE *\*lid-* by Fraenkel 1955-1965. Hence, no certain cognates remain.

Bibl.: WH I: 749, EM 337, IEW 652.

**laetus** ‘flourishing, rich; happy’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *laetāre* ‘to gladden’ (Andr.+), *laetārī* ‘to be glad’ (Pl.+), *laetitia* ‘joy’ (Pl.+), *laetitūdō* ‘joy’ (Acc.+), *laetīscere* ‘to delight’ (Sis.+); *laetificāre* ‘to gladden, fertilize’ (Pl.+), *laeticus* ‘joyful’ (Enn.+).

Under the assumption that ‘fat, rich’ is the older meaning, WH and IEW connect *lār(i)dus* ‘bacon’ and *lārgus* ‘generous’, which would contain *\*lai-es-*; *laetus* would then be *\*lai-to-*. This is a very artificial reconstruction.

Bibl.: WH I: 750, EM 337f., IEW 652.

**laevus** ‘left’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *laeva* ‘the left hand; the left’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*laiwo-*.

PIE *\*leh<sub>2</sub>i-uo-* or *\*lh<sub>2</sub>ei-uo-* ‘left’. IE cognates: Gr. λα(φ)ός ‘left’, OCS *lěvъ*, Ru. *lěvyj*, SCr. *ljevī* ‘id.’, ToB *laiwo* ‘lassitude’ (< *\*-μeh<sub>2</sub>-*).

The original meaning may have been ‘curved’, although this can hardly be considered proven by the quotation from Servius: *laevī (sc. boves) quōrum cornua ad terram spectant*. Steinbauer (apud Stüber 2006: 68) proposes to derive *\*leh<sub>2</sub>iwo-* (if this was the original form) from the root *\*leh<sub>2</sub>-* ‘to hide’ that we find in Lat. *lateō*. The left hand would be called ‘the hidden hand’, similarly to the ‘shaded’ hand that can be reconstructed for *scaevus*. If the original meaning indeed was ‘curved’, we may look for a root *\*lh<sub>2</sub>(-i)-* that fits this meaning, but none is immediately convincing. LIV has a root *\*leih<sub>2</sub>-* ‘to stop, stop doing’, and also *\*leh<sub>2</sub>-* ‘to hide’. The PIE preform of *laevus* is reconstructed as *\*lh<sub>2</sub>eiwo-* by Schrijver 1991 on account of the accentuation of the Slavic forms (thus also Derksen 2008: 275); but for Latin, Greek and Toch., *\*leh<sub>2</sub>i-uo-* would also work.

Bibl.: WH I: 750f., EM 338, IEW 652, Schrijver 1991: 203. → *lateō, scaevus*

**lallō, -āre** ‘to sing a lullaby’ [v. I] (Persius Flaccus+)

Plt. *\*lala* (vel sim.).

IE cognates: Gr. λαλέω ‘to talk, chat, prattle’, λάλος ‘chattering’, λαλία ‘talk’; Lith.

*laluóti* ‘to babble’, NHG *lallen*.

Onomatopoeic, reduplicated imitation of a baby’s sound.

Bibl.: WH I: 752, EM 338, IEW 650f., Iseumann 1977: 182.

**lāma** ‘marshy place, bog’ [f. *ā*] (Enn.+)

IE cognates: Lith. *lomà* ‘hollow, valley, plot, lump’, Latv. *lāma* ‘hollow, pool’, SCr. *lām* (dial.) ‘knee-joint, underground passage’, Bulg. *lam* ‘pit, (dial.) quarry’.

In theory, Latv. *lāma* and Latin *lāma* may both go back to \**leh<sub>2</sub>-mo-*, but the isolated position of *lāma* and the possibility that the Baltic words derive from the root \**lem-* ‘to break’ render the connection rather uncertain.

Bibl.: WH I: 753, EM 338, IEW 653f., Schrijver 1991: 142.

**lambō, -ere** ‘to lick’ [v. III; pf. *lambī?*, ppp. *lambitum*] (Lucil.+)

Derivatives: *lamberāre* ‘to beat, defeat’ (Pl., Paul. *ex F.*)?

PIt. \**lamb-*.

PIE \**lh<sub>2</sub>-m-P-* ‘to lick’. IE cognates: Gr. λαφύσσω ‘to devour’, λάπτω ‘to lick’, λάψεν, λάψαι; Arm. *lap’el* ‘to lick; Alb. *lap* ‘to lick up water’; Lith. *lapènti* ‘to absorb greedily’ (of swines), Ru. *lópat* ‘to gobble up’, Bulg. *lapam* ‘to eat greedily’, OHG *laffan* (*luof*) ‘to lick’, *leffil* ‘spoon’, OE *lapian* ‘to drink’.

*Lamberāre* occurs in Pl. in the expression *meō mē lūdō lamberās* ‘you *lamberā-* me at my own game’ Ps. 743. In Lucil 585, *lamberat* is plq.pf. Unlike for *labium*, where one might reconstruct a (non-IE?) root \**lb-*, the correspondences seem to require a root \**lh<sub>2</sub>b-* if it is reconstructed for PIE. In fact, the word is so widespread that a PIE origin seems likely. I posit a PIE form \**lh<sub>2</sub>P-* (\**P* being any labial stop). Since the labials do not regularly correspond (\**b* in Latin and Gm., \**p* in Alb., BSl. and probably Greek, *p* in Arm.), these words probably were onomatopoeic..

Bibl.: WH I: 753f., EM 338, IEW 651, Leumann 1977: 551, Schrijver 1991: 222, Meiser 1998: 214. → *labium*

**lāmenta, -ōrum** ‘wailing, groans’ [n. *o*] (Lucret.+)

Derivatives: *lāmenta* ‘wailing’ (Pac.), *lāmentārī* ‘to (be)wail, lament’ (Pl.+), *lāmentātiō* ‘wailing’ (Pl.+), *lāmentārius* ‘dealing in lamentation’ (Pl.); *lātrāre* ‘to bark, bay (of dogs)’ (Pl.+), *lātrātus, -ūs* ‘barking’ (Acc.+), *oblātrātrīx* ‘female yapper, shrew’ (Pl.).

PIt. \**lāmnto-* ‘howling’, \**lātro-* ‘barking’.

PIE \**leh<sub>2</sub>-mn-to-* ‘howling, crying’, \**leh<sub>2</sub>-tro-* ‘barking’. IE cognates: Skt. *rāyati* ‘barks’, YAv. *gāṽrō.raiiant-* ‘shouting songs’ (if from PIE \**l-*); Arm. *lam* ‘to weep, bewail’; Lith. *lōti*, 1s. *lōju*, OCS *lajati*, 1s. *lajō* ‘to bark, scold’; Go. *lailoun* ‘they scolded’. Uncertain is Gr. λαίειν ‘to resound’ (Hsch.).

Derived from a verb \**lā(-je)-* ‘to wail’. Since Lat. *lām-* can reflect \**lasm-*, Schrijver argues that *lāmentum* can either be connected with IE reflexes of PIE \**leh<sub>1</sub>-* ‘to wail, weep’ (as PIE \**lh<sub>1</sub>-s-m-*) or with PIE \**leh<sub>2</sub>-* ‘to bark, howl’ (as \**leh<sub>2</sub>-m-* or \**lh<sub>2</sub>-s-m-*). Since there is no indication for an \*-s- having been part of this formation, I prefer the

connection with the other IE words as \*leh<sub>2</sub>-mn-to-. The same root has also yielded Lat. *lātrāre*, as a derivative of \**lātro*- ‘barking’.

Bibl.: WH I: 754f., EM 339, 344, IEW 650f., Schrijver 1991: 142, 170f., LIV 1.\*leh<sub>2</sub>-.

**lāmīna** ‘thin sheet of metal’ [f. *ā*] (Pl.+; also *lammina*, *lamna*)

PIt. \**stlāmen*-?

The only serious etymology offered is a connection with *lātus* ‘wide’ < \**stlātos*.

Bibl.: WH I: 755, EM 339, IEW 1018f. → *lātus*?

**lāna** ‘wool’ [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *lānāris* ‘woolly’ (Varro), *lānārius* ‘wool-’ (Pl.+), *lāneus* ‘woollen’ (Pl.+); *lānitia* ‘wool (as an article)’ (Lab.), *lānūgō*, *-inis* ‘down, first hair’ (Pac.+); *lānificium* ‘the working of wool’ (Pl.+), *lāniger* ‘wool-bearing’ (Enn.+).

PIt. \**wlānā*-.

PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>ulh<sub>1</sub>-neh<sub>2</sub>*- ‘wool’ (lit. ‘plucked material’). IE cognates: OIr. *olann*, W. *gwlan*, Hit. *hulana*-, CLuw. \**hulana/i*- [c.], Hit. *hulija*- ‘wool’; Skt. *ūrṇā*-, Av. *varānā*- ‘wool’, Gr. λῆνος [n.] (<< \**h<sub>2</sub>ulh<sub>1</sub>-neh<sub>2</sub>*-, or < \**h<sub>2</sub>ulh<sub>1</sub>-no*-), OPr. *wilna* ‘skirt’, Lith. *vilna* ‘wool’, OCS *vlbna*, Ru. *vólna* (dial.) / *volná* (dial.), SCr. *vūna*, Go. *wulla*, OHG *wolla*, OIc. *ull* ‘wool’.

The connection of *lāna* with *vellus* and *vellō* was rejected by Schrijver 1991, but is retained by Meiser 1998. According to Kloekhorst 2008: 357f., the appurtenance of the Hittite words is uncertain.

Bibl.: WH I: 756f., EM 339, IEW 1139, Schrijver 1991: 179-181, Sihler 1995: 103, Meiser 1998: 111. → *vellō*

**langueō** ‘to be sluggish or faint’ [v. II] (Acc.+)

Derivatives: *languor* ‘faintness, exhaustion’ (Pl.+), *languescere* ‘to grow weak, fall ill’ (Lucr.+), *languidus* ‘faint, exhausted’ (Acc.+).

PIt. \*(s)*lāng-u*-.

PIE \**sl-n-ĝ-u*- ‘weak, faint’? IE cognates: Skt. *ślakṣṇá*- ‘slippery, meagre, thin’ (if from \**slakṣ*-); Gr. λαγῖον ‘to release’, λαγῖος ‘slack, emaciated, thin’, λάγανον ‘thin cake’, λάγνος ‘lascivious, voluptuous’, \*λαγος (\*λάξ) ‘slack, thin’ in λαγόνες pl. f. (m.) ‘the hollows on the side, the flanks’, λαγός ‘hare’ (< \*λαγ(ο)-ω[υσ]-ός ‘with slack ears’); λαγγόζω ‘to slacken’; OIc. *slakr*, OS *slac*, OE *slæc* ‘weak, floppy’, MLG *lak*, ToA *slākkār* ‘sad’, ToB *slakkare* ‘darting, tremulous’.

The basis was probably an adj. \**lang-u(o)*- ‘faint, weak’, a nasalized variant of the root \**lag-* found in *laxus*. The IE forms are reconstructed either as \**sl(-n-)g-* (e.g. LIV), or as \**slh<sub>2</sub>g-* (by Schrijver 1991). Latin \**lag-* can be explained via Schrijver’s rule \**RDC* > \**RaDC* in *laxus* < \**lagso-* < \**slĝ-so-*. In \**langu-*, *-a-* may have arisen phonetically in front of three consonants in \**slnĝw-* (if \**u* counted as a consonant), or it was analogically adopted from \**lag-*. This would mean *e-* or *o-* grade for the Skt. adj., *o-* grade for Gm. Tocharian needs \**slHĝ-*, but the meaning differs, especially that of ToB *slakkare*. It is not certainly cognate.

Bibl.: WH I: 758f., EM 340, IEW 959f., Schrijver 1991: 165, LIV \**ste*<sup>(ĝ)</sup>-. → *laxus*

**lanius** ‘butcher’ [m. *o*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *laniārium* ‘butcher’s shop’ (Varro), *laniēna* ‘id.’ (Pl.+), *laniēnus* ‘of a butcher’ (Varro), *laniāre* ‘to wound savagely, cut up’ (Quad.+); *lanista* ‘trainer of gladiators’ (Cic.+).

Plt. \**lanio-* ‘breaker’.

PIE \**h<sub>3</sub>lomH-io-*. IE cognates: OIr. *ro-laimethar* ‘to dare’ < \**lamje/o-* < \**lmH-je-*, W. *llafasu* ‘id.’, Co. *lauasos* ‘to be allowed’; Gr. *ωλεμέες* ‘without pause’ < \**h<sub>3</sub>lem-?*; Lith. *lėmti* ‘to decide, determine’, OCS *lomiti*, Ru. *lomít* ‘to break’; OHG *lam* ‘lame’ < \**lom(H)-*.

Leumann explains the suffix of *laniēna* from dissimilation of \**lani-īna*, whereas WH regard it as Etruscan. Since *lanista* is explained as Etruscan by the Romans, WH regard the whole word family *lani-* as Etruscan. This seems somewhat rash to me. A connection with \**lem(H)-* ‘to break’ is semantically attractive, viz. *lanius* as ‘the breaker (of bones)’, cf. Dutch *beenhouwer* ‘butcher’. A development \**lomio-* > \**lanio-* can be regarded as the same unrounding after *l-* which Schrijver 1991: 475 posits for *lacus* ‘lake’. Since *m* generally has a rounding influence on a preceding vowel (cf. later \**em* > *om*), it follows that \**lomio-* became \**lonio-* before the unrounding took place.

Bibl.: WH I: 759f., EM 340, Leumann 1977: 54, Stüber 1998: 135, Schumacher 2004: 446f., LIV \**lemH-*.

**lanx, -cis** ‘metal dish, tray’ [f. *k*] (Lex XII+)

Derivatives: *lancula* ‘plate’ (Varro+).

*Lanx* is connected by WH and IEW with Greek words meaning ‘crooked, bent’ (*λοξός* ‘bent, crooked, slanted’, *λέχριος* ‘slanted’), and with Gr. *λέκος* [n.], *λέκις*, *λεκάνη* ‘dish, pot, pan’. A meaning ‘crooked’ is not immediately convincing for ‘plate’ (one would rather expect ‘flat’), and the Latin vocalism is difficult to explain (though not impossible). EM’s explanation of a Mediterranean loanword for the object ‘plate’ seems more likely.

Bibl.: WH I: 761, EM 340, IEW 307-309.

**lapis, -dis** ‘stone, pebble’ [m. *d*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *lapideus* ‘of stone, stony’ (Pl.+), *lapidārius* ‘of stone-cutting’ (Pl.+), *lapidōsus* ‘stony’ (Varro+), *lapillus* ‘small stone’ (Varro+); *lapičida* ‘stone-cutter’ (Varro+), *lapičidīnae* [f.pl.] (Cato+), *lapidicīnae* [f.pl.] (Varro+) ‘stone-quarries’.

Plt. \**la/eped-*. It. cognates: U. *vapeře* [loc.sg.], *vapefem*, *uapefe* [acc.pl. + *-en*], *uapersus* [abl.pl.], *uapersusto* [abl.pl. + *-to*] ‘stone seat’. Uncertain: SPic. *vepetí*, *vepetín*, *vepeten*, *iepeten*, *vepetin* [loc.sg. + *-en*] ‘monument?’ < \**-eto-*.

IE cognates: Gr. *λέπας* [n.] ‘bare rock, mountain’, *λεπάς*, *-άδος* [f.] ‘limpet’, *λεπάδες* ‘molluscs which stick to rocks’ (Hsch.).

Probably a Mediterranean loanword of the structure \**lVpVd-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 761, EM 340f., IEW 678, Schrijver 1991: 486, Untermann 2000: 823f., 838.

**lapit** ‘affects’ [v. III/IV] (Pac. 1x: *lapit cor cura, aerumna cor conficit*, Paul. ex F. 1x ‘*dolore afficit*’)

It is argued by WH and IE that *lapit* may belong to Gr. λέπω ‘to peel’ and Lat. *lepidus* ‘charming’. Yet I see no obvious semantic connection between *lapit* and ‘to peel’, and formally, *lep-* and *lap-* are difficult to combine into one etymology (possibly, via unrounding of *\*lop-*). Hence, the etymology *lapit* is still unknown.

Bibl.: WH I: 762, EM 341, IEW 678, LIV \*lep-.

**laqueus** ‘loop of rope, noose, trap’ [m. o] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *laqueātus* ‘panelled (of a roof)’ (Enn.+); *ablaqueāre* ‘to loosen and weed the soil’ (Cato+), *illaqueāre* ‘to entangle’ (Pac.+).

Unlike WH and IEW, I do not regard a derivation from *laciō* ‘to entice’ as likely, because the phonetics do not fit. Also, the meaning ‘loop, noose’ does not logically derive from ‘to tear’. No other etymology.

Bibl.: WH I: 745, 748, EM 337, 341, IEW 673f.

**Lār, Lāris** ‘tutelary god’ [m. r] (Carmen Arvale, Pl.+; *Lasēs, Lasibus*)

Derivatives: *Lārentia* ‘the reputed foster-mother of Romulus and Remus’ (Varro+), *Lārentīnae* [f.pl.] ‘festival in honour of Lārentia’ (Varro), *Lārunda* ‘name of an Italian goddess’ (Varro).

It is not certain that *Lārentia* and *Lārunda* belong to *Lār*. The ablaut *lār* : *lār-* does not correspond to any productive pattern, and must have developed phonetically, or be the result of sound substitution in a loanword. A connection with *lascīvus* is theoretically possible, but not very likely. Lat. *lārua* ‘evil spirit’ (< *\*lār/s-(V)u-*) may be a derivative of *Lār*.

Bibl.: WH I: 762f., EM 341, IEW 654. → *lārua*

**lārgus** ‘generous, bountiful’ [adj. o/ā] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *largīrī* ‘to give generously’ (Pl.+), *largiter* ‘abundantly’ (Pl.+), *largitās* ‘generosity’ (Caecil.+); *largiloquus* ‘talkative’ (Pl.), *largificus* ‘bountiful’ (Pac.+), *largifluus* ‘flowing copiously’ (Lucr.); *dīlargīrī* ‘to give away freely’ (Cato+).

The long *ā* is explicitly indicated in one inscription. Since we find several lengthened forms of *\*-VrD-* in Romance (e.g. *ōrbus* ‘blind’ for *orbus*), it is not certain that the *ā* was original in Latin. This compromises the etymology given by WH and IEW. They suggest an original form *\*lajes-ago-* ‘carrying fat’ with a stem *\*laj-es-* ‘fat, bacon’ which they also perceive in *lāridus* and maybe *laetus*. Yet it is wholly uncertain that *lār-* in these words goes back to *\*lāsV-*, it is furthermore uncertain that Gr. λαρινός contains *\*lajē(s)-*, and the semantics are not compelling.

Bibl.: WH I: 764, EM 342, IEW 652.

**lāridum** ‘bacon’ [n. o] (Pl.+; Lucil.+ *lārdum*)

The etymology as *\*lajes-idos* (see s.v. *lārgus*) would normally yield *\*laerichus* (cf. Meiser 1998: 88). The Attic adj. λαρινός ‘fatted, fat’ may well be derived from λαρός

(II.) ‘delicious, sweet’, sup. λῆρότατος. Beekes (fthc.) proposes a base \*λα(ῤ)αρος or \*λα(ῤ)ερος, and a possible connection with ἀπολαύω ‘to enjoy’ < \*lh<sub>2</sub>u-. It seems likely that Latin *lāridum* is a loan from Greek \**lārinós* with suffix substitution (assimilation of nasal *n* to oral *d* because of *r*?) or from a Greek dialect form with a different suffix.

Bibl.: WH I: 764, EM 342, IEW 652. → *lārgus*

**larix, -cis** ‘larch-tree’ [f. *k*] (Vitr.+)

Loanword from an unknown language, with the frequent plant suffix \*-i/ek-. The suggestion by WH that the word continued PIE \**dr-u-* ‘tree’ in the donor language is gratuitous.

Bibl.: WH I: 765, EM 342, IEW 214-217, Leumann 1977: 375.

**lārua** ‘evil spirit, demon’ [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *lāruātus* ‘possessed by evil spirits’ (Pl.+).

PIt. \**lās-Vwa*?

Schrijver assumes that \**Cu* was realized as *Cu(w)* after a long vowel in VOLat. and OLat., and as *Cw* after a short vowel. If this is correct, *lārua* can reflect earlier \**lār/s-Vw-* or \**lār/s-w-*. A form \**lās-* could be connected with *Lār*, *Laris* ‘tutelary god’, which is quite attractive semantically.

Bibl.: WH I: 766, EM 342, IEW 654, Schrijver 1991: 294. → *Lār*

**lascīvus** ‘playful, unrestrained’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *lascīvia* ‘play, fun, wantonness’ (Pl.+), *lascīvibundus* ‘frisky’ (Pl.), *lascīvīre* ‘to frisk, play’ (Lucil.+), *lascīvolus* ‘playful’ (Laev.).

PIt. \**lasko-*.

PIE \*lh<sub>2</sub>s-ko- ‘desirous’. IE cognates: OIr. *lainn* ‘eager’ < \**lasni-* < \*lh<sub>2</sub>s-n-; Gr. λιλαιομαι ‘to desire strongly’ < \**li-las-je-*, ληνίς ‘a Bacchante’; Lith. *lokšniūs* ‘sensitive’ < \*lh<sub>2</sub>s-n-, Ru. *lāsyy* ‘greedy, eager, affectionate’ < \*lh<sub>2</sub>s-o-.

Derivative of a stem \**lasko-*, which can be regarded as the phonetic reflex of the PIE preform since Schrijver 1991. A connection with *laciō* ‘to pull’ is possible (\**lak-sko-*, thus Leumann p. 341), but is semantically less straightforward than with PIE \*lh<sub>2</sub>s- ‘to desire’.

Bibl.: WH I: 766f., EM 342, IEW 654, Leumann 1977: 190, 341, Schrijver 1991: 165f., LIV ?\*las-.

**lassus** ‘tired, weary’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *lassitūdō* ‘tiredness’ (Pl.+); *dēlassāre* ‘to tire out’ (Pl.+).

PIt. \**lasso-*.

PIE \*lh<sub>1</sub>d-to- ‘tired’. IE cognates: Gr. ληδεῖν ‘to get/be tired’ (Hsch.), Alb. *lodhem* ‘to be tired’ (< \**lēd-*), Go. *letan* ‘to let’ < \*lh<sub>1</sub>d-, *lats* ‘slow’ < \*lh<sub>1</sub>d-o-.

The PIE root is formed with a *d*-enlargement to \*lh<sub>1</sub>- ‘to let’. The reflex \**lad-to-* can be explained from \**IHC-* > \**laC-* or from \**RHDC-* > \**RaDC-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 767f., EM 342, IEW 666, Schrijver 1991: 137, 166, LIV \*leh<sub>1</sub>d-. → *lēnis*, *lētum*

**lateō** 'to hide, shelter, be hidden' [v. II; pf. *latuī*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *latēbra* 'hiding-place, lair' (Pl.+), *latēbricola* [m.] 'one who skulks in concealment' (Pl.), *latēbrōsus* 'secret, hidden' (Pl.+); *latibulāre* 'to lie in hiding' (Laev.+); *latitāre* 'to be/remain in hiding' (Pl.+); *dēlitī/ēscere* 'to go into hiding' (Pl.+), *oblitēscere* 'to become hidden' (Varro+).

PIt. \**latē-*.

PIE \*lh<sub>2</sub>-to- 'hidden'. IE cognates: Gr. λανθάνω, λήθω, aor. λαθεῖν 'to be hidden', λάθρη, -ᾱ 'secretly' < \*(e)h<sub>2</sub>-d<sup>h</sup>-; λήτο□/ λήτο 'he forgot' (Hsch.) < \*leh<sub>2</sub>-; OCS *lajati*, OCz. *lákati*, USorb. *takać* 'to lie in wait for'.

*Latēre* represents a stative verb in \*-ē- derived from \**latos* 'hidden'. Since the -e- of *latebra* was short (see Serbat 1975: 62f.), it is best explained by analogy with *tenebrae*; if the noun were deverbal, one would expect \**latēbra*.

Bibl.: WH I: 768f., EM 343, IEW 651, Schrijver 1991: 166, LIV 3.\*leh<sub>2</sub>-. → *laevus*

**later, -is** 'brick, block' [m. r] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *laterculus* 'block; small brick' (Pl.+), *latericius* 'made of brickwork' (Varro+).

If the original meaning was 'piece, part', *later* may be cognate with *latus* 'side'.

Bibl.: WH I: 769, EM 343, IEW 1018f. → *latus*

**latex, -icis** 'water, liquid' [m. k] (Acc.+)

If *latex* was borrowed from Gr. λάταξ, -αγος [f.] 'drop of wine', the suffix -αξ could have been changed to -ex to file the word with the other nouns in -ex. EM rightly remark that the semantic shift from the specific 'drop of wine' in Greek to a general 'water, liquid' in Latin seems strange. It might be conceivable in the case of a strongly metaphorical use of 'drop of wine' for 'water'. Note that the use of *latex* is mainly poetic.

Bibl.: WH I: 770, EM 343, IEW 654f.

**lātus** 'broad, wide' [adj. o/ā] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *lātitudō* 'breadth, broadness' (Cato+); *dīlātāre* 'to make wider, spread' (Varro+); *stlatta* 'large cargo-boat' (Gel., Paul. ex F.), *stlātārius* 'conveyed by a *stlatta*' (Enn.+).

PIt. \**slāto-*.

IE cognates: OIr. *con-slá\** 'to go away'.

WH and Meiser 1998 posit a form \*stlH-to- 'spread' to Gr. στέλλω 'to prepare, dispatch', OCS *stlati* 'to spread', but this root is now reconstructed without a final laryngeal (LIV: \**stel-* 'to place'). Alternatively, one could connect *lātus* with PCl. \**slā-* 'to go away' (< pr. \**slā-(ie/o)-*) as attested in OIr. *con-slá*. In that case, the spelling *stla-* in Latin could be interpreted as in the case of *līs* 'dispute' (see s.v.),

where *\*sl-* yielded *l-* but was retained as *stl-* in archaisms. Latin *\*slāto-* would have developed from 'going apart, spreading' to 'wide'.

Bibl.: WH I: 772, EM 344, IEW 1018f., Meiser 1998: 112, Schumacher 2004: 587f.  
→ *latus*

**latus, -eris** 'side, flank' [n. *r*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *laterālis* 'of the side of the body' (Lucil.+), *latusculum* 'a side' (Lucr.+), *laterāmen* 'pottery' (Lucr.).

An original *s*-stem to a root *\*lat-*. No good etymology available. Semantically, it is attractive to connect OIr. *sliss*, W. *ystlys* 'side' (< *\*s(t)lissu-*), maybe also W. *llys-*, Bret. *lez-* 'half, somewhat', if Schrijver 1995: 439 is correct in deriving these from lenited *\*hlissu-*. But while the Celtic forms could go back to *\*s(t)lt-tu-*, such a preform would give *\*s(t)oltu-* in Latin. Lat. *latus* might be derived from a preform *\*lot-os-* (cf. *lacus*, *lanius* < *\*lo-*), but this would still be an irregular ablaut form next to PCl. *\*stlt-*. Another alternative is to derive *latus* from *lateō* 'to be hidden', if *latus* originally referred to the 'hidden' (invisible) part of a body (cf. the discussion s.v. *laevus*). The *s*-stems were marginally productive still in a more recent period of the prehistory of Latin, cf. *pondus*, *-eris* to *pendeō*, and maybe *vellus*, *-eris* to *vellō* (Stüber 2002: 57).

Bibl.: WH I: 772, EM 344, IEW 1018f., Schrijver 1991: 486, Untermann 2000: 827.  
→ *later*

**laus, -dis** 'praise' [f. *d*] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *laudāre* 'to praise' (Naev.+); *allaudāre* 'id.' (Pl.), *allaudābilis* 'praiseworthy' (Pl.+), *collaudāre* 'to eulogize' (Naev.+).

PIt. *\*lau(V)d-*.

IE cognates: Go. *awiliuþ* 'song of praise', OHG *liod*, OE *lēoþ* [n.] 'song', OIc. *ljóð* 'strophe, song' < PIE *\*leu-t-?*; Go. *liuþon* 'to sing', *awiliudon* 'to sing praise', OHG *liudōn*, OE *lēoþian*, OIc. *ljóða* 'to sing'.

The only connection put forward is with Gm. *\*leuþ-a-* 'song' < *\*leu-t-*. If cognate at all, *laus* must reflect *\*lou-Vd<sup>th</sup>-*, cf. Schrijver. Vine 2006a: 238, who assumes *\*ou* > *\*au* in PIE pretonic position, sketches a possible scenario: PIE *\*lou-ó-* 'praising' > PIIt. *\*lawo-* could have given rise to a *d*-stem *\*law-ed-* 'song of praise' (or analogically changed a stem *\*lóu-ed-* to *\*lawed-*).

Bibl.: WH I: 776, EM 346, IEW 683, Schrijver 1991: 444.

**lavō, -āre** 'to wash, bathe' [v. I; pf. *lāvī*, ppp. *lautus, lōtus* (Pl.+), *lavātum*] (Naev.+); from OLat. onwards, pr. *lavere* 'to wash (sth./so.)' beside *lavāre* 'to wash oneself'

Derivatives: *lātrina* 'washing-place' (Varro+), *lavātiō* 'washing' (Pl.+), *lavandāria, -ōrum* [n.pl.] 'dirty linen' (Lab. apud Gel.), *lābrum* 'large basin' (Cato+), *lavābrum* 'bath-tub' (Lucr.), *lābellum* 'bowl, basin' (Cato+); *lōtium* 'urine' (Cato+), *lōtiolentus* 'defiled with urine' (Titin.); *abluere* (*abluī, ablūtum*) 'to wash off, cleanse' (Pac.+), *abluvium* 'inundation' (Lab.+), *dēlūbrum* 'temple, shrine' (Pl.+), *dīluere* 'to dissolve, dilute' (Pl.+), *dīluviēs* 'flood' (Lucr.), *dīluviāre* 'to flood' (Lucr.), *ēluere* 'to wash out,



wash clean' (Pl.+), *ēluācrum* 'wash-tub' (Cato), *ēlūtriāre* 'to put into a vat or bath' (Lab.+), *ēluviēs* 'the washing away' (Lucil.+), *illō/ūtus* 'unwashed, dirty' (Pl.+), *illuviēs* 'dirtiness, filth' (Pl.+), *interluere* 'to flow between; wash during' (Pl.+), *malluviae* [f.pl.] 'water in which the hands have been washed' (Fest., Paul. *ex F.*), *pelluviae* [f.pl.] 'water in which the feet are washed' (Fest., Paul. *ex F.*), *pollūbrum* 'wash-basin' (Andr.+), *prōluere* 'to wash away, wash clean' (Pl.+), *prōluviēs* 'flood' (Lucr.+).

Plt. pr. \**lowa-*, intr.pr. \**lowa-ē-*. It. cognates: U. *vutu* [3s.ipv.II], *anderuomu* [*anter* + 3s.ipv.II.ps.] 'to wash' < \**louatōd*, \**louamnōd*.

PIE \*(le-)louh<sub>3</sub>- 'to wash' [pr.], \*louh<sub>3</sub>-tro- 'wash-basin'. IE cognates: OIr. *lóathar*, Bret. *laouer* 'basin' < PCI. \**louatro-*, Gr. *λοέω*, *λούω* 'I wash', H. *λοετρόν*, Att. *λουτρόν*, Dor. *λωτρόν* 'bath' (Hsch.); Arm. *loganam* 'to bathe', *lowanam* 'wash', aor. *logac'e-* / *lowac'e-*; OAlb. sb. *laa* 'to wash', OIc. *lauðr* [n.] 'lye, foam', OE *lēaþor* 'soap-foam'.

The pr. *lavere* shows PIE \**louH-C-* > Plt. \**lowa-* > \**lawa-*. The same verb is also contained in the compounds in *-luere*. Meiser suggests an original reduplicated \**le-louh<sub>3</sub>-*, which seems semantically attractive. Schrijver 1991: 397 suggests that *lavāre* reflects \**lawa-ē-*, a stative verb to the tr. stem \**lawa-*. This explanation is rejected by Meiser 1998: 187 because he assumes that \**-a-ē-* contracts to *-ē-*; but the alleged evidence for this is the pr.sb. of the first conjugation, which must be explained differently than from \**-ajē-*. Also, Meiser's explanation of *lavāre* as an intensive \**louh<sub>3</sub>-éje/o-* does not explain the intr. semantics. Vine 2006a: 239 suggests that *lautus* may reflect the verbal adj. \**louh<sub>3</sub>-etó-* > \**laweto-*. For the present stems, he notes that *lavere* is very frequent in compounds in *-luere*, while *lavāre* hardly ever occurs in compounds. Vine proposes that unrounding of unaccented \**ow* took place in verbal compounds with an accented preverb of the type \**x-louh<sub>3</sub>-e/o-* > \**x-lawe/o-*. This would then be the main source of the *-a-* in *lavere* and *lavāre*. The instr. noun *lābrum* < \**lawāpro-* and *-lūbrum* < \**lowapro-* can have been formed independently in Italic or Proto-Latin; in view of \**louh<sub>1</sub>-tro-* in Celtic, Gr. and Gm., Italic probably replaced the original suffix by \**-d<sup>h</sup>ro-*. The ppp. *lautus* changed to *-lūtus* in non-initial syllable; at a more recent date, *lautus* > *lōtus*, whence e.g. *lōtium*. Here maybe also Andr. *aureo eclutro* as *lē-lūtrōl* 'bath'. See Serbat 1975: 276 for a possible analogical origin of the *-ā-* in *ēluācrum*.

Bibl.: WH I: 338, 773f., EM 168, 344f., IEW 692, Schrijver 1991: 396-398, 444-446, Meiser 1998: 85, 187, 192, Rix 1999: 519, Untermann 2000: 866f., LIV \**leuh<sub>3</sub>-*.

**laxus** 'spacious, wide, loose' [adj. *o/ā*] (Cato+)

Derivatives: *laxāre* 'to make larger; undo, relax' (Lucr.+), *collaxāre* 'to make loose' (Lucr.+), *dīlaxāre* 'to stretch apart' (Lucil.), *rēlaxāre* 'to loosen, relax' (Varro+).

Plt. \*(s)*lakso-*.

PIE \**slg-so-* 'weak, faint'. IE cognates: see *languēō*.

Schrijver's rule \**RDC* > \**RaDC* can explain *laxus* < \**lagso-* < \**slg-so-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 758, EM 348, IEW 959f., Schrijver 136f., 165, LIV \**sle(ǵ)-*. → *languēō*

**lectus** ‘bed, couch’ [m. o] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *lectulus* ‘couch, bed’ (Pl.+), *lectīca* ‘litter’ (Semp. Gracchus+); *lectisterniātor* ‘one who spreads couches’ (Pl.), *lectisternium* ‘festival with couches for the gods’ (Liv.+).

PIt. \**lexe/o-* ‘to lie down’, \**lekto/u-* ‘bed’. It. cognates: Fal. **lectet** [3s.pr.] ‘lies’ (with a stop, of analogical origin?). SPic. **veiat** ‘lies’ < \**lexjā-*.

PIE \**leg<sup>h</sup>-e/o-* [pr.] ‘to lie’, \**leg<sup>h</sup>-tu-*. IE cognates: OIr. *laigid*, *laig* ‘to lie down’ < PCI. \**leg-e/o-*, Gr. λέχεται ‘sleeps’ (Hsch.), aor. λέκτο, λέξο, -λέχθαι, -λέγμενος ‘to lie down’, λέχος [n.] ‘lair, bed’, λέκτρον ‘lair, (nuptial) bed’; OCS *-ležē*, *-lego* ‘laid down’, Go. *ligan*, OIc. *liggja* ‘to lie’, ToB *lyasām* ‘lies’.

WH rightly notice that a nominal formation \**leg<sup>h</sup>-to-* ‘bed’ would be strange, but it does not seem impossible: ‘lied upon’ > ‘bed’. The form could be interpreted as a nominalized verbal adj. with regular *e*-grade in the root. WH suggest dissimilation of the second \**l* in a preform \**leg<sup>h</sup>-tlo-*, but Gr. λέκτρον and the root-inherent *l-* would rather have us expect \**leg<sup>h</sup>-tro-*. An alternative solution is to posit a *tu*-stem \**leg<sup>h</sup>-tu-* ‘the lying’, which could have switched to the *o*-stem inflection by the time of Plautus.

Bibl.: WH I: 777f., EM 348, IEW 658f., Giacomelli 1963: 249, Schumacher 2004: 448, LIV \**leg<sup>h</sup>-*.

**legō, -ere** ‘to gather, collect; to read’ [v. III; pf. *lēgī*, ppp. *lēctum*] (Lex XII+)

Derivatives: *lēctor* ‘reader’ (Sis.+); *legiō* ‘legion, group of supporters’ (Naev.+); *legulus* ‘a picker’ (Cato+); *dentilegus* ‘who collects teeth’ (Pl.), *sacrilegus* ‘who robs sacred property, sacrilegious person’ (Pl.+), *sortilegus* ‘soothsayer’ (Varro+); *legūmen* ‘leguminous plant, pulse’ (Varro+); *ablegmina* ‘partes extōrum quae dīs immolābant’ (Paul. ex F.); *colligere* ‘to gather, collect’ (Naev.+), *dēligere* ‘to pick off, pick out’ (Pl.+), *dīligere* ‘to love, hold dear’ (Pl.+), *dīliger* ‘carefully’ (Pl.+), *dīligentia* ‘carefulness’ (Pl.+), *dīlectus, -ūs* ‘recruitment, selection’ (Varro+), *ēligere* ‘to select’ (Pl.+), *ēlectilis* ‘of special quality’ (Pl.+), *ēlegāns* ‘careful, delicate’ (Pl.+), *ēlegantia* ‘choosiness, refinement’ (Pl.+), *intellegere* ‘to understand’ (Pl.+), *intellegentia* ‘keenness’ (Ter.+), *neglegere* ‘to ignore, neglect’ (Pl.+), *neglegentia* ‘carelessness’ (Pl.+), *neglectus, -ūs* ‘neglect’ (Ter.+), *per//legere* ‘to attract; read over’ (Lex XII+), *praeligāneus* ‘made from fruit picked before the crop’ (Cato), *relegere* ‘to pick up again’ (Cato+), *sēligere* ‘to weed out, select’ (Enn.+), *sublegere* ‘to steal away, appoint’ (Pl.+), *trānslegere* ‘to read out to so. else’ (Pl.),.

PIt. \**leg-e-*, aor. \**lēg-*, ppp. \**leg-to-*, \**(-)leg-ā-*. It. cognates: Pael. *lexe*, Marr. *leexe //lēxel* [2p.pr.], *pelegie[* [2s.ipv.?] ‘to read?’, but the endings remain unexplained. Possibly O. **leginum** [acc.sg.], **leginei** [dat.sg.] maybe ‘host’.

PIE pr. \**leg<sup>h</sup>-e/o-* ‘to collect’, ppp. \**leg<sup>h</sup>-to-*. IE cognates: Gr. λέγω, aor. ἔλεξα ‘to collect, count; speak’, λόγος [m.] ‘account, word’; Alb. *mb-ledh* ‘to collect’. Possibly, also OIr. *legaid*, *lega\** ‘to melt (tr. and intr.), destroy, extinguish’ < \**leg-ā-*, MW *dilein* ‘to destroy, chase away’ < \**dī-leg-nā-*, *go-leith* ‘to fear, avoid’ < \**yo-leg-tV-*; MW *lleith* ‘wet, moist’ < \**leg-to-*. The evidence for a separate PIE root ‘to trickle’ (thus LIV) is extremely weak, whereas one might envisage a shift from ‘to collect’ to ‘gather’ (intr.) = ‘melt, dissolve’ on the one hand and (with preverbs) ‘destroy’ and

‘fear’ on the other.

A connection of *intel-legere* and *neg-legere* with Gr. ἀλέγω ‘to heed’ is problematic, see Schrijver 1991: 22. The *-e-* in *-legere* shows that these are relatively recent compounds, which were probably formed with *legere* ‘to collect’. As for *diligere*, I see no semantic need to connect it with any other verb than *legō* ‘to collect’. Long *ē* in *lēctus* is probably the result of Lachmann’s Law. All compounded verbs contain *\*-legere* except for *ēlegāns* which betrays a verb *\*ex-legāre* (of the type *oc-cupāre*). The semantic shift probably went from ‘gather, collect’ (also in *\*disligere* ‘to pick out’ > ‘love’) to ‘watch out for, care for’ (*neglegere*), ‘concentrate on’ (*intellegere*) and finally to ‘read’. A similar shift took place in Greek. *Legūmen* can be interpreted as a plant ‘to be picked’.

Bibl.: WH I: 351-353, 397, 780, EM 348-350, IEW 658, Schrijver 1991: 22, Untermann 2000: 429f., Rix 2002a: 78, Schumacher 2004: 449, LIV *\*leg-*. → *lēx*, *lignum*

**lemurēs, -um** ‘evil spirits of the dead’ [m.pl. *r*] (Hor.+)

Derivatives: *lemurī* (Varro apud Non.) ‘lemurēs’, *Lemūria, -ōrum* [n.pl.] ‘festival to appease the spirits of the dead’ (Ov.).

The long *ū* in Ovid can be explained as metrical lengthening in the four short syllables of *\*le-mu-ri-a* (Leumann 1977: 115). A connection of *lemurēs* with Gr. λαμυρός ‘voracious’, λάμια [f.] ‘name of a man-eating monster, of a shark’, λάμια ‘crevices’ (Hsch.) is formally impossible, unless Latin has *\*lem-* and Gr. *\*lm-*. The further connection with Baltic and Celtic forms made by IEW (Lith. *lemóti* ‘to lick’, W. *llef*, Bret. *leñv* ‘voice, cry’) is gratuitous. In view of the meaning and the imperfect formal correspondence, it is more likely that Greek and Latin have both borrowed a non-IE (e.g. Anatolian/Etruscan) denomination for these spirits.

Bibl.: WH I: 781, EM 351, IEW 675, Schrijver 1991: 218.

**lēnis** ‘soft, mild, easy, calm, moderate’ [adj. *i*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *lēnīre* ‘to appease, mitigate’ (Pl.+), *lēnitās* ‘mildness’ (Ter.+), *lēnitūdō* ‘id.’ (Pac.+); *dēlēnīre* ‘to soothe down, bewitch’ (Pl.+), *dēlēnīmentum* ‘blandishment, consolation’ (Afran.+), *dēlēnīficus* ‘soothing’ (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*lēni-*.

PIE *\*leh<sub>1</sub>-n(o/i)-* ‘lazy, slow’. IE cognates: Lith. *lėnas*, Latv. *lēns, lēns* ‘lazy’, OCS *lěrnъ*, Ru. *ljánoj* (dial.) / *lenój* (dial.), OCz. *léný*, SCr. *lijen* ‘lazy, slow’ < BSl. *\*leǵnos* / *\*lēnos* < PIE *\*leh<sub>1</sub>-(e)no-*.

The semantic proximity of ‘soft, calm’ to ‘lazy, slow’ is close enough to retain the connection with BSl. *\*lēnos* ‘lazy’. The *i*-stem in Latin has apparently replaced an earlier *o*-stem (as in *hilaris* / *hilarus*, and *viridis* for *\*viridus*). It is also possible that *lēnis* was built on an original *n*-stem *\*leh<sub>1</sub>-n-*, since the BSl. hesitation between acute and circumflex may be explained in this way: *\*leh<sub>1</sub>-n-* yielded an acute root, but *\*leh<sub>1</sub>-e/on-* a circumflex.

Bibl.: WH I: 782f., EM 351, IEW 666, Leumann 1977: 347, Schrijver 1991: 125, Derksen 1996: 227f., LIV *\*leh<sub>1</sub>-*. → *lassus*, *lētum*

**lēnō** ‘brothel-keeper’ [m. *n*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *lēna* [f.] ‘brothel-keeper’ (Pl.+); *lēnōnius* ‘of a pander’ (Pl.+), *lēnullus* ‘pander’ (Pl.), *lēnunculus* ‘id.’ (Pl.), *lēnōqinium* ‘brothel-keeping, allurements’ (Pl.+).

WH and Leumann 1977: 284f. regard *lēna* as older than *lēnō*, but the texts give no such hint, and derivationally it is unconvincing. Several interpretations are possible. The vacillating suffixes could represent different remodellings of an original *n*-stem, for instance *\*lēn-* ‘who lets’ < *\*leh<sub>1</sub>-ōn-, -on-, -n-*. This stem could be identical to the possible *n*-stem identified as the source for the adj. *lēnis* ‘calm’, BSl. *\*lēno-* ‘lazy’.

Bibl.: WH I: 782, EM 351. → *lēnis*

**lēns, -endis** ‘nit’ [f. *d*] (Plinius+)

PIt. *\*dlind-*?

PIE *\*d̥k(o)n-i-d-* ‘nit’. IE cognates: OIr. *sned* [f.], W. *nedd, nedden, Co. nedhen* [sing.], Bret. *nez, nezenn* < PCl. *\*snidā-* ‘nit’; Gr. *κοῦδες* ‘eggs of lice, nits’ [f.pl.], Arm. *anic* ‘louse’ < *\*Hnid-* < *\*knid-*?; Alb. (Geg) *thëní* < *\*kon-id-*; Lith. *glinda*, Latv. *gnīda*, Ru. *gnida*, SCr. *gnjīda*, Sln. *gnida* ‘nit’ < BSl. *\*gni?da?* < *\*knid-* < PIE *\*knid-*; OE *hritu* [f.], OHG (*h*)*niz* ‘nit’ < *\*knid-*.

The IE cognates differ in anlaut to such an extent that the reconstruction of one PIE preform is very difficult. Gr. and Alb. reflect *\*konid-*, while Gm., Slavic, and, with some special pleading, also Armenian, reflect *\*knid-*. These two forms obviously seem related. In Baltic, we find a second nasal after *\*i*, and (probably) dissimilation of the first *\*n* to *\*l*. Like Baltic, Latin also has a postvocalic nasal, but the first nasal has disappeared. A problematic feature is the vowel *e*, since PIE *\*e* is often raised to *i* in Latin before *nC*, but *\*i* never appears to be lowered in front of nasals. Finally, Celtic *\*snid-* is comparable to Latin in that it has a dental obstruent in front of *-n* while Latin has *l*, which also has a dental point of articulation. In order to explain the Italo-Celtic forms from the stem *\*knid-*, one might assume influence from other nouns for small insects (for *lēns* one may think of PIE *\*luHs-* ‘louse’ – unattested in Italic), but, alternatively, there could also be a phonetic reason. For instance, if PIE had an initial consonant cluster, e.g. *\*dknid-*, this could have been dissimilated in various ways: Italic *\*dkni-n-d-* > *\*dklind-* > *\*dlind-* > regular *\*lind-*; Celtic *\*dknid-* > *\*dnid-* > *\*snid-*. Armenian *anic*, with irregular *an-* < *\*kn-*, may then reflect *\*dknid-* > *\*dnid-* > *\*h<sub>1</sub>nid-* > *\*anid-* (but one would expect *\*enid-*). The noun may be related with PIE *\*knid-* ‘to scratch’ as reflected in Gr. *κνίω* ‘to prick, irritate’, OIc. *hmíta* ‘to thrust’.

Bibl.: WH I: 783, EM 351, IEW 436f., 608, LIV *\*kneid-*. → *nidor*

**lēns, -tis** ‘lentil plant, lentil’ [f. (m.) *i*] (Cato+; acc.sg. *lentim*, abl.sg. *lentī*, nom.pl. *lentīs*)

IE cognates: Gr. *λάθυρος* ‘pulse, chickling’, OCS *lešta*, Ru. *ljač*, OHG *linsa* ‘lentil’. The similarity between Slavic, Gm. and Latin seems too great to be coincidental, but a common preform cannot be reconstructed. Like other agricultural terms, ‘lentil’ may have been borrowed from a non-IE language in Europe.

Bibl.: WH I: 783, EM 351.

**lentus** ‘pliant, flexible; tough; sticky; slow’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *lentēre* ‘to proceed slowly’ (Lucil.).

PIt. \**lento-* ‘soft’.

PIE \**lent-o-* ‘soft, smooth, pliant’. IE cognates: Lith. *lentà* ‘board, plank’ < \**lent-*; Ru. *lut* (dial.) ‘bast, bark of a linden’, Ru. *lutá* (dial.) ‘linden’, Cz. *lut* (dial.) ‘bast’, Po. *łęt* (dial.) ‘twig, switch’ < PSl. \**łotъ* < \**lont-*; OHG *lindi*, ODan. *lind* ‘soft, mild’, OIc. *linnr* ‘snake’ < PGM. \**lenþa-*; OIc. *lind* f. ‘linden, linden-wood’, OE *lind(e)*, OHG *linta*, *lintea*, *linda* (because of the soft wood of this tree).

Bibl.: WH I: 784, EM 351f., IEW 677.

**lepōs, -ōris** ‘charm, grace’ [m. *r*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *lepidus* ‘charming’ (Pl.+), *illeepidus* ‘lacking grace’ (Pl.+), *perlepidē* ‘very charmingly’ (Pl.); maybe *lapit* ‘causes pain or grief’ (Pacuv. and Paul. *ex F.*).

PIt. \**lep-i-þo-* ‘peeled; charming?’; \**lop-i-* ‘to peel’?

PIE \**lep-os-* [n.] and/or \**le/op-i-* ‘peel, rind’. IE cognates: Gr. *λέπω* ‘to peel’, *λεπτός* ‘peeled, unveiled; thin, delicate’, *λεπίς*, *λοπίς* ‘rind, peel’, *λοπός* [m.] ‘scale, rind’, *λεπρός* ‘scaly, coarse’; Alb. *lapë* ‘rag, leaf; Lith. *lāpas* ‘leaf’, Latv. *lapa* ‘leaf’, Ru. *lépest* ‘petal’; Lith. *lepūs* ‘weak, soft’.

These words disappear from usage after Cicero. Whether *lepōs* was the derivational basis for *lepidus*, or vice versa, is uncertain. Stüber 2002: 67 opts for the second solution because of the meanings: The rare form *lapit* could only belong here if from \**lapit* (cf. *lanius* maybe from \**lomio-*). The semantics could then be interpreted as ‘peels off, unveils’ > ‘affects, damages’. The semantic connection with the Gr. words is not compelling, but the change in meaning of Gr. *λεπτός* from ‘peeled’ to ‘refined’ renders the change of Lat. *lepōs* from ‘what has been peeled’ to ‘refinement’ conceivable.

Bibl.: WH I: 785, EM 352, IEW 678, Stüber 2002: 67, LIV \**lep-*.

**lepus, -oris** ‘hare’ [m. *r*] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *leporīnus* ‘of/like a hare’ (Varro+), *leporārium* ‘game-preserve for hares’ (Varro), *lepusculus* ‘small hare’ (Varro+).

According to Pliny, Gr. *λεβηρίς* ‘rabbit’ is from Massilia. This has given rise to the idea that *lepus* is an Iberian loanword in Latin, which is possible but not certain: it could also belong to the substrate in Italy itself. Not of Indo-European origin.

Bibl.: WH I: 775, 786, EM 346, 352.

**lessus** ‘funeral lamentation’ [m./n.? u/o?] (Lex XII, Cic. *lessum* [acc.sg.])

No etymology.

Bibl.: WH I: 787, EM 352.

**lētum** ‘death’ [n. *o*] (Pl.+)

PIt. \**lēto-*.

PIE \**leh<sub>1</sub>-to-* [n.]. IE cognates: OCS *lětb* ‘it is possible, allowed’ [f.], Ru. *let* (arch.) ‘it is possible, allowed’ < \**leh<sub>1</sub>-ti-*; OCS *lěto* ‘summer, year’, Ru. *lěto* ‘summer, (pl.)

age, years' < PIE \*leh<sub>1</sub>-tóm; OIc. *lād*, OE *læð* 'land', Go. *unleds* 'poor' (Schaffner 2001: 241).

May be derived from a ppp. \*leh<sub>1</sub>-to- 'let, let go', substantivized as \*leh<sub>1</sub>-to- 'letting go' > 'death'. It would then be identical in origin to Slavic \*lěto- 'summer, year' (from 'going').

Bibl.: WH I: 787, EM 352, IEW 666, Neri 2007: 32, LIV \*leh<sub>1</sub>-. → *lassus*, *lēnis*

**lēvir/laevir** 'husband's brother' [m. o (r)] (Paul. ex F.+)

Only attested in glosses, with vacillating spelling *lae-* / *le-*. The latter would allow for an interpretation as *lēvir*, with monophthongization of *ae*.

PIt. \**daiwēr*.

PIE \*deh<sub>2</sub>i-uer- 'husband's brother'. IE cognates: Skt. *devár-*, Pashto *lēvar*, Gr. δᾶήρ, Arm. *taygr*, Lith. *dieveris* / *dieveris*, Latv. *diēveris*, Ru. *déver*, SCr. *djěvēr* < BSl. \**dařīuer-*; OHG *zeihhur*, OE *tācor* 'husband's brother' < PGM. \**taikura*(-?).

Lat. *lēvir* is often regarded as a loanword from a non-urban Latin dialect, because of initial *l-* and *ē* for \**ai*. But *l-* for *d-* is also found in *lingua* and *lacrima*, so there is no compelling reason to regard this as non-urban. Final *-vir* instead of *-ver* is probably due to influence of Lat. *vir* 'man'. In theory, the PIE noun \*deh<sub>2</sub>i-uer- 'husband's brother' could be a derivative of \*deh<sub>2</sub>i- 'to distribute', but there is no obvious semantic connection.

Bibl.: WH I: 787f., EM 352, IEW 179, Leumann 1977: 68, 155, Schrijver 1991: 269.

**levis** 'light in weight, slight, weak' [adj. i] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *levitās* 'lightness' (Lucr.+), *levāre* 'to relieve, lessen, lift up' (Pl.+); *sublevāre* 'to alleviate, assist' (Varro+); *levifidus* 'untrustworthy' (Pl.), *levipēs* 'light-footed' (Var.+), *levisomnus* 'sleeping lightly' (Lucr.).

PIt. \**leχu-* >> \**leχwī-*.

PIE \*h<sub>1</sub>leg<sup>wh</sup>-u- > \*h<sub>1</sub>leg<sup>h</sup>-u- 'light'. IE cognates: OIr. *laigiú* 'lighter, poorer', MW *llei* 'less', Skt. *raghú-* 'fleet, fast', YAv. *rəuuī-* [adj.f.] 'agile, fast, quick', sup. *rəñjišta-*, Gr. ἐλαχός 'small, little', Alb. *lehtë*, Lith. *leñgvas* 'light', OCS *lęgvъ*, Ru. *lęgkij*, Go. *leihts*, OHG *liht*, OIc. *létrr*, ToB *lan̄k<sub>u</sub>tse* 'light' < PIE \*h<sub>1</sub>leng<sup>wh</sup>-to-; Gr. ἐλαφρός 'light, dexterous, fast, little', OHG *lungar* 'fast, cheerful' < \*h<sub>1</sub>lng<sup>wh</sup>-ro-.

There appear to be two PIE forms of the root, \*h<sub>1</sub>l(e)ng<sup>wh</sup>- and \*h<sub>1</sub>leg<sup>h</sup>-; Latin continues the latter one. PCI. has \**lag-u-* (cf. Schrijver 1995: 302-305), which is difficult to explain from either of these PIE forms, but seems closest to Latin *levis*. The shift from \*g<sup>wh</sup> to \*g<sup>h</sup> in (Italo-)Celtic can be due to delabialization in front of \*-u-. The nasalless root would then seem oldest, and the \*-n- found in most languages must be secondary; EIEC explained it from influence of the PIE verb \*h<sub>1</sub>leng<sup>wh</sup>- 'to move fast, easily' (Skt. *rámhate* 'hastens', OIr. *ling* 'to leap'). Like most *u*-stem adjectives, *levis* was remade into an *i*-stem in Latin.

Bibl.: WH I: 788, EM 353, IEW 660f., Sihler 1995: 163, Meiser 1998: 120.

**lēvis** 'smooth' [adj. i] (Cato+)

Derivatives: *lēvāre* 'to polish' (Varro+), *lēvāmentum* 'a means of obtaining a smooth

surface' (Varro), *lēvigāre* 'to make smooth' (Varro+), *lēvitās* 'smoothness' (Varro+), *lēvor* 'id.' (Lucr.+).

PIt. \**lēju-* >> \**lēiw-i-*.

PIE \**leh<sub>1</sub>i-u-* 'smooth'. IE cognates: Gr. *λεῖος* [adj.] 'level, smooth' < \**leh<sub>1</sub>i-u-o-*, *λίς*, gen. *λίτός* [adj.] 'smooth', [m.] 'smooth linen' < \**liH-t-*.

Following Schrijver, we may assume that *lēvis* is an old *u*-stem adj. From a preform PIE \**leh<sub>1</sub>i-u-*, we can explain the result \**lēju-* >> \**lēiw-i-* > *lēvis* by normal syllabification rules. Most of the other connections within Latin which were proposed (especially with *linō* and with *līmus*) must then be discarded; but *ob-līvīscor* 'to forget' may still be cognate.

Bibl.: WH I: 789, WM 353, IEW 662-664, Schrijver 1991: 283f. → *oblīvīscor*

**lēx, lēgis** 'law' [f. g] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *lēgāre* 'to send as an envoy, bequeath' (Lex XII+), *lēgātus* 'envoy, deputy' (Pl.+); *lēgitimus* 'legal, legitimate' (Varro+); *collēga* [m.] 'colleague, fellow' (Pl.+), *collēgium* 'guild, board' (Pl.+); *ablēgāre* 'to send away on a mission' (Pl.+), *allēgāre* 'to send as a representative, employ' (Pl.+), *allēgātus, -ūs* 'instigation' (Pl.+), *dēlēgāre* 'to appoint, assign a task to', *relēgāre* 'to banish' (Cic.+); *lēgerupa* [m.] 'law-breaker' (Pl.), *lēgerupiō* 'law-breaking' (Pl.).

PIt. \**lēg-*. It. cognates: Marr. *lixs* [nom.sg.], O. *ligud* [abl.sg.], *ligis* [abl.pl.] 'law' < \**lēg-*; maybe O. *legú* '?' if 'laws' (Weiss 1993: 22f.); maybe O. *llganakdikei* [dat.sg.] name of a goddess; O. *ligatús* [nom.pl.], *ligatúis* [dat.pl.] 'envoy', probably a loanword from Latin.

PIE \**lēg-* 'collection'?

The verb *lēgāre* and its compounds all have a meaning which involves a 'task, assignment', and can therefore be interpreted as derivatives of *lēx* 'law'. The PIt. root noun \**lēg-* 'law' can be interpreted as a 'collection' of rules. Whether the root noun existed already in PIE is uncertain for lack of precise cognates.

Bibl.: WH I: 779f., 789f., EM 350, 353f., IEW 658, Schrijver 1991: 120, Untermann 2000: 427-435; LIV \**lēg-*. → *legō*

**liber, -brī** 'bark of a tree; book' [m. o] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *libellus* 'document, pamphlet' (Pl.+), *libelliō* 'bookseller' (Varro+); maybe Paul. *ex F. 73 dēlibrātum, hoc est dēcorticātum*.

PIt. \**lufro-*.

PIE \**lub<sup>h</sup>-ro-* 'leaf, rind'. IE cognates: Olr. *luib*, Mlr. *luibh* f. 'herb', *lub-gort* 'garden', Alb. *labē* 'rind, cork' (< \**loub-*), OPr. *lubbo* 'bast, plank, shelf', Lith. *lubà*, Latv. *luba* 'plank, board', Ru. *lob* 'forehead, brow', gen.sg. *lba*, Cz. *leb* 'skull' < BSl. \**lub-*, Lith. *liobas* 'bast', Latv. *luobas* 'peel', Ru. *lub* 'bast' < BSl. \**loub-o-*; Go. *lubja-leis* 'who knows poisons', Olc. *lyf* [f.] 'medicinal herbs', OE *lybb* [n.] 'poison, magic' < \**lub<sup>h</sup>-*; Go. *laufs* [m.], *lauf* [n.] 'leaf, foliage', OE *leaf*, OHG *loub* [n.] < PIE \**loub<sup>h</sup>-*.

Since several IE languages show forms in \**lub<sup>h</sup>-* meaning 'bast, leaf', *liber* has been reconstructed as \**lubro-*, and compared with the vacillation in Latin between *lubet*

and *libet*, *clupeus* and *clipeus*. For *liber*, however, no form in *-u-* is attested. Still, for want of a better alternative, we may surmise that *liber* is cognate with *\*lub<sup>h</sup>-* and goes back to a PIE word or a European word 'leaf, rind'.

Bibl.: WH I: 790, EM 354, IEW 690f., Schrijver 1991: 23, LIV *\*leup-*.

**līber** 'free' [adj. *o/ā*] (Lex XII+)

Derivatives: *liberāre* 'to free, release' (Pl.+), *liberālis* 'of free men, generous' (Naev.+), *liberālitās* 'generosity' (Ter.+), *liberātor* 'one who sets free' (Pl.+); *libertās* 'freedom' (Naev.+), *libertus* [m.] 'freedman' (Pl.+), *liberta* 'freedwoman' (Pl.+), *libertīna* 'freedwoman' (Pl.+), *libertīnus* 'freedman' (Pl.+); *liberī*, *-(ōr)um* [m.pl.] 'children' (Pl.+); *Līber*, *-erī* 'Italian god of vegetation' (Andr.+).

Plt. *\*leup-ero-*. It. cognates: Ven. **louderobos** [dat.pl.m.] 'for the children', **louderai** [dat.sg.f.] 'for a daughter' (if not a PN); Fal. **loferta**, **loifirta** [nom.sg.f.] 'free woman' (substantivized adj.), **loifirtato** [gen.sg.] 'freedom'; Pael. *loufir* [nom.sg.] 'free man'; O. **lūvfreis** [gen.sg.] epithet of Iuppiter; maybe U. **vufiune**, **uofione** [dat.sg.] a deity, often explained as *\*leud<sup>h</sup>-i-ōno-* 'he of the people'.

PIE *\*h<sub>1</sub>leud<sup>h</sup>-ero-*. IE cognates: Gr. ἐλεύθερος 'free'; Lith. *liāudis* 'lower people', CS Ru. *ljud* 'people', OCS *ljudbje*, Ru. *ljúdi* [pl.] 'men, people' < IE *\*h<sub>1</sub>leud<sup>h</sup>-o-*, *-i-*, OHG *liut*, pl. *liuti*, OE *lēod* 'people'.

The spellings *loebesum* and *loebertatem* adduced by Varro and Paul. *ex F.* confirm the diphthong *-oi-* found in Faliscan, and show a phonetic change in Latino-Faliscan of *\*louβ-* > *\*loiβ-*. This can be explained as a dissimilation of *u* to *i* in front of the bilabial fricative. Leumann explains *libertus* as a backformation to *libertās* on the model of *honestus* vs. *honestās*. The BSl. and Gm. noun *\*h<sub>1</sub>leud<sup>h</sup>-* 'people' derives from a verb *\*h<sub>1</sub>leud<sup>h</sup>-* 'to grow'; the deity *Līber* shows that *\*h<sub>1</sub>leud<sup>h</sup>-* originally meant 'to grow' in Latin too. The derived adj. *\*h<sub>1</sub>leud<sup>h</sup>-ero-* originally indicated one 'belonging to the people', hence 'free' in Latin *liber* and Gr. ἐλεύθερος. The change of the pl. *liberī* to 'children' is explained by Benveniste (1969 I: 324) from legal terminology, in which the legitimate 'children' of a free couple were denominated as 'free ones'.

Bibl.: WH I: 791-793, EM 355, IEW 684f., Giacomelli 1963: 236, 249f., Benveniste 1969 I: 321-325, Lejeune 1974: 336, Leumann 1977: 61, 335, Meiser 1998: 87, Untermann 2000: 438f., 862f., LIV *\*h<sub>1</sub>leud<sup>h</sup>-*.

**libet** 'it is pleasing' [v. II (impers.), pf. *libuit / li/ubitum est*] (Pl.+; the older variant is *lubet*)

Derivatives: *libēre* 'to be willing' (Pl.), *lu/libēns* 'pleased, willing' (Andr.+; *lub-* mainly in inscr. from outside Rome), *lu/libentia* 'pleasure' (Pl.+), *Libentīna* 'title of Venus' (Varro+), *lu/libidō* [f.] 'desire, lust' (Pl.+), *libīdinitās* 'lustfulness' (Lab.); *prōlubium* 'desire' (Naev.+); *allubēscit* 'is pleasing' (Pl.+); Lat. *-libet* 'any, whichever' in *quīlibet* 'whoever/whatever you please', etc.

Plt. *\*luf-ē-* 'to desire'. It. cognates: O. *loufir* 'or' [pr.sb.impers.] < *\*loub<sup>h</sup>-ē/ī-r*.

PIE *\*lub<sup>h</sup>-eh<sub>1</sub>-* 'to please'. IE cognates: Skt. *lobháya-* 'to make crazy', pf. *lulobha* 'is in disorder', MoP *āluftan* 'to be tangled, be hit down, be in love' < Ilr. *\*l(a)ub<sup>h</sup>-*; OCS



*ljubъ* ‘sweet, pleasant’, Ru. *ljubój* ‘any, either’ < \*leub<sup>h</sup>-o-, CS *ljuby* [f.], gen.sg. *ljubovъ* ‘love’ < \*leub<sup>h</sup>-uH, OCS *ljubo*, Ru. *libo* ‘or’; OCS *ljubiti*, Ru. *ljubít* ‘to love’ < \*leub<sup>h</sup>-; Go. *liufs*, OHG *liob* [adj.] ‘dear, sweet’.

Original \*u has been unrounded between velarized *l* and *b*. The suffix of the derived noun probably was \*-ēdōn (from the v. \*lub-ē-) but it was influenced by *cupīdō*. For the semantics of O. *loufir* and Lat. *-libet* ‘any’, cf. OCS *ljubo* ‘or’. The original meaning of O. *loufir* may have been ‘is wanted, one wants’.

Bibl.: WH I: 793, EM 367, IEW 683f., Leumann 1977: 367, Meiser 1998: 80, Untermann 2000: 437, LIV \*leub<sup>h</sup>-.

**libō, -āre** ‘to pour a libation’ [v. I] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *libāmentum* ‘sacrificial offering, taste’ (Varro+), *libum* ‘sacrificial cake’ (Enn.+); *dēlibāre* ‘to remove, take a small piece from’ (Enn.+), *dēlibūtus* ‘thickly smeared, imbued’ (Pl.+).

PIt. \*leifo- / \*loifo- ‘sacrificial cake’, \*lifu- ‘greasy’.

PIE \*h<sub>2</sub>le/oib<sup>h</sup>-o- [n.], \*h<sub>2</sub>lib<sup>h</sup>-u- [adj.]. IE cognates: Gr. ἀλείφω ‘to anoint’, ἄλειφαρ ‘unguent’.

Steinbauer 1989: 120-122 regards *libāre* as an original denominal verb ‘to make a sacrificial cake’ to *libum*. It is uncertain, then, whether the noun continues \*leib- or \*loib-, with the change of \*oi > ī between velar *l* and a labial. The ppp. *dēlibūtus* has short *i*; it indirectly points to a denominal verb \*dēlibūere to an adj. \*libu- ‘greasy, dripping’. Gr. λείβω ‘to pour’ and λοιβή ‘offering’ are not cognate, but have undergone the same semantic shift(s). The root \*h<sub>2</sub>lib<sup>h</sup>- which *libum* and Gr. ἀλείφω share might be an enlarged variant of \*h<sub>2</sub>li- ‘to anoint’, which is found as \*h<sub>2</sub>liH- in Lat. *linō*.

Bibl.: WH I: 336, 794-796, EM 356, Steinbauer 1989: 120-122, Schrijver 1991: 243, Sihler 1995: 53. → *linō*

**libra** ‘pound (measure of weight) (Lex XII, Pl.+); pair of scales (Varro+)’ [f. ā] (Lex XII+)

Derivatives: *librāre* ‘to make level, balance’ (Cato+), *librārius* [adj.] ‘of a pound’s weight’ (Cato+), *libella* ‘small silver coin; plumb-line’ (Pl.+); *sēlibra* ‘half a libra’ (Cato+), *simbella* ‘a coin worth half a libella’ (Varro); *collibrāre* ‘to measure’ (Cato), *dēliberāre* ‘to consider carefully, consult’ (Pl.+); *libripēns, -ndis* ‘one who holds the balance’ (Lex XII+).

PIt. \*leibra- ‘pound’.

*Dēliberāre* must be due to influence of *liberāre* ‘to free’ on \*dē-librāre ‘to weigh’. The weight *sēlibra* was probably derived from *libra* on the model of *sēmodius* ‘half a modius’ < \*sēmi-modius. *Libella* < \*librala. *Simbella* < \*sembella < \*sēmbella < \*sēmibella, with haplology < \*sēmilibella. The Greek coin λίτρα ‘name of a Sicilian coin’ was probably borrowed from an Italic language at the stage containing \*-θr-. In theory, an etymon with the PIE suffix \*-d<sup>h</sup>ro- is possible, but the root is unidentified. Of course, a coin may well be a loanword.

Bibl.: WH I: 336, 795f., EM 168, 356, Sihler 1995: 139, Untermann 2000: 828.

**licet, licēre** ‘it is permitted’ [v. II; *licitum est*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *licēre* ‘to fetch (a price)’ (Pl.+), *licērī, licitus sum* ‘to make a bid’ (Pl.+), *licitārī* ‘to make a bid, compete’ (Pl.+), *licentia* ‘freedom, licence’ (Pl.+), *licentiātus, -ūs* ‘permission’ (Lab.); *pollicērī* ‘to promise; offer’ (Pl.+), *pollicitārī* ‘to promise (assiduously)’ (Pl.+), *pollicitātiō* ‘promise’ (Pl.+); *īlicet* ‘you may go; that’s the end!’ (Pl.+), *scīlicet* ‘obviously, to be sure’ (Pl.+), *vidēlicet* ‘evidently, of course’ (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*lik-ē-* ‘to be available, have the value of’. It. cognates: O. *līkītud, licitud* [3s.ipv.II] ‘it is permitted’ (borrowed from or calqued on Latin); maybe O. *λεικειτ* [3s.pr.?] < *\*lik-ē-ti*.

The verb *licitārī* is the frequentative to *licet*. The form *īlicet* < *īre licet* contains the infinitive plus *licet*. The original meaning of *\*lik-ē-* seems to have been ‘to be available, be offered for sale, have the value of’. The deponent is interpreted as ‘to make oneself available’, whence ‘offer, promise, make a bid’ by Nussbaum 1994: 171. There are no certain cognates of the root *\*lik-* outside Italic.

Bibl.: WH I: 679, 797, EM 356f., IEW 669, Nussbaum 1994, Untermann 2000: 434, LIV ?*\*leik-*. → *pollūceō*

**līcium** ‘thread, cord’ [n. o] (Lex XII, Lucil.+)

Derivatives: *bilix, -īcis* ‘having a double thread’ (Verg.), *trilix, -īcis* ‘having a triple thread’ (Verg.+).

Eichner 1995: 68f. interprets the form *louiquiod* on the Forum cippus as a mistaken rendering of *\*loiquiod* = *\*loik<sup>w</sup>iōd*, abl.sg. of *\*loik<sup>w</sup>io-* ‘thread’. No IE etymology.

Bibl.: WH I: 798, EM 357, IEW 307-309. → *oblīquus*

**liēn, -ēnis** ‘spleen’ [m. n] (Pl.+; nom.sg. *liēn* (Prisc.); nom.sg. *liēn, -ēnis* (Pl.) with iambic shortening)

Derivatives: *liēnōsus* ‘affected by a disorder of the spleen’ (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*liχēn-*.

PIE *\*splǵ<sup>h</sup>-ēn, -en-* ‘spleen’. IE cognates: OIr. *selg*, MBret. *felch*, Skt. *plīhán-*, Av. *spərəzan-*, Khot. *spuljei* ‘spleen’ < Iir. *\*splǵ<sup>h</sup>án-*, Gr. *σπλήν* ‘spleen’, *σπλάγγνα* ‘intestines’, Arm. *p’aycañ* ‘spleen’, Lith. *blužnis*, Latv. *liēsa* ‘id.’ < *\*lielsa*, OCS *slězena*.

Long *-ē-* is claimed by grammarians (Servius, Priscian) and said to be attested in the writer Serenus (4<sup>th</sup> century AD), but the Plautine occurrences of *lien(-)* can be read either as two shorts or as a long syllable with synizesis (M. Weiss, p.c.). In Italic, PIE *\*splǵ<sup>h</sup>-* was apparently replaced by *\*(s)liǵ<sup>h</sup>-*, maybe for reasons of taboo; but the model for introducing *-i-* is unknown.

Bibl.: Soomer 1914: 366, WH I: 799, EM 357f., IEW 987.

**lignum** ‘wood’ [n. o] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *ligneus* ‘wooden’ (Pl.+), *lignehus* ‘id.’ (Lucil.+), *lignārī* ‘to collect firewood’ (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*legno-*.

PIE *\*leg<sup>(h)</sup>-no-*.

If derived from *\*leg-* ‘to collect’, *lignum* must originally have indicated ‘wood collected for firemaking’, from the root *\*leg-* ‘to collect’. The full grade might have been adopted from the present. The phonetic change of *\*-egn-* [eɣn] > *-ign-* is regular. Ritter 2004 prefers to derive *lignum* from *\*leg<sup>h</sup>-* ‘to lie’, as ‘stray wood’. Both etymologies seem equally possible.

Bibl.: WH I: 799, EM 358, IEW 658, Sihler 1995: 208, Ritter 2004, LIV *\*leǵ-*. → *legō*

**ligō, -āre** ‘to fasten, bind’ [v. I] (Cat.+)

Derivatives: *alligāre* ‘to tie, fetter’ (Pl.+), *colligāre* ‘to tie up’ (Naev.+), *dēligāre* ‘id.’ (Pl.+), *obligāre* ‘to tie up, assign, oblige’ (Pl.+), *praeligāre* ‘to tie round, fasten’ (Pl.+), *religāre* ‘to make fast, tie out of the way’ (Andr.+), *subligāre* ‘to fasten, gird up’ (Cato+), *subligāculum* ‘sort of loin-cloth’ (Varro+); *līctor* ‘attendant to a magistrate’ (Pl.+); *religiō* ‘taboo, impediment, sanction; religious practice’ (Pl.+), *religiōsus* ‘scrupulous, devote, religious’ (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*-lig-ā-*.

PIE *\*lig-* ‘to tie’? IE cognates: Alb. *lidh* ‘to tie’.

The appurtenance of *līctor* and of *religiō* to this verb is uncertain. The fact that the simplex *ligāre* does not occur before Catullus, all the earlier verbs being compounds, suggests a basis *\*lig-e/o-* or *\*leg-e/o-*. Semantically, the root *\*lig-* ‘to tie’ is a more likely source. Rather than being a denominal verb, *\*-lig-ā-* seems to be of the factitive type (*capere* – *occupāre*). Apart from Alb. *lidh*, which can be explained in various ways, there are no certain cognates.

Bibl.: WH I: 800, EM 357f., 569, IEW 668, LIV *\*leiǵ-*.

**lilium** ‘lily’ [n. o] (Varro+)

Probably a borrowing from an (eastern) Mediterranean language, compare in the first place Gr. λείριον ‘lily, narciss’. A similar designation is Coptic *hrêri*, *hlêli* ‘lily’.

Bibl.: WH I: 801, EM 358.

**līma** ‘(carpenter’s) file’ [f. ā] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *līmāre* ‘to rub smooth, polish’ (Andr.+).

The etymology accepted by WH and IEW connects *līma* with *lēvis* ‘smooth’ and OHG *slīm* ‘mud, slime’, *slīmen* ‘to polish, rub smooth’, from a root *\*slei-*. Alternatively, it could belong to *linō* < *\*h<sub>2</sub>li-n-*, hence *\*h<sub>2</sub>le/oi-mh<sub>2</sub>-* (Seldeslachts 2001: 91). But a ‘file’ itself is not ‘smooth’, at most it ‘makes smooth’, which does not fit the morphology of *līma* < *\*-ma-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 801f., EM 358f., IEW 662-664.

**limbus** ‘ornamental border, fringe’ [m. o] (Var.+; Varro also *lembus*)

Derivatives: *limbulārius* ‘concerned with making fringes’ (Pl.).

PIE *\*lemb-o-*. IE cognates: Skt. *rāmbate* (RV), *lāmbate* ‘to hang down limply’; OE *(ge)limpan* ‘to happen, succeed’, Eng. *limp* [adj.] ‘limp’, MHG *lampen*, *slampen* ‘to hang down limply’; ToB *lyama*, ToA *lyām* ‘sat’ [v. pret.].

In view of the phoneme \*b, the very specific meaning of *limbus* and its absence from the oldest literature, the etymology remains uncertain.

Bibl.: WH I: 802f., EM 359, IEW 655-657, Schrijver 1991: 179, LIV \*lambH-.

**līmen, -inis** ‘beam in a doorframe, threshold, lintel’ [n. n] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *ēlīmināre* ‘to go outdoors, let out’ (Enn.+); *līmes, -itis* [m.] ‘boundary strip of land, boundary; road’ (Varro+), *līmitāre* ‘to define, line off’ (Varro+), *līmitāris* ‘of a boundary’ (Varro+).

Plt. \*līm-en- [n.] ‘crossbar’, \*līm-et- ‘boundary’. It. cognates: O. *līmitú[m]* [acc.sg. or gen.pl.] ‘boundary path, limit’, probably borrowed from Latin.

Derived from (the base of) the adj. *līmus* ‘transverse, oblique’, possibly \*līm-en- ‘crossbar’. *Līmes* can be from \*līm(o)-it- ‘going transverse’ (thus WH), but more likely seems a derivational analysis as \*līmo- ‘oblique’ >> \*līm-et- ‘the oblique one, boundary’.

Bibl.: WH I: 803f., EM 359, IEW 307-309. → *līmus* 2

**limpidus** ‘clear, transparent’ [adj. o/ā] (Cat.+)

Derivatives: *limpor* [m.] ‘a clear liquid’ (Lucil.).

Since *limpor* is attested earlier than *limpidus*, the latter may well have been derived from the former by a productive pattern. According to Solta 1967, who follows an earlier idea by Ernout, *limpidus* may be a dialectal (Sabellic) form in Latin, derived from a verb \*limp-ē- ‘to be liquid’ < \*li-n-k<sup>w</sup>-ē- (which Solta regards as identical with the root of *linquō* ‘to leave’, rather than from \**ulink<sup>w</sup>*-). The nasal present of ‘to be moist’ can be recognized in *pol-lingō* (see s.v.), and would then indirectly also be attested for Sabellic, viz. in *limpor*. This account seems possible; but it would presuppose that Sabellic introduced the nasal infix into the stative ē-present which Latin retains unchanged in *liqueō*, since *limp-or* is easier to derive from a pr. in -ē- than from a nasal present such as *pol-lingō*.

Bibl.: WH I: 804, EM 359, Untermann 2000: 182, Solta 1967.

**līmus 1** ‘mud, slime’ [m. o] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *līmāx, -ācis* ‘slug, snail’ [f. (m.)] (Pl.+).

Plt. \*(s)le/oimo-.

PIE \*loimo- ‘loam’ or \*sle/oh<sub>1</sub>i-mo- ‘slime, slimy’. IE cognates: OS *lēmo*, OE *lām*, OHG *leim* ‘loam’ < PGm. \**laima/ōn-*; OPr. *slayx*, Lith. *sliėkas*, Latv. *sliēka* ‘earth-worm’ < \*sloiH-k-; Latv. *sliēnas*, OCS *sliny* [nom.pl.], Ru. dial. *slina*, SCr. *slina* ‘saliva’ < \*sleh<sub>1</sub>i-n-h<sub>2</sub>; Ru. *slimák* (dial.) ‘snail, slug’ < \*sleh<sub>1</sub>i-m-; OIc. OE MHG *slīm* ‘slime’. Gr. *λαίμαξ* ‘snail’ (Hsch.) is probably (borrowed from) Latin.

Lat. *līmus* could belong to PGm. \**laima/ōn-* ‘loam’ < PIE \**loimo-* (to PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>li-* ‘to smear’) or to BSl. \**sloiH-/sleHi-*, PGm. \**slīma-* ‘slime, saliva’.

Bibl.: WH I: 802-805, EM 359, IEW 662-664, Schrijver 1991: 243, Sihler 1995: 214.

**līmus 2** ‘transverse, oblique’ [adj. o/ā] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *līmulus* ‘oblique’ (Pl.); *sublīmen* [adv.] ‘in a lofty position’ (Naev.+),

*sublīmis* ‘high, elevated’ (Naev.+; also *sublīmus* Enn.+), *sublīmāre* ‘to raise, send up’ (Enn.+).

PIt. *\*(s)līmo-*?

Latin continues *\*līmo-* ‘transverse’ and a compound *\*sub-līm-i-* ‘transverse from below upward’ with the original meaning ‘upward’ of *sub*. The adv. *sublīmen* originally occurred mainly in *sublīmen auferre* ‘to carry away aloft’ vel sim., and probably represents the acc.sg. *\*sublīmem* of the adj. None of the cognates adduced for *līmus* in IEW is convincing by its semantics, nor does the vowel always fit: Gr. λεμῶν ‘meadow’, λιμῆν ‘harbour’, Thess. ‘market’, λίμνη ‘lake’; OIc. *limr* (u) [f.], OE *lim* [n.] ‘member, twig’, OIc. *limi* [m.] ‘bundle of twigs, broom’.

Bibl.: WH I: 805, II: 618f., EM 359, 661, IEW 307-309. → *līmen*, *lituus*

**lingō, -ere** ‘to lick’ [v. III; pf. *līnxī*, ppp. *līnctum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *dēlingere* ‘to lick up, off’ (Pl.+), *sublingulō* ‘under-dish-licker’ (Pl.); *ligurrīre* ‘to lick, sponge’ (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*ling(-e/o)-*.

PIE *\*li-n-ǵ<sup>h</sup>-* [pr.] ‘to lick’. IE cognates: OIr. *ligid<sup>\*</sup>*, *lig<sup>\*</sup>*, MW *llyu*, MBret. *leat* ‘to lick’ < PCl. *\*lige/o-*; Skt. *rédhi/ledhi* [3s.pr.], ppa. *ririhvāms-*, Gr. λείγω, Arm. *lizanem*, Lith. *liēžti*, OCS *lizati*, Is. *ližq* ‘to lick’ < BSl. *\*leiž-*, OE *liccian*, OHG *leckōn* < *\*lig<sup>h</sup>-n-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 806, EM 360, IEW 668, Schumacher 2004: 450, LIV *\*leiǵ<sup>h</sup>-*.

**lingua** ‘tongue’ [f. *ā*] (Lex XII+; *dingua* Mar. Victorinus VI 26.2)

Derivatives: *li(n)gula* ‘short sword, tongue-shaped object’ (Naev.+), *lingulāca* (Pl.+), ‘talkative person’; *bilinguis* ‘of or with two tongues’ (Pl.+), *ēlinguis* ‘speechless’ (Pac.+), *ēlinguāre* ‘to tear the tongue out of’ (Pl.).

PIt. *\*d̥ŋχ(u)wā-*. It. cognates: O. *fangvam* [acc.sg.], *fancua* [nom.pl.] ‘tongue’ < *\*fanχuā-* < *\*d<sup>h</sup>-*.

PIE *\*dnǵ<sup>h</sup>-uh<sub>2</sub>* ‘tongue’. IE cognates: OIr. *tengae*, MW *tafawt* ‘tongue’ < *\*mǵ<sup>h</sup>-*, Skt. *jihvā-* ‘id.’, Av. *hizuuā-*, Arm. *lezow*, OPr. *insuwis*, Lith. *liežuvis*, OCS *jęзыкъ*, Go. *tuggo*, OHG *zūnga*, OIc. *tunga* < *\*dnǵ<sup>h</sup>-*, ToA *kāntu*, ToB *kantwo* ‘tongue’ << *\*tānkwo*.

The *l-* of *lingua* has been adopted from *lingō* ‘to lick’, not from Sabellic, which has *f-*. The form *dingua* is poorly attested, but would match the evidence of the other IE branches. Sabellic *f-* presupposes *\*d<sup>h</sup>-*, which must be due to association with another word in *\*d<sup>h</sup>-* (but which?), cf. van der Staaij 1995: 23. The absence of the development *\*ǵ<sup>w</sup> > b* in Oscan suggests that ‘tongue’ had the structure *\*-ǵ<sup>h</sup>uH-V-* in at least some forms of the paradigm, cf. Weiss 2007a: 368. Kortlandt 1997b: 160 assumes original proterodynamic inflection in PIE; but this does not exclude the rise of, say, a gen.sg. *\*dnǵ<sup>h</sup>-uh<sub>2</sub>-os* at a later, pre-Italic stage. We find tabooistic or simply associative (with ‘to lick’) changes of the phonological form of ‘tongue’ in many Indo-European languages.

Bibl.: WH I: 806f., EM 360, IEW 223, Sihler 1995: 39, 151, Untermann 2000: 264.

**linō, -ere** ‘to smear, seal, rub’ [v. III; pf. *lēvī*, ppp. *litum*] (Cato+)

Derivatives: *circumlinere* ‘to smear round, decorate’ (Cato+), *ēlinere* ‘to smear all over’ (Lucil.+), *oblinere* ‘to smear over, close’ (Pl.+).

PIt. \**lina-* ‘to smear’.

PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>li-n-H-* [pr.], \**h<sub>2</sub>li-to-* [ppp.]. IE cognates: OIr. *lenaid*, *len* ‘to stay, glue, follow’, W. *llynu* ‘to besmear’ < PCl. \**lina-*; Hit. *halīna-* ‘clay(?)’ < \**h<sub>2</sub>liH-no-*; Gr. ὀλίπειν ‘to smear’ (Hsch.).

Schrijver (hesitantly) reconstructs a lengthened grade in the pf., whereas Meiser 1998: 88 and LIV explain *-ē-* in *lēvī* from contraction of \**leja-*. Neither of these explanations carries conviction. Seldeslachts 2001: 80 accepts a specific phonetic development \**leiw-* > *lēv-* (rather than > *līv-*), which would explain the pf. *lēvī* on the basis of a root aorist (cf. Meiser 1998: 86). In that case, *litum* can regularly go back to a root \**h<sub>2</sub>li-* without final laryngeal. For the pr. *linō*, however, the PCl. cognate \**lina-* suggests a laryngeal-final stem.

Bibl.: WH I: 807f., EM 360f., IEW 662-664, Leumann 1977: 534, Schrijver 1991: 19f., 283, Sihler 1995: 534, Seldeslachts 2001: 74-91, Schumacher 2004: 453f., LIV \**h<sub>2</sub>leiH-*.

**linquō, -ere** ‘to leave’ [v. III; pf. *līquī*, ppp. *-lictum*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *dēlinquere* ‘to be lacking, err’ (Pl.+), *dēliquiō* ‘failure; eclipse’ (Pl.+), *dēlictum* ‘misdeed, offence’ (Pl.+), *dēlicuus* ‘lacking’ (Pl.+), *dērelinquere* ‘to abandon’ (Varro+), *relinquere* ‘to leave’ (Pl.+), *reliquiae* [f.pl.] ‘remnants, remains’ (Pl.+), *reliquus* ‘left, remaining’ (Pl.+).

PIt. \**link<sup>w</sup>-(e/o-)*, \**(le-)loik<sup>w</sup>-*, \**lik<sup>w</sup>to-* ‘to leave’; \**-lik<sup>w</sup>-e/ow-o-* ‘remaining’.

PIE pr. \**li-n-k<sup>w</sup>-*, pf. \**(le-)loik<sup>w</sup>-*, ppp. \**lik<sup>w</sup>to-*. IE cognates: OIr. *léicid\**, *·léici\** ‘to let (go), leave’ < PCl. \**link<sup>w</sup>e/o-*; Skt. *riṅákti*, pf. *riréca*, YAv. pr. *irinaxti* ‘to leave’; Gr. ἀμπάνω, λείπω [pr.] ‘to let, leave’; Arm. *lk’anem* ‘id.’, OPr. *po-līnka* ‘stays’, Latv. *likt*, Is. *lieku* ‘to leave, put’, Go. *leihvan* ‘to lend’.

The verb forms are inherited from PIE. The older form of *reliquus* was \**relikuwos*, as in *dēlicuus*. Similarly, *reliquiae* < \**relikuwia*. These, then, reflect \**-lik<sup>w</sup>-e/ow-o-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 808f., EM 361, IEW 669f., Leumann 1977: 136, Meiser 1998: 98, LIV \**leik<sup>w</sup>-*.

**linum** ‘flax plant, linseed; linen’ [n. o] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *līnea* ‘string, cord; line’ (Pl.+), *līneāre* ‘to make straight’ (Pl.+); *lin-teum* ‘piece of linen cloth, towel, sail’ (Pl.+), *lin-teolum* ‘piece of linen’ (Pl.+), *lin-teō* ‘weaver of linen’ (Pl.).

PIt. \**līno-* [n.].

IE cognates: Gr. λίνον ‘linen’, OPr. *lynno* ‘flax’, Lith. *linas* ‘flax (plant)’, [pl.] *linai* ‘flax (fibres)’, Latv. *lini* ‘flax’ [pl.], CS *lěnъ*, Ru. *lěn*, gen.sg. *l’na* ‘id.’ < BSl. \**linos*.

The noun *līnea* can be understood as a substantivization of the adj. *līneus* ‘made of flax or linen’, which itself is not attested before Verg. The alternative adj. \**linteus* is somewhat surprising, and suggests an unattested noun \**linto-* ‘linen’ as its basis. The variation between \**līno-* and \**linto-* in Latin, to which \**lino-* from Greek and BSl.

can be compared, makes it impossible to reconstruct a common PIE protoform. Probably, it was a loanword meaning 'flax'.

Bibl.: WH I: 810, EM 361, IEW 691, Schrijver 991: 243.

**lippus** 'having watery or inflamed eyes' [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *lippire* 'to have watery or inflamed eyes' (Pl.+), *lippitūdō* 'inflammation or watering of the eyes' (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*l(e)ipo-*?

PIE *\*l(e)ip-o-* 'sticky, fat'? IE cognates: Gr. λίπος [n.] 'fat', λιπαρός 'fat, greased'.

One of the many adjectives of physical defect with geminated stop. Might belong to PIE *\*leip-* 'to be sticky, be fat', but the semantics are not compelling.

Bibl.: WH I: 811, EM 362, IEW 670f., LIV 1. *\*leip-*.

**liqueō** 'to be clear, evident' [v. II; pf. *licuī/liquī*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *liquor* 'a liquid; fluidity' (Pl.+), *liquidus* 'liquid; evident' (Naev.+), *liquidiusculus* 'milder' (Pl.), *liquēscere* 'to become liquid, melt' (Naev.+); *liquī* 'to become liquid, dissolve' (Pl.+); *colliquēscere* 'to melt, liquefy' (Varro+), *ēliquēscere* 'to become liquid' (Varro+), *liquefacere* 'to melt' (Lucret.+), *colliquefacere* 'id.' (Varro+), *dēliquāre* 'to make clear, strain' (Pl.+), *ēliquāre* 'to purify by straining' (Varro+), *liquāre* 'to make liquid or clear' (Hor.+).

PIt. *\*(w)leik<sup>w</sup>-e/o-* 'to make/become liquid', *\*(w)lik<sup>w</sup>-ē-* 'to be liquid'.

PIE *\*uleik<sup>w</sup>-* 'to make moist'? IE cognates: OIr. *fluch* 'moist', W. *gwllith* [m.] 'dew'; ToA [inf.] *lyiktsi* 'to wash', ToB [pr.] *laikanatrā* 'washes', *likšan-* 'washes', [pf.] *laikāte* 'washed'.

The verbs in *-āre* are compounded factitives to the stative *ē*-verb. The set *liqueō* – *liquor* – *liquidus* is of a productive type; beside *liquī* < *\*uleik<sup>w</sup>-*, *liquē-* is probably the old stative. The old nasal present changed to *-lingō*, see s.v. *pollingō*.

Bibl.: WH I: 244f., 812, II: 618, EM 131f., 362, 661, Leumann 1977: 117, LIV *\*uleik<sup>w</sup>-*. → *lixa*, *pollingō*

**lira** 'furrow' [f. *ā*] (Columella+)

Derivatives: *dēlirus* 'insane' (Lucret.+), *dēlirāre* 'to be mad; deviate from the balks (in ploughing)' (Pl.+), *dēliritās* 'insanity' (Lab.), *dēlirāmentum* 'nonsense' (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*loisā-*. It. cognates: possibly O. **luisarifs** [abl.pl.f.] month-name ('in which the furrows are drawn?').

PIE *\*lois-h<sub>2</sub>-* 'furrow, track'. IE cognates: OPr. *lyso* 'field bed', OCS *lěxa* 'field bed, furrow'; OHG *leisa* 'track'.

Lat. *dē-lirus* probably developed from *\*dē lirād(i)re* '(to go) off the track'.

Bibl.: WH I: 338, 812f., EM 362f., IEW 671, LIV *\*leis-*.

**lis, -tis** 'lawsuit; dispute' [f.] (Lex XII+; arch. Cic. et aliter *stlis*, inscr. *stl.ivd* = *stlitibus iudicandis*)

Derivatives: *litigāre* 'to go to law, dispute' (Pl.+), *litigium* 'quarrel, lawsuit' (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*slī-ti-* 'accusation, dispute'.

PIE \*sliH-ti-? IE cognates: OIr. *liid\**, *·lī\** ‘to accuse’, MW *lliw* ‘proof, accusation’ < PCI. \**lije/o-* < \*sliH-e/o-, OIr. *lithech* ‘accused person’ < \**līti-ko-*.

Joseph 1986 connects *stlīs* with OIr. *lithech* and the verb *liid* ‘to accuse’. He reports (p. 122) a suggestion made by Jochem Schindler during a presentation in 1980, to the effect that Lat. *stlīs*, as found in one inscription from the 2<sup>nd</sup> c. BC, might be older than *stlīs*. Initial *stl-* could be the contemporary phonetic rendering of the rare sequence *sl-*, which only survived in archaisms, but had otherwise changed to initial *l-*. If this is correct, we could reconstruct an Italo-Celtic root \**sliH-* ‘to dispute, accuse’. OIr. *lithech* would contain the same nominal *ti-* stem as Latin. Gusmani 2002 connects Lat. *līs* with PGM. \**sleitān* ‘to split’, OHG *hari-sliz* ‘splitting the army, desertion’, which would seem to derive from a form in a final \**-d-*. He is hesitant about connecting the Celtic forms. Yet we also find PGM. \**sleiþa-* or \**slīþa-* ‘bad’ in Go. *sleiþs* or *sleideis* ‘pernicious, fierce, dangerous’, *gasleiþjan* ‘to damage’, so that, if at all related, we may posit PGM. \**sliH-* with different dental enlargements.

Bibl.: WH I: 813, EM 363, Leumann 1977: 189, Schumacher 2004: 452.

**litō, -āre** ‘to obtain or give favourable omens’ [v. I] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *litātīō* ‘obtaining favourable omens’ (Pl.+).

Denominative to a noun \**lita*, which was probably borrowed from Gr. *λιτή* ‘request’ < \**λιτᾶ*, cf. *λίσσομαι*, *λίτομαι* ‘to pray, beg’.

Bibl.: WH I: 814, EM 363.

**littera** ‘letter of the alphabet; a writing; erudition’ [f. *ā*] (Naev.+; older *lūtera*, disputed by EM)

Derivatives: *litterārius* ‘of writing’ (Pl.+), *litterātus* ‘marked with letters; cultured’ (Pl.+).

WH reconstructs \**lītes-ā*, which would derive from an earlier adj. \**lei-to-* to the root of *linō* ‘to smear’: the semantics would be ‘smeared sign’ > ‘letter’. Yet morphologically, this scenario is completely unconvincing. The ppp. of *linō* is *litus* with a short vowel, and it is unlikely that from a ppp. \**l(e)i-to-* an *s-* stem \**leit-e/os-* would be derived without other cognate forms.

Bibl.: WH I: 814, EM 363.

**litus, -oris** ‘sea-shore, coast’ [n. *r*] (Pl.+)

PIt. \**lei/itos* [n.].

PIE \**leit-os-* ‘going’? IE cognates: Av. *iriθīia-* ‘to die’, ppa. *irīriθuš-* ‘dead’, Go. *ga-leiþan* ‘to go’, ToB *lita*, ToA *līt* [pret.], ToB *laitam*, ToA *letaþ* [sb.] ‘to go away’.

A connection with \**leiH-* ‘to pour’, as is hesitantly accepted by WH, does not make sense semantically. Somewhat better would be a connection with \**lih<sub>2</sub>-* ‘to stop, disappear’ (Skt. *-līyate* ‘dissolves’, Gr. *λίναμαι* ‘I avoid’, OE *linnan* ‘to stop’), but the morphology would remain difficult. The root \**leit-* ‘to go (away)’ seems to be a better candidate: \**leit-os-* ‘the going away’ > ‘end, side’.

Bibl.: WH I: 815, EM 364, IEW 664f., LIV 1. \**leit-*.



**lituus** ‘curved trumpet, curved staff’ [m. *o*] (Enn.+)

Derivatives: *liticen* ‘trumpeter’ (Cato+).

Etymology unknown. If the meaning ‘curved’ is original, one could connectd Go. *liþus*, OIc. *liðr* ‘member, part, lid’ (thus IEW) and posit *\*litu-* ‘curve(d)’.

Bibl.: WH I: 815f., EM 364, IEW 307-309. → *līmus 2, obliq̄us*

**lividus** ‘of a dull or greyish-blue colour; envious’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *livēscere* ‘to become dull blue’ (Lucr.+), *livor* ‘bluish discoloration, envy’ (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*(s)le/oīwo-* or *\*(s)līwo-*.

PIE *\*(s)l(e/o)iH-uo-* ‘plum-coloured, blueish’. IE cognates: OIr. *lí*, W. *lliw* ‘colour’ < *\*(s)liH-u-*; Ru. *sliva* ‘plum’, SCr. *šljīva* ‘id.’ < *\*sliH-ueh<sub>2</sub>-*, OHG *slēha*, OE *slāh*, MoDu. (dial.) *sleuw* ‘sloe’ < PGm. *\*slaiχ(ɥ)a/ō-*.

Since the other IE languages point to a derivative in *\*-uo-*, the Latin word family must be based on *livēre* (only attested after Cic.) or on *lividus*, which replaced *\*līuos*. The original ablaut grade of Latin *liv-* cannot be determined.

Bibl.: WH I: 816, EM 364, IEW 965, Schrijver 1991: 231. → *lūridus*

**lixa** ‘water, lye’ [f. *ā*] (Nonius)

Derivatives: *lixivus* ‘(probably) liquid, flowing’ (Cato+); *elixus* ‘boiled’ (Pl.+), *prōlixus* ‘having extensive growth, extended, long’ (Ter.+), *prōlīxitūdō* ‘great length’ (Pac.); maybe *lixula* ‘kind of cheese pancake’ (Varro). †

PIt. *\*wl(e)ik<sup>w</sup>so-* ‘fluid, flowing’.

PIE *\*ul(e)ik<sup>w</sup>-s-*.

The noun *lixa* is probably a f. of the adj. *\*lixus* ‘fluid’ which is contained in the other derivatives. This *\*lixus* probably represents an adj. in *\*-so-* to the root of *liqueō*. In *prōlixus*, the original meaning was ‘flowing forth, continuing’, in *elixus* it must have been ‘boiling out’.

Bibl.: WH I: 816f., II: 370, EM 364, 538, LIV *\*uleik<sup>w</sup>-*. → *liqueō*

**locus** ‘place’ [m. *o*] (Andr.+; *stlocus* (Quint., Paul. *ex F.*); pl. *locī/loca*)

Derivatives: *ilicō* ‘on the spot, there’ (Naev.+); *locāre* ‘to place, award, hire out’ (Pl.+), *locārium* ‘rent for a market stall’ (Varro), *locitāre* ‘to be in the habit of letting out’ (Ter.), *loculus* ‘small place, box’ (Pl.+), *loculātus* ‘divided into compartments’ (Varro); *collocāre* ‘to put, set up’ (Andr.+), *illocābilis* ‘that cannot be married’ (Pl.).

WH and IEW derive *stlocus* from the root *\*stel-* ‘to place’, but this does not make sense morphologically: there is no suffix *\*-oko-*. In view of the possibility that initial *stl-* goes back to *\*sl-* (see s.v. *lis*), one might also consider a preform *\*slok-o-*. The further etymology remains unclear.

Bibl.: WH I: 679, 817f., EM 309, 364f., IEW 1019f., Untermann 2000: 686f.

**locusta** ‘locust; lobster’ [f. *ā*] (Naev.+)

The quantity of the first syllable is not completely certain: we also find *luc-* and *lōc-*.

The only word similar in form and meaning is *lacerta* ‘lizard; mackerel’, but there is no common preform in sight. Lat. *lacerta* may have unrounding of \**loc-* > *lac-* (cf. *lacus*), but in that case the rounded vowel in *locusta* must be explained from borrowing. Thus, they could be cognate words in the language from which Latin borrowed these forms.

Bibl.: WH I: 818, EM 365, IEW 673. → *lacertus*

**lolium** ‘kind of grass, darnel’ [n. *o*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *lolleāceus* ‘made of darnel’ (Varro).

No etymology.

Bibl.: WH I: 819, EM 365, IEW 650f.

**longus** ‘long’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Lex XII+)

Derivatives: *longē* [adv.] ‘far, for a long while’ (Naev.+); *longinquus*, *longincus* ‘long; remote, distant’ (Pl.+), *longinquitās* ‘distance, duration’ (Ter.+), *longiscere* ‘to become long’ (Enn.), *longitūdō* ‘length’ (Cato+), *longulē* [adv.] ‘at a distance’ (Pl.+); *perlongus* ‘very long’ (Pl.+).

PIt. \*(*d*)*longo-*.

PIE \**dlong<sup>h</sup>-o-*. IE cognates: PIE \**dólug<sup>h</sup>-* in Hit. \**taluki-* / *talugai-* [adj.] ‘long’; Hit. *zaluknu-<sup>zi</sup>* ‘to lengthen’ < \**dlug<sup>h</sup>-n(e)u-*; PIE \**dlh<sub>1</sub>g<sup>h</sup>-o-* in Skt. *dīrghá-*, OAv. *darəga-*, OCS *dlъgъ*, SCr. *dūg*, Lith. *ilgas*, Alb. *gjatë* ‘long’ (+ \**-tā-*); Gr. *δολιχός* (< \**dolh<sub>1</sub>-i-g<sup>h</sup>-o-?*), *ἐνδελεχής* ‘lasting long, uninterrupted’ < \**delh<sub>1</sub>g<sup>h</sup>-*; PIE \**dlong<sup>h</sup>-o-* in Go. *laggs*, OHG *lang*, OIc. *langr*, MP *drang* ‘long’. Gr. *λόγχη* ‘spear’ would theoretically go back to \**dlong<sup>h</sup>-o-* (if *dl-* > *l-* in Greek), but it is rejected by Beekes (fthc.).

The adj. *longinquus* was probably formed on the basis of *longē* (thus EM) as an antonym to *propinquus*. A major question is whether PIE \**d(o)lug<sup>h</sup>-* (Hit.), \**dolig<sup>h</sup>-*, \**delg<sup>h</sup>-* (Gr.), \**dlong<sup>h</sup>-* (Lat., Gm.) and \**dlh<sub>1</sub>g<sup>h</sup>-* (Ir., BSl., Alb.) are different variants of what was originally a compound petrified in the meaning ‘long’ (Kloekhorst 2008: 819f. compares cases such as MoE *high and dry*, *safe and sound*). The first member could be \**de/ol(h<sub>1</sub>)-*, compare Cz. *dél* (poet.) [f. *i*] ‘length’ < PSl. \**dblb*, OCS *prodbljъ* ‘I prolong’, Ru. *dlít’sja*, Cz. *dliti* ‘to last’, Ru. *dliná* ‘length’; the second member containing \**-g<sup>h</sup>-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 820, EM 366, IEW 197.

**loquor, loquī** ‘to talk, speak’ [v. III; ppp. *locūtum*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *loquitārī* ‘to talk constantly’ (Pl.+), *loquāx* ‘talkative’ (Pl.+), *loquāculus* ‘gossiping’ (Lucr.), *loquēla* ‘speech, utterance’ (Pl.+); *alloquī* ‘to speak to, invoke’ (Naev.+), *colloquī* ‘to speak to, with’ (Pl.+), *ēloquī* ‘to utter, tell’ (Pl.+), *ēloquentia* ‘eloquence’ (Ter.+), *interloquī* ‘to speak between’ (Ter.+), *obloquī* ‘to interrupt’ (Pl.+), *praeloquī* ‘to speak first’ (Pl.+), *prōloquī* ‘to speak forth, announce’ (Pl.+), *prōloquium* ‘axiom, statement’ (Varro+), *trānsloquī* ‘to go through the whole tale’ (Pl.).

PIt. \*(*t*)*lok<sup>w</sup>-e/o-*.

PIE \**tlok<sup>w</sup>-* ‘to talk’. IE cognates: OIr. *ad-thuchedar* ‘gives thanks’, *do-thuchedar*

‘demands’; Ru. *tolk* ‘meaning’.

The ppp. *locūtus* must be analogical after e.g. *solūī* : *solūtus*.

Bibl.: WH I: 821, EM 366, IEW 1088, Schrijver 1991: 476, Sihler 1995: 210, 624.

**lōra** ‘drink made from watered grapeskins’ [f. *ā*] (Cato+; variant *lōrea* Gell.)

A derivation from *lavō* as *\*lowerā*, as proposed by WH, is unlikely for phonetic reasons, since *\*lowera* would normally yield Lat. *\*lūra*. For a form *lōra* to emerge, a sequence *\*low-* would have to be restored, which is unlikely in view of the Plt. unrounding in *lavō*. Morphologically, *\*louH-ro-* is not very convincing. Semantically, *lōra* could be many things; there is no obvious connection to ‘washing’.

Bibl.: WH I: 821, EM 366.

**lōrum** ‘leather strap, thong’ [n. *o*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *lōreus* ‘made of strips or thongs’ (Pl.+), *lōrīca* ‘corselet or cuirass’ (Pl.+), *lōrīcāre* ‘to cover with a cuirass’ (Varro+); *lōripēs*, *-dis* ‘having deformed feet’ (Pl.+).

IE cognates: Gr. εὔληρα, Dor. αὔληρα [pl.], Hsch. ἄβληρα ‘reins’ (< *\*ā-φληρο-*?), Arm. *lar* ‘cord’.

Beekes (fthc.) regards the alternation ε-/α- within Greek as inexplicable; he therefore suspects Pre-Greek origin. If εὔληρα reflects *\*ηυληρα* (which would not fit the hexameter), Proto-Greek may have had *\*āylēra*. Theoretically, this might reflect a PIE form *\*h<sub>2</sub>e-h<sub>2</sub>ul-ēr-*, but the suffixation is strange. Thus, we may indeed be dealing with a loanword, but the ablaut Gr. *ē* vs. Lat. *ō* does look Indo-European. Maybe the giving language was a now extinct IE language? For *lōrīca*, a separate loanword etymology is often proposed, viz. from Gr. θώραξ ‘cuirass’, Ion. θώρηξ, or both could be from an unknown Mediterranean language. Yet WH reject this solution, arguing that *lōrīca* was originally made from leather, and hence belongs to *lōrum*.

Bibl.: WH I: 822, EM 366f., IEW 1140-1144, Schrijver 1991: 122f.

**lūbricus** ‘slippery’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Plt. *\*(s)loufre/ō-ko-*.

PIE *\*sle/oub<sup>h</sup>-rō-* ‘gliding’. IE cognates: Go. *sliupan* ‘to creep, slide’ (with *p* < *\*pp* < *\*-bn-*), OE *slūpan* (with secondary *ū*) ; OE *slīefe* [f.] ‘sleeve’.

Bibl.: WH I: 822f., EM 367, IEW 963f., Meiser 1998: 87, LIV *\*sleub<sup>h</sup>-*.

**lucrum** ‘material gain, profit’ [n. *o*] (Pl.+; *lucrī facere* ‘to make a profit’ Pl.+)

Derivatives: *lucrifēr* ‘bringing gain’ (Pl.), *lucrificābilis* ‘profitable’ (Pl.), *lucrifuga* [m.] ‘spendthrift’ (Pl.), *lucripeta* [adj.m.] ‘avaricious’.

Plt. *\*luklo-* > dissim. *\*lukro-*.

PIE *\*lh<sub>2</sub>u-tlō-* ‘seizure, gain’. IE cognates: Gr. ἀπολαύω ‘to enjoy’, λεία, Dor. λαῖα (< *\*lāḡ-īā*) ‘booty’; Go. *laun* [n.] ‘reward’ < *\*leh<sub>2</sub>u-no-*.

Short *\*lu-* would be regular from pretonic *\*lh<sub>2</sub>u-* according to the rules of Schrijver 1991: 248f. The PIE basis *\*l(e)h<sub>2</sub>u-* found in *lucrum*, as well as in Gr. ‘to enjoy’, Go. ‘reward’, could formally be connected with Hit. *lāhu-/lahu-* ‘to pour, cast’ <

\*l(ó)h<sub>2</sub>u-, *lahhu-* ‘container’ < \*leh<sub>2</sub>u-; but the semantics are difficult. Alternatively, the non-Anatolian forms in \*l(e/o)h<sub>2</sub>u- could be compared with Hit. *lāhh-* ‘military campaign, journey’, CLuw. *lahhi(ia)*\* ‘to travel, campaign’ < \*lóh<sub>2</sub>-/\*léh<sub>2</sub>-, Gr. λα(φ)ός ‘men, army’, OIr. *láech* ‘warrior’ < \*leh<sub>2</sub>u-. The original meaning of \*leh<sub>2</sub>- could have been ‘to fight’, that of \*leh<sub>2</sub>u- ‘to acquire by fighting’.

Bibl.: WH I: 826, EM 367f., IEW 655, Schrijver 1991: 240f.

**luctor**, -*ārī* ‘to wrestle, struggle’ [v. I] (Pl.+; active *luctāre* in OLat., dep. *luctārī* in CLat.)

Derivatives: *luctātor* ‘wrestler’ (Pl.+); *dēluctārī* ‘to fight it out with’ (Pl.).

PIt. \**lukto-*.

PIE \*lug-to- ‘bent’. IE cognates: OIr. *fo-loing* ‘supports’, *in-loing* ‘connects’, MW *ellwng-* ‘to set free’ < PCl. \*-*lunge/o-* ‘to place’, Gr. λύγος [f.] ‘withy, twigs’, Go. *ga-lūkan* ‘to shut’, *us-lūkan* ‘to open’.

Frequentative verb based on a ppp. \**luktos* ‘bent’. If the etymology is correct, it is striking that there is no (visible) reflex of Lachmann’s lengthening in *luctor* nor in *luxus*. Since Celtic shows a nasal present, the short reflex may have been restored from this present; but this is speculative, since no trace of such a present exists in Latin.

Bibl.: WH I: 826f., EM 368, IEW 685f., Schumacher 2004: 460f., LIV 2.\*leu(ǵ)-. → *luxus*

**lūcus** ‘sacred grove, wood’ [m. o] (Pl.+; CIL 1.366 *loucom*)

Derivatives: *lūcar*, -*āris* [m.] ‘sacred grove’ (CIL 1.401, 1.1730); *collūcāre* ‘to thin out (trees)’ (Cato+); *Lūcīna* ‘cognomen of Iūnō, invoked by women when giving birth’ (Pl.+).

PIt. \**louko-* ‘sacred grove’. It. cognates: Ven. *louki* [gen.sg.] ‘sacred grove’, O. *lúvkeí* [loc.sg.], U. *vukum-en*, *vuku* [acc.sg.], *vukes* [gen.sg.], *vuku-kum*, *uocu-com* [abl.sg. + -com], *vuke* [loc.sg.] ‘(sacred) enclosure’.

PIE \**louk-o-* [m.] ‘light place’. IE cognates: Skt. *loká-* [m.] ‘free space, world’, Lith. *laũkas* ‘field, land’, Latv. *laũks* ‘field, clearing in the woods’, OHG *lōh* ‘clearing’.

For the derivation of *Lūcīna* from *lūcus* ‘sacred grove’, cf. Leumann 1960.

Bibl.: WH I: 828, EM 368, IEW 687-690, Lejeune 1974: 336, Untermann 2000: 439f., LIV \**leuk-*. → *lūna*, *lūx*

**lūdō**, -*ere* ‘to play, sport’ [v. III; pf. *lūsī*, ppp. *lūsum*] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *lūdus* (arch. inscr. *loed-*, *loid-*) ‘sport, play, jest’ (Naev.+); *lūdbrium* ‘plaything, ridiculous thing’ (Pl.+), *lūdbundus* ‘merry’ (Pl.+), *lūdicer* [adj. *o/ā*] ‘of the stage, of sport’ (Pl.+), *lūdicrē* [adv.] ‘playfully’ (Enn.+); *lūdicārī* ‘to make an object of sport, play with’ (Pl.+), *lūdicātor* ‘who plays with’ (Pl.), *lūdicātus*, -*ūs* ‘the teasing’ (Pl.), *lūdicābilis* ‘suitable to play with’ (Pl.); *lūsīō* ‘play, sport’ (Varro+), *lūsītāre* ‘to amuse oneself’ (Pl.+), *lūsor* ‘player’ (Pl.+); *allūdiāre* ‘to play with’ (Pl.+), *allūdere* ‘to play, jest’ (Ter.+), *collūsor* ‘fellow gambler’ (Lucil.+), *collūsim* ‘in collusion’ (Pl.+), *dēlūdere* ‘to deceive’ (Pl.+), *dēlūdicāre* ‘to make a complete fool

of' (Pl.), *ēlūdere* 'to deceive, dodge' (Ter.+), *ēlūdificārī* 'to fool completely' (Pl.), *illūdere* 'to make game of' (Ter.+), *oblūdiāre* 'to play the fool' (Pl.).

Plt. *\*loid-e/o-* 'to play', *\*loido-* 'play'.

PIE *\*loid-* 'to let go frequently, play'. IE cognates: Mir. *laidid* 'impels', Gr. λίνδεσθαι 'to contend' (Hsch.), λίζει 'plays' (Hsch.), Alb. *lind* 'gives birth', *lindet* 'is born' < *\*li-n-d-*; OLith. *léidmi* 'I let', Lith. *léisti*, Is. *léidžiu* 'to let' < pr. *\*leid-*; Lith. *laidyti* 'to throw', Latv. *laist* 'let, publish, set in motion' < *\*loid-*.

The *o*-grade found in Irish, Baltic and Latin could point to an original causative present, but the suffix of Latin does not fit. We seem instead to be dealing with an *o*-grade present of frequentative meaning. The noun *lūdus* is probably deverbal, since no equivalents are found elsewhere.

Bibl.: WH I: 829, EM 368f., IEW 666, LIV *\*leid-*.

**lūgeō** 'to mourn, grieve' [v. II; pf. *lūxī*, ppp. *lūctum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *lūgubris* 'mourning, sad' (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*loug-eje-* [v.], *\*loug-os-ri-*?

PIE *\*loug-eie-* 'to swallow, gasp'. IE cognates: OIr. *loingid* 'to eat' < *\*(s)lu-n-g-*; Gr. λυγανώμενον 'sobbing' (Hsch.), λύζω 'to have the hiccup, sob' < *\*(s)lug-ǵé-*, λυγμός 'the hiccup(s)'.

WH suggest that *lūgubris* more probably reflects *\*lūgos-ri-* (with an *s*-stem *\*lūgos-* belonging to the *ē*-present *lūgēre*) than *\*lūge-bli-* (with regular dissimilation to *-bri-* after the *l*-containing stem). It is disputed to which root *lūgēre* belongs. It is often connected with PIE *\*lug-* 'to break', and indeed a semantic connection between 'breaking' and 'mourning' can often be found in etymology, due to the intensity of the acts of mourning. Lat. *lūgēre* would fit the morphology of the causative, but semantically a stative (*\*lug-ēh<sub>1</sub>-*, in which case the zero grade of the root is striking) or a frequentative (*\*(le-)loug-ie-*) of 'to break' are more likely. Kölligan 2005 objects that there is no evidence for the assumed shift in meaning from 'break' to 'mourn' in Latin, and also, that *lūgeō* is normally used as an active, transitive verb. He therefore proposes the alternative connection with PIE *\*(s)leug-* 'to swallow', which would have developed via 'to gasp' to 'wail, mourn'. The causative *\*loug-eie-* could be understood as 'to bemoan (someone)'. This solution seems more straightforward to me than the connection with 'to break'.

Bibl.: WH I: 830f., EM 369, IEW 686, Kölligan 2005, LIV *\*(s)leug-*.

**lumbrīcus** 'earthworm, intestinal worm' [m. *o*] (Pl.+)

IE cognates: see s.v. *lumbus*.

The meaning 'earthworm' is more frequent and more ancient in the texts than 'intestinal worm'. The only OLat. place where *lumbrīcus* is translated as 'intestinal worm' by OLD refers to the form of the worm: *Ad tormina et si alvus consistet et si taeniae et lumbrici molesti erunt* 'For gripes, for loose bowels, for tapeworms and stomach-worms, if troublesome' (Cato, *Agr.* 126). The word *lumbrici* will have been used metaphorically to indicate the form of the worm plaguing the patient; compare *taenia* 'ribbon, string; (here:) intestinal worm'. The suffix recalls *umbilīcus* (also

situated in the lower belly) and *formīca* ‘ant’ (also an insect). WH assume that *lumbr-* reflects *\*londʰr-*, and derive the word from a root *\*lndʰ-*, see s.v. *lumbus*. But since the PIE voiced aspirates normally yield stops after a nasal in all Italic languages, thus bleeding the specifically Latin change *\*-dʰr- > -br-* (cf. Meiser 1986: 75f.), *\*londʰr-* would yield Latin *\*lundr-*. As Latin does not possess a productive suffix *-rīcus*, the origin of *lumbrīcus* remains unclear.

Bibl.: WH I: 831, EM 369, IEW 960f.

**lumbus** ‘hips, loins’ [m. *o*] (Pl.+; usually pl.)

Derivatives: *lumbifragium* ‘loin-wreckage’ (Pl.).

Plt. *\*londwo-* ‘loins’.

PIE *\*londʰ-u-o-*. IE cognates: HLuw. *la-tara/i-* /*landri(ya)-/* ‘to expand (a country)’, Skt. *rándhra-* ‘loin (of animals); weak spot on the body; cavity’ < *\*londʰ-ro-*; OCS *lědviję* [f.pl.] ‘loins, insides, kidneys’, Ru. *ljádveja* (arch.) ‘thigh’ < *\*lendʰ-u-*; Oic. *lend*, OHG *lentin* ‘kidneys’, OE *lendum* ‘loins’ < *\*londʰ-io-*; OIr. *land* ‘free space’, MW *llan* ‘area’, Co. *lan*, Bret. *lann* ‘heath’ < *\*lndʰ-h₂-*; OPr. acc.sg. *lindan* ‘valley’; Ru. *ljádá*, Cz. *lada, lado* ‘fallow land’ < *\*léndʰ-o-*; Go. Oic. OS OE *land*, OHG *lant* ‘land’ < *\*londʰ-o-*; Lith. *lendū* ‘to creep, sneak (into)’.

Gotō 1985 has argued that Skt. *rándhra-* can be connected with *lumbus* and with Gm. *\*landa-* ‘land’, under the assumption of a PIE verb *\*lendʰ-e-* ‘to sink, go down’ (Lith. *lendū* ‘to creep into’) which was adopted as such by LIV. Oettinger 2007 has made the comparison more explicit and has clarified the semantics and the morphology. Adding HLuw. *latara/i-* ‘to expand (a country)’ to the dossier, he posits an original meaning ‘to enter, penetrate’ for PIE *\*lendʰ-*. This would be continued (in a specific usage) in Lith. *lendū*, whereas ‘land’ in BSl., Cl. and Gm. can be understood as ‘the area which is entered’. The HLuw. verb ‘to expand’, a denominative to *\*landra-* < *\*londʰ-ro-* ‘free space’, could be understood as ‘to make into one’s (own) land’. The Skt. meaning ‘weak spot on the body’ and ‘loin’ would have developed in hunter’s jargon for the spot where a hunted animal was the most vulnerable, viz. below the ribs. This, then, would explain Gm. and Latin ‘loins’, Sl. ‘thigh’. The *wo-* stem of Latin could be built on the *u-* stem *\*lendʰ-u-* still visible in Slavic. The development *\*-ndw- > -mb-* would be parallel to initial *\*dʷ- > Lat. b-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 832, EM 369, IEW 675, Meiser 1998: 120, LIV *\*lendʰ-*. → *lumbrīcus*

**lūna** ‘moon’ [f. *ā*] (Pl.+; Praeneste *losna*)

Derivatives: *lūnula* ‘crescent-shaped ornament’ (Pl.).

Plt. *\*louksnā-*.

PIE *\*le/ouk-s-nh₂-* ‘shiny, bright, gleaming’. IE cognates: OIr. *dia lúain* ‘Monday’, Av. *raoxšna-* [adj./n.] ‘light’, Gr. *λύχνος* [m.] ‘lamp’, OPr. *lauxnos* [pl.] ‘stars’, MHG *liehsen* ‘bright’.

The base *\*le/uk-s-* is also found in *lūmen* and *lūstrum* (see s.v. *lūx*). It might be derived from the *s-* stem *\*leuk-os-*. The word *\*le/ouk-s-no-* was probably used as an epithet for the moon in PIE.

Bibl.: WH I: 833, EM 373, IEW 687-690, Meiser 1998: 62, LIV *\*leuk-*. → *lūcus*, *lūx*

**lunter / linter, -tris** ‘trough, vat, tank; small boat’ [f. (m.) *r*] (Cato+)

No etymology.

Bibl.: WH I: 809f., EM 370.

**luō, -ere** ‘to suffer, make amends for’ [v. III; pf. *luī* (*lūī* Varro)] (Acc.+)

Derivatives: *luēlla* ‘expiation’ (Lucr.), *luēs, -is* ‘plague, affliction’ (Cic.+); *reluere* ‘to redeem’ (Caecil.).

Pf. *\*luwe/o-*.

PIE aor. *\*l(é)uH-* ‘to cut loose’, pf. *\*louH-?* IE cognates: OIr. *as-loí\** ‘to flee’ < PCI. *\*eks-luue/o-*; Skt. *luṅáti* ‘cuts off’, *álūna-* ‘not shaved’; Gr. λύω ‘to loosen, liberate’, aor. midd. λύμην, λύ(ν)το; OE *lē* [m.] ‘sickle’; ToB *lyuwa*, ToA *lyu* ‘sent’. If Lith. *liáuti* ‘to stop’, PSI. *\*lěviti* ‘to diminish, weaken’ are cognate, the root was *\*lh<sub>1</sub>u-*.

The thematic pr. of Italo-Celtic and Greek is probably secondary to the root aorist (LIV). The Latin form *lūī* might preserve a trace of earlier *\*lou-w-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 338, EM 168, IEW 681f., Schrijver 1991: 246, Meiser 1998: 206, Schumacher 2004: 463, LIV *\*leuH-*. → *lūstrum, soluō*

**lupus** ‘wolf’ [m. *o*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *lupa* ‘whore’ (Pl.+), ‘she-wolf’ (Prop.+), *lupānar* ‘brothel’ (Pl.+), *lupārī* ‘to prostitute oneself’ (Lucil.+), *lupīmus* ‘of a wolf’ (Pl.+), *lupīnum* ‘the lupin (plant)’ (Cato+), *lupīnārius* ‘of lupins’ (Cato), *lupillum/s* ‘lupin-seed’ (Pl.).

Pf. *\*luk<sup>w</sup>o-? \*lupo-?* ;

PIE *\*ulk<sup>w</sup>o-* ‘wolf’. IE cognates: Skt. *vṛka-*, YAv. *vəhrka-* [m.], MP *gurg*, Gr. λύκος [m.], Lith. *vilkas*, Latv. *vilks*, ORu. *vьlkъ* [m.] < *\*ulk<sup>w</sup>o-*, Go. *wulfs* [m.], ToB *walkwe* [m.] ‘wolf’.

The earlier derivation of *lupus* from PIE *\*ulk<sup>w</sup>o-* ‘wolf’ requires two ad hoc assumptions: metathesis to *\*luk<sup>w</sup>o-* in Italic (as is often assumed for Gr. λύκος ‘wolf’), and borrowing by Latin of the Sabellic outcome *\*lupo-*. This is conceivable. Alternatively, one could derive *lupus* from PIE *\*ulp-* / *\*lup-* ‘marten’ (whence, among others, Av. *urupi-*, Lat. *volpēs* ‘fox’); cf. de Vaan 2000: 289. This would amount to a semantic shift from ‘marten’ to ‘fox’ to ‘wolf’, the latter one maybe by tabooistic replacement of an earlier word for ‘wolf’. The disadvantage of this approach is that the stem *\*ulp-* is already continued in Lat. *volpēs*, so that an additional reflex in *lupus* would require further special pleading.

Bibl.: WH I: 835-837, EM 370, IEW 1178f., Leumann 1977: 101, Sihler 1995: 161.  
→ *volpēs*

**lurcō** ‘glutton, gourmand’ [m. *n*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *lurcāre/ī* ‘to eat greedily’ (Lucil.+), *lurcinābundus* ‘eating greedily’ (Cato apud Quint.).

According to Steinbauer 1989: 168, *lurcō* was derived from *lurcāre*, while from the nominal stem *\*lurk-e/on-* a new verb *\*lurke/on-ā-* ‘to be a glutton’ was derived. The further etymology is unclear. WH and IEW suggest a preform *\*lurgiko-*, the element

\**lurg-* being cognate with MHG *slurc* ‘glutton’, *slurken* ‘to swallow’. But since Gm. has different root enlargements (with a labial, we find MoDu. *slurpen*), and the syncope assumed for Latin is uncertain, this is probably a chance similarity.

Bibl.: WH I: 837, EM 371, IEW 965f.

**lūridus** ‘ghastly yellow, colour of bruises’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *lūror* ‘sickly yellow colour’ (Lucr.+); *ēlūrēscere* ‘to become pale’ (Varro apud Non.); *lūtum* ‘yellow dye, colour’ (Verg.+), *lūteus* ‘pink, pale-red’ (Pl.+).

PIt. \*(s)lous-? \*(s)loiro-? \*(s)loito-?

The exact source and etymology are very uncertain. Schrijver 1995: 332 suggests that *lūridus* belongs to MW *lleu* ‘light’, MW *lloer* ‘moon’ < \**le/ous-*, while Nussbaum 1997: 199f. connects it with Lat. *līvidus* (see s.v. for IE cognates). In the latter case, Lat. *lū-* can reflect \*(s)loīH-. For the meaning ‘pink’ (not ‘yellow’) of *lūteus*, see Edgeworth 1985.

Bibl.: WH I: 837f., 841, EM 371f. → *līvidus*

**luscus** ‘blind in one eye’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *luscīōsus* ‘suffering from night-blindness’ (Var.+), *luscītiōsus* ‘suffering from night-blindness’ (Pl.+), *lusciniola* ‘nightingale’ (Pl.+), *luscinia* ‘id.’ (Hor.+).

PIt. \**luk-sko-* ‘with partial sight, visually handicapped’.

PIE \**luk-(e/o)-* ‘to see’.

The derivatives suggest the previous existence of a v. \**luscīre* ‘to be night-blind’. The nightingale might be explained with haplology from \**luscī-cania* ‘singing in the night’ or ‘blind singer’, but this is speculative. Alternatively, it may be based on an *n*-stem \**lusk-e/on-* ‘blind one’. WH hesitantly explain *luscus* from \**nuk-sko-*, which they connect with Lith. *niūkti* ‘to make a dull sound’; Latin would have changed \**n-* > *l-* under the influence of *lūx*. Yet the semantics of the Baltic forms do not match very well. Lat. *nuscītiōsus* is a variant of *luscītiōsus*, only attested in Paul. *ex F*. Fruyt (1986: 162) suggests that Lat. *luscus* could reflect \**luk-sko-* derived from the root \**leuk-* ‘light’. In this respect, compare the cognate PCl. verb \**luk-e/o-* ‘to see’, as in MW *adolwyn* ‘to beseech’, *gorllwyn* ‘to expect, observe’ (Schumacher 2004: 459f.); also MW *llygat*, MCo. *lagas* [m.], MBret. *lagat* ‘eye’ < \**luk-ati-* ‘seer’ (Schrijver 1995: 166). This explanation seems more attractive to me.

Bibl.: WH I: 838, EM 371, IEW 768, Leumann 1977: 556. → *lūx*

**lūstrum** ‘ceremony of purification; five-year period’ [n. *o*] (Cato+)

Derivatives: *lūstrāre* ‘to purify ceremonially, move round, spread light’ (Andr.+); *circumlūstrāre* ‘to pace round’ (Lucr.+); *armilūstrium* ‘ceremony of purifying the arms’ (Varro+), *tubilūstrium* ‘festival at which the sacred trumpets were purified’ (Varro+).

PIt. \**lūstro-* ‘expiation’.

According to WH and IEW, *lūstrum* ‘purification’ is based on an earlier meaning ‘illumination’ < \**l(e/o)uk-s-tro-*, to Lat. *lūx*. Yet there is no good evidence for ‘enlightening’ in the meaning of the *lūstrum*. One might connect it with *lavō* ‘to



wash' – but still, no clear passages exist which link the *lūstrum* with washing. Thus, I find Serbat's conclusion (1975: 312) most likely, viz. that *lūstrum* was derived from \**luH-* 'to set free', Lat. *luō*. The suffix \*-*stro-* is also found e.g. in *mōnstrum*, cf. Leumann 1977: 313.

Bibl.: IEW 687-690, Serbat 1975: 310-312. → *luō*

**lutra** 'otter' [f. *ā*] (Varro, Plin.+)

PIt. \**udrā-*.

PIE \**ud-ro-* [adj.] 'of the water' > 'water animal, otter' [m.]. IE cognates: Skt. *udrā-*, YAv. *udra-* 'otter', Gr. ὕδρος, ὕδρᾱ 'water-snake', OPr. *wudro*, Lith. *ūdra*, Ru. *výdra* 'otter' < PSI. \**ýdra* < BSl. \**údra?*, OHG *ottar*, OIc. *otr* [m.] 'otter'.

The change of PIE \**dr* to Latin *tr* is regular. At some stage, initial *l-* was added, maybe taken from the verb *lavō* 'to wash'. But since an otter is a carnivore, the *l-* may also have been taken from *lupus* 'wolf'. Otters are known for their playfulness, so that one might also consider influence from *lūdere* 'to play', viz. at the stage \**udrā*. The f. gender in BSl. and Latin (and partly in Greek) is probably due to the generalization of the fem. of the adjective.

Bibl.: WH I: 840, EM 372, IEW 78-81.

**lutum** 'mud, dirt, clay' [n. *o*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *lutāre* 'to cover, with mud' (Cato+), *lutāmentum* 'coating of mud' (Cato), *luteus* 'worthless, dirty' (Pl.+), *lutitāre* 'to drag in the mud' (Pl.+), *lutōsus* 'very muddy' (Enn.+); *lustrum* / *lustra* [n.pl.] 'muddy place, den of vice' (Pl.+), *lustrō* 'a frequenter of brothels' (Naev.+), *lustrārī* 'to haunt brothels' (Pl.+).

PIt. \**luto-*, \**lustro-*.

PIE \**l(H)u-to-* 'dirt', \**l(H)u-(s)tro-* 'dirty place'. IE cognates: OIc. *loth* 'dirt' < \**lutā*; Gr. λύθρον 'gore, clotted blood', λῦμα 'dirty water, moral filth', λύμη 'maltreatment, damage'; Alb. *lum* 'slime, mud'; Lith. *liutýnas* 'loam pit'.

Bibl.: WH I: 839f., EM 371, IEW 681, Schrijver 1991: 241, LIV \**leu-*. → *polluō*

**lūx, -cis** 'light' [f. *k*] (Lex XII+)

Derivatives: *lūcēre* 'to emit light, dawn; to ignite, cause to shine' (Pl.+), *lūcidus* 'bright, shining' (Lucr.+), *lūcēscere* 'to begin to shine, dawn' (Pl.+); *lūcubrāre* 'to work by lamplight' (Varro+), *lūcubrātiō* 'work done by lamplight' (Cato+); *lūculentus* 'excellent, splendid' (Pl.+), *lūculentitās* 'splendour' (Caecil.+), *lūculentaster* [m.] 'a kind of confection' (Titin.); *lūmen, -inis* [n.] 'light, daylight' (Naev.+), *lūmināre* [n.] 'lamp' (Cato); *lucerna* 'oil-lamp' (Pl.+); *Lūcius* praenomen (Sis.+); *allūcēre* 'to light; be lit' (Pl.+), *dīlūcēre* 'to be clear' (Varro+), *dīlūcēscere* 'to dawn' (Lucr.+), *dīlūcidus* 'clear, lucid' (Ter.+), *dīlūculum* 'daybreak' (Pl.+), *illūcēre* 'to shine (on)' (Pl.+), *illūcēscere* 'to begin to shine' (Pl.+), *perlūcēre* 'to transmit light' (Pl.+), *perlūcidus* 'transparent, clear' (Pl.+), *relūcēre* 'to shine out' (Varro+), *lūcifer* 'light-bringing; the morning star [m.]' (Acc.+), *lūcifugus* 'avoiding the light of day, skulking' (Lucil.+); *illūstris* 'bright, famous' (Pl.+), *illūstrāre* 'to illuminate' (Lucr.+).

PIt. \**louk-* ‘light’, \**loukeje-* ‘to make shine’, \**l(o)ukē-* ‘to be light’.

PIE \**leuk-* ‘light’, \**louk-eie-* ‘to make light’, \**luk-eh<sub>1</sub>-* ‘to be light’, \**le/ouk-s-mn* ‘light’. IE cognates: Hit. *lukk-<sup>na</sup>* ‘to get light, dawn’ < \**léuk-/l<sup>uk</sup>-*, *lukkatt-* [c.] ‘dawn, next morning’ < \**l(e)uk-ot-*; Skt. *rócate* ‘to shine’, *rúc-* [f.] ‘light, splendour, lustre, appearance’, Av. *raocah-* [n.] ‘light’, YAv. *raocaiia-* ‘to make shine’, *raoca-* ‘to shine’, *raoxšna-* [adj./n.] ‘light’; *raocana-* [adj.] ‘shining, light’, OP *raucah-* [n.] ‘day’; Gr. λευκός ‘clear, light’, λεύσσω ‘to see’; OPr. *luckis* ‘torch, log’, RuCS *lučb* ‘ray, light, shining’, Cz. *louč* ‘torch’ < \**louki-*, OCS *lučiti se* ‘to happen’, Go. *liuhaþ* ‘light’; Toch. *luk-* ‘to shine’.

Lat. *lūx* is a root noun, also attested in vestiges in Skt. The transitive meaning of *lūcēre* only occurs in Plautus, but may be old. Stative *lūcēre* has an unexpected full grade in the root which may have been adopted from causative \**louk-eie-*, or from the root noun (Nussbaum 1994: 168). *Lūcubrāre* is a denominative of a noun \**lūkubro-* ‘lamplight’ < \**lūkV-δ<sub>ro</sub>-*. Lat. *lūmen* < \**lousmen* < \**le/ouk-s-mn*. *Lucerna* seems to have the suffix of *lanterna*, but its short *-u-* is unexplained.

Bibl.: WH I: 823-833, 839, II: 333, EM 368, 372-374, IEW 687-690, Nussbaum 1994, Meiser 2003: 141, LIV \**leuk-*. → *lūcus*, *lūna*

**luxus** ‘dislocated, sprained’ [m. *o/ā*] (Cato+)

Derivatives: *luxāre* ‘to sprain, dislocate’, *luxārī* ‘to live riotously’ (Pl.+), *luxuria/luxuriēs* ‘indulgence, immoderate growth, unruly behaviour’ (Pl.+), *luxuriōsus* ‘given to luxury, excessive’ (Cato+), *luxus*, *-ūs* ‘extravagant living, opulence’ (Ter.+).

PIt. \**lukso-*.

PIE \**lug-so-* ‘bent’. IE cognates: see *luctor*.

If the etymology is correct, the absence of Lachmann’s lengthening must be explained; see s.v. *luctor*. From an original meaning \**lug-so-* ‘bent’, we can explain the semantic shift to ‘sprained’ on the one hand, and to *luxus* [m.] ‘the bending’ > ‘lack of restraining, exaggeration’ on the other. The noun *luxuria/-ēs* is probably based on an adj. \**luxuros*.

Bibl.: WH I: 841, EM 374, IEW 685f., LIV 2. \**leu<sup>(g)</sup>-*. → *luctor*

## M

**macer**, *-a*, *-um* ‘thin, lean’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *macellus* ‘rather thin’ (Lucil.+), *macēre* ‘to be thin’ (Pl.), *macēscere* ‘to become thin, shrivel’ (Pl.+), *maciēs* ‘thinness’ (Lucret.+), *macilentus* ‘thin’ (Pl.+), *macor* ‘thinness’ (Pac.), *macritūdō* ‘thinness’ (Pl.); *permacer* ‘very lean’ (Cato+).

PIt. \**makro-* ‘long, lean’.

PIE \**m<sub>h</sub>₂k-ro-* ‘long’. IE cognates: OIr. *do-formaig* ‘to add, increase’, MW *magu*,

MCo. *maga*, MBret. *maezur*, *maguaff* ‘to raise, feed’ < PCl. \**make/o-* ‘to nourish’ (< \*‘to make long’?), OW MCo. MBret. *map* ‘son’ < \**makyo-*, OIr. *macc* < \**makkyo-*; Hit. *maklant-* ‘thin, meagre’ < \**m(e)h<sub>2</sub>k-lo-nt-*, Av. *masah-* ‘size’, Gr. μακρός ‘long’, μήκος [n.] ‘length’, OHG *magar*, OIc. *magr* ‘meagre’.

Bibl.: WH II: 2, EM 375, IEW 699, Schrijver 1991: 167, Meiser 1998: 107, Schumacher 2004: 466-468. → *mactus*

**mācerō, -āre** ‘to make wet, soak; to worry’ [v. I] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *māceria* ‘wall of brick or stone’ (Pl.+; also *māceriēs* Varro+), *mācerēscere* ‘to become soaked’ (Cato).

PIt. \**mākero-* ‘soaked / kneaded’.

PIE \**meh<sub>2</sub>ǵ-*? IE cognates: Gr. μύσσω, Att. μάρτω ‘to knead’ (for \*μάρζω on the basis of the aor. ἐμαξα), aor.ps. μαγήναι, Gr. μάρμα [n.] ‘kneaded mass, smear’; Arm. *macanim* ‘to thicken, stick together’; OCS *mazati* ‘to anoint’, CS *mazb* ‘unction’ [f.]; Molc. *maka* ‘to smear’, OHG *mahhōn*, OS *makōn* ‘to make’.

The attested words presuppose an adj. \**mākerō-* ‘soaked’ or ‘kneaded’. The verb *mācerāre* can be interpreted as ‘to soaken, soften by soakening’, and *māceria* as ‘wall (of kneaded material, clay)’. This meaning would fit PIE \**mh<sub>2</sub>ǵ-* ‘to knead’ well, but Latin *-k-* does not correspond.

Bibl.: WH II: 2-4, EM 375, IEW 698, Schrijver 1991: 142, 384, LIV \**mag-*.

**mactus** ‘honoured; smitten’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Cato+)

Derivatives: *macte* [indecl.] ‘honoured, blessed, bravo’ (Cato+); *mactāre* ‘to afflict, honour, sacrifice, kill’ (Pl.+), *mactābilis* ‘able to kill’ (Lucr.), *mactātus*, *-ūs* ‘sacrificial slaying’ (Lucr.); *magmentum* ‘part of a sacrificial animal’ (Varro+), *magmentārium* ‘shrine for the reception of the magmentum’ (Varro+).

PIt. \**makto-* ‘honoured, blessed’, \**mak-mn(-to)-* ‘offering, sacrifice’.

PIE \**mh<sub>2</sub>k-(e/o)-* ‘to make long’.

Driessen (p.c.) proposes a connection with PCl. \**make/o-* ‘to raise, nourish’ from ‘to make long’ (see s.v. *macer*). Latin ‘honoured’ (e.g. *macte estō* ‘be honoured’) could be understood as ‘made long, made bigger’. This seems slightly more attractive than a derivation from \**mag-* as in *magnus* ‘big’, since one might expect Lachmann’s lengthening to yield \**mag-tos* > \**māctus*. The noun *magmentum* could also have been derived from a verbal stem \**mak(e/o)-* ‘to honour’. Risch 1979 explains *macte estō* from a contamination of *mactus estō* and \**macte fertō*; the denominal verb *mactāre* he understands as \*‘to pronounce the *macte*-formula’. Szemerényi 1989: 29f. regards *macte* as the oldest form in the expression *macte uirtute esto* which he translates as ‘be (provided) with power (and) virility’. *Macte* would be the abl.sg. of an i-stem \**mactis* < \**mag<sup>h</sup>-tis*, while *mactus* would be the thematic variant \**mag<sup>h</sup>to-*. This is far-fetched, if only because there is no evidence for the meaning ‘power’.

Bibl.: WH II: 4f., 10, EM 376, IEW 708f. → *macer*

**macula** ‘stain, spot’ [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *maculōsus* ‘stained, spotted’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*smatlo-*.

PIE *\*smh<sub>1</sub>-tlo-* ‘wiping’? IE cognates: Gr. *σμάω* ‘to cleanse, wipe clean’, *σμήμα* ‘ointment’ < *\*sméh<sub>2</sub>-mn.*

The connection with the Greek verb is possible if *\*smHtlo-* would yield Latin *\*(s)matlo-* > *\*makulo-*. For the likelihood of the first step in this development, see Schrijver 1991: 171. Semantically, an etymology of ‘stain’ as ‘smearing’ is not compelling, but it is conceivable. LIV adopts Chantraine’s suggestion that the Gr. forms with *-α-* are secondary, and the root may have been *\*smeh<sub>1</sub>-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 5f., EM 376, IEW 966f., LIV ?*\*smeh<sub>1</sub>-*.

**madeō** ‘to be wet’ [v. II; pf. *maduī*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *madidus* ‘sodden, soaked, drunk’ (Naev.+), *madulsa* ‘state of drunkenness’ (Pl.+); *madefacere* ‘to make wet, soak’ (Cato+), *madefactāre* ‘to soak’ (Pl.), *permadefacere* ‘to soak thoroughly’ (Pl.); *commadēre* ‘to become tender’ (Cato).

Plt. *\*mad-ē-*.

PIE *\*mh<sub>2</sub>d-eh<sub>1</sub>-* ‘to be wet’. IE cognates: Skt. *mādati* ‘to rejoice, get intoxicated’, OAv. *mada-* ‘intoxication’, YAv. *madāite* ‘gets intoxicated’, MoP *mast* ‘intoxicated’; Gr. *μαδάω* ‘to be moist’, *μαδαρός* ‘watery’.

The Plautine invention *madulsa* is said to have been formed invoke *mulsus* ‘honeyed’, f. *mulsa* (*pōtiō*). I agree with Schrijver that the connection of *mad-* ‘wet’ with OIr. *maidid* ‘breaks, bursts’ is unconvincing. The Ilr. and Gr. words can be connected under the assumption that Ilr. *mad-* reflects PIE *\*meh<sub>2</sub>d-* (cf. Lubotsky 1981) and Gr. and Latin *mad-* are the regular outcome of *\*mh<sub>2</sub>d-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 6-8, EM 377, IEW 694f., Schrijver 1991: 167, 171, LIV *\*mad-*.

**maereō** ‘to be sad, mourn’ [v. II] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *maeror* ‘grief’ (Pl.+), *maestus* ‘sad, mournful’ (Pl.+), *maestiter* ‘sadly’ (Pl.), *maestitia* ‘sadness’ (Pl.+), *maestitūdō* ‘id.’ (Pl.+), *maestāre* ‘to make sad’ (Acc.+).

Plt. *\*mais-*.

PIE *\*meh<sub>2</sub>is-?*

It seems likely that *\*mais-* ‘sad, grief’ is connected with the adj. *miser*, but no acceptable PIE pedigree has been found. It is possible to reconstruct *\*mais-* < *\*meh<sub>2</sub>i-s-* and *miser* < *\*mh<sub>2</sub>i-s-ró-* if one accepts Schrijver’s hesitant conclusion (1991: 248) that pretonic *\*HI* yields short *i* in Latin. Yet there is no evident candidate for a PIE root *\*mh<sub>2</sub>(-i)-*. In theory, it might be an *i*-present to the root *\*mh<sub>2</sub>-* ‘to beckon, give a sign’, reconstructed by LIV for CS *manṣti* ‘to beckon’, Gr. *μηνύω*, Dor. *μᾶνύω* ‘to indicate, make known’, and for OCS *namajati* ‘to nod, beckon’, Lith. *móti* ‘to beckon’ (possibly also in PGM. *\*mōjan-* ‘to tire oneself’, OHG *muoan*).

Bibl.: WH II: 8f., EM 377. → *miser*

**magnus** ‘great, big, large’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Lex XII, Andr.+)

Derivatives: (1) *magnitās* ‘size’ (Acc.), *magnitūdō* ‘size, extent’ (Varro+), *magnu/ificus* ‘splendid, proud’ (Pl.+), *magnificāre* ‘to prize’ (Pl.+), *magnificentia* ‘boastfulness, majesty’ (Ter.+), *magnanimus* ‘brave, generous’ (Pl.+), *magnidicus*

'boastful' (Pl.); *permagnus* 'very large' (Ter.+); (2) *magis* 'more' (Lex XII+), *dēmagis* 'furthermore' (Lucil.+); *maior* [*maiior* Pl.], *-ōris* 'greater, older' (Lex XII+), *māiestās* 'dignity, majesty' (Andr.+), *māiusculus* 'somewhat older' (Pl.+); *maxu/imus* 'greatest, biggest' (Pl.+), *maximitās* 'hugeness' (Lucr.); *magister* 'commander, teacher' (Pl.+), *magistra* 'female teacher' (Pl.+), *magisterium* 'instruction, control' (Pl.+), *magistrātus*, *-ūs* '(the office of a) magistrate' (Pl.+); (3) *Māius* [adj.] 'name of the fifth month, May' (Varro+).

PIt. *\*magno/ā-* 'great', *\*magjōs-*, *-jo/es-*, *-is* 'greater', *\*magisamo-* 'greatest'. It. cognates: Ven. *magetlon* 'offering' (?); *maisteratorbos* [dat.pl.] 'to the *\*magisterātors*' (cf. Marinetti 2004: 395-399); O. *mais* 'more', U. *mestru* [nom.sg.f.] 'bigger' < *\*ma(g)is-tero-*; O. *maimas* 'biggest' < *\*ma-i-mo-*.

PIE *\*mǵ-no-* 'great', *\*mǵ-i(V)s-* 'greater'. IE cognates: Olr. *maige* 'large' < *\*magio-*, *magdae* 'vast', *māl* 'prince' < *\*mag-lo-*; Olr. *moigid* or *mogaid* 'to add', MW *moi* 'to give birth to a foal' < *\*mog-*; Hit. *mekk-*, *mekki-* / *mekkai-* 'much, many, numerous', CLuw. *maia-* 'much, many' (?) < nom.acc.sg.n. *\*meǵh<sub>2</sub>-*; Hit. *makkešš-<sup>zi</sup>* 'to become numerous', *makmu-<sup>zi</sup>* 'to multiply' < *\*mǵh<sub>2</sub>-*; Skt. *māhi-* 'large', *mahānt-* 'great', Av. *maziiah-* 'bigger, more', *mazišta-* 'biggest', OAv. *mazōi* [dat.sg.], *mazbīš* [ins.pl.], YAv. *mazānt-* 'big', Gr. *μέγας*, Arm. *mec*, Alb. *madh* 'large', Go. *mikils*, OE *micel*, Olc. *mikill* 'large, great, many', ToB *māka*, ToA *māk* 'many'.

For *maximus* < *\*magisVmo-*, see Cowgill 1970: 125. The reflex *magnus* < *\*mǵno-* is explained phonetically by Schrijver by his rule *\*RDC- > RaDC-*. The zero-grade suffix *-is* of *magis* is quite archaic from a PIE point of view; see also *satis*. It cannot reflect *\*magjes*, pace Meiser 1998: 195; cf. Schrijver 2003: 61, 65. The noun *māiestās* retains the suffix variant *\*-jes-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 10-12, EM 377-379, IEW 708f., Lejeune 1974: 336, Schrijver 1991: 480, Sihler 1995: 359, Meiser 1998: 65, Untermann 2000: 442f., 475, Schumacher 2004: 469. → *ingēns*

**māiālis** 'gelded boar' [m. *i*] (Titin.+)

The Roman etymology (in Varro etc.) which states that *māiālis* was thus named because it was sacrificed to the deity *māia* would fit the morphology, but is suspect for obvious reasons: it may be a mere popular etymology. The alternative etymology as *\*māsdī-āli-* by Schrijver 1991 connects Olr. *mát*, *máta* 'pig', but the morphology remains unclear. Also, it is uncertain that *\*-sdī-* would really yield Latin *-i-* (or *[-ii-]*).

Bibl.: WH II: 13, EM 379, Schrijver 1991: 143.

**māla** 'cheeks, jaws' [f. *ā*] (Pl.+; usually pl.)

Derivatives: *maxilla* 'lower part of the face, jaws' (Cic.+).

PIt. *\*smaksla-*.

PIE *\*smk-slo/h<sub>2</sub>-* 'beard, chin'. IE cognates: Olr. *smech* 'chin', Hit. *zamankur*, *zamankur* 'beard' < *\*smok-ur* (with secondary nasalization, and *z-* for *\*š-*); Hit. *šamankuruant-* [adj.] 'bearded'; Skt. *śmáśru-* [n.] 'beard', Arm. *mawrow-k'* 'beard', Alb. *mjekrē* 'chin, beard' [f.], Lith. *smākras*, *smakrà* 'chin', Latv. *smakrs* 'chin' < *\*smok-ro-*.

*Māla* reflects *\*smaks(V)la*, whereas *maxilla* can be from *\*smakslela*, or has the productive suffix *-illa*. Plt. *\*smaksla-* is explained from *\*smksla-* by Schrijver 1991: 496, with anaptyctic *a* in front of three contiguous consonants. The *a* cannot reflect *\*o* with the unrounding of *\*mo-* > *ma-*, since this unrounding only took place in open syllable or in front of *rC*. Leumann 1977 adopts Thurneysen's alternative etymology as *\*mand-sla* 'chewing parts' to *mandere* 'to chew'. To its advantage, one may adduce the fact that *\*-slo-* is usually deverbal; to its disadvantage, that *maxilla* would have secondary *-illa* on the example of *āla* – *axilla* (also a body part).

Bibl.: WH II: 15, EM 379, IEW 968, Leumann 1977: 208, Schrijver 1991: 490, 496.

**malleus** 'hammer, mallet' [m. *o*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *malleolus* 'fire-dart, mallet-shoot' (Sis.+), *mallō* 'kind of tumour on the knees (with animals)' (Chiron.+); *marculus* 'small hammer' (Lucil.+).

Plt. *\*molalo-* 'hammer', *\*molklo-* 'hammer'.

PIE *\*molH-lo-*, *\*molH-tlo-* 'crushing instrument'. IE cognates: OCS *mlatъ*, Ru. *mólot*, Cz. *mlat* 'hammer' < *\*molH-to-*.

*Malleus* could be derived from the root for 'to grind'; for the semantics, cf. Ru. *mólot* 'hammer' to PIE *\*mlH-*. Schrijver 1991 shows that *malleus* must be an independent formation of Latin: an adj. in *-eus* built to a form *\*malalo-* < *\*mola-lo-* (unrounding of *\*o* after *m-*) < *\*molH-lo-*. In foursyllabic *\*malaleos*, syncope yielded *malleus*. Schrijver also argues that *marculus* must be a diminutive of a noun in *\*-ko-*. He assumes a dissimilation *l* > *r* from *\*malakelo-* > *\*malkelo-* > *\*markelo-*. The original form may have been *\*molH-ko-*. Or, if the dim. was formed more recently, *\*malalo-kele-* > *\*mallokele-* > *\*malkelo-*. Yet these explanations are all rather cumbersome. Seldeslachts-Swiggers 1995 propose PIE *\*molh<sub>1</sub>-tlo-* > *\*moltlo-* > *\*molklo-* > *\*morklo-* > *marculus*. The dissimilation of the first *\*l* to *r* would have to precede the unrounding *\*mo-* > *ma-*, and thus be rather early. Of course, *\*molklo-* could have acquired *ma-* analogically from *\*malalo-* as above. In view of the parallel formation of *\*molh<sub>1</sub>-tlo-* in BSl., this explanation for *marculus* seems preferable.

Bibl.: WH II: 16, 37, EM 380, IEW 716-719, Schrijver 1991: 455-457, LIV *\*melh<sub>2</sub>-* → *molō*

**malus** 'unpleasant, bad' [adj. *o/ā*] (Duenos inscr., Andr.+)

Derivatives: *malignus* 'mean, unkind' (Pl.+), *malignitās* 'meanness' (Pl.+), *malitia* 'wicked character, vice' (Pl.+), *malefacere* 'to do wrong, harm' (Pl.+), *malevolus* 'ill-disposed' (Pl.+), other cps. in *male-*.

Plt. *\*malo-*. It cognates: O. *mallom*, *malom* [acc.sg.], *mallud*, *malud* [abl.sg.] 'bad, evil' < O. *\*maluo-* or *\*maljo-*, or a borrowing from Latin.

PIE *\*mol-o-* 'bad'? IE cognates: OIr. *mell* 'destruction', Gr. μέλεος 'idle; unhappy', maybe βλασφημέω 'to slander'; Arm. *mel* 'sin', Lith. *mėlas* 'lie', Latv. *mālds* 'mistake'.

The etymology given here is proposed by EM. It is semantically fine, but since it concerns a collection of largely isolated words in different IE branches, it remains uncertain.

Bibl.: WH II: 19f., EM 380, IEW 724, Schrijver 1991: 457, Untermann 2000: 445.

**mālus** ‘pole, mast’ [m. *o*] (Pl.+)

Pit. \**masdo-*.

PIE \**m<sub>h</sub>₂sdo-* ‘pole, mast’? IE cognates: Mlr. *maide* ‘stick’ < \**mazdio-*; OIr. *mátán* ‘club, stick?’; OHG *mast* ‘pole, mast’, OE *mæst*.

If cognate with the Gm. and Irish forms, *mālus* must reflect \**mādos*, with \**d* > *l*. Schrijver 1991 regards a connection with *mās*, *maris* ‘man’ as attractive, in which case ‘man’ must be a metaphor for ‘penis’. The original meaning would be ‘pole, stick’. Although conceivable, I regard this as uncertain. If rejected, no morphological analysis of the Italo-Celtic-Germanic element \**masd-* remains. It may be a non-IE loanword from the technical vocabulary.

Bibl.: WH II: 19, EM 381, IEW 701f., Schrijver 1991: 167. → *mās*

**malva** ‘mallow-plant’ [f. *ā*] (Varro+)

Probably a borrowing from a Mediterranean language, maybe Semitic: Hebr. *mallūah* ‘name of a plant’. See also the vacillation in the vocalism of Gr. *μαλόχη* (also attested as *μαλόχη*, *μολόχᾱ*) ‘mallow’.

Bibl.: WH II: 17f., EM 380.

**mamma** ‘breast, udder; mother’ [f. *ā*] (Pl.+; Varro+)

Derivatives: *mammia* ‘breast’ (Pl.), *mammeātus* ‘full-breasted’ (Pl.), *mammōsus* ‘large-breasted’ (Lab.+), *mammula* ‘nipple’ (Varro+), *mamilla* ‘nipple, spout’ (Varro+).

Pit. \**mam(m)a*.

PIE \**mama*. IE cognates: Ir. W. *mam* ‘mother’; Skt. *māma-* [m.] ‘uncle’, MoP *mām*, *māmā*, *māmī* ‘mother’; Gr. *μάμμα*, Ion. Att. *μάμμη* ‘mother’, Arm. *mam* ‘grandmother’, Alb. *mēmē*, Lith. *mamà*, *momà*, Latv. *māma*, Ru. *máma*, NHG Alemannic *Mamme*.

Nursery word, reduplication of labial nasal plus *a*.

Bibl.: WH II: 21, EM 381, IEW 694. → *anus*, *māter*, *nonnus*

**mancus** ‘maimed, crippled (at the hand)’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Pit. \**manko-?*

If cognate with *manus* ‘hand’, *mancus* must contain the athernatic stem \**man-* ‘hand’. This etymology would imply a semantic shift \**man-ko-* ‘handy’ > ‘handicapped, having a defect of the hand’.

Bibl.: WH II: 23, EM 382, IEW 740f. → *manus*, *peccō*

**mandō, -ere** ‘to chew, bite’ [v. III; pf. *mandī*, ppp. *mānsum*] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *mandō* ‘glutton’ (Lucil.+); *mandūcus* ‘masked figure with champing jaws’ (Pl.+), *manducāre* ‘to chew, eat’ (Lucil.+), *mandūcō* ‘glutton’ (Pompon.+), *commandūcāre* ‘to chew up’ (Lucil.+); *māsūcius* ‘voracious’ (Paul. *ex F.*).

Pit. \**mand-n-* ‘to stir > chew’.

PIE \**mt-n(é)-h₂-* [pr.] ‘to stir, whirl’. IE cognates: Skt. *mānthanti* [3pl.act.], aor. *āmanthiṣ-*, pr.ps. *mathyá-* ‘to whirl round, rub, rotate stick to produce fire, shake’,

*mathitá-* ‘rubbed out’, *manthá-* [m.] ‘stirred drink’, Oss. *yzmaentyn / (æ)zmaentun* ‘to shake, stir around’; Lith. *męsti*, 3s. *meñčia* ‘to mix’, OCS *męsti*, Is. *męto*, Ru. *mjasti* (obs.) ‘to trouble, disturb’ < BSl. \*ment-.

The adj. *māsūcius* (vowel length unproven) can be interpreted as \**mānsūcius*, remade from *mand-ūcus* on the basis of the ppp. *mānsum* and/or the frequentative *mānsāre* (Nonius). WH, IEW and LIV assume that *mandō* belongs to the root of Skt. *math-* ‘to rob, snatch away’, Toch. *mānt-* ‘to hurt, separate’ < PIE \*menth<sub>2</sub>-. Meiser justifies the semantic difference by positing a shift from ‘to tear away’ → ‘grab (loot)’ → ‘shred’ → ‘eat greedily’ → ‘chew’, assuming that ‘shred’ can still be read in some of the older attestations in Latin. I do not share this view: even in a passage like Andr. 39 *cum socios nostros mandisset impius Ciclops*, *mandisset* simply means ‘had eaten’, literally ‘had chewed’. We cannot go beyond ‘to chew’ for the synchronic state of affairs in Latin. It seems more likely that *mandō* belongs to the root \*menth<sub>2</sub>- ‘to stir, whirl’, with a phonetic development as in *pandō* (see s.v.).

Bibl.: WH II: 24, EM 382, IEW 732f., Schrijver 1991: 222, Meiser 1998: 213, 2003: 212f., LIV \*menth<sub>2</sub>- → *māla*

**maneō** ‘to remain in the same place’ [v. II; pf. *mānsī*, ppp. *mānsum*] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *mantāre* ‘to remain, wait’ (Pl.+), *ommentāre* ‘to tarry’ (Andr.); *permanēre* ‘to remain, continue to be’ (Ter.+), *remanēre* ‘to remain, be left’ (Acc.+); *mānsiō* ‘staying, lodging’ (Ter.+).

Plt. \**m(o)n-ē-*.

PIE \*mn-eh<sub>1</sub>- ‘to remain’. IE cognates: Skt. *ámaman* [3s.ipf./aor.act.] ‘has waited’, *mamandhi* [2s.ipv.act.] ‘wait!’, *pári ... mamanyāt* [3s.opt.act.] ‘if he would miss / neglect’, YAv. *upa.mṇaiia-* ‘to wait’, OP *mānaya-* ‘to expect’; Gr. μένω ‘to remain, stay’, μίμνω ‘id.’, μωνή ‘staying, detention’, Arm. *mnam* ‘stay, expect’.

The ppp. *mānsus* (whence *mānsī*) is a secondary formation; the original form was \**mantos*, as visible from *mantāre*. The meaning ‘remain’ shows that *manēre* probably continues a PIE stative in \*-eh<sub>1</sub>-, but the morphology of the root is disputed. LIV assumes zero-grade \*mn-, but Schrijver objects that we would expect an outcome \**menē-*. He proposes that *manē-* represents \**monē-* with unrounding of \**o* in open syllable after *m*; the *o*-grade would stem from the perfect \**me-mon-*. For this pf., however, there is no certain evidence in the IE languages. LIV furthermore objects that *moneō* ‘to admonish’ did not undergo unrounding, but Schrijver (1991: 472) obviates this drawback by assuming that *o*-vocalism was restored in *moneō* but not in *manē-*. Obviously, this is ad hoc, but so is a vocalization \**mn-ē-* > *manē-*. Sihler assumes that the *a* in *maneō* is a replacement for \**meneō* on the model of *habeō*, *iaceō*, *placeō*, etc., but I see no motive for this replacement: Latin also has *teneō*, *mereō*, *sedeō* with *e*-vocalism. There is one other conceivable solution, viz. that *maneō* does reflect \**mn-ē-*, and that the regular vocalization of this sequence was \**monē-* in Plt., at a stage preceding the Latin unrounding of \**mo-* > \**ma-* in open syllables. In particular, initial *m-* could have played a rounding role in this process.

Bibl.: WH II: 26, EM 383, IEW 729, Schrijver 1991: 457f., Sihler 1995: 98, Meiser 2003: 139, LIV 2.\*men-.



**mānō, -āre** ‘to flow, pour, run, spread’ [v. I] (Enn.+)

Derivatives: *permānāre* ‘to flow through, diffuse’ (Pl.+), *permānāscere* ‘to seep through’ (Pl.), *remānāre* ‘to flow back’ (Enn.+), *summānāre* ‘to flow underneath’ (Pl.+); *mānābilis* ‘seeping’ (Lucr.), *mānālis* ‘of a spring, of rain’ (Varro+).

Plt. \**māno*-?

PIE \**meh<sub>2</sub>-no*-? IE cognates: OIr. *móin* (for \**máin*, cf. gen.sg. *mana*; see Schrijver), W. *mawn* ‘peat-bogg, moss’, Bret. *man* ‘moss’ < \**māni*-.

Denominal to a noun \**māno/ā*-? Latin \**māno*- and Celtic \**māni*- could go back to a PIE root \**meh<sub>2</sub>-*, but no good candidate is available. This connection thus remains isolated.

Bibl.: WH II: 30f., EM 384, IEW 699f., Schrijver 1991: 143.

**manus, -ūs** ‘hand’ [f. u] (Lex XII, Andr.+)

Derivatives: *manicae* [f.pl.] ‘handcuffs, sleeve’ (Pl.+), *manicula* ‘small hand’ (Pl.+), *manuālis* ‘held in the hand’ (Sis.+), *manuārī* ‘to steal’ (Lab. apud Gell.), *manuārius* ‘thief’ (Lab. apud Gell.), *manuleus* ‘a long sleeve’ (Pl.+), *manuleātus* ‘having long sleeves’ (Pl.+), *manuleārius* ‘maker of sleeved garments’ (Pl.); *comminus* ‘at close quarters, close at hand’ (Enn.+), *ēminus* ‘at long range’ (Sis.+); *mandāre* ‘to hand over, commit, command’ (Pl.+), *commendāre* ‘to entrust, recommend’ (Pl.+), *praemandāre* ‘to recommend beforehand’ (Pl.+); *manceps*, *-cipis* ‘contractor, agent’ (Pl.+), *mancu/ipium* ‘confiscation, ownership, slave’ (Lex XII+), *mancu/ipāre* ‘to transfer, sell’ (Pl.+), *ēmancipāre* ‘to release, make subservient’ (Pl.+); *manu/ip(u)lus* ‘handful, bundle, unit’ (Pl.+), *manu/ip(u)lāris* [m.] ‘common soldier’, *manip(u)lātīm* ‘in companies’ (Pl.+); *malluviae* [f.pl.] ‘water in which the hands have been washed’ (Fest., Paul. ex F.); *mantēlum* ‘hand-towel, napkin’ (Lucil.+), *mantēlium* (Varro+) ‘hand-towel, napkin’; *manubiae* [f.pl.] ‘prize-money, gain’ (Naev.+), *manubiārius* ‘concerned with booty’ (Pl.); *manubrium* ‘handle, haft’ (Pl.+); *manupretium* ‘payment for workmanship’ (Pl.+), *manu/ifestus* ‘caught in the act, evident’ (Lex XII+), *manifestārius* ‘caught in the act’ (Pl.+); *manūmittere* ‘to free’ (Varro+).

Plt. \**man-u-* ‘hand’. It. cognates: O. *manim* [acc.sg.] ‘hand’, U. *manuve* [loc.sg. + *-en*], *mani*, *manī* [abl.sg.], *manf* [acc.pl.], SPic. *manus* [abl.pl.] ‘hand’ < \**manu-*; O. *manafum* [1s.pf.?] ‘to give’, *aamanafed*, *aamanafed*, *amanafed* [3s.pf.] ‘to commission’, *emanafed* [3s.pf.] ‘id.’ < \**man-fe-f* ‘to put at hands’ (+ prev. *ā-*, *ē-*) < \**d<sup>h</sup>e-d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-*; U. *mantrahklu*, *mantraklu*, *mandraclō* [acc.sg.] ‘?’.

PIE \**mon-u-* ‘hand’. IE cognates: OIr. *muin* ‘protection, patronage’, Hit. *manijahh-<sup>i</sup>* ‘to distribute, entrust’ < \**mn-ieh<sub>2</sub>-*, OHG *munt*, OIc. *mund* ‘hand’, OIc. *mundr* ‘the sum which the bridegroom has to pay for his bride’ < \**mn-to-*.

All Latin forms contain the stem \**manu-* ‘hand’. Schrijver argues that *mancus* ‘maimed’ proves a stem \**man-*, but this is uncertain. The nouns *manicae* and \**manulus* (in *manuleus*) are regular derivations, and in *comminus* and *ēminus*, we have \**-manus*. The verb *mandāre* corresponds with Oscan \**manfef-* from \**manu-* + \*(*d<sup>h</sup>e-*)\**d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-* ‘to put’ = ‘to put at hand, commit’. *Manceps* belongs to *capiō* ‘take’, and *manip(u)lus* might be from \**manu-plo-* < \**-plh<sub>1</sub>-o-* ‘a hand-ful’ (see *pleō*). For *malluviae*, see *lavō*, for *manubiae* etc. see *habeō*. Lat. *mantēlum* probably reflects

*\*man-tergslo-*. It is disputed whether the Plt. stem was *\*man-* or *\*manu-*. The only form which seems to be impossible from *\*manu-* is the U. acc.pl. **manf**, which Schrijver argues to be a consonant stem: *\*man-ŋs* > *\*man-Vns* > *\*manVf* > **manf**. Weiss (1993: 46) explains it as analogical to *ped-*, with which it cooccurred in the set phrase *manibus pedibusque* ‘with all one’s strength’. Schrijver compares OIr. *muin* ‘protection’ < *\*moni-* and Hit. *maniahh-* ‘to hand over’, and reconstructs *\*mon-* for Latin, with *\*mo-* > *ma-* in open syllable. This seems possible, although the semantic connection with Irish is not very compelling. The closest semantic and formal match is with OIc. *mund*, OE *mund*, OHG *munt* ‘hand’ < *\*mn-tó-*. The morphology is strange: is Plt. *\*momu-* an original adjective?

Bibl.: WH II: 23-25, 29, 32, 34f., EM 381-386, IEW 740f., Schrijver 1991: 458, Untermann 2000: 448-451. → *suēscō*, *tergeō*

**mānus** ‘good’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Carmen Saliare+; maybe Duenos inscr. acc.sg. *manom*). Variant: *mānis*.

Derivatives: *mānī* (Pl., Lucil.), *māne* (Pl.+), ‘early in the day, morning’, *Mānēs*, *-ium* [m.pl.] ‘the spirits of the dead’ (Lucr.+), *Mānia* ‘the mother of the Lares; (pl.) bogies’ (Varro+); *immānis* ‘savage, brutal (Pl.+), enormous (Varro+)’.

Plt. *\*māno-*.

PIE *\*meh<sub>2</sub>-no-* ‘good’. IE cognates: OIr. *maith*, W. *mad*, Bret. *mat* ‘good’ < *\*mh<sub>2</sub>-ti-*.

A Latino-Celtic correspondence with regular ablaut, but the root etymology is uncertain. LIV has a root *\*meh<sub>2</sub>-* ‘to give a sign, wink’, which might have developed into ‘be favourable’. But this is very speculative.

Bibl.: WH II: 25-28, EM 383f., IEW 693, Schrijver 1991: 143. → *mātūrus*

**marceō** ‘to be withered, droop’ [v. II] (Lucr.+)

Derivatives: *marcēscere* ‘to wither’ (Varro+); *permarcēre* ‘to be very weak’ (Enn.+); *murcidus* ‘lazy’ (Pompon.), *muricidus* ‘faint-hearted’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*mark-ē-* [v.], *\*morko-* [adj.].

PIE *\*mrk-eh<sub>1</sub>-* ‘to be soaked, be weak’, *\*mork-o-* ‘weak’. IE cognates: Hit. *markije/a<sup>-2i</sup>* ‘to disapprove of, refuse’ < *\*mrk-ie/o-*; Skt. pr. *pra-mṛcyati*, caus. *marcáyati*, aor. *mṛkṣīṣṭa* ‘to damage, hurt’, *mṛktá-* ‘hurt’, *marká-* [m.] ‘destruction, death’, *mṛc-* ‘the injuring, injure’, OAv. *mərənc-* / *mərəng-* [pr.], *marəṣaitē* [3s.aor.sb.med.] ‘to destroy’, *marəka-* ‘ruin’, YAv. *mahrka-* [m.] ‘death’, *ahu-mərəṣš* [nom.sg.] ‘destroying life’, Oss. *marg* ‘poison’; Lith. *mirkti* ‘to become weak, soaked’, *merkti* ‘to soak’, Ukr. *morokvá* (dial.) ‘quagmire, swamp’, MHG *meren* ‘to dip bread into water or wine’ < *\*meryen* < *\*merk-*. Maybe here Mlr. *brén*, W. *braen*, Bret. *brein* ‘rotten, foul’ < *\*mrekno-*, *\*mrakn(i)o-*; but these may also contain *\*b<sup>h</sup>r-*, and belong to OHG *braccho* ‘hound’, Lat. *fragrō*.

If *marceō* belongs here it must reflect PIE *\*mork-* with unrounding of *\*mo-* > *\*ma-*, or PIE *\*mrk-* with vocalization to *\*mark-* in front of another consonant, or as a secondary full grade (thus Rix 1996: 61). Since *murcidus* and *muricidus* (maybe a corruption of *murcidus*) are semantically very close to *marceō* (see Meiser 1998: 84), and since they probably reflect *\*mork-*, it is preferable to assume *\*mrk-* for the verb.

Bibl.: WH II: 36f., EM 386f., IEW 739f., Schrijver 1991: 458f., 1995: 171f.

**mare** 'sea; sea-water' [n. *i*] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *marīnus* 'of the sea' (Pl.+), *sēmimarīnus* 'half belonging to the sea' (Lucr.), *trānsmarīnus* 'of overseas' (Varro+), *maritimus* 'of the sea, nautical' (Pl.+), *mariscus* 'rush' (Plin.+), *marisca* 'large and inferior kind of fig' (Cato+).

Plt. *\*mari-* 'sea, lake', *\*marisko-* [adj.].

PIE *\*mor-i-* [n.] 'sea, lake'. IE cognates: OIr. *muir* [m.], W. *mor* 'sea' < *\*mori*, Oss. *mal* 'stagnant water', OPr. *mary*, Lith. *mārės* < *\*moriā*, OCS *morje*, Ru. *móre* [n.], *morjá* [nom.pl.] < *\*morio-*, Go. *mari-saihw*s, OIc. *marr* 'sea', OHG *mari*, *meri* 'sea, lake'.

*Mare* has resulted from unrounding of *\*mo-* in open syllable. The adj. *mariscus* is considered to be obscure, but may well be a derivative of *mare*. Note that *\*mor-i-* referred to any large body of water, e.g. a lake. Lat. *mari-timus* may have been modelled on *fini-timus* and *ex-timus*. If the isolated Ossetic form is a loanword from Gothic, the etymon *\*mori* is restricted to the European languages.

Bibl.: WH II: 38-40, EM 387, IEW 748, Schrijver 1991: 459.

**margō, -inis** 'retaining wall, border, margin' [m. *n*] (Varro+)

Plt. *\*marg-en-*.

PIE *\*mrǵ-n-* 'border'. IE cognates: OIr. *mruig* 'territory, area', W. *bro* 'country, land', Gaul. PN *Brogi-māros*, ethnonym *Allobroges* 'Foreigners' < PCl. *\*mrog-i-*; Hit. *mārk-*<sup>1</sup> / *mark-* 'to divide, separate' < *\*m(o)rg-*; MoP *marz* 'region' < *\*morg-*; Go. *marka* 'area, border'. The different vocalization in Celtic is unexplained.

Schrijver explains *margō* and *marceō* from a change *\*mo-* > *\*ma-* in front of *r* + *velar*, but see s.v. *marceō*. Since *margō* continues an *n*-stem, we may also explain *mar-* as the regular vocalization of a zero grade in *\*mrg-n-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 39f., EM 387, IEW 738, Schrijver 1991: 459.

**marītus** 'married, marital; husband' [adj.; m.] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *marītāre* 'to mate, provide with a husband or wife' (Varro+), *commarītus* 'fellow husband' (Pl.).

Plt. *\*mareito-* / *\*marīto-* 'having a young woman'.

PIE *\*mor-(e)i-* or *\*mor-ih<sub>2</sub>-* 'young woman'. IE cognates: W. *morwyn*, OCo. *moroin* 'girl, maiden' < PCl. *\*moreinā-*, MW *merch* 'daughter' < *\*mer-k-*; Skt. *mārya-* 'young man', YAv. *mairiia-* [m.] 'scoundrel, villain', Bac. *μαρηγο* 'servant', Gr. *μῆραξ* [m./f.] 'girl, boy' < *\*mer-jo-*; OPr. *mergo*, *-u*, *-a* 'maiden', Lith. *marti* 'girl, bride (without children)' < *\*mor-t-iH-*, Lith. *mergà* 'girl' < *\*merg<sup>h</sup>-h<sub>2</sub>-*.

Derivation with possessive *\*-io-* from a stem *\*morei-* / *\*morī-* > *\*mārī-* 'young woman'; Latin shows unrounding of *\*mo-* to *\*ma-* in open syllable. The *o*-grade can be reconstructed for Latin based on the fact that Celtic and Lith. also have *\*mor-*. No zero grade is attested for the root of this stem.

Bibl.: WH II: 40f., EM 387, IEW 738f., Thieme 1963: 238-241, Leumann 1977: 334, Schrijver 1991: 459f., 1995: 248.

**Mārs** ‘the god Mars; martial prowess, warfare’ [m. *i*] (VOLat.+; Lapis Satricanus *mamartei* [dat.sg.], CIL 49 (Tusculum) *maurte* [dat.sg.])

Derivatives: *Mārspiter* ‘Father Mars’ [only nom.] (Varro+), *Māvors*, *-tis* ‘the god Mars, warfare’ (Pl.+); *Marmar*, *Marmor* ‘Mars’ (Carmen Arvale); *Mārtius* ‘of Mars, of March, martial’ (CIL 1.1513, Varro+); *Māmers*, *-tis* ‘the Oscan form of *Mars*’ (Varro+).

It. cognates: O. μαμερτει, **mam(e)rt(ei)** [dat.sg.] ‘Mars’, μαμερτινο [nom.sg.f.], μαμερτινουμ [gen.pl.m.] ‘of Mars’ (suffix *\*-ino-*), μαμερτιοι [dat.sg.m.], **mamertials**, **mamertiais** [abl.pl.f.] ‘of Mars’ (*\*-io-*); U. **mar-te**, **mar-ti**, **mar-ti** [dat.sg.] ‘Mars’, **mar-ties**, **mar-tier** [gen.sg.m.], **mar-ti**, **mar-tie** [dat.sg.m.], **mar-tie** [voc.sg.m.], Mars. *mar-tses* [abl.pl.f.] ‘of Mars’ (*\*-io-*).

The forms *Marmar* and *Marmor* of the Carmen Arvale cannot be trusted, and are better left out of consideration. WH assume *Māvors* to be the oldest form, but the Lapis Satricanus now shows that *mamart-* is older. The extant forms show a development within Latin from *\*māmart-*, which agrees with O. **mamert-**, via *\*māuolert-* (*Māvort-*, *maurte*) to *Mārt-*. The latter change would be regular if interpreted as *\*māwarts* > *Mārs* (loss of *\*w* between two identical vowels); dat.sg. *maurte* must be from a different dialect, or maybe shows the development in threesyllabic forms of the paradigm. The *o* in *Māvors* must then be secondary. Yet the preceding change of intervocalic *\*m* > *\*w* is unique; it could be interpreted as a dissimilation to the first *m-*, but it is still completely isolated. Hence, one might consider a foreign origin of the name *\*māmart-*, possibly with a sound in the second syllable which was neither *m* nor *v*, written with *m* in VOLat. and in Oscan.

Bibl.: WH II: 41-45, EM 388, Leumann 1977: 121, Meiser 1998: 127, Untermann 2000: 446-448, 452f.

**mās**, **maris** ‘male, masculine; a male’ [adj.; m. *i*] (Pl.+; gen.pl. *marium* Cic.)

Derivatives: *masculus* [adj.] ‘male’ (Varro+), *masculus* [m.] ‘a male’ (Pl.+), *masculīmus* ‘of the male sex’ (Varro+); *sēmimās* ‘half-male’ (Varro+).

Plt. *\*mās-* / *\*mas-*.

PIE *\*meh<sub>2</sub>-(ō)s* / *\*mh<sub>2</sub>-ēs*, *\*mh<sub>2</sub>-es-m*, *\*mh<sub>2</sub>-s-os*?

The ablaut *mās* vs. *maris* is explained by Schrijver from an ablauting paradigm *\*meh<sub>2</sub>-(o)s*, *\*mh<sub>2</sub>-(e)s-*. Adams 1985b: 246 has suggested that *mas-* in *masturbor* ‘to masturbate’ contains the same word, the original meaning having been ‘penis’. This would have been used metaphorically for ‘male, man’. According to Adams, *mālus* ‘pole’ could belong here too. Watkins 1995: 535f. and Katz 1998a: 211f. have suggested that *masturbor* contains a PIE element *\*mos(t)-* ‘marrow’; but it seems preferable to restrict the development *\*mo-* > *ma-* to open syllables (cf. Schrijver 1991: 474 and the discussions of *marceō* and *margō* above).

Bibl.: WH II: 46f., EM 388, Schrijver 1991: 167f. → *mālus*

**mateola** ‘wooden hammer’ [f. *ā*] (Cato)

Plt. *\*matejā-*.

PIE *\*mot-(i-?)* ‘club, hoe’. IE cognates: Skt. *matyā-* ‘club, harrow, roller’, *matī-kar*

‘to harrow, level the earth’, OCS *motyka*, Ru. *motýga* ‘hoe’, OHG *medela* ‘plough’.

Diminutive of a noun *\*matea* ‘hoe’ vel sim., which could be from pre-Italic *\*mot-*. This noun might be derived from PIE *\*met-* ‘to measure’ > ‘throw / reap’, which is attested in the verb *metō*. Since *\*mot-* denotes an instrument to work the land in all languages, this would imply that the verb already had this connotation in PIE.

Bibl.: WH II: 49, EM 389, IEW 700, Schrijver 1991: 460. → *metō*

**māter, mātrīs** ‘mother’ [f. r] ( Andr.+)

Derivatives: *māternus* ‘maternal, motherly’ (Enn.+), *mātercula* ‘little mother’ (Pl.+), *mātrōna* ‘married woman, wife’ (Pl.+), *mātrōnālis* ‘of a married woman’ (Lab.+), *mātrimōnium* ‘marriage’ (Pl.+), *mātrālia, -ōrum* [n.pl.] ‘the festival of Mater Matuta’ (Varro+), *mātrēscere* ‘to become like one’s mother’ (Pac.), *mātrīx* ‘female animal kept for breeding’ (Varro+), *mātertera* ‘mother’s sister’ (Pl.+); *māteria / māteriēs* [f.] ‘material, substance, wood’ (Pl.+), *māteriārius* [m.] ‘timber-merchant’ (Pl.+), *māterīnus* ‘rough, unused’ (Cato).

Plt. *\*mātēr, \*mātr-*. It. cognates: Fal. *mate* [nom.sg.], O. *maatreis*, U. *matres, matrer* [gen.sg.], SPic. *matereih* [dat.sg.?] ‘mother’.

PIE *\*méh<sub>2</sub>-tr-* ‘mother’. IE cognates: Skt. *mātār-*, Av. *mātar-*, MoP *mādar*, Gr. μήτηρ, Arm. *mayr* ‘mother’, Alb. *motër* ‘sister’, Lith. *mótė*, OCS *mati*, OHG *muoter*, OIr. *máithir*, ToB *mācer*, ToA *mācar* ‘mother’.

The noun *mātertera* contains the comparative suffix *\*-tero-*: ‘who is like a mother’, ‘the aunt on mother’s side’. The PIE root etymology is uncertain. ‘Mother’ might be a derivative in *\*-ter-* to the nursery form *\*ma(ma)* ‘mum’ (cf. Dor. *μᾶ* ‘mother’), but phonologically it was apparently realized as *\*méh<sub>2</sub>-*, yielding a long vowel.

Bibl.: WH II: 49-52, EM 389f., IEW 700f., Giacomelli 1963: 251, Schrijver 1991: 384, Beekes 1995: 188, Untermann 2000: 441.

**mātūrus** ‘ripe, full-grown’ [adj. o/ā] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *mātūrāre* ‘to make haste, speed, ripen’, *mātūrēscere* ‘to mature, ripen’ (Varro+); *immātūrus* ‘unripe, not mature’ (Pl.+), *praemātūrus* ‘very early, prompt’; *Mātūta* ‘the goddess of Dawn’ (Lucr.+), *mātūtīnus* ‘of early morning’ (Acc.+).

Plt. *\*mātu-* ‘ripeness’. It. cognates: maybe O. *maatúis* [dat.pl.] name of a god.

PIE *\*meh<sub>2</sub>-tu-* ‘ripeness’.

Both *\*māturo-* ‘ripe’ and *\*mātūto-* ‘of early morning’ presuppose a noun *\*mātu-* ‘ripeness, early moment’. The root is probably the same as in *mānus* ‘good’. Long *-ū-* can be analogical after the adj. of the type *acūtus*, from a full-grade suffix *\*-te/ou-* (less likely), or from an ins.sg. *\*meh<sub>2</sub>-tuh<sub>1</sub>* ‘with ripeness’ (whence *\*meh<sub>2</sub>-tuh<sub>1</sub>-t-*, and thematization).

Bibl.: WH II: 53f., EM 391, IEW 693, Leumann 1977: 316, Untermann 2000: 442.  
→ *mānus*

**mē** ‘me’ [pron. pers. 1s. oblique] (VOLat.+): acc.abl. *mēd, mē* (*med* Duenos inscr., Garigliano Bowl, Tibur base, Pl., Enn.), dat. *mihei, mihī, mī*, gen.sg. *meī*.

Derivatives: poss. adj. *meus* ‘my’ (VOLat.+; Garigliano Bowl *meois* [abl.pl.m.]).

PIt. acc. *\*mē* (stressed), *\*me* (unstressed), dat. *\*mexei*, abl. *\*med*, gen. *\*me/oi*; poss. *\*mejo-*. It. cognates: Ven. **mego**, *mego*, *meu* [acc.]; Fal. **med**, **met** [acc.], [**me**]d [?abl.]; PalU. **miom** [acc.sg.], U. *mehe* [dat.sg.] ‘I, me’ < acc. *\*mē-om*, dat. *\*me-hei*.

PIE acc. *\*h<sub>1</sub>mé*, *\*h<sub>1</sub>me*, dat. *\*h<sub>1</sub>meǵ<sup>h</sup>io*, abl. *\*h<sub>1</sub>med*, gen.loc. *\*h<sub>1</sub>moi* ‘me’; *\*h<sub>1</sub>mo-* [adj.] ‘my’. IE cognates: Skt. *mām* [acc.], *máyā* [ins.], *máhyam* [dat.], *mát* [abl.], *máma* [gen.], *máyi* [loc.], *mā* [acc.], *me* [gen.dat.encl.]; Gr. *ἐμέ* [acc.], *με* [acc.encl.], *μοι* [dat.]; OCS *mę* ‘me’; Go. *mik* ‘me’, NHG *mich* [acc.], Go. *meina* [gen.], *mis* [dat.].

The *-d* in the abl. can be inherited from PIE. In the acc., it can be the abl. form which was used as the acc. The long vowel will stem from the stressed acc.sg. *\*me* > *\*mē*. The dat.sg. goes back to *\*mexei* as shown by Umbrian *mehe*. The gen.sg. *meī* is really the gen. of the poss. *meus* ‘my’ < *\*meio-*, a thematization of *\*mei*, which may be a remake of PIE *\*h<sub>1</sub>moi* [gen. loc.].

Bibl.: WH II: 84f., EM 391, IEW 703, Giacomelli 1963: 251, Lejeune 1974: 336, Leumann 1977: 461f., Schrijver 1991: 17, Beekes 1995: 207f., Sihler 1995: 371-379, Meiser 1998: 156-158, Untermann 2000: 479f., Marinetti 1999: 426, Wallace 2005 → *egō*

**medeor** ‘to heal, cure’ [v. II] (Cato+)

Derivatives: *medicus* ‘doctor’ (Pl.+), *medicāre* ‘to cure, heal’, *medicārī* ‘to cure’ (Pl.+), *medicīna* ‘surgery, remedy, healing’ (Pl.+), *medicīnus* ‘of healing’ (Varro+), *medicāmentum* ‘medicament, drug’ (Pl.+); *remedium* ‘remedy’ (Cato+).

PIt. *\*med-ē-*.

PIE *\*med-* ‘to measure’. IE cognates: OIr. *midithir* ‘to measure, judge’, MW *meðu* ‘to think, possess, distribute’, MCo. *medhes* ‘to say’ < PCl. *\*mede/o-*, OIr. *mess* ‘judgement’ < *\*med-tu-*, *air-med* ‘measure’; YAv. *vī-mādaiianta* ‘they must measure’, *vī-mad-* ‘healer, physician’; Gr. *μέδω* ‘to rule’, *μέδομαι* ‘to care for, think of’, *μῆδομαι* ‘to consider’; Go. *mitan*, *miton* ‘to measure, consider’, OE *metan*, NHG *messen*.

The meaning of *medeor* is based on a semantic shift from ‘measure’ to ‘distribute a cure, heal’. For a PIE stative verb (as assumed by LIV) or a frequentative, the *e*-grade in *med-* would be surprising. If the intermediate phase was ‘to judge’ (cf. *meditor*), *medeor* and its *e*-grade may have been grafted on the noun *\*medo(s)-* ‘judgement, law’ (> *modus*) seen in U. *meřs*, *mers* ‘law’. We can then regard it as a stative verb *\*med-ē-* ‘to be a judge’ > ‘to be a healer, to heal’ which was formed within Italic.

Bibl.: WH II: 54f., EM 392, IEW 705f., Schumacher 2004: 478ff., LIV 1.\*med-. → *meditor*, *modus*

**meditor**, *-ārī* ‘to think about constantly, contemplate, exercise’ [v. I] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *permeditātus* ‘well rehearsed’ (Pl.+).

Probably derived from the ppp. *\*meditos* belonging to *medeor* ‘to be a judge, give a judgement’ (see s.v. *medeor*). Hence, *\*med-itāje/o-* meant ‘to judge constantly, contemplate’.

Bibl.: WH II: 55f., EM 392f., IEW 705f., Leumann 1977: 548, LIV 1.\*med-. → *medeor*, *modus*

**medius** ‘central, middle’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *mediast(r)inus* ‘servant employed on general duties’ (Cato+); *dīmidius* ‘half, divided medially’ (Pl.+), *dīmidiātus* ‘halved’ (Pl.+); *medioxu/imus* ‘middle’ (Pl.+); *merīdiēs* ‘midday, noon’ (Pl.+), *merīdiānus* ‘of midday, southern’ (Varro+).

Plt. \**mepio-*. It. cognates: O. *mefiai* [loc.sg.f.], *mefi[ú]* [nom.sg.f.], SPic. *mefiū* [loc.sg. + *-en*] ‘middle’; maybe SPic. *mefistruī* [dat.sg.m/n.] ‘?’ if from \**mef-istero-*.

PIE \**med<sup>h</sup>i-o-* ‘middle’. IE cognates: Gaul. *Medio-lānum*, *-mātrici*, Olr. *mid-* (\**medhu-*) ‘mid-’, Mlr. *mide* ‘middle’, Olr. *i-mmedōn* ‘in medio’, Skt. *mādhyā-*, OAv. *maidīia-*, YAv. *maidīia-* ‘middle’, Gr. μέσος, μέσσοϛ [adj.] ‘middle’, OIc. *miðr*, OHG *mitti* [adj.] ‘located in the middle’.

Lat. *dīmidius* < \**dis-medi-*. Wachter 2004: 377 explains *medioxumus* as \**medio-* + \**ksomo-* ‘earth’ < \**d<sup>h</sup>g<sup>h</sup>omo-*, but there is no evidence that PIE \**d<sup>h</sup>g<sup>h</sup>* could yield *ks* in Latin (*texō* is not a good example, see s.v.). The adj. is more easily understood as a derivative in *-xumus* to *mediocris*, or to *in mediō* (thus Leumann). Since \**-d<sup>h</sup>i* occurs as a recognizable suffix in some adverbs of place (Skt. *ādhi* ‘on’, Gr. *-θι*), it might be hidden in *medius*. A meaning ‘middle’ for \**me* can be supported by Gr. μέτα ‘with’, Go. *mip* < \**me-t-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 57f., EM 393, IEW 706f., Leumann 1977: 498, Schrijver 1991: 133, Beekes 1995: 220, Sihler 1995: 441, Meiser 1998: 91, Untermann 2000: 464f.

**medulla** ‘marrow, pith, interior’ [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *medullitus* [adv.] ‘inwardly, from the marrow’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \*(*s*)*meru-lo-*?

PIE \**smer-u-* ‘marrow’. IE cognates: Olr. *smiur* (u), W. *mer*, Bret. *mel* ‘marrow’ < PCl. \**smeru-*, OIc. *smjǫr* [n.], OE *smeoro*, OHG *smero* ‘fat’ < \**smerua-*.

Semantically, the connection with \**smer-u-* is the most attractive one, but it requires a change *r* > *d* which is the opposite of what one might expect. It could be interpreted as a hypercorrection in view of \**d* > *r* in *merīdiēs* (where it is a dissimilation) and *arbiter*, *arfuisse* (where it is often interpreted as a dialecticism). Also, *u* in *-ulla* does not necessarily reflect \**-u-*. Still, the meaning is the same, so we may accept this explanation for the time being.

Bibl.: WH II: 58f., EM 393f., IEW 970f.

**meiō, -ere** ‘to urinate’ [v. III; pf. *mi(n)xī*, ppp. *mi(n)ctum*] (C. Titius *orat.*+)

Derivatives: *permeiere* ‘to urinate all over’ (Lucil.+); *circummingere* ‘to piss round’ (Petr.).

Plt. \**meiχ(j)e-*, \*(*-*)*ming-e/o-*.

PIE pr. \**h<sub>3</sub>meiǵ<sup>h</sup>-(i)e-*, pr. \**h<sub>3</sub>mi-n-ǵ<sup>h</sup>-* ‘to urinate’. IE cognates: Skt. *áva mehanti* [3p.act.] ‘they piss’, *amiham* [1s.aor.], *mehayati* [caus.], *mihé* [inf.], Av. *maēza-*, Gr. ὀμείγω, Lith. *mýžti*, Latv. *mizt*, SCr. *mižati* ‘to urinate’, Sln. *m(ə)zėti* ‘to flow, trickle, drip’, OE *mīgan* ‘to urinate’.

WH regards *-mingere* as a secondary present form, based on the pf. *mīnxī*; others, e.g. LIV, regard *mingō* as old.

Bibl.: WH II: 60f., EM 394, IEW 713, Schrijver 1991: 24, LIV \**h<sub>3</sub>meiǵ<sup>h</sup>-*.

**mel, mellis** ‘honey’ [n. 1] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *melculum* ‘sweetheart’ (Pl.), *mella* ‘hydromel’ (Pl.+), *mellārius* [m.] ‘bee-keeper’ (Varro+), *mellārium* ‘apiary’ (Varro), *mellītus* ‘honey-sweet’ (Varro+), *mellīna* ‘sweetness’ (Pl.), *mellilla* ‘sweetheart’ (Pl.); *mulsus* ‘mixed with honey’ (Pl.+), *mulsum* ‘drink made from honey and wine’ (Pl.+).

PIt. \**meli*.

PIE \**mel-i(-t)* [nom.acc.] ‘honey’. IE cognates: OIr. *mil*, W. Co. Bret. *mel* ‘honey’; Hit. *militt-* / *malitt-* [n.], CLuw. *mallit-* ‘honey’, Pal. *malitanna-* ‘having honey’, HLuw. *malidima/i-* ‘honey-sweet’ < \**mēlit*, \**ml-it-ós*, Gr. μέλι, -τος [n.] ‘honey’, μέλισσα, Att. -τια ‘bee’; Arm. *metr*, gen.sg. *melow* ‘honey’, *melow*, gen.sg. *melowi* ‘bee’; Alb. *mjal*, *mjaltë* ‘honey’ < \**mel(i)tom*; Go. *miliþ* [n.] ‘honey’, OE *meledēaw* ‘nectar’, OS *mili-dou* ‘mildew’, OE *milisc* ‘honey-sweet’.

The origin of *mel*, *mellis* is disputed. Leumann 1977: 213 regards *mell-* as secondary on the model of *fel*, *fellis* ‘bile’. Sihler posits a paradigm nom. \**melid*, gen. \**melites* > \**meld*, \**melites* >> \**meld*, \**meldes* > \**mell*, *melles*. It is uncertain whether \**i* in \**melid* would be syncopated, but one may salvage Sihler’s solution by assuming that \**melles* regularly developed in the gen.sg., and \**mell* was then introduced into the nom.acc.sg. Meiser reconstructs an *n*-stem \**mel-n-* in order to arrive at *mell-*; since there is no supporting evidence for an *n*-suffix, this would imply that Latin secondarily made a new oblique stem \**mel-n-* to the nom.acc. \**mel* < \**meli*. Latin *mulsus* probably has *-sus* for \**-tus* on the model of *salsus* ‘salted’, but it may also be original \**m(o)l-t/d-to-* ‘provided with honey’.

Bibl.: WH II: 61f., 123, EM 394, IEW 723f., Sihler 1995: 230, Meiser 1998: 114.

**melior, -ōris** ‘better’ [adj. *r*] (Naev.+; acc.sg. *meliōsem* (Varro); n. *melius*)

Derivatives: *melius* [adv.] ‘better’ (Pl.+), *meliusculus* ‘somewhat better’ (Pl.+).

PIE \**mel-iōs*, *-ios-*. IE cognates: Gr. μάλα ‘very, quite’, μάλλον ‘more, rather’ (for \*μέλλον?), μάλιστα ‘mostly, quite especially’.

The PIE etymology is weak, since Gr. μάλα shows no trace of an *e*-grade, and the root is not attested in other derivatives. Words for ‘good’ can have many origins, and are frequently renewed. Alternatively, one might connect μέλω ‘be anxious, care for’, Hit. *māl* ‘mind’, CLuw. *māl-* ‘thought, idea’ < \**mol-* (Kloekhorst 2008: 545f.).

Bibl.: WH II: 63, EM 394f., IEW 720 → *mulier*, *multus*

**membrum** ‘body part, limb, member’ [n. *o*] (Lex XII+)

Derivatives: *membrātīm* ‘limb by limb’ (Varro+), *membrāna* ‘membrane, skin’ (Varro+).

PIt. \**memsro-*.

PIE \**mēms-ro-* ‘body-part, meaty part’. IE cognates: OIr. *mir* ‘portion’ [n.] < \**mēms-ro-*; Skt. *māmsá-* ‘meat’, *māms-pācanī-* [adj.f.] ‘used for cooking meat’, *mās* [acc.sg.n.] ‘meat’; Gr. μῆρα [pl.] ‘body-parts’, μηρός [n.] ‘thigh’ < \**mēs-ro-*; Arm. *mis*, Alb. *mish*, OPr. *mensā*, *menso*, Lith. *mėsà*, *mensà* Žem., Latv. *mīesa*, OCS *męso*, Ru. *mjásó* ‘meat’ < \**mēms-om*; CS *męzdra* ‘inner side of a rind’, Ru. *mezdrá* ‘inner side (of hide)’, OPo. *miqzdra*, *mięzdra* ‘membrane’ < \**mēms-ro-*; Go. *mimz* ‘meat’,



ToB *mīsa* [pl.] ‘meat’.

Most IE forms for ‘meat’ can go back to a n. *\*mēmsō-*, but Skt. shows traces of an athematic form *\*mēs-* without internal nasal. It is unclear how *-m-* entered this root: was the original form a reduplicated *\*me-ms-*? Slavic, Irish and Latin continue *\*mēms-ro-* with the derived meaning ‘body part, membrane’. According to Vine 2002: 333, the original PIE form may have been a collective *\*mēms-reh<sub>2</sub>*, from which *\*mēmsrom* was back-formed. Whether Gr. *μῆσα* also continues this noun seems to be disputed; as for the meaning, it would perfectly fit. If PIE had *\*mēs-* in alternation with *\*mēms-*, Greek may have used *\*mēs-* as the basis to derive *\*mēs-ro-* ‘body part’.

Bibl.: WH II: 64f., EM 395, IEW 725, Schrijver 1995: 452, Meiser 1998: 119. → *mēnsa*

**meminī** ‘to remember’ [v. pf.] (Naev.+; ipv. *mementō*)

Derivatives: *commeminisse* ‘to remember’ (Pl.+), *comminīscī* [pf. *commentus sum*] ‘to think up, invent, feign’ (Pl.+), *recomminīscī* ‘to recollect’ (Pl.), *reminīscī* ‘id.’ (Ter.+), *commentum* ‘scheme, device’ (Pl.+), *commentārī* ‘to think about, prepare’ (Pl.+), *commentārius/m* ‘notebook, record’ (Varro+), *recommentārī* ‘to recollect’ (Pl.); *memor, -oris* ‘mindful’ (Pl.+), *memorāre* ‘to say, tell’ (Pl.+), *memorātus, -ūs* ‘account’ (Pl.+), *memorābilis* ‘remarkable’ (Pl.+), *commemorāre* ‘to recall’ (Pl.+), *commemorābilis* ‘remarkable’ (Pl.+), *commemorāmentum* ‘reminder’ (Caecil.+), *commemorātiō* ‘id.’ (Ter.+), *immemor* ‘forgetful’ (Pl.+), *immemorābilis* ‘not fit to be repeated; unable to recollect’ (Pl.+); *memoria* ‘memory, remembrance’ (Pl.+), *memoriter* ‘accurately’ (Pl.+). Lat. *minīscī*, and *mentus* (Paul. ex F.) are not trustworthy.

PIt. pf. *\*me-mon-*, *\*me-mn-*, ppa. *\*me-mn-os-*, ppp. *\*mn-to-*, pr. *\*men-i(e)-*. It. cognates: maybe Ven. *metlon* ‘offering’ if from *\*men-ilo-*; maybe O. *memnim* [acc.sg.] ‘?’ < *\*me-m(e)n-i(H)o-*.

PIE pf. *\*me-mon-*, *\*me-mn-*, pr. *\*men-i-* or *\*mn-ie-*, ppp. *\*mn-to-*. IE cognates: OIr. *muinithir\** ‘to intend’, *do-muinethar\** ‘to think, believe’ < PCI. *\*manje/o-*; Skt. *mānyate* [pr.] ‘to think, believe, consider’, *āmata* [3s.aor.med.], *-mamnāte* [3d.pf.med.], *matá-* ‘thought, regarded as’; Av. *mainiia-* ‘to invent, think’; Gr. *μαίνομαι* ‘to rage’, pf. *μέμωνα*, pl. *μέμαμεν* ‘to intend to’, Gr. *-ματος* ‘thought’; Lith. *miñti* ‘to recall’, *minėti*, 3s. *mini* ‘to mention’, OCS *мънѣти* ‘to think’, Is. *μῆνιζ*, 3s. *μῆνι* < *\*mn-eh<sub>1</sub>-*; Go. *man* ‘I think, I believe’.

The pf. ipv. reflects *\*me-mn-tōd*. The inchoative *-minīscī* suggests an earlier present formation *\*men-i-* or *\*mn-ie-*, which is confirmed by other IE languages (cf. Schrijver 2003 for the *i*-present). The noun *commentum* can be interpreted as a substantivized ppp. The older etymologies (WH, EM) derive *memor* from the root *\*(s)mer-* ‘to remind’. More recent works (from Leumann 1977: 610 on) agree on a derivation *\*me-mn-os-* > *\*memnor-* >> *\*memor-*. This is more attractive from the point of view of PIE morphology: for *\*(s)mer-*, the only certain reduplicated formation is a pr. *\*(s)mi-(s)mer-*, which would not yield *memor*. The price we must pay is an ad hoc dissimilation or analogical change from *\*memnor* to *memor*. Meiser 2003: 47, however, returns to the root *\*(s)mer-*, but does not explain the derivation.

Bibl.: WH II: 65-67, EM 395-397, IEW 726-728, 969f., Lejeune 1974: 336, Schrijver 1991: 468, Sihler 1995: 619, Meiser 1998: 215, Untermann 2000: 469, Schumacher 2004: 473, LIV 1.\*men-. → *mēns*, *moneō*

**mendum** ‘physical blemish or fault; error’ [n. o] (Varro+)

Derivatives: *menda* ‘fault; blemish’ (Lucil.+), *mendāx* ‘untruthful, lying’ (Pl.+), *mendācium* ‘a lie’ (Pl.+), *mendāciloquus* ‘lying’ (Pl.); *mendicus* ‘beggarly, destitute’ (Pl.+), *mendicus* [m.] ‘beggar’ (Pl.+), *mendīcimōnium* ‘beggary’ (Lab.), *mendicitās* ‘destitution’ (Pl.+), *mendīcāre* ‘to beg, be a begger’ (Pl.+), *mendīcābulum* ‘a beggar’s instrument’ (Pl.+), *mendīculus* ‘beggarly’ (Pl.).

PIt. \**m(e)ndo-/ā-*.

IE cognates: OIr. *mennar* ‘blemish’ (< \**mend-rā-*), *mind* ‘sign, mark’ (< \**m̃du?*), W. *mann* ‘place’, *mann geni* ‘birthmark’; Hit. *mant-* ‘something harming’, Lyc. *mēti-* (something negative), Lyc. *mēte-* ‘harm’ < \**mond-* (?).

Probably, *menda* is based on the old plural of *mendum*, even if *menda* is attested somewhat earlier. If OIr. *mind* is cognate, we have an Italo-Celtic correspondence \**m(e)nd<sup>(h)</sup>-o-*. If the original meaning was ‘sign, mark’, one might tentatively derive the noun from PIE \**mn-* ‘to think’, as \**mn-d-* or as \**mn-d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-* ‘to set the mind, be attentive’. Obviously, these are just theoretical possibilities.

Bibl.: WH II: 68f., EM 396, IEW 729f., Rieken 1999: 42f., Kloekhorst 2008: 555.

**mēns, mentis** ‘mind’ [f. i] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *āmēns* ‘insane, frantic’ (Pl.+), *āmentia* ‘madness, frenzy’ (Ter.+), *dēmēns* ‘mad’ (Pl.+), *dēmentia* ‘madness’ (Pl.+), *dēmentīre* ‘to lose one’s mind’ (Lucr.+), *mentīō* ‘mention’ (Andr.+); *mentīrī* ‘to lie’ (Pl.+), *ēmentīrī* ‘to falsify, invent’ (Pl.+).

PIt. \**m̃ti-*.

PIE \**mn-ti-* [f.] ‘thought, mind’. IE cognates: Skt. *mati-* [f.] ‘thought, mind’, Av. *‘maiti-*, Lith. *mintis* ‘thought, idea’, OCS *pamęť* ‘memory, monument’ < \**mn-ti-*; Go. *ana-minds* ‘suspicion’, Go. *ga-minþi* [n.] ‘memory’, OHG *gi-munt* ‘recollection, memory’.

By its fourth conjugation, *mentīre* still shows its origin as a denominative to \**m̃ti-*. The meaning ‘to lie’ derives from a semantic change ‘to have second thoughts, be inventive’ > ‘conjure up, lie’.

Bibl.: WH II: 68-70, EM 396f., IEW 726-728, LIV 1. \*men-. → *meminī*, *moneō*

**mēnsa** ‘table (for sacred offerings, for meals)’ [f. ā] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *mēnsula* ‘small table’ (Pl.+).

PIt. \**menssā-* ‘measured’. It. cognates: U. *mefa*, *mefa* [acc.sg.], *mefa* [abl.sg.], *mefe* [loc.sg.] ‘a certain sacrificial object, maybe cake’.

The Latin noun is probably the feminine of the ppp. *mēnsus* ‘measured’ to *mētior*, which was formed by analogy with *pēnsus* ‘weighed’ < \**pend-to-*. If U. *mefa* < PIt. \**menssa-* (Meiser 1986: 77) is cognate with *mēnsa*, its phonological form would show that the analogical participle ‘measured’ had already been formed in PIt. (cf.

Meiser 1986: 164). In Latin, the meaning then shifted from the offering itself to the object on which the offerings were placed.

Bibl.: WH II: 70, EM 397, IEW 703f., Untermann 2000: 463f. → *mētior*

**mēnsis** ‘month’ [m. s; abl.sg. *mēnse*, gen.pl. *mēnsum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *menstruus* ‘of a month, monthly’ (Varro+), *menstruum* ‘menstrual discharge; monthly payment’ (Lucr.+), *mēnstruālis* ‘lasting for a month’ (Pl.); *bimē(n)stris* ‘of two months’ (Varro+), *intermēstris* ‘interlunar’ (Cato+), *quadrīmē(n)stris* ‘lasting four months’ (Varro+), *sēmē(n)stris* ‘of six months’ (Varro+), *trimē(n)stris* ‘of three months’ (Cato+).

PIt. *\*mēns-* ‘month, moon’ (> Sab. *\*mēns-en-*, Lat. >> *mēns-is*). It. cognates: U. **menzne** [abl.sg.] ‘moon’, Mars. *mesene* [loc. or abl.sg.] ‘month’ < *\*mēns-(e)n-(e)i*; U. **anter:menzaru** [gen.pl.] ‘new moon’ < *\*anter-mens-o/ā-* ‘between-moon/month’.

PIE *\*meh<sub>1</sub>-n-s-* (nom.sg. maybe *\*meh<sub>1</sub>-n-ōt*) . IE cognates: OIr. *mí* [m.] ‘month’, Skt. *mās-* [m.] ‘moon, month’, OAv. *mā* [nom.sg.] /*maHah/*, YAv. *māh-* ‘moon’, *māṅha-* [m.] ‘moon, month’, *\*māhiia-* ‘monthly’, OP *māh-* ‘month’; Gr. (Att.) *μήν* [m.], gen.sg. *μηνός* ‘moon’, Ion. *μείς* [m.], Lesb. *μηνυος* [gen.sg.]; Lith. *mėnuo* (gen.sg. *mėnesio*) , Lith. *mėnesis* ‘moon, month’, Latv. *mēness* ‘moon’ < *\*meh<sub>1</sub>n-es-*; OCS *měsěcъ* ‘moon, month’; Go. *mena*, OHG *māno* ‘moon’; ToA *mañ*, ToB *meñe* ‘moon, month’.

The adj. *-mē(n)stris* presupposes *\*mēns-tri-*, since *\*-sr-* would yield *-br-* (cf. *membrum*). The word for ‘month’ continues a PIE *s*-stem with nom.sg. in *\*-s* reflected in IIr., Greek and Italic. It was probably derived from the root PIE *\*meh<sub>1</sub>-* ‘to measure’.

Bibl.: WH II: 71, EM 398, IEW 731f., Leumann 1977: 352, Schrijver 1991: 159, Sihler 1995: 295, Untermann 2000: 109f., 472, LIV *\*meh<sub>1</sub>-*. → *mētior*, *mōs*

**mentum** ‘chin’ [n. o] (Pl.+)

PIt. *\*mnto-*.

PIE *\*mn-to-* ‘chin, mouth’. IE cognates: W. *mant* ‘mouth, jaw, beak’, Hit. *mēni-* [n.], *mēna-* ‘face, cheek’ < *\*mén-ih<sub>1</sub>*, *\*mén-eh<sub>2</sub>*, Go. *munþs* [m.], OIc. *munnr*, OE *mūð*, OHG *mund* ‘mouth’; OHG *mindel*, OIc. *mél* [n.] ‘mouth-bit of a bridle’.

Possibly cognate with the verbs *ē-*, *prōmineō*, which points to a verbal root *\*men-* ‘to rise up, protrude’. An original verbal adj. *\*mn-to-*, used with different nouns (e.g. *\*genu-* [n.] ‘jaw, cheek’), would explain the gender difference between m. in Gm. and n. in Italo-Celtic. Hit. *mēni-* might continue an old dual, cf. Rieken 1999: 56f.

Bibl.: WH II: 72f., EM 398, IEW 968, LIV ?3. *\*men-*. → *minae*, *mōns*

**meō**, **-āre** ‘to proceed, traverse’ [v. I] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *meātus*, *-ūs* ‘movement, course’ (Lucr.+); *commeāre* ‘to go regularly, travel’ (Pl.+), *commeātus*, *-ūs* ‘passage, supplies’ (Pl.+), *praetermeāre* ‘to move past’ (Lucr.+), *remeāre* ‘to return, recede’ (Pl.+); *commētāre* ‘to go constantly’ (Pl.+); *sēmīta* [f.] ‘side-path, track, pavement’ (Pl.+), *sēmītātīm* ‘by side-roads’ (Titin.+), *trāmes*, *-ītis* [m.] ‘footpath, track, course’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*mejo-*.

PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>mei-o-* ‘to (ex)change’. IE cognates: MW *tre-myn-* ‘to go past’; Skt. *vī mayante* ‘they alternate’, *āpa mayeta*, ‘he should loan’, *māyas-* ‘refreshment, enjoyment’, YAv. *fra-mita-* ‘changed’, *maīiah-* [n.] ‘satisfaction, pleasure’; Gr. ἀμείβω (< *\*h<sub>2</sub>mei-g<sup>h</sup>-*) ‘to exchange, change’; Lith. *mīt* ‘to exchange’; Lith. *maīnas* ‘exchange’; OCS *měna* ‘exchange, change’; OCS *minōti*, Ru. *minūt* ‘to pass’, OCS *mimo* ‘by, past’, Cz. *mijeti*, Po. *mijać* ‘to pass’.

The verb *-meāre* might be denominal to a noun ‘movement, passage’; a deverbal verb of the type *occupāre* is less likely, since *-meāre* is intransitive. *Commētāre* can be from *\*kom-mej-e-tā-*, frequentative to *meāre*. The nouns *trāmit-* < *\*trans-mit-* ‘going across’ and *sēmīta* < *\*sē-mit-* ‘going aside’ may show a *t*-suffix added to the compounded root noun. The etymology is based on the assumption of a semantic shift ‘to change’ > ‘change places’ > ‘go past’ (*\*mi-n-* in BSl., Celtic), ‘change places’ > ‘go on, proceed’ (Latin). The Latin verb would derive from a noun *\*h<sub>2</sub>mei-o-* ‘change, movement’, which is not attested outside Latin. LIV reconstructs this root without initial laryngeal, but then Gr. ἀμείβω has to be separated.

Bibl.: WH I: 254, II: 73, EM 135, 398, IEW 710, Leumann 1977: 548, Schrijver 1991: 20, Lindner 2002: 234f., Schumacher 2004: 486, LIV 2.\*mei-. → *moenia*, *mūnus*

**merda** ‘dung, excrement’ [f. *ā*] (Hor.+)

Plt. *\*(s)merd-ā-*.

PIE *\*smerd-h<sub>2</sub>-* ‘stench’. IE cognates: Lith. *smirdėti*, 3s. *smirdi* / *smirda* ‘to stink’ < *\*smrd-*, *smardas*, Latv. *smarāds* ‘smell, odour’ < *\*smord-o-*, Ru. *smórod* (dial.), Ukr. *smórid*, gen. *smórochu* ‘stink’ < *\*smrd-o-s*.

Latin presupposes a semantic development of a collective *\*smerd-h<sub>2</sub>-* ‘what stinks’ to ‘excrement’.

Bibl.: WH II: 74f., EM 399, IEW 970, LIV *\*smerd-*.

**mereō** ‘to earn, gain (act.); to deserve (dep.)’ [v. II; pf.act. *meruī*, pf.dep. *meritus sum*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *merenda* ‘light afternoon meal’ (Pl.+), *meretrīx* ‘courtesan’ (Pl.+), *meretrīcula* [f.] ‘courtesan’ (Pl.+), *meretrīcius* ‘of a courtesan’ (Pl.+), *meritum* ‘service, reward’ (Pl.+), *meritāre* ‘to earn, draw pay’ (Cato apud Paul. *ex F.*, Cic.+); *commerēre* ‘to commit; merit fully’ (Pl.+), *dēmerēre* ‘to earn, oblige’ (Pl.+), *ēmerēre* ‘to serve out, complete’ (Pl.+), *immeritō* ‘unjustly’ (Pl.+), *immerēns* ‘undeserving’ (Pl.+), *prōmerēre* ‘to merit, deserve’ (Pl.+); *Morta* ‘Fate’ (Andr.).

Plt. *\*mer-ē-* ‘to earn’, *\*mor-to-* ‘earned’.

PIE *\*(s)mr-eh<sub>1</sub>-* ‘to earn’? IE cognates: Olr., Mlr. *mart* [o], *mairt* [i] ‘death fate’, MW *marth* ‘untimely death’, OW *marth* ‘wonder’, Co. *marth*, Bret. *marzh* ‘miracle’ < *\*mṛ-sto-, -sti-*; Gr. μείρομαι ‘receive one’s share’, pf. Aeol. ἔμμορε ‘participate’ < *\*sé-smor-e*, Ion. εἴμαρται < *\*sé-smṛ-tai*, μέρος [n.] ‘part, share’, μόρος [m.] ‘fate, violent death’.

Schrijver (2004: 293) considers it likely that the verb is denominal because it shows

*e*-grade \*(s)*mer-* instead of zero-grade (as in the statives) or *o*-grade (causatives). Yet denominal verbs of the second conjugation usually are intransitive, and in most cases their derivational basis can still be seen. Thus, *merēre* remains problematic. One might envisage an original denominal \**mor-eie-* ‘acquire a share’ with introduction of the *e*-grade from a noun such as \**mer-os-* (Gr. μέρος), but this remains a mere hypothesis. Livingston (2004: 9) regards *mereor* as an original stative verb, because of the equation with Gr. μείρομαι. Livingston plausibly interprets Andronicus’ form *Morta* as the f. of \**mŕ-to-* ‘received as one’s share’; this would be a close match to the Celtic words \**mŕ-sto/i-* discussed by Schrijver 2004. A derivation from 1. \*(s)*mer-* ‘to remember’ seems unlikely for semantic reasons.

Bibl.: WH II: 75f., EM 399, IEW 969f., Schrijver 2004, LIV 2.\**smer-*.

**mergae** ‘reaping-board’ [f.pl. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *merges*, *-itis* ‘sheaf of corn’ (Verg.+).

*Merges* can be understood as ‘what one can take with the *mergae*’. Leumann 1977: 372 has turned the meanings around (*merges* ‘Heugabel’, *merga* ‘Garbe’), but this is not supported by the texts. Many scholars doubt the connection with Gr. ἀμέργω ‘to pluck (flowers), squeeze olives’, but the two are quite similar. It is a different question whether these two forms stem from PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>mer/lǵ-* ‘to wipe’ (Skt. *marj-*, Av. *marz-*, Gr. ὀμόργνυμι ‘to wipe’ maybe Gr. ἀμέλγω ‘to milk’), since the semantic connection is not straightforward. Lat. *mergae* and Gr. ἀμέργω may continue a separate PIE root \**h<sub>2</sub>merǵ-*, or they might go back to a non-IE loanword of agricultural terminology.

Bibl.: WH II: 76, EM 399, IEW 738, Schrijver 1991: 20.

**mergō, -ere** ‘to plunge, immerse’ [v. III; pf. *mersī*, ppp. *mersum*] (Cic.+)

Derivatives: *mergus* ‘certain sea-bird’ (Lucil.+); *mertāre* ‘to submerge, overwhelm’ (Acc.+), *mersāre* ‘to dip, submerge’ (Lucr.+); *ēmergere* ‘to come out of, emerge’ (Ter.+), *immergere* ‘to dip, plunge into’ (Pl.+), *submergere* ‘to cause to sink’ (Lucr.+).

Plt. \**mezge/q-*.

PIE \**mesg-e/o-* ‘to sink, wash’. IE cognates: Skt. *mājjanti* [3p.pr.] ‘to sink, plunge under’; Lith. *māzgóti*, Latv. *māzgāt* ‘to wash’ < \**mozg-*.

Probably, *mergus* is deverbal to *mergō*: the bird which ‘dives into’ the water.

Bibl.: WH II: 76f., EM 399, IEW 745f., Meiser 1998: 119, LIV \**mesg-*.

**merula** ‘blackbird’ [f. *ā*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *meruleus* ‘coloured like a blackbird’ (Pl.).

Plt. \**mesVlā-* ‘blackbird’.

IE cognates: W. *mwyalch* ‘blackbird’, Bret. *moualc’h* < PBrit. \**mijalx* < \**melisal-(s)kā*; OIr. *stmolach*, EMoIr. *smólach*, MoIr. *smól* ‘blackbird’, MoIr. *smaol* ‘thrush’ < OIr. \**smóelach*, gen. *smólchae*, < \*(s)*moilax* borrowed from Old British \**moialx*; OHG *amsla*, *amali/usla*, OE *ōsle* ‘blackbird’ < WGm. \**amslōn-*, \**amale/uslōn-*. Maybe OHG *meisa*, OS *mēsa*, OE *māse* < PGm. \**maisōn-* ‘tit’ is also

cognate.

The British and Latin forms go back to *\*mesVl-*, the Gm. forms to *\*amsl-*, *\*amsVl-*. Schrijver 1997a argues that this points to a loanword from a non-IE substratum language in Europe.

Bibl.: WH II: 77f., EM 400, IEW 35f., Lloyd-Springer 1988: 211, Schrijver 1997a: 307-311.

**merus** 'pure' [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *merāculus* 'undiluted' (Pl.+); *merobibus* [adj.] 'that drinks unmixed wine' (Pl.); *submerus* 'nearly undiluted' (Pl.).

PIt. *\*mero-*.

PIE *\*merH-o-* 'remaining, pure'. IE cognates: Hit. *marri* [adv.] 'just so, gratuitously'.

The word has been compared with Gr. μαρμαίρω 'to flash, sparkle', but even if 'pure' can sometimes be paraphrased as 'clear' (thus EM), there is no compelling reason to derive 'pure' from 'shining'. Puhvel connects Hit. *marri*, which he translates as 'just like that, at random'; this seems a better solution than Kloekhorst's (2008: 557) 'with a glimpse', who identifies *marri* as the hapax *marra/i-* '(sun)light'. If *marri* 'just so' goes back to a n. *\*morHi*, it could be related to Lat. *merus* if from *\*merH-o-*. The original meaning of the root may have been 'remaining, core, pure'. This, then, enables a connection with Lat. *mora* 'delay' < *\*morH-h<sub>2</sub>-* 'stiffening, remaining'.

Bibl.: WH II: 78, EM 400, IEW 734, Schrijver 1991: 20, Puhvel 2006. → *mora*

**merx, -cis** 'commodity, goods, merchandise' [f. *k*] (Pl.+; nom.sg. *mers* Pl.)

Derivatives: *mercārī* 'to buy, trade' (Pl.+), *mercātus, -ūs* 'market' (Pl.+), *mercātor* 'merchant' (Pl.+), *mercātōrius* 'mercantile' (Pl.), *mercātūra* 'trade' (Pl.+); *mercimōnium* 'merchandise, enterprise' (Pl.+); *commers, -rcis* 'friendly intercourse' (Pl.), *commercārī* 'to buy' (Pl.+), *commercium* 'trade, relationship, sexual intercourse' (Pl.+), *praemercārī* 'to buy in advance' (Pl.+); *mercēs, -ēdis* 'payment' (Pl.+), *mercēn(n)ārius* [adj./m.] 'working for pay; hired worker' (Pl.+), *mercēdimerus* 'working for hire' (Lucil.); *Mercurius* 'the god Mercury' (Andr.+); *Mercuriālis* 'of M/mercury' (Cato+).

PIt. *\*merk-* 'trade, exchange'. It. cognates: Fal. *mercui* [dat.] 'deity Merk-', O. **mirikui** [dat.sg.] probably a deity like Mercurius < *\*merk-o/u-*; O. **amirikum** [acc.sg.] 'commerce' < *ā-* or *ad-* + *\*merk-*, O. *amiricatud* [ppp., abl.sg.] 'sold' vel sim., denom. verb. According to Untermann, O. *mirk-* was borrowed from Latin.

All derived from a stem *\*merk-* also found in Faliscan and Oscan. The god *Mercurius* was probably the god of exchange. According to WH, the god's name was borrowed from Etruscan; in principle, the same is possible for the stem *\*merk-* altogether.

Bibl.: WH II: 74, 78f., EM 400, IEW 739, Untermann 2000: 85f., 479.

**-met** '!' [ptcle. of emphasis] (Pl.+: *egomet* 'I', *nōsmet* 'we', *vōsmet* 'you', *sēmet* 'themselves', *ipsemet* 'he himself')

PIt. *\*-meti?*

PIE \*-me-ti 'with'?

Uncertain. One might think of PIE \**me* 'with' plus an added \*-*ti* (as in Latin *aut*), or of PIE \**sme*, as in Skt. *sma*, *smā* 'just, really', *smāt* 'together', Av. *maṭ* 'with', Gr. μήν (Dor. Aeol. μόν), μέν 'certainly, true'.

Bibl.: WH II: 80, EM 400f., Beekes 1995: 223.

**mēta** 'cone; cone-shaped turning point; limit' [f. *ā*] (Cato+)

Derivatives: *mētārī* 'to measure off, lay out' (Caes.+).

PIt. \**mētā*-.

PIE \**meh*<sub>1</sub>-to- 'measure, measurement'.

*Mētārī* is a denominal verb to *mēta*: 'use turn-posts to mark off the land'. WH and IEW surmise a connection with PIE \**meith*<sub>2</sub>- 'to exchange, remove', but this is semantically unconvincing: the principal meaning of *mēta* is 'cone' or 'post'. Also, the required reconstruct \**mēitH-o-* with its lengthened grade is morphologically unattractive. If the 'cone' or 'post' was used as a mark for measuring distance or height from the beginning, *mēta* could be derived from the root \**meh*<sub>1</sub>- 'to measure'.

Bibl.: WH II: 80f., EM 401, IEW 709, LIV \**meh*<sub>1</sub>- → *mētior*

**mētior, -īrī** 'to measure' [v. IV; ppp. *mensus* (*mētītus*)] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *admētīrī* 'to measure out' (Cato+), *commētīrī* 'to pace out, measure' (Pl.+), *dīmētīrī* 'to measure out, weigh out' (Pl.+), *permētīrī* 'to traverse, measure exactly' (Pl.+); *ensor* [m.] 'land-surveyor, measurer' (Lucil.+), *immēnsus* 'immeasurable' (Lucr.+).

PIt. \**mēti*-.

PIE \**meh*<sub>1</sub>-ti- 'measurement'. IE cognates: Gr. -μῆτις 'wisdom, skill', OE *mæd* f. 'measure' < \**meh*<sub>1</sub>-ti- 'measuring'; Skt. *mimīte* [3s.med.], *mimīhi* [2s.ipv.act.] < pr. \**mi-m(e)h*<sub>1</sub>-, s-aor. *ámāsi* [1s.med.], pf. -*mamur* [3p.act.], *mamé* [3s.med.] 'to measure', ppp. *mita-* 'measured', OAv. *fra-mīmaṽā* [2p.act.] 'to determine', YAv. *maīia-* 'to measure', *māta-* 'formed'; Ru. *méra*, Sln. *méra* 'measure' \**meh*<sub>1</sub>-r-; Go. *mel* 'time, hour', OHG *māl* 'moment' < \**meh*<sub>1</sub>-lo-.

Denominal to a noun \**mēti*- 'measurement'. The ppp. must be analogical; Sommer 1914: 610 explains it from analogy with *pensus* to *pendō* 'to weigh'.

Bibl.: WH II: 81f., EM 401, IEW 703f., Schrijver 1991: 139, LIV \**meh*<sub>1</sub>- → *mēnsis*, *mōs*

**metō, -ere** 'to reap, harvest' [v. III; pf. *messuī* (rare), ppp. *messum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *messis*, -*is* [f.; acc. *messem*, abl. *messē*] 'reaping, crop' (Pl.+), *messiō* 'harvesting' (Varro), *messor* 'reaper' (Pl.+); *dēmetere* 'to mow, pick, reap' (Cato+).

PIt. \**met-e-* 'to reap'.

PIE \**met-e-* 'to measure'. IE cognates: W. *medi* 'to mow, harvest', MBret. *midiff* 'to harvest' < PCI. \**met-e/o-*; Lith. *mēsti*, 1s. *metù* 'to throw', Lith. *mētas* 'year, time', *mātas* 'measure'; CS *mesti* 'to throw, sweep' (1sg. *metō*), Ru. *mestí* 'to sweep'. Unrelated: Hit. *ānš-*, *hane/išš-<sup>zi</sup>* 'to wipe', CLuw. *am(ma)ššā-* / *am(ma)šši(ia)-* 'to wipe' < \**h*<sub>2</sub>(o)mh<sub>1</sub>-s-, *hamešha-* 'spring, time of harvest' < \**h*<sub>2</sub>meh<sub>1</sub>-sh<sub>2</sub>o-, Gr. ἄμη

‘shovel’, ἀμάω ‘to mow, cut’ (< \*h<sub>2</sub>mh<sub>1</sub>-eh<sub>2</sub>je/o-), ἄμητος [m.] ‘harvest’; OE *māwan*, OHG *māen* ‘to mow’, MHG *māt*, OE *mæd* ‘reaping’.

According to Sommer 1914: 568, *messai* replaced \**messi* on the model of its antonym *serui* ‘I sowed’. The root etymology is disputed. Schrijver hesitantly posits a root \*h<sub>2</sub>m- with different suffixes: \*h<sub>2</sub>m-et- in *metō* and PCl. \**met-*, \*h<sub>2</sub>m-eh<sub>1</sub>- in Greek ἀμάω and Germanic. Yet the Anatolian words for ‘to wipe’ show that the root of that verb and Greek ἀμάω was triconsonantal \*h<sub>2</sub>mh<sub>1</sub>-. LIV derives \**met-* ‘to throw’ from ‘measure’ (via ‘to aim’), but separates ‘to reap’; to my mind, a semantic shift from ‘measure, measure off’ to ‘reap, harvest’ is conceivable, so that we need only one root \**met-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 82f., EM 401, IEW 703, Schrijver 1991: 20, Schumacher 2004: 483, Kloekhorst 2008: 182f., 279f., 285f., LIV ?1.\**met-*, ?2.\**met-*. → *mateola*

**metus**, -ūs ‘fear, alarm’ [m. u] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *metuere* (*metui*, *metutum*) ‘to be afraid of, fear’ (Pl.+), *praemetuere* ‘to dread in advance’ (Lucr.+); *metūliculōsus* ‘apprehensive, awful’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \**met-u-*.

Lat. *metūliculōsus* was formed on the basis of *periculōsus* ‘dangerous’, which is situated in the same semantic sphere. Klingenschmitt (2004: 241f.) connects *metus* with OIr. *moth* [m.] ‘astonishment’ < \**moto-*, denom. *mothaigedar* ‘to be astonished’. While semantically attractive, this explanation does not address the phonetic aspects of the etymology. Schrijver (1991: 467-470) posits a rule according to which \**e* yields Lat. *o* after *m*, *w* in front of *CV*, if *C* is not *r*, *ll* and *V* is not a front vowel. *Metus* would then be an exception, since all forms in the paradigm would have VOLat. \**-tu-* or \**-tou-*. Schrijver’s rule is contested by Meiser 1998: 82, who regards several forms in *mo-* as analogical. In his view, *metus* can be regular from Plt. \**metus*.

Bibl.: WH II: 83f., EM 402, Leumann 1977: 341, Meiser 1998: 82.

**mīca** ‘grain, crumb’ [f. ā] (Cato+)

Plt. \**meik-ā-*.

PIE \**meik-h<sub>2</sub>-* ‘blinking’.

Nyman 1987 shows that *mīca* originally referred to a ‘grain of salt’, and subsequently to any ‘glittering crystal(line) particle’. These semantics lead him to derive *mīca* from the same root as *micāre* ‘to quiver, dart, flash’, viz. as the ‘glittering’ particle. This explanation is formally more attractive than the traditional connection with Gr. (σ)μικρός ‘small’. Semantically, I see no objections.

Bibl.: WH II: 85, EM 402, IEW 966f. → *micō*

**micō**, -āre ‘to quiver, dart, flash’ [v. I; pf. *micui*] (Enn.+)

Derivatives: *dīmicāre* ‘to fight, contend’ (Sis.+), *ēmicāre* ‘to dash out, jump forth’ (Pl.+), *prōmicāre* ‘to sprout, shoot forth’ (Naev.+).

Plt. \**mikaje-*.

PIE \**mikH-(e)ie-* ‘to blink’. IE cognates: OIr. *de-meccim* ‘despise’, W. *ed-mygaf* ‘I admire’; Ru. *mikat* (dial.) ‘to stuff (a bag)’, Cz. *mikati* ‘to move abruptly’, USorb. *mikać* ‘to blink’ < PIE \**meik-*, CS *mьčьta* ‘vision, apparition’, Ru. *mečtá* ‘dream,



day-dream' < \*mik-.

The pf. *micuī* suggests a stem \*mikV-, hence a laryngeal-final root. The basic meaning of Latin is 'to dash, spring forth, move fast back and forth'. In Slavic, the same meaning co-occurs with 'to blink' and 'to see'. In Celtic, only the latter is attested. Hence, the PIE meaning may have been 'to blink'.

Bibl.: WH I: 353, II: 86, EM 402, IEW 712f., Meiser 2003: 138, LIV ?\*mei̯(k)h<sub>2</sub>-.

**migrō, -āre** 'to change residence, move' [v. I] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *admigrāre* 'to go and live with' (Pl.), *commigrāre* 'to migrate' (Pl.+), *dēmigrāre* 'to go away, depart' (Pl.+), *ēmigrāre* 'to move out' (Pl.+), *immigrāre* 'to go and take up residence' (Pl.+), *remigrāre* 'to move back to one's home' (Pl.+).

Plt. \*migrō-.

PIE \*h<sub>2</sub>mi-g<sup>w</sup>-ro- 'changing'. IE cognates: Gr. ἀμείβω 'to change', ἀμοιβός 'changing', ἀμοιβή 'change'.

Probably a denominal verb to \*migrō-, which can be a ro-adj. to the same stem \*h<sub>2</sub>mig<sup>w</sup>- as reflected in Gr. ἀμείβω. A labiovelar suffix is rare in PIE.

Bibl.: WH II: 86, EM 402, IEW 713, Schrijver 1991: 20, LIV \*h<sub>2</sub>meig<sup>h</sup>-. → meō

**mīles, -itis** 'soldier' [m. t] (Pl.+; /mīless/ Pl. *Aul.*528)

Derivatives: *mīlitāre* 'to serve as a soldier' (Pl.+), *mīlitāris* 'of the army, of soldiers' (Pl.+), *mīlitārius* 'soldierlike' (Pl.); *mīlitia* 'military service' (Pl.+).

*Miles* was the common foot-soldier. The semantic sphere recalls *pedes* 'pedestrian' and *eques* 'rider' (\*-it- < \*h<sub>1</sub>i-t- 'who goes'), but the first element *mīl-* is unclear. It is tempting to connect *mīlia* [pl.] 'thousand(s)', hence \**mīli-it-* 'who goes with/by the thousand' (with simplification of \**mīlit-* to \**mīlit-* by analogy with the other nouns); or, if the literal meaning of the suffix -it- was already opaque, 'thousand-man'.

Bibl.: WH II: 87, EM 402. → mille

**mīlium** 'millet' [n. o] (Cato+)

Derivatives: *mīliāria* 'bird fattened for eating, ortolan; dodder' (Varro+).

Plt. \*meljo-?

PIE \*mélh<sub>2</sub>-ī [n.]? IE cognates: Gr. μελίνη [f.] 'millet', Lith. *málnos* f. pl. 'kind of millet'.

If from \**meliom* with *i*-mutation (of the type *nihil*, *similis*), *mīlium* can be cognate with Gr. μελίνη. The Latin, Gr. and Lith. words could be independent derivatives of \**melh<sub>2</sub>-* 'to grind' (*e*-grade in Gr. and Lat., *o*-grade in Lith.), thus 'the grain which can/is to be ground'; or they could be three derivatives of a common ancestor nom.acc. \**mélh<sub>2</sub>-i*, maybe gen.sg. \**mlh<sub>2</sub>-n-ós* / \**mélh<sub>2</sub>-n-s*.

Bibl.: WH II: 87f., EM 403, IEW 716-719, Leumann 1977: 109, EIEC 383.

**mille** 'thousand' [n.; adj. i; sg. indecl., pl. *millia*, *mīlia*, gen. *mīlium*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *mīliārium* 'milestone, column, vessel' (Cato+), *mīliārius* 'of a thousand, belonging to the thousands' (Varro+), *mīliē(n)s* [adv.] 'a thousand times' (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*smīxeslī*.

PIE *\*sm-ih<sub>2</sub>-ǵ<sup>h</sup>es-l-ih<sub>2</sub>* ‘having one thousand’. IE cognates: Skt. *sahásra-*, Av. *hazayra-* < Ilr. *\*saj<sup>h</sup>asra-* < PIE *\*sr̥p-ǵ<sup>h</sup>éslo-* ‘having one thousand’; Gr. χῆλιοι, Ion. χεῖλιοι, Aeol. χέλλιοι ‘thousand’ < PGr *\*k<sup>h</sup>ehlijo-* < PIE *\*ǵ<sup>h</sup>esli(H)o-* ‘of a thousand’.

Double *-ll-* in *mille* can be interpreted as indicating palatal *l*, not necessarily double *\*-ll-*. The mostly accepted etymology as *\*sm-ih<sub>2</sub>-ǵ<sup>h</sup>es-l-ih<sub>2</sub>* ‘one thousand’ is based on the comparison with the Ilr. forms for ‘thousand’ which reflect *\*sm-ǵ<sup>h</sup>es-lo-*, and with the Greek form. Adjectival *\*ǵ<sup>h</sup>es-lo-* ‘heap’ was substantivised to f. *ǵ<sup>h</sup>eslih<sub>2</sub>-*, and ‘one’ consequently acquired the f. form. Meiser 1998 posits a phonetic development from *\*sm-ih<sub>2</sub>-ǵ<sup>h</sup>es-l-ih<sub>2</sub>* > Plt. *\*smīxeslī* > *\*mīhēli* > *\*mīhīle* > *mille*. He attributes final short *\*-i* in Plt. to loss of the final laryngeal in *\*-ih<sub>2</sub>*, but this development is not certainly attested (its reconstruction for the *ā*-stems is uncertain). Alternatively, Plt. may have analogically changed the inflectional category of ‘thousand’ to a n. *i*-stem; the model may have been *centum* ‘hundred’. Sihler explains n. *-e* as a back-formation to the pl. *mīlia* (cf. *mare – maria*).

Bibl.: WH II: 88f., EM 403, IEW 446, Leumann 1977: 141, 491, Coleman 1992: 407, Sihler 1995: 424f., Meiser 1998: 174. → *hostus, mīles, sem-*

**minae** ‘threats; protruding parts of a wall’ [f.pl. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: (1) *minārī* ‘to threaten’ (Pl.+), *mināx* ‘menacing, threatening’ (Pl.+), *mināciae* [f.pl.] ‘threats’ (Pl.); *comminārī* ‘to threaten’ (Pl.+), *ēminārī* ‘to issue threats’ (Pl.), *ēminātiō* ‘the act of threatening’ (Pl.), *interminārī* ‘to utter threats’ (Pl.+); *minitārefi* ‘to threaten’ (Andr.+), *minitābiliter* ‘menacingly’ (Pac.+); (2) *ēminēre* ‘to stick out, protrude’ [pf. *ēminuī*] (Pl.+), *ēminulus* ‘projecting’ (Lucil.+), *minēre* ‘to lean forward, project’ (Lucr.).

Plt. *\*(eks-)men-ē-* ‘to stick out’, *\*men-ā-* ‘part which sticks out’ > ‘threat’.

PIE *\*m(e)n-eh<sub>1</sub>-*.

In theory, these forms could reflect *\*min-* or a stem *\*men-* which changed to *-min-* in non-initial syllable, and was thence imported into *minae* and *minārī*. The fact that *ē-minēre* can be connected with *mentum* and *mōns* makes the second solution more attractive. Thus, the root *\*men-* ‘to stick out, protrude’ is preserved in stative *ē-min-ēre*. We may assume *minae* < *\*men-ā-* meaning first ‘protruding part’ and then ‘threat’, and from *minā-* was derived *minārī*. The verb served as the basis for *mināx* and for the frequentative *minitāre*. Lucr. *minēre* is a recent back-formation to *ēminēre*. The *e*-grade in *\*men-ē-* might be from *\*mn-eh<sub>1</sub>-* (unless *\*mnV-* yielded Latin *\*monV-* or *\*manV-*, see s.v. *maneō*).

Bibl.: WH II: 90, EM 403, IEW 726, LIV ?3. *\*men-*. → *mentum, mōns*

**Minerva** ‘goddess of handicrafts’ [f. *ā*] (VOLat. (*menrva, menerva* Veii, 6<sup>th</sup> c., *menerva* CIL 2498 Praeneste, Pl.+)

Derivatives: *minerval* [n.] ‘fee for tuition’ (Varro), *Minervius* ‘of Minerva’ (Varro+), *promenervat* ‘promonet’ (Carmen Saliare apud Fest.).

Plt. *\*menes-wo-* ‘intelligent, understanding’. It. cognates: Fal. **menerua** [nom.], **menerua** [gen. or dat.], **meneruai** [dat.], Pael. *minerua* [dat.sg.?], *mineruai* [dat.sg.],

O. **menere(vas)** [gen.sg.].

PIE \*men-os, -es- [n.] 'thought'. IE cognates: Skt. *mānas-* [n.], Av. *manah-* 'mind, spirit', Gr. μένος [n.] 'spirit, passion, might, force', εὐμενής 'well-disposed (towards)', Myc. *e-u-me-ne*.

Meiser 1998: 117 adopts the etymology \**menes-ueh<sub>2</sub>* 'provided with a mind, intelligent'. It was proposed by Rix 1981: 117ff., who posited a sound law \**-su-* > Latin *-rv-*, also for *acervus*, *protervus*, *caterva* and *furvus*. Since the deity *Menerva* is attested in Etruscan from the sixth c. onwards, the sound law must have taken place before that time. Rix admits that beside Latin, also Faliscan and Umbrian qualify as possible sources for the Etruscan word, and hence for \**su* > *rv*. The raising of \**men-* to *min-* is regarded as irregular, but in view of the same problem found in *minae*, *mināri*, and since no inherited words are attested with Latin /*menV*/, it is possible that \**menV-* regularly turned to *minV-* at a certain point in VOLat. Compare Watkins 1973b: 196 for the raising of \**e* to *i* after word-initial labials. The gloss *promenervat* would testify to a denominal verb \**prō-menervāre* 'to warn'.

Bibl.: WH II: 90f., EM 404, Leumann 1977: 179, Untermann 2000: 470. → *mēns*, *meminī*, *moneō*

**minor, -or, -us** 'smaller, less, inferior' [adj. *r*] (Lex XII+)

Derivatives: *mimus*, *-ōris* [n.] 'smaller number, less' (Pl.+), *minus* [adv.] 'less', *minusculus* 'somewhat smaller' (Pl.+), *minuimus* 'smallest, least' (Pl.+), *mīscellus* 'very small; miscellaneous' (Cato, Varro, of *uva* and *vitis*: 'an inferior type of grape and the vine producing it'), *minister* 'helping; servant, assistant' (Varro+), *ministrāre* 'to wait on, provide' (Pl.+), *ministra* 'provider, female servant' (Varro+), *ministerium* 'service, attendance' (Varro+), *ministrātor* 'attendant' (Naev.+); *ministrāre* 'to assist, perform' (Pl.+), *administer* 'helper' (Varro+), *ministra* 'female helper' (Varro+); (2) *minuere* [*minuī*, *minūtum*] 'to reduce in size, lessen' (Pl.+), *minūtus* 'small, short' (Pl.+), *minūtulus* 'very small' (Pl.+), *minūtīm* 'gradually' (Cato+), *minūtātīm* 'id.' (Varro+); *comminuere* 'to break into pieces, smash' (Pl.+), *dēminuere* 'to diminish, deduct' (Pl.+), *dēminūtiō* 'reduction, deduction' (Varro+), *imminuere* 'to diminish, reduce' (Pl.+).

Plt. \**minōs*, \**minos* 'less', \**minos-tero-* 'smaller', \**minu-je/o-* 'to lessen'. It. cognates: O. *minstreis*, *mistreis* [gen.sg.f.] 'smaller' < \**min-Vs-tero-*; O. *min[s]* [adv.] 'less' < \**minVs*; O. *menvum* [inf.] 'to lessen'.

PIE \**moih<sub>1</sub>-uō-* 'small, little', comp. \**meih<sub>1</sub>-iōs-*, *-is-* 'less', \**mi-n(e)-h<sub>1</sub>-* 'to make less' >> \**mi-n(e)-u-*. IE cognates: Skt. *mināti*, *minānti* 'to damage, diminish', Gr. μείων 'smaller', μινύθω 'to disappear, to lessen'; OCS *mьnjii* 'smaller, lesser, younger', *mьnjьši* [f.], *mьnje* [n.], Ru. *mén'sij* [m.] 'smaller, lesser, younger' < \**mi-n-i(e/o)s-jo-*, Go. *mins* 'less', *minniza* 'smaller, lesser' < \**minuiza-*; ToB *maiwe* 'small, young'.

Lat. *minus*, *minister* (< \**minos-tero-*) are derived from \**minōs*, *-os-* by means of productive processes. Lat. *mīscellus* < \**minuscellus*, dim. to *minusculus*. The meaning 'miscellaneous' seems to have come about due to the semantic influence of *miscere*. For the PIE etymology, I follow Meiser's argument that *minor* cannot be based on an

old *u*-stem. The Gm. and BSl. adj. meaning ‘less’ are built on a form *\*minu-is-*, which also looks quite secondary. Hence, the original comp. *\*meih<sub>1</sub>-iōs-* was apparently influenced by the nasal present *\*miqu-(je-)* in Italic, yielding *\*mi-n-ōs*, *-es-*, *-os-* ‘smaller’.

Bibl.: WH II: 91-93, 95, EM 404f., IEW 711, Leumann 1959: 47-49 (for *mīscellus*), Meiser 1998: 154, Untermann 2000: 471, 477f., LIV 1.\*mei<sub>1</sub>H-. → *nimis*

**mīrus** ‘remarkable, astonishing’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Andr.+; usually predicative *mīrum est*)

Derivatives: *mīrārī* ‘to be amazed’ (Pl.+), *mīrāculus* [adj.] ‘freakish’ (Pl.+), *mīrāculum* ‘wonder, marvel’ (Cato+), *mīrābilis* ‘marvellous’ (Pl.+); *admīrārī* ‘to be surprised, admire’ (Pl.+), *dēmīrārī* ‘to wonder, be utterly astonished’ (Pl.+); *permīrus* ‘very remarkable’ (Pl.+); *mīrificus* ‘amazing’ (Ter.+); *mīriō* ‘an ugly mask’ (Acc. apud Varronem).

Plt. *\*smeiro-*.

PIE *\*sméi-ro-* [n.] ‘laughter’. IE cognates: Skt. *á-smera-* ‘without smiling’, OE *smāer(e)* ‘lips’, *gāl-smāer(e)* ‘inclined to laughter’ < PGM. *\*smairja-*, OE *smāeran* ‘to mock’; Skt. *smáyate* ‘smiles’, Gr. φίλο-μειδής ‘who likes to laugh’, Latv. *smiēt* ‘to laugh’, OCS *smijati sę*, ToB *smiyām* ‘smiles’.

These words are often connected with PIE *\*smei-* ‘to laugh’, but EM and Sihler are sceptical about the semantic justification. Indeed, it is difficult to arrive from an adj. PIE *\*smei-ro-* ‘laughing’ or ‘ridiculous’ at ‘remarkable’. Vine 2002: 334 solves this problem by observing that the oldest form was probably *mīrum*, from which the adj. *mīrus* was back-formed. Thus, we may be dealing with an original collective noun *\*sméi-ro-* ‘laughter, smiling’.

Bibl.: WH II: 94f., EM 406, IEW 967f., Sihler 1995: 214, Meiser 1998: 112. → *cōmis*

**miscēō** ‘to mix, blend’ [v. II; pf. *miscuī*, ppp. *mixtum*] (Pl.+; CIL 560 Praeneste *misc* [2s.ipv.act.])

Derivatives: *mixtura* ‘the mixing, combining’ (Lucr.+), *mixtim* ‘in an intermingled manner’ (Lucr.), *mixtārius* ‘mixing-vessel’ (Lucil.); *admiscēre* ‘to add, include’ (Pl.+), *admixtiō* ‘admixture’ (Varro+), *commiscēre* ‘to mix together, combine’ (Pl.+), *commixtura* ‘mixture’ (Cato), *immiscēre* ‘to mix in, merge’ (Lucr.+), *impermixtus* ‘unmixed’ (Lucil.), *permiscēre* ‘to mix well, combine’ (Cato+), *prōmiscuē* [adv.] ‘without distinction, commonly’ (Varro+), *prōmiscam* [adv.] ‘without distinction’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*mik-sk-e/o-* ‘to mix’.

PIE *\*mik-sk-e/o-*. IE cognates: Olr. *mescaid* ‘mixed, confuses’, W. *(cy-)mysgaf* ‘I mix’; Skt. *micchamāna-* [ptc.med.] ‘vivid’, *mekṣáyati* ‘to mingle, stir’, *mimikṣú-* ‘desiring for mixing [with milk] (of Soma)’, *miśrá-* ‘mixed, blended’; Gr. μείγνυμι ‘to mingle, mix’, μίσγω ‘id.’, aor.ps. μύγηται, Lith. *mišras* ‘mixed, blended’, *maišyti*, 3s. *maišo* ‘to mix’, OCS *měsiti* ‘to mingle, mix’ < *\*moik-*; OE *miscian*, OHG *miscen* ‘to mix’.

The reason why this verb takes the 2<sup>nd</sup> cj. is unclear. It is also unclear whether Praenestine *misc* is a remnant of a simple thematic stem *\*miscē/o-*. The adv.

*prōmiscam* in Pl. seems to point to an adj. \**prōmiscus*, hence also a simple thematic stem. *Prōmiscuus* is regularly derived from (*prō*)*miscēre*. *Mixtum* may reflect \**mixitum* (with syncope before long-vowel endings). The pf. *miscuī* has an unusual *u*-pf. after a heavy stem, which Meiser 2003 attributes to euphonic reasons, *miscuī* replacing an earlier *s*-pf. \**mixī* < \**misk-s-*. But it seems more likely that the pf. to this pr. is recent altogether.

Bibl.: WH II: 95f., EM 406, IEW 714, Meiser 2003: 247f., LIV \**meik-*.

**miser, -a, -um** ‘poor, unfortunate’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *miseriter* ‘pathetically’ (Lab.), *miseritūdō* ‘pity’ (Acc.), *miserēre/ī* ‘to feel or show compassion’ (Pl.+), *mē miserēscit* ‘I feel sorry’ (Pl.+), *miserārī* ‘to feel sorry for’ (Pl.+), *miseria* ‘woe, distress’ (Pl.+), *miserulus* ‘somewhat unfortunate’ (Laev.+); *misericordia* ‘compassion’ (Pl.+), *misericors* ‘merciful’ (Pl.+), *miserimōnium* ‘misery’ (Lab.).

Plt. \**misro-*.

PIE \**mh<sub>2</sub>isro-*? IE cognates: ToA *msār* ‘difficult’ (Pinault 1998: 17).

Possibly connected with *maereō*, but no acceptable PIE pedigree has been found; see s.v. *maereō*.

Bibl.: WH II: 8f., EM 407. → *maereō*

**mitat** ‘gives, donates’ (Duenos<sub>2</sub>inscr., Tibur base)

Plt. \**mīto-* ‘exchanged’.

PIE \*(*h<sub>2</sub>*)*mi(H)-to-*.

Lat. *mitat* /*mīt(t)āt*/ is explained convincingly by Vine 1999d: 297 as a 3s.pr.ind. to *mitā-* ‘to give (in exchange)’, a denom. verb to a ppp. \**mīto-* ‘exchanged’; see *meō* for the PIE root.

Bibl.: Untermann 2000: 469.

**mītis** ‘sweet and juicy, soft, gentle’ [adj. *i*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *mītēscere* ‘to become soft, grow mild’ (Pac.+); *commītīgāre* ‘to soften’ (Ter.).

Plt. \**mīti-*.

PIE \**m(e)h<sub>1</sub>i-ti-* ‘soft’. IE cognates: W. *mwydion* ‘soft parts’ < \**meit-* < \**meh<sub>1</sub>i-ti-*, OIr. *mín* ‘soft’ < \**miHni-* < \**mh<sub>1</sub>i-ni-*, W. *mwyn* ‘tender, mild’, Bret. *moan* ‘thin, fine’ < PCI. \**meinolā/i-* < \**meh<sub>1</sub>i-n-*; Skt. *máyas-* ‘refreshment, enjoyment’, YAv. *maiaha-* [n.] ‘satisfaction, pleasure’ < \**meiH-os-*, OPr. *mijls*, Lith. *miélas*, Latv. *mīšs* ‘nice, sweet, dear’, OCS *milь* ‘pitiable’, Ru. *milyj* ‘sweet, dear’ < \**m(e)iH-lo-*.

Possibly, Plt. \**mīti-* and the other nominal forms in IE languages are derived from a (verbal) derivative \**mh<sub>1</sub>i-* to \**mh<sub>1</sub>-* ‘to measure’.

Bibl.: WH II: 96f., EM 407, IEW 711f., Schrijver 1991: 244.

**mittō, -ere** ‘to release, let go; send, throw’ [v. III; pf. *mīsī*, ppp. *missum*] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *missus*, *-ūs* ‘shooting, sending’ (Lucr.+), *missiculāre* ‘to send frequently’ (Pl.), *missile* [n.] ‘missile’ (Cato+), *missilis* ‘that may be thrown or shot’

(Lucr.+); *admittere* ‘to admit, allow’ (Pl.+), *admissārius* [m. / adj.] ‘stallion, ass; kept for breeding’ (Pl.+), *admissiō* ‘controlled mating’ (Varro), *admissum* ‘crime’ (Laev.+), *admissūra* ‘copulation, breeding’ (Varro+), *āmittere* ‘to send away, release, lose’ (Naev.+), *committere* ‘to entrust to, bring about, commit, join’ (Pl.+), *commissum* ‘crime’ (Pl.+), *commissūra* ‘joint, juncture’ (Cato+), *dēmittere* ‘to drop, shed, send down’ (Naev.+), *dēmissīcius* ‘reaching to the ground’ (Pl.), *dīmittere* ‘to send away, dismiss, give up’ (Pl.+), *ēmittere* ‘to send out, release’ (Pl.+), *ēmissīcius* ‘sent out as a spy’ (Pl.), *ēmissus*, *-ūs* ‘emission’ (Lucr.), *immittere* ‘to cause to go, send, let in’, *intermittere* ‘to interrupt, leave open’ (Pl.+), *omittere* ‘to release, abandon, omit’ (Pl.+), *permittere* ‘to allow, cede, leave to’ (Pl.+), *praetermittere* ‘to overlook, neglect’ (Ter.+), *prōmittere* ‘to send forth, promise, guarantee’ (Pl.+), *remittere* ‘to send back, release, relax’ (Pl.+), *remissārius* ‘that can be slid back easily’ (Cato), *remissio* ‘sending back, cancellation’ (Varro+), *reprōmittere* ‘to promise, guarantee’ (Pl.+), *trā(ns)mittere* ‘to send over, let through’, *trānsmissus*, *-ūs* ‘bequest, crossing’ (Pac.+); *cosmittere* (Paul. ex F.).

Plt. \**meit-e/o-*, ppp. \**mit-to-*. It. cognates: SPic. *meitims* [nom.sg.], *meitimúm* [acc.sg.] ‘monument’ < \**meit-mo-*.

PIE pr. \**m(e)ith<sub>2</sub>-* ‘to exchange, remove’. IE cognates: Skt. pr. *methete* [3s.med.], *mimetha* [pf.] ‘to become hostile, quarrel’, OAv. aor. *hām.aibī.mōist* ‘joins’, *mōiθaṭ* ‘robs’, YAv. pr. *paiti-miθnāiti* ‘sends away’; Go. *in-maidjan* ‘to change’.

From original ‘exchange’, the meaning developed to ‘give, bestow’ (attested in VOLat. *mitat*) and ‘let go, send’. The hapax *cosmittere* in Paul. ex F. is not trustworthy enough to warrant an etymology with \**sm-*. The pr. *mittere* is often explained from \**mītere* by the *littera*-rule (see s.v. *cella*); this is possible, but cannot be ascertained. Note that in most instances of this rule, the old and the new form are attested side by side; with *mittō*, there is no trace of \**mītō*.

Bibl.: WH II: 97-99, EM 407f., IEW 715, Sihler 1995: 584, Meiser 2003: 110, LIV \**meiθ<sub>2</sub>-*. → *meō*, *mitat*, *mūtūus*

**modus** ‘measured amount, size, limit’ [m. o] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: (1) *modō* ‘just, only’ (Pl.+), *admodum* ‘to a great extent, completely’ (Naev.+), *commodum* ‘even now, just’ (Pl.+), *praemodum* ‘exceedingly’ (Andr.+), *quōmodō* ‘how’ (Pl.+), *modulus* ‘unit of measurement’ (Varro+), *modicus* ‘moderate, limited’ (Pl.+); *commodus* ‘convenient, favourable’ (Pl.+), *commodāre* ‘to provide, put at the disposal’ (Pl.+), *commoditās* ‘opportuneness, advantage’ (Pl.+), *commodulum*, *-ē* [adv.] ‘fairly suitably’ (Pl.+), *accomodāre* ‘to fit, apply’ (Pl.+), *incommodus* ‘troublesome, unpleasant’ (Pl.+); (2) *modestus* ‘restrained, mild’ (Pl.+), *immodestus* ‘lacking in restraint’ (Pl.+), *modestia* ‘restraint’ (Pl.+), *immodestia* ‘lack of restraint’ (Pl.+); *moderāre* ‘to control, rule, restrain’ (Pl.+), *moderātor* ‘wielder, ruler’ (Naev.+), *moderātrīx* ‘who restrains, female manager’ (Pl.+), *moderātīm* ‘gradually’ (Lucr.), *moderanter* ‘in a controlling manner’ (Lucr.), *admoderārī* ‘to control’ (Pl.); (3) *modius* ‘measuring-vessel’ (Pl.+), *modiolus* ‘bucket, vessel’ (Cato+), *trimodia* ‘vessel with the capacity of three modii’ (Varro+), *trimodius* ‘the measure of three modii’ (Pl.), *modiālis* ‘holding a modius’ (Pl.), *sēmodius* ‘half a

modius' (Cato+).

PIt. \**medo-* 'measure, judgement', \**medos-* 'id.'; \**med-*? It. cognates: (1) U. *meřs*, *mers* [nom.sg.], *mersei*, *mersi* [nom.sg. + *si*] 'law' < \**medos*; U. *mersto* [acc.sg.m.], *mersta*, *meersta* [acc.sg.f.], *merstu* [abl.sg.m.], *merstaf*, *mersta* [acc.pl.f.] '?' (a characteristic of augural birds) < \**mede/osto-*; U. *mersus* [nom.sg.m.], *mersuva* [abl.sg.f.], *mersuva* [acc.pl.n.] 'according to prescription' < \**medesyo-*; (2) O. *meddiss*, *meddis*, *meddis* [nom.sg.], *μεδεκον* [acc.sg.], *medikeis* [gen.sg.], *medikei* [dat.sg.], *medikid* [abl.sg.], *meddiks*, *μεδδειξ* [nom.pl.], Marr. *medix*, Mars. *medis*, *meddiss* [nom.sg.], Pael. *medix*, Vol. *medix* [nom.pl.] 'local official, magistrate, judge' < \**med(-V(s))-dik-* 'saying law'; O. *μεδεκων* [acc.sg.] 'female *meddix*'; O. *meddikiai*, *meddikiai*, *medikkiai*, *μεδικια* [loc.sg.] 'the office of *meddix*' < \**meddik-iā-*; O. *medicim* [acc.sg.], *meddixud* [abl.sg.], *μεδδικεν* [loc.sg. + *en*] 'office and/or place of the *meddix*' < \**meddik-io-*; O. *medicatud* [abl.sg.m. of ppp.] 'to sentence', denom. \**meddik-ā-*; O. *medicatinom* [acc.sg.] 'judgement' < \**meddik-ā-ti-n-o-*.

PIE \**med-o-* 'measure', \**med-o/es-* 'measure'. IE cognates: Gr. *μήδεα* 'counsels, plans', Arm. *mit* 'thought' < \**mēd-os-*.

Schrijver rejects the earlier explanation of *modus* < \**mod-o-* on the strength of O. *meddiss* < \**med(V)-dik-*. In his view, \**medo-* turned to Lat. \**modo-* phonetically, and similarly in the nom.sg. of the *s*-stem \**med-os* > \**modos*. The rounded vowel then spread in the *s*-stem paradigm. To his advantage one may adduce the fact that U. *meřs* can be the nom.sg. of the *m*. *o*-stem \**medo-*; but O. *meddiss* may contain a root noun \**med-*. A conclusive argument in favour of \**medo-* may be that \**modo-* would regularly yield \**mado-*, at least, by Schrijver's rules. From the *s*-stem, Latin *modestus* < \**medes-to-* was derived (matched by U. *mersto-*), as well as *moderāre* < \**medes-ā-*. The *ē*-grade in Greco-Armenian \**mēd-os-* can stem from the verb, cf. Gr. *μήδομαι*. This is uncertain, but it is irrelevant for Italic, unless Sabell. \**med-dik-* represents \**mēd-dik-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 99f., EM 408f., IEW 705f., Leumann 1977: 378, Schrijver 1991: 466-470, Untermann 2000: 455-462, 473f., Stüber 2002: 126, LIV 1.\**med-*. → *medeor*, *meditor*

**moenia, -ium** 'defensive walls' [n.pl. *ī*] (Naev.+; sg. *moene* in Naev.)

Derivatives: *moenire*, *mūnire* 'to fortify, safeguard' (Lex XII+; *moen-* in Pl.), *mūnitiō* 'defence work, fortification' (Sis.+); *admoenire* 'to besiege' (Pl.+), *circummoefūnire* 'to surround with a wall' (Pl.+), *commoefūnire* 'to surround with fortifications' (Pl.+).

PIt. \**moini-* 'protective wall'.

PIE \*(H)*moi-ni-* 'construction'? IE cognates: OIr. *do-dimen*\* 'to fix, tie' < PCI. \**mi-nu-*, Skt. pr. *minotu* [3s.ipv.act.], aor. *ameřta* [3s.med.], pf. *vī mimāya*, ta-ptc. *mitā-* 'to fix, establish, build', YAv. *bərəzi-mita-* 'highly built'; Latv. *miet* 'to drive piles'.

The retention of *oe* in *moenia* (as opposed to the usual change to *ū*) is ascribed to fear of polysemy with regard to *mūnia* 'achievements'. A connection with *mūnus* 'charge, duty' < \**moin-os-* cannot be rejected with certainty: a wall has defensive duties.

Bibl.: WH II: 100f., EM 409f., IEW 709, Meiser 1998: 87, Schumacher 2004: 484, LIV 1.\*mej-. → *mūnus, mūrus*

**mōlēs, -is** ‘large mass, heap’ [f. *i*] (Acc.+)

Derivatives: *mōlīrī* ‘to labour, build up, strive’ (Pl.+), *mōlītus, -ūs* ‘strenuous effort’ (Pl.), *mōlīmen* ‘force, effort’ (Lucr.), *mōlīmentum* ‘effort, labour’ (Sis.+); *āmōlīrī* ‘to remove, obliterate’ (Pl.+), *admōlīrī* ‘to exert oneself, lay violent hands on’ (Pl.+), *commōlīrī* ‘to construct, set in motion’ (Caecil.+), *dēmōlīrī* ‘to throw off, demolish’ (Naev.+), *ēmōlīrī* ‘to carry through’; *molestus* ‘troublesome, tiresome’ (Pl.+), *molestia* ‘distress, annoyance’ (Pl.+).

PIt. \**mōlo-* ‘labour, effort’, \**mōl-i-je-* ‘to labour’, \**mel-e/os-* [n.] ‘trouble’.

PIE \**mel-e/os-* [n.], \**mōl(-o)-* .

There is a slight possibility that *molestus* reflects \**mōlesto-* with pretonic shortening of \*-*VH-* in front of a resonant. More likely is an original *s*-stem \**melos, -es-* ‘trouble, obstacle’ > \**molos*, from which *mo-* was introduced into \**melesto-* (thus Schrijver 1991: 469f.). The absence of unrounding to \**malesto-* confirms this analysis. This also discredits an origin as \**m(e)h<sub>3</sub>-l-* (thus LIV), which would not yield PIt. \**mel-*. Schrijver argues that *mōlēs* has replaced a root noun, the nom.sg. of which may have been \**mōl*. However, the later attestation of *mōlēs* means that it could also be deverbal to *mōlīrī*. The whole group could then be based on an adj. or noun \**mōlo-* ‘labour, effort’. The PIE root is unclear: to Gr. μῶλος [m.] ‘battle’ ← \*‘trouble’, μῶλος ‘enervated, weak’, μόλις [adv.] ‘hardly’? Or to Gr. βλώσκω (< \*μλώ-σκω), aor. μολεῖν ‘to go, come’ < PIE \**mlh<sub>3</sub>-?* Or to Gr. μέλω ‘to be anxious, care for’?

Bibl.: WH II: 101f., EM 410, IEW 746, Schrijver 1991: 120f., 338, 342, 469f., Isebaert 1992: 201, LIV \**meh<sub>3</sub>-*.

**mollis** ‘soft, gentle’ [adj. *i*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *mollitia* ‘softness, luxury’ (Pl.+), *molliculus* ‘soft, tender’ (Pl.+), *mollīre* ‘to make soft’ (Ter.+), *mollitūdō* ‘softness’ (Pac.+), *mollēscere* ‘to become soft’ (Lucr.+); *molluscus* ‘having a thin shell’ (Pl.+).

PIt. \**moldu-(i)-*.

PIE \**mld-u-* ‘soft’. IE cognates: W. *blydd* ‘soft’, Skt. *mṛdu-* ‘delicate, soft’, Gr. \*βλαδύς ‘powerless’ (in βλαδεῖς Hsch.) The appurtenance of the Gr. forms is uncertain.

*Mollis* < \**molwi-* < \**mollwi-* < \**moldui-* < \**m̥ldu-i-*. The *u*-stem adj. were remade into *i*-stems in Latin. Lat. *molluscus* and *molluscum* ‘kind of fungus that grows on maple-trees’ (Plin.) shows the older *u*-stem: \**mldu-sko-* > \**moldu-sko-* > *molluscus*.

Bibl.: WH II: 103f., EM 410f., IEW 716-719, Schrijver 1991: 20f., Meiser 1998: 64, LIV \**meld-*.

**molō, -ere** ‘to grind in a mill’ [v. III; pf. *moluī*, ppp. *molitum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *mola* ‘millstone; cake of ground barley and salt’ (Naev.+), *molārius* ‘of a mill’ (Cato+), *moletrīna* ‘milling-place’ (Cato), *molīle* ‘component of a mill’ (Cato), *molitum* [n.] ‘flour’ (Pl.); *immolāre* ‘to sacrifice, sprinkle with flour’ (Naev.+).

PIt. sg. \**mela-*, pl. \**mal-enti*. It. cognates: U. *kumaltu, kumultu, comoltu*



[3s.ipv.II], **kumates**, **kumate**, **comatir** [ppp., abl.pl.n.] < \**kom-m(o)lH-e/o-*, \**kom-mlH-to-* 'to crush'. Maybe U. **maletu** [acc.sg.m.], attribute to 'salt'.

PIE \**melh<sub>2</sub>-/\*mlh<sub>2</sub>-* [pr.] 'to crush, grind', \**molh<sub>2</sub>-h<sub>2</sub>-* [f.] 'mill(stone)'. IE cognates: OIr. *meilid\**, *meil*, W. *malu*, MBret. *malaff* 'to grind' < PCI. \**male/o-* (*e*-grade in OIr. from sb.), Hit. *malla-* 'to mill, grind' < \**molh<sub>2</sub>-*, CLuw. *mal(h)u-* 'to break' < \**melh<sub>2</sub>-u-*, Myc. *me-re-ti-ri-ja* 'woman grinders', *me-re-u-ro* 'flour', Gr. *μύλη* 'handmill, mill', Arm. *malem* 'to crush', Lith. *málti*, Latv. *mālt* 'to grind, mill' < \**molH-*, OCS *mlěti*, Ru. *molót*', Is. *meljú* 'to grind, mill' < \**mlH-*, \**melH-*, Go. *malan* < \**molH-*. Skt. *mṛṇāti*, *mṛṇāti* 'to crush, grind' < \**ml/r-n-H-*.

*Immolāre* is a denominative to *mola*. In the athematic paradigm of the PIE verb, \**melh<sub>1</sub>-ti* gave Plt. \**melati*, whence with rounding in front of velar *l* > VOlat. \**molati*. The noun *mola* is either derived from this stage, or reflects PIE \**molh<sub>1</sub>-h<sub>2</sub>-* (preferable in view of Gr. *μύλη* < \**mol-ā*), in which case the expected unrounding of \**mo-* > \**ma-* might not have happened because the first syllable was originally closed by the cluster \**-lH-*. LIV reconstructs final \**-h<sub>2</sub>* on the strength of CLuw. *mālhūta* 'broke' and other forms, in which case the second *e* in Myc. *lmele-* would be secondary.

Bibl.: WH II: 104f., EM 411, IEW 716-719, Schrijver 1991: 103, 216, 394, 473, Harðarson apud Rix 1995a: 406, Rix 1999: 517, Untermann 2000: 410-411, 444, Schumacher 2004: 470ff., LIV \**melh<sub>2</sub>-*. → *malleus*

**moneō** 'to remind, tell (of)' [v. II] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *monētrix* [f.] 'adviser' (Pl.), *monitor* 'adviser' (Ter.+), *monu/imentum* 'statue, memorial, tomb' (Pl.+); *admonēre* 'to advise, warn', *commonēre* 'to remind' (Pl.+), *commonēfacere* 'id.' (Pl.+), *prōmonēre* 'to warn openly' (Pl.+), *submonēre* 'to advise privately' (Ter.); *mōnstrum* 'prodigy, sign, monster' (Pl.+), *mōstellāria* 'a play about ghosts' (Pl.+), *mōnstrāre* 'to point out, reveal' (Pl.+), *mōnstrātiō* 'showing' (Ter.+), *mōnstrificābilis* 'strange' (Lucil.); *commōnstrāre* 'to point out, reveal' (Pl.+), *dēmōnstrāre* 'to indicate, describe' (Pl.+), *praemōnstrāre* 'to show beforehand, foretell' (Pl.+), *praemōnstrātor* 'guide' (Ter.); *monēr/dula* 'jack-daw' (Pl.+); *Monēia* 'title of Juno; temple where money was coined' (Andr.+).

Plt. \**moneje-*. It. cognates: maybe SPic. *múfqlúm* [nom.sg.?] if 'monument'.

PIE \**mon-eie-* 'to make think of, remind'. IE cognates: Skt. *mānáyati* 'to honour, respect', OAv. *mānaiia-*, YAv. *mānaiian* [caus.ptc.act.] 'making think'; ?OBret. *guo-monim* 'to promise'.

Lat. *mōnstrum* < \**mone-stro-*. In regular \**mōstro-*, *n* was reintroduced. *Mōstellāria* < \**mone-stro-lāri-* has the regular outcome. The name *Monēta* is a derivative in \**-ēto-* from *moneō*, created on the model of the stative verbs in *-ēre*: \**-ēto-*; its original meaning can be interpreted as 'who reminds' = 'the memory' (Livingston 2004: 23-30). The absence of the change \**mo-* > \**ma-* in open syllable is conspicuous. Schrijver suggests that *o*-vocalism was restored in *monēre* on the model of other causatives.

Bibl.: WH II: 107-110, EM 412f., IEW 726-728, Schrijver 1991: 472, Meiser 1998: 117, Untermann 2000: 480, LIV 1.\**men-*. → *meminī*, *mēns*

**monīle** ‘necklace, collar’ [n. *i*] (Afran.+)

Plt. \**monjo-* ‘neck’.

PIE \**mon-i-* ‘neck’. IE cognates: OI<sub>z</sub> *muin* [f.] ‘upper part of the back between shoulders and neck’, MW *mwn*, OBret. *mun* ‘neck’ < PCI. \**moni-*, OIr. *muinēl*, MW *mwnwgył* ‘neck’ < \**moni-klo-*; Skt. *mānyā-* [f.] ‘neck’, *maṇi-* [m.] ‘ornament carried around the neck’, YAv. *zarənu-maini-* ‘with a golden neck-ornament’ (an epithet of a vulture), *pairi-māniia-* ‘neck-protection’, *manaotvri-* [f.] ‘neck’; OCS *monisto* ‘necklace’; OHG *mana*, OE *manu* ‘mane’ < \**mon-*; OIc. *men*, OE *mene* ‘necklace’ < \**mon-i-*.

Since there existed a productive pattern of deriving *-li*-adj. with a preceding long vowel from short-vowel *i-* and *u-*stems (Leumann 1977: 350), *monīle* can be derived directly from a preform \**moni-* ‘neck’. In that case, the absence of unrounding to \**ma-* is unexpected. If the PIE *i*-stem was thematized to Plt. \**monje/o-*, the first syllable was closed, and \**mo-* would be retained.

Bibl.: WH II: 108, EM 412, IEW 747f., Schrijver 1991: 473.

**mōns, -tis** ‘mountain’ [m. *i*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *montānus* ‘of the mountains, mountainous’ (Lucil.+), *montivagus* ‘mountain-ranging’ (Lucret.+); *prōmuntūōrium* ‘headland, promontory, spur’ (Pac.+).

Plt. \**monti-*.

PIE \**mon-ti-* ‘protrusion, height’. IE cognates: W. *mynydd*, OCo. *menit*, OBret. *monid* ‘mountain’ < \**mon-io-*; Av. *maiti-* ‘mountain, height’ < \**mn-ti-*; OIc. *mønir* ‘ridge of a roof’ < \**mōni-*, *mæna* ‘to tower’.

The meaning of *prōmuntūōrium* makes it attractive to derive it from *mōns*, but the morphology is unclear: \**prō-mont-ōrium*? The suffix *-ōrium* is usually derived from nouns in *-tor* which belong to verbal stems (Leumann 1977: 301), hence one might adopt an alternative etymology as \**prō-moni-tōr* ‘warner’ (to *moneō*), and regard a promontory as a clear ‘signpost’ in the landscape. This would require syncope of medial \**-e-* in \**prōmonetōriom*. In *mōns*, the *o*-grade is unexpected; the Latin noun looks like a cross of \**mn-ti-* and \**mon-i(o)-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 108f., EM 413, 538, IEW 726, LIV ?3. \**men-*. → *mentum, minae*

**mora** ‘delay, lapse of time’ [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *morāre/ī* [act. Naev. Enn. Pac.] ‘to delay, hold back, remain’ (Naev.+); *commorārī* ‘to detain, remain’ (Pl.+), *dēmorārī* ‘to keep waiting, linger’ (Pl.+), *remorārī* ‘to wait; hold up’ (Pl.+), *remora* ‘hindrance, delay’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \**morH-ā-* ‘delay’.

PIE \**morh<sub>2</sub>-*. IE cognates: OIr. *maraid*, \**mair* ‘lasts, remains’ < PCI. \**mare/o-* < \**mrH-e-*; OIr. *mer* ‘senseless’ < \**merH-o-* (appurtenance uncertain); Skt. *āmūrchat* (AV) [3s.ipf.], *mūrtá-* [ppp.] ‘to congeal, become solid’ < \**mrH-sk-*, Gr. *μωρός* ‘stupid, foolish’.

*Morārī* could be a denominal verb to *mora*, or it could represent an old iterative \**morH-eie-* as proposed by Schumacher. Incidentally, this would point to root-final \**-h<sub>2</sub>*. If \**mo-* regularly became \**ma-* in open syllable, *mora* cannot regularly reflect

\**mor-* in open syllable. The vowel *o* might have been restored in the iterative verb. One might with Schrijver 1991 posit \**morH-*, with the laryngeal closing the syllable in Plt. – but see section 4.2, note 2, of the introduction.

Bibl.: WH II: 110, EM 413, IEW 969f., Schrijver 1991: 110, Schumacher 2004: 476.

**morbus** ‘disease, illness’ [m. *o*] (Lex XII+)

Derivatives: *morbidus* ‘sick’ (Varro+), *morbōsus* ‘sickly’ (Cato+); *remorbēscere* ‘to fall ill again’ (Enn. apud Paul. *ex F.*).

Plt. \**mor-fo-*?

PIE \**mor-b<sup>h</sup>o-*?

*Morbus* cannot continue an adj. in \**-id<sup>h</sup>o-*, such as *acerbus* ‘sour’, since \**moribo-* would normally yield \**moridus*. Thus, the suffix is more likely to be PIE \**-b<sup>h</sup>o-*, which surfaces especially in “adjectives specifying something’s appearance” (Nussbaum 1999a: 393, e.g. *albus*, *sorbum*). *Morbus* could be ‘looking like death’ to the root \**mr-* of *mors* and *morīrī*. Morphologically, however, a PIE formation \**m(o)r-b<sup>h</sup>o-* is somewhat strange. An alternative basis would be \**mor-*, \**mōr-*, the (non-IE?) root of ‘bramble’ (Gr. μόρον ‘black mulberry’, W. *merwydden*).

Bibl.: WH II: 110f., EM 414, IEW 735-737, Leumann 1977: 330.

**mordeō** ‘to bite’ [v. II; pf. *memordī*, *momordī*, ppp. *morsum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *mordicus* ‘with the teeth, by biting’ (Naev.+), *morsus*, *-ūs* ‘bite, wounding’ (Cato+), *mordāx* ‘prone to bite, biting, sharp’ (Pl.+), *morsiuncula* ‘a little bite’ (Pl.+); *admordēre* ‘to bite, extract money from’ (Pl.+), *praemordēre* ‘to bite at/from the end’ (Pl.+), *remordēre* ‘to bite back, gnaw’ (Lucr.+).

Plt. \**mord-eje-*.

PIE pr. \**h<sub>2</sub>mord-(e)ie-* ‘to crush, bite’, pf. \**h<sub>2</sub>me-h<sub>2</sub>mord-*, ptc. \**h<sub>2</sub>mrd-to-*. IE cognates: Skt. *sam-marditoḥ* [inf.] ‘to crush’, *mṛditā-* ‘smashed, crushed’, OAv. *mōrandaṭ* (< \**mṛ-n-d-*) [3s.pr.inj.act.] ‘to ruin, crush’, OP *vimardatiy* [pr.], *viyamarda* [ipf.] ‘to crush’; Gr. ἀμέρδω ‘to deprive of’, ἀμείρω (Pi.) ‘to bereave’, OE *smeortan* ‘to hurt’.

An iterative present (biting is typically a repeated action) with PIE *o*-grade. Apparently, the simple thematic present was ousted in Latin. Final \**-d* might be a root enlargement if Gr. ἀμείρω is old (but it might be secondary after the aor. ἤμερσα).

Bibl.: WH II: 111f., EM 414, IEW 735-737, Leumann 1977: 604, LIV \**h<sub>2</sub>merd-*.

**morior, morī** ‘to die’ [v. III; ppp. *mortuum*] (Lex XII+; also (*ē*)*morīrī* Pl. Enn. Ter.)

Derivatives: *commorī* ‘to die together with’ (Pl.+), *dēmorī* ‘to die’ (Pl.+), *ēmorī* ‘to perish, die out’ (Pl.+), *intermorī* ‘to perish, die off’, *praemorī* ‘to die beforehand’ (Varro+), *moribundus* ‘dying, decaying’ (Pl.+); *mors*, *-tis* [f. *i*] ‘death’ (Naev.+), *mortālis* [adj.; m.] ‘mortal, perishable; human being’ (Naev.+), *immortālis* ‘immortal, eternal’ (Pl.+), *immortālītās* ‘immortality, being a god’ (Pl.+), *morticīnus* ‘that has died a natural death’ (Pl.+), *mortifer* ‘deadly’ (Enn.+); *mortuus* ‘dead, done with’ (Naev.+), *mortuālia* ‘mourning garments, funeral dirges’ (Naev.+), *ēmortuālis* ‘of one’s death’ (Pl.).

Plt. *\*morje-* ‘to die’, *\*morto-* ‘dead’, *\*mortwo-* ‘dead’, *\*morti-* ‘death’. It. cognates: Ven. **murtuoi** [dat.sg.] ‘dead’.

PIE *\*mr-ie/o-* ‘to die’, *\*mr-to-* ‘dead’, *\*mr-uo-* ‘dead’, *\*mr-ti-* ‘death’. IE cognates: OIr. *marb*, W. *marw* ‘dead’ < *\*mr̥uo-*; Hit. *mer-zi/* ‘to disappear, vanish’ < *\*mer-/mr-*, *marnu-zi* ‘to make disappear’; Skt. pr. *mriyáte* (< *\*mr-ie-*), aor. *mar-/mr̥-*, pf. *mamāra*, caus. *mārayati* ‘to kill’, *martave* [inf.] ‘to die’, *mr̥tá-* ‘died, dead’, *a-mr̥ta-* (< *\*r̥-mr̥to-*) ‘immortal’; YAv. *miriia-* ‘to die’, *mərəta-* ‘died’, *aməša-* ‘immortal’; Gr. (Hsch.) *ἔμωρτεν* [3s.ipf.act.] ‘died’, *ἄμβροτος* ‘immortal’; Arm. *meṛani-* ‘to die’; Go. *maurþr* ‘murder’; Lith. *mir̥ti* ‘to die’, OCS *mr̥eti* ‘to die’, *mǫrtvь*, Ru. *mǫrtvyj*, SCr. *mrtav* ‘dead’.

*Morticinus* might be based on an earlier adj. *\*mortiko-*. The change of PIE *\*mr̥tu-* to *\*mr̥tuuo-* (conditioned by *tʔ*) was Plt. judging by Ven. **murtuvo-**. The formation of *\*mr̥tu-* ‘dead’ for PIE *\*mr̥to-* (as in Slavic) may be due to a contamination with *\*mr̥uo-* as attested in Celtic. Lat. (*im*)*mortālis* might be based on earlier *\*morto-* ‘dead’ rather than on *mors* ‘death’.

Bibl.: WH II: 112f., EM 414f., IEW 735, Lejeune 1974: 337, Sihler 1995: 536, Meiser 1998: 93, Livingston 2004: 10, LIV *\*mer-*.

**mortārium** ‘bowl, mortar’ [n. o] (Pl.+)

Plt. *\*morto-*?

PIE *\*mr-to-* ‘crushed’? IE cognates: Hit. *marrije/a-<sup>ta(ri)</sup>*, *marra<sup>ta(ri)</sup>* ‘to melt (down), dissolve, boil’ < *\*me/orH-je-*; Skt. pr. *prá ni mṛñīhi* [2s.ipv.act.], *mṛña-* ‘to grab, crush’, Gr. *μάρναμαι* ‘to fight, battle’, *μαραίνω* ‘to quench, destroy’, OIc. *merja* ‘to hit, destroy’.

Could be based on a Plt. form *\*mor-to-* ‘ground’ < *\*mr̥to-*, derived from the same root *\*mr-* ‘to disappear’ as *morior*. We find the meaning ‘to destroy’ or ‘to crush’ in various IE words from *\*mrh<sub>2</sub>-*, which could be interpreted as a root extension *\*-h<sub>2</sub>-* to the root *\*mr-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 112, EM 415, IEW 735-737, LIV *\*merh<sub>2</sub>-*. → *morior*

**mōs, mōris** ‘custom, usage’ [m. r] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *morātus* ‘endowed with certain manners’ (Pl.+), *morigerus* ‘compliant’ (Naev.+), *morigerāre/ī* ‘to be compliant’ (Pl.+), *morigerātiō* ‘indulgence’ (Afran.); *mōrōsus* ‘hard to please’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*mōs-*.

PIE *\*m(e)h<sub>1</sub>-ōs, -os-* ‘manner’.

The meaning of *mōs* is sufficiently close to ‘measure’ to derive it from PIE *\*mh<sub>1</sub>-* ‘to measure’. In theory, alternative reconstructions would be *\*meh<sub>3</sub>-os-*, or a root noun *\*mōs* (cf. *flōs* ‘flower’, *mūs* ‘mouse’).

Bibl.: WH II: 113-115, EM 415f., IEW 704f., Schrijver 1991: 203. → *mēnsis, mētiōr, mītis*

**moveō** ‘to move (tr. and intr.)’ [v. II; pf. *mōvī*, ppp. *mōtum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *mōtus, -ūs* ‘motion, movement’ (Acc.+), *mōmentum* ‘movement, power,

short period, event' (Ter.+), *mōmen* [n.] 'movement' (Lucr.+), *mōbilis* 'quick in movement, movable' (Pl.+), *mōbilitās* 'quickness of movement' (Lucr.+); *admovēre* 'to move near, apply' (Pl.+), *āmovēre* 'to remove, get rid of' (Pl.+), *commovēre* 'to stir up, agitate, rouse' (Pl.+), *commōtus*, *-ūs* 'movement' (Varro), *dēmōvēre* 'to divert, remove' (Pl.+), *dīmōvēre* 'to cleave, part' (Lucr.+), *ēmōvēre* 'to remove, expel' (Pl.+), *obmovēre* 'to offer up' (Cato+), *prōmovēre* 'to propel, push forward' (Ter.+), *removēre* 'to remove, banish' (Pl.+), *summovēre* 'to drive off, dispel, remove' (Pl.+), *trāsmovēre* 'to transfer' (Ter.+).

PIt. *\*mow(a)-* [aor.]. It. cognates: maybe U. *comohota* [ppp., abl.sg.f.] /*kom(m)ōtal* '?' < *\*kom-mow-e/i-to-* 'moved'.

PIE *\*m(i)euH<sub>1</sub>-* [aor.] 'to move'. IE cognates: Hit. *mau<sup>i</sup>-* / *mu-*, *maušš-* 'to fall' < *\*mouh<sub>1</sub>-* / *\*muh<sub>1</sub>-*, *mum(m)ija/e<sup>zi</sup>* < *\*mumai<sup>i</sup>* < *\*mh<sub>1</sub>u-oi-*; Skt. pr. *-mīvantī-* [ptc.act.f.] 'pushing down', ps. *-mīvyamāna-* [ptc.] (< PIE *\*mih<sub>1</sub>-u-*), ° *mūta-* (< *\*muh<sub>1</sub>-tó-* < *\*mjuh<sub>1</sub>-tó-*) in *kāma-mūta-* 'impelled by love', Av. *a-muiiamna-* 'motionless', YAv. *auua.mūuua-* 'to clear away', Khot. *mvīr-* 'to move'; Lith. *māudyti* 'to bathe', Latv. *maūt* 'submerge, swim', *maudāt* 'bathe' < *\*mouH-*, OCS *myti* 'to wash' < *\*muH-*; ToB *miw-*, ToA *mew-* 'to shake'.

Lat. *mōtus* < *\*mowe-to-*, *mōtus* [m.] < *\*mowe-tu-*, *mōbilis* < *\*mowe-bli-*, and *mōmentum* < *\*mowe-mnto-* have restored *\*-owe-* (as far as *-e-* was syncopated by rule) after the change of *\*-owV-* > *-ū-* seen in *rūrsus*, *nūndinae*. In *movēre*, *mo-* must be more recent than the change of *\*-ow-* > *\*-aw-*. Hence, we posit *\*meuH-*, which could be the PIE root pr. or aorist. The suffix *-ē-* could have been adopted from the old caus.pr. *\*maweje-* < PIE *\*mouH-eie* (cf. Vine 2006a), or from other stative presents in *\*-ē-je-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 106, 116, EM 416f., IEW 743, Rasmussen 1989: 117, Schrijver 1991: 278-281, 448f., Meiser 1998: 85, Untermann 2000: 416, Vine 2006a: 218-221, LIV *\*mieuH<sub>1</sub>-*. → *suō*

**mox** 'soon' [adv.] (Pl.+)

PIt. *\*moks(u)*.

PIE *\*moK(-)s̃(-)* 'soon'. IE cognates: OIr. *mó* [adv.], *mos-* [prev.] 'soon', MW *moch* 'soon'; Skt. *makṣú* 'quickly', OAv. *mošuca* 'and soon', YAv. *mošu* 'soon'.

It is unclear whether the PIE word is an old loc.pl. in *\*-su*, or whether it contains a PIE *u*-stem. The root, too, is uncertain. In theory, Lat. *mox* and the Ilr. forms could be derived from *\*moǵ-s(-)*, *o*-grade of the root *\*mǵ-* 'great' of *magnus*; the semantic development would have been '(in) much, many' > 'quick, soon'.

Bibl.: WH II: 117, EM 417, IEW 747.

**mūcrō** 'point (of a sword, etc.)' [m. n] (Enn.+)

PIt. *\*m(e/o)uk-ro-*?

PIE *\*(h<sub>2</sub>)muk-ro-*. IE cognates: Gr. *ἀμόσσω* 'to scratch, tear', *ἀμυχί* 'rent, wound', *ἄμυγμα* 'rending'; *ἀμυκάλα* 'arrow-tips', *ἀμύσχεσθαι* 'to scratch the flesh with one's nails' (Hsch.); Lith. *mūšti*, 3s. *mūša* 'to beat', Latv. *mustavas* [nom.pl.] 'warping beam'.

The vowel length of *mu-* is disputed: the syllable is long in OLat. poetry, which seems to point to *mū|crō*. The appurtenance of Lith. *mūšti* is uncertain, since the meaning is not 'to scratch'. Greek and Latin might go back to PIE (in which case Greek has some secondary consonant variation from an original stem *\*amuk-*), or be borrowings from an unknown language. If *mū-* has a long vowel, the Latin form would reflect *\*me/ouk-ro-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 117, EM 417, IEW 745, Schrijver 1991: 21.

**mūcus** 'mucus, snot' [m. o] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *mūcēre* 'to be mouldy' (Cato), *mūcidus* 'snotty, mouldy' (Pl.+); *ēmungere* (*ēmūnxī*, *ēmūnctum*) 'to wipe one's nose; trick' (Pl.+); *mūgil(is)* [m.] 'sea-fish, grey mullet' (Varro+).

PIt. *\*mung-* 'to wipe'.

PIE \*(s)mu-n-k- 'to brush off', \*(s)me/ouk-o- 'snot'. IE cognates: Skt. pr. *muñcāmi*, *mūcyate*, aor. *ámugdhvam* [2p.med.ath.], *ámucat* [3s.act.them.] 'to release, set free', YAv. *fra-muxti-* [f.] 'binding loose (shoes)', *paiti.šmuxta-* [adj.] 'wearing shoes', Gr. *μύσσομαι* 'to blow one's nose', *μυκτήρ*, *-ῆρος* [m.] 'nostril', *μύξα* 'slime, mucus', *μύξων* [m.] 'kind of mullet'; Lith. *maūkti* 'to pull, peel, skin', *mūkti*, 3s. *mūnka* 'to walk away', *smūkti* 'to glide (off)', Po. *smukać* 'to brush', Ru. (dial.) *smýkat* 'to milk'; Cz. *mknouti* 'to move', SCr. *māknuti* 'move' < PSI. *\*māknoiti*.

It is not certain that *mungō* and *mūcus* belong to the same root. *Mungō* can be compared with the nasal presents in Skt. and BSl. and with the meaning of Gr. *μύσσομαι*. Lat. *mūcus* would have to be an old derivative of the same root; but it can also belong to IE words for 'moist', 'moor': Mlr. *mocht* 'weak' < *\*muk-to-*; Oic. *mjúkr*, Latv. *mukls* 'marshy'.

Bibl.: WH I: 402f., EM 417, 421, IEW 744f., LIV *\*meuk-*.

**mūgiō, -īre** 'to low, moo, bellow' [v. IV] (Varro+)

Derivatives: *mūgīnārī* 'to roar, hum and haw' (Lucil.+), *mūgītus*, *-ūs* 'lowing, roaring' (Varro+).

PIt. *\*mūg-*. It. cognates: maybe U. *mugatu* [3s.ipv.II], *muieto fust* [3s.fut.pf.ps.] '?': *muie-* < *\*mug-je-*?

PIE *\*mūg-*. IE cognates: Hit. *mūgae-zi* 'to invoke, entreat', denom. to *\*mūga-* 'invocation of the gods through noise' < *\*moug-o-*, <sup>GIS</sup>*mūkar-* / *mukn-* 'noisy instrument, rattle' < *\*meug-r*, *\*mugnos*; Gr. *μῦκάομαι* 'to low, bellow', *μύξω* 'to mutter, moan', Lith. *mūkiū*, *mūkti* 'bellow', Ru. *myčát*, Ukr. *múkaty*, MHG *mūhen* 'to low, bellow', OHG *muckazzen* 'to talk slowly'.

Onomatopoeic form, imitation of a cow's lowing.

Bibl.: WH II: 119f., EM 417f., IEW 751f., Untermann 2000: 480f. → *mūtus*

**mulceō** 'to stroke, caress' [v. II; pf. *mulsi*] (Pl.+; in Pl. only *mulsus*)

Derivatives: *dēmulcēre* 'to stroke, entrance' (Ter.+), *permulcēre* 'to rub gently, soothe' (Pac.+); *mulcāre* 'to handle roughly, damage' (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*molk-eje-* 'to stroke, rub', *\*molk-ā-* 'stroke'?

PIE \*m(o)lk̑-eie- 'to touch repeatedly'. IE cognates: Skt. pr. *mṛśáse* [2s.med.], s-aor. *mṛkṣata*, pf. *māmṛśúr*, caus. *marśaya-*; Sogd. *mrws-* 'to touch'.

The verb *mulcāre* can hardly be derived from \**molk-eje-*, so it may rather be denominal to a noun \**molkā-*. LIV reconstructs initial \**h₂-* for PIE because of a possible connection with \**h₂melǵ-* 'to milk' (then \**h₂ml-ǵ/k-*). This is possible, but unproven.

Bibl.: WH II: 120f., EM 418, IEW 724, Untermann 2000: 484, LIV \*Hmelk̑-

**mulgeō** 'to milk' [v. II; pf. *mulsi*, ppp. *mulctum*] (Cato+)

Derivatives: *immulgēre* 'to milk (into)' (Andr.+); *prōmulgāre* 'to make widely known' (Cic.+); *mulctra* /-um [f./n.] 'milking-pail' (Verg.+).

Plt. \**molgeje-*.

PIE \**h₂mlǵ-eie-* 'to milk'. IE cognates: Olr. *mligid\** 'to milk' < PCI. \**mlige/o-* < \**h₂mlǵ-*; Gr. ἀμέλω 'to milk', Alb. *mjel*, Lith. *mélžu* < \**h₂melǵ-*, OCS *mlъzq* < \**h₂mlǵ-*, OHG *melchan*, OE *melcan*, ToA *mālkant* (ptc.).

Originally a PIE iterative pr. 'to milk'; in view of the Olr. cognate, *mulgeō* most likely continues a zero grade of the root. The verb *prōmulgāre* can be interpreted as a factitive to *mulgēre*: 'to milk forth' > 'make known'. Lat. *mulctra* was not based on the pr., but seems to continue an earlier \**m(e/o)lg-tro-* (cf. Serbat 1975: 313).

Bibl.: WH II: 121, 370, EM 418, 538, IEW 722f., Schrijver 1991: 21, Schumacher 2004: 486, LIV \**h₂melǵ-*.

**mulier, -eris** 'woman' [f. ʀ] (Lex XII, Andr.+)

Derivatives: *muliebris* 'of a woman, female' (Pl.+), *muliercula* 'little woman' (Pl.+), *mulierōsus* 'addicted to women' (Pl.+), *mulierāre* 'to use as a woman' (Varro).

*Muliebris* < \**mulies-ri-* proves an original *s*-stem. Thus, nom.sg. \**mulies* or \**muliēs* >> \**muliēr* > *mulier*. The older etymology (Sommer 1914: 454, WH, IEW) interprets *mulier* as an original comparative to the stem of *mollis* 'soft, weak', namely as \**m̥l-ies-ī*; more correct would be \**m̥ld-ies-ī*, but this would yield \**mollierī* > \**mullier* with a palatal *l*. Klingenschmitt 1992: 130 proposes a comparative to the stem of *melior* 'better': \**m̥l-ies-īH* 'the better one, chief spouse' > \**moliesī* >> nom. \**moliesis*. This requires two more assumptions which are without a parallel: firstly, \**olj* > *ulj*. This is unlikely, since \**j* has turned to *i* in all of OLat. (*mulier*), whereas the change *olC* > *ulC* is dated to the second century BC (Meiser 1998: 84). Secondly, the nom. \**moliesis* would have analogically been remade into \**molies/r*, which is unlikely (cf. *neptis*). Hence, there is still the possibility that *mulier* has a different etymology.

Bibl.: WH II: 122, EM 418f., IEW 716-719, Sihler 1995: 309f., Meiser 1998: 152.  
→ *melior, multus*

**mulleus** 'red-coloured (said of shoes)' [adj. *o/ā*] (Cato+; *mullōs* [acc.pl.] Vopiscus)

Plt. \**molwo-*.

PIE \**ml-uo-?* IE cognates: Lith. *mul̃vas* 'reddish, yellowish'.

Driessen 2005: 45 holds that the original form may have been *mullus* (possibly retained by Vopiscus) which was remade into *mulleus* on the model of *calceus* ‘shoe’, with which it always occurs. Driessen rejects the connection with adj. in *\*-no-* meaning ‘black’ (e.g. Gr. μέλας, Latv. *mēļns* ‘black’) since Plt. *\*melano-* would not yield Lat. *mullus*; he proposes *\*ml-uo-* instead.

Bibl.: WH II: 122f., EM 419, IEW 720f.

**multus** ‘numerous, many’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *multēsimus* ‘infinitesimal’ (Lucr.), *molta* (CIL), *multa* ‘penalty’ (Pl.+), *multāre* (CIL *moltāre*) ‘to fine’ (Naev.+), *multitāre* ‘to fine habitually’ (Cato), *multitūdō* ‘abundance, (large) number’ (Varro+).

Plt. *\*molto-*. It. cognates: O. *molto* [nom.sg.], *moltam* [acc.sg.], *multas* [gen.sg. or acc.pl.], U. *mutu*, *muta* [nom.sg.], *muta* [acc.sg.], *motar* [gen.sg.] ‘a fine’; O. *múltasikad* [abl.sg.f.], *moltas(ikud)* [abl.sg.n.] ‘cashed as a fine’, derivative in *\*-iko-* of a form *\*moltāsio-* ‘fine-like’; O. *moltaum* [inf.] ‘to fine’.

PIE *\*m(o)l-to-* ‘good, big’? IE cognates: Gr. μάλα ‘very, quite’, μάλλον ‘more, rather’ (for *\*μέλλον?*), μάλιστα ‘mostly, quite especially’; Latv. *milns* ‘very many’.

The appurtenance of *multa* here is not certain, but can be justified: a fine is a ‘quantity’ one has to pay. *Multus* may formally be explained from *\*ml-to-* or *\*mol-to-* as ‘good’ or ‘strong’ vel sim., but the function of *\*-to-* remains unclear. As argued s.v. *melior*, the IE root etymology is not very strong.

Bibl.: WH II: 123-125, EM 419f., IEW 720, Untermann 2000: 482-484. → *melior*

**mūlus** ‘mule’ [m. *o*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *mūla* ‘she-mule’ (Pl.+), *mūliō* ‘mule-driver’ (Pl.+), *muscella* ‘young she-mule’ (CIL 4.2016).

Plt. *\*musklo-* / *\*mukslo-*.

IE cognates: Gr. μύκλος ‘lascivious; pack-mule’, μυχλός ‘Phocaeen name of a breeder-ass’ (Hsch.) < *\*μυκσλός*, Alb. *mushk*, ORu. *mъskъ*, RuCS *mesk* ‘mule’.

Probably a loanword which entered Europe from Asia Minor in the form *\*musk-* or *\*muks-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 125f., EM 420, Leumann 1977: 207.

**mundus** ‘clean, elegant’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *munditia* ‘cleanliness, elegance’ (Pl.+), *mundulus* ‘elegant’ (Pl.); *immundus* ‘unclean, squalid’ (Pl.+), *immunditia* ‘dirtiness’ (Pl.+), *permundus* ‘very clean’ (Varro).

Plt. *\*mudno-*?

PIE *\*mud-no-* ‘happy’? IE cognates: Skt. *múd-* [f.] ‘joy, delight’, *móda-* [m.] ‘joy, delight’, *módate* ‘to be happy’, Lith. *mudrius*, Latv. *mudrs* ‘cheerful’?

Could be derived from the PIE root *\*meud-* ‘to rejoice’, although the semantics are not compelling.

Bibl.: WH II: 126f., EM 420, IEW 741-743.



**mundus** 'heavens, sky; world, earth; subterranean vault' [m. o] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *mundus* [m.] 'a woman's toilet articles' (Acc.+).

It is not certain that *mundus* 'sky, world' and *mundus* 'toiletry' are identical, but semantically, they seem close enough. A woman's toiletry can be interpreted as her 'equipment', which may be derived from 'world'. The Latin usage may have been influenced by Gr. κόσμος 'order; ornament, jewelry'. The etymology is unknown: the connection with Etruscan *munθ-* cannot be established as long as its meaning is unknown.

Bibl.: WH II: 127f., EM 420f.

**mūnus, -eris** 'function, task, duty' [n. r] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *mūnusculum* 'small gift' (Pl.+), *mūnerāre* 'to bestow' (Pl.+), *mūnerālis* 'relating to the giving of presents' (Pl.+), *mūnerigerulus* 'bearer of presents' (Pl.); *moelūnia, -ōrum* 'duties, functions' (Pl.+); *commūnis* 'common, general' (Naev.+; OLat. *comoin-*), *moelūnis* 'obliged, indebted' (Pl.+), *commūnitus* [adv.] 'jointly' (Varro), *commūnicāre* 'to share, communicate' (Pl.+); *mūniceps, -ipis* 'native, citizen of a *municipium*' (Lucil.+), *mūnicipium* 'community, municipality' (CIL+), *mūnificus* 'dutiful, generous' (Pl.+), *mūnificāre* 'to enrich' (Lucr.); *immūnis* 'not paying a share, exempt' (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*moini-*, *\*moi-nos-* [n.] 'duty, obligation, task'. It. cognates: O. **múimkú, múinik.** [nom.sg.f.], **muinikam** [acc.sg.f.], **múmikad** [abl.sg.f.], **múiní[kúm]** [nom.acc.sg.n.], **múimkeí** [loc.sg.n.] 'common' < *\*móin-iko-*; U. **muneklu** [acc.sg.n.] 'contribution' < *\*moin-ī-tlo-*.

PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>moi-no-* [m. / adj.], *\*h<sub>2</sub>moi-ni-* 'exchange'? IE cognates: MW *tramwy*, *tremynu*, MCo. *tremena*, MBret. *tremen* 'to cross, pass' < PCl. *\*tras-mi-nV-*; Olr. *móin* 'value, treasure', W. *mwyn* 'value', Skt. *mení-* 'revenge', YAv. *maēini-* [f.] 'punishment, castigation', OP *yāu-maini-* 'power of revenge', Lith. *maīnas* 'exchange', OCS *měna* 'exchange, substitution', Go. *gamains* 'common', OHG *gimeins* 'id.' < PIE *\*moino/h<sub>2</sub>-*.

All words are based on two stems, *\*mūnos-* and *\*mūni-* 'function, obligation'. A *mūniceps* is one who 'takes an obligation', *commūnis* 'who partakes in the duties'. The *i*-stem in the adj. could in theory have been created in Italic after adjectives such as *inermis* 'unarmed'; on the other hand, Gm. shows the same compound in Go. *gamains*. *Mūnis* can be a back-formation to *commūnis*. Probably Lat. *mūnus* is based on a thematic noun *\*moi-no-*; compare *fēnus* [n.] beside *fēnum*. Vine 1999d: 300f. connects <*meinom*> on the Duenos inscription, reconstructing *\*mei-no-* 'gift', which would occur in a *figura etymologica* with the verb form *mitat* on the same inscription.

Bibl.: WH I: 254f., II: 128, EM 421f., IEW 710, Schrijver 1991: 20, Meiser 1998: 59, Untermann 2000: 481ff., Schumacher 2004: 485, LIV 2. *\*mei-*. → *meō*, *migrō*, *moenia*, *mūtuus*

**murmur, -is** 'low, continuous noise' [n. r] (Pac.+)

Derivatives: *murmurāre* 'to rumble, mutter, grumble' (Pl.+), *commurmurāre* 'to mutter, murmur' (Varro+), *murmurillāre* 'to mutter faintly' (Pl.), *murmurillum* 'a

faint mutter' (Pl.).

Plt. \**mo/ur-mo/ur-ā-*.

PIE \**mr-mr-*. IE cognates: Skt. *mīrmura-* [m.] 'crackling fire', *-ā* [f.] name of a river, *marmara-* 'roaring', Gr. *μoρμύρω* 'roar and boil', Arm. *mīrm-am, -im* (< \**mūrmur-*), Lith. *murmėti, murm(l)énti* 'to grumble, murmur', Cz. *mrmrati*, SCr. *mṛmṛati*, Bulg. *mārmórja* 'id.' < PSl. \**mǝrm(ǝ)rati*, Ru. *mormúlit'* (dial.) 'grumble, chatter', SCr. *mrmlijiti* 'to mumble, grumble' < PSl. \**mǝrm-l-*; OHG *murmulōn* 'id.'.

Since *murmur* is attested later than *murmurāre*, and since we find reduplicated verbs everywhere in IE, *murmur* may well be a deverbal derivative. Latin *murmur-* is regarded as a reflex of earlier \**mormor-* < PIE \**mrmr-* or \**mormor-* (the change of \**mor-* > *mur-* being irregular), but it may also have onomatopoeic \**-u-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 130f., EM 423, IEW 748f., Meiser 1998: 63f.

**mūrus** 'defensive wall' [m. o] (Pl.+; *moerus / moirus* CIL, Enn., Acc.)

Derivatives: *mūrālis* 'of a wall; turreted' (Lucr.+), *pomērium / pomoerium* 'strip of land round the walls, town boundary' (Varro+).

Plt. \**moi-ro-*.

PIE \*(H)moi-ro- 'building'.

*Pōmērium* < \**posmoirio-* < \**post-moirio-* 'what is behind the wall'. It did not undergo the expected change of \**oi* to *ī* in non-initial syllable; hence, it may be an archaism.

Bibl.: WH II: 131f., 334, EM 423f., IEW 709, Meiser 1998: 71, 87, LIV 1.\**mej-*. → *moenia*

**mūs, mūris** 'mouse' [m. r] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *mūscipulum* 'mousetrap' (Lucil.+), *mūsculus* 'mussel, small fish, small rodent; muscle' (Pl.+), *mūrīnus* 'of a mouse' (Varro+), *mūscerdae* [f.pl.] 'mouse droppings' (Plin.); possibly *mūrex, -icis* 'shellfish yielding purple dye; its shell' (Lucil.+); *mūstēla / mūstella* 'weasel; certain fish (prop. burbot)' (Pl.+), *mūstēlīmus* 'of a weasel' (Ter.+), *mūstricula* 'a shoemaker's last' (Afran. apud Paul. ex F.).

Plt. \**mūs* 'mouse', \**mūs-trā-* 'mouse-like animal'.

PIE \**muHs* 'mouse'. IE cognates: Skt. *mūś-* 'mouse, rat', YAv. *mūś-* [f.] 'name of a Pairikā', Gr. *μῦς* 'mouse', OHG *mūs*; OCS *myšb*, Sln. *miš* 'mouse' < PSl. \**mýšb*; ToB *mašcītse\** 'mouse, rat' < PTo. \**māst'ātse* < \**m(w)asti-* < \**muHs-ti-*.

Since *musculus* also means 'mussel', it is conceivable that *mūrex* belongs here. It may also be a loanword, cognate with Gr. *μύαξ* 'mussel'. Lat. *musculus* 'mussel' can be derived from 'mouse' (cf. *musculus* 'muscle', named after the form of a muscle), but may also be cognate with Gr. *μύαξ* and Lat. *mūrex*. The noun *mūstēla* is discussed elaborately by Schaffner 2006a, who holds that the original form of the noun was *mūstella* from \**mūs-tre-lā-* 'small mouse-like animal'. He analyzes it as a diminutive of an earlier noun \**mūs-trā-* 'mouse-like animal' which can be compared with OHG *fledare-mustra* 'bat' < PGm. \**-mūstrō-*, *mūstro* 'bat' < \**mūstran-*. The preform \**mūstro-* is also found in Lat. *mūstricula* < \**mūstro-ke-lā-* according to Schaffner, which would be due to the comparison of a shoemaker's last with the teeth of a

weasel. This seems convincing. The use of the dim. for the weasel can be due to its small size compared with other similar animals (marten, polecat) or because it was domesticated and used as a pet animal (Schaffner 2006a: 39).

Bibl.: WH II: 129, 132-135, EM 424f., IEW 752f., Leumann 1977: 307, Schrijver 1991: 234, Meiser 1998: 57, Schaffner 2006a. → *-cerda*

**musca** 'fly' [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

PIt. *\*musko/ā-*.

PIE *\*mu-s-*. IE cognates: Gr. *μῦα* < *\*μυοја* 'fly'; Arm. *mown*, Gen. *mnoy* 'mosquito', Alb. *myzë, mizë*; OPr. *muso*, Lith. *musė, muso*, Latv. *mūsa, muša* 'fly'; OCS *muxa*, Ru. *múxa*, Cz. *moucha* 'fly' < *\*mousā*, OCS *mъšica* 'mosquito, locust', Ru. (dial.) *mšica* 'midge, gnats, small insects'; OIc. *mý* [n.] < *\*mūja-* 'mosquito', OE *mycg*, OS *muggia*, OHG *mucka*.

Quite possibly an onomatopoeic form *\*mu* for the humming sound which flies and mosquitoes make. The *s*-extension is found in Latin, BSl., Greek and Gm.

Bibl.: WH II: 133, EM 424, IEW 752.

**mūscus** 'moss' [m. *o*] (Cato+)

Derivatives: *muscōsus* 'mossy' (Varro+).

PIt. *\*mūsko-*.

PIE *\*mūs-ko-* 'moor, moss'. IE cognates: Lith. *mūsaĩ* [m.] / *mūsos* [f.] 'mould', Ru. *mox* 'moss' [m.], ORu. *mъxъ, moxъ* 'marsh overgrown with moss', SCr. *māh* 'moss, mould, bloom' [m.], *māha* [gen.sg.] < BSl. *\*mus-o-*; OIc. *mosi* 'moss, moorland' [m.], OHG *mos* 'moss, marsh' [n.] < *\*mus-*, OHG *mios*, OE *mēos* 'moor, marshland', OIc. *mýrr* [f.] < *\*meus-*.

It is uncertain whether there is a connection with *mustus*.

Bibl.: WH II: 134, EM 424, IEW 741-743.

**mustus** 'fresh, young' [adj. *o/ā*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *mustum* 'unfermented grape-juice, must' (Cato+), *mustulentus* 'full of unfermented wine' (Pl.+), *musteus* 'fresh, juicy; kind of pear' (Cato+), *mustārius* 'used for must' (Cato), *mustāceus* 'cake made with must' (Cato+).

In theory, *mustus* may be derived from *\*mud-s-to-* to the root *\*mud-* 'to be cheerful', but the semantic connection is quite gratuitous. Bettini 2000 derives the name for the 'weasel' from *mustus*, via a dim. suffix *-ella*; but see s.v. *mūs*.

Bibl.: WH II: 136, EM 425, IEW 741-743.

**mutilus** 'mutilated, truncated' [adj. *o/ā*] (Varro+)

Derivatives: *mutilāre* 'to mutilate, cut off' (Ter.+), *admutilāre* 'to cut loose, fleece' (Pl.).

IE cognates: Ir. *mut*, Gaelic *mutach* 'short' < *\*mutt-*.

No etymology.

Bibl.: WH II: 136, EM 425, IEW 753.

**mūtō / mūtō** ‘penis’ [m. *n*] (Lucil.+)

Derivatives: *mūtō/ūnium* ‘penis’ (Lucil.+), *mū/oetīmus* ‘phallic’ (Lucil.).

PIt. \**mūto-*.

PIE \**mHú-to-* ‘strong one’. IE cognates: Olr. *moth* ‘male organ’; Hit. *mūya-* [c.] an awe-inspiring quality (loanword from Luw.), CLuw. *mūya-* ‘to overpower (vel sim.)’ (3sg.pr.act. *mu-u-ya-i*) (< \**mouH-*?), HLuw. *muwa-* ‘to dominate(?), to attack(?)’, \*<sup>462</sup>*muwita-* [c.] ‘seed’(?), *nimuwinsa-* [c.] ‘child’, Lyc. *muwēte-* ‘descendance?’; Hit. *mūri-* ‘bunch’; Gr. *μῦπιος* [adj.] ‘countless, immense’ < \**muH-ri-*.

Since the penis is often referred to with euphemisms, one may derive *mūtō* from the adj. *mūtus*. On the other hand, one may also look for an external etymology. The closest comparandum of *mūtō* seems to be Olr. *moth*. Applying the rules for pretonic absence of lengthening in Italo-Celtic sequences of the type \**CHu-* (Kortlandt 1980a, Schrijver 1991: 248, 534), we might explain *moth* < \**mHu-tó-* while *mūtō* would be based on a form \**mHú-to-*. Weiss 1996a: 207-209 connects *mūtō* with Hit. *mūri-* ‘bunch’ and *mūwa-* ‘awe-inspiring quality’, positing a PIE root \**muh<sub>1/3-</sub>* ‘reproductive power’. In view of the meaning of CLuw. *mūya-* ‘to overpower (vel sim.)’ and Gr. *μῦπιος* ‘countless’ (connected by Weiss), the original meaning of the root may have been ‘to be powerful / abundant’; the sexual connotation would then be specifically Italo-Celtic, or even older if HLuw. *muwita-* means ‘seed’ and belongs here.

Bibl.: WH II: 138, EM 426.

**mūtus** ‘inarticulate, dumb’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *obmūtēscere* ‘to become dumb, silent’ (Ter.+); *muttīre* ‘to mutter’ (Pl.+), *muttītiō* ‘muttering’ (Pl.).

PIt. \**mūto-*.

PIE \**mū-to-*. IE cognates: Skt. *mūka-* ‘dumb’, *mūni-* [m.] ‘ascetic, hermit’, Gr. *μῦκος, μῦτις, μῦτιός, μῦδος, μῦναρός*, Hsch. *μῦνδός* ‘dumb, speechless’, Arm. *mownj* ‘dumb’.

*Mūtus* must be understood as ‘he who cannot say anything but *mū*’. If we take *muttīre* as a direct derivative of \**mūto-* (with expressive gemination), both meanings ‘to say *mu*’ and ‘to be dumb’ are represented. The root \**mū* is found with various extensions in the IE languages. Within Latin, we also find it in *mūgiō*.

Bibl.: WH II: 139f., EM 426f., IEW 751f. → *mūgiō*

**mūtuus** ‘on loan, reciprocal’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *mūtuāre/ī* ‘to borrow’ (Cato+), *mūtuitārī* ‘to try to borrow’ (Pl.), *mūtuitēr* ‘reciprocally’ (Varro); *mūtāre* ‘to exchange, replace’ (Pl.+), *mūtātīō* ‘exchange, change’ (Pl.+), *mūtābilitās* ‘liability to change’ (Lucr.+), *commūtāre* ‘to change, alter’ (Pl.+), *commūtābilis* ‘variable’ (Varro+), *commūtātīō* ‘change, reversal’ (Acc.+), *commūtātus, -ūs* ‘change’ (Lucr.), *commoetāculum* ‘kind of rod used during a ceremony’ (Paul. *ex F.*), *dēmūtāre* ‘to transform, deviate’ (Pl.+), *immūtāre* ‘to alter, modify’ (Pl.+), *immūtābilis* ‘liable to be changed’ (Pl.), *permūtāre* ‘to exchange, sell’ (Pl.+), *trānsmūtāre* ‘to change into’ (Lucr.+).

Plt. *\*moito-*.

PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>moi-to-* '(object of) change'. IE cognates: see s.v. *mūnus*.

Both *mūtuus* and *mūtāre* presuppose a nominal stem *\*mūto-* '(object of) change' [m.]. Several etymologies are possible for Plt. *\*moito-*. It may be *\*moith<sub>2</sub>-o-* from the root *\*mith<sub>2</sub>-* 'to exchange', or *\*h<sub>2</sub>moi-to-* from a different root for 'to exchange'. LIV favours the former option, but the meaning of *mittō* (the certain Latin reflex of *\*mith<sub>2</sub>-*) is much further removed from *mūtāre* than *mūnus* < *\*h<sub>2</sub>mi-* is.

Bibl.: WH II: 137f., 140, EM 426, IEW 715, Schrijver 1991: 20, LIV *\*meith<sub>2</sub>-*. → *meō*, *mittō*, *mūnus*

## N

**nam** 'certainly, for, well' [ptcle.] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *namque* 'certainly, for, well' (Pl.+), *numnam* [interr.ptcl.] '...not?' (Pl.+), *quianam* 'why ever?' (Naev.+), *utinam* 'if only!' (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*no/e-* 'that'.

IE cognates: If to PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>n-*: Skt. *aná* [adv.], *anána* [instr.sg.m.], *anáyā* [instr.sg.f.], *anáyoṣ* [gen.loc.du.] 'through this', OAv. *aná* [instr.sg.], *anáiš* [instr.pl.], YAv. *ana* [instr.sg.], Arm. *ayn* 'ille', *na* 'is', *noyn* 'idem', Lith. *ánàs* 'that', OCS *онъ* 'that, he' [nom.sg.m.], *ona* [f.], *ono* [n.] < *\*h<sub>2</sub>en-o-*. If to PIE *\*ne* 'like': see s.v. *ne-* and *-ne*.

It might be an original acc.sg.f. *\*nām* to a thematic stem *\*no/e-* 'that', from which also the particles *nem(-)* and *num* might be derived. The stem *\*no/e-* can be interpreted in different ways: as an Italic inflected continuant of a PIE particle *\*ne* 'like' (see s.v. *ne*, cf. Beekes 1995: 222), or as reflex of the PIE deictic pronoun/particle *\*h<sub>2</sub>(e)n-* 'that' (Beekes 1995: 202).

Bibl.: WH II: 140f., EM 428, IEW 319-321. → *-dam*, *-ne*, *nem-*, *num*

**nancīscor**, *-ī* 'to acquire, get' [v. III; ppp. *nactum* >> *nānctum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *nanciō*, *-ere* / *nancor*, *-ī* 'to acquire' (Lex XII, Gracch., Fest.).

Plt. pr. *\*nank-(i-)*, ppp. *\*nak-to-*.

PIE pr. *\*h<sub>2</sub>n-n-k-* 'to reach', pf. *\*h<sub>2</sub>ne-h<sub>2</sub>no(n)k-*, ppp. *\*h<sub>2</sub>nk-to-*. IE cognates: OIr. *ar-ic\** 'to decide', *do-ic\** 'to come', *ro-ic\** 'to reach', MW *renghi*, *rynghu* 'to reach', *kyfrang*, *kyfreing* 'meets, fights' < PCl. pr. *\*-an-n-ke/o-*, OIr. *ro-ánaic\** [3s.pf.] 'to reach' < PCl. *\*-ānonk-*. Skt. pr. *ásnóti*, aor. *ánaṭ*, *naṭ*, *prá nak* [3s.inj.] (< IE *\*h<sub>1</sub>é-h<sub>2</sub>nek-t*), Skt. pf. *ānámsa* (< IE *\*h<sub>2</sub>e-h<sub>2</sub>no(n)k-e*) 'to reach, attain', Skt. ppp. *á-sam-aṣṭa-* 'not yet reached'; OAv. *fraṣtā* [3s.aor.med.], *frōsīiāt* / *fra asjāt* [3s.aor.opt.act.], *nāšāmā* [1p.s-aor.sb.] 'to reach', *aṣṭa-* 'arrived', YAv. *auua.ašnaoiti* [pr.] 'to reach, hit'; Gr. *ποδ-ηνεκής* 'reaching down to the feet', *διηνεκής*, Att. *διāνεκής* 'continuous'; Arm. *hasanem* 'to arrive'; Lith. *nókti*, 3s. *nóksta* 'to grow ripe, (dial.) grow weak, wither', dial. 'to pursue, chase', Latv. *nākt* 'to come' (with

elimination of the second *n*) .

The pr. *nancīscor* was built on an *i*-stem present, which is still feebly attested by grammarians and glossators. The oldest system seems to be a pr. *\*nank-i-* and a ppp. *\*nakto-*. The *a*-vocalism of *\*nakto-* must be secondary. The pr. is doubly characterised by a nasal infix and a suffix *-i*; since Celtic shows a nasal present, this will be the older variant. The pf. of Ilr. and Celtic shows that *\*-n-* must have become a fixed part of the root at an early date, hence there was a root pr. *\*h<sub>2</sub>ne(n)k̑-*. The Italo-Celtic nasal present *\*h<sub>2</sub>n-n-k̑-* may have phonetically yielded PIt. *\*nank-* (Schrijver has a phonetic rule for this, cf. p. 491ff.), and if a concurring form pr. *\*h<sub>2</sub>n-ne-k̑-* > *\*\*anek-* ever existed, it was ousted with the support of the perfect *\*ānonk-*. The *a*-vocalism of the pr. PIt. *\*nank-* was then imported into the ppp. *\*ankto-* >> *\*nakto-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 141f., EM 428f., IEW 316-318, Schrijver 1991: 491, Meiser 1998: 193, Kümmel 2000: 284-287, Schumacher 2004: 200-204, LIV *\*h<sub>2</sub>nek̑-*.

**nāris** 'nose; pl. nostrils, nose' [f. *i*; pl. *nārēs*, *-ium*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *nāsus/m* [m./n.] 'nose' (Naev.+), *nāsūtus* 'having a long nose; witty' (Lucil.+), *Nāsō* 'Roman cognomen' (Ov.+).

PIt. *\*nās-* [f.], *\*nāsi-*, *\*nāso-* 'nose'.

PIE *\*Hneh<sub>2</sub>-s-*, *\*Hnh<sub>2</sub>-es-*, *\*Hnh<sub>2</sub>-s-* 'nose'. IE cognates: Skt. *nas-* [f.] 'nose' (*nāsā* [nom.du.], *nasōṣ* [gen.du.], *nasi* ° [loc.sg.]), *nāsā-* [f.] 'nose', *nāsikā-* [f.] 'nose', *urūnasā-* 'with a broad nose', *pavīnasā-* 'with a nose like a rim', YAv. *nāṅha* [nom.acc.du.?], *nāṅhanaṭ* [abl.sg.] 'nose' (secondary *n*-stem), OP *nāham* [acc.sg.] 'nose'; Lith. *nósis*, Latv. *nāss* < *\*(H)neh<sub>2</sub>-s-*, RuCS *nosъ*, Ru. *nos* ' < *\*nh<sub>2</sub>-es-*; OHG *nasa*, OE *nasu* 'nose', OE *nōse* [f.] 'promontory', OFr. *nōsi* 'moustache'; MoDu. *neus* < *\*nus-i-*.

Latin has two derivatives of the (pre-)PIt. stem *\*nās-*, an *i*-stem and an *o*-stem. It appears that the PIE word was often used in the dual ('the nostrils'), and the dual of a *f*. root noun in PIE would have ended in nom.acc. *\*-h<sub>1</sub>e* > PIt. *\*-e*. When the dual (lost in Latin) was reinterpreted as a plural, *\*nāse* may have been remade into *\*nāses* (with the regular pl. ending of *C*-stems) or *\*nāsoi* (as an *o*-stem). Other scenarios may be envisaged. The non-rhotacized *-s-* is difficult to explain, other than possibly being a remnant from pre-rhotacism times, from a different social layer. The PIE root cannot be identified with that of *anhēlus* and *animus* (as proposed by Fritz 1996), which I reconstruct as *\*h<sub>2</sub>nh<sub>1</sub>-* 'to breathe, blow'.

Bibl.: WH II: 143-146, EM 429, IEW 755, Kortlandt 1985a: 119, Schrijver 1991: 143.

**nāscor, nāscī** 'to be born' [v. III; ppp. (*g*)*nātum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: (*g*)*nātus* 'son', pl. 'children' (Naev.+), (*g*)*nāta* 'daughter' (Andr.+), *nātālis* 'of birth' (Pl.+), *nātiō* 'people, race' (Pl.+), 'birth of a child' (CIL 1.60 *nationu gratia* 'nationis gratia', Varro+), *nātivus* 'original' (Varro+); *nātū* [abl.sg.m.] 'of age, by birth' (Pl.+), *nātūra* 'conditions of birth, character' (Pl.+), *nātūrālis* 'natural' (Varro+); *agnāscī* 'to be born in addition' (< *\*ad-gn-*) (Varro+), *agnātiō*

'agnation' (Varro+), *agnātus* 'younger child; blood relation on father's side' (Lex XII+), *cognāta* 'kinswoman' (Pl.+), *cognātus* 'male relation' (Pl.+), *cognātus* 'related by birth' (Ter.+), *cognātiō* 'blood-relationship' (Varro+), *dēnāscī* 'to lose vigour' (Varro+), *ēnāscī* 'to arise' (Varro+), *innāscī* 'to be born, arise' (Pl.+), *innātus* 'innate' (Ter.+), *prōgnātus* 'originated, sprung forth' (Elog.Scip., Naev.+); *praegnās*, *-tis* (also *-ns*, *-ntis*) 'pregnant' (Naev.+), *praegnātiō* 'pregnancy' (Varro+).

Plt. *\*gnāsk-e/o-* 'to be born', *\*gnāto-* 'born; son', *\*gnātu-* 'birth', *\*gnāti-* 'birth', *\*gnāti(j)on-* 'family'. It. cognates: Pael. *cnatois* [dat.pl.] 'son'; U. *natine* [abl.sg.] 'patrician family' < *\*gnh<sub>1</sub>-ti-(H)on-*.

PIE *\*gnh<sub>1</sub>-sk-e/o-* 'to be born', *\*gnh<sub>1</sub>-to-* 'born', *\*gnh<sub>1</sub>-ti-* 'birth'. IE cognates: Gaul. *Cintu-gnātus* 'first-born', f. *gnātha* 'daughter', MW *gnawt* 'relative'; Skt. *jātá-* [m.] 'born man, son, living being', Av. *zāta-* 'born'; Gr. *κασίγνητος* [m.] 'brother, sister (of the same mother), cousin' < *\*gnh<sub>1</sub>-tos* + *\*knyt-i* 'born with (from the same mother)'; Go. *-kunds* 'originating from', OIc. *kundr* 'son', OE *heofon-kund*, OIc. *ás-kunnr* 'of divine descent'.

The basic formations are the pr. *\*gnāske/o-*, the ppp. *\*gnātos* 'born', probably the *tu*-abstracts *\*gnātu-* and *\*gnāti-*, and the extended *ti*-stem *\*gnātiōn-*. The latter is also attested in Umbrian with different suffix ablaut, suggesting that Plt. still had an ablauting suffix. Lat. *praegnās* is explained by Schwyzler 1929: 10 from a possessive cp. *\*prai-gnāti-* 'who has birth ahead of her'. The nom.sg. in *\*-ātis* > *-ās* later gave rise to an oblique stem *praegnant-*, whence a new nom.šg. in *-āns*.

Bibl.: WH I: 598, II: 354, EM 272, 429f., 531, IEW 373ff., Leumann 1977: 146, 398, Schrijver 1991: 178, 330, Sihler 1995: 296, Meiser 1998: 108, Untermann 2000: 402, 487f., LIV *\*gnh<sub>1</sub>-*. → *nātīnor*

**nassa** 'fish-trap made of wickerwork' [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Plt. *\*nasso-*.

PIE *\*nHd-to-* 'tied, knotted'. IE cognates: OIr. *nascaid\**, MBret. *naska* 'to bind' < PCI. *\*nad-sk-*, OIr. *nassae* 'bound' < *\*nad-to-*, Go. *nati*, OHG *nezzi*, OE, OIc. *net* 'net' < *\*nad-i-*.

If the root etymology given here is correct, Skt. *nāhya-* 'to tie together' must be explained differently. However, it is disputed which forms belong together. If Lat. *nōdus* is cognate, it must reflect *\*noHd-o-*, but Schrijver explains it from *\*nōd-o-*, and connects OIc. *nist* 'brooch, pin', OHG *nestilo* 'string', which are easier to derive from a root *\*nd-*. Even PGM. *\*nat-i-* 'net' would be easier from PIE *\*nod-i-* than from *\*nHd-i-*, morphologically. If PGM. *\*nati-* belongs to *nassa*, we have a Germano-Italo-Celtic root *\*nad-* 'to bind, plait', which may go back to PIE *\*nHd-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 144f., EM 430, IEW 758f., Schrijver 1991: 125, 481, Schumacher 2004: 489f., LIV *\*Hned<sup>h</sup>-*. → *nōdus*

**nātīnor**, *-ārī* 'to be busy' [v. I] (Cato apud Fest.)

Derivatives: *natinatio dicebatur negotiatio et natinatores ex eo seditiosa negotia gerentes* (ibidem).

Plt. *\*gnāti-*.

PIE \*ǵnh<sub>1</sub>-ti- ‘birth, production’.

A possible origin from \*nāṁātīnor was rejected by WH, hesitantly retained by Leumann, and adopted by Flobert 1975: 94. Vine 1999c, inspired by these accounts, gives an alternative which seems preferable. He starts from a *ti*-stem PIE \*ǵnh<sub>3</sub>-ti- ‘knowledge’ or \*ǵnh<sub>1</sub>-ti- ‘production’ (the latter one suggested by Michael Weiss) > PIt. \*gnāti-, from which an adj. \*gnātīno- ‘energetic, busy’ was derived (maybe still attested in the gloss *natina* ‘discordia’). From this adj. the verb *nātīnārī* would then derive. For the basic root, \*ǵnh<sub>1</sub>- seems semantically more straightforward.

Bibl.: WH II: 146, EM 431, Leumann 1977: 551, Vine 1999c. → (g)nāvus, nāscor

**natis** ‘buttock’ [f. *i*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: mostly pl. *natēs*, -*ium*.

PIt. \*(g/s)nati-.

PIE \*nHt-? IE cognates: Gr. *ῥῶτον* [n.] ‘back; wide surface’.

The connection between *natis* and Gr. *ῥῶτον*, two isolated words, is certainly possible from the semantic side, but remains formally uncertain. Schrijver reconstructs a root noun \*(n)e)h<sub>3</sub>t- from which Latin would have derived an *i*-stem \*nh<sub>3</sub>t-i- and Greek an *o*-stem \*ne/oh<sub>3</sub>t-o-. Another possibility is a root \*nh<sub>2</sub>- (Greek \*noh<sub>2</sub>t-o-) or \*nh<sub>2</sub>- (Latin \*nh<sub>2</sub>-ti- and Greek \*noh<sub>2</sub>-to-). But the etymology remains very uncertain.

Bibl.: WH II: 146, EM 431, IEW 770, Schrijver 1991: 169.

**natrix, -icis** ‘water-snake; penis’ [f. *k*] (Lucil.+)

PIt. \*(s)natriks.

PIE \*(s)nh<sub>1</sub>-tr-ih<sub>2</sub>- ‘who spins round [f.], snake’. IE cognates: OIr. *nathir*, gen.sg. *nathrach* ‘adder, snake’ < \*natri-*i/ek-*, W. *neidr* ‘snake’ < \*natri-, Co. *nader*, MBret. *azr*, Bret. *aer*; Go. *nadre* [gen.pl.], Olc. *naðr* [m.], *naðra* [f.] ‘adder’ < \*nh<sub>1</sub>-tr-; OE *nāddre*, OS *nādra*, OHG *nātara*, *nātra* [f.] ‘adder’ < \*neh<sub>1</sub>-tr-.

Derived from the root of *sneō* ‘to spin’. For the outcome \*nāt- < \*(s)nHt-, cf. Schrijver 1991: 170f.

Bibl.: WH II: 147, EM 431, IEW 767, Schrijver 1991: 149, 169, LIV \*sneh<sub>1</sub>-. → *neō*

**naucum** ‘trifle, worthless thing’ [n. *o*] (Naev.+)

*Naucum* is discussed by Strunk 1993, who returns to the ancient (folk etymological?) connection with *nux*. He argues that *naucum* may have meant ‘nutshell’. Semantically this is attractive, but there is no explanation for the -*au-* in *naucum*.

Bibl.: WH II: 147f., EM 431, Strunk 1993. → *nux*

**nāvis** ‘ship’ [f. *i*] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *nāvicula* ‘small ship’ (Afran.+), *nāviculārius* ‘ship-owner’ (Varro+), *nāvālis* ‘of a ship, naval’ (Pl.+), *nāvigāre* ‘to go by ship, sail’ (Pl.+), *nāvigium* ‘vessel, boat’ (Lucr.+); *naufragus* ‘shipwrecked’ (Varro+), *naufragium* ‘shipwreck’ (Pac.+), *nāviger* ‘navigable’ (Lucr.+).

PIt. \*nau-, \*nāv-.



PIE \*neh<sub>2</sub>-u- 'ship'. IE cognates: OIr. *náú*, *nó* 'ship', Skt. *náú*- [f.] (nom.sg. *náús*, acc. *nāvam*, gen. *nāvás*), Khot. *no*, Oss. *naw/nawæ* 'boat' < Iir. \**naHu-*, Skt. *nāvya-*, YAv. *nāuu(a)iiā-*, OP *nāviyā* [adj.f.] 'to be crossed only by boat' < Iir. \**naHu-iHa-*; Gr. *ναῦς*, Ion. *νηῦς*, OIc. *nór* 'ship'.

Probably a PIE *u*-stem noun or adj. \*neh<sub>2</sub>-u- derived from 'to swim': 'the swimming, floating one'. In the oblique case forms, \*neh<sub>2</sub>uV- yielded \**nāwV-*, whence the long vowel was imported into the nom.acc.sg. Finally, the stem was remade into an *i*-stem. Schrijver 1991 reconstructs a lengthened grade \*nēh<sub>2</sub>-u-, but there is no need to.

Bibl.: WH II: 148f., EM 431f., IEW 755f., Schrijver 1991: 269, Sihler 1995: 340, LIV \*(s)neh<sub>2</sub>-. → *nō*

**ne-** 'not, un-' [pref.] (Foruminscr.+)

Derivatives: *nōn* 'not' (Naev.+; Pl. *noenum*, Lucil. *noenu*); *nec*, *neque* 'not, and not, not either' (Lex XII, Andr.+), *negāre* 'to deny, refuse' (Naev.+), *negitāre* 'to deny repeatedly' (Pl.+).

Plt. \**ne* 'not', \**ne-k<sup>w</sup>e* 'and not'. It. cognates: O. *nep*, U. *nep* 'not' maybe < \**ne-k<sup>w</sup>e*. The Sab. continuants of \**ne* and \**nē* are not easy to distinguish (see s.v. *nē*).

PIE \**ne* 'not'. IE cognates: OIr. *na*, *nach*, MWe. *nac* 'not' < \**ne-k<sup>w</sup>e*, Skt. *ná* 'not', *naca* 'and not', Lith. *nè*, Latv. *ne*, OCS *ne* 'not', Go. *ni* 'not' < \**ne*, *nih* '(strongly) not' < \**ne-k<sup>w</sup>e*.

*Neque* < \**ne-k<sup>w</sup>e* 'and not', *nōn* < \**ne-oinom* 'not one'. In the latter form, apocope of *-um* is irregular (maybe due to unstressed use of the word), and *-ō-* can only be explained from a contraction \**ne-oino-* > \**nōino-* > *nōn*. The form *nec* has two functions: 1) apocopated form of *neque* 'and not', 2) simple 'not' (esp. VOLat.). They may be etymologically identical, but the second *nec* could also reflect \**ne-ke* (cf. the suffix \**-ke* in *hic*); in that case, *negāre* and *neglegere* can be directly explained from this *nec*. If they were formed from *neque*, they would postdate the apocope of *neque* > *nec*.

Bibl.: WH II: 152, 174f., EM 432f., 439, IEW 756-758, Leumann 1977: 67, Untermann 2000: 494. → *in-*, *nē*, *nī*

**-ne** 'then? or, whether' [ptcle.] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: Is sometimes apocopated to *-n*: *ain*, *satīn*, *viden*, *audīn*.

Plt. \**-ne*.

PIE \**-ne*. IE cognates: Skt. *ná* 'as, like', Av. *yaθ-na* 'namely', Gr. Thess. *ὄνε*, *τόνε*, *τάνε*, OLith. *ne* 'as', Lith. *nè*, *nègi*, *nègu* 'than' (after comp.), *nei* 'as', Latv. *ne* 'than'; OCS, SCr. *neže* 'than' < \**ne-g<sup>(w)</sup>h<sup>e</sup>*.

May ultimately be the same word as PIE \**ne* 'not'. The scepticism towards this view uttered in WH and EM is excessive.

Bibl.: WH II: 150, EM 434, IEW 319-231, Beekes 1995: 222.

**nē** 'not, that not' [ptcle., cj.] (Duenos inscr., Lex XII+)

Derivatives: *neu*, *nēve* 'nor, and not' (Pl.+).

Plt. \**nē*. It. cognates: O. *ni*, Marr. *ni*, Hern. *ni*, O. *neip*, *nip*, *nep*, U. *ne*, *neip* 'not' < \**nē*, \**nē-k<sup>w</sup>e*; Marr. *nīpis* [nom.sg.], O. *ne.phim* [acc.sg.] 'nobody' < \**nē* \**k<sup>w</sup>is*.

PIE \**nē*. IE cognates: OIr. *nó*, W. *neu*, OBret. *nou* ‘or’ < \**ne-ue*; Skt. *návā* ‘or not’, Av. *nauuā*.

Lat. *neu*, *nēve* < \**nē-we*. The apocope of final *-e* led to \**nēu* > *neu*. Long *ē* can be explained in two ways: either it is the PIE stressed reflex of \**ne* ‘not’, or it was remade from PIE \**meh<sub>1</sub>* ‘(that) not’ by replacement of the *m-* by *n-*. Since Plt. \**nē-we* can be compared with Celtic \**ne-ue* < PIE \**ne-ue*, it appears that Latin has introduced the variant \**nē* into original \**ne-we*. This, in turn, suggests that \**nē* is a stressed variant of \**ne*, rather than a continuation of \**meh<sub>1</sub>*.

Bibl.: WH II: 150, 165, EM 432f., IEW 756-758, Schrijver 1991: 121f., van der Staij 1995: 38, Untermann 2000: 494f., 498, 504. → *ne-*, *nī*

**nebula** ‘mist, fog’ [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *nebulōsus* ‘foggy’ (Cato+), *nebulō* ‘worthless person, scoundrel’ (Ter.+).

Plt. \**nefelā-*.

PIE \**neb<sup>h</sup>-e-lo-* ‘small cloud’. IE cognates: MW *nyfel* ‘clouds’, Gr. νεφέλη ‘cloud’, OIc. *nifl* ‘darkness, haze’, *njól* ‘night’, OHG *nebul*, OS *nebal* ‘darkness, haze’.

Bibl.: WH II: 151, EM 434, IEW 315, Meiser 1998: 103, LIV 1.\**neb<sup>h</sup>-*. → *imber*, *nimbus*

**nectō, -ere** ‘to weave, twine together’ [v. III; pf. *nex(u)ī*, ppp. *nexum*] (Lex XII, Pl.+)

Derivatives: *nexilis* ‘plaited, intertwined’ (Varro+), *nexus, -ūs* ‘binding, bond’ (Lucret.+), *nexere* (also *-āre*) ‘to bind, plait’ (Andr.+); *adnectere* ‘to tie on, attach’ (Varro+), *cōnectere* ‘to join, relate’ (Pl.+), *cōnexus, -ūs* ‘connection’ (Lucret.+), *innectere* ‘to fasten’ (Varro+), *obnectere* ‘to entwine’ (Acc.+).

WH follow the etymology first proposed by Osthoff in 1890, viz. that *nectere* is a remake of earlier \**nedere* under influence of *pectere*. The root would be that of *nōdus* ‘knot’, and may be connected with Skt. *nāhyanti* ‘to bind together’, *sām-naddha-* ‘bound together’ (RV+), *upānāh-* [f.] ‘shoe, sandal’, YAv. *naska-* ‘collection of texts’, *naḍa-* ‘headgear’ < IIr. \**Hnad<sup>h</sup>-*. This remains a remote possibility since there is no evidence for \**-d<sup>h</sup>-* in Latin. An alternative etymology would be to connect *nectō* with the root \**h<sub>2</sub>nĕk-* ‘to reach’ (see s.v. *nancīscor*), for which LIV reconstructs a root aorist \**h<sub>2</sub>neĕ-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 155f., EM 435, IEW 758f. → *flectō*, *plectō*

**nefronēs, nefrundinēs** ‘kidneys, testicles’ [m.pl. *n(d)*] (Paul. *ex F.*)

Derivatives: *nefrundinēs, nebrundinēs* (Lānuvīnī), *nefrōnēs* (in Praeneste); Paul. *ex F. nefrendēs* is probably due to confusion with *nefrēns* ‘toothless’.

Plt. \**nex<sup>v</sup>ron-*.

PIE \**neg<sup>wh</sup>-ro-* ‘kidney’. IE cognates: Gr. νεφροί ‘kidneys’, OHG *nioro*, OIc. *nyra*, OSwe. *niūre* ‘kidney’ < PGm. \**neurōn-*.

The Latin evidence points to *o*-vocalism: \**nefron(d)-*. This can be connected with a PIE stem \**neg<sup>wh</sup>-ro-* ‘kidney’, extended by an *n*-stem (as in Gm.), to which Latin then added a suffix \**-d<sup>(h)</sup>-*. Judging by *febris* ‘fever’ < \**d<sup>h</sup>eg<sup>wh</sup>-ri-*, the cluster \**-g<sup>wh</sup>r-* > Plt. \**-x<sup>v</sup>r-* developed into PLat. \**-fr-*. The Latin result *-fr-* (instead of \**-br-*) is attributed

by Leumann to reanalysis: *ne-* was regarded as the negation *ne-* ‘not’, and *\*fr-* was treated as if in anlaut, where the development to *fr-* is regular.

Bibl.: WH II: 156, EM 436, IEW 319, Leumann 1977: 166.

**nem-** ‘of course, certainly’ [ptcle.] (Naev.+): *nempe* ‘of course, to be sure’ (Pl.+), *nemut* ‘unless’ (Naev.+)

IE cognates: see s.v. *nam*.

For the etymology of the stem, see s.v. *nam*. The ending *-em* in *nem-* is probably the same as in *-dem*, viz. *\*-im*; see s.v. *-dim*.

Bibl.: WH II: 158, EM 437, IEW 320, Beekes 1995: 202. → *nam*

**nemus, -oris** ‘wood, forest’ [n. r] (Enn.+)

Plt. *\*nem-os-*.

PIE *\*nem-o/es-* [n.] ‘what is distributed, sacrifice’. IE cognates: OIr. *nemed* ‘sanctuary, holy place’, MBret. *neved* ‘sacellum’, W. Bret. *nant* ‘vallis’, Skt. *nāmas-* [n.] ‘worship, honour’, Av. *nāmah-* ‘homage; interest on a loan’, Gr. *véμος* ‘forest’, Gal. *νεμητων* ‘holy place’, Alb. *nëmë* ‘curse, imprecation’, ORu. (Novg.) *namъ* ‘interest’.

The meaning ‘forest, (holy) clearance’ is shared by Greek, Celtic and Italic. It originates from ‘sacrifice’ > ‘the place of the sacrifice’. In Ilr., the *s*-stem means ‘worship’. LIV assumes two different roots, *\*nem-1* ‘to distribute’ and *\*nem-2* ‘to bend’, but the meanings are distributed complementarily across the IE languages: Ilr. and Toch. have ‘to bend’, the European languages ‘to distribute’ or ‘to take’. Since the *s*-stem is attested in all languages and presupposes the verbal meaning ‘distribute’, there can be little doubt that PIE had only one root *\*nem-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 158f., EM 437, IEW 764, Benveniste 1931: 79ff, EWAia II: 16, Sylvain Patri 2001, Stüber 2002: 132f., LIV I. *\*nem-*. → *numerus*

**neō** ‘to spin’ [v. II; pf. *nēvī*, ppp. *nētum*] (Pl.+)

Plt. *\*(s)nē(je)-*.

PIE *\*(s)neb<sub>1</sub>-/\*(s)nh<sub>1</sub>-* [pr.] ‘to spin’. IE cognates: Mir. *sniid*, *-sni* ‘spins, restores’, W. *nyddu*, MCo. *nedha*, MBret. *nezaff* ‘to spin’ < PCI. *\*snije/o-*; Gr. 3s. *νή*, 3p. *νώσι*, ipf. *ἔννη* (Aeol.), inf. *νήν* ‘to spin’, beside *νήθω*; Latv. *snāju*, *snāt* ‘to wind together loosely, braid’; OHG *nāen* ‘to sew’.

It is impossible to say whether Latin continues the PIE root present or a (Italo-Celtic?) derivative in *\*-je/o-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 159f., EM 437, IEW 973, Schrijver 1991: 403, Schumacher 2004: 598f., LIV *\*sneh<sub>1</sub>-*. → *natrix*, *nervus*

**nepōs, -ōtis** ‘grandson, -daughter; descendant; playboy’ [m. (f.) *t*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *nepōtulus* ‘grandson’ (Pl.), *neptis*, *-is* [f.] ‘granddaughter, female descendant’ (Afran.+).

Plt. *\*nepōt-* [m.], *\*neptī-* [f.].

PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>nep-ōt-* ‘male descendant other than son, grandson, nephew’, *\*h<sub>2</sub>nep-t-ih<sub>1/2</sub>*

‘female descendant’, \*h<sub>2</sub>nep-t-iHo- [adj.] ‘of the descendant’. IE cognates: (1) m.: OIr. *nía*, gen. *niad* ‘cousin’, W. *nei*, *nai* ‘cousin’, Skt. *nápāt* [nom.sg.], *nápātam* [acc.sg.], *náptuh* [gen.abl.sg.] ‘descendant, grandson’, OAv. *nafsu* [loc.pl.m.] (< PIlr. \**napt-su*), YAv. *napā*, *napāsə* (*t-*) [nom.sg.], *napātəm* [acc.sg.], *naptārəm* [acc.sg.] *nafədrō* [gen.sg.] (< \**naptras*), OP *napā* ‘grandson’ < Ilr. \**nápāt-*; Alb. *nip* ‘grandson, nephew’; OLith. *nepuotis* ‘grandson, granddaughter’, *neptis* ‘grandson’ [m.], OE *nefa*, OHG *nevo* ‘nephew’ (2) f.: OIr. *necht* ‘niece’; Skt. *naptī-* ‘daughter, granddaughter’, YAv. *napti* [f.] ‘granddaughter’ < Ilr. \*(H)*napt-iH-*, Alb. *mbesë* ‘niece’, OLith. *neptė* ‘granddaughter’, RuCS *nestera* ‘niece’, OHG *nift(a)* ‘niece’; (3) adj.: Skt. *naptrīya-* ‘belonging to the grandson’, OAv. *naptīia-* ‘belonging to the offspring?’, YAv. *nauua.naptīia-* [n.] ‘the kinsmen of the nine degrees of kinship of a family’; Gr. ἀνεψιός ‘cousin, son of sister’, CS ORu. *netii* ‘nephew’, SCr. *něcāk* ‘sister’s son’, *netjak* ‘sister’s son’.

The meaning ‘cousin’ does not appear in Latin before 150 AD, and is therefore secondary (Beekes 1976). The f. *neptis* was not remade into an *-ik-* stem or an *ia-* stem like other f. nouns. The nom.sg. is reconstructed as asigmatic \**nép-ōt* by Beekes 1995: 178. The only indication for the initial laryngeal is Gr. ἀνεψιός.

Bibl.: WH II: 161, EM 437f., IEW 764, Schrijver 1991: 21, 150-153, 363-366, Meiser 1998: 142.

**Neptūnus** ‘Neptune; the sea’ [m. *o*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *Neptūnālia*, *-ōrum* [n.pl.] ‘the festival of Neptune’ (Varro+).

PIt. \**neptūno-*?

PIE \**neb<sup>h</sup>-tu-* ‘moisture’? IE cognates: YAv. *napta-* [adj.] ‘moist’, *aīfi.naptīm* [absol.] ‘moistening’.

Rix 1981: 123 (= 2001: 291) supports the derivation from an abstract \**neb<sup>h</sup>-tu-* ‘moisturing’ with a suffix \**-h<sub>3</sub>n-* for indicating ‘rulers’: hence ‘Lord of moisturing’ > of irrigation, of wells, of rivers. Yet the existence of this suffix is not established. Alternatively, one might posit a de-instrumental derivative \**neb<sup>h</sup>tuh<sub>1</sub>-n-* ‘who is with moisture’ in the vein of Nussbaum 1996. The Etruscan form *Neϑuns* would be borrowed from Umbrian because of the dental fricative, which might be rendering a hypothetical U. cognate \**Nehtuns*.

Bibl.: WH II: 162f., EM 438, IEW 315f., LIV 1. \**neb<sup>h</sup>-*. → *nimbus*

**Nerō** ‘Nero’ [m. *n*] (Liv.+)

Derivatives: *Neriō* / *Neria* / *Nerienis* ‘Roman goddess, wife of Mars’ (Pl.+), *neriōsus* ‘strong, resistant’ (gloss.); Suet. *nero* ‘lingua Sabina fortis ac strenuus’, Lydus (*de mensibus*): νερίκη – ανδρία, νέρωνας – ανδρείους ... οί Σαβίνοι; Suet. *nerio* ‘Sabinum verbum est eoque significatur virtus et fortitudo’.

PIt. \**nēr*, \**ner-* ‘man’, \**ner-o-* / *-ōn-* ‘strong’. It. cognates: O. *niir*, SPic. *nir* [nom.sg.], O. *ner(eis)* [gen.sg.], *ner.* [acc.sg. or gen.pl.?], *nerum* [gen.pl.], U. *nerf*, SPic. *nerf* [acc.pl.], U. *nerus* [dat.pl.] ‘man’.

PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>nēr* [nom.sg.], \**h<sub>2</sub>ner-*, \**h<sub>2</sub>nr-* ‘man’. IE cognates: W. *ner* ‘hero’, CLuw. *ānnara/i-* ‘virile’, Skt. *nar-* ‘man, hero’ (*nāram* [acc.sg.], *nāras* [nom.pl.], *ṛṇ*

[acc.pl.]), Av. *nar-* (OAv. *nā* [nom.sg.], *narām* [acc.sg.], *narāš* [gen.sg.]), Gr. ἀνίρ, NPhryg. *avap*, Arm. *ayr*.

If derived from PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>ner-* ‘man’, the PN *Nerō* originally meant ‘the manly, strong one’. Sab. */nēr/* retains the long vowel in the nom.sg. A cognomen *Nero* is reported for the gens Claudia from Varro onwards. Since it is mainly restricted to onomastics, *Nerō* could be a Sabellic loanword.

Bibl.: WH II: 164, EM 438f., IEW 765, Schrijver 1991: 21, Untermann 2000: 495-497, Kloekhorst 2008: 386f.

**nervus** ‘sinew, muscle, nerve’ [m. o] (Lex XII+)

Derivatives: *nerviae* [f.pl.] ‘strings of a musical instrument’ (Varro+), *nervia*, *-ōrum* [n.pl.] ‘sinews or tendons’ (Varro+), *Nervolāria* title of a lost play ascribed to Plautus (Varro+).

Plt. *\*(s)nēuro-*.

PIE *\*snéh<sub>1</sub>-ur* [nom.acc.], *\*snh<sub>1</sub>-uén-* [obl.] [n.] ‘sinew’. IE cognates: Skt. *snāvan* [n.] ‘sinew’, YAv. *snāuuarā.bāzura-* [adj.] ‘having arms like sinews’, Gr. νεῦρον [n.], νευρά [f.] ‘string, sinew’; Arm. *neard* ‘sinew’; ToB *šñaura* [pl.] ‘sinews’. Hit. *išhunauuar* is a ghost word, see Kloekhorst 2008: 396.

*Nervus* probably stems from *\*neuros* by metathesis. A similar development can be seen in *parvus* < *\*pauro-*. Plt. *\*(s)neuro-* is a thematization of PIE *\*(s)neh<sub>1</sub>ur*.

Bibl.: WH II: 165, EM 439, IEW 977, Schrijver 1991: 269, LIV *\*sneh<sub>1</sub>-*. → *matrix*, *neō*

O. **nessimas** [nom.pl.f.], **nessimass** [acc.pl.f.], **nesimum** [gen.pl.n.], **nesimois** [abl.pl.n.], U. **nesimeis** [loc.sg.n.] ‘next’.

Plt. *\*ness-* ‘next’

PIE *\*nesd-is-* ‘nearer’? IE cognates: OIr. *nessa* [comp.], OW *nes*, MCo. *nes*, MBret. *nes* ‘nearer’, Bret. *nes* ‘near’ < PCI. *\*nessa-* (<< *\*nedda-*, Jasanoff 1988-90: 185); Gaul. *neDDamon* [gen.pl.], OIr. *nessam* ‘nearest, next’, W. *nessaf*, OCo. *nesheuin*, Co. *nessa*, Bret. *nessaff* ‘nearer’ < PCI. *\*nessamo-* (< *\*nezd-isamo-*? Jasanoff 1988-90: 172); Skt. *nédīyas-* ‘closer, very close’, *nédīṣṭha-* ‘closest, next’, Av. *asna-* [adj.] ‘close’ (< *\*nzd-no-*), YAv. *nazdiiō* [adv.] ‘closer’, *nazdišta-* ‘closest, next’, OP *ašna-* [adj.] ‘close’.

Since the Ilr. forms in *\*n(e)zd-* are the closest in form and meaning, it seems preferable to derive *nessimo-* from the same root. Cowgill 1970: 131, 138 reconstructs Plt. *\*ness-isamo-*, with haplology > *\*nessamo-*. Yet this does not explain suffixal *-i-* in Oscan, which should continue a long vowel. Van der Staij therefore reconstructs *\*-io-mo-* or *\*-iH-mo-*, but refrains from a further explanation.

Bibl.: IEW 758f., Meiser 1986: 242, van der Staij 1995: 106, Untermann 2000: 493.

**nex, necis** ‘violent death, murder’ [f. k] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *necāre* ‘to kill’ (Pl.+), *dēnicālis* ‘reserved for the purification of the family of a deceased person’ (Cic.+), *ēnīecāre* (ppp. *ēnectus*) ‘to kill’ (Pl.+),

*internecāre* ‘to exterminate’ (Pl.+), *interneciō* ‘extermination’ (Lucil.+), *perniciēs* ‘destruction, ruin’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \**nek-*. It. cognates: maybe U. *ninctu* [3s.ipv.II] ‘?’ (prayer for hurting the opponent).

PIE \**nek̑-s* [f.] ‘death’. IE cognates: Skt. *násyati* [3s.act.] ‘to perish, disappear’, aor. *nésat* [3s.inj.act.], pf. *nanāśa* [3s.act.], ppp. *naśtá-*, OAv. *anqsaṭ* [3s.aor.act.], *nqsaṭ* [3s.aor.inj.act.] ‘to disappear’, Av. *nasīia-* ‘to stray from’, *našta-* ‘having missed’, Av. *nas-* ‘distress, misfortune’, *nasu-* ‘corpse’, Gr. *νεκρός* [m.] ‘body, dead person’, *véκῶς* ‘corpse’; Toch. *nāk-* ‘to ruin’.

The meaning of *nex* presupposes a semantic development from ‘disappear’ to ‘die’ at an earlier stage. I regard *nequalia* ‘detrimenta’ (Fest.) as a likely derivative of *quālis*. This removes the Latin evidence for a PIE stem \**nek̑-u-*. The ppp. *ē-nectus* could imply that *necāre* arose in compound verbs, esp. *ē-necāre*, and substitutes an earlier verb \**necere*. But *necāre* could also be denominal in origin. For *perniciēs*, see *permitiēs*.

Bibl.: WH I: 339, II: 153-155, EM 439f., IEW 762, Sihler 1995: 532, Untermann 2000: 497f., LIV \**nek̑-*. → *noceō*, *permitiēs*

**nī** ‘not, that not, that, unless’ [ptcle.; cj.] (VOLat.+; Duenos inscr., Garigliano Bowl, SCBac. *nei*)

Derivatives: *nīve*, *neive* ‘if not’ (Pl.+), *nīsī* ‘unless, but that, except’ (Naev.+).

Plt. \**nei*. It. cognates: O. *nei* ‘except’ < \**ne* + *ī*; O. *neip*, *neip*, *nei*, U. *neip*, *neip* ‘not’ maybe < \**ne-ī-k̑id* ‘nothing’.

PIE \**nei*. IE cognates: OAv. *naē-* ‘not’ in Av. *naē-čiš* ‘none’, OP *naiy*, *nai-* ‘not’, Lith. *neĩ* ‘nor, than’, OCS *ni*, Ru. *ni* ‘and not, nor’, Go. *nei* ‘not’, OHG *nī*.

A combination of *ne* ‘not’ and a deictic particle \**-i*.

Bibl.: WH II: 166, EM 433, IEW 756-758, Beekes 1995: 222, Untermann 2000: 489.

**nīdor** ‘strong smell, fumes’ [m. r] (Lucret.+)

Derivatives: *nīdōricupius* ‘who loves the smell of cooking’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \**knei/īd-os-*.

PIE \**knei(H)d-os-* ‘itch’? IE cognates: Gr. Att. *κνίσα*, Hom *κνίση* ‘smell, fume’, Gr. *κνίδη* ‘nettle’ < \**knīd-*, *κνίζω* ‘scratch, irritate (skin)’ < \**knid-je-*, Latv. *knīest* ‘to itch’, Lith. *kniedėnti* ‘to clinch’, OIc. *hmiss* (< \**knid-to*) ‘cooking odour’, OE *hnītan* ‘to sting’, OIc. *hnīta* ‘strong odour’, OIc. *knīta* ‘wound’.

Under the assumption that Gr. *κνίσ-* continues \**knīd-s-*, and that a ‘strong smell’ is derived from a verb ‘to itch, sting’, *nīdor* could belong here. The vowels are difficult to reconcile: Lat. and Gm. can have \**iH*, \**eiH* or \**ei*, Baltic can have \**ei(H)*, but Greek has \**iH* in \**knīd-* and \**knid-* in *κνίζω*.

Bibl.: WH II: 166, EM 441, IEW 562f., Meiser 1998: 112, LIV \**knejd-*. → *lēns*

**nīdus** ‘nest’ [m. o] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *nīdāmenta*, *-ōrum* [n.pl.] ‘nesting materials’ (Pl.), *nīdulārī* ‘to build a nest’ (Varro apud Non.+).

Plt. *\*nizdo-*.

PIE *\*nisdo-* 'nest'. IE cognates: MIr. *net*, W. *nyth* 'nest, dwelling', Skt. *nīdá-* [m.] 'nest, lair', Arm. *nist* 'residence, settlement', Lith. *lizdas*, Latv. *ligzds* 'nest', OCS *gnězdo*, Ru. *gnezdó*, SCr. *gnijězdo* 'nest', OHG *nest* 'nest'.

A PIE compound consisting of *\*ni* 'down' and the root *\*sd-* 'to sit'.

Bibl.: WH II: 167, EM 441, IEW 884-887, Meiser 1998: 29, LIV *\*sed-*. → *sedeō*, *sīdō*

**niger** 'black, dark' [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *nigellus* 'blackish' (Varro+), *nigror* 'blackness' (Pac.+), *nigrāre* 'to be black' (Varro+), *nigrēre* 'to grow dark' (Pac.+), *nigritia* 'black(ish) colour' (Varro apud Plin.+), *nigricohus* 'a little dark' (Varro); *dēnigrāre* 'to blacken' (Varro+); *perniger* 'very dark' (Pl.).

Unknown etymology.

Bibl.: WH II: 168, EM 441.

**nimbus** 'rain-cloud, shower' [m. *o*] (Pac.+)

Derivatives: *nimbātus* 'stormy' or 'clouded, shrouded in mists' (of a girl) (Pl.).

Plt. *\*nefos-*?

PIE *\*néb<sup>h</sup>-os* [n.], gen. *-és-os* 'cloud'. IE cognates: Hit. *nēpiš* [n. > c.], CLuw. *tappaš-* [n.], HLuw. *tipas-* [n.] 'sky, heaven', Skt. *nábhaḥ* [n.] 'cloud, sky', Av. *nabah-* 'sky', Gr. *véφος* [n.] 'cloud', OCS *nebo*, Lith. *debesis* 'sky'; MP *namb*, MoP *namb* 'dew, haze', *nem* 'moist'?

*Nimbus* might be connected to *nebula* 'cloud' and *imber* 'shower of rain' < *\*n(e)b<sup>h</sup>-*. One might explain the *-m-* in *nimbus* by assuming that *nimbus* continues *\*neb<sup>h</sup>-os* but was reshaped under the influence of *imber*, which has a similar meaning to *nimbus*. This is possible, but gratuitous.

Bibl.: WH II: 168f., EM 441, IEW 315f., Meiser 1998: 81. → *imber*, *nebula*

**nimis** 'too much' [adv.] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *nimum/s* [adj.] 'excessive, too much' (Naev.+).

Plt. *\*ne \*meijis* (or *\*ne \*mīs*) 'not too little, quite a lot'.

PIE *\*m(e)ih<sub>1</sub>-is-* 'less'. IE cognates: see s.v. *minor*.

*Nimius* must be a recent adjectivization of *nimis*. In the account of Meiser 1998, *nimis* < *\*nimīs* (iambic shortening) continues *\*ne meis* 'not too little', with adverbial *\*meiH-is* of the adj. *\*meih<sub>1</sub>-* 'little, few' (cf. *magis*, *satis*). Since e.g. *magis* 'more' shows the zero grade of the root, one may also reconstruct *\*miH-is*.

Bibl.: WH II: 169, EM 441, IEW 711, Meiser 1998: 154, LIV 1. *\*mejH-*. → *minor*, *ne*

**ningit**, **-ere** 'it snows' [v. III] (Acc.+; *nīvit* 'it snows' Pac.)

Derivatives: *nix*, *nivis* [f.] 'snow' (Pl.+), *ninguis* [f.] 'snow' (Lucret.+), *niveus* 'of snow, snow-white' (Naev.+).

Plt. *\*sneiwe/o-* 'to snow' [pr.], *\*sning<sup>wh</sup>-* 'to snow', *\*sn(e)iw-* 'snow' [m.].

PIE pr. *\*sneig<sup>wh</sup>-e/o-* 'to snow', *\*sn(e)ig<sup>wh</sup>-* [m.] 'snow'. IE cognates: OIr. *snigid*,

\*snig\* ‘to snow’ < \*snig<sup>w</sup>e/o- or \*snigje/o-, OIr. *snechtae*, MW *nyf* [m.] ‘snow’, Skt. aor. *asnihat* [3s.act.], caus. *sneháyat* (RV) [3s.act.] ‘to lie down; lay down’, YAv. *snaēžana-* [adj.] ‘slavering’, *snaēža-* ‘to snow’ (Ilr. \**snaij<sup>h</sup>-ja-*), Sogd. *šnyš-*, Gr. *véipet* ‘it is snowing’, *vípa* [acc.sg.], Lith. *sniŋga* ‘it snows’, *įsnaigas* ‘snow’, Latv. *sniegs*, OCS *sněgъ*, Go. *snaiws*, OHG *snēo* ‘snow’.

Lat. *nix* < nom. \**sniks*, gen. *nivis* < gen. \**snix<sup>w</sup>os*. The nasal present is probably secondary.

Bibl.: WH II: 169f., EM 442, IEW 974, Meiser 1998: 104, 125, Sihler 1995: 163; Schumacher 2004: 597f., LIV \**sneig<sup>uh</sup>*-.

**nītēlā** ‘kind of rodent’ [f. *ā*] (Plin.+)

Derivatives: *nītēdula* ‘kind of dormouse’ (Cic.+).

A connection with *nītor* ‘to lean, exert’ is unlikely for semantic reasons. *Nītor* does not mean ‘to climb’, as WH argue in order to support this etymology. It is formally more attractive to look for a base \**nītē-*, but I see no good candidate. Maybe *nītēlā* can be connected with *nīdor* ‘smell’ and its IE cognates meaning ‘to scratch’. This makes non-IE origin possible.

Bibl.: WH II: 170, EM 442.

**nīteō** ‘to be radiant, shine’ [v. II; pf. *nitūī*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *nītor* [m.] ‘brightness, elegance’ (Pl.+), *nitidus* ‘bright, elegant’ (Pl.+), *nitidāre* ‘to make bright’ (Enn.+), *nitidiusculus* ‘a little bit more shiny’ (Pl.), *nitiditās* ‘elegance’ (Acc.), *nitēscere* ‘to become bright’ (Enn.+); *ēnītēre* ‘to shine forth’ (Acc.+).

The Latin words are easiest understood as building on a ppp. \**nitos* ‘made bright, shining’, but there is no known IE verbal root which fits the form and meaning. If *renīdeō* is connected, the root would be \**neit-*, \**noit-*, \**nit-*. The appurtenance of Skt. *nīla-* ‘dark-coloured’ < \**nei(H)-?* is very uncertain.

Bibl.: WH II: 171, EM 442, IEW 760. → *renīdeō*

**nītor**, *-ī* ‘to lean on, support oneself; strive, strain’ [v. III; ppp. *nīxus*, *nīsus*; Paul. *ex F. gnītor*, *gnīxum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *nīsus*, *-ūs* ‘advance, pressure’ (Pac.+), *nīxārī* ‘to support oneself’ (Lucr.+), *nīxus*, *-ūs* ‘straining, travail’ (Lucr.+); *adnītī* ‘to exert oneself, lean’ (Pl.+), *conītī* ‘to strain, strive’ (Pl.+), *ēnītī* ‘to struggle out, give birth’ (Pl.+), *ēnīxim* ‘strenuously’ (Sis.), *obnītī* ‘to thrust, make a stand’ (Enn.+), *obnīxe* ‘strenuously’ (Pl.+), *subnīxus* ‘propped up, assisted’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \**kniχ<sup>w</sup>-et-* [pr.], \**kniχ<sup>w</sup>-s-*.

IE cognates: see s.v. *cōnīveō*.

The original meaning could be ‘lean on’ but also ‘exert pressure’. Since the original meaning is unclear, the connection with Skt. *yat-* ‘to be/put in place, line up’ (thus LIV) remains gratuitous. Even for ‘lean on’, it would not be compelling. Furthermore, note that \**ni* does not occur as a preverb in Latin. Lat. *nīxus* (possibly for \**nictus*, cf. Leumann 1977: 615) is difficult to explain secondarily, and points to a velar-final



root. Thus, the connection with *cōnīveō* ‘to be tightly closed’ put forward by WH and IEW still seems preferable; in that case, *gn-* in Paul. *ex F.* could be etymologically justified. *Nītor* is explained as a back-formation to *nīsus* by Leumann 1977: 188, but there is no good model for such replacement. Phonetically, *nītor* could reflect *\*(g)nīwet-e/o-* < *\*kn(e)ig<sup>wh</sup>-e-t-*; but the origin of *-t-* remains unclear.

Bibl.: WH II: 171, EM 442, IEW 608, Sihler 1995: 163, 208, LIV *\*jet-*. → *cōnīveō*

**nō, nāre** ‘to swim’ [v. I; pf. *nāvī*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *natāre* ‘to swim, float’ (Pl.+), *natātor* ‘swimmer’ (Varro+); *ēnāre* ‘to swim out, forth’ (Pl.+), *trānsnāre* ‘to swim, sail across’ (Enn.+).

Plt. *\*(s)nāje/o-* ‘to swim’, *\*(s)nato-* ‘bathed’. It. cognates: ? U. *snata*, *snatu* [acc.pl.n.], *snates*, *snate* [abl.pl.n.] ‘cleansed’, *asnata*, *asnatu* [acc.pl.n.], *asnates*, *asnate* [abl.pl.n.] ‘uncleansed’?

PIE pr. *\*(s)neh<sub>2</sub>-(ie/o-)* ‘to swim, float’, ppp. *\*(s)nh<sub>2</sub>-to-*. IE cognates: OIr. *snaid*, *·sná\** ‘to swim’ < PCl. *\*snā(-je/o-)*, Skt. pr. *snātas* [3d.act.], *snāñ-* [ptc.act.f.], caus. *snāpāya-*, ta-ptc. *snātā-* ‘to bathe, wash’, YAv. *us...snaiia-* ‘to wash out’, *fra-snaiia-* ‘to wash clean’, *fra-snāta-* ‘washed’, *usnāiti-* [f.] ‘washing off’, Gr. *νίχω*, Dor. *νᾶχω* ‘to swim’, Gr. *véω*, ipf. *ἔννεον*, aor. *νεῦσαι* ‘to swim’ < *\*snā-*; ToB *nāsk-* ‘to bathe’.

*Natāre* was built to a ppp. *\*nātos*. Whether its preform was *\*nh<sub>2</sub>to-* or *\*snh<sub>2</sub>to-* is hard to say (cf. Schrijver 1991: 171). The PIE present was probably a root present, to which Italic added *\*-je/o-*. The meaning and therefore the appurtenance of the U. forms is uncertain; they refer to vessels used in connection with sacrifices, maybe with ointments.

Bibl.: WH II: 146f., 172, EM 442f., IEW 971f., Schrijver 1991: 168f., 403, Sihler 1995: 529, Meiser 1998: 112, Untermann 2000: 687f., Schumacher 2004: 596, LIV *\*(s)neh<sub>2</sub>-*. → *nāvis*

**noceō** ‘to hurt, damage’ [v. II; pf. *nocuī*, ppp. *nocitum*; *s*-sb. *noxit* (Lex XII, Lucil.)] (Lex XII+)

Derivatives: *innocēns* ‘not guilty, virtuous’ (Naev.+), *innocentia* ‘innocence’ (Caecil.+); *noxā* ‘injurious behaviour, punishment, harm’ (Andr.+), *noxia* ‘wrongdoing, damage’ (Lex XII+), *noxius* ‘guilty, harmful’ (Pl.+), *innoxius* ‘innocent, harmless’, *noxitūdō* ‘wrongdoing’ (Acc.), *obnoxius* ‘indebted, liable, submissive’ (Pl.+), *obnoxiosus* ‘subject, subordinate’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*nokeje-* ‘to cause death’.

PIE *\*nok<sup>h</sup>-eie-* ‘to make disappear, cause death’. IE cognates: Skt. *nāśāya-* ‘to make disappear, destroy’, OP *vināṣayati* [3s.pr.act.] ‘to damage’.

The *s*-sb. *noxit* and the noun *noxā* betray an earlier *s(e/o)*-present, but it must have been formed secondarily to *noceō*, since it has the meaning and the root vowel of that verb. Lat. *obnoxius* is generally explained as deriving from the syntagm *ob noxiam esse* ‘to be involved in misdemeanour’. *Noxius* could be a backformation to *obnoxius* (Leumann 1977: 290), and *noxia* can be the f. to *noxius*. All of these can be derived from *noxā*.

Bibl.: WH II: 153-155, EM 440, 455, IEW 762, LIV *\*nek<sup>h</sup>-*. → *nex*

**nōdus** 'knot, node' [m. *o*] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *nōdāre* 'to furnish with joints, tie in a knot' (Cato+); *ēnōdāre* 'to prune surplus nodes from, unravel' (Cato+), *inēnōdābilis* 'that cannot be disentangled' (Acc.+), *internōdium* 'internode' (Varro+).

PIE \*nōdo- / \*noHdo- / \*neh<sub>3</sub>do- 'knot'. IE cognates: OIc. *nót* 'net'; see s.v. *nassa*.

The root etymology is uncertain; apart from the possible PIE preforms, an analysis as a loanword is also possible. See s.v. *nassa*.

Bibl.: WH II: 172f., EM 443, IEW 758f., Schrijver 1991: 125, 481. → *nassa*

**nōmen** 'name' [n. *n*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *nōmināre* 'to name, designate' (Pl.+), *nōminītāre* 'to call' (Lucret.+), *nōminālis* 'of nouns' (Varro), *nōminātīm* 'by name, specifically' (Pl.+), *nōminātus*, *-ūs* 'noun' (Varro), *nōminātīvus* 'nominative' (Varro+); *cognōmen* 'surname, sobriquet' (Pl.+), *cognōmentum* 'surname, cognomen' (Pl.+), *cognōminis* [adj.] 'having the same name' (Pl.+), *cognōmināre* 'to give a (sur)name' (Varro+), *ignōminia* 'disqualification, disgrace' (Lucil.+), *praenōmen* 'personal name' (Varro+), *praenōmināre* 'to name with the prenomen' (Varro), *prōnōmen* 'pronoun' (Varro+); *nuncupāre* 'to declare, pronounce, appoint' (Lex XII+).

Plt. \*nōm-n-. It. cognates: O. *numneis* [gen.sg.], *num(nud)* [abl.sg.], U. *numem*, *nome* [nom.acc.sg.], *nomner* [gen.sg.], *nomne* [dat.sg.], *nomne* [abl.sg.], *nomneper* [+*-per*] 'name' [n.].

PIE \*h<sub>3</sub>neh<sub>3</sub>-mn-, \*h<sub>3</sub>nh<sub>3</sub>-men- [n.] 'name'. IE cognates: OIr. *ainm* (pl. *anmann*), OW *anu* 'name', Hit. *lāman-* / *lamn-* [n.], HLUw. *álamān-* [n.], Lyc. *alāman-* 'name', Skt. *nāman-*, Av. *nāman-* [n.], *nāma*, *nāmā* [adv.] 'named, by name', Gr. *ὄνομα* 'name', *ὠνόμο(v)ος* 'without a name', Phryg. *onoman*, Arm. *anown*, Alb. *emër* (< \**emēn*); ToA *ñom*, ToB *ñem*, OPr. *emmens*, OCS *ime* [n.] < BSl. \**in?men-*, Go. *namo*, OE *nama*, OIc. *nafn*.

The *g* in *agnōmen* and *cognōmen* was introduced on the model of *a-*, *cō-gnōscō* 'to know, recognize'. The verb *nuncupāre* must be denominal to a noun \**nōmiceps* 'taking a name, declaring' > \**nōmicupāre* > \**nōmcupāre* > \**nomcupāre* > *nuncupāre*. The reconstruction of the root is disputed. Originally, PIE \*(H)nom- was posited, thus still by Sihler. Yet this does not explain Latin and Germanic unless by an unmotivated *ō*-grade. Thus, the root structure was \*HnH-. Many scholars now seem to agree on the identity of the second laryngeal as \*h<sub>3</sub>, and this had led to an identification with the verbal root attested in Hit. *hanna-* / *hann-* 'to judge, sue' (< \*h<sub>3</sub>ne-h<sub>3</sub>noh<sub>3</sub>-) and Gr. *ὄνομα* 'to blame, repudiate'. LIV reconstructs initial \*h<sub>2</sub>-, which requires that Gr. *ō*-be secondary (assimilation to the second *o*?). Beekes reconstructs initial \*h<sub>3</sub>- for *ὄνομα* and Arm. *anown*, which seems compelling: Arm. can have \*h<sub>2</sub> or \*h<sub>3</sub>, but Greek only \*h<sub>3</sub>. Others have reconstructed initial \*h<sub>1</sub>- on the strength of Gr. personal names *Ἐνομα-κρατίδας* and *Ἐνομαντιάδας* attested in Doric dialects, which would contain \**enoma-* 'name'. But these (partially restored) readings cannot be proven to contain the word for 'name', and thus do not outweigh the evidence of *ὄνομα* and Arm. *anown*.

Bibl.: WH II: 173f., EM 443f., 451, IEW 321, Leumann 1977: 371, Schrijver 1991:

24, Beekes 1987a, Sihler 1995: 85, 97, Stüber 1997, Untermann 2000: 500f., LIV \*h<sub>2</sub>neh<sub>3</sub>-.

**nonnus** 'monk, nun' [m. f. o, ā] (Ecclesiastical Lat.)

PIE \*nVnV. IE cognates: W. *nain* 'grandmother', Skt. *nanā*, MoP *nana* 'mother', Gr. *vávvaς* [m./f.] 'uncle, aunt', *vévvoς* 'uncle', Alb. *nënë* 'mother', Ru. *njánja* 'child maid' (dial. also 'older sister'), Bulg. *neni* 'the elder'.

Nursery word meaning 'daddy, nanny', reduplication of dental nasal plus (mostly) /a/.

Bibl.: WH II: 175, EM 444, IEW 754. → *anus*, *mamma*

**nōs** 'we' [pron. pers. 1p.] (Naev.+; nom.acc. *nōs*, dat.abl. *nōbīs*; gen. *nostrum*, *nostrī*, *nostrōrum* [m.], *nostrārum* [f.])

Derivatives: *noster* 'our' (Andr.+), *nostrās*, *-tis* 'native, of our country' (Cato+), *nostrātim* 'in our manner' (Varro).

PIt. \**nōs* 'we, us', \**nōfei* 'us', \**nos-tero-* 'our'.

PIE acc. \**nōs*, gen. \**no/es*, adj.poss. \**ns-tero-*? IE cognates: OIr. *sní* 'we' (< \**snēs*? \**snoi*?), *-nn* 'us' < \*(*s*)*nos*?, náthar 'ours' < \**nōstrom*, ar n- < \**nstrom*; Hit. *anz-* 'us' [acc. *an-za-as* (OS), *an-za-a-as*, gen.sg. *an-ze-el* (OS)], Luw. *ānza* 'we, us', HLuw. *anz-* 'we, us' < PIE \**ns-V*, enclitic Hit. =*nnaš* '(to) us, our', HLuw. =*nz* 'us' < \**-nos*; Skt. *nās* [acc.], *nas* [gen.dat.] 'us', OAv. *nā* [acc.] < \**nās*, *nē* [gen.dat.] < \**nas*, YAv. *nō* [acc.gen.dat.] < \**nās*; Av. *ahma* 'us' < \**ns-me*; Gr. nom. ἡμεῖς, acc. ἡμᾶς, Ion. ἡμέας, Dor. nom. ἄμέες, acc. ἄμέ, Aeol. nom. ἄμμες, acc. ἄμμε < PGr. \*ἄσμε < PIE \**ns-me*; Alb. *na* < \**nōs*; OCS nom.pl. *my*, *ny*, gen.pl. *nasъ*, Go. *uns* < \**ns-*, ToA *nās*.

The original nom. \**uei(s)* was replaced by the stressed acc. form \**nōs*, apparently already in PIIt. The gen. *nostrum* is originally the gen.pl. of *noster*; after Plautus it was replaced by *nostrī*. The opposition between stressed \**nōs* and enclitic \**nos* may date back to PIE.

Bibl.: WH II: 175f., EM 444f., IEW 758, Leumann 1977: 463f., Schrijver 1991: 122, Sihler 1995: 381, Beekes 1995: 208f., Meiser 1998: 158.

**nōscō**, **-ere** 'to get to know' [v. III; pf. *nōvī*, ppp. *nōtum*] (VOLat.+; SCBac. *gnoscier*, Pl., Caecil. also *gn-*)

Derivatives: *ignōtus* 'ignorant; unknown' (Naev.+); *noscitāre* 'to investigate, recognize' (Pl.+); *nōtiō* 'acquaintance, examination' (Pl.+), *nōtitia* / *nōtitiēs* 'acquaintance, knowledge' (Ter.+; *-iēs* Lucr.+), (*g*)*nōbilis* 'familiar, famous, noble' (Andr.+), *nōbilitās* 'renown, nobility' (Pl.+), *nōbilitāre* 'to make noted' (Ter.+), *ignōbilis* 'unknown; of low birth' (Andr.+), *ignōbilitās* 'humble origin; obscurity' (Lucil.+); *agnōscere* 'to recognize, acknowledge' (Pl.+), *cognōscere* 'to get to know' (Pl.+), *cognōbilis* 'understandable' (Cato+), *cognitus* 'known (from experience)' (Pac.+), *incognitus* 'unheard, uninvestigated' (Cic.+), *ignōscere* 'to forgive' (Pl.+), *internōscere* 'to know apart' (Pl.+), *pernōscere* 'to get to know well' (Pl.+).

PIt. \**gnāske-* / \**gnōske-*.

PIE pr. \**gnh<sub>3</sub>-ské-*, aor. \**gnéh<sub>3</sub>-/gnh<sub>3</sub>-* 'to know', pf. \**gé-gnóh<sub>3</sub>/gnh<sub>3</sub>-*; ppp.

\*ǵnh<sub>3</sub>-to-. IE cognates: OIr. *ad·gnin*\* ‘to recognize’ < \**ati-gni-na-*, W. *atnabot* (3s. *atwaen*), Co. *aswonvos* ‘to know’ (3s. *aswon*) < \**ati-wo-gn-*; Hit. *kane/išš-zi* ‘to recognize, acknowledge’ < \*ǵnh<sub>3</sub>-s-; Skt. pr. *jānāti*, pf. *jajñivāms-*, *jānús-* [ptc.], aor. *ájñāyi* ‘to know, recognize’, ppp. *á-jñāta-* ‘unknown’, *jñāta-* ‘known, recognized’; YAv. *paiti.zana-* ‘to acknowledge, comprehend’, *žnātar-* [m.] ‘knower’, *žnōišta-* ‘who knows the best’; OP *dān-* [ipf.], *xšnāsa-* [pr.sb.] ‘to learn, know’, Khot. *paysān-* ‘to recognize’; Gr. γινώσκω ‘id.’, aor. ἔγνω, ppp. -γνωτός ‘known’, often γνωστός; γνώμων ‘knower’, γνώσις ‘inquiry, knowledge’; Arm. *čanač’em* < \*ǵnH<sub>3</sub>-sk-*ǵ-* (assimilated from \**canač’em*), Alb. *njoh*, 23s.pr. *njeh* < \*ǵnV-sk-, Lith. *žinoti* ‘to know’, OPr. *posinnat*, Latv. *zināt* ‘to confess’; OCS *znati*, 1s. *znajō*, Ru. *znat’* ‘to know’; Go. *kunnan*, OHG *kunnan* ‘to know, be able’; ToA *āknats*, ToB *aknātsa* ‘foolish, stupid; fool’ < PTo. \**āknātsā-* < \*ǵ-ǵneh<sub>3</sub>-to-.

Originally, *gnōscere* meant ‘to get to know’, and *nōvī* ‘to know’. The adj. *gnōbilis* is explained by Leumann 1977 as a backformation to *ignōbilis*, a negated derivative of \**gnō-bulum* ‘landmark, recognition sign’. The verb *ignōscere* contains the preverb \**en-* ‘in’. By regular sound change, the pr. \*ǵnh<sub>3</sub>-ské- and the adj. \*ǵnh<sub>3</sub>-to- would have become \**gnāscō*, \**gnātus*, which would have been homonymous with *nāscō* ‘to be born’, *nātus* ‘born’. This may have been the reason why the full grade was introduced in ‘to know’: \*ǵneh<sub>3</sub>-ské- > *gnōscō*, \*ǵneh<sub>3</sub>-to- > *gnōtus*. The full grade may stem from the aorist, or maybe from the perfect. For the form *-gnitus* in *cognitus*, see the discussion in Schrijver 1991: 199ff. This form can hardly be explained on the basis of \*ǵnh<sub>3</sub>-to-. Schrijver concludes that it may reflect \**-genotos* < \*ǵnh<sub>3</sub>-et-, for which he adduces two different morphological solutions. The first one, proposed by Beekes, assumes the suffix \**-eto-* which is often found in Greek; hence, \*ǵnh<sub>3</sub>-eto- ‘which is to be known’ > \**-genoto-*. The second one, proposed by Schrijver loc.cit., starts from a PIE *t*-stem \*ǵneh<sub>3</sub>-t-, found in compounds such as Gr. αἰγνώς, ἀπαιγνώς, ἀλλογνώς, the acc.sg. of which would be \*ǵnh<sub>3</sub>-et-m. By means of thematization, this stem would have yielded an adj. \*ǵnh<sub>3</sub>-et-o-.

Bibl.: WH I: 677, II: 176f., EM 445f., IEW 376ff., Leumann 1977: 349, Schrijver 1991: 147, 184, 199ff., Meiser 1998: 78f., Schumacher 2004: 347-349, LIV \*ǵneh<sub>3</sub>- → *gnārus*, *ignōrō*, *nōmen*, *nota*

**nota** ‘mark, sign’ [f. *ā*] (Lucil.+)

Derivatives: *notāre* ‘to mark, indicate’ (Cato+).

Schrijver 1991 has clearly shown that it is impossible to derive *nota* from either \*ǵnh<sub>3</sub>- ‘to know’ or from the root of Gr. aor. ὀνό(σ)ασθαι ‘to blame’. Schrijver hesitatingly proposes to derive *nota* from \**snot-ā* ‘mark’ as a derivative of the root \**snt-* ‘to notice’ which is reflected in Lat. *sentio*. Semantically, this would work, but if Go. *sandjan* ‘to send’ is cognate, the Latin form would have schwebe-ablaut, which makes this solution less attractive.

Bibl.: WH II: 177f., EM 446, IEW 377, Schrijver 1991: 197ff., LIV \*sent-.

**novācula** ‘razor’ [f. *ā*] (Cic.+)

Plt. \*(*ks*)*nowātlo-*.

PIE \*ks-n(e)-u- [pr.] 'to scrape'. IE cognates: Skt. *áva kṣṇaumi* [pr.], *kṣṇuvāná-* [ptc.med.] 'to whet, sharpen', \**kṣṇút-* [adj.] '-sharpened', *kṣṇótra-* [n.] 'whetstone'; *kṣurá-* 'razor, sharp knife'; OAv. *xšṇāuš* [3s.aor.act.], *xšṇəuuīšā* [2s.pr./aor.opt.med.] 'to hear', YAv. *hu-xšṇuta-* 'well-sharpened', OP *ā-xšṇauvaiy/ā-xšṇūmiy* 'I hear', *ā-xšṇūdiy* 'hear!'.

Instrument noun \**sneṽāklo-* < \**ksneṽāklo-* based on a verb stem \*(*ks*)*neuā-*, which is itself derived from a PIE stem \**ksneu-* 'to scrape (so as to make smooth), sharpen'. The retention of *-ov-* proves PIE \*-*euV-* rather than \*-*ouV-*, which would have yielded \*-*aw-* (at least, in pretonic position). Vine (2006: 214-216) posits an original deverbal adj. \**ksnéu-o-* '(scraped) smooth' whence a factitive 'to make smooth' or denominative present \**ksneu-eh<sub>2</sub>-ie/o-* would have been formed in Italic. In view of the meaning, Ilr. and Latin \**ks-n-u-* is likely to be an original nasal present to \**kseu-* 'to scrape, make smooth' (see s.v. *saucius*) rather than a *u*-extension to the stem \**ks-en-* 'to comb, card'. Both probably go back to a single PIE root \**kes-* 'to scratch, dig, order' and/or \**kes-* 'to cut off' (Lat. *castrāre*, *careō*). The latter two roots may in origin be identical.

Bibl.: WH II: 178, EM 446, IEW 585f., Schrijver 1991: 449, Meiser 1998: 112, LIV \**ksneṽ-*, \**kseṽ-*. → *saucius*

**novem** 'nine' [num. indecl.].(Naev.+)

Derivatives: *november*, *-bris*, [adj.] 'the ninth month' (Cato+), *novēnārius* 'ninefold' (Varro+), *novēnī* [pl.adj.] 'nine each, nine at a time'; (Varro+), *noviē(n)s* [adv.] 'nine times' (Varro+); *nōmus* 'ninth' (Cato+), *Nōnae* [f.pl.] 'the ninth day before the Ides' (Enn.+), *nōnālis* 'of the Nones' (Varro), *nōnāgintā* 'ninety' (Varro+), *nōnāgēsimum* 'ninetieth' (Varro+), *nōmussis* 'the sum of nine asses' (Varro+); *nūndinae* [f.pl.] 'market day (held every ninth day)' (Lex XII+), *nūndinālis* 'of market-days' (Pl.+), *internūndinum* (Lucil.+) 'period of nine days'.

PIt. \**newṇi* 'nine'.

PIE \**h<sub>1</sub>neun-* 'nine', \**h<sub>1</sub>neun-o-* 'ninth'. IE cognates: Olr. *nói n-*, W. Co. *naw*, Skt. *náva*, Av. *nāuua*, Gr. *έννέα*, Arm. *inn*, Alb. *nëndë*, Lith. *devyni*, OCS *devęty*, Go. OHG *niun*, Tōch. *ñu* 'nine'.

*Nūndino-* goes back to \**noweno-dino-* 'of the ninth day', with \**di-n-* 'day' (cf. *diēs*). *Internūndinum* must be explained as an univerbation of *inter nūndinās* 'between market-days' (Risch 1985: 333). The existence of a sg. *nūndinum* 'the period from one market day to the next' (SCBac.+; *nound-* SCBac.) has been refuted by Risch 1985, who shows that all old attestations of *trīnum nūndinum* (in laws, in Cicero) 'period comprising three market-days' must be understood as gen. of pl. *nūndinae*. In *nōmus* 'ninth', \*-*owe-* was restored after regular syncope of \**noweno-* > \**nouno-* had taken place; the contraction product of this later sequence \*-*owe-* was *ō*, not *ū*. *Nōnāgintā* is either based on \**nōngintā*, or was formed secondarily to the ordinal *nōmus*.

Bibl.: WH II: 179f., 188, EM 446f., IEW 318f., Schrijver 1991: 17, 275, 278-281, Meiser 1998: 172, van der Staaij 1995: 111, Coleman 1992: 396, 412f.

**novus** 'new' [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *dēnuō* [adv.] 'anew, once more' (Pl.+); *novellus* 'young, tender' (Varro+), *novālis* 'left unploughed, fallow' (Varro+), *novitās* 'novelty' (Lucr.+), *novāre* 'to make as something new, renew' (Lucr.+), *noverca* 'step-mother' (Pl.+), *novīcius* 'newly imported, recently discovered' (Pl.+); *renovāre* 'to restore, renew' (Acc.+); *novēnsidēs* 'title of an obscure set of deities' (Varro+).

Plt. *\*nowo-*, *\*nowjo-*. It. cognates: U. **nuvis** [nom.sg.], **nuvime** [acc.sg. + *-en*] 'new' < *\*noujo-*.

PIE *\*neuo-* 'new, young', *\*neuio-* 'new'. IE cognates: Hit. *nēya-* 'new, fresh', *nēyahh-* 'to renew', CLuw. *nāya/i-* 'new', Skt. *nāva-*, YAv. *nauua-* 'new, young', Gr. *véος*, Myc. *ne-wo*, Cypr. *νερό-στατος* 'new, young'; OCS *novъ*, Ru. *новъ*, ToA *ñu*, ToB *ñuwe* 'new, young' < *\*neuo-*; Gaul. *Nevio-*, *Novio-*, OIr. *nuē*, W. *newydd*, OBret. *nouuid*, *neuued*, Bret. *neuez*, Skt. *nāvya-*, Lith. *naūjas*, Go. *niujis* 'new' < *\*neuio-*; Gr. *veáω* 'to work fallow land', *veavίας* 'youth, young man' (< *\*neuā-n-*), *veapός* 'young, fresh', *vηpός* 'fresh' < *\*neya-r-*; Arm. *nor* 'new' < *\*neu-ro-*.

The adv. *dēnuō* goes back to abl. *\*dē \*nowō* 'a-new' > *\*dēnuwō*. Whereas Latin continues PIE *\*neuo-*, Sabellic has reflexes of *\*neuio-*. The noun *noverca* cannot be directly linked with the Armenian or Greek *r*-derivatives, since *\*neur-* would yield Latin *\*nūr-* (or *\*nerv-*), and so would *\*neuvr-*. Hence, *noverca* is probably a recent formation made to *novus*; the suffix is unclear. PIE *\*neuo-* may originally be derived from the word *\*nu* 'now'.

Bibl.: WH II: 180f., EM 447f., IEW 769, Schrijver 1991: 449, Meiser 1998: 68, Untermann 2000: 505f., Beekes 2003: 165. → *nunc*, *nūper*

**nox** 'night' [f. *t*] (abl.sg. *nocte*, gen.pl. *noctium*) (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *nox* 'by night' (Lex XII+); *noctū* 'at night' (Naev.+), *noctua* 'night bird, little owl' (Pl.+), *noctūinus* 'of the little owl' (Pl.), *nocturnus* 'of the night' (Naev.+); *pernoctāre* 'to spend the night' (Pl.+); *aequinoctium* 'equinox' (Cato+), *aequinoctiālis* 'of the equinox' (Varro+); *noctuvigilus* 'wakeful' (Pl.), *nocticolor* 'night-coloured' (Laev. apud Gell.), *noctilūca* 'that shines by night' (Laev.+), *noctipuga* 'the female genitals' (Lucil.), *noctivagus* 'roaming by night' (Lucr.+).

Plt. *\*nok<sup>(w)</sup>-t-*.

PIE *\*nóg<sup>wh</sup>-t-s* [nom.sg.], *\*nég<sup>wh</sup>-t-s* [gen.sg.] 'night'. IE cognates: OIr. *innocht* 'tonight', Hit. *neku-zi* 'to become night' (< *\*nég<sup>wh</sup>-ti*), *nekuz* [adv.] 'in the evening' (= gen.sg.); Skt. *nákt-* 'night' (nom.sg. *nák*, acc.sg. *náktam*), Av. *upa.naxturušu* [adj.loc.pl.] 'bordering on the night' for *\*naxtərə-?*, Waxī *nayd* 'night', Gr. *νύξ* (*νύκτ-*) 'night' (< *\*nok<sup>w</sup>-ts*), *νύκτωρ* [adv.] 'at night', *νύκτερος* 'nightly', *νυκτερίς*, *-ίδος* [f.] 'bat', *νυκτερινός* 'nightly'; *-vux-* e.g. *ἐν-vux-ος*, *ἐν-vux-ιος* 'nightly, in the night', *νύχιος* 'nightly', *νυχεύω* 'to wake through the night'; Lith. *naktis* 'night' (gen.pl. *nakt-ų*), OCS *noštъ* 'night'; Go. *nahis* 'night'.

The form *nox* 'at night' is regarded as the remainder of an old gen.sg. *\*nok<sup>w</sup>-t-es* (Leumann 1977: 431), but phonetically more likely is a gen.sg. *\*nok<sup>w</sup>-t-s*, as Meiser assumes. Pinault 2006a explains *nox* 'at night' from an old loc.sg. *\*nok<sup>w</sup>-t* > *\*nok*, which was remade into *nox* by analogy with *dīus* 'by day'. He also assumes that *noctū*

and *noctūrnus* presuppose a loc.sg. *\*nok<sup>v</sup>t-eu*, which was made at an earlier stage on the example of loc.sg. *\*diēu* ‘by day’. *Noctua* is derived from *nox* ‘night’ or *noctū* ‘at night’. *Nocturnus* follows *diurnus* ‘daily’, *vespernus* ‘of the evening’, and probably reflects *\*noctū-rino-* (or it has acquired *-rnus* directly at a more recent stage). Verbal forms are only attested in Anat., the word for ‘night’ must be an old root noun from this root. On the strength of the lenis consonant *-k-* in Hittite (normally from *\*g<sup>v</sup>* or *\*g<sup>wh</sup>*) and Greek *-vυχ-*, Kloekhorst 2008: 602 reconstructs the root as *\*ng<sup>wh</sup>-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 181-183, EM 448, IEW 762f., Meiser 1998: 139, Pinault 2006a: 228, LIV *\*neg<sup>u</sup>-*.

**nūbēs, -is** ‘cloud’ [f. (m.) *i*] (Andr.+; *nūbs* [nom.sg.] in Andr.)

Derivatives: *nūbilus* ‘cloudy, hazy, dark’ (Pl.+), *nūbilāre/fi* ‘to become cloudy, overcast’ (Cato+), *innūbilus* ‘cloudless’ (Lucr.), *nūbilārium* ‘shelter from the rain’ (Varro+); *obnūbere* ‘to veil, cover’ (usually the head, but also other objects) (Varro+).

Plt. *\*(s)nouβ-(i-)*.

PIE *\*(s)ne/oud<sup>h</sup>-*. IE cognates: W. *nudd* ‘haze’; YAv. *snaoda-* ‘clouds’, *snaodənt-* ‘weeping’ (vel sim.), Bal. *nod* ‘(rain-)cloud’.

If *nubs, -is* is the old inflection, this may be a root noun or *i*-stem. The only direct cognate is W. *nudd*, which would imply an etymology *\*(s)ne/oud<sup>h</sup>-*. In Latin, *obnūbere* suggests that the stem *nūb-* meant a ‘cover’; note that ‘clouds’ are often denominated as ‘cover’. This renders a connection possible with the word *nūbere* ‘to marry’ (said of the woman), see there. An alternative analysis is as *\*sn-eu-* + *\*-d<sup>h</sup>-*, to be compared with Skt. *prá-snauti* ‘drips, drops’, *prá-snuta-* ‘releasing mother’s milk’, see s.v. *nūtrix*.

Bibl.: WH II: 183, EM 448f., IEW 978, Schrijver 1991: 375.

**nūbō, -ere** ‘to get married’ [v. III; pf. *nūpsī*, ppp. *nuptum*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *nuptiae* [f.pl.] ‘marriage, wedding’ (Pl.+), *nuptiālis* ‘of a marriage or wedding’ (Pl.+), *nupta* ‘bride’ (Pl.+), *nuptula* ‘young bride’ (Varro); *cōnūbium* ‘intermarriage, marriage’ (Lucr.+), *innuba* ‘unmarried’ (Varro+).

Plt. *\*(s)nū/ouf-e/o-* / *\*(s)nū/ouβ-e/o-*.

Long *ō* in *cōnubium* is supposed to prove original *\*sn-*. A connection with Gr. *νύμφη* ‘bride’ must be dismissed. *Nūbere* has been compared with RuCS *snubiti* ‘to court, love’ (e.g. in LIV), but EM object that this verb is used for the man seeking a bride, not for a woman marrying. Hence, this connection becomes less certain. EM favour an interpretation of *nūbere* as ‘to take the veil’, especially in view of *obnūbere* ‘to cover’ (see s.v. *nūbēs*). Semantically this is attractive, although it cannot be proven. Morphologically, however, an etymology involving root-final *\*-d<sup>h</sup>* is difficult, since the ppp. is *nuptum* rather than *\*mussum* (cf. *iubeō – iussus*). Thus, *nuptum* would have to be a fairly recent formation on the basis of the present stem.

Bibl.: WH I: 268, II: 183f., EM 449, IEW 977f., Leumann 1977: 190, LIV *\*sneub<sup>h</sup>-*.

**nūdus** ‘naked’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *nūdāre* ‘to make naked, strip’ (Enn.+), *dēnūdāre* ‘to lay bare, strip’

(Pac.+).

Plt. *\*nowo/epo-* 'naked'.

PIE *\*nog<sup>w</sup>s* [nom.], *\*neg<sup>w</sup>s* [gen.] 'naked'; >> Lat.Gm. *\*nog<sup>w</sup>od<sup>h</sup>o-*. IE cognates: OIr. *nocht*, W. *noeth* (< *\*nog<sup>w</sup>-to-*), Hit. *nekumanza*, Skt. *nagná-*, YAv. *mayna-*, Khot. *būnaa-* (< Plr. *\*bagnaka-*) 'naked', Gr. γυμνός (< *\*gog<sup>w</sup>no-* < *\*nog<sup>w</sup>no-*), Arm. *merk* (< *\*meg<sup>w</sup>ro-* < *\*neg<sup>w</sup>-ro-*), OPr. *nognan* 'leather', Lith. *nūogas* 'naked', OCS *nagъ* 'naked' (< PIE *\*nog<sup>w</sup>-o-*); Go. *naqaps*, OE *nacod*, MoDu. *naakt* (< *\*nog<sup>w</sup>ot/d<sup>h</sup>-o-*), OIc. *nakinn*, OFr. *naken* (< *\*nog<sup>w</sup>no-*?).

The adj. can be explained via a phonetic development *\*nog<sup>w</sup>ofo-* > *\*nowodo-* > *\*noodo-* > *\*nōdo-* > *nūdus* (Schrijver 1991). The Plt. form is based on a PIE root adj. which acquired different extensions in different IE languages (cf. Beekes 1994).

Bibl.: WH II: 185, EM 449f., IEW 769, Schrijver 1991: 274-281, Beekes 1994: 91-94.

**nūgae** 'worthless things, nonsense' [f.pl. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *nūgārī* 'to speak without seriousness, tell stories' (Pl.+), *nūgātor* 'one who trifles, romancer' (Pl.+), *nūgāx* 'incompetent, farcical' (Pl.+), *nūgātōrius* [adj.] 'futile, romancing' (Pl.+); *nūgigerulus* 'who peddles trumpery' (Pl.).

Plt. *\*knūg-*.

No PIE etymology. Since words for 'trifle' are sometimes formed from words for 'nut' or 'seed', a connection with Lat. *mux* is quite likely. In view of the difference in vowel length (*ū* vs. *u*) and in the velar (*g* vs. *k*) between *nūgae* and *mux*, this might be a foreign loanword in Latin.

Bibl.: WH II: 185, EM 450. → *mux*

**num** 'I suppose, whether' [ptcle.] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *nunc* 'now' (Naev.+), *nunciam* 'here and now' (Pl.+); *nūper* [adv.] 'recently' (Pl.+), *nūperus* 'fresh' (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*num* 'now', *\*nū* 'now'.

PIE *\*nu* 'now', *\*nu-n(-ō/oi)*. IE cognates: Hit. *nu* [ptcle.] 'and, but', Pal. *nu*, *nū*, Hit. *ki-nun* 'now', CLuw. *nāmun* 'now', Skt. *nūnám* 'now, indeed', OAv. *nūrēm*, YAv. *nūrəm*, *nūrəm*, OP *nūram* 'now', MP *nūn* 'now', Gr. *νῦ*, *νῦν*, *νῦν* 'now', Lith. *nūn*, *nūnai* 'now, today', OCS *nyně*, CS *něně*, Ru. *nýne* 'now, today', *nóne* (dial.) 'now, today', Go. *nu*, OHG *nū*, late MHG *nūn* 'now'.

Like some other languages, Latin preserves traces of the PIE adv. *\*nū* (in *nūper*) and of extended *\*nū-n(V)* (in *nunc* < *\*num-ce*). In (*\*)num*, final *-m* might reflect PIE *\*-n* (for which hardly any good evidence is available in Latin), or a remake of earlier *\*nun* on the analogy of *nam* and *-nem*. For *nūper*, two etymologies have been proposed: an original adj. *\*newo-paro-* 'bringing forth new things', or an adv. *\*nū* 'now' + *-per*. Phonetically, it is impossible to decide; but semantically, the latter is definitely more likely, since *nūper* does not have an active meaning (thus WH). Also, if the adj. was older (*nūperus*), one would expect an adv. *\*nūperum*. Probably, PIE *\*nu* turned to *\*nū* in stressed position in many individual languages.

Bibl.: WH II: 186-188, 190, EM 450, 452, IEW 770, Leumann 1977: 401. → *-ce*, *novus*, *-per*.



**numerus** ‘number, rank, category’ [m. o] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *numerāre* ‘to reckon, count’ (Pl.+), *Numeria* ‘goddess of delivery and counting’ (Varro); *adnumerāre* ‘to tell out, reckon’ (Pl.+), *dēnumerāre* ‘to pay in full’ (Pl.+), *dīnumerāre* ‘to calculate’ (Pl.+), *ēnumerāre* ‘to count up, enumerate’ (Pl.+), *pernumerāre* ‘id.’ (Pl.+), *renumerāre* ‘to pay back, report’ (Pl.+); *innumerus* ‘countless’ (Lucr.+), *innumerālis* ‘id.’ (Lucr.+), *innumerābilis* ‘id.’ (Lucr.+).

PIt. \**nomeso-*.

PIE \**nem-e/os-* [n.] ‘apportioning, sacrifice’, \**nom-o-*. IE cognates: Hit. *lammar* / *lamn-* [n.] ‘moment’, HLuw. *lam(i)ni* ‘at the time’ < \**nóm-r*, \**n(o)m-n-ós*; Gr. *véμω* ‘to dispense, distribute’, *βομή* [f.] ‘pasture’, *βομός* [m.] ‘pasture, habitation, province’, *νόμος* [m.] ‘custom, usage, law, composition’.

Probably a thematized form of the PIE *s*-stem \**nem-os* seen in *nemus*. The *o*-grade in *numerus* must be due to analogical replacement of the *e*-grade of the root by the *o*-grade on the model of \**nom-o-* ‘rank, number’, Lat. \**nemos*, *-esos* >> \**nomos*, *-esos* (cf. *pondus*, *-eris* for the same development). Subsequently, the gen.sg. \**nomes-os* must have been reinterpreted as the new nom.acc.sg. \**nomeso-s*, yielding a thematic paradigm.

Bibl.: WH II: 186f., EM 450f., IEW 763f., Meiser 1998: 83, LIV I. \**nem-*. → *nemus*

**nūntius** ‘messenger; message’ [m. o] (Naev.+; grammarians *nountius*, CIL *nontius*)

Derivatives: *nūntiāre* ‘to bring word of, deliver a message’ (Pl.+), *nūntium* ‘message’ (Varro+); *ēnūntiāre* ‘to make known, express’ (Pl.+), *internūntius* ‘messenger’ (Pl.+), *internūntia* ‘female messenger’ (Pl.+), *obnūntiāre* ‘to announce’ (Ter.+), *praenūntius* [adj./m.] ‘heralding; herald’ (Lucr.+), *remūntius* ‘reporter’ (Pl.), *remūntiāre* ‘to report, announce, renounce’.

Probably \**nountio-*, but the further etymology is unclear. It has been derived from the root \**neuH-* ‘to cry’ (Skt. *návate*), unattested in Latin, but also from *novus* ‘new’. But in the latter case, a reconstruction \**no(wo)wentio-* does not make sense morphologically.

Bibl.: WH II: 188f., EM 451f., IEW 767, Schrijver 1991: 275.

**-nuō, -ere** ‘to nod’ [v. III; pf. *-nūī*, ppp. *-nūtum*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *nūmen* ‘motion of the head, nod; divinity’ (Acc.+); *abnuere* ‘to refuse, deny, forbid’ (Pl.+), *abnuēre* ‘id.’ (Enn.), *adnuere* ‘to nod, permit’ (Pl.+), *innuere* ‘to nod, beckon’ (Pl.+); *nūtāre* ‘to nod with the head, bend forward, sway’ (Pl.+), *nūtus*, *-ūs* ‘nod’ (Lucr.+), *abnūtāre* ‘to disapprove’ (Pl.+), *adnūtāre* ‘to nod, assent’ (Naev.+), *renūtāre* ‘to refuse’ (Lucr.).

PIt. \**nowe/o-*.

PIE \**neu-e/o-* ‘to nod’. IE cognates: OIr. *as·noi\** ‘to promise’ (< \**eks-nou-*), *ad·noi\** ‘to entrust’, MW *dineu*, MBret. *dinou* ‘to pour forth, flow’ < PCl. \**neue/o-*; Gr. *νέω* [pr.] ‘to nod, beckon’ (< \**neu-s-* or < \**véω* with introduction of *vev-* from the aorist). Less clearly: Skt. *-nauti* [3s.act.pr.], caus. *áti-nāvayet* [3s.opt.act.] ‘to move’, Sogd. *mw-* ‘to go slowly’, *n’w-* ‘to shake’, MoP *navīdan/nav-* ‘to shake, tremble; move (esp. when rising from a place)’, Lith. *niaūsti* ‘to bend’.

*Nūmen* and *nūtus* can have *\*ne/ou-* and *-nuō* can be from *\*-neuō*. The thematic present is also found outside Italic, while the Lat. noun and the ppp. were derived from the pr.

Bibl.: WH II: 189, EM 452, IEW 767, Meiser 2003: 235, Schumacher 2004: 491, LIV *\*neu-*. → *cernuus*

**nurus, -ūs** ‘daughter-in-law’ [f. *u*] (Lex Reg., Ter.+)

PIt. *\*smuso-* >> *\*smusū-*.

PIE *\*snusó-* [f.] ‘daughter-in-law’. IE cognates: Skt. *smuṣā-*, Sogd. *šwnšh*, Oss. *nostæ*, MoP *sunā*, *sun(h)ār* ‘daughter-in-law’, Gr. *νύος* [f.] ‘daughter-in-law’; Arm. *now*, gen.sg. *nowoy* [f.], SeCS *sn̄xa*, Ru. *snoxá*, SCr. *sn̄aha* < BSl. *\*snus-eh₂-*, OE *snoru* [f.], OFr. *snore* ‘daughter-in-law’.

The noun has shifted from *o*-stem to *ū*-stem inflection under the influence of *socrus*, *-ūs* ‘mother-in-law’. Possibly, PIE *\*smu-s-o-* is a derivative of the same root *\*sneu-* ‘to drip, nurse’ from which *nūtrīx* is often derived.

Bibl.: WH II: 190, EM 452, IEW 978, LIV *\*sneu-* (?). → *nūtrīx*

**nūtrīx** ‘child’s nurse’ [f. *k*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *nūtrīre* ‘to suckle, nourish’ (Cat.+); *nūtrīcula* ‘child’s nurse’ (Lucil.+), *nūtrīcāre* ‘to suckle, nourish’ (Pl.+), *nūtrīcātus*, *-ūs* ‘nurturing’ (Pl.+), *nūtrīcātiō* ‘suckling, rearing’ (Varro+), *nūtrīcius* ‘foster-father, guardian’ (Var.+).

PIt. *\*(s)noutrī-*.

PIE *\*sneu-tr-ih₂-* ‘female nurser’. IE cognates: Skt. *prá-smauti* [3s.act.] ‘to drip, release liquids’, *prá-smuta-* ‘releasing mother’s milk’. Appurtenance of Gr. *νῶω* ‘to flow, stream’ (< *\*váp-i-jō*) is difficult and requires special pleading.

The verb *nūtrīre* was derived from *\*noutrī* before this acquired the suffix *\*-k-*. Afterwards, from *\*nūtrik-* the verb *nūtrīcāre* was derived (thus Steinbauer 1989). It has been proposed that the PIE root *\*sneu-* is cognate to *\*sneh₂-* ‘to bathe, swim’, pointing to an analysis *\*sn-u-* and *\*sn-h₂-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 190f., EM 453, IEW 971f., Leumann 1977: 376, Steinbauer 1989: 76f., Schrijver 1991: 152f., LIV *\*sneu-*. → *nūbēs*, *nurus*

**nux, -cis** ‘nut’ [f. *k*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *muceus* ‘of a nut-tree’ (Cato), *nuc(u)leus* ‘kernel, stone (of fruit)’ (Pl.+); *nucifrangibulum* ‘nutcracker’ (Pl.).

PIt. *\*knuk-*.

IE cognates: Olr. *cnú* [f.], gen. *cnáo*, *cnó*, acc. *cnói*, MW *cneu* ‘nuts’, LCo. *knysan* ‘a nut’, MBret. *cnou*, Bret. *kraoñ* ‘nuts’ < PCl. nom. *\*knūs*, obl. *\*knuu-* (< *\*knu-H-*?); OIc. *hnot*, OE *hnutu* ‘nut’ < *\*knu-d-*.

Lat. *nuc(u)leus* presupposes a diminutive *\*nuculus/-a*. As argued s.v. *nūgae*, the irregular alternations within Latin between *nūgae* and *nux* already suggest a non-IE loanword. To this we may add the different suffixes in Celtic (*\*-H-*?) and Germanic (*\*-d-*) and the sequence *\*knu-*, which does not look very Indo-European (only with a full grade *\*kneu-*, but this is not attested). In combination with the restriction to

Italo-Celtic and Gm., and the semantic field of trees and fruits, we may safely assume non-IE origin.

Bibl.: WH II: 191f., EM 453, IEW 558f., Leumann 1977: 287, Strunk 1993, Schrijver 1995: 329f. → *nūgae*

## O

**ob** 'towards, in front of; with regard to, because of' [prep.] (Lex XII+); variants: *ob* in isolation and as a preverb in front of vowels, *s-*, *t-*, *h-* and all voiced consonants except *g-* (variants *ops-*, *opt-* especially in inscriptions); *oc-* in front of *c-*; *of-* in front of *f-*; *og-* in front of *g-*; *o-* in front of *m-* (only in *omittō*), more usually *obm-* or *om-*; *op-* (in front of *p-*).

Derivatives: *optu/imus* 'best' (Naev.+), *optu/imās, -tis* [adj.] 'aristocratic' (Enn.+).

PIt. *\*op(i)*. Lejeune 1974 assumes Ven. *op* < *\*opi*, but this is uncertain. It. cognates: Ven. *op* 'because of, for', O. úp, *op* + abl. 'at'. Fal. *opidque* 'ob idque'. Maybe in U. *ustentu, ostendu* [3s.ipv.II] (meaning uncertain).

PIE *\*h<sub>1</sub>opi* 'at, by'. IE cognates: Lith. *ap(i)-* 'around' < *\*h<sub>1</sub>ópi*; Skt. *ápi*, Av. *aipi*, OP *apiy* 'also; by, in', Gr. ἔπι, ἐπί 'on, at', Arm. *ew* 'also, and' < *\*h<sub>1</sub>épi*.

The variant *\*obs-* only occurs in a few forms, and seems to be relatively recent and short-lived. Older are *oscen, ostendō, ostentō* and derivatives. Original *\*opw-* gave *op-*, as preserved in *oportet* and *operiō*. Cowgill 1970: 116f., 147, Leumann 1977: 317f. and Sihler 1995 regard *optumus* as a sup. to *ob*, meaning 'uppermost'. Since *ob* does not mean 'up, above' in Latin but 'towards', one may also conjecture a meaning 'foremost'. WH explain *optumus* as a sup. *\*opi-tVmo-* 'most powerful', but this is much less likely. Lat. *ob* and Lith. *ap(i)-* can be taken to reflect *\*h<sub>1</sub>op(i)* 'at, by' (the Italic forms might be better explained from a monosyllable *\*h<sub>1</sub>op*), an ablaut variant of *\*h<sub>1</sub>epi* as seen in Ilr., Gr. and Arm. Kloekhorst 2008: 194 argues on semantic grounds that Hit. *āppa*, CLuw. *āppa* 'back, again' probably reflect *\*h<sub>2</sub>op-*, and go together with Gr. ὀπίσθεν '(from) behind', Myc. *o-pi*, ὀψέ 'afterwards', ὀψι(-) (Aeol. and in cp.).

Bibl.: EM 454, Lejeune 1974: 337, IEW 323ff., Leumann 1977: 157f., Hamp 1981a, Schrijver 1991: 50f., Sihler 1995: 203f, 368, 440, Meiser 1998: 153, Untermann 2000: 799f., 812f., 816f

**obliquus** 'slanting, transverse' [adj. *o/ā*] (Cato+)

The etymology is unknown. Closest in form and meaning are *līmus* 'transverse' and *sublīmis* 'transverse from below upward', and the latter would be morphologically similar to *obliquus*. Yet a root *\*lī-* with different suffixes *\*-mo-* and *\*-k<sup>v</sup>o-* does not immediately make sense, and has no clear connections outside Italic.

Bibl.: WH II: 194f., EM 455, IEW 307-309. → *līmus* 2

**oblīvīscor**, -ī 'to forget' [v. III; pf. *oblītus sum*] (Andr.+; pr. also *oblīscī* Pl., Acc.)

Derivatives: *oblīvium* 'forgetfulness' (Lucr.+), *oblīvius* 'lost in oblivion' (Varro), *oblīviōsus* 'forgetful' (Pl.+), *oblīviō* 'forgetting, oblivion' (Varro+).

Schrijver follows Sommer 1914: 602 in assuming an original verb *\*ob-līvēre* 'to be smooth' < *\*ob-leiwē-* < *\*-leh<sub>1</sub>i-u-eh<sub>1</sub>-*, a stative verb derived from the adj. *\*leh<sub>1</sub>i-u-i-* 'smooth' which yielded Lat. *lēvis*. Yet a semantic shift from 'to be smooth' to 'to forget' is not very convincing. Keller 1992: 254 connects *ob-lītus* with Gr. λίναμαι 'to dodge', Gm. *\*linnan* 'to stop', Skt. *-līyate* 'dissolved' < PIE *\*lih<sub>2</sub>-* 'to stop / disappear'; but the weaknesses of her theory have been addressed by Seldeslachts 2001: 89. The latter returns to the adj. *lēvis*, and proposes to regard *oblīvīscor* 'to start being erased' as an inchoative deponent to a denominative verb *\*oblīvīre* 'to make smooth, erase' < *\*ob-lēvi-je/o-*. The ptc. *oblītus* would stem from *\*ob-lītus*, the ptc. of *ob-linere* 'to smear, stop', taking its *-ī-* from the pf. *ob-līvī* of that same verb. The second part of the hypothesis is weak: why would the ptc. of *oblinere* have been applied to *oblīvīscor*?

Bibl.: WH II: 195, EM 455, IEW 66If., Schrijver 1991: 284, Meiser 1998: 92. → *lēvis*

**obscaenus** 'unpropitious, ill-omened; indecent' [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+; the second vowel vacillates between *ae* and *ē*)

PIt. *\*skai-no-* 'left, unpropitious'.

PIE *\*skeh<sub>2</sub>-i-no-* 'shaded; left'. IE cognates: see s.v. *scaevus*.

Since *ē* can be a phonetic development for *ae* in second syllable (*\*ai* > *\*ē* > *ī*) whereas *ae* cannot be explained if the original vowel was *ē*, *obscaenus* must be the original form. EM propose to compare *scaevus* 'left, bad', and analyse *\*ob-skai-no-* 'coming from the left side'. This seems worthy of consideration: semantically it would fit perfectly, and, formally, a PIIt. formation *\*skaino-* would be quite close to Balto-Slavic *\*skeh<sub>2</sub>i-n(-i)-* 'shadow'.

Bibl.: WH I: 131, EM 456, IEW 628, LIV *\*skéH(i)-*. → *scaevus*

**obscurus** 'dark, gloomy' [adj. *o/ā*] (Lnn.+)

Derivatives: *obscurāre* 'to obscure (heavenly bodies or sources of light), darken' (Pl.+), *obscuridicus* 'speaking obscurely' (Acc.), *obscuritās* 'darkness, obscurity' (Varro+).

PIt. *\*skoi-ro-*.

PIE *\*skoh<sub>2</sub>-i-ro-* 'dark, shady'? IE cognates: Gr. σκίρον [n.] (description of a white parasol or canopy); Go. *skeirs*, Olc. *skírr*, NHG *schier* 'clear, bright' < *\*skīro-*.

The original meaning seems to be 'darkened, covered'. It is disputed whether we must analyse the word as *ob-scūrus* or *obs-cūrus*, since *oc-* is productive in Latin in front of *c-*, but *oscen* shows *\*obs-*. If *ob-scūrus*, Schrijver supports the derivation of *\*skūro-* from a preform *\*skuHro-* 'roof, cover'; but this is semantically questionable, since the compound would then mean '(what is) toward/in front of the cover', which is not what *obscurus* means, or a pleonastic 'against-cover', which is a rare type of formation. If *obs-cūrus*, Schrijver (p. 462) cites Hamp's etymology (1982-1983a: 99)

\**obs-k<sup>w</sup>ois-o-* ‘obstructing one’s sight’, the phonetic weakness of which Schrijver points out himself. Among the possible phonetic preforms of non-initial *-ūrus* are PIE \**-uHro-*, \**-e/o(H)uro-* and (maybe) \**-o(H)iro-*. Also, a word in \**sk-* seems to me more probable than a word in \**k-*, since *sk-* could then have been restored after a phonetic change to \**osc-*. If the etymology of *scaevus* < \**skeh<sub>2</sub>iuo-* and *obscaenus* < \**op-skeh<sub>2</sub>ino-* ‘shaded, left’ is accepted, one might posit an ablaut variant \**skoiro-* < \**skoh<sub>2</sub>i-ro-* ‘dark, shaded’. Semantically this would be fine; morphologically, there is no exact cognate in the other IE languages.

Bibl.: WH II: 196, EM 456, IEW 951-953, Schrijver 1991: 246f., LIV \*(s)keuh<sub>1</sub>-.

**obtūrō, -āre** ‘to block, stop up’ [v. I] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *retūrāre* ‘to unplug’ (Varro).

The stem *-tūrā-* seems to presuppose a PIt. nominal form \**tūro-*, \**tVu(V)ro-*, or \**toiro-*. WH and IEW connect *-tūrō* with PIE \**tuh<sub>2</sub>-* ‘to swell’, which is semantically possible (\**tūro-* ‘swelling’ > ‘plug’), but since *obtūrāre* can also be interpreted as ‘to seal, protect’, it can also be connected with *tūtus* ‘safe’ < \**tuH-*. But obviously, any plug-like object with the required phonological form could be the input for *-tūrāre*, so that all remains uncertain.

Bibl.: WH II: 197, EM 456, IEW 1080-1085. → *turgeō*

**occa** ‘harrow’ [f. *ā*] (LLat. texts and glosses)

Derivatives: *occāre* ‘to harrow, break up the ground’ (Pl.+), *occātor* ‘harrower’ (Pl.+), *occillāre* ‘to break in pieces’ (Pl.).

PIt. \**oketā-*?

PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>ok<sup>h</sup>-et-* [f.] ‘harrow’. IE cognates: W. Bret. *oged* ‘harrow’, Gr. *ὀξίνα* ‘an agricultural implement with iron teeth, drawn by oxen’ (Hsch.); OPr. *aketes*, Lith. *akėčios*, dial. *ekėčios* [nom.pl.f. *ā*], Latv. *ecē(k)šas* ‘harrow’, Ru. *osét* ‘granary, rack for drying grain’ [f.], Pl. *jesieć* (dial.) ‘grain sieve’ < BSl. \**eś-et-i-*, OHG *egida*, OE *egeðe* < PGm. \**axepō-*.

Since the verb *occāre* and other derivatives are attested much earlier and better than the noun, TLL suggests that the noun was back-formed to the verb. But since the verb is of the first class, it would still seem to be formed on the basis of a noun. The Latin cluster *cc* may derive from secondary \**tk*, which led Hirt (1916-17: 230) to posit a development PIE \**oketa* > pre-Latin \**okita* > \**otika* > syncope \**otka* > *occa*. In view of the closely matching Celtic, Germanic and BSl. forms which have the same meaning, this idea is appealing; but the assumed metathesis is not supported by other evidence. Alternatively, *occa* may be a borrowing from a hypothetical (Italic) dialect in which \**kt* developed into *kk*. In that case, we can posit \**oketā-* > \**oktā-* > *occa*.

Bibl.: WH II: 197f., EM 456f., IEW 18-22, Hirt 1916-17: 230, Schrijver 1991: 52.  
→ *aceō*, *acu-*, *ocris*

**occulō, -ere** ‘to hide, conceal’ [v. III; *occuluī, occultum*] (Pl.+; SCBac. [*o*]quiltod)

Derivatives: *occultāre* ‘to conceal’ (Pl.+).

PIt. \**kele/o-*.

PIE \**kel-e/o-* ‘to hide’. IE cognates: OIr. *ceilia\**, *·ceil*, OW *kelu*, MBret. *keles* ‘to hide’ < PCI. \**kel-e/o-*, OE *helan* ‘to hide’; Go. *huljan* ‘to shroud’ < \**kl-je-*.

Present from *ob* + \**kele-*. The spelling *·quo-* in SCBac. is hypercorrect for *-cul-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 198, EM 111, IEW 553f., Schumacher 2004: 394f., LIV \**kel-*. → *cella*, *cēlāre*, *clam*, *color*

**ōcior** ‘faster, swifter’ [adj.comp. *r*] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: sup. *ōcissimus* (Ter.+) / *ōximē* (Paul. ex F.).

Plt. \**ōk-*.

PIE \**h<sub>1</sub>oh<sub>1</sub>k-u-* ‘quick’, \**h<sub>1</sub>oh<sub>1</sub>k-ios-* ‘quicker’. IE cognates: OW *diauc*, MW *diawg*, Co. *dioc*, OBret. *diochi*, Bret. *diek* ‘lazy’ < PCI. \**dī-ākV-* ‘lazy’, lit. ‘un-swift’; Skt. *āśi-* ‘quick’, comp. *āśīyas-*, sup. *āśiṣṭha-*, Av. *āsu-* ‘quick, fast’, comp. *āsiiah-*, sup. *āsišta-*, Gr. *ὠκύς* ‘fast, swift’, *ὠκιστος* ‘fastest’; Ru. *jástreb*, ORu. *jastrjabъ*, *jastrebbъ*, Po. *jastrzqb* ‘hawk’, SCr. *jästrijeb* ‘kite’ < PSl. \**jastrębъ*.

The etymology \**h<sub>1</sub>k-* rests on the identification with PIE \**h<sub>1</sub>ékū(-o)-* ‘horse’. If this is abandoned, \**h<sub>3</sub>k-* is also possible.

Bibl.: WH II: 198, EM 457, IEW 775, Schrijver 1991: 54f. → *accipiter*

**ocris** ‘rugged mountain’ [m. *i*] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *mediocris* ‘of medium size, moderate’ (Pl.+), *mediocriter* ‘moderately; on a large scale’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \**okri-* [m.] ‘hilltop’. It. cognates: U. *ukar*, *ocar* [nom.sg.], *ocrem*, *ocre* [acc.sg.], *ocrer* [gen.sg.], *ukre*, *ocre* [dat.sg.], *ocre*, *ocrem* [loc.sg.], *ukripe*, *ukriper*, *ocriper*, *ocreper* [abl.sg.]; Marr. *ocres* [gen.sg.]; SPic. *okrei* [loc.sg.?] ‘castle, upper town’.

PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>ok-r-i-* ‘sharp edge’. IE cognates: MĪr. *ochair* ‘edge’, W. *ochr*; Skt. *catur-āsri-* [adj.] ‘four-angled’ (RV); *āsri-* [f.] ‘sharp edge, angle’ (Br.+); Gr. *ὄκρις* [m.] ‘point, sharp edge’, *ἄκρις* [f.] ‘summit’.

*Ocris* is found as an independent word only in a few instances; it was apparently ousted by *collis*. It has been preserved in the compound *mediocris*, orig. ‘situated at the middle, half-way of the top’. Probably an *i*-derivative of the adj. PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>ék-ro-* ‘sharp’.

Bibl.: WH II: 199, EM 457, IEW 18-22, Leumann 1977: 403, Schrijver 1991: 51, Untermann 2000: 79If. → *ācer* ‘sharp’, *acu-*

**octō** ‘eight’ [num. indecl.] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *octāvus* ‘eighth’ (Cato+); *octōgintā* ‘eighty’ (Cic.+), *octōgēnī* [adj.pl.] ‘eighty each’ (Lucil.+), *octōber* [adj.] ‘the eighth month’ (Cato+), *octōnī* [adj.pl.] ‘eight each’ (Pl.+), *octōnārius* ‘containing eight, eight long’ (Varro+), *octingentī* ‘eight hundred’ (Pl.+), *octingēnārius* ‘consisting of 800 each’ (Varro).

Plt. \**oktō* ‘eight’, \**oktāwo-* ‘eighth’.

PIE \**h<sub>3</sub>(e)ktéh<sub>3</sub>* ‘eight’, \**h<sub>3</sub>kt(e)h<sub>3</sub>-uó-* ‘eighth’. IE cognates: OIr. *ocht n-*, W. *wyth*, Bret. *eiz* ‘8’, OIr. *ochtmad* ‘8th’; Skt. *aṣṭá*, *aṣṭáu* [nom.acc.] ‘8’, *aṣṭamá-* ‘8th’, *aṣṭī-* ‘80’, YAv. *ašta* ‘8’, *aštama-* ‘8th’, *aštāiti-* ‘80’, Gr. *ὀκτώ* ‘8’, *ὄγδομος*, *ὄγδοος* ‘8th’, *ὄγδοήκοντα* ‘80’, OPhryg. *otuvoi vetei* ‘in the eighth year’, Alb. *tetë* ‘8’; Lith. *aštuoni*

'8', Go. *ahtau*; ToB *okt*, ToA *okāt* '8'.

The ordinal seems to show a change of *\*-ōuos* > *\*-āuos*, which is reinterpreted by Schrijver 1991: 300 as a delabialization of PIE *\*-eh<sub>3</sub>-uo-* (with labialized laryngeal) to *\*-eh<sub>2</sub>-uo-* due to the following *\*-u-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 199f., EM 457f., IEW 775, Schrijver 1991: 49, 300, Coleman 1992: 396, 412, Beekes 1995: 213-216, Sihler 1995: 414, 432, Meiser 1998: 56, 86, 175, Beekes 2003: 184.

**oculus** 'eye' [m. o] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *ocellus* 'little eye' (Pl.+), *ocellātum* 'a stone with small spots' (Varro+), *oculātus* 'having sight' (Pl.+), *oculitus* [adv.] 'as dearly as one's eyes', *oculissimus* 'dearest apple of my eye' (Pl.), *oculeus* 'made of eyes' (Pl.+); *ūnocus* 'that has one eye' (Pl.+); *exoculāre* 'to deprive of eyes' (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*ok(e)lo-*.

PIE *\*h<sub>3</sub>e/ok<sup>w</sup>-(e)lo-* 'eye'. IE cognates: Skt. *akṣnās* [gen.sg.], *akṣ-ī* [nom.acc.du.] 'eye', *an-ākṣ-* 'without eyes, blind' < *\*h<sub>3</sub>e/ok<sup>w</sup>-s-*, YAv. *aši* [nom.acc.du.n.] 'eye', Gr. ὄψ [f.], gen. ὀπός 'eye, face', ὄσσε [nom.acc.du.n.] 'eyes' < *\*h<sub>3</sub>k<sup>w</sup>-i-*, OPr. *ackis* 'eyes' [nom.p.], *ackins* [acc.pl.], Lith. *akis*, Latv. *acs* 'eye', OCS *oko* [nom.sg.], *oči* [nom.acc.du.n.] 'eye' < *\*h<sub>3</sub>ek<sup>w</sup>-*.

Since most IE languages have a root noun or an *i*-stem 'eye', the Latin formation probably does not go back to PIE. *Oculus* could be derived from a PIE root noun (*\*h<sub>3</sub>e/ok<sup>w</sup>-*), from a PIE thematic noun (probably *\*h<sub>3</sub>ok<sup>w</sup>-o-*) or from an earlier verb 'to see'.

Bibl.: WH II: 200-202, EM 458, IEW 775-777, Schrijver 1991: 49, Sihler 1995: 120, LIV *\*h<sub>3</sub>ek<sup>w</sup>-*.

**ōdī** 'to hate' [v. pf. only, ppp. *ōsum*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *ōdibilis* 'odious' (Acc.), *odium* 'hatred, boredom' (Pl.+), *odiōsus* 'offensive, boring' (Pl.+), *odiossicus* 'disagreeable' (Pl.).

PIt. *\*ōd-* 'to hate', *\*odio-* 'hatred'.

PIE pf. *\*h<sub>3</sub>e-h<sub>3</sub>(o)d-* 'to hate', *\*h<sub>3</sub>e/od-io-* 'hatred'. IE cognates: Gr. aor. ὀδύσ(σ)ασθαι 'to be angry, grumble', pr. οὐδύεται 'contends' (Hsch.) (pr. *\*ōdúoμαι* from a noun *\*ōd-u-* 'angriness?'), Arm. *ateam* 'to hate' < *\*h<sub>3</sub>(o)d-*, OIc. *etja* 'hetzen, treiben', OE *atol*, *eatol* [n.] 'evil'.

The pf. was used to convey the resultative/stative meaning. Therefore, LIV posits as the basic meaning of the root 'to develop hatred against', which is possible but not necessary.

Bibl.: WH II: 202f., EM 458f., IEW 773, Schrijver 1991: 49f., Beekes 2003: 157, 184, LIV 2. *\*h<sub>3</sub>ed-*.

**odor** 'smell, odour' [m. r] (Pl.+; nom.sg. *odōs* Pl.)

Derivatives: *odorārī* 'to smell, scent' (Pl.+), *odōrus* 'having a smell' (Varro+), *odōrisequus* 'following the scent' (Andr. [uncertain]); *olēre* [pf. *olūī*] 'to smell' (Pl.+), *olere* [pf. *olūī*] 'to smell' (Pl., Afran., Pompon.), *olor* 'smell' (Varro: *littera*

*commutate dicitur odor olor*), *ol(e)facere* ‘to smell, hear about’ (Pl.+), *odefacere* ‘olefacere’ (Paul. ex F.), *ol(e)factāre* ‘to smell at, sniff’ (Pl.+), *ol(e)factus*, -ūs ‘th smelling’ (Pl.+); *inolēns* ‘odourless’ (Lucr.), *obolēre* ‘to smell, stink’ (Pl.+), *perolēre* ‘to emit a penetrating smell’ (Lucil.+), *praeolēre* ‘to send out an odour ahead’ (Pl.+), *subolēre* ‘to smell faintly’ (Pl.+).

PIt. \*ode/o- ‘to smell’, \*odōs ‘odour’.

PIE pr. \*h<sub>3</sub>ed-/ \*h<sub>3</sub>d- ‘to smell’. IE cognates: Gr. ὄζω (Ion. Att.) ‘to smell’, plqpf. ὀδῶδει, Gr. ὄδμη, ὄσμῆ ‘odour, scent’, Arm. *hot* ‘smell’ < \*h<sub>3</sub>ed-, Lith. *uosti*, 3s. *uodžia*, Latv. *uost* ‘to smell, sniff’, OCz. *jadati* ‘to investigate, explore’.

Some forms show the change of intervocalic \*d > l, the exact conditions of which are unclear. In this word, it seems that *d* was preserved in front of *ō*, but changed to *l* in front of *ē*. In view of *fulgēre* / *fulgere*, *fervēre* / *fervere*, it seems likely that *olēre* is older than *olēre* (cf. Leumann).

Bibl.: WH II: 203, 206, EM 459f., IEW 772f., Leumann 1977: 544, Schrijver 1991: 50, Meiser 1998: 100, LIV I. \*h<sub>3</sub>ed-.

**-olēs, -is** ‘feeding, nursling’ [f. *i*]: *indolēs*, -is f. (Pl.+) ‘nature, character’; *prōlēs* (Lucr.+), ‘offspring’, *subolēs* (Pl.+), ‘young growth of a tree; offspring; generation’

Derivatives: *prōlētārius* ‘belonging to the lowest class of citizens’ (Pl.+; Lex XII in Gell.).

This noun only occurs as the second member of compounds. It reflects \**al-i-* or \**al-ē-* ‘upbringing; offspring, descendant’ to *alere* ‘to feed’. The vowel was weakened in non-initial syllable to *o*, but not further to *u*. Leumann 1977: 553 assumes that these nouns were built to a stative verb \**alēre* ‘to grow up’ (as reflected in *ad-olēscō*). An old *i*-stem \**h<sub>2</sub>el-i-* or \**h<sub>2</sub>ol-i-* is difficult because one would expect a phonetic outcome \**-ilēs*, -is. Thus, \**ol-i-* is probably an inner-Latin formation. The early attestation of *prōlētārius*, as well as its meaning which differs from *prōlēs*, show that *prōlēs* must have existed a long time before its first attestation in the first century BC.

Bibl.: WH I: 694, II: 369, 619, EM 23, IEW 26f., Schrijver 1991: 42, LIV 262. → *alō*

**olle / ollus** ‘that; he, she, it’ [pron., pron. adj. *o/ā*] (Andr.+; Forms: dat.sg. *ollī*, dat.pl. *ollīs*, nom.pl.m. *ollī*, nom.acc.pl.n. *olla*)

Derivatives: *ōlim* [adv.] ‘formerly, once; one day, in future’ (Pl.+).

PIt. \**olno-* > \**ollo-*. It. cognates: O. *ūlleis* [gen.sg.m.], *ulum* [acc.sg.m.], *ulam* [acc.sg.f.], *ulas* [gen.sg.f.], *olu* [gen.pl.m.] ‘that one’ < \**ol-*; U. *ulu*, *ulo* ‘thither’ < \**ōlō*, \**ōlā* or \**ōlom*.

PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>ol-no-* ‘that, yonder’. IE cognates: Gaulish *ollo-*, OIr. *ol* ‘beyond’; OCS *lani*, Cz. *loni* ‘last year’ < PSI. \**olni*.

The oldest Latin form was *ollus* [*o/ā*] which acquired pronominal endings as in *iste*: *ollus* >> *olle*, *ollum* >> *ollud*. The stem *oll-* only survives in old formulae and in hexameter poetry, but was already replaced at the beginning of the literary period by *ille* on the example of *is, ea, id* and *iste*. *Olim* seems to be formed off the pronominal stem \**ollo-* with the suffix *-im* (productive in VOLat.) for adverbs of time and place. For the PIt. preform, both \**olno-* or \**olso-* are conceivable; but the Celtic and Slavic



evidence points to \**olno-*. For *ōlim*, Schrijver 1991 (passim) reconstructs \**h<sub>2</sub>ōl-* for the root; although this seems highly irregular from a morphological point of view, note that U. also requires \**ō*.

Bibl.: WH II: 206f., EM 461, IEW 24-26, Schrijver 1991: 68, Sihler 1995: 393, Kortlandt 1996: 143, Meiser 1998: 163, Untermann 2000: 793-795. → *alius, ille, uls*

**olor** 'swan' [m. *r*] (Verg.+)

Plt. \**elōr*.

IE cognates: OIr. *elu*, Mir. *elae* [f.] < PCl. \**eljā?*, W. *alarch*, pl. *eleirch* 'swan' < \**alarko-* < \**elar-sko-* < \**el-γ-sko-*; Ru. *lébed'* [m.], Cz. *labut'*, SCr. *lābūd* 'swan' < \**h<sub>2</sub>elb<sup>h</sup>-ond-i-* (?); Olc. *elptr*, *ϕlpt* [f.], OHG *albiz*, *elbiz*, OE *ælbitu*, *ielsetu* [f.] 'swan' < \**albit-*.

The preform was probably \**elōr* (with regular *e* > *o* before velarised *l*), but \**olōr* cannot be completely ruled out, since the evidence for the development of \**olV-* is scarce: the loanword *Ulixes* has *u-*, the verb *volvō* < \**μoluō* has *o*, but in a special phonetic surrounding. The nom.sg. may have regularly been \**elōr* < \**elγ*, after which an *-ōr*-stem was created. Together with OIr. *elu* and W. *alarch*, *olor* goes back to an Italo-Celtic word for 'swan'. The closest connection in form and meaning is with Ru. *lébed'*, OHG *albiz* 'swan', which seem to go back to a root \**h<sub>2</sub>elb<sup>h</sup>-* (as in *albus* 'white'), but with difficulties, since the Slav. accentuation does not match. Maybe \**-b<sup>h</sup>-* in Slav. and Gm. is a suffix (as more often in animals and colours), and the root was \**h<sub>2</sub>el-*, or, if non-IE, \**Vl-*. If it were \**h<sub>2</sub>el-*, it would be impossible to connect Italo-Celtic \**el-* 'swan'. If a common word \**Vl-* for 'swan' is accepted, it must be a foreign loanword into the IE languages. The appurtenance of Gr. *ἐλέα* [f.] kind of singing-bird, perhaps reed-warbler' is very uncertain.

Bibl.: WH II: 207, EM 461, IEW 302-304, Schrijver 1991: 37, 1995: 76, EIEC 538.

**ōmen, -inis** 'omen, augury' [n. *n*] (Pl.+; older *osmen* according to Varro)

Derivatives: *ōminārī* 'to know or tell from omens, predict' (Pl.+), *ōminātor* 'one who makes prognostications' (Pl.).

Plt. \**ok<sup>w</sup>s-ṃn-* 'sighting, omen'?

PIE \**h<sub>3</sub>ek<sup>w</sup>-s-* 'to see' [pr.].

It is uncertain whether Varro's *osmen* ever really existed, or was invented by folk etymology. Benveniste 1962: 10f. connects Hit. *hā-zi* / *h-* 'to believe, trust' < \**h<sub>2/3</sub>eH*. Oettinger 1979: 361 reconstructs \**h<sub>2</sub>e/oh<sub>3</sub>-s-mn* 'trust'. Yet semantically I am not convinced by this etymology. Others have reconstructed \**h<sub>3</sub>ek<sup>w</sup>-s-mn* 'a sighting', the meaning of which seems closer to 'omen'. Note Gr. *ὄμμα*, *-ατος* 'eye' < \**ὄμμα* < \**h<sub>3</sub>ék<sup>w</sup>-mn*; Latin would have added an \**s*, as it often does in front of the suffix \**-mn*. The only drawback is that the suffix *-men* nearly exclusively occurs after Latin verb stems or verb stems of an earlier period. For \**h<sub>3</sub>ek<sup>w</sup>-* 'to see', we have no evidence in Latin that a verb existed; for PIE, LIV reconstructs a reduplicated perfect and an *s*-present (whence Av. *aīβīi-āxšaiia-* 'to watch', Gr. fut. *ὄψομαι* 'to see'). Thus, *ōmen* could be formed from a PIE *s*-present. Meier-Brügger 1992 derives *ōmen* from \**h<sub>1/2</sub>oǵ-smen* 'speech, what was predicted' to *aiō* < \**h<sub>1/2</sub>eǵ-*. This is semantically

attractive, but no other evidence for an *o*-grade of this verb exists in Latin, and one can hardly assume a *smen*-derivative to have been built on the PIE perfect \*He-Hoǵ-.

Bibl.: WH II: 208, EM 461, Meier-Brügger 1992, LIV ?\*h<sub>2</sub>eh<sub>3</sub>-. → *oculus*

**ōmentum** ‘fatty membrane of caul, covering the intestines’ [n. *o*] (Cat.+)

It. cognates: U. **umen** [acc.sg.], **umne** [abl.sg.] ‘ointment’ < \*omben < \*ong<sup>w</sup>en-.

I see no semantic support for WH’s hypothesis that *ōmentum* is derived from the verb -uō ‘to put on/off’. Also, \*owe-mento- would phonetically yield \*ūmentum, whence ō- could only arise by restoration of \*ow-; but there was no word to restore this sequence from. EM suspect that it is a loanword, in which case the source might have been (a cognate or derivative of) U. **umen** /omen/ ‘ointment’ < \*omben, the Sab. cognate of Lat. *unguen*.

Bibl.: WH II: 208, EM 461, IEW 346, Untermann 2000: 796f. → *unguen*

**omnis** ‘the whole of, all, every’ [adj. *i*] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *omnīnō* [adv.] ‘in every respect, entirely’ (Pl.+), *omnipotēns* ‘almighty’ (Pl.+).

PIt. \*op-ni-?

PIE \*h<sub>1/3</sub>e/op-ni- ‘working’.

*Omnīnō* is a petrified case-form of \*omn-īno- ‘wholly’. The absence of the change \*omn- > \*umn- (a likely but not completely certain change) can be explained if *mn* arose from a different sequence, such as \*oPn-. WH propose \*op-ni- with the stem *op*- ‘wealth’, the stem of which is regarded as verbal PIE \*h<sub>3</sub>ep- ‘to make’ by LIV. In fact, a verbal adj. in \*-ni- can be reconstructed for other Latin words too (cf. *lēnis*, *sēgnis*). The verbal root might be \*h<sub>1</sub>op- ‘to take’ (*optō*) or \*h<sub>3</sub>ep- ‘to work’ > ‘possess’. The former seems slightly more likely for semantic reasons.

Bibl.: WH II: 209f., EM 461, IEW 780, Leumann 1977: 347, Sihler 1995: 43, LIV l.\*h<sub>3</sub>ep-. → *ops*, *opus*

**onus, -eris** ‘burden, load’ [n. *r*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *onerāre* ‘to load with goods’ (Pl.+), *onerārius* ‘for carrying loads’ (Naev.+); *exonerāre* ‘to discharge, unload’ (Pl.+); *onustus* ‘loaded, laden’ (Naev.+).

PIt. \*ones- [n.].

PIE \*h<sub>3</sub>en-os, -es- [n.] ‘load’. IE cognates: Hit. *anije/a-zi* ‘to work; to carry out, to produce, to treat’, Pal. *anije/a-* ‘to work’, CLuw. *ānni-* ‘to carry out’ < \*h<sub>3</sub>n-je/o-; Skt. *ānas-* ‘heavy cart’, *anaḍvāh-* [m.] ‘ox, draught animal’ < \*anas-vah- ‘pulling a cart’.

The adj. *onustus* is a *to*-derivative of the *s*-stem: \*onos-to-. If the Hittite verb belongs here (semantically this is not compelling), the root must rather be \*h<sub>1</sub>enH-, according to Melchert 1994: 85. This is adopted by Janda 1999 and Stüber 2002: 88, who reconstruct \*h<sub>1</sub>enH<sub>3</sub>-. The reconstruction with final -h<sub>3</sub> is based on a comparison with Gr. Ένοσίχθων, which I find unconvincing. Kloekhorst (2008: 179ff.) argues that Melchert’s scenario is problematic within Anatolian, and instead proposes to regularly derive *an(n)ia-* from PIE \*h<sub>3</sub>n-je/ó-.

Bibl.: WH II: 210, EM 462, IEW 321f., Lubotsky 1990: 132, Schrijver 1991: 50.

**opācus** ‘sheltered, shady’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Lucr.+)

Derivatives: *opācāre* ‘to make shady; darken’ (Pac.+).

*Opācus* has since long been compared with Skt. *āpāka-* ‘turned away from’ and OCS *opaky* ‘the other way round, behind one’s back’, Ru. *opak(o)* (dial.) ‘back’, but these must be reconstructed as PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>epo-h<sub>3</sub>k<sup>w</sup>-* ‘turned away from’, which would yield Latin *\*apōcus*. Klingenschmitt 2004: 249 proposes a metathesis from *\*apōkos* to *\*opākos*, but this seems unlikely; see the discussion of *ūndecim* s.v. *decem*. It seems more promising to compare the root *\*(h<sub>1</sub>)ueh<sub>2</sub>-* ‘to abandon, leave empty’ found in *vānus*, *vāstus*, *vacuus*, maybe also in *vāgīmā* ‘sheath’: *\*op-wāko-* ‘situated towards the empty side’ > *\*opāko-*. Obviously, this is just a theoretical possibility.

Bibl.: WH 210, EM 703, IEW 53-55, Leumann 1977: 340, Schrijver 1991: 146, 308, Klingenschmitt 2004: 249 = 2005: 540. → *vacuus*, *vānus*

**operiō, -īre** ‘to shut, close, cover’ [v. IV; pf. *operuī*, ppp. *opertum*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *opertāre* ‘to cover habitually’ (Enn.+), *operculum* ‘lid, cap’ (Cato+), *operimentum* ‘covering, cover’ (Cato+); *cōperīre/cooperīre* ‘to cover completely’ (Pl.+), *adaperīre* ‘to open wide, uncover’ (Varro+).

PIt. *\*op-wer-i(e)-* ‘to cover up’.

PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>uer-i(e)-* [pr.] ‘to cover’. IE cognates: see s.v. *aperiō*.

Bibl.: WH II: 211, EM 38, IEW 1160-1162, Sihler 1995: 503, Meiser 1998: 116, 195, LIV *\*H<sub>2</sub>uer-*. → *aperīre*, *ob*

**ōpiliō** ‘herdsman; kind of bird’ [m. *n*] (Pl.+; also *ūpiliō* Verg., Serv.+)

Derivatives: *opilius*, *opilia* (Praeneste).

PIt. *\*owi-pol-o-* ‘sheep-driver’.

PIE *\*h<sub>3</sub>eui-* ‘sheep’ + *\*polh<sub>2</sub>-o-* ‘driver’. IE cognates: see *ovis* resp. *pellō*.

The distribution of *ū-* (Verg. et al.) and *ō-* (Pl., CIL) could point to a Sabellic origin of the variant *ōpiliō*; this would have the regular Sab. outcome of *\*ou-* < *\*owi-*. In Latin, the expected outcome would be *ūpiliō*. The element *-piliō* can contain a derivative in *-io-* to a PIE noun *\*polh<sub>2</sub>-o-* ‘who impels’ to the root of *pellō* ‘to impel’. The compound is probably not of PIE date. Vine 2006a: 234 interprets *ōpiliō* as a possible ‘rustic’ Roman reflex of *\*aupoliō* << *\*awi-polo-* < *\*oui-polh<sub>2</sub>ó-* ‘sheep-driver’ (or *\*oui-ph<sub>2</sub>-ló-* ‘sheep-protector’), with *\*ou-* > *\*au-* due to Thurneysen-Havet’s unrounding of *\*ou* in pretonic syllable.

Bibl.: WH II: 211, EM 462, IEW 801f., Leumann 1977: 134, Schrijver 1991: 277, LIV *\*pelh<sub>2</sub>-*. → *ovis*, *pellō*

**opīmus** ‘which is taken as trophy, glorious; rich, sumptuous’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Lex Reg., Pl.+)

Derivatives: *opīmitās* ‘prosperity’ (Pl.).

PIt. *\*opī* [ins.sg.]?

The older etymological connection with *pinguis*, Skt. *pīvan-* ‘fat’ must be dismissed. Form and meaning suggest that *opīmus* was derived from Lat. *ops* ‘power, resources’ which almost certainly continues a PIt. *i*-stem *\*op-i-*. We must assume suffixation of

adjectival *\*-mo-* together with vowel lengthening of *\*i* to *\*ī*; this recalls the process leading to adj. in *\*-/V: no/-, -/V: to/-*, which Nussbaum explains as derivatives from ins.sg. forms in *\*-h<sub>1</sub>*. Since Lat. has no productive adjectival suffix other than *-(t)(i)mus* in the superlatives, it might be just this process by which the language created *\*opī* ‘with power, with riches’ >> *\*opī-mo-* ‘abundant’.

Bibl.: WH II: 211f., EM 462, IEW 793f., Leumann 1977: 319. → *ops*

**opīnor, -ārī** ‘to think, believe, suppose’ [v. I] (Naev.+; also *opīnāre* in Pl. to Caecil.)

Derivatives: *opīnātus, -ūs* ‘supposition’ (Lucr.+), *adopīnārī* ‘to conjecture’ (Lucr.), *opīniō* ‘opinion, imagination’ (Pl.+).

Steinbauer 1989 proposes a derivative *\*op-einā-* ‘to try to achieve’ to a noun *\*eino-* ‘purpose’, but this noun is as yet illusive. Schrijver stresses that the semantic link between ‘to believe’ and ‘to choose’ is weak, and so is therefore the traditional etymological connection with the root of *optāre*. Vine 1999a argues that it is difficult to reconstruct a stem *\*opiōn-/ \*opion-* from which *opīn-ā-* could have been derived, as others had proposed. He argues that the Praenestine spelling *opeinor* in a third-century inscription proves that the word contains Plt. *\*-ei-* and not even *\*-ī-*, which reduces the chances of finding a PIE etymology.

Bibl.: WH II: 212f., EM 462, IEW 781, Steinbauer 1989: 188f., Schrijver 1991: 52, Vine 1999a, LIV ?2. \*h<sub>3</sub>ep-.

**oportet** ‘it is proper, right’ [v. II; pf. *oportuit*] (Naev.+)

Plt. *\*op-wort-(ē-)*.

PIE *\*ue-u(o)rt-* [pf.] ‘to turn’.

The original meaning is thought to be ‘it befits, it comes to one’, and the form is derived from *\*op* ‘towards’ plus a form of the root *\*uert-* ‘to turn’. WH assume an original causative *\*uort-eie-*, yet a transitive form does not fit the meaning. Therefore, *\*op-uort-ē-* (> *\*oport-ē-*, with a sound change dated to Plt. by Meiser 1986: 185) may contain the perf. stem *\*ue-u(o)rt-*, which is assumed to be attested in CIL *aduortit* ‘advertit’. Thus, ‘it has turned toward someone’ > ‘it befits, it is proper’. The *ē*-conjugation would be a secondary addition in connection with the stative meaning of *\*oport-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 214, EM 463, IEW 323-325, Leumann 1977: 553, Schrijver 1991: 472, LIV *\*uert-*. → *vertō*

**oppidum** ‘(fortified) town; barriers’ [n. o] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *oppidō* ‘utterly, entirely’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*op-pe/od-o-* ‘obstructing’. It. cognates: U. **peřum, peřu, perso** [acc.sg.], **peřume, persome** [acc.sg. + *-en*] ‘ground’ or ‘place’ < *\*pedom*.

PIE *\*ped-o-* [n.] ‘stepped’ > ‘place, step’. IE cognates: Hit. *peda-* [n.] ‘place’, HLuw. LOCUS-*ta-* [n.] ‘place’, Skt. *padā-* [n.] ‘footstep, piece of ground’, OAv. *pada-*, YAv. *pada-* [n.] ‘footstep’, OP *pāti-padam kar-* ‘to retreat’, Gr. *πέδov* ‘ground, earth’, Arm. *het* ‘footprint’, OPr. *pedan* ‘ploughshare’, Lith. *pėdà*, (dial.) *pėdas* ‘footprint’, Latv. *pēda* ‘foot-sole, footstep’ < *\*ped-o-*; OIc. *fet* ‘step’.

U. *\*pedom* ‘ground’ can be interpreted as *\*pedó-* [n.], nominalization of *\*ped-ó-* ‘stepped, trodden’, or *\*péd-o-* [n.], with accent retraction of the adj. *\*ped-ó-*; thus Nussbaum, who assumes that the derivational basis was the verb *\*ped-* ‘to step’ rather than the noun *\*ped-* ‘foot’. Latin *oppidō* might be explained as *\*‘to the ground’* > ‘utterly’ (Nussbaum). For the noun *oppidum*, the meanings ‘barriers’ (in a circus) and ‘fort’ are both attested in Naev. (the former one in a quotation from Varro). In connection with ‘foot’, a meaning ‘barriers’ is easier to understand than ‘fortification’, so chances are that WH are right in assuming original *\*op-pedo-* ‘what is in front of the feet, obstructing the way’.

Bibl.: WH II: 214f., EM 463, IEW 790-792, Untermann 2000: 524f., LIV *\*ped-*. → *ob, pēs*

**ops, opis** ‘power, ability, resources’ [f. ī] (Andr.+; abl.sg. *opid* CIL 1.364, *opi* Varro)

Derivatives: *Opis/Ops*, gen. *Opis* ‘a Roman goddess’ (Pl.+), *cop(i)s, cōpis* ‘rich, wealthy’ (Pl.+), *cōpia* ‘abundance’ (Pl.+); *inops* ‘poor, powerless’ (Pl.+), *inopiōsus* ‘destitute’ (Pl.+); *opulentus* ‘wealthy, rich’ (Pl.+), *opulentitās* ‘richness’ (Pl.+), *opulenter* ‘richly’ (Pl.+); *officium* ‘service, duty’ (Pl.+), *officiōsus* ‘dutiful, attentive’ (Afran.+); *opitulāre/ī* ‘to help, bring relief’ (Andr.+), *opitulus* ‘cult-title of Jupiter’ (Paul. ex F.), *opiparus* ‘sumptuous, rich’ (Pl.+), *opifex* ‘craftsman, inventor’ (Pl.+), *opificium* ‘the performance of constructive work’ (Varro+), *opificīna* ‘performance’ (Pl.).

Plt. *\*opi-*.

PIE *\*h<sub>3</sub>e/op-(i)-* ‘ability, force’. IE cognates: Hit. *happina-* ‘rich’, *happinant-* ‘rich (person)’ < *\*h<sub>3</sub>ep-en-o-*; Skt. *ápnas-* ‘possession, property’ [n.], YAv. *afnaṅ’hant-* ‘rich in property’ < *\*h<sub>3</sub>ep-nos-*, Gr. *δμῆνῆ* [f.] ‘food, corn’ < *\*h<sub>3</sub>ep-n-h<sub>2</sub>-?*

Lat. *officium* < *\*opi-fak-io-*. The existence of different derivatives in the IE branches, and also of an *s*-stem (see s.v. *opus*), may point to a PIE root noun *\*op-*. Livingston (2004: 73-82) explains *opulentus* and the other adj. in *-ulentus* as dissimilated from *\*-owent-o-*, on the model of the semantic proximity of adj. in *-uus* to those in *-ulus*.

Bibl.: WH I: 270, II: 204f., 215f., EM 459f., 463f., IEW 780, Schrijver 1991: 50, LIV 1. *\*h<sub>3</sub>ep-*. → *omnis, opīmus, opus*

**optō, -āre** ‘to desire, pray for’ [v. I] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *optiō* ‘choice, option; junior officer’ (Pl.+), *optiōnātus, -ūs* ‘the rank of *optio*’ (Cato+), *optābilis* ‘desirable’ (Andr.+); *adoptāre* ‘to associate, adopt’ (Pl.+), *adoptāficius* ‘adopted’ (Pl.+), *exoptāre* ‘to long for’ (Pl.+), *exoptābilis* ‘desirable’ (Pl.+), *praeoptāre* ‘to prefer’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*opeje-* ‘to choose, grab’. It. cognates: U. *upetu* [3s.ipv.II], *upetuta* [3p.ipv.II], *opeter* [ppp., gen.sg.n.] ‘to select’; O. *ufteis* [gen.sg.], *uhftis* [nom.pl.] ‘?’ < *\*op-ti-* ‘help/wish’?

PIE *\*h<sub>1</sub>op-eie-* ‘to choose, grab’. IE cognates: Hit. *epp-<sup>zi</sup>* / *app-* ‘to take, grab’ (< PIE root pr. *\*h<sub>1</sub>ep-/\*h<sub>1</sub>p-*), Hit. *pai-<sup>i</sup>* / *pi-* ‘to give’, CLuw., HLuw. *pīja-*, Lyc. *pīje-* ‘to give’, Skt. *āpa*, YAv. *āpa* ‘has reached’ (< IE pf. *\*h<sub>1</sub>e-h<sub>1</sub>(o)p-*); maybe Alb. *(j)ep* ‘gives’, Is. *(j)ap*.

Vine 1999a: 520f. explains U. **upetu** from a PIE iter. *\*op-eie-*; to the resulting pr. *\*opē-*, Latin could have made a ppp. *\*opto-*, from which *optāre* was derived as a frequentative. The connection with *opīnor* ‘to suspect’ is difficult for semantic reasons; EM regard it with scepticism. A connection with *ap-* as in *apiō*, *apīscor* ‘to take’ < *\*h<sub>1</sub>p-* would be possible if the latter indeed started from the meaning ‘to reach, get’. U. **upetu** might then point to a caus. or iter. *\*op-eie-* > *\*opē-* ‘make a choice’. A root *\*h<sub>1</sub>p-* is more attractive than *\*h<sub>3</sub>p-*, since there is no connotation of ‘work’ in *optō* and **upetu**. LIV and Meiser 2003 assume that a verb form *opet* /*lopēt*/ is contained in the Duenos inscr. sequence *noisiopetoitesiai*, which is merely a guess. The original form of the hapax *praedotio* in Festus, glosses with ‘praeoptant’, is too uncertain to be taken into consideration; Vine 1999a: 522f. suggests that the original form may have been *\*praeponont* ‘they prefer’.

Bibl.: WH II: 217, EM 464, IEW 781, Schrijver 1991: 52, Untermann 2000: 210, 786, Meiser 2003: 65, LIV ?2. *\*h<sub>3</sub>ep-*. → *apīscor*, *opīnor*

**opulus** ‘kind of maple’ [f. *o*] (Varro+)

Since Pliny assigns this tree name to the *Mediolanenses* ‘Milanese’, it might be a Celtic word.

Bibl.: WH II: 217, EM 465, IEW 18-22.

**opus, -eris** ‘work’ [n. *r*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *opera* [f.] ‘effort, activity’ (Naev.+), *opella* ‘little effort’ (Lucret.+), *operārius* ‘labourer’ (Pl.+), *operāria* ‘woman who hires out her services’ (Pl.), *operātus* ‘busy, occupied’ (Lucil.+), *operārī* ‘to be at work’ (Plin.+).

Plt. *\*opes-* [n.] ‘work’. It. cognates: SPic. **opesaúom** [inf.], U. *osatu* [3s.ipv.II], Pael. *upsaseter* [3s/p.ipf.sb.ps.], O. **úpsannúm**, **úpsannu** [gdve.acc.sg.m.n.], **úpsannam**, **úpsan(am)** [gdve.acc.sg.f.], *οπισανω* [gdve.acc.pl.n.]; O. **úpsed**, **upsed**, SPic. **opsút**, **opsúq** [3s.pf.], O. **uupsens**, **upsens**, *ουπσενς*, Vest. *ósens* [3p.pf.], U. *opset(a est)*, *oseto (est)* [3s.pf.ps.f.], O. **upsatuh sent** [3p.pf.ps.n.?] ‘to erect’: pr., ppp. *\*op-es-ā-*, denom. to *\*opes-* ‘work’, pf. O.U. *\*ōps-*, SPic. *\*ops-*.

PIE *\*h<sub>3</sub>ep-os-*, *-es-* [n.] ‘work’. IE cognates: Skt. *ápas-* [n.] ‘work, action’, *apás-* [adj.] ‘active, skilful, working’, Av. *huvāpah-* ‘doing good work, masterly’, OAv. *hauuapaṇha-* [n.] ‘creativity’, MoP *xūb* ‘good’; OE *efnen* ‘to perform’ (< PGm. *\*abnjan*), OHG *uoben* ‘to start to work, practice, worship’.

Postgate 1899 has shown that *operātus* must be a derived adj. ‘full of *opera*, absorbed in’ to *opera*, whereas *operārī* was back-formed to *operātus* more recently. This means that PSab. *\*opesā-* ‘to erect’ was an independent creation of Sabellic.

Bibl.: WH II: 217f., EM 465f., IEW 780, Schrijver 1991: 50, Untermann 2000: 801-803, LIV 1. *\*h<sub>3</sub>ep-*. → *omnis*, *ops*

**orbis** ‘disc, flat round object, circle’ [m. *i*] (Cato+; abl.sg. *orbī*)

Derivatives: *orbiculus* ‘small disc’ (Cato+), *orbiculātus* name of a pear (Varro); *orbīta* ‘track made by a wheel; path of a heavenly body’ (Var.+), *orbītus* ‘circular’ (Varro); *orbīle* ‘rim’ (Varro).

PIt. \**orfi-* ‘wheel’, \**orfi/etā-* ‘wheel-like, disc’. It. cognates: U. *urfeta* [acc.sg.] ‘?’ (an object which the priest holds in his hands).

PIE \**h<sub>3</sub>orb<sup>h</sup>-i-* ‘turning thing’. IE cognates: Hit. *harp<sup>-ua(ri)</sup>* / *harp<sup>-zi</sup>* ‘to change allegiance, join’ < \**h<sub>3</sub>erb<sup>h</sup>-to*, <sup>(GIS)</sup>*harpa/i-* [c.] ‘pile, heap’; Gr. ἀφούρνων ‘disk (in Lydian) (Weiss 2006: 261); ToAB *yerpe* ‘disc / surface / image’ (vel sim. = Skt. *bimba*) < \**h<sub>3</sub>ērb<sup>h</sup>o-*.

The etymology of *orbis* is much disputed, see Untermann 2000. Klingenschmitt 1980: 214ff. reconstructs \**h<sub>2</sub>(o)r-d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-i-*, which he interprets as ‘where the spokes (of a wheel) are attached’ = ‘felly’ to Skt. *ará-* ‘spoke of a wheel’. While formally possible, this explanation lacks formal matches in other IE languages, and is therefore less attractive. Driessen 2001 posits \**h<sub>1</sub>ōrb<sup>h</sup>-(i)-* for Latin, with shortening by Osthoff’s law. But it may also be \**h<sub>1</sub>orb<sup>h</sup>-i-*, if the Tocharian long vowel is secondary. Driessen rejects the connection with *urbs*. This solution is developed by Weiss 2006. He assumes that *orbis* derives from PIE \**h<sub>3</sub>erb<sup>h</sup>-* ‘to turn’ (\**h<sub>2</sub>erb<sup>h</sup>-* cannot be completely excluded), a meaning he arrives at by comparing the Hit. verb *harp-* ‘to change allegiance, join’. Latin *orbīta* and U. *urfeta* can go back to the same PIt. preform \**orfi/etā-*, with vowel restoration in the second syllable in Umbrian. Weiss 2006: 252f., 261 analyzes this as a fem. of an original *t*-stem \**orfi-t-* ‘wheel-like (one)’, which in its turn was derived from an *i*-stem noun \**orb<sup>h</sup>-i-* ‘turning thing, wheel’ from which *orbis* can be directly derived.

Bibl.: WH II: 219, EM 466, Untermann 2000: 805, Klingenschmitt 1980: 214ff., Driessen 2001: 53f., 57f., Weiss 2006. → *urbs*

**orbis** ‘deprived of, childless, orphaned’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *orbitās* ‘childlessness’ (Pl.+), *orbitūdō* ‘bereavement’ (Pac.+), *orbāre* ‘to deprive of, rob’ (Pac.+); *orbificāre* ‘to bereave of children’ (Acc.+).

PIt. \**orfo-* ‘heir, orphan’.

PIE \**h<sub>3</sub>orb<sup>h</sup>-o-* ‘turning, what/who is turned over’ > ‘inheritance / heir’. IE cognates: OIr. *orb* [m.] ‘heir; inheritance’ < \**orbo-*, *orb(b)e*, *orpe* [n.] ‘inheritance’ < \**orbijo-*, Hit. *harp<sup>-ua(ri)</sup>*, *harp<sup>-zi</sup>* ‘to change allegiance, join’ < \**h<sub>3</sub>erb<sup>h</sup>-to* [med.], *harpa/i-* ‘pile, mound’, Gr. ὀρφανός ‘orphaned’, ὀρφοβόται ‘orphan’s guardian’ (Hsch.), ὄρφωσεν ‘made into an orphan’ (Hsch.), Arm. *orb*, *-oy* ‘orphan’, OCS *rabъ*, ORu. *robъ*, Cz. *rob* ‘servant, slave’ [m.] < \**orbo-*, Oic. *arfr* ‘heir’ < \**arbaz*, Oic. *arfi*, OSwe. *arve* ‘heir’ < \**arban-*, Go. *arbi*, OHG *arbi*, OS *erbi* [n.] ‘inheritance’ < \**arbija-*, Go. *arbija*, OHG *arpeo*, OE *irfa* ‘heir’ < \**arbijan-* ‘having the inheritance’.

According to Weiss, the root \**h<sub>3</sub>rb<sup>h</sup>-* ‘to turn’ here had the specific meaning ‘to change membership from one social class to another’. Armenian and Greek can have \**h<sub>3</sub>rb<sup>h</sup>-*, \**h<sub>3</sub>orb<sup>h</sup>-* or \**Horb<sup>h</sup>-*, the other languages \**h<sub>3</sub>orb<sup>h</sup>-* or \**Horb<sup>h</sup>-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 219f., EM 466, IEW 781f., Schrijver 1991: 52, Weiss 2006.

**ōrdior**, **-īrī** ‘to lay the warp (of a web); begin to speak or write; begin’ [v. III; pf. *ōrsus sum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *exōrdior* ‘to lay the warp; begin’ (Pl.+), *exōrdium* ‘the warp set up on a loom before the web is started; the beginning’ (Enn.+), *redōrdior* ‘to unweave’

(Plin.), *prīmōrdium* ‘beginnings, source’ (Pac.+) (*ōrdia prīma* Lucret.), *ōrsa*, *-ōrum* ‘undertakings; words’ (Verg.+); *ōrsus*, *-ūs* ‘web; beginning’ (Cic.+).

PIt. \**ord-i-* ‘row, arrangement’.

PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>or-d-*?

Long initial *ō-* must be secondary (see s.v. *ōrdō*). The original meaning seems ‘to be put in a certain order’ (medium tantum), especially as a weaving term, of the threads which are laid in a pattern. The verb can be interpreted as a denominative to a stem \**ordi-*, or as a derived present \**ord-je-*. Lat. *exordium* must be derived from *exordīri*, cf. Leumann 1977: 294, unless there was an original stem \**ord-*, from which *exordium* and *ordō* were independently derived. The forms *ōrsa* and *ōrsus* are recent derivatives from the ppp. For further etymology, see *ōrdō*.

Bibl.: WH I: 221, EM 467, IEW 55-61, Leumann 1977: 294. → *ōrdō*

**ōrdō** ‘row, line, rank; series, pattern, routine’ [m. *n*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *ōrdināre* ‘to place in rows, arrange’ (Cic.+), *ōrdinārius* ‘regular, normal’ (Cato apud Fest., Liv.+); *ōrnāre* ‘to prepare, equip, adorn’ (Pl.+), *ōrnātus* ‘well-equipped’ (Ter.+), *ōrnātus*, *-ūs* ‘equipment, adornment’ (Pl.+), *ōrnāmentum* ‘equipment, ornament’ (Andr.+); *adōrnāre* ‘to prepare (tr./intr.); adorn’ (Pl.+), *exōrnāre* ‘to equip, prepare; adorn’ (Pl.+), *subōrnāre* ‘to supply, equip’ (Cic.+).

PIt. \**ord-n-* ‘row, order’.

PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>or-d-*?

The length of the initial *ō-* is probably secondary, as in other words with \**VrC-*; cf. Leumann 1977: 114. The original denom. to *ōrdō* was *ōrnāre* < \**ōrd-n-āre* < \**ordel/on-ā-* (cf. type *nomināre*); this has retained the specialized meaning of ‘to adorn’. The more recently attested *ōrdināre* was made as a denominative to *ōrdō* when the link between the latter and *ōrnāre* had become opaque; it accordingly has the more general meaning ‘to put into order’. Semantically, a connection with PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>er-* as in Lat. *artus* ‘joint, limb’ is attractive; thus also IEW. This would imply a reconstruction \**h<sub>2</sub>or-d-*, with a *d*-extension which is not otherwise attested for this root. The *o*-grade might then stem from the original perfect. Pokorny considers a caus. \**ord-eio-*, but this should yield \**ordēre*.

Bibl.: WH II: 222-223, EM 467-469, IEW 55-61, Leumann 1977: 114, Sihler 1995: 76 → *ordior*

**orior**, *-īrī* ‘to appear above the horizon, rise’ [v. IV; pr. *orītur*, ppp. *ortum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *origō*, *-inis* [f.] ‘beginning, source’ (Cato+), *aboriginēs*, *-um* [pl.] ‘race of pre-Roman people’ (Cato+); *aborīrī* ‘to pass away, be aborted’ (Varro+), *abortiō* ‘miscarriage’ (Pl.+), *abortus*, *-ūs* ‘failure to fertilize, miscarriage’ (Lucret.+), *abortāre* ‘to cast its young’ (Varro), *aborīscere* ‘to fade away’ (Lucret.+), *adorīrī* ‘to attack, assail’ (Naev.+), *coorīrī* ‘to spring forth, be born’ (Pl.+), *exorīrī* ‘to appear, arise’ (Pl.+), *exortus*, *-ūs* ‘rising, emergence’ (Pac.+), *oborīrī* ‘to rise up, occur’ (Pl.+), *suborīrī* ‘to come into being’ (Lucret.+).

PIt. \**or-i-* ‘to rise’, \**orto-* ‘risen’. It. cognates: U. *ortom est*, *orto est* [3s.pf.], *urtu fefure* [3s.fut.pf.?], *urtas* [ptc.pf., nom.pl.f.], *urtes* [ptc.pf., abl.pl.f.] ‘to arise, occur’



< \*orto-. U. **urnasier** [abl.pl.], **urnasiaru** [gen.pl.f.] name of an early spring month < < \*orn-āsio- to a stem \*r-no- ‘shoot’ (M. Weiss, p.c.).

PIE \*h<sub>3</sub>r-i- ‘to rise’. IE cognates: Hit. *arai-<sup>i</sup>* / *ari-* ‘to (a)rise, to lift; raise’, CLuw. *ari(ia)-* ‘to raise’, Lyc. *erije-* ‘to raise, levy’ < PIE \*h<sub>3</sub>r-oi- / \*h<sub>3</sub>r-i-, Hit. *hardu-* [n.], CLuw. *hardu-* ‘brood, descendance’ < \*h<sub>3</sub>er-tu-; Skt. *iyarti* [3s.pr.act.], *irte* [3s.pr.med.] (< PIIr. \*Hi-Har-, \*Hi-Hr-), *rñóti* [3s.pr.act.], aor. (*úd*) *ārta* [3s.med.], (*sám*) *ārata* [3p.med.], pf. (*vy*) *āra* [3s.act.] ‘to set in motion, move’, OAv. pr. *ira-*, aor. (*uz*)*ārāšuuā* [2s.ipv.med.], YAv. *ərənao* ‘to set in motion’; Gr. ὀρῶμι ‘to urge, incite’ (< \*h<sub>3</sub>r-n(e)u-), ὄρω [3s.aor.med.] ‘to rise, rush on’, Arm. *y-arnem* ‘to rise’.

The length of the stem vowel is fluctuating: *orīrī*, *adorītur* but *oritur*. Meiser interprets this in such a way that *adorītur* has a regular Sievers variant \*-je- > \*-ije- after two syllables, whereas *oritur* has retained \*-je-. Yet even \*-je- would be expected to yield -ī-, as Schrijver 2003 objects. Hence, the original stem may have been \*or-i- / \*or-ei-, he argues. This is confirmed by the Hittite paradigm, which, according to Kloekhorst 2006a: 115 and 2008: 200, reflects an *i*-pr. \*h<sub>3</sub>r-oi-/ \*h<sub>3</sub>r-i-. It cannot be decided whether *orior* and *ortus* continue PIE \*h<sub>3</sub>r- or \*h<sub>3</sub>er-, but the former is more likely for morphological reasons.

Bibl.: WH II: 222f., EM 468, IEW 326-332, Schrijver 1991: 69, Meiser 1998: 63, 195, Untermann 2000: 806-808, LIV \*h<sub>3</sub>er-.

**ornus** ‘kind of ash-tree’ [f. o] (Verg.+) ;

Plt. \*osVno- ;

PIE \*Hh<sub>3</sub>-o/es-. IE cognates: OIr. (*h*)*uinnius* ‘ash’ < \**ōnmistu-*, MW coll. *onn*, sing. *onnen*, OCo. *onnen*, Bret. coll. *onn*, *ounn* ‘ash-tree’ < \**osnā/-os*; OPr. *woasis* (< \**ōsi-s*), Lith. *uosis* [m.], Latv. *uōsis* [m.], Ru. *jásen*’, Cz. *jasan*, SCR. *jāsēn* ‘ash-tree’ < BSl. \**oʔs-en-* (> Slav.), \**oʔs-i-o-* (> Balt.) < PIE \*Heh<sub>3</sub>-s-; Arm. *hac’i* ‘ash-tree’, Alb. *ah* ‘beech’ [m.], OIc. *askr*, OHG *asc* ‘ash-tree’ < \*Hh<sub>3</sub>-o/es-ko-, Gr. ὄζυα ‘beech’ << \**osk-*.

The vowel difference between BSl. and the other languages is understandable if BSl. has the full grade of a root \*Hh<sub>3</sub>-, followed by \*-s-, whereas the other languages have the zero grade followed by \*-e/os-. This would imply that the original noun was an *s*-stem. Latin has suffixed \*-Vno- (as in other tree names, cf. *fraxinus*) whereas Celtic added \*-no-.

Bibl.: WH II: 223, WH 469, IEW 782, Schrijver 1991: 77.

**ōrō**, **-āre** ‘to pray to, beseech’ [v. I] (Lex XII, Andr.+) ;

Derivatives: *orāculum* ‘divine utterance, oracle’ (Pl.+), *orātiō* ‘speech, utterance’ (Naev.+), *orātor* ‘envoy, public speaker’ (Naev.+), *ōrātrīx* ‘female suppliant’ (Pl.+), *ōrātus*, *-ūs* ‘request’ (Pl.+); *adōrāre* ‘to plead with, address, pray to’ (Lex XII+), *exōrāre* ‘to persuade’ (Pl.+), *exōrābula*, *-ōrum* ‘means of winning over’ (Pl.+), *exōrātor* ‘successful suppliant’ (Ter.), *perōrāre* ‘to plead, argue’ (Lex XII+), *inōrātus* ‘not pleaded’ (Enn.+), *inexōrābilis* ‘relentless’ (Ter.+).

Plt. \*ōs- ‘mouth’. It. cognates: maybe O. *urust* [3s.fut.pf.] ‘to prosecute (orally)’ < \**ōr-us-t*.

The chronology of the attestations shows that ‘to plead, speak openly’ is the original meaning of *ōrāre*; see also Gavaille 2001 on the difference between *orāre* and *dīcere* in OLat. A denominative verb to *ōs* is deemed unlikely by WH and EM, but I fail to see why: English has a verb ‘to mouth’, and *-āre* is the productive suffix also after root nouns; thus also Untermann 2000: 809. The alternative etymology, which is supported by LIV, seems very unlikely to me: a connection with Skt. *á-aryanti* ‘they acknowledge’ and Ru. *orát’* ‘to shout’, since nothing suggests a meaning ‘to shout’ for the Latin verb, nor does it seem onomatopoeic. If *ōrāre* is from *\*ōsā-*, it would have to be separated from O. *urust*. Rix (1993: 331-335) tries to derive both from a root *\*h<sub>2</sub>er-* ‘to speak solemnly’, O. from a reduplicated perfect and Latin from a root noun *\*h<sub>2</sub>ōr-*; this solution is adopted by LIV. It is possible within Italic, but the outer-Italic evidence for a root *\*h<sub>2</sub>er-* ‘to speak solemnly’ is very weak.

Bibl.: WH II: 224, EM 469, IEW 781, Untermann 2000: 809, LIV ?3. *\*h<sub>2</sub>er-*. → *ōs*

**ōs, ōris** ‘mouth’ [n. *r*] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *ōreae* [f.pl.] ‘mouthpiece, bit’ (Naev.+), *ōsculum* ‘kiss’ (Pl.+), *ōsculārī* ‘to kiss’ (Pl.+), *osculentia* ‘kissing’ (Pl.), *dēōsculārī* ‘to kiss warmly’ (Pl.+), *Ostia* ‘port at the mouth of the Tiber’ (Enn.+), *ōstium* ‘door, entrance; aperture, mouth’ (Pl.+), *ōstiārius* ‘janitor’ (Varro+), *ōscitāre/ī* ‘to gape, yawn’ (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*ōs-*.

PIE *\*h<sub>3</sub>eh<sub>1</sub>-os, -es* [n.] ‘mouth’. IE cognates: Olr. *á* ‘mouth’, Hit. *aiš / išš-* [n.] ‘mouth’ (< *\*h<sub>3</sub>eh<sub>1</sub>-os*, gen. *\*h<sub>3</sub>h<sub>1</sub>-s-os?*), CLuw. *āaš-* [n.] ‘mouth’, Skt. *ás-* [n.] ‘mouth’, *ās-ā* [instr.sg.] ‘with the mouth, before one’s eyes, present, visible’, Av. *āh-*.

*Ostium* presupposes an adj. *\*ōs-to-* ‘having a mouth/like a mouth’ (cf. *iūs-tus*, etc.), from which a n. noun in *\*-io-* was derived

Bibl.: WH I: 272, II: 224-228, EM 142, 469-471, IEW 784f., Schrijver 1991: 55, Stüber 2002: 194-197. → *cōram*

**os, ossis** ‘bone’ [n. *ss*] (Lex XII+)

Derivatives: *ossum* ‘bone’ (Acc.+), *ossua, -uum* [n.pl.] ‘bones’ (Pac.+), *osseus* ‘of bone’ (Pl.+), *ossiculātīm* ‘bone by bone’ (Lucil.); *ossifraga* ‘kind of vulture’ (Lucr.+), *exos* ‘boneless’ (Lucr.+), *exossāre* ‘to remove the bones from’ (Pl.+).

PIt. nom.acc. *\*ost*, gen. *\*ostos*. It. cognates: Ven. *ostinobos* [dat.pl.] ‘bones’ < *\*ostino-* << *\*ost-n-*.

PIE *\*h<sub>3</sub>o/est-* [n.] ‘bone’. IE cognates: MW *ascurn, ascwrn*, OCo. *ascorn* ‘bone’ < *\*ast-k-*, MW *assen* [f.], OCo. *asen*, MĪr. *asna* ‘rib’ < *\*astVn-* (appurtenance uncertain, see below); Hit. *haštāi / hašti-* [n.] ‘bone(s), strength’, CLuw. *hāš-* ‘bone’ < *\*h<sub>3</sub>esth<sub>1</sub>-ōi, -i-*; Skt. *ásthi-* [n.] ‘bone’ (ins.pl. *asthábhiṣ*, gen.sg. *asthnás*, gen.pl. *asnám* < *\*asthnám*), *asthanvánt-* ‘having bones’, OAv. *astəntāt-* [f.] ‘materialness’, YAv. *ast-* ‘bone, body with bones’ (gen.sg. *astō, astasca*, nom.pl. *asti*, gen.pl. *astqm*, instr.pl. *azdabīš-ca*), Av. *astuuant-* ‘having a body with bones’, Sogd. *’stḱ-* ‘bone’ < IIr. *\*ast-H/n-*; Gr. *ὀστέον* [n.] ‘bone’, Arm. *oskr* < *\*ost-u-*; OAlb. *ashtë* [n.] ‘bone’ < *\*ost-*.

According to the theories of Steinbauer and Schrijver, the nom.sg. *\*osta* < *\*HostH*

was replaced by \**ost* to avoid homonymy with the nom.acc.pl. \**osta*, and the new form \**ost* was furnished with an extra \*-s. Plt. \**osts*, \**ostos* would then have yielded \**oss*, \**ostos*, which was remodelled to \**oss*, \**ossos*. Yet the introduction of an ending \*-s into a nom.acc.sg. neuter is hardly credible: a n. paradigm \**ost*, gen. \**ostos* would have been fine, and the ptc. *ferens* is not a good comparandum, since it stands within a paradigm with m. and f. Thus, I wonder whether *oss* directly reflects the nom.sg. \**ost* of the PIE root noun; the sequence -ss- would then have been introduced into the other case-forms. The stem *ossum* may be a secondary o-stem \**osso-*, or it hides a u-stem \**ossu-*, which in any case we need for Pac. *ossum*. The u-stem can be due to analogy with *artua* 'limbs' or maybe *cornua* 'horns'. Armenian o- can be from \**h<sub>2</sub>o-* or \**h<sub>3</sub>(o)-*. Only in the former case can the Celtic forms be cognate, viz. from \**h<sub>2</sub>e-* (Schrijver 1995: 53). This is surprising, since o- seems to be prevailing in the other languages (although we cannot tell for Ilr.). An ablaut \**h<sub>2</sub>est-/\*h<sub>2</sub>ost-* would point to a root noun, and the suffixes \*-*h<sub>2</sub>-* (also \*-*i-*?) and \*-*n-* would originally be different extensions. The suffix \*-*n-* seems to be attested in Ilr., Celtic and Venetic. If the hypothetical PIE root noun was an acrostatic n. noun one may reconstruct nom.acc. \**h<sub>2</sub>óst*, gen. \**h<sub>2</sub>ést-s* > Plt. \**ōst*, \**ass*, from which with leveling maybe Lat. *oss* may be explained. This is very speculative, of course.

Bibl.: WH II: 225f., EM 470, Lejeune 1974: 337, IEW 783, Leumann 1977: 452, Steinbauer 1989: 236f., Schrijver 1991: 50, 80f., 110f., 1995: 53, Klingenschmitt 1992: 124.

**ōtium** 'spare time, relaxation' [n. o] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *ōtiōsus* 'at leisure, inactive' (Pl.+); *negōtium* 'work, business, difficulty' (Pl.+), *negōtiolum* 'little business, slight difficulty' (Pl.+), *negōtiōsus* 'occupied, busy' (Pl.+).

For *ōtium*, an etymology involving \**au-* is unattractive, since there is no evidence for \**au-*. Benveniste 1951 argues that *negōtium* must have been a nominal cp. \**nec-ōtium* from the start, with the meaning 'non-loisir', that is, 'obstacle, empêchement'. It would have been a Latin calque on Gr. ἀ-σχολία 'absence of spare time' > 'occupation'. This leaves unanswered the question of why the Romans not translate the Gr. word as \**in-ōtium*. The word remains without etymology.

Bibl.: WH II: 157, 228f., EM 436, 471.

**ovis** 'sheep' [f. (m.) i] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *ovīle* [n.] 'sheepfold' (Cato+), *ovillus* [adj.] 'of sheep' (Cato+), *oviāria* 'flock of sheep' (Varro); *suovetaurilia*, -ium [n.pl.] 'purificatory sacrifice, consisting of a boar, a ram and a bull' (Cato+); *aububulcus* 'pastor bovum' (CGL V 346, 39).

Plt. \**owi-*. It. cognates: U. *uvem*, *uve* [acc.sg.], *uvikum* abl.sg. (+ -kum), *uvef*, *oui* [acc.pl.] 'sheep'.

PIE \**h<sub>3</sub>eu-i-* 'sheep'. IE cognates: OIr. *ói*, Hit. or CLuw. *hāui-*, Lyc. *χawa-* 'sheep'; Skt. *ávi-* [m./f.] 'sheep, ram', gen.sg. *ávyas*, Skt. *avikā-* [f.] 'little sheep', *ávya-* 'from sheep', Gr. *óis*, *óris* 'sheep', gen.sg. *óios*, Gr. *óieos* 'from sheep'; Arm. *hoviw* 'shepherd'; Lith. *avis*, Latv. *avs* 'sheep'; OCS *овъца*, Ru. *овца* < PSl. \**oui-k-jā-*; Go.

*awistr*, OHG *ewist* ‘sheepfold’ < \**oui-stH-*; ToB *awi* [nom.pl.f.] ‘ewe’.

*Ovis* can reflect Plt. \**ówis* under the assumption put forward by Vine 2006a that stressed \**ów-* did not undergo unrounding to \**aw-*. The appurtenance of *aububulcus* is extremely uncertain according to Schrijver (1991: 439), but Vine (2006: 233) adopts the view that the original gloss may have run *aubulcus* ‘pastor ouium’, in which case *au-* could reflect pretonic \**oui-* ‘sheep’ under Vine’s formulation of Thurneysen-Havet’s Law; see also Lindner 2002: 218f. Some scholars assume initial \**h<sub>2</sub>o-*, ignoring or explaining away Armenian *ho-*. See Pinault 1997: 191ff. for arguments against \**h<sub>3</sub>e-* and in favour of \**h<sub>2</sub>e-* (Toch. \**ā-*). The only alternative to \**h<sub>3</sub>e-* would be a static paradigm \**h<sub>2</sub>oui-* / \**h<sub>2</sub>eui-*, while assuming that Arm. and Anat. have the *o*-vocalism of the former variant, and the *h-* of the latter; this seems less plausible.

Bibl.: WH II: 229, EM 471f., IEW 784, Schrijver 1991: 50, 439, 449–454, Sihler 1995: 178, Meiser 1998: 56, Untermann 2000: 818, Kloekhorst 2006b: 92f. → *ōpiliō*

ovō, -āre ‘to celebrate a minor triumph, rejoice’ [v. I] (Pl.+)

Plt. \**owā-*.

The Gr. verb εὐάζω ‘to cry for joy’ is probably denominal to cries such as εὔα (H.), εὔαι (-āi) (Ar.), εὔāv (E.). Yet the Latin word cannot be a borrowing, since it requires the Plt. change of \**eu* > \**ou*.

Bibl.: WH II: 229f., EM 472, IEW 347, Schrijver 1991: 37, 449.

ōvum ‘egg’ [n. o] (Enn.+)

Plt. \**ōw(j)om*.

PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>ōuiom* ‘egg’. IE cognates: OW *ui*, MW *wy* [m.], OCo. *uy*, Co. *oy*, Bret. *uy*, vi ‘egg’ < PCl. \**āuiō-*; Av. *aēm* (acc.sg.), Khot. *āhaa-*, MP *xāyag*, Khwar. *y’k* < Pir. \**āuiā(-ka)-*; Gr. ὄν (Ion.-Att.), ὄν (Hell.), ὄιον (Sappho); Arm. *jow*, gen. *jowoy* < \**iōio-* << \**ōio-*; Po. *jajo*; *jaje* (obs.), SCr. *jāje* ‘egg’ < PSl. \**āje*, OCS *ajce*, Ru. *jajcǫ*, Cz. *vejce* ‘egg’ < PSl. \**ajbce*; Alb. *ve*, *voe*; Crimean Go. *ada*, Olc. *egg*, OHG *ei*, OE *æg* ‘egg’ < PGm. \**ajjaz-*.

Schindler 1969 reconstructs \**ō-h<sub>2</sub>uiom*, with a preposition \**ō*. Yet apart from Ilr. \**ā* ‘toward’, such a preposition is unknown, and its existence in PIE is doubtful. Moreover, the meaning ‘which is near the bird’ is not very convincing for an ‘egg’: it is actually ‘in’ the bird, or, when it is breeding, ‘under’ it. I prefer the explanation of *ōvum* as a vṛddhi-derivative of ‘bird’, hence ‘which belongs to a bird’. Morphologically, this would imply lengthening of an *o*-grade of ‘bird’, for which – admittedly – there is no evidence: \**h<sub>2</sub>eu-i-* ‘bird’ > \**h<sub>2</sub>ōu-i-o-* ‘egg’. On the other hand, it is not unlikely that an earlier ablaut \**e/o/zero* in ‘bird’ would have disappeared, leaving only *e/zero*.

Bibl.: WH II: 230, EM 472, IEW 783f., Schindler 1969, Schrijver 1991: 30, 126, 300. → *avis*

## P

**paedor** 'dirt, filth' [m. *r*] (Acc.+)

Etymology unknown.

Bibl.: WH II: 233, EM 474.

**paelex, -icis** 'mistress' [f. *k*] (Pl.+; also *pēlex, pellex*)

Usually compared with OIr. *airech* 'a type of concubine', Gr. *παλλακή* 'concubine', *πάλλαξ* 'young woman', Av. *pairikā-* 'witch'. But Irish has *-r-*, Av. has *\*parikā-*, and Gr. has *a* and *ll*, none of which match the Latin form. Within Latin, it seems more straightforward to derive *paelex* from *\*paed-Vk-s*, to *paedor* 'dirt'. But even this is only a guess which cannot be substantiated. Levin 1983 regards *paelex* as a borrowing from a Mediterranean language, maybe Semitic, in view of Hebrew *plgš /pi(y)leyeš/* 'concubine'.

Bibl.: WH II: 233f., EM 474, Leumann 1977: 69.

**paene** 'almost, practically' [adv.] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *paenitēre* (pf. *-uī*) 'to cause dissatisfaction, cause to regret' (Pl.+), *paenitūdō* 'regret' (Pac.), *pae/ēmūria* 'shortage, want' (Ter.+).

The basic meaning of the stem *\*paen-* seems to be 'missing, lacking'. IEW connects *paene* with Skt. *pīyati* 'scorns', which would fit if we posit *\*ph<sub>2</sub>-i-*; but the root is reconstructed as *\*ph<sub>1</sub>-i-* in LIV, which does not explain Latin *-ae-*. Also, the semantics do not match well. Neri (2007: 78f.) takes up a suggestion by Vine and proposes *\*p(e)-ai-ni-* 'not entirely' < *\*'from whom has been taken away'* or *\*'who takes away'*, from a preverb *\*pe* 'away' and a verb *\*h<sub>1</sub>ai* 'to give, take'. A PIE phoneme sequene *\*h<sub>1</sub>ai-* is in my view not possible, however, and the existence of a PIE preverb *\*pe<sub>1</sub>is* uncertain (see s.v. *pālor*).

Bibl.: WH II: 234, EM 474, IEW 792f.

**palam** 'openly, publicly' [adv.; prep. + abl.] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *prōpalam* 'openly, evident' (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*palām?*

PIE *\*plh<sub>2</sub>-em-i?*

The use of *palam* as a prep. postdates that of *clam*. Schrijver gives three possible etymologies: (1) an analogical ending *-am* was taken from *clam*; (2) a locative *\*plh<sub>2</sub>-em-i* 'in the flat (hand)' to *palma*; this might have yielded *\*palem*, however; or a loc. *\*plh<sub>2</sub>-ēm* > *\*p(a)lām*; (3) an adverbialised acc.sg. of a *h<sub>2</sub>*-stem of the same root: *\*plh<sub>2</sub>-eh<sub>2</sub>-m* > *\*palām* > *palam*. Since *palma* and its cognates provide evidence for a PIE *m*-stem, hypothesis (2) is slightly more likely.

Bibl.: WH II: 237, EM 475, IEW 805-807, Schrijver 1991: 209f. → *clam, palma, plānus*

**palātum** ‘roof of the mouth; dome, vault’ [n. o] (Varro+)

Derivatives: *Palātium* ‘the Palatine’ (Naev.+), *Palātīnus* ‘Palatine’ (Varro+), *Palātua* ‘tutelary goddess of the Palatine’ (Varro), *Palātuālis* ‘of Palātua’ (Varro+).

PIt. \**palāto-*.

PIE \**plh<sub>2</sub>-o/u-* ‘flat, wide’?

Since the ‘palate’ can be referred to as a ‘flattened’ or ‘vaulted’ part, and since hills are also often referred to as ‘flat’ or ‘vaulted’ (if their form so suggests), a derivation of *Palātium* from *palātum* is quite conceivable. *Palātum* could be an adj. in \*-*āto-* to a stem \**pal-(V-)* ‘flat, broad’, e.g. \**plh<sub>2</sub>-o-* or \**plh<sub>2</sub>-u-*, cf. Hit. *palhi-* ‘broad’ < \**plh<sub>2</sub>-i-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 237, EM 475f. → *plānus*

**palea** ‘chaff, husk’ [f. ā] (Cato+)

Derivatives: uncertain are *palea* ‘the wattles of a cock’ (Varro+), *palear*, -*āris* [n.] ‘dewlap’ (Varro+).

PIt. \**palejā-* [f.].

PIE \**p(e)lh<sub>1</sub>-* ‘chaff’. IE cognates: Skt. *palāva-* ‘chaff, husk’ < \**pelH-ōu(-)*, OPr. *pelwo*, Lith. *pēlūs*, Latv. *pēlus* [pl.] ‘chaff’, OCS *plěvy* [nom.pl.f.], Ru. *polóva*, SCr. *pljěva* ‘chaff’ < PIE \**pelH-u-(eh<sub>2</sub>)*; Gr. πάλλω ‘to swing, sway’ < \**plh<sub>1</sub>-n-*, aor. πάλτο (άν-, κατ-έπολτο), redupl. ἀμ-πεπαλόν, πάλος [m.] ‘(shaken) lot’.

*Palea* could be cognate with the stem \**pelH-u-* ‘chaff’ found in Skt. and BSl. Since ‘chaff’ are the parts of the corn which are separated by threshing them off, these stems might result nouns derived from PIE \**pelh<sub>1</sub>-* ‘to swing’.

Bibl.: WH II: 238, EM 476, IEW 802, Schrijver 1991: 210, 256f., Nussbaum 1997: 197.

**palla** ‘mantle’ [f. ā] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *pallula* ‘little mantle’ (Pl.+), *pallium* ‘mantle, garment’ (Naev.+), *palliātus* ‘wearing a pallium’ (Pl.+), *palliohum* ‘small pallium’ (Pl.+), *palliōlātīm* ‘in/with a pallium’ (Pl.+).

No etymology. A PIE preform could for instance be \**pHl-n/d/s/ū-*, or a secondary full grade *a* of a root \**pelC-* as in *pellis*. But it may well be a loanword.

Bibl.: WH II: 238f., EM 476, IEW 803f.

**palleō** ‘to be pale’ [v. II; pf. *palluī*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *pallēscere* ‘to grow pale, fade’ (Acc.+), *pallidus* ‘pale, dim’ (Pl.+), *pallor* ‘paleness’ (Lucr.+).

PIt. \**palwo-* ‘pale, grey’.

IE cognates: Lith. *palvas* ‘light yellow, straw-coloured’, RuCS *plavъ* ‘white’, Ru. *polóvyj* ‘pale yellow, sandy’, SCr. *plāv* ‘pale blue, with light hair’ < BSl. \**poluo-*, Olc. *fplr*, OHG *falo* ‘faded’ < PGm. \**falwa-*.

Nussbaum 1997 assumes a suffix \*-*uo-* on account of the BSl. and Gm. cognates; he explicitly separates \**pallo-* denoting a pale colour from the adj. \**pollo-* (Lat. *pullus*)

denoting a dark one. BSl. and Gm. suggest a preform *\*poluo-*, but this would not explain Latin *-a-*. One might posit *\*ph<sub>2</sub>el-*, but that would be ad hoc. Alternatively, we are dealing with a loanword *\*paluo-* ‘pale, grey’ into the European languages.

Bibl.: WH II: 239f., EM 476, IEW 804f., Nussbaum 1997: 191. → *pullus*

**palma** ‘front part of the hand; palm-tree’ [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *palmula* ‘palm; an oar’ (Varro+), *palmus* ‘width of a palm’ (Cato+), *palmāris* [adj.] ‘the width of a palm’ (Varro+), *palmārium* ‘master-stroke’ (Ter.), *palmipedālis* ‘measuring a palm and a foot’ (Varro+).

PIt. *\*palamā-*.

PIE *\*plh<sub>2</sub>-em-h<sub>2</sub>-* ‘flat, wide’. IE cognates: OIr. *lám*, W. *llaw* < PCI. *\*ϕlāmā-*, Gr. *παλάμη* ‘palm of the hand’, OHG *folma* ‘hand’, OE *folm* ‘flat hand’.

The analysis of the root as *\*plh<sub>2</sub>-* and the vocalization in Latin and Greek, which does not fit the regular outcome of *\*plHC-* in these languages, suggest an *m*-stem with full grade of the suffix in Lat. and Gr. Others have assumed that *palma* was borrowed from Greek, but this does not explain Lat. *palmus*, nor can Gr. *-άλα-* be explained from *\*ClHC-*. Admittedly, the fact that four branches show a *h<sub>2</sub>*-stem but seem to have different ablaut of the primary suffix (*\*-m-* in Celtic and Germ., *\*-em-* in Lat. and Gr.), and especially the separation of Celtic and Italic, are embarrassing.

Bibl.: WH II: 240f., EM 476f., IEW 805-807, Schrijver 1991: 210, Sihler 1995: 96, 111, Nussbaum 1997: 186. → *palam*

**pālor, -ārī** ‘to wander, stray’ [v. I] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *dispālārī* ‘to stray off’ (Sis.+), *dispālēscere* ‘to be spread about’ (Pl.).

PIt. *\*pālāje/o-*.

The stem *pālā-* ‘to wander’ is explained from *\*pand-slo-* by WH, but Weiss 1993: 53-56 rightly objects that it is somewhat complicated to arrive from an instrument noun ‘spreading, spreader’ at a verb ‘to wander’; and, furthermore, that one would expect to find other traces of the alleged noun *\*pālo-*. Weiss proposes the alternative etymology *\*pe-h<sub>2</sub>lh<sub>2</sub>-* ‘to wander off’; this would semantically be perfect, and links up with the existence of *amb-ulāre*. However, the existence of a PIE preverb *\*pe* is uncertain: the only independent witness for this form would be Hit. *pe* ‘away, thither’, which rather reflects *\*(h<sub>1</sub>)poi*, cf. Kloekhorst 2008: 660.

Bibl.: WH II: 241, EM 477, LIV *\*h<sub>2</sub>elh<sub>2</sub>-*.

**palpō, -āre** ‘to stroke, soothe’ [v. I] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *palpus* ‘front or palm of the hand’ (Pl.+), *palpitāre* ‘to beat, pulsate’ (Cic.+), *palpātiō* ‘caress’ (Pl.), *palpātor* ‘cajoler’ (Pl.), *palpebra* ‘eyelid; pl. eyelashes’ (Caecil.+); *suppalpārī* ‘to wheedle’ (Pl.).

No etymology. PIE origin is not easy for a sequence *palp-*. To explain the second vowel of *palpebra* and *palpitāre*, Serbat 1975: 107 posits a verb *\*palpere* ‘to move repeatedly’. If correct, we could reconstruct a noun *\*palpo-* ‘front of the hand’ and a verb *\*palp-e/o-* ‘to move’ at an earlier stage.

Bibl.: WH II: 241f., EM 477, IEW 798-801

**palumbēs, -is** ‘wood-pigeon’ [m., f. i] (Pl.+; *palumbus* Cato+)

Gr. πέλεια, πελειάς ‘wild pigeon’ to πελα- ‘grey’ and OPr. *poalis* ‘pigeon’ < \**pōli-* ‘grey’ render it conceivable that *palumbēs* was named after its colour (see *palleō*), with the same suffix as in *columba*. Of course, we cannot be certain.

Bibl.: WH II: 242, EM 478, IEW 804f. → *columba*

**palūs, palūdis** ‘fen, swamp’ [f. *d*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *palūster* [adj.] ‘marshy, fenny’ (Sis.+).

Plt. \**palūd-*.

IE cognates: Skt. *palvala-* [n.] ‘pond, pool’; OPr. *pelky* ‘marsh’, Lith. *pėlkė* ‘marsh, Žem. puddle’, Latv. *peļce* ‘puddle’ < \**pelHk-iH-h<sub>2</sub>*.

If the Baltic words for ‘swamp’ are cognate, Latin *palūs* could be connected with *pall-* ‘grey, pale’. However, Schrijver rightly objects that the semantic connection between ‘grey’ and ‘swamp’ is unconvincing.

Bibl.: WH II: 243, EM 478, IEW 798-801, Schrijver 1991: 210f.

**pandō, -ere** ‘to spread out, extend’ [v. III; ppp. *passum*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *pandiculārī* ‘to contort one’s face in a grimace’ (Pl.+), *passus* ‘extended, free; dry, wrinkled’ (Naev.+), *passim* [adv.] ‘here and there, indiscriminately’ (Pl.+), *passum* ‘raisin-wine’ (Pl.+), *passus, -ūs* ‘step, pace’ (Pl.+), *pandus* ‘arched, bowed’ (Enn.+), *Panda* ‘a Roman goddess’ (Varro+); *dispandere* ‘to open out’ [Pl. *dispennite*, ppp. *dispessum*] (Pl.+), *expandere* ‘to spread out’ (Caecil.+), *praepandere* ‘to spread in front, reveal’ (Laev.+), *repandus* ‘flattened back’ (Lucil.+), *repandirōstrus* ‘having a flattened snout’ (Pac.).

Plt. \**pand-n-*, \**pat-*. It. cognates: O. *patanaī* [dat.sg.] ‘?’, name of a deity; O. *patensīns* [3p.ipf.sb.] ‘to open’ < \**pt-ŋ-s-*; U. *padellar* [gen.sg.] name of a deity, ‘Patella’.

PIE \**pt-n(é)-h<sub>2</sub>-* [pr.] ‘to spread’. IE cognates: Gr. πίτυνημι ‘to spread out, open’, aor. ἐπέτασ(σ)α.

I assume that the PIE nasal pr. yielded (pre-)Plt. \**pnd-n-*, with vocalization to \**pandh-* according to the observations in Schrijver 1991: 486-504. The adj. *pandus* seems to be a novel creation on the basis of *pandere*. A dim. \**pandiculum* ‘little bending > grimace’ is behind *pandiculārī*. Outside the present, \**pt-* acquired the secondary full grade \**pat-*, which is attested in the stative *pateō* (see s.v.) and the ppp. *passus* < \**patto-*, and which was reintroduced in Pl. *dispennite* < \**dis-pat-n-*, and O. *patensīns* (Kortlandt 1999: 248).

Bibl.: WH II: 241, 244f., EM 477f., IEW 788, 824f., Schrijver 1991: 332, 498-504, Meiser 1993: 263, 1998: 122, Untermann 2000: 516-518, LIV 1.\**peth<sub>2</sub>-*. → *pateō*

**pangō, -ere** ‘to insert firmly, fix’ [v. III; pf. *pepigī*, ppp. *pāctum*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: (1) *compingere* ‘to shut up, fix, build’ (Pl.+), *dēpangere* ‘to drive down’ (Varro+), *impingere* ‘to fix on, strike against’ (Pl.+), *suppingere* ‘to attach below’ (Pl.+), *antepagmentum* ‘the facing of a door- or window-frame’ (Cato+); (2) *compāgēs, -is* [f.] ‘framework, joint’ (Pac.+), *prōpāgēs* [f.] ‘which continues’ (Pac.+),



*prōpagmen* ‘prolongation’ (Enn.), *prōpāgāre* ‘to reproduce, prolong’ (Cato+), *prōpāgatiō* ‘reproduction’ (Cato+), *prōpāgō*, *-inis* ‘offspring, space for planting’ (Cato+), *repāgula*, *-ōrum* [n.pl.] ‘door-bars’ (Pl.+); (3) *pāgus* ‘country district or community’ (Pl.+), *pāgānicus* ‘of the village people’ (Varro), *pāgānālia* [pl.] ‘the village festival of the *pāgi*’ (Varro); (4) *pāgina* ‘column or page of writing’ (Cic.+); (5) *pāla* ‘long-handed spade’ (Pl.+), *pālus* ‘wooden post, stake, peg’ (Pl.+), *tripālis* ‘having three stakes’ (Varro), *paxillus* ‘wooden pin’ (Varro+).

Plt. *\*pang-*, *\*pagto-* ‘to attach’; *\*pāg-o-* ‘district’, *\*pāg-ē-* ‘joint’, *\*pāg-e/on-* ‘space, column’, *\*pāg-slo-* ‘spade, pole’. It. cognates: O. *prupukid* [abl.sg.] ‘?’ < *\*prō-pak-iom* ‘previous agreement’?

PIE *\*ph<sub>2</sub>-n-ǵ-* [pr.] ‘to attach’, *\*ph<sub>2</sub>ǵ-to-* [ppp.], *\*peh<sub>2</sub>ǵ-os* [n.]. IE cognates: Skt. *pajrá-* ‘solid, firm’, *pājas-* [n.] ‘surface, face’, YAv. *pāzaj* ‘*hant-*’ [adj.] (meaning unknown), Khot. *pāysa-* ‘surface’, Gr. *πήγνυμι* ‘to attach, to join’, Gr. *εὖ-πηγής* ‘well-built’.

The nominal forms *-pāgēs*, *pāgus*, *pāgina*, *-pāgin-* are derived from a full grade *\*pāg-*, whereas the verb forms reflect *\*pag-*. The nouns *pālus* and *pāla* are derived from an inst. noun *\*pāg-slo-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 235f., 245f., 371, EM 474f., 479, IEW 787f., Schrijver 1991: 97, Untermann 2000: 587, Stüber 2002: 133f., LIV *\*peh<sub>2</sub>ǵ-*. → *pāx*, *pignus*

**pānis** ‘(loaf of) bread’ [m. i] (Pl. †)

Derivatives: *pānārium* ‘bread-basket, bread-store’ (Varro+), *pāstillum* ‘kind of sacrificial cake’ (Fest.), *pāstillus* ‘pastille’ (Hor.+), *pānicī* [pl.] ‘bakers’ (Pl.), *pānicum* ‘Italian millet’ (Cato+); *pānificium* ‘the making of bread’ (Varro+).

Plt. *\*pāst-ni-* ‘loaf, cake’, *\*pāstlelo-* or *\*pāstnelo-* ‘small cake’.

The connection with *pāstillus*, *-m* suggests that *pānis* derives from *\*pāst-ni-*. The latter is connected to the root *\*peh<sub>2</sub>-s-* ‘to graze’ (Lat. ppp. *pāstum*) by scholars from WH to Schrijver, although only hesitantly by the latter. In fact, I do not see how the change from ‘graze’ to ‘bread’ can be made with only the suffix *\*-ni-*. The meaning ‘cake, pastille’ of *pāstillus/m* suggests that the meaning ‘loaf’ may be older than ‘bread’, but this is of course uncertain. I have no alternative etymology to offer. EIEC 383 connects *pānicum* with Iranian *\*pana-* ‘millet’ as in Shughni *pīnj*, Yazghulami *xar-ban*, but this does not explain the long vowel in Latin. Within Latin, *pānicum* could be connected with *pānis* ‘bread’, but ‘millet’ is not normally processed as bread. The appurtenance of *pānicum* to *pānus* seems less certain to me than to WH and EM.

Bibl.: WH II: 246f., EM 479, IEW 787, Leumann 1977: 209, Schrijver 1991: 144. → *pānus*

**pannus** ‘piece of cloth, rag’ [m. o] (Pl.+)

IE cognates: Gr. *πήνοϛ* [n.], *πήνη* [f.] ‘woven cloth’ (Hsch.) < *\*pān-*, Go. *fana* [m.] ‘cloth, towel’, OHG *fano* ‘cloth’, NHG *Fahne*, OE *fana* ‘banner’ < *\*fan-ōn*.

If the Gr. and Gm. words listed are related, they probably represent loanwords from an unknown source. The vacillation between *a* and *ā*, and Latin *-a-* and *-nn-*, cannot

be explained from a common PIE preform.

Bibl.: WH II: 247f., EM 479, IEW 788.

**pānus** ‘spool with thread; abcess; main stalk of a panicle’ [m. o] (Lucil+; Afran.+; Plin.)

Derivatives: *pāni/ucula* ‘the feathery head of certain reeds and grasses, tuft; kind of wart’ (Plin.+).

In the meaning ‘spool with thread’, *pānus* may well be a loanword from Doric Greek \*πᾶνος, cf. Att. πῆνη, πῆνος ‘yarn’. In the meaning ‘abcess’, *pānus* is compared with Ru. *puk* ‘bunch, bundel’, OCS *pōčiti se* ‘be inflated’, Ru. *púčit* ‘become swollen’ < \*ponHk-; a root \*pnHk- is reconstructed from which Schrijver phonetically derives *pānus*. This is conceivable, but it is just as likely that the word for ‘spool’ was metaphorically used for ‘abcess’, and certainly for ‘tuft’ of reed (*pānicula*); cf. the range of meanings of German *Kloß*.

Bibl.: WH II: 248, EM 480, IEW 789, Schrijver 1991: 491.

**pāpiliō** ‘moth, butterfly’ [m. n] (Ov.+)

PIt. \*pV(l)pVl-.

IE cognates: OPr. *penpalo* ‘quail’, OPr. *pepelis*, [pl.] *pippalins* ‘bird’, Lith. *piepala*, Latv. *paípala*, Ru. *pérepel*, Cz. *přepel*, *křepel* ‘quail’, Olc. *fifriľdi*, OE *fīfealde*, OHG *fifaltra*, MHG *fifalter* ‘butterfly’ < PGm. \*fifalðrōn-.

*Pā-piliō* can reflect reduplication of a root \*pl- ‘to fly, flutter’, which has also served to build the word for ‘quail’ in BSl. and ‘butterfly’ in Gm. It seems unlikely that this root \*pl- is a very early variant of PIE roots such as \*pleu- ‘to swim, wander’, \*pleh<sub>3</sub>- ‘to swim, float’, \*pelh<sub>1</sub>- ‘to swing’.

Bibl.: WH II: 249f., EM 480, IEW 798-801.

**pār, paris** ‘equal, matching’ [adj. i] (Pl.+; sup. *parisuma* Elog.Scip.)

Derivatives: *parilis* ‘equal, similar’ (Lucil.+); *compār* ‘fellow, similar, equal’ (Pl.+), *comparāre* ‘to align, match, evaluate’ (Pl.+), *dispār* ‘unequal, different’ (Acc.+), *disparāre* ‘to be different’ (Pl.+), *disparilis* ‘different’ (Varro+), *disparilitās* ‘difference’ (Varro+), *impār* ‘unequal’ (Cato+); *aequiperāre* ‘to compare, become equal’ (Pl.+), *aequiperābilis* ‘comparable’ (Pl.+).

PIt. \*parVs? \*pās-i? It. cognates: U. *pars (est)* [nom.sg.] ‘(it is) prescribed’ < \*pares or \*paros.

The origin of *ā* in *pār* is disputed: WH regard it as an ancient lengthened grade, whereas Leumann and e.g. Untermann explain it from \*parVs > \*pars > \*parr. In view of U. *pars*, the latter seems more attractive, but it is strange that a similar lengthening cannot be observed e.g. in *ter* ‘thrice’ < \*ters. Sab. \*parVs may stem from PIE \*prH-V-, but no good etymology is available. WH connect \*perh<sub>2</sub>- ‘to sell’, but ‘selling’ does not mean ‘matching’. Alternatively, Latin may be separated from U. *pars* and go back to \*pās-, \*pas- < PIE \*pHs-; see *pāreō* for a possible candidate.

Bibl.: WH II: 250f., EM 481, Leumann 1977: 220, Untermann 2000: 514. → *pāreō*, *parricīda*

**parcō, -ere** ‘to act sparingly, refrain from’ [v. III; pf. *pepercī* (*parcuīt, parsī*)] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *parcus* ‘economical, stingy’ (Pl.+), *parciter* ‘sparingly’ (Pompon.+), *perparcē* ‘very stingily’ (Ter.), *parsimōnia* ‘thrift’ (Pl.+); *compercere* ‘to refrain from; save up’ (Pl.+), *impercere* ‘to spare’ (Pl.), *repercere* ‘to be sparing with’ (Pl.+); *compescere* ‘to confine, restrain’ (Pl.+), *dispescere* ‘to divide’ (Cato+).

Plt. \**pe-ark-e/o-* [pr.].

PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>er<sup>(k)</sup>-(e/o-)* ‘to hold’.

LIV’s connection of *parcō* with Skt. *ṛk-* ‘to fill, mix with’ is unconvincing semantically. Keller 1992: 164 (fn. 21) and Weiss 1993: 49-53 propose \**pe-h<sub>2</sub>erk-* ‘to hold off’ > \**pārk-* + *-e/o-* > *parcō* to the root of *arceō*; Weiss suggests that the opaque \**parke/o-* was replaced by \**po-ark-* > *porceō* in the meaning ‘to hold off’. *Parsimōnia* was built on the pf. *parsī*, which was more common in OLat. than in CLat. The compounds in *-pescō* probably represent \**-park-skelo-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 256f., II: 252, EM 482, IEW 820, Keller 1992: 162-165, Untermann 2000: 415f., 530f., Meiser 2003: 184, Neri 2007: 77f., LIV \**h<sub>2</sub>er<sup>(k)</sup>-*. → *arceō*

**pāreō** ‘to submit, obey’ [v. II; pf. *pāruī*, ppp. *paritum*] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *pāret* ‘appears, seems’ (Cic.+); *appārēre* ‘to be visible, appear’ (Naev.+), *appāritor* ‘attendant’ (Cato+), *compārēre* ‘to be seen, appear’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \**pās-ē-*.

PIE \**peh<sub>2</sub>-s-* [pr.] ‘to watch, see’?. IE cognates: Arm. *hayim* ‘to see’, Alb. aor. *pashē* ‘I saw’.

Within Latin, it is possible to connect *pār*: *pārēre* would then be a stative verb \**pārē-* or \**pāsē-* ‘to be visible’, and maybe \**pār-i-* ‘visible, obvious’ > ‘seeming’ > *pār* ‘equal’ (cf. German *gleich*). If the meaning of the root \**pHr/s-* was ‘to see’, the obvious connection is with PIE \**pHs-* as in Albanian aor. *pashē* ‘I saw’, of which we would then be able to prove \**h<sub>2</sub>*. For *pār*, *paris*, an ablaut \**peh<sub>2</sub>s-/ph<sub>2</sub>s-* would have to be assumed. The meaning of this preform would be so close to \**peh<sub>2</sub>-s-* ‘to protect, observe’, an *s*-present to \**peh<sub>2</sub>-* ‘to protect’, that one may consider their original identity.

Bibl.: WH II: 252f., EM 482, IEW 789, LIV ?\**peHs-*. → *pār*, *pāscō*

**pariēs, -etis** ‘wall’ [m. *f*] (Lex XII+)

IE cognates: Olc. *sparri* [m.] ‘pillar, beam’, OHG *sparro* ‘roof-beam, pole’ < \**sporH-en-*?

The alleged connection with Gm. words in *spar-* ‘beam’ < \**spor-* is a mere paper reconstruction.

Bibl.: WH II: 254, EM 483, IEW 990f., Leumann 1977: 373, Schrijver 1991: 293.

**pariō, -ere** ‘to give birth to, bear’ [v. III; pf. *peperi*, ppp. *partum*] (VOLat.+; Garigliano *nei pari* [2s.ipv.act. /*paril* or /*parīl*] ‘do not appropriate’, Lex XII, Andr.+)

Derivatives: (1) *parēns, -ntis* [m./f.] ‘parent, father or mother’ (Pl.+), *parentāre* ‘to perform the rites at the tombs of the dead’ (Varro+), *parenticīda* [m.] ‘parent-killer’

(Pl.), *parentātiō* ‘celebration of the family dead’ (Cato+); *partus*, *-ūs* ‘the giving birth, birth, progeny’ (Pl.+), *partiō* ‘the giving birth’ (Pl.+), *partitūdō* ‘the giving birth’ (Pl.+), *partūra* ‘the giving birth’ (Varro), *parturire* ‘to be in labour, give birth’ (Pl.+); (2) *periculum* ‘trial, danger’ (Pl.+), *periculārī* ‘to try out, risk’ (Cato), *perīclitārī* ‘to try out, risk; be in danger’ (Pl.+), *periculōsus* ‘dangerous’ (Cato+); *perītus* ‘experienced, expert’ (Pl.+), *imperītus* ‘unexperienced’ (Pl.+); *comperīrī* ‘to find out, discover’ (Ter.+), *experīrī* (pf. *expertus sum*) ‘to put to the test, attempt’ (Naev.+), *experientia* ‘trial’ (Varro+), *experīmentum* ‘test, trial’ (Varro+), *opperīrī* ‘to wait, await’ (Pl.+), *reperīre* ‘to recover, discover’ (Pl.) [pf. *repperī*, ppp. *reperitum*].

PIt. *\*per(e)i-*, *\*par-(e)i-*, aor.ptc. *\*par-(e)nt-* ‘to bear; find, experience’; *\*per-ei-tlo-* ‘experience’. It. cognates: Fal. **pe:para[i]** [1s.pf.] ‘I have procured’; U. **amparitu** [3s.ipv.II.], **amparihmu** [3s.ipv.II.ps.] ‘to erect?’ < *an-* ‘on’ + *\*parē/ī/-je-*.

PIE pr. *\*pérh<sub>3</sub>-i-* ‘to provide’, *\*prh<sub>3</sub>-éi-*, aor. *\*p(é)rh<sub>3</sub>-*, pf. *\*pe-porh<sub>3</sub>-e*. IE cognates: see s.v. *parō*.

(1) Schrijver 1991 explains *par-* from antevocalic *\*prH-*, which is more attractive than the older assumption of an umlaut *\*pera-* > *\*para-*. The old root aor. might be conserved in *parēns*. The pr. *parturire* is one of the two oldest presents in *-urire*, and may have been formed on the model of *ēsurire* (Risch 1954). (2) The semantic connection between *pariō* ‘to bear, produce’ and *-perire/ī* ‘to find, experience’ is explained by Schrijver 2003: 79 in the sense that ‘to discover’ can be interpreted as ‘I bring about that sth. is produced or produces itself to me’; he therefore leaves open the possibility that these compounds contain the thematic suffix *\*-je/o-*. One might also start from ‘to bear’, from which the deponent verbs meaning ‘to experience’ are easily understood (in which case thematization is not necessary). The identity of the pf. and ppp. also speaks in favour of the identification of these two verbs as one. The noun *perīclum* < *\*perī-tlo-* can hardly have been built to the compound verbs, but must represent an older formation. Probably *\*perēi-tlo-* ‘experience’ > ‘trial, danger’, although, in theory, *ī* in *perīclum* can also be due to a Sievers-like development from *\*perio-tlo-*. But since *ī* can be linked to the *-i-* in *pariō*, the word family of *pariō* and *-perire/ī* provides support for the reconstruction of an *\*i/ei-*present. Of course, for the compounds in *-perire/ī* we cannot be sure whether they contain *\*par-* or *\*per-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 255, 288f., EM 483f., 498f., IEW 816-18, Giacomelli 1963: 252, Schrijver 1991: 211, Panagl-Lindner 1995: 173f., Sihler 1995: 538, Rix 1999: 525, Untermann 2000: 88, Schrijver 2003: 74-79, Meiser 2003: 185, LIV *\*perh<sub>3</sub>-*. → *parō*, *pars*, *pauper*, *prātum*

**parō**, **-āre** ‘to furnish, provide’ [v. I] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: (1) *parātiō* ‘obtaining’ (Afran.+), *paritāre* ‘to prepare, arrange’ (Pl.); *apparāre* ‘to prepare, provide’ (Pl.+), *comparāre* ‘to prepare, buy, obtain’ (Pl.+), *disparāre* ‘to divide’ (Pl.+), *imparātus* ‘not ready, unprepared’, *imperāre* ‘to demand the production or payment of, order, command’ (Naev.+), *imperātor* ‘who gives orders, ruler’ (Pl.+), *imperium* ‘supreme power, authority, dominion’ (Pl.+), *imperīōsus* ‘commanding’ (Pl.+), *praeparāre* ‘to furnish beforehand, prepare’ (Varro+), *sēparāre* ‘to divide, separate’ (Cato+); (2) *properus* ‘quick’ (Cato+),

*properiter* ‘quickly’ (Pl.+), *properāre* ‘to incite; to hurry’ (Pl.+), *properātim* ‘hastily’ (Caecil.+); *puerpera* ‘woman in labour or who has delivered’ (Pl.+), *puerperium* ‘childbirth’ (Pl.+), *opiparus* ‘sumptuous, rich’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*parāje/o-*. It. cognates: possibly Pael. *empratois* [dat.abl.pl.] ‘?’ if to Lat. *imperāre*; O. *embratur* [nom.sg.] borrowed from Latin.

PIE *\*prh<sub>3</sub>-o-* ‘providing’. IE cognates: OIr. *ernaid\**, *ern\** ‘to grant’ << PCI. *\*φarna-* (-e- from the sb.), OIr. *rath* [n.], MW *rat* ‘mercy’ < *\*φrato-*; Skt. *pr̥nāti* [3s.act.], aor. *pūr̥dhi* [2s.ipv.act.], pf. *-pupūryās* [2s.opt.act.] ‘to give, grant’, *sadā-pr̥nā-* [adj.] ‘granting continually’ < *\*prH-*; Gr. aor. *ἔπορον* ‘provided, gave’, pf.med. *πέπρωται* ‘it has been fated’.

Mostly explained as a denominal verb to a noun *\*paro-* < *\*prH-o/h<sub>2</sub>-* (cf. *puer-pera*), but Rix has proposed that it continues *\*prH<sub>ie</sub>-* > *\*parāje-*. This is accepted by LIV. This will not work with our phonetic rules: *\*prH<sub>ie</sub>-* is expected to yield *\*parie-*, cf. Schrijver 1991: 293 (but *\*priō*, *\*prīre* according to Schrijver 2003: 78). The verb *imperāre* is different in its semantics and because of its -e-; this it has in common with *properāre*. Panagl-Lindner 1995: 173 interpret *properāre* as an old compound *\*prō-parāre* ‘to bring to the fore’ > ‘incite’, an *ā*-intensive to *parere*. They explain *properus* as a back-formation to this verb. Similarly, *imperāre* would stem from *\*in-parere* ‘to bring in > command’. *Opiparus* must be a more recent formation to *parō*.

Bibl.: WH II: 256, EM 484, IEW 816-18, Schrijver 1991: 401, Rix 1999: 525, Untermann 2000: 222, Schumacher 2004: 508f., LIV *\*perh<sub>3</sub>-*. → *pariō*, *pauper*

**parra** ‘kind of bird (of ill omen)’ [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Plt. *\*parVsā-*. It. cognates: U. **parfam**, *parfa* [acc.sg.], *parfa* [abl.sg.] ‘certain bird’ < *\*paresā*.

PIE *\*sprH-e/os-?* IE cognates: Co. *frau*, Bret. *frao* ‘crow’ < *\*spraua-* < *\*sprh<sub>2</sub>-u-?*; Gr. *σποργίλος* ‘sparrow’, OPr. *spurglis* ‘sparrow’ (< *\*sprg-*), MHG *sperke*, Go. *sparwa*, OE *spearwa*, OHG *sparo* < *\*spor(H)u-en-*, OIc. *sporr* < *\*spor(H)uo-*.

Plt. *\*parVsā-* may reflect earlier *\*pHr-Vs-* or *\*prHV-s-*. This might be an s-less variant of the forms *\*sprH-* for (mainly) ‘sparrow’ in other European languages; but since none of the other forms matches exactly, the etymology remains uncertain. Like other bird-names, these could be loanwords from a non-IE language.

Bibl.: WH II: 257, EM 484f., IEW 991, Meiser 1986: 174, Schrijver 1991: 211f., Meiser 1998: 116, Untermann 2000: 513.

**parricīda** ‘murderer of a near relation’ [m. *ā*] (Pl.+; also *pāricīda*; nom.sg. *paricidas* Lex reg. apud Fest.)

Derivatives: *parricīdium* ‘murder of a near relation’ (Pl.+).

The original word may have been *\*pāri-kaida*, with the same development to *parr-* as in *lūpiter*, etc. The connection with Gr. *πρός*, Dor. *πᾶός* ‘kinsman by marriage’ < *\*pāso-* is not convincing, since the etymology of the Gr. word is unknown, and since a word *\*pāso-* ‘relative’ is otherwise unknown in Latin. Still, the absence of syncope to *\*pārkaida* suggests that *parricīda* is a relatively recent compound. For a recent

formation, *pār* 'equal' is the most obvious candidate: *\*pāri-kaid-a* 'who kills an equal'.

Bibl.: WH II: 253, EM 483, IEW 789, Leumann 1977: 281, Schrijver 1991: 153. → *caedō*, *pār*

**pars, -tis** 'part, piece' [f. *i*] (Lex XII, Andr.+)

Derivatives: *partim* [adv.] 'partly' (Andr.+), *partīre/i* 'to share, divide up' (Andr.+), *particula* 'small part' (Varro+), *particulātīm* 'bit by bit', *particulō* 'a coheir' (Pompon.+); *expers* 'having no share, free' (Pl.+); *particeps* 'participant' (Andr.+), *participāre* 'to share' (Pl.+), *participium* 'participle' (Varro+), *participālis* 'participial' (Varro+); *dispartīre* 'to separate, divide up' (Pl.+), *impertīre* 'to give a share, present with' (Pl.+), *bipertītus* 'bipartite' (Varro+), *tripertītus* 'tripartite' (Varro+); *portiō* 'degree, portion' (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*parti-* 'part'.

Schrijver 1991 explains *pars* as a recent (e.g. Plt.) but pre-Latin formation based on the present stem *par-* 'to bring forth', just like the ppp. *partus* and the noun *partus* [m.] (see s.v. *pariō*). The original meaning of *\*par-ti-* would have been 'lot, portion, fate', whence 'part, piece'. The latter shift separated the noun from the verb *pariō*, which is why (in S.'s view) *\*parti-* was not replaced by *\*partiō* like many other *\*ti-*stems in Latin. All agree that *portiō* must have a secondary origin. In its oldest attestations, it is only found in the abl.sg. *prō portiōne* 'proportionally'. It would have arisen either from *\*prō ratiōne* 'per part' > *\*prōrtiōne* > with dissimilation *pōrtiōne*, or from *\*prō par(tī)tiōne*. Since *partītiō* is not attested before Cicero, whereas *ratiō* is fully present from Plautus onwards, the former etymology seems more likely.

Bibl.: WH II: 257-259, EM 485, 524, IEW 817, Leumann 1977: 366, Schrijver 1991: 195-197. → *pariō*

**parvus** 'small' [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *parvulus* 'very small, tiny' (Pl.+), *parum* [n./adv.] 'too little, not enough' (Pl.+), *parumper* 'for a short while' (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*pauro-*.

PIE *\*peh<sub>2</sub>u-ro-* (or *\*ph<sub>2</sub>eu-ro-*). IE cognates: Gr. *παῦρος* 'little', Go. *fawai* [nom.pl.] 'few', OIc. *fár* 'little', OE *fēa* < PGm. *\*fawa-* < *\*ph<sub>2</sub>(e)u-o-*.

With regular metathesis of *\*-ur-* from *\*pauros*, see *nervus*. The n. *parum* retains the phonetic reflex from *\*parom* < *\*paruom*, while *-v-* has been restored in *parvus*. With different suffixes, the same stem *pau-* is found in *paucus* and *pauper*.

Bibl.: WH II: 259, EM 485, IEW 842f., Schrijver 1991: 269. → *paucus*, *pauper*

**pāscō, -ere** 'to feed, pasture' [v. III; pf. *pāvī*, ppp. *pāstum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *pāsciūs* 'used for pasture' (Pl.+), *pastus, -ūs* 'feeding' (Varro+), *pāstiō* 'feeding, pasturing' (Varro+), *pāstor* 'shepherd' (Pl.+), *pāstōriciūs* 'of herdsmen' (Varro+), *pāstōrālis* 'of animal husbandry' (Varro+), *pāscālis* 'pasturing, grazing' (Cato+); *dēpāscere* 'to eat up, graze down' (Lucr.+); *pābulum* 'fodder, food' (Pl.+), *pābulārī* 'to graze, forage' (Varro+), *pābulātiō* 'pasture; collecting fodder' (Varro+).

Plt.-pr. *\*pāske/o-*, pr. *\*pās-*, *\*pāplo-* [n.].

PIE pr. \*peh<sub>2</sub>-s- 'to protect, herd', pr. \*p(e)h<sub>2</sub>-sk-e/o-; \*peh<sub>2</sub>-d<sup>h</sup>lo- [n.]. IE cognates: Hit. *pahš<sup>-a(ri)</sup>*, *pahš'* 'to protect, observe', *pahšnu<sup>-zi</sup>* 'to protect' < \*péh<sub>2</sub>s-o, \*póh<sub>2</sub>s-, \*ph<sub>2</sub>s-neu-; Skt. *pāti* [3s.act.], s-aor. *pāsati* [3s.sb.act.] 'to protect, keep', Av. *pā-* 'to protect', *pātar-* [m.] 'protector', *hu-pāta-* [adj.] 'well-protected', Gr. *πῶμα* [n.] 'lid, cover' < \*poh<sub>2</sub>-mn, OCS *pasti*, Is. *pasq* 'to pasture', Ru. *pastí* 'to pasture, herd', SCr. *pāsti* 'to pasture, look after' < \*peh<sub>2</sub>s-; Gr. *ποιμῆν* [m.] 'shepherd', Lith. *piemuō* [m.] '(shep)herd, shepherd's boy'; Finnish *paimen* 'shepherd' (loanword from Baltic) < \*poh<sub>2</sub>i-men-; ToA *pās-* 'to look after, watch'.

Latin *pāstum*, *pāstor* are probably based on the PIE s-pr. which is also found in Hit. and Slavic. The *sk*-present of Latin and Toch. appears to be an enlarged variant of the earlier *s*-present. The noun *pābulum* continues the unenlarged variant of the root.

Bibl.: WH II: 260, EM 486, IEW 787, Schrijver 1991: 144, Meiser 2003: 124, LIV \*peh<sub>2</sub>(i)-. → *pāreō*

**passer** 'small bird' [m. r] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *passerculus* 'little sparrow' (Pl.+), *passerīnus* 'of sparrows' (Pompon.).

Plt. \**patro-*.

PIE \*p(e)t-tro- 'who flies, bird'. IE cognates: see s.v. *penna*.

Schrijver proposes \**pttro-* 'bird' > \**patro-* > nom.sg. \**passros*, gen.sg. \**passrī* > \**passrs*, \**pazrī* > \**passer*, \**pārī*. This paradigm would have been skewed, yielding two nouns: *passer* 'sparrow' and *pārus* 'tit'. It must be remarked that the expected meaning of a form \**pt-tro-* would rather be 'instrument for flying, wing' vel sim. Also, *accipiter* may contain \**pet-ro-* 'wing', although this is uncertain. Hence, the etymology remains uncertain.

Bibl.: WH II: 261, EM 486, Schrijver 1991: 212, 495, LIV 1/2. \*peth<sub>2</sub>-. → *accipiter*, *penna*

**pateō** 'to be open, gape' [v. II; pf. *patuī*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *patēscere* 'to open, be revealed' (Varro+), *patefacere* 'to open up, reveal' (Pl.+), *patulus* 'wide-open, gaping' (Varro+), *patibulum* 'horizontal beam, cross-bar' (Pl.+), *patibulātus* 'fastened to a yoke' (Pl.+), *patera* 'broad shallow bowl' (Pl.+).

Plt. \**patē-*. It. cognates: Probably O. *pat*['is open, is broad'] < \**patēt*. Less certain U. *arpatitu* [3s.ipv.II] '?'.

IE cognates: see s.v. *pandō*.

For the meaning of *patibulum*, see Serbat 1975: 55-58. *Pateō* is an inner-Italic formation on a basis \**pat-*, the development of which is explained s.v. *pandō*.

Bibl.: WH II: 262, EM 486f., IEW 824f., Untermann 2000: 120, 515, LIV 1. \*peth<sub>2</sub>-. → *pandō*

**pater, -tris** 'father' [m. r] (Lex XII, Andr.+)

Derivatives: *patrāre* 'to accomplish' (Pl.+), *patrius* [adj.] 'of a father, ancestral' (Pl.+), *patria* 'native land, city' (Naev.+), *paternus* 'of a father' (Pl.+), *patricē* [adv.] 'in a patrician manner' (Pl.), *patricius* [adj.] 'patrician', *patrītus* 'of one's father'

(Varro+), *patrimōnium* 'private possessions, estate' (Lab.+); *patruus* 'father's brother' (Pl.+), *patruēlis* 'of a paternal uncle, cousin' (Naev.+); *patrōnus* 'patron, guardian' (Lex XII+), *patrōna* 'protectress, patroness' (Pl.+), *patrōcinārī* 'to act as a protector or advocate' (Ter.+); *opiter* 'a person whose father is dead while his grandfather still lives' (Paul. *ex F.*), *impetrāre* 'to obtain by request, succeed' (Pl.+), *impetrābilis* 'effective, succesful' (Pl.+), *impetrāre* 'to seek a favourable omen' (Pl.+), *perpetrāre* 'to complete, carry out' (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*pater-*, *\*patrijo-*, *\*patre/ow-*. It. cognates: Ven. *pater* [nom.], O. **patir** [nom.sg.], **paterei**, Mars. *patre*, SPic. **patereih** [dat.sg.] 'father'.

PIE *\*ph<sub>2</sub>tér*, *-tér-m*, *\*-tr-ós* 'father', *\*ph<sub>2</sub>tr-iHo-* 'of a father', *\*ph<sub>2</sub>tr-u-* 'father's brother'. IE cognates: Skt. *pitár-*, OAv. *ptā* [nom.], *patarām* [*ptārām*] [acc.], *piθrē* [dat.], *fādrōi* [*fōrail*] [dat.], YAv. *pitār-*, *ptərəbiio* [dat.pl.] 'father', *pitara* [acc.du.] 'parents', OP *pitā* [nom.], *piça* [gen.], Gr. πατήρ, Arm. *hayr*, OHG *fater* [m.] 'father'. Skt. *pitrya-* 'of the father, ancestral' < *\*pHtriHa-*, Gr. πάτριος 'paternal, hereditary'; Skt. *pitryya-* (Br+), YAv. *tūiriia-* [m.] 'father's brother', Khwar. (')*fcwr* (< PIr. *\*fūrja-*), Pash. *trə* 'uncle' (< *\*pt̥(y)ija-*) < *\*ph<sub>2</sub>tr-uio-*, Gr. πάτριως 'male relative, esp. father's brother' < *\*ph<sub>2</sub>tr-ōu-s*, OHG *fatureo*, *fetiro* 'id.' (< PGm. *\*fadur(y)i-ōn*).

The adj. *patruēlis* may be dissimilated from *\*patruīlis* < *\*patr-u-ī-* 'of a father's brother', cf. Leumann 1977. The verbs *im-* and *perpetrāre* contain *patrāre*, a denominative to *pater*. *Paternus* was probably formed after *māternus*. The root etymology is disputed: it might be a derivative of *\*ph<sub>2</sub>-* 'to protect', but it has also been analysed as a (productive) derivative in *\*-ter-* to a nursery form *\*pa*, phonologically *\*/ph<sub>2</sub>/*.

Bibl.: WH II: 262-265, EM 487f., IEW 829, Lejeune 1974: 337, Leumann 1977: 54, 350, Schrijver 1991: 97, Untermann 2000: 518f. → *Iūpiter*

**patior**, **pati** 'to undergo, experience' [v. III; ppp. *passum*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *patientia* 'endurance, tolerance' (Pl.+), *passiō* 'passion' (Varro+); *perpeti* 'to undergo to the full, put up with' (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*pat-i-*.

It has been suggested that *patior* belongs to Gr. πῆμα 'suffering' < *\*peh<sub>1</sub>-mn*, which would point to a basis *\*ph<sub>1</sub>-t-* > *\*pat-*. Yet *patior* cannot be a denominative to a ppp. *\*ph<sub>1</sub>-to-* or an *i*-stem *\*ph<sub>1</sub>-ti-*, so the morphological part of the analysis remains unclear. Alternatively, one might consider a derivation from the root *\*pet-* 'to fly, fall', e.g. *\*p(e)t-i-* 'to befall', intr. 'it befalls me' > 'I experience'.

Bibl.: WH II: 264, EM 488, IEW 792f., Schrijver 1991: 93, LIV *\*peth<sub>1</sub>-*. → *petō*

**paucus** 'few, small in number' [adj. *o/ā*] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *pauciēns* 'rarely' (Titin.+), *pauculus* [adj.] 'a small number' (Pl.), *paullus* (> *paulus*) 'little, small' (Ter.+), *paulum* [n./adv.] 'a little bit / to a small extent, for a short while' (Pl.+), *paulātīm* 'little by little' (Pl.+), *paul(l)īsper* 'for a brief while' (Pl.+), *paullulus* 'little, small' (Pl.+), *paullulum* [n./adv.] 'a little; to a small extent' (Pl.+), *pauxillus* 'little, small' (Naev.+), *pauxillulus* 'tiny' (Naev.+), *pauxillātīm* 'by slow degrees' (Pl.+), *pauxillīsper* 'bit by bit' (Pl.); *perpaucī* [adj.pl.]



'extremely few' (Ter.+), *perpauillum* 'an excessively tiny amount' (Pl.); *pauciloquium* 'the fact of saying little' (Pl.).

Plt. *\*pauko-* 'few', *\*paurelo-* 'a little bit'.

PIE *\*peh<sub>2</sub>u-*. IĒ cognates: see s.v. *parvus*.

The cooccurrence of *paucus*, *paullus* and *pauillus* seems to suggest that *paullus* developed from *\*pauk-slo-* and *pauillus* from *\*paukslelo-*, cf. *āla* < *\*aksla* 'wing' next to *axilla*. This is accepted by WH. However, the suffix *\*-slo-* is otherwise only used for instrument nouns, and only after consonant stems; both are reasons to reject a preform *\*pauk-slo-* derived from *\*pau-ko-*. Thurneysen (1907: 177) therefore suggests that *pauillus* would be an analogical form made to *paullus*, and *paullus* itself a *lo-*derivative *\*pau-re-lo-* of *parvus* < *\*pauro-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 265f., EM 489, IEW 842f., Leumann 1977: 208, Schrijver 1991: 269, Sihler 1995: 222. → *parvus*, *pauper*, *puer*

**pauper** 'poor' [adj. *r*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *pauperiēs* 'poverty' (Lex XII+), *pauperium* 'id.' (Caecil.), *paupertās* 'id.' (Pl.+), *pauperculus* 'poor' (Pl.+), *paupertīnus* 'poverty-stricken' (Varro+), *pauperāre* 'to impoverish, despoil' (Pl.+); *perpauper* 'very poor' (Afran.+).

Plt. *\*pau(o)-pa/oro-*.

PIE *\*peh<sub>2</sub>u-(o)p(o)rh<sub>3</sub>-o-* 'providing little'. IĒ cognates: see s.v. *parvus*.

Originally a thematic adj., which probably switched to the third declension by analogy with its antonym *dīves*. The PIE form may have contained final *\*-prh<sub>3</sub>-o-* > *\*-paro-* or *\*porh<sub>3</sub>-o-* > *\*-poro-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 267f., EM 490, IEW 842f., Schrijver 1991: 269. → *parvus*, *paucus*

**paveō** 'to be frightened' [v. II; pf. *pāvī*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *pavitāre* 'to dread' (Ter.+), *pavor* 'sudden fear, terror' (Andr.+; Naev.Pac. *-ōs*), *pavidus* 'frightened' (Pl.+); *expavidus* 'terrified' (Laev.+), *perpavefacere* 'to make very frightened' (Pl.).

Plt. *\*paw-ē-* 'to be frightened'.

PIE *\*pou-eh<sub>1</sub>-* 'to fear'. IĒ cognates: OIr. *omun*, MW *ouyn*, MCo. *own*, Bret. *oun* 'fear' < PCI. *\*ofno-* < *\*pouno-* (McCone 1992b), OIr. *úath* 'fear' < *\*pou-to-*, W. *uthr* 'terrible' < *\*pou-tro-*.

WH and EM regard *pavēre* as the stative counterpart 'to be struck' > 'to fear' of *pavīre* 'to hit, strike'. This is possible, but of course one may look for more straightforward semantic cognates. Schrijver prefers a connection with Celtic nominal forms in *\*pou-* meaning 'fear'. With the Plt. change of *\*ow* > *\*aw*, this would yield Lat. *pav-* – at least, in pretonic position. The pf. *pāvī* is not attested before Ovid, and may have been taken over from *pāvīō*.

Bibl.: WH II: 266, EM 489, IEW 827, Schrijver 1991: 446. → *paviō*, *pudeō*

**paviō, -īre** 'to thump, pound, strike' [v. IV] (Cato+)

Derivatives: *pavīmentum* 'pavement' (Cato+), *pavīcula* 'rammer' (Cato+); *dēpavīre* 'to beat thoroughly' (Naev.+).

Plt. *\*pawje/o-*. It. cognates: O. **pavmentúm** borrowed from Latin.

PIE *\*ph<sub>2</sub>u-ie/o-* ‘to hit’. IE cognates: Gr. *παίω* ‘to strike, hit’ < *\*ph<sub>2</sub>u-ie/o-*, Lith. *pjáuti*, 3s. *pjáuna* ‘to cut’, Latv. *pļāūī* ‘to mow, harvest’ < *\*peh<sub>1</sub>u-* (or *\*pieh<sub>2</sub>u-*). Maybe ToB 3p. *pyakar* ‘they struck down’, Gr. *πταίω* ‘to hit’, ToAB *putk-* ‘to divide’.

LIV follows Hackstein 1993: 161 in reconstructing a pr. *\*pi(e)h<sub>2</sub>u-ie/o-* which would have lost the first *\*j* in Plt. due to dissimilation (LIV) or simple phonetic loss (Hackstein). Root-initial *\*pi-* is reconstructed on the strength of Gr. and Toch. forms. Yet Lat. *putāre* also shows simple *pu-*. This cooccurrence recalls Lat. *movēre* and the (PIE?) developments of the pr. *\*mih<sub>1</sub>u-*, which can be explained from *\*mih<sub>1</sub>u-C-* > *\*mj<sub>1</sub>uh<sub>1</sub>-C-* > *\*muHC-*. A similar development may account for *\*pih<sub>2</sub>u-i-* > *\*ph<sub>2</sub>u-i-*; the absence of laryngeal metathesis may be due to the following *\*j* instead of a stop. Janda 2000: 42-46 separates *paviō* from *\*pih<sub>2</sub>u-*, and posits *\*peh<sub>2</sub>u-ie/o-* ‘to strike’; see also s.v. *pūrus*.

Bibl.: WH II: 256, 267, EM 490, IEW 827, Schrijver 1991: 446, Untermann 2000: 519, LIV *\*pieh<sub>2</sub>-*, 1. *\*peuH-*. → *pūr(i)gō*, *pūrus*, *putō*

**pāx**, **pācis** ‘peace’ [f. k] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *pacere* ‘to come to an agreement (Lex XII+), *pactum* ‘agreement, means’ (Lex XII+), *pactiō* ‘agreement, settlement’ (Pl.+), *pācāre* ‘?’ (Duenos inscr.+?), ‘to impose a settlement’ (Cic.+), *pācātus* ‘peaceful, settled’ (Pl.+), *pacīscere* ‘to arrange by negotiation, betroth’, pf. *pactum* (Naev.+), *compacīscī* ‘to make an agreement’ (Pl.), *compalectum* ‘agreement’ (Pl.+), *dēpecīscī* ‘to come to terms, agree’ (Ter.+), *pācificārī* ‘to be reconciled’ (Pl.); *paciō* ‘pactiō’ (Fest.).

Plt. *\*pāk-* ‘agreement, peace’, *\*pak-e/o-* ‘to make an agreement’, *\*pakto-* ‘agreed’, *\*pākri-* ‘id.’. It. cognates: U. *paca* [postpos. + gen.] ‘because of’; U. *pase* [abl.sg.] ‘peace’ f. < *\*pāk-*; U. *pacer* [nom.sg.], Marr. *pacrsi* [nom.sg. + -sī], Mars. *pacre* [nom.sg.n.?], Pael. *pacrid* [abl.sg.], U. *pacrer*, Pael. *pacris*, Marr. *pacris* [nom.pl.m.] ‘merciful, auspicious’ < *\*pākri-*.

PIE *\*peh<sub>2</sub>k-* ‘agreement’, *\*ph<sub>2</sub>k-(e/o-)* ‘to make an agreement’. IE cognates: Skt. *pāśa-* [m.] ‘snare, noose’, Khot. *pāsa-* ‘fastening, cord’, Go. *fāhan*, OS *fangan* ‘to catch’ < *\*pank-*, OHG *fuogen*, OS *fōgian* ‘to join’ < *\*pāk-*.

The verb *pācāre*, maybe already attested in the Duenos inscr. (but this is uncertain), must be derived from the noun *pāx*. The pr. *pacere* is explained as thematization of an earlier root aorist by Meiser 2003. We must exclude Hit. *pāšk-<sup>i</sup>* / *pašk-* ‘to stick in, plant, set up’ < *\*PósK-* (Kloekhorst 2008: 651) from the IE cognates.

Bibl.: WH II: 231f., EM 473, IEW 787f., Schrijver 1991: 97, Untermann 2000: 508-510, Meiser 2003: 184, LIV *\*peh<sub>2</sub>k-*. → *pangō*

**-pe** [ptc. encl.]

Derivatives: *nempe* ‘of course, to be sure’ (Pl.+), *quippe* ‘for, indeed’ (Pl.+), *quispiam* ‘some, someone’ (Naev.+), *uspiam* ‘somewhere, anywhere’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*-pe*.

PIE *\*pe*. IE cognates: CLuw. HLuw. *pa-/ppa* [encl. adverb.], Lith. *kaip* ‘how?’.

Lat. *-pe* can be connected with Lith. *-p*, and will reflect a discourse particle; *-pīam*

'any at all' < \*-pe iam. Maybe PIE \*k<sup>w</sup>id-pe is reflected in Lat. *quippe* and HLuvian */kwipal* 'indeed', Lycian, Milyan *tibe=kibe* 'or' (Melchert 2002). The theoretical possibility that -pe is the Sabellic form of -que < \*-k<sup>w</sup>e is unlikely.

Bibl.: WH II: 269, EM 491, Beekes 1995: 223, Melchert 2002, Dunkel 2005: 175f.  
→ *nem-*

**peccō, -āre** 'to make a mistake, commit a fault' [v. I] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *peccātum* 'error, misdemeanour' (Pl.+).

It. cognates: maybe U. *pesetom est* '?' (an act rendering a ritual invalid).

WH and EM consider an etymology \*ped-ko- 'having a fault at the foot', cf. *mancus*, *caecus*. Yet there is no reference to feet in the meaning of *peccāre*. And to 'make a faux pas' (thus EM) would hardly be rendered by the word for 'foot', but rather by 'walking' vel sim. Leumann 1977 connects the root \*pet- 'to fall', hence \*pet-ko- 'a fall, error'. This is better semantically, but the addition of \*-ko- to the bare root seems strange. Also, this root is unattested in Latin except maybe in *patior*.

Bibl.: WH II: 269, EM 491, IEW 790-792, Leumann 1977: 196, Untermann 2000: 547.

**pectō, -ere** 'to comb (hair)' [v. III; pf. *pexī*, ppp. *pexum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *pecten*, -inis [m.] 'comb (for the hair)' (Pl.+), *pectunculus* 'small scallop' (Varro+); *dēpectere* 'to comb out' (Ter.+), *oppectere* 'to apply a comb to' (Pl.); *impexus* 'uncombed' (Pac.†).

PIt. \*peike/o- 'to comb', \*petken- 'comb'. It. cognates: U. *petenata* '?'.

PIE \*p(e)tk̑- < \*pe-pk̑- [v.], \*p(e)tk̑-en- [m.]. IE cognates: Gr. πέκω 'to comb, shear', Lith. *pėšti* 'to pluck', Gr. πέκτω 'to comb, shear', OHG *fehlan* 'to fight'; Gr. κτεῖς, κτενός 'comb < \*κτεν-; Skt. *pákṣman-* [n.], Av. *pašna-* 'eyelash(es)' < \*pek̑-s-.

Lat. has a pr. \*pekte/o- and a noun *pecten*, with unknown quantity of the final vowel in the nom.sg. (Sommer 1914: 366) because it is only attested twice in verse, both times before a consonant or consonant cluster. Traditionally, the pr. is reconstructed with a suffix \*-t-e/o-, but this is very rare in IE. The alternative reconstruction by Pinault 2006b is therefore quite attractive. He proposes a reduplicated verb form \*pe-pk̑- to have dissimilated to \*petk̑-, whence was derived the *n*-stem \*petk̑-n- / \*ptk̑-én- 'comb'. A reduplicated present fits the repetitive meaning of 'to comb, pluck' very well.

Bibl.: WH II: 269f., EM 491, IEW 797, Sihler 1995: 296-298, 535, Untermann 2000: 549, Meiser 2003: 114, Pinault 2006b: 136-140, LIV 1. \*pek̑-.

**pectus, -oris** 'breast, chest' [n. r] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *pectorālis* 'of the breast' (Varro+).

PIt. \*pektos-.

IE cognates: OIr. *ucht* 'breast, chest' [n., m. u] < \*puktu-.

OIr. *ucht* < \*puktu- provides a likely cognate, if it reflects earlier \*pektu-. The earlier connection with Toch. *pässām* must be given up, since this belongs to PIE \*psten- 'breast'. Skt. *pákṣa-* 'wing' is quite removed semantically, and does not explain the suffix.

Bibl.: WH II: 270, EM 491, IEW 792.

**pecu (pecū)** ‘flock, herd’ [n. *u*] (Naev.; pl. *pecua*; sg. in quot. only in abl.)

Derivatives: *pecuārius* ‘of cattle or sheep’ (Pl.+), *pecūinus* ‘of sheep, cattle’ (Cato+), *pecus*, *-udis* ‘any animal of a farm’ (Pl.+), *pecus*, *-oris* [n.] ‘farm animals, livestock’ (Andr.+), *pecūlium* ‘money or property in possession’ (Pl.+), *pecūliāris* ‘personal, private’ (Pl.+), *pecūliōsus* ‘well provided with peculium’ (Pl.), *pecūliāre* ‘to provide with peculium’ (Pl.), *pecūlātus*, *-ūs* ‘embezzlement of public money or property’ (Pl.+), *dēpecūlātus*, *-ūs* ‘the act of defrauding’ (Pl.+), *pecūnia* ‘property, money’ (Lex XII+), *pecūniōsus* ‘well provided with money’ (Varro+).

Plt. *\*peku-*, *\*pekos-*. It. cognates: U. *pequo* [acc.pl.] ‘cattle’ < *\*pekuā*.

PIE *\*pek-u-* [n.] ‘cattle’, *\*pek-os*, *-es-* [n.] ‘what is being fleeced’ > ‘cattle/wool’. IE cognates: Skt. *paśu-* [m.] ‘cattle, animal’, *paśu-* [n.] ‘id.’, *paśumānt-* ‘equipped with cattle, rich in cattle’, Av. *pasu-* [m.] ‘cattle’, OAv. *kamnašsuuā* ‘due to a small stock of cattle’, YAv. *fšūmant-* ‘raising cattle’, OPr. *pecku* ‘cattle’, Lith. *pėkus* ‘id.’, Go. *faihu* [n.] ‘property, money’, OHG *fihu* [n.] ‘cattle’ < PGm. *\*feχu*; Gr. *πέκος* [n.] ‘fleece, wool’.

The origin of *-d-* in *pecus*, *-udis* is unclear. The origin of final *-ū* in *pecū* is also uncertain, and must be linked with other *u*-stem nouns showing the same characteristics. An old dual ending seems less likely in the case of *\*pek-u-*. Pinault 1997 suggests that *-ū* may phonetically derive from *\*-ēu* in a collective *\*pkēu/\*pkū-* ‘small cattle’ (for which he sees evidence in Tocharian *\*śā* (ToB *śānta*) and ToA *śoś* ‘id.’). He compares Latin *diūs* < *\*diēus*, but this has a following *-s* (and hence the long vowel occurred in front of two consonants) and may actually reflect short *\*dieus*. *Pecūlium* probably hides an adj. *\*pecū-li-s* ‘of cattle’, whereas *pecūnia* was probably built on an adj. *\*pecūnus* ‘having cattle’. *Peculātus* may have been derived directly from a dim. *\*peculum* ‘little money’ > ‘embezzled money’.

Bibl.: WH II: 270-272, EM 491f., IEW 797, Leumann 1977: 323, 350, 441, Untermann 2000: 527, LIV 1. *\*pek-*. → *pectō*

**pēdis** ‘louse’ [m. *i*] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *pēdicōsus* ‘full of lice’ (Titin.+).

Plt. *\*pezd-*.

PIE *\*pesd-* ‘annoying insect’. IE cognates: Skt. *pedū-* PN (of a man, protected by the Aśvins, by whom he was presented with white snake-killing honey), *paidvá-* [m.] ‘the snake-killing horse of Pedu’, ‘an insect harming horses’, YAv. *pazdu-* [m.] ‘beetle, maggot’.

Lat. *pēdicōsus* shows an original basis *\*pēdi/ek-*, which implies that *pēdis* might be a remake of *\*pēdex*. The etymology as *\*pe-h<sub>1</sub>ed-i-* ‘who eats away’ (Weiss 1993: 53-56, Neri 2007: 71) is unspecific and unconvincing; more likely, *pēdis* is cognate with Ilr. *\*pazdu-* ‘beetle, maggot’.

Bibl.: WH II: 272f., EM 493, IEW 829.

**pēdō, -ere** ‘to fart’ [v. III; pf. *pepēdī*, ppp. *pēditum*] (Hor., Mart.)

Derivatives: *pōdex*, *-icis* ‘the anus’ (Lucil.+).

Plt. *\*pezd-e/o-*.

PIE \*pesd-e/o- ‘to fart’. IE cognates: Gr. βδέω ‘to fart’ < \*βzdéω < \*psd-e-, Lith. *bezdėti*, 3s. *bėzda*, Latv. *bezdēt*, Ru. *bzdét’*, SCr. *bàzdjeti* ‘to fart’.

The structure of the root suggests an onomatopoeic formation. There is no guarantee that *pōdex* was derived from \**pesd-* ‘to fart’. If not, it might go back to \**po-sd-o-* ‘what you sit on’ (pace WH), or have another origin.

Bibl.: WH II: 273f., EM 493, IEW 829, LIV \**pesd-*.

**peior** ‘worse’ [comp.] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *pessimus* ‘worst’ (Naev.+).

PIt. \**pedjōs*, \**ped-isamo-*.

IE cognates: see s.v. *pessum*.

The superlative can be explained by the development \**ped-is-mHo-* > \**pedisamo-* > \**pessamo-* > *pessimus*, in which Lachmann’s Law did not apply because *d* and *s* were originally not in contact; this explanation can be applied if we regard the once attested inscriptional length in *māximus* < \**magisamos* as secondary. If not, we may with Jasanoff 2004: 412 explain *pessimus* from \**pēssimos* with analogical retention of *ss* and subsequent shortening of *ē* to *e*. Since comparatives and superlatives are usually derived from adj. or adverbs in Latin, either \**ped-* ‘foot’ or \**ped-* ‘to fall’ would be unexpected derivational bases. It may therefore be the case that from (the prestage \**ped-tu-* of) the adv. *pessum* ‘bad’, the stem \**ped-* was abstracted in the meaning ‘bad’, on which *peior* and *pessimus* were grafted.

Bibl.: WH II: 275, EM 493, IEW 790-792, Sihler 1995: 368, Meiser 1998: 153f., LIV \**ped-*. → *pessum*

**pellis** ‘skin, hide’ [f. *i*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *pellicula* ‘skin, hide’ (Lucil.+), *pellītus* ‘covered with skins’ (Varro+), *pellīō* ‘tanner’ (Pl.+); *pellesuīna* ‘shop for skins and hides’ (Varro); *tentipellium* ‘device for stretching skins’ (Titin.+), *versipellis* ‘one who can metamorphose himself’ (Pl.+).

PIt. \**pelni-*.

PIE \**pel-ni-* ‘skin, hide’. IE cognates: Lith. *plėnė* ‘membrane’, Ru. *plėnā* ‘membrane’ < \**pl-ēn-(i)ā-*; OCS *pelena* ‘band for swathing children’, Ru. *pelenā* ‘shroud, (dial.) nappy’, Sln. *plėna* ‘bandage’ < \**pel-en-h<sub>2</sub>-*, OHG *fel*, *-lles*, OE *fell*, Olc. *fjall* [n.] ‘hide’ < PGm. \**fel-n-*.

The structure of the IE derivatives suggests a root \**pel-*, which may have meant ‘to strip, skin’, but no independent forms of such a root are attested.

Bibl.: WH II: 275f., EM 493f., IEW 803f., Leumann 1977: 213, LIV ?\**pelk-*.

**pellō, -ere** ‘to beat against, push, strike’ [v. III; pf. *pepulī*, ppp. *pulsūm*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: (1) *pulsus, -ūs* ‘beat, thrust’ (Enn.+), *appellere* ‘to drive to, touch’ (Pl.+), *aspellere* ‘to drive away’ (Pl.+), *compellere* ‘to drive together, force’ (Pl.+), *dēpellere* ‘to drive off, repel’ (Cato+), *dispellere* ‘to drive apart’ (Pl.+), *expellere* ‘to drive out, banish’ (Pl.+), *expulsim* ‘with the action of propelling away’ (Varro+), *impellere* ‘to strike against, impel’ (Pl.+), *impulsor* ‘instigator’ (Pl.+), *impulsus, -ūs*

'shock, incitement' (Pl.+), *perpellere* 'to prevail on, constrain' (Pl.+), *prōpellere* 'to push forward, drive on' (Pl.+), *repellere* 'to push away, drive back' (Pl.+), *repulsāre* 'to drive back' (Lucr.), *repulsus*, *-ūs* 'counterpressure' (Lucr.+); (2) *pultāre* 'to knock at' (Pl.+), *pultātiō* 'knocking' (Pl.); *pulsāre* 'to strike, beat' (Pl.+), *pulsātiō* 'striking, knocking' (Pl.+), *dēpulsāre* 'to push away' (Pl.), *prōpulsāre* 'to beat off, repel' (Ter.+); (3) *appellāre* 'to speak to, appeal' (Naev.+), *appellātiō* 'designation, term' (Cato+), *compellāre* 'to address, call upon' (Naev.+), *interpellāre* 'to interrupt, obstruct' (Pl.+), *interpellātiō* 'interruption, lawsuit' (Pl.+).

Plt. pr. *\*pelna-C-*, *\*-pelna-je/o-* 'to approach', ppp. *\*polto-*. It. cognates: Ven. *poltos* [nom.sg.m.] 'commōtus'; U. *ampentu*, *apentu*, *ampetu* [3s.ipv.II], *anpenes* [3s.fut.], *apelust*, *apelus* [3s.fut.pf.] 'to bring near' or 'touch' (first main act at the animal sacrifice) < *\*an-* + pr. *\*pel-n-h<sub>2</sub>-e/o-*.

PIE *\*pl-n(e)-h<sub>2</sub>-* [pr.] 'to approach', *\*plh<sub>2</sub>-to-*. IE cognates: OIr. *ad-ella\** 'to visit' < *\*-elna-* << *\*palna-*, OIr. fut. *eblaid\** 'will drive' < PCl. *\*φi-φl-ase-*, sb. MW *el*, MCo. *ello* [3s.], MBret. *yel*, *yal* 'to go' < PCl. *\*φel-ase-*; Gr. *πίλναμαι* 'to approach', aor. *ἐπέλασσα* 'drew near', *πλήτο* 'he approached'.

Semantically, the appurtenance to PIE forms meaning 'to approach, go to' can be justified by assuming a shift from 'to approach sth., bring closer to' > 'push, impel'. The frequentative *pultāre* (2) and Ven. *poltos* point to an original ppp. *\*pultos* < *\*poltos*, which was replaced by *pulsus*. Plt. *\*poltos* must replace an earlier *\*plātos* (Steinbauer 1989: 149, 249) since the root had a final laryngeal. *Pultāre* was later replaced by the new frequentative *pulsāre*. The present *pellere* (1) is confirmed by the U. nasal present, and goes back to *\*pel-n-a-C*. As Schrijver has argued, this can be the phonetic outcome of the PIE athematic nasal present. The compound verbs in *-pellāre* (3) are explained by Schrijver from thematization of *\*-pelna-* to *\*pelna-je-*. Meiser (1998: 187) gives a less attractive explanation: *-pellāre* would preserve the full grade preforms in *\*-neh<sub>2</sub>-*, which would have been confined to compound verbs by analogy with the *i/ī*-presents of the type *oritur* : *adoritur*. Yet these are not nasal presents. The meaning of the pr. in *-pellāre* is 'to speak to, address', which suggests that the derivational basis *\*pelna-* still meant 'to approach' rather than 'to push, impel'. Driessen 2004: 38f. suggests that *pellō* is the result of a merger of two different present stems, Plt. *\*pelnā-* (ppp. *\*pelto-* << *\*plāto-*) and Plt. *\*peld-e/o-* (ppp. *\*polisso-*). The main reason for this scenario is the fact that he finds *pulsus* hard to conceive of as a secondary formation.

Bibl.: WH I: 59, II: 276f., EM 494, IEW 801f., Lejeune 1974: 337, Schrijver 1991: 407-411, Untermann 2000: 89f., Meiser 2003: 185, Schumacher 2004: 503f., LIV *\*pelh<sub>2</sub>-*.

**pēluis** 'shallow bowl or basin' [f. *i*] (Cato+; trisyllabic *pēlui-* in the oldest attestations)

IE cognates: Skt. *palvala-* 'pool, small tank', Gr. *πελίκη* 'cup', *πέλλα* 'milk pail'?

Pace Schrijver, *pēlui-* probably reflects *\*pēlwi-* rather than *\*pēlwi-* (Nussbaum 1997: 190f.). No convincing etymology.

Bibl.: WH II: 278, EM 494, IEW 804, Schrijver 1991: 124, 294.

**pendō, -ere** ‘to weigh, pay’ [v. III; pf. *pependī*, ppp. *pēnsum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: (1) *pēnsum* ‘allotment of spinning or weaving, task’ (Pl.+), *pēnsūra* ‘the weighing’ (Varro), *pēnsiō* ‘payment’ (Varro+), *pendulus* ‘hanging down’ (Varro+); *appendere* ‘to hang, weight out’ (Pl.+), *compendere* ‘to weigh together’ (Varro), *dēpendere* ‘to pay down’ (Pl.+), *dispendere* ‘to distribute by paying or weighing out’ (Varro+), *expendere* ‘to weigh, judge’ (Pl.+), *impendere* ‘to pay out, expend’ (Pl.+), *perpendere* ‘to estimate carefully’ (Lucr.+), *perpendiculum* ‘vertical line, plumb-line’ (Cato+), *suspendere* ‘to hang, leave hanging’ (Pl.+); *compendium* ‘gain, saving’ (Pl.+), *compendiārius* ‘short’ (Varro+), *dispendium* ‘expense, cost’ (Pl.+), *impedium* ‘cost, payment’ (Varro+), *impendiōsus* ‘spendthrift’ (Pl.), *stipendium* ‘regular payment, military service’ (Pl.+), *suspendium* ‘hanging oneself’ (Pl.+); *compēnsāre* ‘to counterbalance, make up’ (Varro+), *dispēnsāre* ‘to pay, administer’ (Pl.+), *dispensātor* ‘administrator’ (Varro+); *appendix, -icis* ‘anything subordinate’ (Varro+); *libripens, -ndis* ‘one who holds the balance, paying salary’ (Lex XII+); (2) *pendēre* ‘to be suspended, hang’ (Pl.+), *pēnsilis* ‘hanging down, suspensible’ (Pl.+); *dēpendēre* ‘to hang down’ (Pl.+), *impendēre* ‘to be suspended, lour’ (Pl.+), *prōpendēre* ‘to hang down, incline’ (Pl.+); (3) *pondō* ‘in weight, by weight’ (Lex XII+), *pondus, -eris* ‘weight, mass’ (Pl.), *ponderāre* ‘to weigh, form an opinion’ (Pl.+), *ponderōsus* ‘weighty’ (Pl.+), *ponderitās* ‘weightiness’ (Acc.); *praeponderāre* ‘to incline towards, outweigh’ (Varro+); *dupondius* ‘the sum or weight of two asses’ (Lucil.+), *assipondium* ‘the sum or weight of one as’ (Varro+).

Plt. *\*pend-e/o-* ‘to hang’ > ‘weigh’, *\*pondo-* ‘weight’, *\*pendos-* [n.] ‘weight’, *\*pend-ē-* ‘to be hanging’. It. cognates: maybe U. *nuřpener* [abl.pl.] if < *\*pendio-*.

PIE \*(s)pend-e/o- ‘to spin’, \*(s)pond-o- // *\*ped-/ \*pd-* [aor.] ‘to fall’. IE cognates: if to PIE \*(s)pnd-: Lith. *spėsti*, 3s. *spėndžia* ‘to set a trap’, OCS *peđb*, Ru. *pjad* ‘span’ [f.] < *\*p(e)nd-i-*, CS *pođiti* ‘to push, chase’, Ru. *púdit* / *pudit* ‘to scare, chase’, Po. *peđzić* ‘to chase’ < *\*pond-*; if to PIE *\*ped-*: see s.v. *pessum*.

(1) The transitive verb *pendere* ‘to put in a hanging position’ > ‘weigh out’ > ‘pay’, (2) the stative verb ‘to be hanging’, (3) the *o*-grade nominal forms *\*pondo-* and *\*pondos-* (<<*\*pendos-*) ‘weight’. The ppp. *pēnsum* can be from *\*pend-to-*, hence has been formed secondarily to the present, as has the pf. The root is regarded as a variant of \*(s)penh,- ‘to spin, weave’ by nearly all handbooks. LIV regards *\*-d-* as part of the root, whereas Meiser 2003 cautions that it may rather be a suffix *\*-d<sup>(h)</sup>-*. The latter view seems more likely; the suffix might also be conserved in BSL, in which case the Lith. acute suggests PIE *\*-d-*. The semantic justification would be a shift from ‘to spin’ > ‘to stretch a string’ > ‘to leave hanging down’. This is possible, but not obvious. All derivatives (*\*pondo-*, *\*pendos-*, *\*-pend-s*) would have been made on the basis of the present stem. An alternative etymology is possible: *\*ped-n-e/o-* ‘to make fall’ > *\*pende/o-* ‘to put in a hanging position’. The root would be PIE *\*ped-* ‘to fall’ (Skt. *pádyate*, etc.); since no nasal present is otherwise attested, it would have to be an (early) Italic innovation. Apart from (maybe) *pessum*, *peñior*, the verbal root *\*ped-* ‘to fall’ is not attested in Latin.

Bibl.: WH II: 278-280, EM 494f., IEW 988, Untermann 2000: 499f., Meiser 2003: 185f., LIV ?2.\*(s)pend- // *\*ped-*. → *sponda*

**pēnis** ‘tail, penis’ [m. *i*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *pēnītus* ‘furnished with a tail’ (Naev. apud Fest.), *pēniculus* ‘brush, sponge’ (Pl.+), *pēniculāmentum* ‘train (ōf a garment)’ (Enn.).

Plt. *\*petsni-* ‘tail’.

It is generally assumed that *pēnis* directly reflects PIE *\*pes-ni-* ‘penis’, as attested in Hit. *\*pešan-* / *pešn-* / *pišen-* ‘man, male’ [c.] < *\*pés-ōn*, *\*pes-(e)n-*; Skt. *pásas-* [n.], Gr. *πέος* [n.] ‘penis’ < *\*pes-os-*; maybe also OHG *fasel* ‘seed, fruit, descendant’, OE *fæsl*. However, the meaning of *pēnītus* as well as general semantic considerations suggest that the meaning ‘tail’ is original, and ‘penis’ metaphorically derived from it. WH solve this problem by assuming that the word ‘penis’ came to mean ‘buttock’ too, whence ‘tail’. But this is in conflict with the Latin chronology of *pēnis*, because ‘tail’ (Naev.+ ) is older than ‘penis’ (Catul.+). One might consider the following alternative: *pēnis* ‘tail’ derives from *\*pesnis* ‘feather’, cognate with OLat. *pesna*, allegedly ‘*penna*’. In addition, this would solve the origin of *penna* (see below): *penna* goes back to *\*petna*, whereas *pēnis*, and its OLat. precursors *pesnas* and *pesnis*, goes back to *\*petsna/i-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 281, EM 496, IEW 824. → *penna*

**penna** ‘wing, feather’ [f. *ā*] (Pl.+; *pesnas* ‘petnās’, *pesnis* ‘pennīs’ Paul. *ex F.* 209, 312)

Derivatives: *pennātus* ‘winged’ (Pl.+), *bipennis* ‘having two wings’ (Varro+); *pinna* ‘feather, wing, fin, parapet’ (Pl.+), *pinnātus* ‘feathered, winged’ (Lucil.+), *pinnula* ‘little wing, little feather’ (Pl.+); *pinniger* ‘carrying feathers, winged’ (Acc.+).

Plt. *\*petnā-*.

PIE *\*pet-n-h<sub>2</sub>-* ‘wing, feather’. IE cognates: OW *eterin* ‘bird’, *atan* ‘wing’ < *\*pt-r/n-*; Hit. *pattar* / *pattan-* or *pettar* / *pettan-* [n.] ‘wing’ < *\*póth<sub>2</sub>-r*, *\*pth<sub>2</sub>-én-s* or *\*peth<sub>2</sub>(ō)r*, *\*peth<sub>2</sub>-én-*; Skt. *pátra-* [n.] ‘wing (of a bird), feather’, *patāngá-* [adj.] ‘flying’, [m.] ‘bird’, Av. *patarə-ta-* ‘winged’, NHG *Feder* ‘feather’.

The form *pesnis* cannot be derived from the same preform as *penna*, unless via several ad hoc assumptions (cf. EM). Meiser 1998: 118 adopts the etymology *\*pet-s-no-*, but *lūna* < *\*louksna* and *pānis* < *\*pastnis* suggest that *\*petsno-* would have become *\*pēno-*. Therefore, I assume that the attested forms in *-sn-* belong to *pēnis*, while *penna* reflects *\*petn-*. Lat. *pinna* can be regarded as a dialect form of *penna*.

Bibl.: WH II: 282f., 306f., EM 496, 508, IEW 825f., Leumann 1977: 209, Schrijver 1991: 501, Sihler 1995: 209, LIV 2. *\*peth<sub>2</sub>-*. → *passer*, *pēnis*, *petō*

**penus, -ūs / -oris** ‘food, provisions’ [f. (m.) / n. *u* / *r*] (Pl.+; also *penus* Lucil+, *penum* Pl.+)

Derivatives: *penārius* ‘used for storing food’ (Cato+), *Penātēs, -ium* [m.pl.] ‘tutelary gods of the household, home’ (Naev.+), *penātor* ‘who obtains provisions’ (Cato+); *penes* [prep. + acc.] ‘in the hands, under the control of’ (Pl.+); *penitus* [adv.] ‘from within, deeply’ (Pl.+), *penitus* [adj.] ‘interior’ (Pl.+), *penetrāre* ‘to cause to go in, penetrate’ (Pl.+), *penetrālis* ‘penetrating, innermost’ (Lucr.+).



PIt. \*penos, loc.sg. -es? m. \*pen-o-?

PIE \*pen-os, -es- [n.] 'food', \*pen-o-? IE cognates: Lith. *penėti*, Is. *penù* 'to feed'.

The semantic appurtenance to 'feed' is explained by Stüber as 'what one feeds with' ('food') > 'the place one feeds at' > 'interior, home'. It is unclear which noun is older: *penus*, -oris or *penus/m* (the vacillation between second and fourth declension is trivial), or both. *Penetrāre* may have been formed to *penitus* on the model of *intrāre* to *intus*. *Penes* is explained as an endingless loc.sg. \*pen-es of the s-stem, but the ending -es instead of -is is unexpected, and rather suggests \*pen-et-s. Thus, *penitus*, *penetrāre* and *penes* could point to a stem \*pen-et- 'food' > 'stock' > 'interior'.

Bibl.: WH II: 280-283, EM 496, IEW 807, Leumann 1977: 551, Sihler 1995: 306, Stüber 2002: 135f., LIV \*pen-.

**per** 'through, across' [prep. + acc.] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *per-* + adj. 'very'; *-per* [suffix] 'number of times or duration' (Andr.+): *aliquantisper* 'for some time' (Pl.+), *nūper* 'recently' (Pl.+), *parumper* 'for a short while' (Pl.+), *paulisper* 'id.' (Pl.+), *paucillisper* 'bit by bit' (Pl.+), *quantisper* 'for how long?' (Caecil.+), *semper* 'always' (Naev.+), *tantisper* 'for such time' (Pl.+), *topper* 'quickly' (Andr.+).

PIt. \*peri 'through, across', \*pero- 'on the other side'. It. cognates: Ven. **per** [prep. + acc.] 'for', U. -**per**, -**pe**, -*per*, [postpos. + abl.] 'for', O. *per-*, Pael. *pe-*, U. *per-*, **per-**, SPic. **per-** 'before, past' < \*pers < \*per + -s; O. *p̄erum* 'without' < \*pero- 'on the other side'; O. **pert**, U. **pert** [prep. + acc.] 'until, near', O. *pert-*, -*pert*, U. -**per**, -*per* 'until' or 'near(ly)' < \*per-ti.

PIE \*péri 'across'. IE cognates: Skt. *pári* [adv.] '(a)round, about, away from, because of, according to', OAv. *pairī*, YAv. *pairi*, OP *pariy* 'over, about, concerning', Gr. περί, περι 'round about, around, extremely, with regard to', Lith. *per̃* 'over, around, through', OCS *prě-*, Ru. *pére-* 'over, through, very, exceedingly' < \*per; OCS *prědъ* 'in front', Ru. *péred(o)* 'before, in front of' < \*per-d<sup>h</sup><sub>1</sub>h<sub>1</sub>-om; Go. *fairra* 'far'.

Latin shows the following different usages: the prep. *per* 'through, across', which also occurs as a verbal prefix (*peragō*, *percipiō*, etc.); a prefix *per-* 'very' suffixed to adjectives: *perbonus*, *pertristis*, etc.; finally, *-per* suffixed to adverbs indicates the number of times or duration. The meaning of *-per* accords well with the preposition 'through', and has arisen from the use of *per* as a postposition; this usage is confirmed by the Sabellic evidence. The 'intensifying' prefix *per-* 'very' is explained by Leumann from metanalysis of the postposition *-per*, which seems unlikely in view of the different meanings. These adj. show the same semantics of *per-* as in verbal compounds, viz. 'thoroughly': *percellō* 'to knock down', *percoquō* 'to cook thoroughly', etc. Hence, I assume that *per-bonus* etc. were modelled on the verbs in *per-*. Dunkel (2005: 179-181) prefers to separate *-per* from the preposition, and regards it as cognate with Gr. enclitic περ as in μάλα περ, ὅς περ. Yet his analysis as \*pe + \*-r does not explain the specific semantics of Latin *-per*.

Bibl.: WH II: 283-286, EM 497, IEW 810-816, Lejeune 1974: 337, Leumann 1977:

401, Sihler 1995: 440, Untermann 2000: 531-533, 545-547, Livingston 2004: 18f., LIV 1.\*per-. → *perendiē*, *perperus*, *por-*, *porta*, *portō*, *prae*, *prī-*, *prō*

**perendiē** ‘on the day after tomorrow’ [adv.] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *perendinus* [adj.] ‘the day after tomorrow’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \*perno- ‘foremost’. It. cognates: U. *perne* [dat.sg.n.?] ‘what comes before’ < \*pernōi to \*perno-; O. *pernai* [dat.sg.] name of a deity, probably ‘the foremost’; U. *pernaiaf* [acc.pl.f.], *pernaies* [abl.pl.f.] < \*pernaio- ‘placed in front’.

PIE \*per(H)no- ‘past, last’. IE cognates: Lith. *pėrnai* ‘last year’, Go. *fairneis* ‘far’.

From a preform \*perno-diē(d), syncope to \*perndiē and subsequent syllabification as -en- explain the outcome *perendiē*.

Bibl.: WH II: 287, EM 498, IEW 810-816, Untermann 2000: 537f. → *diēs*, *-dinus*

\*perfināre ‘to break’ [v. I] (*perfinēs* ‘perfringās’ Fest.)

Plt. \*fina-.

PIE \*b<sup>h</sup>i-n-H- ‘to hit’. IE cognates: OIr. *benaid*, *ben* ‘to strike’, MW *edfynaf* ‘to tear apart’ (\*ati-), MBret. *benaff* ‘to cut’ < PCl. \*bina-; OCS *biti* ‘to beat’, SCr. *bīti* ‘to beat, kill’.

Especially in view of the OIr. nasal present, it seems likely that this hapax continues a nasal pr. ‘to break’. Possibly the noun *fīnis* ‘border’ also belongs here.

Bibl.: WH I: 503, EM 498, IEW 117f., Schrijver 1991: 407, Schumacher 2004: 226ff., LIV \*b<sup>h</sup>ejH-. → *fīnis*

**pergula** ‘attachment to the front of a building’ [f. ā] (Lucil.+)

PIE \*perg-?

Theoretically, *perg-ula* could be a diminutive of \*pergo- ‘pole, frame’ vel sim., and be cognate with several BSl. and OIc. words: Lith. *pėrgas* ‘(fishing) canoe’ < \*perg-o-, OCS *pragъ*, Ru. *poróg* ‘threshold’, OIc. *forkr* ‘bar, stick’ < \*porgo-. But the meanings are so divergent that nothing definite can be said.

Bibl.: WH II: 288, EM 498, IEW 819f.

**permitiēs** ‘deadly harm, ruin’ [f. ē] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *permitiālis* ‘destructive’ (Lucr.+).

Forssman 1999 assumes an original adj. \*per-em-o- ‘destroying’, to which an abstract \*perem-itiē- was formed. Syncope yielded *permitiēs*. Wachter 2004: 376 (who does not cite Forssman) reconstructs \*per-mit-iēs to a verb \*per-mit-(a-) ‘to send to ruin’, cognate with OLat. *mitat* and CLat. *mittō*. Forssmann explains *perniciēs* as an analogical remake of *permitiēs* on the basis of the roots *nex* and *necare*.

Bibl.: WH II: 289, EM 499, Forssman 1999. → *emō*, *mittō*, *nex*

**perna** ‘(upper) leg, thigh’ [f. ā] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *perniēs*, *-īcis* ‘swift, agile’ (Pl.+), *pernōnida* [m.] ‘son-of-a-ham’ (Pl.); *compennis* ‘having the thighs close together’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*persnā-*.

PIE *\*tspērsn-h<sub>2</sub>-* ‘heel’. IE cognates: Hit. *paršna-* [c.] ‘unknown body-part, heel?’ < *\*p(e)rsno-*, *paršnae-<sup>zi</sup>* ‘to squat down, crouch (?)’ (denom. to *paršna-*), Skt. *pārṣni-* [f.], YAv. *pāšna-* [n.], Khot. *pārrā-*, Gr. *πτέpvη* [f.]; Go. *fairzna* [f.], OS *fersna* ‘heel’ < PGm. *\*fersnō-*, OE *fiers(i)n* < *\*fersnī-*.

Instead of *pernīx* one would rather expect *\*pernāx*, but there is no other candidate for the etymology of *pernīx*. The word for ‘heel’ underwent a shift to ‘haunch, upper leg’ in Latin. See Lubotsky 2006 for the PIE etymology. He connects ‘heel’ with *\*TspērH-* ‘to kick with the heel’ (cf. *spernō*); in *\*tspērsn-* ‘heel’, the first *s* would have disappeared through dissimilation. The words may go back to a compound of *\*pd-* ‘foot’ and *\*per(H)-* ‘to beat, kick’, ‘heel’ being a derivative stem in *\*-sn-* to the compound verb.

Bibl.: WH II: 289f., EM 499, IEW 823, Leumann 1977: 377, Meiser 1998: 118, Lubotsky 2006. → *spernō*

**perperus** ‘perverse, wrong-headed’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Acc.)

Derivatives: *perperitūdō* ‘wrong-headedness’ (Acc.), *perperam* [adv.] ‘incorrectly’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*pero-* ‘on the other side’.

PIE *\*per-o-*.

Possibly an adv. in *\*-ām* to *\*perō-*, the adj. continued in O. *perum* ‘without’ < *\*pero-* ‘on the other side’ (see s.v. *per*). This would then have been prefixed with *per-* when the original meaning of *\*pero-* became opaque. But *per-* may also be original, as in Nussbaum’s analysis (2004b) as *\*per-poro-* ‘going wrong’.

Bibl.: WH II: 290f., EM 499, IEW 810-816, Leumann 1977: 269. → *per*

U. **perstu, pestu** [3s.ipv.II], *peperscust, pepescus* [3s.fut.pf.] ‘to put’.

Plt. *\*per-ske/o-* ‘to provide’.

IE cognates: see s.v. *pariō*.

See Untermann, who dismisses the proposed identity with the root of *parcō* (thus still LIV) on formal grounds; semantically, it is not convincing either. Untermann derives a *sk-pr.* *\*per-ske-* from the root *\*perh<sub>3</sub>-* ‘to provide’. From this root, we find the Lat. pr. *pariō* < *\*perh<sub>3</sub>-i-*. The *sk-present* could have been formed within Italic from the root, explaining why we do not find a larygeal reflex from PIE *\*perh<sub>3</sub>sk-* > *\*perask-*.

Bibl.: Untermann 2000: 542f., LIV *\*perk-*.

**pertica** ‘long straight shoot of a tree, rod, wand’ [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Plt. *\*pertikā-?* It. cognates: O. **perek, per** ‘measure of length’ < *\*pertikā* or borrowed from Latin; U. *percam, perca* [acc.sg.], **perkaf, perca** [acc.pl.] ‘badge’.

*Pertica* has been connected with Gr. *πτόρυος* [m.] ‘sprout, twig’, Skt. *kāpyrīh-* ‘penis’, but neither of these etymologies is even remotely convincing.

Bibl.: WH II: 292f., EM 500, IEW 823, Untermann 2000: 534-536.

**pēs, pedis** ‘foot’ [m. *d*] (Lex XII, Andr.+)

Derivatives: *pedica* ‘fetter, shackle’ (Pl.+), *pedicinus* ‘ground-anchor of a press’ (Cato), *pediculus* ‘footstalk’ (Cato+), *peciolus* ‘little foot’ (Afran.+), *peda* ‘footprint’ (Fest.), *pedālis* ‘measuring a foot’ (Cato+), *pedāmentum* ‘prop, stake’ (Varro+), *pedātus* ‘having feet’ (Varro+), *pedātus, -ūs / pedātum* ‘stage, step’ (Pl.+), *pedes, -itis* ‘foot-soldier; pedestrian’ (Cato+), *peditātus, -ūs* ‘infantry’ (Cato+), *peditāstellus* ‘infantrymen’ (Pl.+), *pedārius* ‘of lower standing’ (Lab.+); *repedāre* ‘to go back’ (Pac.+); *compedēs, -ium* ‘fettters’ (Lex XII+), *compedire* ‘to shackle’ (Pl.+), *expedire* ‘to release, make ready, achieve’ (Pl.+), *expeditiō* ‘military operation, raid’ (Naev.+), *impedire* ‘to restrict, obstruct’ (Pl.+), *impedimentum* ‘obstacle’ (Pl.+), *indupedire* ‘to obstruct’ (Lucr.), *praepedire* ‘to bind, hinder’ (Pl.+), *praepedimentum* ‘obstacle’ (Pl.); *bipēs* ‘two-footed’ (Naev.), *tripedāneus* ‘three feet long’ (Cato+), *quadrupēs* ‘four-legged; domestic animal’ (Lex XII+), *quadrupedus* ‘of galloping’ (Pl.+), *quadrupedāns* ‘moving like a galloping horse’ (Pl.+); *tripudiāre* ‘to perform a *tripudium*’ (Carmen Arvale *tripodāre*, Acc.+), *tripudium* ‘ritual dance in triple time; ominous noise’ (Cic.+); *pedisequus* ‘male attendant’ (Pl.+), *pedisequa* ‘female attendant’ (Pl.+); *pedetemptim* ‘step by step’ (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*pōd-*, *\*ped-* ‘foot’; *\*tri-podo-* ‘three-step dance’. It. cognates: U. **peři**, *persi* [abl.sg.] ‘foot’ < *\*ped-*; O. **pedú** [acc.pl.?] ‘foot’ (measure): nom.acc.pl.n. to *ped-*, or acc.pl. to *\*pedom*; U. *dupursus* [dat.pl.] ‘biped’ < *\*du-pōd-*, U. *peturpursus* ‘quadruped’ [dat.pl.] < *\*k<sup>o</sup>etur-pōd-*; U. **ahtrepuřatu**, **atrepuřatu**, *ahatripursatu*, *atripursatu*, *atropusatu* [3s.ipv.II] ‘to dance the *tripudium*’.

PIE nom.sg. *\*pōd-s*, acc.sg. *\*po/ed-m*, acc.sg. *\*pd-os*, loc.sg. *\*ped-i* [m.] ‘foot’. IE cognates: OIr. *is* ‘under’ (< *\*pēd-su?*), *ed* ‘space, interval’ (< *\*pedo-*), Hit. *pāt-/pat-*, *pata-* [c.], CLuw. *pāta/i-*, HLuw. *pada/i-*, Lyc. *pede/i-* ‘foot, leg’ < *\*pod-/pd-*, Skt. *pāt* [nom.sg.], *padā* [instr.sg.] [m.] ‘foot’, Skt. *dvi-pād- cātuṣ-pad-* ‘bipeds and quadrupeds’, YAv. *pad-* [m.], OP *pāda-* ‘foot’, YAv. *qymō.paiδī-* [f.] ‘wearing sandal straps on the feet’; Gr. (Dor.) *πῶς*, Ion.Att. *πούς* [m.], *ποδός* [gen.sg.] ‘foot’, Myc. *po-de* [dat.sg.]; Gr. *ἀργυρό-πεζα* [f.] ‘with silver feet’ < *\*ped-ih<sub>2</sub>-*; Arm. *otn* ‘foot’; Alb. *pēr-posh* ‘below’ (< *\*pēd-su?*), OE *fēt* (< PGm *\*fōt-iz*) [nom.pl.] ‘feet’. Deriv. *\*pēd-ó-* ‘at the bottom’ > Gr. *πηδόν* [n.] ‘blade of an oar’.

Since an ablaut grade *\*ē* is not attested for the IE paradigm of ‘foot’ other than maybe in the loc.pl. *\*pēd-su*, the isolated Latin nom. *pēs* is probably best explained from Lachmann’s Law: *ped-s* > *pēs* (Jasanoff 2004: 414). The *e*-grade in the Latin paradigm was taken from the acc.sg. *\*ped-m* or the loc.sg. *\*ped(-i)*. The U. compounds in *-pursus* prove that the ablaut grade *\*pōd-* was preserved into PIIt. Most other derivatives are transparent. *Peciolus* is probably from *\*pediciolus*. The meaning of *-pedire* seems to be derived from *-pedēs* ‘fettters’. *Repedāre* might be built on *peda* ‘footprint’, although this is only attested in Festus; alternatively, it may belong to a PIIt. form *\*pedo-*, if O. **pedú** goes back to such a stem.

Bibl.: WH I: 428f., II: 269, 293-295, 705, EM 500-502, 703, IEW 790-792, Schrijver 1991: 135, Sihler 1995: 117f., 281, Untermann 2000: 62f., 522f., LIV *\*ped-*. → *acu-*, *oppidum*, *pessum*

**pessum** 'to the bottom, to destruction' [adv.]: *pessum ire* 'to go down, be destroyed', *pessum dāre* 'to destroy' (Pl.+)

Plt. \**ped-tu-* or \**pet-tu-*.

PIE \**ped-tu-* or \**pet-tu-* 'falling'. IE cognates: (1) Skt. *pádyate* 'moves, falls', aor. *pad-*, *niṣ-pád-* [f.] 'excrement', YAv. *paīdiia-* 'to go in', *nī... paīdiia-* 'to lie down, copulate', OP *ni-padiy* 'in ambush', OCS *pasti*, Is. *padq* 'to fall' < \**pod-*, OE *ge-fetan* 'to fall'; (2) for \**pet-*, see s.v. *petō*.

Probably the acc.sg. of a *u*-stem \**ped-tu-* or \**pet-tu-* 'the falling'. According to Schrijver, who assumes \**ped-tu-*, the expected result \**pēsum* would have been remade into *pessum* to avoid homonymy with *pēsum* 'fart'. Yet the latter is unattested. Kortlandt 1999: 248 suggests that the preform may as well have been \**pet-tu-*, to the root of Skt. *pátati* 'flies, falls'. Yet in view of *peiior* 'worse' < \**ped-iōs*, chances are higher that *pessum* reflects \**pedtum*.

Bibl.: WH II: 296, EM 502, IEW 790-792, Schrijver 1991: 135, LIV \**ped-* or \**peth<sub>1</sub>-*. → *pēior*

**pestis** 'death, plague, pestilence' [f. *i*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *pestilentus* 'unhealthy' (Laev.), *pestilēns* 'unhealthy, insalubrious' (Cato+), *pestilentia* 'pestilence, insalubrity' (Varro+), *pestilitās* 'pestilence' (Lucr.).

The suggestion that *pestis* continues \**perstis* < \**per-sitis* 'very thirst(y)' (see e.g. WH) does not carry conviction. YAv. *kapastiš* [nom.sg.] 'name of an illness' could be analysed as a compound of pejorative *ka-* 'bad' and \**pesti-* 'illness' (thus Bartholomae 1904: 436).

Bibl.: WH II: 296, EM 502.

**petilus** 'thin, slender' [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

WH and IEW hesitantly propose to derive *petilus* from the root \**pet-* 'to spread, expand', with a basic meaning 'stretched out, thinned'. This seems a mere guess.

Bibl.: WH II: 297, EM 503, IEW 824f.

**petō**, -ere 'to make for, reach out for, move towards' [v. III; pf. *petīvī*, ppp. *petītum*] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *petessere* 'to strive, reach' (Lucr.+), *petītus*, -ūs 'moving forward, request' (Lucr.+), *petulāns* 'aggressive, insolent' (Pl.+), *petulantia* 'aggressiveness, immodesty' (Pl.+), *petulcus* 'butting, wanton' (Afran.+), *petimen* 'ulcer' (Naev., Lucil.); *impes*, -tis 'onset, assault' (Laev., Lucr.+), *impetus*, -ūs 'violent thrust, attack' (Pl.+), *praepes* 'flying straight ahead, favourable' (Enn.+), *perpes* 'continuous, livelong' (Pl.+), *perpetuus* 'continuous, permanent' (Pl.+), *perpetuāre* 'to continue without pause' (Pl.+), *perpetuītus* 'enduring' (Enn.); *dēpetīgō* 'kind of skin eruption' (Cato+), *impetīgō* 'scaly skin eruption' (Plin.+); *appetere* 'to stretch out for, seek, attack' (Pl.+), *appetō* 'one who is covetous' (Lab.), *appetessere* 'to seek eagerly' (Acc.), *competere* 'to meet, coincide' (Varro+), *competitor* 'fellow candidate' (Varro+), *compitum* 'crossroads' (Cato+), *Compitālis* 'of crossroads, worshipped at crossroads' (Naev.+), *expetere* 'to ask for, request' (Pl.+), *expetessere* 'to seek

earnestly' (Pl.+), *oppetere* 'to encounter' (Pl.+), *praepetere* 'to seek' (Lucret.+), *repetere* 'to get back, demand in return, repeat' (Pl.+), *suppetere* 'to be available, give backing' (Pl.+), *suppetiae* [f.pl.] 'assistance' (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*pet-e/o-*. It. cognates: Ven. *-pet-* 'to ride' in *ekvopetaris* 'of a horse-rider'.

PIE *\*pt-(e)i-* 'to fly'. IE cognates: MW *ehedec* 'to fly' < PCl. *\*-φet-e/o-*, Olr. *én*, W. *edn* 'bird' < *\*φetno-* [m.]; Hit. *pattai-/patti-* 'to run, fly, flee', <sup>U</sup>*patteiant-* 'fugitive', Skt. *pátati* 'to fly, fall', Av. *auuapasti-* 'falling', *pata-* 'to fly', *ham.pata-* 'to fall down', OP *ud-pata-* 'to fall down, become unfaithful', Gr. *πέτομαι* 'to fly', *ποτή* [f.] 'flying'.

The etymology of the verb as 'to fly' is not self-evident, but may be defended by assuming a shift 'to fly' > 'fly up towards' > 'make for, try to get'. Unceta Gomez 2002 explains the semantic shift via the metaphor 'trying to reach a goal' = 'flying'. It is generally assumed that the root is laryngeal-final, but a simple thematization of *\*pet-* would also yield the attested Lat. present (see Hackstein 2002b: 140-143, who argues that Greek, too, points to a mere root *\*pet-*). There seems to be agreement on the assumption that the *ī*-pf. was formed by analogy with *cupiō*, *cupivī*, which is semantically close. Yet the pf. *cupivī* was in-existent before the first century BC, or, in any case, it is unattested before Catullus. Kloekhorst 2008: 655ff. has argued that the Hit. verb *pattai-/patti-* goes back to an *i*-pr. *\*pth<sub>1</sub>-ói-/ \*pth<sub>1</sub>-i-* (or: *\*pt-ói-/ \*pt-i-*) and the noun *patteiant-* to *\*pth<sub>1</sub>-ei-ent-*. Hence, the vowel *-ī-* in the Latin forms could also be interpreted as the remains of a PIE *i*-pr. *\*pt-éi-* (with introduction of *e*-grade in the heavy consonant cluster). The zero grade *\*-i-* might then be reflected in *ex-petessere*.

Bibl.: WH I: 684, II: 291, 297f., EM 499, 503f., IEW 825f., Lejeune 1974: 337, Leumann 1977: 594, Schrijver 1991: 397, Meiser 2003: 237, Schumacher 2004: 515, LIV 2. *\*peth<sub>2</sub>-*. → *pator*

**pīcus** 'woodpecker' [m. *o*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *pīca* 'jay, magpie' (Varro+).

PIt. *\*piko-*, *\*peikā-*. It. cognates: U. *peico* [acc.sg.], *peiqu* [abl.sg.] < *\*piko-*; U. *peica* [acc.sg.], *peica* [abl.sg.] < *\*pikā-*; U. *piquier* [gen.sg.] name of a deity in *piquier martier*, compared with Lat. *Picus Martius*.

PIE *\*(s)piko-?* IE cognates: Skt. *pikā-* 'cuckoo', OPr. *picle* 'fieldfare', OHG *speh(t)*, Olc. *spætr*, Swed. *spett*, Dan. *spætte*.

The f. form can be interpreted as a *v̥ddhi* derivative of m. *\*(s)piko-* 'woodpecker' (Meiser 1986). In its turn, the long vowel of *pīcus* may have been adopted from f. *pīca*. All other IE forms point to a short vowel. The words could be onomatopoeic (in view of the shrill, 'laughing' sound which a woodpecker makes). The appurtenance of Skt. *pikā-* is uncertain in view of the different meaning.

Bibl.: WH II: 299f., EM 505, IEW 999, Meiser 1986: 47f., Untermann 2000: 526, 556.

**piger, -gra, -grum** 'torpid, inactive' [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *pigrēre* 'to be reluctant' (Acc.), *pigror*, *-ōris* 'sluggishness' (Lucil.), *pigrāre* 'to hesitate' (Acc.+), *pigritia* 'sluggishness, laziness' (Pl.+), *impiger* 'active, brisk' (Pl.+); *piget* 'affects with revulsion, irks' [pf. *piguit*, ppp. *pigitum*] (Pl.+),

*pigret* ‘affects with revulsion’ (Enn.).

Plt. \**pig-ē-* ‘to fill with revulsion’, \**pig-ro-* ‘inactive’.

The root etymology is unknown. Since OIc. *feikn* ‘crime’, OE *fācen*, OS *fēcan* [n.] ‘treason, anger’ probably belong to PGm. \**faiχ-* as in OE *fāh*, *fāg* ‘estimated’, NHG *feige*, they cannot be directly compared with Lat. *pig-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 300f., EM 506, IEW 795, LIV ?2. \**peī<sup>1</sup>(ǵ)-*.

**pignus, -eris/ -oris** ‘pledge, surety, hostage’ [n. *r*] (Pl.+)

Plt. \**peg-nos-*.

PIE \**peh<sub>2</sub>ǵ-n-?* IE cognates: Skt. *pajrā-* ‘solid, rocky’; see also s.v. *pangō*.

The etymology is uncertain, since one can imagine a meaning ‘pledge, surety’ to have originated from many different concrete usages. WH and IEW favour \**pik/g-no-* ‘festgestecktes’ to *pingō* (also Manessy-Guitton 1964), Knobloch 1977 proposes \**pek-nos-* ‘amount of cattle’, whereas de Lamberterie 1996: 138 suggests \**peg-no-* to *pangō* ‘to insert, fix’. The latter proposal seems more likely to me from the semantic side, but it is not certain. Also, it would require a PIE sound change \**peh<sub>2</sub>ǵ-no-* > \**peǵno-*, which is not generally accepted. De Lamberterie proposes an original *r/n*-stem from which *pignus* was derived.

Bibl.: WH II: 301, EM 506, IEW 794f., LIV \**peh<sub>2</sub>ǵ-*. → *pangō*

**pīla** ‘squared pillar or column’ [f. *ā*] (Cato+)

Derivatives: *pīlāre* ‘to fix firmly’ (Enn.+). It. cognates: O. *ehpeilatasset* [3p.pf.ps.] ‘to erect (a stele)’ < \**ē-* ‘out’ + a denom. verb to \**pīla-*.

Etymology unknown.

Bibl.: WH II: 302, EM 506f., Untermann 2000: 201 f.

**pilleus (-m)** ‘felt cap’ [m. (n.) *o*] (Pl.+)

IE cognates: Ru. *polst’*, Po. *pilść*, SCr. *pūst* (dial.) ‘felt’ < PSI. \**p<sub>1</sub>lst<sub>1</sub>*, OHG *filz* ‘felt’ [m.].

Driessen 2004: 30 considers *pilleus* to be unrelated to Gr. *πίλος* ‘felt’ (< \**pis-lo-*), and etymologically obscure.

Bibl.: WH II: 303f., EM 507, IEW 830.

**pīlus** ‘hair’ [m. *o*] (Varro+)

Derivatives: *pīla* ‘ball’ (Pl.+), *pīlōsus* ‘hairy’ (Varro+); *dēpīlātus* ‘having one’s hair plucked’ (Lucil.+); *compīlāre* ‘to rob, steal’ (Pl.+).

The appurtenance of *pīla* requires an interpretation of ‘ball’ as ‘bundle of hair’.

Bibl.: WH II: 302, 304f., EM 506f., IEW 830.

**pingō, -ere** ‘to colour, paint’ [v. III; pf. *pīnxī*, ppp. *pictum*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *pictor* ‘painter’ (Pl.+), *pictūra* ‘painting, picture’ (Pl.+), *pigmentum* ‘paint, tint’ (Pl.+); *appingere* ‘to paint on, add’ (Varro+), *dēpingere* ‘to paint, describe’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*ping-e/o-* ‘to paint’, *\*pikto-* ‘painted’.

PIE *\*pi-n-k-* ‘to paint, adorn’. IE cognates: MW *goruc* [3s.], MCo. *gruk* ‘made’ [suppl. pret. to ‘make’] < *\*uor-ūk-* < PCl. *\*-φi-φoik-* [pf.]; Skt. *piṃśati* [3s.act.] ‘to adorn, carve out’, aor. *piśāná-* ‘adorning’, *piśtá-* ‘adorned’, YAv. *fra-pixšta-* ‘id.’, *pis-* ‘adornment’, YAv. *aṅku.paēsəmna-* ‘adorning herself with hooks’, OP *apinθa* [3p.ipf.act.] ‘to adorn’, *ni-pišta-* ‘written down’, Gr. ποικίλος ‘multicoloured, coloured, stitched with many colours’, Lith. *piēšti* ‘to draw lines, adorn’, OCS *psati* ‘to write’, OHG *fēh* ‘multicoloured’ < PGm. *\*faiχ-*; ToB *piñkem*, ToB A *pikiñc* ‘they paint, write’.

Most IE forms show a root *\*piḱ-*; Latin seems to require *\*pi(ḡ)-*, but can also go back to a nasal present *\*pi-n-k-n-* (cf. *pandō*, *mungō*). A nasal present is also attested in Skt. and Toch.

Bibl.: WH II: 305f., EM 508, IEW 794f., Leumann 1977: 151, Schrijver 1991: 499f., Meiser 2003: 114, Schumacher 2004: 519f., LIV 1. *\*pejg-*, *\*pejḱ-*.

**pinguis** ‘fat, greasy’ [adj. *i*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *pinguēdō* ‘fatness’ (Varro+), *pinguitūdō* ‘fatness, greasiness’ (Cato+), *pinguēscere* ‘to grow fat’ (Lucr.+).

Plt. *\*pingu-*?

PIE *\*piH-n-* ‘fat’? IE cognates: Skt. *pīvan-* ‘fat, swollen’ [adj.], *páyas-* [n.] ‘milk’, Av. *paēman-* ‘mother’s milk’ [n.], MoP *pīnu* ‘sour milk’, Gr. πῆαρ [n.] ‘fat, tallow’ (< *\*piH-ur*), Lith. *pienas*, Latv. *piēns* ‘milk’ (< *\*po/eiH-no-*).

Since adj. in *-ui-* mostly go back to *u-* stems, the earlier form may have been *\*pingu-*. The etymologies put forward so far can be discarded. There is no adj. *\*pīmo-* in Latin (cf. *opīmus* s.v. *ops*), nor can a contamination of *\*piHyo-* ‘fat’ with *\*finguis* < *\*b<sup>h</sup>ng<sup>h</sup>-u-i-* (Gr. παχύς ‘thick’) seriously be considered (WH). *Pinguis* can only continue PIE *\*b<sup>h</sup>ng<sup>h</sup>-u-* if we assume that a version of Grassmann’s Law also operated in a prestage of Latin (*\*fnχu-* > *\*pnχu-*), which is unwarranted. Semantically, it would be most attractive to derive *pinguis* from the PIE root *\*piH-* ‘to increase, be abundant’, from which e.g. Lith. *pienas* ‘milk’, the n. Ilr. *\*paiH-as-* ‘milk’, and the adj. PIE *\*piH-uen-* / *-uer-ih<sub>2</sub>-* ‘fat, fertile’ are derived. Latin *pin-* could go back to an *n-* stem *\*piH-n-* (with Osthoff’s shortening of the long vowel in front of resonant plus consonant), but the element *-gui-* remains unclear.

Bibl.: WH II: 306, EM 508, IEW 127f., 793f., Leumann 1977: 165.

**pīnsō, -ere** ‘to pound, crush’ [v. III; ppp. *\*pistum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *pīnsitāre* ‘to pound continually’ (Pl.), *pīla* ‘mortar’ (Cato+), *pīlum* ‘pestle, pounder’ (Pl.+), *pīlum* ‘javelin, pike’ (Pl.+), *pistillum/s* ‘pestle’ (Pl.+), *pistor* ‘pounder, miller, baker’ (Pl.+), *pistrīx* ‘female pounder’ (Lucil.), *pistrīnum* ‘mill / bakery’ (Pl.+), *pistrīna* ‘id.’ (Lucil.+), *pistrīlla* ‘id.’ (Ter.).

Plt. *\*pīns-* ‘to grind’, *\*pīstlo-* ‘pounder, mortar’.

PIE *\*pi-n-s-* ‘to grind’ [pr.]. IE cognates: Skt. *pināṣṭi* [3s.act.], *piṃśānti* [3p.act.] ‘to crush, grind’, *piśtá-* ‘ground’, YAv. *piśant-* ‘crushing, bruising’, *piśtra-* [m.] ‘bruise, injury’, MP *pist* ‘flour’, Gr. πτίσσω ‘to winnow grain, bray’, ἄπιστος ‘unground’,



πίσμα 'peeled or winnowed grain', πῖλος [m.] 'felt', πῖλέω 'to make felt' < \**pis-lo-*; Lith. *paisyti* 'to cut off the beards of chaff, to peel', *pisti* 'to mate', RuCS *pъchati* 'to thrust, sprout', OCS *pъšeno* 'millet' < \**pis-en-om*.

Lat. *pīlum* probably reflects \**pis-tlo-*, as shown by *pist-illum*. Whether *pīlum* 'javelin' is really the same word is uncertain, but can be defended: 'javelin' would be a secondary development from 'pestle'.

Bibl.: WH II: 302, 307f., EM 508f., IEW 796, Leumann 1977: 208, Meiser 1998: 119, LIV \**peis-*.

**pīnus** 'pine-tree, pine-wood' [f. *u/o*] (Enn.+)

Derivatives: *pīneus* 'of the pine-tree, of pine-wood' (Cato+).

Several etymologies are possible. One may think of the same basis as Gr. πίναξ, -ακος 'wooden board, plank', or the same root as Gr. πίνυς, -υος 'pine-tree', Alb. *pishë*. This may well be a non-IE tree name. Lat. *-in-* may also continue a complex consonant cluster, such as \**pit-sno-* or \**pik-sno-*. The latter could be connected with *pix* 'pitch, resin', which is attractive from the semantic side: pine-trees are characterised by their resin.

Bibl.: WH II: 308, EM 509, IEW 793f., Schrijver 1991: 231.

**pīpō, -āre** 'to chirp, cheep (of birds)' [v. I] (Lucil.+)

Derivatives: *pīpātus, -ūs* 'the cheeping of young birds' (Varro), *pīpulus/m* 'shrill sound' (Pl.+), *pīpiō* 'to cheep' (Cat.+).

Plt. \**pīp-*.

IE cognates: Skt. *pīppakā-* 'a species of bird', Gr. πικ(π)ίζειν 'to squeak, peep'; Lith. *piēpti* 'to squeak, peep', NHG *piepen* 'to squeak, peep'.

An onomatopoeic formation, imitating the sound of young birds.

Bibl.: WH II: 309, EM 509, IEW 830.

**pīrum** 'pear' [n. *o*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *pīrus* [f.] 'pear-tree' (Cato+).

IE cognates: Gr. ἄπιον 'pear', ἄπιος [f.] 'pear-tree'.

Loanword from a Mediterranean language. Steinbauer 1989: 69 proposes to derive the Latin and Greek words from PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>pis-o-*, but this does not explain why Latin has *pīru-* instead of \**peru-*. In a loanword, it may be due to the borrowing scenario. Also, a PIE root \**h<sub>2</sub>pis-* would be in conflict with the observation that PIE roots usually show decreasing sonority towards the left and right borders (the only possible exception in LIV is \**h<sub>2</sub>teug-*). One might assume a root \**h<sub>2</sub>p-* with a suffix \**-is-*, but this is an unusual suffix form.

Bibl.: WH II: 309f., EM 510, Leumann 1977: 51.

**piscis** 'fish' [m. *i*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *piscārī* 'to fish' (Pl.+), *piscārius* 'of fish' (Pl.+), *piscātor* 'fisherman' (Pl.+), *piscātōrius* 'of catching and selling fish' (Pl.+), *piscātus, -ūs* 'the fishing'

(Pl.+), *pisciculus* ‘little fish’ (Ter.+), *piscīna* ‘fishpond, pool’ (Pl.+), *piscīnārius* ‘of fishponds’ (Pl.+), *piscīnēnsis* ‘haunting swimming-baths’ (Lucil.), *pisculentus* ‘teeming with fish’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*piski-* ‘fish’.

PIE *\*pisk-* ‘fish’. IE cognates: OIr. *īasc* < *\*peisko-*, gen.sg. *ēisc* ‘fish’; Go. *fisks* [m.], OIc. *fiskr*, OHG OE *fisk* ‘fish’ < *\*pisko-*.

The apparent ablaut between Italic and Gm. *\*pisk-* and Celtic *\*peisk-* is difficult to account for: a root noun *\*pis-* / *\*peis-*? We find words denoting ‘loach, gudgeon’ of a similar form in Slavic: Ru. *piskár*, *peskár* [m.], Po. *piskorz*, Bulg. *piskál* ‘gudgeon’, SCr. *piskor* ‘murray’ < PSl. *\*peis-(s)k-*. Yet these could also be derived from the verb ‘to squeak’ (OCS *piskati*, Ru. *piščát*), cf. Lith. *pyplỹs* ‘loach, gudgeon’ vs. *pỹpti* ‘squeak’ or Pl. *sykawiec* ‘loach’ vs. *sykać* ‘hiss’ (Vasmer 1950-58 s.v. *piskár*).

Bibl.: WH II: 310, EM 510, IEW 796.

**pītuīta** ‘mucus, phlegm, purulous discharge’ [f. *ā*] (Cato+)

WH and EM connect Skt. *pītu-dāru* ‘kind of tree’ (ŚBr.+), which is just a wild guess (cf. Schrijver). Lat. *pītu-* may belong to the root *\*piH-* ‘to be fat, abound’, but this is without any morphological support, nor is it semantically obvious. Finally, one may derive it from the same root as *pīnus*, hence *\*pī-tu-* ‘resin-like substance’. In short, the etymology is unknown.

Bibl.: WH II: 311, EM 510, IEW 793f., Schrijver 1991: 231. → *pīnus*

**pius** ‘faithful, conscientious’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *impius* ‘disrespectful’ (Andr.+); *pietās* ‘dutiful respect’ (Naev.+), *impietās* ‘failure in respect’ (Pl.+), *piāre* ‘to propitiate, cleanse by expiation’ (Pl.+), *piāculum* ‘sin, victim, expiatory offering’ (Pl.+), *piāculāris* ‘expiatory’ (Pl.+), *piāculāre* ‘to make atonement to’ (Cato+), *expiāre* ‘to make atonement for, expiate’ (Cato+).

Plt. *\*pwījo-*. It. cognates: Pael. *pes* [nom.sg.m.], Vol. *pihom* [nom.sg.n.], O. **pihiúí** [dat.sg.m.], Marr. *peai* [dat.sg.f.], O. *πεηεδ* [adv.] ‘pious, correct’ < *\*pī-jo-*; U. *pihatu*, **pehatu** [3s.ipv.II], *pihafi*, *pihafei* [inf.], **pihaz**, *pihos* [ppp. nom.sg.m.], *pihaner*, *pehaner*, *peihaner* [gdve., gen.sg.m.], **prupehast** [*prō* + 3s.fut.] ‘to reconcile’ < *\*piā-*, denom. to *\*pījo-*; U. *pihaclu* [abl.sg.], *pihaclo*, **pihaklu** [gen.pl.] ‘peace-offering’ < *\*piā-tlo-*.

PIE *\*puH-io-* ‘purifying’. IE cognates: see s.v. *pūrus*.

Lat. *pius* is regularly scanned with a short first syllable in the OLat. literature (thus TLL); only a few inscriptions show a long first vowel. The exact development leading from *\*puH-io-* to *pius* is disputed. Schrijver 1991 and Meiser 1998 favour Thurneysen’s *pius*-rule, according to which *\*pūjo-* was unlauded to *\*pījo-*. Yet Schrijver 2003: 77 objects that this seems unlikely, since short *\*u* does not undergo umlaut by a following *\*i*. Alternatively, a preform *\*pwījo-* has been proposed, cf. Leumann 1977: 187. Schrijver 2003: 77f. and 2006: 50 proposes a sound law *\*(C)RHjV > \*(C)Rī(j)V* which would fit the sequence of *\*puH-io-* (*u* standing for *R*), hence > *\*puHījo-* > *\*pwiHjo-* > *\*pwījo-* > *\*pījo-*. See the discussion s.v. *fiō*.

Bibl.: WH II: 311f., EM 510f., Schrijver 1991: 322-324, Meiser 1998: 86, Untermann 2000: 552-555, LIV 1.\*peuH-. → *pūrus*

**pix, picis** 'pitch' [f. k] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *picāre* 'to smear with pitch, tar' (Cato+).

PIt. \**pik-*.

PIE \**pik-* 'pitch'. IE cognates: Gr. πίσσα, Att. πίττα f. 'pitch' < \**pik-ja*, πίττάκιον 'writing tablet', Lith. *pikis*, Latv. *piķis* 'pitch', OCS *ръсѣлъ* 'pitch' [m.], Ru. *péklo* 'scorching heat, (coll.) hell', SCr. *pàkao* 'pitch, hell' < \**pik-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 312, EM 511, IEW 793f.

**placeō** 'to be pleasing' [v. II; *placuī, placitum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: (1) *placidus* 'quiet, peaceful' (Naev.+), *placiditās* 'quietness' (Varro+), *placitāre* 'to be very pleasing' (Pl.); *complacēre* 'to capture the affections of, be agreed' (Pl.+), *displacēre* 'to displease, be dissatisfied' (Pl.+), *perpli/acēre* 'to be thoroughly pleasing' (Pl.+); (2) *plācāre* 'to make favourably disposed, appease' (Pl.+), *plācābilis* 'quick to forgive, placatory' (Ter.+).

PIt. \**plak-ē-*, \**plāk-ā-*.

PIE \**pl(e)h<sub>2/3</sub>k-* 'to agree/be pleasant'. IE cognates: ToAB *plāk-* 'to agree', ToA *plākām*, ToB *plāki* 'permission'.

The only direct comparandum are the Tocharian words in *plāk-*. *Plācāre* is probably a denominal verb, the basis of which may have been a noun \**plHk-* or \**pleh<sub>2</sub>k-*. The verb *placēre* is regarded as having a secondary full grade *ā* made to original *-ā-* because of the *ē*-stative (Schrijver 1991, LIV). If the root was \**plh<sub>2</sub>k-*, it might be identical with \**plh<sub>2</sub>k-* 'to hit' as attested in Gr. πλήσσω 'to strike, smite', Lith. *plàkti* 'to whip', Slav. *plakati* 'to weep'. But semantically, this connection is far from easy.

Bibl.: WH II: 313, EM 511, IEW 831f., Steinbauer 1989: 142, Schrijver 1991: 181f., LIV ?\*pleh<sub>3</sub>k-.

**plaga** 'open expanse, territory; counterpane; net, web' [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *plagula* 'piece of material; coverlet, veil' (Afran+).

Semantically, we find Gr. and Gm. forms which are close to *plaga*: Gr. πλάγιος 'athwart, sideways', πλάγια [n.] 'sides, flanks'; OHG *flah* 'flat', OS *flaka* 'sole of the foot'. Yet formally this is not evident: we find only nominal forms, and no verbs; to explain Latin *plag-*, we would need a secondary full grade *ā* next to an original ablaut variant \**plāg-*, as with *placeo*. There is no evidence for the variant \**plāg-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 314, EM 511, IEW 831f.

**plangō, -ere** 'to beat, strike; mourn, bewail' [v. III; pf. *plānxī*, ppp. *plānctum*] (Lucr.+)

Derivatives: *plāga* 'blow, stroke, wound' (Pl.+); *plāgiger* 'much-beaten' (Pl.).

PIt. \**plāng-e/o-* > \**plang-e/o-* 'to hit', \**plāg-ā-*.

PIE \**plh<sub>2</sub>-n-g-* [pr.] 'to hit', \**pl(e)h<sub>2</sub>g-h<sub>2</sub>-* [f.]. IE cognates: Gr. πλάζω 'to drive off course', aor. ἐπλήγην, ps. πλάγθη 'was beaten', Go. *faisflokun* 'to hit, flog', OS

*flōkan* ‘to curse’.

*Plangō* can be derived from PIE ‘to hit’ via a semantic shift to ‘to hit oneself on the breast’, whence ‘to wail, mourn’.

Bibl.: WH II: 315, EM 511f., IEW 832f., Schrijver 1991: 223, LIV \*pleh<sub>2</sub>g-.

**planta** ‘sole of the foot’ [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *dēplantāre* ‘to break off, sever’ (Varro+), *supplantāre* ‘to trip up, cause to stumble’ (Cic.+); *planta* ‘young, shoot (of a plant)’ (Cato+).

Plt. \*plānt-*ā*-.

PIE \*pl(e)h<sub>2</sub>-nt-h<sub>2</sub>- ‘the flat one’ or ‘the approaching one’. IE cognates: see s.v. *plānus* and *pellō*.

WH and EM agree that *planta* ‘shoot of a plant’ was back-formed to an unattested verb \**plantāre* ‘to level the earth’ or ‘to plant crops with the sole of the foot’. In either case, both words *planta* go back to the same preform. *Planta* has been derived from \*plh<sub>2</sub>- ‘flat, wide’, but a nasal infix would lead to \*plt-n-h<sub>2</sub>- > \*pland-. Furthermore, a nasal infix would be without support elsewhere in IE. In view of *palma* ‘handpalm’ < \*plh<sub>2</sub>-em-, *planta* might also be derived from the root ‘flat, wide (part of the foot)’. Possible preforms would be \*plh<sub>2</sub>-nt- and \*pleh<sub>2</sub>-nt-, both yielding Plt. \*plānt-, shortened to \*plant- in accordance with Osthoff’s Law (cf. Schrijver 1991: 223). Since the suffix usually indicates an agent, the noun might also be derived from \*plh<sub>2</sub>- ‘to approach’. Since this verb mainly means ‘to push, thrust’ in Latin (cf. *pellō*), the sole of the foot might be denominated as the part which ‘beats’ against the ground when walking.

Bibl.: WH II: 316f., EM 512, IEW 833f., Leumann 1977: 201, Schrijver 1991: 487, Sihler 1995: 111, LIV \*pelh<sub>2</sub>- (?). → *palma*, *pellō*, *plānus*

**plānus** ‘level, flat’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *plānitiēs* ‘flatness, level ground’ (Sis.+); *displānāre* ‘to flatten’ (Varro+), *explānāre* ‘to spread out, explain’ (Ter.+); *plāniloquus* ‘outspoken’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \*plāno-.

PIE \*pl(e)h<sub>2</sub>-nó- ‘flattened’. IE cognates: Hit. *palhi-* / *palhai-* ‘wide, broad’ < \*plh<sub>2</sub>-i-, *palhatar-* / *palhann-* [n.] ‘width’, OPr. *plonis* ‘threshing floor’, Lith. *plónas* ‘thin’, Latv. *plāns* ‘flat, even, thin’, *plāns* ‘threshing floor’ < \*pleh<sub>2</sub>-no-.

Bibl.: WH II: 318, EM 512f., IEW 805-807, Schrijver 1991: 182. → *palma*, *planta*

**plaudō, -ere** ‘to clap, pat’ [v. III; *plausī, plausum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *plausus, -ūs* ‘clapping of hands, approval’ (Naev.+); *applau/ōdere* ‘to clap the hands, strike’ (Pl.+), *displō/ūdere* ‘to spread out, burst apart’ (Varro+), *explōdere* ‘to reject off the stage by clapping, reject’ (Afran.+); *ap(p)lūda* ‘chaff’ (Naev.+).

Plt. \*plau-d-e/o- ‘to clap the hands’.

PIE \*plh<sub>2</sub>-u- ‘palm of the hand, sole of the foot’.

It is uncertain whether *ō* is the original vowel (and *-au-* a hypercorrect restoration) or whether *au* is original, and *ō* arose secondarily. In view of the cognate form *plautus*, it

seems more likely that *au* was original. Livingston (2004: 52) explains *ap(p)lūda* from *\*at-plaud-a* ‘what is beaten out, off’. Oettinger 1979 and Meiser 1998 reconstruct *\*plh<sub>2</sub>-u-h<sub>2</sub>-* ‘palm of the hand’, whence a denom. verb *\*plh<sub>2</sub>-u-d-* ‘to clap the hands’ was derived in Latin. Against the appurtenance of Hit. *palu<sup>ae</sup>-zi* ‘to cry out, shout for joy’, see Kloekhorst 2008: 623. If Hittite is left out, the stem may have been *\*plh<sub>2</sub>-u-* ‘palm of the hand’, from which the Latin verb was derived. The same basis can be found in Pit. *\*plauto-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 319, EM 513, IEW 838, Leumann 1977: 72, Oettinger 1979: 372, Meiser 1998: 193. → *plautus*

**plautus** ‘flat, with flat feet’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Paul. *ex F.*; var. *plōtus*)

Derivatives: *Plautus* PN (Pl.+).

Pit. *\*plauto-* ‘flattened, with flat feet’. It. cognates: O. **plavtad** [abl.sg.] ‘sole of the foot or of a shoe’ < *\*plautā-*, U. *preplotatu, preplohohatu* [3s.ipv.II] ‘must crush, stamp down’, denom. verb to *\*plautā-*.

PIE *\*plh<sub>2</sub>-u-* ‘palm of the hand, sole of the foot’. IE cognates: Lith. *plau̯ksta* ‘flat of the hand, palm’, Ru. *pljusná* ‘metatarsus’, *pljusk* ‘flattened spot’ < *\*pleh<sub>2</sub>-u-k-*? The vowels do not fit completely.

Bibl.: WH II: 320, EM 513, IEW 838, Untermann 2000: 563, 573f. → *plaudō*

**plēbēs, plēbēī** ‘citizens, the common people’ [f. *ē̄*] (Pl.+; the var. *plēbs, -is* is somewhat more recent)

Derivatives: *plēbēius* ‘of the common people’ (Pl.+), *plēbitās* ‘the rank of a plebeian’ (Cato+), *plēbiscitum* ‘resolution of the *plebs*’ (Pl.+).

Pit. *\*plēpū-*, *\*plēpw-* [f.], *\*plēpro-* [adj.]. It. cognates: O. **plifriks** [nom.sg.] ‘*plēbicus*’.

PIE *\*pléh<sub>1</sub>-d<sup>h</sup>-uh<sub>1</sub>* [nom.], *-uh<sub>1</sub>-m* [acc.], *\*-ueh<sub>1</sub>-s* [gen.] ‘fullness’. IE cognates: Gr. *πληθῦς, -ύος* [f.] ‘crowd’.

Schrijver 1991 reconstructs a Pit. hysterodynamic paradigm nom. *\*plēfūs*, acc. *\*plēfēm*, gen. *\*plēfes*, with an early replacement of the nom.sg. by *\*plēfēs* on the model of the accusative. Kortlandt (1997b: 160) objects that Latin *-b-* < *\*-pw-* can hardly be explained from a HD paradigm, and instead proposes a proterodynamic inflection. The Oscan adj. goes back to *\*plēbriko-* ‘of the people’, which suggests an earlier adj. *\*pleh<sub>1</sub>-d<sup>h</sup>-ro-*. The noun may have been formed on the basis of the present stem *\*pleh<sub>1</sub>-d<sup>h</sup>-* attested in OAv. *frāda-* ‘to stimulate, thrive’, Gr. *πλήθω* ‘to fill oneself, become full’. The interpretation as a *u*-stem given in Klingenschmitt 1992: 127 and Meiser 1998 does not explain the f. gender.

Bibl.: WH II: 320f., EM 513f., IEW 798-801, Schrijver 1991: 380f., Meiser 1998: 149, Adiego 2001, LIV *\*pleh<sub>1</sub>-*. → *pleō*

**plectō, -ere** ‘to plait, twine’ [v. III; *plexī, plexum*] (Laev.+)

Derivatives: (I) *plectilis* ‘plaited’ (Pl.+); *amplectī* [pr.ptc. *amplectēns* Andr.] ‘to hold in the arms, grasp, embrace’ (Andr.+), *plexus, -ūs* ‘clasping, embrace’ (Lucret.+), *amplexārī* ‘to clasp, welcome’ (Pl.+), *complectū/-ere* [pf. *complexus*] ‘to

embrace, include, seize' (Pl.+), *complexus*, *-ūs* 'encircling, embrace' (Pac.+), *implectere* 'to interlace' (Lucr.+), *perplexārī* 'to muddle up' (Pl.), *perplexus* 'entangled, complicated' (Lucr.+), *perplexābilis* 'puzzling' (Pl.), *perplexim* 'id.' (Pl.); (2) *plicāre* 'to fold, twine' (Lucr.+), *plicātrīx* 'who folds clothes' (Pl.); *applicāre* 'to bring in contact with, apply' (Pl.+), *complicāre* 'to fold together' (Pl.+), *displicāre* 'to scatter' (Varro), *explicāre* 'to unfold, disentangle, extend' (Pl.+), *implicāre* 'to entwine, enfold, involve' (Pl.+), *implicāscere* 'to seize' (Pl.+), *perplicātus* 'tangled' (Lucr.), *replicāre* 'to fold back, bend back' (Cato+); (3) *supplex*, *-icis* [adj.] 'suppliant' (Pl.+), *supplicāre* 'to entreat, worship' (Pl.+), *supplicium* 'satisfaction, entreaty' (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*plekt-*, *\*-plek-ā-*.

PIE *\*plek-t-e/o-* 'to plait, twine'. IE cognates: Skt. *praśna-* [m.] 'turban', YAv. *arazatō.frašna-* [adj.] 'having a golden helmet/coat of mail' < *\*ple/ok-no-*, Gr. *πλέκω* 'to braid, wind, twine', *πλόκος* [m.] 'twine, wreath', *πλοχμοί* [m.pl.] 'locks of hair', OCS *plesti*, 1s. *pletŭ* 'to plait', Ru. *plestī*, SCr. *plèsti* < *\*plekt-*; OIc. *flétta*, OHG *flehtan* 'to plait'.

Lat. *plectere* represents an inherited verb *\*plek-t-*, also attested in Gm. and BSl. *Amplectī* contains the preverb *amb-*. In *-plicāre* < *\*-plek-ā-*, we are probably dealing with denominal verbs to compound adj. The simplex *plicāre* was backformed to these compound verbs, and is attested more recently. *Supplex* < *\*sub-plVk-* may contain a root noun to *-plicāre*, with the meaning 'to bend upwards, beg', but it has also been interpreted as a derivative of the root *\*plak-* of *placeō*. A possible group of cognates was seen by Szemerényi 1989: 27f. in Germ. *\*fliχ-* or *\*fleχ-*, as reflected in Go. *ga-plaihan* 'to entreat', OHG *flehon*, *flehe* 'desire' [f.], but this would render *supplex* isolated within Latin.

Bibl.: WH II: 321, EM 514f., IEW 834f., Leumann 1977: 539, LIV *\*plek-*. → *-plex*

**plector** 'to be punished, be beaten' [v. III] (Pl.+)

IE cognates: Lith. *plėkti* 'to beat', *plàkti* 'to beat'?

The proposed IE etymology is weak. It cannot be excluded that this verb is just a specific semantic development of *plectō* 'to plait'.

Bibl.: WH II: 321f., EM 515, IEW 832f., Leumann 1977: 539.

**-pleō** 'to fill' [v. II]: *complēre* 'to fill, complete' (Naev.+), *dēplēre* 'to drain, empty' (Cato+), *explēre* 'to fill up, satisfy, achieve' (Pl.+), *implēre* 'to fill out, cover' (Pl.+), *explēmunt* (Paul. ex F.), *plentur* (Paul. ex F.), *replēre* 'to fill (up), stuff' (Pl.+), *supplēre* 'to fill up, complement' (Cato+)

Derivatives: *plēnus* 'full' (Pl.+); *plērus* 'the greater part, most of' (Cato, Pac.), *plērusque* [adj.; usually pl.] 'greater part of, most of' (Naev.+), *plērumque* [adv.] 'mostly, often'; *explēmētum* 'filling' (Pl.+); *locuplēs*, *-ētis* 'wealthy' (Pl.+), *locuplētāre* 'to make wealthy' (Acc.+), *collocuplētāre* 'to enrich' (Ter.+).

Plt. *\*plē(-je/o)-* [pr.], *\*plēno-* 'full', *\*plēro-* 'most of', *\*-plē-t-*. It. cognates: U. *pleno* [nom.acc.pl.n.?], *plener* [abl.pl.n.] 'full'; U. *plenasier* [dat.abl.pl.] 'the Ides'.

PIE *\*pleh<sub>1</sub>-* [aor.] 'to fill', *\*plh<sub>1</sub>-nó-* 'full', *\*pl(e)h<sub>1</sub>-ro-* 'full', *\*-pleh<sub>1</sub>-t-*. IE

cognates: OIr. *do·lin* ‘to (over)flow’ < PCl. *\*-ϕlini-*, OIr. *lín* ‘number’ (< *\*ϕlēnu-*), Skt. pr. *prñāti* [3s.act.], s-aor. *aprās* [23s.act.], root aor. *aprāt* [3s.act.] ‘to fill’, *pūrṇá-* ‘full’, *prātá-* ‘filled’, OAv. *parānā* [2s.pr.ipv.act.] ‘to fulfil, grant’, *zastō.frānō.masah-* ‘whose size fills the hand’; Gr. *πίμπλησι* [3s.pr.act.], *ἔπλησε* [3s.aor.act.] ‘to fill’, *πλήτο* ‘became full’, *πλήρης* ‘full’, *πληρότης* f. ‘fullness’, Arm. *lnow-* ‘to fill’, *lir* ‘fullness’, Lith. *pilnas*, Latv. *pīlns*, OCS *plъnъ*, Ru. *pólmyj* ‘full’ < *plh<sub>1</sub>-nó-*.

The pr. *plēre* may reflect PIt. *\*plē-* or *\*plē-je-*, probably built on a PIE root aorist *\*pleh<sub>1</sub>-*. The full grade *-ē-* was introduced into the adj. *\*plh<sub>1</sub>-no-*. The full grade may have been inherited in the *\*ro-*adj., and was certainly inherited in the *t-*stem *\*plē-t-*. According to Leumann 1977: 275, *locuplēt-* contains *\*loculus* ‘money-bag’ as its first member. But it may simply be ‘rich in lands’.

Bibl.: WH II: 322f., EM 515, IEW 798-801, Schrijver 1991: 139f., 184, Meiser 1998: 57, Untermann 2000: 563-565, Schumacher 2004: 524, LIV *\*pleh<sub>1</sub>-*. → *plēbēs*, *-plus*, *polleō*

### **-plex** ‘times’ [adj. *k*]

Derivatives: *simplex* ‘consisting of a single one, simple’ (Pl.+), *simplicitās* ‘singleness’ (Lucr.+); *duplex*, *-icis* ‘double’ (Pl.+), *duplicāre* ‘to double’ (Naev.+), *duplicārius* ‘who receives double rations’ (Varro+), *conduplicāre* ‘to double’ (Pl.+); *triplex* ‘triple, threefold’ (Pl.+), *quadruplex* ‘multiplied by four’ (Pl.+), *quadruplicāre* ‘to quadruple’ (Pl.+), *decemplex* ‘tenfold’ (Varro+), *dēcemplicāre* ‘to multiply by ten’ (Varro), *centu(m)plex* ‘hundredfold’ (Pl.), *multiplex* ‘many together, consisting of many’ (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*-plek-s*. It. cognates: maybe U. **tuplak** [acc.sg.n.] ‘?’.

PIE *\*dui-plk-* ‘twofold’. IE cognates: Gr. *δίπλαξ*, *-κος* ‘in two layers, double’; subst. ‘mantle’, *τρίπλαξ* ‘threefold’ < *\*-plk-s*.

Probably, *duplex* was the archetype of this category of compounds. In *duplex*, *du-* is the productive form of ‘two’, taken from *duo*. EM derive *-plex* from *\*plek-* ‘to plait, twine’, hence ‘-fold’. Semantically this seems a good match. WH argue that *-plex* did not derive from *\*plek-* ‘to plait’ but from (the root of) *plaga* ‘surface, area’, but this seems much less likely to me. Full grade *duplex* is probably cognate with zero-grade *\*du-i-plk-* in Greek. The appurtenance of the U. form **-plak**, the meaning of which is unknown, is difficult from a root *\*plk-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 383, II: 540, EM 514, IEW 802f., Untermann 2000: 775, Lindner 2002: 228, LIV *\*plek-*. → *plectō*, *-plus*

### **plōrō, -āre** ‘to cry, weep’ [v. IV] (Lex XII, Pl.+)

Derivatives: *plōrātillus* ‘inclined to weep’ (Pl.), *plōrātus*, *-ūs* ‘wailing, crying’ (Lucr.+); *implōrāre* ‘to make supplication for, invoke’ (Pl.+), *explōrāre* ‘to inspect, inquire’ (Pl.+), *explōrātor* ‘investigator, spy’ (Pl.+).

No etymology. WH and EM regard the ancient explanation as not unlikely, viz. that the verb *explōrāre* originally meant ‘to scout the hunting area for game by means of shouting’. Leumann follows Szemerényi in suggesting a dissimilation from *\*prōrāre* (< *\*prō ōrāre?*). This seems less likely: the type of compound is strange, and one

might just as well expect *\*prōlāre*.

Bibl.: WH I: 430f., II: 323f., EM 206, 516, IEW 831, Leumann 1977: 231.

**plūma** ‘feather’ [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *plūmātilis* ‘feathered’ (Pl.), *plūmeus* ‘resembling a feather, feathery’ (Pl.+), *plūmārius* ‘with’ of feathers’ (Varro+), *plūmula* ‘little feather; protecting structure’ (Varro+).

PIt. *\*plou(k)-smo-?*

PIE *\*ple/ou/(k)-(s)mo-*.

Probably a deverbal noun in *\*-mo-*, possibly from a root *\*pleu-* or *\*pleu-k-* ‘to swim, float (in the air)’. *Plūma* could go back to PIE *\*pleu-mo-* or *\*plou-mo-* ‘flying’, to *\*ple/ou-smo-* ‘flying instrument’, or to *\*ple/ouk-smo-*. In view of OPr. *plauxdine* ‘featherbed’, Lith. *plūnksna* ‘feather’ (< *\*plunHk-sneh<sub>2</sub>*), WH and EM seem to favour a derivative in *\*-smo-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 324f., EM 516, IEW 838, LIV *\*pleu-*, *\*pleuk-*. → *pluō*

**plumbum** ‘lead’ [n. *o*] (Pac.+)

Derivatives: *plumbeus* ‘made of lead’ (Pl.+), *plumbāre* ‘to fasten with lead’ (Cato+).

IE cognates: OIr. *lúaide* ‘lead’.

Beekes 1999 has persuasively shown that *plumbum* can hardly be related to Mycenaean *mo-ri-wo-do*, Gr. *μόλιβδος* ‘lead’, but more probably to Celtic *\*pl(o)udʰo-* – which was borrowed into Germanic as *\*laud-*. This has been etymologised as ‘the flowable (metal)’ to the root *\*pleu-*. This is formally possible for Celtic, but not for *plumbum* since *\*plu-dʰ-* would yield Lat. *\*plub-*, and the *-m-* must also be explained. Thus, ‘lead’ may well be a loanword from a different language. Boutkan-Kossmann 1999: 92 point to the Berber word for lead (*\*βāldūn/m*, *\*būldūn*), which they consider a loanword into Berber. This, then, may have been the same word which eventually entered into Latin as *plumbum*.

Bibl.: WH II: 325f., EM 516, EIEC 347, Beekes 1999.

**pluō, pluit** ‘to rain’ [v. III; pf. *plūī*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *pluvius* ‘of rain, rainy’ (Lex XII+), *pluvia* ‘rain’ (Cato+), *pluor* [m.] ‘rain’ (Lab.); *compluit* ‘rain-water runs together’ (Varro), *compluvium* ‘rain-opening in the roof’ (Varro+), *compluviātus* ‘shaped like a compluvium’ (Varro+), *impluere* ‘to rain (upon, into)’ (Pl.+), *impluvium* ‘basin in the atrium, rain-opening in the roof’ (Pl.+), *impluviātus* ‘like an impluvium’ (Pl.), *perpluere* ‘to rain through, leak’ (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*plow-e/o-* ‘to rain’.

PIE *\*pleu-e/o-* [pr.] ‘to swim, float’. IE cognates: OIr. *luithir*, *fo-luathar* ‘to fly, move’ (< *\*plou-éie-*), *luīd\**, *-lú\** ‘to drink’ < *\*plus-e/o-* (?) ‘to drench’ < *\*pleu-s-*, OIr. *loimm*, MW *llymeit*, MBret. *mo(u)mm* ‘a swallow, a drink’ (< *\*plus-mn*); Skt. *pláva-* ‘to swim, float’, *plutá-* ‘flooded, swimming’, *plavá-* [m.] ‘light raft, float’, YAv. *fra-frāuuaiia-* ‘to make sth. float away’, *dunmō.frut-* ‘flying with the clouds’, Gr. *πλέω* ‘to sail, to swim’, *πλόος* [m.] ‘shipping’, *πλύνω* ‘to wash’; Lith. *pláuti* ‘to rinse, wash off’, OCS *pluti* ‘to flow, sail’; OHG *flouwen* ‘to rinse, to wash’.



It is mostly assumed that *pluit* was backformed from the compounded verbs, since one would otherwise expect *\*plovit*; cf. *fluō*. The semantics presuppose a metaphorical use of ‘to swim, float’ for the action of (pouring) rain.

Bibl.: WH II: 326f., EM 516f., IEW 835-837, Meiser 1998: 194, Meiser 2003: 126, 234, Schumacher 2004: 463, 525f., LIV *\*pleu-*. → *plūma*

**-plus ‘times’** [adj. *o/ā*]

Derivatives: *simplus* [adj.] ‘the simple amount’ (Pl.+), *duplus* [adj.] ‘double, twofold’ (Pl.+), *dupliō* [f.] ‘twice as much’ (Lex XII+), *quadruplus* ‘four times as much’ (Lex XII+), *quadruplāre* ‘to quadruple’ (Pl.+), *quadruplātor* ‘bringer of a criminal accusation, multiplier’ (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*dwipto-* >> *\*duplo-*. It. cognates: U. *tupler* [dat.abl.pl.m.], *dupla* [acc.pl.f.] ‘two at a time, bīnī’, *tripler* [abl.pl.m.] ‘three at a time’.

PIE *\*-pl(H)-o-*. IE cognates: OIr. *diabul* ‘double’, Gr. ἀπλόος, ἀπλοῦς ‘single, simple’, διπλόος, διπλοῦς ‘double, twofold’, Lyc. *tbiplē* ‘two times (?)’; possibly Av. *bifra-* ‘comparison’, Go. *tweifls* ‘doubt’.

Lat. *manip(u)lus* might be from *\*manu-plo-* < *\*-plh<sub>1</sub>-o-* ‘a hand-ful’ (see *pleō*). For ‘double’, the other IE languages and the general usage in compounds point to *\*dui-pl(H)-o-*; hence, Italic has replaced *\*dui-* by *\*du-*, as it has in other possessive compounds containing ‘two’ (*duplex*, *ducentī*). The identity of the root is uncertain. Whereas *\*plh<sub>1</sub>-* ‘to fill’ makes good sense for *manipulus*, it is less attractive for the compounds with numerals (‘filling two’ > ‘twofold’?). Lindner has a root *\*pelh<sub>2</sub>-* ‘to fold’, a root which LIV does not acknowledge. One might compare the root *\*pelh<sub>2</sub>-* ‘to approach’ (see Latin *pellō* and *planta*), assuming *\*dui-plh<sub>2</sub>-o-* ‘in two goes’ > ‘double’. This remains speculative.

Bibl.: WH I: 383, EM 517, IEW 802f., Untermann 2000: 191, 767, Lindner 2002: 228. → *duo*, *-pleō*, *-plex*

**plūs, -ris ‘more’** [n. *i?*] (Lex XII+; *plous* SCBac.,)

Derivatives: *plūrēs* [adj. pl.] (Carmen Arvale *pleoris* [acc.pl.], Cic. 1x *ploeres*) ‘more (in number)’ (Pl.+), *plūrimus* (*plourime* CIL 9, ca. 230 AD, *plouruma* CIL 1861, *plūsima* Varro, *plūsima* Carmen Saliare apud Fest.) ‘the greatest number of, most’ (Andr.+); *plūrālis* ‘of more than one’ (Varro+), *plūsculus* [adj.] ‘a somewhat larger amount of’ (Ter.+), *plūsculum* [adv.] ‘to a rather greater degree, a little longer’ (Pl.+); *complūrēs* ‘a fair number, several’ (Pl.+), *complūsculī* ‘several, more than one’ (Pl.+), *complūriē(n)s* ‘several times’ (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*ple/o(H)is* [comp. n.] >> *\*plow-is*, sup. *\*ple(H)isamo-* >> *\*ploisamo-*.

PIE *\*plh<sub>1</sub>-u-* ‘much, many’, comp. *\*pleh<sub>1</sub>-ios-*, sup. *\*pleh<sub>1</sub>-ist(h<sub>2</sub>)o-*. IE cognates: OIr. *lia* ‘more’ (<< *\*lēš* < *\*pleh<sub>1</sub>-is*, cf. Jasanoff 1988-90); Skt. *prāyahaḥ*, *prāyena* [adv. < comp.] ‘for the most part’, Av. *frāiih-* ‘more, too much’, *frāēšta-* ‘most’; Gr. πλέων ‘more’, πλεῖστος ‘most, biggest’ < *\*pleh<sub>1</sub>-is-*, *-istHo-*; OIc. *fleiri* ‘more’, *fleistr* ‘most’ < *\*ploh<sub>1</sub>-is-*, *-istHo-*.

It is uncertain whether *plūs* and *plūrimus* reflect *\*plous-* or *\*plois-*. The texts contain several archaic or pseudo-archaic spellings (*plous*, *pleor-*, *ploer-*, *plour-*, *plour-*, *plūs-*,

*plīs-*) which cannot all be regular. There seems to be agreement on the fact that *plīsima* must be a very archaic form, directly reflecting the *e*-grade in \**pleh<sub>1</sub>-ismHo-*. Similarly, *pleōres* in Carmen Arvale might continue the PIE comp. \**pleh<sub>1</sub>-iōs*, although the interpretation of this text is difficult. Lühr (2000: 33) derives *plūs* from \**ploh<sub>1</sub>-is* (with *o*-grade as in Germanic; this is unexpected from the PIE point of view but might have come from the positive \**polh<sub>1</sub>-u-* as in Gr.) and *plūrimus* from \**ploh<sub>1</sub>-ismh<sub>2</sub>o-*. In that case, we would have to assume a development \**plois* > *plūs*. The spellings *plous* and *plour-* would be hypercorrections, whereas *plourime* would have to be very archaic. Weiss (fthc.a), on the other hand, follows Nussbaum in assuming that the PIE *u*-adj. of the positive \**plh<sub>1</sub>-u-* received a full grade (by analogy with the verb, as in *plēmus?*), and then got the *i*-stem extension which all Latin *u*-adj. show: \**pleh<sub>1</sub>-u-i-*. For the n. of the comp. he posits \**pleu-is*, which would mean a stem \**pleu-* plus the suffix \**-is*. Thus: \**pleh<sub>1</sub>-u-* > \**pleu-* > comp. \**pleu-is*. Via \**plois* > \**plous* this would yield *plūs*. For the superlative *plour-* > *plūrimus*, one could assume a contamination of \**pleisamo-* with the comp. \**plous*, yielding \**ploisamo-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 327f., EM 517, IEW 798-801, Leumann 1977: 496f., Sihler 1995: 360, Meiser 1998: 153f., LIV \**pleh<sub>1</sub>-*. → *-pleō*

**pluteus** 'movable screen of wood or wickerwork, parapet' [m. o] (Pl.+)

Plt. \**pluto-*?

IE cognates: Lith. *plāūtas* 'sweating shelf, bath shelf, sideboard', Latv. *plāuts* 'shelf', Slovencian *plūto*, SCr. *plūtō* (Prčanj), *plūto* (Dubrovnik) 'flotsam' [n.] < BSl. \**plōtūtō-* or \**ploutō-*; Olc. *fleyōr* 'cross-beam'.

The connection with the BSl. and Gm. words is possible if Latin has an adj. in *-eus* to an earlier noun \**pluto-* or (with ablaut, even less likely) \**plouto-* 'woodwork, beam'. There is no verbal root with which we can connect these formations. In fact, the whole etymology remains in the air; note that words for 'beam, shelf' can have many origins.

Bibl.: WH II: 328f., EM 518, IEW 838.

**po-** 'off, away' [pref.]

Derivatives: Lat. *pōnō*, *po-situs* < \**po-s[i]nō*, \**po-situs*, *porcet* < \**po-arcēt* (see s.v. *arceō*). According to Leumann, *polire* is a back-formation from the pf. *po-līvī*.

Plt. \**po*.

PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>p-o*. IE cognates: OCS Ru. SCr. *po* [prep.] 'after, by, at', Lith. *pa-* (perfective prefix).

Does not occur as an independent word in Latin. Probably cognate with the root of Latin *ab*.

Bibl.: WH II: 329, EM 518, IEW 53ff., Leumann 1977: 158, Schrijver 1991: 21, Beekes 1995: 220. → *ab*

**poliō, -īre** 'to polish' [v. IV] (Lex XII+)

Derivatives: *politiō* 'glossy surface; the weeding of cornfields' (Cato+), *politor* 'polisher, weeder' (Cato+); *dēpolitiō* 'careful cultivation' (Varro), *expolire* 'to smooth

down, finish off, adorn' (Pl.+), *perpolire* 'to polish up' (Varro+); *interpolis* [adj.; also -us] 'made as new, refurbished' (Pl.+), *interpolāre* 'to make as new, touch up' (Pl.+).

Plt. \**pol-(e)i-* 'to swing'? \**pol-ije/o-* 'to make flat'?

*Interpolis* with velar *-ol-* in front of *-is* is suspect, and the adj. might be a recent transfer from *o*-stem *interpolus*. *Interpolāre* can be a denominal verb to that adj. Vendryes 1929 assumes that *polire* belonged to the jargon of the fullers, and that *interpolāre* meant 'to repair' a piece of clothing. The old explanation that *polire* would be a back-formation to the pf. *po-līvī* of *po-linere* 'to besmear' has been rejected by WH and EM. It was reinstated by Seldeslachts 2001: 85-87; yet although the hypothesized semantic shift from 'besmear' to 'smoothe, polish' is conceivable, it remains unclear why the language did not keep \**po-linō* – after all, *linō* was still around. Vendryes suggests that *pol-* goes back to a verbal stem \**pel-*, which would be reflected in Germ. \**felt*, \**falt-* 'to beat' (Eng. *felt*). Yet this is not recognised as a verbal root by LIV. Alternatively, *poliō* could be derived from \**pelh<sub>1</sub>-* 'to swing' (as in Gr. πάλλω 'to swing, shake loose'): part of a fuller's activity is beating hides to clean them and work them into clothing. Latin *pol-* could have the *o*-grade of an iterative present to this root. Yet another possibility is that *polire* is denominal to an adj. \**poli-* < \**polh<sub>2</sub>-i-* 'flat' (adopting Schrijver's 2003 interpretation of the presents in *-ī* < \**-ije/o-*), if the original meaning was 'to make flat, make smooth'.

Bibl.: WH I: 710, II: 330f., EM 320, 518f., Vendryes 1929, Leumann 1977: 158, LIV \**pelh<sub>1</sub>-*. → *plāmus*, *pulcher*

**pollen, -inis** 'flour, powder' [m./n. n] (Ter.+; nom.sg. *pollis* grammarians)

Derivatives: *pollinārius* 'of flour' (Pl.+), *pol(l)enta* 'hulled and crushed grain, barley-meal' (Pl.+), *polentārius* 'of polenta' (Pl.).

Plt. \**pol-ēn*, \**pol-n-*?

PIE \**pol(H)-n-*? \**pol(H)-u-*? IE cognates: Gr. πάλη 'fine flour, dust', πόλτος [m.] 'thick pap of flour'.

The noun *pol(l)enta* has a collective suffix *-ta*. Probably from an original paradigm \**polen*, \**pollis* < \**polnos*. Within Latin, *puls* < \**polt-* 'porridge' might be cognate, the original meaning being 'flour'. Outside Latin, there are possible Greek cognates but no close morphological matches. One might consider a derivation from the root \**pelh<sub>1</sub>-* 'to swing' (cf. s.v. *palea*), but this remains very speculative. A direct connection with *pulvis* 'dust' and *palea* 'chaff' seems unlikely, since 'flour' is much more valuable than 'chaff'. Only via a primary meaning 'to pulverize, grind' vel sim. can both meanings be united.

Bibl.: WH II: 331f., EM 519, IEW 802, Schrijver 1991: 257, Nussbaum 1997: 197f. → *puls*

**polleō** 'to be strong, potent' [v. II] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *pollentia* 'power, domination' (Pl.+).

Plt. \**polnē-* 'to be full' > 'to be strong'.

PIE \**pl-n-eh<sub>1</sub>-* 'to fill'. IE cognates: see s.v. *-pleō*.

McCone 1991: 21 and Rix 1995a: 401 propose to derive *pollē-* from \**polnē-* <

\*p<sub>l</sub>-n-eh<sub>1</sub>-, the full grade of the nasal present of the root 'to be full'. Phonetically, this is impeccable; morphologically, the Lat. verb would continue the same Italo-Celtic formation as OIr. *do-lin* 'to (over)flow' < PCl. \*-*ϕlini*-; and semantically, 'be full' > 'be strong' is defensible. Other laryngeal-final nasal presents have ended up in the third conjugation (*sternere*, *tollere*). Since the PIE nasal present had a factitive meaning 'to fill', or, in the middle, meant 'to fill oneself, become full', we must assume that *pollēre* does not immediately go back to the PIE nasal present. There might have been a (thematicised) form \**polne/o-* 'to fill', beside which a stative \**poln-ē-* 'to be full' was created within Italic. The present \**polne/o-* was then ousted by \**plē-(je/o-)* > *pleō*, which continues the PIE root aorist.

Bibl.: WH II: 332, EM 519, IEW 840f., LIV \**pleh<sub>1</sub>-*. → *pleō*

**pollex, -icis** 'thumb, big toe' [m. *k*] (Cato+)

PIt. \**-liχ-s*.

The alleged connection with CS *палець*, Ru. *палец* 'finger', SCr. *pālac* 'thumb, big toe' < PSl. \**palъcъ* < \**pōl-* does not explain Lat. *pollex*. Meier-Brügger 1990 proposes to explain *pollex* from \**por-lik<sup>h</sup>-s* 'which is licked over' to the root \**leig<sup>h</sup>-* 'to lick' (see Lat. *lingō*). The nom.sg. could have analogically acquired *-lex* on the model of other body parts inflecting in *-ex, -icis* (e.g. *vortex*).

Bibl.: WH II: 332f., EM 519, IEW 840f., LIV \**leig<sup>h</sup>-*. → *lingō*

**pollingō, -ere** 'to prepare for the funeral, lay out' [v. III; *pollīnxi, pollicitum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *pollīnctor* 'who prepares a corpse for the funeral' (Pl.+).

PIt. \**(por-)(w)li-n-k<sup>w</sup>-e/o-*.

PIE \**uleik<sup>w</sup>-* [pr.] 'to make moist'? IE cognates: see s.v. *liqueō*.

Compound of *por-* and a nasal present. Instead of the older theory of a verb \**ni-n-g<sup>w</sup>-* 'to wash' with dissimilation of \**por-ning-* > \**porling-* > *polling-*, the etymology as \**por-wlink<sup>w</sup>-* 'to make moist' proposed by Hackstein 1995: 123 is more attractive. The nasal present was probably an Italic innovation. Final *-ng-* for \**-nqu-* must be analogical, probably after the ppp. \**(por)likto-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 333, EM 519, Leumann 1977: 213, Meiser 2003: 113, LIV \**uleik<sup>w</sup>-*. → *liqueō, lixa*

**pollūceō** 'to offer as a sacrifice' [v. II; pf. *pollūxi* (Naev.), ppp. *(pol)lūctum* 'served up'] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *pollūcibiler* 'sumptuously' (Pl.), *pollūctūra* 'a serving' (Pl.).

PIt. \**loik-eje-* 'to make available, offer'.

PIE \**loik-eie-* [pr.].

The traditional connection with \**leuk-* 'to shine' is semantically unconvincing. Nussbaum 1994 instead proposes an analysis as a causative \**por-loik-eie-* to the stem \**lik-* 'to be available' seen in *licet*. He interprets the form *poloucta* 'pollūcta' (CIL I<sup>2</sup> 1531) in an inscription from Sora from around 150 BC as a hypercorrect spelling for a monophthong *lōl* or *lūl*.

Bibl.: WH II: 333, EM 519f., Nussbaum 1994: 175ff. → *licet*

**polluō, -ere** ‘to make dirty, infect’ [v. III; *polluī, pollūtum*] (Cic.+)

Plt. \*lowe/o- or \*lawe/o-.

PIE \*leu-e/o- or \*IHu-e/o- ‘to soil’. IE cognates: see s.v. *lutum*.

Compound of \*por- plus \*lo/awō. Long *ū* in the ppp. may be secondary, following a productive pattern of inf. in *-ūere*, ppp. in *-ūtum*. Apart from *polluō*, the IE languages contain only nominal derivatives of the root \*l(H)u- ‘to soil’.

Bibl.: WH II: 334, EM 520, IEW 681, Schrijver 1991: 241, LIV \*leu-. → *lutum*

**pōmus / pōmum** ‘fruit-tree, fruit’ [m./n. o] (Cato+)

Derivatives: *pōmārius* ‘of fruit’ (Cato+), *pōmārium* ‘orchard’ (Varro+), *Pōmōna* ‘Roman goddess of fruit’ (Varro+), *Pōmōnālis* ‘of Pomona’ (Enn.+).

Plt. \*po-e/omo- ‘taken off, picked’?

PIE \*h<sub>1</sub>e/om-o- ‘what is (to be) taken’.

Possibly from \*po-emo- ‘taken off, picked’; \*po-omo- or \*pe-omo- are also conceivable. U. *puemun-* probably does not belong here, cf. s.v. *eō*.

Bibl.: WH II: 334f., EM 520, Meiser 1998: 88, Untermann 2000: 593, Neri 2007: 71. → *emō*

**pōnō, -ere** ‘to place, set, put’ [v. III; pf. *posīvī / posuī*, ppp. *positum*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *antepōnere* ‘to place in front, above’ (Pl.+), *appōnere* ‘to place near, serve, add’ (Pl.+), *circumpōnere* ‘to place round’ (Cato+), *compōnere* ‘to place, match, arrange’ (Pl.+), *compos(i)tūra* ‘assembling’ (Cato+), *compositīcius* ‘compound’ (Varro), *dēpōnere* ‘to put down, lay down’ (Pl.+), *dispōnere* ‘to arrange, distribute’ (Cato+), *dispositūra* ‘arrangement’ (Lucr.), *expōnere* ‘to put out, expose’ (Pl.+), *expositīcius* ‘foundling’ (Pl.), *impōnere* ‘to place in or over’ (Pl.+), *impositīcius* ‘arbitrarily bestowed’ (Varro+), *impositiō* ‘arbitrary bestowing’ (Varro+), *impositor* ‘who assigns’ (Varro), *appōnere* ‘to place in front, interpose, deposit’ (Pl.+), *praepōnere* ‘to prefer, place in front’ (Pl.+), *repōnere* ‘to put back, down, replace’ (Pl.+), *suppōnere* ‘to place under, substitute, smuggle in’ (Pl.+); *positūra* ‘position, arrangement’ (Lucr.+).

Plt. \*po-sine/ō-.

PIE \*tk-i-n(e/q-) [pr.] ‘to build, live’.

From \*poznō < \*po-snō < \*po-sinō ‘to put down, place’. The old pf. was (-)po-sīvī, the regular pf. to *sinō*. When the connection with *sinō* had become opaque, *posuī* was backformed to *positus* as a new pf.

Bibl.: WH II: 335f., EM 520, IEW 889-891, Sihler 1995: 501, LIV \*tkej-. → *po-, sinō*

**pōns, -tis** ‘bridge, plank’ [m. i] (Enn.+)

Derivatives: *dēpontāre* ‘to throw from a bridge’ (Varro); *pontu/ifex, -ficis* ‘one of the college of priests of Rome’ (Pl.+), *pontificālis* ‘of a pontifex’ (Varro+), *pontificius* ‘of a pontifex’ (Cato+).

Plt. \*pont-.

PIE \*pōnt-h<sub>1</sub>-s, \*pnt-éh<sub>1</sub>-m, \*pnt-h<sub>1</sub>-ós ‘road’. IE cognates: Skt. *pánthā-* [m.] ‘road, path, course’ (*pánthās* [nom.sg.], *pánthām* [acc.sg.], *pathás* [gen.abl.sg.], *pathī* [loc.sg.]),

OAv. *paṭō* [abl.sg.], *paṭī* [loc.sg.], *paṭō* [acc.pl.], *paḍabīš* [instr.pl.], YAv. *paṭā* [nom.sg.], *paṭam* [acc.sg.], *paṭa* [instr.sg.] (secondary *paṭānəm* [acc.sg.], *paṭānō* [nom.pl.]), OP *paṭim* [acc.sg.], Oss. *fændæg* 'path, road'; Gr. *πάτος* [m.] 'path', *πόντος* [m.] 'sea', Arm. *hown* 'ford', OPr. *pintis*, OCS *poṭь* [m.] 'road'.

Developed from PIE 'road' to Latin 'bridge'. The PIE paradigm would have yielded a pre-Latin inflection *\*pont(a)s*, *\*pontēm*, *\*pontos* >> nom.sg. *\*pontes* (> -is), which, according to Schrijver 1991: 372, "could easily have been attracted to the inflection of the *ti*-derivatives." *Pontifex* has been much discussed, especially the first member. There seems to exist consensus among a majority of scholars that it must indeed be interpreted as 'bridge-maker', in the sense of 'who negotiates between gods and men'.

Bibl.: WH II: 336f., EM 521, IEW 808f., Schrijver 1991: 372, 379, Meiser 1998: 68, Lindner 2002: 223.

**poples, -itis** 'knee-joint, back of the knee' [m. *ī*] (Acc.+)

No certain etymology. It has been assumed that *poples* was borrowed from a Sabellic outcome of PIE *\*k<sup>w</sup>e/o-k<sup>w</sup>lo-m* 'neck' (> Lith. *kāklas* 'neck, throat', Skt. *cakrá-* 'wheel') but there is no support for viewing *poples* as a loanword in Latin.

Bibl.: WH II: 338, EM 521, Leumann 1977: 382.

**populus** 'human community, people' [m. *o*] (Naev.+; Carmen Saliare *poploe* [nom.pl.], *poplois* [dat.abl.pl.] (apud Fest.), CIL *]popl[*, *populo*, *poplo*, *poplus*)

Derivatives: *populārī* 'to ravage, plunder' (Naev.+), *populābundus* 'engaged in ravaging a territory' (Sis.+), *populāris* 'of the people, public' (Pl.+), *populāris* [m./f.] 'fellow citizen, partner' (Naev.+), *populāritās* 'fellow-citizenship' (Pl.+), *populātīm* 'universally' (Caecil.+); *dēpopulārī* 'to sack, plunder' (Enn.+), *dēpopulātor* 'who sacks' (Caecil.+); *Poplifugia*, -ōrum 'annual festival in Rome' (Varro+); *Publius* PN (gen.sg. *popliosio* Lapis Satricanus, Cic.+).

PIt. *\*poplo-* 'army'. It. cognates: U. **puplum**, **puplu**, *poplom*, *poplo* [acc.sg.], *popler* [gen.sg.], *pople* [dat.sg.], *pople* [loc.sg.], *poplu* [abl.sg.], **pupluper**, *popluper* [abl.sg. + -per] 'able-bodied citizens'; U. **pupřikes**, **pupřices**, **pupřes** [gen.sg.], **pupřike**, **pupřice** [dat.sg.] epithet of the deity **puemune**, probably = lat. *poplicus*.

The meaning 'to devastate' for the deponent probably developed through the usage 'to have an army pass through'. The derived adj. *pūbicus* has a long -ū- that cannot belong to the same stem as *\*poplo-*; see s.v. *pūbēs*. The etymology of PIIt. *\*poplo-* 'army' (thus Watmough 1997: 69-81) is unknown. One might suggest appurtenance to *\*plh<sub>1</sub>-* 'to be full', but a reduplicated form *\*po-plh<sub>1</sub>-o-* would be strange, and its meaning not evident. Watmough 1997: 91-102 posits an Etruscan noun *\*puple* '?' on the basis of the toponym *pupluna*, but this gives little grip on the matter.

Bibl.: WH II: 339, EM 521f., Untermann 2000: 609-611. → *pūbēs*

**pōpulus** 'poplar-tree' [f. *o*] (Cato+)

Derivatives: *pōpuleus* 'of a poplar-tree' (Enn.+), *pōpulneus* 'derived from poplar' (Cato+), *pōpulnus* 'id.' (Pl.).

No etymology. The Greek forms Gr. *πελέα*, Myc. *pte-re-wa* 'elm-tree' have \**p* and \**l* in common, but if they are cognate at all, no regular correspondence can be discovered.

Bibl.: WH II: 340, EM 522, Leumann 1977: 287.

**por-** 'forth, forward' [pref.]

Derivatives: *porrō* [adv.] 'straight on, forward, further' (Pl.+; *porod* Praeneste, CIL 560).

Plt. \**por-*. It. cognates: Fal. **por-** in **porded**, U. *pur-* in *pur-doui-*.

PIE \**pr-* 'forth'. IE cognates: Gr. *παρ* 'further, beside' (Ion.Att. >> *παρά*), Go. *faur*, OS *for*, *fur* 'for, before'; Gr. *πόρρω*, *πόρσω* (Pindar) 'forth' (but H. *πρός(σ)ω*).

Latin *por-* regularly continues PIE \**pr-*, while *porrō* could reflect \**p(a)rsō(d)*. The Praenestine form is not an ablative. Nussbaum (1994: 173) tentatively suggests a preform \**pr-s* (with adverbial \**-s*) >> \**pr̥s-ō* (cf. *contrō(versus)*, *intrō*, *retrō*, *ultrō*) > \**porsō* > *porrō*.

Bibl.: WH II: 343, EM 523, IEW 810-816, Giacomelli 1963: 252, Leumann 1977: 426, 561, Untermann 2000: 612, LIV 1.\**per-*. → *per*

**porca** 'ridge of soil between furrows' [f. *ā*] (Cato+)

Plt. \**pork-ā-* 'furrow'.

PIE \**prk-h<sub>2</sub>-* 'furrow'. IE cognates: W. *rhych* 'furrow', maybe Bret. *rec'h* 'sorrow', OBret. *rec* 'sulco', *ro-ricse[n.]ti* 'sulcavissent', Gaul. *rica* 'furrow' > Fr. *raie* 'stripe' < PCI. \**pr̥ikā-*; OHG *furuh*, OE *furh* 'furrow', Olc. *for* [f.] 'canal, ditch' < PGm. \**furχō-*; maybe Lith. *peršėti* 'to ache'.

Bibl.: WH II: 340, EM 522, IEW 821, Untermann 2000: 615, LIV \**perk-*. → *porcus*

**porcus** 'male pig' [m. *o*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *porca* 'sow' (Cato+), *porculus* 'little pig' (Pl.+), *porcellus* 'piglet' (Varro+), *porcīnus* 'of a pig' (Naev.+), *porcīnārius* 'pork-butcher' (Pl.), *porculātiō* 'pig-rearing' (Varro+), *porcetra* 'a sow which had young once' (Pompon. apud Gell.).

Plt. \**pork-o-*. It. cognates: U. **purka**, *porca* [acc.pl.] 'sow'.

PIE \**pórk-o-* 'digger, pig'. IE cognates: Av. *parāsa-*, Khot. *pāsa* (< \**parsa*), Kurd. *purs*, OPr. *praštian*, Lith. *paršas*, CS *prasę* [n. nt], gen.sg. *prasęte*, Ru. *porosja*, *porosënok* [m.], *porosjata* [nom.pl.], SCr. *prāse*, gen.sg. *prāseta* 'piglet' < PSI. \**pōrs-nt-*, OHG *far(a)h* [n.], OE *feorh* [m./n.] 'pig' < PGm. \**farχa-*.

Original meaning 'digger', from the same root 'to dig up, cut' as the word for 'furrow'. A semantic parallel for this derivation is provided by W. *twrch*, Olr. *torc* 'boar' < \**turk-o-* to the root \**tuerk-* 'to cut', cf. McCone 1992a, Schrijver 1995: 65.

Bibl.: WH II: 341, EM 523, IEW 841, Hoffmann 1976: 491, LIV \**perk-*. → *porca*, *scrōfa*

**porrum** 'leek' [n. *o*] (Cato+)

Derivatives: *porrīna* 'bed of leeks' (Cato+).

Plt. \**porso-*.

PIE \*prso-. IE cognates: Gr. πράσον [n.] 'leek'; also Skt. *parśá-* 'sheaf, bundle', YAv. *parša-* [m.] 'ear (of corn)', Gr. Περσεφόνεια PN?

The Latin and Greek words have mostly<sup>1</sup> been regarded as an agricultural borrowing from a Mediterranean language. Botanists think that this vegetable has originated in the Mediterranean, and spread across Europe from the Roman period. *Porrum* and Gr. πράσον 'leek' can go back to \*prso-. Weiss (apud Wachter 2006) proposes to connect *porrum* with PIE \*perso- 'ear of grain' or 'sheath', as attested in Hrr. and possibly in the theonym Περσεφόνεια, for which Wachter 2006 assumes a first member \*Περσο- 'ear of grain/sheath'. Whereas this may seem formally attractive, it is unclear what the meaning of the root \*prs- would have been, and how 'leek' could be derived from either 'ear of grain' or 'sheath'.

Bibl.: WH II: 343, EM 523, IEW 846, Wachter 2006: 143.

**porta** 'gate' [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *portitor* 'customs-officer, ferryman' (Pl.+), *porticus*, -*ūs* [f.] 'colonnade, portico' (Pl.+), *portisculus* 'who beats the time for rowers, hammer used for this purpose' (Pl.+); *portus*, -*ūs* 'harbour, refuge; door' (Lex XII+), *portorium* 'duty, toll' (Pl.+), *Portūnus* 'deity of harbours' (Cic.+), *Portūnālis* 'of Portunus' (Varro+), *Portūnium* 'temple of Portunus' (Varro+), *importūnus* 'unfavourable, troublesome' (Andr.+), *importūnitās* 'unreasonableness' (Pl.+), *opportūnus* 'favourable, convenient' (Pl.+), *opportūnitās* 'opportuneness, chance' (Pl.+); *angiportum/s*, -*ūs* 'narrow passage, lane' (Pl.+).

Plt. \**portā-* 'gate, door', \**portu-* 'harbour'. It. cognates: O. *pūrtam* [acc.sg.] 'gate, door'; possibly U. *pertome* [acc.sg. + *-en*] '?' a certain location < \**per-tu-*?

PIE \*pr-to- 'crossed', \*pr-tu- 'crossing, ford, bridge'. IE cognates: Gaul. *ritu-*, OW *rit*, W. *rhyd*, Co. *rit* 'ford' < PCl. \**pritu-*; Av. *parātu-* [m.] 'crossing, bridge', MP *puhl* 'bridge'; OHGfurt, OE *ford* < PGm. \**furđú-*, Olc. *fjorđr* 'bay, fjord' < \**ferđ/þu-*.

*Porta* is probably the f. to a PIE ppp. \*pr-to- 'passed, crossed'; from this stem, the verb *portāre* was probably also derived. *Portus* continues a PIE *u*-stem 'passage, crossing'. *Portūnus* must be derived from \**portu-* with the suffix \*-*Hn-* or as a deinstrumental derivative \**pr̥tuh<sub>1</sub>-no-*. *Op-portūnus* has the productive lengthening of the stem vowel for derivatives from *u*-stems.

Bibl.: WH II: 343-345, EM 523f., IEW 816f., Untermann 2000: 546, 615f., LIV 1.\*per-. → *per*, *por-*, *portō*

**portō**, -*āre* 'to transport, carry' [v. I] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *apportāre* 'to carry, bring' (Pl.+), *asportāre* 'to carry off, remove' (Pl.+), *comportāre* 'to carry, transport' (Pl.+), *dēportāre* 'to bring, convey' (Pl.+), *dēportātiō* 'taking home' (Cato+), *exportāre* 'to carry out, export' (Pl.+), *importāre* 'to bring in, cause' (Pl.+), *reportāre* 'to bring back' (Pl.+).

Plt. \**portolā-*. It. cognates: U. *purtatu*, *portatu* [2/3s.ipv.II], *portaia* [3s.pr.sb.], *portust* [3s.fut.pf.] 'to bring, carry' < \**portā-je-*.

PIE \*prto- 'passed, crossed' or \*port-o- 'passage, crossing'. IE cognates: Skt. *pr. pīparti* [3s.act.], aor. *pārś-* 'to bring across', Av. (*fra*)*frā* [1s.sb.aor.act.] 'to cross',



YAv. *pāraia-* ‘to bring, lead’; Gr. *πείρω* ‘to pierce, penetrate’.

Probably denominal to *\*porto/ā-* ‘passage, crossing’. The theory of WH and Leumann, viz. that *portāre* goes back to a frequentative *\*poritāre* built to a PIE *o*-grade *\*por-eie/o-*, requires an unwarranted shift from *\*por-eie-* (which would normally yield a second-conjugation verb Lat. *\*\*porē-*) to *\*por-i-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 345, EM 524f., IEW 816f., Leumann 1977: 548, Untermann 2000: 616f., LIV 1.\*per-.

**poscō, -ere** ‘to ask for, demand’ [v. III; pf. *poposcī*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *exoscere* ‘to ask for, demand’ (Pl.+), *repscere* ‘to demand back’ (Pl.+); *postulāre* ‘to ask for, demand, claim’ (Lex XII+), *postulātiō* ‘demand, protest’ (Pl.+), *postiliō* ‘sacrifice demanded by the gods’ (Varro+), *expostulāre* ‘to remonstrate, complain about’ (Pl.+).

Plt. pr. *\*pork-ske/o-* (>> Sab. *\*perk-ske-*), aor. *\*prek-* >> *\*perk-* (>> Plt. pf. *\*pe-pork-* >> Lat. *\*pe-pork-ske-*). It. cognates: U. **pepurkurent** [3p.fut.pf.], O. *comparascuster* [3s.fut.pf.ps.] ‘to demand/decide’; O. **kú]mparakineís** [gen.sg. *n*] ‘city council’ vel sim. < *\*kom-prk-iōn-*. U. **persnimu, persnihmu, pesnimu, prepesnimu, persnimu, persnihimu, pesnimu** [3s.ipv.II], *persnimumo, persnihimumo, pesnimumo* [3p.ipv.II], *persnis fust, pesnis fus(t)* [3s.fut.pf.] ‘to pray’ < *\*perk-sk-(i)n-je/ī-*, denom. to a noun *\*perk-sk-(i)ōn-*; U. **persklum, persclo** [acc.sg.], **persklum-ař** [acc.sg. + *-ad*]; *perscler, pescler* [gen.sg.], **persklu, perschu, peschu** [abl.sg.] ‘prayer’ < *\*perk-sk-elo-*.

PIE *\*prk-sk-e/o-* [pr.], *\*pr(e)k-* [aor.] ‘to ask’. IE cognates: OIr. *arcaid\**, *airc* ‘to ask’ < PCI. *\*ǵarske/o-* < *\*prske-*, OW MW *erchi*, MCo. *erghi* ‘to order’, MBret. *archaff* ‘to ask, beg’ < PBr. *\*ark-ī-* << PCI. *\*ǵarske/o-*, Skt. pr. *prchāmi*, Av. *pārsa-* ‘to ask’, OP *pati-prsa-* ‘to read’, *prsa-* ‘to interrogate, punish’, Arm. *(e-)harc* ‘[aor.] ‘asked’, Lith. *prašyti*, OCS *prostiti*, Go. *fraihnan*; ToA *prak-*, ToB *prek-* ‘to ask’.

Lat. *poscō* < *\*pork-sk-* continues a PIE *sk*-present. Schrijver 1991: 497 doubts whether *poscō* contains the regular outcome of *\*prksk-*, or whether we should expect *\*parksk-*. The latter might be found in O. *comparascuster*, in which case Latin *poscō* must contain a secondarily restored *\*r* > *or*. WH and Meiser 2003 explain *postulāre* from *\*posk-to-* << *\*pork-to-*, ppp. to *poscō*. Yet the alleged ppp. itself is unattested. Leumann 1977: 208 and Sihler derive *postulāre* from a noun *\*posculum* or *\*postulum* ‘query’ < *\*pork-sk-tlo-*. In view of the co-occurrence of *postul-āre* and *postil-iō*, it would be attractive to assume a preform *\*post-elo-* ‘asking’, from which both forms can be explained.

Bibl.: WH II: 346f., EM 525f., IEW 821f., Sihler 1995: 535, Untermann 2000: 415f., 530f., 539-541, Meiser 2003: 187-189, Schumacher 2004: 511-514, LIV *\*prek-*. → *prex, procus*

**post** ‘in the rear, behind, after’ [adv.; prep. + acc.] (Lex XII+; var. *poste* Pl. Enn. Ter.)

Derivatives: *pōne* ‘from behind, in the rear of’ (Pl.+), *postīcus* [adj.] ‘at the back’ (Pl.+), *postīculum* ‘small back shed’ (Pl.), *posterus* ‘later, next’ (Sis.+), *posterī* [m.pl.] ‘descendants, successors’ (Pl.+), *posterior* ‘later, younger, inferior’ (Pl.+), *postrēmus*

'last, final' (Pl.+), *postumus* 'last-born, final' (Cic.+), *Postumus* PN (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*posti* 'behind, after', *\*post-ero-* 'later'. It. cognates: O. **púst**, *post*, **pust**, U. **pus**, *post*, *pos* [prep. + abl.] 'after, behind' < *\*posti*; O. **pústin**, U. **pustin**, **pusti**, *posti*, SPic. **postin** [prep. + acc.] 'along, according to' < *\*posti en*; U. *postne* [dat.sg.n.?] 'which is behind' < *\*postino-*; U. **pustnaiaf** [acc.pl.f.], **pusnaes** [abl.pl.f.] < *\*postinaio-* 'which is behind'; O. **pústrei**, **pústr.** [loc.sg.m.], U. *postra* [acc.pl.f.] 'later', maybe 'second' < *\*postero-*; U. **pustra**, **pustru**, *postro* [adv.] 'back, backwards' < nom.acc.pl.n. *\*posterā*; O. **pústiris** [adv.] 'later' < nom.acc.sg.n. *\*posterios*; O. *posmom* [acc.sg.n.], **pustm[as]** [nom.pl.f.] 'last' < *\*pos(ti?)tmHo-*.

PIE *\*pos(ti)* 'after'. IE cognates: Skt. *paścā* [adv.] 'behind, after, back', *paścāt* 'from behind, afterwards, backwards', YAv. *pasca* 'after, behind, later'; *paskāt* 'from behind, afterwards', OP *pasā* 'after', *pasāva* 'thereupon, then' < Ilr. *\*pa(s)(t)-sk/čā(t)* < *\*po(s)-sk<sup>w</sup>-eh<sub>1</sub>* 'following'; Gr. (dial.) *πός* 'at, to'; Lith. *pàs* 'at, on'; OCS *pozdě* 'late', Ru. *pózdij* (dial.) < *\*pos(t)-d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-o-*.

Whereas *posterus* seems to be the old comparative, matched by Sabellic forms, *posterior* must be a secondary formation built on it. Nevertheless, it too can be found in O. **pústiris**. Lat. *postrēmus* reflects *\*poster-isamo-*, while *pōne* was formed from *\*post-ne*. Lat. *postīcus* reflects PIE *\*posti-h<sub>3</sub>k<sup>w</sup>-o-* 'facing the back', cf. *anīcus*. The primary PIE form seems to have been *\*pos*, extended by means of *\*-ti* in PIE or in Plt. The model probably was the antonym *\*h<sub>2</sub>énti* 'in front of'.

Bibl.: WH II: 335, 347-349, EM 520, 526f., IEW 841f., Leumann 1977: 320, Sihler 1995: 368, Beekes 1995: 221, Untermann 2000: 618-624, Lubotsky 2001: 42.

**postis** 'door-post, stile' [m. *i*] (Pl.+; mostly pl.)

Plt. *\*po(r)sti-*.

PIE *\*pr-sth<sub>2</sub>-i-* 'standing forth' or *\*po-sth<sub>2</sub>-i-* 'standing upright'. IE cognates: (1) Skt. *pr̥sthá-* 'back, mountain-ridge, top', YAv. *paršta-* [m.] 'back, spine, support in the back', Lith. *pirštas*, OCS *pr̥stv* 'finger' < *\*pr-sth<sub>2</sub>-o-*; (2) Olc. *fastr*, OHG *fast*, OE *faest* 'firm, fixed' < PGm. *\*fasta-*.

Originally a compound of PIE *\*pr-* 'forth' or *\*po* 'up' (thus Heidermanns 2002: 191) and the root *\*steh<sub>2</sub>-* 'to stand'.

Bibl.: WH II: 349, EM 527, IEW 810-816. → *per*, *po-*, *por-*, *porta*

**potis, pote** 'able, having the power' [adj. indecl. *i*] (Naev.+; *potis esse*)

Derivatives: (1) *potis esse* 'to be master, be capable' > *posse* >> *potisse*; pf. *potuī*; *utpote* [adv.] 'as one might expect, as is natural' (Pl.+); (2) *potior*, *potius* 'better, more powerful' (Naev.+), *potissimus* 'most powerful' (Pl.+), *potīre* 'to put under the power of' (Pl.+), *potī(rī)* 'to become master, obtain control' (Naev.+), *potestās* 'command, power' (Lex XII+); *compos* 'endowed with, having command' (Naev.+), *compotīre* 'to put in possession, attain' (Pl.+), *impos* 'not having control or possession, demented' (Pl.+); (3) *potēns* 'powerful, capable, provided' (Pl.+), *impotēns* 'powerless, lacking control' (Ter.+), *impotentia* 'weakness, violence' (Ter.+), *praepotēns* 'outstandingly powerful' (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*poti-* 'master, in control of', *\*pot-ē-* 'to be master'. It. cognates: O. **pútiad**,

**puttiad** [3s.pr.sb.], **pútiāns**, **putiāns** [3p.pr.sb.] ‘to be able’ < \**pot-ē-*.

PIE \**pót-i-*. IE cognates: Skt. *pāti-* [m.] ‘lord, master’, Av. *paiti-* [m.] ‘lord, husband’, OP \**daṣa-pati-* ‘commander of ten soldiers’; Gr. *πόσις* [m.] ‘husband’; OLith. *patis*, Lith. *pàts* [m.] ‘id.’, Go. *bruf-faps* [m.] ‘bridegroom’, ToA *pats* ‘husband’.

The PIE noun \**pot-i-* ‘master, lord’ has become adjectival in combination with the verb *esse* (1), and in prepositional compounds (2). The adj. then acquired a comparative and superlative, and the verb *poti-je-* > *potire* was derived from it. *Potēns* probably derives from a Plt. verb \**pot-ē-* with the stative meaning ‘to be master’; this seems a better explanation than a causative or iterative \**pot-eie-* which Meiser 2003 posits. Its pf. *potuī* was later reanalysed as the pf. to *posse*. The exact process leading from *potis* + *esse* to the paradigm of *posse* is disputed. There may have been a regular development from \**potis est/pote est* > \**poti/est*, leading to the analogical introduction of \**potsum* > *possum*, or maybe \**potis sum* regularly developed to *possum*.

Bibl.: WH II: 347, 350, EM 526, 528, IEW 842, Leumann 1977: 524f., Meiser 1998: 73, 222, Sihler 1995: 538f., Untermann 2000: 625f., Meiser 2003: 65. → *hospes*, *-pte*

**pōtus** ‘drunk’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *pōtus*, *-ūs* (m.; Varro+) ‘drinking, drink, draught’, *pōtiō* (Lex XII+) ‘id.’, *pōtāre* (Pl.+), *pōtātiq̄* ‘drinking party’ (Pl.+), *pōtātor* ‘drinker’ (Pl.+), *pōtītāre* ‘to drink habitually’ (Pl.+); *ēpōtāre* ‘to swallow, drink down’ (Pl.+), *perpōtāre* ‘to drink heavily’ (Pl.+); *pōsca* (Pl.+), *pōscūm* (Pl.+), *pōcillum* ‘little cup’ (Cato+), *dēpōculāre* ‘to ruin by expenditure on cups’ (Lucil.), *compōtrīx* ‘female drinking companion’ (Ter.).

Plt. \**pōto-* ‘drunk’, \**pō-tlo-* ‘cup’. It. cognates: maybe U. **punes** [gen.sg.], **pune** [acc.sg.], **puni**, *pone*, *poni* [abl.sg.] ‘sacrificial drink’ < \**pō-ni-*.

PIE \**peh<sub>3</sub>-/\*ph<sub>3</sub>-* [aor.] ‘to drink’, \**ph<sub>3</sub>-to-* ‘drunk’. IE cognates: Hit. *pāš<sup>i</sup>- / paš-* ‘to swallow, gulp down’, CLuw. *pašš-* ‘to swallow’ < \**poh<sub>3</sub>-s-*; Skt. aor. *apāt* [3s.act.], *pānti* [3p.sb.] ‘to drink’, *pītā-* ‘drunk’, *pātār-*, *pātār-* [m.] ‘drinker’, Gr. (Aeol.) *πῶθι* ‘drink!’, *ἐπόθην* [1s.aor.] ‘to drink’; OPr. *poūt* ‘to drink’, Lith. *puotà* ‘drinking-bout’.

The full grade of *pōtus* ‘drunk’ must have been introduced from the root aorist. *Pōtus* and *pō-culum* have caused the spread of *pō-* in the other derivatives. The noun *pōsca* was probably formed on the model of *ēsca*.

Bibl.: WH I: 103f., EM 529, IEW 839f., Schrijver 1991: 147, Meiser 1998: 123, Untermann 2000: 606f., LIV \**peh<sub>3</sub>(i)-*. → *bibō*

**prae** ‘in front of, before’ [adv., prep. + abl.] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *praeter* [prep. + acc.] ‘past, beyond, besides’ (Naev.+); *praestō* [adv.] ‘available, ready’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \**prai*. It. cognates: O. **prai**, U. **pre**, *pre* [prep. + abl.] ‘before, in front of’; O. *prae-*, U. *pre-*, *pre-*, SPic. **prai-**, maybe Pael. *prai-* [prev.] ‘in front, fore, at hand’; U. *pretra* [acc.pl.f.?] ‘the first’ < \**prai-tero-*.

PIE \**preh<sub>2</sub>i* ‘before’ (loc.sg. \**préh<sub>2</sub>i?*). IE cognates: Gr. *πάρα* ‘besides, by, from,

next to, against', also *παρά*, epic also *παραι*, outside Ion.-Att. mostly *πάρ*, Myc. *pa-ro* < \**prh<sub>2</sub>*- 'by'.

The adv. *praestō* is probably an old abl.sg. \**praistōd* of an adj. \**praisto-* 'ready, available', the analysis of which is uncertain. It might be from < \**prai-sth<sub>2</sub>-o-* 'standing in front', or \**prai-si-to-* 'placed in front'.

Bibl.: WH II: 351, 356f., EM 529f., 532, IEW 810-816, Schrijver 1991: 302, Sihler 1995: 428, Beekes 1995: 221, García-Ramón 1997, Untermann 2000: 568f., 575f. → *prior*.

**praestōlō/or, -āre/-ārī** 'to wait for, expect' [v. I] (Andr.+)

PIt. \**stōlo-*?

PIE \**stōl-o-* 'being ready, waiting'? IE cognates: Gr. *στέλλω* 'to put in order, make ready', *στόλος* 'equipment (of a campaign), troop', *στολή* 'armor, dress', *στολμός* [m.] 'equipment, clothing', Arm. *stelcanem* 'to create', Alb. *shtieil* 'to wind up, reel up, collect' (< \**stel-n-*); OPr. *stallit* 'to stand', OCS *postlati*, Is. *postelijō*, Ru. *stlat* 'to spread'; PGm. \**stalla-* 'place, stable' (whence \**stall-ija-* 'to place') < \**stol-no-* or \**sth<sub>2</sub>-d'lo-*?

IEW explains the verb as \**praestōd-ārī*, built directly on the abl.sg. \**praistōd* > *praestō* [adv.] 'ready, available'; thus also Steinbauer 1989: 255. This is ingenuous, but a derivation from an abl.sg. form would be rather unique. Livingston 2004: 65f. proposes to analyse *praestōlāre* as a compound of (an originally directive adv.) *praestō* 'to the ready' and \**al-* 'to wander' (as in *amb-ulāre*). This is based on one Plautine instance where the verb means 'to go to meet' rather than 'to wait'. Yet 'to wander' is a rather unspecified movement to convey the sense of 'going to meet', and the compounding process involved (with a ready-made adverb) is not impossible, but rare. An alternative analysis would be to derive *-stōlā-* from the PIE root \**stel-* 'to arrange, place, spread', the present being of the type *cōnārī*. The basis could have been an adj. \**stōl-o-* 'being ready, waiting' > \**prai-stōl-āje-* 'to wait for'.

Bibl.: WH II: 356f., EM 532, IEW 1004-1010, LIV \**stel-*. → *praestō*

**prandium** 'lunch' [n. o] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *prandēre* 'to eat one's morning or midday meal' (Pl.+; *prandī*, *prānsum*), *prānsor* 'who takes lunch' (Pl.+); *imprānsus* 'not having had breakfast' (Pl.+), *dēprāns*, *-ndis* 'fasting' (Naev.).

PIt. \**prāmo-* 'first'. It. cognates: Fal. **pranom** [nom.n.?], **pramod**, **pramed** [adv.] 'first (?)', maybe < \**prāmos*.

PIE \**prh<sub>3</sub>-mo-* 'first'. IE cognates: OPr. *pirmas*, Lith. *pirmas* 'first', Go. *fruma*, OS *formo*, OE *forma* 'first'.

*Prandium* < \**prāmo-ed-io-* 'first meal'. Livingston 2004: 68 explains *dēprāns* from an agent noun \**prāns* < \**pram-ed-s* 'eating first'. The resulting stem \**prand-* could have formed the basis for the formation of *prandēre*.

Bibl.: WH II: 357f., EM 533, IEW 810-816, Giacomelli 1963: 252f., Sihler 1995: 428. → *edō*, *prīmus*

**pratum** ‘meadow’ [n. o] (Pl.+)

Plt. \*prato-.

PIE \*prh<sub>3</sub>-to- ‘allotted’?

WH and IEW connect OIr. *ráith*, *ráth* ‘earthen wall’ < \*(p)rāt-, but this is semantically unconvincing. Steinbauer 1989: 252, note 14, has suggested PIE \*prh<sub>3</sub>-to- ‘what has been allotted’, which is more attractive from the semantic side (cf. the etymology of Eng. *meadow*).

Bibl.: WH II: 358, EM 533, IEW 843f., Schrijver 1991: 182, LIV \*perh<sub>3</sub>- (?). → *pario*

**pravus** ‘crooked, awry’ [adj. o/ā] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *pravitās* ‘crookedness, wickedness’ (Ter.+), *dēpravāre* ‘to distort, pervert’ (Ter.+).

No etymology.

Bibl.: WH II: 358, EM 533, IEW 843f.

**prehendō, -ere** ‘to grasp, seize’ [v. III; *prehendī, prehensum*] (Pl.+; contracted *prendō* Ter.+)

Derivatives: *praeda* ‘booty’ (Andr.+), *praedārī* ‘to acquire loot, plunder’ (Pl.+), *praedātor* ‘plunderer’ (Pl.+), *praedātus, -ūs* ‘robbery’ (Pl.+), *praedō* ‘brigand, pirate’ (Pl.+), *praedōnulus* ‘little brigand’ (Cato); *apprehendere / apprehendere* ‘to grasp, seize, attach’ (Pl.+), *compre(he)ndere* ‘to seize, find, embrace’ (Pl.+), *dēpre(he)ndere* ‘to intercept, come upon’ (Pl.+), *repre(he)ndere* ‘to grasp, hold back, censure’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \*(prai-)χend- ‘to seize’ [pr.], \*(prai-)χod-ā- ‘booty’.

PIE \*g<sup>h</sup>-n(e)-d- [pr.] ‘to grab’ >> \*g<sup>h</sup>(e)nd-, \*g<sup>h</sup>od-h<sub>2</sub>- [f.]. IE cognates: OIr. *ro-geinn*, MW *genni* ‘to be situated’ < PCI. \*gan-n-d-e/o-; Gr. χανδάρω ‘to grab’, Alb. *gjen* ‘finds’, med. *gjindet* ‘is situated’; Go. *du-ginnan* ‘to begin’.

*Praeda* is usually explained as \*prai-χed-ā- ‘what one acquires’, but Livingston 2004: 52 convincingly argues that the compound may rather contain an o-grade noun, thus \*prai-χod-ā-. She explains *prehendō* as follows: \*prai-χend- regularly contracted to \*praind-, and further developed to \*-prēnd- after preverbs: \*kom-prēnd-. For the vowel development, cf. *obscaenus*, maybe *aliēnus*. From \*-prēnd-, a new simplex \*prēndere resulted, which could alternatively be spelled *prehendere*, as in *vehemens* next to *vēmens*. Finally, shortening yielded *prendere*. The pf. and ppp. can be recent formations.

Bibl.: WH II: 352f., 359, EM 530f., IEW 437f., Leumann 1977: 199, Meiser 1998: 62, 83, 2003: 210f., Livingston 2004: 47-56, Schumacher 2004: 330, LIV \*g<sup>h</sup>ed-. → *hedera*

**premo, -ere** ‘to press’ [v. III; pf. *pressī*, ppp. *pressum*] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *pressāre* ‘to press, weigh down’ (Pl.+), *prēlum* ‘wine or oil press, other kind of press’ (Cato+); *comprimere* ‘to press together, copulate, hold back’ (Pl.+), *compressiō* ‘sexual embrace, squeezing’ (Pl.+), *compressus, -ūs* ‘sexual intercourse’ (Pl.+), *dēprimere* ‘to press down, sink’ (Pl.+), *exprimere* ‘to squeeze, stamp, express’

(Naev.+), *imprimere* ‘to press, imprint’ (Enn.+), *opprimere* ‘to squeeze, crush, overpower’ (Pl.+), *oppressiō* ‘taking by surprise, overpowering’ (Ter.+), *oppressumcula* ‘a light squeeze’ (Pl.), *oppressus*, *-ūs* ‘crushing’ (Lucret.), *reprimere* ‘to check, repress’ (Pl.+), *supprimere* ‘to hold back, withhold’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \**pres-*.

PIE \**pr-es-* ‘to press’. IE cognates: Skt. *pṛt-*, YAv. *pərət-* [f.] ‘battle, strife, fight’ < \**pṛ-t-*, Lith. *peĩti*, Latv. *pērt* ‘to beat, lash’, Ru. *perét*’, 3s. *prēt* ‘to go, make one’s way, drag’, Cz. *příti* ‘to quarrel’.

The *pr.* requires a stem \**prem-*, whereas the *pf.* and *ppp.* seem to have \**pres-* or maybe \**preT-*. Since the verb ‘to shiver’ shows the allomorphs \**trem-* and \**tres-* in PIE, most handbooks assume that *premō* : *press-* was formed on the model of *tremō* : \**tress-* (>> *tremuĩ*). *Prēlum* cannot reflect \**prem(V)lo-*, so it must reflect \**preslo-*. Leumann’s proposal \**premslo-* is not very likely, since one would expect \**premV-slo-*. Thus, the original stem would have been \**pres-*, the *pr.* *prem-* being an innovation on the basis of *tremō*. Italic \**pres-* might be an *s-present* to PIE \**per-* ‘to hit’, but this remains guesswork.

Bibl.: WH II: 359f., EM 533f., IEW 818f., Leumann 1977: 208, 212, 591, Sihler 1995: 213, 583, Meiser 1998: 209, Meiser 2003: 116, LIV 3.\**per-*.

**pretium** ‘reward, prize; penalty’ [n. *o*] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *pretiōsus* ‘expensive, precious’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \**preti-o-* ‘exchange value’.

PIE \**preti* ‘against’. IE cognates: Skt. *prāti*, Av. *paiti*, Gr. *πρότι*, *πρωτί*, *πρός*, OCS *protivъ*, *protivъ* ‘against, towards’, Ru. *proti* (dial.), *protъ* (dial.) ‘against’ < PIE \**p(r)oti*; Gr. *περτ*’ (Pamph.), *πρές* (Aeol.) < \**preti*?

WH and IEW derive *pretium* from a preposition \**preti*, with *e-ablaut* for \**proti*. There is no viable alternative; see s.v. *interpres*, *-tis* ‘intermediary’ for the difficulties involved in the connection via a common preform.

Bibl.: WH II: 360f., EM 534, IEW 810-816, Beekes 1995: 221.

**prex, -cis** ‘prayer, supplication’ [f. *k*] (Pl.+; usually pl. *precēs*)

Derivatives: *precārī* ‘to ask, pray’ (Andr.+), *precārīō* [adv.] ‘as a suppliant, asking a favour’ (Pl.+), *precātor* ‘suppliant’ (Pl.+); *comprecārī* ‘to pray to, invoke’ (Pl.+), *dēprecārī* ‘to beg the removal of, beg mercy’ (Pl.+), *dēprecātiō* ‘entreaty, plea’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \**prek-*.

PIE \**prek-* [f.] ‘question’. IE cognates: W. *rheg* ‘gift; curse’ < PCI. \**φrek-* (Hamp 1977); Skt. *prās-* [f.] ‘dispute, questioning, statement or assertion in a debate or lawsuit’, OAv. *f(ə)rasā-* [f.] ‘question’.

Root noun \**prek-* to the root of *poscō* and *procus*.

Bibl.: WH II: 346, EM 534, IEW 821f., Sihler 1995: 116, LIV \**prek-*. → *poscō*, *procus*

**prior** ‘in front, ahead, earlier’ [adj. comp. *r*] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: (1) *priusquam* [cj.] ‘earlier than, before’, *prīdem* [adv.] ‘formerly, long

ago' (Pl.+), *prīdiē* [adv.] 'the day before, in the time preceding' (Pl.+); (2) *prīmus* 'furthest in front, foremost' (Naev.+), *adprīmus* 'the very first' (Andr.+), *prīmānus* 'belonging to the first legion' (Cato+), *prīmārius* 'leading, excelling' (Pl.+), *prīmātus*, *-ūs* 'supremacy' (Varro+), *prīmitus* [adv.] 'at first' (Lucil.+), *prīmulus* 'first' (Naev.+), *prīmōris* [adj.] 'first member or part, nearest' (Cato+), *prīnceps*, *-cipis* 'first, earliest, leading' (Pl.+), *prīncipium* 'start, origin' (Pl.+), *apprīmus* 'very first, most excellent' (Andr.+); (3) *prīscus* 'ancient, of old' (Enn.+), *prīstinus* 'antique, ancient, previous' (Pl.+).

Plt. comp. *\*prī-jos-* 'earlier, former', n. *\*prī-is*, sup. *\*prī-isamo-* 'first'. It. cognates: Pael. *pri-* 'in front of', maybe U. *pri-*, *pri-* in *prīnuvatu-* < *\*pri-*; Pael. *prismu* [nom.sg.f.] fem. praenomen; Pael. *prītrome* [acc.sg. + *-en*] 'further' < *\*prī-tero-*.

PIE *\*pr(e)i* 'before'. IE cognates: Gr. *πρίν* 'before, previously'; OPr. *prei* 'at, with, to', Lith. *priē*, *prīe-* 'at, with, to', OCS *pri* 'at, with, by' < PIE *\*prei*.

All seem to be built on an adv. *\*pri* 'before', which is attested by Paul. *ex F.* as *pri* 'prae'. It might also be phonologically *\*prī*. The PIE ancestry of *prī* is uncertain: it can hardly continue *\*prh<sub>2</sub>i* (zero grade of *\*preh<sub>2</sub>i* > *prae*) since this would yield Lat. *\*pari*. It might be connected with BSl. *\*prei* 'at, with'. The sup. contains the suffix *\*-isamo-*, cf. Pael. *prismu*; it is used in *prīnceps* < *\*prīmo-kap-*. The sup. *prior* reflects *\*prī-iōs*, and its zero grade *\*prī-is* seems to have been used as *prīs-* in *prīdem* < *\*prīs-dem* 'long ago' and in the adj. *\*prīs-ko-* > *prīscus* and *prīs-tinus*. The suffix *-tinus* also appears e.g. in *diūtīnus* 'daily' and *crastīnus* 'of tomorrow', where it seems more original; see s.v. *diū*.

Bibl.: WH II: 361-363, EM 534f., IEW 810-816, Cowgill 1970: 125, Leumann 1977: 317, 321, Sihler 1995: 360, 428, Meiser 1998: 174, Untermann 2000: 577, 579. → *prae*, *prīvus*

**prīvus** 'peculiar, individual' [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *prīvāre* 'to deprive of, rob' (Pl.+), *prīvātus* 'private, of a citizen' (Lex XII+), *prīvātīm* 'privately, separately' (Pl.+); *prīvīgna* 'step-daughter' (Titin.+).

Plt. *\*prei-wo-* 'separate, individual'. It. cognates: U. *prever* [abl.pl.m.], *preve* [adv.] 'one at a time, separately'; O. *preiuatud* [abl.sg.] 'the defendant', probably a loan from Latin.

PIE *\*prei-uo-*.

Probably a derivative in *\*-uo-* from the stem *\*prei-* 'in front of, before' seen in *prior* etc. Thus, a semantic shift from 'being in front' to 'being separate'.

Bibl.: WH II: 363f., EM 536, IEW 810-816, Untermann 2000: 572f., 576. → *prior*

**prō** 'in front of, before; for' [prep. + abl., prev.] (Tiburbasis+, Andr.+; var. *prōd-C*, *prō-V*)

Derivatives: *pro-* (prefix) 'before, previous' (in *proavus* 'great-grandfather' etc.), *prōnus* 'leaning forward, bending down, inclined' (Naev.+), *protinus* [adv.] 'forward, straight away' (Pl.+), *protinam* [adv.] 'directly' (Naev.+).

Plt. *\*prō*, *\*pro(-)* 'before'. It. cognates: O. *pru*, *pr.*, *pr.* [prep. + abl.], O. *prú-*, *pru-*, U. *pru-*, *pro-* [prev.] 'for, before' < *\*prō*, *pro*; U. *promom*, *prumum*, *prumu* [adv.]

'at first' < \**pro-mom* 'primum'; O. *pruter* [adv.] 'before' < \**prō-ter*.

PIE \**pro* 'in front of, before', \**proH* (?); \**pro-mó-* 'further, up front'. IE cognates: Olr. *ro* [pref.] (perfectivizing); Skt. *प्रा* [adv.] 'before, forward', Av. *fra-* 'for, before', Khot. *ha-*; Gr. *πρό* 'before, forwards, forth', Lith. *pra-* 'by, through', OCS *pro-* 'through', Go. *fra-* [pref.] < PIE \**pro-*; Skt. *प्रतार* [adv.] 'early, in the morning, the next day', Gr. *πρωί* 'early, in the morning', Latv. *pruôjām* 'away, off', Lith. *pró-* 'pre-, fore-, between', *prō* 'through, along, past', OCS *pra-* 'through', OHG *fruo* [adj.] 'early'; Gr. *πρόμος* 'protagonist, commander', OIc. *framr*, OE *fram* 'excellent'.

The form *prōd-* is recent and was probably built after *re-C-* : *red-V-* 'back'. Short *pro-* occurs in some forms as a variant of *prō-*, but also in some older compounds, such as *procul*, *probus*, *proprius*, etc. Most of the Sabellic forms also go back to \**prō-*. Leumann regards \**prō* as the most original form, which was shortened to \**pro* already in PIE. Schrijver 1991, however, follows Beekes in assuming an ins.sg. \**pro-h<sub>1</sub>* (or \**pr-oh<sub>1</sub>*?) beside \**pro*; Untermann 2000: 582 also assumes an ins.sg. Alternatively, one might suggest that \**proH* arose when \**pro-* stood before roots in \**HC-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 364f., EM 536, IEW 810-816, Leumann 1977: 560f., Schrijver 1991: 81, Beekes 1995: 221, Sihler 1995: 427, 439, Schaffner 1996: 155, Untermann 2000: 581f., 586, 590. → *per*, *por*, *prope*, *re-*

**probrum** 'abuse, reproach, insult' [n. *o*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *probra* [adj. nom.acc.pl.n.] 'degraded' (Gell.); *exprobrāre* 'to bring up as a reproach' (Pl.+), *exprobrātiō* 'reproach(ing)' (Ter.+), *opprobrāre* 'to bring up as a reproach' (Pl.+), *opprobrāmentum* 'scandal' (Pl.), *opprobrium* 'reproach, insult' (Lucr.+).

PIt. \**pro-fr-o-*.

PIE \**b<sup>h</sup>r-* 'to bring'. IE cognates: Skt. *pra-bhar-*, Av. *fra-bar-* 'to bring, offer'.

Originally an adj. \**pro-fro-* < PIE \**pro-b<sup>h</sup>r-o-* 'what is brought up against someone (as a reproach)'.  
Bibl.: WH II: 365f., EM 537, Hamp 1997: 125, LIV \**b<sup>h</sup>er-*. → *ferō*

**probus** 'excellent, good' [adj. *o/ā*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: (1) *probitās* 'honesty, integrity' (Pac.+); *approbus* 'excellent' (Caecil.+), *improbus* 'of poor quality, improper, greedy' (Lex XII+); *probāre* 'to approve of, commend, prove' (Naev.+), *probātiō* 'approval, proof' (Varro+), *probābilis* 'commendable, plausible' (Afran.+); *approbāre* 'to commend, endorse' (Pl.+), *comprobāre* 'to confirm, justify' (Pl.+), *improbāre* 'to reject, repudiate'; (2) *superbus* 'proud, haughty' (Naev.+), *superbia* 'pride, disdain' (Pl.+).

PIt. \**pro-f(w)-o-* 'favourable'. It. cognates: Pael. *pros* [nom.sg.m.] 'righteous', U. **prufe** [adv.] 'correct', O. *amprufid* [adv.] 'incorrect' < \**pro-b<sup>h</sup>u-o-*; O. **prufated**, *πρωφατεδ* [3s.pf.], **prufattens** [3p.pf.] 'to approve of', denom. verb to \**profo-*, in its meaning influenced by Lat. *probāre*.

PIE \**pro-b<sup>h</sup>(h<sub>2</sub>)u-o-*. IE cognates: Skt. *pra-bhū-* [adj.] 'excelling, excellent'; Gr. *ὑπερφου* [adv.] 'excessively' (Att.), *ὑπερφουής* 'excessive', *ὑπερφίαλος* 'arrogant' (if



< *\*-phuwalos* with de Lamberterie), ὑφέαρ ‘mistletoe’ (< *\*h<sub>2</sub>iu-b<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>2</sub>u-r* or *\*h<sub>2</sub>iu-b<sup>h</sup>ēu-r* (?) ‘ever-growing’).

The Sab. forms show that there was a Plt. form *\*profo-*; hence, we must start from a thematized form in *\*-b<sup>h</sup>u-o-* < *\*-b<sup>h</sup>Hu-o-*, with loss of the PIE laryngeal in the compound. In or after Plt., *\*w* was lost after a labial obstruent (cf. *aperio*, *operio*). Skt. has a *u*-stem which might be an internal formation of Skt. *Superbus* is not found in Sab., which may be coincidental; but since *superbus* functions more or less as an antonym to *probus*, *superbus* might be an inner-Latin formation. De Lamberterie 1994: 334 has suggested that Gr. ὑπέρφει ‘excessively’ represent the same formation as *superbus*.

Bibl.: WH II: 366, EM 537, IEW 146-150, Meiser 1998: 121, Untermann 2000: 583-585, LIV *\*b<sup>h</sup>ueh<sub>2</sub>-*. → *fuī*

**procerēs, -um** ‘leaders, society’ [m.pl. r] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *prociī, -um* [pl.] ‘class of leading citizens in the Servian constitution’ (Cic., Fest.).

Plt. *\*proko-* ‘who is at the front, leader’?

PIE *\*pro-k(o)-*. IE cognates: Gr. πρόκα ‘immediately’, OCS *prokъ* ‘remaining’, *pročb* ‘remaining’ [adj.]; *proče* ‘further, then’ [adv.], Ru. *prok* ‘use, benefit’ [m.], *pročij* ‘other’ [adj.]; *proč’* ‘away’ [adv.] < PIE *\*pro-k(i)ǵ-*.

Unclear formation. According to WH, the gen.pl. *procum* shows that the stem was *\*prok(o)-*, to which *-er-ēs* was added by analogy with *pauperēs* ‘the poor’. This explanation is of course ad hoc, but *\*prok(o)-* does seem to be the older stem. Maybe *procerēs* did not replace *\*prok-ī*, but *\*prok-erī*, the pl. of the *ero*-derivative of the stem *\*prok(o)-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 367, EM 537, IEW 810-816. → *pro*

**prōcērus** ‘tall, lofty’ [adj. o/ā] (Enn.+)

Plt. *\*k(r)ēro-*.

PIE *\*kreh<sub>1</sub>-ro-* ‘growing’. IE cognates: see s.v. *crēscō*.

Schrijver regards the usual connection with *crēscō* as very uncertain, since it is not compelling that ‘tall’ derives from ‘growing upward’. I think this is too pessimistic. Rieken 2003: 45 reconstructs a PIE adj. *\*kēr<sub>h</sub>jo-* ‘growing’ which she recognizes in HLuw. *zī+ra/i-la-mi-i* ‘fruitful, thriving’ < *\*zīra-* ‘growth’ < *\*kēro-*. She analyzes *prōcērus* as orig. *\*‘having a high growth’* and *sincērus* *\*‘of one growth, unmixed, real’*. This is possible, but the meaning ‘fruitful, thriving’ of HLuw. is less clearly connected with ‘to grow, increase’ than *prōcērus*. Hence, I still regard a dissimilation of *\*prō-krēros* > *prōcērus* quite possible.

Bibl.: WH II: 367, EM 537, IEW 577, Leumann 1977: 315, Schrijver 1991: 124, Rieken 2003. → *crēscō*, *sincērus*

**procul** ‘some distance away, (far) away, apart’ [adv.] (Pl.+)

Plt. *\*pro-k(w)o-* ‘at the front’?

PIE *\*pro* ‘in front of’.

Lat. *procul* might be a derivative of PIE *\*pro-ko-* ‘at the front’, hence *\*prokelo-*. Schaffner 1996 compares PGM. *\*niḫuula-* ‘down, directed downwards’ < *\*ni-kue-lo-* and Skt. *viśva-* ‘all, whole’ < *\*ui-k̑yo-*, and posits *\*proculo-* < *\*prokulo-* < PIE *\*pro-kue-lo-* ‘somewhat to the front’. The only problem is the zero ending of *procul*: n. *\*prokulom* should yield *\*proculum*. Schaffner 1996: 163 suggests that *\*-um* may have dropped as in *nihil* and *donec* (this does not seem likely, since it only happens in frequent forms) or by analogy with *simul* and *facul* < n. *\*-li*. In that case, a preform *\*prokelom* << *\*proko-* would also be possible. Thus, this aspect of the etymology remains open.

Bibl.: WH II: 368, EM 537, IEW 810-816, Schaffner 1996: 162-171. → *prō*, *re-*

**procus** ‘suitor, wooer’ [m. o] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *procāre* ‘to urge, woo’ (Andr.+), *procāx* ‘impudent, undisciplined’ (Pl.+), *procītum* ‘to ask’ (Andr.).

Plt. *\*prok-o-*.

PIE *\*prok-o-* ‘who asks’. IE cognates: see s.v. *poscō* and *prex*.

Originally, *procus* meant ‘who asks for marriage’. The form *procītum* points to a denom. *\*procīre*.

Bibl.: WH II: 346, EM 534, IEW 821f., LIV *\*prek-*. → *poscō*, *prex*

**proelium** ‘battle’ [n. o] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *proeliāre* ‘to engage in battle’ (Lucil.+), *proeliāris* ‘of battles’ (Pl.+).

Klingenschmitt 1980 posits a sound law *\*oweli* > *\*owili* > *\*oili* > *-oeli-*, for which only the PN *Cloelius*, *Coelius* and *Boelius* are adduced as evidence. *Proelium* would continue a form *\*pro-g<sup>w</sup>e/ol-io-*, with cognate forms such as MW *ryvel*, W. *rhyfel* ‘war’ < PCI. *\*ro-bel-*, *bel* ‘hits, strikes’, *erdifel* ‘smites’ (< *\*ari-dī-bel-*), Lith. *gēlti*, 3s. *gēlia* ‘ache, sting, bite’, and OHG *quelan* ‘to be in pain’, OE *cwelan* ‘to die’. Yet in the relative chronology, the Plt. lenition of *\*g<sup>w</sup>* to *\*w* precedes the Latin development of *\*-owe/oCV-* > *\*-ouCV-* > *-ūC-*, so that one would expect *\*pro-g<sup>w</sup>elio-* to yield *\*prūlium* or, like *mōtus*, *\*prōlium*. Klingenschmitts proposal may be salvaged if the root sequence *\*wel-* was restored at a later stage, but no cognate forms are extant in Latin that would seem to conserve such a root. If we are to explain *proe-* from an earlier disyllabic sequence, the syllable boundary must have been present until quite recently. An alternative candidate would be a preform *\*pro-χel-io-*, but there is no PIE root *\*g<sup>h</sup>el(H)-* that comes to mind as a likely root.

Bibl.: WH II: 369, EM 538, Klingenschmitt 1980: 221, Meiser 1998: 87, Brachet 1999, Schumacher 2004: 218.

**prope** ‘near, near by, close’ [adv.] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *propior* ‘nearer, closer’ (Pl.+); *proximus* ‘nearest, next’ (Lex XII+); *propter* [adv; prep. + acc., + abl.] ‘near, close by; because of, for the sake of’ (Naev.+); *propinquus* ‘near, neighbouring’ (Pl.+), *propinquāre* ‘to approach, be near’ (Lucr.+), *propinquitās* ‘nearness, kinship’ (Pl.+); *propitius* ‘well-disposed’ (Naev.+), *propitiāre* ‘to win over, soothe’ (Pl.+), *propitiābilis* ‘able to be propitiated’ (Enn.).

PIE \*própro ‘on and on, ever further’. IE cognates: Skt. *prá-pra* [adv.] ‘on and on’, Gr. *πρό-πρό* ‘before; on and on’.

The etymology of these forms has been solved by Dunkel 1980, who convincingly argues that *prope* reflects an iterative preverb \**propro* ‘very much in front, near’, with dissimilatory loss of the second \**r*. *Propior* is its regular comparative, and *proximus* < \**propsamo-* may be explained as a replacement of the unusual sequence \**-psimos* by \**-ksimos* (British Celtic shows a sound change \**ps* > \**ks* in W. *uchel* ‘high’ < \**oupselo-*, but an Italo-Celtic date for this change would place the loss of the second \**r* in \**propro* very early, which is unlikely). The adv. *propter* < \**prope-ter* cannot be separated from *prope* semantically. Dunkel’s explanation of *propitius* < \**prope-tio-* also seems superior to previous explanations of this adj. The suffix of *propinquus* is not completely clear: was it built as an antonym to *relinquere* ‘to leave, abandon’?

Bibl.: WH II: 371-373, EM 538f, IEW 810-816, Dunkel 1979, 1980. → *prō, re-*

**proprius** ‘one’s own, peculiar, specific’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *proprītim* ‘particularly’ (Lucr.), *propriāssit* ‘proprium fecerit’ (Fest. 229M).

Plt. \**prijo-* ‘own, dear’, \**prijāje/o-* ‘to make one’s own’.

PIE \**priH-o-* ‘own, dear’. IE cognates: MW *rhydd* ‘free’, Skt. *priyá-*, Av. *friia-* ‘dear, own’, Skt. *préṣṭha-*, Av. *fraēšta-* ‘dearest’, Gð. *freis*, OHG *frī* ‘free’ < PGm. \**frija-* ‘free, dear, own’; Skt. pr. *prīṇanti* [3p.act.], *apriyamāna-* [neg.ptc.med.], aor. *préṣat* [3s.sb.act.] ‘to please’, *prītá-* ‘pleased, satisfied’, OAv. *friiṇmahī* (for \**friṇmahī*) [1p.pr.act.] ‘to satisfy’, *frīnāi* [1s.pr.sub.med.] ‘to please’, YAv. *frīnāmahi* [1p.pr.act.] ‘to satisfy’, *huuā-friṭa-* ‘very much loved’, *friṭa-* ‘dear, loved’, OE *frīd-hengest* ‘horse that is well-cared for’, OHG *frīten* ‘to look after’, OCS *prijati* ‘to take care of’, *prijatelb* ‘friend, beloved’, Go. *frijon* ‘to love’, Go. *frijonds*, Oic. *frændi*, OHG *friunt* ‘friend’.

Forssman 2004 has elaborated the connection with \**priH-* ‘to be dear’, Skt. *priyá-*, which seems the most likely etymology. Since *pro-* can hardly be explained as a nominal prefix, he argues that it was prefixed to \**prius* from the verb \**pro-priāre*, of which the hapax *propriāssit* in Festus (belonging to the *s-pr.* in *-āss-* which was unproductive in CLat.) would be a remnant. The adv. *proprītim* might conceal an old *ti-*stem \**priH-ti-*, which is also continued in Ilr.

Bibl.: WH II: 373f., EM 539f., IEW 829, Forssman 2004, LIV \**preiH-*.

**prosperus** ‘prosperous, successful’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *prosperāre* ‘to succeed, further’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \**pro-sparo-*.

PIE \**sph<sub>1</sub>-ro-* ‘thriving’. IE cognates: Hit. *išpai-<sup>i</sup>* / *išpi-* ‘to get full, be satiated’ < \**sph<sub>1</sub>-ói-* / \**sph<sub>1</sub>-i-*; Skt. *sphirá-* ‘fat’, *sphāya-* ‘to become fat, increase’, OCS *spěti* ‘to succeed’, Ru. *spei* ‘to ripen’ < PIE \**speh<sub>1</sub>-*, OE *spōwan* ‘to prosper’.

A combination of *pro* plus PIE \**sph<sub>1</sub>-ro-* ‘thriving’, or a *ro-*derivative of a PIE compound verb \**pro-speh<sub>1</sub>-* ‘to thrive’.

Bibl.: WH II: 375f., EM 540, IEW 983f., Schrijver 1991: 93, LIV \**sp<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-*. → *spēs*

**prōtervus** ‘bold, violent; impudent’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+; var. *proptervus* (Pl., Pac.) is older)

Derivatives: *prōtervitās* ‘boldness’ (Ter.+).

Plt. *\*propetes-wo-*.

PIE *\*pet-os, -es-* [n.] ‘impetus, haste’. IE cognates: Gr. *-πέτης* ‘flying’; see s.v. *petō*.

The older etymologies with *\*pet-r/n-* ‘wing’ are semantically unsatisfactory. Rix 1981: 118 (= 2001: 286) proposes a preform *\*propetes-wo-* with regular change of *\*su* to *rv*. The preform would be a contamination of *\*pro-petēs* ‘inclined, hasty’ (Gr. *προπετής* ‘falling down, precipitate’) and *\*petes-wo-* ‘provided with impetus’. This seems at least better than other solutions.

Bibl.: WH II: 377, EM 541, IEW 825f., LIV 2. *\*peth<sub>2</sub>-*. → *petō*

**prūina** ‘hoar-frost, rime’ [f. *ā*] (Pac.+)

Plt. *\*prus-wo-* ‘freezing’.

PIE *\*prus-wo-* ‘sprinkling, drop’. IE cognates: Skt. *pruṣvā* / *prúsvā* ‘drop of dew, cool drop’ (cf. Gerow 1973).

Hamp 1973 discusses the etymology at length, and concludes that the most likely development is the following: *\*prusūina* > *\*prurwīna* > *\*pruwīna* (dissimilation of *r*) > *\*prūina* > *prūina*. Skt. *pruṣvā* may preserve the nominal basis, derived from the verb which is still attested in Germanic (see *prūriō*); from this nominal basis, an adj. in *\*-īno-* was derived in Latin.

Bibl.: WH II: 378f., EM 541, IEW 846, Hamp 1973, Leumann 1977: 328, LIV *\*preus-*. → *prūriō*

**prūrlō, -īre** ‘to itch, tingle’ [v. IV] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *prūna* ‘glowing charcoal’ (Cato+).

Plt. *\*prouš-je/o-* ‘to itch’, *\*prus-no-* ‘itching’.

PIE *\*preus-i-*, *\*prus-no-* ‘(cold and) wet, itching’. IE cognates: W. *rhew*, Bret. *rev*, *reo* ‘frost’ < *\*preuso-*, Skt. pr. *pruṣṇuvānti* [3p.act.], *pruṣṇute* [3s.med.], aor. *pruṣā* [1s.sb.act.], ppp. *pruṣitā-* ‘to (be)sprinkle, wet’; maybe Lith. *praūsti* ‘to wash’, SCR. *prṣkati*, Sln. *prṣkati* ‘to splash’ < *\*prus-sk-*; Go. *frius* [m./n.] ‘frost’, Oic. *frjósa* ‘to freeze’ < PGM. *\*freus-*, Oic. OHG OE *frost* < PGM. *\*frusta-* ‘frost’.

The meaning ‘to be wet, itch’ was metaphorically also applied to high temperatures, hence ‘burning’ in *prūna*.

Bibl.: WH II: 379, EM 541, IEW 846, Hamp 1973, LIV *\*preus-*. → *prūna*

**-pte** ‘emphasis’ [ptcle.] (Naev.+; *meōpte, meāpte, tuōpte, tuāpte*, etc.)

Plt. *\*-pe/o-te*.

PIE *\*-pe/o + \*-te*. IE cognates: Lith. *-pāt*.

Occurs mainly after poss. adj. (*meus, tuus, suus*) and sporadically after personal pronouns and *is*. Traditionally, *-pte* is explained as a syncopated form of *\*-pote* < *\*-poti* ‘master’, in the sense of ‘self’. Dunkel 2005 rejects this derivation because “no

specific parallel for the bleaching of ‘master’ to limiting ‘exactly, precisely, just, -self’ or even a plausible ambiguous environment in which this change might have occurred has ever been suggested” (p. 182). Instead, he proposes to connect *-pte* with Latin *-pote* in *utpote* (but to me the indefinite analysis of *-pote* here seems preferable), with Gr. “emphatic or limiting” ποτε (which Dunkel separates from interrogative/indefinite ποτε), Hit. *-pat* < *\*pat(V)* (not *\*-i*), Lith. *-pāt* and BrCl. *\*-pVtV* as per Klingenschmitt 1980: 218-220. Kloekhorst 2008: 652f. argues that the spelling of Hit. *-pat* after vowels indicates that it contained a lenis first consonant, and hence cannot reflect PIE *\*p-*. Kloekhorst proposes *\*-b<sup>h</sup>od*. The British forms on which Klingenschmitts reconstruction is based (nom.sg.m. MW *hunnoid*, Bret. *henezh* ‘that’) have received a different explanation by Schrijver 1997b: 66-70. That Gr. ποτε represents two different words is uncertain and uneconomical. Still, for Latin and Lithuanian, Dunkel’s analysis could be right. Ultimately, he analyzes *\*-pote* as “an extension of adversative 2. *\*pó-* by means of the adverbial ending *\*-te*.” This analysis is worth considering, especially since *\*poti* ‘master’ is indeed awkward for Latin; but in view of Latin *-pe*, an origin *\*-pe-te* is also conceivable.

Bibl.: WH II: 379f., EM 542, IEW 842, Leumann 1977: 466, Meiser 1998: 169. → *-pe*

**pübēs, -is** ‘adult population, company; puberty; private parts’ [f. *ē*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *pübēs, -eris* ‘grown-up, adult’ (Cic.+); *impübēs, -eris/-is* [adj.] ‘who has not reached puberty’ (Lucr.+), *pübēscere* ‘to come to maturity, ripen; grow to manhood’ (Enn.+); *pübertās* ‘puberty, virility’ (Cic.+); *püblicus* ‘of the people, public, state-’ (Naev.+), *püblicānus* [adj.] ‘contractor, publican’ (Pl.+), *püblicitus* ‘publicly, at public expense’ (Pl.+), *püblicāre* ‘to make public property, exhibit’ (Naev.+).

IE cognates: Skt. *púmāms-* ‘male, man’ (nom.sg. *púmān*, acc. *púmāmsam* (< *\*pu-mos-m*), loc.pl. *pumsū*), Shughnī *pūm* ‘down’ (< PIr. *\*paumāh* < IE *\*péumōs*?).

The adj. *püblicus* has adopted *ū* from *pübēs*, with which it was secondarily associated. Originally it was *\*popliko-*, as shown by the OLat. spellings *poplicod*, *publicai* (CIL), and was derived from *populus*. Since *pübēs, -eris* probably continues an *s*-stem, and since poss. *s*-stem adjectives with the ablaut nom.sg. *\*-ēs*, gen.sg. *\*-es-os* were compounds in PIE, it is assumed that *impübēs* was the older form, from which *pübēs* was back-formed. Adams 1985a has proposed to connect *pübēs* with Skt. *púmāms-* ‘man, male’, deriving both from a root *\*pum-* ‘pubic hair’. The meaning ‘man’ could then be explained from ‘having pubic hair’. Latin *-b-* would have arisen from the PIE adj. *\*pumró-*, replaced by full grade *\*peumró-* whence phonetically Lat. *\*peubro-* > *püber-* arose. The *-b-* was then introduced into *\*(im)pūmēs*. The main inner-Latin drawback of this explanation is the chronology of attestations: *pübēscere* and *püblicus* are attested in OLat., whereas *(im)pübēs* only appear from Lucr. onwards. Stüber 2002 adduces further arguments against Adams’ explanation from Skt. and PIE morphology. Schrijver 1991 favours the older explanation by Pedersen from a compound of *\*pu-* ‘man’ (as in Skt. *púmān*?) and *\*d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-* ‘to put’ (for the formation, cf. *plēbēs*), e.g. *\*pe/ou-d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-*; but the analysis of the first element remains unclear.

Bibl.: WH II: 338f., EM 522, Leumann 1977: 117, Schrijver 1991: 375f., EWAia II: 144, Sihler 1995: 310, Stüber 2002: 43. → *populus, pūmilus*

**pudeō** ‘to be ashamed’ [v. II; pf. *pudui*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *pudor*, *-ōris* ‘shame, decency’ (Pl.+), *pudīcus* ‘sexually pure, chaste’ (Pl.+), *pudīcītia* ‘chastity, virtue’ (Pl.+), *impudēns* ‘shameless’ (Andr.+), *impudentia* ‘shamelessness, immodesty’ (Pl.+), *impudīcus* ‘unchaste, immoral’ (Pl.+), *impudīcītia* ‘unchastity’ (Pl.+); *dēpudicāre* ‘to violate the chastity of’ (Lab.), *dispudet* ‘to be utterly ashamed’ (Pl.+), *propudium* ‘shameful creature or action’ (Pl.+), *propudiōsus* ‘shameful’ (Pl.+), *repudium* ‘rejection, divorce’ (Pl.+), *repudiāre* ‘to reject formally, refuse’ (Pl.+), *repudiōsus* ‘liable to be rejected’ (Pl.).

Plt. *\*pud-ē-* ‘to be ashamed or shy or honourable’.

WH connect *paviō* ‘to hit’, whereas EM hesitatingly refer to PIE *\*speud-* ‘to press, hurry’. The latter is semantically more attractive, but this root is not otherwise attested without initial *\*s-*. Another conceivable semantic connection would be with *paveō* ‘to be frightened’. But the root *\*pu-* itself is not certainly reconstructed for PIE, and we would have to add a root enlargement *\*-d-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 381, EM 542f., 571, IEW 827.

**puer** ‘boy’ [m. *o*] (Andr.+; also *puerus* Pl., Caecil.)

Derivatives: *puera* ‘girl’ (Andr.+), *puerulus* ‘little son’ (Pl.+), *puerilis* ‘of a boy/child’ (Pl.+), *puerilitās* ‘childhood’ (Varro+), *pueritia* ‘boyhood’ (Ter.+), *puella* ‘girl, young woman’ (Pl.+), *puellula* ‘girl’ (Ter.+), *puellāscere* ‘to become girlish’ (Varro), *puellitārī* ‘to act like a girl’ (Lab.), *puellus* ‘young boy’ (Pl.+); *repuerāscere* ‘to become a boy again’ (Pl. 1x).

Plt. *\*puwero-*.

PIE *\*ph<sub>2</sub>u-ero-* ‘smaller’.

Lat. *puella* < *\*puere-la* ‘little girl’. Since PIE *\*ph<sub>2</sub>u-ero-* would possibly yield pre-Lat. *\*pawero-*, *puer* may have been built more recently to the Plt. root *\*pau-*, *\*pū-* ‘small, young’. Alternatively, *puer* was built to a stem ‘masculine / pubic’ possibly found in *pūbēs*.

Bibl.: WH II: 382, EM 543, IEW 842f. → *paucus*, *pūbēs*

**pulcher** ‘beautiful’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *pulchrālia* [n.pl.] ‘delicacies’ (Cato), *pulchritūdō* ‘beauty’ (Pl.+), *pulchritās* ‘beauty’ (Caecil.+); *perpulcher* ‘very beautiful’ (Ter.+).

Maybe earlier *polcher*, according to Prisc. and CIL. The spelling *-ch-* is Hellenizing, hence we can posit a stem *\*polkro-* or *\*pelkro-*. WH and IEW assume that this belongs to PIE *\*perk-* ‘variegated’ with a dissimilation of *\*perk-ro-* to *\*pelk-ro-*, but one would rather expect a dissimilation of the second *\*r* to *\*perko-* in such a case. No etymology.

Bibl.: WH II: 384, EM 543f., IEW 820f., Sihler 1995: 142.

**pūlex, -icis** ‘flea’ [m. *k*] (Andr.+)

Plt. *\*pusl-?*

PIE *\*plus-* ‘flea’? IE cognates: Skt. *plūṣi-*, Sogd. *ʾβš’h* < PIr. *\*fršā-*, Yidgha *frīyo* < PIr. *\*fruṣi-kā-*, Gr. *ψύλλα* (< *\*psul-*), Arm. *low* (< PIE *\*plus*); Alb. *plesht* [m.]; Lith.

*blusà*, RuCS *bl̥cha*, Cz. *blecha*; OHG *flōh* < \**plauχ-*, all 'flea'.

The many different forms of 'flea' seem to belong together, but it is impossible to reconstruct one PIE preform. Taboo deformation and folk etymology often interfere with the names of insects. Latin *pūl-* might reflect \**pust-*, with metathesis from \**plus-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 385, EM 544, IEW 102.

**pullus** 'drab-coloured, sombre' [adj. *o/ā*] (Andr.+)

PIt. \**polwo-*.

PIE \**p(o)l-u-o-* 'gray'. IE cognates: Skt. *parusá-* 'grey, grey-brown', YAv. *pouruša-* 'grey (of hair)' < \**pe/ol(H)-u-so-*, Gr. *πολιός* 'grey, grizzled' (< \**πολι-(f)ó-*).

Has been derived from the same root as *palleō* (itself not completely clear), but the semantics of 'pale' and 'sombre' are not necessarily connected, and the sequence *pull-* is difficult to combine with *pall-*. IEW states that (expected) \**pol-* < \**p/* was changed into *pul-* under the influence of *p-*, but this is an ad hoc assumption which is furthermore contradicted by *pollūceō* and *polluō* (to mention only the examples of \**poll-* plus a back vowel). Nussbaum 1997 proposes to connect *pullus* with PIE \**pe/ol-(i/u-)* 'gray, dark colour' found in other languages; this seems the best solution proposed so far. Similarly, Driessen 2005: 46f.

Bibl.: WH II: 386, EM 544, IEW 804f., Nussbaum 1997: 191. → *palleō*

**pulmō** 'lungs' [m. *n*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *pulmōneus* 'consisting of the lung' (Pl.+).

PIt. \**plu-mōn?*

PIE \**plu-mon-?* [adj.] 'floating > lung'. IE cognates: Skt. *klomán-* (< \**ploman-* < \**pleu-mon-*) 'the right lung; [pl.] the lungs', Gr. *πλεύμων* 'lung', Lith. *plaučiai* [pl.], OCS *pljušta* [pl.] 'lungs' < BSl. \**plou-tio-*, \**pleu-tio-* [n.].

Two etymologies are mostly found in the handbooks. It has been suggested that *pulmō* goes back with metathesis to \**plumō*, and was borrowed from Gr. *πλεύμων*. This has the disadvantage that we expect a long vowel \**plūmō*, and furthermore, that the word for 'lung' is not often borrowed (which does not exclude the possibility, of course). The other option is that *pulmō* continues an inherited adj. \**plēu-mōn* 'the floating one' (since lungs float on water); we would then still expect an outcome \**plūmō*, maybe with metathesis \**pūlmō*. Alternatively, one could posit \**plu-mōn* with the zero grade of \**pleu-*; still, a metathesis to \**pulmōn* would have to be assumed.

Bibl.: WH II: 386, EM 545, IEW 837f., Leumann 1977: 101, 371, Biville 1990 I: 353, LIV \**pleu-*. → *pluit*

**pulpa** 'fleshy parts of a body, best part of the meat' [f. *ā*] (Cato+)

Derivatives: *pulpāmentum* 'small piece of meat' (Andr.+), *pulmentum* 'small portion of meat or fish to start a meal' (Pl.+), *pulmentārium* 'condiment of a pulmentum' (Cato+).

PIt. \**pelp-ā-* 'meat', \**pelp-m(e)n-* 'meat'. It. cognates: U. *pelmner* [gen.sg.] n. 'meat' < \**pelp-men-*.

U. shows that the vowel in the first syllable was \*e, hence *pulpa* < \*pelpā- and *pulmentum* < \*pelp-mento-.

Bibl.: WH II: 386f., EM 545, Untermann 2000: 528.

**puis, -ltis** 'kind of porridge' [f. t] (Cato+)

Derivatives: *multiphagus* 'eating porridge' (Pl.).

Plt. \*polt-i-.

IE cognates: Gr. πόλτος 'porridge'.

Since *pult-* can reflect \*polt-, *puls* is suspect of being a loan from Gr. πόλτος 'porridge'. Yet Gr. o-stems are usually borrowed into Latin as o-stems, so there must be more to *puls*. EM consider an Etruscan intermediary, which cannot be ascertained. Since πόλτος itself does not have an IE etymology, both words may go back to a basis \*polt-, Latin to an i-stem \*polti-. The root \*pol(H)- 'flour' can then be compared with *pollen* < \*pol(H)-n-. Putative \*pol(H)- 'flour' might be a loanword from a Mediterranean language, or belong to an as yet unknown PIE root.

Bibl.: WH II: 387f., EM 545, IEW 802. → *pollen*

**pulvis, -eris** 'dust' [m. r] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *pulvisculus* 'dust' (Pl.+), *pulverāre* 'to be dusty; pulverize' (Pl.+), *pulverulentus* 'dusty' (Varro+), *pulvīnus* 'cushion, pillow' (Pl.+), *pulvīnār* [n.] 'support for a beached ship; cushioned couch' (Pl.+), *pulvīnāris* 'of a cushion' (Varro+); *dispulverāre* 'to pulverize' (Naev.).

Plt. \*pe/olaw(-is)- 'dust'.

PIE \*pe/olH-u- 'chaff'. IE cognates: see s.v. *palea*.

*Pulvis* may reflect \*pe/ol(V)w-is-, with a rare is-stem which may have been adopted from *cinis, -eris* 'ashes'. WH and IEW derive *pulvis* from PIE \*pelH-u-(h<sub>2</sub>) 'chaff' seen in Skt. *palāva-* 'chaff, husk', OPr. *pelwo*, Lith. *pēlūs* 'chaff', Ru. *polóva* (see s.v. *palea*), but Schrijver 1991 objects that the semantic connection of 'dust' with 'chaff' is unconvincing. In my view, it is also difficult to connect 'flour' and 'chaff', since they are each other's opposite when processing grain. Of course, via a primary meaning 'to grind' or 'fine dust', they may be connected. Thus, it remains possible to derive *pulvis* from \*pe/olH-u- (> Plt. \*pe/olaw-), but not from \*polHu- > PIE \*polu- (Saussure's effect), since \*polw- would yield Lat. \*poll-. The noun *pulvīnus* can stem from \*poluis-no-: a pillow used to be filled with straw or chaff.

Bibl.: WH II: 388, EM 545, IEW 802, Leumann 1977: 321, Schrijver 1991: 256f. → *palea*

**pūmex, -icis** 'pumice' [m. k] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *pūmiceus* 'of pumice' (Pl.+), *pūmicāre* 'to rub smooth with pumice' (Lucil.+).

Plt. \*poim-Vk-.

PIE \*(s)poHi-m- 'foam'.

EM argue that the close similarity of pumice with a sponge explains why \*(s)poim- 'foam' was used for 'pumice'. But since *spūma* does not mean 'sponge', one might more



safely argue that pumice looks like petrified foam, and that this explains the metaphor.

Bibl.: WH II: 388f., EM 545, IEW 1001. → *spūma*

**pūmilus** ‘dwarf, pygmy’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Mart.+)

Derivatives: *pūmiliō* ‘dwarf, midget’ (Lucr.+; CIL *poum-*).

IE cognates: Gr. πυγμαῖος ‘as large as a fist, dwarf-like; pl. pygmies’ (from πυγμή ‘fist’); Πυγμαλίων PN, maybe originally a non-IE loanword.

According to EM, *pūmiliō* was the primary form, from which *pūmilus* was back-formed. For *pūmiliō*, the morphology suggests a borrowing from the Greek PN Πυγμαλίων, but this was rejected by WH, who doubt the change of *\*-ugm-* > *-ūm-*. If direct borrowing from the Greek PN is rejected (note that *pūmiliō* is not a PN), one might save the borrowing hypothesis by supposing that the Romans borrowed πυγμή ‘fist’ as *\*pūmē* and derived *pūmiliō* ‘who is only of the size of a fist’ from it, or that they borrowed an (unattested) Greek noun *\*πυγμαῖος*. For Latin *gm* > *mm*, cf. *flamma*. Quite differently, Adams 1985b: 244 interprets *pūmiliō* as ‘little hairy one’ and connects it with *pūbēs* ‘pubic hair, body hair’ which might reflect *\*peum-*. Morphologically, this interpretation would be easier.

Bibl.: WH II: 389, EM 545. → *pūbēs*

**pungō** ‘to pierce, sting’ [v. III; pf. *pupugī*, ppp. *pūnctum*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *pūnctāriola* ‘skirmish’ (Cato apud Fest.), *expungere* ‘to prick thoroughly; cancel a debt’ (Pl.+); *pūgiō* ‘dagger, poniard’ (Cic.+); *pugil*, *-is* [m.] ‘boxer’ (Ter.+), *pugilicē* ‘like a boxer’ (Pl.), *pūgilātus*, *-ūs* ‘boxing’ (Pl.+), *pugilātōrius* ‘used in boxing’ (Pl.); *pūgnus* ‘fist’ (Pl.+), *pugillus* ‘handful’ (Cato+), *pugillāris* ‘writing-tablet (small enough to hold in the hand)’ (Lab.+); *pūgna* ‘fight’ (Naev.+), *pūgnāre* ‘to fight, combat’ (Pl.+), *pūgnāculum* ‘bulwark’ (Pl.), *pugneus* ‘made of fists’ (Pl.), *dēpūgnāre* ‘to fight, do battle’ (Pl.+), *dēpūgnātiō* ‘method of fighting a battle’ (Cato), *expūgnāre* ‘to capture, storm’ (Pl.+), *oppūgnāre* ‘to attack, oppose’ (Pl.+), *prōpūgnāre* ‘to fight in defence of’ (Varro+), *prōpūgnāculum* ‘bulwark, defence’ (Pl.+), *repūgnāre* ‘to fight back, defend’ (Pac.+).

PIt. *\*pung-* ‘to pierce, sting’, *\*puk/g-n(o)-* ‘fist’.

PIE *\*pu-n(e)-g̥/k-*. IE cognates: Gr. πύξ ‘with the fist’, πύγμα [f.] ‘fist, fist-fight’, πυγών, -όνοϛ [m.] ‘certain measure, distance from the elbow to the first finger-joint’; ἔχε-πευκῆϛ ‘with a point’, πεύκη [f.] ‘pine-tree’.

The nasal present can go back to a root *\*pug-* or to *\*puk-*, with voicing of the velar stop between nasals. In the latter case, *pugil* and *pūgiō* would have secondarily adopted this *g*; yet in view of the difference in meaning, *\*pug-* seems to have been present separately from *pungō*, and with the meaning ‘fist’. The noun *pugillus* presupposes *\*pug-en-lo-* and hence an original *n*-stem; Lat. *pūgnus* and *pūgna* (length of *ū* is automatic in front of *-gn-*) may then be derived from the *n*-stem. But they may also reflect *\*puk/g-no-*. The meaning of *pūgnāculum* suggests that it is an abbreviated form of *prōpūgnāculum*, rather than an immediate derivative of *pūgnāre* (Serbat 1975: 194).

Bibl.: WH II: 383f., EM 543-546, IEW 828, Meiser 2003: 189, LIV *\*peu<sup>(g)</sup>-*.

**pūpa** ‘girl; doll’ [f. *ā*] (Varro+)

Derivatives: *pūpus* ‘boy’ (Varro+), *pūpula* ‘little girl, pupil of the eye’ (Lucret.+), *pūpulus* ‘little boy’ (Cato+), *pūpilla* ‘little girl; pupil of the eye’ (Lucret.+), *pūpillus* ‘minor, ward’ (Cato+).

According to EM, the *fī* forms are original, while the *m.* forms are sporadic derivatives from them. This remains uncertain. The forms *pūpa/-us* seem to be a reduplicated form of *\*pū-* as found in *puer*. IEW derives *pūpa* from a root for ‘to inflate’, which is theoretically possible; but in view of the productivity of reduplication in Latin when it comes to affective words (‘father’, ‘mother’, etc.), a connection with other words in *\*pū-* seems preferable.

Bibl.: WH II: 389f., EM 546, IEW 847f. → *puer*

**puppis** ‘stern of a boat, poop’ [f. *i*] (Pl.+)

No etymology.

Bibl.: WH II: 389, EM 546, IEW 53-55.

**pūr(i)gō, -āre** ‘to clean, clear’ [v. I] (Pl.+; *pūrigāre* in Pl., Varro)

Derivatives: *pūrgāmentum* ‘means of cleansing; that which is cleaned away, rubbish’ (Varro+), *pūrgātiō* ‘ridding, clearing’ (Cato+); *expūrgāre* ‘to free from dirt or blame, purify’ (Pl.+), *expūrgātiō* ‘cleansing, excuse’ (Pl.+), *perpūrgāre* ‘to clean thoroughly, purge’ (Pl.+; Pl. *perpūrigāre*).

PIt. *\*pūr*, *\*pun-* [n.] ‘fire’. It. cognates: U. *pir*, *pir* [nom.acc.sg.], *pure* [abl.sg.], *pureto* [abl.sg. + *-to*] n. ‘fire’ < *\*pūr*, abl. *\*pūred*.

PIE *\*péh<sub>2</sub>-ur* [nom.acc.], *ph<sub>2</sub>-uén-s* [gen.] ‘fire’. IE cognates: Hit. *pahhur* / *pahhuen-* [n.] ‘fire, embers, fever’, CLuw. *pāhūr-* [n.] ‘fire’(?) < *\*péh<sub>2</sub>-ur*, *\*ph<sub>2</sub>-uén-*; Gr. *πῦρ*, gen. *πῦρός*, Arm. *howr*, Go. *fon*, gen. *funins*, OIc. *funi* [m.] OIc. *fúrr*, *fýrr*, *fýri*, OHG OS OFr. *fiur*, OHG also *fuir* ‘fire’; ToA *por*, ToB *puwār*, *pwār* ‘fire’.

Since Lat. *pūrigāre* does not show a medial long vowel which one would expect from *\*pūro-ago-*, it will contain the word for ‘fire’ *\*pūr-* (rather than *\*pūro-* ‘clean’) and have meant ‘to lead the fire about’ (so as to purify); thus Dunkel 2000a: 94. The noun ‘fire’ is also attested in U. *pir*, *pur-*. According to Janda 2000: 44-46, PIE *\*peh<sub>2</sub>ur* ‘fire’ might refer to the ‘striking’ of sparks used to light a fire.

Bibl.: WH II: 390f., EM 546f., IEW 828, Untermann 2000: 557f., LIV *\*p<sub>h</sub>eh<sub>2</sub>-*. → *paviō*, *pūrus*

**pūrus** ‘clean, pure’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *impūrus* ‘dirty, foul’ (Pl.+), *impūritia* ‘impurity’ (Pl.+), *impūrātus* ‘filthy’ (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*pūro-* ‘pure’.

PIE *\*ph<sub>2</sub>ú-ro-* ‘clear, cleansed’. IE cognates: OIc. *úr*, W. *ir* ‘green, fresh’ < *\*pūro-* ‘clean’, Skt. *pávate* [3s.med.] ‘to become clean’, *punāti* [3s.act.] ‘to clean’, YAv. *pūitika-* ‘serving for purification’, OHG *fouwen*, *fewen* ‘to sieve, to sift’; Lith. *pūras*, *pūrai* ‘winter corn’, Latv. *pūrs* ‘grain measure’, RuCS *pyro* ‘spelt’, Ru. *pyréj* ‘couch-grass’, Gr. *πῦρός*, Dor. *σπῦρός* ‘wheat’ [m.] < *\*puHro-* ‘wheat’ (< *\*‘clean’?*).

Latin and Celtic show a stem *\*pūro-* ‘clean’ which is cognate with Ilr. *\*puH-* ‘to clean’. Janda 2000: 39–43 connects this with the Gr. and BSl. words for ‘wheat’. He argues that wheat was referred to as ‘pure’ or ‘cleansed’ because the grains could be separated from the hulls more easily than in the case of other, older kinds of grain. He connects Lat. *paviō* and posits an original root *\*peh<sub>2</sub>-* ‘to strike’, with *u*-extension *\*peh<sub>2</sub>u-*. The meaning ‘to clean’ would have developed through cleaning by means of striking. Thus, we would have *\*peh<sub>2</sub>u-* ‘to strike’ > ‘to clean’, *\*puH-ro-* ‘clean’ > Gr., BSl. ‘wheat’.

According to Weiss 1996b, the hapax *salapūtium* in Catullus may also belong here. He explains it as a loanword from O. *\*salaputim* ‘purification of salt’ < *\*sal-pūt-io-*, derived in Oscan from a cp. *\*sal-pūt-* ‘purifier of salt’ < *\*-puH-t-* ‘who purifies’. In the Catullus passage, ‘purification of salt’ would be a metaphor for ‘refinement of wit’.

Bibl.: WH II: 390f., EM 546f., IEW 827, Schrijver 1991: 247, Untermann 2000: 557f., LIV 1. *\*peuH-*. → *paviō*, *pīus*, *pūr(i)gō*

**pūs, pūris** ‘pus’ [n. *r*] (Lucil.+)

Derivatives: *pūrulentus* ‘with much pus’ (Cato+); *suppūrāre* ‘to fester under the surface’ (Cato+); *pūtēre* ‘to rot, stink’ (Pl.+), *pūtīdus* ‘rotting, rotten’ (Pl.+), *pūtēscere* ‘to begin to rot’ (Cato+), *expūtēscere* ‘to rot away’ (Pl.+), *pūtor* ‘rottenness’ (Cato+); *puter*, *-tris* [adj.] ‘rotten, foul, crumbly’ (Andr.+), *putrēre* ‘to be in a state of decay’ (Pac.+), *putrēscere* ‘to decay, disintegrate’ (Varro+), *pūrefacere* ‘to cause to rot’ (Varro+), *putridus* ‘rotten’ (Caecil.+).

Plt. *\*pūs-* ‘pus’, *\*pūto-* ‘rotten’, *\*putri-* ‘rotten’.

PIE *\*puH-o/es-* [n.] ‘pus’, *\*puH-to-*, *\*puH-tro-* ‘rotten’. IE cognates: OIr. *othar* ‘sickness, sick man’ < *\*putro-*; Skt. *puvas-* [n.] ‘pus’ < *\*puH-os*, *pūyati* ‘to rot, stink’, *pūti-* ‘foul, stinking’, YAv. *puiia-* ‘to decay’, *pūiti-* [f.] ‘becoming foul, decay’; Gr. *πύον* [n.], *πύος* [n.] ‘pus’, *πύός* [m.] ‘animal milk’, *πύθωμαι* ‘to rot, decay’; Lith. *puvėsis* ‘piece of mouldered wood, (pl.) rotten stuff’, Latv. *puvesis* ‘pus’ < *\*puH-es-io-*, Lith. *pūti* ‘to rot, decay’; Olc. *fūinn* [adj.] ‘rotten’.

Nom.acc.sg. *pūs* may be due to generalization of *\*puH-s-* from the gen.sg., or to a phonetic development *\*puos* > *\*puus* > *pūs* in the nom.sg. The forms *pūtēre* etc. are probably based on a ppp. *\*pūto-* to the root *\*puH-*. For *puter* and its possible OIr. cognate *othar*, Schrijver 1991 is hesitant to choose between *\*pHu-tro/i-* and *\*puH-tro/i-*. In either case, the short vowel resulting in Italo-Celtic is surprising.

Bibl.: WH II: 391f., EM 547, IEW 848f., Leumann 1977: 380. Schrijver 1991: 234f., 339, 341, 534, Stüber 2002: 136f., LIV 2. *\*peuH-*.

**pustula** ‘blister, pustule, bubble’ [f. *ā*] (Tibullus+; variants *pūsula*, *pussula*)

Derivatives: *praepūtium* ‘foreskin of the penis’ (Varro+).

IE cognates: PIE *\*pus-*: Skt. *pūsyati* ‘thrive, flourish’, Lith. *pūslė* ‘blister, bladder’, Ru. *púxlyj* ‘chubby’, *púxmut* ‘to swell’, Norw. *føysa* ‘swell’; PIE *\*put-*: Lith. *pūsti*, 3s.pr. *puñta*, pret. *pūto* ‘to swell’, Lith. *pūsti*, 3s. *pūčia* ‘to blow’ (with analogical long vowel).

Might be related to PIE words continuing \*put- ‘to blow’ or \*pus- ‘to blossom, swell’, but nothing specific can be said about the relationship, which in any case is uncertain because the semantics are not compelling. The appurtenance of *praepūtium* \*‘what is at front of the \*pūt(o)-’ (Weiss 1996b: 355) here is uncertain, but a denomination of ‘penis’ as ‘which swells’ is conceivable.

Bibl.: WH II: 392, EM 547, IEW 847f.

**puteus** ‘well (for water), pit’ [m. o] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *puteālis* ‘of a well’ (Lucr.+), *puticulī* [m.pl.] ‘a pauper’s graveyard’ (Varro+), *Puteolī* [m.pl.] ‘town near Naples’ (Varro+), *Puteolānus* ‘of Puteolī’ (Cato+).

Short *u* makes it impossible to directly derive *puteus* from *paviō* ‘to strike’. It might be related to *putāre* ‘to prune’, but this is semantically less attractive, and the suffix *-eus* can then hardly be interpreted as indicating a material. Therefore, *puteus* may well be a loanword.

Bibl.: WH II: 393, EM 547, IEW 827.

**putō, -āre** ‘to prune (trees), scour (wool); make up (accounts), think, reckon’ [v. I] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *putus* ‘pure, genuine’ (Pl.+); *putātor* ‘who trims trees or bushes’ (Varro+), *putātiō* ‘pruning, opinion’ (Varro+), *putāmen* ‘outer cover, shell’ (Pl.+); *amputāre* ‘to cut off, prune away’ (Lucil.+), *computāre* ‘to calculate, reckon’ (Pl.+), *dēputāre* ‘to complete the pruning; regard as, assign’ (Pl.+), *disputāre* ‘to argue, debate’ (Pl.+), *exputāre* ‘to cut off; examine thoroughly’ (Pl.+), *interputāre* ‘to prune here and there’ (Cato+), *perputāre* ‘to give a clear outline of’ (Pl.), *supputāre* ‘to prune below, reckon’ (Cato+).

PIt. \**puto-* ‘cut off, clean’.

PIE \*pHu-tó-.

The adj. *putus* must be the primary word of this family, *putāre* the verbal derivative. Its original meaning clearly was ‘to cut off unwanted branches, prune a tree’, whence ‘to ponder over, reckon’. On the hapax *perputāre*, see Kümmel 2004b: 353. The short vowel in *putus* is ascribed to original oxytonesis by Schrijver 1991. For the reconstruction of the root, see s.v. *paviō*. If Lith. *pjáuti* goes back to \**peut-*, the root must be \*ph<sub>1</sub>u-; but LIV posits \*pih<sub>2</sub>u- on account of Gr. *πταίω* ‘to thrust’, ToB *pyakar* ‘they struck down’. Latin *putus* does not allow to decide between \*h<sub>1</sub> and \*h<sub>2</sub>.

Bibl.: WH II: 393f., EM 548, IEW 827, Schrijver 1991: 241, LIV \*pih<sub>2</sub>- → *paviō*

**putus** ‘boy’ [m. o] (Verg. – a conjecture)

Derivatives: *putillus* ‘very young, tiny’ (Pl.); *pullus* ‘foal, chick, young of an animal’ (Pl.+), *pullitra* ‘young chicken’ (Varro), *pullārius* ‘of chickens’ (Pl.+); *pūsus* ‘boy’ (Varro+), *pūsa* ‘girl’ (Varro+), *pusillus* ‘very small, tiny’ (Naev.+).

PIt. \**put-o-* ‘boy’, \**put-lo-* ‘little boy, young of an animal’. It. cognates: Fal. *putellio* [nom.sg.] ‘little son’; O. *puklum, puklu* [acc.sg.], *puklui* [dat.sg.], *puk.* [nom.sg.], *pukele* [gen.sg.?], Mars. *puclefs*, Pael. *puclouis* [dat.pl.], SPic. *puqlōh* [dat.sg.?] ‘son’ < \**pu-tlo-*.

PIE \*put-lo- ‘son’. IE cognates: Skt. *putrá-* ‘son, child, young of an animal’, Av. *puθra-* ‘son’; Latv. *putns* ‘bird’; OCS *pŕtica* ‘bird’, Ru. *pótká* ‘bird’, Cz. *pták* ‘bird’, all < BSl. \*put- ‘bird’.

Lat. *pullus* < \*put-slo-, as shown by the dim. *pusillus* < \*pussillo- < \*putslo-lo-. Thus, whereas Sabellic continues PIE \*putlo-, Latin has inserted an -s-. The dim. *putillus* was productively built to *putus*. The latter seems the most basic form \*puto-, but it is attested only in Empirical Latin in a conjectural form. The form *pūsus* might be a back-formation to *pusillus*. Romance continues a VLat. preform \*pūtus with the characteristic geminate consonant of expressive words. A connection of the stem \*put- with *puer* is difficult to establish.

Bibl.: WH II: 385f., 392-394, EM 544-549, IEW 842f., Giacomelli 1963: 253f., Hamp 1983, Meiser 1998: 119, 125, Untermann 2000: 599. → *puer*

## Q

**quaerō, quaesō** ‘to seek, request’ [v. III; pf. *quaesivī*, ppp. *quaesitum*] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *quaeritāre* ‘to keep looking for, seek’ (Pl.+); *quaesitiō* ‘searching’ (Pl.+), *quaestiō* ‘examination, inquiry’ (Pl.+), *quaestōr* ‘magistrate, quaestor’ (Pl.+), *quaestus*, *-ī/-ūs* ‘the gaining or pursuit of income’ (Pl.+), *quaestuōsus* ‘lucrative, successful’ (Pl.+), *quaesticulus* ‘petty profit’ (Lucil.+); *quaesere* [pr. tantum] ‘to seek, request’ (Naev.+); *conquīrere* ‘to collect, hunt down’ (Varro+), *conquīsitor* ‘inspector’ (Pl.+), *exquīrere* ‘to ask about, inquire’ (Pl.+), *exquīsītum* ‘with diligence’ (Varro), *inquīrere* ‘to investigate’ (Pac.+), *inquīsītus* ‘unexamined’ (Naev.+), *perquīrere* ‘to search everywhere’ (Pl.+), *perquīsitor* ‘one who hunts out’ (Pl.), *requīrere* ‘to seek, inquire’ (Pl.+), *requīritāre* ‘to seek repeatedly’ (Pl.).

Plt. \**kwai-s-e/o-* [pr.]. It. cognates: O. *kvaīsstur*, κβαιστορ [nom.sg.], *kvaīsturei*, *kvaīzstur*, Mars. *gestur* [nom.pl.], U. *kvestur* [nom.sg.], *cvestur* [nom.pl.] ‘quaestor’, a loanword from Latin. U. *kvestretie* [loc.sg.f.] ‘the office of quaestor’, derived with \*-*étio-* or \*-*etiā-* from *kvestur*.

PIE \**kueh<sub>2</sub>-i-* [pr.] ‘to acquire’. IE cognates: Gr. *πέπᾱμαι* (Dor. Argos), fut. *πάσομαι* ‘to get, acquire’; OPr. *quoi* ‘I, you want’, *quāits* ‘desire’, Lith. *kviēsti* ‘to invite’; Possibly also OAlb. 3s. *kaa* ‘he has’ < PIE \**k<sub>u</sub>oh<sub>2</sub>-e* [pf.] ‘has got’ (thus Matzinger 2003).

The etymology as \**k<sub>u</sub>ois-* to \**kueis-* ‘to see’ is deemed uncertain by Schrijver 1991. LIV adopts the etymology proposed by Szemerényi 1960b: 232, viz. as \**ko-ais-(s)-e/o-* to PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>eis-* ‘to ask, seek’. Yet the preverb \**ko(m)-* is usually still recognizable as such. Nussbaum (2007b) proposes to analyze *quaerō* as a *se/o-*pr. to an *i-*pr. \**k<sub>u</sub>eh<sub>2</sub>-i-* to a root \**k<sub>u</sub>eh<sub>2</sub>-* ‘to acquire’. For this root, he compares Gr. *πέπᾱμαι* (Dor. Argos), fut. *πάσομαι* ‘to possess, to acquire’ < \**k<sub>u</sub>eh<sub>2</sub>-*. The pr. *quaesō* can be interpreted as a recharacterized, new desiderative \**k<sub>u</sub>ais-s-e/o-*. This seems to

me the best proposal to date. Leumann 1977: 591 suggests that the suffix *-ī-* in *quaesivī*, *-ītus* would have been analogically adopted from semantic neighbours such as *cupīre* and *petere*, *-īvī*. But the *ī*-pf. is well-established in Plautus for *quaesivī*, whereas it is found only a few times with *petō* and not at all yet with *cupiō*. Hence, things may be reversed: *quaerō* seems to be the origin of the *ī*-pf. in *petō* and *cupiō*. The *-s-* in *quaesivī* can then continue an *s*-aorist *\*kʷais-s-*. The original ppp. must have been *\*kwaistos*.

Bibl.: WH II: 396f., EM 551, Schrijver 1991: 461f., Untermann 2000: 423-425, Meiser 2003: 126, LIV ?\*(<sup>h</sup>)kʷeh<sub>2</sub>-.

**quālus/m** ‘wicker basket’ [m./n. o] (Pl.+; pl. *quāla* Cato)

Derivatives: *quasillum/s* ‘small wicker basket’ (Cato+).

Plt. *\*kwat-slo-* ‘sieve, filter’?

PIE *\*kuot-i-?*

Lat. *quasillum* < *\*quats-l-elo-* (for the phonetics, cf. *pullus* vs. *pusillus*; Leumann 1977: 208). Lat. *quālus* has often been compared with OCS *košb* ‘big basket of wickerwork’ < *\*kʷos-jo-*. In that case, Lat. *quālus* / *cōlum* would go back to *\*kʷos-lo-*, with *\*uo* > *\*ua* in the case of *quālus*. But it is unlikely that *\*sl* had become *\*l* before this unrounding (which was Proto-Italic, cf. Schrijver 1991: 475), in which case the condition for the unrounding (viz. an open syllable) was not given. A connection with *quatiō* ‘to shake, toss’, which is rejected by WH, is advocated by Leumann 1977: 208, and seems quite conceivable to me: cf. Dutch *door-slag* ‘sieve’ to *slaan* ‘to beat’. This would imply an instrument noun *\*quat-slo-* ‘sieve’, which would have been formed after PIE *\*kuot-i-* ‘to shake’ became Plt. *\*kwat-i-* (Schrijver 1991: 462f.). Whereas Schrijver reconstructs PIE *o*-grade, LIV assumes a secondary *a*-grade present to an *ē*-aorist (unattested in Latin). The only way which I see to connect *cōlum* with these forms, is by assuming that a *slo*-derivative was formed from *\*kuot-* before the sound change to *\*kwat-*, and another one after this sound change. Thus: *\*kuot-slo-* > *\*kʷosslo-* > *\*kʷōlo-* > *cōlum*; and *\*kʷat-slo-* > *\*kʷasslo-* > *quālum*. Obviously, this whole story hinges on the likelihood of the connection with ‘to shake’, so that a completely different origin remains possible.

Bibl.: WH II: 397, EM 551, IEW 635, Schrijver 1991: 462, LIV \*(s)kʷeh<sub>1</sub>t-. → *cōlum*, *quatiō*

**quatiō, -ere** ‘to shake, toss, hurry along’ [v. III; ppp. *quassum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *quassus*, *-ūs* ‘shaking’ (Pac.+), *quassāre* ‘to shake repeatedly, damage’ (Naev.+), *conquassāre* ‘to shake violently’ (Cato+); *concutere* ‘to agitate, strike’ (Pl.+), *discutere* ‘to shatter, disperse’ (Lucil.+), *excutere* ‘to shake out, throw off’ (Pl.+), *percutere* ‘to strike heavily, kill’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*kwat-i-*.

PIE \*(s)kuot-i-? IE cognates: Lith. *kūsti*, 3s. *kūnta* ‘to recover’, *kutėti*, 3s. *kūta* ‘to thrive, prosper’ < *\*kut-*; OS *scuddian*, OHG *scutten* ‘to shake’ < *\*skut-*, Olc. *hossa* ‘to throw’ < *\*kut-s-*.

Together with the Gm. words for ‘to shake’, and maybe Lith *\*kut-* ‘to recover’, *quatiō*

may point to a root *\*ku(o)t-*, with awkward schwebe-ablaut. It might be a non-IE word in origin. The appurtenance Gr. *πάσσω* ‘to sprinkle’ is possible from the semantic side, but since complicated and unwarranted analogies are necessary to explain Greek *-a-*, Latin and Greek would almost certainly point to non-IE *\*kuat-* ‘to shake, strew’.

Bibl.: WH II: 399f., EM 552f., IEW 632, Schrijver 1991: 260, 462f., Meiser 2003: 115, LIV *\*(s)kueh<sub>1</sub>t-* → *quāhus*

**quattuor** ‘four’ [num. indecl.] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *quattuordecim* ‘14’; *quater* [adv.] ‘four times, on four occasions’ (Pl.+), *quaternī* ‘four at a time’ (Cato+); *quadru/i-* ‘four’ (in compounds) (Naev.+), *quadrāns, -ntis* [m.] ‘one quarter (of an *ass*, of a pound, etc.)’ (Lucil.+), *quadrantāl* [n.] ‘measure of the volume of a cubic foot’ (Pl.+), *quadrātus* ‘divided into four parts, square’ (Afran.+), *quadrāginta* ‘40’ (Pl.+), *quadrāgēsīmus* ‘fortieth’ (Cato+), *quadrāgēnī* ‘forty at a time’ (Cato+), *quadrāgēnārius* ‘holding 40 units’ (Cato+), *quadrāgēs* ‘40 times’ (Varro+), *quadrīngentī* ‘400’ (Pl.+), *quadrīnī* (Cato) ‘four at a time’ (Cato+); *quārtus* ‘fourth’ (Pl.+; *Quorta Praeneste*, CIL 1.328), *quārtārius* ‘a quarter of a sextarius’ (Cato+), *quārtātō* ‘for the fourth time’ (Cato).

Plt. nom.n. *\*k<sup>w</sup>etwōr* (>> Lat. *\*k<sup>w</sup>atwōr*), nom.m.f. *\*k<sup>w</sup>e/atwores*, n. *\*k<sup>w</sup>etwora*; *\*k<sup>w</sup>atworto-*. It. cognates: maybe the Ven. theonym *trumusīati-* contains *\*k<sup>w</sup>tru-* ‘four’; O. *pettiur* ‘four’ (< *\*k<sup>w</sup>etur*), *petiropert*, *petirupert* [acc.pl.n. + *-pert*] ‘four times’ (< *\*k<sup>w</sup>etūr-*), Festus 226 *petoritum Gallicum vehiculum: alii Osce quod hi quoque pitora quattuor vocent*. U. *peturpursus* ‘quadruped’ [dat.pl.] < *\*k<sup>w</sup>etur-pōd-*.

PIE *\*k<sup>w</sup>étuōr* [nom.n.], *\*k<sup>w</sup>étuores* [nom.m/f.], *\*k<sup>w</sup>tuérns* [acc.m/f.], *\*k<sup>w</sup>turom* [gen.] ‘four’, *\*k<sup>w</sup>turó-* (>> *\*k<sup>w</sup>tūr-tó-*) ‘fourth’, *\*k<sup>w</sup>turs* ‘four times’; *\*k<sup>w</sup>tur-* in compounds; *\*k<sup>w</sup>t(u)r-dkmt-* ‘forty’. IE cognates: Olr. *ceth(a)ir* [m.], *cetheoir* [f.], MW *pedair* [f.] ‘four’; Skt. *catvāras* [nom.m.], *catūras* [acc.m.], *catvāri* [nom.acc.n.], *cātasras* [nom.acc.f.] ‘four’, *catūr* [adv.] ‘four times’, YAv. *caθβārō* [nom.m.], *caturō* [acc.m.], *caturqm* [gen.], *catanrō* [acc.f.] ‘four’, *caθβarasat-* ‘forty’, *caθru-dasa-* ‘fourteenth’, *caθruš* [adv.] ‘four times’; Gr. *τέτορες* ‘four’ (Dor.), *πίσυρες* (H.) [nom.pl.], *τέτρασι* [dat.pl.], *τέτρατος* ‘fourth’, *τρυ-* ‘four’; Arm. *č‘ork’*, Lith. *keturi*, OCS *četyre*, Go. *fidwor*, ToA *štwar*, ToB *štwer* ‘four’.

Lat. *quattuor* as opposed to Sab. *pet-* has introduced *\*k<sup>w</sup>at-* with secondary *-a-* from the oblique case forms with PIE *\*k<sup>w</sup>t-*, and from the other usages of ‘four’ with a zero-grade root, such as in compounds *\*k<sup>w</sup>tru-*. The ending *-or* can directly reflect PIE *\*-ōr*, but maybe also *\*-or-es*. The form *quadr-* found in ‘40’ and in compounds is explained by Schrijver from voicing of *\*t* between *\*C* and *\*CCC*, e.g. in *\*k<sup>w</sup>tr-dkmt-* ‘40’ and in the ordinal ‘fourth’. The same element *quadr-* was then used in other compounds. For ‘fourth’, Schrijver posits *\*k<sup>w</sup>tūrto-* > *\*k<sup>w</sup>dyrto-* > *\*k<sup>w</sup>aduorto-*, and then loss of *\*d* in front of *\*y*, as in *suāvis*, hence *\*k<sup>w</sup>ayorto-* > *quārtus*. The long *ā* in *quadrāginta* must be phonetic, and can be explained from *\*k<sup>w</sup>tr-dkmt-* > *\*kwadr-Hkmt-* (Kortlandt 1983a), and subsequent *\*-drHk-* > *\*drāk*. In *quadrīngentī*, medial *-n-* must be analogical to *quīngentī*. Most of the secure *quadru-* spellings occur in front of a labial, cf. Coleman 1992: 424. For *quater*, Coleman suggests PIE

\*k<sup>w</sup>turs (Skt. *catūh*) > \*quaturs > \*quatrus > \*quats > *quater*.

Bibl.: WH II:394f., 399-401, EM 553f., IEW 643f., Lejeune 1974: 330, Leumann 1977: 486, 488, 492, Schrijver 1991: 182, 491f., Coleman 1992: 393ff., 417, Sihler 1995: 411f., 430, Beekes 1995: 212-216, Meiser 1998: 171, Untermann 2000: 550f. → *triquetrus*

**-que** 'and' [cj. postpos.] (VOLat. (Madonna-*inscr.*)+)

Derivatives: *quisque*, *quaeque*, *quidque* [pron.] 'every', *quodque* [adj.] 'every'.

Plt. \*k<sup>w</sup>e. It. cognates: Ven. **-kve**, Fal. **-cue** 'and', O.U. **-p**, *-p*, O. **-p|e** 'and'.

PIE \*k<sup>w</sup>e 'and, -ever'. IE cognates: Hit. *-kku* 'now, even, and', Pal. *-ku* 'and?', CLuw. *-ku* 'and, furthermore?'; Skt. *-ca*, Av. *-ca*, OP *-cā* 'and, also, if', Gr. *-τε* 'and', Myc. *-qe* 'and'; Go. *-uh* 'and, also', *nih* 'if not'.

After pronouns and adverbs, *-que* can also have a generalizing meaning.

Bibl.: WH II: 401f., EM 555, IEW 635f., Giacomelli 1963: 241, Lejeune 1974: 338, Dunkel 1979, 1980, Untermann 2000: 520. → *quī*

**queō, quīre** 'to be able' [v. II/IV; pf. *quīvī*; forms pr. *queō*, *quīs*, *quīt*, *quīmus*, *quītis*, *queunt*, ipf. *quībam*, fut. *quībō*, ps. *quītur*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *nequeō*, *nequīs*, *nequit* etc. 'to be unable' (Pl.+; Andr. *nequīnont*), ptc. *nequiens*, *-euntis*.

IE cognates: see s.v. *eō*.

The alternation between 1s. *-eō*, 3p. *-eunt* and the *ī*-conjugation in most other forms points to the verb *eō*, *īre* 'to go' as a basic element of these forms. It is generally accepted that the verb was derived from a contracted form of 3s. \**neque īt* or \**neque ītur* 'it does not go', 'it is impossible' > 'he is unable' > *nequīt/nequītur*. By removing the negative element *ne-*, reanalysis led to a new verb *queō*, *quīre* 'to be able'.

Bibl.: WH II: 402, EM 555, Leumann 1977: 521, Meiser 1998: 223, LIV \*h<sub>1</sub>ej-. → *eō*

**quercus** 'oak-tree' [f. *u*] (Enn.+)

Derivatives: *querneus* 'of oak' (Cato+), *querquētum* 'a wood of oaks' (Varro+).

Plt. \*k<sup>w</sup>erk<sup>w</sup>u/o-.

PIE \*perk<sup>w</sup>-o/u- '(kind of) oak'. IE cognates: OHG *fereheih*, Langob. *fereha* 'kind of oak', Oic. *fjorr* [m.] 'tree, man' < PGm. \**ferχu-*; OHG *forha*, MHG *vorhe*, OE *furh*, Oic. *fura* [f.] 'fir-tree' < \**furχō-*; Oic. *fjri* [n.] 'fir forest', NHG *Föhre*, MoDu. *vuur-hout* < \**furχ-īn-*. The word for 'fir-tree' is unrelated according to Kluge-Seebold 1999, but in my view the similarity in form is too close to keep them apart. Still, the root ablaut is strange.

The Latin word shows the regular Italo-Celtic assimilation of \**p* – k<sup>w</sup> – > \*k<sup>w</sup> – k<sup>v</sup>-. The oldest attestations are of a *u*-stem, but since the cognate Gm. forms can also be explained from a stem in \**-χ<sup>w</sup>a-*, since m. and f. *u*- and *o*-stems tend to influence each other in nom. and acc.sg., and since *o*-stem tree names tend to be feminine, it cannot be excluded that *quercus* continues a Plt. *o*-stem. IEW and other handbooks also connect Go. *fairhus* 'world', OHG *fer(a)h*, OE *feorh* 'life, soul' < \**perk<sup>w</sup>-o-*, but Schaffner 2001 convincingly rejects this connection. His alternative etymology of the



latter words as belonging to the root *\*perk-* ‘to plough’ (see Lat. *porca*) is attractive. Gothic *fairguni* [n.] ‘mountain’ must also be separated; Schaffner 2001: 193 connects Av. *pauruātā-* ‘mountains’, Gr. *πεῖρα* ‘end, border’, Hit. *pi-e-ru-ni* [dat.sg.] ‘stone’ < PIE *\*per-ur-*, *-un-*, which is semantically much more straightforward than a connection with ‘oak’. Finally, also unrelated to *quercus* are probably the BSl. words for the ‘god of thunder’: OLith. *perkúnas*, Lith. *perkúnas* ‘thunder’, *perkúnija* f. ‘thunderstorm’, Latv. *pērkuōns* ‘thunder, god of thunder’, ORu. *Perunъ* ‘god of thunder’, Ru. *perún* ‘thunder, lightning’. This means that only Latin and Gm. contain certain reflexes of a stem *\*perk<sup>w</sup>-u/o-* ‘oak’.

Bibl.: WH II: 402f., EM 555, IEW 822f., Schaffner 2001: 190-194.

**queror**, *-ī* ‘to complain, protest’ [v. III; ppp. *questum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *querēla* ‘complaint, lament’ (Pl.+), *querimōnia* ‘complaint, protest’ (Pl.+); *conquerī* ‘to utter a complaint, bewail’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*k<sup>w</sup>es-e/o-* / *\*kwes-e/o-*.

IE cognates: Skt. *śvāsiti* ‘to hiss, snort’, *śūsma-* [m.] ‘hissing, roaring’, YAv. *suši* [du.f.] ‘the lungs’; Olc. *hvæsa* ‘to hiss, to snort’, OE *hwōsan* ‘to cough’.

WH, IEW and LIV derive Lat. *queror* from a PIE root *\*kues-* ‘to hiss’ via ‘to sigh’. This is possible, but semantically by no means compelling. EM are very hesitant about this etymology. Note that the Gm. forms in *\*hwōs-* and *\*hwēs-* may also belong to the root *\*k<sup>w</sup>eh<sub>2</sub>s-* ‘to cough’ which is reflected in Gm. (OHG *huosto*, OE *hwōsta* ‘cough’), BSl. (*kósēti* ‘to cough’, Ru. *káshjat* ‘to cough’) and Celtic; *queror* cannot be derived from this root. There is no alternative etymology, however.

Bibl.: WH II: 402f., EM 555, IEW 631f., LIV *\*kues-*.

**quī, quae, quod** ‘what, which? who, that’ [pron. relat., indef.] (VOLat.+; Forum cippus *quoi* ‘quī’ (sg. and pl.), *quos* ‘quōs’. Paradigm: nom.sg.m. *quī*, nom.sg.f. *quae*, indef. *quā*, nom.acc.sg.n. *quod*; gen.sg. *quouis* (Elog.Scip.) > *cuius* (*Icuius!*), dat.sg. *quoiei* > *cui*, acc.sg.m. *quem*, acc.sg.f. *quam*, abl.sg.m.n. *quōd* > *quō*, abl.sg.f. *quā*; abl.sg. *quī*; nom.pl.m. *quī*, VOLat. *quēs* (indef.), f. *quae*, nom.acc.pl.n. *quae, qua*, gen.pl.m.n. *quōrum*, f. *quārum*, dat.abl. *quibus*, VOLat. *queis* > *quīs*, acc.pl.m. *quōs*, f. *quās*)

Derivatives: (1) *quā* ‘by which road or means, how?; inasmuch as’ (Lex XII, Pl.+), *quāquā* ‘in every place’ (Pl.+), *quasi* (CIL *quansei, quasei*) [cj., adv.] ‘as if, like; practically’ (Andr.+), *quō* [adv.] ‘where to, what for?’ (Andr.+), *quō* [cj., adv.] ‘whence, whereby, that’ (Lex XII+), *quōquō* ‘to whatever place’ (Pl.+); *quoque* ‘also’ (Andr.+); (2) *quālis* ‘what kind of?; such as’ (Pl.+); (3) *quam* [adv.] ‘how?; as’ (Lex XII, Andr.+), *quamde, quande* ‘than’ (Andr.+); *quamquam* ‘however much, although’ (Pl.+), *nēquam* ‘bad, useless’ (Naev.+; comp. *nēquior*, sup. *nēquissimus*), *nēquiter* ‘badly, wickedly’ (Pl.+), *nēquitia* ‘moral worthlessness, villainy’ (Pl.+); *quantus* [adj. interr., rel.] ‘how great, how many’ (Naev.+), *quantusquantus* ‘however great’ (Pl.+), *quantillus* [adj.] ‘how little?’, *quantisper* ‘for how long?’ (Caecil.+), *quantulus* ‘how small’ (Lucr.+); *quandō* ‘when’ (Andr.+); *quisquam, quicquam* ‘any, anyone’ (Naev.+); (4) A number of compound indefinite pronouns is discussed s.v. their

second member: *-cumque*, *-libet*, *-nam*, *-vīs*.

PIt. m.sg. nom. *\*k<sup>w</sup>oi*, gen. *\*k<sup>w</sup>ojjos* (see s.v. *cūius*), dat. *\*k<sup>w</sup>osmoi* // *\*k<sup>w</sup>ojjei*, acc. *\*k<sup>w</sup>om*, abl. *\*k<sup>w</sup>ōd*, f.sg. nom. *\*k<sup>w</sup>āi*, acc. *\*k<sup>w</sup>ām*, abl. *\*k<sup>w</sup>ād*, n.sg. nom.acc. *\*k<sup>w</sup>od*, nom.pl. m. *\*k<sup>w</sup>oi* // *\*k<sup>w</sup>ōs*, f. *\*k<sup>w</sup>ās* (>> *\*k<sup>w</sup>āi* in Lat.), n. *\*k<sup>w</sup>a* // *\*k<sup>w</sup>ai*, gen. m.n. *\*k<sup>w</sup>osom*, f. *\*k<sup>w</sup>āsom*, dat.abl. *\*k<sup>w</sup>ois* (< *\*k<sup>w</sup>ōis*), acc. m. *\*k<sup>w</sup>ōns*, f. *\*k<sup>w</sup>āns*. It cognates: (1) U. *poi*, *poei*, *poe* [nom.sg.m.], O. *paí*, *pai*, *paei*, *pae* [nom.sg.f.], *púd*, *pod* [nom.acc.sg.n.], O. *pui*, U. *pusme* [dat.sg.m.], O. *paam*, *pam*, Pael. *pam* [acc.sg.f.], O. *poizad*, U. *pora* [abl.sg.f.], O. *pús*, Pael. *puus*, U. *pure*, *puri* [nom.pl.m.], O. *pas*, *pas* [nom.pl.f.], O. *paí*, *pai* [nom.acc.pl.n.], U. *pafe* [acc.pl.f.]; U. *svepu*, *suepo* < *\*syai* 'if' + [nom.acc.sg.n.] 'that which, who'. In U., *\*-ī* was added in the nom.acc. Other forms: O. *pod* [cj.] 'that' < *\*k<sup>w</sup>od*; O. *pod*, U. *puře*, *porši*, *porsei*, *porse* [indecl. relat. pron.] < *\*k<sup>w</sup>od*, U. *\*k<sup>w</sup>od-ī*; U. *pue*, *pue* 'whereto' probably *\*k<sup>w</sup>ō+ī*; O. *púkkapid*, *p]ocapid*, *pocapit* 'whenever' < *\*k<sup>w</sup>od-ka-k<sup>w</sup>id*. The origin of *\*-ka-* is unknown. O. *adpúd* [cj.] 'as long as' < *\*ad-k<sup>w</sup>od*; (3) O. *pam* 'than' (after comp.); maybe SPic. *panivú* if from *\*k<sup>w</sup>ām-diyou*; O. *pantes* [gen.sg.n.], U. *panta* [nom.sg.f.] 'how big'; U. *pane*, *pane* 'when' < *\*k<sup>w</sup>ām-de*, *panupei* [adv.] 'whenever' < *\*k<sup>w</sup>ām-dō-k<sup>w</sup>ei/id*.

PIE sg. nom.m. *\*k<sup>w</sup>o+i*, f. *\*k<sup>w</sup>eh<sub>2</sub>*, n. *\*k<sup>w</sup>od*, acc.m. *\*k<sup>w</sup>od*, gen.m.n. *\*k<sup>w</sup>oso*, dat.loc. *\*k<sup>w</sup>osm-*, ins. *\*k<sup>w</sup>ōi*; pl. nom.m. *\*k<sup>w</sup>oi*, n. *\*k<sup>w</sup>(e)h<sub>2</sub>*. IE cognates: Skt. *ká-*, Av. *ka-* 'who, which, someone', OP *kaš-ciy* 'someone', Gr. *téo* [gen.sg.] 'whose', *πό-θη* 'where'; Lith. *kàs* 'who', OCS *kv-to* 'who', *česo* [gen.sg.] 'whose'; Go. *huas* 'who'.

The paradigm of the relat. and indef. pronoun in Latin is a mixture of reflexes of *\*k<sup>w</sup>o-* and *\*k<sup>w</sup>i-*; for the forms of the latter (in the paradigm of Latin *quī*, these are *quem*, *quēs*, *quibus*, abl.sg. *quī*), see s.v. *quis*. The acc.sgm. *\*k<sup>w</sup>om* has been preserved as *quom* > *cum* in the conjunction. The gen.sg. *cuius* must be from *\*k<sup>w</sup>osio* + *\*-s*. The dat.sg. *\*k<sup>w</sup>oiiei* is probably analogical to the gen.sg. Sabellic retains the older PIE form. The adv. *quasi* and *quoque* (< *\*quō-que*) show shortening of the first long vowel in front of the originally enclitic *-sī* and *-que*, and then shortening of the final long vowel through iambic shortening. Lat. *quālis* < *\*k<sup>w</sup>eh<sub>2</sub>li-*, *quantus* < *\*k<sup>w</sup>ānto-* < *\*k<sup>w</sup>eh<sub>2</sub>nt-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 394, 397, 404f., EM 551, 556, IEW 644-648, Leumann 1977: 472-475, van der Staij 1995: 124-135, Beekes 1995: 206, Meiser 1998: 165-167, Untermann 2000: 53, 510-513, 591-599. → *cūius*, *cum*, *quis*, *qot*, *ubi*, *ut*, *uter*

**quiēs**, *-ētis* 'sleep, rest, repose' [f. *ī*] (Pl.+; abl. *quiē* Laev.)

Derivatives: *quiēs*, *-tis* [adj.] 'quiet, peaceful' (Naev.+), *quiētus* 'at rest, peaceful, quiet' (Pl.+); *quiēscere* 'to repose, rest, sleep' (pf. *quiēvī*, ppp. *quiētum*) (Pl.+), *acquiēscere* 'to rest, find peace' (Pl.+), *conquiēscere* 'to take repose, go to sleep' (Pl.+), *requiēscere* 'to rest, be idle' (Pl.+), *requiēs*, *-ētis* 'rest, relaxation' (acc. *requiem*, dat. *requiei*, abl. *requiē*) (Lucr.+).

PIt. *\*k<sup>w</sup>iē-ti-* [f.], *\*k<sup>w</sup>iē-* [aor.].

PIE *\*k<sup>w</sup>ieh<sub>1</sub>-ti-* 'rest, peace', aor. *\*k<sup>w</sup>i(e)h<sub>1</sub>-* 'to rest'. IE cognates: Av. *š(ii)ā-* [pr.] 'to be calm, glad, rest', OP *š(ii)yāta-* 'peaceful, happy (on earth)', *š(ii)yāti-* 'welfare, peace

(on earth), happiness (after death)', Khot. *tsāta-* 'rich, happy'; Arm. *han-geaw* 'rested', OCS *počiti*, Is. *počijō* 'to rest', Ru. *pokój* 'rest, (obs.) chamber'.

The *ē*-inflexion of *requiēs* must be recent, built on the nom.sg., as is shown by its late appearance (Meiser 1998: 150). The Latin pf. *quiē-vī* probably continues the PIE root aorist; on the model of *gnōscere* : *gnōvī*, *crēscere* : *crēvī*, a new pr. *quiēscere* was built.

Bibl.: WH II: 406, EM 557, IEW 638, Schrijver 1991: 140, Meiser 1998: 150, 205, LIV \*k<sup>h</sup>ieh<sub>1</sub>-.

**quīnque** 'five' [num. indecl.] (Lex XII+)

Derivatives: *quīndecim* 'fifteen' (Lex XII+), *quīnquāgintā* 'fifty' (Pl.+), *quīnquāgēnārius* 'containing fifty of anything' (Cato+), *quīnquāgēsīēs* 'fifty times' (Pl.), *quīngenī* 'five hundred' (Pl.+); *quīnquātrūs*, *-uum* [f.pl.] 'festival of Minerva, lasting five days in March' (Pl.+), *quīnquertiō* 'one who competes in the pentathlon' (Andr.); *quīnī* 'five at a time' (Pl.+), *quīnārius* 'containing five each; coin worth five asses' (Varro+), *quīnavīcēnārius* 'relating to twenty-five' (Pl.), *quīncunx*, *-ncis* 'a pattern of five, five-twelfths' (Varro+); *quīn(c)tus* 'fifth' (Pl.+), *quīntānus* 'of the fifth' (Varro+), *Quīntīlis* 'name of the fifth month' (Varro+).

Plt. \*k<sup>w</sup>enk<sup>w</sup>e 'five', \*k<sup>w</sup>(e)nk<sup>w</sup>to- 'fifth'. It. cognates: O. **pumperlas**, **pumperias** [nom.pl.], **pumperiais** [dat.abl.pl.], U. **pumpeřias** [nom.pl.] in O. probably 'fifth day', in U. unclear meaning, < \*pompe '5' + \*-(e)ro + jo- (er probably from \*peteria- << \*peturia- '4'); O. *pomtis* 'five times' < \*pompe-tis, suffix \*-is (Lat. -iēs) >> \*-tis by analogy with sept-, oct- cent-. U. **puntes** [nom.pl.], **puntis** [dat.abl.pl.] '?' < \*pomp-ti- 'group of five'?

PIE \*penk<sup>w</sup>e 'five', \*pnk<sup>w</sup>-(t)o- 'fifth'. IE cognates: OIr. *cóic*, W. *pypm*, Skt. *pañca* 'five', *pañcamá-* 'fifth', *pakthá-* PN, *pañkti-* [f.] 'set of five', YAv. *pañca* 'five', *puxda-* 'fifth', Gr. *πέντε*, Thess., Lesb. *πέμπτε* 'five', *πέμπτος* 'fifth', Arm. *hing* 'five'; Alb. *pesë*, OCS *pęty*, Ru. *pjat* 'five', OCS *pęty*, Ru. *pjátyj* 'fifth', Lith. *penki* 'five', *peñktas* 'fifth', Go. *fimf* 'five', OHG *fimfto* 'fifth', ToA *pāñ*, ToB *pis* 'five'.

Plt. \*k<sup>w</sup>enk<sup>w</sup>e yielded \*k<sup>w</sup>ink<sup>w</sup>e by regular sound change. Subsequently, the \*k in the ordinal \*k<sup>w</sup>inkto- was spirantized and the preceding vowel phonetically lengthened: \*k<sup>w</sup>inχto-. Finally, the spirant was lost, yielding *quīntus*. From the ordinal, *ī* was analogically introduced into the cardinal \*quīnque >> *quīnque*. Lat. *quīnī* < \*k<sup>w</sup>enk<sup>w</sup>-(s)no-, *quīncunx* < \*quīnqu- + *uncia*. The -ā- in *quīnquāgintā* for \*quīnquēgintā was drawn from 'forty'. In Sabellic, the surrounding stops \*k<sup>w</sup> apparently had a rounding effect on the vowel, yielding \*pompe, which is attested indirectly through its derivatives.

Bibl.: WH II: 407f., EM 558, IEW 808, Coleman 1992: 395, 411, Beekes 1995: 214-216, Sihler 1995: 413, Meiser 1998: 78, 171, 175, Untermann 2000: 601-604, 608.

**quirīs**, **-ītis** 'name for Rome's citizens in their peacetime functions' [m. i] (Enn.+; usually pl.)

Derivatives: *quirītāre* 'to make a public outcry' (Lucil.+); *Quirīnus* a god worshipped on the Quirinal hill (Lex Reg., Lucil.+), *Quirīnālis* 'of Quirinus'

(Varro+); *prōquirūtāta* ‘publicly announced’ (Lex XII).

The etymology as *\*ko-wir-* to *vir* ‘man’ is not credible phonetically (cf. *cūria*) and not very compelling semantically. Most likeley, *q̄uirīti-* is derived from a basis *\*quiri-*. In view of the sporadic assilation of *\*e* to an *i* in the following syllable, an etymology as *\*queri-* cannot be excluded. One may thus think of *\*k<sup>w</sup>es-*, *\*k<sup>w</sup>is-*, *\*k<sup>w</sup>er-*, *\*k<sup>w</sup>ir-*. Also *\*kuHi-s-*, zero-grade to *quaerō*, is theoretically possible. But since Roman legend has it that *Quirīs* and *Quirīnus* are connected with Sabellic immigrants into Rome, it may also be a loanword.

Bibl.: WH II: 409, EM 559, Leumann 1977: 133.

**quis, quae, quid** ‘who? what?’ [pron. interr.] (Lex XII, Andr.+; forms: sg. m.nom. *quis*, acc. *quem*, abl. *quī*, n.nom.acc. *quid*, pl. m.nom. *quēs* (rarely), m.f.n dat.abl. *quibus*)

Derivatives: *quī* [adv.] ‘in what way, how? in that way’ (Naev.+), *quia* ‘because’ (Pl.+), *quisquis, quidquid* ‘whoever, whatever’ (Andr.+), *nēquiquam* ‘in vain, without cause’ (Pl.+); A number of compound indefinite pronouns is discussed s.v. their second member: *-cumque, -dam, -dem, -nam, -pe, -pīam, -quam, -que, -vīs*; for *aliquis* ‘someone’, see s.v. *alius*.

Plt. interrog.: m.f.sg. nom. *\*k<sup>w</sup>is*, acc. *\*k<sup>w</sup>im*, ins. *\*k<sup>w</sup>ī*, n.sg. nom.acc. *\*k<sup>w</sup>id*; nom.pl. m.f. *\*k<sup>w</sup>ēs*, acc. *\*k<sup>w</sup>ins*, n. nom.acc. *\*k<sup>w</sup>ī* (>> *\*k<sup>w</sup>ia*), dat.abl. *\*k<sup>w</sup>ifos*; indef.: *\*k<sup>w</sup>isk<sup>w</sup>is* ‘whoever’, *\*k<sup>w</sup>idk<sup>w</sup>id* ‘whatever’. It. cognates: Presam. *νεπς* [nom.sg.], *νεπες* [gen.sg.?] ‘nobody’, O. **pis**, *pis*, Vol. *pis*, U. **pisi**, U. *pisi* [nom.sg.m.f.], O. **pid**, Pael. *pid*, SPic. **pid**, U. **piři**, **piře**, **peře**, *pirse* [nom.acc.sg.n.], SPic. **pim** [acc.sg.m.f.], O. *piei* [dat.sg.], **piis**, Marr. *peis* [nom.pl.m.f.], U. *pifi* [acc.pl.m.f.]; enclitic after *svai* ‘if’ O. *suaepis*, *suae.pis*, SPic. *suaipis*, U. *svepis* [nom.sg.m.f.], O. **svaipid**, **svai.pid** [nom.sg.n.] ‘who? what?’, ‘every’; U. **piři** < +*-ī*; U. **peře**, *persi*, *persei*, *perse*, *pirsi*, *pirse* ‘if’ < *\*pid-ī*; O. **pidum**, **pidum** [nom.acc.sg.n.], *pieisum* [gen.sg.m.] ‘someone, something’ < **pis** + *-um*; U. *pisher* ‘whoever wants’; O. **pis.pis** [nom.sg.m.f.], SPic. **pimpīh** [acc.sg.m.f.], O. *poizeipid* [loc.sg.n.] ‘every’ or ‘whichever’; Marr. *nipis* [nom.sg.], O. *ne.phim* (mistake for *\*ne.pim*) [acc.sg.] ‘nobody’.

PIE m.f.sg. nom. *\*k<sup>w</sup>e* // *\*k<sup>w</sup>is*, acc. *\*k<sup>w</sup>im*, gen. *\*k<sup>w</sup>eso*, dat.loc. *\*k<sup>w</sup>esm-*, ins. *\*k<sup>w</sup>ih<sub>1</sub>*, n. nom.acc. *\*k<sup>w</sup>id*; nom.pl. m.f. *\*k<sup>w</sup>eies*, acc. *\*k<sup>w</sup>ins*, n. nom.acc. *\*k<sup>w</sup>ih<sub>2</sub>* ‘who, what; someone, something’. IE cognates: OIr. *cia*, W. *pw̄y*, Co. *pyw*, Bret. *piou* ‘who’ < *\*k<sup>w</sup>ei*; Hit. *kui-* / *kue-* / *kuua-* ‘who, what’ < *\*k<sup>w</sup>i-*, *\*k<sup>w</sup>e-*, *\*k<sup>w</sup>o-*, Pal. *kui-*, CLuw. *kui-*, HLuw. *kwi-*, Lyd. *qi-*, Lyc. *ti-* ‘who, what’, Skt. *kim* ‘what, which; why, what for’, *ná-kih* ‘nullus’, *mā-kih* ‘ne quis’, *kīdṣ-* ‘what sort of’, *cid* [enc.ptcle.] ‘even, indeed’, Av. *mā ciš* ‘no one’, YAv. *cim* ‘because’, Av. *-cī*, OP *-ciy* [encl.] ‘indeed; -ever’; Gr. *τίς* [m. f.], *τί* [n.] ‘who? which?’, *τις*, *τι* ‘someone, something’, OCS *čb-to* ‘what’.

The paradigm of the indef. pronoun is a mixture of reflexes of *\*k<sup>w</sup>o-* and *\*k<sup>w</sup>i-*; for the forms reflecting *\*k<sup>w</sup>o-* (among others, all fem.sg. forms, and the m.n. gen.dat.sg.) see s.v. *quī*. The abl.sg. form and adv. *quī* ‘how’ probably reflects an old ins.sg. *\*k<sup>w</sup>ī* < *\*k<sup>w</sup>ih<sub>1</sub>*. It is also found in some compound adverbs, such as *nēquiquam*. The cj. *quia* continues the PIE nom.acc.pl. n. *\*k<sup>w</sup>ih<sub>2</sub>*.

Bibl.: WH II: 405, 410, EM 559, IEW 644-648, Leumann 1977: 472-475, Schrijver 1991: 8If., van der Staaij 1995: 124-135, Beekes 1995: 206, Meiser 1998: 164-167, Untermann 2000: 498, 521f., 558-561, Lazzarini-Pocchetti 2001. → *quī*

**quot** 'how many?, as many as' [adj. indecl.] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *cottīdiē* 'every day, daily' (Pl.+), *cottīdiānus* 'normal, regular, daily' (Pl.+), *quotannīs* [adv.] 'annually' (Pl.+), *quotiēns* 'how many times?, as often as' (Pl.+), *quotquot* 'however many' (Varro+), *quotumus* [adj.] 'the howmanieth?', *quotus* [adj.] 'howmanieth, in what proportion?' (Lucr.+).

PIt. \**k<sup>w</sup>oti*.

PIE \**k<sup>w</sup>e/o-ti* 'how many'. IE cognates: Skt. *kāti* 'how many', YAv. *caiti* 'how much, how many times?' < Ilr. \**čati* < PIE \**k<sup>w</sup>eti?*; Gr. *πόσος* 'how great, how many' < \**k<sup>w</sup>ótjo-*, Bret. *pet* 'how many'.

*Cottīdiē* from \**k<sup>w</sup>oti diēs* 'how many days?', with influence from *postrīdiē*. The reflex *co-* < \**k<sup>w</sup>o-* is regular; in *quot*, *qu-* has been restored on the model of *quantus* or other related words in *qu-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 412, EM 561, IEW 644-648, Leumann 1977: 270, 277. → *quī*

## R

**rabiō, -ere** 'to be frenzied, rave' [v. III] (Caecil.+)

Derivatives: *rabiēs* 'savageness, passion' (Ter.+), *ravidus* 'raging, mad' (Lucr.+), *rabiōsus* 'rabid, mad' (Pl.+).

PIt. \**rab-* / \**raf-*.

Schrijver 1991 rejects the connection with Skt. *rabh-* 'to grab' and proposes to connect ToA *rapurñe* 'desire' and maybe Gr. *ἔραμαι* 'to desire, love' instead. Yet this etymology is not very convincing from the semantic side. LIV connects Parth. *rf* 'to attack', MoP *rav-* 'to go'. Cheung 2007 derives the latter from a root \**rab<sup>h</sup>*- 'to be in violent commotion', which may be seen also in Khot. *ārūh-* 'to move, shake' (+ \**ā-*), *bārāh-* (*brāh-*) 'to soar up' and in other Iranian languages. In theory, Iranian could reflect \*(H)*reb<sup>(h)</sup>*- while Latin would have a secondary full grade \**rab-* << \**e/a/orb-* (< \**Hrb<sup>(h)</sup>*-). Since we are dealing with two isolated verbal stems, however, this etymology remains uncertain. MHG *reben* 'to move' is isolated within Germanic, and may belong to \**reiban* 'to rub'.

Bibl.: WH II: 413, EM 562, IEW 852, Schrijver 1991: 305f., LIV 1.\**reb<sup>h</sup>*-.

**racēmus** 'bunch, cluster (of grapes or other fruit)' [m. o] (Bibaculus+)

IE cognates: Gr. *ράξ*, *ράγός* 'grape', *ρώξ*, *ρωγός* 'id.', Alb. *rrush* 'resin'.

Probably a loanword from a Mediterranean language.

Bibl.: WH II: 414, EM 562, Schrijver 1991: 306.

**radius** ‘ray of light; spoke’ [m. *o*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *radiōsus* ‘radiant’ (Pl.+), *radiātus* ‘radiant; with spokes’ (Varro+), *radiāre* ‘to shine’ (Lucr.+).

It is unlikely that *radius* belongs to *rādīx* ‘root’, as WH and IEW suggest: the meaning is completely different. Initial *rad-* may reflect a root *\*(H)rHd<sup>(h)</sup>-*, for which there is no clear candidate. The element *\*-d<sup>(h)</sup>-* might be a root enlargement.

Bibl.: WH II: 414f., EM 562, IEW 1167, Schrijver 1991: 182.

**rādīx, -īcis** ‘root’ [f. *k*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *rādīcitus* [adv.] ‘by the roots, completely’ (Pl.+), *rādīcula* ‘a little root’ (Laev.+), *ērādīcāre* ‘to tear by the roots, exterminate’ (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*wrād-ī-*.

PIE *\*ur(e)h<sub>2</sub>-d-iH-* ‘root’. IE cognates: W. *gwraidd* ‘roots’, OCo. *grueiten*, Bret. *gwrizienn* ‘root’ < *\*uradio-* < *\*urh<sub>2</sub>d-io-*, Gr. *ῥάδιξ*, *-ῖκος* ‘branch, twig’, Go. *waurts*, OE *wyrt*, MHG *wurz* < *\*urh<sub>2</sub>d-i-*, OIc. *rót* < *\*ureh<sub>2</sub>d-*; Alb. *rrëzë*, Geg *rrëzë* ‘root’.

In view of the ablaut, it seems likely that PIE *\*-d-* was a suffix, but this cannot be ascertained.

Bibl.: WH II: 415, EM 562f., IEW 1167, Schrijver 1991: 182f., 1995: 173-175, Sihler 1995: 179, Vine 1999b. → *rāmus*

**rādō, -ere** ‘to scrape, scratch, shave’ [v. III; pf. *rāsī*, ppp. *rāsum*] (Lex XII+)

Derivatives: *rāstrum* ‘drag-hoe’ (Pl.+), *rāstellus* ‘wooden rake’ (Varro+), *rāmentum* ‘shaving’ (Pl.+), *rāmenta* ‘shaving’ (Pl.+), *rāsus, -ūs* ‘shaving, scraping’ (Varro), *rāllum* ‘a scraper’ (Plin.); *abrādere* ‘to rub away, knock off’ (Ter.+), *corrādere* ‘to rake together, amass’ (Pl.+), *dērādere* ‘to scrape, shave off’ (Cato+), *ērādere* ‘to scrape away, erase’ (Varro+), *irrādere* ‘to scrape (onto)’ (Cato); *rāllus* ‘sheer, fine’.

PIt. *\*rasd-e/o-*.

IE cognates: W. *rhathu*, Bret. *rahein* ‘to scrape, shave’ < PCI. *\*rasd-e/o-* ‘to scrape, scratch’.

Lat. *rāmentum* < *\*rād-m-*, *rāllum* < *\*rād-lo-*. The adj. *rāllus* is used with *tunica*, and it may belong here if it meant ‘smoothly woven, polished’ < *\*rād-lo-*; but note that this is morphologically a strange formation. EM derive *rāllus* from *rārus*, but then one would rather expect *\*rārulus*. Lat. *rāstrum* has often been explained from *\*rād-tro-*, but Serbat 1975: 316 points out that the length of *ā* is unproven, and that *rāstrum* could go back to *\*rad-tro-* < *\*rHd-tro-*. Since this word family is only found in Italo-Celtic, a PIE origin is uncertain. If *\*rasd-* is a secondary full grade for *\*rsd-*, one might consider an original root present *\*r(e)sd-* (thus Meiser 2003); but not *\*Hrsd-*, which would give Lat. *\*Vrsd-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 415, EM 563, IEW 854, Leumann 1977: 307, Schrijver 1991: 309f., Meiser 2003: 120, Schumacher 2004: 528, LIV ?*\*rasd-*.

**raia** ‘a sea-fish, ray’ [f. *ā*] (Plin.)

PIt. *\*ragjā-* or *\*rajjā-*.

IE cognates: MDu. *rogghe, rochghe*, MoDu. *rog*, MLG *rugge* ‘ray’ < PGm. *\*rugg-*,

OE *reohhe* (once in a gloss), ME *rezge*, *reyhhe* 'ray' < \**rexxe* (or \**raxxa*-?).

*Raia* must be interpreted as /*rajjal*. Whether the English words for 'ray' can go back to \**raxx-* is disputed; if they do, we have an interchange *a/u* within Germanic. In the absence of any further cognates and in the semantic field of animals, this could point to a loanword from a substrate language. The similarity between the Gm. words and *raia* is striking, and since Latin /*jj/* can reflect \**gj*, we might posit \**raK-*, \**ruK-* 'ray'.

Bibl.: WH II: 415, EM 563.

**rāmus** 'branch, twig' [m. *o*] (Cato+)

Derivatives: *rāmōsus* 'with branches, branching' (Lucret.), *rāmulus* 'little branch' (Cato+); *rāmes*, *-itis* [f.] >> *rāmex*, *-icis* 'the lungs; varicocele' (Pl.).

Plt. \**wrā(d)mo-*?

PIE \**ur(e)h<sub>2</sub>-(d-)mo-*? IE cognates: see s.v. *rādīx*.

Possibly from \**rād-mo-* < \**wrād-mo-*, if cognate with *rādīx* 'root'. The semantics can be justified by referring to Gr. *ῥᾶδιξ*, *-ῖκος* 'branch, twig', showing that \**ur(e)h<sub>2</sub>d-* could mean either 'branch' or 'root'. Gr. *ῥᾶδαμνος* [m.] 'branch, twig' is probably not cognate, cf. Schrijver. Of course, if the PIE root was merely \**urh<sub>2</sub>-*, *rāmus* can also go back to \**ur(e)h<sub>2</sub>mo-*. The noun *rāmes* seems to be older in its *t*-stem appearance (Pl.) than as a *k*-stem *rāmex*. According to EM, the lungs were called *ramitēs* 'branches' due to the similarity of their form with the branches of a tree.

Bibl.: WH II: 416, EM 564, IEW 1167; Schrijver 1991: 182, Sihler 1995: 209. → *rādīx*

**rāna** 'frog' [f. *ā*] (Varro+)

Plt. \**rā-nā-*?

Onomatopoeic form, probably from a sound "rā". In theory, the root could be ?\**reh<sub>2</sub>(i)-* 'to roar' as reconstructed by LIV for BSL. Since Gm. contains forms for 'to roar' with a final velar (MHG *ruohen*, *ruohelen*, *rüehelen*), a preform \**rak-snā-* is also conceivable, although the Gm. suffix can easily be secondary; and Latin *ragit* 'brays' (of a foal), found in one gloss, is hardly a trustworthy comparandum.

Bibl.: WH II: 416, EM 564, IEW 859f.

**ranceō** 'to be rotten' [v. II] (Lucret.)

Derivatives: *rancidus* 'rotten' (Lucret.).

No etymology.

Bibl.: WH II: 417, EM 564.

**rapiō**, *-ere* 'to seize, take away' [v. III; pf. *rapuī*, ppp. *raptum*] (Naev.; *surrepsit* [s-fut.] Pl.)

Derivatives: *rapidus* 'strong-flowing, quick' (Pl.), *rapāx* 'greedy, rapacious, predator' (Pl.), *raptiō* 'carrying off' (Ter.), *raptor* 'robber, abductor' (Pl.), *raptāre* 'to carry away by force, drag off' (Pl.), *rapister*, *-trī* 'robber' (Lucil.), *raptim* 'in a rush' (Lucret.), *rapinātor* 'pillager' (Varro+); *abripere* 'to snatch away, kidnap' (Pl.), *arripere* 'to grasp, get hold of' (Pl.), *corripere* 'to grab, hurry off'

(Pl.+), *dēripere* ‘to tear off, pull down’ (Pl.+), *dīripere* ‘to tear to pieces, run after’ (Pl.+), *ēru/ipere* ‘to seize away’ (Pl.+), *prōripere* ‘to snatch forth, rush forth’ (Pl.+), *surrulipere*, *surpere* ‘to steal’ (Pl.+), *subrepticius* ‘stolen’ (Pl.+); *rapsāre* ‘to hurry along’ (Caes.+).

Plt. *\*rap-i-* ‘to seize’.

PIE *\*h<sub>1</sub>rp-i-* [pr.] ‘to seize’. IE cognates: Gr. ἐρέπτομαι ‘to devour, snatch away’ < *\*rep-je/o-*, aor. 3. pl. ἀν-ηρέψαντο, Alb. *rjep* ‘tear of, away, rob’, Lith. *ap-répti* ‘to take, catch’ (< *\*(H)reh<sub>1</sub>p-*).

If to a PIE root *\*h<sub>1</sub>rep-*, *rapiō* could reflect the zero grade *\*h<sub>1</sub>rp-* > *\*erp-*, then with a secondary zero grade *\*rap-* (to full grade *\*rep-*). Differently Schrijver 1991, who posits a separate root *\*h<sub>1</sub>rh<sub>1</sub>p-* for *rapiō* and Lith. *répti*; yet both roots would have an identical meaning.

Bibl.: WH II: 417, EM 564, IEW 865, Schrijver 1991: 17, 306, Rasmussen 1993: 193, Meiser 2003: 250, LIV *\*(h<sub>1</sub>)rep-*. → *repēns*, *ūtor*.

**rāpum** ‘turnip’ [n. o] (Cato+)

Derivatives: *rāpicus* ‘of turnips’ (Cato+), *rāpa* ‘turnip’ (Varro+), *rāpīna* ‘turnip-crop, turnip-field’ (Cato+), *rāpula* ‘small turnip’ (Titin.+).

Plt. *\*rāpo-*.

IE cognates: Gr. ῥάπυς, ῥάφυς [f.] ‘turnip’, ῥάφανος, ῥαφάνη ‘radish’, Lith. *rāpė* ‘turnip’, RuCS *rěpa*, OHG *ruoba*, *ruoppa* ‘turnip’.

This cannot be a regular PIE word: Latin, Baltic and OHG *ruoba* require *\*aH*, Slavic has /ē/, and Greek single *-a-*. There is no prothetic vowel in Greek, and the vacillation between π and φ also suggests a loanword.

Bibl.: WH II: 418, EM 564, IEW 852, Schrijver 1991: 310.

**rārus** ‘of loose structure, sparse, rare’ [adj. o/ā] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *rārō* ‘seldom’ (Pl.+), *rāre* ‘seldom; sparsely, loosely’ (Pl.; Col.), *rāreuter* ‘seldom’ (Andr.+), *rāritūdō* ‘looseness, openness’ (Lucr.+), *rārēscere* ‘to thin out, open out’ (Lucr.+), *rārēfacere* ‘to make less solid’ (Lucr.+).

Antonym of *dēnsus*. EM state that Lat. *rāreuter* was formed on the example of *frequentē*. *Rārus* has been connected with PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>erH-* ‘to disappear, perish’ by EM, but this is not obvious semantically. Others connect Gr. ἐρήμος ‘lonely’. Schrijver is critical of all proposals: “The connection of *rēte* and *rārus* must be given up on formal grounds, and that of *rēte* and ἐρήμος on semantic grounds.”

Bibl.: WH II: 418, EM 564, IEW 332f., Beekes 1969: 36, Schrijver 1991: 17, 310f.

**ratis** ‘collection of wooden beams, raft; boat, ship’ [f. i] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *ratītus* ‘bearing the figure of a raft’ (Lucil.+).

Plt. *\*rati-*.

PIE *\*h<sub>1</sub>rh<sub>1</sub>-ti-* [f.] ‘rowing’?

Uncertain. *Ratis* has been connected with Lat. *rētae* ‘trees in the bed of a stream’ and/or with OHG *ruota*, OIc. *róða* ‘rod, pole’, OE *rōd*, but neither is semantically



compelling. If cognate, this etymology would imply *\*Hrh<sub>1</sub>ti-* > Lat. *ratis*. Since the PIE root for ‘to row’ is reconstructed as *\*h<sub>1</sub>rh<sub>1</sub>-* (see s.v. *rēmus*), *ratis* might be interpreted as *\*Hrh<sub>1</sub>ti-* ‘the rowing’ > ‘raft, boat’; note that rafts are normally propelled and steered by means of a pole.

Bibl.: WH II: 420, EM 565, IEW 866, Schrijver 1991: 306f., LIV 2. *\*h<sub>1</sub>reh<sub>1</sub>-*. → *rēmus*

**raudus, -eris** ‘rough piece, lump; bronze coin’ [n. *r*] (Lucil.+; var. *rōdus, rūdus, roudus* Paul. *ex F.*)

Derivatives: *raudusculum* ‘bronze coin’ (Varro+).

IE cognates: Skt. *lohá-* [m.] ‘reddish metal’, MP *rōy* ‘copper, brass’ < PIE *\*h<sub>1</sub>roud<sup>h</sup>-o-*, OCS *ruda*, Ru. *rudá*, Scr. *rúda* ‘ore’ < PIE *\*h<sub>1</sub>roud<sup>h</sup>-h<sub>2</sub>-*, OIc. *rauði* ‘red iron ore’.

Because of *-au-* and intervocalic *-d-*, *raudus* cannot be an inherited word from PIE *\*h<sub>1</sub>r(e/o)ud<sup>h</sup>-os* ‘red’; we would expect Lat. *\*rūbus*. *Raudus* is probably a loanword from another IE language, in which *\*-d<sup>h</sup>-* became *-d-*. The other IE languages show that the colour ‘red’ was used to refer to reddish ore, whether copper or iron or another metal.

Bibl.: WH II: 420f., EM 565, IEW 872f., Schrijver 1991: 265. → *rōbur, ruber, rūfus*

**ravus** ‘hoarse’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Paul. *ex F.*)

Derivatives: *raucus* ‘hoarse, harsh-sounding’ (Pl.+), *ravis* [f.] ‘hoarseness’ (Pl.+), *rāviāre* ‘to be hoarse’ (Pl.+), *rausūrus* ‘about to become hoarse’ (Lucil.).

PIt. *\*rawo-*.

PIE *\*h<sub>3</sub>rouH-ó-* ‘roaring’. IE cognates: Skt. *ruvāti* [pr.], *rauti* [pr.], *rava-* [pr.] ‘to roar’, aor. *árāvīt*; *ráva-* [m.] ‘roaring, howling, noise’, YAv. *uruuatō* [gen.sg.] ‘roaring’, Khwar. *rw-* ‘to grunt’, Gr. *ὠρούμαι* ‘to howl, roar, complain’, OCS *rjuti* ‘to howl’.

If *raucus* reflects *\*rawVko-*, all forms can go back to PIIt. *\*rawo-* or *\*rowo-*. Schrijver dismisses *rāviāre* (or *rāvīre?*) from the evidence since it occurs only once in Pl. in a corrupt passage. For *\*rawo-* or *\*rowo-*, he considers two possible etymologies: (1) to a root *\*Hreh<sub>1</sub>-* ‘to shout, sound’ (Skt. *rayati* ‘barks’, OIc. *rámr* ‘hoarse’) as *\*Hrh<sub>1</sub>-uo-*, or to a root *\*Hreu-* ‘to roar’ (Skt. *rāuti, ruvāti* ‘to roar’, OE *rēon* ‘to wail’) as *\*Hrou-o-*. The latter form yields a better connection with forms outside Italic, and a better explanation for *-a-*. Vine 2006a: 237 therefore reconstructs *\*h<sub>3</sub>rouH-ó-* ‘roaring’ > *\*rawo-*, whence the abstract *\*rawi-* would be derived. *Raucus* could then reflect *\*rawi-ko-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 420f., EM 565, IEW 867f., Leumann 1977: 340, Schrijver 1991: 257f., 446, LIV *\*h<sub>3</sub>reuH-*.

**rāvus** ‘tawny, grey’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Varro+)

Derivatives: (*g*)*rāvāstellus* ‘old man’ (Pl.).

IE cognates: OIc. *grár*, OE *græg*, MoE *gray*, OFr. *grē*, OS *grā, grē*, OHG *grāo* ‘grey’ < *\*grē-uo-*.

The form (*g*)*rāvāstellus* (mss. *rāvistellus* and *grāvāstellus*) presupposes *\*(g)rāvāster*.

With van der Staij 1995: 57, I assume that PIE \*g<sup>h</sup>r- became Latin *gr-*. Hence, the variant *grāvāstellus* retains the original Latin reflex of the colour adj., whereas *rāvus* could be explained as a loanword from Sabellic – although the reflex in Sab. is also uncertain. We could posit \*g<sup>h</sup>rh<sub>1</sub>-uo- for Italic beside \*g<sup>h</sup>reh<sub>1</sub>-uo- for Germanic, but the ablaut would be disturbing. If a full grade, Schrijver suggests that original \*g<sup>h</sup>reh<sub>3</sub>-uo- may have been delabialized to \*g<sup>h</sup>reh<sub>2</sub>-uo- in Latin and to \*g<sup>h</sup>reh<sub>1</sub>-uo- in Germanic. This is hazardous, and quite ad hoc. There may have been influence on ablaut and suffix from other colour terms, cf. *flāvus*.

Bibl.: WH I: 620, II: 421f., EM 282, 565, IEW 853, Leumann 1977: 166, 302, Schrijver 1991: 298f., 301, 311, Sihler 1995: 158, Meiser 1998: 103.

**re-, red-** ‘back, reverse’ [pref.] (*red-* in front of vowels and *h-*)

Derivatives: *retrō* [adv. prep.] ‘backwards, to the rear’ (Ter.+); *reciprocus* ‘moving backwards and forwards, moving in the opposite direction’ (Acc.+), *reciprocāre* ‘to move a thing backwards and forwards’ (Enn.+), *reciprocicornis* ‘with the horns turned around’ (Lab.).

Plt. \**wre*. It. cognates: U. *re-* ‘again’ in *restatu*, *revestu*.

PIE \**ure* ‘back’. IE cognates: Alb. *rrë-* [prev.] ‘back’; Ru. *rak*, gen.sg. *rāka*, SCr. *rāk*, Sl. *rāk* < PSl. \**rākъ* ‘crayfish, lobster’ < \**ure-h<sub>3</sub>k<sup>w</sup>o-* ‘looking backwards’ (Klingenschmitt 2004).

The only acceptable etymology has recently been proposed by Klingenschmitt 2004, who reconstructs \**ure* ‘back’, The form *red-* is analogical to *prōd-* beside *prō-*, and from metanalysis in verbs such as *reddō* < \**re-di-dō*. Dunkel 1979 explains *reciprocus* as a nominalization of \**re-k<sup>w</sup>e* \**pro-k<sup>w</sup>e* ‘both backwards and forwards’, and connects it with other Skt. and Gr. instances of two semantically opposed preverbs coordinated by \**-k<sup>w</sup>e* ‘and’. The first labiovelar was apparently delabialised in front of the following *p* and \**k<sup>w</sup>*; if Klingenschmitt’s etymology of *re* as \**ure* is correct, there would even have been a fourth labial consonant in the word \**ure-k<sup>w</sup>e* \**pro-k<sup>w</sup>e*. The resulting \*(*y*)*reke-prok<sup>w</sup>o-* did not yield \**recupricus* because its two elements were for a long time recognised as two separate words, so that they developed as \**reke-proko-* until after vowel weakening (Cowgill apud Dunkel 1979: 189).

Bibl.: WH II: 422, 424, EM 565f., Leumann 1977: 340, 559f., Dunkel 1979, Klingenschmitt 2004: 247-252. → *procul*

**recēns, -ntis** ‘of recent origin, new, fresh’ [adj. *nt*] (PI.+)

Plt. \**wre-kent(i)-*.

PIE \**ken-t-* ‘young, new’. IE cognates: Mlr. *cana, cano* ‘wolf cub’; Gaul. *Cintu-gnatus*, OIr. *cét-* ‘first’, MW *cynt*, MCo. *kens*, Bret. *kent* ‘earlier’ < PCI. \**kento/u-*; Skt. *kanyā-*, Av. *kaine, kainīn-* ‘girl’ < *kaniHn-* < PIE \**ken-i-Hn-* (or \**keniH-n-?*), Skt. *kanīna-* ‘young’, Gr. *καινός* ‘new, unheard of’ < \**kn-io-*; ORu. *konъ* [m.] ‘start’, OCS *is-koni* ‘from the start’ < \**kon-*; maybe Go. *hindumists* ‘last’, OHG *hintana, hintar* ‘behind’, OE *hindema* ‘last’ < \**ken-t-*.

Probably a compound of *re-* ‘again, back’ and \**kent(i)-* ‘young, first’.

Bibl.: WH II: 423, EM 566, IEW 563f.

**redimiō, -īre** ‘to encircle with a garland, surround’ [v. IV] (Lucr.+)

Derivatives: *redimīculum* ‘a band to the back of a woman’s head-dress’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*do/em-o/i-* ‘binding, band’.

PIE *\*do/emh<sub>2</sub>-o/i-*. IE cognates: see s.v. *domāre*.

The isolated position of this word makes it difficult to establish the etymology. It has been connected with Skt. *yam-* < PIE *\*iem-*, in which case we must analyse the word as *\*red-im-*, and suppose a zero-grade form *\*im-i-* ‘binding, garland’, or reduction of *\*-iem-* in non-initial syllable to *-im-*. But the original meaning of *yam-* seems to have been ‘to reach, obtain’, which makes a connection more difficult. EM note that *redimīculum* is attested earlier than *redimiō*, and suggest that it was based on *amiculum* ‘cloak’, prefixed with *red-* and with analogical lengthening of the vowel in front of *-culum*. Yet the use of *red-* in nominal cp. is secondary to verbal compounds (see s.v. *re-*), and lengthening to *-ī-* in this position is not trivial. Also, the difference in dates of attestation is not decisive (thus also Serbat 1975: 185). Alternatively, Latin *-i-* in *redimiō* may reflect any short vowel in open syllable, which makes a connection with *domāre* ‘to tame’ possible. If *re-dimīre* is a denominative to a noun *\*dom-o/i-* or maybe *\*dem-o/i-* ‘binding, band’, it can be explained through regular sound change.

Bibl.: WH II: 424, EM 567, IEW 505. → *domō*

**regō, -ere** ‘to direct, guide, govern’ [v. III; pf. *rēxī*, ppp. *rēctum*] (Pl.+; **rected** [adv.] in a Faliscan inscr.)

Derivatives: *rēgillus* ‘upright, vertical’ (Varro+), *regimēn* [n.] ‘control, steering’ (Enn.+), *regiō* ‘district, region; direction, line’ (Pl.+), *rēgula* ‘rod, rule’ (Varro+), *rectā* ‘directly’ (Pl.+), *rector* ‘ruler, guide’ (Cic.+); *ergō* [adv., prep.] ‘therefore, then; on account of’ (Lex XII+), *ergā* [prep.] ‘against, next to; towards’ (Pl.+), *corgō* [adv.] ‘forwards’ (Paul. *ex F.*); *arrigere* ‘to make to stand upright, raise’ (Pl.+), *corrigerere* ‘to make straight, put right’ (Pl.+), *corrector* ‘who sets right’ (Ter.+), *dērigere* (> *dīrigere* 4<sup>th</sup> c. AD) ‘to align, steer, direct’ (Andr.+), *ērigere* ‘to raise, erect’ (Lucil.+), *pergere* ‘to move on, proceed’ (Naev.+), *por(ri)gere* ‘to extend, hold out’ (Andr.+), *subrectitāre* ‘to get up frequently from table’ (Cato), *surgere* ‘to get up, rise, emerge’ (Andr.+), *consurgere* ‘to stand up, rise’ (Lucr.+); *expergere* ‘to awaken’ (Lucil.+; *-ī, -itus*), *expergīscor, -scī* ‘to wake up, become awake’ (Pl.+; III; ppp. *-perrēctus* and *-pergītus sum*), *expergēfacere* ‘to stir up, arouse’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*reg-e/o-*. It. cognates: Marr. *regen[ai]* [dat.sg.] ‘queen’ (suffix vowel unclear), O. *peyo* ‘?’ maybe gen.pl. *\*rēgom*; U. **rehte** [adv.] ‘right’.

PIE *\*h<sub>3</sub>reg-e/o-* ‘to stretch, direct’. IE cognates: OIr. *a-t-raig* ‘to stand up’, MW *reaf*, 3s. *re* ‘to stand up’ < PCI. *\*rege/o-*, MCo. *gorre* ‘to put’, MBret. *go(u)rren* ‘to raise, rise’ < *\*uor-rege/o-*; Skt. *raj-* ‘to stretch, direct (oneself)’ (pr. *rñjānti* [3p.act.], *rñjate* [3p.med.]), *rāji-* [f.] ‘direction’, YAv. *\*rāzaiia-* ‘to draw a line; lead’, *hqm.rāzaiia-* ‘to rise, become erect’, OP *rāsta-* ‘straight, right, true; [n.] ‘justice’; Gr. *ὀπέγω* ‘to stretch’; Go. *-rakjan*, OHG *re(c)chan* ‘to stretch’.

The ppp. has *-ē-* due to Lachmann’s law. The pf. *rēxī* continues a PIE *s*-aorist *\*h<sub>3</sub>rēǵ-s-*. *Corgō* and *ergō* reflect *\*co-rogō* and *\*ē-rogō* ‘from the direction of’, from a noun or adj. *\*rogo-* ‘direction’ or ‘stretching’, which seems to be continued by the

verb *rogāre* ‘to request’ too. The etymology of *expergīscor* is still disputed. Its ppp. *experrēctus* contains the ppp. *-rēctus* of *regō*, and there is a cp. *pergere* ‘to move on’, which is why some regard it as a compound *\*ex-per-reg-* ‘to move on up, wake up’. On the other hand, YAv. *frayrisəmna-* ‘waking up’ seems to continue the root PIE *\*h<sub>1</sub>ǵer-* ‘to wake up’ with a suffix *\*-iske/o-*, which is why some have regarded *expergīscor* as a reflex of PIE *\*h<sub>1</sub>ǵr-iske/o-*. The most recent discussions are by Keller 1980 and Klingenschmitt 1982. Keller shows that *expergō* is probably a recent formation grafted on *expergīscor*. Klingenschmitt duely mentions the arguments for both solutions, and then opts for the latter; his discussion is adopted by LIV. His main argument for the separation of *expergīscor* from *regō* is the suffix *-īscō*, which, as Klingenschmitt mentions (p. 74), is mostly used for inchoative verbs derived from basic verbs in *-ī-*. He observes that *regō* does not have such a suffix, and that hence *expergīscor* must contain a PIE sequence *\*-i(H)sk-*, i.e. *\*eks-per/pro-h<sub>1</sub>ǵr-iske/o-* (*\*eks-pro-* would match the Av. form better). Yet since the verb ‘to wake up’ is not otherwise attested with a suffix *\*-ī-*, we are free to look for other solutions. Klingenschmitt himself notes that verbs in *-īscō* sometimes alternate with verbs in *-ēscō* (*conticisc-* Pl., *perdolisc-* Acc.); the origin of this alternation is uncertain, but in view of *tacēre*, *dolēre*, it seems that *-ē-* was original. The verbs in *-ēscō* have spawned new compound verbs in *-ēfaciō* in OLat., cf. Leumann 1959: 277ff.: *calēscō* > *calēfaciō*, etc. All these verbs conform to the same pattern, except *expergēfaciō*, attested from Pl. onward, which is built on a verb in *-īscor*. Leumann 1977: 258 has noted the irregularity, and notes “*expergef-* (statt *\*expergīf-*)”. But there is no indication that *\*expergīfaciō* ever existed. The question is now: do we assume that *\*expergīfaciō* was changed to *expergēfaciō* because of the pressure from the group in *-ēfaciō*? Or do we assume that *expergīscor* has replaced *\*expergēscor* on the model of the group in *-īscō*? In view of the ppp. *experrēctus*, I favour the latter explanation.

Bibl.: WH I: 273, 415, 429f., II: 426f., EM 201, 206, 567-569, IEW 854-857, Giacomelli 1963: 254, Klingenschmitt 1982: 73-77, Schrijver 1991: 127, Sihler 1995: 77, Untermann 2000: 631-634, Meiser 2003: 111, Schumacher 2004: 530-533, LIV *\*h<sub>3</sub>reǵ-*. → *rēx*, *rogō*, *rogus*

**rēmus** ‘oar’ [m. o] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *rēmex*, *-igis* ‘oarsman, rower’ (Pl.+), *rēmigium* ‘outfit of oars; rowing’ (Pl.+); *birēmis* ‘having oars arranged in pairs’ (Sis.+), *triresmos* [acc.pl.f.] (CIL 1.25, Columna Rostrata), *trirēmis* (Caes.+), *trirēmis* ‘having three oars’, *[quinqueresm]os* ‘ship with oars arranged in five’ (CIL 1.25), *septer[esmom]* ‘ship with oars arranged in seven’ (CIL 1.25).

Plt. *\*rē(s)mo-* ‘oar’.

PIE *\*h<sub>1</sub>reh<sub>1</sub>-(s)mo-* ‘oar’. IE cognates: OIr. *raid*, *-rá* ‘to row’ < *\*rā-je-* < *\*h<sub>1</sub>roh<sub>1</sub>-ie/o-*; Skt. *aritar-* ‘rower, ferryman’, *aritra-* [n.] ‘oar’ < *\*h<sub>1</sub>erh<sub>1</sub>-ter-*, *-tro-*, Gr. *ῥῥῆτης* [m.] ‘rower’, *ῥῥῆσσω* ‘to row’, *ῥῥῆμῶν* ‘oar’, Lith. *irti* ‘to row’, *irklas* ‘oar’; Olc. *róa*, OE *rōwan*, MHG *rüejēn* ‘to row’, OHG *ruodar*, OE *rōdor* ‘rudder’ < *\*h<sub>1</sub>roh<sub>1</sub>-*.

The forms in *-resmo-* from the Columna Rostrata, an inscription from 260 BC which

was probably renewed in the first century AD (Diehl 1964: 36) seems to show that *rēmus* reflects *\*rēs̄mo-*. On the other hand, the same inscription has *primos* ‘first’ and not *\*prismos*. This is one of the reasons for assuming that the whole inscription is archaizing (cf. Leumann 1977: 7), in which case *-resmo-* is uncertain evidence. If the *s* is old, we may reconstruct *\*h<sub>1</sub>reh<sub>1</sub>-smo-* ‘oar’ (thus Schrijver 1991: 19). This has the disadvantage of schwebe-ablaut when compared to Skt. *aritar-*, but it would fit the place of the *o*-ablaut in Germanic and Celtic, which may well be an iterative *o*-grade. If the *s* of CIL 1.25 *-resmo-* is hypercorrect, *rēmus* may reflect *\*h<sub>1</sub>reh<sub>1</sub>-mo-*. Since the other IE languages show instrument nouns in *\*-tlo-* or *\*-tro-* (maybe remade to *\*-tmo-* in Greek), I have a slight preference for *\*-smo-* in Latin, since this is more typically an instrumental suffix. Lat. *rēmex*, *rēmigium* contain (the root of) *agō* ‘to do’.

Bibl.: WH II: 428, EM 569, IEW 338, Leumann 1977: 7, 209, Schumacher 2004: 529, LIV 2.\*h<sub>1</sub>reh<sub>1</sub>-. → *ratis*

**rēnēs, -ium** ‘kidneys’ [m.pl. *i*] (Pl.+)

Plt. *\*rēn-*.

PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>r-ēn*, *-en-* ‘kidney’? *\*srēn-* ‘loins’? IE cognates: OIr. *áru* [f. *n*] ‘kidney, gland’, W. *arenn* [f.] ‘kidney, testicle’ < PCI. *\*āron-*; Hit. <sup>(UZU)</sup>*hah(ha)ri-* [c./n.] ‘lung(s), midriff’ [nom.sg.c. *hahriš*, nom.sg.n. *háhri*, *hahhari*, dat.-loc.sg. *hahrišni*]; ToB *arañce* ‘heart’ (both the physical heart and the seat of the emotions), ToA *āriñc* ‘id.’ < PTo. *\*ārāñce* < PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>eh<sub>2</sub>(e)ri-* (EIEC 329).

Mastrelli 1979 connects *rēnēs* with OPr. *straunay*, Lith. *strėnos* [f.pl.] ‘loins’, dial. also *srėnos*, Latv. *striena* ‘loins’ < Proto-Baltic *\*srėn-?*, Av. *rāna-* ‘thigh’ < *\*srāna-?* < *\*srēn-* ‘hip, loins’ (IEW 1002). The semantic shift from ‘loins’ to ‘kidneys’ is quite conceivable. Less certain seems the connection with Gr. *ράχις* ‘spine’, since there is evidence for a PGr. anlaut cluster *\*ur-*. Alternatively, one could connect the Celtic words for ‘kidney’. The etymology as unreduplicated *\*h<sub>2</sub>r-en-* next to PCI. *\*āron-* from reduplicated *\*h<sub>2</sub>e-h<sub>2</sub>r-en-* is possible in theory, but the difference in reduplication remains unexplained.

Bibl.: WH II: 428f., EM 569f., Driessen 2003b: 358.

**renīdeō** ‘to shine, reflect’ [v. II] (Lucr.+)

Derivatives: *renīdescere* ‘to grow bright’ (Lucr.).

Could be cognate with *niteō* if the root was *\*(H)ni(H)-*, with different suffixes *-t-* and *-d<sup>(h)</sup>-*. IEW connects OP *naiba-* ‘beautiful’ and OIr. *nóib* ‘holy’, but this remains a mere root comparison.

Bibl.: WH II: 429, EM 570, IEW 760. → *niteō*

**reor, rērī** ‘to think, believe’ [v. II; ppp. *ratus* ‘constitutional, determined’] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *ratio* ‘calculation, account, reason’ (Pl.+), *ratiuncula* ‘small account’ (Pl.+), *irritus* ‘not ratified, unrealized’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*rē-(je/o-)* ‘to think’, *\*rato-* ‘thought, counted’.

PIE *\*Hreh<sub>1</sub>-* [aor.?], *\*Hrh<sub>1</sub>-to-* [ppp.]. IE cognates: Go. *raþjo* ‘number, account’,

*ga-raḡana* ‘counted’, OS *rethia*, OHG *radja*, *redea* ‘accountability’.

The original meaning ‘to count’ was preserved in *ratus* ‘counted’ > ‘legal’, esp. in expressions with *pars*: *pro rata parte* ‘in proportion’ (Cic.+), *rata pars* ‘a fixed proportion’. The noun *ratio* was derived from *ratus*, either directly (cf. the model *nātus* : *nātiō*) or via an earlier *ti*-abstract *\*ratis* ‘reckoning’. The PIE root *\*Hreh<sub>1</sub>-* might also be the basis of PIE *\*(H)reh<sub>1</sub>d<sup>h</sup>-* ‘to bring in order, arrange’. Schrijver ascribes the root to PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>r-* ‘to fix’; another candidate would be *\*h<sub>1</sub>réh<sub>1</sub>-* ‘to ask, investigate’ (Hit. *ar(ūie/a)-zi* ‘to consult an oracle’ < *\*h<sub>1</sub>rĥ<sub>1</sub>-jé/ó-*), for which LIV reconstructs a root aorist.

Bibl.: WH II: 419, 429, EM 570, IEW 59, Leumann 1977: 366, Schrijver 1991: 140, 307, LIV 2.\*reh<sub>1</sub>-.

**repēns, -ntis** ‘sudden, unexpected’ [adj. *nt*] (Turp.+)

Derivatives: *repente* ‘suddenly, at once’ (Pl.+), *dērepente* ‘suddenly’ (Pl.+), *repentīnus* ‘sudden, without warning’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*rep-nt-*.

PIE *\*h<sub>1</sub>rep-nt-* [aor.ptc.] ‘seizing’.

WH, IEW and Leumann assume *\*urep-* to the root *\*uerp-* ‘to twist’, positing ‘with a sudden move’ as the original semantics. This has the disadvantage of *schwebe-ablaut* in the root, so that an alternative solution would be welcome. EM consider the preverb *re-* plus a root *\*pen-t-* to *pendō*, but the semantics are unclear, and the root enlargement *\*-t-* is ad hoc. I am more sympathetic towards a connection with *rapiō*, at least as far as the semantics are concerned; for a reconstruction, see s.v. *rapiō*.

Bibl.: WH II: 429, EM 570, IEW 1156, Leumann 1977: 190. → *rapiō*

**rēpō, -ere** ‘to crawl, creep’ [v. III; pf. *rēpsī*, ppp. *rēptum*] (Enn.+)

Derivatives: *rēptāre* ‘to crawl, move furtively’; *adrēpere* ‘to creep up to’ (Varro+), *conrēpere* ‘to move stealthily’ (Pl.+), *dērēpere* ‘to crawl down’ (Varro+), *ērēpere* ‘to creep out’ (Pl.+), *obrēpere* ‘to creep up, sneak in’ (Pl.+), *obrēptāre* ‘to creep up’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*rēp-e/o-*.

PIE *\*h<sub>1</sub>reh<sub>1</sub>p-* or *\*h<sub>1</sub>rēp-* ‘to creep’. IE cognates: Lith. *rėplióti* ‘to creep’, *roplóti*, Latv. *rāpāt*, *rāpt* ‘to creep’; OHG *rebo*, *reba*, *repa*, MHG *rebe* ‘offshoot, bud’, MLG *wīnrave* ‘vine’.

Bibl.: WH II: 430, EM 570f., IEW 865, Schrijver 1991: 140, Meiser 2003: 120, LIV ?\*reh<sub>1</sub>p-.

**rēs, reī** ‘property, goods, thing, affair’ [f. *ē*] (Lex XII+; acc.sg. *rem*)

Derivatives: *rēcula* ‘small amount’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*rē-*. It. cognates: U. *ri* [dat.sg.], *ri* [abl.sg.], *reper* [abl.sg. + *-per*] ‘thing, interest’.

PIE *\*Hreh<sub>1</sub>-i-* ‘wealth, goods’ (nom.sg. *\*reh<sub>1</sub>-i-s*, acc.sg. *\*reh<sub>1</sub>-i-m*, dat.sg. *\*reh<sub>1</sub>-i-ei*). IE cognates: Skt. *rayí-* [m. (rarely f.)] ‘property, goods’ (*rayīm* [acc.sg.], *rāyáh* [gen.abl.sg.]), Av. *rāii-i-* ‘wealth’ (OAv. *rāiiō* [gen.abl.sg.], YAv. *raēm* [acc.sg.]).

The nom.sg. \*reh<sub>1</sub>ís would have become Lat. \*reis > \*rīs, the acc.sg. \*reh<sub>1</sub>-í-m > \*rim. The dat.sg. \*reh<sub>1</sub>iei > \*rējei > reī is regarded as the regular reflex by Meiser 1998; one might also adduce the gen.sg. \*reh<sub>1</sub>-i-os (if with full grade root) > \*rējos >> \*rēj-ī. The new stem \*rēj- would yield an acc. \*rējem > \*rēm, and this in turn may have prompted a new nom.sg. rēs. The root may be the same as the root \*(H)reh<sub>1</sub>- of the Ilr. aor. \*rā-s- ‘to bestow’.

Bibl.: WH II: 430f., EM 571, IEW 860, Schrijver 1991: 140, 379, Sihler 1995: 341, Meiser 1998: 148, Untermann 2000: 635, LIV 1.\*reh<sub>1</sub>-. → reus

**restis** ‘rope, cord’ [f. ī] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *restiō* ‘dealer in rope’ (Pl.+), *resticula* ‘small cord’ (Cato+).

PIt. \*reskti- ‘rope’.

PIE \*Hresg-ti- ‘rope, cord’. IE cognates: Skt. *rājju-* [f.] ‘rope, string’, Sogd. *ryzy* (/rayzi/) ‘(woollen?) cloth’ < Ilr. \*Hrazgu- / \*Hrazju-, Lith. *rezgù, rezgiù* ‘to braid, bind’, OLith. *rekstis* ‘basket’.

Bibl.: WH II: 431, EM 571f., IEW 874, LIV \*resg-.

**rēte / rētis** ‘net’ [n./f., m. ī] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *rēticulum* ‘small net’ (Varro), *rēticolātus* ‘covered with a net, like a net’ (Varro+).

PIt. \*rēti-.

Maybe original m. \*rētis, pl.n. \*rētia; thus Niederman in apud EM 572. The sg. *rēte* would then be a back-formation. Schrijver argues that the proposed connection with *rārus* must be given up on formal grounds. If BSl. forms such as Lith. *rētas* ‘rare, thin, slow’, *rētis* ‘sieve’, *rēsti*, Is. *rentù* ‘to become rare’, Latv. *rēns* ‘standing apart’, OCS *rědъkъ* ‘rare’ (< BSl. \*rēto-, \*ret-, \*re?d-) are cognate, we would posit a root \*ret-, not \*reh<sub>1</sub>t- (Slav. has \*-d-). But in view of the isolated position and the exclusively nominal meanings, *rēte/-is* might well be a loanword. Rosén 1995 suggests borrowing from a Semitic source, cf. Biblical Hebrew *rešet* ‘net (for fishing and bird-catching)’, Ugaritic *rēt* ‘(probably) id.’.

Bibl.: WH II: 431, EM 572, IEW 332f., Schrijver 1991: 17f.

**reus** ‘party in a lawsuit; defendant’ [m. o] (Lex XII+)

Derivatives: *rea* [f.] ‘female defendant’.

PIt. \*rei-wo- ‘of wealth’ >> ‘of a case’?

PIE \*Hreh<sub>1</sub>-i-unt- ‘possessing wealth’?

WH supports the ancient connection with *rēs* ‘case’ and posits \*rē-i-o- ‘connected with a court case’, whereas EM term the semantic connection with *rēs* weak. I do not share their pessimism. For a suffix \*-o- to have this derived meaning, the derivation must be very old, which makes the etymology \*rē-i-o- somewhat less attractive. But one may also posit a suffix \*-uo-, whence \*reh<sub>1</sub>i-uo- > \*reiwo- > \*rēwo- > reus, with the same phonotactics as in *deus* ‘god’ < \*deiwos. Since \*-uo- does not appear to function as a productive denominal suffix in Latin, \*reh<sub>1</sub>i-uo- might be a remake of \*reh<sub>1</sub>i-uent- as attested in Skt. *rayi-vánt-* and Av. *raēuuant-* ‘rich’; its meaning would

have been renewed within Latin.

Bibl.: WH II: 432, EM 572, IEW 860, Schrijver 1995: 186, LIV 1.\*reh<sub>1</sub>-. → *rēs*

**rēx, rēgis** ‘king’ [m. g] (VOLat.+; Forum cippus *recei* ‘rēgī’, *re[x]* ‘rēx’)

Derivatives: *rēgulus* ‘petty king’ (Varro+), *rēgālis* ‘of a king’ (Naev.+), *rēgius* ‘of a king, by a king’ (Andr.+), *rēgia* ‘royal residence, capital’ (Acc.+), *rēgīna* ‘queen’ (Andr.+), *regillus* ‘of the queen’ (Pl. Epid. 223 acc.sg.f. *regillam*); *rēgificus* ‘royal, sumptuous’ (Enn.+); *regnum* ‘kingship, reign, kingdom’ (Naev.+), *regnāre* ‘to rule as a king’ (Pl.+), *regnātor* ‘who rules as a king’ (Naev.+).

PIt. \**rēg-* ‘king’. It. cognates: maybe Fal. *rex* [nom.sg.] (uncertain reading).

PIE \**h<sub>3</sub>rēg-s*, \**h<sub>3</sub>rēg-m* [m.] ‘ruler, king’. IE cognates: OIr. *ri* (gen.sg. *rig*) [m.] ‘king’, *rige* ‘kingdom’ (= Skt. *rājyá-* [n.] ‘rule, reign’); Skt. *rāj-* ‘king’ (nom.sg. *rāj*), OAv. *rāzar-* / *rāzan-* [n.] ‘rule, direction’ (YAv. only *rāzar-*); YAv. *bərəzi-rāz-* ‘reigning in the height’.

Nowicki 2002: 337ff. argues that *rēgīna* is based on an adi. \**reg-īno-* ‘regal, meant for the king’. The adj. *rēgillus* would be a derivative of *rēgīna*.

Bibl.: WH II: 432, EM 572, IEW 854-857, Schrijver 1991: 121, Meiser 1998: 57, LIV \**h<sub>3</sub>rēg-*.

**rīca** ‘garment to cover the head’ [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *rīēcīnium* ‘kind of shawl’ (Lex XII+), *rīcīmus* ‘made from a *rica*’ (Varro+).

PIt. \**wreikā-*.

PIE \**ureik-h<sub>2</sub>-*. IE cognates: Av. *uruuisiia-* ‘to rotate, revolve’ < \**urik-je/o-*, Gr. *ῥοικός* ‘fluid, flabby’ < \**uroik-o-*; Lith. *rišti*, 3s. *riša* ‘to tie, bind’, OHG *rīho* [m.] ‘knee-bend’, MDu. *wrīghe* ‘instep of the foot’ < \**uréik-ō*, gen.sg. \**-k-n-ós*; ME *wrāh* ‘wrong’ < \**uroik-ó-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 433, EM 573, IEW 1158f., Schaffner 2001: 573f., LIV \**ureik-*.

**ridica** ‘wooden stake for supporting vines’ [f. *ā*] (Cato+)

The connection with Gr. *ἐρείδω* ‘to prop, support’ is very uncertain.

Bibl.: WH II: 434, EM 573, IEW 860.

**rīdeō** ‘to laugh’ [v. II; pf. *rīsī*, ppp. *rīsum*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *rīdibundus* ‘in a state of laughter’ (Pl.+), *rīsīō* ‘laughing’ (Pl.), *rīsus*, *-ūs* ‘laughter’ (Pl.+), *rīdiculus* ‘funny, absurd’ (Pl.+), *rīdiculārius* ‘concerned with jokes’ (Pl.+), *rīsītāre* ‘to laugh repeatedly at’ (Laev.); *adrīdēre* ‘to smile at’ (Pl.+), *dērīdēre* ‘to laugh at’ (Pl.+), *dērīdiculum* ‘a ridiculous thing’ (Pl.+), *irrīdēre* ‘to make fun of’ (Pl.+), *irrīdiculum* ‘laughing-stock’ (Pl.+), *conrīdēre* ‘to laugh together’ (Pl.+), *subrīdēre* ‘to smile’ (Varro+).

The old connection with Skt. *vrīdate* ‘is shy’ is semantically gratuitous, and this word has no good PIE etymology, cf. EWAia III: 482f.

Bibl.: WH II: 433f., EM 573, IEW 1158, Leumann 1977: 190.



**rigeō** ‘to be stiff’ [v. II] (Cic.+)

Derivatives: *rigor* ‘stiffness, cold’ (Lucr.+), *rigidus* ‘stiff, inflexible’ (Enn.+).

Plt. \**rig-ē-*.

PIE \*Hrīg-eh<sub>1</sub>- ‘to be stretched, be stiff’. IE cognates: OIr. *rigid*, \**rig*\* ‘to stretch, rule’, OBret. *diren* ‘to lead’ < \**rigelo-* ‘to stretch’, OIr. *do-rig*\* ‘to lay bare’, MW *gwarwy* ‘to play’, MBret. *aeren* ‘to tie’ < PCI. \**rig-el-o-* ‘to tie’, OIr. *ringid*\* ‘to torture’ < \**ringelo-*; OHG *reihhōn*, *reihhen*, OE *ræc(e)an* ‘to reach, achieve’ < \**roiǵ-eie-*.

IEW derives *rigeō* from *regō* ‘to stretch, rule’, in which case ‘stretch’ would have been the earlier meaning, and the vowel *rig-* would have developed in non-initial syllable. This seems unlikely, especially since *rigidus* is attested earlier than *rigeō* and *rigor*. WH derived *rigeō* from \*(s)*rig-*, the root that also yields *frīgus* ‘cold’: the original meaning would have been ‘stiff from the cold’. But since its root is reconstructed as \**sriHǵ-*, this would leave short *rig-* ‘stiff’ unexplained. LIV connects two verbs \**reiǵ-* ‘to stretch, bind’, which are probably just one PIE verb, as Schumacher argues. If the original meaning was ‘to stretch’, we can posit a stative verb \**rig-ē-* ‘to be stretched, be stiff’.

Bibl.: WH II: 434, EM 573, IEW 854-857, Schumacher 2004: 543-548, LIV \**reiǵ-*, \**reiǵ̃-*.

**rigō** ‘to irrigate, make wet’ [v. I] (Lucr.+)

Derivatives: *irrigāre* ‘to make wet, irrigate’ (Pl.+), *irriguus* ‘flooded, drenched’ (Pl.+), *irrigivus* ‘well-watered’ (Cato), *irrigātiō* ‘irrigation’ (Varro+).

Plt. \**rig-ā-* ‘to lead toward’. It. cognates: maybe O. *regaturei* [dat.sg.] m. epithet of Iuppiter, \**regā-tor-*?

PIE \*Hrīg- ‘to stretch’. IE cognates: see s.v. *rigeō*.

Quite clearly, the form \**in-rigāre* is older than *rigāre*. Hence, it is conceivable that the verbal root was that of *regō* ‘to lead’: \**in-rigāre* ‘to lead water onto (the fields)’ (thus Panagl 1991). The *ā*-conjugation can be denominal to a noun \**in-re/ogo-* ‘drainage channel’ (vel sim.), but maybe it was built by a productive process to *regere* like *occupāre* to *capere*. Connecting Alb. *rrjedh*, one might posit a different root \**reg-* ‘to flow’, as LIV does; but this does not change the problem of Latin *-i-*. Finally, it is conceivable that *ir-rigāre* belongs to the root \**rig-* ‘to stretch’ reflected in *rigeō*. Semantically this is quite satisfactory; it would then be a fairly old (frequentative) derivative \**in-rig-ā-* ‘to lead into’. This etymology yields the most straightforward explanation of *-i-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 435, EM 573f., IEW 857, Sihler 1995: 211, Untermann 2000: 631, LIV ?\**reg-*. → *regō*, *rigeō*

**rīma** ‘narrow cleft, crack’ [f. *ā*] (Cato+)

Derivatives: *rīmāre/ī* ‘to examine, scrutinize’ (Pac.+).

Several etymologies are theoretically possible. To the root \**h<sub>1</sub>reik-* ‘to tear, break’ (see s.v. *rixa*), a preform \**h<sub>1</sub>reik-sm-h<sub>2</sub>-* ‘breach, fissure’ would yield *rīma*; but also \**reiPmā-*, \**reidmā-*, and roots in \**wr-* would lead to the same Latin form. For

\**wreid-*, cf. Gm. \**wrītanan* ‘to write’. Thus, the etymology remains unclear.

Bibl.: WH II: 435, EM 574, IEW 857-859. → *rixa*

**ringor**, -ī ‘to show the teeth’ [v. III; ppp. *rictum*] (Ter.+)

Derivatives: *rictus*, -ūs ‘the open mouth’ (Pompon.+).

PIt. \**wreng-e/o-*.

PIE \**ureng-* ‘to turn, curve’. IE cognates: OE *wrencan* ‘to turn’, OHG *bi-renken* ‘to sprain’ < \**urong-eie-*.

If *ringor* is from \**rengor*, it can be connected with Gm. forms continuing a root \**ur(e)ng-*. The ppp. *rictus* must then have secondary -i-. The meaning would be derived from ‘to curve one’s lips, draw up the nose’ = showing the teeth.

Bibl.: WH II: 436, EM 574, IEW 1154, LIV \**ureng-*.

**rīpa** ‘river bank’ [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

PIt. \**reipā-*.

PIE \**h<sub>1</sub>reip-h<sub>2</sub>-* ‘steep slope’. IE cognates: Gr. ἐρείπω ‘to throw down, fall down’, ἐρίπνη ‘slope’, Olc. *rifa* ‘to demolish, tear down’, ONorw. *rīp* ‘upper side of a boat’, East Frisian *rip(e)* ‘shore’.

Bibl.: WH II: 436, EM 574, IEW 857-859, Schrijver 1991: 18.

**rītus**, -ūs ‘religious observances, rites’ [m. *u*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *rite* ‘with the proper rites, duly’ (Pl.+).

PIt. \**reitu-*, \**reiti-* ‘rite’.

PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>r-(e)j-* ‘to count, observe carefully’. IE cognates: OIr. *renaid\**, *ren* ‘to sell, exchange’ < PCl. \**rina-* < \**h<sub>2</sub>ri-n-H-*; OIr. *rīm*, W. *rhif* ‘number’ (maybe borrowed from Gm. according to Schrijver 1991); Gr. ἀριθμός ‘number, payment’, νήπιτος ‘countless’; Old Norwegian *rīm* [n.] ‘account’, OHG *rīm* [m.] ‘row, number’.

According to EM, final -e of *rite* is always short, which would point to a stem \**rīti-* or \**rīt-*. Since root nouns are rare in Latin (except in compounds), the former seems more likely. It has been suggested that the root is laryngeal-final, but it is impossible to explain the Greek forms in that case. Germanic could have \**h<sub>2</sub>rei-m-*. A root \**h<sub>2</sub>ri-* is not recognized by LIV, but in view of the meaning, we could analyse it as the root \**h<sub>2</sub>er-* ‘to join, arrange’ with a suffix \*-i-, which came to mean ‘to count’. Thus, *rītu-* may be cognate with *artus* ‘limb, member’.

Bibl.: WH II: 437, EM 574, IEW 60, Schrijver 1991: 22, Rasmussen 1989: 102, Schumacher 2004: 551f., LIV I.\**h<sub>2</sub>er-*. → *artus*

**rīvus** ‘small stream, brook, channel’ [m. *o*] (CIL 4, Enn.+; abl.pl. *riuois* Duenos inscr.)

Derivatives: *rīvālis* ‘who shares the use of a stream; rival’ (Naev.+), *rīvulus* ‘small stream’ (Varro+); *dērīvāre* ‘to divert, pass on’ (Ter.+).

PIt. \**rīwo-* ‘stream’.

PIE \**h<sub>3</sub>riH-uo-* ‘whirling’. IE cognates: MĪr. *rīan* ‘river, sea’ < \**reino-*; Skt. pr. *riyate* ‘to flow (producing whirlpools), whirl’, *riṇāti* ‘to make flow, make run’, *rit-*

‘flowing, dripping’, *rīti-* [f.] ‘stream, motion’, YAv. *aīβi.iritīm ah-* ‘to defile with dirt’; *auui...iriti* ‘crapped on’, *airiti-* [f.] ‘crapping on’; Gr. ὀρίνω, Lesb. ὀρίνω ‘to whirl, stir’, Ru. *réjat* ‘to stream fast, flow’ < PIE *\*h<sub>3</sub>roiH-*, Ru. *rínut*, Cz. *řinouti se* ‘to stream, flow’ < PIE *\*h<sub>3</sub>r(e)iH-*; OE *rīð* ‘stream, brook’, OHG *rinnan* ‘to drip’.

Steinbauer (1989: 35) interprets the sequence *riuois* at the end of the second line in the Duenos inscription as ‘*rivīs*’ = ‘with streams’. If this is correct (Eichner 1993a: 211, 214 slightly differently translates ‘Duftströme’), long *ī* was original and does not go back to a Plt. diphthong.

Bibl.: WH II: 437f., EM 574, IEW 326-332, Schrijver 1991: 24, Meiser 1998: 4, LIV *\*h<sub>3</sub>reiH-*.

**rixa** ‘noisy quarrel, brawl’ [f. *ā*] (Cic.+)

Derivatives: *rixārī* ‘to quarrel violently, struggle’ (Varro+).

Plt. *\*rik-s-h<sub>2</sub>-*.

PIE *\*h<sub>1</sub>rik-s-* ‘to break, fight’? IE cognates: MW *rwygaw*, MBret. *roegaff* ‘to tear apart’ < PCl. *\*reike/o-*; Skt. pr. *riśāmahe* [1p.med.], *vi liśate* [3s.med.] ‘to tear off, pluck’, *riśtā-* ‘jerked, torn, broken’ < *\*h<sub>1</sub>rik-*; *ā rikha* [2s.ipv.], *likhā-* (AV+) ‘to scratch’, *rekhā-* [f.] ‘streak, line’; Gr. ἐρείκω ‘to break (up), tear (up)’; OHG *riga*, MHG *rīhe* ‘row’.

The v. *rixārī* is probably denominative to *rixa*, which itself may have been derived from an *s*-present PIE *\*h<sub>1</sub>r(e)ik-s-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 438, EM 574f., IEW 857-859, Schumacher 2004: 535f. → *rīma*

**rōbur, -oris** ‘oak-tree; strength’ [n. *r*] (Cato+; nom.acc.sg. *rōbus* Cato, *rōbor* Varro)

Derivatives: *rōbustus* ‘made of oak; strong’ (Cato+), *rōborāre* ‘to give strength to’ (Varro+); *robōsem* [acc.sg.] (Paul. *ex F.*).

Plt. *\*roupos* [n.] ‘red substance’.

PIE *\*h<sub>1</sub>reud<sup>h</sup>-os, -es* [n.]. IE cognates: Gr. ἔρευθος ‘redness’.

The inner part of the oak was apparently referred to as ‘red’. The vowel *ō* must be interpreted as a non-Roman dialectal trait, showing that the word was borrowed from the surrounding dialect area. The form *robōsem* shows an animate *s*-stem in *-ōs-*; or is it a pseudo-archaism?

Bibl.: WH II: 439, EM 575, IEW 872f., Stüber 2002: 140f. → *rōbus, ruber*

**rōbus** ‘kind of red’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Juv.+)

Derivatives: *rōbus* ‘kind of wheat’ (Col.), *rōbīgō* ‘rust, rust-like coating’ (Pl.+), *rōbīgīnōsus* ‘rusty’ (Pl.+), *Rōbīgus* ‘deity supposed to avert rust from crops’ (Varro), *Rōbīgālia* [n.pl.] ‘festival in honour of Rōbīgus’ (Varro+).

Plt. *\*roupo-* ‘red’.

PIE *\*h<sub>1</sub>re/oud<sup>h</sup>-o-* ‘red’. IE cognates: see s.v. *rūfus*.

A dialectal form (with *ō* instead of *ū*) of the adj. found in *rūfus*.

Bibl.: WH II: 438f., EM 575, IEW 872f., Sihler 1995: 141. → *raudus, rūfus*

**rōdō, -ere** ‘to gnaw, erode’ [v. III; pf. *rōsī*, ppp. *rōsum*] (Lucr.+)

Derivatives: *rōstrum* ‘snout, beak; speakers’ platform in Rome’ (Pl.+), *rōstrātus* ‘having a beak, beak-shaped’ (Enn.+); *abrōdere* ‘to gnaw off’ (Varro+), *obrōdere* ‘to gnaw at, chew’ (Pl.), *praerōdere* ‘to bite away the end’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*(w)rōde/o-*.

PIE *\*Hreh<sub>3</sub>d-e/o-* or *\*ureh<sub>3</sub>d-e/o-* ‘to gnaw, furrow’. IE cognates: Hit. *ardu-* ‘to saw’ < *\*Hrh<sub>3</sub>d-u-?*, *ardāl(a)-* [n.] ‘a saw’ (< *\*-duol-*); Skt. *rādati* [pr.], *rarāda* [3s.pf.] ‘to dig, furrow, open the way’, *raditā-* ‘gnawed, bitten’, YAv. *rādaiti* ‘to prepare (the way)’, Khot. *varrad-* (< *\*ava-rad-a-*) ‘to scratch’; or OE *wrōtan* ‘to dig, rummage’, Olc. *róta* ‘to stir, upset’, OHG *ruozen* ‘to rummage’, OE *wrōt*, MHG *ruozel*, *rüezel* ‘trunk’.

The root etymology is uncertain. Schrijver connects Skt. *rādati*, which may reflect *\*raHd-* with laryngeal loss in front of *\*-dC-* (but in which form? it is a thematic present), or it can be connected with the Gm. root *\*urōt-* ‘to dig, rummage’ < PIE *\*ure/oHd-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 439f., EM 575, IEW 854, Leumann 1977: 190, Schrijver 1991: 309f., Meiser 2003: 119, LIV ?\*Red-, ?\*ured-. → *rādīx*

**rogō, -āre** ‘to ask’ [v. I] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *rogātiō* ‘request’ (Varro+), *rogātor* ‘beggar, proposer’ (Lucil.+), *rogātus, -ūs* ‘request’ (Pl.+), *rogitāre* ‘to ask frequently or insistently’ (Pl.+); *abrogāre* ‘to repeal, ignore, take away credit’ (Pl.+), *arrogāre* ‘to put a supplementary question; lay claim to, adopt’ (Pl.+), *ērogitāre* ‘to ask pressingly’ (Pl.+), *interrogāre* ‘to ask, examine’ (Pl.+), *irrogāre* ‘to demand, impose’ (Pl.+), *prōrogāre* ‘to prolong, extend’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*rogo-* ‘request’ or ‘stretching out’.

PIE *\*h<sub>3</sub>rog-o-* [n./adj.] ‘stretching’. IE cognates: Olc. *rakr*, Fri. MLG *rak* ‘straight’ < *\*rogo-*.

Probably a denominal verb to a noun *\*rog-o-* [n.] ‘directing (oneself towards someone)’ > ‘request’ or adj. *\*rog-o-* ‘stretching’ (of the arms, when begging). The same is continued in *rogus* ‘funeral pile’, and may be hidden in *ergō* ‘therefore, then’, *ergā* ‘against, next to; towards’ and *corgō* ‘forwards’, see s.v. *regō*.

Bibl.: WH II: 440, EM 575f., IEW 854-857, LIV *\*h<sub>3</sub>reg-*. → *regō, rogus*

**rogus** ‘funeral pyre’ [m. o] (Lex Reg., Lex XII+)

Plt. *\*rog-o-* ‘what is erected, pile’.

PIE *\*h<sub>3</sub>rog-o-* [adj.] ‘erected’.

Derived from *regō* ‘to erect’.

Bibl.: WH II: 440f., EM 576, IEW 854-857. → *regō, rogō*

**rōs, rōris** ‘dew’ [m. r] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *rōscidus* ‘wet with dew, dewy’ (Varro+), *rōrulentus* ‘wet with dew’ (Cato+), *rōrāre* ‘to shed moisture, drip’ (Varro+); *rōrifer* ‘bringing light rain or dew’ (Lucr.+).

Plt. \*rōs.

PIE \*h<sub>1</sub>rōs, \*h<sub>1</sub>ros- ‘dew, juice’. IE cognates: Skt. *rása-* [m.] ‘juice, liquid’, YAv. *raṅhā-* [f.] ‘name of a mythical stream’, Sogd. *r’k* ‘vein, blood vessel’ (< Plr. \**raha-ka-*), Lith. *rasà*, OCS *rosa* ‘dew’; Hit. *ārš-<sup>zi</sup>* / *arš-* ‘to flow’, *aršanu-<sup>zi</sup>* ‘to let flow’, CLuw. *ārššija-* ‘to flow’ < \*h<sub>1</sub>(e)rs-, Skt. *árṣati* ‘to stream, flow’.

If the word for ‘dew’ is indeed derived from ‘to stream’, we must assume schwebe-ablaut. The resemblance in form and meaning is too great to reject this etymology.

Bibl.: WH II: 442, EM 577, IEW 336f., LIV \*h<sub>1</sub>ers-.

**rota** ‘wheel’ [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *rotula* ‘small wheel’ (Pl.+), *rotundus* ‘round’ (Cato+).

Plt. \**rot-ā-* ‘wheel’, \**re/ot-e/o-* ‘to run, roll’.

PIE \*Hrot-o/h<sub>2</sub>- [adj.] ‘revolving’ > ‘wheel’; \*Hret-e/o- ‘to run, roll’. IE cognates: Olr. *reithid\**, *reith*, OW OBret. *redec*, MCo. *resek* ‘to run, flow’ < PCl. \**rete/o-*; Lith. *rātas* ‘wheel, circle, ring, (pl.) cart’, Latv. *rats* ‘wheel, (pl.) cart’, OHG *rad* ‘wheel’ [n.]; Skt. *rātha-*, YAv. *raṭa-* [m.] ‘chariot’ < \*(H)rot-h<sub>2</sub>-o-.

The noun *rota* can be regarded as the f. of the original adj. ‘revolving’. Lat. *rotundus* may have been derived directly from the pr. \**rete/o-* (with replacement of \**ret-* by \**rot-* under the influence of *rota*) or from an *o*-grade pr. \*(*re-*)*rot-* ‘to roll’, which disappeared from the language afterwards.

Bibl.: WH II: 443f., EM 577f., IEW 866, Leumann 1977: 331, Sihler 1995: 625, Meiser 1998: 228, Schumacher 2004: 538-541, LIV \*ret-.

**ruber, -bra, -brum** ‘red’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Enn.+)

Derivatives: *rubēre* ‘to be red’ (Lucr.+), *rubicundus* ‘ruddy, reddish’ (Pl.+), *rūbidus* ‘reddish’? (uncertain meaning) (Pl.+), *rubor* ‘redness’ (Acc.+), *rubrica* ‘red ochre, red clay’ (Pl.+), *rubricōsus* ‘rich in red clay’ (Cato+); *russus* ‘red, red-haired’ (Enn.+), *russescere* ‘to turn red’ (Enn.); *rutilus* ‘of a warm or glowing red colour, ruddy’ (Pl.+), *rutilāre* ‘to glow with a red colour’ (Acc.+).

Plt. \**rupro-* ‘red’, \**ru<sup>f</sup>to-* ‘red’. It. cognates: U. *rufro* [acc.pl.m.], *rufra* [acc.pl.f.], *rufrer* [gen.sg.m.] ‘red’.

PIE \*h<sub>1</sub>rud<sup>h</sup>-ró- [adj.] ‘red’. IE cognates: Skt. *rudhirá-* ‘red, bloody’, Gr. ἐρυθρός, RuCS *rodръ*, ToB *rātre* ‘red’; Olr. *rondaid\**, *roind\** ‘to paint red’ < PCl. \**runde/o-*.

Hill 2003: 224f. explains *russus* from \**rud<sup>h</sup>-to-* to *rubeo*, because thematization of an *s*-stem is expected to yield \**rūsus* < \**reud<sup>h</sup>-s-o-*. The verbal use of the root \**rud<sup>h</sup>-* in Italo-Celtic is also apparent from PCl. \**ru-n-d-e/o-* ‘to paint red’. There is no good explanation for *rutilus*.

Bibl.: WH II: 444f., 455, EM 578, IEW 872f., Leumann 1977: 171, Schrijver 1991: 18, Untermann 2000: 637f., Schumacher 2004: 553. → *rōbur*, *rōbus*, *rūfus*

**rudis** ‘unwrought, not cultivated, crude’ [adj. *i*] (Varro+)

Derivatives: *rūdus*, *-eris* [n.] ‘broken stones, rubble’ (Lucil.+), *rūductus* ‘full of small stones’ (Cato+).

There are two competing etymologies. The connection with OHG *grioz* ‘sand, gravel’ and Lith. *grúdas* ‘corn’ would presuppose the disappearance of initial \*g<sup>h</sup>- in Latin, which is questionable. Most handbooks prefer a derivation from the word for ‘red’. This has been argued most extensively by Risch 1979, who suggests that *rudis* might continue the PIE *i*-stem adj. \*h<sub>1</sub>rud<sup>h</sup>-i- taken from compounds. Yet there is not a shimmer of a meaning ‘red’ in *rudis* or in *rūdus* ‘rubble’, so that the supposed shift from ‘crude (meat)’ > ‘crude’ rests in the air.

Bibl.: WH II: 447f., EM 579, IEW 868-871, Leumann 1977: 73, Risch 1979: 713, Sihler 1995: 158. → *raudus*, *ruber*, *rūfus*

**rūdō, -ere** ‘to roar, bellow’ [v. III; pf. *rudīvi*] (Varro+)

Plt. \**roud-/rud-*.

PIE \*h<sub>3</sub>r(e)ud-H- [pr.] ‘to weep, roar’. IE cognates: Skt. *rudanti* [3p.act.], *rodiṣi* [2s.act.] ‘to weep, bewail’, OAv. *raostā* [aor.], *urūdōiitatā* [pr.] ‘laments’; OLith. *rausti* ‘weeps’, Lith. *raudā* ‘lamentation’, *raudóti* ‘to weep, lament’, OE *rēotan* ‘to complain, weep’.

The reconstruction of the (suffix?) \*-H- is based on Skt. *rodiṣi*. In view of Skt. *ruvāti* ‘roar’, Gr. ὠρούμαι ‘to howl, roar’, Lat. *rūmor* < \*h<sub>3</sub>ru-, final \*-d- may have been a suffix, and the initial laryngeal may be \*h<sub>3</sub>-.

Bibl.: WH II: 447, EM 579, IEW 867f., LIV \**reudH-*. → *ravus*, *rūmor*

**rūfus** ‘red, tawny, red-haired’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *rūfulus* ‘reddish’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \**roufo-* ‘red’. It. cognates: U. *rofu* [acc.pl.m.], *rofa* [acc.pl.f.] ‘red’.

PIE \*h<sub>1</sub>roud<sup>h</sup>-o- ‘red’. IE cognates: OIr. *riúad*, OW *rud*, MW *rhudd*, Co. *rud*, OBret. *rud*, Bret. *ruz* ‘red’ < PCl. \**roudo-*; Lith. *raūdas*, Latv. *raūds* ‘reddish brown, bay, reddish’, Ru. *riúdyj* (dial.) ‘blood-red’, *rudój* (dial.) ‘red, red-haired, dirty’, Go. *rauþs* ‘red’.

A borrowing from a Sabellic language with Plt. \**-p-* > *-f-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 448, EM 579, IEW 872f., Untermann 2000: 638. → *raudus*, *rōbus*

**rūga** ‘crease, shallow groove’ [f. *ā*] (Titinius+)

Derivatives: *rūgāre* ‘to become creased’ (Pl.+), *rūgōsus* ‘full of wrinkles’ (Lucil.+).

Plt. \**roug-ā-*.

PIE \*h<sub>1</sub>roug-h<sub>2</sub>- ‘wrinkle’. IE cognates: see s.v. *-rūgō*.

A connection with *runcāre* and Lith. *raūkas* ‘wrinkle’ is not directly possible because of the different velar. Since words for ‘wrinkle’ and ‘crease’ are often derived from ‘to be rugged’, from which also ‘to belch’ is often derived (cf. de Vaan 2001: 169), the most obvious connection is with *ē-rūgere* ‘to belch’.

Bibl.: WH II: 448f., EM 579, IEW 868-871, Schrijver 1991: 24. → *-rūgō*

**rūgiō, -ire** ‘to bellow, roar (e.g. of lions or deer)’ [v. IV] (Suet.)

IE cognates: Gr. ἐρεύγομαι ‘to roar’, aor. ἤρυγεν (H.), ὄρυμαγδός [m.] ‘noise’, ὠρυγή ‘noise, roaring’, ὠρούμαι ‘to roar’.

Identity with *ē-rūgō* ‘to belch’ seems unlikely, since ‘belching’ hardly sounds like ‘roaring’. There is a PIE root \*(H)ru- ‘to roar’, to which various consonants have been appended in the different IE branches (cf. *rūdō*). Greek has a *g*-extension in ὠρυγή, but there is also ἐρεύγομαι ‘to roar’ (unless the translation is wrong, and we are dealing with the homophonous verb ‘to belch’). For Latin *rūgiō*, the late date of attestation renders it conceivable that it was influenced by *mūgiō*.

Bibl.: WH II: 449, EM 579f., IEW 867f., Schrijver 1991: 18, LIV \*h<sub>3</sub>reuH-. → *mūgiō*, *rūdō*

**-rūgō, -ere** ‘to belch’ [v. III; ppp. *-ructum*]: *ērūgere* ‘to belch’ (Enn.+)

Derivatives: *ructāre/ī* ‘to bring up noisily, belch’ (Pl.+), *ructus*, *-ūs* ‘belch’ (Pl.+), *ēructāre* ‘to throw up, belch’ (Varro+); *rūmen* [n.] ‘first stomach of a ruminant’ (Pompon.+), *rūmināre* ‘to chew, ruminate, meditate’ (Andr.+), *rūmāre* ‘to chew’ (Paul. ex F.).

PIt. \**roug-e/o-*.

PIE \*h<sub>1</sub>reuǵ-e/o- ‘to belch’. IE cognates: MoP *ā-rōy* ‘belch’, Gr. ἐρεύγομαι ‘to belch out, vomit’, also ἐρυγγάνω, Arm. *orcam* < \**orucam* < \**erucam*, Lith. *ráugėti* ‘to belch’, *ráugas* ‘leaven, tannine’ < \*h<sub>1</sub>rouǵ-o-, *ráugti* ‘to leaven, pickle’, OHG *ita-rucken* ‘to ruminate’, OE *rocettan* < PGm. \**rukātjan* ‘to belch’.

The noun *rūmen* can reflect \**rūǵ-mn* or \**rūǵ-smn*.

Bibl.: WH I: 418, II: 446, 450, EM 580f., IEW 871ff., Schrijver 1991: 18, LIV \*(h<sub>1</sub>)reuǵ-.

**rūmis** ‘teat, nipple’ [f. *i*] (Varro+)

Derivatives: *rūma* ‘teat, nipple’ (Varro+), *Rūmīna* ‘goddess associated with suckling’, *Rūminālis* ‘name of a fig-tree under which Romulus and Remus were supposed to have been suckled’ (Varro+); *subrūmus* ‘suckling, under the udder’ (Varro+).

IEW regards these words as identical to *rūmen* ‘throat, first stomach’, but the meaning is quite different. No etymology.

Bibl.: WH II: 449f., EM 580, IEW 873.

**rūmor** ‘noise, rumour’ [m. *r*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *rūmitāre* ‘to gossip’ (Naev.); *rūmiferāre* ‘to carry reports of’ (Pl.).

PIt. \**roumōs-*.

PIE \*h<sub>3</sub>re/ouH-mo- ‘roaring’? IE cognates: Skt. *ruvāti* ‘to roar’, *ráva-* [m.] ‘roaring, howling, noise’, YAv. *uruuant-* ‘roaring’, Gr. ὠρούομαι ‘to howl’, OCS *rjuti*, Is. *rovō* ‘to roar’.

WH and IEW derive *rūmor* from the root of Skt. *ruvāti* ‘to roar’. If correct, the etymology would imply \**re/ou-mo-* ‘shouting’ > PIt. \**reu-m-ōs* [coll.] ‘shouting, rumour’.

Bibl.: WH II: 450f., EM 581, IEW 867f., LIV \*h<sub>3</sub>reuH-. → *ravus*

**rumpō, -ere** ‘to burst, break open’ [v. III; pf. *rūpī*, ppp. *ruptum*] (Lex XII+)

Derivatives: *rūmentum* ‘breaking off’ (Fest.); *abrumpere* ‘to break, burst’ (Enn.+), *corrumpere* ‘to damage, spoil’ (Pl.+), *corruptēla* ‘corruption, bribing’ (Pl.+), *corruptor* ‘perverter, seducer’ (Pl.+), *dēruptus* ‘steep’ (Lucr.+), *dīrumpere* ‘to break

apart' (Pl.+), *ērumpere* 'to burst out, sprout' (Cato+), *ēruptiō* 'sudden rush, discharge' (Sis.+), *irruptiō* 'violent entry, assault' (Pl.+), *interrumpere* 'to break up, interrupt' (Pl.+); *rūpēs*, *-is* 'cliff, crag' (Acc.+), *rupex*, *-icis* 'uncivilized person' (Lucil.+).

Plt. *\*ru-n-p-*, *\*roup-* 'to break', *\*roup-(e)h<sub>1</sub>-* 'cliff', *\*rup-to-* 'broken'.

PIE *\*Hru-n-p-* [pr.] 'to break', *\*Hreup-* [aor.]. IE cognates: Skt. pr. *rupya-*, caus. *ropáya-* 'to suffer from stomach ache', red.aor. *arūrupas* [2s.act.] 'to rack', *rōpi-* [f.] 'racking pain', OAv. *urūpaiia-* 'to cause racking pain', MP *rubūdan* 'to rob, grab', OE *rēofan* 'to break, tear', *bi-rēafian* 'to rob'.

Possibly, *rupex* was built directly on an inherited zero-grade variant *\*Hrup-* of the root.

Bibl.: WH II: 451, EM 581f., IEW 868-871, Meiser 2003: 203, LIV *\*reup-*.

**runcō**, **-āre** 'to grub up (plants), weed' [v. I] (Cato+)

Plt. *\*runk-ā-*.

PIE *\*h<sub>3</sub>ru-n-k-* 'to dig out'. IE cognates: Skt. *luñcati* 'to pluck' (ep.), Gr. *ὀρύσσω*, Att. *ὀρύττω* 'to dig, grub'.

The verb seems to be denominal, maybe to a noun or adj. *\*runk-o-* which in its turn was derived from a PIE nasal present. Lat. *runcina* 'a carpenter's plane' (Plin.) and *runcināre* 'to plane (as a carpenter)' (Varro), *dēruncināre* 'to plane off' (Pl.) probably rest on the borrowing of Gr. *ῥυκάνη* 'plane' influenced by *runcāre* 'to grub up'.

Bibl.: WH II: 452, EM 582, IEW 868-871, Steinbauer 1989: 254, LIV *\*h<sub>3</sub>reuk-*.

**ruō**, **-ere 1** 'to rush, hurry on; tumble down, collapse' [v. III; pf. *ruī*, ppp. *rūtum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *ruīna* 'headlong rush, collapse, remains' (Lucret.+), *rūna* 'kind of weapon' (Naev.); *corruere* 'to collapse' (Andr.+), *obruere* 'to cover, overwhelm' (Enn.+), *prōruere* 'to hurl forward, overthrow' (Varro+).

Plt. *\*rowe/o-*.

PIE *\*h<sub>3</sub>reu-e/o-* 'to run, hurry'. IE cognates: OIr. *ruathar* 'assault'; Gr. *ὀρούω* 'to hurry', Alb. *ra* [3s.aor.] 'to fall (down); strike, hit' (< PALb. *\*(a)rau-*?); Bel., Ukr. *ruх* 'movement, bustle', gen.sg. *ruхu*, Cz. *ruch* 'movement' < *\*(H)rous-o-*.

Meiser 2003 considers the possibility that the root *\*h<sub>3</sub>reu-* 'to run, hurry' was ultimately derived from *\*h<sub>3</sub>r-* 'to set in motion'.

Bibl.: WH II: 453, EM 582f., Schrijver 1991: 24, Meiser 2003: 236. → *-gruō*

**ruō**, **-ere 2** 'to churn or plough up, dig out' [v. III; ppp. *rūtum*] (Varro+)

Derivatives: *rutus*, *-ūs* 'digging, rushing' (Cato+), *rutābulum* 'long stick with a flattened end' (Naev.+), *rutellum* 'small shovel' (Lucil.+), *rutrum* 'shovel' (Cato+); *arruere* 'to heap up (earth)' (Varro+), *dīruere* 'to pull down' (Ter.+), *ēruere* 'to dig up, tear out' (Cato+); *rūta caesa* 'minerals and timber already quarried and felled at the time an estate is put up for sale' (Paul. ex F., Cic.+).

Plt. *\*rowe/o-*.

PIE *\*(H)reuH-e/o-*. IE cognates: Skt. aor. *rāviṣam* [1s.sb.act.], *rāvat* [3s.sb.act.] 'to wound, hurt', ppp. *rutā-*, Lith. *rāuti* 'to tear out, pull', Lith. *ravėti* 'to weed', Ru. *ryt'*, Is. *róju* 'to dig', SCr. *rīti* 'dig', OCS *rylo* 'spade', Ru. *rylo* 'snout, mug', SeCS *rɔvati*,



ORu. *rɔvati*, Ru. *rvat* ‘to tear’, Olc. *ryja* ‘to tear out wool’; ToB *ru-* ‘to pull out (from under a surface [with violence])’, pr. V /*ruwā-*/ < PTo. *\*ruwā-* < PIE *\*ru(H)-eh<sub>2</sub>-*.

It seems that the derivatives of *ruō* ‘to rush’ and *ruō* ‘to dig’ have become mixed up: we find short-vowel forms meaning ‘dig’, in spite of the PIE root etymology *\*(H)ruH-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 453f., EM 582f., IEW 868-871, Schrijver 1991: 234, Meiser 2003: 124, LIV *\*reuH-*.

**rūs, rūris** ‘country, land’ [n. *r*] (Pl.+; loc.sg. *rūrī*)

Derivatives: *rūsticus* ‘of a farm, rural’ (Naev.+), *rūsticātim* ‘in a countrified fashion’ (Pompon.+), *rūrāre/ī* ‘to occupy oneself on one’s country estate’ (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*rowos-* [n.] ‘open space, land’, *\*rowes-tiko-* [adj.].

PIE *\*Hreu-os* [n.] ‘open space, field’. IE cognates: Olr. *róe* ‘flat field’ < *\*rouesiā-*, Av. *rauuaḥ-* [n.] ‘open space’, *rauuaḥ-carāt-* ‘moving freely’.

Nom.acc.sg. *\*rowos* > *\*rūos* > *rūs*; the *-ū-* may also be regular in *rūrī* if from dat.sg. *\*rowesei*. The *ū* (or its antecedent, closed *\*ō*) then spread through the paradigm.

Bibl.: WH II: 454f., EM 583, IEW 874, Schrijver 1991: 276, 280.

**rūscus** ‘butcher’s broom’ [f. *o*] (Verg.+)

Derivatives: *rūsceus* ‘coloured like the berries of butcher’s broom’ (Cato+).

Bibl.: WH II: 454f., EM 583.

**rūspor, -ārī** ‘to explore’ [v. *ī*] (Acc.+)

Derivatives: *corrūspārī* ‘to search for’ (Pl.+).

Possibly to PIE *\*Hreus-* ‘to dig around’ as in Lith. *raūsti* ‘to toss, dig’, OCS *rušiti* ‘to destroy’; yet this would not explain Lat. *-p-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 455, EM 583, LIV *\*reus-*.

## S

**sabulum** ‘coarse sand, gravel’ [n. *o*] (Varro+)

Derivatives: *sabulōsus* ‘gravelly’ (Varro+), *sabulō* ‘sand’ (Varro+); *saburra* ‘gravel’ (Verg.+), *saburrāre* ‘to fill with ballast’ (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*(p)saplo-* / *\*(p)saflo-* / *\*(p)sablo-*.

IE cognates: Gr. ψάμμος [f.], (ψ)άμμος, Aeol. ψόμμος; Olc. *sandr*, OHG *sant*, MHG *samt* ‘sand’.

A substrate word *\*(p)sam-* or *\*sab<sup>(h)</sup>-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 458, EM 585, IEW 145f., Leumann 1977: 186, Schrijver 1991: 103, Meiser 1998: 113.

**sacer** 'sacred, votive, holy' [adj. *o/ā*] (VOLat.+; Forum cippus *sakros* 'sacer')

Derivatives: (1) *sacellum* 'shrine' (Ter.+), *sacrāmentum* 'solemn oath' (Varro+), *sacrārium* 'sanctuary' (Cato+); *cōnsecrāre* 'to dedicate' (Sis.+), *obsecrāre* 'to beseech, pray' (Andr.+), *resecrāre* 'to implore again' (Pl.+); *sacrificāre* 'to perform or offer a sacrifice' (Pl.+), *sacrificium* 'sacrifice' (Varro+), *sacrificulus* 'sacrificial priest' (Varro+), *sacrilegus* 'sacrilegious, impious' (Pl.+); (2) *sācer*, *-cris* 'worthy to be sacrificed' [*sācrem* Cato, *sācras* Pl., Varro]; (3) *sancīre* [pf. *sānxī*, pf. *sānctum*] 'to confirm, ratify solemnly' (Andr.+), *sānctēscere* 'to acquire sanctity' (Acc.), *sānctitūdō* 'sanctity' (Acc.+); *Sancus*, *-ūs* 'deity of contracts' (Cic.+); (4) *sagmen* 'sacrificial bundle of grass' (Naev.+).

PIt. *\*sakro-* 'sacred'; *\*sakraje-* 'to dedicate, sacrifice'; *\*sakrašio-* 'dedicatory'; *\*sākri-* [adj.] 'worthy to be sacrificed; sacrificial animal'; *\*sa-n-k-je-*, *\*sa-n-k-to-* 'to sanctify, solemnly dedicate'. It. cognates: 1. Fal. **sacra** [nom.sg.f.], **sacru** [nom.sg.n.], U. **sacru** [nom.sg.f.], O. σακοπο [nom.sg.f. or nom.pl.n.], U. *sacr* [nom.sg.n.?], **sakra**, *sacra*, **sakref** [acc.pl.f.], *sacre* [adv.] 'holy, sacred'; 2. O. **sakrasias** [nom.pl.f.] 'sanctifying'; O. **sakruvist**, **sakruvit** [3s.pf.] 'has been sanctified'; O. **sakarater** [3p.pr.ps.], **sakraitír**, **sak<r>ahiter** [3s.pr.sb.ps.], **sakrafir** [inf.pr.ps.], **sakrannas** [gdve. nom.pl.f.], **sakrann.** [nom.sg.f.] 'to sanctify, dedicate'; O. **sakaraklúm** [nom.acc.sg.], **sakarakleis** [gen.sg.], **sakaraklúd** [abl.sg.] 'sanctuary' < *\*sakra-klo-*; Pael. *sacaracirix*, Marr. *sacracrix* [nom.sg.] title of a priestess < *\*sakra-trix*; O. σακαρακιδιμα [loc.sg.?] 'kind of priest?'; 3. O. **sakrim** [acc.sg.], **sakrid** [abl.sg.], **sakriss** [abl.pl.] [m./f.], U. **sakre** [acc.sg.], **sakreu** [acc.pl.], *sacris* [abl.pl.] [n.] 'sacrificial animal' < *\*sākri-* [adj.]; 4. O. **sahtúm** [nom.acc.sg.n.] 'sanctified, holy' < *\*sank-to-*; U. **sahta**, *sahatam*, *sahata* [acc.sg.], **satame** [acc.sg. + *-en*], **sate**, *sahate* [loc.sg.] f. *ā*-stem, locality in the procession around town, maybe *\*sanktā-*; 5. U. **saçe**, **saçi** [dat.sg.], *saçe* [voc.sg.] epithet of Iuppiter and of *vestiçe* < *\*sak-*; U. *sansie*, *sansí*, *sansi* [voc.sg.], **saçi**, *sansie*, *sansii*, *sansi* [dat.sg.] 'of the god Sancus' < *\*sankio-*.

PIE *\*sh<sub>2</sub>k-ro-* 'sacred', *\*seh<sub>2</sub>k-r-i-*, *\*sh<sub>2</sub>-n-k-* 'to make sacred, sanctify'. IE cognates: Hit. *šāklāi-* [c.] 'custom, rites' (< *\*seh<sub>2</sub>k-lōi-*), *zankila<sup>1</sup>- / zankil-* 'to fine, punish' (< *\*sh<sub>2</sub>nk-i + \*(v)h<sub>1</sub>-?*); Celtib. *sancilistara* 'money-fine'?

According to Forssman 1992: 308, *sācer* is a *v̥ddhi*-formation to *sacrum* 'act of sacrifice'; but it seems preferable to assume ablaut in the root *\*s(e)h<sub>2</sub>k-*, especially in view of the cognate Hittite forms. The verb continues a nasal present *\*sank-* with *i*-suffix in the present; the pf. and ppp. were made from the pr. stem *\*sank-*. The ppp. underwent the PIIt. development to *\*sanχto-* > *\*sā(n)χto-*, after which Latin restored *-nk-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 459f., EM 585f., 592f., IEW 878, Giacomelli 1963: 254, Schrijver 1991: 97, 144, Untermann 2000: 640-652, 656, Meiser 2003: 121. → *ācer*

**sacerdōs**, **-ōtis** 'priest' [m. (f.) *t*] (Pl., SCBac.+; gen.pl. *sacerdōtum*)

Derivatives: *sacerdōtula* 'a minor priestess' (Varro).

PIt. *\*sakro-dōt-* 'who has sacred endowments'.

PIE *\*-deh<sub>3</sub>-t-* 'gift'.

Earlier etymological works derive *-dōs* from PIE \*d<sup>h</sup>oh<sub>1</sub>-t- ‘who places/performs the sacrifice’, e.g. WH, EM, Leumann 1977, Sihler 1995. But for such a meaning, the morphology (*o*-grade in the second member of a governing compound) would be strange. Strunk 1994 and Nussbaum (apparently independently) 1999 derive *-dōs* from the root \*deh<sub>3</sub>- ‘to give’, in which an *e*-grade can be reconstructed. Strunk translates ‘he who has the gift of the sacred’, whereas Nussbaum posits ‘having contributions intended for the sacrifice’, ‘having sacred endowments’. Since Latin has a stem *dōs*, *dōtis* ‘dowry’, it seems most straightforward to interpret *sacerdōs* as *sacer-dōt-* ‘who has a sacred endowment’. But an analysis as an original governing compound is not excluded. Nussbaum (p. 415, fn. 107) offers philological arguments to show that the combination of \*sakros plus \*deh<sub>3</sub>-(u-) ‘to give’ was known in Italic; compare Cato (*exta et*) *vinum dato*, and the fact that U. uses the verb *purtuvitu* [3s.ipv.II] ‘present’ when the text speaks about offers which are being presented in the ceremony.

Bibl.: WH II: 460, EM 586, IEW 235-239, Leumann 1977: 275, Strunk 1994, Sihler 1995: 49, 122, Nussbaum 1999a: 396-398, Lindner 2002: 44, LIV \*deh<sub>3</sub>-. → *dō*, *sacer*

**saeculum** ‘generation, breed, lifetime’ [n. *o*] (Pl.+)

Plt. \**sai-tlo-*.

PIE \*seh<sub>2</sub>i-tlo- [n.] (or \*sh<sub>2</sub>ēi-tlo-) ‘lifespan’. IE cognates: W. *hoedl*, Bret. *hoazl* > *hoal* ‘lifespan, age’ < PCI. \**saitlo-*; Hit. *išhai-i* / *išhi-* ‘to bind’, CLuw. *hišhija-*, HLuw. *hishi-* ‘to bind’ < \*sh<sub>2</sub>-ói- / \*sh<sub>2</sub>-i-; Skt. pr. \**syāti*, *sināti* [3s.act.], pf. *ā siṣāya* [3s.act.] ‘to bind’.

Bibl.: WH II: 460f., EM 587f., IEW 889-891, Rasmussen 1989: 59, Schrijver 1991: 269, LIV \*sh<sub>2</sub>eḷ- → *saeta*

**saepēs, -is** ‘hedge, fence’ [f. *i*] (Varro+; *saeps* [nom.sg.] Varro, Cic.)

Derivatives: *saepire* [pf. *saepsī*, ppp. *saeptum*] ‘to surround, enclose’ (Pl.+), *saepimentum* ‘enclosure’ (Varro+), *saeptuōsus* ‘recondite’ (Pac.); *cōnsaepire* ‘to hedge in’ (Enn.<sub>1</sub>+), *praesaepēs/-e, -is* [f./n.] ‘stall for cattle or horses; brothel’ (Pl.+), *praesēpium* ‘id.’ (Varro+); *saepe* ‘often’ (Naev.+), *saepiculē* ‘repeatedly’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \**saip-i-*. It. cognates: possibly U. *seples* [dat.abl.pl.] ‘nail’.

PIE \*seh<sub>2</sub>-i- ‘to tie’. IE cognates: Gr. αἰρός, also αἰρός ‘steep’? αἶψα [adv.] ‘quickly’.

The adv. *saepe* < \**saepi* [n.] is the result of a semantic shift from ‘closely packed’ > ‘close to each other’ > ‘often’ (cf. Italian *spesso* ‘often’ < *spissus* ‘thick, dense’). In view of the meaning ‘to bind’ of \*sh<sub>2</sub>-, it is tempting to derive \**saepi-* ‘packed, fenced’ from PIE \*seh<sub>2</sub>i-p-, but a (productive) suffix \*-p- is unknown.

Bibl.: WH II: 461f., EM 588, IEW 878, Schrijver 1991: 278, Untermann 2000: 668, LIV \*sh<sub>2</sub>eḷ-.

**saeta** ‘hair of an animal; fishing-rod’ [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *saetiger* ‘bristly’ (Lucr.+).

Plt. \**saitā-*.

PIE \*séh<sub>2</sub>i-to- or \*sh<sub>2</sub>éi-to- ‘cord’. IE cognates: Skt. *sétu-* [m.] ‘band, fetter, bridge’, YAv. *haētū-* [m.] ‘dam’, OPr. *saytan* ‘strap, belt’, Lith. *siētas, saītas* ‘tie’, OCS *sětŭ* ‘snare, trap’, Cz. *sít* ‘net’, OIc. *seiðr* [m.], OHG *seid* ‘cord’ [n.].

Most handbooks derive *saeta* from \*sh<sub>2</sub>i- ‘to bind’, but Schrijver deems the semantic link extremely doubtful. Yet there are many accepted derivatives of this root meaning ‘strap’, ‘cord’; by metaphorical use, a meaning ‘hair’ can easily have developed.

Bibl.: WH II: 462, EM 588, IEW 891f., Schrijver 1991: 270, LIV \*sh<sub>2</sub>ei-. → *saeculum*

**saevus** ‘harsh, savage, ferocious’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *saevīre* ‘to rage, be violent’ (Pl.+), *saeviter* ‘savagely’ (Pl.+), *saevitia* ‘savageness’ (Ter.+), *saevitūdō* ‘savageness’ (Pl.).

Plt. \**saiwo-* ‘savage’.

PIE \*seh<sub>2</sub>i-uo- ‘savage’. IE cognates: OIr. *sáeth*, W. *hoed* ‘sorrow’ < \**saitu-*; Hit. *šāi-*<sup>zi</sup> ‘to become sullen, angry’, Gr. αἰᾰνής ‘irritating’ < \**saiyo-*, Go. *sair*, OHG *sēr* ‘pain’ < PGm. \**sairo-*.

Since ‘to rage’ and ‘to be in pain’ are sometimes expressed by means of ‘to be tied, to be controlled by an outer force’, it is conceivable that the PIE root \*sh<sub>2</sub>i- ‘to rage, be in pain’ is ultimately the same as \*sh<sub>2</sub>i- ‘to tie’.

Bibl.: WH II: 462f., EM 588, IEW 877, Rasmussen 1989: 57, Schrijver 1991: 270, Untermann 2000: 658, LIV ?2.\*seh<sub>2</sub>(i)-.

**sagitta** ‘arrow’ [f. *ā*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *sagittārius* ‘archer’ (Sis.+), *sagittātus* ‘barbed’ (Pl.).

No etymology.

Bibl.: WH II: 464, EM 588.

**sagum** ‘coarse woollen cloak’ [n. *o*] (Enn.+)

Derivatives: *sagulum* ‘small cloak’ (Varro+), *sagātus* ‘wearing a *sagum*’ (Afran.+).

No etymology. WH consider a loan from Gaulish.

Bibl.: WH II: 464, EM 589, IEW 887f.

**sāgus** ‘prophetic, prescient’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Stadius+)

Derivatives: *sāga* ‘witch, wise woman’ (Lucil.+), *sāgīre* ‘to perceive’ (Cic.+), *sagāx* ‘keen-scented, perceptive’ (Pl.+); *praesāgīre* ‘to foresee, portend’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \**sag-āk-* ‘perceptive’, \**sāg-ije/o-* ‘to seek, perceive’.

PIE \*seh<sub>2</sub>ǵ-i- [m./f.] ‘tracking’? IE cognates: OIr. *saigid*, *saig* ‘to try to reach’ < PCl. \**sag-(i)e/o-*, MW *haeðu* ‘to strive, earn’, denom. to a PCl. noun \**sag-iiā* [f.]; Hit. *šāgāi-* ‘omen’, *šākiie/a-*<sup>zi</sup> ‘to give a sign, reveal’; Gr. ἡγέομαι ‘to direct, lead’, Go. *sokjan*, MoE *seek* ‘to search’ < \**seh<sub>2</sub>g-*, Go. *sakan*, pret. *sok*, OHG *sahhan* ‘to quarrel’ < \*sh<sub>2</sub>ǵ-.

The compound *praesāgīre* is attested earlier than the simplex, which may well be a back-formation to *praesāgīre*. Since *sāgus* also appears only in post-Republican

Latin, *prae-sāgīre* has no obvious derivational basis within Latin, and may reflect a Plt. verb in *\*-je-*. Kloekhorst 2008: 697 assumes that *šākije/a-* is denominal to *šāgāi-*; for the noun, he posits a PIE paradigm *\*séh<sub>2</sub>g-ōi-s*, *\*sh<sub>2</sub>g-ōi-m*, *\*sh<sub>2</sub>g-i-ós* with generalization of the full-grade root in Hittite. This would mean that Latin *sāgīre* and Go. *sokjan* cannot be directly compared to the Hit. verb. Instead, they may both be denominal presents to a noun *\*seh<sub>2</sub>g-(o)i-*. This might also explain why the IE cognates show an ablaut *\*seh<sub>2</sub>ǵ-* / *\*sh<sub>2</sub>ǵ-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 464f., EM 589, IEW 876f., Schrijver 1991: 97, 338, Schumacher 2004: 555-557, LIV *\*seh<sub>2</sub>(ǵ)-*.

**sāl, salis** ‘salt’ [m. (n.) *l*] (Pl.+; nom.acc.sg. *sale* Enn., Cato)

Derivatives: *salinum* ‘salt-cellar’ (Pl.+), *salinator* ‘operator of a salt-works’ (Cato+), *salillum* ‘little salt-cellar’ (Pl.+), *salārius* ‘of salt’ (Varro+); *sallere* [ppp. *salsum*] ‘to salt’ (Lucil.+), *sallire* ‘to salt’ (Cato+), *salsus* ‘salted, salty’ (Pl.+), *salsamentum* ‘salted food, esp. fish’ (Ter.+), *salsūra* ‘salting, pickling’ (Pl.+); *salum* ‘sea’ (Enn.+), *Salacia* ‘name of a sea-goddess’ (Pac.+).

Plt. *\*sāls*, *\*salos* ‘salt’, *\*sald-e/o-* ‘to salt’, *\*salsso-* ‘salted’. It. cognates: U. *šalu* [acc.sg.] ‘salt’. For a possible indirect attestation of O. *\*sal-*, see s.v. *pūrus*.

PIE *\*sēh<sub>2</sub>-l-s* [nom.], *\*sh<sub>2</sub>-él-m* [acc.], *\*sh<sub>2</sub>-l-ós* ‘salt’; *\*sh<sub>2</sub>-l-d-* (or *\*sh<sub>2</sub>el-d-* or *\*seh<sub>2</sub>-l-d-*) ‘salt’. IE cognates: OIr. *salann* ‘salt’ [n.], W. *halen*; Gr. ἅλς ‘salt’ [m.]; Latv. *sāls* ‘salt’ [f.], Lith. Žem. *sólymas* [m.] ‘saltpan’ < nom.sg. *\*sēh<sub>2</sub>-l-s*, OPr. *sal*, OCS *solb*, Ru. *sol* ‘salt’ [f.] < *\*sh<sub>2</sub>el-*; Lith. *saldūs* ‘sweet’, OCS *sladokъ*, Ru. *solódkij* (dial.) ‘sweet’ < *\*sh<sub>2</sub>el-d-u-*, Go. *salt*, OHG *salz* ‘salt’ [n.].

The PIE ablaut was retained into Latin. The stem *\*sal-d-e/o-* yielded *sallere*, and the ppp. *\*saldto-* regularly developed into *\*salso-*. It is possible that *salum* is a loanword from Greek; if inherited, it must be an inner-Italic derivative *\*sal-o-* ‘salty’ > ‘sea’.

Bibl.: WH II: 465f., 471, EM 589, 591, IEW 878f., Schrijver 1991: 98, 111, 114, Meiser 1998: 55, Untermann 2000: 654f.

**saliō, -īre** ‘to jump, leap’ [v. IV; pf. *saluī/salī*, ppp. *saltum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *sāltus*, *-ūs* ‘jump, leap; narrow passage, woodland’ (Naev.+); *absilīre* ‘to rush away’ (Lucr.+), *dēsīlīre* ‘to jump down, dismount’ (Pl.+), *dissilīre* ‘to burst apart’ (Lucr.+), *īnsilīre* ‘to jump on’ (Pl.+), *prōsilīre* ‘to rush forth, gush’ (Pl.+), *resilīre* ‘to jump back, recoil’ (Lucr.+), *subsīlīre* ‘to jump up’ (Pl.+), *trānsilīre* ‘to leap over, skip’ (Pl.+); *saltāre* ‘to dance’ (Pl.+), *saltātiō* ‘dancing’ (Pl.+), *dēsultūra* ‘jumping down’ (Pl.), *dissultāre* ‘to spring apart’ (Lucr.+), *exsultāre* ‘to spring up, run riot’ (Lucr.+), *insultāre* ‘to leap in; mock’ (Enn.+), *persultāre* ‘to leap over’ (Lucr.+), *resultāre* ‘to jump away, echo’ (Lucil.+), *subsultāre* ‘to keep jumping up’ (Pl.+); *praesul* [m.] ‘dancer at the head of a religious procession’ (Lucil.+).

Plt. *\*sal-je-* ‘to jump’, *\*salto-* ‘jumped’.

PIE *\*sh<sub>2</sub>-l-ie/o-* ‘to jump’, *\*sh<sub>2</sub>-l-to-*. IE cognates: OIr. *saltraid* ‘to trample’, MW *sathar* ‘trampling’ < *\*sal-tro-*, W. *sathru*, Bret. *saotrañ* ‘to soil’; Skt. pr. *sisarṣi* [2s.act.], *sisrate* [3p.med.] ‘to flow, run, hurry’ < PIE *\*sel-*; Gr. ἄλλομαι ‘to jump’ < *\*sl-je/o-*, aor. ὄλτο, red.pr. ἰάλλω ‘to stretch out, send out’ < *\*si-sl-*, ToB pret.med.

*salāte* ‘jumped’, ToB *salamo*, ToA *salat* ‘flying’ < \**sl-*, \**sel-*, \**sol-*.

It is assumed e.g. by LIV that Latin *sal-* arose from \**sl-* in front of *-i-* or \**-i-*. Yet in view of *horior* and *morior* < \**-r̥je/o-* it seems that \**sli-* would phonetically yield \**sol̥i-*; similarly, for Celtic, \**słtro-* would yield \**siltro-*, but we find \**saltro-*. One might explain Lat. *sal-* as a secondary full grade beside earlier \**sel-*, but the *e*-grade is not attested in Italic nor in Celtic. A root \**sh<sub>2</sub>l-* would match the Greek verb, but Skt. and Toch. cannot go back to \**sh<sub>2</sub>l-*. Hence, I regard the reconstruction of the root form as uncertain.

Bibl.: WH II: 468, EM 590, IEW 899, Schrijver 1991: 98, 114, 1995: 377, Sihler 1995: 304, Meiser 1998: 195f., LIV 1.\**sel-*.

**salīva** ‘salive, spittle’ [f. *ā*] (Varro+)

Derivatives: *salebra* ‘unevenness’ (Cic.+).

PIt. \**sal-īwo-*, \**sal-es-ro-* ‘dirty yellow’.

PIE \**slH-V-* ‘dirty yellow’. IE cognates: Ir. *sal* [f.] ‘dirt’, *salach*, W. *halawg* ‘dirty’ < \**sal-* < PIE \**slH-V-*; OPr. *salowis*, Ru. *solověj*, SCr. *slāvūj* ‘nightingale’ < \**sal-u-* (< \**solH-uo-* ‘grey?’); Ru. *solóvyj* ‘light bay’, *solovój* ‘yellowish grey’, CS *slavoočije* ‘state of having green eyes, glaucitas’ [n.]; OHG *salo*, gen. *salwes* ‘dirt’, OIc. *splr* ‘dirty yellow’ < PIE \**solH-u-*.

If *salīva* is indeed cognate with BSl. and Gm. \**solH-u-* ‘grey’, it may be built on an earlier adj. \**saluus*, compare Lat. *-īvus* in *irrigīvus* to *irriguus*, *vocīvus* to *vacuus*.

Bibl.: WH II: 468f., EM 590, IEW 879, Schrijver 1991: 212f.

**salix, -icis** ‘willow, osier’ [f. *k*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *salictum* ‘group of willows, osier-bed’ (Pl.+), *salictārius* ‘of osier-beds’ (Cato+), *salignus* ‘made of willow-wood’ (Cato+), *saligneus* ‘of willows’ (Cato+).

PIt. \**salik-*.

IE cognates: OIr. *sail*, gen. *sailech*, W. *helyg* ‘willow’ < \**salik-*, Myc. *e-ri-ka*, Gr. Arc. *ἐλικη* ‘willow’ (Boeot. *ἑλικών* does not certainly contain the same word); OHG *salaha*, OIc. *selja* < \**salkiōn-*, OE *sealh* ‘willow’ < \**salko-*.

Italo-Celtic \**salik-* may reflect PIE \**slH-ik-* or \**sh<sub>2</sub>l-ik-*, but Gm. would require \**sh<sub>2</sub>l-k-*. The suffix interchange \**-ik-* vs. \**-k-* is hardly IE; in combination with the restricted distribution, the possible appurtenance of Greek \**helikā-* with *-e-* in the first syllable (which cannot go back to \**sh<sub>2</sub>l-*) and the fact that it is a tree name, this suggests non-IE origin.

Bibl.: WH II: 469, EM 590f., IEW 879, Schrijver 1991: 103f.

**saltem** ‘at least, anyhow’ [adv.] (Pl.+; var. *saltem* Ov.+)

WH accept the etymology \**sī alitem* ‘if otherwise’, but the shortening and disappearance of initial *-ī-* would be strange, and so would syncope (cf. *aliter*). Syntactically, *saltem* is often followed by a clause in *sī* ‘if’, so the presence of \**sī* in *saltem* is the opposite of what we expect: if containing a hypothetical form \**alitem* ‘otherwise’, we expect \**sī non alitem* ‘if not otherwise’, ‘at least’. Mechanically, one

could reconstruct an *i*-stem *\*sal-ti-* ‘jump’ to *salīō*; as an adverb, the acc.sg. could have meant ‘by leaps’ > ‘certainly, at least’. Thus, the etymology remains uncertain.

Bibl.: WH II: 470, EM 591.

**salvus** ‘safe, secure’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Naev.+; *salvē* ‘hello! hail! farewell!’ Naev.+)

Derivatives: *salvēre* ‘to greet’ (Naev.+); *salūs*, *-ūtis* [f.] ‘well-being, safety, greeting’ (Pl.+), *salūtāris* ‘salutary, wholesome’ (Pl.+), *salūtāre* ‘to greet, hail’ (Naev.+), *salūber/-bris*, gen. *-bris* ‘healthy, beneficial’ (Cato+), *salūbritās* ‘wholesomeness, good health’ (Cato+).

PIt. *\*salu-* ‘whole, healthy’, *\*salw-ē-* ‘to be well’. It. cognates: Fal. **salues** [nom.pl.m.?], **salue** [2s.ipv.pr.], **saluete** [2p.ipv.pr.], **salueto(d)** [3p.ipv.II.] ‘to greet’; O. **salavs**, σαλαϝς, Marr. *salaus*, *salas* [nom.sg.m.], U. *saluom*, *saluom*, *saluo* [acc.sg.m.n.], *saluam*, *salua* [acc.sg.f.], *salua*, *saluua* [acc.pl.f.] ‘whole, healthy’; Pael. praenomen *saluta* < *\*salūt-*; Pael. *salauatur* [nom.sg.] a certain official < *\*salwā-tor-* (not borrowed from Latin).

PIE *\*sliH-u-* ‘whole’. IE cognates: Hit. *šalli-* ‘big, main’ < *\*solH-i-* (Kloekhorst 2008: 709ff.), Skt. *sárva-* ‘whole, all’, YAv. *hauruua-* ‘undamaged, whole’, OP *haruva-* ‘whole, all together’, Gr. ὅλος, Ion., H. οὔλος ‘whole, complete’, Alb. *gjallë* ‘alive’, ToA *salu* [adv.] ‘wholly, totally’.

*Salūs* and *salūbris* seem to presuppose a verb *\*saluere*, ptc. *\*salūtos*, which in turn would be regular to a *u*-stem noun or adj. *\*salu-*. Yet Lat. *salvus* has cognates in other IE languages which point to *\*sol(H)uo-*. Hence, Schrijver 1991 assumes that PIt. had *\*salu-* and *\*solwo-*, and that the latter adopted the *-a-* from the former, yielding *\*salwo-*. Yet *\*salwo-* would probably yield Latin *\*sallo-*, in spite of Schrijver’s efforts to render this uncertain. If Pre-Latin *\*saluwo-* is related to Lat. *sollus* (cf. Nussbaum 1997), it may be due to an inner-Italic thematization of the adj. *\*salu-*. This thematization must post-date the change of *\*-lw-* > *\*-ll-*. Both PIt. *\*salu-* and Ilr.Gr. *\*solwo-* can be interpreted as deriving from a n. noun *\*sólH-u-* / *\*sliH-u-* ‘wholeness’, with an ins.sg. *\*sliH-u-h<sub>1</sub>* from which *salū-t-* and *\*salū-pli-* were derived (Pike 2007).

Bibl.: WH II: 471f., EM 591f., IEW 979f., Giacomelli 1963: 255, Leumann 1977: 349, 554, Schrijver 1991: 295-297, Nussbaum 1997: 186f., 1999: 386, 410, Untermann 2000: 651-654. → *solidus*, *sollus*

**sanguīs, -inis** ‘blood’ [m. n] (Pl.+; nom.acc.sg. *sanguen* Enn.+)

Derivatives: *sanguinolentus* ‘bloody’ (Varro+).

PIt. *\*sang<sup>w</sup>en-/\*sanguen-*.

PIE *\*h<sub>1</sub>ésh<sub>2</sub>-r* [nom.acc.], *\*h<sub>1</sub>sh<sub>2</sub>-én-s* [gen.] ‘blood’ [n.]. IE cognates: Hit. *ešhar* / *išhan-* ‘blood; bloodshed’ [n.] (< *\*h<sub>1</sub>ésh<sub>2</sub>r*, *\*h<sub>1</sub>esh<sub>2</sub>enós*), CLuw. *āšhar-* ‘blood’, Skt. *ásrj-* (*ásrjk* nom.sg., *asnás* gen.sg.), Gr. ἄσρα, Latv. *asins*, ToA *ysār*, ToB *yasar* ‘id.’.

It is disputed whether *sanguen* is the oldest form, or whether *\*sanguin-s* was remade into *sanguen* on the example of *unguen*, *-inis* ‘fat, grease’; the expected outcome of *\*-en-s* would be *\*-ēs*. The reconstruction PIE *\*h<sub>1</sub>sh<sub>2</sub>-n-h<sub>1</sub>g<sup>w</sup>-o/i-* ‘shining like blood’ by Balles 1999 is too far-fetched. The element *san-* may well go back to PIE

\*h<sub>1</sub>sh<sub>2</sub>-(e)n-, the oblique form of the word for ‘blood’. The element *-guen* or *-guin* is less certain. According to Kloekhorst 2008: 256-260, Hittite shows that the gen.sg. originally ended in *\*-én-s*, which would be in accordance with the reconstruction *\*h<sub>1</sub>sh<sub>2</sub>en-* for *sanguis*.

Bibl.: WH II: 474f., EM 593, Sihler 1995: 300, Balles 1999. → *assyri*; *saniēs*

**saniēs** ‘ulcer, wound matter’ [f. *ē*] (Enn.+)

*Saniēs* might be a derivative in *-iēs* to the stem *\*san-* of the word for ‘blood’ *sanguīs*; but the formation type rather points to a deverbal abstract. Klingenschmitt (1992: 128) reconstructs *\*h<sub>1</sub>sh<sub>2</sub>en-ih<sub>2</sub>-* ‘blood-like matter’.

Bibl.: WH II: 475, EM 593. → *sanguīs*

**sānus** ‘healthy’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *sānitās* ‘health, good sense’ (Pl.+), *sānāre* ‘to cure’ (Caecil.+); *īnsānus* ‘mad’ (Pl.+), *īnsānīre* ‘to be mad, rave’ (Pl.+), *īnsānia* ‘madness’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*sāno-*. It. cognates: maybe U. *sanēs* [abl.pl.f.?] ‘?’, attribute of certain sacrificial objects.

PIE *\*seh<sub>2</sub>-no-*. IE cognates: Skt. *ava-sātār-* [m.] ‘liberator’, *ava-sāna-*, OAv. *auuarhāna-* [n.] ‘resting-place’ (*\*‘unharnessing’*) < *\*seh<sub>2</sub>-* ‘to tie’.

The reconstruction *\*seh<sub>2</sub>-no-* is purely mechanical. *Sānus* might be derived from the root *\*seh<sub>2</sub>-* ‘to tie’, meaning ‘which is in place, in order’, or maybe from the root *\*sh<sub>2</sub>-* ‘to satisfy’ seen in *satis* ‘enough’, Lith. *sočius* ‘satisfied’.

Bibl.: WH II: 476, EM 593f., IEW 880, Untermann 2000: 655.

**sapa** ‘new wine boiled down to a proportion of its original volume’ [f. *ā*] (Cato+)

IE cognates: Skt. *sabardūh-* [epithet, esp. of a dairy-cow], OAv. *hābuuant-* [adj.] ‘juicy?’ < *\*sab-uant-*, Arm. *ham*, OIc. *safe*, OHG *saf* ‘sap’ < *\*sap-* (OE *sæp*, MoDu. *sap* < Lat. *sapa*).

It seems unlikely that *sapa* is derived from *sapiō* ‘to taste, know’, as advocated by IEW and EM: the Gm. and Arm. cognates also mean ‘sap’. If the Iir. forms belong here too, there is an interchange between *\*sap-* and *\*sab-* pointing to a loanword. If Iir. is excluded, we have *\*sap-* (Latin, Arm.?) against *\*sab-* (Germ.) which still points to a non-IE loanword.

Bibl.: WH II: 476, EM 594, IEW 880, Schrijver 1991: 104.

**sapiō, -ere** ‘to taste, be intelligent, know’ [v. III; pf. *sapī(v)ī* (>> *sapūī*)] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *sapor* ‘flavour, taste’ (Varro+); *dēsipere* ‘to lose one’s reason’ (Pl.+), *īnsipientia* ‘folly’ (Pl.+), *īnsipiens* ‘unwise, foolish’ (Pl.+), *resipere* ‘to recall the flavour of’ (Varro+), *resipiscere* ‘to regain consciousness, come to one’s senses’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*sap-i-*; pf. *\*sēp-?* It. cognates: O. *sipus* [nom.sg.m.], Vol. *sepu* [abl.sg.] ‘knowing’ < ptc.pf.act. *\*sēp-wōs* < *\*seh<sub>1p-</sub>* to *sapere*, or with analogical *ē*-grade in the perfect. Nussbaum (p.c.) suggests that the preform may have been an adj. *\*sēp-uwo-*.



PIE \*sh<sub>1</sub>p-i- ‘to notice’. IE cognates: OS *an-sebbian* ‘to remark, notice’, OHG *int-seffen* ‘to remark, taste’, OIc. *sefi* ‘thought’ < \*saf-i- < PGm. \*saf-, \*sab-.

Root-internal \*h<sub>1</sub> is uncertain: it hinges on Sabell. \*sēp-, but this may be analogical. In that case, the root must be left at \*sH̥p-. Latin pf. *sapīvi* and Sabell. \*sēp- might (very speculatively) be interpreted as proof for earlier ablaut \*seh<sub>1</sub>p-i- / \*sh<sub>1</sub>p-ei-.

Bibl.: WH II: 477, EM 594, IEW 880, Schrijver 1991: 93f., Untermann 2000: 677, Meiser 2003: 244, LIV \*seh<sub>1</sub>p-. → *sībus*

**sarciō, -īre** ‘to mend, repair’ [v. IV; pf. *sarsī*, ppp. *sartum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *exsarcīre* ‘to patch up’ (Ter.+), *resarcīre* ‘to mend, restore’ (Cato+); *sarcina* ‘bundle, pack’ (Pl.+), *sarcinātus* ‘loaded with a pack’ (Pl.+), *sarcinātor* ‘mender of clothes’ (Pl.+), *sarcinātrix* ‘woman who mends clothes’ (Varro+); *sarcta tecta* ‘mended roofs’ (Paul. ex F.).

Plt. \*sark-je/o-. It. cognates: U. *sarsite* ‘?’.

PIE \*srk-ie/o- ‘to make good, emend’. IE cognates: Hit. *šarni(n)k-<sup>zi</sup>* ‘to compensate, replace’ < \*sr-nén-k-, *šarku-* / *šargau-* ‘eminent, powerful’ < \*s(o)rk-(e)u-, *šarkiške/a-<sup>zi</sup>* ‘to be powerful’, Gr. ἔρκος [n.] ‘fence, enclosure, net’, ὄρκάνη ‘fence’; maybe also ὄρκος [m.] ‘oath’, ToB *šārk-* ‘to surpass, be better’.

Latin -ar- can be the product of a vocalization \*C<sub>r</sub>CC > CarCC-, cf. Schrijver 1991: 498.

Bibl.: WH II: 478f., EM 595, IEW 912, Schrijver 1991: 492f., LIV \*ser<sup>(k)</sup>-.

**sāriō, -īre** ‘to hoe, weed’ [v. IV] (Pl.+; *sarr-* Cato)

Derivatives: *sartor* ‘a hoer’ (Pl.+), *sarculum* ‘hoe’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \*sars-je/o- ‘to hoe, weed’, ppp. \*sarsto-, n. \*s(e)rs-tlo-.

PIE \*srs-ie/o- ‘to cut off, weed’. IE cognates: Skt. *ṣṛñī-* [f.] ‘sickle’, Khot. *harraa-* ‘saw’ (< Plr. \*h<sub>1</sub>ynaka-).

Schrijver (1991: 493) regards *sarr-* as the older variant in view of Romance \*re-sarriō and the noun *sarrītor*. If so, \*sarrī- would have changed to sārī- according to the *mamilla*-development, cf. Leumann 1977: 184. This, however, does not explain long ā. In view of *narrāre* < \*gnārāre, it seems more likely that *sāriō* is old and *sarriō* new. On the other hand, both variants may have been exchangeable for a while, so that we cannot really tell which one is older. The best argument for \*sarr- is the possible cognate word *serra* ‘saw’. Schrijver assumes \*sarr- < \*sars- < \*ṣrs-je/o-, \*ṣrs-to- ‘to hoe, weed’; maybe the root is \*ser- ‘to cut off’, found with different extensions in Skt. ‘sickle’ and in Latin *sarp(i)ō* ‘to cut off the vine’. LIV’s connection with Hit. *šarhije/a-<sup>zi</sup>* ‘to attack(?)’ and Gr. ῥόομαι ‘to hurry, rush forward’ is less attractive semantically, and presupposes /sar-/ , not *sarr-* or *sār-*. Pinault (1998: 24f.) has a different solution: according to him, *sāriō* is denominative to a noun nom.sg. \*sar, obl. \*sarr- < \*sās- < \*kseh<sub>2</sub>-es- ‘comb’ vel sim. Yet this solution requires an earlier date for the shortening of \*-ār > -ar than is normally assumed, viz. around 200 BC (Leumann 1977: 111). Also, deriving a fourth-conj. pr. from nouns other than i- or o-stems is unusual (cf. Leumann 1977: 556).

Bibl.: WH II: 479f., EM 595, IEW 911f., LIV \*serh<sub>3</sub>-. → *sarp(i)ō*, *serra*

**sarp(i)ō, -ere** ‘to prune (a vine)’ [v. III; ppp. *sarptum*] (Lex XII+)

Derivatives: *sarmentum* ‘cut twigs, brushwood’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*serp-(j)e/o-?*, *\*sarp-to-*.

PIE *\*s(e)rp-* ‘to cut off’. IE cognates: Gr. ἄρπη ‘sickle’, Latv. *sirpis*, OCS *srъръ*, Ru. *serpъ* ‘sickle’.

The *-a-* in the verb may stem from preconsonantal position, e.g. in the ppp., or be analogical to *sarriō*.

Bibl.: WH II: 480, EM 595, IEW 911f., Schrijver 1991: 493, Meiser 1998: 122. → *sāriō*

**satis, sat** ‘enough, sufficient’ [adv.] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *satiās, -ātis* ‘abundance, repleteness’ (Pl.+), *satiētās* ‘satiety, abundance’ (Pl.+), *satiāre* ‘to satisfy, content’ (Lucr.+), *īnsatiētās* ‘greediness’ (Pl.), *īnsatiābilis* ‘insatiable’ (Lucr.+); *satisfacere* ‘to give satisfaction’ (Pl.+); *satur* [adj. *o*] ‘well-fed’ (Pl.+), *saturitās* ‘fullness, satiety’ (Pl.+), *saturāre* ‘to fill, satisfy’ (Varro+), *satullus* ‘replete’ (Varro+), *satullāre* ‘to satiate’ (Varro+).

Plt. *\*sati-* ‘satiating, satisfaction’, *\*satu-ro-* ‘well-fed’.

PIE *\*sh<sub>2</sub>-ti-* ‘satisfaction, satiation’, *\*s(e)h<sub>2</sub>-tu-* ‘satiating’. IE cognates: OIr. *sáith* ‘fullness’ < *\*sātis*; Skt. *asinvá-* ‘without chewing, without biting, devouring (greedily)’ < *\*n-sh<sub>2</sub>-nu-ó-* ‘unsatiable’ (?); Gr. ἄδην [adv.] ‘to one’s fill’, ἄδος [m./n.] ‘satiety’ < *\*sād-*, ἄμεναι (Il.), aor. ἄσαι, ἄσασθαι (ep.) ‘to satiate oneself’, Arm. *at-ok* ‘full, full-grown’, Lith. *sótus* ‘satisfied, full’, Latv. *sāts* ‘satisfied, copious’, OCS *syтъ*, Ru. *sýtyj*, SCr. *sīt* ‘satisfied’ (the origin of Sl. *\*y* is obscure), Go. *saps* ‘satisfied, full’ < *\*sh<sub>2</sub>-to-*.

WH interpret *satis* as a petrified nom.sg. of a noun *\*sati-* ‘satisfaction’, while *sat* would hail from anteconsonantal *\*satis* > *\*sati* > *sat*. One might also invoke *potest* < *\*potis est*, implying a development (with reanalysis) *\*satis est* > *satis’t* >> *sat est*. In this way, we need to posit only one pre-Latin construction *\*satis esse*. Meiser assumes that *satis* reflects a comparative *\*sat-is* ‘more satisfied’, but there is no indication to be found in the meaning. The adj. *\*saturo-* ‘well-fed’ may contain the same *u-*stem as Lith. *sótus*, although this type of adj. is productive in Lithuanian. The Latin form may rather be a *ro-*adj. derived from a *u-*stem noun.

Bibl.: WH II: 481f., EM 596, IEW 876, Schrijver 1991: 98, Meiser 1998: 155, 195, LIV I. *\*seh<sub>2</sub>(i)-*. → *sānus*

**saucius** ‘wounded’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *sauciāre* ‘to wound, cut into’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*(k)sawo-* ‘wound’, *\*(k)saweje/o-* ‘to wound’. It. cognates: possibly U. *sauitu* [3s.ipv.II], a damaging act in a curse (Vine 2004).

PIE *\*ksou-ó-* ‘scraping’, *\*ksou-éie/o-* ‘to scrape’. IE cognates: Skt. *kṣurá-* [m.] ‘razor, sharp knife’, Gr. ξύω ‘to plane, scratch’, ξυρόν, ξυρός ‘razor’, Lith. *skūsti* ‘to plane’.

Vine 2004: 624 compares U. *sauitu*, and posits an adj. *\*ksou-o-* ‘scraping’, whence a derivative *\*ksou-Vk-* ‘a scrape, wound’ would be derived. With unrounding of *\*ow* > *\*aw* in open syllable, and addition of *\*-io-*, this would yield *\*sawVkiō-* > *saucius*.

Vine 2006a: 237 argues that the unrounding would have applied in pretonic syllable, hence the preform was \*ksou-ó-.

Bibl.: WH II: 483, EM 597, IEW 585f., LIV \*kseu-. → *novācula*

**saxum** ‘rock, boulder’ [n. o] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *saxeus* ‘of stones and rock’ (Andr.+), *saxātilis* ‘living among rocks’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \*saks-o-.

IE cognates: OIc. *sax*, OHG *sahs* ‘knife’?

Plt. \*saks- cannot go back to the root \*sek- of *secāre*, since -a- would remain unexplained. *Saxum* can only be cognate with the Gm. words for ‘knife’ if these reflect a root \*sh<sub>2</sub>k-. However, the Gm. words can also reflect \*sok-s-, and there is no other evidence for a root \*sh<sub>2</sub>k- ‘to cut’. Since the semantic connection between ‘rock’ and ‘knife’ is also not evident, *saxum* has no certain etymology.

Bibl.: WH II: 484, EM 597, IEW 895f.

**scabō, -ere** ‘to scratch’ [v. III; pf. *scābī*] (Lucil.+)

Derivatives: *scabiēs* ‘roughness of the skin’ (Cato+), *scaber* [o/ā] ‘rough, scurfy’ (Pl.+), *scabrēre* ‘to be rough’ (Enn.), *scabrēs* [f.sg.] ‘roughness’ (Pac.+); *scobis* [f.] ‘sawdust, peelings’ (Varro+), *scobīna* ‘tool for scraping, rasp’ (Varro+), *dēscobīnāre* ‘to scrape off’ (Varro+).

Plt. \*skaf-e/o- ‘to scratch’, \*skafro- ‘rough’, \*skof-i- ‘sawdust, what is scratched off’.

PIE \*skb<sup>h</sup>-ro- [v.] ‘to scratch, plane’, \*skob<sup>h</sup>-i-. IE cognates: Lith. *skōbti*, 1s. *skabiū* ‘to plane’, Go. *skaban* ‘to shave’, OHG *scaban* ‘to plane’.

There exists agreement on the secondary character of pf. *scāb-*, which was made by lengthening the vowel of the present, following the same pattern in other verbs. Meiser 2003 assumes that *scāb-* replaces an earlier perfect \*skēb- < pf.pl. \*ske-skb<sup>h</sup>-, but it is not certain that this verb originally had a pf. at all. Cognate forms are PGm. \*skabanan ‘to plane’ and Lith. *skōbti*, which in view of their meaning and the sixth class of Gm. \*skabanan may well continue an iterative stem \*skob<sup>h</sup>-. LIV adds Gr. σκάπτω ‘to dig (out)’, σκάφη ‘trough, basin’, but I agree with Schrijver that these are semantically more remote from ‘to plane’, so their appurtenance is uncertain. For *scabere*, Schrijver posits \*skeb- > \*skab- by a rule \*e > a after pure velar, but this has rightly been contradicted by Meiser, who points to the many exceptions which this rule would have. Latin *scab-* may have originated in the adj. \*skbro- ‘rough’, with insertion of -a- between two consonant clusters (cf. Kortlandt 1989: 104).

Bibl.: WH II: 484f., EM 597, IEW 930-933, Schrijver 1991: 431, Meiser 1998: 82f., 2003: 156, LIV \*skab<sup>h</sup>-.

**scaevus** ‘left, inauspicious’ [adj. o/ā] (Vitr.+)

Derivatives: *scaeva* ‘omen; left-hand side’ (Pl.+), *scaevola* ‘phallus-like charm’ (Varro); *obscaevāre* ‘to constitute a good or bad omen’ (Pl.).

Plt. \*skaiwo-.

PIE \*skeh<sub>2</sub>-i-uo- ‘shaded; left’. IE cognates: Gr. σκαίός ‘left’ < \*skaiyos; Skt. *chāyā-* ‘shadow, reflection’, YAv. *a-saiia-* [adj.] ‘that does not cast a shadow’, Gr.

σκιά [f.] ‘shadow’, Gr. σκηνή, Dor. σκᾶνᾶ ‘tent, booth, stage’, Alb. *hije* ‘shadow’, Latv. *seja*, dial. *seīja* ‘face’; OCS *senъ*, SCr. *sjěn* ‘shadow’, ORu. *stěň* ‘shadow, vision’ [f.]; ToB *skiyo* [f.] ‘shadow’; Go. *skeinan* ‘to shine’, OCS *sijati* ‘to shine’ < \*skiH-.

There are a few rhyming formations meaning ‘left, sinister’ in the IE languages; Latin has *laevus* and *scaevus*. As per Steinbauer 1996 (unpublished presentation, cited in Janda 2000: 118 and Stüber 2006: 68), the root could be identified as PIE \*s<sup>h</sup>keh<sub>2</sub>-(i-) ‘to shine’, from which we find derived words for ‘shade’. A derived adj. ‘shaded’ may have come to mean ‘western’ but also ‘the shaded hand, the improper hand = left hand’.

Bibl.: WH II: 485, EM 597f., Schrijver 1991: 270, Beekes 1994: 89, LIV \*s<sup>h</sup>keH(i)- → *laevus*, *obscaenus*, *obscurus*

**scalpō, -ere** ‘to scratch, carve’ [v. III; pf. *scalpsī*, ppp. *scalptum*] (Sis.+)

Derivatives: *scalpurrire* ‘to keep scratching’ (Pl.), *scalprum* ‘tool for scraping’ (Cato+), *scalptūra* ‘art of carving’ (Carmen Arvale+), *sculpōneae* [f.pl.] ‘wooden shoes, clogs’ (Pl.+); *exsculpere* ‘to care out, dig out’ (Pl.+).

PIt. \*skalp-?

PIE \*sk(e)lp- ‘to split off’? IE cognates: Lith. *sklembti* ‘slide off, slide down’?; OHG *scelifa* ‘outer skin’, MLG *schelver* ‘peeled off part’, *schulvern* ‘to flake off’; OE *scielfe* ‘floor, planks’, *scielf* [m.] ‘top rock’ < PGm. \*skelf- ‘to split (off)’.

Latin *scalp-* might be cognate with Lith. \*sklemb- and PGm. \*skelf-; Latin could have a secondary full grade *scalp-* from \*sklp-, or phonetic \*sklp- > \*skalp- in preconsonantal position.

Bibl.: WH II: 486f., EM 598, IEW 923-927, Schrijver 1991: 431.

**scamnum** ‘stool, bench’ [n. o] (Cato+)

Derivatives: *scabi/ellum* ‘low stool; foot-clapper’ (Cato+).

PIt. \*skambno-.

PIE \*skmb<sup>h</sup>-no- ‘support’. IE cognates: Skt. pr. *skabhnāti*, pf. *cāskāmbha* ‘to consolidate, prop’, *skambhá-* [m.] ‘prop, support, pillar’, YAv. *fra-scinbaitia-* ‘to consolidate’, *fra-skamba-* [m.] ‘porch’, *fra-scimbana-* [n.] ‘prop, support, girder’.

If *scamnum* reflects \*skab-no-, it is likely that the original dim. was *scabellum* < \*skabnelo-. If cognate with Ilr. \*skmb<sup>h</sup>-, the noun may be reconstructed as \*skmb<sup>h</sup>-no-, with vocalization of \*CRCC- > \*CdRCC- yielding \*skamb<sup>h</sup>no-, as per Schrijver 1991: 498.

Bibl.: WH II: 487, EM 599, IEW 916, Leumann 1977: 201, Schrijver 1991: 431, LIV ?\*skeb<sup>h</sup>H-.

**scandō, -ere** ‘to ascend, mount’ [v. III; pf. *scandī*, ppp. *scānsūm*] (Cato+)

Derivatives: *scālae* [f.pl.] ‘ladder, stairways’ (Pl.+), *scānsiō* ‘climbing’ (Varro+); *ascendere* ‘to go up, rise, attain’ (Andr.+), *ascēnsiō* ‘ascent’ (Pl.+), *cōnsendere* ‘to go on board, mount, climb to’ (Pl.+), *dēscendere* ‘to go down, descend’ (Pl.+), *ēscendere* ‘to go up, mount’ (Pl.+), *īscendere* ‘to climb up, mount’ (Pl.+), *īscēnsiō*

‘embarking’ (Pl.), *trānscondere* ‘to go beyond, cross’ (Lucr.+).

Plt. *\*skand-e/o-* ‘to ascend’. It. cognates: maybe O. *esskazsiúm* [acc.sg.m.] < *\*eks-skand-teio-* ‘of ascending’?

PIE aor. *\*skend-/sknd-* ‘to jump up, ascend’, pr. *\*sknd-e/o-?* IE cognates: OIr. *sceinnid* ‘to jump’, MW *ysgeinnyaw* ‘to splash, strew’ < PCI. *\*skan-n-de/o-*, W. *cy-chwynnu* ‘to arise, start’ < *\*kom-skendo-*, Skt. pr. *skándati*, pf. *casánda*, aor. *skán* ‘to leap, spring’, *skanná-* ‘squirted out, leapt away’ < *\*skend-* (with restored *sk-*).

Lat. *scāla* < *\*skand-slā-*. If Schumacher is right in reconstructing *\*skand-* for Celtic, we have an Italo-Celtic pr. *\*skand-* vs. Skt. aor. *\*skend-* and a pr. *\*skend-e/o-*. But the sequence *sk-* must have been restored in Skt. from either pr. or aor., since the pf. is judged to be secondary, cf. Kümmel 2000: 572. The trigger to restore *sk-* must have been a zero-grade form in the aor. (*\*sknd-*) or in the present (*\*sknd-e/o-*), or an *o*-grade iterative. Since Italo-Celtic has a present *\*skand-*, it seems more likely that it was the present which has *\*sknd-*; the *-a-* could then be explained from vocalization of *\*sknd-* in preconsonantal position.

Bibl.: WH II: 488, EM 599, Schrijver 1991: 431f., Untermann 2000: 235, Meiser 2003: 211, Schumacher 2004: 574f., LIV I. *\*skend-*.

**scapulae** ‘shoulder-blades’ [f.pl. *ā*] (Naev.+)

Plt. *\*skap-elo-*. It. cognates: U. *scapla* [acc.sg.] ‘shoulder(-blade)’.

A semantic connection between ‘shoulder-blade’ and ‘shovel, spade’ is quite natural, due to the form of a shoulder-blade. LIV derives *scapulae* from a root *\*(s)kep-* from which Gr. *κόπτω* ‘to hit’, Slav. *kopati* ‘to dig’ are derived, but Latin *-a-* cannot be explained from such a root. *Scapulae* may belong to Gr. *σκάπτω* ‘to dig (out), work the earth’, *σκάφη* [f.] ‘winnow, bowl, trough, dish’, also ‘ship’, but this root probably has final *\*-b<sup>h</sup>-*, as the majority of derivatives within Greek indicate. Beekes (fthc.) assumes that *σκαφ-/σκαπ-* are of European substratum provenance, in which case *scapulae* may also belong to such a non-IE root *\*skaP-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 489f., EM 600, IEW 930-933, Untermann 2000: 684f., LIV I. *\*(s)kep-*.

**scatō, -ere** ‘to gush forth, swarm’ [v. III] (Pl.+; also *scatēre* Pl.+)

Derivatives: *scatebra* ‘gush of water, spring’ (Acc.+), *scaturrex, -icis* ‘gushing stream’ (Varro).

Plt. *\*skat-*

PIE *\*skt-*. IE cognates: Lith. *skàsti*, Is. *skantù* ‘to jump’.

For the semantic link between ‘to jump’ and ‘gush forth’, cf. English *spring*. Schrijver 1991 hesitantly considers original *\*sket-*, but admits that there is no evidence for *e*-grade. LIV proposes a root *\*skHt-*, which would in theory yield *\*skat-* in Baltic and Italic. I think that *scat-* could also reflect secondary vocalization of the sequence *\*skt-*, compare *pat-* < *\*pt-* (s.v. *pandō*).

Bibl.: WH II: 490, EM 600, IEW 950, Schrijver 1991: 432, LIV ?*\*skeHt-*.

**scaurus** ‘deformed at the feet; cognomen’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Cic.+)

Derivatives: *scauripeda* ‘deformed at the feet’ (Varro).

No etymology. The isolated comparison\*with (late Vedic) Skt. *khora-* ‘limping, lame’ is inconclusive. According to EM, Gr. *σκαῦρος* ‘with deviating hoof’ has been borrowed from Latin.

Bibl.: WH II: 491, EM 600.

**scelus, -eris** ‘curse, crime’ [n. *r*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *scelestus* ‘doom-laden, wicked’ (Pl.+), *scelerōsus* ‘wicked’ (Ter.+), *scelerātus* ‘accursed’ (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*skelos* [n.].

PIE *\*skel-os, -es* [n.] ‘curve, bending’. IE cognates: Gr. *σκέλος* [n.] ‘thigh, leg’, *σκολιός* ‘crooked, bent, twisted, unjust’, *σκελλός* ‘bow-legged’ (Hsch., EM), OHG *scelah*, OE *sceolh* ‘oblique, curved, squinting’, NHG *scheel*, OIc. *skjalgr* ‘oblique, squinting’ < PGM. *\*skél-ha-, -gá-* < IE *\*skel-ko-*.

Presupposes a semantic shift ‘crooked’ > ‘wicked, bad’, for which see Stüber. Since Skt. *skhalate* ‘to stumble, trip’ belongs to Gr. *σφάλλομαι* from PIE *\*sk<sup>w</sup>h<sub>2</sub>el-*, there is no need to reconstruct *\*skh<sub>1</sub>el-* with Schrijver 1991. According to Nussbaum 1999a: 390, *\*-el-* > *\*ol-* did not take place because the initial cluster *sk-* fronted the *-k-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 492, EM 601, IEW 928, Schrijver 1991: 433, Stüber 2002: 183.

**scindō, -ere** ‘to split, cleave’ [v. III; pf. *scicidī*, ppp. *scissum*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *cōnscindere* ‘to tear to pieces’ (Naev.+), *disscindere* ‘to cut apart’ (Cato+), *discidium* ‘discord, separation’ (Pl.+), *excidium* ‘military destruction’ (Pl.+), *excissātus* ‘cut off’ (Pl.), *perscindere* ‘to cleave through’ (Lucret.+), *prōscindere* ‘to plough, slit’ (Pl.+), *rescindere* ‘to cancel, remove by hewing’ (Ter.+); *scindula* (var. *scandula*) ‘wooden slat, shingle’ (A. Hirtius+).

PIt. pr. *\*ski-n-d-e/o-*, aor. *\*skeid-* >> pf. *\*ski-skid-*, ppp. *\*skisso-*.

PIE *\*ski-n-d-* [pr.] ‘to split, tear off’, *\*skid-to-* [ppp.]. IE cognates: Olr. *sceid*, *·scé\** ‘to vomit, spit’ < PCI. *\*skei-e/o-*; Skt. pr. *chindhi* [2s.ipv.act.], *chinátti* [3s.act.], aor. *chedma* [1p.inj.act.], pf. *cichidíh* [3p.act.], *cichéda* [3s.act.], ta-ptc. *chinná-* ‘to break, split up’, YAv. *auua.hisidiia-* ‘to split in two’, *a-sista-* ‘unsplit, unharmed’, Gr. *σχίζω* ‘to split, cut through’ (with unclear *-χ-*), Arm. *c‘tím* (< *\*c‘it-im*) ‘to tear, scratch’, Lith. *skiesti*, Is. *skiedžiu* ‘to separate, divide’, OCS *céditi* ‘to strain’ < BSl. *\*(s)koi?d-*, Olc. *skíta* ‘to shit’.

The absence of Lachmann’s Law in the ppp. is ascribed either to the short vowel in the pf. (Meiser, Sihler), to neutralization of the glottal component of *\*-d-* in the nasal present, which then analogically spread to the other verb forms (Kortlandt 1999, Schrijver) or to general shortness of high vowels (Jasanoff 2004). On justifying the reading *excissātus* rather than *excīsātus* in Pl., *Cist.* 383, see Kümmel 2004b: 355.

Bibl.: WH II: 488f., 493f., EM 599, 602, IEW 919-922, Leumann 1977: 294, Schrijver 1991: 500, Sihler 1995: 76, Meiser 1998: 210, 2003: 189, Schumacher 2004: 578, LIV *\*sk<sup>h</sup>ejd-*. → *scūtum*

**scintilla** ‘spark of fire’ [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *scintillāre* ‘to send out sparks, glitter’ (Pl.+).

IEW connects *scintilla* with the root \**skī-* ‘to shine’, now \**skeH(i)-* in LIV. Whereas the suffix can be a diminutive in \*-*lelo-* or \*-*n-lo-*, the stem form \**scint-* cannot be explained: does it somehow derive from *scindō*?

Bibl.: WH II: 494f., EM 602, IEW 917f.

**sciō, scīre** ‘to know’ [v. IV; pf. *scī(v)ī*, ppp. *scītum*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *scītārī* ‘to inquire about’ (Pl.+), *scītulus* ‘nice-looking’ (Pl.+), *scīlicet* [v./adv.] ‘surely, naturally’ (Pl.+), *scītamenta, -ōrum* ‘delicacies, refinements’ (Pl.+), *sciūs* ‘cognizant, skilled’ (Pac.+), *scientia* ‘knowledge’ (Varro+); *sciścere* (*scīvī, scītum*) ‘to get to know, approve’ (Pl.+), *sciścītārī* ‘to try to get to know, inquire’ (Pl.+); *nesciūs* ‘unknown, not knowing’ (Pl.+), *nescīre* ‘not to know; some-’ (Naev.+); *cōnsciūs* ‘sharing knowledge, conscious’ (Pl.+), *īnsciēns* ‘not knowing, stupid’ (Pl.+), *īnscientia* ‘ignorance’ (Caecil.+), *īnscītus* ‘ignorant’ (Pl.+), *īnscītia* ‘ignorance’ (Pl.+), *īnsciūs* ‘ignorant, unaware’ (Varro+); *cōnsciścere* ‘to decree for oneself, ordain’ (Pl.+), *praesciścere* ‘to get to know beforehand’ (Ter.+), *resciścere* ‘to get to know of’ (Naev.+).

PIt. \**skije/o-* ‘to know’.

PIE \**skh<sub>1</sub>-i(e/o)-* ‘to incise’. IE cognates: Skt. \**chyāti* [3s.act.] ‘to cut open, skin’, *āva-cchita-* ‘pulled off (skin)’, Bal. *sāyag* ‘to shave’, Gr. *σχάω* ‘to make an incision, slit open’.

*Sciō* is probably a back-formation on the basis of *nescīre*. If ‘to know’ developed from ‘to distinguish, make a decision’, *-scīre* can belong to a root meaning ‘to cut off, incise’. LIV derives *-sciō* from \**sekH-* (as in *secāre*) which, in view of its meaning, may well be the same root as the one given by LIV as \**sk<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>2</sub>(i)-* ‘to cut’, which is more likely \**skh<sub>2</sub>-*. Thus, the *ya*-present of Skt. *-chyāti* and Lat. *-sciō* may both go back to the same PIE present. LIV (following Rix 1999) assumes that *-scīre* arose in a compound because a simplex \**sekH-je/o-* would yield pre-Latin \**sekaje/o-*. But if the suffix was athematic, \**skH-i-* would yield \**-sci-*. On the basis of the Hittite paradigm, Kloekhorst 2008: 695f. argues that the final laryngeal was probably \**h<sub>1</sub>*.

Bibl.: WH II: 495, EM 602f., IEW 919-922, Rix 1999: 526, LIV \**sekH-*, \**sk<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>2</sub>(i)-*. → *secō*

**scīpiō** ‘stick’ [m. *n*] (Pl.+)

PIt. \**skeip-?*

PIE \**skéip-o-* ‘pole, stick’. IE cognates: Gr. *σκῆπτων* ‘staff, stick’, *σκοῦπος* probably ‘supporting beam on which the tiles rest’ (Hsch.).

The appurtenance of Skt. *śepa-* ‘penis’ is a wild guess. Latin *cippus* and *scīpiō* may belong to the Greek words cited, but the family is isolated, and may well go back to loanwords.

Bibl.: WH II: 496, EM 603, IEW 543. → *cippus*

**scirpus** ‘bulrush or similar plant’ [m. o] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *scirpeus* ‘woven from bulrushes’ (Pl.+), *scirpiculus* [adj. / m.] ‘of/for bulrushes; a basket from bulrushes’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \**skerpo*-?

No etymology. Formally, it might go back to \**skerp-* (cf. *firmus* and inscr. *Mirqurios*, *stircus*), in which case it can be derived from the root \**skerp-* ‘to pluck, cut off’ as in *carpō*. This leaves the semantics to be explained: \**skerp-o-* might mean ‘what is picked’ or ‘to be picked’, but I see no compelling connection with the bulrush.

Bibl.: WH II: 496, EM 603. → *carpō*

**scōpae** ‘branches of a plant, broom’ [f.pl. ā] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *scōpiō* ‘stalk (of a plant)’ (Cato+), *scōpus* ‘stalk’ (Varro), *scāpus* ‘stem, stalk, shaft’ (Varro+).

Plt. \**skōp-ā-*.

IE cognates: Gr. σκάπτος ‘stick’ (Hsch.), σκήπτομαι ‘to support oneself’ < \**skāp-*; Latv. *škēps* ‘spear, javelin’, CS *štapъ* ‘stick’ < \**skēp-*?; OHG *skaft* [m.], ONNo. *skapt* [n.] ‘shaft’.

Latin *scāpus* might be a borrowing from Gr. σκάπτος, but *scōp-* must be older, and both could go back to ablaut within a PIE root \**sk(e/o)h<sub>2</sub>p-*. Yet a verbal root of this form is not attested, and the words might also be (independent?) borrowings.

Bibl.: WH II: 490, 497, EM 600, 604, IEW 930-933.

**scortum** ‘skin, hide; prostitute’ [n. o] (Pl.+; ‘skin, hide’ only in Varro)

Derivatives: *scortārī* ‘to consort with prostitutes’ (Pl.+), *scortātor* ‘who consorts with prostitutes’ (Pl.+), *scortēs* ‘goat-head skins’ (Paul. *ex F.*), *scorteus* ‘made of hide’ (Varro+).

Plt. \**skort-o-*.

PIE \**sk(o)rt-o-* ‘cutting’. IE cognates: see s.v. *cortex*.

The word for ‘skin’ was used as a euphemism for ‘prostitute’. Since no verbal forms of \**krt-* or \**skrt-* remain within Latin, the cooccurrence of nominal derivations of both PIE root variants (*cēna*, *cortex* from \**krt-*, *scortum* from \**skrt-*) is striking.

Bibl.: WH II: 497, EM 604, IEW 938ff., LIV \*(s)kert-. → *cēna*, *corium*, *cortex*

**scribō, -ere** ‘to write’ [v. III; *scripsī*, *scriptum*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *scriba* ‘writer, secretary’ (Pl.+), *scriptūra* ‘writing, text, tax’ (Pl.+), *scriptūrārius* ‘of a certain tax’ (Lucil.+), *scriptor* ‘writer’ (Lucil.+); *a(d)scribere* ‘to add in writing, reckon’ (Pl.+), *ascriptivus* ‘enrolled in addition’ (Pl.+), *cōnscribere* ‘to enroll, compose’ (Pl.+), *cōnscribillāre* ‘to cover with scribbling’ (Varro+), *dēscribere* ‘to draw, mark, describe’ (Pl.+), *discribere* ‘to allot, separate’ (Ter.+), *exscribere* ‘to write out, transcribe’ (Pl.+), *īnscribere* ‘to inscribe, record’ (Pl.+), *praescribere* ‘to lay down, prescribe’ (Ter.+), *subscribere* ‘to append, sign, write under’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \**skreif-e/o-* ‘to carve’, \**skripto-* ‘carved’. It. cognates: O. *scriftas* [ppp., nom.pl.f.], U. *screhto* [nom.sg.n.], *screihtor* [nom.pl.n.] ‘written’ < \**skrib<sup>h</sup>to-*; possibly PalU. *skerfs* ‘?’ [nom.sg.] < \**skrib<sup>h</sup>-i-*.



PIE \*skreib<sup>h</sup>-e/o- 'to carve', \*skrib<sup>h</sup>-to-? IE cognates: Latv. *skrīpāt* 'to scratch, scribble, write down'; OIc. *hrifa* 'scratch, tear' < \*krīp/b<sup>h</sup>-. Unclear: Mlr. *scrīp(a)id* 'scratches', Gr. σκάριφος 'outline, sketch', σκαριφάομαι 'to tear up the surface of a body, scratch' (depending on whether -a- is a late anaptyctic vowel, or old).

The ppp. has adopted the full grade \*ei from the pf. and pr.

Bibl.: WH II: 499, EM 604f., IEW 938-947, Schrijver 1991: 244, Untermann 2000: 685f., Meiser 2003: 119, LIV ?\*s<sup>(k)</sup>reib<sup>h</sup>-.

**scrīnium** 'receptacle for letters or papers' [n. o] (Sall.+)

In theory, it might be a derivative of a root \*(s)krei-, for which IEW adduces Lith. *skrieti* 'to rotate, circle, fly', OCS *krilo* 'wing', Lith. *kreivas* 'crooked, curved, wrong', Ru. *krivój* 'crooked' < \*krei-*yo*-. Yet there is no indication that *scrīnium* must be derived from a root meaning 'crooked' or 'to rotate', so that we cannot go further.

Bibl.: WH II: 500, EM 605, IEW 935-938.

**scrobis** 'hole in the ground, pit' [m., f. i] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *scrobiculus* 'small planting-hole' (Varro+).

PIt. \*skrof-i-.

PIE \*skrob<sup>h</sup>-i- 'hollow, pit', IE cognates: Lith. *skrebėti*, Is. *skrebù* 'to rattle, crunch', Ru. *skrestí*, Is. *skrebiú* 'to scratch, plane'; Latv. *škrabt* 'to scratch, plane', Po. *skrobać*; OE *screpan* 'schaben, kratzen'.

BSl. points to a root in \*-b<sup>h</sup>-, with which Gm. only partly agrees; but this may be due to secondary developments of the Gm. stops.

Bibl.: WH II: 500, EM 605, IEW 938-947, LIV \*(s)kreb-. → *scrōfa*

**scrōfa** 'sow' [f. ā] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *scrōfipascus* 'that feeds sows' (Pl.).

PIt. \*skrof-ā- 'scratcher, digger'.

PIE \*skrob<sup>h</sup>-h<sub>2</sub>-. IE cognates: see s.v. *scrobis*.

WH and IEW's suggestion that *scrōfa* was borrowed from Gr. γρομφάς, -άδος 'old sow' (Hsch.) cannot be accepted: it does not explain the Latin form, and there is no guarantee that the word existed early enough in Greek. It seems much more likely that *scrōfa* is a non-Roman dialect form from \*skrōf-ā- 'scratcher, digger', since pigs are often denominated on account of the rummaging way they search for food; see s.v. *porcus*. If *scrōfa* is a borrowing, we cannot be sure about its original vowel: it might have been \*o, which the Romans borrowed as *ō*. A preform with short \*o would be more in line with PIE morphology.

Bibl.: WH II: 500f., EM 605. → *porcus*, *scrobis*

**scrūpus** 'sharp stone' [m. o] (Cic.+)

Derivatives: *scrūpeus* [adj. / f.] 'of sharp rocks; obstacle' (Enn.+), *scrūpōsus* 'full of sharp rocks' (Pl.+), *scrūpulus* 'worry, precaution' (Ter.+), *scrūpulōsus* 'jagged;

minutely careful' (Varro+); *scrīp(t)ulum* 'certain small unit of measurement' (Varro+).

It is assumed that *scrīp(t)ulum* got its *-ī-* (and sometimes *-t-*) under the influence of *scriptum*. No etymology.

Bibl.: WH II: 501, EM 606, IEW 938-947.

**scrūta, -ōrum** 'discarded goods, junk' [n.pl. *o*] (Lucil.+)

Derivatives: *scrūtillus* 'kind of sausage' (Pl.+), *scrūtārius* 'second-hand dealer' (Lucil.+), *scrūtārī* 'to examine, investigate' (Pl.+); *scrautum* 'skin used for storing arrows, quiver' (Paul. *ex F.*), *scrōtum* 'the scrotum' (Cels.).

Plt. \**skrout-*?

IE cognates: Go. *and-hruskan* 'to research' < \**krūt-sk-*; OHG *scrōtan* 'to hew, cut; cut clothes', *scrōt* 'cutting', OE *scrēadian* 'to peel, cut off', *skrūd* [n.] 'garment', Oic. *skriūð* [n.] 'valuables, garment', OHG *scrutōn*, *scrodōn*, *scrutlōn* 'to investigate'.

Certainly not borrowed from Gr. γρύτη [f.] 'woman's dressing-case, tool-bag, frippery' as assumed by WH. In theory, a preform \**skrouto-* may have developed to *scrūt-* in Rome and to *scrōt-* in Latium; *scraut-* would then be a hypercorrect form for *scrōt-*. It is not certain, however, that *scrautum* and *scrōtum* semantically belong to *scrūt-* 'discarded goods, trifles'. The further etymology is uncertain: only Germanic contains words matching in form and possibly in meaning.

Bibl.: WH II: 498, 502, EM 604, 606, IEW 938-947, Schrijver 1991: 265f.

**scurra** 'fashionable city idler' [m. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Whereas WH regard *scurra* as a borrowing from Etruscan, IEW and Meiser 1998 propose an etymology \**sk<sup>(w)</sup>rsa* to a root \**skers-* 'to jump'. Yet this is a mere root etymology, without obvious cognates, and semantically unconvincing. Furthermore, m. nouns in *-a*, if inherited, tend to be etymologically transparent (cf. Leumann 1977: 280).

Bibl.: WH II: 502, EM 606, IEW 933-935, Meiser 1998: 63.

**scutra** 'shallow dish, pan' [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *scūta* [f.] 'shallow dish, pan' (Lucil.), *scutella* 'small shallow dish' (Cic.+), *scutriscum* 'small scutra' (Cato), *scutula* 'scutra-like figure; small shallow dish' (Pl.+).

WH regard *scūta* as a back-formation to *scutella*, with long *ū* introduced from *scūtum*. A connection with *scūtum* 'shield' seems possible: a shield turned upside down may be used as a dish or pan. Yet the suffix *-ra* would be an unusual addition to derive a noun from *scūtum*. Hence, it may well be a loanword.

Bibl.: WH II: 503, EM 606.

**scūtum** 'shield' [n. *o*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *scūtārius* 'maker of shields' (Pl.+).

Plt. \**squito-* [n.] 'shield'.

PIE \*skoi-to- ‘piece of wood, sheath, shield’. IE cognates: OIr. *sciath* (gen. *scéith*), W. *ysgwyd*, OBret. *scuit*, *scoet*, Bret. *scoed* ‘shield’ < PCI. \*skeito- [n.]; OPr. *staytan* [*scaytan*] ‘shield’, Lith. *skiētas*, Latv. *šķiēts* ‘reed (in a loom)’ < \*skoi-to-; OCS *štiti*, Ru. *ščit*, gen. *ščitá* [m.] ‘shield’, Po. *szczyt* ‘top, summit’ < \*skeito-, OE *skæð*, *scēað*, OHG *sceida* ‘sheath’, OIc. *skeið* f. ‘loom’, pl. ‘sheath’ < PGM. \*skaid-i-; OIc. *skíð* [n.] ‘billet, snow-shoe, ski’, OE *scīd*, OHG *scīt* ‘stick, billet’, OIc. *skíði* [n.] ‘sheath’ < PGM. \*skeid-.

The ablaut \*skeito- / \*skoito- within BSl. and within Italo-Celtic is striking, and points to productive derivatives from verbal forms (verb \*skeit-, noun \*skoito-?). The root \*ski- might be an unenlarged variant of the root \*skid- ‘to split’ found in *scindō*.

Bibl.: WH II: 503, EM 607, IEW 919-922, Schrijver 1995: 194. → *scindō*

sē ‘(him, her, it, them)self/ves’ [pron. reflexive] (Lex XII, Andr.+): acc.abl.sg. *sē* (OLat. inscr. *sed*, Pl. *sēd*), gen.sg. *suī*, dat.sg. *sibi* (inscr. *sibei*)

Derivatives: *suus* [adj.poss.] ‘his, her, its, their’ (OLat. inscr. *soueis* ‘suīs’).

Plt. acc. \*s(w)ē (stressed), \*se (unstressed), dat. \*sefei, abl. \*sed, poss. \*sowo-. It. cognates: O. *siom* [acc.], *sifei*, Pael. *sef*<e>*i*, U. *seso* [dat.] ‘him-, herself’ < acc. \*sē-om, dat. \*seb<sup>h</sup>ei, *seso* maybe < \*soi + (e)psom/d; O. *suveis* [gen.sg.m.], *suvad*, *súvad* [abl.sg.f.], *suvam*, *suva* [acc.sg.f.], σουφεν [loc.sg.n. + -en], U. *svesu*, *sueso* [loc.sg.n./f.], Marr. *suam*, Pael. *sua* [acc.sg.f.], *suois* [dat.pl.m.], SPic. *súais* [abl.pl.f.], *súhuh* [abl.sg.m.] ‘his, her’ < \*swo- (U.), \*sowo- (O., ŠPic.); U. *surur*, *suror* ‘just so’ < \*swō-swōs, *suront*, *sururont*, *sururo* ‘just so’ < \*swōs-ont.

PIE \*se [acc.], \*seue [gen.], \*seb<sup>h</sup>io [dat.] ‘oneself’, \*suó- ‘own’. IE cognates: OIr. *fēin*, *fadéin* < \*sue-(de-)sin ‘own, self’, OAv. *hōi*, YAv. *hē*, *šē* ‘he, she’ [gen.dat.], OP -šaiy [gen.dat.] < \*solei, YAv. *huuāuuōiia* < \*suab<sup>h</sup>ja ‘for himself’, Gr. *ἑ*, *ἐ*, Pamph. *φhe* ‘oneself’ < \*sue, nom. σφεῖς ‘they (themselves)’, acc. Ion.H. σφέας, Aeol. H. Dor. σφε, dat. σφίσι(v), Aeol. Ion. H. Dor. σφι(v) < \*s-b<sup>h</sup>-, Alb. *vetë* ‘self’, OPr. dat. *sebbei*, acc. *sien*, OCS dat. *sebě*, acc. *sę*, Go. *sik*, OHG *sih*, OIc. *sik* ‘oneself’, Go. *sis*, OIc. *sér* [dat.]. Adj.: Skt. *svá-*, OAv. *x’ai-*, YAv. *hauua-*, Gr. *ός*, *έός*, Lith. *sāvas*, Latv. *savs*, OCS *svojb* ‘his, his own’.

The -d in the abl. can be inherited from PIE. In the acc., it can simply be the abl. form which was used as the acc. The long vowel will stem from the stressed acc.sg. \*s(y)e > \*sē. The gen.sg. *suī* continues the gen. of the poss. *suus* ‘his, her’ < \*seuo-. The PIE acc. probably was \*se, which took \*u from the poss. \*suos, whence acc. \*sue, at least in stressed position. The possessive then introduced the first vowel from the gen. \*seue: \*suós >> \*seuós > Plt. \*sowós.

Bibl.: WH II: 626f., EM 664, IEW 882-884, Leumann 1977: 461-465, Beekes 1995: 209-211, Sihler 1995: 376-379, Schrijver 197: 72-78, Meiser 1998: 156-159, Untermann 2000: 681f., 724f., Weiss 2002: 356f. → *sē-*

sē-, se-, sū-, so- ‘away, without’ [pref.] (mostly sē-; se- in *seorsum* (cf. *vertō*), so- in *socors*, *soluō*, sō- in *sōbrius*)

Derivatives: *sed* ‘but’ (Andr.+; *set* Cato+), *sē*, *sēd* [prep. + abl.] ‘without’ (Lex XII+).

Plt. acc. \*se, abl. \*sed (>> \*sēd). It. cognates: U. *seipodruhpei* [adv.] ‘to both sides’

< \*sē-k<sup>w</sup>oterōd-k<sup>w</sup>id.

The abl.sg. (\*swēd? >) \*sēd originally meant ‘per se, for itself’, whence ‘however, but’ as cj. and ‘without, away’ as prēp.; see s.v. *sē* for the loss of \*-w-. In isolation, the preposition is preserved in Lex XII *sē fraude* and e.g. in Paul. *ex F.* As a separate word, the final -d was lost, yielding *sē*, or the vowel was shortened, yielding the conjunction *sed* ‘but’. The variant *sē-* in compounds can directly stem from \*sēd- in front of consonant-initial heads. The variants *se-* and *so-* are rare, and can be explained in two ways. It is possible that they continue an acc. \*swe ‘toward oneself’ > ‘per se, separately’, as Meiser 1998: 158 argues. Loss of \*w led to *se-*, which may have been assimilated to *so-* in *socors*, and underwent the regular change of \*el to ol if l was velar, in *soluō* (Weiss 1994: 94). The disadvantage of this solution is that we must assume the coexistence of \*swe and \*sēd as prefixes meaning ‘away’; also, there is no hard evidence for \*sw- in Italic, Latin may just continue PIE \*se. Alternatively, *seorsum* contains \*sē- with shortening in hiatus, and *se-* was then analogically introduced in \*se-kors and \*se-luō. Meiser assumes dissimilatory loss of \*w in \*swe-worso-.

Bibl.: WH II: 506f., EM 609, IEW 882-884, Leumann 1977: 192, 559, Klingenschmitt 1980: 209f., Schrijver 1991: 122, Meiser 1998: 82, 157f. → *cor*, *sē*, *sōbrius*, *soluō*, *sospes*, *vē-*

**sēbum** ‘hard animal fat, tallow’ [n. o] (Pl.+)

The word is attested too early to be a loan from Germanic. WH and IEW suggest that it and Germanic \*saip- ‘soap’ may reflect a common etymon. LIV reconstructs a root \*seib- ‘to drip’, but \*-b- is extremely rare in PIE, and the meaning of *sēbum* is not necessarily connected with ‘to drip’. No etymology.

Bibl.: WH II: 478, 504, EM 607, IEW 894, Leumann 1977: 68.

**secō, -āre** ‘to cut, sever’ [v. I; pf. *secuī*, ppp. *sectum*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *sēcula* ‘sickel/scythe’ (Varro), *sectiō* ‘cutting, castration, buying up’ (Varro+), *sector* ‘who cuts, who buys up’ (Pl.+), *sectūra* ‘cutting, quarry’ (Varro+), *secūris* [f.] ‘axe’ (Pl.+), *secūricula* ‘small axe’ (Pl.+), *secespita* [f.] ‘kind of sacrificial knife’ (Suet., Paul. *ex F.*); *f(a)enisex* ‘mower’ (Var.+); *exta, -ōrum* ‘upper internal organs’ (Naev.+); *circumsecāre* ‘to cut round; circumcise’ (Cato+), *dēse/icāre* ‘to cut off, mow’ (Varro+), *disse/icāre* ‘to cut apart’ (Varro+), *exse/icāre* ‘to cut out’ (Pl.+), *īnsecāre* ‘to make an incision’ (Cato+), *īnsicium* ‘rissole’ (Varro+), *praese/icāre* ‘to cut at the end’ (Varro+), *praesegmen* ‘a clipping’ (Pl.+), *prōse/icāre* ‘to cut off, sever’ (Pl.+), *prosiciēs* ‘severed portion’ (Lucil.+), *rese/icāre* ‘to cut back, prune’ (Cato+), *subsecāre* ‘to cut away’ (Varro+), *subsicīvus* ‘left over, spare’ (Lucil.+).

Plt. \*sekaje/o-. It. cognates: U. **prusekatu, prusektu** [3s.ipv.II], **pruseçetu, proseseto** [ppp. acc.pl.n.], **proseseto** [gen.pl.n.], **pruseçete, proseşetir, prosesetir, proseseter** [dat.pl.n.] ‘to cut out’ (the entrails of the sacrificial animal) < \*prō-sekā-, sup. \*prō-sekē-to-; U. **pruseçia** [acc.pl.n.] < \*prō-sek-jo- ‘(entrails) which have been cut out’.

PIE \*sekh<sub>1</sub>-ie/o- ‘to cut off’. IE cognates: Hit. *šakk-<sup>i</sup>/šakk-* ‘to know, pay attention

to' < \*sokh<sub>1</sub>-/\*skh<sub>1</sub>-, OCS *sěšti*, Is. *seq* 'to cut', Ru. *seč'* 'to cut to pieces, beat', SCr. *sjěći* 'to cut'.

The long *ē* in *sēcula* is striking, and recalls that of *rēgula* and *tēgula*. Varro mentions that *sēcula* is found in *Campania*, which may mean that it is a dialect form, and that its long *ē* in Latin does not prove long \**ē* in Plt. The noun *s(a)cēna* [f.] 'sacrificial axe' (Andr., Paul. *ex F.*) is only attested in quotations by Festus and Paulus, and its form vacillates; still, the fact that it was borrowed into Celtic (Olr. *scían* 'knife') shows that it was alive during the Roman era. The solution put forward by Rosén 1994, viz. that *s(a)cēna* must be compared with Heb. *šakkān*, Aram. *sakkān* 'slaughtering-knife', is attractive. In Rosén's view, both words are probably borrowed from an unknown third source.

Bibl.: WH II: 459, 504f., EM 585, 607f., IEW 895f., Leumann 1977: 311, Schrijver 1991: 127, 487, Rix 1999: 526, Untermann 2000: 587-589, Meiser 2003: 230, LIV \*sekH-. → *sciō*, *sexus*, *signum*

**secus** 'otherwise; alongside' [adv., prep. + acc.] (Andr., Naev., Pl.; CIL)

Derivatives: *sequius* [comp.] 'unfavourably, differently' (Afran.+), *sequester* [adj.] 'depository, intermediary, trustee' (Pl.+), *altrim secus*, *altrinsecus* [adv.] 'on the other side' (Pl.+), *extrinsecus* [adv.] 'from without, on the outside', *utrimque( )secus* [adv.] 'on both sides'.

Plt. \**sekwo*s [nom.sg.m.] 'separate'.

PIE \**se-kuo-* 'for/by oneself, separate'. IE cognates: Olr. *sech* (+ acc.) 'past; without', W. *heb*, Co. *heb*, OBret. *hep* 'without' < PCI. \**sekuā* 'separately' [ins.sg.?].

The older explanation is that *secus* continues a ppa. \*(*se-*)*sek*<sup>v</sup>-*os-* 'following'. Klingenschmitt 1980 objects that Celtic 'without' can hardly be explained on the basis of the meaning of \**sek*<sup>v</sup>-, and furthermore that the lenition which the preposition causes in Olr., W. and Co. suggests a vowel-final form in \*-*ā* or \*-*o*. Klingenschmitt proposes an adj. \**se-kuo-* 'separate, by itself', with the element *se-* 'apart' of Latin *sē-*, *se-*, *so-*, and the suffix \**-kuo-* from Skt. *viśva-* 'all'. The suffix *-ester* in *sequester* ('the third party') follows the example of *equester* and others.

Bibl.: WH II: 506, 518f., EM 608f., 615f., IEW 896f., Leumann 1977: 352, Klingenschmitt 1980: 208-214; Meiser 1986: 246ff., LIV 1.\*sek<sup>v</sup>-. → *procul*, *sequor*

**sedeō** 'to sit, be seated' [v. II; pf. *sēdī*, ppp. *sessum*] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *sēdēs*, *-is* [f.] 'seat, dwelling-place' (Andr.+), *sediculum* 'seat' (Varro+), *sedile* [n.] 'seat, chair' (Varro+), *sedentārius* 'sitting' (Pl.+), *sēdāre* 'to restrain, cause to lie down' (Pl.+), *īnsēdābiliter* 'unassuageably' (Lucret.+), *sella* 'seat, chair' (Pl.+), *sessibulum* 'seat' (Pl.+), *sessiō* 'sitting' (Varro+); *assidēre* 'to sit by, dwell close to' (Pl.+), *assiduus* 'wealthy, constantly present, incessant' (Lex XII+), *cōnsēdāre* 'to check, stop' (Cato+), *cōnsessus*, *-ūs* 'gathering' (Afran.+), *dēsīdēre* 'to remain seated, be idle' (Pl.+), *dēsīdiā* 'idleness' (Pl.+), *dēsīdiābula*, *-ōrum* 'a life of idleness' (Pl. IX), *dēsīdiōsus* 'idle' (Varro+), *īnsīdiāe* [f.pl.] 'ambush, trap' (Pl.+), *īnsīdiārī* 'to lie in wait, lie in ambush' (Pl.+), *obsīdēre* 'to occupy, blockade' (Pl.+), *obsīdiō* 'siege, blockade' (Pl.+), *obsīdium* 'siege, blockade' (Pl.+), *obses*, *-idis*

'hostage, surety' (Elog.Scip., Naev.+), *obsessor* 'who takes possession; besieger', *possessor* 'occupier, tenant' (Var.+), *possidēre* 'to hold land or property' (Pl.+), *praesidēre* 'to keep watch, govern' (Pl.+), *praeses* 'guardian, custodian' (Pl.+), *praesidium* 'protection, garrison' (Pl.+), *residēre* 'to be left over, remain seated' (Pl.+), *reses* [adj.] 'listless, torpid' (Lucil.+), *residuus* 'left over; idle' (Acc.+), *subsidium* 'assistance, support, reinforcement troops' (Pl.+), *supersedēre* 'to abstain from' (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*sed-ē-* 'to be seated', *\*sēd-(i)-* 'seat', *\*sed-lā-* 'seat'. It. cognates: U. *sersi* [loc. or abl.sg.] 'seat' < *\*sēd-i-*, *sersitu* [3s.ipv.II], *zeřef*, *serse* [pr.ptc.act., nom.sg.m.] 'to sit' < *\*sed-ē-*.

PIE *\*sed-eh<sub>1</sub>-* 'to be seated' [pr.], *\*sēd-/\*sed-* 'seat'. IE cognates: OIr. *saidid*, *said* 'to sit', MCo. *hedhi*, MBret. *hezaff* 'to stop' < *\*sede/o-*, OIr. *síd* 'peace', W. *hedd* 'peace', Skt. pf. *sasāda* [3s.act.], them.aor. *ásadat* [3s.act.], aor.ps. *ásādi*, ppp. *sattā-* 'to sit down, settle down', OP *niyaśādaya-* 'to set down, establish', Gr. ἕζομαι 'to sit down', Lith. *sėdėti*, Latv. *sēdēt*, OCS *sěděti*, Go. *sitan* 'to sit'.

Latin and Sabellic continue a stative pr. *\*sed-ē-* 'to be seated'; the other independent Latin forms are *sella*, *sēdēs* and *sēdāre*. The latter must have meant 'to make someone sit down, appease'. The noun *sēdēs* (a Plt. *i*-stem?) is probably built to the PIE root noun, but may also continue a PIE *h<sub>1</sub>*-stem according to Schrijver. On *dēsidiābula*, see Serbat 1975: 47f.

Bibl.: WH II: 507-509, EM 509-611, IEW 884-887, Steinbauer 1989: 142, Schrijver 1991: 121, 376, Meiser 1998: 142, Untermann 2000: 658-660, Meiser 2003: 203f., Schumacher 2004: 560f., LIV *\*sed-*. → *solium*

**seges, -etis** 'field of corn, arable land' [f. *t*] (Lex XII+)

Plt. *\*seg-et-* 'seed' > 'sown land'.

PIE *\*seg-e/o-* 'to adhere, fasten'. IE cognates: MW *hēu*, Is. *heaf* 'to sow, throw on, strew' < PCl. *\*seg-(i)e/o-*; Skt. pr. *sajāmi*, pf. *sasañja* 'to adhere, hang on', *āsakti-* [f.] 'pursuit, devotion, adhering', *niśaṅgin-* 'carrying a quiver', OP *frāha<sup>(n)</sup>jam* [1s.ipf.act.] 'to hang out', Lith. *sėgti*, 3s. *sėga* 'to fasten, pin', OCS *prisěšti* 'to touch', Sl. *sěči* 'hold out one's hand, try to keep up with' < BSl. *\*seng-*, Ru. *posjagát* 'to encroach, infringe', OCz. *sahati* 'to touch, reach for' < PSl. *\*sęgati*.

Schumacher 2004: 563 justifies the semantic shift from 'to adhere' to Celtic 'to sow' via the steps 'to imprint' > 'put in' > 'sow'. The tutelary goddess of corn at the time of sowing *Sēia* (Pliny) could be derived from *\*Seg-ja*.

Bibl.: WH II: 509f., EM 611f., IEW 887, LIV 1. *\*seg-*.

**sēgnis** 'slothful, inactive' [adj. *i*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *sēgnitia/-ēs* [f.] 'inertia, sluggishness' (Pl.+), *sēgnitās* 'sluggishness' (Acc.+).

Plt. *\*sēk/g-ni-*.

An adj. in *\*-ni-*, cf. *lēnis*, *omnis*. The root is identified as the root of Gr. ἥκα 'slightly, softly', ἥττων, Ion. ἥσσων 'smaller, weaker', sup. ἥκιστος 'least', which can reflect PGr. *\*sēk-*. This might derive from PIE *\*sek-* 'to dry out, coagulate' or from a root

\*seh<sub>1</sub>k-. Yet the latter is translated as 'to arrive' by LIV, which does not fit *sēgnis* nor Gr. ἥκ- 'little'. If *sēgnis* is separated from the Greek forms, it may also belong to \*seg- 'to adhere, stick' ('sticky' > 'inert'). In case the root was \*sek- or \*seg-, the long vowel is problematic: does it stem from an original root noun? This would be an ad hoc assumption, since there is no direct evidence for it.

Bibl.: WH II: 510, EM 612, IEW 896.

**sem-, sim-** 'once, one' [pref.] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *semper* 'always' (Naev.+), *sempiternus* 'everlasting' (Pl.+), *sincinia* 'a single song' (Paul. ex F.), *simūtū* [adv.] 'at the same time, together' (Pl.+), *simplex*, *-icis* 'having a single layer, simple' (Pl.+), *simplicitās* 'singleness, ignorance' (Lucr.+), *simplus* [adj.] 'single, simple amount' (Pl.+); *semel* 'once' (Pl.+).

PIt. \*sm-per 'once and for all', \*sm-plak- 'simple', \*sm-plo- 'single', \*sm-l(i?)- [n.] 'once; together'.

PIE \*sm- [in cp.] 'one'. IE cognates: Skt. *sakṛt*, YAv. *hakarət* [adv.] 'once', Gr. ἅπαξ 'once'.

Bibl.: WH II: 511f., EM 612, IEW 902-905, Schrijver 1991: 218, Coleman 1992: 415f., Meiser 1998: 176f., Untermann 2000: 716. → *mille*, *sēmi-*, *similis*, *sincērus*, *singulī*.

**sēmi-** 'half' [pref.] (Pl.+; *sēm̄-* before vowels, sometimes *sē-* before *m-*)

Derivatives: *sēlibra* 'half a libra' (Cato+), *sēmodius* 'half a modius' (Cato+), *sēstertius* 'having the value of two-and-a-half' (Varró+), *simbella* 'coin worth half a libella' (Varro+), *sinciput*, *-itis* 'a half-head' (Pl.+), *sincipitāmentum* 'a half-head' (Pl.); *sēsque-* 'one and a half' (< \**sēmis-que*).

PIt. \*sēmi-. It. cognates: U. *sehemu*, *semu* [abl.sg.n.] 'single, separate?' < \**sēm-o-*?

PIE \*sēm-i [loc.sg.] 'in one'. IE cognates: Skt. *á-sāmi* [adv.] 'not half, completely', Gr. ἡμι- [in cp.] 'half'; OE *sām-*, OHG *sāmi-* 'half'.

The meaning shifted from '(in) one' > 'one of the two' > 'half'.

Bibl.: WH II: 512f., EM 612, IEW 905f., Leumann 1977: 488, Beekes 1995: 190, Meiser 1998: 177, Untermann 2000: 663f. → *libra*, *sem-*, *similis*

**senex, senis** 'old man' [m. *k/i*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *senior* 'older; a man of older age' (Pl.+), *senātus*, *-ūs* 'political assembly at Rome, senate' (Pl.+), *senecta* 'period of old age' (Pl.+), *senectus* 'old' (Pl.+), *senectūs*, *-ūtis* 'old age' (Pl.+), *senēre* 'to be old' (Pac.+), *senēscere* 'to grow old, fade away' (Varro+); *senius* 'old man' (Ter.+), *senīlis* 'of an old man' (Naev.+), *senium* 'old age, decay, melancholy' (Pl.+), *seniōsus* 'affected with weakness' (Cato+), *senica* 'old man' (Pompon.+), *seneciō* 'old man' (Afran.+); *senātor* 'senator' (Cic.+), *senāculum* 'place where the senate assembled' (Varro+).

PIt. \*seno- 'old; old man', \*sen-ek- 'old man'. It. cognates: O. *senatefís*, *senateis*, *σενατηίς*, *σενατηίω* [gen.sg.] 'senate', borrowed from Latin *senātus*.

PIE \*sen-(o-) 'old'. IE cognates: OIr. *sen*, W. *hen* 'old' < PCI. \*seno-, Skt. *sána-*, Av. *hana-* 'old', Gr. *ἔνοϋς* 'old, stale, of last year', Arm. *hin*, Lith. *sēnas*, Latv. *šens*

'old' < \*sen-o-, Go. *sineigs* 'old, elder', *sinista* 'the eldest'.

It has been argued that Latin shifted from thematic \*seno- to athematic \*sen- under the influence of *iuvenis*, but this is hardly credible. We may posit thematic \*seno- (as in Celtic), which changed to an *i*-stem in Latin under the influence of *iuvenis*. The adj. *senilis* can also derive from an *o*-stem noun (cf. *erilis*, *servilis*, *puerilis*; *iuvenilis* not attested before Cic.), as can *senius*, *senium*. The nom.sg. has introduced a suffix \*-ek-.

Bibl.: WH II: 513f., EM 613, IEW 907f., Schrijver 1991: 149-153, Sihler 1995: 319, Untermann 2000: 666.

**sentīna** 'bilge-water, bilge of a ship; the scum of society' [f. *ā*] (Cic.+)

Derivatives: *sentīnāre* 'to bale out' (Caecil.+), *sentīnōsus* 'full of bilge-water' (Cato); *persillum* 'small pitch-flavoured ladle, used by the flamen Portunalis to anoint the equipment of Quirinus' (Fest.).

Plt. \*sem-t-? \*(e)m-e/o- [pr.]?

PIE \*semH- 'to scoop'. IE cognates: OIr. *do-essim*\* 'to pour out' (< \*tu-eks-sem-e/o-), MW *gwehynnu* 'to pour out, empty' (< \*uo-), OBret. *douhinnom* 'to scoop(?)' (< \*tu-uo-sem-de/o-); Lith. *sėmti* 'to scoop'.

There is no obvious formal or semantic obstacle to the etymological connection with PIE \*semH-, but in the absence of other cognate forms in Latin, it is still basically a root etymology. The instrument *persillum* could reflect \*per-sem-lo- or \*per-sm-elo- to a verb \*per-s(e)m-e/o- 'to pour over'.

Bibl.: WH II: 291, 514f., EM 614, IEW 901f., Schumacher 2004: 569, LIV \*semH- → *simpu(v)ium*

**sentīō, -īre** 'to sense, feel' [v. IV; pf. *sensī*, ppp. *sēnsūm*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *sēnsim* 'slowly, tentatively' (Pl.+), *sensibilis* 'perceptible' (Lucr.+), *sēnsilis* 'capable of sensation' (Lucr.+), *īnsēnsilis* 'incapable of sensing' (Lucr.+), *sēnsus, -ūs* 'sensation, sense' (Varro+); *sententia* 'opinion, intention, decree' (Pl.+), *sentīscere* 'to begin to beware of' (Lucr.+); *assentārī* 'to agree, flatter' (Pl.+), *assentātīō* 'flattering' (Pl.+), *assentātrīx* 'woman who flatters' (Pl.), *assentātīuncula* 'piece of flattering' (Pl.), *assentīre* 'to agree, approve' (Pl.+), *cōnsentāneus* 'fitting, appropriate' (Pl.+), *cōnsentīre* 'to agree, be in harmony' (Lucr.+), *dissentīre* 'to disagree; differ' (Pac.+), *dissēnsiō* 'disagreement' (Varro+), *persentīre* 'to be fully conscious of' (Acc.+), *persentīscere* 'to become fully conscious of' (Pl.+).

Plt. \*(e)nt-ije/o- / \*(e)nt-(e)i-.

PIE \*snt-ie/o- or \*sént-i-/\*snt-éi- 'to notice'. IE cognates: Lith. *sintėti*, 3s. *siñti* 'to think', OCS *seštъ* 'sensible, wise' < BSl. \*(e)nt- 'think'; \*sent- 'go' in OIr. *set* 'road' < \*sentu-, PGm. \*sandjan- 'to send', \*sinþa- 'road', \*sindō- 'travel'.

Since the pr.ptc.act. is *sentient-*, Leumann explains the noun *sentent-ia* by means of a dissimilation of the first \*i in \*sentientia. In principle, it could also be a remnant of a PIE root aorist. The meaning shows the connection with BSl. \*snt- 'to think'; whether this root is the same as \*sent- 'to go' is doubtful.

Bibl.: WH II: 515f., EM 614, IEW 908, Leumann 1977: 232, Meiser 2003: 121, LIV \*sent-. → *nota*



**sepeliō, -īre** ‘to bury’ [v. IV; *sepeli(v)ī, sepultum*] (Lex XII+)

Derivatives: *sepelībilis* ‘in conditions to be buried’ (Pl.+); *sepulcrum* ‘tomb, grave’ (Pl.+), *sepultūra* ‘burial’ (Lucr.+).

PIt. *\*sepel-je/o-* ‘to bury’, *\*sepel-to-* ‘buried’, *\*sepel-tlo-* ‘grave’.

PIE *\*sepel-ie/o-* ‘to honour’. IE cognates: Skt. *saparyāti* ‘to honour, worship’; Skt. pr. *sāpanti* [3p.act.], pf. *sepur* ‘to take care, honour’, OAv. *hapī* [3s.pr.act.] ‘watches, restrains himself, preserves’; *hafsī* [2s.pr.act.] ‘you hold(?)’; Gr. *ἔπω* ‘to take care of, commit, do’.

The presence of *-e-* instead of *-i-* in the second syllable in front of *l* exilis may be due to assimilation to *e* in the first syllable (cf. *teget-*). Whereas *sepelīre* could be a denom. to an adj. *\*sepelo-*, this cannot be the case for Skt. *saparyāti*; and I find it unlikely that an adj. *\*sep-elo-* yielded a noun *\*sepeli-* in PIE already from which, also in PIE, a verb *\*sepeli-je/o-* was derived. Hence, the formation *\*sepel-je/o-* must be old. Lat. *sepultus* and *sepulcrum* probably have analogical *sepul-* < *\*sepel-to-, -tlo-* (on the model of, e.g., *hauriō – haustus*).

Bibl.: WH II: 517, EM 615, IEW 909, Meiser 1998: 196, LIV *\*sep-*.

**septem** ‘seven’ [num. indecl.] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *septemdecim* ‘seventeen’ (Pl.+), *septimus* ‘seventh’ (Naev.+), *septimānus* ‘on the seventh day’ (Varro+); *septingentī* ‘700’ (Pl.+), *september, -bris* [adj.] ‘of the seventh month’ (Afran.+), *septentriōnēs* [m.pl.] ‘Ursa Maior; the north’ (Pl.+), *septentriōnālis* ‘northern’ (Varro+), *septunx, -unċis* ‘seven-twelfths’ (Varro+), *septēnī* [adj.pl.] ‘seven apiece’ (Pl.+); *septuāgintā* ‘seventy’ (Varro+), *septuennis* ‘seven years old’ (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*septm̄, \*septmo-*.

PIE *\*septm̄* ‘seven’, *\*s(e)ptm-ó-* ‘seventh’. IE cognates: Olr. *secht n-*, W. *saith*, Hit. *šiptamija-* [n.] ‘liquid from seven substances’ < *\*septm-io-*, *šaptaminzu* [adj?] ‘sevenfold?’ < *\*sptm-in-*; Skt. *saptá* ‘seven’, *saptátha-*, *saptamá-* ‘seventh’, Av. *hapta-* ‘seven’, *haptava-* ‘seventh’, Gr. *ἑπτá* ‘seven’, Lith. *septyni*, OCS *sedmь*, Go. *sibun* ‘id.’.

In *septuāgintā* < *\*septm-dk(o)mt-*, the *-ā-* stems from the other decads, but *-u-* most likely arose phonetically: *\*septmā-* > *\*septumā-* > *\*septuwā-* (Coleman 1992: 402). A similar antevocalic development is found in *septuennis*. *Septingentī* can be phonetic from *\*septengentoi* < *\*septm-dkmt-oi*.

Bibl.: WH II: 517f., EM 615, IEW 909, Coleman 1992: 395f., Sihler 1995: 414, 422, 431, Beekes 1995: 214-216, Meiser 1998: 171-175.

**sequor, sequī** ‘to follow’ [v. III; pf. *secūtus sum*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *sequāx* ‘following closely’ (Lucr.+), *secta* ‘course, line, system’ (Naev.+), *sectārī* ‘to pursue, accompany’ (Pl.+), *sectārius* ‘a wether followed by the flock’ (Pl.+), *seetātor* ‘follower, attendant’ (Lucil.+); *assequī* ‘to go after, achieve’ (Pl.+), *assecuē* ‘attentively’ (Pl.), *assectārī* ‘to follow closely, escort’ (Pl.+), *cōnsequī* ‘to follow, overtake, achieve’ (Pl.+), *cōnsectārī* ‘to seek, pursue’ (Pl.+), *cōnsequē* ‘consequently’ (Lucr.), *exsequī* ‘to follow, pursue, carry out’ (Pl.+), *exsequiae* [f.pl.] ‘funeral procession’ (Ter.+), *īnsectārī* ‘to chase, harry’, *īnsequī* ‘to follow closely’

(Lucr.+), *obsequī* ‘to comply with, devote oneself’ (Pl.+), *obsequium* ‘compliance, service’ (Pl.+), *obsequella* ‘compliance, obedience’ (Pl.+), *obsequiōsus* ‘compliant’ (Pl.), *obsecula* [f.] ‘devotee’ (Laev.), *pedisequus* ‘male attendant’ (Pl.+), *pedisequa* ‘female attendant’ (Pl.+), *persectārī* ‘to follow up, investigate’ (Pl.+), *persequī* ‘to follow persistently, seek out’ (Pl.+), *prōsequī* ‘to accompany, furnish’ (Pl.+), *subsequī* ‘to follow close behind’ (Pl.+); *secundus* ‘following, second’ (Pl.+), *secundāre* ‘to make favourable for travel, prosper’ (Lucil.+), *secundārius* ‘of the second grade or size’ (Cato+), *insecundus* ‘next in order’ (Pl.), *obsecundāre* ‘to act in compliance’ (Ter.+).

Plt. \*sek<sup>w</sup>-e/o- ‘to follow’, \*sek<sup>w</sup>-to- ‘followed’, \*sek<sup>w</sup>ondo- ‘following’.

PIE \*sek<sup>w</sup>-e/o- [med.] ‘to follow, accompany’. IE cognates: OIr. *seichidir\** ‘to follow’ < \*sek<sup>w</sup>-ī- << \*sek<sup>w</sup>-e/o-; Skt. pr. *sácate*, *sīṣakti* ‘to follow, go after’, Av. *haca-* ‘to accompany’, Gr. ἔπομαι ‘to follow’; Lith. *sèkti*, Latv. *sekt* ‘to follow, go after’; Go. *saihvan* ‘to see’.

The original ppp. \*sekto- yielded the denominal verb *sectārī* and its derivatives, and was subsequently replaced by *secūtus* on the model of the verbs in -uō. The adj. *secundus* < \*sek<sup>w</sup>o-ndo- ‘following’ came to be used as the ordinal ‘second’.

Bibl.: WH II: 519, EM 616, IEW 896f., Schumacher 2004: 564, LIV 1.\*sek<sup>w</sup>-. → *insece*, *secus*, *socius*

**serēnus** ‘clear, unclouded’ [adj. o/ā] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *serēscere* ‘to become dry’ (Lucr.).

Plt. \*(k)seró- ‘dry’.

PIE \*Kseh<sub>1</sub>-ró- ‘dry’. IE cognates: Gr. ξηρός ‘dry, arid’, ξερόν [n.] ‘dry land’, OHG *serawēn*, MHG NHG *serben* ‘to dry out’.

Both forms seem to be built on an unattested stative pr. \*serē- ‘to be dry’. Lat. \*ser- < \*sēr- can be due to shortening in pretonic syllable (Dybo’s Law). The explanation for the short vowel in Gr. ξερόν is less clear.

Bibl.: WH II: 520, EM 616f., IEW 625, Schrijver 1991: 338, Meiser 1998: 75.

**sērius** ‘important, serious’ [adj. o/ā] (Naev.+)

Plt. \*sēr-jo-.

PIE \*seh<sub>1</sub>-ro- ‘slow, heavy’.

Schrijver subscribes to WH and IEW’s etymology as \*swēr- ‘weighty’. This begs the question of the reflex of \*sw- in Latin: \*swe- yields *so-*, \*swā- yields *suā-*, and *suēscō* seems to show that \*swē- yields *suē-*. This means that *sērius* is more likely to reflect \*sē- without \*-w-. The long vowel can hardly stem from compensatory lengthening of a lost consonant in front of -r-. In view of the meaning ‘weighty, serious’, it is tempting to link *sērius* with *sevērus*, and assume contraction across \*-w-: \*sewērio- > \*sērio-. However, according to the established rule (cf. Meiser 1998: 92), the preform accented as \*sewērio- would not lose \*-w-. The following alternative may be considered: since ‘important’ can be derived from ‘weighty’, *sērius* may be derived from *sērus* ‘slow’, via a shift from ‘slow’ to ‘tiring’ to ‘heavy’.

Bibl.: WH II: 521, EM 617, IEW 1150f., Schrijver 1991: 126. → *sērus*

**serō, -ere 1** 'to plant, to sow seeds' [v. III; pf. *sēvī*, ppp. *satum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *sēmen* [n.] 'seed, semen, offspring' (Pl.+), *sēminium* 'procreation, breed' (Pl.+), *sēmināre* 'to procreate; sow' (Pl.+), *sēminārius* 'of seed' (Cato+), *sēmentis* [f.] 'sowing, crop' (Pl.+), *sēmentivus* 'of sowing-time' (Cato+); *sator* 'sower, founder' (Pl.+), *sativus* 'cultivated' (Varro+), *satiō* 'sowing, planting' (Cato+); *asserere* 'to plant near' (Cato+), *cōnserere* 'to plant, strew thickly' (Pl.+), *dissere* 'to plant at intervals' (Varro+), *īnserere* 'to plant, graft on' (Andr.+), *interserere* 'to plant between' (Lucr.+), *obserere* 'to sow, plant' (Pl.+), *reserere* 'to replant' (Varro+); *Cōnsīvius* 'a god who presided over procreation' (Varro).

PIt. \**si-se/o-* 'to sow', aor. \**sē-*, ppp. \**sato-* 'sown', \**sēmn* 'seed'. It. cognates: O. *seemunēi* [dat.sg.], Pael. *semuru* [gen.pl.?], a deity; possibly U. *sehmeniar*, *sehemienar* [gen.sg.], **seme.nies**, *sehmenier* [dat.abl.pl.] '?', kind a feast or gathering.

PIE \**si-sh<sub>1</sub>-e/o-* [pr.] 'to sow', \**seh<sub>1</sub>-/\*sh<sub>1</sub>-* [aor.], \**sh<sub>1</sub>-to-*; \**seh<sub>1</sub>-mn* [n.] 'seed'. IE cognates: Lith. *sėti*, Latv. *sēt* 'to sow', Lith. *sėmenys* 'linseed, flaxseed', OCS *sěti*, SCr. *šijati* 'to sow', OCS *sěmę* 'seed'; Go. *saian* 'to sow'.

According to Leumann 1977: 345, *sēmentis* may have been reshaped from earlier \**sēmentom*. The reduplicated present is traditionally reconstructed as \**si-s(é)h<sub>1</sub>-*; its development to \**sirō* > *serō* (lowering of \**i* and \**u* in front of *r*) was established by Parker 1988. LIV chooses a different solution and reconstructs an iterative pr. \**sé-soh<sub>1</sub>/sh<sub>1</sub>-*; yet such presents usually show the *o*-grade in the daughter languages.

Bibl.: WH II: 512, 522, EM 617f., IEW 889-891, Schrijver 1991: 94, 412, Meiser 1998: 192, 205, Untermann 2000: 660, 662f., LIV \**seh<sub>1</sub>-*.

**serō, -ere 2** 'to link, join' [v. III; pf. *seruī*, ppp. *sertum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: (1) *seriēs* 'series, sequence' (Varro+), *serilia* [n.pl.] 'plaited material' (Pac.+); *asserere* 'to lay claim to' (Pl.+), *cōnserere* 'to join, engage in' (Pl.+), *dēserere* 'to leave, abandon' (Pl.+), *dissere* 'to set out in words, discuss' (Varro+), *disertus* 'skilled in speaking, plain' (Pl.+), *disertim* 'plainly' (Andr.+), *disertāre* 'to discuss, talk about' (Pl.+), *ēdissere* 'to expound, relate' (Pl.+), *ēdissertāre* 'to relate in detail' (Pl.+), *īnserere* 'to put in, introduce' (Andr.+; Andr. *īnserinuntur*), *trānssere* 'to insert and pass through' (Cato+), *praesertim* 'above all, especially' (Pl.+); (2) *sera* 'detachable bar, rail' (Pl.+), *obserāre* 'to bolt, fasten' (Ter.+); (3) *sermō* 'speech, talk' (Pl.+), *sermōcinārī* 'to hold a conversation' (Cato+), *sermōnātus*, *-ūs* 'talk, conversation' (Pl.).

PIt. \**sere/o-*, ppp. \**s(e)rto-*. It. cognates: O. *aserum* [inf.] 'to lay onto' (with object *manim* 'hand') < \**ad-ser-om*.

PIE \**ser-e/o-* 'to thread, tie together'. IE cognates: Gr. εἶρω 'to knit together', aor. εἶραι, ἔραι, ἔραια pl. 'earhangers'; OLith. *sėris* 'thread'; OIc. *sərvi* [n.] 'collar' < PGm. \**sarūja-*, Go. *sarwa* [n.pl.] < PGm. \**sarūa-*? ToB *šarm* [n.], ToA *šurm* 'motive, cause; basis, ground' < \**s(y)er-mn*.

*Sermō* cannot be connected with O. *sverrunēi* [dat.sg.] because of initial *sv-* (\**swermōn* would have yielded Latin \**sormō*). The derivation from a root 'to link, put on a string' is not compelling, but can be defended with parallel etymologies of words for 'speech' in other languages. Barton 1987 argues that PIE had a root aorist and a

*ie*-present.

Bibl.: WH I: 356, II: 520-523, EM 616-619, IEW 911, 1049, Barton 1987, Untermann 2000: 127, Meiser 2003: 126, LIV 2. \*ser-. → *sors*

**serpō, -ere** ‘to crawl, glide’ [v. III; pf. *serpsī*, ppp. *serptum*] (Lucil.+)

Derivatives: *serpens* [f./m.] ‘snake, serpent’ (Cato+), *serpullum* ‘any sort of thyme’ (Cato+); *disserpere* ‘to spread outwards’ (Lucr.+), *prōserpere* ‘to creep out’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \**serpe/o-*.

PIE \**serp-e/o-* ‘to creep’. IE cognates: Skt. *sárpati* ‘to creep, crawl’, *sarpá-* [m.] ‘snake, adder’, Gr. ἔρπω ‘to creep, crawl, go’, ἐρπετόν [n.], Aeol. ὄρπετον ‘animal that goes on all fours’, ἔρπυλλος [m. f.] ‘tufted thyme’, Alb. *gjarpër* ‘snake’ (< \**sérpe/on-*).

*Serpullum* was probably borrowed from Greek as \**herpullum*, and provided with *s-* from *serpō*.

Bibl.: WH II: 524, EM 619, IEW 912, LIV \*serp-.

**serra** ‘saw; serrated battle formation’ [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *serrula* ‘small saw’ (Varro+).

Plt. \**sersā-* ‘saw’.

PIE \**sers-h<sub>2</sub>-* ‘cutting off’.

Within Latin, the best connection is with *sāriō* ‘to hoe, weed’, which would point to a root \**srs-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 524, EM 619, Schrijver 1991: 493. → *sāriō*

**serum** ‘whey; any similar fluid’ [n. *o*] (Cat.+)

Plt. \**sero-* [n.] ‘liquid’.

PIE \**sér-o-* [n.] ‘flowing, liquid’. IE cognates: Skt. *sará-* ‘flowing, liquid’ < \**séro-*, *punaḥ-sara-* [adj.] ‘running back’, pr. *sisarsi* ‘flows, runs’; Gr. ὀρός [m.] ‘the watery part of curdled milk, whey’ < \**soró-* ‘flower, runner’.

*Serum* could be cognate with Skt. *sará-* and Skt. *si-sar-* ‘to flow, run’, although these are usually derived from PIE \**sel-* (see s.v. *saliō*). Otherwise, we have only a Latin action noun with *e*-grade and a Gr. agent noun with *o*-grade.

Bibl.: WH II: 525, EM 619, IEW 909f.

**sērus** ‘belated, slow’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Lucil.+)

Derivatives: *sērō* [adv.] ‘late, too late’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \**sēro-* ‘(too) late’.

PIE \**seh<sub>1</sub>-ro-* ‘long-lasting; late’. IE cognates: OIr. *sír* ‘lasting, eternal’, W. Co. Bret. *hir* ‘long’.

The root cannot be identified as the root of Skt. *sā-*, *sya-* ‘to tie’, which is \**sh<sub>2</sub>-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 526f., EM 620, IEW 889-891, Schrijver 1991: 140, 527, LIV I. \**seh<sub>1</sub>(i)-*. → *sērius*, *sētius*

**servus** ‘slave’ [m. o] (Lex XII, Andr.+)

Derivatives: (1) *serva* ‘female slave’ (Pl.+), *servolus* ‘young slave’ (Pl.+), *servola* ‘slave-girl’ (Pl.+), *servitium* ‘slavery, slave class’ (Pl.+), *servitūs*, *-tūtis* ‘servitude’ (Naev.+); *cōservus* ‘fellow slave’ (Pl.+), *cōserva* ‘female fellow slave’ (Pl.+), *cōservitium* ‘fellowship in slavery’ (Pl.); (2) *servīre* ‘to serve as a slave, be subject’ (Pl.+), *servīlis* ‘of a slave’ (Lex XII+), *servīliculus* ‘mean and cringing’ (Pl.); *īnservīre* ‘to attach oneself to, take care of’ (Pl.+), *praeservīre* ‘to be in attendance as a slave’ (Pl.+), *subservīre* ‘to behave as a servant’ (Naev.+); (3) *servāre* ‘to watch over, look after; observe’ (Pl.+), *servātor* ‘saviour, preserver’ (Pl.+), *servātrix* ‘protectress’ (Ter.+); *asservāre* ‘to keep safe, watch’ (Pl.+), *cōservāre* ‘to keep from danger, keep unchanged’ (Pl.+), *observāre* ‘to observe, watch, abide by’ (Pl.+), *observātiō* ‘surveillance, observance’ (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*serwo-* [m.] ‘shepherd’, *\*serwo-* [n.] / *\*serwā-* [f.] ‘observation’. It. cognates: (1) O. *serevkiđimaden* [abl.sg.], *σερευκιδιμαμ* [acc.sg.] < *\*serwV-* ‘watching?’; (2) U. *šeritu*, *seritu*, *serituu* [3s.ipv.II] ‘to observe’ < *\*ser(w)i-* (cf. Martzloff 2006: 634); U. *anseriaia* [1s.pr.sb.], *aserio* [2s.ipv.], *azeriatu*, *aseriatu* [3s.ipv.II], *anzeriatu*, *anzeriato*, *aseriato* [sup.], *anzeriates*, *aseriater* [ppp. abl.pl.f.] ‘to watch (birds)’ < *\*an-seriā-*, denom. verb to *\*an-ser-iom* ‘(bird-)watch’; U. *ooserclome* [acc.sg. + -en] n. ‘watch-tower’ vel sim. (not specifically for watching birds), maybe *\*op-seri-tlo-m*.

PIE *\*ser-u-o-* ‘guardian’, *\*ser-u-o/h<sub>2</sub>-* ‘protection’. IE cognates: Av. *haraiti* ‘heeds, protects’, *hauruua-* ‘id.’, *hauryuua-* ‘protecting’ (*pasuš-hauruuō spā* ‘sheep-dog’), *haratar-* ‘guardian’, *hāra-* ‘guarding’; possibly Gr. οὔρος [m.] ‘watcher, guard(ian)’ < *\*sor-uo-*, Gr. ὀράω ‘to look, perceive, see’, Ion. also ὀρέω (Hdt.), Aeol. ὄρημι, Myc. *o-pi ... o-ro-me-no* ‘watching’ < *\*sor-*?

Rix 1994a argues that the original meaning of *\*serwo-* probably was ‘guard, shepherd’, which underwent a pejorative development to ‘slave’ in Italy between 700 and 450 BC. *Servīre* would be the direct derivative of *servus*, hence ‘be a slave’; *servāre* would in his view be derived from an older noun *\*serwā-* or *\*serwom* ‘observation, heedance’. The stem *\*ser-u-* would be a *u*-stem to the root *\*ser-*, which is also found in Avestan.

Bibl.: WH II: 525-527, EM 619-621, IEW 910, Meiser 1986: 192f., Rix 1994a, Untermann 2000: 104f., 669f., 816f., LIV 1.\*ser-

**sētius** ‘later, to a lesser degree’ [adj. o/ā] (Pl.+)

PIt. *\*sēto-*.

PIE *\*seh<sub>1</sub>-to-* ‘late, slow’. IE cognates: OIr. *sith-* ‘continuous’, *sithithir* ‘as long as’, W. *hyd*, Co. Bret. *hes* ‘length’ < *\*situ/i-* ‘length’; OE *sīd* ‘long’, OHG *sīto* ‘lax’ < *\*s(e)h<sub>1</sub>-i-to-*, OE *sīð*, OS *sīth*, OHG *sīd* ‘since’ < *\*s(e)h<sub>1</sub>-i-to-*.

*Sētius* can be regarded as an *io*-derivative to an adj. *\*sēto-* ‘late’ or ‘slow’, which may be formed from the same root as *\*sēro-* ‘(too) late’.

Bibl.: WH II: 527, EM 621, IEW 889-891, Schrijver 1991: 140, LIV 1.\*seh<sub>1</sub>(i)-. → *sērus*

**sevērus** ‘stern, strict, severe’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *sevēritās* ‘sternness, seriousness’ (Ter.+), *sevēritūdō* ‘seriousness’ (Pl.+), *assevērāre* ‘to declare, affirm’ (Pl.+).

PIt. \**seχwēro-* ‘steadfast’.

PIE \**seǵ<sup>h</sup>-ur/-un-* ‘holding’. IE cognates: Skt. *sáhuri-* ‘victorious, strong’ (RV) < PIE \**soǵ<sup>h</sup>-ur*, Skt. *sáhvan-* ‘powerful’, Gr. *ἐχυρός* ‘strong, secure’, *ἐνέχυρον* ‘pledge, security’, *ὄχυρός* ‘strong, secure’, maybe *σθένος* [n.] ‘strength, power’ < \**sǵ<sup>h</sup>-uēn-* (Nussbaum 1998b: 525).

WH and Meiser 1998 explain *sevērus* as a compound of \**swe-* ‘apart, away’ and \**wēro-* ‘true’ < \*‘friendly, nice’. However, the semantics are difficult. Lat. *vērus* means ‘real, true’, and in view of the Celtic, Gm. and BSl. adjectives for ‘true’ which also go back to \**ueh<sub>1</sub>-ro-*, this meaning must be old. And even if WH’s conjecture, viz. that \**wēro-* also meant ‘friendly’ in a prestage of Latin, were true, a meaning ‘stern, strict’ hardly equals a description as ‘not friendly’. For this reason, Nussbaum’s solution (1998b: 536) is attractive: *sevērus* could reflect “PIE \**seǵ<sup>h</sup>-uēr-o-* [adj.] ‘steadfast, tough’, a possessive *o-*derivative of a hysterokinetic nom.-acc. \**seǵ<sup>h</sup>uēr* ‘steadfastness, toughness’”. The latter formation can be interpreted as a collective derived from a n. \**seǵ<sup>h</sup>-ur/-uēn-* ‘holding’.

Bibl.: WH II: 528, EM 621, IEW 1165f., Meiser 1998: 82, LIV \**seǵ<sup>h</sup>-*.

**sex** ‘six’ [num. indecl.] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *sexāgintā* ‘sixty’ (Cato+), *sexāgēsīmus* ‘sixtieth’ (Ter.+), *sexāgēnī* [pl.adj.] ‘sixty at a time’ (Pl.+); *sexennis* ‘six years old’ (Pl.+), *sēnī* [pl.adj.] ‘six at a time’ (Pl.+), *sēdecim* ‘sixteen’ (Pl.+), *sescentī* [pl.adj.] ‘six hundred; innumerably many’ (Pl.+), *sēmestris* ‘of six months, lasting six months’ (Varro+); *sextus* ‘sixth’ (Pl.+), *sextilis* [adj.] ‘name of the sixth month’ (Varro+), *sextāns* [m.] ‘one-sixth’ (Varro+), *sextārius* [m.] ‘measure of one-sixth’ (Cato+).

PIt. \**seks* ‘six’, \**seks-to-* ‘sixth’. It. cognates: Ven. \**segtos* in PN *segtio[i]* ‘Sextiō’, U. *sestentiaru* [gen.pl.f.] ‘?’, maybe name of a day < \**seks-to-* ‘sixth’, cf. O. *deken-t-asio-*; maybe O. *sehsik* [nom.sg.?] < \**seks-* if to Lat. *sextārius* ‘measure’.

PIE \*(s)ueks ‘six’, \**uks-ó-* ‘sixth’. IE cognates: OIr. *sé*, MW *chwech* ‘six’, Skt. *ṣáṣ-* ‘six’, *ṣoḍaśa* ‘sixteen’, YAv. *xšuuas* ‘six’, *xšuuas.dasa-* ‘the sixteenth’; Gr. *ἕξ*, Dor. *πέξ*, Arm. *vec*, Alb. *gjashtë*, Lith. *šeši*, OCS *šestь*, Po. *sześć*, Go. *saihs* ‘six’.

The forms *sēdecim*, *sēnī* and *sēmestris* show voicing and loss of \**ks* in \*-*ksd-*, \**ksn*, \**ksm*. In *sexāgintā*, -*ā-* has been inserted into \**seks-g-* (which would have yielded \**sēgintā*) on the analogy of *quadrāginta*. The PIt. form \**seks* has analogically dropped \*-*w-* from \**sweks* by analogy with \**septm* ‘seven’.

Bibl.: WH II: 528f., EM 621, IEW 1044, Lejeune 1974: 338, Coleman 1992: 395, Sihler 1995: 413, Beekes 1995: 213-216, Meiser 1998: 171, Untermann 2000: 664, 671f., Lubotsky 2000.

**sexus** ‘gender, sex’ [m. *u*] (Pl.+; n. 1x in Pl. *Rud.* 107)

Derivatives: *secus* [n.; only nom.acc.] ‘sex’ (Sis.+) (always used with adj. *muliebre*, *femineum*, *virile*).

*Secus* seems the more original formation, but it is strange that the older texts only know *sexus*. The modern meaning of *sectiō* ‘division’ suggests that *sec/xus* might derive from *secāre* ‘to sever’, but the morphology remains unclear: does *sexus* go back to an *s*-present *\*sek-s-* ‘to cut up’, or was it derived from a form *\*sek-s-* of the putative *s*-stem underlying *secus*?

Bibl.: WH II: 529, EM 621f. → *secō*

**sī, sīc** ‘if’ [cj.] (Lex XII, Andr.+; CIL *sei, nisei*)

Derivatives: *sīc* ‘thus, so’ (Naev.+), *sīn* ‘but if’ (Pl.+), *sīve, seu* ‘or if, whether’ (Pl.+), *nisī* ‘except if, unless, but that, but’ (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*sei* [loc.sg.] ‘in this’ > ‘thus’. It. cognates: Vol. *sepis* ‘if someone’ < *\*sei pis*; U. *sopir* ‘id.’ < *\*suepis* < *\*suai pis*; O. **svai, svai, suae**, U. **sve, sue**, SPic. **suai** [cj.] ‘if; whether’ < *\*suai* [loc.sg.f.?].

PIE *\*so-* ‘this’.

Lat. *sīve, seu* < *\*sei-we*, *sīn* < *\*sei-ne*, *sīc* < *\*sei-ke*. The original meaning of PIt. *\*sei* was ‘so’, which developed into a conditional. The older meaning is preserved in the expression *sī dīs placet*, cf. English *so help me God*. *\*Sei* may be loc.sg. of *\*so-*, since Sabellic has *\*swai*, which may be loc.sg.f. of *\*swo-*. Lat. *seu* reflects *\*sēw* < *\*sēwe*, with apocope preceding the change of *\*ē* (< *\*ei*) > *ī*.

Bibl.: WH II: 530, EM 622, IEW 978f., Leumann 1977: 470, Meiser 1998: 59, Untermann 2000: 667, 721f., 725f. → *ipse, so-*

**sībilus** ‘hissing sound’ [m. o] (Pac.+)

Derivatives: *sībilāre* ‘to hiss’ (Pl.+), *sūbulō* ‘flutist’ (Enn.+).

The date of attestation suggests that *sībilāre* is older than *sībilus*. Probably onomatopoeic for a sound *sīb-* (or *sī-*, with a suffix *\*-plo-*). Lat. *sūbulō* from *\*soi-* could point to *\*sī-* beside *\*soi-*. Watmough 1997: 53-68 is adamant that *sūbulō* was borrowed from Etruscan, but the original meaning of the alleged source, the gent. *suplu*, is unknown.

Bibl.: WH II: 531f., 620, EM 622, IEW 1040f., Leumann 1977: 550f., Steinbauer 1989: 260, Schrijver 1991: 75.

**sībus** ‘clever’ [adj. o/ā] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *persībus* ‘very shrewd’ (Naev.+).

In view of the meaning, and since a ppa. *\*sēp-us-* occurs in Sabellic (O. *sipus*), *sībus* may well represent a borrowing from a Sab. ppa. ‘knowing’. In that case, however, Latin *-b-* needs an explanation.

Bibl.: WH II: 477, EM 622, IEW 880, Leumann 1977: 610, Untermann 2000: 678. → *sapiō*

**sīca** ‘dagger’ [f. ā] (Enn.+)

Derivatives: *sīcārius* ‘assassin’ (Cic.+); *sīcīlis* [f.] ‘sickle’ (Enn.+), *sīcīlicula* ‘small sickle’ (Pl.), *sīcīlire* ‘to go over with a sickle’ (Varro+), *sīcīlimenta, -ōrum* ‘sickle-cuttings’ (Cato+).

There is no way to connect *sīc-* ‘dagger, sickle’ with the root *sec-* ‘to cut’, nor is there an alternative etymology. The noun may well be a loanword.

Bibl.: WH II: 533, EM 623, IEW 895f.

**siccus** ‘dry’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Cato+)

Derivatives: *siccāre* ‘to dry (tr./intr.)’ (Cato+), *siccitās* ‘dryness’ (Pl.+), *siccoculus* ‘having dry eyes’ (Pl.); *exsiccāre* ‘to make dry, drain’ (Enn.+).

PIt. *\*siskwo-*?

PIE *\*si-sk-u-* ‘dry’? IE cognates: Mlr. *sesc* ‘dry, barren, infertile’, MW *hysp* ‘dry’ < *\*siskuV-*; Skt. *á-saścuṣī-* ‘not drying up’, Av. *hišku-* ‘dry’, Khot. *škala* ‘dry places’, Gr. *ἔσκετο φωνή* ‘the voice broke down’, *ἰσχνός* ‘dry, arid’; Lith. *sèkti* ‘to lower oneself, sink, dry out’.

The other IE languages point to a root *\*sek-* ‘to dry up’, from which Skt. has, among others, a ppa. *\*se-sk-us-*, whereas Avestan and Celtic rather point to an adj. *\*si-sk-u-*. The latter would normally yield *\*siscus* in Latin, or, if thematized (as happened in Celtic), *\*siskuwos*. How this would result in *\*sikko-* is unclear, so that the whole etymology must of necessity remain uncertain. Differently, EM and IEW connect *siccus* with PIE *\*seikʷ-* ‘to pour’, positing *\*sikʷ-ko-* ‘defective as regards water, dried out’ (cf. Fruyt 1986: 164). Yet this is semantically cumbersome, and morphologically unusual.

Bibl.: WH II: 533, EM 623, IEW 893f., Sihler 1995: 200, LIV *\*sek-*.

**sīdō, -ere** ‘to sit down, settle’ [v. III; *sēdī/sīdī, sessum*] (Lucil.+)

Derivatives: *assīdere* ‘to sit down’ (Pl.+), *cōnsīdere* ‘to sit down, settle’ (Varro+), *dēsīdere* ‘to sink, settle down’ (Cato+), *īnsīdere* ‘to sit or settle upon, penetrate’ (Naev.+), *obsīdere* ‘to besiege’ (Lucr.+), *persīdere* ‘to sink right in’ (Lucr.+), *possīdere* ‘to take hold of’ (Naev.+), *resīdere* ‘to take one’s seat, sink back’ (Pl.+), *subsīdere* ‘to squat, settle down’ (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*sīzd-e/o-* ‘to sit down’. It. cognates: U. *sistu*, *andersistu* [3s.ipv.II], *sesust*, *andersesus* <*t*> [3s.fut.pf.] ‘to sit down’, with *ander-* ‘to sit down between’.

PIE *\*si-sd-e/o-* ‘to sit down’. IE cognates: Skt. pr. *sīdati*, Av. *hiḍa-* ‘to sit down’, Gr. *ἴδω* ‘id.’, Arm. *nstī-* (< *\*ni-sisde-*) ‘to sit’; OPr. *sindants* ‘sitting’, OCS *sěsti*, 1s. *seḍo* ‘to sit down’ < *\*sind-* << *\*sīzd-*.

The compound verbs are attested earlier than the simplex *sīdō*.

Bibl.: WH II: 509, EM 610f., IEW 884-887, Untermann 2000: 680f., LIV *\*sed-*. → *sedeō*

**sīdus, -eris** ‘heavenly body; star’ [n. *r*] (Acc., Varro+)

Derivatives: *consīderāre* ‘to examine, contemplate’ (Pl.+), *dēsīderāre* ‘to miss (Pl.); to desire (younger)’, *dēsīderium* ‘desire’ (Enn.+).

PIt. *\*sīd/pos-* [n.] ‘mark, target’.

The old connection with *\*syeid-* ‘to shine’ is not very specific. According to Rix 1985: 345ff., *sīdus* and Gr. *ἰθύς* ‘straight, just’ represent a secondary development of the root PIE *\*seHd<sup>h</sup>-* ‘to achieve a goal’. The verb *consīderāre* would mean ‘to concentrate on



a goal', *dēsīderāre* 'to come off a goal'. Nyman 1990 develops this line of thought, and adduces Finnish parallels for the semantic shift from 'mark, target' to 'star', which previously was not well understood. Nyman starts from a PIt. noun *\*sīpos* 'mark, target', and supposes the verbs to stem from hunters' jargon: *con-sīder-āre* 'to direct one's missile', *dē-sīder-āre* he interprets as denominal from a cp. *\*dē-sīdes-* 'mark-less, missing the mark'. This explanation is semantically satisfactory, but the connections outside Latin are unclear. Rix connects Gr. ἵθός, but this just means 'straight, just', without a clear connotation of 'mark, target'; furthermore, Cretan f. εἰθεῖα may point to PGr. *\*εἰθός* (De Lamberterie 1990: 287f.). Formally, Rix considers a derivation from a PIE reduplicated form *\*si-sHd<sup>h</sup>-u-* > *\*sisd<sup>h</sup>u-* > *\*sizdu-* > *\*sīdu-*, whence analogical introduction of *\*sīd-* into an *s*-stem *\*seHd<sup>h</sup>os-* 'goal' (to Skt. *sād<sup>h</sup>ate* 'to reach the goal' < IIr. *\*saHd<sup>h</sup>-*). Yet the PIE date of the development to *\*sīd<sup>h</sup>-* as assumed by Rix seems unlikely, and, in any case, IIr. does not show it. So we must look for an alternative etymology. By way of speculation, one might think of a derivative *\*sh<sub>2</sub>i-d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-o-* 'binding' to *\*sh<sub>2</sub>-i-* 'to tie, fasten' (cf. *saeculum*), whence a n. *s*-stem *\*sh<sub>2</sub>id<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>os-* 'binding post, pole' > PIt. *\*sīpos-* 'goal'.

Bibl.: WH II: 534, EM 623f., IEW 1042, LIV *\*seHd<sup>h</sup>-*.

**signum** 'mark, sign' [n. o] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *signāre* 'to mark with a sign, indicate, seal' (Pl.+), *sigillum* 'statuette, relief' (Varro+), *sigillātus* 'decorated with figures in relief' (Varro+); *insignis* 'clearly visible, remarkable' (Ter.+), *insigne* [n.] 'personal decoration, mark' (Pl.+), *insignitus* 'marked, remarkable' (Pl.+); *cōnsignāre* 'to seal (a document)' (Pl.+), *dēsignāre* 'to mark out, plan' (Pl.+), *dissignātor* 'who assigns seats' (Pl.+), *exsignāre* 'to certify (by seal) as authentic' (Pl.+), *obsignāre* 'to affix a seal to' (Pl.+); *significāre* 'to indicate by signs, mean' (Pl.+), *significātiō* 'meaning, sense' (Varro+).

PIt. *\*sekno-* 'statue, sign'. It. cognates: Marr. *asignas* [nom.pl.] 'cut meat' < *\*ā-sek-nā*; O. *segúnú*, σεγωνω [acc.pl.], *se[g]únúm* [acc.sg.] 'statue' < *\*sek-no-* [n.]; O. *seganatted* [3s.pf.] 'signāvit', denom. to O. *segno-*. Possibly also Mars. *seino*, *seinq* [acc.pl.?] 'statue?'.

PIE *\*sek(h<sub>2</sub>)-nō-* 'cut' [adj./n.]. IE cognates: see s.v. *secō*.

The etymological appurtenance to *secō* 'to cut' implies a semantic shift of *\*sek-no-* 'what is cut out', 'carved out' > 'sign'. *Sigillum* is the diminutive *\*segno-lo-* 'small sign'. *Signāre* and *in-signi-* are also derived from *\*signo-* 'mark'. There is no certain trace of a root-final laryngeal. Since in a PIE preform *\*sekh<sub>2</sub>no-* > PIt. *\*sekano-*, the *\*-a-* would not have syncopated, the derivative *\*sek-no-* may have been formed at a more recent stage from the synchronic root *\*sek-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 534f., EM 624, IEW 895f., Meiser 1998: 81, Untermann 2000: 129, 661f., 664f., LIV *\*sekH-*. → *secō*

**sileō** 'to be silent, be quiet' [v. II; pf. *siluī*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *silēscere* 'to become silent' (Ter.+), *silentium* 'silence, quiet' (Pl.+), *silentus* 'silent' (Laev. apud Gell.), *consilēscere* 'to fall silent' (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*silo-* 'quiet, silent', *\*silē-* 'to be quiet, silent'.

PIE \*sh<sub>1/2</sub>i-ló- ‘silent’. IE cognates: Go. *ana-silan* ‘to become quiet’ (pret.3s. *sa winds anasilaida* ‘the wind dropped’) < \*sil-ē-, OE *sālnēs(s)* [f.] ‘silence’ < \*sail-.

WH and EM assume that the original meaning may have been ‘to come to rest’ rather than just ‘to remain silent’. WH and IEW connect *sileō* with Latin *sinō* ‘to let’, from a root which is now reconstructed as \*sh<sub>1</sub>i- (or \*sh<sub>2</sub>i-). This requires a trivial semantic development from ‘to let go’ > ‘to come to rest’. If *sileō* was based on an adj. \*silo- ‘letting go, quiet’, this could be phonetically derived from \*sHi-ló- or \*siH-ló- (cf. Schrijver 1991: 248f., 343), with a pretonic first syllable. In Gm., we find a root \*sil-, \*sail- meaning ‘quiet, silent’, which can be from PIE \*sHi- or \*siH- if in pretonic position. Hence, Italic and Germanic can both reflect a PIE adj. \*sHi-ló-.

Bibl.: WH II: 535f., EM 625, IEW 889-891, LIV 1.\*seh<sub>1</sub>(i)-.

**silex, -icis** ‘hard rock, flint, lava’ [m. k] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *siliceus* ‘of silex’ (Cato+), *siliqua* ‘pod; carob-tree’ (Varro+), *silicula* ‘small pod’ (Varro+), *silīgō, -inis* [f.] ‘soft variety of wheat’ (Cato+), *silīgineus* ‘made from siligo’ (Cato+).

WH and IEW assume a dissimilation of \*skelik- to *silik-* in *silex* and *siliqua*, but this is only induced by the comparison with OCS *skolьka* ‘muscle’, which rather belongs to Lith. *skalà* ‘match, rod’, OHG *scala*, Alb. *halē* < \*skol-; cf. Vasmer 1950-1958 III: 647. Since the ablaut grade is different, and the meaning would only match *siliqua* (which has an unusual suffix), it is better not to assume an unwarranted dissimilation on the basis of this comparison. It seems uncertain that even *silex* and *siliqua* belong together, in view of their diverging meanings. For *silīgō*, the meaning of *siliqua* is sufficiently close to suggest that *sil-īgō* is derived from (the same root as) *siliqua*.

Bibl.: WH II: 536, EM 625, IEW 923-927.

**silva** ‘forest, wood’ [f. ā] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *silvāticus* ‘of woodlands or scrub’ (Cato+), *Silvānus* ‘god of forests and uncultivated land’ (Pl.+), *silvester/-tris* ‘wild, not cultivated; of/in woodlands’ (Acc.+); *silvicola* ‘inhabiting woodlands’ (Naev.+).

No etymology.

Bibl.: WH II: 537, EM 626.

**similis** ‘similar, like’ [adj. ī] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *simul* (var. *semul* Pl., CIL) [adv.] ‘together, simultaneously’ (Andr.+), *simultās* ‘animosity, quarrel’ (Pl.+), *simulter* ‘in the same way’ (Pl.), *simulāre* ‘to pretend, imitate’ (Pl.+), *simulācrum* ‘likeness, image’ (Pl.+), *simulātiō* ‘pretence’ (Ter.+), *similitās* ‘resemblance’ (Caecil.+), *similitūdō* ‘resemblance, image’ (Pac.+); *assimilis* ‘closely resembling’ (Pl.+), *assimulāre* ‘to pretend, feign, copy’ (Pl.+), *cōnsimilis* ‘similar’ (Pl.+), *dissimilis* ‘unlike’ (Pl.+), *dissimulāre* ‘to conceal, disguise’ (Pl.+), *īnsimulāre* ‘to accuse, charge’ (Pl.+).

PIt. \*semali- ‘alike, together’. It. cognates: maybe U. *sumel* [adv.] if ‘simultaneously’ < \*somal (or \*smli, Livingston 2004: 34).

PIE \*smh<sub>2</sub>-el-i-. IE cognates: OIt. *samail*, W. *hafal* ‘similar, like’, Gr. ὁμαλός

‘equal, level, smooth’, Go. *simle* ‘one time, once’, OE *simbel*, OHG *simble* ‘always’.

*Simul* and *semul* reflect *\*semali* [n.], with more recent *sim-* probably stemming from *similis*. *Similis* < *\*semilis* < *\*semalis*.

Bibl.: WH II: 538-540, EM 626, IEW 902-905, Schrijver 1991: 218, Meiser 1998: 176. → *sem-*, *sēmi-*, *singulī*

**simpu(v)ium** ‘earthenware ladle used in religious ceremonies’ [n. o] (Acta Arvalia, Varro+)

Derivatives: *simpulum* ‘earthenware ladle’ (Plin.+).

IE cognates: Gr. σπύνη (-ύα) ‘box for keeping flour and bread’.

Leumann suggests that *simpulum* is a remodelling of earlier *simpu(v)ium*, which seems likely. If so, the *p* in *simp-* cannot be explained from anaptyxis in *\*sem-lo-*, and the etymological connection with the root *\*semH-* ‘to scoop’ (thus Meiser 1998) must be dismissed. The form and meaning of Gr. σπύνη are close enough to suggest borrowing from Greek, or from a Semitic language, from which Greek also took the word. Adoption into the Latin vocabulary would account for the introduction of the nasal.

Bibl.: WH II: 540f., EM 627, Leumann 1977: 136, Meiser 1998: 81. → *sentīna*

**sincērus** ‘sound, whole, pure’ [adj. o/ā] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *sincēris* ‘pure’ (Varro+).

Plt. *\*sm-kair/so-* ‘whole, intact’.

PIE *\*keh<sub>2</sub>i-r/so-* ‘whole’? IE cognates: see s.v. *caelum*.

Has often been connected with *prōcērus*, but the semantics hardly allow for this possibility (cf. Hill 2006: 194). The *i*-stem variant *sincēris* is argued to be old by Hiltbrunner 1958: 114f. Formally, *sincērus* cannot be explained from a dissimilation of *r*’s, as is the case with *prōcērus*. Hiltbrunner 1958: 146-54 returns to an old suggestion by Corssen from 1868 to connect *caeri-mōnia* ‘purity’, and posits an earlier adj. *\*caerus* ‘whole, intact’. Indeed, this seems semantically very attractive, and formally, it is without problems. The adj. could be Plt. *\*kairo-* or *\*kaiso-*; in either case, we are reminded of *\*keh<sub>2</sub>i-lo-* as attested in PGM. *\*χaila-* and OCS *цѣль* ‘whole’, and maybe in Lat. *caelum*.

Bibl.: WH II: 541f., EM 627, Hiltbrunner 1958: 106-154. → *caerimonia*, *caelum*, *sem-*

**sine** ‘without’ [prep.] (Pl.+)

Plt. *\*sn(H)i*.

PIE *\*snh<sub>1</sub>-i* [loc.sg.?]. IE cognates: OIr. *sain-* ‘especially, different’, W. *han-* ‘separate’ < PCl. *\*sani* < *\*snHi*; Skt. *sanutār* [adv.] ‘away, aside’ < s(e)nH-u-, OAv. *hanarə* ‘without’, Gr. *ἄνευ* [adv.] ‘far from, without’ < *\*saneu* < *\*snh<sub>1</sub>-eu*; Go. *sundro* ‘apart, aside’, OHG *suntar* ‘without’, ToA *sne*, ToB *snai* ‘id.’ < PTo. *\*snai* < *\*snHi*.

Bibl.: WH II: 542f., EM 627f., IEW 907, Schrijver 1991: 218.

**singulī** ‘one apiece, single’ [adj.pl. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *singulārius* ‘separate’ (Pl.+), *singulāris* ‘of a particular person; singular’ (Varro+), *singillātim* ‘one by one’ (Pl.+).

PIt. \**sem-g-(lo-)*.

PIE \**s(e)m-g<sup>h</sup>-* ‘single’.

The older etymology as \**sem-ġno-* to the root \**ġenh<sub>1</sub>-* ‘to be born’ must be dismissed. Meiser suggests that *singulī* contains a distributive particle \**-g<sup>h</sup>-*, and was later suffixed with \**-lo-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 544, EM 628, IEW 373-375, Leumann 1977: 494, Sihler 1995: 436, Meiser 1998: 177.

**singultus** ‘sobbing, hiccough’ [m. *u*] (Lucr.+)

Derivatives: *singultāre* ‘to catch the breath, gasp’ (Verg.+).

PIt. \**seng<sup>w</sup>-ol-to-?*

PIE \**seng<sup>w(h)</sup>-*.

Leumann suggests an original verb \**sing-ulāre* with the same suffix found in other verbs of sound, e.g. *sībilāre*, and the same kind of derivative as in *tumultus*. Lat. *singultus* cannot represent a ppp. \**singulitus* under the normal rules of syncope (cf. Schrijver 1991: 273). Thus, it may have been built directly on the model of other words in *-ultus*. The root *sing-* has been compared with PIE \**seng<sup>w(h)</sup>-* ‘to sing’ (which shares with sobbing the fact that a sound is produced; but ‘sobbing’ is seldom referred to as ‘singing’...); but one might also compare PIE \**seng<sup>w</sup>-* ‘to fall down, sink’: coughing and sobbing are characterized by violent movements of the throat and often the entire head.

Bibl.: WH II: 542, EM 628, Leumann 1977: 354.

**sinister** ‘left, on the left-hand side, adverse’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *sinist(e)ra* ‘the left hand, the left’ (Pl.+).

PIt. \**senis-tero-?*

PIE \**senh<sub>2</sub>-is-* [adj.] ‘more obtaining’? IE cognates: Skt. pr. *sanóti*, pf. *sasāna* ‘to win, gain’, go-*śáni-* [adj.] ‘winning cows’, Av. *hana-* ‘to obtain’.

Next to *laevus* and *scaevus*, the meaning ‘left’ for *sinister* must be a more recent development. If *-is-* represents the PIE comparative suffix \**-is-*, to which an extra \**-tero-* was added, the root can be \**sin(H)-* or \**sen(H)-*. WH connect the root \**senh<sub>2</sub>-* ‘to obtain’ (Skt. *sanóti*), which would imply something like ‘the more favourable (side)’ for \**senH-is-tero-* > *sinister*. This remains gratuitous, because the semantics are not specific enough.

Bibl.: WH II: 544, EM 628, Leumann 1977: 317, Sihler 1995: 40.

**sinō, -ere** ‘to leave alone, let be, allow’ [v. III; pf. *sī(v)ī*, ppp. *situm*] (Lex XII+; pf.sb. *sīrīs*, *sīrit* etc. Lex XII, Pl.–Pac.)

Derivatives: *situs* ‘placed, built, deposited’ (Pl.+); *dēsinerē* ‘to leave off, cease’ (Naev.+).

PIt. \**sine/o-* ‘to put in place’, \**sito-* ‘placed’.

PIE \**tkei-* / \**tki-* ‘to build’. IE cognates: Skt. pr. *kṣéti* [3s.act.], *kṣiyánti* [3p.act.],

*kṣáyat* [3s.sb.act.] ‘to live, stay’, OAv. *šāēiti*. ‘he lives’, *šūieṅti* ‘they are on somebody’s side’, Myc. *ki-ti-je-si /ktijensil/* ‘they live’, Gr. κτίζειν, κτίσαι ‘to found, build’, (ἐν)κτίζεω ‘well to live’.

Note the difference in meaning between the adj. *situs* ‘placed’ (which matches that of *pōnō* < \**po-sinō*) and the meaning of the verb and its ppp. ‘to leave, let’. The pf.sb. *sīr-ī-* is explain by Meiser 2003 from \**sei-s-ī-*, whereas Leumann 1977 regards it as a contracted form of the pf.sb. *sīverīs* etc. The root reconstruction is disputed. LIV hesitates between a root \**sh<sub>1</sub>i-* ‘to let go’, for which the IE evidence is slim (the IIr. forms rather mean ‘to bind’, and can be reconstructed as \**sh<sub>2</sub>i-*), and \**t<sub>1</sub>ki-* ‘to build’, with a semantic shift from ‘to let someone dwell’ > ‘leave in peace’ > ‘leave, let’ or ‘to let dwell’ > ‘put in place’, and \**po-sine-* ‘to offload, put’ > *pōnere* ‘put’. In view of *situs*, which clearly preserves an older meaning than *sinō*, we may combine these two paths: \**t<sub>1</sub>kei-* ‘to build’, factitive \**t<sub>1</sub>ki-n-* ‘to have so. build sth.’ > ‘to put in place’ (*situs*, *pōnō*) > ‘to let, allow’ (*sinō*). Thus, I prefer the derivation from PIE \**t<sub>1</sub>kei-* ‘to build, dwell’; this can be regarded as an *i*-present to the root \**tek-* ‘to make’.

Bibl.: WH II: 545, EM 628f., IEW 889-891, Leumann 1977: 600, Sihler 1995: 534, Meiser 1998: 184, 2003: 124, LIV I. \**seh<sub>1</sub>(i)-*, \**tek-*, \**t<sub>1</sub>kei-*. → *pōnō*, *sileō*

**sinus** ‘cavity, fold, breast’ [m. *u*] (Ter.+)

Derivatives: *īnsimūāre* ‘to work oneself into favour, make one’s way in’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \**sinu-*.

PIE \**sinu-*? IE cognates: Alb. *gji*, *-ri* ‘breast’ < PALb. \**gjin-* < \**sin-*.

Probably to be connected with Alb. *gji* ‘breast’; if so, Skt. *sānu-* ‘back’ cannot be cognate, since this does not contain \**-i-*. No further etymology.

Bibl.: WH II: 546, EM 629.

**sistō**, **-ere** ‘to cause to stand, set up’ [v. III; pf. *stetī*, ppp. *statum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *assistere* ‘to stand by, attend, bring to a halt’ (Pl.+), *cōnsistere* ‘to stop moving, take a position, arise’ (Pl.+), *dēsistere* ‘to leave off, cease’ (Pl.+), *īnsistere* ‘to stand on, proceed with’ (Pl.+), *resistere* ‘to pause, offer resistance’ (Pl.+), *subsistere* ‘to stand firm, stop short’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \**s(t)i-sta/o-* [pr.], \**stato-* [ppp.]. It. cognates: U. *sestu* [1s.pr.], *sestu* [3s.ipv.II], *seste* [23s.pr. or 3s.pr.ps.], O. *statús* [ppp nom.pl.m.], Vol. *statom* [nom.acc.sg.n.] ‘to place, put, found’, maybe ‘determine’; Vol. *sistatiens* [3p.pf.] ‘they have established’ (to \**statu-je-*, Beckwith 2005: 155), U. *restatu* [3s.ipv.II], *restef*, *reste* [ptc.pr. nom.sg.m.] ‘to place, put’ < \**sistā-* derived from \**sista/o-*.

PIE \**sti-sth<sub>2</sub>(e/o-)* ‘to put up, make to stand’. IE cognates: Celtib. *sistat* ‘erects (?)’, OIr. *sissidir\**, *-sissedar* ‘to stand strong’ < PCI. \**sista/o-*, OIr. *sessam* ‘standing’, MW *gwaessaf* ‘support’ < \**sistamu-* [m.]; Skt. pr. *tīṣṭhati*, YAv. *hištaiti* ‘to stand’, OP *aištātā* (< \**a-hišta-*) [3s.ipf.]; Gr. ἵστημι, Dor. ἵστᾶμι ‘to (make to) stand’.

Whether the Italic present was thematic or athematic is uncertain. As Schrijver observes, there is no compelling argument against an original athematic verb.

Bibl.: WH II: 597f., EM 651-654, IEW 1004-1010, Schrijver 1991: 412, Untermann 2000: 672-680, Meiser 2003: 189f., Schumacher 2004: 571f., LIV \**steh<sub>2</sub>-*. → *stō*

**sitis** ‘thirst’ [f. *i*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *sitīre* ‘to be thirsty’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*(k)siti-*.

PIE *\*d<sup>h</sup>g<sup>wh</sup>i-ti-* ‘destruction, perishing’. IE cognates: Skt. *kṣay-* ‘to destroy, perish’, YAv. *jināiti* ‘destroys’, *ajiiamna-* ‘undiminishing’, Gr. hom. *φθίω*, Att. *φθίω* ‘to vanish, perish’, aor. *ἔφθιτο*.

Apparently, the PIE cluster *\*d<sup>h</sup>g<sup>wh</sup>-* metathesized into *\*g<sup>wh</sup>d<sup>h</sup>-* in a prestage of Italic, yielding *\*k<sup>b</sup>-* and finally *s-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 548, EM 629f., IEW 487, Meiser 1998: 96, LIV *\*d<sup>h</sup>g<sup>wh</sup>i-*. → *situs*

**situs** ‘neglect, disuse, rot’ [m. *u*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *siticen*, *-inis* [m.] ‘player on some kind of musical instrument’ (Cato+).

Plt. *\*(k)situ-* ‘funeral’?

PIE *\*d<sup>h</sup>g<sup>wh</sup>i-tu-* ‘destruction, perishing’. IE cognates: see s.v. *sitis*.

If *siticen* originally referred to musicians playing at funerals (as Gellius’ explanation of *siticen* claims), it may reflect *\*situ-kan-* ‘who plays at the funeral’. But this is of course very speculative.

Bibl.: WH II: 549, EM 630, IEW 487, Meiser 1998: 96, LIV *\*d<sup>h</sup>g<sup>wh</sup>i-*. → *sitis*

**so-** ‘this, that’ [pron.] (Enn.: acc.sg.m. *sum*, acc.sg.f. *sam*, acc.pl.m. *sōs*)

Plt. *\*so-* / *\*sā-*. It. cognates: O. *eks-*, *ex-*, U. *es(s)-*, Marr. *es-* ‘this’ < *\*ekso-/ā-* ‘this’ < *\*e-ke/o-so* (see s.v. *-ce*); SPic. **praistakla-sa** [nom.acc.pl.n.].

PIE *\*so* [nom.sg.m.], *\*seh<sub>2</sub>* [nom.sg.f.], *\*tod* [nom.acc.sg.n.] ‘this, that’. IE cognates: Celtib. *śomui* [dat.sg.m.], *śomei* [loc.sg.], OIr. *so*, *-so*, *-siu* ‘this, that’ (< PIE *\*so*), Skt. *sá-/tá-*, Av. *hā*, *ha-/ta-* ‘this, he’, Gr. *ὅ* [m.], *ὄς* [m.], *ή* [f.] ‘this, he, she’, oblique and n. *τ-*; Lith. *tàs*, *tà*, OCS *tv*, *ta* ‘this, that’; Go. *sa* [m.], *so* [f.] ‘this, he, she’, obl. and n. *þ-*; ToB *se* [m.], *sā* [f.] ‘this, the’.

PIE *\*s-/t-* ‘this, that’ originally had *\*s-* only in the nom.sg.m.f. In Plt. or maybe already in Italo-Celtic, *\*s-* spread to all case-forms. Of this paradigm, Ennius retains three acc. forms, and OLat. has a few reduplicated forms, for which see s.v. *ipse*. The cj. *sī* < *\*sei* probably was a loc.sg. of the same paradigm. In Sabellic, *\*so/sā-* is found inflected in the pronoun *\*ekso/ā-*. As an uninflected element, *\*so* is found in Lat. *ipse*. The variant *\*to-*, originally of the oblique cases, is found in Lat. *iste* and in the adv. *tam*, *topper*, *tum*. It is likely, then, that *\*to-* also had an inflected paradigm in Plt.

Bibl.: WH II: 550, EM 630, IEW 978f., Leumann 1977: 470, Sihler 1995: 395, Beekes 1995: 202-204, Schrijver 1997b: 15, Meiser 1998: 164, Untermann 2000: 216-218. → *-ce*, *ipse*, *iste*, *sī*, *tam*, *topper*, *tum*

**sōbrius** ‘sober, not intoxicated’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *sobrietās* ‘sobriety’ (Sis.+).

Plt. *\*se-obri-(o-)* ‘away from drunkenness’?

PIE *\*h<sub>1</sub>og<sup>wh</sup>-r-i-* ‘drinking’.

The adj. probably continues the negating prefix *sē-* plus (the preform of) *ēbrius*

'drunk'. The  $\bar{o}$  would seem to point to a preform  $*so-$  or  $*swe-$  (cf. Meiser 1986: 247f.), but such a preform is uncertain: the other instances of  $s\bar{e}-$  can be explained from Plt.  $*se(d)-$ . Weiss 1994 points to the relationship *terra* : *extorris*, and suggests that we may reconstruct  $*swe-obrios$  based on  $*swe-og^{wh}ri-$ . The result of contraction  $*eo$  in initial syllable is unknown; if  $*swe-$  became  $*so-$  before the contraction, the result would almost certainly be  $s\bar{o}-$ . But in that case, the preform could also be  $*se-obrio-$ , with *seo* >  $s\bar{o}-$ . In a footnote, LIV refers to the suggestion by Rasmussen and Zeilfelder that *sōbrius* might reflect  $*se-h_3g^{wh}rio-$  with  $*h_3$ . Yet the semantic shift of  $*s(y)e$  and/or  $*s\bar{e}d$  from 'per se, for itself' to 'without, away' is inner-Italic or inner-Latin, from a period when the different laryngeals were not distinguished anymore or had dropped altogether.

Bibl.: WH II: 550, EM 630, Schrijver 1991: 54, Weiss 1994, LIV  $*h_1eg^{th}-$ . → *ēbrius*

**socer, -erī** 'father-in-law' [m. *o*] (Naev.+; nom.sg. *socerus* Pl., dat.sg. *socrī* Naev.)

Derivatives: *socrus*,  $-ūs$  [f.] 'mother-in-law' (Enn.+; Naev.Acc. m. 'father-in-law').

Plt.  $*swekuro-$  'father-in-law',  $*swekrū-$  'mother-in-law'.

PIE  $*suékuro-$  [m.] 'father-in-law',  $*suekrúh_2-$  (<  $*suekurh_2$ ) [f.] 'mother-in-law'. IE cognates: Skt. *śváśura-*, YAv.  $x^v asura-$ , Gr.  $\acute{\epsilon}\kappa\upsilon\rho\acute{\omicron}\varsigma$ , Lith. *šėšuras* (<  $*sešuras$  by assimilation), OHG *swehur* [m.] 'father-in-law', NHG *Schwager* [m.] 'brother-in-law' (<  $*suekuro-$  'belonging to, the father-in-law'); Skt. *śvaśrū-*, Sogd.  $\gamma wšh$ , OCS *sveky* [f.], OHG *swigar* [f.] 'mother-in-law'.

The transition of the original  $\bar{u}$ -stem *socrus* to a nom.sg. in  $-us$  is explained by Leumann from iambic shortening, whereas Schrijver posits laryngeal loss in oblique case forms followed by analogical spread to the nom.sg. The similar change in *neptis* <  $*nept-iH-$  suggests that Schrijver's solution is correct, since *neptis* cannot be explained from iambic shortening.

Bibl.: WH II: 550f., EM 631, IEW 1043f., Schrijver 1991: 259, Meiser 1998: 146.

**socius** 'companion, comrade' [m. *o*] (VOLat.+; Garigliano Bowl *sokiois* [abl.pl.]); gen.pl. *socium*; in verse and fixed formulas.

Derivatives: *šocia* 'female partner' (Pl.+), *sociennus* 'partner' (Pl.), *societās* 'partnership, fellowship' (Enn.+); *cōnsociāre* 'to bring into alliance, associate' (Pl.+), *dissociāre* 'to separate' (Lucret.+).

Plt.  $*sokjo-$ . It. cognates: Fal. **soc[ia]** [dat.sg.f.], **soclai** [voc.pl.f.] 'girlfriend, concubine'.

PIE  $*sok^{w}(h_2)-i-o-$  'companion'. IE cognates: Skt. *sákhāy-* [m.] (*sákhā* [nom.sg.], *sákhāyam* [acc.sg.], *sákhye* [dat.sg.]), Av. *haxi-* (YAv. *haxa* [nom.sg.], *haše* [dat.sg.]) 'friend, companion' < PIE  $*sok^{w}h_2-oi-$ ; Olc. *seggr* 'man, warrior'; OE *secg* 'man, fellow, warrior' < PGm.  $*sayja-$  <  $*sok^{w}-io-$ .

The suffix of the hapax *sociennus* is unique; it is mostly explained as an Etruscan suffix which was added to the Latin base (Leumann 1977: 321). Whereas Ilr. contains an *i*-stem derived from a collective  $*sok^{w}h_2-$  'comrades', there is no certainty that Germ. and Latin are also derived from the same  $h_2$ -stem, since we are unable to discern a trace of the laryngeal. It is equally possible that *socius* and Gm.  $*sayja-$  are

*o*-stem derivatives from a noun \*sok<sup>w</sup>-i- ‘comrades’ or an adj. \*sok<sup>w</sup>-i- ‘following’.

Bibl.: WH II: 551, EM 631, IEW 896f., Giacomelli 1963: 255f.; Schrijver 1991: 249, 259, Sihler 1995: 334, Meiser 1998: 98, LIV 1.\*sek<sup>u</sup>-. → *sequor*

**sodālis** ‘member of a fraternity’ [m. *i*] (VOLat.+ : nom.sg. *suodales* Lapis Satricanus)

Derivatives: *sodālitās* ‘association, group’ (Pl.+), *sodālicium* ‘fraternity, partnership’ (Lucil.+).

PIt. \**sweḫāli*-.

PIE \*sue-d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>- ‘custom, to get accustomed’. IE cognates: Skt. *svadhā-* [f.] ‘custom, peculiar nature’ < \*sue-d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>- (or \*sue-d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-eh<sub>2</sub>-?), Gr. ἔθος [n.] ‘custom, usage’ < (diss.) \*héhōs < \*ḗéhōs; Go. *sidus* [m.] ‘custom’ (< \*s(u)e-d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-u-?).

An Italic derivative in \*-āli- of a stem \**swed<sup>h</sup>*- < PIE \*sue-d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>- ‘custom’, a syntagm which is also reflected in Skt. and Gr. With a first member \**suē* or \**sueh<sub>1</sub>*, it is also found in *suēscō* (see s.v.). An alternative etymology would be \**sue-d-āli-* to Gr. ἴδιος, Dor. φίδιος, Arg. ἡίδιος ‘own, private’ < PGr. \*ḗhedio- < \**swedio*-.

Bibl.: WH II: 552, EM 631f., IEW 882-884, Sihler 1995: 131, Stüber 2002: 155f. → *suēscō*

**sōl, sōlis** ‘sun’ [m. *l*] (Lex XII, Naev.+)

Derivatives: *sōlārium* ‘part which is exposed to the sun, sundial’ (Pl.+); *sōlstitium* ‘solstice’ (Cato+), *sōlstitiālis* ‘of the summer solstice’ (Pl.+).

PIt. \**saul*?

PIE \*seh<sub>2</sub>u-l [nom.acc.], \*sh<sub>2</sub>u-én- [obl.] ‘sun’ [n.]. IE cognates: W. *haul* ‘sun’; OIc. *sūil* [f.] ‘eye’ > \**sūl-i-*, Skt. *svār-* (*sūvar-*) [n.] ‘sun’ (nom.sg. *svār* (< \**sūHṛ* < \**sHur* < \**sh<sub>2</sub>ul*), gen.sg. *sūras*, dat.sg. *sūre*), OAv. *huuarā* [n.], gen.sg. *x<sup>v</sup>əng* < \**hu<sub>2</sub>anh*; YAv. *huuarə*, gen.sg. *hū* / *hūrō*; Skt. *sūrya-* [m.] ‘sun, deity of the sun’, Gr. ep. ἡέλιος, Dor. Aeol. Arc. ἀέλιος, Dor. (trag.) also ἄλιος ‘sun’ < PGr. \*σᾰῤῥέλιος < \*seh<sub>2</sub>-uel-io-; Lith. *saulė*, Latv. *saūle*, OCS *slъnъce* [n.], Ru. *sólnce* < BSl. \**sa<sub>2</sub>ul-/s<sub>2</sub>ul-*; Go. *sauil* [n.], OIc. OE *sōl* [f.] < \*seh<sub>2</sub>-uel-o-, Go. *sunno*, OE *sunne* ‘sun’.

The IE languages point to an ablauting n. *l/n*-stem meaning ‘sun’, and a derivative in -*i-* or -*io-* to the *l*-stem. Since Latin does not show a trace of a derivative suffix, it is plausible that *sōl* continues the old n. nom.acc.sg., which later became m. (because of the gender of *mēnsis*?). It is uncertain, however, how Latin acquired -*ō-*. A preform \*seh<sub>2</sub>ul > \**saul* would normally be preserved as *saul* and \*sh<sub>2</sub>u-l would yield \*\**sūl*. Kortlandt apud Beekes 1984: 6 suggests that \**saul* would have given *sōl* by sporadic development, conditioned by -*l*. Any form with \*seh<sub>2</sub>u- > \**sāu-* would be expected to yield \*\**sāl*.

Bibl.: WH II: 553f., EM 632, IEW 881f., Schrijver 1991: 258, Sihler 1995: 84, Schrijver 1995: 422, Meiser 1998: 88.

**soleō** ‘to be used to, be liable, cohabit with’ [v. II; pf. *soluī* (Enn., Cato) / *solitus sum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *assolēre* ‘to usually accompany’ (Pl.+), *insolēns* ‘unaccustomed,



unfamiliar' (Ter.+), *obsolescere* 'to be forgotten about, become tarnished' (Varro+), *obsoletus* 'worn-out' (Cic.).

Plt. *\*sol-ē-* 'to occupy'.

PIE *\*sol-eh<sub>1</sub>-* 'to occupy, inhabit'. IE cognates: see s.v. *solum*.

The etymology is disputed. The meaning is closest to *suēscō* and *sodālis*, so that WH and IEW prefer *\*syed<sup>h</sup>-ē-* > *\*sodē-*, which then underwent the irregular development of intervocalic *\*d* to *l*. However, *\*swe-* > *so-* would be blocked by a following front vowel such as *ē*; hence this etymology must be rejected. LIV, adopting a much earlier proposal, posits a PIE iterative *\*sol-eie-* 'to be accustomed', cognate with Go. *saljan*, and maybe with Latin *solum* 'ground'. Stüber 2002: 147 assumes that the root originally meant 'to come to a standstill', whence frequentative 'to keep returning to' > 'dwell at' > 'have the custom to'. This is quite a complicated chain of shifts. The nominal derivatives (OCS *selo* 'land, village', OHG *sal* 'home, room') rather point to an original meaning 'to occupy, inhabit', from which *soleō* can easily be understood as 'to occupy habitually'; or, if the Latin verb was derived from *solum* 'ground', it could mean 'to be inhabiting' > 'be accustomed to'.

Bibl.: WH II: 555, EM 456, 632, IEW 882-884, LIV 2. *\*sel-*. → *solum, suēscō*

**solidus** 'solid, thorough' [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Plt. *\*solipō-*.

PIE *\*solh<sub>2</sub>-i-d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-o-* 'entire'. IE cognates: see s.v. *salvus*.

The difference in semantics renders a derivation from *soleō* 'to be accustomed' unlikely, even though *-idus* adjectives often co-occur with statives in *-ēre*. It seems more likely that *solidus* derives from the same root *\*slh<sub>2</sub>-* 'whole' as *salvus* and *sollus*.

Bibl.: WH II: 472, 555, EM 632f., IEW 979f., Nussbaum 1999a: 380. → *salvus, sollus*

**solium** 'high-backed chair, throne; bath-tub; sarcophagus' [n. *o*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *soliar*, *-āris* [n.] 'covering for a throne' (Varro+).

Plt. *\*sod-jo-*.

PIE *\*sod-io-* [n.] 'seat'. IE cognates: OIr. *suide* [n.] 'seat' < *\*sodio-*.

Probably from *\*sodio-* 'seat' with the change of intervocalic *\*d* > *-l-*. WH's rejection of this etymology is not credible: a 'bath-tub' (Cato+) may originally have been a simple seat in a bathing-house, and the meaning 'sarcophagus' (1st.c. AD+) may be metaphorical from 'throne'. It is possible but uncertain that *ob-sidium*, *sub-sidium* also contain *\*sodium*; alternatively, they have been grafted on *sed-eō*.

Bibl.: WH II: 554, EM 633, IEW 884-887, Leumann 1977: 295, LIV *\*sed-*. → *sedeō*

**sollus** 'unbroken, complete' [adj. *o/ā*] (Lucil., Fest.)

Derivatives: *sollistimum* 'satisfying the rites' (Cic.+), *sollemnis* 'performed in accordance with the prescriptions, solemn, customary' (Cato+), *sollemnitus* [adv.] 'solemnly' (Andr.); *sollers* 'clever, skilled' (Cato+), *sollicurius* 'wholly curious' (Liv. apud Fest.), *solliferreum* 'kind of javelin made wholly of iron' (Liv.+); *sollicitus* 'restless, troubled, anxious' (Pl.+), *sollicitāre* 'to disturb, worry, tempt' (Pl.+),

*sollicitātiō* ‘vexation, temptation’ (Ter.+), *sollicitūdō* ‘anxiety, concern’ (Pl.+); *sōlōx* [adj.] ‘coarse, raw’ (Lucil.+).

Plt. *\*solno-* ‘whole’. It. cognates: O. *sullus* [nom.pl.m.], *sullum*, *sulum*, *solu* [gen.pl.m.], *s]ullas* [acc.pl.f.], Pael. *solois* [dat.pl.m.], O. *s]úllad* [abl.sg.f.], O. *suluh* [adv.] ‘each, all, whole’; O. *súll[a]m[n.]aís* [abl.pl.f.] ‘connected with the yearly cult feast’ < *\*sollo-amno-*.

PIE *\*sol(h<sub>2</sub>)-no-* ‘whole’. IE cognates: W. Bret. *holl*, Co. *oll* ‘all’ < *\*sol-no-*, OIr. *slán* ‘whole, sound’ < *\*slH-no-*.

Nussbaum 1997 has convincingly argued that Plt. *\*sollo-* can reflect PIE *\*solh<sub>2</sub>uo-*; since there is no trace of a form *\*sola<sub>uo</sub>-* with regular vocalization of the laryngeal, we must conclude that *\*h<sub>2</sub>* was lost due to the de Saussure effect. Alternatively, Plt. *\*sollo-* could reflect PIE *\*sol(H)-no-*, to be compared with BrCl. *\*sol-no-* and OIr. *slán* (Nussbaum 1997: 189, Driessen 2005: 47). This solution would relieve us of the inconvenience of deriving both *salvus* and *sollus* from a Plt. preform in *\*-~~uo~~-*.

The adj. *solli-citus* ‘wholly anxious’ contains *citus* ‘stirred’, see s.v. *cieō*. In *sollers* we find *ars* ‘skill’. For *sollemnis*, Nussbaum 1997: 188 proposes a new etymology which seems more likely than the previous one: *\*soll-epli-* ‘with all (due) religious performances, with all due rites’. Dissimilation of the last *l* would have given *\*soll-epni-*, whence *sollemnis*. The adj. *sōlōx* ‘coarse’ (of wool and sheep) might represent *\*soll-ōk-* ‘whole’, in the sense of ‘not yet processed’.

Bibl.: WH II: 555-557, EM 633f., IEW 979f., Nussbaum 1997: 187-192, Untermann 2000: 713-715. → *epulum*, *salvus*, *solidus*

**sōlor**, **-ārī** ‘to give solace, comfort’ [v. I] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *sōlācium* ‘solace, comfort’ (Pl.+), *cōnsōlārī* ‘to offer consolation, compensation’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*sōl-*.

PIE *\*sōlh<sub>2</sub>-* ‘mercy, comfort’. IE cognates: Gr. *ἰλάσκομαι* /*hīlā-l*, *ἴλαμαι* ‘to appease’, maybe Arm. *alač'em* ‘to pray’.

Schrijver interprets *sōlor* as denominal to a root noun *\*sōl-*; although we have no independent evidence for a preform *\*sōl-*, this is the best way to explain *-ō-* from a known morphological type. *Sōlācium* presupposes an adj. *\*sōlāx* ‘comforting’.

Bibl.: WH II: 556, EM 633f., IEW 900, Schrijver 1991: 126-128, LIV *\*selh<sub>2</sub>-*.

**solum** ‘base, foundation; sole of the foot’ [n. o] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *solea* ‘sandal; sole (fish)’ (Pl.+), *soleārius* ‘sandal-maker’ (Pl.+), *soleātus* ‘sandalled’ (Afran.+).

Plt. *\*se/olo-* ‘base, sole’.

PIE *\*se/ol-o-* [n.] ‘place, habitation’. IE cognates: Lith. *salà* ‘island, field surrounded by meadows, village’, OCS *selo* [n.] ‘field, village’, OHG *sal* [m.] ‘habitation, room’, OIc. *salr* ‘hall, room, house’.

Since *\*selo-* would regularly yield Lat. *solu-*, it is uncertain whether *solum* continues PIE *\*sel-* or *\*sol-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 554, EM 634, IEW 1046, LIV 2.\*sel-. → *soleō*

**solvō, -ere** ‘to loose (an object bound), release, set free’ [v. III; pf. *solvī*, ppp. *solūtum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *solūtiō* ‘payment, unfastening’ (Varro+); *absolvere* ‘to pay off, finish, acquit’ (Pl.+), *dissolvere* ‘to set free, pay, break into pieces’ (Pl.+), *exsolvere* ‘to release, perform, pay’ (Pl.+), *resolvere* ‘to pay back, loosen, free’ (Pl.+).

Compound of *\*se-* ‘away’ and *-luō* ‘to loosen’. For the explanation of *so-*, see s.v. *sē-*. The ppp. *so-lūtus* may have long *ū* from PIE *\*luH-to-*, or it may be following the productive pattern for Latin *u*-stem verbs.

Bibl.: WH I: 834, II: 557, EM 634, IEW 68If., Schrijver 1991: 246, 469, LIV *\*leuH-*. → *luō, sē-*

**sōlus** ‘alone’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *sōlitūdō* ‘loneliness, emptiness’ (Pl.+), *sōlitās* ‘loneliness’ (Acc.+), *sōlitārius* ‘solitary, single’ (Varro+).

The etymological connection with *\*s(w)e*, *\*sēd* ‘away, apart’ which WH, IEW and EM propose is hardly possible. Only an ins.sg. *\*s(u)oh<sub>1</sub>-* would yield *sō-*, but there are no indications that such a form of the reflexive pronoun ever existed. One might connect Go. *sels* ‘happy’ < *\*sēl-*, OE *sētra* ‘better’ < *\*sōliza-*, but the semantics are unconvincing and the root is not otherwise attested. Semantically, *sōlus* could be connected with the PIE root *\*slH-* found in *salvus* and *sollus* ‘whole’; it could reflect a thematic derivative *\*sōlH-o-* with *v̥ddhi*, or an original root noun *\*sōlH-* ‘the whole, the one’ with vowel lengthening in a monosyllable.

Bibl.: WH II: 557, EM 634, IEW 882-884.

**somnus** ‘sleep’ [m. *o*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *somnium* ‘dream, vision’ (Pl.+), *somniāre* ‘to dream, day-dream’ (Pl.+), *somniculōsus* ‘sleepy’ (Pl.+), *somnurnus* ‘occurring during sleep’ (Varro); *īnsomnis* ‘sleepless’ (Cato+).

PIt. *\*swe/opno-* [m.] ‘sleep’, *\*swe/opnjo-* ‘dream’.

PIE *\*suépno-* / *\*suopno-* ‘sleep’. IE cognates: OIr. *súan*, W. *hun* ‘sleep’ < *\*suopno-*; Hit. *šupp-*<sup>(ti)a(ri)</sup> ‘to sleep’ < *\*sup-(t)ó*, *šuppariie/a-<sup>zi</sup>* ‘to sleep’ < *\*sup-r-ie/o-*, *šupparuant-* ‘sleepy?’; Skt. *svápna-* [m.] ‘sleep, dream’, *svápnya-* [n.] ‘dream, vision’, *dusvápnyam* ‘nightmare’, Av. *x<sup>v</sup>afna-* [m.] ‘sleep, dream’, Gr. *ὑπνος* [m.] ‘sleep’, *ὑπαρ* ‘reality’, Arm. *k’own*, Alb. *gjumë* ‘sleep’, Lith. *sāpnas*, Latv. *sapnis* ‘dream’, OCS *сънь*, Ru. *son* ‘sleep’; Oic. *svefn*, ToA *spām*, ToB *spane* ‘id.’.

PIt. *\*swepno-* > *\*swopno-* would regularly yield Latin *somnus*. It is uncertain whether a preform *\*swopno-* or *\*sopno-* would have yielded *somnus* too: Latin shows a change *\*o* > *u* in front of velar and labial nasals (*uncus*, *numerus*), but this change is mostly blocked by a preceding dental consonant: *tongeō*, *domus*. Thus, in *somnus* the change to *u* might also have been blocked by *s-*. In view of the Celtic forms, I have a slight preference for PIt. *\*swopno-*. Lat. *somnium* may go back to a PIE *io*-derivative (as proposed by Schindler) or be an inner-Latin formation. PIE *\*suépno-* is probably a thematized derivation of the *r/n*-stem *\*suep-r/n-* (see s.v. *sopor*); the same preform yielded Oic. *svefn*, and maybe Ilr. *\*suapna-*. Other languages show *\*suopno-* (Baltic,

Celtic) or *\*supno-* (Greek, Albanian, Slavic).

Bibl.: WH II: 557f., EM 634f., IEW 1048f., Schindler 1966, Schrijver 1991: 467-470, Sihler 1995: 299, Meiser 1998: 83, LIV *\*suep-*. → *sopor*

**sonō, -āre** ‘to sound’ [v. I; pf. *sonuī*, ppp. *sonitum*] (Pl.+; OLat. *sonere* Enn.–Lucr.)

Derivatives: *sonus* ‘sound, utterance’ (Enn.+), *sonor*, *-ōris* ‘sound’ (Lucr.+), *sonitus*, *-ūs* ‘sound, noise’ (Naev.+), *sonivius* ‘making a rattling sound’ (Cato+); *consonāre* ‘to resound, sound together’ (Pl.+), *obsonāre* ‘to interrupt by speaking’ (Pl.), *resonāre* ‘to resound, make an echo’ (Pl.+; *resonere* Enn., Pac., Acc.); *sonipēs* ‘making a noise with the feet’ (Lucil.+).

Plt. pr. *\*swena-*, iter. *\*swon-aje/o-* ‘to sound, resound’, *\*sweno-* [m.] ‘sound’. It. cognates: possibly (but disputed) U. *sonitu*, *sunitu* [3s.ipv.II] ‘?’.

PIE *\*suenH-(ti)* ‘sounds’, *\*suonh<sub>2</sub>-(e)ie/o-* ‘to resound’. IE cognates: OIr. *seinnid\**, *·seinn* ‘to play (a musical instrument)’ < PCI. *\*suan̄na-*, MW *honni* ‘to announce’ < PCI. iter. *\*suan̄n-ī-*; Skt. pr. *svanayan* [3p.], aor. *ásvanīt*, intens. *saniṣvanat* [3s.] ‘to sound, hum’, YAv. *xʼanač.caxra-* ‘with sounding wheels’, OKhot. *hvaña-* ‘to be spoken’.

The older class III present *sonere* is replaced in the course of Latin by *sonāre*. Schrijver doubts that this could have arisen from athematic *\*suenH-*, and hesitatingly adopts Steinbauer’s suggestion of an original causative; he leaves open the possibility that *sonāre* is denominal to *sonus*. Instead of a causative, one may rather expect an iterative *\*suonh<sub>2</sub>-eie-* ‘to resound, sound many times’, as LIV posits; this is also reflected in MW *honni* ‘to announce’. The noun *sonus* could be old, or be deverbal to *sonere*.

Bibl.: WH II: 559f., EM 635f., IEW 1046f., Steinbauer 1989: 124f., Schrijver 1991: 103, 395, Meiser 1998: 186, Rix 1999: 518f., Untermann 2000: 717f., Schumacher 2004: 607f., LIV *\*suenh<sub>2</sub>-*.

**sōns, sontis** ‘guilty’ [adj. *nt*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *sonticus* ‘genuine, valid’ (Lex XII+); *īnsōns* ‘innocent’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*sont-* ‘being’.

PIE nom. *\*h<sub>1</sub>és-nt-s* (>> *\*h<sub>1</sub>s-ont-s*), acc. *\*h<sub>1</sub>s-ént-m*, gen. *\*h<sub>1</sub>s-nt-ós* ‘existing, real’. IE cognates: Hit. *ašant- /?sant-/* ‘true, real’, Skt. *sánt-* ‘being, real, good’, *ásat-* ‘not being’, *satyá-* ‘true, real’, Av. *haiθiia-* ‘id.’ < *\*h<sub>1</sub>snt-io-*, Gr. *ὄν, ὄντ-ος* ‘being’, OPr. nom.sg. *sins*, dat.sg. *sentismu*, OLith. acc.sg.m. *santj*, Lith. *sąs, sañčio* (>> *ėsqs, ėšqs* m., *ėšanti* f.), Latv. *esuots* ‘being’, OCS *sy*, gen.sg.m. *spšta*, OIc. *sannr, saðr*, OHG *sand*, OS *sōð* ‘true’.

The original meaning ‘being, real’ of the pr.ptc. *\*sont-* can still be seen in the derivative *sont-icus* ‘real, genuine’, said of *morbus* ‘disease’ and *causa* ‘cause’ in the oldest attestations.

Bibl.: WH II: 560, EM 636, IEW 340-342, Leumann 1977: 523, Cotticelli Kuras 1993, Sihler 1995: 615f., LIV 1. *\*h<sub>1</sub>es-*. → *sum*

**sopor, -ōris** 'sleepiness, sleep' [m. r] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *sōpīre* 'to cause to sleep' (Lucret.+), *cōnsōpīre* 'to send to sleep, make unconscious' (Lucret.+).

PIt. *\*swép-ōs* [m.] 'sleep' / *\*swōp-* 'sleep' [m.].

PIE *\*suép-/sup-* 'to sleep', *\*suóp-s*, *\*suop-m*, *\*suep-s* [m.] 'sleep'; *\*suo/ep-r*, *\*sup-n-os* [n.]. IE cognates: OIc. *sæfa* 'to kill' < *\*sūōp-ie/o-*; further see s.v. *somnus*.

Since there was a root aor. PIE *\*su(e)p-* (according to LIV), *sopōr* might be regarded as an original *s*-stem collective *\*suep-ōs*. The fact that *somnus* 'sleep' goes back to PIE *\*sue/opno-*, a *no*-stem with different ablaut grades in the daughter languages, suggests that the original nominal form was a root noun *\*su(ō/e)p-* 'sleep' or an *n*-stem *\*su(e)p-n-*. In the latter case, this might have had a nom.acc.sg. *\*suep-ŕ* which would yield Lat. *sopor*. The consistent *-ōr*-inflexion in the rest of the paradigm could have arisen analogically. Gr. ὕπαιρ might be quoted in support (but this could be analogical to ὄναρ 'dream'), as well as Hit. *šupparije/a-<sup>zi</sup>* 'to sleep' < *\*sup-r-je/o-*, *šupparuant-* 'sleepy?'. Yet the fact that all *no*-derivatives are m. may suggest that the base noun was also m. Thus, *sopor* could also be derived from a PIE root noun 'sleep'. Such a root noun could have had a nom.sg. *\*sūōp-s*, explaining why we find *-ō-* in *sōpīre* (thus Nussbaum 1976: 250, Bammesberger 1980). The late attestation of Latin *sōpīre* is remarkable; but in view of its factitive meaning, unique for a verb in *-īre*, it is still likely to be an old verb. *Sōpīre* was explained as a causative PIE *\*sūōp-ie/o-* by Klingenschmitt 1978, but the existence of this verbal type is uncertain. The same PIE root noun is probably the source of the ablaut in *\*su(e/o)pno-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 561, EM 635, IEW 1048f., Klingenschmitt 1978, Bammesberger 1980, Schrijver 1991: 126, LIV *\*suep-*. → *somnus*

**sorbeō** 'to drink, suck up' [v. II; *sorbuī, sorbitum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *sorbilō* [adv.] 'by sips' (Pl.+), *sorbilāre* 'to sip' (Ter.+), *sorbitiō* 'broth, liquid food' (Pl.+); *absorbēre* 'to engulf, swallow up' (Pl.+), *exsorbēre* 'to swallow' (Pl.+), *obsorbēre* 'to gulp down' (Naev.+).

PIt. *\*sorfeie-*;

PIE *\*srob<sup>h</sup>-eie-* [iter.] 'to take various sips, slurp'. IE cognates: Hit. *šarāp-<sup>i</sup>* / *šarip-* 'to sip' < *\*srob<sup>h</sup>-* / *\*srb<sup>h</sup>-*, Gr. ῥοφῆω 'to slurp, gulp', ῥοφῆμα 'thick broth, soup', Arm. *arbi* 'he drank', Alb. *gierp* 'slurp' < *\*sorb-eie-*, Lith. *surbiū, suṛbti* 'to suck', *srēbti*, Is. *srebiū* 'to eat (liquid food) with a spoon', OCS *srѣbati*, Ru. *serbátb* 'to slurp', ORu. *serebl'u*, Sln. *srēbljem* 'to slurp'.

If one assumes that this root had a full grade *\*sreb<sup>h</sup>-* in PIE (as Lith. and Gr. seem to indicate), Latin *sorb-* must be secondary, since original *\*srob<sup>h</sup>-* would have given *\*frob-* (cf. *frigus*) or maybe *\*strob-*. The PIE form, with the ablaut vowel between the second and third consonant, can easily be due to onomatopoeic symbolism. It is assumed that Lat. *sorb-* must be the reflex of a zero grade *\*srb<sup>h</sup>-*, or it has analogically introduced *-or-* on the model of other iteratives, such as *torqueō* and *mordeō* (thus Tichy 1983: 82). A similar process must account for Albanian and Slavic *\*sVrb<sup>h</sup>-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 561f., EM 636, IEW 1001, Klingenschmitt 1982: 272f., LIV *\*sreb<sup>h</sup>-*.

**sorbus** ‘service-tree’ [f. *o*] (Veranius+)

Derivatives: *sorbum* ‘service-berry’ (Cato+).

No etymology. The connection with Ru. *sorobalina* ‘rose hip, blackberry’, Lith. *serbentà, serbeñtas* ‘redcurrant, blackcurrant’, *siřbfi* ‘to ripen’ is possible but does not point to a PIE root *\*sVr-* ‘red’, as IEW holds. At most, they show a non-IE word *\*sVrb-* for ‘berry’.

Bibl.: WH II: 562, EM 636, IEW 910f.

**sordēs, -is** ‘dirt, filth, stain’ [f. *i/ē*] (Pl.+; abl.sg. *sordē* Pl.)

Derivatives: *sordēre* ‘to be dirty, seem unworthy’ (Pl.+), *sorditūdō* ‘dirt’ (Pl.+), *sordidus* ‘dirty, squalid’ (Pl.+), *sordidulus* ‘shabby’ (Pl.+), *sordidātus* ‘shabbily dressed’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*swordi-* ‘dirt’, and/or *\*swordo-* ‘dirty’.

PIE *\*suord-/i-*. IE cognates: Go. *swarts*, OIc. *svart*, OE *sweart*, OHG *swarz* ‘black’.

If an original *i*-stem, *sordēs* might reflect *\*sord-i-* ‘dirt(iness)’, according to Nussbaum 1999a: 403. This might go back to *\*sword-* and be compared with PGM. *\*swart-* ‘black’. No further IE etymology.

Bibl.: WH II: 562, EM 637, IEW 1052, Leumann 1977: 451, Schrijver 1991: 378, 463. → *suāsum*

**sōrex, -icis** ‘shrew-mouse’ [m. *k*] (Ter.+)

Derivatives: *sōricīnus* ‘of a shrew-mouse’ (Pl.).

Probably related to Gr. ὄραξ ‘shrew-mouse’; I regard these words as reflexes of a common loanword of the structure *\*sVr-Vk-*. It is often assumed that *sōrex* is related to the onomatopoeia *susurrus* ‘whisper, rustling’, but in that case the relationship with the Greek word becomes less direct.

Bibl.: WH II: 563, EM 637, IEW 1049f., Schrijver 1991: 124.

**soror, -ōris** ‘sister’ [f. *r*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *sororcula* ‘little sister’ (Pl.+), *sorōrius* ‘of a sister’ (Pl.+), *sorōriāre* ‘to swell’ (of the breasts) (Pl.+); *sobrīnus* ‘second cousin, son of a person’s first cousin’ (Pl.+), *sobrīna* ‘female second cousin’ (Pl.+), *cōnsobrīnus* ‘mother’s sister’s son, cousin’ (Ter.+).

Plt. *\*swesōr-* [f.] ‘sister’, *\*(kom-)swesr-īno-* ‘belonging to the sister’.

PIE *\*sue(-)sr-* ‘sister’ (nom.sg. *\*suésōr*, gen.sg. *\*suesrós*). IE cognates: OIr. *siur* ‘sister’ [f.], Skt. *svásar-*, YAv. *xʷanhar-* [f.], MoP *xwāhar/xwahar* ‘sister’, Gr. ἔορ ‘daughter, nephew’ (Hsch.); Arm. *k’oyr* ‘sister’; OPr. *swestro*, Lith. *sesuō*, OCS *sestra*, Ru. *sestrá*, Sln. *séstra*, Go. *swistar* ‘sister’; OCS *sestrimъ* ‘of the sister’, Lith. *seserénas* ‘sister’s son’.

Latin has generalized the suffix *-ōr-* throughout the paradigm; *sobrīnus* shows the change of intervocalic *\*-sr-* > *\*-fr-* > *-br-*. Since it has been established that *\*Cwe* > *Co-* only occurs in front of a non-front vowel in the next syllable, *sobrīnus* cannot be phonetic, but has adopted *\*swo-* or *so-* from *soror*. The PIE *\*suesr-* may be a

compound of *\*sue* 'self' and a PIE word *\*sōr*, *\*sr-* for 'woman'.

Bibl.: WH I: 265, II: 550, 563, EM 637, IEW 1051, Schrijver 1991: 469, EIEC 392.

**sors, -rtis** 'lot, lottery ticket, voting tablet' [f. *i*] (Pl.+; nom.sg. *sortis* Pl., abl.sg. *sortī*)

Derivatives: *sortīre/fī* 'to draw lots, cast votes, acquire' (Pl.+), *sortiō* 'lottery' (Varro+), *sortitus, -ūs* 'lottery, lot' (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*sorti-* 'joining, joint'.

PIE *\*sr-ti-* 'joining, joint'. IE cognates: see s.v. *serō*.

Bibl.: WH II: 563f., EM 637f., IEW 911, Leumann 1977: 449, LIV 2. *\*ser-*. → *serō*

**sospes, -itis** 'safe and sound' [adj. *i*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *sospitāre* 'to preserve, defend' (Pl.+), *sospitālis* 'salutary' (Pl.+); *Sospita / Sīspita* 'cult-title of Juno at Lanuvium'; Lanuv.inscr. *Sei/ispit-*.

PIt. *\*swe-spat-* 'self-prospering'?

PIE *\*-sph<sub>1</sub>-t-* 'prospering'. IE cognates: see s.v. *spēs*.

An earlier connection with Skt. *s<sub>v</sub>vastī-* 'welfare, happiness' was rejected by WH, but has been revived by Meier-Brügger 1979, who posits PIE *\*h<sub>1</sub>su-ns-ti-* 'good return' for both of them. Latin *sospes* would be from a compound *\*h<sub>1</sub>su-ns-ti-* + *\*poti-* 'lord'. Yet his solution is impossible for various reasons. First of all, he compares *\*g<sup>h</sup>osti-poti-* (> Lat. *hospes, -itis*), but this is a noun, not an adj. Secondly, *\*su-ns-ti-* would normally yield Latin *\*sunsti-*. In Skt., the compound could be syllabified as *\*Hsu-ns-ti-* because both *\*Hsu-* 'good' and the verb *nas-*, *\*ns-* were synchronically existing elements in the language, but neither of them is found in Latin. Thirdly, the phonetic changes posited by Meier-Brügger are unwarranted in the order in which he posits them. Especially the alleged paradigm split into *\*suensti-pit-* and *\*suinti-pit-* (with pre-syncope vowel assimilation) is fanciful. Steinbauer 1989: 260 suggests that *sospes* is for */sōspes/* from *\*soispes*; next to *Sīspita*, this would show an alternation *\*oi / \*ei* which would be reminiscent of *i/ū < \*oi*. Yet there is no second case of *\*oi > Lat. ō*, so that this remains speculative.

Since the attempts to see a variant of *\*poti-* in the second element have so far been unsuccessful, another possibility may be proposed here. In view of *locu-plēt-* and *antistes, praesīes, superstes < \*-stat-*, Latin inherited from PIE governing compounds with a laryngeal-final second member, to which *\*-t-* was suffixed. In the case of *sospit-*, a good possibility seems PIE *\*speh<sub>1</sub>-* 'to swell, prosper, ripen' (Lat. *spēs*). A form *\*-sph<sub>1</sub>-t-* would have yielded PIIt. *\*-spat-* whence Lat. *-spit-*, as in *\*-stat- > -stit-* 'standing'. A preform *\*sue-sph<sub>1</sub>-t-* could have meant 'self-prospering', although it seems unlikely that *\*sue-* would have entered such a compound already in PIE. More likely, it would be an Italic formation of *\*sue* '(for) oneself' and *\*-spat-* 'prospering'. The change of *\*swe- > so-* would be regular in front of pre-weakening *\*-spat-*, but possibly also in front of the weakened (central?) vowel at the stage *-spit-* (cf. Schrijver 1991: 468).

Bibl.: WH II: 564f., EM 638, Meier-Brügger 1979: 100ff., Lindner 2002: 230. → *sē-, spēs*

**spargō, -ere** ‘to scatter, shower’ [v. III; pf. *sparsī*, ppp. *sparsum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *a(d)spergere* ‘to sprinkle on, scatter’ (Pl.+), *aspergō, -inis* ‘sprinkling’ (Cato+), *cōnspergere* ‘to besprinkle, intersperse’ (Pl.+), *disperegere* ‘to spread about’ (Ter.+), *perspergere* ‘to cover, sprinkle’ (Cato+), *respergere* ‘to sprinkle with liquid’ (Acc.+).

Plt. \**sparg-*.

PIE \**sp(e)r-g-* ‘to strew’. IE cognates: Hit. *išpār-<sup>i</sup>* / *išpar-* ‘to spread out, strew’ < \**spór-* / \**spr-*, *išpamu-<sup>zi</sup>* ‘to strew’, Gr. *σπείρω* ‘to strew, sow’.

Most handbooks connect *spargō* with Skt. *sphúrjati* ‘to roar, thunder’, Gr. *σφαρτρυέομαι* ‘to hiss, crackle’, OIc. *spraka* ‘to crackle, crash’, Lith. *spirgti* ‘to hiss (of roasted meat)’, from PIE \**sp<sup>h</sup>/b<sup>h</sup>ḡh<sub>2</sub>g-*. This is semantically not immediately convincing, since these words clearly refer to a sound, whereas no such connotation seems to be present in Lat. *spargō*. Also, PIE \**CrHC* should yield Latin \**CrāC*, which definitely renders this etymology impossible. Schrijver 1991 connects only Eng. *sprinkle*, Du. *sprenkelen* < \**spreng-*, which have the same meaning as *spargō*. Yet it is difficult to separate these Gm. forms from OIc. *sparkr* ‘lively’ and OE *spearca* ‘spark’. These belong to a large group of Gm. words, for which it is difficult to establish the original ablaut. In any case, Lat. *spargō* may with Schrijver be regarded as a *g*-extension to the root \**spēr-* ‘to spread, sow’; in Schrijver’s view, a preform \**sprg-C-* (in athematic conjugation) would have yielded \**sparg-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 566f., EM 638, IEW 996-998, Schrijver 1991: 493-495, Meiser 2003: 112, LIV \**sp<sup>h</sup>erh<sub>2</sub>g-*, 3. \**spēr-*.

**sparus** ‘hunting-spear, javelin’ [m. o] (Lucil.+; also *sparum*)

Plt. \**sparo-* ‘spear’.

PIE \**sprH-o-* ‘spear, beam’. IE cognates: Alb. *shparr*, *shperdhë* ‘oak’, OIc. *spjǫrr* ‘spear’, OE *spere* [n.], OFr. *spiri*, *spere*, *sper*, OS OHG *sper* < \**sperH-u-*; OIc. *spari*, *sparri*, OHG *sparro* ‘roof-beam, pole’.

Only found as a nominal form in Germanic, Latin and Albanian. Possibly a loanword, or an isolated reflex of a lost root.

Bibl.: WH II: 568, EM 638, IEW 990f., Schrijver 1991: 213.

**spatium** ‘racing ground, course, area’ [n. o] (Pl.+)

Theoretically, an etymology \**sph<sub>1</sub>-to-* ‘prospered, fattened’ > ‘extended’ is possible, from which *spatium* would be a derivative. But this cannot be confirmed. It is difficult to connect *spatium* with *pateō* ‘to be open’, since this derives from the root \**peth<sub>2</sub>-* of which we have no variants in *s*-mobile.

Bibl.: WH II: 568f., EM 639, IEW 983f., Schrijver 1991: 94. → *spēs*

**speciō, -ere** ‘to see, observe’ [v. III; pf. *spexī*, ppp. *spectum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: (1) *speculum* ‘mirror, looking-glass’ (Pl.+), *specula* ‘look-out post’ (Varro+), *speculātor* ‘scout, spy’ (Varro+), *speculārī* ‘to observe, explore’ (Pl.+), *specimen* ‘sign, evidence’ (Pl.+), *speciēs* ‘view, aspect, appearance’ (Andr.+), *specillum* ‘instrument for examining wounds’ (Varro+); *spectus, -ūs* ‘facial



expression' (Pac.+), *spectiō* 'observing' (Varro+), *spectāre* 'to look at, watch' (Naev.+), *spectāculum* 'sight, performance' (Pl.+), *spectāmen* 'criterion' (Pl.+), *spectātor* 'who watches, observer' (Pl.+); -*spex* in *auspex* (see s.v. *avis*), *haruspex* (see s.v.); *vestispica* 'female servant looking after clothes' (Pl.+); (2) *aspicere* 'to behold' (Naev.+), *aspectus*, -*ūs* 'seeing, sight' (Pl.+), *circumspicere* 'to look round, survey' (Pl.+), *cōnspicere* 'to catch sight of, discern' (Pl.+), *cōnspectus*, -*ūs* 'sight, view' (Pl.+), *cōnspiciō* 'looking' (Varro+), *cōnspicārī* 'to catch sight of, perceive' (Pl.+), *conspicillum* 'lookout-post' (Pl.), *dēspectus*, -*ūs* 'view from a height' (Lucret.+), *dēspicere* 'to look down on, despise' (Pl.+), *dēspicārī* 'to despise' (Pl.+), *dēspicātus*, -*ūs* 'contempt' (Pl.+), *dēspicus* 'looking down' (Naev.), *dispicere* 'to investigate, discern' (Pl.+), *īnspicere* 'to look at, investigate' (Pl.+), *perspicere* 'to scrutinize, perceive' (Pl.+), *perspicāx* 'having keen sight' (Ter.+), *perspicuus* 'transparent, evident' (Varro+), *prōspicere* 'to see before one, look ahead' (Pl.+), *prōspectus*, -*ūs* 'view' (Pl.+), *prōspicus* 'looking ahead' (Naev.), *susplicere* 'to look up at, admire' (Pl.+), *susplicārefī* 'to imagine, suspect' (Pl.+), *susplicīō* 'suspicion' (Pl.+), *susplicīōsus* 'wary, suspicious' (Ter.+); (3) *aspectāre* 'to look at, regard' (Pl.+), *circumspectāre* 'to look round, examine' (Pl.+), *circumspectātrīx* 'female spy' (Pl.+), *expectāre* 'to wait for, hope for, expect' (Pl.+), *expectātiō* 'prospect' (Pl.+), *īnspectāre* 'to watch' (Pl.+), *perspectāre* 'to examine carefully' (Pl.+), *suspectāre* 'to gaze up at, be suspicious of' (Ter.+); (4) *specus*, -*ūs* [m./f.] 'cave, hole' (Enn.+).

PIt. \**spekje/o-* [pr.], \**speks-* [aor.], \**spekto-* [ppp.], \**spek-* [m.]. It. cognates: U. *speture* [dat.sg.].

PIE \**spek-ie/o-* [pr.] 'to look', \**spek-s-* [aor.], \**spek-* [m.] 'who sees'. IE cognates: Skt. pr. *pásyati*, aor. *áspasta* [3s.aor.med.], pf. *paspaśé* [3s.med.] 'to look at, guard, notice'; *ánu-spasta-* 'guarded'; *spás-* [m.] 'spy'; Av. *spasii-* 'to discover, perceive', *auui.spasta-* 'threatened', *spas-* [m.] 'spy', *spastar-* [m.] 'spy'; Gr. σκέπτομαι 'to look around, look at' (metathesis \**sp...k > sk...p*); OHG *spehōn*, NHG *spähen* 'to spy'.

Probably, *speculum* reflects an instrument noun \**spek-tlo-*. The *u*-stem *specus* 'cave' is usually derived from \**spek-* 'to see', but the semantics do not impose this view. See Kümmel 2004b: 350 for justifying the reading *conspicillum* in *Cist.95* of Plautus; he regards it as a neologism on the basis of *specillum* 'medical device', dim. to *speculum*.

Bibl.: WH II: 570f., EM 639f., IEW 984, Untermann 2000: 691f., Meiser 2002: 115, LIV \**spek-*. → *avis*, *haruspex*

**spernō, -ere** 'to dissociate, reject, spurn' [v. III; pf. *sprēvī*, ppp. *sprētum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *aspernārī* 'to scorn, reject' (Pl.+), *aspernābilis* 'negligible' (Acc.+).

PIt. \**sperne/o-*.

PIE \**sp<sup>(h)</sup>er-n-h<sub>2/3</sub>-* [pr.] 'to beat, kick', \**sp<sup>(h)</sup>(e)rh<sub>2/3</sub>-* [aor.]. IE cognates: Hit. *išparra-<sup>i</sup> / išpar-* 'to trample' < \**spórh<sub>2/3</sub>-*; Skt. pr. *sphurāti*, aor. *spharīh* [2s.inj.act.] 'to push away with the foot', YAv. *spara-* 'to tread', *fra-spara-* 'to speed on', *vī-spara-* 'to trample down'; Gr. ἀσπαίρω 'to sprawl, flounder, lie in convulsions', Arm. *sparna-* 'to threaten'; Lith. *spirti* 'to kick out (of horses), defy, sting', Latv. *speřt* 'to kick out, push'; Olc. *sperna*, OHG *spernan* 'to kick out with the feet'.

Meiser 2003 argues that the full grade of this root is usually *\*sper(H)-*, so that the pf. *sprēvī* cannot continue a PIE formation, but must have been formed after another verb, e.g. *\*pleh<sub>1</sub>-* ‘to fill’. The original ablaut in the aor. may have been *\*sperH- : \*sprH- > \*spera- : \*sprā-*, the first member of which was replaced by *\*sprē-*. If this is correct, the quality of the PIE laryngeal cannot be determined. *Aspernārī* < *\*ad-spernārī* shows the productive *ā*-conjugation of derived prepositional verbs. A nasal present is also found in Armenian and in Germanic. Lubotsky 2006, noticing the irregular correspondences in the anlaut of the IE verbs, reconstructs the verb as *\*TsperH-* ‘to kick with the heel’; in *\*tspērsn-* ‘heel’ (> Lat. *perna*), the first *s* would have disappeared through dissimilation. The words may be compounds of *\*pd-* ‘foot’ and *\*per(H)-* ‘to beat, kick’.

Bibl.: WH II: 572f., EM 641, IEW 992f., Schrijver 1991: 22, 140, 184, 407, Meiser 1998: 187, 2003: 227, Lubotsky 2006: 1007-9, LIV *\*sp<sup>h</sup>erH-*. → *asper, perna*

**spēs, spēi** ‘hope’ [f. *ē*] (Pl.+; acc.sg. *spērem*, nom.pl. *spērēs* Enn.)

Derivatives: *spēcula* ‘a slight hope’ (Pl.+), *spērāre* ‘to hope, look forward to’ (Naev.+), *spērābilis* ‘that may be hoped for’ (Pl.), *īnsperātus* ‘unexpected’ (Pl.+); *exspēs* ‘hopeless’ (Acc.+).

Plt. *\*spēs*.

PIE *\*spéh<sub>1</sub>-s*, *\*spéh<sub>1</sub>-m*, *\*sph<sub>1</sub>-ós* ‘prosperity’. IE cognates: Hit. *išpai-<sup>i</sup> / išpi-* ‘to get full, be satiated’ < *\*sph<sub>1</sub>-ói-* / *\*sph<sub>1</sub>-i-*, Skt. *sphirá-* ‘fat’, *sphāyātai* [3s.sb.med.] ‘to become fat, increase’, Lith. *spėti* ‘to be in time, be capable’, OCS *spěti* ‘to succeed’, Ru. *spet’* ‘to ripen’ < PIE *\*speh<sub>1</sub>-*, OE *spōwan* ‘to prosper’.

The *s*-stem forms such as *spērem* and *spērēs* must be secondary; Schrijver considers the possibility that they stem from a compound adj. in PIE nom.sg. *\*-ēs*.

Bibl.: WH II: 573, EM 641, IEW 983f., Schrijver 1991: 380, Meiser 1998: 149, LIV *\*sp<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-*. → *prosperus, sospes, spatium*

**spīca** ‘ear of corn; spike, head (of a plant)’ [f. *ā*] (Varro+; also *spīcum*)

Derivatives: *spīcilegium* ‘the gathering of ears of corn’ (Varro+).

Plt. *\*speikā-/\*spīka-*.

PIE *\*speig-/\*spiHg-*. IE cognates: Lith. *speigliai* ‘thorns’; OHG *speihha*, OS *spēca* [f.], MDu. *spēke*, OE *spāca* [m.] ‘spoke’ < *\*spaikōn-*, OIc. *spīkr*, MHG *spicher*, MoDu. *spijker* ‘nail’ < *\*spei/ika-*.

Can be compared with Germ. and maybe Lith. words in *\*sp-i-g-* which also denote a sharp object. No further etymology.

Bibl.: WH II: 574, EM 642, IEW 981f.

**spīna** ‘thorn, prickle’ [f. *ā*] (Cato+; var. *spīnus* Varro)

Derivatives: *spīnōsus* ‘thorny’ (Varro+).

Plt. *\*speinā-/\*spīna-*. It. cognates: maybe U. **spīnia**, **spīna** [acc.sg.], **spīnīama**, **spīnamař** [acc.sg. + *-ad*] ‘?’.

PIE *\*spein-/\*spiHn-*. IE cognates: Latv. *spīna* ‘rod’, OPo. *spīna* ‘spine’, Ru. *spīnā* ‘back, spine’; OS OHG *spīnela*, *spēnula* ‘hairpin’, MHG *spēnel* ‘needle’.

Might be derived from the same basis *\*sp-i(H)-* as *spīca*.

Bibl.: WH II: 574, EM 642, IEW 981f., Untermann 2000: 692f.

**spīrō, -āre** ‘to breathe’ [v. I] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *spīrāmen* ‘breathing, exhalation’ (Enn.+), *spīritus, -ūs* ‘breath, spirit’ (Pl.+); *aspīrāre* ‘to blow, breathe, approach’ (Lucil.+), *cōnspīrāre* ‘to agree, conspire’ (Acc.+), *exspīrāre* ‘to breathe out, die’ (Acc.+), *īnspīrāre* ‘to breathe deeply, blow in’ (Lucr.+), *respīrāre* ‘to recover one’s breath’ (Pl.+), *suspīrāre* ‘to sigh’ (Pl.+), *suspīritus, -ūs* ‘sighing’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*spīr/s-*.

Possibly an onomatopoeic formation imitating the sound of breathing. There are no direct cognates. In BSl., we find words of a structure *\*p(e)is-k-* ‘to squeak’ (Lith. *pyškėti* ‘to click, snap, crack’, OCS *piskati*, Ru. *piščát’* ‘to squeak’); these look somewhat similar, but the meaning is different, and they may just as well have been formed within BSl. The same goes for Germ. *\*fīs-* ‘to fart’, adduced by WH and IEW. Bibl.: WH II: 575, EM 642, IEW 796.

**spissus** ‘laborious, slow, dense’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *spissēscere* ‘to thicken’ (Lucr.+); *spissigradus* ‘slow-paced’ (Pl.).

Plt. *\*spisso-*.

PIE *\*spid-to-?* IE cognates: Gr. *σπίδιος* ‘extensive, wide’, *σπιδόν* ‘thick, coagulated’, *σπιδόεν* ‘black, big’ (Hsch.); Lith. *spisti* (< *\*spit-*), Is. *spintū* ‘to begin to swarm (of bees), gather’.

The most likely cognate seems to be the Greek word family in *σπιδ-* ‘thick, wide’; *spissus* might be a derivative in *\*-to-* to this basis. The meaning of Lith. *spisti* is further removed. Since *spissus* is reminiscent of *crassus, grossus*, it may contain an expressive geminate – hence a possible preform would also be *\*spīsus*.

Bibl.: WH II: 576, EM 643, IEW 983f.

**splendeō** ‘to shine; be bright’ [v. II] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *splendidus* ‘bright, brilliant’ (Enn.+), *splendor* ‘brightness, brilliance’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*splnd/pē-*.

PIE *\*splnd<sup>(h)</sup>-eh<sub>1</sub>-* ‘to be manifest’. IE cognates: OIr. *sluindid* ‘to express, declare, name’, OW *istlinnit* ‘profatur, loquitur’, W. *ystlwn* ‘kind, connection’ < *\*splondeie-* ‘to make manifest’.

The semantic development seen in Celtic renders it conceivable that *\*splnd<sup>(h)</sup>-* is related to PIE *\*(s)pelH-* ‘to speak in public’ which LIV reconstructs for Arm. *ara-spel* ‘legend, proverb’, Go. *spill* [n.] ‘tale’, ToB *pällātār*, ToA *pällāntār* ‘to praise’.

Bibl.: WH II: 576f., EM 643, IEW 987, Schrijver 1995: 435f., LIV ?\*splend-.

**spolium** ‘skin, hide; arms, spoils’ [n. *o*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *spoliāre* (Enn. 1x *-rī*) ‘to strip of clothing or arms, rob’ (Pl.+); *dēspoliāre* ‘to plunder, strip of’ (Pl.+), *dēspol[i]ātor* ‘plunderer’ (Pl.), *exspoliāre* ‘to

plunder, despoil' (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*spoli(o)-* 'skin, hide'.

PIE *\*spol(H)-i(o)-* 'what is split, stripped off'. IE cognates: Gr. *σπολάς, -άδος* 'leather garment', *σπόλια* 'wool from sheep's legs' (Hsch.), Lith. *spālis* 'beard (= part of the stalk of flax)', pl. *spāliai* 'parts of the stalk', OCS *polъ* 'sex, half', NHG *spalten* 'to cleave', Go. *spilda* 'writing tablet'.

Probably a derivative *\*spol-(i)-* from a PIE root *\*(s)pel(H)-* 'to split, cleave', but the exact form of the root and the number of its derivatives is difficult to establish. LIV reconstructs a root *\*(s)pelH-*, but Skt. *phalati* 'bursts' can hardly be connected with it. We find many *o*-grade derivatives (Gr., BSl., Germ.), which may point to an iterative verb (as fits the meaning of 'to cleave, strip of skin') or, in the case of Latin *spolium*, a noun *\*spol-i-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 577f., EM 643, IEW 985-987, Schrijver 1991: 22, LIV 2. *\*(s)pelH-*.

**sponda** 'bed or couch, or its frame' [f. *ā*] (Varro+)

PIt. *\*spondā-* 'frame'.

PIE *\*spond-h<sub>2</sub>-*. IE cognates: OIr. *sond*, W. *ffon* [f.] 'stick' < *\*spond-*; OCS *peḁb*, Ru. *pjad'* 'span' < *\*p(e)nd-i-*.

An Italo-Celtic correspondence *\*spond-ā-*, probably derived from the root for 'to spin'. See s.v. *pendō* for other cognates. Because of PIE *\*-d-*, the Germanic words for 'bucket' (Olc. *spann*) are not cognate; their meaning is also deviant.

Bibl.: WH II: 578, EM 643, IEW 989, LIV ?2. *\*(s)pend-*. → *pendō*

**spondeō** 'to pledge, promise, make a contract' [v. II; pf. *spopondī* (*spe-*), ppp. *spōnsum*] (Pl.+; pf.sb. *spōnsīs* Fest.)

Derivatives: *spōnsiō* 'wager, pledge' (Pl.+), *spōnsus* 'affianced husband' (Titin.+), *spōnsor* 'surety' (Varro+), *spōnsa* 'woman promised in marriage, fiancée' (Naev.+), *spōnsālis* 'of betrothal' (Varro); *cōnspondēre* 'to exchange pledges' (Naev.+), *dēspondēre* 'to promise in marriage, to despair' (Pl.+), *respondēre* 'to reply, react' (Andr.+), *respōnsiō* 'answering' (Pl.+), *respōnsāre* 'to give an answer, satisfy' (Pl.+), *respōnsor* 'who answers' (Pl.).

PIt. *\*spondeje/o-* 'to libate many times' > 'pledge'. It. cognates: U. *spefa* [acc.sg.f, abl.sg.f.] 'offered' (attribute of *mefa* 'sacrificial substance'), O. *spentud* [3s.ipv.II] '?'.  
 PIE *\*spond-eie/o-* [pr.] 'to libate'; *?\*spend-e/o-* [pr.]. IE cognates: Hit. *išpānt<sup>1</sup>* / *išpant-* 'to bring a fluid sacrifice, libate' < *\*spōnd-*, Gr. *σπένδω* 'to offer a libation, pour', *σπονδή* 'libation, offering of wine'.

The pf. *spopondī* may originally have belonged to a pr. *\*spend-e/o-*, which is now lost from Latin but might be present in O. *spentud*. *Spopondī* may be the phonetic reflex of *spepondī*, cf. Schrijver 1991: 468; but *-o-* may also have been analogically introduced into the reduplication syllable.

Bibl.: WH II: 578f., EM 643f., IEW 989, Untermann 2000: 690f., Meiser 2003: 149f., LIV 1. *\*spend-*.

**spōns, -ntis** ‘will, volition’ [f. *t(i?)*] (Pl.+; only gen.sg. *spontis*, abl.sg. *sponte* attested)

*Spōns* has been derived from the root *\*(s)penh<sub>1</sub>-* ‘to spin’, but this is only based on the similar shape; semantically, this derivation is hard to defend.

Bibl.: WH II: 579, EM 644, IEW 988.

**spūma** ‘foam’ [f. *ā*] (Enn.+)

Derivatives: *spūmāre* ‘to foam, froth’ (Enn.+).

Plt. *\*spoimā-* ‘foam’.

PIE *\*spoHi-m-* / *\*speh<sub>3</sub>i-m-* ‘foam’. IE cognates: Skt. *phēna-* ‘foam’ [m./n.], OPr. *spoayno*, Lith. *spáinē*, OCS *pěna*, Ru. *péna*, SCr. *pjěna* ‘foam’ < *\*(s)poHi-nh<sub>2</sub>-* or *\*(s)peh<sub>3</sub>i-nh<sub>2</sub>-*; OE *fām* [n.], OHG *feim* ‘foam’ [m.] < PGm. *\*faima-* < PIE *\*poHi-mo-*.

The different IE reflexes can be interpreted as derivatives in *\*-m-* and *\*-n-* from a root *\*spoHi-* or *\*speh<sub>3</sub>i-*; the *\*-i-* may be a present suffix. Alternatively, with Matasović 2004: 126, one may posit a PIE neuter *mn-* stem: nom.acc.sg. *\*spoHimn*, gen.sg. *\*spHimnos*. The only disadvantage of the latter solution is that no language retains a trace of the suffix *\*-mn-* in tact.

Bibl.: WH II: 580, EM 644, IEW 1001, Schrijver 1991: 270. → *pūmex*

**spuō, -ere** ‘to spit’ [v. III; pf. *spuī*, ppp. *spūtum*] (Varro+)

Derivatives: *spūtāre* ‘to spit out, at’ (Pl.+), *spūtātor* ‘who habitually spits’ (Pl.+), *spūtum* ‘spittle’ (Lucr.+), *spūtātilicius* ‘detestable’ (Sis.+); *dēspuere* ‘to spit on the ground, spurn’ (Naev.+), *exspuere* ‘to emit, spit out’ (Pl.+), *īnspūtāre* ‘to spit upon’ (Pl.+), *respuere* ‘to reject, repel’ (Lucr.+).

Plt. *\*spū-je/o-*, *\*spūto-*.

PIE *\*sp(i)uH-ie/o-* ‘to spit’, *\*sp(i)Huto-* ‘spat’. IE cognates: Skt. *ṣṭhīvati*, aor. *aṣṭhaviṣam* ‘to spit’, Gr. πτόω ‘to spit’, Arm. *t’owk* ‘spittle’, *t’k’anem* ‘to spit’, Alb. *pshtyj*, Lith. *spiáuti*, Latv. *spļāūt*, CS *plěvati*, 1s. *pljujō*, Ru. *plevát*, Go. *speiwan*, Olc. *spýja*, OE OS OHG *spīwan* ‘to spit’.

Lat. *spuō* belongs to a PIE onomatopoeic formation meaning ‘to spit’. Especially the anlaut of the word has probably been subject to onomatopoeic reformation; but also the stem is not identical in all languages. For Latin, both the pr. and ppp. may be old, but the pr. alone would have sufficed to form the attested paradigm which is that of a regular verb in *-uere*.

Bibl.: WH II: 580f., EM 644f., IEW 999f., Schrijver 1991: 247, Meiser 1998: 194, 2003: 235, LIV *\*spt̥ieH-*.

**squālus** ‘unkempt, dirty’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Enn.)

Derivatives: *squālēre* ‘to be caked with dirt, covered with a rough layer’ (Pl.+), *squālor* ‘filth, roughness of surface’ (Pl.+), *squālēs, -is* [f.] ‘dirt, filth’ (Pac.+), *squālītās* ‘dirtiness’ (Acc.+), *squālītūdō* ‘dirtiness’ (Acc.+), *squālidus* ‘rough, filthy’ (Pl.+); *squāma* ‘scale (of a fish or reptile), scale-like object’ (Pl.+), *squāmōsus* ‘scaly, scurfy’ (Pl.+), *dēsquāmāre* ‘to remove scales or skin’ (Pl.+).

No etymology. In view of the sequence *squ-*, probably not an inherited word.

Bibl.: WH II: 582, EM 645.

**squalus** ‘unidentified sea-fish’ [m. o] (Var., Plin.)

Derivatives: *squatus* ‘a fish’ (Plin., Fest.).

IE cognates: OPr. *kalis* ‘catfish’; OIc. *hvalr* [m.], OE *hwæl*, OS OHG *hwal*, OHG *hwelira* ‘whale’; Finn. *kala*, Northern Lappish *guolle* ‘fish’.

Since the word only occurs in prose, the quantity of the first vowel is unknown. Therefore, it might as well be /*squālus*/ with the stem *\*squāl-* ‘scale’ which is found in the previous etymon. The fish would be denominated after its scales. In that case, the explanation as a loanword from an unknown language, cognate with the Gm. words for ‘whale’, OPr. ‘catfish’ and Uralic ‘fish’ (for which see Schrijver 2001: 423) must be dropped; and *squalus* is not an example of the sound change *\*-k<sup>h</sup>o-* > *-qua-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 581f., EM 645, IEW 958, Schrijver 1991: 463, 2001: 423.

Ven. **sselboisselboi** [dat.sg.] ‘for oneself’.

PIt. *\*selfo-* ‘self’.

IE cognates: OPr. *sup̄s*, *sub̄s* ‘own’ < *\*su-b<sup>h</sup>o-*; Go. *silba*, OIc. *sjálfr*, OE *self*, OHG *selb* < PGm. *\*selba-* ‘self’ < *\*s(u)elb<sup>h</sup>o-*.

The pronoun seems to originate from suffixation of *\*-b<sup>h</sup>o-* to the pronoun *\*s(u)e* ‘self’, but the origin of *\*-l-* is unclear. IEW suggests a contamination of *\*sue* with the pronoun *\*ol(o)-*, but this seems implausible.

Bibl.: IEW 882-884, Lejeune 1974: 338, Beekes 1995: 210.

(**s**)*tā-* ‘to steal’ [v. (Duenos inscr. <nemedmalostatod>)]

PIt. *\*(s)ta(je)-tōd* ‘must steal’.

PIE *\*(s)th<sub>2</sub>-ie/o-* ‘to steal’. IE cognates: OIr. *táid* ‘thief’ [m.], Hit. *tāje/a-zi* ‘to steal’ < *\*teh<sub>2</sub>-je/o-*, Skt. *stāyát* [adv.] ‘secretly’, *stāyú* [m.] ‘thief, pickpocket’, *stená-* [m.] ‘thief, robber’, *stéya-* [n.] ‘theft’, OAv. *taiiā* [adv.] ‘secretly’, YAv. *tāiia-*, *taiia-* [n.] ‘theft’; OCS *tajiti*, Is. *tajq* ‘to conceal’, *tatb* ‘thief’.

It is disputed whether the final words of the Duenos inscription, which probably mean ‘a bad one must not steal me’, must be read as /*nē mēd malos tātōdl*/ or /*nē mēd malo stātōdl*/. The second option is favoured by Rix 1985b: 200-211, the first one by Eichner 1988-90. Eichner argues that metrical analysis would support his view (which is rather questionable) and that an original root form *\*stā-* meant that the root was homonymous with *stā-* ‘to stand’, which is indeed a valid argument. Of course, we cannot exclude an analysis as /*malos statodl*/. In the end, the analysis remains uncertain. The verb could be a root present or a verb *\*(s)th<sub>2</sub>-ie/o-* of the type *dāre*, *stāre*: since Ilr., Slavic and Hit. show a suffix *\*-ie-*, we may assume this for Latin, too. In view of the Ilr. evidence, the PIE root must be reconstructed with *s*-mobile.

Bibl.: IEW 1010, Meiser 1998: 4, LIV 1.\**teh<sub>2</sub>-*.

**stāgnum** ‘standing water, pool’ [n. o] (Enn.+)

Plt. *\*stāgno-*?

PIE *\*steh<sub>2</sub>g-*. IE cognates: OBret. *staer*, Bret. *ster* ‘river, brook’ (< *\*stagrā*); Gr. σταγών, -όνοσ [f.] ‘drop’, στάζω ‘to let drip, shed’.

LIV (hesitatingly) posits a PIE root *\*steh<sub>2</sub>g-* to account for *stāgnum*, Celtic *\*stagrā*- and Gr. στάζω, but we find no matching formations, and the Greek word is semantically somewhat removed (though the connection is possible). If the etymology is correct, Latin would have a full grade and Greek and Celtic a zero grade.

Bibl.: WH II: 584f., EM 645, IEW 1010, LIV ?*\*steh<sub>2</sub>(g)-*.

**stēlla** ‘star’ [f. ā] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *stēllāns* ‘starry’ (Lucr.+), *stēllumicāns* ‘shining with stars’ (Varro), *stēl(l)iō* ‘kind of lizard, gecko’ (Verg.+).

Plt. *\*stērlā-*.

PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>stēr-s*, *\*h<sub>2</sub>ster-* [m.] ‘star’. IE cognates: OIr. *ser*, W. *seren* (pl. *ser*) , Co. *sterenn* (pl. *steyr*) , Bret. *sterenn* ‘star’ < PCI. *\*ster-*; OIr. *sell* [m.] ‘iris’ < *\*stillo-*, Mlr. *sellaid* ‘to see’, MW *syllu* ‘to gaze’, Bret. *sellout* ‘to watch’ < PCI. *\*stīrlo-* ‘star’ < *\*h<sub>2</sub>stēr-lo-*; Hit. *hašter(a)-* [c.] ‘star’ (nom.sg. *hašterza /Hsterf/*) < *\*h<sub>2</sub>ster-*; Skt. *tārah* [nom.pl.], *stṛbhīh* [instr.pl.], Av. *star-* [m.] (YAv. acc.sg. *stāram*, nom.pl. *stārō*, acc.pl. *strāuš* for *\*strāš*, gen.pl. *strqm*) , Khot. *stāraa-* ‘star’; Gr. ἀστήρ, -έρος, Arm. *astl*, Go. *stairno*, ToB *šcirye* ‘star’.

Schrijver 1995 has established that OIr. *sell* ‘iris’ can go back to PCI. *\*stīrlo-*; together with Lat. *stēlla*, this points to an Italo-Celtic formation *\*stēr-lo/ā-* ‘star’.

Bibl.: WH II: 587f., EM 646, IEW 1027f., Schrijver 1995: 421-423, Meiser 1998: 123.

**stercus, -oris** ‘excrement of animals, dung’ [n. r] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *stercoreus* ‘like dung’ (Pl.+), *sterc(er)āre* ‘to supply with dung, manure’ (Cato+), *stercorōsus* ‘rich in manure’ (Cato+), *sterc(er)ārius* ‘of dung, manure’ (Cato+), *sterc(er)ātiō* ‘manuring’ (Varro), *sterculīnum* (or *sterculīnum*) ‘manure-heap, midden’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*sterkos-* [n.].

PIE *\*sterk-o-* ‘befoulment, excrements’. IE cognates: Bret. *stroñk* [m.] ‘excrements’, W. *trwnc* ‘urine’ < *\*(s)tronk-*, W. *troeth*, Bret. *troazh* ‘urine’ < *\*trok-tā-*; Hit. *ištar(k)-<sup>zi</sup>* ‘to ail, afflict’ < *\*sterk-ti*, *ištarni(n)k-<sup>zi</sup>* ‘id.’, Lith. *teršti* ‘to soil’.

According to WH and EM (the latter based on Cato mss. readings), the best reading of ‘manure-heap’ is *sterculīnum*, which invalidates the theory that *stercos* reflects *\*sterk<sup>w</sup>-*. British Celtic contains words of the structure *\*(s)tro(n)k-*, which has the vowel on the wrong side; also, Lith. *teršti* ‘to soil’ has been compared, but there is no guarantee that *š* in this verb reflects PIE *\*k* rather than *\*s*. If it does, Lith. has PIE *\*terk-* without *s*-mobile; and Celtic may have a new full grade on the basis of the zero grade PCI. *\*(s)trik-*. Kloekhorst 2008: 416-418 proposes to connect the Hit. forms mentioned.

Bibl.: WH II: 589, EM 647, IEW 1031f., Leumann 1977: 327, Schrijver 1995: 453f., Stüber 2002: 153, LIV ?*\*(s)terk-*.

**sterilis** ‘barren, sterile, without offspring’ [adj. *i*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *sterilus* ‘sterile’ (Lucr., Gell., Paul. *ex F.*).

Plt. *\*ster-eli-?* *\*ster-ī-?*

PIE *\*ster-* ‘lacking, sterile’. IE cognates: Skt. *starī-* [f.] ‘a cow that does not give milk and is not pregnant’, MP *starwan* ‘infertile, childless’, Gr. *στεῖρα* [f.] ‘infertile (cow, goat, woman)’, Arm. *sterj* ‘infertile’, Alb. *shtjerrë* ‘lamb’ < *\*ster-en-*; Go. *stairo* ‘infertile’, OE *stierc* ‘calf’, NHG *Sterke* ‘heifer’.

The IE cognates show a semantic development from ‘sterile’ via ‘which has not yeaned yet’ to ‘young animal, lamb, calf’. In Latin, *-ili-* may be a dim. suffix *\*-eli-*, but it is also conceivable that original f. *\*ster-ī-* was suffixed with *\*-li-*, and analogically shortened the penultimate syllable. According to Eichner 1974, the root may have been PIE *\*ster-* (Skt. *stṛṇóti* ‘lays down, destroys’). This would require a semantic shift from ‘to lay down’ > ‘to make stiff’ > ‘make infertile’. Yet the adjectives in *\*ster-* mean ‘infertile’, not ‘making infertile’. Semantically, the best candidate for a root etymology seems to be Gr. *στέρομαι* ‘to be robbed, lack’ < *\*sterh<sub>1</sub>-*, which is said to have no cognates outside Greek.

Bibl.: WH II: 589, EM 647, IEW 1031, Eichner 1974: 37, LIV *\*ster-*, *?\*sterh<sub>1</sub>-*.

**sternō, -ere** ‘to lay out, spread’ [v. III; pf. *strāvī*, ppp. *strātum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *strāgēs, -is* [f.] ‘devastation, destruction’ (Lucr.+), *strāgulum* ‘rug, blanket’ (Varro+), *strāmentum* ‘straw’ (Pl.+), *strāmentārius* ‘of straw’ (Cato+), *strāmentīcius* ‘made of straw’ (Varro+), *strātus, -ūs* ‘spreading’ (Varro+); *cōnsternere* ‘to cover, bring down’ (Enn.+), *īnstrātum* ‘saddle-cloth’ (Cato), *substernere* ‘to underlay, spread under’ (Cato+), *substrāmen* ‘litter’ (Varro+); *cōnsternāre* ‘to confound, compel’ (Pac.+).

Plt. *\*sterna-* [pr.], *\*strāto-* [ppp.].

PIE *\*st(e)r-n-h<sub>3</sub>-* [pr.] ‘to spread, strew’, *\*strh<sub>3</sub>-to-* [ppp.]. IE cognates: OIr. *sernaid\** ‘to spread, build’, MW *gwassarnu* ‘to spread under’ < PCI. pr. *\*starna-*; Skt. *stṛṇāti* ‘to spread out, extend, strew’, *pra-starā-* [m.] ‘straw, sacrificial straw’, YAv. *fra-starānāiti* [act.], *fra-starānata* [med.] ‘to spread out, extend’, *starāta-* ‘strewn, spread’, *stairiš-* [n.] ‘straw’ < Ilr. *\*starH-*; Gr. *στόρνυμι, ἐστόρεσα* [aor.] ‘to extend, strew out’, *σπρωτός* ‘extended’.

The pf. was probably formed after the ppp. *\*strāto-*. The pr. *sternere* can be explained from vocalization of interconsonantal laryngeal in e.g. *\*-n-h<sub>3</sub>-ti*. The origin of *ā* in *con-sternāre* is disputed: Schrijver 1991 posits a thematic verb in *\*-n-h<sub>3</sub>-ie/o-*, whereas Meiser 1998 derives *-nā-* from the full grade of the suffix *\*-nh<sub>2</sub>-* (with a replacement of *\*-nō-* by *\*-nā-* in *con-sternāre*). Meiser’s solution does not explain why *-nāre* is restricted to compounds. The stem *strāg-* seems recent, since *strāg-ēs* and *strāg-ulus* are not attested before Varro. They probably have *-g-* in analogy to other nouns such as *com-pāgēs* and *amb-āgēs*.

Bibl.: WH II: 590f., 600, EM 647, IEW 1029-1031, Schrijver 1991: 183, 376, 407-410, Meiser 1998: 187, 2003: 228, Schumacher 2004: 601f., LIV *\*sterh<sub>3</sub>-*.



**sternuō, -ere** ‘to sneeze’ [v. III; pf. *sternuī*, ppp. *sternūtum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *stertere* (pf. *stertuī*) ‘to snore’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*(p)ster-nu-*.

PIE *\*(p)st-(e)r-nu-* ‘to sneeze’. IE cognates: MIr. *sreod* ‘sneezing’, W. *trew*, *ystrew* ‘sneezing’, LCo. *striwhi*, Bret. *streviañ* ‘to sneeze’ < *\*stru-*?, Gr. *παραμός* [m.] ‘the sneezing’, aor. *παραεῖν*, pr. *πάρνυμαι* ‘to sneeze’, Arm. *p’ringam*, *p’rñem* ‘to sneeze’.

Latin and Greek (and maybe Armenian) both show a *nu*-present, but in Greek, it is secondary to the thematic aorist. The initial clusters might go back to PIE *\*pst-*, but this is also uncertain. Onomatopoeic deformation may have affected all cognates in the IE languages. However, it seems unlikely that all languages would have invented a form with internal *\*-r-* to render the sound of sneezing, so Latin may well have inherited a PIE word of the form *\*(p)st-r-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 591, EM 648, IEW 846f., Schrijver 1995: 454, Meiser 1998: 113, LIV *\*pster-*.

**stīlla** ‘drop of liquid’ [f. *ā*] (Cic.+)

Derivatives: *stīllicidium* ‘the falling of drops, trickle, drip’ (Varro+), *stīllāre* ‘to fall in drops, drip’ (Varro+), *stīllātim* ‘drop by drop’ (Varro); *dēstīllāre* ‘to drip down, off’ (Varro+), *exstīllāre* ‘to drip away, water’ (Pl.+), *īnstīllāre* ‘to pour in drop by drop’ (Cato+); *stīria* ‘icicle’ (Verg.+).

Plt. *\*stī/eir-(lā-)*.

IE cognates: Gr. *στίλη* ‘drop’; Lith. *stīrti* ‘to become stiff’, Norw. *stīra*, Olc. *stīra* ‘to be(come) rigid, stiff’.

*Stīlla* can be regarded as a diminutive *\*stīr-lā-* to *stīria*, even though the latter is only attested in Imperial Latin. WH and IEW mainly compare Gm. and Baltic words in *\*stīr-* meaning ‘stiff’, but it is uncertain whether ‘stiff’ was the original meaning on which *stīria* was based. In view of *stīlla* ‘drop’, *stīria* may have referred to the form of drops and icicles, which would leave the connection with ‘stiff’ unfounded. Gr. *στίλη* ‘drop’ cannot be directly compared with *stīlla*; at most, there would be a (IE or European?) base *\*sti-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 595, EM 648, IEW 1010f.

**stilus** ‘pointed piece of metal, stem, stylus’ [m. *o*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *stimulus* ‘goad, spike’ (Pl.+), *stimuleus* ‘inflicted with the goad’ (Pl.), *stimulāre* ‘to urge forward, incite’ (Pl.+), *stimulātrīx* [f.] ‘who incites’ (Pl.); *stīva* ‘shaft of a plough-handle’ (Cato+).

It is uncertain whether Latin *stilus*, *stimulus* and *stīva* all belong together, but one might see a root *sti-* ‘sharp object’ in them. It has been argued that they contain the core of the root *\*stig-* ‘to sting’ (see s.v. *stinguō*), the *-g-* of which would be a root enlargement; although this is not completely impossible, there are no positive indications in this direction. Another possible cognate might be Av. *staēra-*, *taēra-* [m.] ‘mountain-top’ < *\*(s)te/oir/lo-*, if to *stilus*. But since the root cannot be determined, this etymology remains gratuitous.

Bibl.: WH II: 592, 595, EM 648f., 651, IEW 1015.

**stinguō, -ere** ‘to extinguish’ [v. III; *-stīnxī, stīnctum*] (Lucr.+)

Derivatives: *distinguere* ‘to keep separate, mark off, distinguish’ (Pac.+), *distinctus* ‘different’ (Acc.+), *extinguere* ‘to extinguish, kill, wipe out’ (Pl.+), *interstinguere* ‘to extinguish temporarily; mark off’ (Lucr.+), *restinguere* ‘to extinguish, suppress’ (Pl.).

PIt. *\*ste-n-g<sup>w</sup>-e/o-*, *\*in-stī/eig-*. It. cognates: U. *anstintu, astintu* [3s.ipv.II] ‘to connect, attach’ < *\*an + stinge-tōd*.

PIE *\*steng<sup>w</sup>-e/o-* ‘to push, thrust’. IE cognates: Go. *stiggan* ‘to thrust’, caus. *ga-stagqjan*.

There is no reason to posit a separate verb *\*stingere*, as EM do. The attestations of *\*stingere* will have originated e.g. in the 3p. *stinguunt* > *stingunt*. The meanings of *ex-* and *restinguere* ‘to extinguish’ and *distinguere* seem quite distinct, but can be understood if the root meant ‘to press’ or ‘push’: *ex-stinguere* ‘to put a fire out’, *re-stinguere* ‘to push back, suppress’, and *dis-stinguere* ‘to push apart > distinguish, mark off’; cf. Klingenschmitt 1989. Umbrian *\*ana-sting-* ‘to connect’ is also unproblematic from ‘to push’. The verbal stem in *\*-uje/o-* cannot be explained as denominal, which is why the etymological connection with Go. *stingan* is attractive: root final *\*-g<sup>w</sup>-* could have brought about the *u*-stem conjugation. It does not appear possible to link *in-stīgāre* with *-stinguere*, since it presupposes root-internal *\*-i-*. U. *anstintu* may belong here (< *\*-stimbtu* < *\*stimbetō*), or to the root *\*stig-* ‘sharp’ of *in-stīgāre*.

Bibl.: WH I: 706f., II: 592, EM 649, IEW 1016f., Klingenschmitt 1989: 99f., Untermann 2000: 106, LIV *\*steng<sup>w</sup>-*. → *instīgāre*

**stīpō, -āre** ‘to compress, surround’ [v. I] (Varro+)

Derivatives: *stīpātor* ‘bodyguard, attendant’ (Varro+); *obstīpus* ‘slanted, inclined’ (Enn.+), *instīpāre* ‘to pack closely’ (Cato); *stīpes, -itis* [m.] ‘tree trunk, stick’ (Cato+).

PIt. *\*stei/īpo-*.

PIE *\*steip-o-* ‘stiff, erect’. IE cognates: Lith. *stīpti*, Is. *stimpū* ‘to stiffen, grow rigid’, *stīprūs* ‘strong’, *stīēpti* ‘to stretch’; OE *stīf*, MHG *stīf* ‘stiff, erect’ < *\*steifa-*, MLG *stīvele* ‘support’, Olc. *stīfla* ‘to dam’.

Seems to be based on an adj. *\*stīpo-* ‘erect, rigid’ < *\*stéipo-*, on the evidence of the Gm. accent. The noun *stīpet-* can be interpreted as a deadjectival *t*-stem *\*steip-e/ot-* ‘the stiff one’. There are possible cognate forms in Baltic and Germanic from a root *\*stip-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 593, EM 650, IEW 1015f., LIV *\*steip-*. → *stips*

**stips, -is** ‘small offering of money, alms’ [f. *p*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *stīpula* ‘stalk, straw, reed’ (Ter.+), *stīpulārī* ‘to exact a solemn promise’ (Pl.+), *stīpulātīō* ‘demanding a guarantee’ (Varro+), *instīpulārī* ‘to stipulate for’ (Pl.+); *stīpendium* ‘regular cash payment, campaign’ (Pl.+; *stīpendium metri causa* in Enn.).

PIt. *\*stip-* ‘stalk’ > ‘payment’, *\*stip-elo-* ‘straw’. It. cognates: U. *steplatu, stīplatu, anstīplatu* [3s.ipv.II], *stīplo* [2s.ipv.] ‘to agree legally’.

PIE *\*stip-* ‘stalk’? IE cognates: see s.v. *stīpō*.

The noun *stipendium* derives by haplology from *\*stipi-pendium*. *Stipulārī* can be derived from the noun *stipula*, the original meaning of the verb was ‘to draw/cut straws’. In view of U. *ste/iplā-*, this must have been an early development. The noun *stip-* must have developed from a concrete object that was used for payments, but the nature of the object is unknown: a certain stalk of a plant? a measure of corn? Since the root meant ‘to be stiff, erect’, the meaning ‘stalk’ is attractive.

Bibl.: WH II: 594, EM 650, IEW 1015f., Untermann 2000: 703f., LIV ?\*steip-. → *stīpō*

**stirps, -pis** ‘stem, stump, stock, ancestral race’ [f. (m.) *p*] (Pl.+; m. archaic)

Has been compared with Lith. *stir̃pti* ‘to grow up, grow high’, *steir̃ptis* ‘to stiffen’, which is a possible but not compelling semantic connection. This etymology would require that Lat. *stirps* reflect *\*sterp-*, which is possible, but less likely than *\*stirp-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 595, EM 651, IEW 1022-1027.

**stō, stāre** ‘to stand; to halt’ [v. I; pf. *stetī*, ppp. *statum*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: (1) *statim* [adv.] ‘unyieldingly, immediately’ (Pl.+), *statiō* ‘halting-place, standing, guard’ (Lucr.+), *statārius* ‘quiet, stationary’ (Ter.+), *staticulus* ‘a pose’ (Pl.+), *stativus* ‘standing still, permanent’ (Varro+), *stator* ‘who establishes’ (Varro+); *abstāre* ‘to keep at a distance’ (Pl.+), *a(d)stāre* ‘to stand by, stand waiting’ (Naev.+), *antēsītāre* ‘to surpass’ (Enn.+), *cōnstāre* ‘to stand together, remain; cost’ (Pl.+), *distāre* ‘to be distant, different’ (Lucr.+), *exstāre* ‘to exist, protrude’ (Pl.+), *īnstāre* ‘to set foot on, press, be urgent’ (Pl.+), *obstāre* ‘to meet, stand in the way, obstruct’ (Pl.+), *obstetrīx* ‘midwife’ (Pl.+), *praestāre* ‘to be superior, furnish’ (Andr.+), *praestābilis* ‘outstanding’ (Ter.+), *praestō* [adv.] ‘ready’ (Pl.+), *prōstāre* ‘to offer for sale’ (Pl.+), *restāre* ‘to remain, stand firm’ (Pl.+), *restitāre* ‘to lag behind, keep offering resistance’ (Pl.+), *substāre* ‘to hold one’s ground, remain’ (Ter.+), *superstitāre* ‘to cause to remain in existence’ (Pl.+); (2) *status*, *-ūs* ‘standing, position’ (Pl.+), *statuere* (*statuī*, *statūtum*) ‘to set, erect, establish’ (Pl.+), *statūra* ‘body height, stature’ (Pl.+), *statua* ‘statue’ (Pl.+); *a(d)stituere* ‘to place near’ (Pl.+), *cōstituere* ‘to place, arrange’ (Pl.+), *dēstituere* ‘to set up, abandon’ (Naev.+), *īstituere* ‘to organize, establish’ (Pl.+), *praestituere* ‘to fix’ (Pl.+), *prōstituere* ‘to expose, prostitute’ (Pl.+), *restituere* ‘to set up again, restore’ (Pl.+); (3) *superstes*, *-stītis* ‘standing by, surviving’ (Pl.+), *superstitiōsus* ‘ecstatic, full of credulity’ (Pl.+), *solstitium* ‘solstice’ (Cato+), *solstitiālis* ‘of the summer solstice’ (Pl.+); (4) *stabulum* ‘stable, shed’ (Pl.+), *stabulāre* ‘to house (animals)’ (Varro+); *stabilis* ‘steady, firm’ (Pl.+), *īnstabilis* ‘unsteady, inconstant’ (Pac.+), *stabilīre* ‘to fix, make firm’ (Pl.+), *stabilīmen* ‘support’ (Acc.+), *stabilīmentum* ‘which gives stability’ (Pl.+); *cōnstabilīre* ‘to establish, strengthen’ (Pl.+), *cōnstibilis* ‘strong’ (Cato), *prōstibilis* ‘available as a prostitute’ (Pl.+), *prōstibulum* ‘prostitute’ (Pl.+), *restibilis* ‘sown or planted every year’ (Cato+), *restibilīre* ‘to re-establish’ (Pac.); (5) *dēstināre* ‘to arrange the purchase of (Pl.), determine, settle (Cic.+), *obstināre* ‘to set one’s mind on’ (Naev.+), *praestināre* ‘to bargain for, buy’ (Pl.+); (6) *stāmen*, *-inis* [n.] ‘thread, loom, warp’ (Varro+);

PIt. *\*sta-ē-je/o-* ‘to stand, be standing’, *\*sta-n-e/o-* ‘to place’, *\*stato-* ‘placed’, *\*statu-* ‘setting up, erecting’, *\*stablo-* [n.] ‘place, stable’. It. cognates: Ven. *atisteit* [3s.pr.] < *\*ati-staiet*, *stati* [ins.sg.] ‘weight’; Fal. *sta* [3s.pr.] ‘stands’; Fal. *statuo* ‘I erect’; U. *stahu* [1s.pr.], *stahitu* [3s.ipv.II], *stahituto* [3p.ipv.II], *staheren* [3p.fut.], O. *stait* [3s.pr.], *stahint*, *stahint*, *staiet* [3p.pr.], *staietud* [3s.pf.?] ‘to stand’, SPic. *adstaeoms* [1p.pr.], *adstaiuh* [3p.pf.?] ‘to erect’ or ‘to place beside’, O. *eestint* [3p.pf.] ‘to exist’, SPic. *praistait* [3s.pr.], *praistafnt* [3p.pr.], *prajistaiuh* [3p.pf.?] ‘to stand up’ < *\*sta-īē-*; U. *stakaz est* [3s.pf.ps.] ‘to establish’, denom. to a noun *\*stā-k-*; Mars. *state* [dat.sg.] name of a deity; O. *statif* ‘?’ [nom.sg.?]; U. *statita* [ppp acc.pl.n.] ‘erected’ < *\*statūto-*, denom. to *\*statu-* ‘act of erecting’; U. *statitatu* [3s.ipv.II] ‘must take position’, denom. from *\*statūto-*; U. *stahmei* [loc. or dat.sg.] ‘agreement’ vel sim. < *\*stā-mo-*; U. *stahmito* [ppp nom.sg.n.], *stahmitei*, *stahmeitei* [loc. or dat.sg.m.] ‘agreed/determined’, denom. to *stahmo-*; O. *stafatasset* [3p.pf.ps.], *σταβαλονο* [gdve. nom.pl.n.] ‘to erect’, denom. v. *\*stafā-o-* to *\*staflo-* ‘place’ < *\*sth<sub>2</sub>-d<sup>h</sup>lo-*; U. *stafarem* [acc.sg.f.], *stafare* [acc.sg.n.] ‘?’ < *\*staf-āri-*; U. *stafli* ‘?’.

PIE *\*sth<sub>2</sub>-eh<sub>1</sub>-ie/o-* ‘to stand’, *\*st-n(e)-h<sub>2</sub>-* ‘to place’, *\*sth<sub>2</sub>-to-* ‘placed’, *\*sth<sub>2</sub>tu-* ‘placement’, *\*sth<sub>2</sub>-d<sup>h</sup>lo-* ‘a place’. IE cognates: OIr. *ad-tá* ‘to be (situated)’, OW *-tau* ‘is’, MCo. *otte*, *atta* ‘there is’, OBret. *to* ‘it is’ < PCl. *\*tā-īe/o-*; Arm. *stanam* [med.] ‘to acquire’, Alb. *shon* ‘to add’, OPr. *postāt* ‘to become’, Lith. *stóti*, Latv. *stāt* ‘to stand’, OCS *stati*, Is. *stanō* ‘to stand, become’, *stojati*, Is. *stojō*, OHG *stēn/stān*, OFr. *stān*, Go. *standan*, OIc. *standa*, OS OE *standan* ‘to stand’.

The inherited formations are: (1) a stative present *\*stā-* < *\*stāje-* < *\*sta-ēje-*, which is also found in Sabellic (U. *stahi/e-*, O. *sta(h)i-*), a *to*-ptc. *\*stato-* ‘placed’, (2) a *tu*-stem noun *\*statu-* ‘the erecting’ (also in Sab.), (3) maybe a *t*-stem noun *\*stat-* ‘who stands’ in compounds, (4) a PIt. instr. noun in *\*-plo-* (also in Sab.), and (5) a nasal present *\*stane/o-* ‘to place’. Of the latter, only the derived *ā*-stem verb in prepositional compounds has been preserved. Lat. *prōstibilis* and *prōstibulum* are derived from the verbal base *\*prō-sta-* rather than from *stabulum* (Serbat 1975: 65).

Bibl.: WH II: 584, 587, 596-599, 632, EM 651-655, IEW 1004-1010, Giacomelli 1963: 256f., Cowgill 1973, Klingenschmitt 1982: 112, Schrijver 1991: 98, 404f., Meiser 1998: 187, 2003: 71, 189f., Untermann 2000: 693-703, Schumacher 2004: 623ff., LIV *\*steh<sub>2</sub>-*. → *īnstauro*, *sistō*

**stolidus** ‘insensible, dull, stupid’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *stultus* ‘stupid’ (Naev.+), *stultitia* ‘stupidity’ (Pl.+).

Whereas *stolidus* might belong to a verb *\*stolēre* ‘to be insensible’, *stultus* < *\*stolto-* might reflect *\*stl-to-*. The further etymology is uncertain. IEW derives them from the root *\*stel-* ‘to place’, so that *stolidus* and *stultus* could be interpreted as originally meaning ‘inert’ (< ‘standing’).

Bibl.: WH II: 599, EM 655, 658, IEW 1019f., Leumann 1977: 329.

**stolō** ‘shoot, sucker (in plants)’ [m. *n*] (Varro+)

PIt. *\*stel-ōn-* or *\*stol-ōn-*.

PIE *\*stel-n-* ‘shoot, stem’. IE cognates: Gr. *στόλος* ‘equipment, armament; ship’s

prow' [m.], στελεά [f.] 'shaft of an axe, hammer', στέλεχος [n. (m.)] 'the end of the stem at the root of a tree, stump, log', Arm. *steln* 'trunk, branch'; OE *stela* [m.] 'stalk of a plant', Norw. *stjøl* 'stalk'.

We find a matching noun in Armenian, and Greek has a few nouns with similar form and semantics. The meaning of Lat. *stolō*, Gr. στέλεχος and OE *stela* can be well understood on the basis of the PIE root *\*stel-* 'to place'.

Bibl.: WH II: 599f., EM 655, IEW 1019f., LIV *\*stel-*.

**strēna** 'auspicious sign' [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *strēnuus* 'active, vigorous' (Naev.+), *strēnuitās* 'activity' (Varro+), *strēnuōsus* 'active' (Pl.), *īnstrēnuus* 'inactive' (Pl.+), *Strēnia* 'goddess of health and luck' (Varro+).

Plt. *\*streg-sno-?*

PIE *\*streg-sno-?*

Often compared with Gr. σπρηής 'rough, harsh', σπρηός [n.] 'passion, pride', but the semantics are unconvincing. If the original adj. was *\*strēnos* (as one Pl. attestation seems to suggest), *strēnuus* is due to the influence of the adj. in *-uus*, in particular *\*endostruos* > *industrius* (see s.v. *struō*). The Romans regarded *strēna* as a Sabine word. Szemerényi 1989: 23f. connects OIr. *trén* 'strong' < *\*treg-sno-*, and (if cognate) Olc. *þrek(r)*, OE *þracu* 'force' < *\*treg-*, Olc. *sterkr* < *\*sterg-*. There are no good verbal cognates for these nominal forms *\*(s)terg-*; hence the PIE character remains uncertain.

Bibl.: WH II: 601, EM 655, IEW 1022-1027, Schrijver 1991: 140.

**strepō, -ere** 'to make a loud noise' [v. III; pf. *strepuī*, ppp. *strepitum*] (Sall.+)

Derivatives: *strepitus, -ūs* 'noise' (Pl.+); *perstrepere* 'to make a loud noise' (Ter.+).

Plt. *\*strepe/o-*.

PIE *\*strep-e/o-*. IE cognates: Olc. *þrefa* 'to quarrel', Olc. *þrapt* [n.] 'gossip', OE *þræft*, MLG *drevelinge* 'quarrel'.

It is uncertain that *\*strep-* goes back to PIE, since it is only found in Latin and Germanic.

Bibl.: WH II: 602, EM 656, IEW 1037, LIV *\*(s)trep-*.

**strīdō, -ere** 'to make a shrill sound' [v. III; pf. *strīdī*] (Enn.+; *strīdēre* Luc.+)

Derivatives: *strīdor* 'squeak, shriek' (Pac.+).

IE cognates: Gr. τρίζω 'to squeak', pf. τέτρῳγα, ToA *trisk-* 'to resound'.

Onomatopoeic formation of *str-* and *-i-* rendering a high, thrilling tone. In Gr. and Toch., we find initial *tr-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 603, EM 656, IEW 1036.

**stringō, -ere** 'to bind fast, tighten; to strip off' [v. III; pf. *strīnxī*, ppp. *strictum*] (Cato+)

Derivatives: (1) *strictūra* 'hardened mass of iron' (Lucil.+), *strictim* 'closely,

slightly' (Pl.+), *stringor* 'contracting quality' (Lucr.); *adstringere* 'to tie up tightly, commit' (Pl.+), *distringere* 'to stretch apart' (Pl.+), *interstringere* 'to throttle' (Pl.), *obstringere* 'to tie around, confine' (Pl.+), *praestringere* 'to blunt, tie up at the ends' (Pl.+), *restringere* 'to draw back, fasten' (Pl.+); *strigor* 'brute, man of solid strength' (Pl.+), *obstrigillāre* 'to cause obstruction' (Enn.+), *obstrigillātor* 'who hampers' (Varro+); (2) *strictor* 'a picker' (Cato), *strictivus* 'picked (of fruit)' (Cato), *strigilis* [f.] 'oil-scraper' (Pl.+), *praest(r)igiae* [f.pl.] 'trick, deceit' (Pl.+), *praestigiātor* 'trickster, juggler' (Pl.+), *praestigiātrix* 'female trickster' (Pl.); *stria* 'channel, furrow' (Varro+), *striātus* 'furrowed, grooved' (Pl.+); *striga* 'strip, row' (Paul. ex F. et al.), *strigāre* 'to stop, halt' (Verg.+).

Plt. *\*string-* 'to strip off', *\*strenge/o-* 'to tie' [pr.], *\*strig-to-* [ppp.], *\*strig-ā-* [f.] 'strip, row', *\*-streig-io-*.

PIE *\*stri-n-g-* 'to brush, strip', *\*strengh<sup>h</sup>-e/o-* 'to draw, tie'. IE cognates: OCS *strišti*, ls. *strigō* 'to cut, shave', OHG *strihhan* 'to brush', OE *strīcan* 'to brush (past), rub, wander' < *\*strig-*; Mlr. *srengim* 'to draw, drag', Khot. *strīs-* (*strīs-*, *str(r)ī-*) 'to become stiff', *pastranj-* 'to repress' < Plr. *\*stranj-*, Gr. *στραγγός* 'twisted, crooked', Olc. *strenja* 'to bind together', OE *stengan* 'to attach' < *\*strengh<sup>h</sup>-*.

The simplex *stringō* has two meanings: (1) 'to tie, tighten', as in all the compounds of *-stringō*; the same meaning may account for *strigor* ('who holds'), *obstrigillāre* and *praest(r)igiae* (with dissimilation of the second *r*). (2) 'to strip off, bare; to scratch, shave, etc.', which is difficult to derive from 'to tie' or 'stretch'. To this second meaning belong the instrument *strigilis* and possibly also *stria* 'furrow' (< *\*strig-ia*; but it might also belong to 'stretch') and *striga* 'strip, row'. It appears that a merger occurred of two different PIE verbs, *\*strig-* 'to brush, strip' and *\*strengh<sup>h</sup>-* 'to tie' (> Lat. *string-* by phonetic development). The verb *strigāre* 'to stop' is best interpreted as a denominative to *stria* and *striga*: 'to draw furrows' > 'stop and turn at the end of the field' > 'to halt'.

Bibl.: WH II: 356, 602-605, EM 656f., IEW 1028f., Meiser 2003: 113, LIV I.\*streig-, *\*strengh<sup>h</sup>-*.

**strūma** 'swelling of the lymphatic glands' [f. *ā*] (Cic.+)

In theory, a connection with Gm. words in PIE *\*strūd-* (Olc. *strūtr* 'top', OE *strūtian* 'to stand still', NHG *strotz* 'stiff', MHG *strūz* 'resistance') is possible, but the semantic link is not compelling, and the late attestation in Latin makes an IE etymology less certain.

Bibl.: WH II: 606f., EM 657, IEW 1022-1027.

**struō, -ere** 'to arrange, construct, compose, build' [v. III; pf. *strūxī*, ppp. *strūctum*] (Lex XII+)

Derivatives: *struēs, -is* [f.] 'heap, pile; row of sacrificial cakes' (Cato+), *struix, -īcis* [f.] 'heap, pile' (Andr.+); *cōnstruere* 'to pile up, arrange' (Sis.+), *exstruere* 'to heap up, construct' (Pl.+), *īnstruere* 'to build, arrange, equip' (Pl.+), *īnstrūmentum* 'equipment' (Cato+), *industria* 'diligent activity' (Pl.+), *industrius* 'active' (Pl.+), *industriōsē* 'diligently' (Cato+), *indostruum* 'industrial' (Paul. ex F.).

Plt. *\*strow-e/o-*. It. cognates: U. **struhçla**, **struçla**, *strușla*, *strusla* [acc.sg.], **struhçlas** [gen.sg.] f. 'kind of sacrificial cake' < *\*struwi-k-elā?*

PIE *\*streu-e/o-* 'to strew'. IE cognates: OIr. *sruïd\** 3s. 'to throw', *as-sroíther* 'is dispersed', OBret. *strouis* 'strew out' < PIE *\*strou-eie-*, OCS *o-strujq*, *-strujiti* 'to destroy', Go. *straujan* 'to strew' < *\*strou-eie-*.

Lat. *struō* can reflect a PIE thematic pr. *\*streu-e/o-*. The velar in the pf. and ppp. must be analogical to *fluō*, where *-g<sup>w</sup>-* disappeared regularly between vowels. If *industrius* goes back to *\*endo-struwo-*, this was probably directly built to the verb.

Bibl.: WH I: 696, II: 607, EM 316, 658, IEW 1029-1031, Leumann 1977: 591, Meiser 1998: 208, Untermann 2000: 704f., Schumacher 2004: 602, LIV *\*streu-*.

**studeō** 'to devote oneself, strive after' [v. II; pf. *studuī*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *studium* 'zeal, enthusiasm, study' (Pl.+), *studiōsus* 'eager, zealous' (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*stud-ē-*.

PIE *\*stud-eh<sub>1</sub>-* 'to thrust, hit'. IE cognates: see s.v. *tundō*.

Lat. *studēre* can be understood as a stative to *\*(s)tud-* 'to thrust', with the meaning 'to be thrusting' > 'strive after'.

Bibl.: WH II: 608, EM 658, IEW 1032-1034, LIV 1.*\*(s)teud-*. → *tundō*

**stupeō** 'to be(come) numb, amazed' [v. II] (Ter.+)

Derivatives: *stupidus* 'dazed, stunned, foolish' (Pl.+), *stupiditās* 'stupidity' (Acc.+); *obstu/ipēscere* 'to be stunned' (Pl.+), *obstupidus* 'astounded' (Pl.+), *obstupefacere* 'to strike dumb, stun' (Ter.+); *stuprum* 'dishonour, illicit sexual intercourse' (Naev.+), *stuprāre* 'to have illicit sexual intercourse with' (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*stup-ē-* 'to be stunned', *\*stup-ro-* 'struck, hit'.

PIE *\*stup-eh<sub>1</sub>-* 'to hit, push', *\*stup-ro-*. IE cognates: CLuw. *dūp(a)i-*, Lyc. *tub(e)i* 'to strike', Gr. *τύπτω* 'to hit, strike, sting', aor. *έτύπη* 'was hit', *τύπος* 'stroke, impression'; Alb. *përtyt* 'to chew', *shyt* 'to crush'; Gr. *στόπος* [n.] 'stick, shaft'.

The PIE verbal meaning 'to hit, push' explains the Latin stative 'to be stunned' as well as the nominal form *\*stup-ro-* 'struck, stunned' > 'dishonoured'.

Bibl.: WH II: 609f., EM 658f., IEW 1032-1034, LIV *\*(s)teup-*.

**sturnus** 'starling' [m. o] (Plin.+)

Plt. *\*storno-* 'starling'?

PIE *\*(h<sub>2</sub>)st(o)r-no-* 'starling'? IE cognates: Gr. *άστραλός* 'starling' (Hsch.), OE *stearn*, MoDu *stern* 'tern', OPr. *starnite* 'seagull' (ms. *stamite*); OHG *star(a)*, NHG *Star*, MDu. *sterre*, Olc. *stari*, OE *stær*, dim. *stærling* 'starling'.

*Sturnus* can be connected with Gm. words in *\*stern-* and *\*stor-n-* meaning 'tern' and 'starling', and maybe with OPr. *starnite*. The Greek gloss word *άστραλός* would prove *\*h<sub>2</sub>str-*, but it stands isolated.

Bibl.: WH II: 610, EM 659, IEW 1036, Schrijver 1991: 23, Meiser 1998: 64. → *turdus*

**suāsum** ‘dirty grey colour’ [n. o] (Pl., Fest.)

Plt. \*swarssō-?

PIE \*surd-to-? IE cognates: see s.v. *sordēs*.

The meaning has suggested a connection to *sordēs* < \*suord-oi-, but the exact form is unclear. A preform \*suord-to- would probably yield \*sorso-, whereas \*suerdto- would yield \*suerso- > \*sorso- too. Only if \*surd-to- regularly developed into \*swarssō- could *suāsum* result; this would match the rule \*RDT > \*RaDT posited by Schrijver 1991: 485. Without external support for this morphological analysis, this etymology remains unconvincing.

Bibl.: WH II: 611, EM 659, IEW 1052, Leumann 1977: 211, Schrijver 1991: 463, 494. → *sordēs*

**suāvis** ‘sweet, pleasant’ [adj. i] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *suāvitūdō* ‘sweetness’ (Pl.+), *suāvitās* ‘pleasantness, charm’ (Pl.+), *suāvisāviātīō* ‘amorous kissing’ (Pl.), *suāvisonus* ‘sweet-sounding’ (Naev.+); *sāvium* ‘kiss’ (Pl.+), *sāvillum* ‘kind of cheesecake’ (Cato); *suādēre* [*suāsī*, *suāsum*] ‘to recommend, advise’ (Pl.+), *cōnsuādēre* ‘to recommend strongly’ (Pl.+), *dissuādēre* ‘to advise against’ (Pl.+), *persuādēre* ‘to induce, convince’ (Pl.+), *persuāstrīx* ‘persuasive woman’ (Pl.), *suādēla* ‘persuasion’ (Pl.+), *suāsor* ‘who advises, advocates’ (Pl.+), *suāsus*, -ūs ‘advising’ (Ter.+), *suāda* ‘persuasiveness’ (Enn.+).

Plt. \*swādu- ‘sweet’, \*swādeje- ‘to render agreeable’.

PIE \*sueh<sub>2</sub>-u- ‘sweet’, \*su(o)h<sub>2</sub>-eie- ‘to render tasty’. IE cognates: Skt. *svādú-* [m.], *svādvī-* [f.] ‘sweet’, pr. *svādanti* [3p.act.], caus. *svādāya-* ‘to make savoury’, *svāttā-* ‘made savoury’, OAv. *hudāma-* ‘sweetness’, YAv. *x’āsta-* ‘cooked, made done’, Bal. *wād* ‘salt’ (< Plr. \*h<sub>2</sub>āda-); Gr. ἡδύς ‘sweet, tasting good, pleasant’, OE *swōt* ‘sweet’, OHG *suozī*, OE *swēte*; ToA *swār* ‘sweet’ < \*suād-ro-.

The PIE *u*-stem adj. yielded Plt. \*suādu-, which was then remade into an *i*-stem as all other *u*-adj. were: \*suādwi-. Subsequently, -d- was lost in front of \*-w-. The verb *suādēre* shows by its meaning that it is a causative, but \*suoh<sub>2</sub>-eie- would have yielded \*sōdē-. Hence, the root form of the adj. was probably introduced into the verb (Schrijver 1991: 148). The semantics ‘to recommend’ can be understood from earlier ‘to make sweet, render agreeable’. In *sāvium* and *sāvillum* < \*swāwio-, the first \*-w- was dissimilated.

Bibl.: WH II: 483, 611f., EM 597, 659, IEW 1039f., Schrijver 1991: 145, 148, Meiser 1998: 120, LIV \*sueh<sub>2</sub>-.

**sub**, **su(b)s** ‘under, below; reaching from below, up to’ [prep., pref. + acc. (movement), + abl. (position)] (Elog.Scip., Naev.+); distribution: *sus-* < \*subs- in front of *p, t, c, qu*, *su-* in front of *sp-*; *sub-* in front of vowels, *h-*, *i-*, *u-*, *b-*, *d-*, *l-*, *n-*, *r-*, *s-*, *t-*; *suc-* in front of *c-*, *suf-* in front of *f-*, *sug-* in front of *g-*, *sup-* in front of *p-*, often also *sum-* in front of *m-* and *sur-* in front of *r-*. The meaning ‘movement upwards’ can be seen e.g. in *suspiciō*, *sublevō*, *surgō*, *sublātus*.

Derivatives: *subter* ‘underneath’ [adv.]; ‘towards/in a position at the base of, under’ [prep.] (Varro+), *subtus* ‘underneath, below’ (Cato+); *supīnus* ‘lying face upwards’



(Pl.+), *resupīnāre* ‘to tilt back, lay face upwards’ (Ter.+), *resupīnus* ‘lying face upwards, sloping upwards’ (Acc.+).

Plt. *\*supV* (probably *\*supo*) ‘down, below; upwards’, *\*supīno-* ‘upwards’. It. cognates: O. *σπ* (+ loc.), U. *su* (+ abl.) ‘under’, as a preverb U. *sub-* (before vowel), *su-* (before vowel or consonant: *sumtu* < *\*sup-emptu*, *sutentu* < *\*sup-tentu*). Probably also U. *supu* (+ loc.) ‘at the foot of’; maybe U. *subahtu*, *subotu* [3s.ipv.II], *subator sent* [3p.pf.ps.] ‘to lay aside’.

PIE *\*(h<sub>1</sub>)upo* ‘up, from below’. IE cognates: Gaul. *vo-*, OIr. *fo* ‘under’, OW *guo-*, *gu-*, *gua-*, W. *go-*, *gwa-* < PCl. *\*uφo-*; Skt. *úpa* ‘towards, together with, under, near to, onto, on’, OAv. *upā*, YAv. *upa*, OP *upā* ‘towards, with, on, in’; Gr. *ὑπο*, *ὑπό* ‘under, below’; Go. *uf* ‘on, under’, OHG *oba*, MHG *obe*, *ob* ‘over’, OIc. *of* ‘over, on, in’, OE *ufe-*, OHG *ūf* (*ūfan*) ‘on’.

Final *-b* may have arisen in front of voiced stops. Lat. *subtus* < *\*sub-tos* (cf. *intus*). The theory that *supīnus* contains the root *\*ei-* ‘to go’ (Leumann 1977: 328) is unattractive: it will be a simple adjectival derivative in *-īnus*, which shows the old meaning ‘upwards’ of *\*sup(o)*. Initial *\*s-* in Italic (in *sub* and *super*) and in Greek cannot be explained by comparing *sine* with *\*en-* as EM do, since *sine* is now etymologized differently. Whatever the origin of the *s-*, the Celtic forms show that it was a specific Italic development.

Bibl.: WH 612-617, 633, EM 659-661, 668, IEW 1106f., Leumann 1977: 157, Beekes 1995: 222, Schrijver 1005: 116-130, Untermann 2000: 705f., 718f., 723. → *summus*, *super*, *suppus*

**sūber, -ris** ‘cork-oak’ [n. *r*] (Verg.+)

Derivatives: *sūbernus* ‘of the cork-tree’ (Varro), *sūberiēs* ‘cork-oak’ (Lucil.+).

No etymology. A borrowing from Gr. *σῶφap* [n.] ‘wrinkled skin’, as proposed by WH, is doubtful on account of the deviant meaning. Latin and Greek might have borrowed a word in *\*sūb<sup>h</sup>-* with a common semantic core from a third language.

Bibl.: WH II: 617, EM 661.

U. **sukatu** [3s.ipv.II], **prusikurent** [3p.fut.pf.] ‘to declare’, SPic. **persukant** [3p.pr.] ‘id.’.

Plt. *\*soiko-* ‘swearing’, *\*(se-)sik-* ‘to swear’.

PIE *\*se-s(o)ik-* [pf.] ‘to reach’, *\*soik-o-* [m./n.]. IE cognates: Gr. *ἴκω*, *ικάνω*, *ικνέομαι* [pr.], *ικέσθαι* [aor.] ‘to come, reach’, *ἐνεῖκαι* ‘to carry off’ < *\*en-seik-*; Lith. *siėkti*, 3s. *siėkia* ‘to try to reach, strive for’, *saikas*, *siėkas*, Latv. *siėks* ‘unit of dry measure’; ToB *siknam*, sb. *saikam* ‘to set a foot’.

The older etymology with *\*sek<sup>w</sup>-* ‘to say’ was dismissed by Meiser, who instead derives pf. *\*prō-sik-us-* from PIE *\*seik-* ‘to reach’. Meiser posits a semantic change from ‘to reach’ > ‘to reach after, seek’ > ‘swear’. He explains the stem **suka-** in U. and SPic. as denominative *\*soikāje/o-* to a noun *\*soiko-* ‘declaration’.

Bibl.: IEW 897f., Meiser 1986: 87f., Untermann 2000: 589f., 712f., LIV *\*seik-*.

**sūcus** ‘juice’ [m. *o*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *sūcidus* ‘fresh, juicy’ (Varro+), *cōnsūcidus* ‘fresh, juicy’ (Pl.).

PIt. \**souko-*.

PIE \**souk-* ‘juice’ (or \**seuk-*?). IE cognates: W. *sugno* ‘to suck’, MBret. *sunaff* ‘juice’, W. *sugnedydd* ‘pump’ (< \**seuk-n-*?); Latv. *sūkt*, OCS *sъsati*, Is. *σῆσφ*, Ru. *sosát* ‘to suck’ < \**suk-*; OIc. *súga*, OHG OE *sūgan* ‘to suck’ < \**sūgan*, OE *sūcan*, MDu. *sūcen* < \**sūkan* < \**suk-n-*?

We find the root for ‘to suck’ as \**suk-* in Celtic, BSl. and in some Germanic forms, and as \**sug-* in other Germanic forms and in Latin *sūgō*. It is unlikely that this goes back to a root \**su-* with different root enlargements, so we must surmise that the root originally was \**suk-* or \**sug-*, and changed to \**sug-* or \**suk-* in certain phonetic environments. Both Latin and Germanic conserve both variants. Schrijver 2001: 423 regards the vacillation in root-final stop as a sign that these words for ‘to suck’ and ‘juice’ were borrowed from (a) substratum language(s). In its turn, this might have got the word from Uralic, since Proto-Uralic \**śoxi* or \**śuwe* ‘mouth’ looks quite similar to IE \**sūk/g-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 622f., EM 662, IEW 912f., LIV \**seuk-*. → *sūgō*

**sūdor** ‘sweat’ [m. *r*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *sūdāre* ‘to sweat’ (Naev.+), *sūdātōrius* ‘inducing sweat’ (Pl.+); *cōnsūdāre* ‘to sweat well’ (Pl.+), *dēsūdāscere* ‘to sweat away’ (Pl.).

PIt. \**swe/oid-ōs-*.

PIE \**sueid-s-* ‘sweat’ (coll.), \**suoid-o-* [m.] ‘sweat’. IE cognates: W. *chwys*, Co. *whys*, Bret. *chouez* ‘sweat’ < \**spidso-*?, Skt. *svéda-* [m.], YAv. *x’aēda-* ‘sweat’, *x’īsa-* ‘to start sweating’; Gr. ἰδρώς, -ῶτος [m.], ep. acc. ἰδρῶ ‘sweat’ < \**suīd-r-os-*; ἰδίω ‘to sweat’, εἶδος (Hsch.), ἴδος [n.] ‘sweat’ < \**sueidos-*; Arm. *k’irtn*, Alb. *diršë* < \**suīd-r-*; Latv. *sviēdri* [pl.] ‘sweat’ < \**suoidro-*; OHG *sweiz*, OE *swāt*, ToB *syelme* ‘id.’.

*Sūdāre* was probably formed on the basis of a m. \**swoido-* ‘sweat’, as attested in Ilr. and Germ. The noun *sūdor* can be inherited from \**sweidōs* if Rix is correct in assuming a phonetic change of \**swei-* > \**swoi-*. Otherwise, the noun can be explained from the introduction of *sūd-* (or \**swoīd-*) into the *s-*stem.

Bibl.: WH II: 623, EM 662f., IEW 1043, Rix 1985: 341-343, Stüber 2002: 156-158, LIV I.\**sueīd-*.

**sūdus** ‘clear, bright (weather), dry’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

PIt. \**swoīdo-*.

PIE \**suoid-o-* ‘bright’.

According to Lubotsky 1985, followed by Schrijver 1991: 54, *sūdus* belongs to the IE adj. for ‘dry’ such as Lith. *saūsas*, and reflects \**h<sub>2</sub>sus-do-*. Nussbaum 1999a: 381 stresses that *sūdus* refers to bright skies rather than to the absence of rain, and proposes an etymology \**suoid-o-* ‘bright’, which he connects with Lith. *svīsti*, Is. *svindū* ‘to dawn’, *svīdēti*, *svydēti* (Daukša) ‘to shine’, Latv. *svīst*, Is. *svīdu* ‘to dawn’ < PIE \**suid-*. The root would thus be homophonous with ‘to sweat’ (Lat. *sūdāre*).

Bibl.: WH II: 624, EM 663, IEW 880f., Sihler 1995: 57, LIV ?2.\**sueīd-*.

**suēscō, -ere** ‘to become accustomed to’ [v. III; pf. *suēvī*, ppp. *suētum*] (Lucr.+)

Derivatives: *assuēscere* ‘to become or make accustomed’ (Pl.+), *assuētūdō* ‘custom, intimacy’ (Varro+), *cōnsuēscere* ‘to become or make accustomed’ (Pl.+), *cōnsuētīō* ‘sexual intercourse’ (Pl.), *cōnsuētūdō* ‘custom, disposition, intimacy’ (Pl.+), *cōnsuēfacere* ‘to make accustomed’ (Ter.+), *dēsūēscere* ‘to disaccustom, become disaccustomed’ (Titin.+), *dissuēfierī* ‘to be disaccustomed’ (Varro+), *īnsuēscere* ‘to become accustomed’ (Pl.+); *mānsuēs, -ētis* ‘mild, tame’ (Pl.+), *mānsuētus* ‘tame, mild’ (Ter.+), *mānsuēscere* ‘to become tame’ (Lucr.+), *mānsuēfacere* ‘to tame’ (Varro+).

PIt. \**swē(p)-sk-e/o-*.

PIE \**sue(h<sub>1</sub>-)d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-* ‘to make one’s own, get accustomed’. IE cognates: Gr. εἶωθα ‘to be used, use’, Lesb. εἶωθα < PGr. \*σέ-σφωθ-α < \**se-sueh<sub>1</sub>-d<sup>h</sup>*- (thus Schrijver; or from \**se-sueh<sub>1</sub>-d<sup>h</sup>*- with analogical -o-?), Gr. ἥθος [n.] ‘custom, usage’ < \**sueh<sub>1</sub>-d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-os-*; Go. *swes* ‘own; property’ [adj./n.], OHG OS *swās*, OE *swæs*, OIc. *sváss* ‘dear’ < \**suēd<sup>h</sup>-s-o-*.

*Suēscere* is an inchoative formation in \*-ske/o- based on a PIE syntagm \**suē-d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-* or \**sueh<sub>1</sub>-d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-* ‘to make into one’s own’, which may have as its first member the acc.sg. \**sue* ‘self, own’ (> \**suē* in monosyllable) or the ins.sg. \**sueh<sub>1</sub>-*. In Latin, the compound verbs are clearly older than the simplex *suēscere*, which must be regarded as a back-formation. The adj. *mansuētus* < \**manu-suēto-* is probably older than *mansuēs*, which was formed on the model of *inquiēs* : *inquiētus* (thus WH).

Bibl.: WH II: 32, 624f., EM 663, IEW 882-884, Schrijver 1991: 140f., Sihler 1995: 131, Hackstein 2002a: 12, Stüber 2002: 155f., Meier-Brügger 2004. → *sodālis*

**suffiō, -ire** ‘to fumigate’ [v. IV] (Cato+)

Derivatives: *exfir* (Paul. *ex F.*) ‘purgamentum unde adhuc manet suffitio’.

PIt. \**pūje/o-?*

PIE \**d<sup>h</sup>uh<sub>2</sub>-ie-*. IE cognates: Hit. *tuhhae-zi* ‘to produce smoke’ < PIE \**d<sup>h</sup>uh<sub>2</sub>-o-je/o-* (denominal to a noun \**d<sup>h</sup>uh<sub>2</sub>-o-*), Hit. *antuahhaš-* / *antuhš-* ‘man’ < nom. \**h<sub>1</sub>n-d<sup>h</sup>uéh<sub>2</sub>-ōs*, gen.sg. \**h<sub>1</sub>n-d<sup>h</sup>uh<sub>2</sub>-s-ós*; Gr. θυώ ‘to sacrifice’, CS *duti* ‘to blow’, Sln. *díti* ‘to smell, blow’, ToB *twasastār* ‘burns, shines’, ToA *twāsiš* ‘burns’.

*Exfir* might be a corrupted form or derivation of \**exfiō*. The phonetic development leading from PIE \**d<sup>h</sup>uH-je/o-* to *-fiō* is disputed. Most scholars seem to assume \**d<sup>h</sup>uH-je-* > \**d<sup>h</sup>ūje-* > \**fūje-* > *fije-* (unrounding by means of the ‘*pius*-Law’); whereas Kortlandt (2007: 136) posits a development \**d<sup>h</sup>uHie/o-* > \**-d<sup>h</sup>wiō* > *-fiō*.

Bibl.: WH I: 499, EM 663, IEW 261-267, Schrijver 1991: 322-325, Kloekhorst 2008: 188, 886, LIV \**d<sup>h</sup>ueh<sub>2</sub>-*. → *fimum, foeteō, fūligō, fūmus*

**suffrāgium** ‘vote cast in an assembly, voting’ [n. o] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *refrāgāreī* ‘to oppose’ (Pompon.+), *suffrāgāreī* ‘to express public support’ (Sis.+), *suffrāgō, -inis* ‘joint in the hind leg of a quadruped; sucker shoot’ (Col.+), *suffrāgātor* ‘one who gives support to a candidate’ (Pl.+).

PIt. \**-frāg-*.

Clearly, these forms are based on a verb \**sub-frāgere* or a noun \**sub-frāg-o-*

‘support’. Since the oldest meanings all refer to the process of voting for or against a candidate, one might support the view that *\*sub-frāg-* belongs to *frangere* ‘to break’: *\*sub-frāg-* ‘who shout in support of a candidate’ (but *fragor* ‘noise’ is not attested before Lucr.). This view is advocated in detail by Vaahtera 1993, who explains long *-ā-* analogically on the model of e.g. *tangō – contāgium*. Szemerényi 1989: 31f. derives *-frāgium* from a (substratum?) root *\*b<sup>h</sup>rāg-* ‘hind part, tail-bone’, which he connects with Celtic *brākā* and Germanic *\*brōk-* ‘tail-bone’. He hypothesizes for *suffrāgium* a semantic change from ‘support under the hind part’ to ‘political support’. This is too fantastic to be credible (cf. Vaahtera 1993: 70).

Bibl.: WH II: 625f., EM 663, IEW 165. → *frangō*

**sūgō, -ere** ‘to suck’ [v. III; pf. *sūxī*, ppp. *sūctum*] (Novius+)

Derivatives: *sūctus*, *-ūs* ‘sucking’ (Varro+), *sūmen*, *-inis* ‘sow’s udder’ (Pl.+); *sūgillāre* (also *sugg-*) ‘to mark with bruises, insult’ (Varro+); *sanguisūga* [f.] ‘leech’ (Plin.+); *assūgere* ‘to suck towards’ (Lucr.+), *exsūgere* ‘to suck out’ (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*souge/o-* ‘to suck’, *\*soug(s)mn-* ‘udder’.

PIE *\*seug-e/o-* ‘to suck’. IE cognates: OE *sūcan* ‘to suck’, *socian* (< *\*suk-*) ‘to suck up’.

See s.v. *sūcus*.

Bibl.: WH II: 622f., EM 664, IEW 912f., Schrijver 1991: 234, LIV *\*seuk-*. → *sūcus*

**sulcus** ‘furrow’ [m. o] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *sulcāre* ‘to plough, draw furrows’ (Varro+); *bisulcis* / *bisulcus* ‘divided into two parts, forked’ (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*solko-*.

PIE *\*solk-o-* ‘furrow’. IE cognates: Gr. ἔλκω ‘to draw, drag’, ὀλκός [adj., m.] ‘attractive, trailing; hauling-engine for ships; furrow’, Alb. *helq*, *heq* ‘to draw’ (< *\*solkeje/o-*), OE *sulh* [f.] ‘plough’ < *\*słk-*, ToB *sālk-* ‘to draw out’.

In theory, *sulcus* can reflect PIE *\*słk-o-* or *\*solko-*. In view of Gr. ὀλκός, the latter seems more likely.

Bibl.: WH II: 627, EM 664, IEW 901, Meiser 1998: 84, LIV *\*selk-*.

**sulpur, -uris** ‘sulphur’ [n. r] (Cato+)

Derivatives: *sulpureus* ‘containing sulphur’ (Enn.+).

PIt. *\*solpor* / *\*solpur*.

PIE *\*solp-r?* ‘fat’. IE cognates: Skt. *sarpīṣ-* [n.] ‘melted butter, lard’, *śṛprá-* [adj.] ‘greasy, smooth, sleek’, Gr. ὀλπη [f.] ‘oil-flask’, Alb. *gjalpë* ‘butter’, OHG *salba* ‘ointment, salve’, ToA *šālyp*, ToB *šalype* ‘butter, oil’.

The best spelling is *sulpur*. The Seneca mss. mostly have *sulphur*, those of Pliny have *sulpur* and *sulphur*. Szemerényi (1995: 410) suggests that *sulpur* derives from *\*sulpos* < *\*solpos*, as in *sulcus*. The preform *\*solpos* would ultimately derive from *\*selpos* ‘oily substance’, with cognates in Greek, Tocharian and Germanic. The development of *\*selpos* to *\*solpos* would be irregular, however, and final *-ur* instead of *-or* is also unexpected. Maybe the PIE preform was *\*solp-r?*

Bibl.: WH II: 628, EM 665, IEW 1046.

**sum, esse** 'to be' [v. Irregular. pr.ind.: *sum, es, est, sumus, estis, sunt*. pr.sb. *fuam* etc. >> *siēm/sīm*, etc.; pf. *fuī*, fut. *futūrus sum*, inf. *fore*] (VOLat.+ : Forum cippus *esed* 'erit', Duenos inscr. *sied*, Garigliano Bowl *esom* 'sum', Elog.Scip. *fuit, fuise, fuet*, Lex Sacra (CIL 401) *estod*)

Derivatives: *escit* (Lex XII, Lucr., Paul. *ex F.*), *escunt* 'will be' (Cic.), *obescet* (Paul. *ex F.*) 'oberit vel aderit', *superescit* (Enn., Acc.) 'supererit'; *absēns, -tis* 'absent' (Pl.+), *cōnsēns, -tis* [adj./noun] (Var., CIL; only in *dī cōnsēntes* 'the twelve major deities'), *praesēns* 'present, ready' (Lex XII+), *praesentārius* 'in ready money' (Pl.+), *praesentia* 'presence' (Ter.+); *abesse* 'to be absent, different', *adesse* 'to be present' (Naev.+), *dēesse* 'to be lacking' (Pl.+), *inesse* 'to be in or on' (Naev.+), *interesse* 'to lie between, make a difference; attend' (Pl.+), *obesse* 'to be a hindrance' (Pl.+), *praesesse* 'to be in charge' (Pl.+), *prōdesse, prōsum* 'to be of use' (Naev.+), *subesse* 'to be underneath' (Pl.+), *superesse* 'to be higher, remain'.

Plt. pr.ind. \**es-/s-*, pr.sb. \**es-e/o-*, inf. \**es-*; pf. \**fefu-*; fut. *fus-*. It. cognates: O. *ezum*, U. *eru, erom* [inf.], SPic. *esum*, Presam. *sim, esum, sum*, O. *súm, sum*, Hern. *esu*, U. *esu* 'I am', O. *est, íst*, U. *est, est* 'is', O. *sent, set, súnt*, U. *sent* 'they are', U. *sir, sei, si* [2s.pr.sb.], U. *si* [3s.pr.sb.], U. *sis, sins* [3p.pr.sb.], U. *futu* [2s.ipv.II], U. *futu*, O. *estud, estud*, Vol. *estu*, [3s.ipv.II], U. *fututo* [2p.ipv.II], O. *fufans* [3p.ipf.], *fusid* [3s.ipf.sb.], O. *fufens* [3p.pf.], *fuid* [3s.pf.sb.], *fust*, U. *fust, fust, fus* [3s.fut.pf.], *furent, fefure* [3p.fut.pf.]; in univerbation with ppp.: U. *antervakaze, anderuacose* [3s.pr.sb.], O. *teremnatust* [3s.pf.ps.], with ptc.pr.: U. *ateřafust, andersafust, andirsafust* [3s.fut.pf.]; with preverbs: O. *adfust* [3s.fut.pf.], U. *amprefu<u>s* [3s.fut.pf.], *ambrefurent* [3p.fut.pf.], SPic. *isiíns* [3p.sb.?] (\**en-*), O. *praesentid* [ptc.pr., abl.sg.f.], O. *úpslm* [1s.pr.sb.?], *osins* [3p.pr.sb.] (\**op-sī-*).

PIE pr. \**h<sub>1</sub>es-/\*h<sub>1</sub>s-* 'to be'. IE cognates: Gaul. *imūi, imi* 'I am', OIr. *is*; W. *ys* [3s.pr.], *oedd* [pret.], Co. *us, vs*, OBret. *is* 'is' < PCI. \**es-*; Hit. *eš<sup>zi</sup> / aš-* 'to be, be present', Pal. CLuw. *āš- / aš-*, HLUw. *ás- / s-*, Lyc. *es- / ah-* 'to be', Skt. *as* 'to be' [pr. *ásti, sánti*, opt. *syát*, pf. *ása*], Av. *ah-/h-*, OP *amiy* 'I am', *ha<sup>n</sup>tiy* 'they are', Gr. Att. *εἰμί* (= *ēmi*, Aeol. *ἔμμυ*, Dor. *ἦμί*), 2s. *εἶ*, 3s. *ἔστί*, 1p. *εἰμέν*, 2p. *ἔστέ*, 3p. *εἰσὶ* (Dor. *ἔντι*) 'to be'; Alb. *jam* 'I am', 2s. *je*, 3s. *është / ā(n)shtë*; 2s.sb. (*të*) *jesh*, 1s.ipf. *jeshë*; OPr. *ašmai* [1s.], *ast, est* [3s.], OLith. *esmi*, Lith. *ėsti* OCS *jesmь, jestь*; Ru. *est* , Cz. *jsem, jest*; OPo. *jeśm*; Po. *jest*; Bulg. *e*; SCr. *jěsam, sam, jěst*; Sln. *sà'm, jè*; Go. *im, is, ist* [123s.], *sind* [3p.] 'to be'.

In *cōnsēntes*, the pr.ptc.act. may have been preserved. The development of the present paradigm in Italic is disputed. Joseph-Wallace (1987) argue for phonetic development of \**esmi* > *esom* > *sum* because of the enclitic status of the verb 'to be' in some contexts, but Dunkel 1998 contradicts this, joined by Schrijver 2006: 58. They explain Plt. \**esom* as a reflex of the original thematic subjunctive \**es-e/o-* which is also found in Celtic.

Bibl.: WH I: 263, 420, II: 628f., EM 138, 665, IEW 340ff., Leumann 1977: 523, Meiser 1998: 221, Untermann 2000: 245-252, Schumacher 2004: 295-317, LIV 1.\**h<sub>1</sub>es-*. → *fuī, posse, sōns*

**summus** ‘highest’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *summa* ‘total number, amount, whole’ (Pl.+), *Summānus* ‘the god of high places’ (Pl.+), *summātim* ‘summarily’ (Lucil.+), *summātus*, *-ūs* ‘being chief’ (Lucr.+), *summās*, *-ātis* [adj.] ‘of the first rank’ (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*sup(a)mo-* ‘highest’. It. cognates: U. *sume* [loc.sg.], *somo* [acc.sg.m.], *somo* [abl.sg.m.] ‘upper’ < *\*sup-mo-*.

PIE *\*(h<sub>1</sub>)up-m(H)o-* ‘highest’. IE cognates: Skt. *upamā-*, YAv. *upama-* ‘upmost, highest, closest’ < Ilr. *\*(H)upmHa-*, Gr. *ὑπατος* ‘upmost, highest’; OE *ufemest* ‘highest’.

The Ilr. cognates suggest a superlative formation *\*supamo* > *\*summo-*. Yet a preform *\*sup-mo-* cannot be excluded, cf. Gr. *πρόμος*.

Bibl.: WH II: 629f., EM 666, IEW 1106f., Meiser 1998: 152, Untermann 2000: 716f. → *sub*, *super*

**suō**, **-ere** ‘to sew’ [v. III; pf. *suī*, ppp. *sūtum*] (Ter.+)

Derivatives: *sūbula* ‘shoemaker’s awl’ (Sen.+), *sūtor* ‘shoemaker’ (Pl.+), *sūtōrius* ‘of a shoemaker’ (Varro+), *sūtrīnus* ‘of shoemaking’ (Varro+), *sūtēla* ‘cunning device’ (Pl.+); *pellesuīna* ‘shop where skins are sold’ (Varro); *cōnsuere* ‘to sew together, plan’ (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*s(j)ūje/o-*, *\*s(j)ūto-*.

PIE *\*siuH-ie/o-* ‘to sew’, *\*siuH-tó-* ‘sewn’. IE cognates: Skt. pr. *sīvyā-* ‘to sew’, *syūtá-* ‘sewn up’, *syūman-* [n.] ‘band, thong, bridle’, *sūtra-* [n.] ‘wire, line, cord’, Khot. *hīya* ‘sewn stuffs’ < *\*siuHC* < PIE *\*siHuC-*; Lith. *siūti*, Latv. *šūt*, SCr. *šiti*; Go. *siujan* ‘to sew’.

Skt. contains traces of the original sequence *\*siHu-* which was metathesized to *\*siuH-* under certain conditions, probably in front of consonants. The resulting present *\*siuH-ie/o-* lost its first *\*-i-* (by means of dissimilation?) and subsequently developed to *\*sūje/o-*; the form *sū-* was then also introduced into the ppp. The absence of the development of *\*ū* to *ī* in front of *\*j* (cf. *pūs*, *inciēns*) is explained by Meiser from ‘dissimilatory retention’ of *ū* between two *\*j*’s (2003: 236; until after the fronting of *\*ū*), but maybe *ū* was simply restored on the model of the derivatives in *\*sū-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 620, 631, EM 662, 667, IEW 915f., Schrijver 1991: 247f., Meiser 1998: 227, 2003: 235f., LIV *\*sjeuH-*. → *moveō*

**super** ‘over, above, beyond’ [adv., prep.] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *superus* [nom.sg.m. *super* Cato] ‘upper, situated above’ (Andr.+), *suprā* [adv. prep.] ‘at a higher level; on top of, above’ (Andr.+), *īnsuper* [adv., prep.] ‘on top; above, over’ (Pl.+), *superāre* ‘to surpass, defeat, climb over’ (Naev.+), *superior* ‘higher, stronger’ (Pl.+), *suprēmus* ‘highest, last’ (Lex XII+), *supernē* [adv.] ‘at a higher level, on top’ (Lucr.+), *supermus* ‘situated at a higher level’ (Lucr.+).

PIt. *\*super(i)* ‘above’, *\*supero-* ‘upper’, *\*super-jōs-* ‘higher’, *\*superisamo-* ‘highest’. It. cognates: U. *subra*, *subra* [adv.], *subra* [prep. + acc.] ‘above’ < *\*superād*; U. *super* [prep. + loc.] ‘on top’; U. *superne* [prep. + acc.] ‘over’ < *\*super-noi* [loc.sg.] or adv. *\*super-nēd* to *\*super-no-*; O. *supruis* [dat.pl.m.], U.

**supru** [abl.sg.] ‘upper’ < \**sup-ero-*.

PIE \*(h<sub>1</sub>)uper(i) [adv.] ‘over, above’, \*uper-o- [adj.] ‘above’. IE cognates: Celtib. *ueramos* ‘highest’, Gaul. *uer-* ‘high’, OIr. *for(-)* ‘up, over, beyond’, W. *gor-*, *gwar-* ‘over’, OW *guar*, MW *ar*, MCo. *ar*, OBret. *guar*, Bret. *war* ‘on’ < \**uper-*; Skt. *upāri*, YAv. *upairi* ‘above, over’, OP *upariy* ‘over, on’, Skt. *ūpara-* [adj.] ‘below, under, later’, YAv. *upara-* ‘upper, higher’, Gr. ὑπέρ, ὕπερ ‘over, above’, Gr. ὕπερος [m.] ‘pestle’, Arm. *i ver* ‘up’, OHG *ubir* ‘over’, Go. *ufar*; OE *ufera* ‘higher’.

For the addition of *s-* in Latin *super* as opposed to PIE \**up-*, see s.v. *sub*. *Suprā* < abl.sg.f. \**superād*. The *sup.* developed from \**supresmos* < \**suprismos* < \**superisamos*. The adv. *superne* probably contains the suffix \**-ne* (cf. *pōne* < \**postne*); from it, *supernus* was backformed.

Bibl.: WH II: 613, 630, EM 660, 667f., IEW 1105f., Leumann 1977: 320, Sihler 1995: 368, 441, Meiser 1998: 152, Untermann 2000: 706f., 720-722. → *probus* (for *superbus*), *sub*, *summus*, *supīnus*

**supō, -āre; -sipō, -āre** ‘to pour, strew’ [v. I] (Paul. *ex F.* *supāre* ‘to throw’)

Derivatives: *dissu/ipāre* ‘to disperse, shatter’ (Pl.+), *īnsipere*, *-sipiō* (Cato+), *īnsu/ipāre* (Paul. *ex F.*) ‘to throw in’, *obsipāre* ‘to scatter’ (Pl., Paul. *ex F.*).

Plt. \**sup-i-*, *-sup-āje-* ‘to throw’.

PIE \**sup-i-* ‘to throw’. IE cognates: Lith. *sùpti*, 3s. *sùpa* ‘to rock, cradle’; OCS *suti*, Is. *σῦρρ*, Cz. *souti* (obs.), SCr. *sàsūti* ‘to pour, strew’ < BSl. \**soup-*, ORu. *σῦρρ* ‘embankment, hill, mountain’.

The analysis of these verb forms is somewhat uncertain. The simplex *supāre* is only attested in Paul. *ex F.*, and it cannot be excluded that it was backformed from *īnsupāre* and *dissupāre*; hence, its *-u-* colour does not prove a Plt. root \**sup-*. Lat. *īnsipere* might be showing a simplex \**sVpere*, to which *-su/ipāre* may have been made by a productive process. In that case, the oldest reconstructible stem is \**sVp-i(e/o)-*. The root vowel may have been any short vowel, but if the original meaning was ‘to throw’, Slavic \**soup-* ‘to pour, strew’ is clearly the closest semantic match.

Bibl.: WH I: 356f., EM 668, IEW 1049, LIV \**seup-*.

**suppus** ‘lying on one’s back, upside down’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Lucil.+)

Derivatives: *suppāre* ‘to turn on their back’ (Acc.+).

Plt. \**soupo-* ‘on the top, upside down’. It. cognates: U. *sopam*, *sopa* [acc.sg.], **supaf**, **supa** [acc.pl.f.], **supes** [abl.pl.f.], **supa**, *sopo*, *supo* [acc.pl.n.], **supa** [acc.sg.f. or pl.f. or n.] ‘part of the sacrificed animal, guts’.

PIE \**h<sub>1</sub>eup-(o-)* ‘up, above’. IE cognates: Gaul. *Uxello-dunum*, *Uxama* [topon.], OIr. *úasal*, W. *uchel*, Co. *huhel*, Bret. *uhel* ‘high’ < PCI. \**ou(x)selo-* ‘high’ < PIE \**h<sub>1</sub>oup-s-* ‘high’, Gaul. (*a*) *uz*, OIr. *ós* [+dat.], W. *uch*, Co. (*a*) *ugh* ‘above’ < PCI. \**ouxso-* < PIE \**h<sub>1</sub>oups-o-*; Hit. *ūpp-zi* ‘to come up (of the sun)’ < \**h<sub>1</sub>eup-ti*; Gr. ὕψι [adv.] ‘on high, above’; Ru. *výše*, SCr. *višē*, Sln. *víše* ‘higher’, Ru. *vys* [f.] ‘height’ < \**úp-s-* (Derksen 2008), OCS *vysokъ*, Ru. *vysók* ‘high’ (anal. *vy-*), Go. *iupa* ‘above’, *iup* ‘upward’ < \**eup-*.

Weiss (fthc.b) discusses earlier etymologies, and argues that Sabellic */sōpa/* can reflect Plt. \**soup-*, \**soip-* or \**sop-*. If Latin *suppus* stems from \**sūpus* via the *littera*-rule, then both may reflect Plt. \**soupo-* < PIE \**seup-o-*, an internal derivative to \**sup* ‘below, upwards’. The meaning of Plt. \**soupo-* would have been ‘on the top’ = ‘upside down’. This would explain U. ‘guts’ from the supine position in which animals are mostly slaughtered. Weiss compares Gr. ὕπτιος ‘supine, upside down’ from ὑπό, with the pl. τὰ ὕπτια often referring to the belly of quadrupeds. This solution would imply that Italic also evidences the full grade of PIE \**h<sub>1</sub>up-* which is furthermore found in Hittite, Germanic, Slavic and Celtic.

Bibl.: WH II: 634, EM 660, 669, IEW 1106f. → *sub*

**surdus** ‘deaf; muted’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *absurdus* ‘ridiculous, awkward’ (Pl.+).

Since ‘deaf’ often has two semantic sides, viz. ‘who cannot hear’ and ‘who is not heard’, *ab-surdus* can be explained as ‘which is unheard of’, and regularly belongs to *surdus*. According to WH and IEW, *surdus* might belong to the PIE root \**suer-* ‘to sound’, but this leaves the semantics unexplained, since *surdus* means ‘unheard’ or ‘not hearing’. If *ab-surdus* was original, it may have meant ‘off hearing’ (> ‘unheard of’), in line with other compounds in *ab-*. Then *surdus* would have originally meant ‘hearing’, but changed its meaning to ‘not hearing’ under the influence of *absurdus*. This is very speculative, of course.

Bibl.: WH I: 5, II: 634, EM 4, 669, IEW 1049f., Nussbaum 1999a: 381, LIV 1. \**suer-*.

**sūrus** ‘post, stake’ [m. *o*] (Enn.+)

Derivatives: *surculus* ‘twig, stick’ (Cato+), *surculārius* ‘living among twigs’ (Varro+); *sūra* ‘calf of the leg’ (Pl.+)?

No certain etymology. The length of the first vowel in *sūrus* is uncertain; if it was long, it may be connected with *sūra* ‘calf of the leg’: the ‘post, stake’ would have been denominated after the body-part, as is often the case. If it was short *sūrus*, it might be cognate with Skt. *svāru-* ‘sacrificial post, stake’.

Bibl.: WH II: 634f., EM 669f., IEW 1050.

**susurrus** ‘whisper, soft rustling’ [m. *o*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *susurrāre* ‘to whisper, make a soft rustling sound’ (Ter.+).

Plt. \**su-sur(r)-o-*, \**swer-*. It. cognates: O. *sverruneí* [dat.sg.] name of an official of the town Abella.

PIE \**su-sur-?* \**suer-* ‘to resound’. IE cognates: Bret. *huerniñ* ‘to quarrel’, MW *chwyrn* ‘rapid, whirling’, OCo. *hwirnores*, Bret. *c’hwiliores* ‘hornet’ < \**suer-n-*, MW *chwerthin*, MCo. *hwerthin*, MBret. *huerzin* ‘to laugh’ < PCl. \**suar-je/o-*; Skt. *svāra-* ‘to make a sound, snort’, OAv. *x’araidīia-* [adj.] ‘sounding’; Olc. *svarra* ‘to sparkle, rustle’, OE *swerian* ‘to swear’.

An onomatopoeic formation, using reduplication, a hissing sibilant and *-rr-* to reflect the sound of whispering and rustling. Still, the word may be formed on the basis of a



PIE root *\*suer-* ‘to resound’. O. *sverrun-* could mean ‘speaker’ < ‘resounder’; possible reconstructions are then *\*suer-es-ōn-* or *\*suer-iōn-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 637, EM 670, IEW 1049f., Schrijver 1995: 57, Untermann 2000: 726f., Schumacher 2004: 608f., LIV I. *\*suer-*.

**sūs, sūis** ‘pig, sow’ [m., f. *ū*] (Pl.+; acc. *suem*, acc.pl. *suēs*, dat.abl.pl. *sūbus, sūbus, suibus*)

Derivatives: *suīle* [n.] ‘pigsty’ (Varro+), *suillus* ‘of pigs, pork’ (Pl.+), *sūcula* ‘a windlass’ (Pl.+); *subulcus* ‘swineherd’ (Cato+), *sucerda* ‘pig’s dung’ (Lucil.+), *sūcīdia/succīdia* ‘joint of pork’ (Cato+), *suovetaurīlia, -ium* ‘purificatory sacrifice of a boar, a ram and a bull’ (Cato+).

PIt. *\*sūs* [nom.], *\*sūm* [acc.], *\*suwos* [gen.]. It. cognates: U. **sim, si** [acc.sg.], **sif, sij** [acc.pl.f.], **sif, si** [acc.pl.m.] ‘pig’ < *\*sū-*; U. **suřum, suřu, sorsom, sorso** [acc.sg.], **sorsor** [gen.sg.], **sorsu** [abl.sg.], **suřuf** [acc.pl.] m/n. ‘?’, maybe ‘of a pig’ in one context, elsewhere unclear; *\*sōdo-* < *\*soudo-*?

PIE *\*suh<sub>1/3</sub>s* [nom.], *\*suh<sub>1/3</sub>-os* [gen.] ‘pig, swine’ (orig. ‘sow’). IE cognates: Skt. *sūkarā-* [m.] ‘wild boar’, YAv. *hū-*, MP *xūg*, Gr. *ϕς*, gen.sg. *ϕός*, Alb. *thi* ‘pig’; OHG, OE *sū* ‘sow’, OIc. *sýr* ‘sow’.

According to Schrijver, the alternation between nom.acc. *sū-s, -m* and oblique case forms *sū-* led to the introduction of *su-* in compounds such as *su-bulcus* (formed after *bubulcus*) and *su-cerda*. The PIE word *\*suH-* probably meant ‘sow’, since it can be connected with Ilr. *\*suH-* ‘to give birth’ (Skt. *sūte* ‘gives birth’, *sū-* [f.] ‘mother’, *a-sū-* ‘barren’, YAv. pr. *hunāmi* ‘I give birth’, *haota-* [n.] ‘race, family’). In its turn, ‘to give birth’ can be derived via ‘to be pregnant’ from the PIE root *\*suh<sub>1/3</sub>-* ‘to be full’ which Kloekhorst 2008: 785-798 reconstructs for Hit. *šunna<sup>i</sup>* / *šunn-* [pr.] ‘to fill’ (< *\*su-nó-h<sub>1/3</sub>* / *\*su-n-h<sub>1/3</sub>-*), *šūu-* / *šūuay-* ‘full’ (< *\*souh<sub>1/3</sub>-(o)u-*) and Hit. *šuyē/a<sup>zi</sup>*, HLuw. *suwa-* ‘to fill’ (< *\*sHu-ic/o-*).

Bibl.: WH II: 621f., 635f., EM 670, IEW 1038f., Schrijver 1991: 533, Untermann 2000: 676f., 709f.

## T

**tābeō** ‘to rot away, decay’ [v. II] (Enn.+)

Derivatives: *tābum* ‘gore, putrified fluid matter’ (Enn.+), *tābēs, -is* [f.] ‘wasting, decay’ (Lucret.+), *tābēscere* (pf. *tābuī*) ‘to melt away, waste away’ (Andr.+); *contābēscere* ‘to waste away, pine’ (Pl.+), *contābēfacere* ‘to make to waste away’ (Pl.); *tābificus* ‘causing decay’ (Lucret.+), *tābificābilis* ‘causing decay’ (Acc.).

PIt. *\*tāf-ē-* ‘to be melting’.

PIE *\*teh<sub>2</sub>-b<sup>h</sup>-eh<sub>1</sub>-* ‘to be melting’. IE cognates: Olr. *taīd\**, *taa\** ‘to melt, dissolve’ < *\*tā-je/o-*, MW *tođi*, OBret. *teuziff* ‘to melt’ < *\*tā-de/o-*, Oss. *taj-* ‘to thaw, melt’, Gr.

τήκω, Dor. τάκω 'to melt', τῶκερός 'melting, soft', Arm. *t'anam* 'to make wet', SeCS *tájati* 'to thaw', Ru. *tájat* 'to melt, thaw, dwindle'.

The original meaning 'to be melting' is only attested in Andr.; from 'melt away', the meaning developed to 'waste away', which is the basis of all subsequent attestations in Latin. In theory, the root enlargement reflected in Latin *-b-* could be PIE *\*b* or *\*b<sup>h</sup>*; since *\*b* is a very rare phoneme in PIE, *\*b<sup>h</sup>* is much more likely.

Bibl.: WH II: 639f., EM 672, IEW 1053f., Schrijver 1991: 145, Schumacher 2004: 627f., LIV 2.\**teh<sub>2</sub>-*.

**taberna** 'inn, shop' [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *tabernāculum* 'tent' (Pl.+), *tabernula* 'small shop' (Varro+); *contubernium* 'comradeship' (Cic.+).

A derivative *\*trab-erna* to *trabs* 'tree-trunk, beam', with dissimilation of the first *r*. The original meaning was probably 'wooden shed'. The reluctance expressed by EM to accept this derivation is unfounded, since dissimilations in general are irregular.

Bibl.: WH II:639, EM 672, IEW 1090, Leumann 1977: 322, Meiser 1998: 127. → *trabs*

**tabula** 'board, plank' [f. *ā*] (Pl.+; SCBac. *tabola*)

Derivatives: *tabella* 'wooden tablet, board' (Pl.+), *tabulātum* 'floor made of boards, stage' (Enn.+), *tab(u)linum* 'room between the atium and the peristyle' (Varro+).

PIt. *\*taffplā-* 'board'. It. cognates: U. *tafle e* [loc.sg. + *-en*] [f.] 'instrument for transporting the sacrificial fire'.

PIE *\*th<sub>2</sub>-d<sup>h</sup>lo-*?

The etymology is uncertain. If the original form was PIt. *\*taplā-*, one may analyze it as a root *\*ta-* plus the instrument suffix PIE *\*-d<sup>h</sup>lo-*. As proposed by Southern (2000: 97, 128), the root could be *s*-less *\*teh<sub>2</sub>-* 'to stand'; we find PIE *\*steh<sub>2</sub>-* in Latin *stāre*, but compare PTo. and PCl. *\*tā-* 'to stand'. The original meaning would be 'which stands' > 'board, tablet'. For the suffix, compare *stabilis* derived from *stāre*.

Bibl.: WH II: 640f., EM 672, IEW 1061, Leumann 1977: 103, Untermann 2000: 730f. → *stō*

**taceō** 'to be silent' [v. II; pf. *tacui*, ppp. *tacitum*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *taciturnus* 'maintaining silence' (Pl.+), *taciturnitās* 'the maintaining of silence' (Pl.+), *tacitulus* 'silent' (Varro); *conticēscere* 'to stop talking' (Pl.+), *conticinium* 'the quiet of the night' (Pl.+), *obticēre* 'to remain silent' (Ter.+), *obticēscere* 'to answer with silence' (Pl.+), *reticēre* 'to keep silent, leave unsaid' (Pl.+), *reticentia* 'silence' (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*tak-ē-* 'to be silent'. It. cognates: U. *taçez, tases, tasis* [ppp. nom.sg.m.], *tasetur* [nom.pl.m.] 'tacit' < *\*takēto-*.

PIE *\*tHk-eh<sub>1</sub>-* 'to be silent'. IE cognates: Go. *þahan* 'to keep secret', OIc. *þegja*, OS *thagian*, OHG *thagēn* < PGm. *\*paχē-je-*.

LIV follows Klingenschmitt 1982: 78 in assuming that the Latin and Gm. words for

‘to keep silent’ belong to Gr. πτήσσω, πτώσσω ‘to duck (for fear)’, aor. ἔπτηξα, Dor. ἔπτᾱξα, and Arm. *t’ak’ecaw* ‘he hid himself’. This seems implausible. Formally, it is unknown whether PIE *\*pt-* would yield Germ. *\*b-* and Latin *t-* (the case of *perna*, Germ. *\*fersn-* < *\*tspērsn-h<sub>2</sub>-* ‘heel’ rather suggests that *\*pt-* would yield Germ. *\*f-*, Lat. *p-*). The Greek and Armenian words have a different meaning, and are better connected with *\*pet-* ‘to fall’.

Bibl.: WH II: 641f., EM 673, IEW 1055, Schrijver 1991: 104, Untermann 2000: 731f., LIV *\*pteh<sub>2</sub>k-*.

**taedet** ‘to be tired of’ [v. II; pf. *taeduit*, ppp. *taesum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *taeter* [adj. *o/ā*] ‘foul, horrible’ (Pl.+), *taetrāre* ‘to make loathsome’ (Pac.), *taetritūdō* ‘loathsome condition’ (Acc.); *pertaedēre* ‘to fill with disgust’ [Pompon.+; ppp. *pertisum* Lucil.+], *pertaedēscere* ‘to begin to fill with disgust’ (Cato).

PIt. *\*taid-ē-* [v.], *\*taid-ro-* [adj.]

*Taeter* may regularly reflect *\*taidro-*, but there is no good etymology for PIt. *\*taid-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 642f., EM 673. → *fastus*

**tālea** ‘cutting, thin piece of wood’ [f. *ā*] (Cato+)

Has been connected with Gr. τᾱλις -ιδος ‘young girl, bride’ on the assumption of a basic meaning ‘green, to sprout’. This seems a wild guess. There is no viable etymology for *tālea*, unless it is a derivative of *tālus* ‘ankle, knuckle’.

Bibl.: WH II: 643, EM 674, IEW 1055.

**tālis** ‘of such kind’ [adj. *i*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *tāliō* ‘exaction of compensation’ (Lex XII+).

PIt. *\*tāli-*.

PIE *\*teh<sub>2</sub>-li-* ‘such’. IE cognates: W. *talu* ‘to pay’, Gr. τᾱλίκος ‘of such an age’, Lith. *tōlei* ‘until’, OCS *toli* ‘to such a degree’, *tolb* ‘so much, many’, *toliko* ‘id.’.

If *tāliō* is correctly interpreted as *tāli-ōn-* ‘compensation with the same’ (Leumann 1977: 366), it is derived from *tālis*.

Bibl.: WH II: 643f., EM 674, IEW 1086f., Leumann 1977: 366, 483, Schrijver 1991: 145, Meiser 1998: 167. → *quī*

**talpa** ‘mole’ [f. *ā*] (Varro+)

No etymology.

Bibl.: WH II: 644, EM 675.

**tālus** ‘ankle, knuckle’ [m. *o*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *taxillus* ‘a die, cube’ (Pompon.+); *subtel* ‘the hollow of the foot’ (Prisc.).

PIt. *\*takslo-*.

If *taxillus* is old, and not a recent analogical form on the model of *āla* – *axilla*, *māla* –

*maxilla* (but these are  $\bar{a}$ -stems), as EM object, *taxillus* would prove that *tālus* goes back to *\*tākslo-*. The suggested connection with W. *sawdl*, OIr. *sál* ‘heel’ < PCI. *\*stātlā-* is difficult, cf. Schrijver 1995: 421. It would only work in the case of an *s*-less variant of the root PIE *\*(s)teh<sub>2</sub>-*, and an (unknown) suffix *\*-k-* added to it. Cowgill 1978: 38 accepts the explanation of *subtel* from *\*subtēle* < *\*sub-taksli*, n. of *\*subtaksli-* ‘under the heel’.

Bibl.: WH II: 619, 645, EM 675, Schrijver 1991: 145.

**tam** ‘so, so much’ [adv.] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *tamen* ‘yet, nevertheless’ (Andr.+), *tandem* ‘after all, at last’ (Pl.+), *tamquam* ‘just as, as though’ (Naev.+), *tantus* ‘so great, as much’ (Naev.+), *tantillus* ‘so small’ (Pl.+), *tantisper* ‘for so long as, all the time’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*tām* ‘so’, *\*tānto-* ‘so great’. It. cognates: O. *etanto*, U. *etantu* [nom.sg.f.] ‘so great’ < *\*e-tanto-*; maybe U. *-ta, -tu, -to* [postp. + abl.] ‘from, away’ < *\*tā*, poss. to PIE *\*to/tā-*.

PIE *\*teh<sub>2</sub>m, \*teh<sub>2</sub>-nt-(o)-*. IE cognates: see s.v. *so-*.

*Tamen* < *\*tam-em*, *tandem* < *\*tam-dem* with the secondary particle *-dim*. The form *\*tām* might be an Italic creation, s.v. *quam, -dam*; but *tantus* probably reflects a PIE formation type of adjectives in *\*-nt-(o)-* derived from pronominal stems.

Bibl.: WH II: 645f., EM 674f., IEW 1086f., Klingenschmitt 1972: 101, Sims-Williams 1997: 320f., Sihler 1995: 393, Untermann 2000: 72, 241. → *topper, tum*

**tangō, -ere** ‘to touch’ [v. III; *tetigī, tāctum*; sb. OLat. *tag-a-*, Pac. also *taxis*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *dumtaxat* ‘at most, up to; as long as’ (Pl.+), *taxim* ‘gropingly’ (Pompon.+), *taxāre* ‘to assess (the worth of)’ (Sen.+); *tagāx* ‘light-fingered, thievish’ (Lucil.+); *tāctiō* ‘touching’ (Pl.+), *tāctilis* ‘able to be touched’ (Lucr.), *tactus, -ūs* ‘touch’ (Lucr.+); *integer* [o/ā] ‘untouched, unused’ (Naev.+), *integrāscere* ‘to begin anew’ (Ter.), *integrātiō* ‘renewal’ (Ter.), *integrāre* ‘to renew’ (Pac.+); *attingere* ‘to touch, reach’ (Pl.+; sb. *attiga-* in OLat.), *contingere* ‘to touch, reach’ (Pl.+), *obtingere* ‘to fall as one’s lot’ (Pl.+); *contāgēs, -is* ‘contact’ (Lucr.), *contāgiō* ‘contact’ (Pl.+), *contāgium* ‘contact’ (Lucr.+).

Plt. *\*tang-e-* [pr.], *\*tag-s-* [pr.], *\*te-tag-* [pf.], *\*tagto-* [ppp.], *\*ntagro-* ‘untouched’. It. cognates: U. *antakres, antakre* [abl.pl.] probably ‘untouched’ < *\*n-tag-ro-*; maybe Marr. *taa* [3s.sb.] ‘to touch’ or ‘to take away’ < *\*tag-a-?*; maybe Vol. *atahus* [3s.fut.pf.] ‘?’ < *\*ad-tah-us-t* or  $\bar{a}$ -. The reflex *h* < *\*g* is irregular, cf. Untermann.

PIE pr. *\*th<sub>2</sub>-n-g-* ‘to touch’, ppp. *\*th<sub>2</sub>g-to-, \*teh<sub>2</sub>g-* in cp. IE cognates: Gr. *τεταγών* ‘having seized’, Go. *tekan* ‘to touch’, *taitok* ‘touched’, OIc. *tók* ‘took’, ToB *cešām, 3p ceken-* ‘to touch’.

The OLat. sb. forms in *\*tag-ā-* can be regarded as remains of an earlier aorist paradigm, either root aor. or redupl. It is likely that *tagāx* (although attested relatively recent) and *integer* were also derived from this stem. The finite forms in *tax-* and *taxāre* point to an earlier *s*-present (cf. *faxō, faxim* to *faciō*), as is the clearest in the petrified expression *dumtaxat*. The length in *tāct-* stems from Lachmann’s Law. The

long *ā* in *contāgēs* and *contāgiō* and *-ium* is most disputed: it could phonetically continue a full grade *\*teh<sub>2</sub>g-* origin, or be due to analogy with other compounds having *-āg-* in the second membre (*indāgēs*, *compāgēs*, etc.).

Bibl.: WH II: 647, EM 676, IEW 183, Schrijver 1991: 98, 132ff., 136f., 145, Kortlandt 2000, Untermann 2000: 107f., 131f., 729f., LIV 616f. → *contāmināre*

**tardus** 'slow' [adj. *o/ā*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *tarditūdō* 'slowness' (Pl.+), *tardāre* 'to cause to slow down, delay' (Pl.+), *tardor* 'slowness' (Varro+), *tardēscere* 'to become slow' (Lucr.+), *tardiusculus* 'rather slow' (Pl.+).

On the formation of *tardiusculus*, the date of which is difficult to ascertain, see Kümmel 2004b: 354. *Tardus* has no etymology; the connection with Gr. *τέρυ* 'weak' and Skt. *tárūna-* 'young, fresh' proposed by WH is semantically unconvincing, and formally impossibly (if the latter forms continue PIE *\*teru-*).

Bibl.: WH II: 648f., EM 677, IEW 1070f.

**taurus** 'bull' [m. *o*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *taura* 'barren cow' (Varro+), *taureus* 'derived from a bull' (Lucr.+), *taurīnus* 'of a bull, of ox-hide' (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*tauro-* 'bull'. It. cognates: U. *turuf*, *toru* [acc.pl.], *tures* [abl.pl.] [m.] 'a certain sacrificial animal', 'bull?'. ;

IE cognates: OIr. *tarb*, W. *tarw*, Gr. *ταῦρος* 'bull' [m.], Lith. *taūras*, Latv. *tāurs* [m.] 'aurochs', OCS *turь* 'bull', Ru. *tur* (gen. *túra*), SCr. *tūr* 'aurochs'.

It is striking that Latin does not show the change of *\*-aur-* > *\*-arū-* as in *parvum*, and with *-e-* in *nervus*. This might indicate that *taurus* is a loanword post-dating the metathesis *\*-ur-* > *\*-rū-*, but Greek does not appear an obvious candidate for the lending language, in view of the semantic field. The earlier history of the word is uncertain: there is no cognate in Iir. or Tocharian, whereas there are Semitic words for 'bull' which are conspicuously similar. Hence, it may have been an early loanword of the form *\*tauro-* into the western IE languages.

Bibl.: WH II: 650f., EM 677, IEW 1080-1085, Leumann 1977: 101, Schrijver 1991: 266, Untermann 2000: 777f.

**taxus** 'yew-tree' [f. *o*] (Enn.+)

IE cognates: Gr. *τόξον* 'bow', Myc. *to-ko-so-wo-ko* /*tokso-worgos*/ 'bow-maker'.

Since yews are the usual wood for making bows from Mesolithic times onward, *taxus* must be connected with Gr. *τόξον*, which was regarded as a loanword from Scythian *\*taxša-* 'bow', but is already present in Mycenaean. Yet *taxus* cannot be a direct borrowing from Greek, nor (as far as the meaning is concerned) from Scythian. Watkins (1985: 69) derives Gr. *τόξον* as PIE *\*tok<sup>w</sup>-so-* from the root *\*tek<sup>w</sup>-* 'to flow, run, flee', which in some contexts is used as 'to shoot'. Latin *taxus* could then be from a zero-grade *\*tk<sup>w</sup>-s-o-* with secondary full grade *-a-*. Alternatively, *taxus* could be a loanword from a different IE dialect.

Bibl.: WH II: 653, EM 678, IEW 1059f., EIEC 654f.

**tegō, -ere** ‘to cover’ [v. III; pf. *tēxī*, ppp. *tēctum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *teges, -etis* [f.] ‘piece of matting, covering’ (Naev.+), *tegetīcula* ‘small piece of matting’ (Varro+), *tegimen* ‘cover’ (Lucr.+), *tēctum* ‘roof, ceiling, dwelling’ (Pl.+), *tēctor* ‘plasterer’ (Varro+), *tēctōrius* [adj.] ‘of plaster, stucco’ (Varro+), *tēctārium* ‘cover, lid’ (Cato), *tēgula* ‘roof-tile’ (Pl.+), *tēgillum* ‘piece of rush matting’ (Pl.+); *toga* ‘formal outer garment, toga’ (Pl.+), *togālis* ‘of togas’ (Cato), *togātus* ‘wearing a toga’ (Varro+), *togula* ‘a (bad) toga’ (Titin.); *contegere* ‘to cover over, conceal’ (Ter.+), *circumtēctus* ‘covered’ (Naev.), *dētegere* ‘to remove the roof, uncover’ (Pl.+), *integere* ‘to cover, roof’ (Pl.+), *integumentum* ‘shield, wrapping’ (Pl.+), *obtefigere* ‘to cover, protect, conceal’ (Pl.+), *pertegere* ‘to cover completely’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*teg-e/o-* ‘to cover’, *\*tekto-* ‘covered’, *\*tege-t-* ‘covering’, *\*togā-* ‘cover, cloak’. It. cognates: SPic. *tokam* [acc.sg.] ‘grave stone’ or ‘figure’ < *\*togā-*; possibly U. *tettome* [acc.sg. + *-en*] ‘?’, a certain locality < *\*tek-to-?* U. *tehteřim* [acc.sg.] ‘?’ < *\*teg-t-ed/lio-?*

PIE *\*(s)teg-e/o-* [pr.] ‘to cover’, *\*(s)tog-h<sub>2</sub>-* [f.], *\*(s)teg-lo-* [n.]. IE cognates: OIr. *teg*, gen.sg. *tige* ‘house’ < *\*tégos-* [n.], *in-tuigethar* ‘to cover’, *imthach* ‘a cover’, W. *to* [m.] ‘covering, roof’, *amdo* ‘shroud’, Bret. *to* ‘roof’; Gr. *στέγω* ‘to keep off, keep in’, *στεγνός* ‘covered, waterproof’, *τέγος* [n.] ‘roof, house’, OPr. *stogis*, Lith. *stógas* [m.], Latv. *stāgs* ‘roof’ (< PIE *\*stogo-*); OHG *dah*, Olc. *þak* [n.] ‘roof’ (< *\*togo-*), *þekja* ‘to cover’.

Latin shows a thematic present, a *t*-stem noun, an *ā*-stem *\*togā-*, and the noun *tēgula*. Long *ē* in *tēctum* is due to Lachmann’s lengthening.

Bibl.: WH II: 654, EM 678f., IEW 1013f., Schrijver 1991: 127, Sihler 1995: 77, 625, Meiser 1998: 227, Untermann 2000: 750, 773, Stüber 2002: 152, LIV *\*(s)teg-*. → *fignum*

**tellūs, -ūris** ‘ground, earth’ [f. *r*] (Varro+)

Derivatives: *meditullium* ‘the interior of a country, centre’ (Cic.+).

Plt. *\*teln-(ūs-?)*.

PIE *\*telh<sub>2</sub>-o-* ‘ground’. IE cognates: OIr. *talam* ‘earth’ < *\*telamon-*, Gr. *τελαμών* ‘strap’ < *\*telh<sub>2</sub>-mon-*; Skt. *tala-* [n.] ‘surface, bottom’ (ŚrSū.+), Lith. *tilės* ‘bottom of a barge, flooring’, OCS *tbla* [pl.], ORu. *tblō* ‘foundation, bottom’ < PIE *\*tIH-o-*; OPr. *talus* ‘floor’, Lith. *pātalas* ‘bed, (pl.) feather-bed’, Ru. *potolók* ‘ceiling’ < PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>po-tolH-o-*; maybe Olc. *þil(i)* [n.] ‘board’, *þilja* [f.] ‘deal, plank’, *þel* [n.] ‘floor’, OE *ðille* ‘deal’, OHG *dil, dilo* ‘wall, deal’.

We find an ablaut alternation *\*e* : *\*o* between *\*tellū-* and the compound *\*medi-toll-io-* which recalls that of *terra* : *extorris*. *Tellūs* is unique in being the only polysyllabic (original) *s*-stem with long *ū* in the suffix. If it goes back to PIE, *-ūs-* may reflect *\*-uHs-* (cf. *crūs*), or maybe an old *u*-stem with case forms in *\*-e/ou-* (> Lat. *-ū-*) which was extended by means of an *s*-suffix. The root could be PIE *\*telh<sub>2</sub>-* ‘to bear, carry’, from which OIr. *talam* ‘earth’ can also be derived (cf. Stüber 1998: 150f.). In fact, Sabellic shows a pr. *\*telne/o-* where Latin has *tollō* ‘to bear’; this stem *\*teln-* may have been the derivational basis for *tellūs* (and *\*toln-* for *medi-tullium*, unless *-ll-* was taken from *tellūs*), since *tell-* cannot directly reflect Plt. *\*tela-* < *\*telH-*. Thus, the

earth would have been referred to as 'bearer' or 'support' (of the sky, or of the creatures and objects on the earth); the *o*-stem *\*t(e)lH-o-* 'ground, bottom' found in Skt., BSl. and Gm. could have the same origin.

Bibl.: WH II: 655, EM 679, IEW 1061, Stüber 1998: 150f. → *tollō*

**tēlum** 'spear, missile' [n. *o*] (Lex XII+)

Form and meaning allow for several etymologies, of which *\*ten(d)slo-* 'with which one aims' (to *tendō*) or *\*teks-lo-* 'which has been built' (to *texō* 'to weave') are mostly given. None of these is completely convincing.

Bibl.: WH II: 656, EM 679, IEW 1058f.

**temere** 'blindly, recklessly' [adv.] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *temeriter* 'thoughtlessly' (Acc.), *temeritūdō* 'recklessness' (Pac.), *temeritās* 'recklessness' (Pl.+), *temerārius* 'accidental, reckless' (Pl.+), *temerāre* 'to violate, desecrate' (Verg.+).

Plt. *\*temesi* [loc.sg.]

PIE *\*temH-os-* [n.] 'darkness'. IE cognates: Skt. *tāmas-* [n.] 'darkness, blinding', Av. *tamah-* [n.] 'darkness'; Lith. *tėmti* 'to become dark, to become evening', *tamsà* 'darkness'.

A petrified loc.sg. from a noun *\*temes-* < *\*temH-o/es-* [n.] 'darkness' with clear cognates in the other IE languages and in Lat. *tenebrae*. The reconstruction *\*temH-ro-* in Schrijver 1991: 105 is probably a lapsus when compared with p. 415 in the same book.

Bibl.: WH II: 656f., EM 679, IEW 1063f., Schrijver 1991: 104f., 415, Stüber 2002: 158f., LIV *\*temH-*. → *tēmētum*, *tenebrae*

**tēmētum** 'intoxicating liquor' [n. *o*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *tēmulentus* 'drunken' (Ter.+); *abstēmius* 'refraining from wine, self-restrained' (Lucil.+).

Plt. *\*tēmo-* 'intoxication' or 'intoxicating substance'.

PIE *\*tēmH-* 'intoxication'. IE cognates: Skt. pr. *tāmyati* [3s.act.], aor. *tamat* [3s.sb.act.], *tāmṛá-* 'dark red, copper-red', Arm. *t'mrim* 'to become stunned' < *\*tēm-*; NHG *dämisich*, *dämlich* < PGm. *\*þēm-i-*.

Lat. *abstēmius* can be regarded as a hypostasis from *\*abs tēmō*; together with *tēmulentus* 'containing *tēmo-*', this points to an original *o*-stem *\*tēmo-* 'intoxication', which may continue a PIE root noun. Long *ē* is confirmed by Armenian and Germanic, but Skt. *tāmyati* probably has secondary *-ā-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 657, EM 679f., IEW 1063, Schrijver 1991: 127, LIV *\*temH-*. → *temere*

**temnō, -ere** 'to scorn, despise' [v. III] (Lucret.+)

Derivatives: *contemnere* 'to despise, scorn' [pf. *contempsī*, ppp. *contemptum*] (Pl.+), *contemptim* 'contemptuously' (Naev.+), *contemptrix* 'she who despises' (Pl.+), *contemnificus* 'scornful' (Lucil.).

Plt. *\*(kom-)tmne/o-*.

PIE *\*tm-n(e)-h<sub>1</sub>-* 'to cut'. IE cognates: Ml̥r. *tamnaid* 'cuts' (prob denominal), Gr.

τόμνω, Att. τέμνω ‘to cut’, aor. ἔταμον, Att. ἔτεμον, Lith. *tinti*, 3s. *tina* ‘to whet, (colloq.) beat’, Ru. *tjat*’ (arch.), Is. *tru* ‘to beat’, Sl. *těti*, 1s. *tnēm* ‘to chop’ < BSl. *\*tin?tei*; Gr. στρέμβω ‘to shake about, agitate’.

The compound *contemnere* is the older verb, from which *temnere* has been backformed more recently. The etymology is disputed: the meaning ‘scorn’ has probably developed from a more concrete meaning, for which two candidates have been advanced: PIE *\*stemb-* ‘shake violently, pound’ (WH, EM, Szemerényi 1995: 414; in fact, it is likely that this is a non-IE root, because of *\*-b-*, because of the unexplained variants in Greek and because it has few reliable cognates outside Greek) and PIE *\*temh<sub>1</sub>-* ‘to cut’ (LIV, Sihler, Meiser). Semantically, both can be defended: ‘to shake’ or ‘crush’ > ‘despise’ for the former (cf. the shift in *spernere* from *\*‘to trample’* > ‘reject’), and ‘to cut’ > ‘cut off’ > ‘despise’ for the latter. Formally, the present *-temnere* would best match *\*temh<sub>1</sub>-*, of which Greek and Celtic show a nasal present *\*tm-n-h<sub>1</sub>-*, and because Latin lacks the initial *s-* of *\*stemb-*. For the pf., Meiser assumes (influence of) a preform aor. *\*stemb-s-*, but the *-p-* would automatically arise in a preform *\*kom-temsī*, and the latter can simply be a Latin innovation on the basis of the present stem *tem-*. Note also that the oldest attestations are all of the compound verb: *contempsī*. Latin has made an *s*-pf. to all nasal presents with word-internal nasal (cf. Meiser 2003: 112-114), whence pr. *temnō* > pf. *\*tem-sī*. The other presents in *-nere* (*cernere*, *linere*, *spernere*, *sternere*) synchronically show a perfect in *-Vvī*.

Bibl.: WH II: 657f., EM 680, Schrijver 1991: 407, Sihler 1995: 534, Meiser 2003: 113f., LIV *\*temh<sub>1</sub>-*. → *contumāx*

**tēmō** ‘pole or yoke-beam of a cart or a plough’ [m. n] (Enn.+)

PIt. *\*ten(k)smōn-* ‘pole’.

PIE *\*ten(K)s(-)m-n-* ‘to pull’. IE cognates: OHG *dīhsala*, OE *þīxl*, Olc. *þisl* ‘pole, thill’ (< PGm. *\*þenχslō-*).

The etymology is disputed. In view of the Germanic word for ‘pole’, which reflects *\*tenkslā-*, Sommer 1914: 259 reconstructs *\*tenksmō* for Latin. Meiser 1998, comparing the Slavic verb forms in *\*teng<sup>h</sup>-*, reconstructs *\*teng<sup>h</sup>smō-*, whereas Eichner 1992: 72 posits *\*tensmō*. The question cannot be definitely solved, since PIE shows various verbal roots meaning ‘to pull’ which would fit the phonetics: *\*ten-* ‘to stretch, tend’ (LIV), *\*tens-* ‘to pull’ (LIV) and *\*teng<sup>h</sup>-* ‘to pull’ (IEW 1067, de Vaan 1999: 15-17).

Bibl.: WH II: 658, EM 680, IEW 1067, Eichner 1992: 72, Isebaert-Seldeslachts 1994: 174, Meiser 1998: 118. → *tendō*, *teneō*

**templum** ‘area for auspices, shrine’ [n. o] (Andr.+; *templa*, *-ōrum* ‘plank, purlin’ Lucr.+)

Derivatives: *contemplāre* ‘to gaze at, observe’ (Naev.+), *extemp(u)lō* [adv.] ‘immediately’ (Naev.+); maybe *antemna* ‘yard of a sailing-ship’ (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*t(e)mp-lo-* [n.].

PIE *\*t(e)mp-lo-*. IE cognates: Lith. *tempti* ‘to pull, stretch’, 3s. *tempia*, Olc. *þomb* ‘bow’, ToB *campām*, A *cāmpāš* ‘is able’.



Probably from the root *\*temp-* ‘to stretch, string’, hence ‘stretching’ > ‘measuring’. The adv. *extemp(u)lō* might be based on the verbal meaning ‘to stretch’, as ‘tightly’ (cf. Flemish *straks* ‘immediately’ to Dutch *strak* ‘tightly’). The appurtenance of *antemna* to a root *\*temp-* is disputed; it has also been analysed as *\*anti-mno-* (cf. Leumann 1977: 322, Forssman 1965).

Bibl.: WH I: 54, II: 659, EM 681, IEW 1064f., Meiser 1998: 81, LIV *\*temp-*.

**temptō, -āre** ‘to feel, test’ [v. I] (Pl.+; also *tentāre*)

Derivatives: *pedetemptim* ‘cautiously’ (Pl.+); *atemptāre* (-nt-) ‘to lay hands on, attack’ (Pac.+), *pertemptāre* (-nt-) ‘to try out, feel all over’ (Ter.+).

Plt. *\*t(e)mp-to-* ‘touched’.

PIE *\*t(e)mp-to-*. IE cognates: see s.v. *templum*.

Probably an iterative based on a ppp. *\*tempto-*. This presupposes a verb meaning ‘to touch, feel’, for which the root *\*temp-* ‘to stretch’ seems a good candidate. Szemerényi 1995: 414 reconstructs an iterative *\*temb-itā-* to the root *\*(s)temb-* ‘to shake violently’, which is less likely formally, see the discussion s.v. *temnō*.

Bibl.: WH II: 662, EM 681, IEW 1064f., Leumann 1977: 501, LIV *\*temp-*. → *templum*

**tempus, -oris** ‘time, moment’ [n. r] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *tempe/orī* [adv.] ‘at the right time’ (Pl.+), *temperius* [adv.] ‘earlier’ (Cic.+), *temporalīs* ‘denoting time, temporary’ (Varro+), *tempestās* ‘occasion, season, weather, storm’ (Lex XII+), *tempestīvus* ‘ready at the proper time, ripe’ (Cato+), *tempestūs, -ūtis* ‘tempestās’ (Varro), *intempestus* ‘at the wrong time, nightly’ (Enn.+), *intempestīvus* ‘at the wrong time, ill-timed’ (Pl.+); *temperāre* ‘to restrain oneself, modify, blend’ (Pl.+), *temperantia* ‘self-control’ (Varro+), *temperātūra* ‘mixture, constitution’ (Varro+), *intemperāns* ‘unrestrained’ (Pl.+), *intemperiae* [f.pl.] / *intemperies* ‘immoderateness, rage’ (Pl.+), *obtemperāre* ‘to obey’ (Pl.+); *tempora, -ōrum* [n.pl.] ‘side of the forehead, temple’ (Pl.+; rarely sg. *tempus*).

Plt. *\*tempos-* [n.] ‘stretch, measure’.

PIE *\*temp-os, -es-* [n.] ‘stretch’. IE cognates: see s.v. *templum*.

All forms are based on a PIE *s*-stem ‘stretching, what is stretched’ > ‘stretch (of time)’ > ‘time, occasion’. PIE *\*tempos-* formed the basis for the abstract *tempes-tāt-*, the adj. *tempes-tīvus* and the verb *\*tempes-āje-* > *temperāre*. The meaning ‘to restrain, modify’ of the latter shows the semantic shift from ‘stretching’ to ‘measuring’ which we also find in *templum*. This means that the noun *\*tempos-* still meant ‘measure’ in Plt. The noun *tempora* ‘side of the forehead’ is also generally accepted to be the same word, having developed from ‘stretchings’ to ‘stretched skin’ > ‘temple’. IEW and Stüber compare Oic. *punn-vangi*, OHG *dunwangi* ‘temple’, lit. ‘thin cheek’.

Bibl.: WH II: 658-661, EM 680-682, IEW 1064f., Schrijver 1991: 384, Stüber 2002: 159f., LIV *\*temp-*.

**tendō, -ere** ‘to extend, stretch’ [v. III; pf. *tetendī*, ppp. *tentum/tensum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *tentipellium* ‘device for stretching skin or leather’ (Afran.+); *attendere* ‘to pay attention, listen’ (Ter.+), *contendere* ‘to contrast, contend, assert’ (Naev.+), *distendere* ‘to stretch out, fill’ (Pl.+), *extendere* ‘to extend, arrange’ (Pl.+), *intendere* ‘to stretch, strain, direct’ (Pl.+), *ostendere* ‘to show, reveal’ (Pl.+), *pertendere* ‘to continue resolutely’ (Ter.+), *portendere* ‘to indicate, reveal’ (Pl.+), *subtendere* ‘to stretch out underneath’ (Cato+); *extentāre* ‘to stretch, exert to the full’ (Pl.+), *ostentāre* ‘to exhibit, demonstrate’ (Pl.+), *ostentātor* ‘who displays ostentatiously’ (Pl.+); *prōtēlum* ‘tandem of draught animals’ (Cato+), *prōtēlāre* ‘to drive forth, beat back’ (Ter.+).

PIt. *\*tend-* [pr.], *\*tnto-* [ppp.]. It. cognates: U. *ustentu*, *ustetu*, *ostendu* [3s.ipv.II], *ustentuta* [3p.ipv.II], *ostensendi* [3p.fut.ps.] maybe ‘to prepare, lay ready’. Untermann is hesitant about the connection with *ostendere* because of the semantics. Meiser (2003: 192) thinks that these forms belong to *tollō*.

PIE *\*ten-d<sup>(h)</sup>-* [pr.] ‘to stretch’, *\*tn-to-* [ppp.]. IE cognates: See s.v. *teneō*. See Kümmel 2005 on Skt. *tandate* as a secondary formation to *-tandra-*.

The root *\*ten-* ‘to stretch’ has yielded two Latin presents, *teneō* and *tendō*; both share the ppp. *tentus*. The pf. *tetini* of *teneō* can go back to PIE *\*te-ton-*, whereas *tendō* has acquired a novel perfect *te-tend-*. The root-final dental of the PIE present cannot be identified. The noun *prōtēlum* probably represents *\*prō-tend-slo-* ‘instrument for pulling forth’; but *\*-tens-lo-* to the root variant PIE *\*tens-* is also possible, cf. *tōlēs*.

Bibl.: WH II: 227, 344, 376f., 662f., EM 470f., 524, 540, 682f., IEW 1065f., Sihler 1995: 503, Meiser 1998: 193, 2003: 191f., Untermann 2000: 812-814, LIV *\*ten-*. → *teneō*

**tenebrae** ‘darkness’ [f.pl. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *tenebrōsus* ‘dark’ (Varro+), *tenebriō* ‘who operates in the dark, dishonest person’ (Afran.+), *tenebricus* ‘shrouded in darkness’ (Pac.+), *tenebricōsus* ‘dark’ (Varro+); *contenebrāscere* ‘to become completely dark’ (Varro+).

PIt. *\*temasrā-* ‘darkness’.

PIE *\*temH-s-ro-* [adj.] ‘dark’. IE cognates: Skt. *tāmistrā-* [f.] ‘dark night’, YAv. *tq̄ra-* [pl.] ‘darkness’, MP *tār* ‘darkness’, *tārīg* [adj.] ‘dark’; OHG *demar* ‘twilight’ (< PIE *\*temH-só-*).

Dissimilated from *\*temebrai* < *\*temasro-* < *\*temHs-rh<sub>2</sub>-*, the f. of a *ro*-adjective which is a derivative of the PIE *s*-stem *\*temH-os-*, reflected in Latin *temere*.

Bibl.: WH II: 664, EM 683, IEW 1063f., Schrijver 1991: 104, Stüber 2002: 158f., LIV *\*temH-*. → *temere*

**teneō** ‘to hold, grasp’ [v. II; pf. *tetini* (>> *tenūi*), ppp. *tentum*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *tenāx* ‘holding tight, stubborn’ (Pl.+), *tenācia* ‘stubbornness’ (Enn.), *tenor, -ōris* [m.] ‘sustained movement, course’ (Varro+), *tēnsa* ‘wagon used for transporting images of gods’ (Titin.+), *tenus, -oris* [n.] ‘kind of snare’ (Pl.), *tenus* [adv., prep.] ‘as far as, up to’ (Lucr.+); *attinēre* ‘to hold, delay, concern’ (Pl.+), *continēre* ‘to hold together, keep, comprise’ (Pl.+), *continuus* ‘uninterrupted’ (Pl.+),

*dētinēre* ‘to keep, retain’ (Pl.+), *obtinēre* ‘to maintain, occupy, achieve’ (Pl.+), *pertinēre* ‘to be concerned with, belong to, reach’ (Pl.+), *pertināx* ‘stubborn, tenacious’ (Pl.+), *pertinācia* ‘stubbornness’ (Pl.+), *retinēre* ‘to detain, retain’ (Pl.+), *retināculum* ‘rein, rope’ (Cato+), *retentāre* ‘to keep hold of’ (Pl.+), *sustinēre* ‘to support, preserve’ (Pl.+), *sustentāre* ‘to keep from falling, maintain’ (Pl.+), *trānstinēre* ‘to provide a link’ (Pl.).

Plt. *\*t(e)nē-* [pr.], *\*tenos-* [n.] ‘snare, stretch’. It. cognates: U. *tenitu* [3s.ipv.II] ‘to hold’ < *\*ten-ē-*.

PIE *\*tn-eh<sub>1</sub>-* ‘to hold’, *\*ten-os-* [n.] ‘stretch’. IE cognates: MW *tannu* ‘to spread out’, MCo. *tan* ‘take!’ < PCI. *\*tan-nu-*; Skt. pr. *tanóti* [act.], *tanuté* [med.], root aor. *átan* [3s.act.], pf. *tatāna* ‘to stretch, extend’, *tatá-* ‘extended, skilled, performed’, OAv. *us-tāna-* ‘stretched out’, YAv. *pairi.tanuiia* [1s.opt.med.], *pairi .. tanauua* [1s.sb.act.] ‘to keep away (from)’, Gr. *τένω* ‘to stretch, pull tight’, *τόνυται* ‘to stretch (intr.)’, *τόνος* [m.] ‘tension, cord, string, tone, sound’, *ὄλι-τενής* ‘extending to the sea’, Go. *uffpanjan* ‘to extend’, OHG *den(n)en*, NHG *dehnen* ‘to stretch’.

Stative present to the root *\*ten-* ‘to stretch’. In Latin, *ten-* may derive from a full-grade form or from the expected PIE zero grade, but U. has a full grade. Latin furthermore continues an *s*-stem in *tenus* [n.] and its petrified form *tenuis*. Meiser (1998: 183) explains *tenus* from a ppa. *\*tn-yos* ‘stretching to’, but this seems less likely: the meaning does not point to an original perfect, and reduplication is absent whereas *tetinī* retains it. The *-ā-* in *retināculum* is unexpected: was it influenced by *tenāx* and *tenācia*?

Bibl.: WH I: 267, II: 664-667, EM 683f., IEW 1065f., Stüber 2002: 160f., Meiser 2003: 191f., Schumacher 2004: 618f., LIV *\*ten-*. → *tendō*, *tōlēs*

**tener, -a, -um** ‘soft, delicate’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *tenellus* ‘delicate’ (Pl.+), *tenellulus* ‘tender’ (Laev.+), *teneritūdō* ‘tenderness’ (Varro+), *tenerēscere* ‘to become soft’ (Lucr.+); *terenus* ‘mollis’ (Favorinus).

Plt. *\*terVno-* (*\*teru-no-* or *\*teren-o-*?) ‘soft’.

PIE *\*teru-no-:/ \*ter-en-* ‘tender’. IE cognates: Skt. *táruṇa-* ‘young, tender, fresh’, Av. *tauruna-* ‘young’; [m.] ‘boy’, Oss. *tæryn*, *tyryn/tærna* ‘boy’; Gr. *τέρυ* ‘weak, soft’ (Hsch.), *τερόνης* ‘worm-out ass, infirm old man’ (Hsch.), Gr. *τέρην* ‘soft, delicate’.

Since Ilr. and Gr. show adjectives *\*teru-*, *\*ter-n-* and *\*teru-n-*, it is tempting to derive *\*tenVro-* via consonant metathesis from *\*terVno-*. The ‘Sabine’ word *terenus* ‘soft’ which Macrobius (late 4<sup>th</sup> c. AD) ascribes to Favorinus would match such a reconstruction quite well.

Bibl.: WH II: 665, EM 684, IEW 1070f.

**tenuis** ‘slender, thin, fine’ [adj. *i*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *tenuitās* ‘scantiness, thinness’ (Cato+), *tenuāre* ‘to make thin, reduce, narrow’ (Lucil.+); *subtenuis* ‘rather fine in structure’ (Varro); *attenuāre* ‘to make slender, weaken’ (Lucr.+), *extenuāre* ‘to make thin, diminish’ (Varro+).

Plt. *\*tḡ(a)u-*.

PIE *\*tnh<sub>2</sub>(e)u-* ‘thin’. IE cognates: OIr. *tanae*, W. *teneu*, C. *tanow*, Bret. *tanao* ‘delicate, thin’ < PCl. *\*tanayo-* < *\*tnh<sub>2</sub>-eu-o-*; Skt. *tanú-* ‘thin, slender’, MP *tanuk* ‘thin, flat’, Gr. *ταναός* ‘thin, elongated, expanding far’, *τανύ-* [pref.] ‘thin, slender’ < *\*tnHu-*; Lith. *tėvas* ‘thin, slender, high (of voices)’, Latv. *tiēvs* ‘thin, slender’ < *\*tenh<sub>2</sub>-u-ó-*; OCS *търъкъ*, Ru. *tónkij*, Sln. *tānak* ‘delicate, fine’ < *\*tnHu-k-*; OHG *dunni*, Olc. *ḡunnr* ‘thin’ < *\*tnHu-*.

The Plt. *u*-stem adj. was remade into an *i*-stem, yielding *\*tḡ(a)ui-* > *\*ten(a)ui-* > *temuis*. The PIE root form *\*tnh<sub>2</sub>-* might be an extended variant of *\*ten-* ‘to stretch’, but this cannot be certified.

Bibl.: WH II: 666, EM 684, IEW 1069, Schrijver 1991: 219, Meiser 1998: 110.

**tepeō** ‘to be warm’ [v. II] (Cato+)

Derivatives: *tepidus* ‘warm’ (Enn.+), *tepor* ‘warmth’ (Varro+), *tepefacere* ‘to make fairly hot’ (Varro+).

Plt. *\*tep-ē-* ‘to be warm’.

PIE *\*t(e)p-eh<sub>1</sub>-* ‘to be warm’, *\*tep-os-* [n.] ‘warmth’. IE cognates: OIr. *té* ‘hot’, *tess* ‘heat’, W. *tes*, Bret. *tez* ‘id.’; Skt. *tāpati* ‘to heat, be/become hot’, *tāpas-* [n.] ‘heat, glow’, YAv. *tafsa-* ‘to become hot’, *tāpaiia-* ‘to make hot, create warmth’, *hqm.tapta-* ‘hot’, MP *tab* ‘fever’ (< Plr. *\*tapah-*); OCS *teplostь* [f.] ‘warmth’, Ru. *těplyj*, Cz. *teplý* ‘hot’ < *\*tep-lo-*, OCS *tapiti*, Ru. *topit’* ‘to heat, melt’.

Latin only has productive derivatives. *Tepor* might be a continuation of the PIE n. *\*tepos-*, but since it is not attested before Varro, it may just as well be a recent formation. The verb *tepeō* might be older, but it has no direct cognates in other IE languages except maybe in Albanian *ftoh* ‘to cool down’ if from *\*tpē-sk-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 667, EM 685, IEW 1069f., Stüber 2002: 161f., LIV 1.\*tep-

**tergeō** ‘to rub clean, polish’ [v. II; pf. *tersī*, ppp. *tersum*] (Pl.+; pr. also *tergō*, *-ere*)

Derivatives: *abstergēre* ‘to wipe clean, wipe off’ (Pl.+), *circumtergēre* ‘to wipe round about’ (Cato), *dētergēre* ‘to wipe away, trim’ (Andr.+), *extergēre* ‘to wipe clean’ (Pl.+); *mantēlum* ‘hand-towel, napkin’ (Lucil.+), *mantēlium* (Varro+) ‘hand-towel, napkin’.

Plt. *\*terg-*. It. cognates: U. *mantrahklu*, *mantraklu*, *mandraclo* [acc.sg.] ‘object which the priest is holding’, maybe < *\*man-trāg-tlo-* ‘towel’ vel sim.

*Mantēlum* < *\*manu-terg-slo-*. LIV opts for *tergere* as the original verbal formation, but especially the compounds show that *-tergēre* was more common. Since this is not a stative verb, one might expect an iterative *\*torg-ē-*, but this is not attested. There are no certain cognates of the form *\*terg-*. One might connect Skt. *tarh-* ‘to crush’ < PIE *\*(s)terǵ<sup>h</sup>-* (if *\*-rǵ<sup>h</sup>-* > Lat. *-rg-*); but Kloekhorst 2008: 411 reconstructs the Skt. words as *\*stetǵ<sup>h</sup>-*, in order to connect Hit. *ištalk<sup>zi</sup>* ‘to flatten’.

Bibl.: WH II: 670, EM 685f., IEW 1071-74, Meiser 1998: 119, Untermann 2000: 451, LIV ?2.\*ter(ǵ)- or *\*(s)terǵ<sup>h</sup>-*.

**tergum** ‘back’ [n. o] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *tergus*, *-oris* [n.] (Enn.+), *tergīnum* ‘raw-hide whip’ (Pl.+), *tergiversāri* ‘to show reluctance’ (Sis.+).

WH and IEW interpret *tergum* as *\*terg-o-*, from a root *\*(s)ter-* ‘to be stiff’ with a suffix *\*-g-*. The underlying assumption is that *tergum* originally indicated the stiff hair on the back of animals (IEW). This, however, is not supported by any evidence. Thus, the etymology remains unclear.

Bibl.: WH II: 670, EM 686, IEW 1022-1027, Leumann 1977: 166.

**U. terkantur** [3p.pr.sb.] ‘to check’ or ‘to confirm’.

PIt. *\*derk-e/o-* [pr.].

PIE *\*derk-* ‘to look’. IE cognates: OIr. *ad:con-dairc* [pf.] ‘saw’ << *\*de-dork-*, *derc* ‘eye’; Skt. *darś-* ‘to see’, *su-dárśa-* ‘easily seen, conspicuous’, Av. *darəs-* ‘to look’, *darasa-* [m./n.] ‘looking’, Gr. *δέρκομαι*, pf. *δέδορκα* ‘to look’, *ὑπό-δρα* [adv.] ‘looking up from below’, Go. *ga-tarhjan* ‘to expose, make public’, OE *torht* ‘clear’.

Bibl.: IEW 213, Untermann 2000: 747f., Schumacher 2004: 271, LIV *\*derk-*.

**termen, -inis** ‘boundary-stone’ [n. n] (CIL, Acc.+)

Derivatives: *terminus* ‘boundary-post’ (Naev.+), *termō* ‘finishing-post in a race’ (Enn.+), *terminālis* ‘marking a boundary’ (Varro+), *termināre* ‘to mark the boundaries, limit’ (Lucr.+); *dētermināre* ‘to delimit’ (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*ter-mn-* [n.] ‘boundary stone’. It. cognates: Ven. **termonios** [acc.pl.m.] ‘of the boundary’ < *\*ter-mon-io-*; Presam. *τερμωνι* [loc.sg.?], O. **teremenniú** [nom.acc.pl.], **teremniss** [dat.abl.pl.] n. ‘boundary stone’ < *\*ter-mn-*; O. **teremnattens** [3p.pf.], **teremnatust** [3s.pf.ps.], U. *termnas (est)* [3s.pf.ps.] ‘to delimit’ < denom. *\*termn-ā-*; U. *termnome* [acc.sg. + *-en*], *termnuco* [abl.sg. + *-kom*], **termnesku** [abl.pl. + *-kom*] [m.] ‘boundary stone’ < *\*ter-mn-o-*.

PIE *\*ter-mn-* [n.] ‘peg, post’. IE cognates: Hit. *tarma-* [c.], CLuw. *tarma/i-* ‘peg, nail’ < *\*t(o)r(h<sub>1</sub>)-mo-*, Gr. *τέρμα* [n.] ‘goal, end-point’, *τέρμων* [m.] ‘boundary’.

Latin *termen*, together with the base *\*ter-mon-* of Venetic and *\*ter-mn-* of Sabellic, shows that PIt. still had an ablauting suffix in the *n*-stem. From this, Latin derived *\*termn-o-* > *terminus*, with zero grade of *\*-mn-* in view of Sabell. *\*ter-mn-o-*. The word *termō* might have been borrowed from Greek. The Hittite noun and the usage in Latin suggest that the PIE word denoted a concrete object which came to refer to a boundary-stone. For this reason, the etymology deriving *termen* from the PIE root *\*terh<sub>2</sub>-* ‘to pass, cross over’ (with a *mn*-stem in Skt. *su-tárman-* ‘which provides a good passage’) is unattractive. The PIE root is unclear: maybe *\*ter-*, an *aniť* variant of *\*terh<sub>1</sub>-* ‘to rub’?

Bibl.: WH II: 671, EM 686, IEW 1074f., Lejeune 1974: 339, Leumann 1977: 371, Untermann 2000: 745-748, Lazzarini-Pocchetti 2001, Rix 2002a: 70.

**termes, -itis** ‘bough cut from a tree’ [m. f] (Hor.+)

No etymology.

Bibl.: WH II: 670, EM 686, IEW 1070f.

**terō, -ere** ‘to rub’ [v. III; pf. *trīvī*, ppp. *trītum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *trītor* ‘who rubs or grinds’ (Pl.+), *trītūra* ‘rubbing, threshing’ (Varro+), *terebrā* [f.] ‘drill’ (Pl.+), *terebrāre* ‘to drill a hole’ (Pl.+), *exterebrāre* ‘to extract with an auger’ (Pl.+), *termentum* ‘sore caused by friction’ (Pl.+), *tribulum* ‘threshing-sledge’ (Varro+; Varro *trivolum*), *tribulāre* ‘to press, squeeze’ (Cato+), *trīticum* ‘wheat’ (Pl.+), *trīticus* ‘of wheat’ (Cato+); *atterere* ‘to rub, grind, wear’ (Pl.+), *conterere* ‘to pound to pieces, wear out, use up’ (Naev.+), *dēterere* ‘to wear down, rub off’ (Naev.+), *dētrīmentum* ‘harm, diminishment’ (Cato+), *exterere* ‘to thresh out, elide’ (Varro+), *extermentārium* ‘lintheum quod teritur corpore’ (Varro), *interere* ‘to crumble on, up’ (Cato+), *intertrīgō* ‘sore place caused by rubbing’ (Cato+), *intertrīmentum* ‘wear and tear’ (Ter.+), *obterere* ‘to crush, destroy’ (Naev.+), *praeterere* ‘to rub away the end’ (Pl.+), *retrīmentum* ‘scrapings’ (Varro), *subterere* ‘to wear away below’ (Pl.+); *tarmes, -itis* [m.] ‘woodworm’ (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*tera-* [pr.], *\*trei-* [pf.], *\*terasro-* ‘drill’.

PIE *\*terh<sub>1</sub>-/\*trh<sub>1</sub>-* [aor.?], *\*treh<sub>1</sub>-i-* [pr.] ‘to rub’. IE cognates: Gr. *τείρω* ‘to oppress, distress, weaken’, *τέρετρον* ‘drill’, ppp. *τηρός* ‘bored through’, Gr. *τριβω* ‘to rub, wear out’; Lith. *tirti* ‘to investigate’, SeCS *trēti*, 1s. *tr̥q̃*, Ru. *terét’* ‘to rub’; OHG *drāen* ‘to turn’.

The Latin present is isolated among the other IE languages, who show a *ie/o*-present or, in the case of Greek, the extension *-īb-*. Since Latin also shows *ī* in the preterite, it seems that PIE had an athematic present *\*térh<sub>1</sub>-i-* / *\*trh<sub>1</sub>-éi-*, which was either thematized or otherwise enlarged. The Latin pr. can therefore hardly go back to a PIE thematic present, but at most to a root present, or, maybe more likely, a root aorist. Latin *-ī-* in the pf. and ppp. could go back to *\*treh<sub>1</sub>-i-*, since *\*trh<sub>1</sub>-ei-* would yield *\*tarei-*; or *trī-* arose in the ppp. from *\*trh<sub>1</sub>-i-to-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 649, 672f., EM 686f., IEW 1071-72, Schrijver 1991: 244f., 395f., Rix 1999: 517, LIV *\*terh<sub>1</sub>-*, *?\*trejg<sup>u</sup>-*. → *trīcae*

**terra** ‘dry land, earth’ [f. *ā*] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *terreus* ‘earthen’ (Varro+), *terrestris* ‘on/of. land, earthly’ (Pl.+), *territōrium* ‘territory’ (Varro+), *terrēnus* ‘of dry land, of earth’ (Lucret.+); *extorris* ‘exiled, banished’ (Acc.+).

PIt. *\*tersā-* ‘earth’, *terso-* ‘area’. It. cognates: O. *teras* [gen.sg. or acc.pl.] ‘earth’; O. *teer[úm, terúm]* [nom.sg.], *tereis* [gen.sg.], *terei* [loc.sg.] [n.] ‘area’ < *\*ters-o-*.

PIE *\*ters-h<sub>2</sub>-* ‘dry land’. IE cognates: OIr. *tír* ‘territory; dry’ [n.], OW *tir* < *\*tērs-o/es-* (or *\*ters-r-*, Thurneysen 1946: 49).

Lat. *extorris* goes back to *\*eks-t(o)rs-i-*. Since *-tōrium* is a productive suffix only after verbal stems, the rise of *terri-tōrium* is unexplained. PIt. *\*ters-o/ā-* is generally derived from the root *\*ters-* ‘to dry out’ (s.v. *torreō*): ‘dry land’. If OIr. *tír*, W. *tir* are cognate, they represent either an *s*-stem *\*tērs-os-*, or a derivative in *\*-r-*. Nussbaum apud Livingston 2004: 56 (fn. 31) suggests that *terrēnus* could be derived from a loc.sg. *\*terrai*.

Bibl.: WH II: 673f., EM 687f., IEW 1078, Untermann 2000: 736, 745, LIV *\*ters-*. → *torreō*

*terreō* ‘to terrorize, deter’ [v. II; *terruī, territum*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *territāre* ‘to (try to) scare’ (Pl.+), *terribilis* ‘frightening’ (Enn.+), *terrícola/-um* ‘object of terror, bogy’ (Lucil.+), *terror* ‘terror, extreme fear’ (Naev.+); *terrificus* ‘terrifying’ (Lucr.+), *terrificāre* ‘to alarm’ (Lucr.+); *absterrēre* ‘to frighten away, deter’ (Pl.+), *dēterrēre* ‘to discourage’ (Pl.+), *exterrēre* ‘to scare’ (Enn.+), *perterrēre* ‘to terrify’ (Pl.+), *perterrefacere* ‘to make extremely frightened’ (Ter.+).

Plt. *\*tros-eje-* ‘to scare’. It. cognates: U. *tuse<t>u, tursitu* [3s.ipv.II], *tusetutu, tursitoto* [3p.ipv.II], *tursiandu* [3p.pr.sb.ps.] ‘to scare’ < *\*tors-eje-*; U. *turse, tuse, turse* [dat.sg.], *tursar* [gen.sg.], *tursa* [voc.sg.] f. name of a goddess, invoked in the curse of foes < *\*torsā-* ‘fright’.

PIE *\*tros-eie-* ‘to make scared’. IE cognates: Skt. pr. *trāsanti* [3p.act.], caus. *trāsaya-* ‘to tremble, be afraid’, YAv. *ṽrāṅhaiia-* ‘to scare’, *tərəsa-* ‘to be afraid’, *taršta-* ‘scared, afraid’, OP *trsa-* ‘to be scared’; Gr. *τρέω* ‘to be scared, tremble, flee’, *ἄτρεστος* ‘fearless’; Lith. *trišėti* ‘to tremble, to shiver’.

Since the other IE languages show an ablaut *\*trs-* : *\*tres-*, *terreō* can reflect neither PIE *\*ters-* nor *\*tors-* (with replacement of *\*torr-* by *\*terr-* on the model of *terror*, as assumed by WH and EM). LIV compares *tertius* ‘third’ < *\*tritio-* and reconstructs *\*tros-eie-* > (post-)Plt. *\*trseje-* > Sab. *\*tors-eje-*, Lat. *\*terseje-* by regular phonetic development. This seems to be the best option.

Bibl.: WH II: 674, EM 688, IEW 1095, Nussbaum 1999a: 412f., Untermann 2000: 775-777, LIV *\*tres-*.

*tescum* ‘certain augural term; wild land’ [n. o] (Acc.+; n.pl. *tesca* Var. / *tesqua* Hor. Luc., dat.abl.pl. *tesquīs*)

The vacillation between *tesc-* and *tesqu-* points to *\*tesk<sup>w</sup>-* or *\*teskw-*, which yielded *tesc-* in the nom.acc.sg. The meaning is established by Chanut 1980 as ‘terrain of difficult acces, crags, maquis’. He argues that the use of *tescum* as an an augural term originates in the designation of the unusable side slopes of the Capitol, where the main *templum* was situated. The connection with Skt. *tucchyá-* ‘empty’ is impossible, since the root has ablaut grade I *\*teus-*. Dunkel 2000b: 21 posits *\*tues-k<sup>w</sup>o-*, which would have yielded *\*tesk<sup>w</sup>o-* by dissimilation of the first labial glide; but the ablaut and the meaning make a connection with *\*teus-* difficult. Alternatively, one might think of *\*ters-kwo-* to *torreō*, that is, ‘arid soil’ vel sim.

Bibl.: WH II: 675, EM 688, IEW 1085, Chanut 1980.

*testa* ‘earthenware vessel, tile, sherd, shell’ [f. ā] (Cato+)

Derivatives: *testātim* ‘in fragments’ (Pompon.+), *testū, -ūs* [n.], *testum* ‘earthenware pot’ (Cato+), *testuācium* ‘cake baked in an earthenware pot’ (Varro), *testūdō* ‘tortoise, shell, roof’ (Lucil.+), *testūdineus* ‘of a tortoise; of/with a tortoise-shell’ (Pl.+).

Derivation from the root *\*tek-* ‘to build’ is unlikely for semantic reasons; also the outcome of PIE *\*-tk-* (if we would reconstruct a reduplicated form *\*te-tk-o-*) in Latin is uncertain (*s* seems most likely, cf. *situs*); see also s.v. *texō*. The word *testa* is probably a loanword, as words for vessels often are.

Bibl.: WH II: 675f., EM 688f., IEW 1058f.

**testis** ‘witness’ [m. i] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *testēs*, *-ium* [m.pl.] ‘testicles’ (Pl.+), *testiculī* [m.pl.] ‘testicle’ (Varro+), *testimōnium* ‘evidence in court, testimony’ (Lex XII+), *testārī* ‘to invoke as a witness, testify’ (Lex XII+), *testāmentum* ‘will, testament’ (Pl.+), *intestātus* ‘without having made a will, without having called a witness’ (Lex XII+), *intestābilis* ‘disqualified from calling witnesses, shameful’ (Lex XII+); *antestārī* ‘to call as a witness’ (Lex XII+), *dētestātum* ‘testatione denuntiatum’ (Lex XII), *obtestārī* ‘to beseech, invoke’ (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*tri-sto/i-*. It. cognates: O. *trīstaamentud* [abl.sg.] n. ‘proof’, loanword from Latin *\*tristāmentom*; O. *trustus* [nom.pl.] ‘witness’ < *\*tristo-* ‘third’.

PIE *\*trito-sth<sub>2</sub>-o-* ‘third standing (by)’. IE cognates: OIr. *triss*, *tres* ‘third’ < *\*tristo-*.

The direct preform must be *\*tri-sth<sub>2</sub>-i-*, but the generally accepted meaning was ‘third standing (by)’ > ‘witness’, which would rather require the ordinal *\*trito-*. Hence, Italo-Celtic *\*tristo-* may be the result of haplological loss of the syllable *\*-to-* from the original cp. The verb *testārī* can have been derived directly from *testi-*. For the use of ‘witness’ in the meaning ‘testicle’, cf. Gr. παραστάται δύο. Leumann 1977: 234 explains *antestārī* from *\*anti-testārī*.

Bibl.: WH II: 676f., EM 689, IEW 1090-92, Coleman 1992: 424, Sihler 1995: 411, Meiser 1998: 80, Untermann 2000: 768-770, LIV *\*steh<sub>2</sub>-*. → *trēs*

**tetricus** ‘frowning, stern’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Varro+)

The connection with *terō* ‘to rub’, proposed by WH and IEW, is semantically unconvincing. Possible preforms are *\*tetr-* and *\*tedr-*, but there is no good etymology.

Bibl.: WH II: 677, EM 689, IEW 1071-74.

Ven. **teuta** [nom.sg.], **teuta**[m?] [acc.sg.?], **[teuta]i?** [dat.sg.] ‘civitas’; O. *touto*, τῶϜτο [nom.sg.], *tautam* [acc.sg.], *toutad* [abl.sg.], Marr. *toutai*, *totai* [dat.sg.], U. **tuta**, *totam*, *tota* [acc.sg.], **tutas**, *totar* [gen.sg.], **tute**, *tote* [dat.sg.], *tote*, *toteme* [loc.sg.], **tutaper**, *totaper* [abl.sg. + *-per*], SPic. **toúta** [nom.?], **tutas** [gen.?], **toútaih** [dat.sg./pl.?] ‘town, society’; Presam. τῶϜτιδ [abl.sg. of *\*toutio-*]; O. **túvtiks** [nom.sg.m.], τῶϜτικες [gen.sg.m.], **túvtik[ud]** [abl.sg.m.], *[touti]cas* [gen.sg.f.], **túv[tikaí]** [loc.sg.f.], **túv[tikad]** [abl.sg.f.], *toutico* [nom.sg.f.], *touticom*, **túv[tikom]** [nom.acc.sg.n.], Vol. *toticu* [abl.sg.n.], U. *totco* [nom.acc.sg.n.?], *todcome* [acc.sg.n. + *-en*], *totcor* [nom.pl.n.], *todceir* [dat.abl.pl.n.], *toce* [adv.] ‘of the *toutā-*’.

PIt. *\*toutā-* ‘town, society’, *\*toutiko/ā-* [adj.].

IE cognates: OIr. *túath* ‘people, tribe’, W. *tud* ‘land’, Co. *tus*, MBret. *tut* ‘people’; OPr. *tauto*, Lith. *tautà*, Latv. *tàuta*; Go. *þiuda*, OHG *diot(a)*, OS *thiod(a)*, OE *ðeod*, Olc. *þjóð* ‘people’ < PGM. *\*peuþó-*, OE *geþiedan* ‘to translate’, Olc. *þýða* ‘to interpret, mean’, Go. *þiudans* ‘king’, Olc. *þjóðann*, OE *ðeoden*, OS *thiodan* < *\*teutono-*.

A western IE word (Italo-Celtic, Germanic, Baltic). Gm. and Italic point to *\*-eu-* and Baltic to *\*-ou-*, whereas Celtic cannot be decided. Beekes 1998 explains *\*teutā-* from



a non-IE substratum language, since it cannot be derived from a known PIE root. The word denotes a social group larger than any for which a PIE etymon is reconstructed; furthermore, terms meaning ‘people’ are often loanwords.

Bibl.: IEW 1080-85, Lejeune 1974: 339, Beekes 1998, Untermann 2000: 779-783, Lazzarini-Pocchetti 2001.

**texō, -ere** ‘to weave, construct’ [v. III; pf. *texuī*, ppp. *textum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *textilis* ‘woven, plaited’ (Lucr.+), *textor* ‘weaver’ (Pl.+), *textrīnum* ‘place of weaving, of constructing’ (Enn.+), *textus, -ūs* ‘structure’ (Lucr.+), *textūra* ‘structure, weaving’ (Lucr.+); *tēla* ‘cloth on a loom, spider’s web, plan’ (Pl.+), *subtilis* [adj.] ‘fine in texture, precise’ (Lucr.+), *subtēmen* [also *subtegmēn*] ‘weft, threads in a loom’ (Pl.+); *extexere* ‘to unweave’ (Pl.+), *praetexta* ‘toga with a purple border’ (Lucil.+).

Plt. \**tekse/o-*.

PIE \**tek-s-* [pr.] ‘to fashion’. IE cognates: Hit. *takš-zi* ‘to devise, undertake’ < \**teks-/tks-*, MHG *dehsen* ‘to break flax’; Skt. pr. *tákṣati* [3p.act.], *tāḍhi* [3s.ipv.act.], *tāṣṭi* [3s.act.], pf. *tatákṣa* [3s.act.], ppp. *taṣṭá-* ‘to hammer, form, fashion’, *táṣṭar-* [m.] ‘carpenter, master’, Av. *tašaṭ* [3s.aor.inj.], OAv. *tāšt* [3s.pr.inj.] ‘to fashion’, *tašta-* ‘created’; YAv. *auui . . . tāṣṭi* [3s.pr.act.].

Lat. *tēla* < \**teks-lā-*, *subtilis* < \**subtēli-*. Meiser 1998 argues that *texō* does not belong to the pr. \**te-tk-* ‘to build’ but to a root \**tek-s-*, seen in Gr. *τέχνη* ‘skill’, OHG *dehsala* ‘axe’, OIr: *tál* ‘axe’; but the latter root may simply be \**tek-s-*, that is, the root ‘to fashion’ with an *s*-suffix. Meiser 2003 points to the possible PIE formulaic expression ‘weaving words’ (*sermōnes texere* Pl.), which involves in Skt. and Gr. the verb \**te-tk-* ‘to fashion’. For Latin *tex-*, this implies that it can either go back to PIE \**tek-s-*, or to \**te-tk-* ‘to fashion’. Since the outcome of word-internal \**-tk-* is uncertain, but might rather be single *-s-* (in *ursus*, where the cluster is preceded by *r*), I have a preference for \**tek-s-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 619f., 678, EM 662, 690, IEW 1058f., Sihler 1995: 225, Meiser 1998: 96f., 2003: 127, LIV 2. \**tek-*, \**tetk-*. → *tīgnum*

**tībia** ‘reed-pipe; shin-bone’ [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *tībīcen, -īnis* [m.] ‘piper’ (Pl.+), *tībīcina* ‘female piper’ (Pl.+).

IE cognates: Gr. *σίφων, -ωνος* [m.] ‘tube, siphon’.

The original meaning may have been either ‘stalk’ or ‘shin-bone’ (even though the latter meaning is only attested from Pliny onwards: flutes were often made from shin-bones). The compounds represent \**tībio-kan-* ‘playing on a reed-pipe’. There is no good IE etymology; maybe the word is a loanword from the same source as Gr. *σίφων*.

Bibl.: WH II: 680, EM 691, IEW 1102.

**tīgnum** ‘piece of timber, building-wood’ [n. *o*] (Lex XII+)

Derivatives: *tīgillum* ‘small plank’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \**teg/k-no-* ‘plank, timber’.

PIE \*(s)teg-no- ‘covering’ or \*tek-no- ‘product’.

Lat. *tignum* probably represents \**tegno-*. The derived dim. *tigillum* could phonetically reflect \**tegno-lo-* if the raising of \**e* in front of a velar nasal preceded vowel reduction in non-initial syllable (with the inverse chronology, one would expect \**tegitillum*). As for the PIE etymology, WH and IEW derived *tignum* from a word \*(s)teg- for ‘stake’, which is unattractive since this root is not otherwise attested in Italic. It seems more likely that *tignum* derives from \*(s)teg- ‘to cover’, which yields other constructional terminology such as *tectum* ‘roof, ceiling, dwelling’ and *tēgula* ‘roof-tile’. However, since *tignum* has no evident connotation of ‘cover’, one could also connect it with \**tek-* ‘to fashion’, but this has the preform \**teks-* in all certain Latin derivatives (see s.v. *texō*).

Bibl.: WH II: 681, EM 691, IEW 1014, Meiser 1998: 81, 122. → *tegō*, *texō*

**tilia** ‘lime-tree’ [f. *ā*] (Verg.+)

IE cognates: Gr. *πελέα* ‘elm-tree’, Myc. */pterewal/*.

The only close cognate seems to be the Greek word for ‘elm-tree’. Both may be borrowings from a third language, or Latin has borrowed the Greek word as \**telia* and changed its meaning to ‘lime-tree’.

Bibl.: WH II: 681f., EM 691, IEW 847.

**timeō** ‘to fear’ [v. II; pf. *timuī*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *timor* [m.] ‘fear’ (Naev.+; *timōs* Naev. apud Non.), *timidus* ‘afraid, timid’ (Naev.+), *timiditās* ‘proneness to fear’ (Pac.+), *timēfactus* ‘intimidated’ (Lucr.+), *extimēscere* ‘to be alarmed, dread’ (Pl.+), *pertimēscere* ‘to become very scared’ (Pl.+), *praetimēre* ‘to worry’ (Pl.+).

The connection with words for ‘stiff’ (thus hesitantly WH and IEW) is hardly possible in view of the modern reconstruction of this root as \**stjēH-* (LIV); if indeed initial \**s-* were mobile, we would expect PIE \**tiH-m-* > Lat. \**tīm-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 682, EM 691f.

**tingō, -ere** ‘to wet, imbue, dye’ [v. III; pf. *tinxī*, ppp. *tinctum*] (Lucr.+; var. *tinguō* Varro)

Derivatives: *attingere* ‘to smear on’ (Rhet.Her. +), *inting(u)ere* ‘to plunge in, colour’ (Pl.+).

PIt. \**teng-e/o-*.

PIE \**teng-* ‘to make wet’ [pr.], maybe \**teng-s-* [aor.]. IE cognates: Gr. *τέγω* ‘to make wet’, aor. *τέγα*, OHG *thunkōn*, *dunkōn* ‘to immerse’, Swiss German *tink* ‘wet’.

The variant *tinguō* is due to analogy with *unguō* ‘to anoint’.

Bibl.: WH II: 684, EM 692, IEW 1067, Meiser 2003: 110, LIV 1.\**ten*<sup>(g)</sup>-.

**titiō** ‘piece of burning wood’ [m. n] (Varro+)

PIt. \**tī-ti-* ‘heating’.

PIE \**tih<sub>1</sub>-ti-*. IE cognates: OIr. *tinaid*, \**tin*\* ‘to melt, disappear’ < PCI. \**ti-ni-*, OIr.

*úathad* [n.] ‘small quantity’, MW *odit* [m.] ‘rarity’ < \**au-tī-to-* ‘melting away’; Hit. *zē-<sup>a(r)</sup>* / *z-* ‘to cook (intr.), be cooked’ < \**tīéh<sub>1</sub>-o-*, *zanu-<sup>zi</sup>* ‘to cook (tr.)’ < \**tih<sub>1</sub>-neu-*, *zinni-<sup>zi</sup>* / *zinn-* ‘to end, finish’ < \**ti-n(e)-h<sub>1</sub>-*; OE *ðīnan* ‘to wet; become wet’, OIc. *þíðr* ‘melted, thawed’ < \**tih<sub>1</sub>-tó-*.

Maybe derived from the PIE root \**teih<sub>1</sub>-* ‘to become hot, melt’, according to LIV. In that case, it would be a *ti*-stem ‘heating’. Kloekhorst 2008: 1036f. argues that the original meaning of the root was ‘to end, finish’, on account of Hit. *zinni-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 685f., EM 693, Schrijver 1995: 195, Schumacher 2004: 640f., LIV \**teih<sub>1</sub>-*.

**tōfus** ‘volcanic rock, tufa’ [m. o] (Verg.)

Plt. \**tauf-o-?* It. cognates: maybe O. *tavffūd* [abl.sg.] if ‘tufa’.

Probably a loanword from Sabellic, because of *-f*

Bibl.: WH II: 687, EM 693, Untermann 2000: 736.

**tōlēs, -ium** ‘goitre’ [f.pl. i] (Fest.)

Derivatives: *tōnsillae* [f.pl.] ‘tonsils’ (Cic.+).

Plt. \**ton-sli-* ‘goitre, tonsil’.

PIE \**ton-sl-i-*. IE cognates: see s.v. *teneō*.

Generally, *tōlēs* is explained as the pl. of \**tonsli-* ‘what is/can be stretched’ (vel sim.), and *tonsillae* as its diminutive \**toñsli-lā-*. Lat. \**tonsli-* can be regarded as *l*-derivative to \**tens-* ‘to stretch’, or as an instrument noun in \**-slo/i<sup>2</sup>* to \**ten-* ‘stretch’. It must be pointed out that the semantic connection to ‘stretch’ is possible, but hardly compelling; one might sooner expect a basic meaning ‘to swell’ to account for ‘goitre’ and ‘tonsils’. Since we often find the meaning ‘to expand’ for derivatives of \**ten-*, not for those of \**tens-*, the analysis \**ton-sli-* seems preferable. The *o*-grade of the noun remains unexplained, however.

Bibl.: WH II: 688, EM 693, IEW 1068f., Leumann 1977: 208, Meiser 1998: 119, LIV \**ten-*. → *teneō*

**tollō, -ere** ‘to pick up, raise, remove’ [v. III; pf. *sustulī*, ppp. *sublātum*] (Lex XII+; pr.sb. *tulat* Acc.)

Derivatives: *tolerāre* ‘to support, endure’ (Andr.+), *tolerābilis* ‘tolerant, bearable’ (Ter.+), *intolerandus* ‘unbearable’ (Pl.+), *pertolerāre* ‘to endure to the end’ (Acc.+); *tolūtīm* [adv.] ‘at a trot’ (Pl.+), *tolūtīlis* ‘moving at a trot’ (Varro); *abstulās* ‘you take away’ (Pl.), *attollere* ‘to lift, erect’ (Pl.+), *contollere* ‘to step up’ (Pl.+), *extollere* ‘to raise, praise’ (Pl.+), *prōtollere* ‘to stretch forth, prolong’ (Pl.+); *opitulus* ‘who brings help’ (Paul. *ex F.*), *opitulārīe* ‘to give help’ (Andr.+).

Plt. \**tolna/o-* [pr.], \**tela-s-* [pr.], \**tel(-a)-* [aor.], \**tetol-* [pf.], \**tlāto-* [ppp.]. It. cognates: Ven. *tolar, toler, tuler* [3s.pr.med.] ‘offers’ < \**tol-e/ar(V?)*; Fal. *tulom* [1s.pf./aor.] ‘I offer’ (thus Giacomelli); U. *endendu, antentu, atentu* [3s.ipv.II] ‘to lay onto’, *endendu, ententu* [3s.ipv.II], *entelust, entelus* [3s.fut.pf.] ‘to lay into’, *pertentu* [3s.ipv.II] ‘maybe ‘to spread out’, *sutentu* [3s.ipv.II] ‘?’ < pr. \**telne/o-*, pf. \**telh<sub>2</sub>-*.

PIE \*tl-n(e)-h<sub>2</sub>- [pr.] ‘to carry’, \*tel-h<sub>2</sub>- [aor.], \*te-tolh<sub>2</sub>- [pf.], \*tlh<sub>2</sub>-to- [ppp.]. IE cognates: OIr. *tlenaid\**, *tlen* ‘to lift, take away’ < PCI. \*tlina-; Gr. aor. ἔτλην, Dor. ἔτλᾶν ‘to endure, endeavour’, aor. ἐτόλασσα ‘endeavoured’, τάλαντα [n.pl.] ‘scales’, Go. *pulan* ‘to endure, bear’, ToB *tallam* ‘raises’.

The PIE nasal present yielded Latin \*toln- > toll-, whereas Umbrian introduced the e-grade of the root (from the aorist). Thematization may go back to Plt. The aorist root \*tel(a)- seems to be preserved in U. pf. *entel-*, and is seen in Lat. sb. *-tulās, -tulāt* < \*tel-ā-. The pf. *tetuli* (to *ferō*) may reflect the PIE perfect, whereas *lātus* < \*tlāto- continues the PIE *to*-adj. The verb *opitulārī* is regarded as denominative to *opitulus*, which is only attested in Paul. *ex F.*; it may reflect \*opi-tolH-o-. To explain *tolerāre*, Nussbaum 2007b proposes a Latin *sā*-present built to the root \*telh<sub>2</sub>-, hence \*telh<sub>2</sub>-s- > \*telasā- > *tolerā-*. Finally, *tolūtīm* can be interpreted as ‘lifting its feet’ > ‘at a trot’, and would seem to require a stem \*tolu-.

Bibl.: WH II: 688f., EM 694, IEW 1060f., Giacomelli 1963: 257, Lejeune 1974: 339, Schrijver 1991: 216, 407, 510, Untermann 2000: 742-744, Meiser 2003: 192, Schumacher 2004: 641f., LIV \*telh<sub>2</sub>-. → *ferō, tellūs*

**tōmentum** ‘flock, stuffing material’ [n. o] (Varro+)

Plt. \*towamn?

PIE \*téuh<sub>2</sub>-mn [n.] ‘swelling’? \*touh<sub>2</sub>-eie- [pr.caus.]? IE cognates: see s.v. *tueor*.

WH and IEW assume *tōmentum* < \*towementom to the root \*teuh<sub>2</sub>- ‘to swell, increase’ (a caus.pr. \*touH-eie- ‘to stuff’?). A preform \*teuh<sub>2</sub>-mn would yield Plt. \*towamŋ > \*towamen > \*tōmen. A preform \*towamentom would yield \*tūmentum, but \*towa/i- could have been restored on the model of still present verb forms (cf. Schrijver 1991: 279-82). Thus, *tōmentum* can be explained on the basis of earlier \*tōmen, or on the basis of verb forms. Of course, no verb continuing \*teuh<sub>2</sub>- is actually attested in Latin, which weakens this explanation. EM hesitantly suggest a connection with *tondeō* ‘to shear’, which is possible, but would be less direct than from a verb meaning ‘to stuff’.

Bibl.: WH II: 689, EM 694, IEW 1080-85, Meiser 1998: 168. → *tueor, tumeō*

**tondeō** ‘to cut the hair, shear’ [v. II; pf. *totondī*, ppp. *tōnsum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *tōnsilis* ‘made smooth by clipping’ (Pl.+), *tōnsus, -ūs* ‘style of haircut’ (Pl.+), *tōnsor* ‘barber’ (Pl.+), *tōnstrīx* ‘female barber’ (Pl.+), *tōnstrīna* ‘barber’s shop’ (Pl.+), *tōnsūra* ‘shearing, clipping’ (Varro+), *tōnsitāre* ‘to shear’ (Pl.+); *attondēre* ‘to strip of, shear’ (Pl.+), *dētondēre* ‘to shear, prune’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \*tond-eje-.

PIE \*tond-eie- ‘to shear’. IE cognates: OIr. *teinnid\** ‘to break, cut’ < \*tend-e/o- or \*tan-n-d-e/o-, pret. *tethainn* < \*te-tond-, Gr. τένδω ‘to gnaw at’.

The pf. *totondī* can be recent, or continue an old pf. \*te-tond-. It cannot be proven that the root \*tend- was derived from \*tem- (as in \*temh<sub>1</sub>- ‘to cut’), but the meaning suggests a connection.

Bibl.: WH II: 689f., EM 694f., IEW 1062f., Schumacher 2004: 614, LIV 2.\*tend-.

**tongēre** ‘to know’ [v. II] (Paul. *ex F. tongere*, Enn. (apud Paul. *ex F.*) *tongent*)

Derivatives: *tongitiō* ‘notio’ (Fest.: Praenestian).

Plt. *\*tong-eje-* ‘to know’, *\*tng-īn-* ‘opinion’. It. cognates: O. *tanginom* [acc.sg.], *tangineis* [gen.sg.], *tanginud*, **tanginúd**, *tanginud*, τανγινოდ, τανγινοτ [abl.sg.] ‘opinion, decision’ < *\*tng-īn/ion-*.

PIE *\*tong-ei(e?)-* ‘to think, know’. IE cognates: Go. *þagkjan* ‘to think’ < *\*tong-(e)ie-*, OIc. *þekkja* ‘to understand, know’, OHG *denchen*, OE *ðencan* ‘to think’, Go. *þugkjan* ‘to appear, think’.

In view of the meaning which is stative rather than iterative, and in view of Gm. *\*þunk-i-* ‘to appear (to so.)’, it seems possible that *tongēre* continues a PIE *i*-present with *o*-grade of the root.

Bibl.: WH II: 690, EM 695, IEW 1088, Untermann 2000: 733f., LIV 2. *\*ten<sup>(g)</sup>-*.

**tonō, -āre** ‘to thunder’ [v. I; pf. *tonuī*, ppp. *tonitum*] (Pl.+; Ip.act. *tonimus* Varro)

Derivatives: *tonitrus*, *-ūs* [m.] ‘thunder’ (Pl.+), *tonitr(u)ālis* ‘of thunder’ (Lucret.+), *tonēscere* ‘to begin to thunder’ (Varro); *contonat* ‘it thunders violently’ (Pl.); *Quomne tonas* (Carmen Saliare).

Plt. *\*tena-* [pr./aor.], *\*tonaje-* [pr.].

PIE *\*(s)tenh<sub>2</sub>-* [aor.] ‘to thunder’, *\*(s)tonh<sub>2</sub>-eie/o-* [pr.]. IE cognates: Skt. pr. *stanāya-* (intr. in RV), *tanya-*, aor. *stanihi* [2s.ipv.act.], *stan* [3s.inj.act.] ‘to thunder’, ta-ptc. *stanita-*, also [n.] ‘thunder’; OE *þunor* ‘thunder’.

Probably, *tonere* was the older present (or aorist, as Skt. suggests), which was replaced by *tonāre*. The vowel *o* was introduced from *tonāre* into *\*tenere* > *tonere*. The origin of *tonāre* is disputed: an original causative *\*(s)tonh<sub>2</sub>-eie-* (Eichner 1974: 58; but the meaning of *tonāre* is not causative), a derivative from the noun *tonus* (Schrijver 1991: 396, as one of the possibilities; but *tonus* does not mean ‘thunder’, and is attested too recently), or an iterative *\*(s)tonh<sub>2</sub>-eie/o-*, as Skt. *stanāya-* would suggest. The noun *tonitrus* has an unexpected suffix *-tru-*; Serbat (1975: 331) explains it from an original *tu*-stem *\*tona-tu-* > *\*tonitu-* which was affected by a “popular” tendency to replace suffix-initial *-t-* by *-tr-*. One may think especially of influence by *fulgetrum* ‘lightning’. The PIE root *\*(s)tnh<sub>2</sub>-* ‘to thunder’ might be cognate with PIE *\*sten-* ‘to sigh, groan’. Hit. *tith<sup>a</sup>-* ‘to thunder’ cannot be connected with this root in any meaningful way.

Bibl.: WH II: 690f., EM 695, IEW 1021, Steinbauer 1989: 124, Schrijver 1991: 396, Rix 1999: 519, LIV *\*(s)tenh<sub>2</sub>-*.

**tōnsa** ‘oar’ [f. *ā*] (Enn.+)

Derivatives: *tōnsilla* ‘mooring-stake’ (Enn.+).

No good etymology. WH and IEW derive *tōnsa* from *tondeō*, but this does not make any sense semantically.

Bibl.: WH II: 691, EM 695, IEW 1062f.

**topper** ‘quickly’ [adv.] (Andr.+)

Plt. \**tod?* \**toti?*

Lat. *topper* is generally regarded as a un/verbation of \**tod* (nom.acc.sg.n. of the stem \**to-* ‘that’) and the suffix *-per*. Whether the first element really was \**tod* remains uncertain: in view of the meaning, initial *tot* ‘that many’ seems equally possible.

Bibl.: WH II: 692, EM 695, IEW 1086f., Leumann 1977: 476, Livingston 2004: 17-22. → *so-*, *tam*, *tot*, *tum*

**torpeō** ‘to be numb, paralysed’ [v. II] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *torpor* ‘numbness, drowsiness’ (Lucil.+), *torpēdō* ‘lethargy; black ray (fish)’ (Cato+).

Plt. \**torp-ē-* ‘to be stiff’.

PIE \**trp-eh<sub>1</sub>-* ‘to be stiff’. IE cognates: Lith. *tir̃pti* ‘to coagulate, grow stiff’, OCS *tr̃pěti* ‘to suffer’; OHG *derb/p*, OE *þeorf*, Olc. *þjarfr* ‘unleavened’ < PGM. \**þerba-* ‘stiff’ < \**terp-ó-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 692, EM 696, IEW 1022-27, LIV 2.\**terp-*.

**torqueō** ‘to twist, wind’ [v. II; pf. *torsī*, ppp. *tortum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *torquēs*, *-is* [f.] ‘collar of twisted metal’ (Pl.+), *torculus* ‘of a wine- or olive-press’ (Cato+), *torculum* ‘press’ (Varro+), *torculārium* ‘pressing-room, press’ (Cato+); *tortivus* ‘obtained from pressing’ (Cato+), *tortāre* ‘to torture, twist’ (Pompon.+); *tormina*, *-ōrum* [n.pl.] ‘gripping pains in the bowels’ (Cato+), *tormentum* ‘rope made of twisted hair, ballista, torture, pain’ (Pl.+); *contorquēre* ‘to make twisted, turn about’ (Enn.+), *contortor* ‘a twister’ (Ter.+), *contortiplicātus* ‘compounded in an involved fashion’ (Pl.), *distorquēre* ‘to distort, torture’ (Ter.+), *extorquēre* ‘to wrench away, sprain’ (Pl.+), *extortor* ‘one who extorts’ (Ter.), *pertorquēre* ‘to hurl, twist strongly’ (Afran.+), *praetorquēre* ‘to twist, wring’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \**tork<sup>w</sup>-eie-* ‘to twist’, \**tork<sup>w</sup>-to-* [ppp.]. It. cognates: maybe O. *turumiad* [3s.pr.sb.] ‘may he tremble/suffer’ (vel sim.) if denom. to a noun \**tork<sup>w</sup>-mo-* ‘vexed’ (but see also s.v. *tremō*).

PIE \**tork<sup>w</sup>-eie-* ‘to twist’. IE cognates: Hit. *tar(k)u-zi* ‘to dance’ (\**!tark<sup>w</sup>-!*) < \**terk<sup>w</sup>-!/\*trk<sup>w</sup>-*, Skt. *tarkaya-* ‘to consider’, *niṣ-ṭarkyà-* ‘what can be unscrewed’, *tarku-* ‘spindle’, Khot. *hataljs-* ‘to flutter’ (< PIr. \**fra-tark-*) < Ilr. \**tark-* ‘to move to and fro, turn’; ToB *tārk-* ‘to turn’.

A causative verb \**tork<sup>w</sup>-eie-*; the noun *tormentum* reflects \**tork<sup>w</sup>-mn-to-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 692f., EM 696, IEW 1077, Untermann 2000: 778, LIV \**terk<sup>w</sup>-*.

**torreō** ‘to scorch, roast’ [v. II; pf. *torruī*, ppp. *torstum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *torrens* ‘burning, hot; flowing, torrential’ (Lucret.+), *torrescere* ‘to be scorched’ (Lucret.), *torrus* (later *torris*) [m.] ‘piece of firewood’ (Acc.+), *torridus* ‘dried, parched’ (Acc.+), *retorridus* ‘dried up, shrivelled’ (Varro+).

Plt. \**tors-eje* ‘to scorch’, \**tors-ē-* ‘to be dry’, \**tors-to-* ‘scorched’.

PIE \**tors-eie-* ‘to make dry’, \**trs-eh<sub>1</sub>-*, \**trs-to-*. IE cognates: Hit. *tarš-* ‘to become dry’ or ‘to make dry’? < \**ters-*, Skt. *tṛṣyant-* [ptc.act.], aor. *tṛṣa-* ‘to be thirsty’, root

aor. *tr̥sāná-* ‘thirsty’, caus. *vi-tarṣaya-*, *tr̥sá-* ‘sharp, stinging, raw’, YAv. *taršu-* ‘dry, not fluid’; Gr. *τέρσομαι* ‘to become dry’; OHG *derren* ‘to make dry’, *durst* ‘thirst’, Go. *þaurusjan\** ‘to be thirsty’.

As M. Weiss points out to me, Lat. *torrēns* can be explained as a ptc. ‘the dry one’ to a stative pr. *\*trs-eh<sub>1</sub>-* ‘to be dried out’. This obviates the need for positing a semantic shift from ‘burning’ to ‘torrential’ as assumed by LIV.

Bibl.: WH II: 694, EM 696, IEW 1078f., LIV *\*ters-*. → *terra*

**torus** ‘strand, thong, muscle’ [m. o] (Cato+)

Derivatives: *torulus* ‘thong, cord, muscle’ (Pl.+).

Has been derived from *sternō* (Leumann 1977: 276), but WH are hesitant. One would expect a verb like ‘to turn’ or ‘knot’. In theory, *torus* might go back to *\*torh<sub>2</sub>-o-* to the root *\*terh<sub>2</sub>-* ‘to cross, go through’, which would be semantically acceptable; but this cannot be further ascertained.

Bibl.: WH II: 694f., EM 697.

**torvus** ‘grim, fierce’ [adj. o/ā] (Pac.+)

Derivatives: *torviter* ‘grimly’ (Enn.+).

Plt. *\*torg<sup>w</sup>o-*.

PIE *\*torg<sup>w</sup>o-* ‘grim, angry’. IE cognates: Hit. *tarkūant-* ‘looking angrily’ < *\*trg<sup>w</sup>-ent-*, *tarkūya* [adv.] ‘angrily’, Epic Skt. *tarjati* ‘to threaten’ (EWAia III: 238), Gr. *ταρβέω* ‘to be startled’.

WH connects *torvus* with *trux*, which seems formally difficult.

Bibl.: WH II: 695, EM 697, Kloekhorst 2008: 844.

**tot** ‘that many; as many’ [adj. indecl.] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *totidem* ‘as many (as)’ (Pl.+), *totiē(n)s* [adv.] ‘so often’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*toti* ‘so many’.

PIE *\*to-ti*. IE cognates: Skt. *tāti* [adv.] ‘so many’, Gr. *τόσσοσ, τόσος* [adj.] ‘so big, so much’ < *\*toti-ō-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 695, EM 674, IEW 1086f., Meiser 1998: 167. → *quot*

**tōtus** ‘the whole of, all’ [adj. o/ā] (Andr.+)

The etymology *\*touH-eto-* ‘stuffed’ accepted by WH is a moot possibility; the assumed semantic shift from ‘stuffed’ to ‘whole’ is neither trivial nor convincing. Zimmer 1985 discusses all previous attempts to etymologize *tōtus*, and finds them unconvincing. His own solution *\*to-ie/ot-ó-* ‘sich fest dazu stellend, vollständig’ (to PIE *\*iet-*) is hardly more plausible: the preverb and the verbal root are otherwise unattested in Latin (see s.v. *nītor* for the alleged occurrence of this root), and the semantic shift to ‘all’ is not straightforward.

Bibl.: WH II: 695f., EM 697, IEW 1080ff., Zimmer 1985, Meiser 1998: 168, LIV *\*teuh<sub>2</sub>-* (?).

**trabs, -is** ‘tree-trunk, beam’ [f. *b/i*] (Pl.+; nom.sg. *trabēs* Enn., Varro)

Derivatives: *trabēcula* ‘small wooden beam’ (Cato+), *trabica* ‘kind of boat’ (Pac.+), *trabālis* ‘of/for wooden beams’ (Enn.†).

PIt. *\*trab-* ‘wooden beam’ (> Latin), *\*treb-* ‘to build, dwell’ (> U.), *\*trēb-* ‘house’ (> Sab.). It. cognates: O. **tríibúm**, τρειβ[ωμ] [acc.sg.], **tribud** [abl.sg.] [f.] ‘house’ < *\*trēb-*; O. **tríbarakavúm**, **tríbarakav[úm]** [inf.], **tríbarakat.tíns** [3p.pf.sb.], **tríbarakat.tuset** [3p.fut.pf.] ‘to build’ < *\*trēb-ark-ā-* ‘to be a house-builder’; O. **tríbarakkiuf** [nom.sg.] ‘building’ < *\*trēb-ark-iōn-s.* U. *trebeit* [3s.pr.] ‘lives, dwells’ < *\*treb-ī/ē/je-*. O. **tribuf** [nom.sg.] ‘tribūnus’ < *\*trēb-ō/ūn-s.* Maybe also U. *tremnu* [abl.sg.] ‘place from where the augur observes the birds’ (< *\*treb-no-*?).

PIE *\*trb-* ‘wooden beam’, *\*treb-* ‘to build’? IE cognates: Olr. *treb*, W. *tref* ‘hamlet, village’, Lith. *trobà* ‘cottage, farmhouse’, Latv. *trāba* ‘Hut, hovel’, Go. *þaurp* ‘field’, OIc. *þorp*, NHG *Dorf* ‘village’.

The nom.sg. *trabēs* is an innovation with regard to *trabs*. Sabellic points to a stem *\*trēb-* ‘house, building’ but it is uncertain whether *\*trēb-* and Latin *trab-* originally belonged to one nominal paradigm, or whether they represent different derivatives of a root *\*trb-*. Schrijver 1991 argues that a possible original ablaut nom.sg. *\*trēbs*, obl. *\*tr̥b-* > *\*torb-* may have been replaced by *\*trēbs* vs. *\*trab-*, but there are no direct models for such a replacement; all of Schrijver’s examples concern verb forms. Since Schrijver sets up a rule according to which *\*R̥DC* developed into Lat. *\*RaDC*, *trabs* might stem from a paradigm with *\*trb-* in some case-forms. U. has a present in *\*treb-* ‘to dwell’; since the meanings of the Sab. forms are closer to this verb than to *trabs*, they may have been derived from the verb. We furthermore find a noun *\*tr(e)b-* in Celtic, *\*trb-* in Germanic and *\*trob-* in Baltic. Maybe the full grade is also attested by Sabellic names such as Lat. *Trebulānus*, U. *treblanir* (the name of several minor settlements in Sabellic territory) ‘village (vel sim.)’. In view of the difficulties of reconstructing common preforms for more than one subbranch, of the presence of *\*-b-*, and of the European distribution of the root, *\*trb-* may belong to the vocabulary borrowed from a non-IE language. O. **tribuf** seems to be built on Sab. *\*trēb-* ‘house’, either with the suffix of Lat. *tribūnus*, or with PIE *\*-ōn-* (cf. Adiego 2001).

Bibl.: WH II: 696f., EM 698, IEW 1090, Schrijver 1991: 376, 481f., Meiser 1998: 99, Untermann 2000: 759-766. → *taberna*

**trahō, -ere** ‘to pull, drag, haul’ [v. III; pf. *trāxī*, ppp. *tractum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *trahāx* ‘grabbing’ (Pl.); *trāgula* ‘spear, sledge, dragnet’ (Pl.+); *tractim* [adv.] ‘in a long-drawn-out manner’ (Pl.+), *tractum* ‘a thin sheet of pastry’ (Cato+), *tractus, -ūs* ‘drawing, trail, track’ (Lucr.+); *dētrahere* ‘to pull off, remove’ (Pl.+), *distrahere* ‘to pull apart’ (Pl.+), *intertrahere* ‘to draw away’ (Pl.); *tractāre* ‘to drag along, handle’ (Naev.+), *attractāre* ‘to touch, handle’ (Pl.+), *attractātus, -ūs* ‘the handling’ (Pac.+), *pertractāre* ‘to pass the hand over, handle’ (Pl.+), *pertractāte* ‘in a hackneyed manner’ (Pl.); *trāma* ‘warp’ (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*traxelo-*.

IE cognates: OIr. *tethraig\** [pret.] ‘ran away, receded’ < PCI. *\*te-trāg-*, OIr. *tráig* ‘ebb, beach’, MW *trei*, OBret. *tre* (< *\*tragio-*), MW *treul* ‘trouble, weakness’ (<



*\*trāglo-*).

The form *trahāx* appears to be a nonce-formation of Plautus (thus EM). The *g* in *trāgula* must have been retained in *\*trag<sup>h</sup>la*; it can be compared with MW *treul* if from *\*trāglo-*. Long *-ā-* in *trāgula* might be analogical after *coāgulum*, *repāgula*. *Tractāre* was derived from the ppp. *tractus*. *Trāma* can reflect *\*trax(s)mā-*.

Semantically, the closest cognates are found in Celtic: OIr. *tethraig* and the words for ‘ebb’ can all be explained from a meaning ‘to pull away’ (cf. Schumacher 2004: 636). Formally, Go. *þragjan* ‘to walk’ (and cognates) and OIr. *traig*, W. *troed*, Bret. *troad* ‘foot’ could be cognate; semantically, they require some special pleading (but a connection is not impossible). This would point to a root *\*trHg<sup>h</sup>-* or *\*trāg<sup>h</sup>-*, with a non-IE root structure *\*T-D<sup>h</sup>* and maybe root-internal *\*-a-*. Thus, this could be an Italo-Celtic (and Germanic?) loanword. A connection with Germanic *\*dragan* ‘to drag, draw’ (Go. *-dragan* ‘to carry’, Olc. *draga* ‘to pull, ride’) is formally impossible, unless this is another reflex of a European loanword. The connection with Ir. *trog* ‘offspring’, SCr. *trāg* ‘family, race’ is dismissed by Schrijver 1991: 349 for semantic reasons.

Bibl.: WH II: 697-699, EM 698f., IEW 257, Schrijver 1991: 188-191, Sihler 1995: 148, Meiser 2003: 116, Schumacher 2004: 635f., LIV *\*d<sup>h</sup>reg<sup>(i)</sup>h-*.

**tranquillus** ‘calm, still’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *tranquillitās* ‘calmness, quiet condition’ (Pl.+), *tranquillāre* ‘to make calm’ (Pl.+).

The etymology as *\*trāns* ‘across’ + *\*k<sup>w</sup>ilno-* ‘quiet’ (root *\*k<sup>w</sup>ih<sub>1</sub>-* ‘be quiet’) is semantically vague (the meaning of *trāns* does not fit); phonetically, the assumed development of *\*-nsk<sup>w</sup>-* > *-nqu-* is disputed. Also, the suffix is unclear.

Bibl.: WH II: 700, EM 699, IEW 638, Nyman 1982, Sihler 1995: 547.

**trāns** ‘across, through’ [prep. +acc., adv.] (Pl.+; *trā-* in front of *d-, j-, l-, m-, n-, v-*)

PIt. *\*trān(t)s*. It. cognates: U. *trahaf*, *tra* [prep. + loc.], *traha*, *traf*, *tra* [prep. + acc.] ‘on the other side of’; U. *trah-* prefix.

PIE *\*trh<sub>2</sub>-nt-s* ‘crossing’ [nom.sg.m/f.]. IE cognates: MW *tarðu*, MCo. *tardha*, OBret. *tardom* ‘to spring up, burst out’ < PCI. *\*tarje/o-* < *\*trh<sub>2</sub>-ié-*; Hit. *tarhu-zi* ‘to prevail, be able’ < *\*térh<sub>2</sub>-u-ti*, *\*trh<sub>2</sub>-u-énti*, *<sup>d</sup>Tarhunna-*, CLuw. *<sup>d</sup>Tarhuuant-* / *Tarhunt-*, HLuw. *Tarhunt-* / *Tarhunza-*, Lyc. *Trqqñt-* ‘the Storm-God’ < *\*trh<sub>2</sub>-uént-s*, *\*trh<sub>2</sub>-unt-ós*; Skt. pr. *tāra-*, *-tirá* ‘to pass, overcome’, *tarantá-* [m.] PN, *túrva-* ‘to overcome’, YAv. *titara-*, *tauruaiia-* ‘id.’, Gr. *τρᾶνής* *\*‘pervasive’* → ‘clear, distinct’.

Since U. has */trāf/*, the final cluster must have been PIt. *\*-ns*, which cannot be directly derived from PIE *\*-nt* (pace Schrijver). Thus, we must assume a m./f. form of a pr.ptc.act. *\*trh<sub>2</sub>nts* ‘crossing’ > PIt. *\*trān(t)s* ‘across’. Dunkel 2000b: 24 posits *\*trh<sub>2</sub>m-sk<sup>w</sup>e*, an instrumental in his view, but in view of the meaning I would rather expect an acc. – which agrees with the ending *\*-m*.

Bibl.: WH II: 700, EM 699f., IEW 1075f., Steinbauer 1989: 236f., Schrijver 1991: 224, Untermann 2000: 757f., LIV *\*terh<sub>2</sub>-* → *intrō*

**tremō, -ere** ‘to tremble’ [v. III; pf. *tremuī*] (Pl.+; *tremonti* [3p.pr.?] Carmen Saliare)

Derivatives: *tremor* ‘trembling, terror’ (Pl.+), *tremulus* ‘trembling, shaking’ (Pl.+), *tremebundus* ‘id.’ (Lucr.+), *tremēscere* ‘to tremble’ (Lucr.+); *contremere* ‘to tremble violently’ (Pac.+), *contremulus* ‘shimmering’ (Varro), *contremēscere* ‘to shake violently’ (Enn.+).

Plt. *\*trem-e/o-* [pr.], *\*trom-eje-* [pr.caus.]. It. cognates: U. *tremitu* [3s.ipv.II] maybe ‘to scare’ < *\*trem-eie-tōd*, with *-e-* from the present.; O. *turumiad* [3s.pr.sb.] ‘may he tremble/suffer’ (vel sim.) (but see also s.v. *torqueō*).

PIE *\*tr(e)m-* [pr.] ‘to tremble’. IE cognates: Gr. *τρέμω* ‘to tremble’, Lith. *trėmti* ‘to shake up’, *trimti* ‘to tremble’, ToA *trāmās* [3s.], *tārmiñc* [3p.] ‘to tremble’.

Bibl.: WH II: 701, EM 700, IEW 1092f., Untermann 2000: 761, Meiser 2003: 124f., LIV *\*trem-*. → *trepidus*

**trepidus** ‘fearful, anxious’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Lucr.+)

Derivatives: *trepidāre* ‘to act in a state of alarm, be anxious, hurry’ (Pl.+), *trepidulus* ‘trembling with apprehension’ (Enn.+); *attrepidāre* ‘to bestir oneself’ (Pl.+); *trepit* ‘turns’ (Paul. *ex F.*).

Plt. *\*trep-*.

PIE *\*trep-* ‘to tremble’. IE cognates: OCS *trepety* ‘trembling, fear’, *trepetati* ‘to tremble’.

The appurtenance of *trepit* here is uncertain: it might be a nonce-formation based on Gr. *τρέπει* ‘turns’, or it might go back to PIE *\*trep-* ‘to turn’, but have nothing to do with *trepidus*. In fact, neither the root *\*trep-* ‘to tread, crush’ nor *\*trep-* ‘to turn’, which are acknowledged for PIE by LIV, are obvious candidates for the etymology of *trepidus*. In view of its meaning, Latin-Slavic *\*trep-* ‘tremble’ may rather be compared with PIE *\*trem-* (see s.v. *tremō*) and *\*tres-* ‘to tremble’.

Bibl.: WH II: 701f., EM 700f., IEW 1094, Nussbaum 1999a: 380, LIV I. *\*trep-*. → *tremō*

**trēs** ‘three’ [num. adj. m.f. *trēs*, n. *tria*] (VOLat. (Garigliano Bowl *trifos* [abl.pl.m.]), Lex XII+; acc.mf. *trīs*, gen. *trium*, dat.abl. *tribus*; *tri-*, *trī-* ‘three’ in compounds)

Derivatives: *tertius* ‘third’ (Lex XII, Andr.+), *tertiarius* [adj.] ‘one-third’ (Cato+), *tertiāre* ‘to plough/attempt for the third time’ (Cato+); *ter* ‘thrice’ (Pl.+); *ternī* ‘three at a time’ (Pl.+), *trīnī* ‘three at a time, three’ (Cato+), *trīgintā* ‘thirty’ (Pl.+), *trecenī* [pl.] ‘three hundred’ (Pl.+), *trecēnī* ‘three hundred each’ (Varro+), *triēns, -ntis* [m.] ‘a third part’ (Varro+), *trientābulum* ‘property in the value of a third of a debt’ (Lex Agraria+); *terruncius* ‘coin weighing three unciae’ (Pl.+).

Plt. nom.m.f. *\*trejes*, acc.m.f. *\*trins*, nom.acc.n. *\*triā*, dat.abl.pl. *\*trifos* ‘three’, *\*tritio-* ‘third’, *\*tris* ‘thrice’. It. cognates: maybe the Ven. theonym *tribusiati* contains *\*tri-* ‘three’; O. *trīs* [nom.pl.f.], *trīs* [acc.pl.f.], *trium* [gen.pl.], U. *trif*, *tref*, *tre*, *trif*, *treif* [acc.pl.m.], *tref*, *tre*, *trif* [acc.pl.f.], *triia* [acc.pl.n.], *triiuper*, *trioper* [ac.pl.n. + *-per(t)*], *tris* [abl.pl.] ‘three’; U. *terti*, *tertim* [acc.sg.m.n.?], *tertiama* [acc.sg.f. + *-ad*], *tertiame* [acc.sg.f. + *-en*], *tertiu*, *tertiu*, *tertio* [abl.sg.m.n.?], *tertie* [loc.sg.m.n.] ‘third’ < *\*tri-tio-*; U. *tripler* [abl.pl.m.] ‘three at a time’ < *\*tri-plo-*. U.

**tribřicu** [nom.sg.], *tribrisine* [abl.sg.] f. maybe 'three, trio' < \*tri- + ?

PIE nom.m.f. \*treies, acc.m.f. \*trins, nom.acc.n. \*trih<sub>2</sub>, gen. \*triom 'three', \*trio- (>> \*trito- >> \*tritio-) [adj.] 'third', \*tris 'thrice', \*tri- (in compounds), \*trih<sub>2</sub>dkomth<sub>2</sub> 'thirty'. IE cognates: OIr. *trí*, *tri* [m.], *tre* [n.], *teoir* [f.], W. Bret. *tri* [m.], *teir* [f.] 'three'; Skt. *tráyas* [nom.pl.m.], *tisrás* [f.], *trī́* [nom.acc.pl.n.] 'three', *trimsát-* [f.] 'thirty', *ṛtṛīya-* 'third', YAv. *θrāiiō*, *θraiias-ca* [nom.pl.m.], *tišrō* [nom.pl.f.], *θrī* [nom.acc.pl.n.] 'three', *θrisant-* [f.] 'thirty', *θritiia-* 'third', *θrišuu-* [n.] 'one third'; Skt. *triś*, YAv. *θriš* 'thrice'; Gr. τρεῖς, Cret. τρέεις [nom.pl.m.f.], Gr. \*τριϋς (OAtt. τρις) [acc.pl.], τριού [dat.pl.], τρία [nom.acc.pl.n.]; Lith. *trỹs*, OCS *trъje* [m.], *tri* [f.]; Olc. *prir* [nom.pl.m.], Go. *prins* [acc.pl.m.f.]; ToB *trey*, *trai* [m.], ToB *tarya* [f.], ToA *tre* [m.], *tri* [f.] 'three'.

According to Coleman, it is unclear whether *ternī* or the rare *trīnī* regularly reflect \*trisno-. But maybe they go back to different preforms: *ternī* < \*tri-no- (as expected from PIE) and *trīnī* < \*trisno- (a more recent formation, as *bīnī*).

Bibl.: WH II: 702f., EM 701, IEW 1090-92, Lejeune 1974: 329f., Coleman 1992: 393, 420, Beekes 1995: 212-217, Meiser 1998: 171, Vine 1998b: 261, Untermann 2000: 749, 763f., 767f. → *tribus*

**tribus** 'division of the people, tribe' [f. u] (Varro+)

Derivatives: *tribuere* 'to divide, bestow' (Acc.+), *tribūnus* 'magistrate, commander' (Pl.+), *tribūtus* 'levy, tax' (Pl.+), *tribūlis* 'fellow tribesman' (Ter.+), *tribūtim* 'by tribes' (Varro+); *attribuere* 'to assign, appoint' (Varro+), *retribuere* 'to hand back duly' (Lucr.+).

PIt. \**tribu-* 'tripartite entity, trinity'. It. cognates: U. **trifu**, *trifo* [acc.sg.], *trifor* [gen.sg.], *trifo* [dat.sg.], **trifiper** [abl.sg. + -per] 'trinity, district'.

PIE \*tri-d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-u- 'tripartite division'.

Weiss (2007a: 369-376) bases his account of the etymology of *tribus* on a PIE or PIIt. adjectival compound \*tri-d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-o- 'rendered in three, tripartite', which could be compared e.g. with Skt. multiplicatives in -dhā < \*-d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>- such as *tridhā* 'threefold', and with thematized derivatives of it such as Lith. *ĩndas* 'plate' < \*-d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-o-. The adj. \*tri-d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-o- would have been substantivized by conversion to a u-stem \*tri-d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-u- 'tripartite thing', 'a third', yielding PIIt. \**tribu-* (the u-stem points to a rather old formation; cf. Lith. *vidūs* 'middle'). Since we have no other certain evidence for the development of PIIt. intervocalic \*p̄ in front of vocalic \*u, we may as well assume that it is identical to the development after \*u, where it yields Latin -b-. Hence, PIIt. \**tribu-* would regularly yield U. *trifu-* and Latin \**tribu-*. Unlike in *tertius* and *ter*, \*tri- was not reduced to *ter-* because it was supported by other compounds in \*tri-.

Bibl.: WH II: 703f., EM 702, IEW 1090-92, Meiser 1998: 194, Untermann 2000: 764f., Weiss 2007a. → *fāriam*, *trēs*

**trīcae** 'complications, tricks; trifles' [f.pl. ā] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *trīcō* 'twister' (Lucil.+), *trīcōsus* 'given to trickery' (Lucil.+); *extrīcāre* 'to set free, solve' (Pl.+), *intrīcāre* 'to entangle, embarrass' (Pl.+).

Uncertain etymology. One might compare Toch. \**treiK-* in ToB aor. *traiksa* 'missed,

did not succeed', sb. *trīsām* 'must miss', but Tocharian allows for several different phonological reconstructions. If the meaning 'trifles' was the oldest, then one might think of an original meaning 'crumbs, seeds' (cf. *nugae* 'nuts' > 'trifles'), and compare the derivatives of *terō* 'to rub' in *trī-*, esp. *trīticum* 'wheat' and *intertrīgō* 'sore place from rubbing'. A *k*-extension to the pr. *\*trh<sub>1</sub>-i-* 'to drill' (see s.v. *terō*) would yield *\*trī-k-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 704f., EM 702, IEW 1071-74.

**triquetrus** 'triangular' [adj. *o/ā*] (Lucr.+)

Maybe from *\*tri-quadro-* with the noun *\*quadro-* 'rectangle'. The date of attestation and the use of *\*quadro-* in a novel meaning 'angle' would suggest a recent date of this compound, but the reduction of word-internal *\*a* to *e* in closed syllable, and the change of *\*-dr-* to *-tr-*, go back to the VOLat. period. However, *quadro-* itself is from *\*k<sup>w</sup>tur-*, so its *-d-* seems relatively recent. Alternatively, *triquetrus* might contain (a form of) *quater* 'four times'. An alternative etymology derives *-quetrus* from the same root as Germ. *\*χ<sup>w</sup>at-* 'to whet, sharp' (thus WH, IEW), a root without further IE connections. While this is phonologically possible, the semantics are not straightforward.

Bibl.: WH II: 706, EM 703, IEW 636, Leumann 1977: 198. → *quattuor*

**trīstis** 'depressed, unhappy' [adj. *i*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *trīstītās* 'gloom' (Pac.+), *trīstītia* 'unhappiness, gloom' (Ter.+).

IE cognates: OHG *drīsti*, OS *thrīsti*, OE *drīste* 'audacious'?

Hill 2003: 128 considers the possibility that *trīstis* goes back to *\*trenksti-* and is cognate with the Gm. words such as OE *thriste*. But in view of *tēmō* < *\*tenksmōn-*, the raising of *\*e* > *i* post-dates the cluster simplification, so that *\*trenksti-* could at most yield *\*trēsti-*. No good other etymology.

Bibl.: WH II: 706f., EM 703, IEW 1092.

**trūdō, -ere** 'to thrust, push' [v. III; *trūsī, trūsum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: (*mola*) *trūsātilis* [adj.] 'pushing-mill' (Cato+); *contrūdere* 'to thrust, cram' (Lucr.+), *dētrūdere* 'to push away, compel' (Pl.+), *obtrūdere* 'to thrust, push down the throat' (Pl.+), *retrūdere* 'to thrust back' (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*treude/o-*.

PIE *\*treud-(e/o-)* 'to push, thrust'. IE cognates: W. *cythrudd* 'to vex', Lith. *trūšas* 'work, effort, pains', OCS *trudb* 'labour, work', SCr. *trūd* 'effort, labour, work' < *\*troud-o-*; Go. *us-þriutan* 'to maltreat', Oic. *þrjóta* 'to lack', OHG *-driozan* 'to cause sorrow'; Alb. *tredh* 'castrates' (uncertain).

The Latin verb can be compared to Gm. *\*preutan*, suggesting a thematic verb with *e*-grade.

Bibl.: WH II: 710, EM 704, IEW 1095f., LIV *\*treud-*.

**truncus** 'mutilated, maimed, trimmed' [adj. *o/ā*] (Varro+)

Derivatives: *truncus* 'trunk, torso' (Lucil.+); *contruncāre* 'to hack to pieces' (Pl.+),

*distruncāre* ‘to chop in half’ (Pl.+), *obtruncāre* ‘to cut to pieces, kill’ (Pl.+).

In spite of the fact that the adj. ‘maimed, trimmed’ is attested later than *truncus* ‘trunk, torso’ (which can be interpreted as ‘maimed or beheaded tree/body’) and the compound verbs, it seems that the most original form was the adj. *\*tru/onko-* ‘maimed, robbed of its branches or head’. This may or may not be another adj. of ‘physical impediment’ in *\*-ko-*. I see no compelling reason to connect *\*tru/onko-* with Go. *þreihan* ‘to push’ or Lith. *triñkti* ‘to thrust’ (see LIV s.v. *\*trenk-* ‘to push’). The meaning of *truncus* is clearly not ‘compressed’ but ‘maimed, missing some of its original parts’. Similarly, the etymology as *\*druni/o-ko-* ‘pertaining to a tree’ by Hamp 1978: 186 is unsupported by the actual meaning of the word.

Bibl.: WH II: 710f., EM 704f., IEW 1093.

**trux, -cis** ‘harsh, savage’ [adj. *k*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *truculentus* ‘ferocious’ (Pl.+), *trucidāre* ‘to slaughter, butcher’ (Lucret.+), *trucidātiō* ‘slaughter’ (Cato+).

IE cognates: MW *drwg*, MCo. *drok*, Mlr. *droch-* ‘bad’ < PCl. *\*druko-*.

If we follow Hamp 1978 in positing PIE *\*dr-* > Latin *tr-* also in initial syllable, *trux* can be connected with PCl. *\*druko-* ‘bad’. The verb *trucidāre* has been variously explained as a haplologized form of *\*truki-kīdāre*, a denominal verb to a compound *\*truki-kaido-* ‘hacking savagely’ (to *caedō*); or from *\*k<sup>w</sup>tru-kaidāre* ‘hacking in four pieces’, with the reduced form of ‘four’. Yet a form *\*k<sup>w</sup>tru-* ‘four’ is unattested elsewhere in Latin (in itself not really a counterargument), and the meaning of underlying *\*k<sup>w</sup>tru-kaid-o-* would be a ‘(having) four hackings’; why ‘to slaughter’ was expressed by means of the numeral ‘four’ would remain unexplained. Hence, I derive *trucidāre* from *\*truk(o)-kaid-o-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 709, 711, EM 704f., IEW 1102, Schrijver 1991: 496. → *caedō*

**tū** ‘you’ [pron. pers. 2s. nom. *tū*, *tūte*, acc.abl. *tē(d)*, gen. *tuī*, *tūs* (Pl.) dat. *tibei*, *tibi*] (VOLat.+)

Derivatives: *tuus* ‘your’ (Andr.+).

PIt. *\*tū* [nom.], *\*tē* [acc.], *\*toi* [gen.], *\*tefei* [dat.], *\*ted* [abl.] ‘you’, *\*towo-* [adj.] ‘you, your’. It. cognates: O. *tiium*, *tiú* [nom.], U. *tiom*, *tiu*, *tio*, *teio*, SPic. *tiom* [acc.], U. *tefe*, *tefe*, O. *tif[ei]*, *tfei*, SPic. *tefei*, *tefeh* [dat.] ‘you’ < nom. *\*tū-om*, acc. *\*tē-om*, dat. *\*teb<sup>h</sup>ei*; O. *tuvai* [dat.sg.f.], O. *touer*, *tuer* [gen.sg.n.], *tuua*, *tua* [abl.sg.f.] ‘your’.

PIE *\*ti(H)* [nom.], *\*tue* [acc.], *\*toi* [gen.dat.], *\*teue* [gen.], *\*tued* [abl.] ‘you’, *\*tu-o-* ‘your’. IE cognates: Hit. *zik* [nom.], *tu-* [obl.], CLuw. *tī*, *tu-* < *\*tiH*, *\*tu-*; Skt. *t<sub>(u)</sub>vām* [nom.], *t<sub>(u)</sub>vām* [acc.], *tūbhya(m)* [dat.], *t<sub>(u)</sub>vāt* [abl.], *tāva* [gen.], *tvā*, *tuvā* [acc.encl.], *te* [gen.abl.dat.encl.], OAv. *tuuām* [nom.], *ṽβqm* [acc.], *taibiiō*, *taibiiā* [dat.], *tauuā* [gen.], *ṽβa-* [adj.poss.]; OP *tuvam* [nom.], *ṽuvām* [acc.] < IIr. *\*tuH-am* [nom.], *\*tuaH-am* [acc.], *\*taua* [gen.]; Gr. *τῦ* (Dor), *τῦνῆ* (Dor, Hom), *σέ*, *τῆ* [acc.], *τέος*, *σός* [adj.]; Lith. *tū*, OCS *ty* [nom.]; Go. *þu*; ToB *twe*, ToA *tu* ‘you’.

As argued by Kloekhorst 2008: 111-115, the vowel *i* in the nom.sg. forms of Anatolian is difficult to explain secondarily. Hence, the PIE nom.sg. may have been

\*ti(H), which was replaced by \*tu(H) after the Anatolian branch split off PIE.

Bibl.: WH II: 712, EM 705, IEW 1097f., Leumann 1977: 461-463, Beekes 1995: 207-209, Meiser 1998: 156-159, Untermann 2000: 751-753, 781f.

**tuba** ‘trumpet’ [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *tubus* ‘pipe, tube, trumpet’ (Varro+), *tubulus* ‘small pipe’ (Varro+); *tubicen* ‘trumpeter’ (Cato+).

Meaning and form recall *tibia* ‘reed-pipe; shin-bone’, which, if Gr. σίφων ‘tube, siphon’ is cognate, might reflect \**twī-*. These forms point to a non-IE loanword; see s.v. *tibia*.

Bibl.: WH II: 712, EM 705.

**tūber, -eris** ‘swelling, tumour’ [n. *r*] (Ter.+)

Derivatives: *tūberōsus* ‘covered with lumps or tumours’ (Varro+); *tuburcinārī* ‘to eat greedily, gobble’ (Pl.+).

Might be related to other words of the structure *TuP*, especially Gr. τύφη ‘a plant used for filling pillows and beds’ (probably with *ū*), OIc. *þúfa* ‘knoll, hillock’. Yet the Greek word has a very different meaning, whereas Gm. has a different suffix. These forms do not make an IE impression, and there is no good etymology. *Tūber* might reflect the root \**teuH-* ‘to swell’, but the extension *-ber* is difficult to explain. The verb *tuburcinārī* is of uncertain appurtenance: in the very uncertain case that it meant ‘to be(come) a fat, stuffed person (by eating greedily)’, it could be built on an earlier verb \**tuburkā-* to a noun \**tūbVrko-* ‘fat person’ (thus hesitantly Steinbauer 1989: 254). This is of course very speculative.

Bibl.: WH II: 712f., EM 705, IEW 1080-85.

**tueor** ‘to look at, watch over’ [v. II; ppp. *tuitum, tūtum*] (Pl.+; var. *tuor, tuī* Enn., Lucr.)

Derivatives: *tūtor* ‘guardian’ (Pl.+), *tūtārefi* ‘to watch over, protect’ (Naev.+), *Tūtānus* ‘deity giving protection’ (Varro+), *Tūtīlīna* ‘goddess giving protection’ (Varro+), *tūtēla* ‘guardianship, protection’ (Pl.+); *contuērī* [also *contuī* Pl. to Lucr.] ‘to look at, see’ (Pl.+), *contuitus, -ūs* ‘gaze’ (Pl.+), *indotuētur* ‘?’ (Enn. Ann. 70), *intuērī* [also *intuī*] ‘to look at, consider’ (Pl.+), *obtu(ēr)ī* ‘to look at’ (Pl.+).

PIt. \**-tow-ē-* ‘to protect’.

PIE \**touh<sub>2</sub>-eie-* [pr.caus.]? \**te-touh<sub>2</sub>-* [pf.]? IE cognates: Skt. pr. *út tavīti*, pf. *tūtāva* ‘to be strong’, *tavás-* ‘strong, powerful’, OAv. *tauuā* [1s.pr.sb.act.], YAv. *fra-tuīiā* [2s.pr.opt.act.] ‘to be able’, *tnuant-* ‘capable, competent’; *tūtāuua* [pf.] ‘is possible’; Gr. τὰς ‘great, much’ (Hsch.), σῶς, σάος ‘safe and sound’ (< \**tueh<sub>2</sub>us*, \**tuh<sub>2</sub>-u-os*); Lith. *tūkti* ‘to become fat (of cattle)’, RuCS *tyti* ‘to become fat’.

*Tūtus* is the old ppp. belonging to *tuor* (whence *tūtāre, tūtor*). *Tuor* and *tueor* might reflect earlier \**tū(w)V-*, or \**tewV-*, \**towV-* in non-initial syllable. In view of the old derivatives, the original meaning was probably ‘to watch over, protect’, whence ‘to look at’ in the compound verbs (see Garnier 2004: 282f.). This renders a derivation from the root \**tuh<sub>2</sub>-* ‘to be strong’ attractive: \**teuH-ti* ‘is strong’ > ‘keeps strong,

protects' (thus Garnier 2004: 284, in which case *tuor* is older), pr.caus. *\*touH-eie-* 'keeps strong, protects' (> *\*tawē-*), or a pf. *\*(te-)tough<sub>2</sub>-e* 'is strong' > 'protects', cf. the Ilr. pf. of this root. The pr. *tueor* could reflect the introduction of the pr. or pf. vocalism *\*tow-* into the caus. *\*tawē-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 713f., EM 706, IEW 1079f., Leumann 1977: 544, LIV ?\*teuH-. → *tōmentum*

**tum, tunc** 'at that moment, then' [adv.]: *tum* (Andr.+), *tunc* (Naev.+)

PIt. *\*tom*.

PIE *\*tom* [acc.sg.m.].

*Tunc* < *\*tom-ke* was originally more emphatic than *tum* < *\*tom*.

Bibl.: WH II: 715, EM 675, IEW 1086f., Sihler 1995: 389. → *so-*, *tam*, *topper*

**tumeō** 'to swell, be swollen' [v. II; pf. *tumuī*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *tumor* 'tumour, swollen condition, passion' (Cato+), *tumidus* 'swollen, inflated' (Lucr.+), *tumulus* 'knoll, burial-mound' (Pac.+); *extumēre* 'to swell up' (Pl.+), *extumidus* 'convex' (Varro+); *tumultus*, *-ūs* 'commotion, uproar' (Naev.+), *tumultuōsus* 'disturbed and noisy' (Pl.+), *tumultuārī* 'to make uproar' (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*tum-ē-*.

PIE *\*tum-eh<sub>1</sub>-* 'to be swelling'. IE cognates: MW *tyfu*, MCo. *tevi*, Bret. *teñviñ* 'to grow' < PCI. *\*tum-ī-* < *\*tum<sub>2</sub>eh<sub>1</sub>-*, Skt. *túmra-* 'strong, thick', *tūtumā-* 'strong, effective', YAv. *tumāspana-* [PN]; Lith. *tumėti* 'to become thick', OIc. *þumal-fingr* 'thumb'.

The noun *tumultus* is explained by Leumann 1977: 354 from *\*tumulitus* to a verb *\*tumulāre*, which seems unwarranted. WH II: 716 suggest imitation of *singultus* 'sighing', but a clear-cut proportion cannot be set up, since there is no verb *\*singeō*. Nevertheless, the meaning of *tumultus* does suggest that it belongs here. *Tumeō* goes back to a root *\*tum-*, which has a closely similar meaning to the root *\*tuH-* 'to swell'. The short vowel in *tum-* is explained by some from an *o*-grade form *\*touH-m-* with de Saussure's effect, but since we find *tum-* also in Ilr., Baltic and Celtic, this would require a PIE generalization of a novel laryngealless ablaut variant *\*tum-*, which seems a lot to ask for. Alternatively, one might think that *\*tu-h<sub>2</sub>-* and *\*tu-m-* are two different suffixations of one and the same root *\*tu-* (cf. *\*g<sup>m</sup>m-* and *\*g<sup>m</sup>h<sub>2</sub>-* 'to come').

Bibl.: WH II: 715f., EM 707, IEW 1080-85, Schrijver 1991: 340, 530, Schumacher 2004: 646, LIV *\*t<sub>2</sub>em-*. → *contumāx*, *contumēlia*

**tundō, -ere** 'to strike, beat' [v. III; pf. *tutudī*, ppp. *tunsum* (*tūsum*)] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *tudes*, *-itis* [m.] 'hammer, mallet' (Paul. *ex F.*), *tudītāre* 'to strike repeatedly with a hammer' (Enn.+), *tudiculāre* 'to crush with a small hammer' (Varro); *contundere* 'to crush, bruise' (Pl.+), *dētūdēs* [acc.pl.] 'a piece struck off' (Paul. *ex F.*), *extundere* 'to extort, wring' (Pl.+), *obtundere* 'to beat, assail, make blunt' (Pl.+), *pertundere* 'to bore a hole through' (Pl.+), *retundere* 'to beat flat, repress' (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*(s)tund-(e/o-)* 'to thrust'. It. cognates: maybe U. *tuder* [acc.sg.], *tuderor*

[nom.pl.], *tudero* [acc.pl.], *tuderus* [abl.pl.] [n.] ‘border’ < \**tud-es-*.

PIE \*(s)tu-n-d- [pr.] ‘to thrust’. IE cognates: OIr. *do·tuit*, arch. *dī·tuitt* ‘to crumble, fall’ < PCI. \**tud-e/o-*, MW *kystuð* ‘fate’; Skt. pr. *tudāti*; pr. *tundate*, *tundānā-* [ptc.med.], pf. (-) *tutóda* ‘to thrust, crush’, *tunná-* ‘crushed, ground’, Sogd. *twnt* /*tund*/ ‘violent’, MP *tund* ‘sharp, violent’; Arm. *t’ndam* ‘I am shattered’, Go. *stautan* ‘to thrust’ (?).

With Schumacher 2004: 645, we can interpret U. *tuder* as the place where two areas ‘hit’ each other, that is, border on each other.

Bibl.: WH II: 716f., EM 707, IEW 1032-34, Untermann 2000: 771f., Meiser 2003: 192f., Schumacher 2004: 642-645, LIV 1.\*(s)teud-. → *studeō*

**turba** ‘commotion, upheaval’ [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: (1) *turbulentus* ‘disturbed, stormy’ (Pl.+), *turbēlae* [f.pl.] ‘petty disturbance’ (Pl.+), *turbāre* ‘to upset, agitate, revolt’ (Pl.+), *turbō*, *-inis* ‘spinning-top, eddy, whirlwind’ (Pl.+), *turbidus* ‘wild, disordered, murky’ (Pl.+); *conturbāre* ‘to confound, dismay’ (Pl.+), *disturbāre* ‘to demolish, upset’ (Pl.+), *obturbāre* ‘to interrupt, dazzle’ (Pl.+), *perturbāre* ‘to upset, disrupt’ (Pl.+); (2) *turma* ‘small squadron, company’ (Cato+), *turmātim* ‘in troops’ (Lucr.+).

(1) The connection with Skt. *tvarate* ‘to hurry’ and PIE \**tuer-*, which IEW and Meiser adhere to, seems very uncertain. Not so much semantically, but formally: the suffix (\**b?* \**bʰ?*) would have been added to the bare root, of which no other forms occur in Italic. There is also no known PIE root \*(s)*terbʰ-* with the appropriate meaning. One might think of Gr. στρέφω ‘to turn’: a zero-grade form \*(s)*tʰrbʰ-h₂-* ‘turmoil’ > Plt. \**tʰf-ā-* > pre-Latin \**torbā-* > \**torba*, with a dialectal variant *turba* (normally, *-orb-* remains, cf. *orbis*, *morbis*, with *p torpeō*). Yet there are no other known cognates of Gr. στρέφω, and – as indicated – Latin *turba* would be deviant for \**torba*. *Turba* seems most similar to Gr. σύρβη, Att. τύρβη ‘noise, commotion’, σύρβᾱ, Att. τύρβᾱ [adv.] ‘confused’ (< PGr. \**kjurb-*? \**tjorb-*?), which are probably loanwords. In that case, Latin would have borrowed the word from a Greek dialect, or both Greek and Latin borrowed it from a third source. In view of the quite well-developed word family already in Plautus, which suggests that *turba* had been in the language for some time, the latter option seems preferable. (2) The noun *turma* may or may not be related to *turba*. If related, it might point to both words being loanwords, with *b* and *m* as different renderings of a labial consonant in the donor language. *Turma* is compared by IEW to OIc. *þruma*, *þrymr* ‘noise, racket’, OE *ðrymm* ‘troop, crowd’, OS *heru-thrum* ‘sword-violence’, which does not explain the different order of *r* and *u*.

Bibl.: WH II: 718f., EM 707f., IEW 1100f., Biville 1990 II: 271, Meiser 1998: 63.

**turdus** ‘thrush (or similar bird)’ [m. *o*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *turdārium* ‘enclosure for thrushes’ (Varro), *turdēlice* ‘magpie (?)’ (Varro).

Plt. \**torzdo-*.

PIE \*(s)tr(o)sdʰo- ‘thrush’. IE cognates: OIr. *truit*, *-d* ‘thrush’ [f.] < \**trozdī-*, W.



*tresglen*; Arm. *tordik*, OPr. *tresde* ‘thrush’, Lith. *strāzdas*, Latv. *strazds* ‘thrush, blackbird, (dial.) starling’, Ru. *drozd*, gen.sg. *drozdá* ‘thrush’, *čěrnjy drozd* ‘blackbird’ < BSl. *\*(s)trozdo-* < PIE *\*(s)trozd<sup>h</sup>o-*; Oic. *þrōstr* ‘thrush’ [m.] (< *\*þrastu-* < *\*trozd<sup>h</sup>-*), OHG *drosela*, OS *throsla*, MHG *drostel*, OE *þrostle* (< *\*þrustlō-*), OE *ðrysce*, MoE *thrush* (< *\*þruskjōn*).

Since *\*or* and *\*r* merge in pre-Latin, *turdus* could reflect *\*torzdo-* or *\*trzd-*; but since Celtic, BSl. and Gm. have *\*-ro-*, chances are that *turdus* continues *\*tʷzdo-*. The hypothesis by Bracchi (1999: 87) that *\*t(o)rzdo-* would reflect a compound *\*trs-sd-* ‘sitting (nestling) on the dried (mud)’ has little to recommend itself. If thrushes make their nests from mud and twigs, it seems to me that their nests are less prone to be called ‘dry’ than the nests of birds who weave only twigs, without mud.

Bibl.: WH II: 718, EM 708, IEW 1096, Hamp 1978: 188, 1981b: 81, Meiser 1998: 63, Bracchi 1999. → *sturnus*

**turgeō** ‘to swell’ [v. II; pf. *tursī*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *turgēscere* ‘to begin to swell’ (Pl.+), *turgidus* ‘swollen’ (Pl.+), *obturgēscere* ‘to begin to swell’ (Lucil.+).

The meaning renders a connection with *-tūrāre* ‘to block, impede’ possible. A derived verb from an adj. *\*tūr-ago-* ‘swelling’ (as given by WH as one possible etymology) seems unlikely, since such adj. always yield verbs in *-igāre*. No clear etymology.

Bibl.: WH II: 718f, EM 708, IEW 1080-85. → *obtūrō*

**turpis** ‘disgusting, foul’ [adj. *i*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *turpiculus* ‘somewhat indecent’ (Varro+), *turpitūdō* ‘ugliness, indecency’ (Pl.+), *turpāre* ‘to pollute, disgrace’ (Enn.+).

WH and IEW compare Epic Skt. *trapate* ‘to be ashamed’ from PIE *\*trep-* ‘to turn’, and interpret *turpis* as ‘repelling’. This is too constructed a meaning to be credible: one would expect a different suffix to express the deontic meaning here implied. *\*T(o)rp-i-* could mean ‘turning’, which is not ‘turning away’, which is not ‘making so. turn away from sth.’, which is not necessarily ‘disgusting’. One would sooner connect *torpeō* ‘to be numb’ or ‘stiff’, but this too does not yield a smooth explanation for the semantics of *turpis*.

Bibl.: WH II: 719, EM 708, IEW 1094.

**tussis** ‘cough’ [f. *i*] (Ter.+)

Derivatives: *tussire* ‘to suffer from a cough’ (Pl.+).

Semantically, the connection with *tundō* ‘to beat’ is conceivable, but since the ppp. of *tundō* is *tūsum* < *\*tud-to-*, with vowel lengthening according to Lachmann’s Law, we would expect *\*tūsis* from an old formation *\*tud-ti-*. *Tussis* might be explained from onomatopoeic consonant gemination in an earlier form *\*tūsis*. Alternatively, it was not derived from *tundō* at all but represents a pure onomatopoeia. Note that the PIE root *\*k<sup>v</sup>eh<sub>2</sub>s-* ‘to cough’ also ends in *-s-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 721, EM 709, IEW 1032-34, Meiser 1998: 80.

# · U

**über, -ris** ‘breast, udder’ [n. r] (Enn.+)

Derivatives: *über, -eris* [adj.] ‘abundant, rich’ (Pl.+), *ūbertās* ‘abundance’ (Enn.+); *exūberāre* ‘to flow copiously, be abundant’ (Acc.+).

PIt. \**ouþ-r-*.

PIE \**h<sub>1</sub>ouHd<sup>h</sup>-r* ‘udder’, \**h<sub>1</sub>euHd<sup>h</sup>-n-s?* IE cognates: Skt. *údhār / údhan-* [n.] ‘udder’ < Ilr. \**HuHd<sup>h</sup>ar-*, Gr. οὐθάρ, -ατος [n.], Ru. *výmja*, gen.sg. *výmeni* ‘udder’ < PSl. \**výmę*, Ru. *údit’* ‘to ripen, fill (with)’ < PIE \**HouHd<sup>h</sup>-?*; OHG *ūtrin* [dat.sg.], MHG *ūter, iuter*, OS OE *ūder* [n.] ‘udder’ < \**ūd<sup>h</sup>-*, OIc. *ju(g)r*, OE *ieder*, OFr. *iāder* < \**eud<sup>h</sup>-*.

According to Schrijver, \**HuHd<sup>h</sup>-* would have become \**wap-*, whereas \**He/ouHd<sup>h</sup>-* > \**owap-* would have yielded \**ūd-*. Hence, we must posit the loss of the second laryngeal before it could vocalize. For this loss to happen, the root must have had *o*-grade. The adjective *über* is mostly explained from the use of the noun as an adjective (as in *vetus* ‘old’ < \**uētōs* ‘year’), but Sihler posits an *i*-stem \**oud<sup>h</sup>-ri-* ‘abundant’.

Bibl.: WH II: 738f., EM 715, IEW 347, Schrijver 1991: 327f., Sihler 1995: 301, 353.

**ubī** ‘where’ [adv.] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *alicubi* ‘somewhere’ (Pl.+), *nuncubi* ‘whether...anywhere’ (Varro+), *nēcubi* ‘that nowhere’ (Varro+), *sīcubi* ‘if anywhere’ (Cato+); *ubīcumque* ‘wherever’ (Pl.+), *ubinam* ‘where in the world?’ (Pl.+), *ubiquāque* ‘everywhere’ (Pl.+), *ubīque* ‘anywhere’ (Lucil.+), *ubivīs* ‘whenever, anywhere’ (Ter.+).

PIt. \**k<sup>w</sup>u-b/fei* ‘where’. It. cognates: O. *puf*, U. *pufe, pufe* [adv.] ‘where’ < \**k<sup>w</sup>u-b/d<sup>h</sup>ei*.

PIE \**k<sup>w</sup>u-d<sup>h</sup>i/-b<sup>h</sup>i* ‘where’. IE cognates: Skt. *kūha*, OAv. *kudā* ‘where’, YAv. *kudat* ‘where from’, OCS *kъde* ‘where, when’ < \**k<sup>w</sup>u-d<sup>h</sup>e*.

Theoretically, *ubī* can reflect \**k<sup>w</sup>ub<sup>h</sup>ei* or \**k<sup>w</sup>ud<sup>h</sup>ei*. The suffix \*-*b<sup>h</sup>i* would be the PIE ins. ending, whereas \*-*d<sup>h</sup>ei* could be an Italic innovation for \**k<sup>w</sup>u-d<sup>h</sup>e* ‘where’ as encountered in Ilr. and Slavic. In view of the latter correspondence, a preform PIt. \**k<sup>w</sup>upei* seems slightly more likely. Hit. *ku-wa-pi* ‘where, when’ reflects \**k<sup>w</sup>ó-b<sup>h</sup>i*, cf. Kloekhorst 2008: 490.

Bibl.: WH II: 739, EM 715f., IEW 644-648, Leumann 1977: 149f., Schrijver 1991: 262, Sihler 1995: 399, Meiser 1998: 99, Untermann 2000: 594. → *-de* (for *unde*), *ut*

**ulcīscor, -scī** ‘to take revenge’ [v. III; ppp. *ultum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *ultor* ‘avenger; person avenged’ (Acc.+), *inultus* ‘unpunished, unavenged’ (Pl.+); *ullō* ‘I will have avenged’ (Acc.).

PIt. *\*ol-k-* 'to destroy, take revenge'.

PIE *\*h<sub>3</sub>elh<sub>1</sub>-* [aor.] 'to perish'. IE cognates: see s.v. *dēleō*.

The form *ullō* is generally reconstructed as *\*ulsō* < *\*ulks-ō*; this would imply that extant *-ls-* in other forms, e.g. the pf. *fulsī* to *fulcīre*, is secondary. The ppp. *ultus* can reflect *\*ulks-to-*. LIV's etymology as *\*h<sub>2</sub>elk-* 'to ward off' (to Gr. ἀλκή 'resistance, courage') is semantically unconvincing. The traditional etymology is a derivation of *ulcus* 'ulcer', assuming a semantic development 'to grow sores (against someone)' > 'avenge one's griefs'. This is not compelling either (cf. Keller 1992: 257). Schrijver is reluctant to accept an equation with Gr. ὀλέκω 'to destroy', a *k*-enlargement to the root *\*h<sub>3</sub>lh<sub>1</sub>-*; but semantically, this seems the best option. PIE *\*h<sub>3</sub>lh<sub>1</sub>-k-* would yield Lat. *\*lak-* according to Schrijver's rules (1991: 304ff.), but *\*h<sub>3</sub>olh<sub>1</sub>-k-* > *\*h<sub>3</sub>olk-* (with de Saussure's effect) would yield *ulc-*. Since a PIE *o*-grade is not easy to justify in a preform *\*h<sub>3</sub>olh<sub>1</sub>-k-*, one could assume *\*h<sub>3</sub>elh<sub>1</sub>-* > *\*-ol-* with a more recent addition of *\*-k-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 810f., EM 743, IEW 310, Schrijver 1991: 70, LIV *\*h<sub>2</sub>elk-*.

**ulcus, -eris** 'sore, ulcer' [n. *r*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *ulcerōsus* 'full of ulcers' (Pl.+); *exulcerāre* 'to make sore, wound' (Varro+).

PIt. *\*elkos*.

PIE *\*h<sub>1</sub>elk<sup>s</sup>-os* 'ulcer'. IE cognates: Skt. *árśas-* [n.] 'hemorrhoids', Khot. *āsī* 'itch', Sogd. 'rsx', 'rs'nx' 'hemorrhoids', Gr. ἔλκος [n.] 'wound, ulcer'. Less certain: OIr. *olc* 'bad' < *\*h<sub>1</sub>olk-o-*, OIc. *illr* 'bad' < PGm. *\*elh-ila-*.

PIt. *\*elkos* regularly developed into *\*olkos* and then *ulcus*.

Bibl.: WH II: 811, EM 743f., IEW 310, Schrijver 1991: 37, Stüber 2002: 190f., LIV *\*h<sub>1</sub>elk-*.

**ulmus** 'elm-tree, elm-wood' [f. *o*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *ulmeus* 'of elms, of elm-wood' (Pl.+); *ulmitriba* [m.] 'one who wears out elms' (Pl.).

PIt. *\*e/olmo-*.

IE cognates: OIr. *lem* 'elm' < PCl. *\*limo-*, Gaul. *Lemo-uices* [ethnonym] > *Limoges*, W. *llwyfen* 'elm' < PCl. *\*lēmo-* < PIE *\*h<sub>1</sub>l(e)im-*; Ru. *il'em* 'common elm', *il'm* 'wych elm', gen.sg. *il'ma*, Cz. *jilm*, *jilma* 'elm' < PSl. *\*jьlьmь* < PIE *\*h<sub>1</sub>l-mo-* (?); OHG *ēlmboum*, MoE *elm*, OIc. *almr* (NHG *Ulme*, MoDu. *olm* borrowed from Latin and Old French).

*Ulmus* can reflect PIt. *\*elmos* > *\*olmos* > *ulmus* (cf. Leumann 1977: 47). The restricted distribution (Italo-Celtic, Gm., Slavic) and the difficulty to reconstruct a PIE form suggest non-IE origin. Schrijver 1997a posits two forms *\*V-lm-* (> Gm., Lat.) versus *\*IVm-* (Celtic). The Slavic word may also reflect the former, if it is not a loan from Germanic. In theory, one may try to derive PCl. *\*limo-* and Slavic from a zero grade PIE *\*(H)lmo-*, with secondary *\*leimo-* developing in British Celtic. But this would still imply a PIE ablaut *\*h<sub>1</sub>elmo-*, *\*h<sub>1</sub>olmo-*, *\*h<sub>1</sub>lmo-*, which is hardly credible.

Bibl.: WH II: 811f., EM 744, IEW 302-304, Schrijver 1991: 66, 1997: 311.

**ulna** ‘forearm’ [f. *ā*] (Catullus+)

PIt. *\*olenā-* ‘forearm’.

PIE *\*Heh<sub>3</sub>l-én-* (or *\*HoHl-én-*) or *\*Hh<sub>3</sub>-el-en-* (or *\*HHol-en-*) ‘elbow’. IE cognates: OIr. *uilen* ‘angle’, W. *elin*, Bret. *ilin* < PCl. *\*olēn-*; Skt. *aratni-* [m.] ‘elbow, ell’, YAv. *frārāθni.drājah-* [n.] ‘length of an ell’, *arəθnā* [du.] ‘two elbows’, OP *arašnīs* [acc.pl.] ‘ell’, Gr. *ώλένη* [f.], *ώλήν, -έρος* [f.] ‘elbow, underarm’, *ώλλον* ‘elbow’ (Hsch.) (< *\*ōl-n-om*), OPr. *woaltis* ‘ell’, *woltis* ‘forearm’, Lith. *úolektis*; Latv. *uólektis* ‘ell’ < *\*HoHl-ek-ti-*, OPr. *alkunis*, Lith. *alkūnė, elkūnė* (arch., dial.) ‘elbow’, Latv. *ēlkuonis* ‘elbow’ < *\*HHol-k-*, OCS *lakъto* [m. i], Ru. *lókot* ‘id.’ < *\*HHolkuti-*; OHG *el(i)na* [f.] ‘ell’, Go. *aleina* < *\*ol-en-*; ToB *alyiye* ‘palm’ < PTo. *\*alen-*.

Many languages show an *n*-stem, but Ir. and BSl. have different suffixes. Also, there is ablaut *\*ōl-* (acute) vs. *\*al-* (circumflex) within BSl. Hence, the word may have been a PIE *l*-stem *\*Heh<sub>3</sub>l-* (or *\*HoH-l-*), *\*Hh<sub>3</sub>-el-*, to which an *n*-stem was made already in PIE. It is unclear whether this *n*-stem followed the regular ablaut rules of PIE *n*-stems (as Schrijver assumes), or whether different *n*-stems were made to different ablaut forms of the *l*-stem. The preform *\*ol-ēn-* shared by Italo-Celtic and Gm. could have been built on a stem *\*Hh<sub>3</sub>-el-* (or *\*HH-ol-*), or be explained from pretonic shortening of a long vowel in front of a resonant (Dybo’s shortening: *\*ōlén-* > *\*olén-*).

Bibl.: WH II: 812, EM 744, IEW 307-309, Lubotsky 1990: 131f., Schrijver 1991: 78, 352.

**uls** ‘on the far side of, beyond’ [prep. + acc.] (Varro, Gel., Paul. *ex F.*)

Derivatives: *ulterior* (Pl.+), ‘farther away’; *ultrō* ‘to a point farther off, conversely’ (Naev.+); *ultrā* (Cato+) ‘on the far side, beyond’; *ultimus* ‘farthest away’ (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*ol-tero-*, *\*ol-tamo-*. It. cognates: O. *últiumam* [acc.sg.f.] ‘last’.

PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>ol-tero-* ‘further’, *\*h<sub>2</sub>ol-tmHo-* ‘furthest, last’. IE cognates: see s.v. *olle*.

The prep. *uls* is rare, and probably not ancient. According to Leumann 1977: 220, *uls* was formed to *ultrā* on the example of *cis* versus *citrā*. The forms *ultrā*, *ultrō* and *ulterior* presuppose a stem *\*ultero-* < *\*ol-tero-* ‘more to that side’, and *ultimus* < *\*ol-tamo-* < *\*ol-tmHo-* ‘most to that side’. These words contain the same root as *olle/ollus* > *ille*.

Bibl.: WH II: 813, EM 744, IEW 24-26, Schrijver 1991: 68, Untermann 2000: 794f. → *olle / ollus*

**ululō, -āre** ‘to howl’ [v. I] (Enn.+)

Derivatives: *ulula* ‘the tawny owl’ (Varro+).

IE cognates: Skt. *úlūka-* [m.] ‘owl’, Gr. *ύλάω, ύλακτέω* ‘to bark’, Lith. *ulula baĩgos* ‘the waves are howling’, OHG *ūla* ‘owl’.

Onomatopoeic verb for a *u*-like sound. Possibly, *\*ul-* or *\*ulul-* was already PIE, but this cannot be proven.

Bibl.: WH II: 813f., EM 744f., IEW 1105.

**umbilicus** ‘navel, centre’ [m. o] (Pl.+)

PIt. \*omb-(e/o)l- >> \*omb-elo- (or \*ombi- >> \*ombi-lo-).

PIE \*h<sub>3</sub>nb<sup>h</sup>-(e/o)l- ‘navel’. IE cognates: OIr. *imbliu* ‘navel’ < PCI. \*embli-ion- < PIE \*h<sub>3</sub>nb<sup>h</sup>-li-; Skt. *nābhya-* [n.] ‘hub (of wheel)’, *nābhi-* [f.] ‘hub, centre, navel (of the body or the world), origin, relationship, family’, YAv. *nabānazdišta-* ‘next-of-kin’, *nāfa-* [m.] ‘navel, origin, blood relationship’, MP *nāfag* ‘navel’, *nāf* ‘family’ < PIIr. \*Hnāb<sup>h</sup>-, \*Hnab<sup>h</sup>ia-; Gr. ὀμφαλός [m.] ‘navel, navel string; knob, center’; OPr. *nabis* ‘hub, navel’, Latv. *naba* ‘navel’, OHG *naba*, OE *nafu*, OIc. *nof* ‘hub’, OHG *nabalo*, *nabulo*, *napulo*, OE *nabula*, *nafela*, OIc. *nafli* ‘navel’ < PGm. \*nabulōn-.

Latin *umbilicus* has a complex suffix, which in theory can be explained in several ways. In view of the *l*-suffixes in Celtic, Greek and Gm., it seems likely that *umbilicus* too contains an original *l*-stem. This was then thematized to \*-(e)lo-, after which the suffix \*-īko- was added.

Bibl.: WH II: 814, EM 745, IEW 314f., Schrijver 1991: 61f., Meiser 1998: 107. → *umbō*

**umbō** ‘boss (of a shield); protuberance’ [m. n] (Enn.)

PIt. \*omb-n-.

PIE \*h<sub>3</sub>nb<sup>h</sup>-n- ‘hub, navel’. IE cognates: OHG *amban*, *ambon* [m.], OS *ambon* [acc.pl.] ‘belly’ < PGm. \*amban- < \*h<sub>3</sub>e/onb<sup>h</sup>-n-.

Either an Italic formation on the basis of a PIE root noun \*h<sub>3</sub>nb<sup>h</sup>-, or a shared innovation with Germanic.

Bibl.: WH II: 814, EM 745, IEW 314f., Schrijver 1991: 61f. → *umbilicus*

**umbra** ‘shadow, shade’ [f. ā] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *umbrāticus* ‘that lives in the shade’ (Pl.+), *umbrāticulus* ‘lazy-bones’ (Pl.), *umbrāculum* ‘shelter, shade’ (Varro+), *umbrāre* ‘to cast a shadow’ (Varro+); *umbrifer* ‘shady’ (Varro+).

IE cognates: Lith. *unksmė*, *paunksmė* ‘shade’, *uñksnė* = *paunksnė*, *unksna* ‘shade’, maybe Latv. *ūksme* ‘hiding-place’.

Generally connected with Lith. *unksna* ‘shade’, and reconstructed as \**unksrā*-. This is the only word for which a cluster \*-nksr- is reconstructed, but in view of *tēmō* < \**tensm*- < \**tenkšmōn*, it is conceivable that \**unksrā*- would have yielded > \**unsrā*- > \**unfrā*- > *umbra*. This etymology suggests that the root was \*(H)unk- (full grade \*(H)uenk-), but the only root of that form in LIV is \**uenk*- ‘to bend’, which does not seem a likely semantic candidate. No other root etymology.

Bibl.: WH II: 814f., EM 745, IEW 1173f.

**ūmeō** ‘to be wet’ [v. II] (Verg.+)

Derivatives: *ūmidus* ‘wet, moist’ (Pac.+), *ūmiditās* ‘moisture’ (Pl.+), *ūmor* ‘moisture, fluid’ (Pl.+), *inūmigāre* ‘to make wet’ (Andr.), *ūmectus* ‘moist, wet’ (Cato+), *ūmectāre* ‘to make wet’ (Lucr.+); *ūlīgō* ‘waterlogged ground, marsh’ (Cato+), *ūlīgīnōsus* ‘ill-drained’ (Varro+).

PIt. \*ūmo- ‘wet’.

PIE \*uh<sub>1</sub>-mo- ‘wet’. IE cognates: see s.v. *ūrīna*-.

WH and EM derive *ūm-* from an extension in *\*(s)m-* to the root *\*u(e/o)g<sup>w</sup>*- seen in Lat. *ūvidus*, Gr. ὑπόγ ‘wet’, etc. Weiss 1994 rejects this for semantic reasons, but to me the semantic difference between *ūvidus* and derivatives on the one hand, and *ūmidus* and derivatives on the other, does not seem large enough to warrant their separation. The formal objections are bigger: the root *\*ug<sup>w</sup>*- is not well-established, being based mainly on Germanic forms (Olc. *voķr* ‘wet’). Weiss therefore derives *ūmidus* and *ūmor* from an adj. *\*uh<sub>1</sub>-mo-*, which he also sees in Lith. *ūmas* ‘quick’, dial. ‘fresh, not dried out’. If *ūrīna* and Skt. *vār-* ‘water’ are also derived from this root, it provides a solid etymological basis for *\*uh<sub>1</sub>-mo-*. The appurtenance of *ūlīgō* is uncertain: according to Weiss (p. 145), *ūlīgō* was probably created analogically to *ūmidus* and *\*ūmo-* on the model of *fūlīgō*. Yet we cannot exclude that *ūlīgō* was grafted on *\*uvidus* > *ūdus*, with a change of intervocalic *\*-d- > -l-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 815, EM 745, IEW 1118, Weiss 1995: 143f. → *uvidus*

**umerus** ‘shoulder’ [m. o] (Pl.+)

Plt. *\*omes-o-*. It. cognates: U. *uze*, *onse* [loc.sg.] /*ontse/* ‘shoulder’ < *\*omes(e)i*.

PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>om-es-* ‘shoulder’. IE cognates: Skt. *ámsa-* [m.] ‘shoulder’, Oss. (Iron) *on* ‘shoulder-blade’ < Plr. *\*anha-*, Gr. ὄμος [m.] ‘shoulder, armpit’ < *\*omso-*; Arm. *ows*, Go. *amsans* [acc.pl.], ToA *es* [m.], ToB *āntse* [m.] ‘shoulder’.

Hit. *anašš(a)-* ‘lower part of the back’ cannot be connected with this reconstructed word for ‘shoulder’ (Kloekhorst 2008: 178).

Bibl.: WH II: 815, EM 746, IEW 778, Schrijver 1991: 51, Meiser 1998: 83, Untermann 2000: 798.

**umquam** ‘ever’ [adv.] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *nunquam* ‘never’ (Andr.+).

Plt. *\*k<sup>w</sup>um*.

PIE *\*k<sup>w</sup>om*.

Probably from *\*k<sup>w</sup>um* + *-quam*, *\*k<sup>w</sup>um* replacing *\*k<sup>w</sup>om* ‘when’ [acc.sg.] (see s.v. *cum*) on the model of *ubī* < *\*k<sup>w</sup>u-*; a similar replacement took place in *uter* << *\*k<sup>w</sup>o-tero-*, see s.v.

Bibl.: WH II: 821, EM 746, IEW 644-648, Leumann 1977: 482. → *cum*, *usquam*

**uncus** ‘hook’ [m. o] (Cato+)

Derivatives: *uncus* ‘curved, hooked’ adj. (Lucret.+), *aduncus* ‘id.’ (Enn.+); *inuncāre* ‘to catch on a hook’ (Lucil.+).

Plt. *\*onko-*.

PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>onk<sup>o</sup>-* ‘hook’. IE cognates: Skt. *an̄ká-* [m.] ‘hook, clamp’, Gr. ὄγκος ‘barb of an arrow’, ὄγκη ‘angle, corner’ (Hsch.), Lith. (dial.) *ánka* [f.] ‘loop, noose, ring’, Latv. (dial.) *añka* ‘line used to fasten a sail to the mast’ (connection uncertain on accentological and semantic grounds), SeCS *ϕkot̄* [f.] ‘hook’, ORu. *ukot̄* [f.] ‘claw, anchor’ < PSI. *\*ϕkot̄*.

Bibl.: WH II: 816, EM 746, IEW 45-47, Schrijver 1991: 51, LIV *\*h<sub>2</sub>enk-*. → *ancus*

**unda** ‘wave, sea (Pl.+), river, running water (Acc.+)’ [f. *ā*] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *undāre* ‘to rise in waves, gush’ (Pl.+); *abundāre* ‘to overflow, be plentiful’ (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*udōr*, *\*udn-*. It. cognates: U. **utur** [acc.sg.], **une** [abl.sg.] ‘water’ < *\*udōr*, *\*udn-*.

PIE *\*ud-ōr*, *\*ud-n-* ‘water’. IE cognates: OIr. *uisce* [m.] ‘water’ < PCl. *\*uden-sk-io-*, Hit. *uātar* / *uitēn-* [n.] ‘water’ < *\*uód-r*, *\*ud-én-*, Skt. *udán-* [n.], Gr. *ὔδωρ*, *-ατος* [n.], Alb. *ujē*, Lith. *vanduō*, *-ėnis*, OCS *voda*, Go. *wato* [n.] (dat.pl. *watnam*), OIc. *vatn*, OS *watar*, OHG *wazzar* ‘water’, ToA *wār*, ToB *war* < PTo. *\*wār* (probably < PIE *\*udrom*).

The *r*-stem nom.acc.n. has survived in U. **utur**. The *n*-stem from the oblique cases survives in **une** and has probably been anticipated in Latin *\*ud-n-* > *\*und-n-*. The *a*-stem inflection and the diverging meaning ‘wave’ may point to an original collective *\*ud-n-om* ‘body of water’, pl. *\*udna*, which was reinterpreted as an individualized singular ‘wave’.

Bibl.: WH II: 816-818, EM 746, IEW 78-81, Meiser 1986: 94, Schrijver 1991: 501, Untermann 2000: 815f.

**unguis** ‘finger-nail, claw’ [m. *i*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *unguiculus* ‘finger- or toenail, tip’ (Pl.+), *ungula* ‘hoof, claw’ (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*ongu(-i)-*, *\*onglā-*.

PIE *\*h<sub>3</sub>ng<sup>h</sup>-u-* ‘nail’, *\*h<sub>3</sub>ng<sup>h</sup>-l-h<sub>2</sub>-* ‘nail’. IE cognates: OIr. *ingen* [f.], MW *ewin*, Bret. *ivin* ‘nail’ < *\*h<sub>3</sub>ng<sup>h</sup>-u-*, Gr. *ὄνυξ*, *-ὄνυχος* ‘nail, claw, hoof’ [m.], Arm. *etowngn* ‘nail’, OPr. *nage* ‘foot’, Lith. *nāgas* ‘nail, claw’, *nagà* ‘hoof’, OCS *noga*, Ru. *nogá* ‘foot, leg’ < *h<sub>3</sub>nog<sup>h</sup>-h<sub>2</sub>-*; OHG *nagal*, OE *nægel* ‘nail’, Go. *ganagljan* ‘to nail on’.

The PIE *u*-stem was remade into an *i*-stem in Latin.

Bibl.: WH II: 818f., EM 747, IEW 780, Schrijver 1991: 62f., Sihler 1995: 97.

**ungulus** ‘ring (on the finger)’ [m. *o*] (Pac. Plin. Paul. *ex F.*)

Derivatives: *ungustus* ‘crooked stick’ (Paul. *ex F.*).

PIt. *\*ongelo-*

PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>ong-elo-* ‘angle’. IE cognates: see s.v. *angulus*.

These words are close in meaning to *angulus* ‘corner’ and *uncus* ‘hook’. WH regards *ungulus* as a diminutive to *uncus*, which would have acquired *-ng-* under the influence of *ungula* ‘nail’. This cannot be proven or falsified, but there is some internal evidence that the word was unusual to the Romans: Pliny says that the *priscī* called a ring (*anulum*) *ungulum*, whereas Festus calls it an Oscan word. Alternatively, *ungulus* could represent a preform *\*ongelo-* ablauting with *angulus*. The noun *ungustus* recalls the adjectives in *-us-tus*, but we have no noun *\*o/ungos-* ‘corner’.

Bibl.: WH II: 819, EM 747, IEW 47, Schrijver 1991: 51. → *angulus*

**unguō, -ere** ‘to smear, grease’ [v. III; pf. *ūnxī*, ppp. *unctum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *ungen* [n.] ‘fat, grease’ (Cato+), *unguentum* ‘ointment’ (Pl.+), *unguentātus* ‘anointed’ (Pl.+), *unguentārius* ‘concerned with ointments’ (Pl.+), *unguitāre* ‘to be in the habit of smearing’ (Cato); *unctor* ‘anointer’ (Pl.+), *unctiō*

‘anointing’ (Pl.+), *unctulus* ‘anointed’ (Varro+), *unctiusculus* ‘a little oilier’ (Pl.), *unctitāre* ‘to anoint habitually’ (Pl.+); *exunguī* ‘to anoint away’ (Pl.).

Plt. *\*ong<sup>w</sup>-e-* ‘to anoint’, *\*ong<sup>w</sup>-en-* ‘ointment’. It. cognates: U. *umtu* [3s.ipv.II] ‘to anoint’ < *\*ombetōd*, U. *umen* [acc.sg.], *umne* [abl.sg.] ‘ointment’ < *\*omben* < *\*ong<sup>w</sup>en*.

PIE *\*h<sub>3</sub>eng<sup>w</sup>-e/o-* [pr.] ‘to anoint’, *\*h<sub>3</sub>éng<sup>w</sup>-n* [nom.acc.], *\*h<sub>3</sub>ng<sup>w</sup>-én-s* [gen.] ‘fat, butter’ [n.]. IE cognates: OIr. *imb*, MW *ymen-yn*, Bret. *amann* ‘butter’ < *\*h<sub>3</sub>ng<sup>w</sup>-n*; Skt. pr. *anākti*, *añjānti* [act.], *añkté*, *añjaté* [med.] ‘to anoint, smear’, *añjas-* [n.] ‘anointment’, YAv. *viiāxti-* [f.] ‘adornment, make-up’; Arm. *awcanem* ‘I anoint’; OPr. *anctan*, OHG *ancho* ‘butter’.

The thematic present of Plt. may directly reflect a PIE thematic present, but it might also be built on an earlier nasal present *\*h<sub>3</sub>n-né-g<sup>w</sup>-ti* / *\*h<sub>3</sub>n-n-g<sup>w</sup>-énti*, with simplification of the zero grade to *\*h<sub>3</sub>ng<sup>w</sup>-*. The n. noun shows full grade of the root in Gm., and zero grade in Italo-Celtic.

Bibl.: WH II: 819f., EM 747, IEW 779, Klingenschmitt 1982: 180f., Schrijver 1991: 50, 62, 1995: 351, Meiser 1998: 100, 2003: 119, Untermann 2000: 796-98, LIV *\*h<sub>2</sub>eng<sup>u</sup>-*. → *ōmentum*

**ūnus** ‘one’ [adj. num. o] (Lex XII+, Elog.Scip. *oino* [acc.sg.m.], SCBac. *oiniuorsei* ‘*ūniversī*’; gen. *ūnūs*, dat. *ūnī*; n. *ūnum*)

Derivatives: *ūnā* [adv.] ‘together, at the same time’ (Pl.+), *ūllus* ‘any’ (Pl.+), *nūllus* ‘not any, no’ (Andr.+), *nōnnūllus* ‘a little, a few’ (Pl.+), *ūnicus* ‘sole, unique’ (Pl.+), *ūnōsē* [adv.] ‘all together’ (Pac.), *uncia* ‘one twelfth’ (Pl.+), *unciātim* ‘in amounts of one twelfth’ (Ter.+), *deunx, -ncis* [m.] ‘eleven-twelfths’ (Varro+).

Plt. *\*oino-*.

PIE *\*Hoi-no-* ‘one’. IE cognates: OIr. *óen*, W. *un*, Gr. οἰνή ‘one (at dice)’, OPr. *ainan* [acc.s.], Lith. *vienas*, Latv. *viēns* ‘one’ < *\*HoiHno-*, OCS *inъ* ‘some(one), other’, Ru. *inój* ‘different, other’ < *\*HiHno-*; Go. *ains* < PIE *\*Hoi-no-*; Skt. *éka-* < *\*Hoi-ko-*, Av. *aēuua-*, OP *aiva-* ‘one’ < *\*Hoi-uo-*.

Lat. *ūllus* < *\*oinlo-* < *\*oinolo-*, *nūllus* < *\*ne-oino-lo-*. Most IE forms can go back to *\*Hoi-no-* ‘one’, but the acute intonation in Slavic points to *\*H(o)iH-no-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 815f., 821-823, EM 746, 748f., IEW 281-286, Schrijver 1991: 52, Coleman 1992: 389f., Meiser 1998: 168.

**-uō, -uere** ‘to put on/off’ [v. III; pf. *-uī*, ppp. *-ūtum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *exuere* ‘to take off, strip (a garment, skin), to set free’ (Pl.+), *induere* ‘to put on, clothe; adopt’ (Pl.+); *exuviae* [f.pl.] ‘spoils, stripped skin’ (Pl.+), *induviae* [f.pl.] ‘garment’ (Pl., Gel.), *reduvia* ‘a loose piece of cuticle round the nail’ (Cic.+), *reduviōsus* ‘full of hangnails’ (Laev.+), *redivīvus* ‘second-hand’ (Cic.+); *indūtus, -ūs* [m.] ‘the putting on’ (Var.+); *indūtilis* ‘that can be put on, detachable’ (Cato); *indūmentum* ‘garment’ (Gaius Bassus+); *indūcula* ‘woman’s garment’ (Pl.), *subūcula* ‘under-tunic’ (Varro+).

Plt. pr. *\*ow-e/o-*. It. cognates: U. *anouihimu* [3s.ipv.II.ps.] ‘to put on’ < prev. *an-* + *\*owē-*. Untermann 2000 suggests that it may be a denominative to a verbal noun with



the same suffix as in Lat. *exuviae*, *induviae*.

PIE \*h<sub>3</sub>eu-e/o- 'to adorn, wear'. IE cognates: Hit. *unu*-<sup>zi</sup> 'to adorn, decorate, lay (the table)' < \*h<sub>3</sub>u-neu-; Av. *aovra*- 'shoes', Arm. *haganim* 'to put on', Lith. *aūti*, 3s. *aūna*, 3s.pret. *āvē*, OCS *obuti* 'to put on footwear' < PSI. \**obuti* < BSI. \*(-)outei.

Compounds of preverbs plus the verb -uō 'to put on'. The ppp. -ūtus is more likely to be a productive derivative of the present stem than to reflect the PIE zero grade \*-h<sub>2</sub>u-to- with laryngeal metathesis. Similarly for the nominal forms. The -u- in *exuviae*, *induviae* can be analogical to -uō (for \*-oviae or \*-iviae). The formation of *ind-*, *ex-uviae* next to -uō is conspicuous, since we would hardly expect an adjective \*(*ex*)owios. But nouns in -iēs are usually formed from verbs, and Leumann 1977: 285 indeed (but for metrical reasons) surmises that *exuviae* goes back to a stem \**exuviēs*. But it could also reflect a paradigm with a vacillation -ia- / -iē- (cf. *effigiēs/effigiae*). The form *exdutae* (Paul. *ex F.*) 'exuviae' is the result of metanalysis of *ind-uō* as *in-duō*. See WH I: 695 against a connection with *indusium*, which has short *u*. The original meaning of the root seems to have been 'to adorn', which developed into 'put on' after Anatolian split off the IE family.

Bibl.: WH I: 434-435, 695, II: 425, 620, EM 207, 567, 662, IEW 346, Schrijver 1991: 159, Untermann 2000: 112, LIV 276 \*h<sub>2</sub>euH-. → *ōmentum*

**urpupa** 'hoopoe; kind of pickaxe' [f. ā] (Varro+; Pl.)

IE cognates: Gr. ἑποποιό ποππό 'cry of the hoopoe', ἑποψ, -οπος 'hoopoe', Arm. *porop*, Po. *hupək*, LG *Hupphupp*, OHG *wituhopfo*, OS *widohoppa* 'hoopoe'.

Onomatopoeic word, found in many languages in a similar form.

Bibl.: WH II: 837, EM 754, IEW 325.

**urbs** 'city' [f. i] (Lex XII+)

Derivatives: *urbānus* 'of the city' (Pl.+).

Plt. \**worf*-(i-) (> *urbs*), \**werf-ā*- (> *uerfale*). It. cognates: U. *uerfale* 'area for taking auspices' [loc. or abl.sg.?] < \**werf-āli*- [n.].

PIE \*u(o)rb<sup>h</sup>-(i-) 'enclosure'. IE cognates: Hit. *uarpa*- [n.] 'enclosure', *uarpae*-<sup>zi</sup> 'to suppress, conquer' < \**uorP-o*-; ToA *warpi*, ToB *werwiye* 'garden' < \**uorP-o*-, ToA *wārp*- 'to surround', ToB *wārp*- 'to enclose'.

The three most frequent pre-2000 etymologies (connecting *urbs* with PIE \*g<sup>h</sup>ord<sup>h</sup>-o- 'enclosure', Latin *orbis* 'circle', and PIE \*b<sup>h</sup>rg<sup>h</sup>- 'fortress', respectively) are dismissed by Driessen 2001, who presents a novel etymology (foreshadowed by a remark in Meiser 1998). Basing himself on the meaning of U. *uerfale*, he hypothesizes that *urbs* may originally have referred to an 'enclosed area for taking auspices' (p. 50), which gradually came to indicate the inhabited settlement when this extended more and more across the original *templum*. Latin *urbs* may phonologically reflect PIE \*u(o)rb<sup>h</sup>/d<sup>h</sup>-(i-), whereas U. *uerfale* can continue \**uerb<sup>h</sup>/d<sup>h</sup>-h<sub>2</sub>*-. Since Hittite and Tocharian contain words of the form \**uVrP*- meaning 'to enclose', 'garden', Driessen decides that *urbs* is from a root \**u(e/o)rb<sup>h</sup>*-.

Bibl.: WH II: 838, EM 754, Meiser 1998: 64, Untermann 1000: 843f., Driessen 2001: 60-66.

**urgeo** 'to press, push' [v. II; pf. *ursi*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *exurgere* 'to squeeze out' (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*worg-eje/o-*.

PIE *\*u(o)rg<sup>h</sup>-eie-?* IE cognates: Lith. *veržti*, 3s. *veržia* 'to string, tighten, squeeze', CS *otvřesti*, 1s. *otvřezq* 'to untie', Ru. *otvřzi*' (poet.) 'to open', OHG *wurgen* 'to strangle', OS *wurgill*, OIc. *virgill* 'noose'.

LIV assumes that *urgeo* belongs to a root *\*ureg-* 'to follow a track', which via 'to hunt down' would have developed into 'to press, push'. While not completely inconceivable, this semantic shift seems far-fetched. Note furthermore that the oldest authors (Pl.) use *urgeo* in a more literal sense of 'to weigh down on', from which 'to insist' and 'to impel' are more easily derived. I therefore agree with Schrijver 1991, who connects *urgeo* with BSl. and Gm. words for 'to tie, bind'. Latin could continue the zero-grade of the root, or possibly an *o*-grade causative or iterative. If correct, this etymology would show that PIE *\*-rg<sup>h</sup>-* yields Latin *-rg-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 839, EM 754f., IEW 1181, Schrijver 1991: 76, LIV *\*ureg-*, *\*uergh-*.

**urina** 'urine' [f. *ā*] (Varro, Cat.+)

Derivatives: *urinari* 'to plunge under water, dive' (Varro, Cic.+), *urinātor* 'a diver' (Varro, Liv.+).

Plt. *\*ūr-ino-* 'watery'.

PIE *\*u(e/o)h<sub>1</sub>-r* [n.] 'water'. IE cognates: OIc. *fir* 'milk', OW *gwir(-awt)* 'strong drink' < *\*ueh<sub>1</sub>r-ó-* 'milky', CLuw. *uār* 'water', Skt. *vār-* [n.] 'water' (often disyllabic in RV), YAv. *vāra-* 'rain' (m.) < PIIr. *\*uaHr-* < PIE *\*ue/oh<sub>1</sub>-r*; OIc. *úr* [n.] 'drizzle' → *yra* [w.v.1] 'drizzle' < PGm. *\*ūra-*; OIc. *urigr*, OE *urig* < PGm. *\*ūraga-* 'dewy'; OIc. *aurr* 'wet', OE *ear* 'sea' < PGm. *\*aura-*.

The meaning of the verb shows that 'urine' is a secondary development; the older word for 'to piss' is *meiio*, *mingo*, apart from onomatopoeia like *\*pissō*. According to WH, the meaning 'urine' of *urina* may have been influenced by Gr. *oûpov* 'urine'. The original meaning must then have been 'water' vel sim. Leumann 1977: 552 and 328 regards *urina* as a back-formation to the verb, which would be a latinization of Gr. *oûpeiv* 'to piss'. Yet this does not explain the meaning 'to dive' which the Latin verb has. Oleson 1976 points out that divers typically suffer diuresis (they produce more urine) while diving, and that this may explain the meaning 'diver' of *urinātor*. In that case, 'urine' is indeed the oldest meaning of *urina*. Oleson surmises that the Greek verb was borrowed into Latin via Etruscan, where it lost its original *\*w-*. But if the verb was borrowed after the fifth century from Attic, this is not necessary. If *urina* 'water' is old, it presupposes an adj. *\*ūr-ino-* 'watery' formed from a noun *\*ūr(o)-* 'water'. Similarly Vine 1999c. If the root of *urina* was indeed *\*uh<sub>1</sub>-* (not *\*uh<sub>1</sub>r-*), we find two PIE *r*-stem neuters meaning 'water', viz. *\*uod-r* and *\*ue/oh<sub>1</sub>-r*. These look very similar, and we know that *\*h<sub>1</sub>* can result from *\*d* in anteconsonantal position, as in *\*dkmtom* 'hundred', *\*-dkomth<sub>2</sub>* '-ty' and *\*dui-d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-* 'to divide'.

Bibl.: WH II: 840, EM 755, IEW 78-81, Oleson 1976.

**ūrō, -ere** ‘to burn, scorch’ [v. III; pf. *ussī*, ppp. *ustum*] (Lex XII, Naev.+)

Derivatives: *adūrere* ‘to scorch, burn’ (Cato+), *ambūrere* ‘to burn all over, around’ (Pl.+), *ambustulātus* ‘scorched around’ (Pl.), *combūrere* ‘to burn, cremate’ (Pl.+), *exūrere* ‘to burn completely, parch’ (Pl.+), *perūrere* ‘to burn up, scorch’ (Varro+).

Plt. *\*ouse/o-* ‘to burn’, *\*usto-* ‘burnt’.

PIE *\*h<sub>1</sub>eus-e/o-* ‘to burn’. IE cognates: Skt. *oṣati* ‘to burn, scorch’, *uṣṇá-* ‘hot’, Gr. εὔω ‘to singe’ (< *\*ευhw*); Olc. *usli* [m.], OE *ysle* [f.] ‘hot ashes’, OIc. *ysja* [f.] ‘fire’.

*Combūrere* arose secondarily through metanalysis of *ambūrere* as *am-būrere*.

Bibl.: WH II: 841, EM 755, IEW 347f., Schrijver 1991: 74, LIV *\*h<sub>1</sub>eus-*. → *bustum*

**ursus** ‘bear’ [m. o] (Quinctius Atta+)

Plt. *\*orssō-*?

PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>rtkō-* ‘bear’. IE cognates: OIr. *art* [m.] ‘bear, hero, warrior’, W. *arth*, OBret. *Ard-*, *Arth-*, Bret. *arz*, Gaul. *Artio* [Theonym] < PCl. *\*arto-* ‘bear’, Hit. *hartakka-* [c.] ‘bear’, Skt. *ḥkṣa-* [m.], YAv. *arša-*, Khot. *arrä*, MoP *xirs* ‘bear’ < Ilr. *\*Hrtca-*, Gr. ἄρκτος ‘bear’, also ‘Ursa maior’, Arm. *arj*, Alb. *ari* [m.] ‘bear’.

The cluster *-rs-* must be the result of the PIE constellation *\*-rtk-* that we can reconstruct on the basis of the other IE branches. Latin *ursus* instead of *\*orsus* was explained by Kortlandt 1983c: 12 from analogy with *urcāre* ‘to roar’; of course, this is just a guess. But even *\*orsus* itself would be unexpected: *\*h<sub>2</sub>rC-* normally yields *arC-*. Thus, some kind of tabooistic distortion probably affected the word for ‘bear’. If the word was subject to PIE ablaut, the preform might have been *\*h<sub>2</sub>ortkō-*, but this would be completely isolated compared to the cognate words for ‘bear’.

Bibl.: WH II: 842, EM 755, IEW 875, Schrijver 1991: 68-72, Beekes 1995: 134, Sihler 1995: 225, Meiser 1998: 106.

**urvum / urbum** ‘curved part of a plough’ [n. o] (Varro+)

Derivatives: *urvāre* ‘circumdare’, ‘to mark out boundaries by means of a furrow’ (Enn. apud Fest., Sex. Pomponius).

Plt. *\*worw-o-* ‘plough’ or ‘furrow’? It. cognates: O. **uruvú** [nom.sg.f.] ‘broad’ or ‘belonging to the boundary’ < *\*urvā*.

PIE *\*u(o)ru-o-*?

The etymology of *urvum* is disputed. The original meaning may have been ‘plough’ (thus Rix 1995b, Driessen) or ‘furrow’, and it has mostly been compared with Gr. ὄρος [m.], οὄρος (Il.), ορρος (Core.), ὄρος (Cret., Arg.), ὄρος (Herakl.), Myc. *wo-wo / worwos/* ‘boundary, term, limit’ < PGr. *\*uoruo-*, which itself can be derived from ἐρύω ‘to draw, tear’. The semantic shift ‘drawing’ > ‘boundary’ would thus have a parallel in Greek. The actual preform of *urvum* may have been *\*wórw-o-* ‘furrow’, *\*worw-ó-* ‘plough’ or even *\*urw-o-* ‘plow’ (thus Driessen). The appurtenance of O. **uruvú** is uncertain because its meaning is unclear; if ‘broad’, it could belong to PIE *\*h<sub>1</sub>urH-ú-* ‘broad’.

Bibl.: WH II: 843, EM 755, Schrijver 1991: 76, Meiser 1998: 63, Untermann 2000: 810, Driessen 2001: 62-64.

**usquam** ‘anywhere, in any situation’ [adv.] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *musquam* ‘nowhere’ (Pl.+), *uspiam* ‘somewhere, anywhere’ (Pl.+).

Probably compounds of *\*us* < *\*ut-(V)s* ‘so that, as’ plus indefinitizing *-quam* and *-piam* < *\*pe-iam* (see s.v. *-pe*). The sigmatic extension to *ut* is also found O. **puz**, U. **puze** ‘ut’, see s.v.

Bibl.: WH II: 844, EM 755f. → *ut*

**ūsq̄ue** ‘up to, as far as’ [adv. (prep.)] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *usquequāque* ‘everywhere, always’ (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*ūsk̄<sup>w</sup>e*. It. cognates: Ven. **u** [prep.] < *\*ūd* ‘out, for’.

PIE *\*(H)ūd(s)* ‘up, out’. IE cognates: PCl. *\*ud-*, *\*uss-* ‘out’ < PIE *\*ud-*, *\*uds-*; Skt. *uccā* ‘from above, upwards’, YAv. *usca* [adv.] ‘above’, *uskāi* [adj.] ‘high, high up’ < *\*(H)ud-(s)k̄<sup>w</sup>e*; Skt. *ūd*, Av. *us*, *uz*, OP *ud-* ‘up, out’; OCS Ru. Cz. *vy-* < PSI. *\*vy-* < *\*(H)ud-*; Go. *ut*, OE *ūt*, OHG *ūz*, NHG *aus* ‘out’ < PGm. *\*ūd*, Go. *us* (*uz-*, *ur-*), Olc. *ōr*, *ōr-*, *or-*, *ør-*, OE *or-*, OHG *ur*, *ar*, *ir* ‘out, out of’ < *\*uz* < *\*uds-*.

The length of the initial vowel is uncertain: the Romance languages seem to continue variants with short and long vowel. Since shortening is in this position more understandable than lengthening, this speaks for *ūsq̄ue*. Long *ū* may be due to Lachmann’s Law; but it might also reflect PIE *\*ūd*, a variant of *\*ud* which is attested in Germanic and Slavic. Dunkel 2000b proposes *\*ud-sk̄<sup>w</sup>e* for *ūsq̄ue* and Av. *usca*, which was secondarily remade into instrumentals or ablatives in IIr. Skt. *uccā* is in Dunkel’s view from *\*ud-k̄<sup>w</sup>e*, with lengthening of IIr. *\*-a* by analogy with the instr. in *-cā* of adj. in *-(y)añc*. For *ūsq̄ue*, the analysis may also be *\*uds-k̄<sup>w</sup>e*, in view of PCl. *\*uss-* ‘out’ < *\*ud-s*.

Bibl.: WH II: 844, EM 756, IEW 1103f., Russell 1988. → *uterus*

**ut, utī** ‘how, as, so that’ [adv., cj.] (*utī* Lex XII+, *ut* Andr.+)

Derivatives: *utinam* ‘if only’ (Pl.+), *utīque* ‘absolutely, certainly’ (Varro+), *utpote* ‘as one might expect’ (Pl.+), *utut* ‘however’ (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*k̄<sup>w</sup>utV(s)* ‘how’. It. cognates: O. **puz**, *pus*, *pous*, U. **puze**, *puse*, *pusi*, *pusei* ‘that’ [+ sb.], ‘as’ < *\*k̄<sup>w</sup>uti-s* (O.), *\*k̄<sup>w</sup>uti-s-ī*.

PIE *\*k̄<sup>w</sup>u-* ‘where, how’.

Whereas Sabellic points to *\*k̄<sup>w</sup>utVs* (Untermann probably posits *\*-i-* because of Lat. *utī*), Latin *utī* may reflect *\*k̄<sup>w</sup>utei* or *\*k̄<sup>w</sup>utī*. The latter form might have *-ī* in analogy to *quī* ‘how’ (see s.v. *quī*, *quae*, *quod*). The original stem may then have been *\*k̄<sup>w</sup>u-tos*, with *\*-tos* ‘from’ as in *intus* and Skt. *kūtas* ‘where from?’; the semantic shift from interrogative to relative is trivial. But other options are conceivable, e.g. *\*k̄<sup>w</sup>u-ti* ‘how?’ with *\*-ti* as in *et* < *\*eti* and *aut* < *\*auti*.

Bibl.: WH II: 846, EM 756f., IEW 644–648, Sihler 1995: 399, Untermann 2000: 627f. → *ubī*, *usquam*, *uter*

**uter, utra, utrum** ‘which of the two’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *uterque* ‘each of the two’ (Naev.+), *utrimque* [adv.] ‘on both sides’ (Enn.+), *utrimquesecus* ‘on both sides’ (Cato+), *utrinde* ‘from either side’ (Cato),

*utervīs* ‘whichever of the two you please’ (Ter.+), *utrubi* ‘in which of two places?’ (Naev.+), *utrūbique* ‘in both places, on both sides’ (Pl.+), *neuter* ‘not one nor the other, neither’ (Pl.+), *neutrubi* ‘in neither place’ (Pl.).

PIt. \**k<sup>w</sup>otero-* (>> Lat. \**k<sup>w</sup>utero-*). It. cognates: O. **púterelpíd** [loc.sg.m.], **pútúrúspíd** [nom.pl.m.], **pútúrú[mpíd** [gen.pl.m.], U. **putrespe** [gen.sg.?] ‘both’ < \**potero-k<sup>w</sup>id*.

PIE \**k<sup>w</sup>o-tero-* ‘which (of two)’. IE cognates: Skt. *katará-*, Av. *katāra-* ‘which of two’, YAv. *kataras-ciŋ* ‘each of the two’, Gr. *πότερος*, Lith. *katrās* ‘which’, OCS *kotorŏ(i)*, *koterŭi* ‘which, what’, Ru. *kotóryj*, Go. *hvaþar* ‘which’, OHG *hwedar* ‘who, which from two’, MoE *whether*.

Inherited PIE \**k<sup>w</sup>o-tero-* ‘which of two’ is regularly reflected in Sab. \**potero-*, but has been replaced by \**k<sup>w</sup>utero-* in Latin on the model of the interrogative stem \**k<sup>w</sup>u-* in *ubī*, *unde*, *ut*.

Bibl.: WH II: 845, EM 757, IEW 644-648, Untermann 2000: 625.

**uter**, **utris** ‘leather bag’ [m. *ī*] (Varro+; nom.pl.n. *utria* in Lucil.)

PIt. \**ud-ri-*.

PIE \**ud-r-i-* ‘water vessel’. IE cognates: Olr. *otre* ‘goatskin bottle’, Gr. *ὕδρια* ‘water-vessel’.

EM consider this a possible borrowing from Greek, *via* Etruscan. They assume this trajectory because of *tr*, but in our view, *tr* can have developed regularly within Latin from \**dr*. In that case, *uter* may be an inherited word \**udri-* ‘water vessel’.

Bibl.: WH II: 845, EM 757, IEW 78-81, Sommer 1914: 226, Sihler 1995: 212, Hilmarsson 1993. → *unda*, *vitrum*

**uterus** ‘belly, womb’ [m. *o*] (Pl.+; n. *uterum* Pl.+)

PIt. \**udero-*.

PIE \*(H)*ud-ér-o-* ‘outer, sticking out’. IE cognates: Skt. *udāra-* [n.] ‘belly, womb’, YAv. *udarō.θrqsā-* ‘crawling on the belly (of snakes)’, Khot. *ura-*, *ūra-* ‘stomach’, Gr. *ὄδρεος* ‘dropsy’, Hsch. *ὄδρεος* ‘stomach’ [with *ho-* for \**hu-*], *ὕστέρα* [f.] ‘womb’; OPr. *weders* ‘stomach, belly’, Lith. *vėderas*, *vėdaras* [m.] ‘sausage; intestines, stomach, lower abdomen’, Latv. [m.] *vēdars* ‘belly’, OCS *vědro* ‘barrel’, Ru. *vedró* < PSI. \**vědrŏ* [n.] ‘bucket’ < \*(H)*uéd-ero-* ‘belonging to the belly’.

WH suggest that \**uderos* may have analogically become \**uteros* when \**udris* became \**utris* phonetically. But according to Hilmarsson 1993: 215, *tr* can have arisen in the oblique cases where syncope took place in front of a long vowel: \**uderī* > \**udrī* > \**utrī*. Semantically, the shift from ‘outer part’ or ‘protruding’ to ‘belly’ seems to be PIE.

Bibl.: WH II: 846, EM 757, IEW 1104f., Lejeune 1974: 339, Hamp 1978: 190, Hilmarsson 1993: 214f. → *usque*, *vē(n)sica*, *venter*.

**ūtor**, **utī** ‘to use’ [v. III; ppp. *ūsum*] (Pl.+; *oitile* CIL 586, *oeti* (2x) ‘utī’ CIL I<sup>2</sup> 756, Furfo, *oesus* ‘ūsus’ Cic.)

Derivatives: *ūtilis* ‘useful’ (Pl.+), *inūtilis* ‘useless’ (Pl.+), *ūtibilis* ‘serviceable’ (Pl.+),

*ūtilitās* ‘quality of being useful’ (Pl.+), *ūtēnsilis* ‘that can be made use of’ (Varro+); *ūsus*, *-ūs* ‘use, need, value’ (Pl.+), *ūsūra* ‘use, enjoyment; interest’ (Pl.+), *ūsūrārius* ‘provided on loan’ (Pl.+), *ūsūrpāre* ‘to carry out, make use of, take possession of’ (Pl.+), *imūsitātus* ‘unusual’ (Lucil.+); *abūit* ‘to use up, exploit, abuse’ (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*oit-e/o-* ‘to use’, *\*oisso-* ‘used’. It. cognates: Pael. *oisa* [ppp. abl.sg.f.] ‘used’ < *\*oisso-*; O. *úittiuf* [nom.sg.] f. ‘use’ < *\*oit-iōn-s*.

PIE *\*h<sub>3</sub>eit-* ‘to take along’? IE cognates: CLuw. *hizza(i)-* ‘to fetch’ < *\*h<sub>3</sub>eit-s-e/o-*; Gr. οἶσω, -ομαι ‘I will fetch, take along’, οἰσρός ‘bearable’.

Tichy 2002 argues that PIIt. *\*oit-* ‘to use’ may have developed from ‘fetch (in one’s own interest)’ to ‘use’. In that case, it might be cognate to Gr. οἶσ- < *\*oiss-*, which Tichy explains as a possible *s*-present *\*oit-s-*. This theory is attractive. Melchert 2007b adds Luwian *hizza(i)-* to the dossier, which was previously translated as ‘to bring’, but rather means ‘to fetch’.

Bibl.: WH II: 847f., EM 757f., IEW 293-297, Untermann 2000: 790f., LIV ?*\*h<sub>3</sub>ejt-*.

**ūva** ‘bunch of grapes; raisins’ [f. *ā*] (Lucil.+)

PIIt. *\*oiwā-*.

PIE *\*h<sub>1</sub>oiH-uh<sub>2</sub>-* ‘kind of tree, probably with berries’? IE cognates: Gr. οἴη, ὄη, ὄα ‘elderberry tree’ < *\*oiuā*; Arm. *aygi* ‘vine’; Gaul. *ivo-*, Lith. *ievà*, Latv. *iēva* ‘bird-cherry’; Ru. *iva* ‘willow’ < PSI. *\*j<sub>6</sub>va*; PGM. *\*īwa-* ‘yew’ < *h<sub>1</sub>eiH-uh<sub>2</sub>-*.

We find different tree-names which in Latin, Greek and Armenian go back to *\*oiwā-*, in BSl. and Germ. to *\*eiwā-*. A PIE origin is difficult because of the root ablaut. This, in combination with the semantic field of tree names, may point to a loanword from a non-IE language.

Bibl.: WH II: 849, EM 758, IEW 297f., Mallory-Adams 1997: 654.

**ūvidus** ‘wet, soaked’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *ūvor* ‘moisture’ (Varro), *uvēscere* ‘to become wet’ (Lucr.+), *ūdus* ‘liquid, wet, drunken’ (Varro+), *ūdor* ‘moisture’ (Varro).

IE cognates: Gr. ὑγρός ‘wet’ < *\*ugro-* < *\*ug<sup>w</sup>-ró-*, OIc. *vōkr* ‘wet’ < *\*uog<sup>w</sup>-o-*.

The order of attestation suggests that *ūdus* is a recent contraction of *ūvidus*, although Weiss 1995 reckons with the possibility that *ūdus* was older, and that *ūvidus* was back-formed to it as a hyperarchaism. Lat. *ūdus* might reflect *\*we/og<sup>w</sup>-iþo-*, *\*ug<sup>w</sup>-iþo-* or *\*uh<sub>1</sub>-iþo-*. Alternatively, Weiss suggests that *ūvidus* acquired *ū-* from its close semantic cognate *ūmidus*, thus hiding an earlier stage *\*uwidos*. In any case, *ūvor* (hapax) and *uvēscere* (rare) were formed secondarily to *ūvidus*.

Bibl.: WH II: 849, EM 758, IEW 1118, Leumann 1977: 330, Weiss 1995: 141ff., LIV *\*ueg<sup>u</sup>-*. → *ūmidus*

**uxor, -ōris** ‘wife’ [f. *r*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *uxōrius* ‘of a wife’ (Pl.+), *uxorcula* ‘little wife’ (Pl.+), *uxorculāre* ‘to play the part of a wife’ (Pl.).

PIIt. *\*uksor-*. It. cognates: Fal. **uxor, uxo** [nom.sg.] ‘wife’.

WH explain *uxor* as *\*uks-or-* ‘who is being inseminated’ to Skt. *ukṣán-* ‘bull’, which we can safely dismiss. Moussy 1980 assumes *\*uḡ<sup>h</sup>-sor-* ‘convey-woman’, which is impossible morphologically and far-fetched semantically. Mastrelli 1980 and van Windekens 1984 assume that *uxor* simply continues PIE m. *\*uks-on-*, with a semantic shift from ‘ox’ to ‘wife’, explained by van Windekens from the wish to indicate the married woman who had to work hard. Final *\*-n* would have been replaced by *\*-r*. This is another fanciful proposal than can be dismissed.

Bibl.: WH II: 849f., EM 758f., IEW 1118, Giacomelli 1963: 259, Moussy 1980, van Windekens 1984.

## V

**vacca** ‘cow’ [f. *ā*] (Varro+)

Has been connected with Skt. *vaśā* ‘cow’ < *\*uek<sup>é</sup>-eh<sub>2</sub>* (if PIE), but Latin would require *o*-grade, and Schrijver has established that *\*o* > *a* only occurred in open syllables. Thus, the connection cannot be maintained unless one is prepared to reconstruct Plt. *\*waka-* which somehow acquired geminate *\*-kk-*. Müller 1926 suggests a preform *\*vākā* and connects Skt. *vāśati* ‘roars’ and Lat. *vāgiō*. If we posit the (onomatopoeic?) root as *\*ueh<sub>2</sub>-*, *vacca* could be analyzed as *\*vā-kā-* ‘the bellowing one’.

Bibl.: WH II: 722, EM 710, IEW 1111, Schrijver 1991: 463. → *vāgiō*

**vacillō, -āre** ‘to stagger, waver’ [v. I] (Lucr.+; var. *vaccillāre*)

No good etymology.

Bibl.: WH I: 268f., EM 710, IEW 1135.

**vacō, -āre** ‘to be empty’ [v. I] (Pl.+; also *vocō* Pl.)

Derivatives: *vacuus* ‘empty, hollow’ (Pl.; *vociuus* inscr.), *vacivus* ‘vacant, free’ (Pl., Ter.) (*vacivus* Gell.), *vacivitas* ‘state of being empty’ (Pl.), *supervacaneus* ‘additional, extra’ (Cato+), *vacatio* ‘exemption’ (Cic.+; *vacatio* inscr. 123 BC+); *vacēfierī* ‘to become empty’ (Lucr.).

Plt. *\*wako-* ‘empty’. It. cognates: U. **anter.vakaze**, *ander.uacose* [3s.pr.sb.ps.?] ‘to interrupt’ < *\*-vakāto-*; U. *uasētom est*, *uasetom est*, *uaseto est* [3s.pf.ps.n.], **vaçetumise** [supin. + ‘to go’], *uasetome fust* [supin. + *-en* + ‘to go’] probably ‘to omit, err’ < *\*uakēto-*?; maybe U. *uas* [nom.sg.] ‘mistake’ < *\*uakos*, *\*uātis*, *\*uaktis* vel sim.

PIE *\*h<sub>1</sub>uh<sub>2</sub>-ko-*.

The forms in *voc-* are due to a change *vac-* > *voc-* in pretonic syllable (Sommer 1914: 110). For Plt., we can reconstruct an adj. *\*wako-* ‘empty’, on which the verbs *\*wak-āje-*, *\*wak-ē-* ‘to be empty’ and the adj. *\*wako-wo-* were built. Nussbaum 1998a reconstructs the root as *\*h<sub>1</sub>ueh<sub>2</sub>-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 723, EM 710, IEW 345f., Leumann 1977: 50, Schrijver 1991: 307f., Untermann 2000: 111f., 820f., 825, LIV \*h<sub>1</sub>ueh<sub>2</sub>-. → *vānus*, *vāstus*

**vādō, -ere** ‘to advance, proceed’ [v. III, ppp. -*vāsum*] (Enn.+)

Derivatives: *ēvādere* ‘to escape, end up, pass’ (Pl.+); *vadum* ‘shallow water, ford’ (Pl.+) (*vadus* Varro et al.).

Plt. \**wāpe/o-* [v.], \**wāpo-* [n.].

PIE \*ueh<sub>2</sub>d<sup>h</sup>- [v.] ‘to advance’, \*uh<sub>2</sub>d<sup>h</sup>-o- [n.]. IE cognates: W. *go-di-wawd* ‘overtook’ < \*ueh<sub>2</sub>d<sup>h</sup>-, OHG *watan*, OFr. *wada*, OIc. *vaða* ‘to wade’ < \*uh<sub>2</sub>d<sup>h</sup>-, OIc. *vað*, OE *wæd* [n.] ‘water, lake’, OE *gewæd*, OHG *wat* ‘ford’ < \*waða-.

Since *vādāre* is rare and evidently denominal to *vadum*, we must concentrate on *vadum* and *vādere*. The short vowel of *vadum* matches that of the Germanic verbs, whereas the long *ā* of *vādere* corresponds with Welsh -*aw-*. This suggests a PIE root present with ablaut *e* : *zero*, and possibly the presence of a derivative \*uh<sub>2</sub>d<sup>h</sup>-o- in PIE already.

Bibl.: WH II: 723f., EM 710f., IEW 1109, Schrijver 1991: 170, 339, Meiser 2003: 120, LIV \*ueh<sub>2</sub>d<sup>h</sup>-.

**vae** ‘alas!’ [interj.] (Pl.+)

Plt. \**wai*.

IE cognates: Mlr. *fāe*, W. *gwaе* ‘alas!’; Av. *vaiiōi*, *auuōi*, *āuuōiia* ‘alas!’, *voiiā-* ‘cry of distress’ < \**yai*, \**ǎ-yai(-ā)*, Go. *wai*, OIc. *vei*, *væ*, OHG OS *wē*, OE *wā* ‘woe!’, Go. *wajamerjan* ‘to slander’, *waidedja* ‘criminal’, OHG *wēwo*, *wēwa* ‘woe, pain’, OS *wē*, gen. *wēwes*, OIc. *væ*, *vé* ‘woe, pain’.

Probably all independent onomatopoeic forms, although shared inheritance cannot be excluded.

Bibl.: WH II: 724, EM 711, IEW 1110f.

**vafer, -fra, -frum** ‘clever’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Afran.+)

Derivatives: *vabrum* ‘varium, multiformem’ as a variant of *vafrum* (gloss.).

If the gloss form *vabrum* is trustworthy, it could be the original Roman form, *vafer* being a borrowing from a neighbouring dialect (cf. *būfō*). Lat. \**vaber* could regularly reflect Plt. \**wasro-* ‘versatile’, cognate with *varius* ‘variegated’ which could reflect \**was-io-*. Another possible etymology would be \**wap-ro-* ‘moving along’ to *vadō* ‘to proceed’.

Bibl.: WH II: 724f., EM 711.

**vāgīna** ‘sheath, scabbard’ [f. *ā*] (Varro+)

Plt. \**wāg-īnā-*?

If cognate with Lith. *vóžti* ‘to cover’, the original meaning of *vāgīna* would be ‘cover’. Obviously, this is a gratuitous proposal.

Bibl.: WH II: 725, EM 711, IEW 1110, Schrijver 1991: 146, LIV \*ueh<sub>2</sub>ǵ-.



**vāgiō, -īre** ‘to cry’ [v. IV] (Enn.+)

Derivatives: *vāgor* ‘loud crying’ (Enn.+), *vāgītus, -ūs* ‘cry of distress’ (Lucr.+), *vāgulātiō* ‘questiō cum convīciō’ (Fest.), *vāgulus* ‘wandering’ (or ‘crying’?) (Hadrianus); *obvāgīre* ‘to cry importunately’ (Pl.), *obvāgulāre* ‘to make a loud noise’ (Lex XII apud Fest.).

Plt. \**wāg-ije/o-?*

Panagl/Lindner 1995: 172 posit a derivational chain *vāgiō* → *vāgulus* → \**vāgulāre* → *vāgulātiō*. The etymology is uncertain: Skt. *vagnū-* ‘sound, call’, *vagvanā-* ‘talkative’ may have \*-g-, but are connected with the root \**uek<sup>w</sup>*- by EWAia (II: 491). There is also Lith. *vógrauti* ‘to weep, cry’. Greek ἔαχε [aor.] ‘shouted’, ἰχή, Dor. ἄχά ‘resounding’, Go. *ga-swogjan* ‘to sigh’ have a voiced aspirated stop, which does not match Latin -g-. A solution would be to assume a root \**ueh<sub>2</sub>*- ‘to cry’, with different enlargements in different IE branches.

Bibl.: WH II: 726f., EM 711, IEW 1110, Schrijver 1991: 146, LIV ?\**ueh<sub>2</sub>(g)h-*.

**vagus** ‘roaming, wandering’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *vagāre/ī* ‘to wander, roam’ (Pl.+) (act. until Varro), *vagātrīx* ‘female wanderer’ (Sis.).

Plt. \**wago-*.

PIE \**Huog-o-?* IE cognates: OIc. *vakka*, OHG *wankon* ‘to totter, stagger’, OHG *winkan* ‘to waver, stagger, wink’, OE *wincian* ‘to nod’ < \**Huo/e-n-g-*.

The Germanic comparanda could reflect a nasal present \**Hu-en-g-*. Latin *vagus* would then continue a PIE form \**Huog-ó-* with \**wó-* > \**wa-* in open syllable. The Celtic forms adduced by WH (OIr. *fán* ‘slope, declivity, hollow’, OW *guoun* [f.], MW *gwawn*, *gweun*, OCo. *guen*, OBret. *goen*, MBret. *gueun* ‘meadow’ < PCI. \**wāgno/ā-* ‘slope, hollow’) are not easy to connect semantically.

Bibl.: WH II: 726, EM 711, IEW 1120, Schrijver 1991: 463f., LIV ?\**ueg-*.

**valeō** ‘to be strong, healthy’ [v. II; pf. *valuī*, ppp. *valitum*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *valentia* ‘power’ (Naev.+), *valentulus* ‘sturdy’ (Pl.+), *valēscere* ‘to become sound in health’ (Lucr.+), *validus* ‘strong, vigorous’ (Pl.+), *val(i)dē* ‘greatly, extremely’ (Pl.+), *valētūdō* ‘soundness, good health’ (Pl.+), *valētūdinārius* ‘incapacitated’ (Varro+); *convalescere* ‘to grow strong, recover’ (Cato+); *volae/ēmum* ‘large kind of pear’ (Cato+).

Plt. \**wal-ē-*. It. cognates: O. φαλε, Marr. *uali*, Pael. *ualf* [2s.ipv.] ‘farewell’ < \**ualē* (or loanword from Latin); maybe O. *ualaemom* [nom.acc.sg.n.], *valaimas* [gen.sg.f.], SPic. *velaimes* [gen.sg.m.?] ‘best’ < \**ua/elaimo-* (< \**ua/elaisVmo-?*). The appurtenance of Presam. φαλος [adj?] and φαλαισμος [sup.?] is unclear.

PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>ulh<sub>1</sub>-eh<sub>1</sub>-* ‘to be strong’. IE cognates: OIr. *fallnaitir*\* ‘to rule’ < PCI. \**ualna-*, W. *gwladr* ‘ruler’ < \**ulh<sub>2</sub>-etro-*, OIr. *flaith* ‘rule’, OW *gulat* [f.], MW *gwlad* ‘country’, Co. *gulat*, OBret. *guletic* < PCI. \**ulati-* ‘sovereignty’ < \**ulHti-*, OIr. *fal* ‘rule’, Conall [PN], OW *Con-gual* [PN], Bret. *Conual* [PN] < \**kuno-walo-* < PCI. \**ualo-* ‘prince, chief’; Hit. *hulle-zī* / *hull-* ‘to smash, defeat’ < \**h<sub>2</sub>ul-né-h<sub>1</sub>-ti*, \**h<sub>2</sub>ul-n-h<sub>1</sub>-énti*; OPr. *weldisnan* ‘inheritance’ [acc.sg.], Lith. *veldėti*, 3s. *vėldi* ‘to

inherit, (OLith., dial.) acquire, attain, rule' < \*uelH-d<sup>h</sup>-; Lith. *valdyti*, 3s. *valdo* 'to rule, govern, wield', Latv. *vāldīt*, CS *vláděti* 'to rule', Ru. *vladét'* and *volodét'* (dial.) 'to own, control, wield' < \*uol(H)-d<sup>h</sup>\*, OCS *vlasti*, Is. *vladoq* 'to rule' < PIE \*uolH-d<sup>h</sup>-; Go. *waldan* 'to rule', OHG *walten* 'to dominate' < \*uol(H)-t/d<sup>h</sup>-; ToA *wāl*, gen.sg. *lānt*, ToB *walo*, gen. *lānte* 'king' < PIE \*ulH-nt-.

PIE \*(H)ulh<sub>1</sub>-eh<sub>1</sub>- would directly yield \*walē- by phonetic development; for the reflex of \*(H)ul- in Latin, cf. Schrijver 1991: 76. The root can be reconstructed with final \*-h<sub>1</sub> on the strength of Hit. *hull-*, cf. Kloekhorst 2008: 358ff.

Bibl.: WH II: 727f., EM 711f., IEW 1111f., Schrijver 1991: 213-215, Untermann 2000: 821-823, Lazzarini-Pocchetti 2001, Schumacher 2004: 655, LIV 1.\*uelH-. → *polleō*, *volō*

**valgus** 'bow-legged, with x-formed legs' [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Has been connected with Skt. *vālgati* (AV+) 'to move up and down', Khot. *valj-* 'to go astray, be deceived', OE *wealcan* 'to roll, move to and fro' < \*uol(H)g-. Yet the main characteristic of 'bow-legged' is the crookedness of the legs, not 'going up and down' or 'to and fro'. In addition, *valgus* cannot phonetically continue \*wolg-.

Bibl.: WH II: 728, EM 712, IEW 1140-44, Schrijver 1991: 464, LIV 1.\*uelg-.

**vallēs, -is** 'valley' [f. *i*] (Varro+; nom.sg. also *vallis*)

Derivatives: *vallēcula* 'small valley' (Paul. *ex F.*).

No certain etymology. The connection with Gr. Ἠλις, -δος region in the western Peloponnese, Elis φαλειός 'Elean, Elans' < \*fālis 'lowland' (if that is the original meaning of the toponym) is possible, but requires additional suffixation for Latin. Several Germanic and Baltic words for 'round' have been connected (Oic. *valr* 'round', Go. *walus* 'stick', Lith. *apvalius* 'round', Ru. *óbljy* 'rounded'); if Gm. and Baltic continue \*uol-, Latin *val-* would have originated from unrounding in an open syllable, but *vallis* < \*wals/n- did not have an open syllable. Also, the Gm. and BSL words for 'round' can alternatively be connected with Slavic 'wave', and derived from PIE \*ulH- 'to turn' (see *volvō*). From such a root, it is even more difficult to explain *vallis*.

Bibl.: WH II: 729, EM 712, IEW 1140-44, Schrijver 1991: 460. → -

**vallum** 'palisade' [n. *o*] (Cato+; also *vallus* Caes.+)

Derivatives: *vallus* 'stake' (Caes.+), *vallāre* 'to surround with a palisade' (Caecil.+); *circumvallāre* 'to form a blockade, surround' (Ter.+), *intervallum* 'distance, gap, break' (Pl.+).

Pit. \*walso-?

PIE \*uh<sub>2</sub>lso-? IE cognates: Gr. ἦλος, Dor. ὄλος 'nail', Aeol. γάλλοι (Hsch.) < \*uals/no-?

Schrijver favours the connection with Greek words for 'nail', which is possible but not compelling.

Bibl.: WH II: 730, EM 712, IEW 1140-44, Schrijver 1991: 170.

**valvae** ‘leaf of a door, folding-door’ [f.pl. *ā*] (Pac.+)

Derivatives: *valvātus* ‘provided with a folding-door’ (Varro+), *valvolī* [m.pl.] / *-ae* [f.pl.] ‘shell or husk of beans’ (Col.+).

PIt. *\*wolVwā-* ‘leaf of a door’.

PIE *\*uol-eu-o-* ‘turning’.

Since the root is reconstructed as *\*uel-*, *valvae* could go back to unrounding of *\*wo-* in open syllable, hence *\*wolVwā-* ‘folding’; this could represent an adj. *\*uol-eu-o-* derived from the *u*-present *\*uel-u-* (see s.v. *volvō*).

Bibl.: WH 730, EM 712, IEW 1140-44, Schrijver 1991: 297f., 464, LIV 2. *\*uel-*. → *volvō*

**vannus** ‘winnowing-basket’ [f. *o*] (Col.+)

Derivatives: *vannāre* ‘to winnow’ (Lucil.), *vallus*, *-ī/-ūs* (f.) ‘implement for winnowing corn’, var. *vallum* (Varro+); *vatillum* ‘small shovel or pan’ (Varro+)?

Connected with *ventus* by WH, who also derive *vatillum* from *\*watnelo-* (thus Leumann 1977: 200). The connection with *vatillum* is rejected by EM on account of the different meaning. Schrijver agrees on formal grounds: it is hard to believe that *vallus* would be a late formation. Schrijver (1991: 309) proposes to derive *vannus* from the PIE word for ‘wind’ by means of *\*-no-*; the word ‘wind’ would have been in the zero-grade: *\*h<sub>2</sub>uh<sub>1</sub>-nt-no-* ‘with wind’ vel sim. This does not seem very plausible, since ‘wind’ is attested with a full grade in the root or in the suffix in all IE languages (cf. *ventus*), and even if we concede that the weak cases once had *\*h<sub>2</sub>uh<sub>2</sub>-nt-*, the derivation in *\*-no-* would have occurred in PIE already, which is hardly credible. Moreover, positing ‘the one of the wind’ is not a compelling semantic explanation for ‘winnowing-basket’. The only advantage of positing *vannus* < *\*wantno-* would be that the diminutive becomes easier to explain: *\*wantnelo-* > *\*wannelo-* > *\*wannlo-* > *\*wanlo-* > *\*wallo-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 731, EM 713, IEW 81-84, Schrijver 1991: 308f.

**vānus** ‘hollow, devoid’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *vānāre* ‘to use empty words’ (Acc.), *vānitās* ‘emptiness, falsity, foolishness’ (Ter.+), *vānitūdō* ‘falsehood or emptiness of speech’ (Pl.+); *vānidicus* ‘chattering’ (Pl.), *vāniloquus* ‘speaking idle words’ (Pl.+); *ēvānēscere* ‘to disappear, vanish’ (Ter.+).

PIt. *\*wāno-* or *\*wāsno-*.

PIE *\*h<sub>1</sub>ueh<sub>2</sub>-no-*, *\*h<sub>1</sub>ueh<sub>2</sub>-sno-* or *\*h<sub>1</sub>uh<sub>2</sub>-sno-*. IE cognates: Skt. *vāyati* ‘to disappear’, *nir-vāna-* ‘extinguished, soothed’, YAv. *frāuuaiia-* ‘to make disappear’, Skt. *ūnā-* ‘empty’, YAv. *ūna-* ‘deficient’, *uiiamna-* ‘being deficient’, Gr. *έάω* ‘to let, permit’, Go. *wans* ‘missing’.

If cognate with *vāstus* ‘empty, desolate’, *vānus* could go back to *\*wās-no-*; other theoretical options are *\*wāno-* and *\*wasno-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 731f., EM 713, IEW 345, Schrijver 1991: 146, 308, Nussbaum 1998a, LIV *\*h<sub>1</sub>ueh<sub>2</sub>-*. → *vāstus*

**vapor** 'vapour, steam' [m. r] (Pac.+; nom.sg. *vapōs* Acc.)

Derivatives: *vapōrāre* 'to cover with a vapour, be hot' (Lucret.+).

Plt. *\*kwap-ōs* 'vapour'.

PIE *\*k<sup>w</sup>uh<sub>2</sub>ep-s-* 'smoke'. IE cognates: Gr. *καπνός* 'smoke' [m.] < *\*κραπνός, ἀπὸ ... ἐκάπυσσεν* 'breathed forth', *καπύσσων* 'breathing out', *κάπυς* 'breath'; Lith. *kvāpas* 'smoke' < *\*k<sup>w</sup>h<sub>2</sub>uop-o-*, Ru. *kópot'* 'soot, (dial.) smoke, dust, hoarfrost', Ukr. *kópit* 'dust (in the air)', Pl. *kopiec* 'soot, fumes' [m.]; Lith. *kvēpti*, 3s. *kvēpia* 'to inhale, breathe in', Latv. *kvēpt* 'smoke, smell < PIE *\*k<sup>w</sup>h<sub>2</sub>uēp-*; Go. *af-hvapjan* 'to suffocate, extinguish', *af-hvapnan* 'to extinguish' < *\*χ<sup>w</sup>ap-n-*.

Schrijver revives the comparison with BSl. words for 'to smoke' and Gr. *καπνός* 'smoke' by means of a PIE root *\*k<sup>(w)</sup>uh<sub>2</sub>ep-* > Plt. *\*k<sup>w</sup>uap-* > *\*wap-* > Lat. *vap-*. The first part of the root may ultimately be the same as PIE *\*k<sup>w</sup>eh<sub>2</sub>s-* 'to cough'. Beekes (fthc.) rejects PIE origin: the root structure is unusual, and Greek does not prove *\*k<sub>x</sub>-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 732, EM 713, IEW 546f., 1149f., Schrijver 1991: 260-263.

**vāpulō, -āre** 'to be beaten' [v. I] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *vāpulāris* 'who is frequently flogged' (Pl.).

Because of the similarity with Gm. words for 'to cry' (Go. *wopjan*) it has been assumed that *vāpulō* originally meant 'cry', and only subsequently 'be beaten'. Parallels for such a change can be adduced, and it would explain why 'be beaten' is expressed by a verb in the active. Also, *obvāgulāre* 'to make a loud noise' shows the same suffix *\*-elo-*. Thus, there would have been a nominal form *\*vāpelo-* 'crying' vel sim. The root *\*vāp-* may be regarded as onomatopoeic.

Bibl.: WH II: 733, EM 713, IEW 1112f., Schrijver 1991: 146.

**varius** 'having two or more colours, variegated' [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *variāre* 'to variegate, diversify' (Varro+), *varietās* 'diversity, changeable nature' (Pac.+).

A possible etymology is *\*wasio-* if connected with *vafer*, but this is rather speculative. One might posit *\*wa-ro-* to the root *\*h<sub>1</sub>uh<sub>2</sub>-* 'to let, leave', but this is also not quite convincing semantically.

Bibl.: WH II: 734, EM 713. → *vafer*

**varus** 'pimple, inflamed spot on the skin' [m. *o*] (Cels., Plin.)

Plt. *\*waro-*.

PIE *\*u(o)rH-o-?* IE cognates: Mlr. *ferbb* [f.] 'heat rash, pimple'?; OPr. *warsus* 'lip'; Lith. *viras* 'tapeworm larva, pimple', *viris* [m.] 'tapeworm larva' < *\*urH-o-*, Go. *wairilom* [dat.pl.], OE *weleras* 'lips', OHG *werra* 'varicose' < *\*uērō(n)-*, OHG *werna* 'varicose' < *\*uērznōn-*; Olc. *vorr* [f.] 'lip' < *\*warzō-*, OE *wear* [m.] 'wart, callus' < *\*warza-*; ToB *yoro* 'pustule, boil (?)', ToB *weru* 'blister (?)' (< *\*uēreh<sub>2</sub>-?*).

Possible protoforms (if inherited from PIE) are *\*urHo-* and *\*uor(H)o-*. These could be connected with words for 'pimple', 'wart' and 'lip' in other European languages, and maybe with Toch. words for 'blister'.

Bibl.: WH II: 734, EM 714, IEW 1151f., Schrijver 1991: 215.

**vārus** ‘bent outwards, bow-legged’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *vāricāre* ‘to straddle’ (Varro+), *vāricōsus* ‘suffering from varicose veins’ (Lucil.+), *Varrō* [cognomen] (Varro+), *obvārāre* ‘to cross, thwart’ (Enn.), *varix*, *-cis* ‘a varicose vein’ (Varro+).

PIt. \**wā-ro-*?

PIE \**h<sub>1</sub>ueh<sub>2</sub>-ro-*?

If the original meaning was ‘with the legs opened’, *vārus* might be compared with *vānus* and *vāstus*, and reflect \**wā-ro-* ‘going apart, letting go’. In any case, none of the other etymologies proposed seems plausible.

Bibl.: WH II: 734f., EM 714, IEW 1108f. → *vānus*

**vas, vadis** ‘surety (in court)’ [m. *d*] (Lex XII, Pl.+)

Derivatives: *vadārī* ‘to accept sureties from’ (Pl.+), *convadārī* ‘to make a person give a surety’ (Pl.); *vadimōnium* ‘guarantee, fulfilment’ (Pl.+); *praes*, *-dis* ‘one who acts as surety or security’ (Pl.+; nom.pl. *praeuides* CIL 1.585.46); *?praedium* ‘estate, land; pledge consisting of land’ (Pl.+).

PIt. \**woss*, gen. \**wāpos*.

PIE \**uod<sup>h</sup>-s* [root noun] ‘who leads the defendant to court’. IE cognates: Lith. *vādas* ‘guarantee, surety’, *vadioti* ‘to redeem’, *ūžvadas* ‘hostage’; Go. *wadi* [n.] ‘surety’, *wadjabokos* ‘letter of of surety’, *gawadjon* ‘to engage’, OIc. *veð* [n.] ‘surety’, OFr. *wed*, OE *wedd* < PGm. \**uadia-* [n.].

Leumann explains *praes* from the acc.sg. *praedem* < \**prai-widem* < \**prae-wad-em*; similarly Szemerényi 1989: 72: *praeuides* < \**prae-uades* ‘bondsman’ (in public trial).

Bibl.: WH II: 353, 355, EM 532, IEW 1109, Leumann 1977: 136, Schrijver 1991: 75, 464, LIV \**ued<sup>h</sup>-*.

**vās, vāsīs** ‘container, vessel’ [n. *s*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *vāsum* ‘container, vessel’ (Naev.+), *vāsculum* ‘small vessel’ (Pl.+), *vāsārius* ‘of equipment, of vessels’ (Cato+); *convāsāre* ‘to pack up’ (Ter.+).

PIt. \**wāss-*. It. cognates: U. **vasus** [abl.pl.], *uasor* [nom.pl.], *uaso* [acc.pl.] ‘container’.

No good etymology beyond the PIIt. (or common Sabello-Latin) preform \**wāss-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 736, EM 714, Untermann 2000: 826.

**vāstus** ‘empty, desolate’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *vāstāre* ‘to make desolate, lay waste’ (Naev.+), *vāstitās* ‘desolation’ (Acc.+), *vāstitiēs* ‘desolate condition’ (Pl.), *vāstitūdō* ‘ravaged condition’ (Cato+), *vāstēscere* ‘to become desolate’ (Acc.).

PIIt. \**wāsto-*.

PIE \**h<sub>1</sub>u(e)h<sub>2</sub>-sto-*. IE cognates: OIc. *fās* ‘empty’, OHG *wuosti*, OE *wōsti*, OE *wēste* ‘empty, barren’ < PGm. \**uōsti-*.

The long vowel is not certain: WH write *vās-*, EM *vas-*. Some authors separate *vastus* ‘enormous, wide’ from *vāstus* ‘empty, desolate’. But the descriptive dictionaries do

not make such a distinction, and it cannot be determined by the metre or by Romance reflexes. Puhvel 1992 has proposed a connection with Hit. *wašta*-<sup>i</sup> / *wašt*- ‘to sin, offend’, which Kloekhorst 2008: 985f. etymologizes as *\*uosTh<sub>2/3</sub>*- / *\*usTh<sub>2/3</sub>*-. Obviously, although Puhvel argues that the Hit. verb means ‘to miss (a target)’ and the derivative *waštai*- ‘emptiness’, the meanings are not compellingly close.

Bibl.: WH II: 737, EM 714f., IEW 345f., Schrijver 1991: 146, 308, 464, LIV *\*h<sub>1</sub>ueh<sub>2</sub>*-. → *vānus*

**vatāx** ‘having a deformity of the feet’ [adj. *k*] (Lucil.)

Derivatives: *vatius* ‘knock-kneed’ (Varro+).

Plt. *\*wat*-?

IE cognates: Olc. *ṽoḍvi* [m.] ‘muscles’, OHG *wado* [m.] ‘sura, suffrago’, MHG *wade* ‘calf (of the leg)’, OS *wathan* ‘calves’ < PGm. *\*wapwan*- ‘curve, bend’ > ‘calf of the leg, knee’.

A stem *vat*- can be connected with PGm. *\*uap*- found in words for ‘calf (of the leg)’, but the further etymology remains unclear. Short *-a-* makes it less likely that these words are to be connected with *vānus* and *vārus* from a root Plt. *\*wā*-.

Bibl.: WH II: 737, EM 715, IEW 1113.

**vātēs**, **-is** ‘prophet, seer’ [m. *ē*] (Pl.+; nom.sg. *vātis* Pl., acc.sg. *vātem*, dat. *vātī*, gen. *vātis*, gen.pl. *vātum*)

Derivatives: *vāticinārī* ‘to foretell, rage’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*wāt*-(*ē*)*i*-.

PIE *\*(H)ueh<sub>2</sub>t-i*- ‘seer’. IE cognates: Gaul. pl. *ou̯ateis* /*watīs*/ (Strabo), OIr. *fáith* [m.] ‘soothsayer, prophet’ < PCl. *\*wāti*-, OIr. *fáth* [m.] ‘prophesy’, W. *gwawd* ‘poem, satire’ < PCl. *\*wātu*-; Go. *wods*, Olc. *óðr*, OE *wōd*, OHG *wuot* ‘raging’ < *\*wōda*- ‘raging’ < *\*-tó-*, Olc. *óðr* [m.] ‘poetry’ < *\*wōdu*-, OE *wōþ* ‘singing, sound, poetry’ < *\*wōþō*-, OHG *wuot* ‘rage’ < PGm. *\*wōd-i/in*-; Olc. *Óðinn*, OE *Wōden*, OHG *Wuotan* < *\*wōd-una*-.

Bibl.: WH II: 737f., EM 715, IEW 1113, Schrijver 1991: 371, 376, 387, 389, Schaffner 2001: 321-327.

**-ve** ‘or’ [cj. encl.] (Lex XII+)

Derivatives: *nēve* (Lex Sacra CIL 401, Lex XII+), *neu* (Pl.+) ‘or not’, *sīve* (Lex Sacra CIL 401 *seive*, Lex XII+ *sīve*), *seu* (Pl.+) ‘or if, whether’.

Plt. *\*-we*.

PIE *\*-ue* ‘or’. IE cognates: OIr. *nó* [cj.] ‘or’, W. *neu*, *nou* ‘id.’ < *\*ne-ue*, Skt. *vā*, Av. *vā* [encl.] ‘or’; Gr. *ἢ* ‘or’, ‘as’ < *ἦέ*, *ἦε* (ep.) < *\*ἦ-ἦέ*, *\*ἦ-ἦε*.

See s.v. *ceu* ‘as, like’ for its etymology as *\*ke* + *\*i(-)we*.

Bibl.: WH II: 740, EM 716, IEW 73-75. → *ceu*

**vē-** ‘faulty, far from’ [pref.]: *vēcors* ‘mad’ (Andr.+), *vēcordia* ‘frenzy’ (Ter.+), *vēgrandis* ‘undersized, extensive; very great’ (Pl.+), *vēsānus* ‘mad’ (Pl.+), *vēscus* ‘thin, attenuated’ (Lucil.+), maybe *Vē(d)ious* ‘certain deity of the underworld’ (Varro+)

It. cognates: maybe U. **ve(n)persuntra** ‘without *persondro*’.

It is striking that *vēcors* and *vēsānus* have a very similar meaning, which is literal in the case of *vē-sānus* ‘far from healthy’, but less transparent in *vē-cors* ‘far from heart’ > ‘mad’. The analysis of Guiraud 1975 suggests that *vē-* meant ‘unlike, over- / under-’. Semantically, it would be attractive to derive *vē-* from *\*s(w)ēd* ‘per se’ > ‘away from’, which normally surfaces as the preposition *sē*. In that case, *vē-sānus* might be the original form which underwent dissimilation from *\*svē-sānus*. Yet the survival of such an isolated form beside productive *sē* seems doubtful, as is the *\*-w-* in the alleged preform *\*swē-*. Alternatively, we could follow Niedermann (1899), who argues that *vehemēns* > *vēmēns* ‘who gets carried away > mad’ provided the model for the extension of *vē-* to *vē-cors* and *vē-sānus*. Reinterpretation of *vē-* as meaning ‘abnormal’ could then explain the formation of *vē-grandis*, *vēscus* and *vē-pallidus* (Hor.). The appurtenance of *vesper* here seems unlikely: the vowel has a different length, and *vē-* means ‘hyper / hypo-’, which does not match the alleged meaning of *ve-* in *vesper*.

Bibl.: WH II: 740, EM 716, IEW 72f., Guiraud 1975, Keller 1982: 88f., Schrijver 1991: 122, Untermann 2000: 828. → *aut*, *edō*, *sē-*, *vehō*

**vegeō** ‘to enliven’ [v. II] (Enn.+)

Derivatives: *vegetus* ‘vigorous, lively’ (Var.+), *vegetāre* ‘to invigorate’ (Sen.+).

PIt. *\*weg-eje/o-*.

PIE *\*ueg-o-* ‘active, awake’?, *\*uog-eie/o-* ‘to awaken’. IE cognates: Skt. *vāja-* ‘contest, reward’, *vājáyati* ‘impels’, Go. *-wakjan* ‘to waken’, OHG *wahhēn* ‘to be awake’.

Lat. *vegeo* died out after the Republic: Lucretius is the last author to use it. The meaning ‘to be cheerful’ is a phantom, cf. EM 716. Since short *-e-* in non-initial open syllable does not normally occur (except in front of *r* and in *sepelire*), *vegetus* can hardly contain an unweakened vowel; at most, its *-e-* is due to progressive assimilation after initial *ve-* (thus Leumann 1977: 100): *\*vegetus* > *vegetus*. *Vegetus* is often compared with the retained or restored second *a* in *alacer* and some other words in *(-)aRa-*, but *vegetus* is different in having an intermediate stop. Furthermore, *vegetus* does not have the passive meaning displayed e.g. by *monitus* (to *moneō*). Added to the relatively recent appearance (Varro+) of *vegetus*, this renders it less likely that *vegetus* retains an old internal *\*-e-*. The *e*-grade of the root is unexpected in a causative in *\*-eje/o-*, just as it is in the cognate stative *vigeō* < *\*weg-ē-*. This may point to an original adj. *\*weg-o-* ‘active, awake’ from which both verbs were derived in Italic (Nussbaum, p.c.). Somehow, *vegetus* may also be derived from such an adj. Does it continue *\*vegētus*?

Schrijver connects *vegeō* with Go. *wahsjan* ‘to grow’, which would imply that the latter continue *\*h<sub>2</sub>ueg-s-*. However, it is conspicuous that all the *s*-formations mean ‘to grow (up)’, a meaning which is not represented in the family of *vegeō*. LIV reconstructs *\*ueg-*, stating that there is no evidence to support the reconstruction of an initial laryngeal. Since *ve-* can come from *\*vo-*, Watkins 1973b: 198 has reconstructed *\*uog-eie-* > *\*wogeō* > *uegeō*, thus expanding the accepted rule that *\*wo-* > *ve-* in front of dentals and apical clusters (*vetō*, *vester*, *versus*, etc.). Sihler and

LIV adopt this explanation. Indeed, this would fit the causative meaning very well: the adj. *vigil* is certainly cognate, and probably also *vigeō*. Yet the phonetic development assumed by Watkins is ad hoc, and he leaves undiscussed the counterexamples in *voCV-* (*volāre*, *vorāre*). Another problem concerns the sound law \**woCV-* > \**waCV-* posited by Schrijver 1991: 460–475; this would have us expect a development \**wog-eie-* > \**wag-eie-*, which would not lead to *vegeō*. Hence, original \**ueg-* is still the most likely option.

Bibl.: WH II: 741, EM 716, IEW 1117f., Watkins 1973b: 195ff., Schrijver 1991: 75, Sihler 1995: 44, 497, EWAia II: 492, 541, LIV \**ueg̃-*. → *vigeō*

**vehō, -ere** ‘to convey, carry’ [v. III; pf. *vēxī*, ppp. *vectum*] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *vehiculum* ‘wheeled vehicle’ (Pl.+), *vector* ‘who carries; passenger’ (Lucil.+), *vectōrius* ‘used for transport’ (Varro+), *vectūra* ‘carriage, transport’ (Pl.+), *vectāre* ‘to transport, convey’ (Pl.+), *vectaculārius* ‘of a cart’ (Cato), *vectitāre* ‘to carry habitually’ (Cato+), *vehis* [f.] ‘waggon-load’ (Cato+); *vectis* [m.] ‘wooden or metal bar, lever’ (Cato+), *vectīgal*, *-ālis* [n.] ‘tax, revenue’ (Cato+); *vehemēns* ‘violent’ (Pl.+; *vēmēns* Cic.+); *advehere* ‘to convey, travel’ (Pl.+), *advectus*, *-ūs* ‘importation’ (Varro+), *circumvectārī* ‘to travel round’ (Pl.+), *circumvehī* ‘to travel round’ (Pl.+), *convehere* ‘to collect’ (Varro+), *ēvehere* ‘to carry out, away’ (Cato+), *invehere* ‘to drive or sail in to attack’ (Pl.+), *pervehere* ‘to transport’ (Naev.+), *prōvehere* ‘to convey out to sea, carry forward’ (Pl.+), *subvehere* ‘to convey upwards’ (Lucr.+), *subvectāre* ‘to convey upwards’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \**wex-e/o-* [v.], \**wekti-* [f.]. It. cognates: U. *aŕveitu*, *arveitu*, *aveitu*, *arsueitu*, *arueitu* [3s.ipv.II] ‘to add’, *kuveitu* [3s.ipv.II] ‘to put together’ < \**-ueg̃<sup>h</sup>etōd*.

PIE \**ueg̃<sup>h</sup>-e/o-* ‘to carry’. IE cognates: Skt. pr. *vāhati*, s-aor. *vakṣ-*, *āvāt* [3s.act.], root aor. *volhām* [2du.ipv.act.], pf. *uvāha* [3s.act.], ppp. *ūdḥā-* ‘to carry, convey’, OAv. *vaziia-* [med.] ‘to marry, be led to the wedding’, *važdra-* ‘conveyor’, YAv. *vaza-* ‘to pull. ride’, *vaštar-* [m.] ‘draught-animal’, Oss. *wæz/wæzæ* ‘weight, load’; Gr. (Pamph.). *Ἔχέτω* [3s.ipv.] ‘to bring’, *ὄχος* [m.] ‘cart’, Lith. *vėžti*, 1s. *vezù*, OCS *vesti*, 1s. *vezq* ‘to convey’, *vozь* ‘cart’; Go. *ga-wigan*, OHG *wegan* ‘to move’, OHG *wagan* ‘cart’.

The noun *vectīgal* presupposes a compound \**vectīgo-* ‘(fee for) vehicle-driving’ < \**uekti-h<sub>2</sub>g̃-o-*, cf. Dunkel 2000a: 95. This would contain a noun \**wekti-* ‘levy, yield’, which was later renewed as *vectis* ‘bar, lever’. The adj. *vehement-* is explained by WH as an original pr.ptc.med. \**ueg̃<sup>h</sup>emenos* which cannot be upheld anymore. Guiraud 1975: 86 confirms that *vehemēns* is the older form and not *vēmēns*, since it basically means ‘who gets carried away, loses himself in temper’, rather than ‘who has lost his mind’. The form *veitura* (Paul. *ex F.*) is interpreted by Leumann 1977: 315 as an error for *vēlātūra* ‘the business of a carrier’ (thus attested in Varro, Plut.) from \**vehēla* < \**wex-ēlā-* ‘transport’; this is accepted by Nieto 1988: 39. For the reading *vectaculārius* instead of attested *vecticulārius* in Cato, cf. Wyatt 1984.

Bibl.: WH II: 741–743, EM 717, IEW 1118–20, Untermann 2000: 830f., LIV \**ueg̃<sup>h</sup>-*. → *convexus*, *vēna*



**vĕles, -itis** ‘foot-soldier’ [m. *t*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *vĕlitātīō* ‘the method of fighting of a *veles*’ (Pl.+), *vĕlitārī* ‘to fling abuse, attack’ (Pl.+).

I agree with WH that a connection with *vĕlum* ‘sail’ stretches the imagination too far. It does not seem plausible, pace WH, to derive *vĕles* from a preform *\*ueg<sup>h</sup>-slo-* ‘driving’. If *vĕles* is at all derived from *\*ueg<sup>h</sup>-* ‘to convey’, it seems more likely that *\*ueg<sup>h</sup>-slo-* meant ‘carrier, soldier carrying goods’. The ending would have been adopted from other soldiers’ terms, such as *pedes* and *eques*. This etymology would render a connection with *vĕlōx* ‘fast’ less attractive, since a ‘carrier’ is not necessarily ‘fast’.

Bibl.: WH II: 744, EM 718, IEW 1118-20.

**vellō, -ere** ‘to pull out’ [v. III; pf. *vellī* or *vulsī*, ppp. *vulsum*] (Cato+)

Derivatives: *vellus, -eris* ‘wool, fleece’ (Varro+), *volsella* ‘tweezers’ (Pl.+), *vulsūra* ‘plucking’ (Varro+), *vellicāre* ‘to pinch, peck’ (Pl.+), *vellicātim* ‘by picking out here and there’ (Sis.); *āvellere* ‘to tear away, pluck out’ (Ter.+), *convellere* ‘to dislodge, shake’ (Cato+), *dēvellere* ‘to pluck bare’ (Pl.+), *ēvellere* ‘to uproot’ (Pl.+), *pervellere* ‘to pinch’ (Pl.+), *praevellere* (meaning indeterminable) (Lab.), *revellere* ‘to tear down, remove’ (Enn.+), *subvellere* ‘to pluck hair from the under-parts’ (Lucil.).

PIt. *\*weln-e/o-*, *\*wel-*. It. cognates: SPic. *ehueli* [3s.pr.sb.] ‘tears down’ < *\*ex-wel-ēd* (Weiss 2002: 359f.).

PIE *\*ul-n(e)-h<sub>3</sub>-*, aor. *\*uelh<sub>3</sub>-* ‘to strike’. IE cognates: Hit. *u<sup>z</sup>alh<sup>z</sup>-* ‘to hit, strike’ < *\*u(e)lh<sub>3</sub>-*, Gr. *άλίσκομαι* ‘to be caught’, Thess. *φάλίσσκεται*, Gr.aor. *έάλων* < *\*ἡ-φαλω-* (*\*φλω-* before consonant and *\*φαλ-* before vowel), ToA *wälläštär* ‘dies’.

According to Schrijver, *vell-* reflects *\*ueld<sup>h</sup>-* because this does not meet with any definite obstacles, as do in Schrijver’s view the reconstructions *\*ueln-* and *\*uels-*. Yet the argument against a nasal present (*\*Huel-n-h<sub>1</sub>-*) is unconvincing. Schrijver argues that there are no parallels for the introduction of *-ll-* from the present into the pf. (*vellī*) if another pf. was originally present (*pepulī, tetulī, -culī*). Yet *vellō* may simply have had no reduplicated perfect, in which case *vellī* would be a regular choice for a new perfect. Thus, Latin may go together with Tocharian in showing a nasal present. The *e*-grade in the root is unexpected from a PIE point of view; it might have been taken from the root aorist continued in SPic. *ehuelī* and in Hittite and Greek.

Bibl.: WH II: 744f., EM 718, IEW 1144f., Schrijver 1991: 180f., Meiser 2003: 214, LIV *\*uelh<sub>3</sub>-*. → *lāna, volnus*

**vĕlōx** ‘swift, speedy’ [adj. *k*] (Enn.+)

Derivatives: *vĕlōcītās* ‘speed’ (Sis.+).

PIt. *\*wĕlo-* ‘wind’ (?).

PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>ueh<sub>1</sub>-lo-* ‘wind’. IE cognates: Hit. *hu<sup>z</sup>ai<sup>i</sup>-* / *hui-* ‘to run, hurry’, CLuw. *hui(i)a-* ‘to run’ (< *\*h<sub>2</sub>uh<sub>1</sub>-ó-i-ei*, *\*h<sub>2</sub>uh<sub>1</sub>-i-énti*); Skt. *vāti*, YAv. *vāiti*, Gr. *ἄησι*, OCS *vĕjati*, Ru. *vĕjat*, Go. *waiian*, OHG *wāen* ‘to blow (of wind)’ < *\*h<sub>2</sub>ueh<sub>1</sub>-*.

Lat. *vĕlōx* could be ‘wind-like’, derived from a noun *\*vĕ-lo-* ‘wind’ to the PIE root *\*h<sub>2</sub>ueh<sub>1</sub>-* ‘to blow (of the wind)’ (cf. *ventus*). Several IE languages continue a PIE root

present from 'to blow', but Italic does not. Hence, *\*vē-lo-* would have to be a very old derivative.

Bibl.: WH II: 744, EM 718, IEW 1118-20, Kloekhorst 2008: 366, LIV *\*h<sub>2</sub>ueh<sub>1</sub>-* → *vēles*, *ventus*

**vēlum** 'sail; sheet, cloth' [n. o] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *vēlāre* 'to cover, clothe' (Pl.+), *vēlāmentum* 'cover' (Varro+), *Vēlābrum* 'a low-lying district in Rome' (Pl.+), *vēlābra* [pl.n.] 'instruments used for ventilating the corn' (Paul. *ex F.*); *vexillum* 'military standard, banner' (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*wekslo-*.

PIE *\*ueg-slo-?* IE cognates: OIr. *figid\**, *fig\**, W. *gwëu*, LCo. *gwîa*, Bret. *gueaff* 'to weave' < PCl. *\*ueg-jo-* 'to weave, compose'; OE *wice* [m.] 'wick', *wōcig* [f.] 'trap'.

The dim. shows that at least part of the input for *vēlum* had the form *\*uekslo-*, which could contain the root *\*ueg<sup>h</sup>-* 'to convey' (semantically less attractive) or *\*ueg-* 'to weave' attested in Celtic and Germanic. Peruzzi 1969 argues that in *vēlum* may have merged two different preforms, *\*uekslo-* and *\*ueslo-* 'sail, cloth', to *\*ues-* 'to clothe'.

Bibl.: WH II: 745f., EM 718f., IEW 1117, Schumacher 2004: 660f., LIV *\*ueg-*.

**vēna** 'blood-vessel' [f. ā] (Cato+)

Plt. *\*wes-no/ā-* [adj./f.] 'blood-vessel'.

PIE *\*ues-no-* 'of blood'. IE cognates: Av. *vanhu-tāt-* 'blood', *vanhuōβa-* [n.] 'bloodshed', *vohuna-* [m.] 'blood' < *\*ues-u-*; Skt. *vāsā*, *vasā* 'fat, lard' (hesitantly EWAia II: 533).

No agreed etymology. Muller 1926: 531 points to an old belief that the veins may be compared to air pipes (Cato *Agr.* 157, 7: *venae ubi sufflatae sunt ex cibo, non possunt perspirare in toto corpore*), and suggests that *vēna* might be derived from *\*h<sub>2</sub>ueh<sub>1</sub>-* 'to blow'. Alternatively, one might think of a root 'to convey', for instance PIE *\*ued<sup>h</sup>-* 'to lead' or *\*ueg<sup>h</sup>-* 'to convey', with a suffix *\*-sno-*. Yet in both cases, we face the semantic objection that it would be unclear why a 'blood-vessel' would come to have been denominated as the 'conveyor' par excellence. Alternatively, one might connect *vēna* with Av. *\*vahu-* 'blood', and posit *\*uesnā-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 746, EM 719, IEW 1134.

**venēnum** 'potent herb, poison' [n. o] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *venēnāre* 'to bewitch, poison' (Pl.+); *venēficus* 'of sorcery, of poison' (Pl.+), *venēficiūm* 'sorcery, poisoning' (Pl.+), *trivenēfica* 'treble-dyed witch' (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*wenes-no-*.

IE cognates: see s.v. *venus*.

The form suggests Plt. *\*wenes-no-*, a derivative of *venus* 'desire, love' (cf. *catēna* < *\*kates-nā-*). WH interpret the original meaning as 'love drink', which would imply that the term arose in the context of sorcery. If the original meaning was a more neutral adj. *\*wenes-no-* 'poisonous', it might have developed from 'with desire' via 'addicting' to 'pernicious, poisonous'.

Bibl.: WH II: 747, EM 719.

**venia** 'favour, permission' [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

PIt. \**wen(i)jā-*.

PIE \**uenh<sub>1</sub>-ih<sub>2</sub>-* 'desire'. IE cognates: OIr. *fine* [f.] 'family, kindred', OBret. *coguenou* 'indigena', Gaul. *Ueni-carus* [PN] < PCI. \**uiniā* 'family, kindred'; OHG *wini* 'friend' < \**wen-i-*; Go. *winja* 'pasture, food', OHG MLG *winne*, Olc. *vin f.* 'pasture' < PGm. \**uenjō-*, OHG *wunnia*, *wunna*, *wunnī* 'pleasure, lust', OS *wunnia*, OE *wynn*.

A derivative of the root \**uenH-* 'to desire'.

Bibl.: WH II: 747, EM 719, LIV \**uenH-*. → *vēnor*, *venus*

**veniō, -īre** 'to come' [v. IV; pf. *vēnī*, ppp. *ventum*] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *ventiō* 'the coming' (Pl.+); *advenīre* 'to arrive, reach' (Andr.+), *adventāre* 'to approach' (Pl.+), *adventor* 'stranger, customer' (Pl.+), *advena* [m.] 'immigrant, foreigner' (Pl.+), *adventus*, *-ūs* 'arrival' (Pl.+), *adventīcius* 'casual, external' (Varro+), *antevenīre* 'to arrive first, anticipate' (Pl.+), *circumvenīre* 'to cheat, surround' (Naev.+), *convenīre* 'to meet, visit, agree' (Naev.+), *conventus*, *-ūs* 'assembly' (Andr.+), *conventīcius* 'met by chance' (Pl.), *convenae* [m.pl.] 'persons who meet, strangers' (Pl.+), *dēvenīre* 'to arrive, land' (Andr.+), *ēvenīre* 'to emerge, happen' (Pl.+), *ēventus*, *-ūs* 'issue, result' (Pl.+), *invenīre* 'to meet, find' (Naev.+), *inventor* 'discoverer' (Enn.+), *inventus*, *-ūs* 'finding' (Pl.+), *intervenīre* 'to occur, intervene' (Pl.+), *interventus*, *-ūs* 'occurrence, intervention' (Pl.+), *obvenīre* 'to be assigned, happen' (Pl.+), *pervenīre* 'to get to, reach' (Pl.+), *praevenīre* 'to arrive or occur first' (Pl.+), *prōvenīre* 'to come forward, grow' (Naev.+), *revenīre* 'to return' (Pl.+), *subvenīre* 'to come to help' (Pl.+), *subventāre* 'to come to the aid of' (Pl.).

PIt. \**g<sup>w</sup>n-je/o-* 'to come', \**g<sup>w</sup>n-to-* 'having come', \**g<sup>w</sup>(e)m-ti-*, \**g<sup>w</sup>em-tu-* 'the coming'. It. cognates: U. *menes* [2s.fut.] (uncertain because of *m-*), *benus* [2 or 3s.fut.pf.], *benust* [3s.fut.pf.], *benurent*, *benurent* [3p.fut.pf.], *benuso* [?], O. *kúmbened* [*kom-* + 3s.pf.], O. *cebnust* [*ke-* + 3s.fut.pf.] 'to come' < \**ben-* < \**g<sup>w</sup>en-*. Note pf. *lben-l*, not *lbēn-l*. O. *kúmbennieis* [gen.sg.] 'certain town council' < \**kom-ben-io-* < \**kom-g<sup>w</sup>m-io-* 'gathering'.

PIE \**g<sup>w</sup>m-ie/o-* 'to come', \**g<sup>w</sup>m-to-*, \**g<sup>w</sup>m-ti-* [f.], \**g<sup>w</sup>em-tu-* [m.] 'coming'. IE cognates: Skt. pr. *gáčhati*, aor. *ágan* [3s.act.], pf. *jagáma* [3s.act.] 'to move, come', *gatá-* [ppp.], *gáti-* [f.] 'going, motion', *gántu-* [m.] 'walk, road', YAv. *jasá-* [pr.], OAv. aor. *jān* [3s.ind.act.], *gamān* [3p.ind.act.], YAv. *gata-* [ppp.], *aiβi.gaiti-* [f.] 'coming towards', Gr. *βαίνω* 'to go' (< PGr. \**βαμ-ἰ-ω*), *βάσκε* [2s.ipv.act.] 'go!', Go. *qiman* 'to come' (< PIE sb. \**g<sup>w</sup>em-e-*), ToB *śman-*, ToA *śmäs* [sb.] 'will come'.

The present and the ppp. are inherited; the same could be true for the nouns *-ventus* [u] and *-ventiō* (<< \**-venti-*), but these could also be recent formations following the productive pattern. Lat. *advena* and *convena* cannot be recent derivatives from *veniō*, but their *-n-* does betray them as are secondary creations of the type *incola*. Apparently, the phonetic rise of PIt. \**g<sup>w</sup>n-je/o-* and \**g<sup>w</sup>n-to-* with *n* for PIE \**m* caused the replacement of \**m* by \**n* in the other derivatives of the root too, esp. the Italic pf.

Bibl.: WH II: 747f., EM 720, IEW 463-465, Untermann 2000: 143-145, 412, LIV \**g<sup>w</sup>em-*. → *cōntiō*

**vēnor**, -ārī ‘to hunt’ [v. I] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *vēnātor* ‘hunter’ (Pl.+), *vēnātus*, -ūs ‘hunt’ (Naev.+), *vēnātūra* ‘hunting game’ (Pl.+), *vēnābulum* ‘hunting-spear’ (Varro+), *vēnāticus* ‘used in hunting’ (Pl.+), *vēnātiō* ‘hunting, hunt’ (Varro+).

Plt. *\*wēn-o/ā-* ‘hunting’ >> *\*wēnā-je/o-* ‘to hunt’.

PIE \*(H)uēnh<sub>1</sub>- / \*(H)unh<sub>1</sub>- ‘desire’. IE cognates: Hit. *uēn-<sup>zi</sup>* / *uuan-* ‘to copulate’ < \*h<sub>1</sub>/3uēnh<sub>1</sub>-; Skt. *vānate* (RV) [3s.med.], *vañchati* [3s.act.], aor. *vanāti* ‘to love, desire’, *vāmā-* ‘sweet, precious, noble, beautiful’, YAv. *vañtā-* [f.] ‘beloved one, wife’; (?) Alb. *ū(n)* [m.] (Geg), *uri* [f.] (Tosk) ‘hunger’ < \*un-; Go. *wens* ‘hope’ [i], Olc. *ván*, OE *wān* ‘supposition, opinion’ < \*uēnH-; OHG *wunscen* ‘to wish’; Go. *winnan* ‘to suffer’, Olc. *vinna* ‘to labour, overcome’ < \*uēnh<sub>1</sub>-; ToA *wañi*, ToB *wīna* ‘joy’ < PIE \*uēnh<sub>1</sub>-, \*unh<sub>1</sub>-.

Probably a denominative verb to an adj. *\*wēno-* ‘searching, hunting’ or a noun *\*wēnā-* ‘hunt’, which must themselves be derivatives from a PIE root noun with a long vowel which is also seen (albeit thematized) in Germanic. The PIE root had final \*-h<sub>1</sub> if the Hittite verb is cognate. Kloekhorst 2008: 999f. reconstructs an initial laryngeal, too, on the strength of the Hit. spelling *ú-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 749f., EM 720f., IEW 1146f., Schrijver 1991: 127f., LIV \*uēnH-. → *venus*

**venter**, -tris ‘belly, abdomen’ [m. i] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *ventriōsus* ‘pot-bellied’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*we(n)d-ri-*?

PIE \*(H)ued-ro- ‘belonging to the belly’.

Possible preforms include *\*wentri-* and *\*wendri-*. Hamp 1978: 190 suggests that it is originally the same word as *uterus* < PIE \*(H)u(e)d-ér-o- ‘sticking out, belly’. Semantically, this is of course attractive; and formally, especially BSl. \*(?)uéd-ero- ‘(belonging to the) belly’ is close to *venter*. Hamp explains the internal nasal as analogical from *vēsīca* ‘bladder’, which is ad hoc and uncertain since the nasal is a recent addition to *vēsīca* (see s.v.).

Bibl.: WH II: 751, EM 721, IEW 1104f. → *uterus*

**ventus** ‘wind’ [m. o] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *ventōsus* ‘windy’ (Cato+), *ventulus* ‘light breeze’ (Pl., Ter.), *ventilāre* ‘to expose to a draught, ventilate’ (Var.+), *ventilābrum* ‘winnowing-shovel’ (Var.+).

Plt. *\*wēnto-*.

PIE \*h<sub>2</sub>ueh<sub>1</sub>-nt-o- ‘wind’. IE cognates: W. *gwynt* ‘wind’, Hit. *huuant-* [c.] ‘wind’ < \*h<sub>2</sub>uh<sub>1</sub>-ent-, Skt. *vāta-* [m.] ‘wind, god of wind’ (several times disyllabic in the RV), OAv. *vāta-* /*va?ata-*/ [m.] ‘wind’, YAv. *vāta-*, Gr. ἄνεμος ‘to blow’, Go. *winds*, OHG *wint*, ToB *yente* [n.f.], ToA *want* (~ *wānt*) ‘wind’.

A derivative of the original pr.ptc.act. of \*h<sub>2</sub>ueh<sub>1</sub>- ‘to blow (of the wind)’ (nom.sg. \*h<sub>2</sub>ueh<sub>1</sub>-nt-s, acc.sg. \*h<sub>2</sub>uh<sub>1</sub>-ent-m, gen.sg. \*h<sub>2</sub>uh<sub>1</sub>-nt-os). PIE \*h<sub>2</sub>ueh<sub>1</sub>-nt-o- has first yielded pre-Italic \*uēnto-, whence with Osthoff’s shortening *\*wento-*. According to Schrijver, the development might also have been of PIE \*h<sub>2</sub>ueh<sub>1</sub>-nt-o- to pre-Italic

\**HueHento-*, with syllabification of the nasal, and then contraction of \*-eHe- > \*-ē-. This would match the Ir. development of ‘wind’. Yet since Germanic and Celtic unambiguously show the retention of consonantal \*n throughout, it seems safest to assume the same for Latin.

Bibl.: WH I: 751-752, EM 721, IEW 81-84, Schrijver 1991: 159f., LIV \*h<sub>2</sub>ueh<sub>1</sub>- ‘to blow (of the wind)’. → *vannus*, *vēlōx*

**vēnus/m** ‘sale’ [m./n. o] (Lex XII+; only in acc.sg. *vēnum* and dat.sg. *vēnō* / *vēnui*)

Derivatives: *vēnālis* ‘for sale, on hire’ (Pl.+); *vendere* (*vendīdī*, *venditum*) ‘to sell’ (Naev.+), *venditiō* ‘sale’ (Varro+), *venditor* ‘vendor’ (Varro+), *vendibilis* ‘that can be sold’ (Varro+), *vendāx* ‘addicted to selling’ (Cato+), *venditāre* ‘to offer for sale’ (Pl.+); *vēneō* (*vēnī*, *vēnībō*) ‘to be sold’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \**wesno-*. It. cognates: Marr. *uenalinam* [acc.sg.f.] maybe ‘of the sale’, deriv. to \**uēno-* ‘sale’.

PIE \**ues-no-* ‘price’. IE cognates: Hit. *ušniē/a-* ‘to put up for sale’ < \**us-n-ie/o-*, Skt. *vasná-* [n.] ‘price, value’, MP *wahāg* ‘trade’, Gr. ὄνος [n.] ‘price’ (denom. ὀνόματι ‘I buy’) < \**φόνος*, Arm. *gin* ‘bride-price?’ (< \**uesno-*).

The verb *vēnīre* ‘to be sold’ reflects earlier \**vēnum īre* ‘to go on sale’, whereas *vendere* is a syncopated variant of \**vēnum darē* ‘to give for sale’. Kloekhorst (2008: 930f.) assumes that Hit. \**usn-je/o-* shows that the noun for ‘sale’ originally was an *n*-stem \**uos-n*, \**us-en-s*, which was thematized by the other IE languages. This would nicely explain the different root vowels of Armenian, Greek and Latin.

Bibl.: WH II: 753f., EM 721, IEW 1173, Untermann 2000: 834f., LIV 2.\**ues-*. → *vīlis*

**venus, -eris** ‘goddess Venus, love, charm’ [f. r] (Naev.+; nom.sg. CIL *venos*)

Derivatives: *venustus* ‘attractive, charming’ (Pl.+), *venustulus* ‘charming’ (Pl.), *invenustus* ‘unattractive’ (Pl.+), *venustās* ‘charm, grace’ (Pl.+), *venerius* ‘of Venus, erotic’, *venerārīe* ‘to worship, pay homage’ (Naev.+), *venerātiō* ‘adoration’ (Varro+).

Plt. \**wenos-* [n.]. It. cognates: O. *ῥεϛζητ* [dat.sg.] f., borrowed from Latin.

PIE \**uenh<sub>1</sub>-os* [n.] ‘desire’. IE cognates: see s.v. *vēnor*.

Bibl.: WH II: 752f., EM 722, IEW 1146f., Schrijver 1991: 111, Untermann 2000: 837, Stüber 2002: 170f., LIV \**uenH-*. → *venia*, *vēnor*

**vēr** ‘spring’ [n. r] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *vernus* ‘occurring in spring’ (Andr.+), *verculum* ‘a little spring’ (Pl.), *vernō* ‘in spring’ (Cato+); *vēr sacrum* ‘sacrifice of all living beings born in the spring of a certain year’ (Sis.+).

Plt. \**wes-or* [nom.acc.], \**wes-n-* [gen.].

PIE \**ues-r/n-* [n.] ‘spring’. IE cognates: Skt. *vasantā-*, Av. *vaŋri* [loc.sg.], Gr. ἔαρ [n.] ‘spring’, εἰαπνός ‘belonging to spring’, Arm. *garown* ‘spring’, Lith. *vāsara*, *vasarà* ‘summer’, OCS *vesna* ‘spring’, Olc. *vár* ‘spring’.

An original paradigm \**uesr*, \**uesnos* would yield Latin \**veror* (since the vocalization of \**r* to \**or* was Proto-Italic), gen.sg. \**vēnos*. The gen.sg. was replaced by \**vēros*,

and subsequently, long  $\bar{e}$  has been introduced into the nom.sg. and final *-or* was analogically removed. Schrijver, differently, reconstructs nom.sg.  $*\underline{u}\bar{e}sr$ , but an ablaut  $*\bar{e} : *e$  in a n. word seems unlikely. Lat. *vernus* may reflect  $*\underline{u}esinos$ , or, with Nussbaum, be delocalival from  $*\underline{v}\bar{e}ri-no-$ . Not to PIE  $*h_2ues-$  ‘to spend the night; dawn’ because of the initial laryngeal. Skt. *vasar-há* (RV), of the wind (meaning uncertain), and *vāsará-* ‘of the morning’ may belong here too, their meaning having been influenced by *usra-* ‘matutinal’, *ušar°* ‘at dawn’ (Alexander Lubotsky, p.c.).

Bibl.: WH II: 755, EM 722, IEW 1174, Leumann 1977: 206, Schrijver 1991: 129.

**verbera** ‘twigs for flogging, whip, lashes’ [n.pl. *r*] (Pl.+; sg. in quotations, and nom.sg. *uerber* in glossaries.)

Derivatives: *verberō* ‘one who deserves flogging’ (Pl.+), *verbereus* ‘associated with flogging’ (Pl.+), *verberetillus* ‘quite associated with flogging’ (Pl.), *verberāre* ‘to flog, hammer’ (Pl.+), *verberābundus* ‘occupied in flogging’ (Pl.), *verberābilis* ‘ready for beating’ (Pl.), *verberitāre* ‘to frequently flog’ (Cato apud Paul. *ex F.*); *dēverberāre* ‘to flog soundly’ (Ter.), *dīverberāre* ‘to cleave’ (Lucr.+), *subverbustus* ‘loaded with floggings’ (Pl.+); *verbēna* ‘leafy branch or twig’ (Naev.+).

PIt.  $*\underline{w}erb/fos$  [n.] ‘twig, whip’.

PIE  $*\underline{u}erb^{(h)}-es-?$  IE cognates: Lith. *vir̃bas* ‘stick, twig’, *vir̃balas* ‘small staff, needle’, *vir̃binis* ‘snare’, Latv. *vir̃bs* ‘small stick’, *vir̃ba* ‘pole’, Ru. *vérbā* ‘willow twig’ (Ru. dial. *verbá*), *voróba* ‘cord’, *voróby* ‘spool’.

The pl. *verbera*, the adj. *-verbustus* and the derivative *verbēna* <  $*\underline{w}erbes-na-$  all point to an *s*-stem  $*\underline{w}erbos$ , *-es-*. The BSl. words for ‘twig’ and ‘stick’ may be derived from the same root. Slavic seems to point to a root  $*\underline{u}rb-$ , but Baltic to  $*\underline{u}rb^h-$ .

Bibl.: WH II: 756, EM 722f., IEW 1153.

**verbum** ‘word’ [n. *o*] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *verbōsus* ‘containing many words’ (Varro+); *praeverbium* ‘prefix’ (Varro+); *verbificātiō* ‘speechifying’ (Caecil.), *verbivēlitātiō* ‘verbal skirmish’ (Pl.).

PIt.  $*\underline{w}erpo-$  [n.] ‘word’.

PIE  $*\underline{u}erh_1-d^h h_1-o-$  ‘making a statement’. IE cognates: Hit.  $\underline{u}erite-zi$ ,  $\underline{u}erit-$  ‘to fear, be frightened’ <  $*\underline{u}(e)rh_1-i-$  +  $*d^h eh_1-$  ‘to place a call’ (?; Kloekhorst 2008: 1003f.); OPr. *wirds*, Lith. *var̃das*, Latv. *vārd̃s*; Go. *waurd*, OHG *wort* ‘word’ <  $*\underline{u}r-d^h o-$ ; Hit.  $\underline{u}erije/a-$  ‘to call, name, summon’, ptc.  $\underline{u}erant-$  <  $*\underline{u}erh_1-(ie/o-)$ , Hit.  $-\underline{u}a(r)-$  (particle of direct speech), Pal.  $-\underline{u}ar-$ , CLuw.  $-\underline{u}a-$  (sentence initial particle), HLuw.  $-\underline{w}a-$ , Lyc.  $-\underline{w}e-$  < PANat.  $*\underline{u}or$ , Skt. *vratá-* [n.] ‘vow, religious observance, commandment’, OAv. *uruuata-* [n.] ‘rule, order, indication’, YAv. *uruuataṭ.nara-* [m.] MoP, Oss. *iræd/ærwæd* ‘bride-money’ < PlIr.  $*\underline{u}rata-$ , Gr. εἶπω, ἐπέω ‘to say’ (<  $*\underline{u}erh_1-$ ), ῥητός ‘appointed’ (<  $*\underline{u}rh_1-to-$ ).

Lat. *verbum* and the Baltic and Gm. words point to  $*\underline{u}(e)rd^h o-$ , which Hackstein 2002a: 14 analyses as an original compound of  $*\underline{u}erh_1-$  ‘to say’ and  $*-d^h h_1-o-$  ‘putting’; the first laryngeal would have been lost already in PIE between *-r-* and  $*-d^h h_1-$ . Yet it is awkward that the three branches have different ablaut of the first member; or is *verbum* an early instance of  $*\underline{v}or- > \underline{v}er-$ ? In that case, Latin could have

\**urh<sub>1</sub>-d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-o-* as Germanic and OPr. The Hit. verb *uerite-* ‘to fear’ could also continue the same syntagm although the meaning requires some special pleading.

Bibl.: WH II: 756f., EM 723, IEW 1162f., Untermann 2000: 843f., LIV \**uerh<sub>1</sub>-*.

**vereor** ‘to show respect, fear’ [v. II; pf. *veritus sum*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *verēcundus* ‘restrained, modest’ (Pl.+), *verēcundia* ‘shame, modesty’ (Pl.+); *reverēri* ‘to have regard for’ (Pl.+), *reverēcunditer* ‘reverentially’ (Enn.+).

Plt. \**wer-ē-* ‘to be wary’.

PIE \**u(e)r-eh<sub>1</sub>-* ‘to note, sense’. IE cognates: OIr. *cóir*, *coair* [adj. *i*] ‘just, rightful’, W. *cyweir* ‘ready, equipped’ < PCl. \**ko(m)-wari-*; Go. *wars*, OIc. *varr*, OE *wær* ‘careful, attent’ (< PGm. \**wara-*), Go. *warei* ‘cunning, trick’, OE *waru*, OHG *wara* ‘attention’; ToB *wār-sk-* ‘to smell’, ToA *wār-* < PTō. \**wār-* < PIE \**wer-*; ToA *wras-*, ToB *wārs-* ‘to pity’ < PT. \**wārs-* or \**wrās-* < \**wer-s-*; ToB *were*, ToA *war* ‘smell’ < PIE \**wóro-*. Disputed: Gr. *ὀράω* ‘to look, perceive, see’, *ὄραχος* [m.] ‘watcher, guardian’ < \**wer-* or \**ser-*.

WH and EM suggest that *veretrum* ‘penis’ (Varro+) belongs here, but Serbat 1975: 332f. is much more sceptical. I regard its etymology as unknown.

Bibl.: WH II: 757f., EM 723, IEW 1164, LIV 3. \**uer-*.

**vergō, -ere** ‘to slope down, sink’ [v. III] (Varro+)

Derivatives: *Vergiliae* [f.pl.] ‘the constellation of the Pleiads’ (Pl.+); *invergere* ‘to tip (liquids) upon’ (Pl.+); *vermina, -um* [n.pl.] ‘gripping pains’ (Lucr.+).

Plt. \**werg-e/o-*.

PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>urg-* ‘to turn’. IE cognates: Hit. *hurki-* ‘wheel’ < \**h<sub>2</sub>urg-i-*; Skt. *वृणक्ति* ‘to turn (around), ward off’, OP *hauma-varga-* [name of a Scythic tribe] ‘laying Haoma-plants around the fire’, OE *wrencan* ‘to turn, wring’.

The noun *vermina* suggests a sg. \**werg-mn* ‘turning, contraction’ (of the belly). It was secondarily associated with *vermis* ‘worm’ by the Romans.

Bibl.: WH II: 758-760, EM 723f., IEW 1154, Leumann 1977: 218, Schrijver 1991: 75f., LIV \**h<sub>2</sub>urg-*.

**vermis** ‘worm; maggot’ [m. *i*] (Cato+)

Derivatives: *vermiculus* ‘larva, maggot’ (Lucil.+), *vermiculātus* ‘arranged to give a wavy effect’ (Lucil.+).

Plt. \**wormi-* ‘snake, worm’.

PIE \**urmi-* ‘snake, worm’. IE cognates: Go. *waurms* [m.] ‘snake’, OIc. *ormr*, OE *wyrm*, OFr. *wirm* ‘snake’, OS *wormo*, OHG *wurm* [m.] ‘worm’ < PGm. \**wurmali-*.

It is striking that other branches of IE continue a form \**k<sup>r</sup>mi-* ‘worm’: OIr. *cruim*, MW *pryf*, Bret. *pryf* ‘worm’; Skt. *kṛmi-* ‘worm, maggot’, Sogd. *kyrm-* ‘snake’, MP *klm /kirm/* ‘worm, dragon, snake’, MoP *kirm*, Lith. *kirmis* ‘worm, snake’, OCS *čръвь* ‘worm’, Alb. *krim(b)* ‘worm, maggot, caterpillar’. This distribution might be the result of a development of \**k<sup>r</sup>mi-* > \**wrmi-* in Latin and Germanic.

Bibl.: WH II: 760, EM 724, IEW 1152.

**verrēs, -is** ‘boar’ [m. *i*] (Pl.+)

PIt. *\*wors-en-*.

PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>u<sub>̥</sub>s-en-* ‘male’. IE cognates: Skt. *vṛ̥ṣan-* [adj./m.] ‘manly, powerful; male animal (bull, stallion)’, *vṛ̥ṣabhá-* [adj./m.] ‘manly, powerful; bull, boar, husband, ruler’, YAv. *varəšna-* ‘manly’, *varšna-* [m. PN], *varšni-* [m. PN] ‘ram’; Gr. ἄρσῆν [adj.] ‘manly’; Lith. *veršis* ‘bull, ox, ox calf’.

*Verrēs* must be a recharacterization of *\*verrē*, the expected(?) outcome of a nom.sg. *\*werrēn*. The PIE root might be *\*u<sub>̥</sub>ers-* ‘to rise’, cf. Kümmel 2000: 476f., unless the word for ‘male’ had an initial laryngeal.

Bibl.: WH II: 761, EM 724, IEW 78-81, Schrijver 1991: 377.

**ve/orrō, -ere** ‘to sweep clean’ [v. III; ppp. *vo/ersum*] (Pl.+; Pl. *vor-*, pf. *-vorri*, elsewhere *ver-*)

Derivatives: *convolerrere* ‘to sweep together’ (Pl.+), *dēverrere* ‘to sweep away’ (Lucil.+), *ēverrere* ‘to sweep out (a room)’ (Titin.+), *revorrere* ‘to sweep back again’ (Pl.); *āverruncāre* ‘to ward off’ (Cato+), *verruncāre* ‘to turn out (in a specified way)’ (Pac. Acc. Liv.).

PIt. *\*wors-e/o-*.

PIE *\*urs-e/o-* ‘to wipe’. IE cognates: Hit. *warš-i* ‘to reap, harvest, wipe’ < *\*u<sub>̥</sub>ors-*, RuCS *vr̥xu* ‘to thresh’.

The reflex *verr-* is due to the change of *\*vo-* > *ve-* in front of *s*, *t* and *rC* around 200 BC. The verb *verruncāre* is probably derived from a noun *\*verruncus* (possibly attested in Varro’s *deus Averruncus*) < *\*wrs-on-ko-*, which itself presupposes an *n*-stem *\*wrs-on-* ‘who sweeps / wards off’.

Bibl.: WH I: 82, II: 761f., EM 724, IEW 1169f., LIV 1. *\*u<sub>̥</sub>ers-*. → *vestīgium*

**verrūca** ‘wart; hillock’ [f. *ā*] (Cato+)

PIt. *\*we/ors-u-* ‘hill, top’.

PIE *\*u(e/o)rs-u-* ‘height, top’. IE cognates: OIr. *ferr* ‘better’ < PCl. *\*werro-* ‘high’ < *\*u<sub>̥</sub>erso-* ‘peak’, Skt. *várṣman-* [n.] ‘height, top’ < *\*u<sub>̥</sub>ers-mn-*, *várṣiṣṭha-* ‘highest, most excellent’; Lith. *viršūs* [m.], Latv. *viršus*, OCS *vr̥xъ*, Ru. *verx*, loc.sg. *verxú* ‘top, upper part’ < *\*u<sub>̥</sub>rs-u-*; OE *wearr* ‘sill’. Uncertain: Go. *wairsiza*, OHG *wirsiro* ‘worse’ < *\*u<sub>̥</sub>érsizon-*.

The suffix *-ūcus* can be denominal to a stem in *\*-u-*, but it is also found after other types of stems. The original ablaut grade of the root cannot be determined since *\*u<sub>̥</sub>e/ors-* and *\*u<sub>̥</sub>rs-* would all yield OLat. *verr-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 762, EM 725, IEW 1151f., Leumann 1977: 340, LIV 2. *\*u<sub>̥</sub>ers-*.

**ve/ortō, -ere** ‘to turn’ [v. III; pf. *vo/ertū*, ppp. *vo/ersum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *vo/ertex*, *-icis* [m.] ‘whirlpool, peak, summit’ (Pac.+), *vertebra* ‘joint, vertebra’ (Lucil.+), *verticula/-us* ‘joint, pivot’ (Lucil.+), *vertīgō* ‘whirling movement’ (Afran.+), *vo/ersus*, *-ūs* [m.] ‘line of verse, phrase, row’ (Pl.+), *versūtus* [adj.] ‘cunning’ (Andr.+), *vo/ersāre* ‘to keep turning round, move to and fro, be busy’ (Pl.+), *versātilis* ‘capable of turning’ (Lucr.+), *vo/ersōria* ‘certain rope of a ship’



(Pl.+), *vo/ersūra* ‘turning, turning-point’ (Varro+); *vo/ersipellis* ‘who can change shape’ (Pl.); *vo/ersum/s* [adv.] ‘in a specified direction, towards’ (Pl.+), *advo/ersus* ‘turned towards, facing, opposite’ (Pl.+), *advo/ersus/m* [adv., prep.] ‘opposite, facing’ [*advorsus* Andr.+; *arvorsum* SCBac., Lex Sacra CIL 401, *adversus* Lex XII], *contrōversia* ‘dispute, quarrel’ (Pl.+), *contrōversus* [adv.] ‘in opposite directions’ (Cato), *deorsum/s* ‘down, below’ [adv.] (Pl.+), *exadversum* [adv., prep.] ‘opposite’ (Pl.+), *prō(r)sus/m* [adv.] ‘straight ahead, absolutely’ (Pl.+), *prōrsus* ‘following a straight line; prose’ (Pl.+), *retrōrsus/m* [adv.] ‘backwards’ (Lucr.+), *rursus/m*, *rūs(s)us/m* [adv.] ‘backwards, again, besides’ (Naev.+), *s(e)orsum/s* [adv.] ‘separately’ (Pl.+; Acc. *seuorsum*), *seorsus* ‘separate’ (Cato+), *sū(r)sum/s* [adv.] ‘upwards, above’ (Naev.+), *ūnivo/ersus* ‘whole, entire’ (Pl.+; *oinovorso-* SCBac.);

*advertere* ‘to turn towards, pay attention’ (Naev.+), *advo/ersārī* ‘to oppose, resist’ (Naev.+), *adversāre* ‘to direct’ (Pl.), *adversātrīx* ‘female opponent’ (Pl.+), *advo/ersārius* [adj./m.] ‘opposed, rival’ (Pl.+), *adversābilis* ‘prone to opposition’ (Acc.), *antevertere* ‘to give or take priority’ (Pl.+), *āvertere* ‘to turn away, divert’ (Pl.+), *āvo/ersārī* ‘to turn away’ (Pl.+), *āversābilis* ‘repulsive’ (Lucr.+), *circumvertere* ‘to turn round’ (Pl.+), *circumversārī* ‘to turn about repeatedly’ (Lucr.+), *convertere* ‘to turn back or around, change’ (Pl.+), *dēvertere* ‘to turn off the road for lodging, turn away’ (Pl.+), *dēverticulum* ‘byway, deviation’ (Pl.+), *dēversōrius* ‘which accomodates lodgers’ (Pl.), *dīvertere* ‘to separate oneself, be different’ (Pl.+), *dīvortium* ‘divorce, junction’ (Pl.+), *dīvorsum* [adv.] ‘in different ways’ (Cato), *ēvertere* ‘to overturn, expel’ (Pl.+), *īvertere* ‘to reverse’ (Ter.+), *pervertere* ‘to overturn, ruin’ (Pl.+), *pervorsārīō* ‘in a wrong-headed manner’ (Pl.), *praevertere* ‘to anticipate, attend firstly to’ (Pl.+), *revertere* ‘to return, go back’ (Pl.+), *subvertere* ‘to overturn, ruin’ (Ter.+).

Plt. *\*wert-e/o-*, *\*worsso-*. It. cognates: O. *ῥερσορει* [dat.sg.] epithet of Iuppiter, probably ‘who averts’ < *\*uert-tor-*; U. *trahuorfi* [adv.] probably ‘placed across’ < *\*trāns-uorssēd* [abl.sg.]; U. *vurtus* [3s.fut.pf.], *kuvertu*, *couertu* [3s.ipv.], *kuvurtus*, *couortus*, *courtust* [3s.fut.pf.], *couortuso* [3s.fut.II.ps.?] ‘to turn’ < *\*uert-e-*, *\*urt-us-*.

PIE *\*uert-e/o-* ‘to turn’. IE cognates: Skt. pr. *vārtate* [med.], aor. *avart*, pf. *āvārta*, intens. (*ā*) *varīvarti*, caus. *varīyati* ‘to turn (around), roll’, *vṛttā-* ‘turned, turning around’, YAv. *varāta-* ‘to turn’, *varātō.ravā-* ‘with a rolling car’, *vāša-* [m.] ‘car’, OPr. *wīrst* ‘to become’, Lith. *viṛsti* ‘to turn (around), fall over, become’, OCS *vr̃těti*, Ru. *vertét* ‘to turn (around)’ < *\*vṛt-*, Go. *wairþan*, OHG *werdan* ‘to become’, OHG *fra-wartan* ‘to hurt’, Olc. *nordān-verōr* ‘turned northward’.

The Italic cognates and the cp. verbs of Latin show that pr. originally was *\*wert-*, whereas the ppp. was *\*worsso-*. The latter became *vers-* in Latin after 200 BC due to the change of *vo-* > *ve-* in front of *s,t,rC* (Meiser 1998: 84). In view of literary spellings with *-vort-*, *vortex* and *dīvortium*, it is possible that the pr. had taken over the root variant *vort-* (from the pf.?) before this was regularly unrounded to *vert-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 763-765, EM 725f., IEW 1156-58, Leumann 1977: 48, Untermann 2000: 758, 844f., 864f., LIV *\*uert-*. → *dorsum*, *oportet*

**veru**, -ūs ‘spit (for roasting)’ [n. *u*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *verūtum* ‘short throwing spear’ (Enn.+), *vervīna* ‘spit (or similar pointed instrument)’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \**g<sup>w</sup>eru-* ‘spit’. It. cognates: U. **berva** [acc.pl.], **berus** [abl.pl.] n. ‘utensil used during the sacrifice’ < \**g<sup>w</sup>eru-*.

PIE \**g<sup>w</sup>er(H)-u-* ‘spit’. IE cognates: OIr. *bi(u)r* [n. > f.], W. Co. Bret. *ber* [f., m.] ‘spear, spit’ < \**g<sup>w</sup>eru-*.

In theory, Italo-Celtic \**g<sup>w</sup>eru-* could reflect PIE \**g<sup>w</sup>erh<sub>2</sub>-u-* ‘the heavy one’, from which it is not inconceivable that a meaning ‘pole, spit’ would derive. Nor is it compelling, of course. The Go. word *qairu* n. ‘pole, sting’ must be read as *pairu* (see Ebbinghaus 1977: 188f.) and does not belong here.

Bibl.: WH II: 766, EM 727, IEW 479, Untermann 2000: 145.

O. **veru** [acc.pl.], **veruis** [loc.pl.], U. **vera** [acc.pl.], **verufe**, *verofe* [acc.pl. + -en] , **veres**, *uerir* [abl.pl.], *uerisco* [abl.pl. + -com] ‘city gate’.

Plt. \**wero-* ‘gate’ [n.].

PIE \**Huer-o-* ‘cover, protection’. IE cognates: Skt. *valá-* [m.] ‘cave, enclosure’, Av. *vara-* [m.] ‘fenced place, artificial cave’ < IIr. \**Huara-*, ORu. *obora* ‘area for cattle’, Cz. *obora* ‘game preserve’, SCr. *òbor* ‘courtyard’ < *ob* + \**Huor-h<sub>2</sub>-*.

Bibl.: IEW 1160-62, Untermann 2000: 845f., LIV 1. \**uer-*, \**Huer-*. → *aperiō*, *operiō*

**vērus** ‘real, true’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *vērāx* ‘truthful’ (Pl.+), *vērāre* ‘to speak the truth’ (Enn.+), *vērātrum* ‘poisonous or medicinal plant, hellebore’ (Cato+).

Plt. \**wēro-*.

PIE \**ueh<sub>1</sub>-ro-* ‘true’. IE cognates: OIr. *fir*, W. *gwir* < PCl. \**wīro-*; OCS *věra*, Ru. *věra*, Po. *wiara* ‘faith, belief’ < PSI. \**věra* [f.], OHG *wār* ‘true’. Possibly also Gr. ἦρα in (ἐπι) ἦρα φέρειν ‘to please somebody’ [acc. sg. (pl. n.?)] if from \**uēr-*.

The appurtenance of *vērātrum* here is uncertain. It would suppose that it was derived from *vērāre*, in the sense that hellebore was used to restore mental health in patients (this was Isidor’s etymology); cf. Serbat 1975: 318f.

Bibl.: WH II: 768, EM 727, IEW 1165f., Schrijver 1991: 141. → *sevērus*

**vervex**, -ēcis ‘wether, castrated male sheep’ [m. *k*] (Pl.+)

Plt. \**werw-ē-*.

PIE \**ueru-?* IE cognates: Gr. εἶρος [n.] ‘wool’ < \**uēruos-*, Myc. */werwes-ieial* ‘women who work the wool’, Att. εὔ-ερος ‘with beautiful wool’, ἔπ-ερος ‘sheep’.

Has been connected with PIE \**urh<sub>1</sub>-en-* ‘lamb’ (to Skt. *úran-* [m.] ‘lamb’ < IIr. \**urH-an-*, Sogd. *wr’n* ‘lamb’ < PIr. \**varān-am*, Gr. ἀρήν [m.], Kret. φαρήν ‘lamb’, Gr. πολύ-ρρην-ες ‘possessing many lambs’, Arm. *garn* ‘lamb’), but it is hard to think of a derivational scenario by which this could have happened: \**uerh<sub>1</sub>-u-* > \**uēru-* + \**-ē-* (on the model of *verrēs*) >> \**-ēk-* is conceivable, but all other IE languages have the zero grade \**urh<sub>1</sub>-* of the root in this word. A zero grade \**urh<sub>1</sub>-u-* would probably yield Latin \**rāw-* or possibly \**raw-*. Instead of with PIE ‘lamb’, *vervex* might be

connected with Gr. εἴπος [n.] ‘wool’ < \**ueruos-*, which is semantically less attractive, but possible. We could assume \**ueru-ē-* ‘the male woolly one’ (on the model of *verrēs*), built on an older *u-*stem or on the truncated *s-*stem. The *k-*suffix must, under any scenario, be a later Latin addition.

Bibl.: WH II: 767f., EM 727, IEW 1170.

**vēscor, vēscī** ‘to make use of, enjoy’ [v. III] (Pac.+)

PIt. \**wēs-(s)ke/o-* ‘to feed, pasture’. It. cognates: Ven. *vesces* [nom.sg.], *vesketel* [dat.sg.] ‘pupil’ (male and female reference) < \**wesk-et-*; possibly U. *veskla, vesklu* [acc.pl.], *veskles, uesclir*, Vol. *uesclis* [abl.pl.] < \**wesk(V)lo-* [n.] ‘kind of vessel’.

PIE \**uēs-* ‘pasture, food’. IE cognates: OIr. *fess* ‘food’ < \**ues-th₂-*, Hit. *uēši-* / *uēšai-* [c.] ‘pasture’ (< \**ues-(e)i-*), Skt. *svāsara-* ‘pasture, meadow’ (< \**su-uas-ra-*), Av. *vāstra-* [n.] ‘pasture’, *vāstar-* ‘herd’, Olc. *fist* ‘nourishment’ < \**uesti-*, ToA *wāsri* ‘pasture’ < \**uesri-*.

WH’s derivaton from \**vē-ēscor* ‘to eat off’ is unconvincing because of the semantic gap with ‘to enjoy’, and because of the passive conjugation of *vēscor*. Muller 1926 and Keller 1982 assume a merger of two verbs, one being PIE \**ues-* ‘to wear’, and another verb meaning ‘to use, enjoy’. Yet the contexts where *vēscor* means ‘to wear’ are very rare, and the oldest attestations adduced by Muller and Keller (*vēscor armīs*) can just as well mean ‘to use’. The verb *vēscor* can be compared with IE terms for ‘pasture’ which go back to a root \**ues-*. Latin could reflect \**ues-ske/o-*, with introduction of *ē* as (possibly) in Av. *vāstra-*, *vāstar-*. In view of the absence of verbal derivatives of the root outside Latin, this *-ē-* would probably have a nominal origin (e.g. from a root noun \**uēs*, \**uesos*). The suffix *-sk-* would be a Latin addition, or an earlier Italic one, if U. *vesklo-* ‘vessel’ is cognate, or an even older one (maybe only a suffix \**-k-*) if Ven. *vesket-* is cognate.

Bibl.: WH II: 769, EM 727f., IEW 72f., Lejeune 1974: 340, Untermann 2000: 846f., LIV 3. \**ues-*. → *edō, vestibulum*

**vēsīca** ‘bladder’ [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *vē(n)sīcula* ‘small bubble’ (Lucr.+).

André 1984 argues, to my mind convincingly, that the original form was *vēsīca*, which is sometimes spelled *vēnsīca* because the sequences *-ēs-* and *-ēns-* started to merge in VLat. André compares the fact that the ‘bladder’ is often referred to using words for ‘to blow, inflate’ in different languages, e.g. in Germanic (NHG *Blase*, En. *bladder*), which is due to the fact that bladders were often recycled as balloons, lanterns or musical instruments involving air bags. André compares Lat. *vīsīre* / *vissīre* ‘to fart softly’ (Lucil.+), and regards the root \**vī/ēs(s)-* as onomatopoeic; the sound of a deflating bladder would thus have been compared to the sound of a fart. Indeed, words for ‘to fart’ often show a sequence of a labial obstruent plus a sibilant, cf. Lat. *pēdō* < PIE \**pesd-*. The new Latin formation \**vē/īs(s)-* is comprehensible in the light of the development \**pesd-* > *pēd-*, which obscured the sound symbolism originally present in that word.

Bibl.: WH II: 750f., EM 728, IEW 1104f., André 1984.

**vespa** ‘wasp’ [f. *ā*] (Varro+)

Plt. \**we/op-s-h<sub>2</sub>*- ‘wasp’.

PIE \*(h<sub>1</sub>)*uob<sup>h</sup>-s-h<sub>2</sub>*- ‘wasp’. IE cognates: OCo. *guhi-en* ‘a wasp’, MW *gw(y)chi*, OBret. *guohi* ‘wasps’; Av. *vaβžaka-* ‘scorpion’, MP *vaβz*, Bal. *gwabz* ‘wasp’ < PIr. \**wabža-*; OPr. *wobse*, Lith. *vapsvā*, *vapsā* (dial.), Latv. *vapsene* ‘wasp’, RuCS *osa*, Ru. *osá*, SCr. *òsa* (< BSl. \**uapsā*); OE *wæfs*, *wæps*, *wæsp* OHG *wefsa*, *wafsa*, *waspa*, Bav. *webes*.

It is questionable whether ‘wasp’ was derived from PIE \*(h<sub>1</sub>)*ueb<sup>h</sup>-* ‘to weave’, since wasps are not typically known for ‘weaving’. On the other hand, the way in which they build their nests from paper pulp could be described as ‘weaving’, or its appearance as a net.

Bibl.: WH II: 770, EM 728, IEW 1179.

**vesper, -erī** ‘evening’ [m. *o*] (Pl.+; also *vespera*)

Derivatives: *vesperna* ‘the evening meal’ (Pl.+), *vesperāscere*, *advesperāscere* ‘to become evening’ (Ter.+), *vespertinus* ‘of the evening’ (Varro+), *vesperūgō* ‘the Evening Star’ (Pl.+), *vespertiliō* ‘bat’ (Varro+).

Plt. \**we(k)spero-*.

PIE \**ue-k<sup>(w)</sup>sp-er-o-* ‘evening’. IE cognates: W. *ucher* ‘evening’, Hit. *išpant-* [c.] ‘night’, Skt. *kṣāp-* [f.], Av. *xšapan-* / *xšāfn-*, YAv. *xšap-* [f.], *xšapar-*, OP *xšap-* [f.] ‘night’ < PIE \**k<sup>(w)</sup>sep(-r/-n-)*; Gr. *ἕσπερος* [m.] ‘evening’ (< \**uek<sup>w</sup>sp-ero-*); Arm. *gišer* ‘night’ (< \**uek<sup>w</sup>šero-*), Lith. *vākaras*, Latv. *vakars*, OCS *večerь*, Ru. *véčer* ‘evening’ < \**uekspero-*.

The PIE word for ‘evening’ is clearly a compound of an element \**ue-* and a word for ‘night’ \**k<sup>(w)</sup>sep-*. The zero grade of the root brought about a consonant cluster \**-k<sup>(w)</sup>sp-* which was simplified in different ways in the IE languages. The first element \**ue-* is difficult to explain. It has been equated with Lat. *vē-*, but this has a very restricted distribution, and chances are that it is an inner-Latin development; see s.v. Also, it means ‘hyper / hypo-’, which does not match the alleged meaning of *ve-* in *vesper*. *Ve-* in *vesper* has been compared with Latin *au-tem*, Gr. *αὖ* ‘again’, but PIE \**h<sub>2</sub>ue-* would leave other traces in Greek than *ē-*. Of course, one might assume that the initial laryngeal was dropped in the compound. It is possible that \**ue-* in \**ue-k<sup>(w)</sup>sp-ero-* has a completely different origin, e.g. the reduction of an earlier noun or adjective.

Bibl.: WH II: 770f., EM 728, IEW 1173f. → *vē-*

**ve/ispillō** [m. *n*] ‘one employed to bury those too poor to afford a funeral’ (Mart.+)

Plt. \**wospo-* ‘clothing’?

PIE \**uos-p-?* IE cognates: Hit. <sup>(TUG)</sup> *uašpa-* [c.] ‘clothing’, CLuw. *uašpant-* ‘wearing shrouds (?)’ < PIE \**uos-b<sup>h</sup>o-?*

As argued by Watkins 1969, the original function of a *vespillō* was to provide the dead with the right clothing for their burial. Since *vesp-* may reflect earlier \**vosp-*, Watkins hypothesizes that *vespillō* goes back to a PIE word for ‘clothing, shroud’ found also in Anatolian. Janda 2000: 85 and 208f. also connects Gr. *δσπιον* ‘pulse,

legume' (\*'in a pod'), suggesting an original *r/n*-stem *\*uósp-r* 'cover, pod'. Semantically, this is a convincing etymology. The main problem is the source of the suffix *\*-p-*, which is an infrequent suffix in PIE.

Bibl.: WH II: 772, EM 728, Watkins 1969. → *vestis*

**Vesta** 'goddess of the domestic hearth' [f. *ā*] (Enn.+; *Pocula Deorum Vestai* dat.sg.)

Derivatives: *vestālis* 'of Vesta or her cult' (Varro+).

The closest cognate seems to be Gr. ἑστία 'hearth, fireplace', Ion. ἰστίνη, which has *\*μ-* in *ἑστία* (PN, Mantinea), *γιστία* 'hearth' (Hsch.). This cannot be derived from PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>ues-* 'to spend the night, stay', since *\*h<sub>2</sub>-* would have yielded *α-*. Possibly a loanword.

Bibl.: WH II: 772f., EM 729, IEW 1170, LIV 2. *\*h<sub>2</sub>ues-*.

**vestibulum** 'fore-court' [n. *o*] (Pl.+)

PIt. *\*westV-~~blo-~~* 'place of feeding'.

PIE *\*ues-ti-* 'feeding' or *\*ues-to-* 'fed'. IE cognates: See s.v. *vēscor*.

Many different etymologies have been proposed. The most popular ones have been reviewed by Serbat 1975: 50-53, who points out that all of them are semantically unsatisfactory. Serbat himself argues that the *vestibulum* may have referred to the courtyard of the pre-classical farm, where the domesticated animals were kept and fed. This leads him to derive *vestibulum* from the root *\*ues-* 'to pasture, graze', its original meaning being 'which permits feeding'. For the suffix, compare Lat. *sessibulum*.

Bibl.: WH II: 774, EM 729, Leumann 1977: 314, LIV 3. *\*ues-*.

**vestigium** 'footprint, track' [n. *o*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *vestigāre* 'to follow the trail, search out' (Pl.+), *vestigātor* 'who tracks down' (Varro+), *investigāre* 'to track down, search out' (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*w(e/o)rsti-Hg-*.

PIE *\*u(e)rs-ti-* 'furrow'. IE cognates: see s.v. *verrō*.

It is often assumed that *vestigium* was primary and *vestigāre* secondary, but this is uncertain. Since a connection with *vestis* 'clothes' does not make much sense, the suggestion that *vestigium* continues *\*verstigium*, which was defended by Muller 1926: 539 but rejected outright by WH, seems attractive. If connected with *verrō* 'to sweep' < *\*urs-*, *vestigium* may originally have meant a 'track' or 'furrow'. The verb *vestigāre* can reflect *\*w(e)rsti-Hg-* 'to draw a furrow', from a noun *\*urs-ti-* (or *\*uers-ti-*) 'furrow'.

Bibl.: WH II: 774f., EM 729, Dunkel 2000a: 95, LIV 1. *\*uers-*. → *vo/errō*

**vestis** 'clothes' [f. *i*] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *vestīre* 'to clothe, dress' (Pl.+), *vestītus*, *-ūs* 'dress, clothes' (Pl.+), *vestīmentum* 'clothes, blanket' (Pl.+), *vestiārius* 'of or concerning clothes' (Cato+); *convestīre* 'to clothe, cover' (Enn.+), *investīre* 'to clothe, cover' (Enn.+).

PIt. *\*wes-ti-* 'clothes'.

PIE \*ues-ti-. IE cognates: Hit. *uešš-<sup>na</sup>* / *uašše/a-<sup>zi</sup>* ‘to be dressed, wear, put on’, CLuw. *uašš-* ‘to wear’ < \**ués-to* and \**us-ié-ti-*; Skt. *váste* ‘to wear’, *vásana-* [n.] ‘garment, cloth’, *vastí-varna-* ‘colour of the garment’, OAv. *vastē*, YAv. *vaste* ‘is clothed’, *vanhana-* [n.] ‘clothing’, *vastra-* [n.] ‘garment, cloth’, Gr. ἐννυμι ‘to clothe, put on’ < \**ues-n(e)u-*, aor. ἔσ(σ)αι, pf.med. εἶμαι, εἰμένος < \**ues-*; εἵματα [pl.] ‘clothes’ (< \**ues-mn-*), Arm. *z-genowm* ‘clothe oneself’ < \**ues-nu-*, *z-gest* ‘cloth(es)’ < \**ues-tu-*, Alb. *vesh* ‘to clothe’, Go. *and-wasjan* ‘to take off’, OE *werian* ‘to clothe’ < PIE \**uos-eie-*; ToB *wās-* ‘to wear; put on’.

Probably an independent formation of Latin, since Skt. *vastí-* is only attested in the Taittirīya Āraṇyaka.

Bibl.: WH II: 774f., EM 729, IEW 1172f., LIV 1.\*ues-.

ve/otō, -āre ‘to forbid’ [v. I; pf. *vetuī*, ppp. *vetitum*] (Pl.+; *votō* in Nonius)

Plt. \**we/ot-ā(je)-* / \**wotaje-*.

PIE \**uet(h<sub>2</sub>)-* / \**uoth<sub>2</sub>-eie/o-* / \**ue/ot-eh<sub>2</sub>-* ‘to say’. IE cognates: OW *guetid* ‘says’, MW *dy-wedaf* ‘I say’ < PCI. \**uete/o-*; MW *gwadu* ‘to deny’, *gwad* [m.], OBret. *guad* ‘denial’ < \**uot-*.

If the hapax *vo-* in Nonius is the oldest form, we must reconstruct Plt. \**wot-*; since this did not undergo unrounding to \**wa-*, it would imply a Plt. preform \**wotH-* with a closed initial syllable (Schrijver 1991). The verb could be equated with MW *gwadu* ‘to deny’ < \**uot-*. Rix 1999 argues that the original construction was *vetāre nē* ‘to say that not’, which came to mean ‘to forbid that’; this development might have been Italo-Celtic, in view of the MW meaning of *gwadu* ‘to deny’. But other solutions are equally possible. Lat. *votō* could be a deliberate archaism; compare the change *vo-* > *ve-* in front of *s,t,rC* from the second century onwards. Or Lat. *votō* could be a phonetically regular remnant of the change \**e* > *o* after \**w* and before a back vowel in the next syllable (as in *vomō*, *soror*, etc.). In that case, we can reconstruct Plt. \**wet-ā-* ‘to say’ to an *aniŋ* root \**uet-*.

Bibl.: WH I: 776, EM 730, Schrijver 1991: 472f., Rix 1999: 520, Schumacher 2004: 679f., LIV \**ueth<sub>2</sub>-*.

U. *vetu*, *ahauendu*, *preuendu* [3s.ipv.II, + *ā-*, + \**prai-*] ‘to turn’.

Plt. \**wende/o-*.

PIE \*(H)uend<sup>h</sup>-e/o- ‘to wind’. IE cognates: Skt. *vandhūr-* [m.] ‘seat of carriage, frame-work of carriage’ (< ‘plaited thing?’), Go. *-windan*, OE *windan*, NHG *winden* ‘to twist, wind’, ToB *wāntrā* ‘disguises himself (?)’.

Bibl.: IEW 1148, Meiser 1986: 180-183, Untermann 2000: 835f., LIV \*uend<sup>h</sup>-.

**vetus, -eris** ‘old’ [adj. *r*] (Pl.+; Enn. nom.sg. *veter*)

Derivatives: *vetulus* ‘elderly, ageing’ (Pl.+), *veterānus* ‘mature, experienced’ (Varro+), *vetustus* ‘ancient’ (Pl.+), *vetustās* ‘being old, age, antiquity’ (Pl.+), *veternus* ‘torpor, lassitude’ (Pl.+), *veternōsus* ‘lethargic’ (Cato+), *veterātor* ‘an experienced practitioner’ (Ter.+); *inveterāscere* ‘to grow old’ (Ter.+); *veterīmus* [adj.] ‘used for draught, draught-animals’ (Cato+).

PIt. *\*wetos-* ‘year’, *\*wetes-ino-* ‘old’, *\*wetso-* ‘of the year’. It. cognates: U. *vesune*, Mars. *uesune* [dat.sg.] ‘the goddess *\*wetsōna* ‘lady of the year’; maybe O. *vezkei* [dat.sg.] ‘a certain deity’.

PIE *\*uet-os-* ‘year’ [n.], *\*uet-s-o-* ‘of the year’. IE cognates: Hit. *uitt-* (< *uēt-*) [c.] ‘year’, CLuw. *ušša/i-*, HLUw. *usa/i-* ‘year’ (< *\*ut-s-o-*), Hit. *šaudišt-* / *šāuitišt-* ‘weanling, young calf’ (< *\*so-ut-es-t-* / *\*so-uēt-es-t-* ‘the one of this year’), Skt. *vatsarā-* [m.] ‘year’, Gr. *ἔτος* [n.], dial. *ῥετος* ‘year’, Myc. *we-to*, *we-te-i* (*/uētos*, *uēteh-i*) [n.] ‘year’, Alb. *vit*, pl. (also sg.) *vjet* ‘year’; Lith. *vėtušas*, OCS *vetьchъ* ‘old’, Ru. *vétxij* ‘old, ancient, decrepit’ < PIE *\*uētus-o-*. Go. *wīþrus* ‘year-old lamb’, OIc. *veðr*, OHG *widar* ‘wether’.

Adams 1992 observes that *veterīmus* usually refers to equines, and suggests that these came to be seen as ‘the old stock’ because by nature they lived longest of all cattle, and because the Romans did not as a rule eat horse meat. Hence, especially equines could be referred to as ‘old’.

Bibl.: WH II: 776f., EM 729f., IEW 1175, Untermann 2000: 851–4, Weiss 2007b. → *vitulus*

**vexō, -āre** ‘to agitate, damage’ [v. I] (Cato+)

Derivatives: *vexāmen* ‘disturbance’ (Lucret.+), *dīvexāre* ‘to ravage, drag about’ (Pl.+).

If *convexus* and *dēvexus* go back to a ppp. *\*vexus* ‘moved’ to *vehō* (whatever its precise explanation, see s.v. *convexus*), *vexāre* can be a simple iterative built to that ppp., of the type *flexāre*, *nexāre*. Alternatively, it could represent an *s-pr.* *\*weg-s-* independent of *-vexus*.

Bibl.: WH II: 778, EM 730f. → *convexus*, *vehō*

**via** ‘road’ [f. *ā*] (Lex XII+)

Derivatives: *viālis* ‘of roads’ (Pl.+), *viāticus* ‘of/for a journey’ (Pl.+), *viāticātus* ‘furnished with supplies for a journey’ (Pl.), *viātor* ‘traveller, agent’ (CIL I.583+); *obviam* ‘in the path of, facing up’ (Naev.+), *obvius* ‘in the path of, confronting’ (Pl.+), *pervius* ‘passable’ (Pl.+), *praevious* ‘leading the way’ (Pl.+); *viocūrus* ‘who has charge of roads’ (Varro+); *veia* ‘plaustrum’ in Oscan (Paul. *ex F.*), *veiar(i)ī* ‘stipites in plastro’, *veiatura* ‘vectura’ (ibidem).

PIt. *\*wijā-* ‘road’. It. cognates: O. *viú*, *viú* [nom.sg.], *viām*, *viā* [acc.sg.], *viāi* [loc.sg.], *viāss* [acc.pl.], U. *via*, *vea*, *uia* [abl.sg.], SPic. *viām* [acc.sg.] f. ‘road’ < *\*uijā*; O. *amviānnud*, *amviānud* ‘?’ probably < *\*am-* or *an-viā-ndo-*, denom. verb to *\*viā-* ‘road’.

PIE *\*uih<sub>1</sub>-eh<sub>2</sub>-* ‘pursuit’. IE cognates: Skt. *viyānti* [3p.act.] ‘they pursue’, *vītá-* ‘turned to’, YAv. *viia-* ‘to pursue’, *vītar-* [m.] ‘pursuer’; Gr. *ἔμει* ‘to strive after, wish’; Lith. *vīti* ‘to drive, pursue’.

According to Nieto 1988, Paul. *ex F.* *veia* ‘waggon’ is a VLat. development from *via*, and the following form in the same gloss *veiārī* represents *\*viārī* ‘of the road’; both would have VLat. lowering of *i* in hiatus. This is confirmed by the form *veham* ‘viam’ given by Varro as a ‘rustic’ form. The meaning ‘waggon’ could be directly preserved in Italian *veggia* ‘waggon; barrel’. This, in turn, means that the etymology *\*ueg<sup>h</sup>iā*

can be discarded, and we may rather posit Plt. \*wījā-. This may derive from PIE \*ueih<sub>1</sub>- ‘to strive after, try to get’, as has been suggested by WH and others. The same root is reflected in Latin *vīs* ‘you want’ and *invītus* ‘unwilling’.

Bibl.: WH II: 778f., EM 731, IEW 1123f., Untermann 2000: 93, 860f., LIV \*ueih<sub>1</sub>- → *invītus*, *violō*, *vītō*

**vībīcēs, -um** ‘weal, scar’ [f. *k*] (Cato+; only pl.)

Plt. \*weib-ī- ‘the swinging / trembling (one)’.

PIE \*ueib-. IE cognates: see s.v. *vibrō*.

If the original meaning was ‘whiplash’ or ‘wound’, *vībīk-* might be cognate with *vibrāre*, but from a full grade root \*weib-.

Bibl.: WH II: 779, EM 731. → *vibrō*

**vibrō, -āre** ‘to move to and fro, flash’ [v. I] (Lucret.+)

Derivatives: *vibrissāre* ‘to sing with vibrato’ (Titin. apud Paul. ex F.).

Plt. \*wib-ro- ‘trembling’.

PIE \*uip/b-ro-. IE cognates: Skt. *vīpra-* [m. / adj.] ‘trembling, seer’, YAv. *viṣra-* [adj.] ‘ecstatic’; Skt. pr. *vépatē* ‘to tremble’, *vīpāya-* ‘to become inspired’, aor. *vīpānā-* [ptc.med.], pf. *vivipre* [3p.med.] ‘they have become excited’, *vīp-* [f.] ‘inspired speech’, OAv. *vaēpiia-* ‘catamite, pathic’, YAv. *apa vaēpa-* ‘to yield, give off’, *vaēpaiia-* ‘to be homosexual’, Go. *weipan* ‘to crown’, *faur-waipjan* ‘to bind’, Olc. *veifa* ‘to swing’; ToB *wəpp-* ‘to shake, swing’, 3s. *wīpā(ššām)*, ToB *waipe\** [m.sg.] ‘banner’, obl.sg. *waipe*, ToB *waipalau* ‘vertigo’.

Derived from an adj. \*wibro- ‘swinging, agitated’, which can be connected to IE words of the same meaning from \*ueip- (Gm. \*weib- may also go back to PIE \*ueip-, cf. LIV). The outcome of PIE \*-pr- in Latin can be seen in *capere*, *capra*, although these words may be loanwords. The PIE root-final consonant apparently varied in voicing, consider also the root \*k<sup>(w)</sup>sueib<sup>h</sup>/p- ‘to swing’ in Skt. *kṣipāti* ‘to hurl’, Av. *xšuuāēḫaiiaṣtra-* ‘swinging the whip’, Skt. *kṣiprá-* ‘quick, fast’, YAv. *xšuuīḫra-* ‘quick’, *xšuuīḫi-išu-* ‘with fast arrows’. The Ilr. root \*kš(y)ip/b<sup>(h)</sup>- looks like a compound with \*uib/p- as its second member.

Bibl.: WH II: 780f., EM 731f., IEW 1131f., LIV \*ueip-.

**vicis** [gen.sg.] ‘turn, occasion’ [f. *k*] (Pl.+; acc. *vicem*, abl. *vice*, nom.pl. *vicēs*, dat.abl.pl. *vicibus*; not attested in nom.sg. and gen.pl.)

Derivatives: *vicissātim* ‘in turn(s)’ (Naev.+), *vicissim* ‘in turn’ (Pl.+), *vicissitās* ‘alternation’ (Acc.), *vicissitūdō* ‘reversal, alternation’ (Ter.+), *vicārius* [adj./m.] ‘substitute, deputy’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \*wik- ‘turn’.

PIE \*uik- ‘a turn’. IE cognates: see s.v. *vinciō*.

As to the PIE root, this may well have been \*uik- ‘to tie, turn’. All simplex forms point to a root noun *vik-*, but for *vicissim*, an *i*-stem is mostly assumed. Schrijver judges the etymology \*viki-dtim < \*-dh<sub>3</sub>t-im ‘given, giving in turn’ not impossible. This would derive from a *ti*-stem \*-dh<sub>3</sub>ti-, whereas *vicissātim* points to a \*vicissus <



\*-dh<sub>3</sub>-to- 'given in turn'. The alternative solution \**vici-cessim* 'im Wechsel schreitend' (Leumann 1977: 234, 501) also seems possible: via haplology, *vici-ssim* would have arisen. None of these etymologies is immediately convincing.

Bibl.: WH II: 781f., EM 732, IEW 1130f., Schrijver 1991: 330, LIV \**uiek*<sup>u</sup>-. → *vinciō*, *vix*

**victima** 'sacrificial animal' [f. *ā*] (Naev.+)

PIt. \**wiktV-mā*-. It. cognates: U. *eveietu*, *e.veietu* [acc.sg.m. of ppp.] '?'.

If *vic-is* 'turn, occasion' derives from a root meaning 'to turn', the application of 'turn' in a context of exchange can easily lead to a meaning 'exchange', as in the Gm. cognates. The *victima* could then be the 'exchange' with the gods, hence \**uikti*- 'exchange' + \**-mo-*. But there are other options. *Victima* may also be connected with PIE \**ueik-* 'to select, sift', as in Skt. *vec-* 'to sift, to separate, to winnow', *prá-vikta-* 'selected', *vívici-* 'separating', YAv. *hqm.višiia* 'to separate completely', YAv. \**vaēcaīia-* [caus.], MP *wēxtan/wēztan* 'to sift, select, winnow', Khwar. *prwycȳ-* 'to sift'. One could connect Go. *weihs*, OHG *wīh* 'holy', in the same field of religious terminology as *victima*.

Bibl.: WH II: 782, EM 732, IEW 1128, LIV ?1.\**ueik*-.

**vīcus** 'village, block of houses' [m. *o*] (Pl.+; OLat. *ueicus*, *uecus*, *uecos* CIL)

Derivatives: *vīcātim* 'street by street' (Sis.+), *vīcīnus* [adj./m.] 'neighbour, neighbouring' (Naev.+), *vīcīnia* 'adjoining land, neighbourhood' (Pl.+), *vīcīnitās* 'proximity, neighbourhood' (Cato+), *vīlla* 'rural dwelling, farm' (Pl.+), *vīllāticus* 'of a farmstead' (Varro+), *vīlicus* 'farm-overseer' (Pl.+), *vīlica* 'wife of a farm-overseer' (Cato+), *vīlicāre* 'to perform the duties of a farm-overseer' (Cato+).

PIt. \**weik-o-* 'settlement', \**weik-slā-* 'farm'.

PIE \**ueik-* / \**uik-* 'settlement'. IE cognates: Skt. *pr. veśa-* 'to sit down, go in', Av. *vīsa-* 'to get ready', Skt. *veśá-* 'inhabitant', YAv. *vaēsa-* [m.] 'servant (who lives in the master's house)', Skt. *vīs-* [f.] 'settlement, dwelling-place, people', YAv. *vīs-* [f.] 'homestead, community', OP *viθ-* [f.] 'royal house, palace, house, farm' < Ilr. \**uic-* < PIE \**uik-*; Gr. *ῥοῖκος, οἶκος* [m.] 'house, household', Myc. *wo-i-ko-de /woikon-del* 'home', Lith. *viēšpats* 'lord', OPr. *waispattin* 'mistress' [acc.sg.] < \**uoik-poti-*; OCS *вѣсѣ* [f.], Ru. *ves'* (obs.) 'village' < PIE \**uik-*, Go. *weihs* [n.] (gen. *weihsis*) 'village, settlement'.

It is generally assumed that \**woik-* yielded *vīc-* instead of \*\**vīuc-* due to initial \**w-*, which would have exerted a dissimilatory influence on the diphthong or the long vowel. This is uncertain, since the OLat. spellings *ueic-* and *uec-* could also represent PIt. \**weik-*, and since there is no other example of a development \**woi-* > *vī-*. In view of Go. *weihs*, an ablaut grade \**ueik-* was also present in PIE; in fact, the original noun may have been a root noun \**ueik-* / \**uik-*, with a thematic derivative \**uoik-o-* in Greek. The noun *vīlla* < \**weik-slā-* was probably derived from the verb \**ueik-* 'to settle' or from the root noun; from *vīlla* was then derived *vīlicus*, with simplification of the geminate *-ll-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 782f., 790f., EM 732f., IEW 1131, LIV \**ueik*-.

**videō** ‘to see’ [v. II; pf. *vidī*, ppp. *vīsum*] ( Andr.+)

Derivatives: *videlicet* [adv.] ‘evidently, of course’ (Pl.+); *prūdēns* ‘well aware, clever’ (Pl.+), *imprūdēns* ‘ignorant, unaware’ (Pl.+), *imprudentia* ‘ignorance’ (Ter.+); *invidēre* ‘to be jealous of, refuse’ (Pl.+), *invidus* ‘malevolent, envious’ (Pl.+), *invidia* ‘dislike, jealousy’ (Pl.+), *invidiōsus* ‘unpopular, enviable’ (Cato+), *pervidēre* ‘to discern, see fully’ (Varro+), *prōvidēre* ‘to foresee, see to it’ (Pl.+), *imprōvīsus* ‘unexpected’ (Pl.+), *revidēre* ‘to pay another visit’ (Pl.+); *vīsō*, *-ere* ‘to go and look, visit’ (Pl.+), *vīsus*, *-ūs* ‘sight, vision’ (Lucr.+), *vīsītāre* ‘to see frequently, visit’ (Pl.+), *circumvīsere* ‘to look round at’ (Pl.+), *convīsere* ‘to watch, visit’ (Acc.+), *intervīsere* ‘to go and see’ (Pl.+), *prōvīsere* ‘to be on the look-out’ (Pl.+), *revisere* ‘to pay another visit’ (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*wid-ē-* ‘to see’, *\*weid-s-* ‘to see (in future)’. It. cognates: U. *uirseto auirseto* [ppp. nom.sg.] ‘seen unseen’, SPic. **videtas** [2p.pr.?] ‘to see’ < *\*uid-ē-*; U. **revestu** [3s.ipv.II] ‘to check’ < *\*re-ueid-s-e-tōd*.

PIE *\*uid-eh<sub>1</sub>-* ‘to see’. IE cognates: OIr. *ro-finnadar\** ‘to find out’ < PCl. *\*wind-e/o-*, W. *gwybot*, Is. *gwnn*, Co. *godhvōs*, Bret. *gouz(o)ut*, Is. *goun*, *gonn* ‘to know’ < *\*wind-nu-* << *\*wind-e/o-*; OIr. *ro-fitir* ‘to know’, MW *gwyr*, MBret. *goar*, MCo. *gor* ‘knows’ < PCl. *\*uid-* << PIE *\*uoid-/uid-* [pf.]; MW *gwydyat*, MCo. *gozye*, OBret. *gozzye* [3s.ipf.] ‘knew’ < *\*ueid-ī-* < *\*ueid-eh<sub>1</sub>-*; OIr. *ad:fét* < *\*ad-weid-e/o-* ‘to tell, relate’ (<< *\*uinde/o-*); Skt. *ved-* ‘1. to find, discover, desire, 2. to know, be acquainted with’ [pr. *vindāti*, aor. *vidāt*; pf. *vēda*, ppa. *vidvāms-*, ppp. *vittā-*]; OAv. *vīnastī* ‘finds’, *vīdat* ‘finds’, *vista-* ‘found’, *vaēdā* [pf.] ‘I know, he knows’, ppa. *vīduuah-* ‘knowing, capable’; *ā-uuaēdaiiamahī* ‘we announce’; YAv. *vin̄da-* ‘to find’ [3s. *vīnastī*]; OP *vindafarnā* [PN], MP *windādan/wind-* ‘to find, desire’, Parth. *wynd-* ‘to find’; Gr. οἶδα ‘I know’ [pl. ἴδμεν (Att. ἴσμεν), ipv. ἴσθι, ptc. εἰδώς], ἰδύοι [m.pl.] ‘witnesses’, aor. ἰδεῖν ‘to behold, recognise’, εἶδομαι ‘to seem, appear’, εἶδος ‘species, appearance’; Arm. *egit* ‘he found’, 3s.aor. *gt-i*, pr. *gtanem* < *\*h<sub>1</sub>e-uid-et*, *gitem* ‘to know’ < *\*uoid-*; Lith. *veizdėti*, 3s. *vėizdi* ‘to look (for)’ < BSl. *\*uei?id-*; OCS *viděti*, Ru. *videt*, SCr. *vidjeti* ‘to see’ < PSl. *\*viděti*; OPr. *waist* ‘know’, OCS *věděti*, Is. *věmь*, 3s. *věstь* ‘to know’, Ru. *védat* ‘to manage, (obs.) know’, Cz. *věděti* ‘to know’ < PSl. *\*věděti* < BSl. *\*uoi?id-*; Go. *wait*, *witum* ‘I know, we know’, *weitwoþs* ‘witness’, *fraweitan* ‘to take revenge’, *in-weitan* ‘to worship’, OE *gewītan* ‘to die’, Go. *fairweitjan* ‘to observe, heed’; Go. *witan*, *-aida* ‘to heed’.

*Prūdēns* regularly reflects *\*prowidēns*, *\*prowident-* > *\*proud-* > *prūd-*. The pr. *vīsō* reflects an *s*-desiderative *\*weid-s-ō*. The pf. *vidī* could formally reflect a root aorist *\*ueid-* or a pf. *\*uoid-*; in view of the perfective meaning (‘I saw’), LIV opts for a root aorist. The stative pr. *\*uidē-* is confirmed by Umbrian and South Picene; we also find it in Gothic and in Lith. *pavydėti*, 1s. *-vydžiu* ‘to envy’, but these can easily be independent formations.

Bibl.: WH II: 378, 784f., EM 541, 733f., IEW 1125-27, Schrijver 1991: 276, Untermann 2000: 634f., 854f., Schumacher 2004: 664f., 690-695, LIV *\*ueid-*.

**viduus** ‘deprived of husband or wife’ [adj. *o/ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *vidua* [f.] ‘widow’ (Naev.+), *viduitās* ‘state of being deprived, widowhood’ (Pl.+), *vidertās* ‘dearth’ (Cato+), *viduāre* ‘to deprive of’ (Lucr.+).

Plt. \**wiþowo-* [adj.].

PIE \**h<sub>1</sub>ui-d<sup>h</sup><sub>1</sub>-eu-o-* ‘separated’. IE cognates: OIr. *fedb*, Skt. *vidhāvā-* [f.] ‘widow’, *a-vidhavā-* [f.] ‘not a widow’, YAv. *vidauua* [nom.sg.f.], MP *wēwag*, MoP *bēwa*, Oss. *idæz* ‘widow’ (< Plr. \**uidauacī*) < PIIr. \**Huid<sup>h</sup>auaH-* ‘widow, deprived of a partner’; Gr. ἡθύεος [m.] ‘unmarried young man’; OPr. *widdewū*, OCS *vъdova*, *vъdova* [f.], Ru. *vdová* (acc. *vdovú*) < PSI. \**vъdovā*; Go. *widuwo*, OHG *wituwa* ‘widow’.

Latin and Greek show a them. adj. used for both sexes, whereas the other languages have a fem. noun. Since the word came to be used for women more often than for men (in most IE societies), it is unlikely that the f. noun was original, and adjectivized without any suffix in Latin and Greek. I therefore assume the primacy of the *o*-stem adj. in PIE. Initial \**du-* was dissimilated to \**h<sub>1</sub>u-* in front of \**-d<sup>h</sup>-*; cf. Lubotsky 1994.

Bibl.: WH II: 785f., EM 734, IEW 1127f., Beekes 1992, Lubotsky 1994. → *dīvidō*

**vieō** ‘to plait, weave’ [v. II] (Enn.+)

Derivatives: *viētor/vītor* ‘basket-maker’ (Pl.+), *vīmen* [n.] ‘flexible branch, used for wickerwork’ (Cato+), *vīmineus* ‘made of wickerwork’ (Pl.+), *vīminālis* ‘of/for withies; hill of Rome’ (Varro+), *vīminētum* ‘osier-bed’ (Varro), *vīdulus* ‘kind of carrying-bag’ (Pl.); *viēscere* [ppp. *viētus* Ter.+] ‘to shrivel’ (Col.+); *vitta* ‘linen headband, woollen band’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \**wijeje/o-* ‘to plait’, \**weimn-* ‘branch for plaiting’.

PIE \**u<sub>2</sub>hi-éie-* ‘to plait’, \**ueh<sub>1</sub>i-mn-* ‘plaiting’. IE cognates: OIr. *ar-fen* ‘to close off’, *imm-fen\** ‘to enclose’ < PCI. \**-wi-na-*; Skt. *vyáyati* ‘to wind, wrap’, ppp. *vī́tá-*, Gr. ἴτρος, -υος, Aeol. φίτρος ‘felloe, rim of a shield’, Lith. *výti*, Latv. *vīt*, OCS *viti*, SCr. *vīti* ‘to twist, wind’ < \**u<sub>2</sub>Hi-*, Lith. *vytis* ‘twig’, SCr. *pàvit* ‘vine’ < \**u<sub>2</sub>Hi-ti-*; OCS *věja*, Skt. *vayā-* ‘branch, twig’ < \**uoHi-eh<sub>2</sub>-*; Av. *vaēiti-* ‘willow’, Go. *waddjus* < \**uoiH-u-*.

The appurtenance of *viēscō* and *viētus* here is disputed. If one assumes that *viētus* is a (secondary) ppp. to *vieō* meaning ‘plaited, woven’ > ‘wrinkled’, *viēscō* can have been formed as an inchoative to *vieō* but with the meaning of *viētus*, thus ‘to become wrinkled, shrivel’. The noun *vitta*, if from \**vīta*, may continue an older *to*-ptc. \**uiH-to-* of the root. For *vīdulus*, we must assume an extended root \**uiH-d-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 785-787, 806f., EM 734f., IEW 1120-22, Schrijver 1991: 245, 335, Schumacher 2004: 688, LIV \**u<sub>2</sub>ieh<sub>1</sub>-*. → *vīnum*, *vītis*

**vigeō** ‘to be active, flourish’ [v. II; pf. *viguī*, no ppp. attested] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *vigor* ‘vigour’ (Caes.+), *vigēscere* ‘to acquire strength’ (Lucr.+), *vigil*, *-is* [m.] ‘guard, sentry’ (Pl.+) [gen.pl. *vigilum*], *vigil*, *-is* ‘awake, wakeful’ (Lucr.+), *vigilia* ‘the act of keeping watch, patrol’ (Pl.+), *vigilium* ‘the action of keeping watch’ (Varro), *vigilāre* ‘to stay awake’ (Pl.+); *advigilāre* ‘to be on the watch’ (Pl.+), *obvigilāre* ‘to be watchful’ (Pl.), *pervigilāre* ‘to stay awake all night’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \**weg-ē-*, \**wegli-*.

PIE \**ueg<sub>1</sub>-eh<sub>1</sub>-* ‘to be strong’, \**ueg<sub>1</sub>-l-i-* ‘strong’. IE cognates: Skt. *vāja-* ‘contest, reward’, *vājáyati* ‘impels’, Go. *-wakjan* ‘to waken’, OHG *wahhēn* ‘to be awake’.

The PIE etymology with \*ueǵ- is adopted by all scholars. The *i* in *vigeō* may be explained as analogically adopted from the *vigil* (LIV adduces “Homonymenflucht von *vegēre*” as a possible impetus), or from raising of \**e* to *i* after a preceding labial, as in *firmus*, *vitulus*, *villus*, *fiber*, *pinna*, according to Watkins 1973b: 196. The latter explanation seems more likely, since *vigil* and *vigeō* are not closely associated in the synchronic semantics of Latin (thus also Watkins 1973b: 197), so that analogical introduction of *vi-* from *vigil* seems less likely. Together with *vectīgal*, *vigil* is the only stem in \*-*lis* which shows the regular development \*-*lis* > \**ls* > -*l*. The nom.sg. *vigil* must have caused the (partial) switch from *i*-declination to a consonant stem (gen.pl. *vigilum*), which in its turn explains why we find *vigilāre* already in the earliest literature. Initial *vi-* in *vigil* can be explained from assimilation to the *i* of the second syllable, as in *nihil*, *similis*, *cinis*. Final -*il* instead of \*-*ul* in the nom.sg. must be due to analogy with other case forms (\**veglim*, \**vegleis*, \**veglī*), where *l* was *exilis*, yielding a stem \**vegili-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 788, EM 735, IEW 1117f., Sihler 1995: 40, 497, Niedermann 1945: 69, LIV \*ueǵ-. → *vegeō*

**vīgintī** ‘twenty’ [num. indecl.] (Lex XII, Pl.+)

Derivatives: *vīcē(n)simus* ‘twentieth’ (Pl.+; <*vīg-*> Sall., Col.), *vīciē(n)s* ‘twenty times’ (Cic.+), *vīcēnī* ‘twenty at a time’ (Varro, Cic.+); *duodēvīgintī* ‘eighteen’ (Pl.+), *ūndēvīgintī* ‘nineteen’ (Cic.+).

Plt. \**wīkentī* (>> \**wīgentī*), \**wīkenssamo-*.

PIE \**dui-dkmt-ih*<sub>1</sub> ‘twenty’. IE cognates: OIr. *fiche*, *fichit* [dat./acc.], OW *uceint*, MW *figgit*; Skt. *viṃśati-* [f.], YAv. *vīśaiti*, Khot. *bistā*, MP *wīst*, Oss. *yssæz/insæj*, Gr. εἴκοσι, H. also ἐείκοσι, Dor. φίκατι, Arm. *k’san*, Alb. *zet*, ToB *ikām*, ToA *wiki* ‘twenty’.

The unexpected voiced *g* in Latin *vīgintī* is explained by Kortlandt 1983a: 101 from voicing after a nasal in the words for ‘70’ and ‘90’, and subsequent analogical introduction in the other decads. The *i* of -*int-* must be secondary for \*-*ent-*, it may have arisen phonetically between the *ī*’s of the surrounding syllables (thus Coleman). Lat. *vīcēsīmus* from *vīkēsumo-* (CIL 6.7872) shows the same PIE suffix \*-*tmHo-* as Indo-Iranian. Originally, ‘twenty’ was formed as a dual form meaning ‘two teens’. PIE \**dui-dkmt-ih*<sub>1</sub> is redundantly marked as a pair by \**dui-* ‘two’ and the dual ending.

Bibl.: WH II: 788f., EM 735, IEW 1177, Kortlandt 1983a, Schrijver 1991: 83, 182, Coleman 1992: 397f., 414, Sihler 1995: 418ff., Meiser 1998: 172f. → *centum*, *decem*, -*gintā*

**vīlis** ‘cheap, worthless’ [adj. *i*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *vīlitās* ‘cheapness’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \**wesli-*.

PIE \**ues-li-* [adj.]. IE cognates: Hit. *uāš-* ‘to buy’ (< \**uos-* / \**us-*).

A deverbal adj. in \*-*li-* with passive meaning: \**ues-li-* ‘what can be bought’. The expected outcome \**vēlis* was raised to *vīlis*, possibly under the influence of the preceding labial (thus Watkins 1973b: 196).

Bibl.: WH II: 789f., EM 736, IEW 1173, LIV 2. \*ues-. → *vēnus/m*

**vinciō, -īre** ‘to tie up, bind’ [v. IV; pf. *vīnxī*, ppp. *vinctum*] (Lex XII+)

Derivatives: *vicia* ‘vetch’ (Cato+), *vinc(u)lum* ‘bond, chain’ (Pl.+), *vinctiō* ‘binding together’ (Varro+), *vincitūra* ‘which binds’ (Varro+), *vinctus, -ūs* ‘tie’ (Varro+); *circumvincire* ‘to bind round’ (Pl.+), *dēvincire* ‘to tie fast, bind’ (Pl.+); *prōvincia* ‘special task of a magistrate, command, district’ (Pl.+), *prōvinciālis* ‘of or belonging to a province’ (Varro+).

Plt. \**wink-(e/o-)* ‘to tie, bind’. It. cognates: U. *preuīslatu, preuīlatu* [3s.ipv.II] ‘to tie’ < \**prai-ṽinkelā-tōd*, denom. to \**ui(n)k(e)lo-*.

PIE \**ui-n-k-*. IE cognates: see s.v. *vincō*.

Lat. *vicia* ‘vetch’ (< ‘winding plant’) may continue the nasalless root \**uik-*. The noun *vinculum* is derived from the verbal stem \**ṽink-* (either with \**-(e)lo-* or with \**-tlo-*). The nasal spread from the present to the pf. and ppp., whereas *vinciō* itself can be a derivative of the earlier pr. \**ṽink-* (cf. *vincō*), or from a nominal derivative \**ṽink-i(o)-*; Meiser 2003: 122 explains it as a back-formation to the pf. *vīnxī*. Lat. *prōvincia* seems based on an adj. \**prō-wink-io-* ‘load, burden, charge’ > ‘task’, cf. Hamp 1997: 124f. The reconstruction of the root with a final labiovelar is based on the very uncertain appurtenance of Gr. *ἰϋον* ‘ivy; prison’ here; but Lat. *vicis*, U. *preuīslatu* (thus Meiser 2003: 122) and the Gm. forms cited s.v. *vincō* point to a non-labialized velar.

Bibl.: WH II: 781, 791, EM 732, 736, IEW 1130f.; Untermann 2000: 576f., Meiser 2003: 122, LIV \**uiek<sup>u</sup>-*, ?1. \**ueik-*. → *vicis, vinciō, vix*

**vincō, -ere** ‘to conquer, overcome’ [v. III; pf. *vīcī*, ppp. *victum*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *victor* ‘winner, conqueror’ (Naev.+), *victōria* ‘victory’ (Naev.+), *victōriātus* ‘with an image of Victory’ (Cato+), *victrix* ‘victorious’ (of a woman) (Pl.+), *vincibilis* ‘that can be won’ (Ter.+); *convincere* ‘to prove wrong, find guilty’ (Pl.+), *pervicāx* ‘stubborn, determined’ (Ter.+), *pervicācia* ‘resolution’ (Enn.+), *pervicus* ‘stubborn’ (Acc.), *pervincere* ‘to prevail over’ (Pl.+), *invictus* ‘invincible, not overcome’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \**wink-(e/o-)* ‘to tie, bind’ > ‘tie in, overcome’, aor. \**weik-*. It. cognates: O. *uincter* [3s.pr.ps.] ‘to prove someone’s guilt’, probably borrowed from Latin; Pael. *uicturei* [dat.sg.] ‘winner’ < Lat. *victōr-*; O. *vikturrai* [dat.sg.] ‘Victoria’, loanword from Latin.

PIE \**ui-n-k-* [pr.] ‘to bend, tie’, \**uik-to-* [ppp.]. IE cognates: OIr. *fichid\** ‘to battle’ < PCI. \**uike/o-*, OIr. *fecht* [f. *ā*], MW *gweith* [f.], OBret. *gueth* ‘battle’ < \**uik-tā-*; Skt. pr. *viviktās* [3du.act.], pf. *vivyāca* [3s.act.] ‘to contain, embrace’, *vyācas-* [n.] ‘extent, content’, MP *winj-*, ps. *winjīh-* ‘to be contained’ < Ilr. \**uik/č-*, \**ujak/č-*; Gr. *εἶκω* ‘to give way, yield’ < \**ueik-*; Lith. *veikti*, 1s. *veikiù* ‘to work; overcome’, Latv. *vīkstu, vīkt* ‘to give way, bend’, *vīksts* ‘yielding, soft’, Lith. *vikrius* ‘agile, swift, skilful’, *veikus / veikūs* ‘quick, strong, firm’, Latv. *vēiks* ‘diligent, agile, skilful, limited’, OIc. *vega* ‘to battle, kill’, Go. *-waih*, OIc. *vá* ‘battled’, Go. *wigana* [dat.sg.] ‘battle’; Go. *waihsta* ‘corner’, MHG *weigen* ‘to waver’, OE *wicga* ‘beetle’, OFr. OE

wāg, OE *wēg* ‘wall’ (< \**uoiko-*); OIc. *gjafa-vixl* ‘gift-exchange’, OE *wehsāl* ‘commerce, money’, OHG *wēhsal*, *wehsil* ‘exchange, commerce’; OHG *wehha*, *wohha*, OS *wika*, OE *wucu*, *wicu*, OIc. *vīka* ‘week’ (< \*‘change’) < \**uik-n-*.

Probably from the same root as *vinciō*, pace LIV: ‘to bend’ can easily develop into ‘overcome, conquer’ (one bending the other) or ‘give in, yield’ (the one being bent by the other). Intransitive use can explain the meaning ‘to fight, contend’ (e.g. in Celtic). The pf. *vīcī* could directly reflect a PIE root aorist \**ueik-*.

Bibl.: WH II: 791f., EM 736, IEW 1128f., Schrijver 1991: 528f., Untermann 2000: 855-857, Meiser 2003: 206, Schumacher 2004:683-686, LIV 2.\**ueik-*. → *vinciō*, *vix*

**vīnum** ‘wine’ [n. o] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *vīnea* ‘vines in a vineyard; moveable penthouse’ (Lex XII, Pl.+), *vīneāticus* ‘connected with vine-growing’ (Cato+), *vīnētum* ‘vineyard’ (Varro+), *vīnāceus* ‘the refuse from wine-pressing’ (Cato+), *vīnālia*, *-ium* ‘the name of two wine festivals’ (Varro+), *vīnārius* ‘for/of producing wine’ (Pl.+), *vīnolentus* ‘of immoderate wine consumption’ (Pl.+), *vīnōsus* ‘immoderately fond of wine’ (Pl.+), *vīllum* ‘small quantity of wine’ (Ter.); *vīnibua* ‘female tippler of wine’ (Lucil.).

PIt. \**wīno-*. It. cognates: Fal. **uinom**, **uino** [acc.sg.], U. **vinu** [acc.sg.], **vinu**, *uinu*, Vol. *uinu* [abl.sg.] ‘wine’.

PIE \**ueih<sub>1</sub>(ō)n*, \**uih<sub>1</sub>-e/on-m*, \**uih<sub>1</sub>-n-* [m./f.] ‘vine; wine’. IE cognates: Hit. *uīian-* [c.] ‘wine’ < \**uih<sub>1</sub>-on-*, <sup>(d)</sup>*Uiniiant-* ‘wine (deified)’, CLuw. *uiniia-* ‘of wine’, HLuw. *wijana/i-* ‘vine’; Gr. οἴνος, dial. φοῖνος [m.], Arm. *gini* (< \**uoiniio-*), Alb. Tosk *verë*, Geg *venë* ‘wine’ < \**uoih<sub>1</sub>no-*.

For *vīndēmia*, see s.v. *emō*. The IE words for ‘vine’ and ‘wine’ go back to an *n*-stem derived from the root ‘to weave, wrap’, hence ‘vine’ was referred to as ‘the weaving one’ (Beekes 1987b: 24). Whereas Hittite preserves the *n*-stem, it has been thematized outside Anatolian, either with (Gr., Arm., Alb.) or without (Latin) introduction of an *o*-grade into the root. This explanation renders Oettinger’s explanation for the Anatolian forms (2003: 143) superfluous. He assumes a development \**uoih<sub>1</sub>no-* > \**uoino-* > \**uēno-* > \**uiyana-*, with diphthongization of \**ē*, but the Italic forms would remain unexplained in his scenario.

Bibl.: WH II: 792f., EM 737f., IEW 1120-22, Giacomelli 1963: 258, Beekes 1987b, Untermann 2000: 857. → *emō*, *vieō*, *vītis*

**violō**, **-āre** ‘to violate, disturb’ [v. I] (Lex Sacra CIL 1.366, Pl.+)

Derivatives: *violentus* ‘violent’ (Pl.+), *violēnter* ‘violently’ (Ter.+), *violentia* ‘violence’ (Pl.+), *inviolābilis* ‘indestructible’ (Lucr.+).

PIt. \**wijelo-* ‘chasing, violating’.

PIE \**uiH-elo-*. IE cognates: see s.v. *via*.

Probably derived from a deverbial adj. in \**-elo-* to the root \**uiH-* ‘to strive after, chase’. Since the root \**uiH-* of *vīs* ‘power’ is only nominal in the IE languages, it is less attractive to derive \**vielo-* from that root. Still, the Romans must have associated *violō* with *vīs*, since derivatives in *-(u)lentus* are characteristically made from nouns (cf. *opulentus*).

Bibl.: WH II: 801, EM 740, IEW 1123f., LIV \*uejh<sub>1</sub>-. → *via*, *vīs*

**vīpera** ‘poisonous snake’ [f. *ā*] (Cic.+)

Derivatives: *vīperīnus* ‘of a viper’ (Acc.+).

Plt. \**weip-es-ā*-?

PIE \**ueip-es*-?

There are two competing etymologies. Pliny’s explanation as \**vīvo-para* ‘who gives birth to living young’ has been adopted by WH and Leumann, but it has several drawbacks. Semantically, \**vīvo-para* would mean ‘who gives birth to a living one’ (cf. *puerpera* ‘who gives birth (to a child)’), but this goes for all mammals too, and is therefore hardly a specific description of snakes. It is clear from Pliny, *Nat.* 10, 62 (82) that the Romans knew that snakes lay eggs (*ova pariunt serpentes*), but observed the hatchlings as coming out of their eggs in the snake itself (*dein singulis diebus singulos parit*). Formally, since the stress would be on the penultimate syllable, \**wīwó-para* would not contract (cf. Meiser 1998: 92); the loss of \**-wo-* could be due to haplology, but this is unattractive since *vīvus* was always present in the language, and Apuleius even has an adj. *viviparus* ‘giving birth to live young’. Thus, this explanation is better dropped. The alternative etymology is that *vīpera* continues \**weip-es-ā* to the root \**wip/b-* ‘to swing, agitate’ (cf. *vibrāre*), which makes semantic sense. Formally this solution is not completely transparent (\**weipes-* ‘swing, agitation’ > ‘snake’, >> \**weipesā*-?), but it is conceivable. The name for the viper may have referred to its behaviour (curling, hissing) or its form (patterns on the snake, form of the head) which leaves many possibilities for etymologies.

Bibl.: WH II: 796, EM 738, Leumann 1977: 394, LIV \*ueip-. → *vibrō*

**vir** ‘man’ [m. *o*] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *virīlis* ‘of a man, male’ (Pl.+), *virāgō*, *-inis* [f.] ‘strong or warlike woman’ (Pl.+), *virācius* ‘manly’ (Varro), *virītim* [adv.] ‘man by man, individually’ (Pl.+), *virōsus* ‘having an excessive sexual craving for men’ (Lucil.+), *virtūs*, *-tūtis* ‘manly qualities, valour’ (Andr.+); *ēvirāre* ‘to unman’ (Varro+).

Plt. \**wiro-*. It. cognates: U. *uiro*, *ueiro* [acc.pl.] ‘company of men, troops’ [n.] < \**wiro-*.

PIE \**uiH-ró-* ‘man, young man, warrior’. IE cognates: OIr. *fer*, Skt. *vīrá-* ‘man, hero’, Av. *vīra-* ‘man, human’, Lith. *výras* ‘man, husband’, Latv. *višs*, Go. *wair* ‘man’ < \**uiro-* < \**uiró-*, ToA *wir* ‘youthful, young, fresh’.

The short vowel in Latin is due to Dybo’s law, cf. Schrijver 1991: 340. Since nouns in *-āgō* are usually built to nouns or verbs in *-ā-*, Leumann 1977: 369 suggests that *virāgō* might be built to the form *vira* ‘femina’ found in Paul. *ex F.* Alternatively, WH wonder whether *virāgō* ‘warlike woman’ was formed after *imāgō* ‘image’ – thus meaning ‘man-like’. The adj. *virīlis* may be formed on the basis of the gen.sg. *virī*; thence was formed *virī-tim*.

Bibl.: WH II: 796f., EM 738f., IEW 1177f., Schrijver 1991: 235, 340, Untermann 2000: 858f. → *vīs*

**vireō** ‘to be green’ [v. II; pf. *viruī*] (Lucret.+)

Derivatives: *virēscere* ‘to turn green’ (Lucret.+), *viridis* [adj.] ‘green’ (Cato+), *viridāre* ‘to be green’ (Acc.+), *viridulus* ‘young and tender’ (Naev.); *praeviridāns* ‘very green’ (Lab.).

PIt. *\*w(e)is-*?

IE cognates: OPr. *wēisin* ‘fruit’ [acc.sg.], Lith. *veĩsti*, 3s. *veĩsia* ‘to breed, rear’, *visti*, 3s. *vỹsta* ‘to multiply, breed’, *vaĩsius* ‘fruit’; OIc. *visir* ‘sprout’ [?]; OE *wīse* ‘sprout, stem’ [f.]; OHG *wīsa* ‘meadow’ [f.].

Uncertain etymology. None of the adduced set of cognates (Lat. ‘green’, Baltic ‘multiply, fruit’, Gm. ‘sprout, meadow’) undoubtedly belong together.

Bibl.: WH II: 797, EM 739, IEW 1133, Schrijver 1991: 238, LIV 1.\*uejs-

**virga** ‘shoot, twig, rod’ [f. *ā*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *virgeus* ‘made of twigs’ (Cato+), *virgātor* ‘who wields a rod’ (Pl.+), *virgulta*, -*ōrum* ‘brushwood’ (Cato+); *virgidēmia* ‘a harvest of rods’ (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*wizg-*?

PIE *\*uis-g-*? IE cognates: OIc. *visk* ‘wisp’, Swed. *viska* ‘small broom’, OHG *wisc* ‘wisp’, OE *wiscian*, *weoskian* (< *\*wiskōn*) ‘to plait a hurdle’.

*Virga* has been derived from *\*wizg-ā-* and connected with other IE words of the form *\*uis-K-* which refer to sticks, bundles or similar objects. Yet the connection with the root *\*uiH-* ‘to weave’, upheld in prelaryngealistic studies, must now be abandoned. Also, the velar consonants do not always agree, and the meaning of verbs of the type ‘to quiver, shake’ (Lith. *vizgù*, *vizgėti* ‘to tremble’, *vizgėti* ‘to waver’) is too far off. Only the Germanic forms in *\*uisk-* are close enough to inspire some confidence in their connection with *virga*. The adj. *virgultus*, attested as a noun from Cato onwards, presupposes the dim. *virgula*, which is only attested from Cicero onwards.

Bibl.: WH II: 797f., EM 739, IEW 1133f.

**virgō, -inis** ‘girl of marriageable age; virgin’ [f. (m.) *n*] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *virginālis* ‘of a girl of marriageable age’ (Pl.+), *virginārius* ‘concerned with girls of a marriageable age’ (Pl.+), *virgineus* ‘of a girl; virgin’ (Lucret.+).

WH interpret *virgō* as an individuation of *virga* ‘twig, rod’, implying a shift from ‘young, virgin’ > ‘young plant’. Ledo-Lemos 2002 posits a compound *\*wir-g<sup>w</sup>ōn-* ‘young woman’, with an unacceptable formal and semantic analysis of the word for ‘man’ (recte PIE *\*uiHro-*) and formally of PIE ‘woman’.

Bibl.: WH II: 799, EM 739f., IEW 1133f.

**vīrus** ‘venom, poison’ [n. *o*] (Lucil.+; almost restricted to nom.acc.sg.)

Derivatives: *vīrosus* ‘with an unpleasant smell or taste’ (Cato+).

PIt. *\*weis-o-(s-)* [n.] ‘poison’.

PIE *\*ueis* [nom.], *\*uis-os* [gen.] [n.] ‘poison’. IE cognates: MIr. *fī* [gender and stem unknown], W. *gwy* ‘poison’ < PCl. *\*uīso-* ‘poison’, Skt. *viśá-* [n.], YAv. *viša-* [n.] ‘venom, poison’; YAv. *vīš* [n.] ‘poison, poisonous juice’; Gr. *ἰός* [m.] ‘poison’, ToA *wās*, ToB *wase* ‘poison, venom’ (< *\*uīsó-*).



The restriction to nom.acc.sg. may mean that *vīrus* is an original n. s-stem *\*uīros-*; the origin of the s-stem inflection is unclear. Szemerényi reconstructs *\*ueisos* for Latin, and argues that the Greek word (Pindar+) has secondary lengthening in hiatus. Matasović argues that the length of *-ī-* is best accounted for by positing an original root-noun *\*ueis / \*uis-os*; Lat. preserved the stem of the nominative, whereas the other languages generalized the stem of the oblique cases.

Bibl.: WH II: 800, EM 740, IEW 1134, Szemerényi 1989: 91, Schrijver 1991: 232, Matasović 2004: 130.

**vīs, vis** ‘strength, force’ [f.] (Andr.+; acc.sg. *vim*, nom.pl. *vīrēs*, gen.pl. *vīrium*)

Derivatives: For *vindex*, see s.v. *dicō*.

PIt. *\*wīs*.

PIE *\*uiH-s* ‘strength’. IE cognates: Skt. *váyas-* [n.] ‘power, food’, Gr. *ἰς* [f.] ‘power, strength’, acc. *ἴν(α)*, instr. *ἰφί*, Hsch. *γίς* (= *πίς*) □ ‘strength’ < *\*uiH-s, -m*.

Traditionally analysed as an s-stem *\*uiH-s-* with the same root as in *\*uiH-ro-* ‘man’. The Gr. and Lat. cognates, however, are more easily explained from an original root noun. If this is correct, the Skt. formation and the Lat. plural forms must be secondary. Any relation to *\*ueh<sub>1</sub>-i-* ‘to wind’ or to *\*ueih<sub>1</sub>-* ‘to hunt, pursue’ is uncertain.

Bibl.: WH II: 793f., 800f., EM 737, 740, IEW 1123f., Untermann 2000: 146. → *dicō, vir*

**viscum** ‘mistletoe; bird-lime from the berries of the mistletoe’ [n. o] (Pl.+; Pl. also nom.sg. *viscus*)

Derivatives: *viscātus* ‘smeared with bird-lime’ (Lucil.+).

PIt. *\*wisko-?*

IE cognates: Gr. *ἰξός* [m.] ‘mistletoe, -berry, the bird-lime prepared from it’, OHG *wīhsela* ‘morello’, Ru. *višnja* ‘cherry’.

A PIE word or a European loanword indicating ‘mistletoe’ or another fruit-bearing tree. The original form may have been *\*wiks-* (in which case Latin has undergone a metathesis) or *\*wiKsk-*, with various simplifications of the medial cluster in the different languages.

Bibl.: WH II: 801f., EM 741, IEW 1134.

**viscus, -eris** ‘fleshy parts; entrails’ [n. r] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *viscerātīm* ‘piecemeal’ (Enn.); *ēviscerāre* ‘to disembowel’ (Enn.+).

No clear etymology.

Bibl.: WH II: 802, EM 741, IEW 1133f.

**vītis** ‘grape-vine’ [f. i] (Enn.+)

Derivatives: *vītīlis* ‘made of wickerwork’ (Cato+), *vīteus* ‘of a vine’ (Varro+), *vītīgineus* ‘of a vine or its wood’ (Cato+), *vītīārium* ‘nursery for vines’ (Cato+).

PIt. *\*wīti-*.

PIE *\*uh<sub>1</sub>i-ti-*. IE cognates: see s.v. *vieō*.

Derivative in *\*-ti-* from the root *\*uh<sub>1</sub>-i-* ‘to weave, wrap’, hence ‘weaving’. Compare the PIE etymology of *vīnum*.

Bibl.: WH II: 804, EM 741, IEW 1120-22, Schrijver 1991: 245, LIV *\*u<sub>1</sub>eh<sub>1</sub>-*. → *vieō*, *vīnum*

**vitium** ‘defect, fault’ [n. *o*] (Lex XII+)

Derivatives: *vitiāre* ‘to spoil, invalidate’ (Ter.+), *vitiōsus* ‘faulty, defective’ (Pl.+), *vitiīgō*, *-inis* ‘a form of skin eruption, psoriasis’ (Lucil.+), *vituperāre* ‘to declare invalid, find fault with’ (Pl.+).

PIt. *\*witio-* ‘deviation, fault’.

PIE *\*(d)ui-tio-* ‘apart, wrong’. IE cognates: see s.v. *duo*.

WH reconstruct a PIE adj. *\*ui-ti-om* ‘separation, deviation’ to *\*(d)ui-* ‘apart’, comparing Skt. *nitya-* ‘down’. This would amount to a similar dissimilation of two dentals as in PIE *\*dui-tero-* > Ilr., Gm., Slav. *\*(H)ui-tero-* ‘second, following’. Indo-Iranian, Tocharian and Umbrian have a form *\*du(i)-tio-* ‘second’, but this is an *io*-derivative of *\*du(i)-to-*, and hence does not invalidate the solution for *vitium*. The verb *vituperāre* presupposes an adj. *\*viti-paro-* (with regular simplification of the *io*-stem in the first member to *-i-*) or *\*vito-paro-* ‘bringing up a fault’. *Vitiīgō* belongs to the names of diseases in *-īgō*, but cannot be directly derived from *vitium* since *-l-* would remain unexplained.

Bibl.: WH II: 803f., 808, EM 741f., IEW 1175f., Leumann 1977: 296, 369, 390, Lindner 2002: 129. → *duo*

**vītō**, **-āre** ‘to move out of the way, avoid’ [v. I] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *dēvītāre* ‘to avoid’ (Pl.+), *ēvītāre* ‘to avoid’ (Varro+); *Vītula* ‘goddess of joy’ (Varro).

PIt. *\*wīto-* ‘wanted’.

PIE *\*uih<sub>1</sub>-to-* ‘wished for’. IE cognates: see s.v. *via*.

WH assume *\*vi-itō* ‘to go out of the way’, with a preverb *\*vi-* ‘apart’ < *\*dui-* as in Ilr. Yet frequentative verbs in *-itāre* are generally recent, which excludes an early dissimilation *\*dui-itā-* > *\*ui-itā-*. The alternative connection with Lat. *invītus* ‘unwilling’ (< *\*n-uiH-to-*), *vīs* ‘you want’ seems formally more probable to me. Semantically, we must then assume that *\*vītus* was back-formed to *\*dē-vītus* ‘avoiding’. Whether *vītulārī* ‘to utter a cry of joy’ (Naev.+), also belongs here is uncertain.

Bibl.: WH II: 805, 807, EM 742, LIV *\*u<sub>1</sub>eh<sub>1</sub>-*.

**vītricus** ‘stepfather’ [m. *o*] (CIL 1.583, Cic.+)

WH and IEW derive *vītricus* from PIE *\*(d)ui-tero-* ‘second, other’ (as attested in Ilr., Germanic, Slavic), but this does not explain long *ī*. I have no other solution.

Bibl.: WH II: 805, EM 742, IEW 1175f., Fruyt 1986: 232f.

**vitrum** ‘glass; the plant ‘woad’ (*isatis tinctoria*), from whose leaves blue dye was produced’ [n. *o*] (Lucr.+)

Derivatives: *vitreus* ‘resembling glass; made of glass’ (Varro+).

Plt. *\*wedro-* ‘glass’.

PIE *\*ued-ro-* ‘water-like’. IE cognates: see s.v. *unda*.

Szemerényi 1989: 24f. derives *uitrum* from *\*ued-ro-* on the basis of a semantic parallel in Middle Iranian, where ‘glass’ is derived from the word for ‘water’: *āp-aka-* ‘water-like’ > ‘glass’. The Latin change of *\*dr > tr* is regular. The change of *\*wet- > wit-* is also found in *vitulus* ‘calf’ and in other Latin words with a labial preceding *\*e*. The plant and its dye will have been denominated after the colour of glass (in antiquity, a transparent green with a yellowish to blueish paleness).

Bibl.: WH II: 805f., EM 742. → *unda, uter*

**vitulus** ‘calf’ [m. o] (Cato+)

Derivatives: *vitellus* ‘little calf’ (Pl.), ‘yolk of an egg’ (Varro+), *vitulimus* ‘of a calf’ (Pl.+).

Plt. *\*wet-elo-* ‘yearling, calf’. It. cognates: U. **vitlu** [acc.sg.m.], **vitluf**, *uitlu* [acc.pl.m.], **vitlaf**, *uitla* [acc.pl.f.] < *\*uetelo-*, *-ā-* ‘calf’.

PIE *\*uet-* ‘year’. IE cognates: see s.v. *vetus*.

Bibl.: WH II: 807, EM 742, IEW 1175, Untermann 2000: 859f. → *vetus*

**viverra** ‘ferret (or similar animal)’ [f. *ā*] (Plin.+)

PIE *\*ue(r)-uer-* (vel sim.). IE cognates: Molr. *iora rīa* ‘squirrel’, Scottish Gaelic *feorag* ‘squirrel’, OPr. *weware* ‘squirrel’, Lith. *vaiveris* (*vaivaras*, *vaivarys*) ‘male polecat or marten’, *vaiverē*, *voverē*, Latv. *vāvere*, *vāveris*, ORu. *věverica*, Sln. *věverica*, Cz. *veverka*, Bulg. *ververica*, OE *āc-weorna*, OHG *eihhurnō*, OIc. *íkorni* ‘squirrel’.

Irish, BSl. and Gm. point to a word *\*(we(r))-wer-* ‘squirrel’, and Lith. *vaiveris* shows that a shift to a meaning ‘marten’ is conceivable. In spite of their different status as herbivore vs. carnivore, they may have been compared due to their similar darting movements and their tree habitat, or on account of the fur for which they were hunted. Folk etymology may explain the ultimate outcome *vī-ver-ra*.

Bibl.: WH II: 808, EM 742f., IEW 1166, EIEC 540.

**vīvō, -ere** ‘to live’ [v. III; pf. *vīxī*, ppp. *vīctum*] (Lex XII+)

Derivatives: *vīvus* ‘alive’ (Naev.+), *vīvēscere* ‘to come to life’ (Lucret.+), *vīvāx* ‘long-lived, energetic’ (Afran.+), *vīvidus* ‘full of vital force’ (Lucret.+), *vīctus*, *-ūs* ‘food, way of life’ (Pl.+), *vīctitāre* ‘to keep oneself alive’ (Pl.+); *convīva* [m.] ‘guest’ (Pl.+), *convīvium* ‘dinner-party’ (Pl.+), *convīvārī* ‘to feast’ (Enn.+), *revīvēscere* ‘to come to life again’ (Ter.+); *vīta* ‘life’ (Pl.+), *vītālis* ‘of life, life-giving’ (Pl.+), *ēvītāre* ‘to kill’ (Enn.+).

Plt. *\*g<sup>w</sup>īwe/o-* ‘to live’, *\*g<sup>w</sup>īwo-* ‘alive’, *\*g<sup>w</sup>ītā-* ‘life’. It. cognates: Ven. **vivoi** [dat.sg.] ‘alive’, O. **bivus** [nom.pl.m.] ‘alive’ < *\*g<sup>w</sup>īwo-*, O. **biitam** [acc.sg.] ‘life’.

PIE *\*g<sup>w</sup>ih<sub>3</sub>-ue/o-* ‘to live’, *\*g<sup>w</sup>ih<sub>3</sub>-uó-* ‘alive’. IE cognates: Skt. pr. *ḥivati*, aor. *ḥivīt* [3s.inj.act.], pf. *ḥijīva* [3s.act.] ‘to live’, *ḥivītā-* ‘living’, also [n.] ‘living being, life’, *ḥivā-* ‘alive, living person’, Av. *juua-* ‘to live’, *juua-* [adj.] ‘alive’, YAv. *juuait-*

‘making alive’, OP *ḫva-* ‘to live’, *ḫva-* ‘alive’; Gr. ἐβίωv, βιῶvαι [aor.] ‘to live’ (< \*g<sup>w</sup>ih<sub>3</sub>eh<sub>1</sub>-), βίος ‘life’ (< \*g<sup>w</sup>iH-o-), βιοτή ‘life’, βίωτος [m.] ‘id.’ (< \*g<sup>w</sup>ih<sub>3</sub>eto-); OPr. *gijwans* [acc.pl.] ‘alive’, *gīwasi* [2s.pr.] ‘you live’, Lith. *gyvas*, Latv. *dzīvs* ‘alive’, Latv. *dzīvu* [1s.pr.act.] ‘to live’, OCS *živъ*, Ru. *živój* ‘alive’; Lith. *gyvatà* ‘life’, OCS *životъ* [m.] ‘life’; Lith. *gýti*, 3s. *gýja* ‘to recover, heal (intr.)’, Latv. *dzīt* ‘to heal (intr.)’, OCS *žiti*, 1s. *živъ*, Ru. *žit’* ‘to live’ < \*g<sup>w</sup>Hi-.

The adj. *vīvus* and the pr. *vīvere* are both inherited. The pf. and ppp.-stem *vic-* is analogical after verbs in which \*-eug<sup>w</sup>- yielded \*-ūw- and then -ū-, giving the impression that the velar stop had disappeared (Meiser 1998: 208), for instance *fluō*. The noun *vīta* ‘life’ could reflect \**wīwita* < \*g<sup>w</sup>iH-uo-t-ā-, cf. Lith. *gyvatà* ‘life’; thus Meiser 1998: 92. But *vīta* could also directly reflect \*g<sup>w</sup>iH-t-h<sub>2</sub>-, which would enable us to derive O. *biitām* from the same Plt. noun (Leumann 1977: 335).

Bibl.: WH II: 808-810, EM 743, IEW 467-69, Lejeune 1974: 340, Schrijver 1991: 245, 526, Untermann 2000: 146-149, LIV \*g<sup>u</sup>ieh<sub>3</sub>-.

**vix** ‘hardly’ [adv.] (Pl.+)

Plt. \**wiks* [nom.sg.] / \**wiksu* [loc.pl.].

PIE \*uik- ‘a turn, bend’.

A derivation from \**uiki-* ‘turn, occasion’ seems semantically possible (‘only occasionally’ > ‘hardly’), but a nom.sg. \**uikis* is morphologically not the most straightforward candidate, and we would not expect \*-kis > -ks after a short vowel in an open syllable. We may therefore adopt WH’s solution of deriving *vix* from the root of *vincō*. A preform \**uik-s* could be the nom.sg. of a root noun \**uik-* ‘bond, tie’ or ‘victory’; clearly, the former meaning can lead to ‘hardly’ in a more straightforward way: ‘bond’ > adv. ‘within bonds’ > ‘hardly’. As an alternative, we may consider a loc.pl. \**uik-su* ‘with ties, within bonds’, compare *mox* ‘soon’ < PIE \**moksu* for the loss of the final short vowel.

Bibl.: WH II: 810, EM 743, IEW 1128f. → *vicis*, *vinciō*, *vincō*

**vola** ‘hollow in the palm of the hand or the sole of the foot’ [f. *ā*] (Varro+)

Plt. \**welā*-?

PIE \*uel-h<sub>2</sub>- ‘round’? IE cognates: OIc. *valr* ‘round’ < \**uol-o-*.

Semantically, a connection with *volvō* ‘to turn’ < PIE \*uel-u- is attractive. Lat. *vola* can go back to \**welā*-, not to \**uolā*- (which would yield \**vala*). The connection preferred by IEW, viz. with Gr. γύαλον ‘a hollow’, is improbable.

Bibl.: WH II: 825, EM 749, IEW 393ff. → *volvō*

**volgus** ‘common people, crowd’ [m./n. o] (Ter.+; CLat. *vulgus*)

Derivatives: *volgō* [adv.] ‘publicly, commonly’ (Pl.+), *vulgāre* ‘to make available to all, make public’ (Pl.+), *vulgārius* ‘ordinary’ (Afran.+); *dīvulgāre* ‘to make public’ (Lucr.+), *pervulgāre* ‘to make generally available’ (Pl.+).

May go back to Plt. \**wolgo-* and/or \**welgos-*. No further etymology.

Bibl.: WH II: 826f., EM 749, IEW 1138, Leumann 1977: 450.

**volnus, -eris** ‘wound’ [n. r] (Pl.+; CLat. *vulnus*)

Derivatives: *vulnerāre* ‘to wound’ (Enn.+).

PIt. \**welanos-*.

PIE \**uelh<sub>3</sub>-nos-* [n.] ‘wound’. IE cognates: see s.v. *vellō*.

Bibl.: WH II: 827, EM 749f., IEW 1144f., Schrijver 1991: 104, 180, Meiser 1998: 122, LIV \**uelh<sub>3</sub>-*. → *vellō*

**volō, velle** ‘to want’ [v. irr.; pf. *voluī*; pr. forms: *volō, vīs, volt, volumus, voltis, volunt*; sb. *velim*] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *voluntās* ‘will, choice’ (Andr.+); *benevolēns, malevolēns* ‘kind; ill-disposed’ (Pl.+), *benevolus, malevolus* ‘kind; ill-disposed’ (Pl.+); *nōlle* [*nōlō, nevīs, nevolt, nōluī*] ‘not to want’ (Naev.+); *mālle* [*māvolō/mālō, māluī*] ‘to prefer’ (Naev.+); *vel* ‘if you wish; or’ (Naev.+), *velut(i)* ‘for instance, just as, as if’ (Pl.+).

PIt. \**wel-mi, -si, -ti* [pr.], \**wel-e/o-* [sb.], \**wel-ī-* [opt.]. It. cognates: Ven. *leno* [ins.sg.] ‘voluntary act’ < \**wlē-no-*; *voltio* [ins.sg.n.] ‘voluntary’ < \**wol-ti-(i)o-* ‘of the will’, *volterkon* [acc.sg.n.] ‘voluntary’ < \**wol-tr-iko-* to a noun \**wol-tro-*. Maybe O. *velliam* [acc.sg.] ‘legal demand’ < \**wel-jā-*; U. *veltu, ehueltu* [3s.ipv.II] ‘to order’, *ehvelklu* [acc.sg.] ‘vote’.

PIE \**uelh<sub>1</sub>-* [aor.], \**ueih<sub>1</sub>-* ‘to strive after, pursue’. IE cognates: Skt. *वृणिते* [3s.med.], aor. *वृता* [3s.inj.med.], pf. *वृणसे* ‘to choose, prefer’, *वृता-* ‘chosen, picked, picking’, *vāra-* [m.] ‘wish, desire’, OAv. *var-* [pr.] ‘to choose’, Av. *vairiia-* ‘preferable’, *vāra-* [n.] ‘wish, desire’, *frauuāši-* ‘tutelary spirit’, OP *avnavatā* [3s.ipf.med.] ‘to choose’ < PIlr. \**uarH-*; Gr. *λῶ, λῆς, λῆ, λῶμες* ‘to want, wish’, *λῆμα* [n.] ‘will, courage, insolence’, *λῆσις* (also *λῆσις* Dor.) ‘will, choice’ (if related, the Greek root reflects earlier \**ϕλη-* which probably originated in the root-aorist); Lith. *vélti* ‘to wish, prefer’ (1s. OLith. *velmi*), OCS *velěti*, 1s. *veljo*, Ru. *velét* ‘to command, order’, Sln. *velěti* ‘to want, order, say’, Is. *velím* < PSll. \**velěti*, OCS *voliti* ‘to want, wish’, Cz. *voliti* ‘to choose’ < PSll. \**voliti*, OCS *volja*, Ru. *vólja* ‘will, wish, freedom’ < PSll. \**vòlja* [f. *jā*], Go. *wiljan* ‘to want’, OHG *wala* [f.], OIc. *val* [n.] ‘choice’.

The pr. shows the regular change of \**-el-* > \**-ol-* before non-front vowels. According to Dunkel 1998: 97, *volō* and *volumus* may continue the pr.sb. \**wel-ō*, \**wel-o-mos*. The conjunction *vel* retains the original 2s. \**welsi* > \**well* > *vel*. The 2s. *vīs* is explained from \**ueiH-s* ‘you wish’ to the root \**uiH-* ‘to strive after’ by Meiser 1998: 224; Cowgill 1978 derives *vīs* by phonetic development from \**wells*, but this involves a lot of special pleading. The negated verb *nolō* is contracted from \**ne + volō, vīs, volt*, while *mālō* ‘I prefer’ and its older counterpart *māvolō* reflect \**mags-welō* < \**magis-welo* ‘I want more’.

Bibl.: WH II: 743, 828-830, EM 717f., 750, IEW 1137f., Lejeune 1974: 336, 340f., Cowgill 1978, Untermann 2000: 203, 833f., Weiss 2002: 358f., LIV \**uelh<sub>1</sub>-*. → *valeō, volup*

**volō, -āre** ‘to fly’ [v. I] (Andr.+)

Derivatives: *volāticus* ‘flying’ (Pl.+), *volātūra* ‘flight’ (Varro+), *volitāre* ‘to fly about, move swiftly’ (Enn.+), *volucer, -cris* ‘able to fly, swift’ (Naev.+), *volucris* [f.] ‘winged creature’ (Pac.+); *vēlivolus* ‘speeding along under sail’ (Enn.+), *ēvolāre* ‘to

fly up or out, escape' (Pl.+), *trānsvolāre* 'to fly across' (Pl.+), *trānsvolitāre* 'to fly across' (Lucr.+).

PIt. \*g<sup>w</sup>ela-je/o-.

PIE \*g<sup>w</sup>elh<sub>1</sub>-ie/o- 'to raise the arm, throw'. IE cognates: OIr. *a-t-baill* 'to die' < \*eks-bal-ni-, MW *ballaf* 'to die' < PCl. \*balni- 'dies' < \*'throws it'? (the appurtenance to PIE \*g<sup>w</sup>elh<sub>1</sub>- is not certain); Skt. *gar* 'to raise the arm (for striking), to raise a weapon' (*ud-gurāmāṇa-* [ptc.pr.med.], *apa-jārgurāṇa-* [ptc.int.med.]), Gr. βάλλω 'to throw, to hit', βλήμα [n.] 'throw, hit'.

Muller 1926: 213 derives *volāre* from the root \*g<sup>w</sup>elh<sub>1</sub>- 'to throw' whereas WH reject this. Yet if the original meaning was 'to raise the arm', a shift to 'fly' is quite conceivable in the connection with birds. Morphologically, one could reconstruct a *je/o*-present \*g<sup>w</sup>elh<sub>1</sub>-je/o- > \*welaje- > \*welā- (cf. *arāre*), or maybe an originally reduplicated intensive \*g<sup>w</sup>e(l)-g<sup>w</sup>olh<sub>1</sub>-ie/o-. WH regard *volucer* as an old *l*-stem \**voluclis*, but the vowel *-u-* remains unexplained.

Bibl.: WH II: 828, EM 751, Schrijver 1991: 470, Schumacher 2004: 211f., LIV \*g<sup>w</sup>elh<sub>1</sub>-.

**volpēs, -is** 'fox' [m. *i*] (Pl.+; CLat. *vulpēs*)

Derivatives: *volpīnārī* 'to behave like a fox' (Varro+).

PIt. \*wolp-i- 'fox'.

PIE \*(H)ulp-i- 'marten, cat, vel sim.'. IE cognates: Av. *raopi-* 'fox' < Ilr. \**laupi-*, Av. *urupi-* 'marten' (vel sim.) < Ilr. \**lupi-*, MP *gurbag*, MoP *gurba* 'cat' < \**uļpaka-*; Lith. *vilpišys* 'wild cat' < \**uļp-i-*; Skt. *lopāśá-* 'jackal', Khot. *rrūvāsa-* 'jackal', MP *rōpās*, Oss. *ruvas/robas* 'fox' < Ilr. \**laupāća-* (< \**h<sub>2</sub>loup-ēk-*?). Probably unrelated: Gr. ἄλωπηξ, -εκος [f.] 'fox', Arm. *alowēs*, gen. *-esow* 'fox', Lith. *lāpė*, Latv. *lapsa* 'fox', W. *llywarn*, MCo. *lowarn*, Bret. *louarn* < PCl. \**lop-erno-* < \**h<sub>2</sub>lōp-* 'fox'.

In theory, from \**uelp-i-* or \**u(o)lp-i-*. Since we find \**uļp-* in Baltic and in Persian 'cat', *volpēs* probably continues the zero grade of the root. In Ilr., metathesis to \**lup-i-* took place (> Av. *urupi-*), whence \**laupi-* was derived.

Bibl.: WH II: 830, EM 751, IEW 1179, Clackson 1994: 95, Schrijver 1998, de Vaan 2000. → *lupus*

**voltur, -ris** 'vulture' [m. *r*] (Enn.+; CLat. *vultur*)

Derivatives: *vulturius* [m.] 'vulture' (Pl.+), *subvulturius* 'of a vulture' (Pl.).

Could belong to *vellō*, as 'the tearing bird'. In that case, the suffix *-ur-* is surprising. Muller 1926: 530 questions whether it could be a rhyming word with its "antonym" *turtur* 'dove'. The original form could then have been \**uelH-tor-* 'tearer'. While conceivable, this does not appeal much to me.

Bibl.: WH II: 830f., EM 751, IEW 482. → \**we/olt(o)r-*

**voltus, -ūs** 'facial expression, face' [m. *u*] (Pl.+; CLat. *vultus*)

Derivatives: *volta*, *-ōrum* [n.pl.] 'face, look' (Enn., Lucr.).

PIt. \**we/oltu-*.

PIE \**u(e)l-tu-* 'gaze, view'. IE cognates: OIr. *·fil*, *·feil/fail* 'there is', MW *gwelet*,

MCo. *gweles*, OBret. *gulet* ‘to see’ < PCl. \**uel-e/o-*; OIr. *fili, filed* ‘seer’ < \**uel-ēt-* (Irslinger 2002: 56); Go. *wulbus* [m.] ‘wealth’ < \**ulf-tu-* ‘respect’.

Probably from \**wel-tu-* ‘gaze, view’ > ‘look’ > ‘face’, thus Hamp 1984a: 149.

Bibl.: WH II: 831, EM 751, IEW 1136f., Schumacher 2004: 669-675, LIV 3.\**uel-*.

**volup** ‘with pleasure’ [adv.] (Naev.+; Naev. *volop*)

Derivatives: *voluptās* ‘pleasure’ (Pl.+), *voluptābilis* ‘causing pleasure’ (Pl.), *voluptārius* ‘of or devoted to pleasure’ (Pl.+), *Volupia* ‘goddess of pleasure’ (Varro+).

Plt. \**wel/olpi*.

PIE \**u(e/o)lp-i* [n.] ‘hope, pleasure’. IE cognates: Gr. ἔλπομαι ‘to expect, hope, think’, pf. ἔολπα, ἐλπωρή ‘hope’ (for \*ἐλπωλη?□), ἐλπίς, -ίδος [f.] ‘hope’.

The ms. variant *volupe* has probably arisen in *volupest* ‘volup est’, cf. Torzi 1991. Nevertheless, *volup* might reflect Plt. \**w(e/o)lpi* ‘hopefully’, which could continue the n. of a PIE *i*-stem ‘hope’ (which also Gr. ἐλπίδ- could reflect). An apocopated Proto-Latin form \**wel/olp* could have acquired an anaptyctic vowel between *l* and *p*. Admittedly, there is no anaptyxis in *volt* ‘he wants’, but 3s. \**wel-t* could have been restored on the model of the other paradigmatic forms. In order to explain *-u-* from a real Plt. vowel, Hamp 1980 reconstructs \**uel-h<sub>1</sub>p-* ‘desire reaching’, i.e. ‘attaining one’s wish’, a cp. from the root of *volō* (\**uelh<sub>1</sub>-*) and the root of *apiō* ‘to reach’. In a syntagm \**uel-h<sub>1</sub>p* \**h<sub>1</sub>esti* ‘there is desire-reaching’ with enclitic copula, Plt. \**welap-est* would have given \**velup(est)* > *volup*. Yet in the absence of any evidence for the combination of \**uelh<sub>1</sub>-* plus \**h<sub>1</sub>p-*, of a root noun \**uelh<sub>1</sub>-*, and of the forced semantics, this etymology does not seem likely.

Bibl.: WH II: 834, EM 752, IEW 1137f., LIV \**uelp-*.

**volva** ‘womb’ [f. *ā*] (Varro+; CLat. *vulva*)

Derivatives: *volvula* ‘small womb’ (Naev.).

Plt. \**wel/olwā-*.

PIE \**u(e/o)l-u-h<sub>2</sub>-*. IE cognates: see s.v. *volvō*.

The connection with PIE \**g<sup>w</sup>elb<sup>h</sup>-* ‘womb’ (Gr. δελφύς) is only possible for the spelling *volba*, *bulba*, but this appears late in Imperial times, and cannot be relied on. Hence, we need an etymon in VOLat. \**-w-*. Since the womb ‘envelopes’ the foetus, a derivation from *volvō* is only natural, and it also explains the suffix \**-w-*. The ablaut can be assumed to have been the same as in the verb.

Bibl.: WH II: 831f., EM 751, IEW 1140-44, LIV 2.\**uel-*. → *valva*, *volvō*

**volvō, -ere** ‘to (cause to) go round, roll’ [v. III; pf. *voluī*, ppp. *volūtum*] (Naev.+)

Derivatives: *volūbilis* ‘rolling, spinning’ (Pac.+), *volūmen* ‘roll of papyrus’ (Varro+), *volūcra* / *-is* ‘caterpillar on vines’ (Col.+), *involūcre/-um* [n.] ‘cover, wrap’ (Pl.+), *volūtāre* ‘to think over, roll’ (Pl.+); *convolvulus* ‘caterpillar’ (Cato+), *involvulus* ‘caterpillar’ (Pl.+); *convolvere* ‘to roll up, churn’ (Varro+), *ēvolvere* ‘to evict, unfold, roll out’ (Pl.+), *involvere* ‘to wrap up, cover’ (Varro+), *pervolvere* ‘to roll over’ (Ter.+), *prōvolvere* ‘to roll forward’ (Ter.+), *revolvere* ‘to roll back, relapse’ (Ter.+).

Plt. \**welu-e/o-*.

PIE \*uel-u- ‘to wind’. IE cognates: OIr. *fillid* < PCl. \*uel-n-o- ‘bend’, Bret. *goalenn* ‘green twig’ < \*uel-, Gr. εἰλώω ‘to wind round’, pf. εἰλώμαι < \*ρέ-ϛλῦ-μαι, ἔλῦ-τρον ‘envelop, shell, container’ (< PGr. \*ρέλυ-τρον), ἔλῦμα ‘plough-beam’, ἄλυσις ‘chain’, εἰλέω ‘to rull, revolve’ < \*uel-n-; Arm. *egel* ‘he turned’, Go. *walwjan*, OE *wealwian* ‘revolve (onself)’, ToB *wäl-* ‘to curl (intr./tr.)’.

*Volūmen*, *involūcre* and *volūbilis* have the productive lengthening of the stem vowel \*-u- in derivatives. Short -u- appears to be preserved in *volucra* / -is with its specialized meaning ‘caterpillar’ < \*‘wrapping itself up’.

Bibl.: WH II: 832-834, EM 752, IEW 1140-44, Schrijver 1991: 296, LIV 2.\*uel-. → *volva*

**vōmer** ‘ploughshare’ [m. r] (Cato+; also nom.sg. *vōmis* Verg. Col., *vōmeris* Cato)

PIt. \*woy<sup>wh</sup>smi-?

PIE \*uog<sup>wh</sup>-ni- ‘coulter, ploughshare’? IE cognates: Gr. ὀφνίς ‘plough(share)’ (Hsch.), OPr. *wagnis* ‘coulter’, Oİc. *vangsni*, OHG *waganso* ‘ploughshare’.

Greek and Old Prussian point to a possible preform \*uog<sup>wh</sup>ni-; one could then posit \*uog<sup>wh</sup>mi- for Latin. Yet the latter would probably yield \*uoumi- > \*uūmi- (unless there was a phonological constraint on \*uū-, and \*uoumi- regularly yielded \*vōmi-). Alternatively, one might posit a suffix \*-smi-, hence \*uog<sup>wh</sup>-smi- > \*uogzmi- > \*uozmi- > *vōmi-*. We more often find an s-stem for an earlier i-stem, cf. *cinis*. The nom.sg. *vōmis* seems to retain the old nom.

Bibl.: WH II: 835, EM 752, IEW 1179f.

**vomō, -ere** ‘to vomit’ [v. Ill; *vomuī, vomitum*] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *vomitus*, -ūs ‘vomiting’ (Pl.+), *vomica* ‘gathering of pus, abscess’ (Pl.+); *ēvomere* ‘to vomit out’ (Pl.+).

PIt. \*weme/o-.

PIE \*uemh<sub>1</sub>- [pr.] ‘to vomit’. IE cognates: Skt. *vāmiti* ‘to vomit, spit out’, YAv. *auui...vaṇti* ‘spits upon’, Gr. ἐμέω, Lith. *vėmti*, 3s. *vėmia*, Latv. *veņt* ‘to vomit’.

The PIE root present has been thematized in Latin.

Bibl.: WH II: 835, EM 752f., IEW 1146, Schrijver 1991: 396, LIV \*uemh<sub>1</sub>-.

**vorō, -āre** ‘to swallow, devour’ [v. I] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *vorāx* ‘devouring’ (Acc.+); *dēvorāre* ‘to swallow up’ (Pl.+).

PIt. \*g<sup>w</sup>o/erā-.

PIE \*g<sup>w</sup>o/erh<sub>3</sub>-o/h<sub>2</sub>- ‘devouring, devoured’. IE cognates: Skt. pr. *girāti* ‘devours, swallows’, aor. *garan* [3p.inj.act.], aor. *gārīt* [3s.inj.act.], pf. *jaḡāra* [3s.act.], int. *jalgulas* [2s.sb.act.], *galgalīti* [3s.act.], ppp. *gīrná-*, YAv. *aspō.gar-* ‘devouring horses’, *narə.gar-* ‘devouring men’; Gr. βιβρώσκω ‘to eat, digest’ < \*g<sup>w</sup>i-g<sup>w</sup>rh<sub>3</sub>-sk-, βρωτός ‘edible’, βοπά [f.] ‘fodder’; Arm. *e-ker* [3s.act.] ‘ate’; Lith. *gėrti* ‘to drink’, *girtas* ‘drunk’, OCS *po-žrěti* ‘to eat (of animals), to devour’ < BSl. \*ger<sup>?</sup>-.

Probably a denominative verb to a noun/adj. \*g<sup>w</sup>orā- ‘food’ or ‘devouring’, cf. Gr. βοπά. In theory, a preform \*g<sup>w</sup>erā- is also possible.



Bibl.: WH II: 836, EM 753, IEW 474-476, Steinbauer 1989: 216, Schrijver 1991: 217, Meiser 1998: 100, LIV \*g<sup>h</sup>erh<sub>3</sub>-.

vōs ‘you (pl.)’ [pron. pers. 2p.] (Lex XII, Andr.+; nom.acc. vōs, dat.abl. vōbīs; gen. *vestrum* Pl.+, *vestrī* Ter.+, *vostrōrum* [m.], *vostrārum* [f.]

Derivatives: *voster* (before 150 BC) / *vester* (after 150 BC) ‘your’ [pron. poss. 2p.] (Naev.+).

Plt. \*wōs, \*wō-f-, \*wos-tero-. It. cognates: Fal. *ues* ‘you’; Pael. *uus* [nom.], *uus* [dat.] ‘you’ (pl.) < \*wōs [nom.], \*wō-fos [dat.]; U. *uestra* [abl.sg.f.] ‘your’ (pl.) < \*wes-tero-.

PIE acc. \*uōs, gen. \*uo/es, adj.poss. \*us-tero-? IE cognates: Skt. *vas* ‘you’ [acc.pl., gen.pl., dat.pl.], OAv. *vā* [gen.dat.pl.], YAv. *vō* (< \*uas); OAv. *vā* [acc.pl.] (< \*uās) ‘you’, OCS *vy* [nom.], *vasъ* [gen.acc.loc.], *vamъ* [dat.].

The original nom. \*iuH(s) was replaced by the stressed acc. form \*wōs, apparently already in Plt. The gen. *vestrum* is originally the gen.pl. of *vester*; it was replaced by *vestrī*. The *e* of U. *uestra* probably shows Plt. \*westero-, while Latin has analogical *voster* after *noster*. In Faliscan, the *e* spread to the accented personal pronoun.

Bibl.: WH II: 836f., EM 753, IEW 513f., Giacomelli 1963: 257f., Leumann 1977: 463-466, Sihler 1995: 379-383, Beekes 1995: 208, Meiser 1998: 156-159, Untermann 2000: 851, 865.

voveō ‘to promise, vow’ [v. II; pf. vōvī, ppp. vōtum] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *vōtum* [*vootum* in a Fal. inscr.] ‘vow, prayer’ (Naev.+); *convōvēre* ‘to join in taking a vow’ (SCBac.), *dēvōtāre* ‘to put a spell on’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \*wow-eje/o- ‘to promise’. It. cognates: maybe U. *vufru* [acc.sg.m.] ‘fulfilling an oath’ < \*uog<sup>wh</sup>-ro-; also U. *vufetes* [dat.abl.pl.n.] ‘votive?’ < \*uog<sup>wh</sup>eto-?

PIE \*h<sub>1</sub>uog<sup>wh</sup>-eie- ‘to promise’. IE cognates: Skt. pr. *ohate* [3p.med.], *ohāna*- [ptc.med.] ‘to praise, announce’, *oha*- [m.] ‘praising’, *ohas*- [n.] ‘praise’, OAv. *aogadā* [3s.inj.med.], *pairiaoyžā* [2s.inj.pr.med.], YAv. *aoxta* [3s.inj.med.], *aojana*- [ptc.med.] ‘to say, announce’ < Ilr. \*Ha(H)ug<sup>h</sup>- < PIE \*h<sub>1</sub>eh<sub>1</sub>ug<sup>(wh)</sup>- [pr.red.]; Skt. *vāghāt*- [m.] ‘singer, priest’ < PIE \*h<sub>1</sub>uog<sup>wh</sup>-et-/nt-; Gr. εὔχομαι ‘to pray, vow, boast’ < \*h<sub>1</sub>eug<sup>wh</sup>-e-, Myc. *e-u-ke-to* ‘εὔχεται’ ‘to declare’, Gr. pret. εὔκτο; Gr. εὔχος ‘glory’; Arm. *gog* ‘say!’.

The causative *voveō* < \*uog<sup>wh</sup>-eie- shows that the lenition of medial \*g<sup>wh</sup>- > \*-w- postdates the change of PIE \*-ow- > \*-aw-. Since PIE \*uo- generally developed into *va-* in open syllable, *voveō* must have restored the *o*-vowel after this sound change took place, on the model of other causative verbs. The ppp. *vōtum* was contracted from \*wowito-, in which *-i-* is the unsyncopeated reduced medial vowel.

Bibl.: WH II: 837, EM 753, IEW 348, Giacomelli 1963: 258f., Schrijver 1991: 76, 279, 472, Untermann 2000: 861-63, LIV \*h<sub>1</sub>ueg<sup>wh</sup>-.

vōx, vōcis ‘voice’ [f. k] (Pl.+)

Derivatives: *vōcula* ‘soft voice’ (Titin.+), *vōcālis* ‘able to speak, with a voice’ (Varro+), *vocāre* ‘to call, summon’ (Lex XII+), *vocābulum* ‘name, noun’ (Pl.+),

*vocāmen* ‘designation’ (Lucret.), *vocitāre* ‘to call’ (Pl.+); *vōciferāre/ī* ‘to shout, cry’ (Varro+), *vōciferātiō* ‘clamour’ (Afran.+), *vōcificāre* ‘to utter loud sounds’ (Varro+); *advocāre* ‘to call upon, summon’ (Pl.+), *arvocitat* ‘summons often’ (Paul. *ex F.*), *convocāre* ‘to convoke’ (Pl.+), *ēvocāre* ‘to evoke, summon’ (Pl.+), *invocāre* ‘to call upon, pray for’ (Naev.+), *prōvocāre* ‘to call forth, challenge’ (Andr.+), *prōvocātor* ‘challenger’ (Pl.+), *revocāre* ‘to summon back, recall’ (Pl.+).

Plt. \*wōk<sup>w</sup>s, \*wok<sup>w</sup>-. It. cognates: U. *suboco* [acc.sg. or pl.] ‘invocation’ < \**sub-wok-(o-)*; U. *subocauu*, *subocau* ‘I invoke’ < \**sub-wok-ā-je-*.

PIE \*uōk<sup>w</sup>-s, \*uok<sup>w</sup>-m [f.] ‘voice’. IE cognates: Skt. *vāk* [nom.sg.], *vācam* [acc.], *vācās* [gen.] [f.] ‘voice, speech’, *vāgvín-* ‘eloquent’, OAv. *vāxš* [nom.sg.], *vācam* [acc.], *vacō* [gen.], YAv. *vāxš* [nom.sg.], *vācim* [acc.], *vaca* [ins.], *vacqm* [gen.pl.] ‘voice’, Gr. ὄπ- [f.] ‘voice, sound, word’: ὄπα [acc.sg.], ὄπός [gen.], ὄπι [dat.]; ToB *wek* [m.sg.], ToA *wak* ‘voice, noise’.

The verb *vocāre* must be a denominative from the oblique stem \*uok<sup>w</sup>- of *vōx*. Possibly, it went through an intermediate derivative \*uok<sup>w</sup>-ā- ‘voices, call’.

Bibl.: WH II: 823f., EM 753f., IEW 1135f., Schrijver 1991: 472, Untermann 2000: 707f., LIV \*uek<sup>u</sup>-.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

### ABBREVIATIONS OF LITERATURE

CGL	<i>Corpus Glossariorum Latinorum</i>
CIL	<i>Corpus Inscriptionum Latinarum</i>
EIEC	Mallory-Adams 1997
EM	Ernout-Meillet 1979
EWAia	Mayrhofer 1986-2002
IEW	Pokorny 1959
LEIA	<i>Lexique Étymologique du Vieil-Irlandais</i>
LIV	<i>Lexikon der indogermanischen Verben</i> , 2. Auflage
OLD	Oxford Latin Dictionary
Paul. ex F.	Paulus Diaconus: <i>Excerpta ex libris Pompeii Festi de significatione verborum</i>
TLL	<i>Thesaurus Linguae Latinae</i>
WH	Walde-Hoffmann 1930-1954

*ABÄG* = *Amsterdamer Beiträge zur älteren Germanistik*.

*AJPh* = *American Journal of Philology*.

*BSL* = *Bulletin de la Société de Linguistique*.

*ECIEC* = *East Coast Indo-European Conference*.

*HS* = *Historische Sprachforschung*.

*HSCP* = *Harvard Studies in Classical Philology*.

*IBS* = *Innsbrucker Beiträge zur Sprachwissenschaft*.

*IF* = *Indogermanische Forschungen*.

*IJDLR* = *International Journal of Diachronic Linguistics and Linguistic Reconstruction*.

*JIES* = *Journal of Indo-European Studies*.

*MSS* = *Münchener Studien zur Sprachwissenschaft*.

*NOWELE* = *North-Western European Language Evolution*.

*NTS* = *Norsk Tidsskrift for Sprogvidenskap*.

*TPS* = *Transactions of the Philological Society*.

*ZVS* = *Zeitschrift für Vergleichende Sprachforschung*.

## AUTHORS

Adams, Douglas Q.

- 1985a Sanskrit *pūmān*, Latin *pūbēs*, and related words. *Die Sprache* 31, 1-16.  
 1985b Latin *mas* and *masturbari*. *Glotta* 63, 241-247.  
 1997 *A Dictionary of Tocharian B*. Amsterdam – Atlanta: Rodopi.

Adams, J.N.

- 1992 The origin and meaning of Lat. *veterimus*, *veterinarius*. *IF* 97, 70-95.

Adiego Lajara, Ignacio-Javier

- 2001 Osco TRÍBUF PLÍFRÍKS. *Glotta* 77, 1-6.

Adrados, Francisco

- 2007 Must we again postulate a unitary and uniform Indo-European? *IF* 112, 1-25.

André, Jacques

- 1984 Lat. *vēsīca*. *Revue de Philologie, de Littérature et d'Histoire Anciennes* 58, 175-179.

Bader, Françoise

- 1962 *La formation des composés nominaux du latin*. Paris: Belles Lettres.  
 1983 De l' "auscultation" à la "célébrité" en latin: formes de la racine \**kel-*. In: *Hommages à Jean Cousin: rencontres avec l'Antiquité classique*, ed. L. Lerat, Paris: Les Belles Lettres, 27-60.

Baldi, Philip

- 1999 *The foundations of Latin*. Berlin – New York: Mouton de Gruyter.

Balles, Irene

- 1999 Lateinisch *sanguis* 'Blut'. In: Eichner / Luschützky 1999, 3-17.

Bammesberger, Alfred

- 1980 Zur Bildungsweise des indogermanischen Kausativs. *ZVS* 94, 4-9.  
 1990 Lateinisch *finis* und urgermanisch \**baina-*. *HS* 103, 264-268.  
 2003 Review of: Hill 2003. *HS* 116, 314-317.

Bartalucci, Aldo

- 1963 I desiderativi in *-esso*. *Rendiconti Accademia dei Lincei. Scienze morali, storiche e filologiche* 18, 345-372.

Bartholomae, Christian

- 1904 *Altiranisches Wörterbuch*. Strassburg: Trübner.

Barton, Charles

- 1987 Greek ΕΠΩ, Latin *serō*, Armenian *yerum*. *AJPh* 108, 672-674.

Beckwith, Miles

- 2005 Volscian *sistiatiens* and the Oscan *-tt-* perfect. *HS* 118, 145-159.

## Beekes, Robert

- 1969 *The development of the Proto-Indo-European laryngeals in Greek*. The Hague – Paris: Mouton.
- 1972 H<sub>2</sub>o. *Die Sprache* 18, 117-131.
- 1975 Two notes on PIE stems in dentals. In: *Flexion und Wortbildung: Akten der Fachtagung der indogermanischen Gesellschaft Regensburg, 9-14 September 1973*, ed. H. Rix, Wiesbaden: Reichert, 9-14.
- 1976 Uncle and Nephew. *JIES* 4, 43-63.
- 1984 PIE 'sun'. *MSS*, 43 5-8.
- 1987a The PIE words for 'name' and 'me'. *Die Sprache* 33, 1-6.
- 1987b On Indo-European 'wine'. *MSS* 48, 21-26.
- 1989 Review of: Nussbaum 1986. *Kratylos* 34, 55-59.
- 1990 Bloem en blad. In: *100 jaar etymologisch woordenboek van het Nederlands*. 's-Gravenhage, 1990, eds. A. Moerdijk, W. Pijnenburg and P. van Sterkenburg, 375-382.
- 1992 Widow. *HS* 105, 171-187.
- 1994 'Right', 'left' and 'naked' in Proto-Indo-European. *Orbis* 37, 87-96.
- 1995 *Comparative Indo-European Linguistics*. Amsterdam – Philadelphia: Benjamins.
- 1996 Ancient European loanwords. *HS* 109, 215-236.
- 1998 The origin of Lat. *aqua*, and of \**teutā* 'people'. *JIES* 26, 459-466.
- 1999 The Greek word for 'lead'. *MSS* 59, 7-14.
- 2003 Historical Phonology of Classical Armenian. In: Kortlandt 2003, 133-211.
- 2004 Armenian *gišer* and the Indo-European word for 'evening'. In: Hyllested et al. 2004, 59-62.
- ftbc.: *A Greek etymological dictionary*.

## Benedetti, Marina

- 1988 *I composti radicali latini. Esame storico e comparativo*. Pisa: Giardini.

## Benediktsson, Hreinn

- 1960 The vowel syncope in Oscan-Umbrian. *NTS* 19, 157-295.

## Benveniste, Emile

- 1931 Trois étymologies latines. *BSL* 32, 68-85.
- 1951 Sur l'histoire du mot latin *negotium*. *Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa. Lettere, storia e filosofia*, Serie II – Vol. 20, 21-25.
- 1962 *Hittite et indo-européen: études comparatives*. Paris: Maisonneuve.
- 1969 *Le vocabulaire des institutions indo-européennes*. Two volumes. Paris: Les éditions de minuit.

## Berenguer Sánchez, José and Eugenio Luján Martínez

- 2004 La nueva inscripción falisca de Cavios Frenaios. *Zeitschrift für Papyrologie und Epigraphik* 149, 213-226.

## Bettini, Maurizio

- 2000 The origin of Latin *mustela*. *Glotta* 76, 1-19.

Biville, Frederique

- 1990 *Les emprunts du latin au grec. Approche phonétique. Tome I: Introduction et consonantisme, Tome II: Vocalisme et conclusions.* Louvain – Paris: Peeters.

Boutkan, Dirk and Sjoerd Siebinga

- 2005 *Old Frisian etymological dictionary.* Leiden: Brill.

Boutkan, Dirk and Maarten Kossmann

- 1999 Some Berber parallels of European substratum words. *JIES* 27, 87-100.

Bracchi, Remo

- 1999 *Turdus* e i suoi corrispondenti: l'uccello "che cova nel fango inaridito". *Athenaeum* 87, 79-92.

Brachet, Jean-Paul

- 1999 Latin *proelium*: poétique et étymologie. *HS* 112, 286-298.

Bréal, Maurice

- 1894 Étymologies latines et grecques. *Mémoires de la Société de Linguistique de Paris* 8, 45-52 [8. La préposition AB devenue AF et A, p. 48-49]

Breyer, Gertraud

- 1993 *Etruskisches Sprachgut im Lateinischen unter Ausschluss des spezifisch onomastischen Bereichs = Orientalia Lovaniensia Analecta* 53. Leuven: Peeters.

Briquel, Dominique

- 1999 Les emprunts du latin à l'étrusque: l'approche de la question chez les auteurs anciens. *Studi Etruschi* 63, 291-313.

Campanile, Enrico

- 1969 Note sulle glosse sicule e sui rapporti linguistici fra siculo e latino. In: *Studia classica et orientalia Antonino Pagliaro oblata*, vol. I, eds. V. Abaev et al., 293-322.

Chanut, Pierre-Yves

- 1980 Les "tesca" du capitolé. *Revue de Philologie* 54, 295-304.

Cheung, J.

- 2002 *Studies in the historical development of the Ossetic vocalism.* Wiesbaden: Reichert.
- 2007 *Etymological dictionary of the Iranian verb.* Leiden: Brill.

Cohen, Paul S.

- 2004 A new etymology for Latin *aquila*. In: *Indo-European word formation: proceedings of the conference held at the University of Copenhagen October 20th – 22nd 2000*, eds. James Clackson and Birgit Anette Olsen, Copenhagen: Museum Tusulanæum Press, 25-35.

Coleman, Robert

- 1992 Italic. In: *Indo-European Numerals*, ed. J. Gvozdanović, Berlin – New York: Mouton de Gruyter, 389-445.

Cotticelli Kuras, Paola

- 1993 Latino *sonticus*: una nota tra filologia e linguistica. *Athenaeum* 81, 622-631.

Cowgill, Warren

- 1970 Italic and Celtic superlatives and the dialects of Indo-European. In: *Indo-European and the Indo-Europeans*, ed. G. Cardona e.a., Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press, 113-153.
- 1973 The source of Latin *stāre*. *JIES* 1, 271-303.
- 1974 More evidence for Indo-Hittite: the tense-aspect systems. In: *Proceedings of the Eleventh International Congress of Linguists*. Ed. L. Heilmann, Bologna, 557-570.
- 1978 The source of Latin *vīs* 'thou wilt'. *Die Sprache* 24, 25-44.
- 1985 PIE \**duyo* '2' in Germanic and Celtic, and the nom.-acc. dual of non-neuter *o*-stems. *MSS* 46, 13-28.

Cuesta Pastor, José Manuel

- 1996 Gr. γαλέη 'comadreja' y la glosa γάλις · γαλαίος. *Emerita* 64, 325-331.

Cuny, Albert

- 1910 Les mots du fonds préhellénique en grec, latin, et sémitique occidental. *Revue des Études Anciennes* 12, 154-164.

Demiraj, Bardhyl

- 1997 *Albanische Etymologien*. Amsterdam – Atlanta: Rodopi.

Derksen, Rick

- 1996 *Metatony in Baltic*. Amsterdam – Atlanta: Rodopi.
- 2008 *Etymological dictionary of the Slavic inherited lexicon*. Leiden: Brill.
- fthc.: *Etymological dictionary of the Baltic inherited lexicon*.

Devoto, Giacomo

- 1967 Lat. *imago*. In: *Beiträge zur Indogermanistik und Keltologie: Julius Pokorny zum 80. Geburtstag gewidmet*, ed. W. Meid, Innsbruck: Universität Innsbruck, 25-28.

Diehl, Ernst

- 1964 *Allateinische Inschriften*. Fünfte Auflage. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter.

Driessen, Michiel

- 2001 On the etymology of Lat. *urbs*. *JIES* 29, 41-67.
- 2003a Evidence for \**ǵ<sup>h</sup>elh<sub>2</sub>-*, a new Indo-European root. *JIES* 31, 279-305.
- 2003b \**h<sub>2</sub>é-h<sub>2</sub>us-o-*, the Proto-Indo-European term for 'gold'. *JIES* 31, 347-362.
- 2004 Indo-European 'felt'. *JIES* 32, 25-42.
- 2005 On the etymology of Lat. *fulvus*. In: *Sprachkontakt und Sprachwandel. Akten der XI. Fachtagung der Indogermanischen Gesellschaft*, eds. G.

Meiser and O. Hackstein. Wiesbaden: Reichert, 39-64.

Duhoux, Yves

- 1973 Indo-européen \**el-eu-dh* / *l-ēu-dh-*, \**l-eu-dh-*; grec ἐλεύθερος, latin *liber*. *Folia Linguistica* 6, 146-151.

Dunkel, George

- 1979 *reciprocus* und Verwandtes. *IF* 84, 184-195.  
 1980 Ennian *atque atque; prope*. *Glotta* 58.  
 1987 *hērēs*, *χωρῶσται*: Indogermanische Richtersprache. In: *Festschrift for Henry Hoenigswald: on the occasion of his seventieth birthday*, eds. G. Cardona and N. Zide, Tübingen: Gunter Narr, 91-100.  
 1997 Latin *iubar* and *fās*: sound-law and analogy. In: Lubotsky 1997, 27-36.  
 1998 On the "Thematisation" of Latin *sum, volo, eo* and *edo* and the system of endings in the IE subjunctive active. In: Jasanoff / Melchert / Oliver 1998, 83-100.  
 2000a Latin verbs in *-igāre* and *-īgāre*. In: *Festband 125 Jahre Indogermanistik in Graz. Arbeiten aus der Abteilung "Vergleichende Sprachwissenschaft Graz"*, eds. M. Ofitsch and C. Zinko, Graz: Leykam, 87-99.  
 2000b The common origin of conjunctive \**-k<sup>h</sup>e* and of adverbial \**-s*. In: *Anusantatyai. Festschrift für Johanna Narten zum 70. Geburtstag*, eds. A. Hintze and E. Tichy, Dettelbach: Röhl, 11-29.  
 2005 Latin *-pte, -pe, -per, -pse*; IE Limiting \**-pó-te, \*-pe-r, and \*póti* 'master'. In: *Proceedings of the Sixteenth Annual UCLA Indo-European Conference. Los Angeles, November 5-6, 2004*, eds. K. Jones-Bley e.a., Washington DC: Institute for the Study of Man (= *JIES Monograph Series* 50), 173-189.

Ebbinghaus, Ernst

- 1979 Gotica XVI. *General Linguistics* 19, 188-192.

Edgeworth, Robert

- 1985 Luteus: Pink or Yellow? *Glotta* 63, 212-220.

Eichner, Heiner

- 1978 Die urindogermanische Wurzel \**H<sub>2</sub>reu* 'hell machen'. *Die Sprache* 24, 144-162.  
 1982 Zur hethitischen Etymologie. In: *Investigationes philologicae et comparativae: Gedenkschrift für Heinz Kronasser*, ed. E. Neu, Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz, 16-28.  
 1992 Indogermanisches Phonemsystem und lateinische Lautgeschichte. In: Panagl / Krisch 1992, 55-79.  
 1993a Reklameiamben aus Roms Königszeit. *Die Sprache* 34 [1991], 207-238.  
 1993b 1919 oder 1991? Zur Entwicklung der oskisch-umbrischen Studien nebst einer neuen Interpretation des Textes von Fonte Romito (Vetter Nr. 147). In: *Oskisch-Umbrisch: Texte und Grammatik. Arbeitstagung der Indogermanischen Gesellschaft und der Società Italiana di Glottologia vom 25. bis 28. September 1991 in Freiburg*, ed. H. Rix, Wiesbaden: Reichert, 46-95.



- 1995 Zu frühlateinischen Wortformen auf dem Forumscippus CIL I<sup>2</sup>,1. In: *Studia onomastica et indogermanica. Festschrift für Fritz Lochner von Hüttenbach zum 65. Geburtstag*, eds. M. Ofitsch and C. Zinko, Graz: Leykam, 65-73.
- 2002 Lateinisch *hostia*, *hostus*, *hostire* und die stellvertretende Tiertötung der Hethiter. In: *Fritz / Zeilfelder 2002*, 101-156.
- Eichner, Heiner and Hans Christian Luschützky (eds.)  
 1999 *Compositiones Indogermanicae in memoriam Jochem Schindler*. Praha: Enigma Corporation.
- Ernout, Alfred  
 1937 avtvmare. *Latomus* 1, 75-79.
- Ernout, Alfred and Antoine Meillet  
 1979 *Dictionnaire étymologique de la langue latine*, 4<sup>me</sup> edition. Troisième tirage, par Jacques André. Paris: Klincksieck.
- Fischer, Helmut  
 1982 Lateinisch *gravis* "schwer". *MSS* 41, 33-34.  
 1991 Nachtrag zu 'Lateinisch *gravis*' (MSS 41,1982, 33f.). *MSS* 52, 7.
- Fischer, Helmut and Ralf-Peter Ritter  
 1991 Zu Bartholomae's (jungavest.) *aēta-* "das gebührende Teil". *MSS* 52, 9-13.
- Flobert, Pierre  
 1975 *Les verbes déponents latins des origines à Charlemagne*. Paris: Les Belles Lettres.
- Forbes, Kathleen  
 1958 The relations of the particle  $\acute{\alpha}\nu$  with  $\kappa\epsilon(v)$   $\kappa\alpha$   $\kappa\alpha\nu$ . *Glotta* 37, 179-182.
- Forssman, Bernhard  
 1965 Gr.  $\pi\rho\acute{\upsilon}\mu\nu\eta$ , ai. *nimná-* und Verwandtes. *ZVS* 79, 11-18.  
 1972 Review of: X. Mignot, *Les verbes dénominatifs latins*. Paris 1969. *Gnomon* 44, 666-672.  
 1983 Etymologische Nachschlagewerke zum antiken Latein: Stand und Aufgaben. In: *Das etymologische Wörterbuch. Fragen der Konzeption und Gestaltung*, ed. A. Bammesberger, Regensburg: Pustet, 49-73.  
 1992 Die etymologische Erforschung des Lateinischen. In: Panagl / Krisch 1992, 295-310.  
 1993 Lateinisch *ieiunus* und *ieientare*. In: Meiser et al. 1993, 95-105.  
 1998 Vedisch *átithipati-*, lateinisch *hospes*. In: Jasanoff / Melchert / Oliver 1998, 115-126.  
 1999 Lateinisch *permiťēs* und *perniciēs*. In: Eichner / Luschützky 1999, 69-76.  
 2002 Etymologie im *Thesaurus Linguae Latinae*. *Museum Helveticum* 59, 172-187.  
 2004 Lateinisch *proprius*, *propriare*. In: Hyllested et al. 2004, 131-138.

## Fortson, Benjamin

- 2002 Linguistic and cultural notes on Latin *Iūnius* and related topics. In: *Indo-European Perspectives*, ed. M. Southern, Washington DC: Institute for the Study of Man, 61-77.

## Fraenkel, Eduard

- 1948 Zur griechischen und lateinischen Grammatik und Wortforschung. *Philologus* 97, 161-176.  
1955-1965 *Litauisches etymologisches Wörterbuch*. Two vols. Heidelberg: Winter.

## Fritz, Matthias

- 1996 Das uridg. Wort für 'Nase' und das grundsprachliche Lautgesetz \*RHV > \*RV. *HS* 109, 1-20.

## Fritz, Matthias and Susanne Zeilfelder (eds.)

- 2002 *Novalis Indogermanica. Festschrift für Günther Neumann zum 80. Geburtstag*. Graz: Leykam

## Fruyt, Michèle

- 1986 *Problèmes méthodologiques de dérivation à propos des suffixes latins en ...cus*. Paris: Klincksieck.

## García-Ramón, José-Luis

- 1993a Latin *ciēre*, *citus*, IE \**kei-/ki-* 'ponerse en movimiento' y Causat. \**koi-éie-ti*. In: Heidermanns / Rix / Seebold 1993, 125-139.  
1993b Lat. *censēre*, got. *hazjan* und das idg. Präsens \**kéns-e-ti* (und \**kns-éje-ti?*) 'verkündigt, schätzt', Stativ \**kns-eh<sub>1</sub>-* 'verkündigt, geschätzt sein / werden'. In: Meiser et al. 1993, 106-130.  
1996 Lat. *auēre*, (*ad*)*iuuāre* and IE \**h<sub>2</sub>eu<sub>h</sub><sub>1</sub>*. In: *Akten des VIII. Internationalen Kolloquiums zur lateinischen Linguistik*, eds. A. Bammesberger and F. Heberlein, Heidelberg: Winter, 32-49.  
1997 Lat. *prae*, gr. *παρά*, *παρά* und Verwandtes: idg. \**prh<sub>2</sub>-* und \**pr-* 'vorn daneben, vor' gegenüber \**pro(h<sub>1</sub>)* 'vor(n), vorwärts'. In: Lubotsky 1997, 47-62.  
2006 Homme comme force, force d'homme: un motif onomastique et l'étymologie du vieil irlandais *gus*. In: *La langue poétique indo-européenne*, eds. G.-J. Pinault and D. Petit, Leuven: Peeters, 79-93.

## Garnier, Romain

- 2004 *L'apophonie radicale dans le verbe latin. Étude synchronique et diachronique*. Doctoral diss. *EPHÉ*, Paris.

## Gavoille, Laurent

- 2001 *Orare* signifie-t-il "parler"? In: *De lingua latina novae quaestiones. Actes du X<sup>e</sup> Colloque International de Linguistique Latine*, ed. C. Moussy, Louvain: Peeters, 787-800.

- Georgiev, Vladimir  
1962 Lateinisch *crūs* and *clūnis* : Reste eines *r/n*-Stammes. *Die Sprache* 8, 1-3.
- Gerow, Edwin  
1973 'Frost' in the R̥gveda. *JIES* 1, 224-231.
- Gerschner, Robert  
2002 *Die Deklination der Nomina bei Plautus*. Heidelberg: Winter.
- Giacomelli, Gabriella  
1963 *La lingua falisca*. Firenze: Olschki.
- Giacomelli, Roberto  
1994 Lingua quotidiana e grecismo nel lessico culinario latino. *ACME (Annali di lettere e filosofia dell'Università degli Studi di Milano)* 47, 2, 29-49.
- Gotō, Toshifumi  
1985 Altindisch *rāndhra-* und uridg. *\*lend<sup>h</sup>-*. *MSS* 44, 77-91.
- Guasparri, Andrea  
2000 *Acipenser*. *Glotta* 76, 50-52.
- Guiraud, Charles  
1975 Les mots latins a préfixe *uē-*. *Revue des études anciennes* 77, 80-87.
- Gusmani, Roberto  
2002 Althochdeutsch (*hari*)*sliz*, lateinisch (*st*)*lis*. *HS* 115, 111-116.
- Hackstein, Olav  
1993 Eine weitere griechisch-tocharische Gleichung: Griechisch *πῆξαι* und tocharisch B *pyāktsi*. *Glotta* 70, 136-165.  
1995 *Untersuchungen zu den sigmatischen Präsensstambildungen des Tocharischen*. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht.  
1997 Probleme der homerischen Formenlehre I. *MSS* 57, 19-46.  
2002a Uridg. *\*CH.CC > \*C.CC*. *HS* 115, 1-22.  
2002b *Die Sprachform der homerischen Epen*. Wiesbaden: Reichert.
- Hamp, Eric  
1960-61 Notulae. *Études Celtiques* 9, 139-140.  
1972 Latin *dacrima*, *lacruma* and Indo-European 'tear'. *Glotta* 50, 291-299.  
1973 Another lesson from 'frost'. *JIES* 1, 215-223.  
1974 Palat[al]ized *\*l* in Umbrian. *Glotta* 52, 231-233.  
1977 Some Italic and Celtic correspondences. *ZVS* 77, 240-245.  
1978 Sound change and the etymological lexicon. In: *Papers from the 'Parasession on the lexicon', Chicago Linguistic Society*, 184-195.  
1980 Latin *volup(e)*. *ZVS* 94, 158.  
1981a IE *\*(H<sub>e</sub>)op-*. *MSS* 40, 39-60.  
1981b Refining Indo-European lexical entries. *ZVS* 95, 81-83.  
1982 *Dulcis*. *Folia Linguistica Historica* 3, 133-134.

- 1982-1983a Some Italic and Celtic Correspondences. *ZVS* 96, 95-100.
- 1982-1983b Indo-European substantives in \*-mo- and \*-ma-. *ZVS* 96, 170-177.
- 1983 Further on Latin *Capillus* and *Pullus* and Lautgesetze. *Folia Linguistica Historica* 4, 133-135.
- 1984a On the Meaning of Latin *uoltus* and IE 'face'. In: *Papers from the Regional Meetings, Chicago Linguistic Society* 20, 149-153.
- 1984b Some Italic and Celtic correspondences. *ZVS* 97, 265-266.
- 1985a Latin *callum, callis*, Indic *kāṇa-*. *ZVS* 98, 59.
- 1985b Indo-European *\*b<sup>h</sup>etti-*, Latin *foedus*, and Balto-Slavic. *IF* 90, 66-69.
- 1988 Miscellanea. *IF* 93, 121-123.
- 1990 *aestumō*. *Glotta* 68, 119.
- 1992 *focus*. *Glotta* 70, 82.
- 1993 The text of CIL I<sup>2</sup>9 (son of *Barbatus*). In: Heidermanns / Rix / Seebold 1993, 157-163.
- 1997 Intensive and perfective *prō-* in Latin. In: *Studies in Honor of Jaan Puhvel. Part one: Ancient languages and philology*, eds. D. Disterheft, M. Huld and J. Greppin, Washington DC: Institute for the Study of Man, 123-130.
- 1999 *fidēs* and the Latin 5th declension. *Glotta* 74, 54-56.
- Harðarson, Jón Axel
- 1994 Der Verlust zweier wichtiger Flexionskategorien im Urnaratolischen. *HS* 107, 30-41.
- Haug, Dag
- 2004 Lateinisch *concinnus* und *concinnare*. *Glotta* 80, 24-45.
- Heidermanns, Frank
- 1993 *bonus, beātus* und ein altes Präverb des Lateinischen. In: Heidermanns / Rix / Seebold 1993, 165-173.
- 2002 Nominal composition in Sabellic and Proto-Italic. *TPS* 100, 185-202.
- Heidermanns, Frank, Helmut Rix and Elmar Seebold
- 1993 *Sprachen und Schriften des antiken Mittelmeerraums. Festschrift für Jürgen Untermann zum 65. Geburtstag*, Innsbruck: IBS.
- Heiermeier, A.
- 1980 *Die indogermanische orientierte ursprachliche Konzeption \*kuendhro- / \*kuondhro- / \*kuondhnā*. Dublin University Press.
- Hill, Eugen
- 2003 *Untersuchungen zum inneren Sandhi des Indogermanischen. Der Zusammenstoß von Dentalplosiven im Indoiranischen, Germanischen, Italischen und Keltischen*. Bremen: Hempen.
- 2006 Lateinisch *crēscō* 'wachsen' etymologisch: urslavisch *\*krějō* 'genesen', litauisch *šeriù* 'futtern' oder armenisch *serem* 'erzeugen'? *IJLLR* 3, 187-209.

Hilmarsson, Jörundur

1993 The fate of *TTR/RTT* in Indo-European. In: Meiser et al. 1993, 209-222.

Hiltbrunner, Otto

1958 *Latina Graeca*. Bern: Francke.

Hirt, Hermann

1916-17 Etymologien. *IF* 37, 227-236.

Hyllested, Adam, Anders Jørgensen, Jenny Larsson and Thomas Olander (eds.)

2004 *Per Aspera ad Asteriscos. Studia indogermanica in honorem Jens Elmegård Rasmussen sexagenarii Idibus Martiis anno MMIV*. Innsbruck: IBS.

Isebaert, Lambert

1988 La formation de latin *domāre*. In: *A Linguistic Happening in Memory of Ben Schwartz: Studies in Anatolian, Italic, and Other Indo-European Languages*, ed. Y. Arbeitman, Louvain-la-Neuve: Peeters, 349-359.

1992 Spuren akrostatischer Präsensflexion im Lateinischen. In: Panagl / Krisch 1992, 193-205.

1995 Lat. *crepāre*. *MSS* 55, 41-43.

Isebaert, Lambert and Herman Seldeslachts

1994 Tokharisch \**twānkā*- 'einzwängen' und Verwandtes. *HS* 107, 172-177.

Janda, Michael

1998 Die hohle und geschlossene Hand im Urindogermanischen. *Die Sprache* 40, 1-25.

1999 Ένοσίχθων 'Erderschütterer'. In: Eichner / Luschützky 1999, 183-203.

2000 *Eleusis. Das indogermanische Erbe der Mysterien*. Innsbruck: IBS.

Jasanoff, Jay

1976 Gr. ἄμφο, lat. *ambō* et le mot indo-européen pour 'l'un et l'autre'. *BSL* 71, 123-131.

1988-1990 The origin of the Celtic comparative type OIr. *tressa*, MW *trech* 'stronger'. *Die Sprache* 34, 171-189.

2004 *Plus ça change...: Lachmann's Law in Latin*. In: Penney 2004, 405-416.

Jasanoff, Jay, H. Craig Melchert and Lisi Oliver (eds.)

1998 *Mír Curad. Studies in honor of Calvert Watkins*. Innsbruck: IBS.

Jiménez Samudio

1989 La forma pronominal latina ipse: Su origen. *Emerita* 57, 119-127.

Job, Michael

1999 Zur Etymologie von lat. *invitāre*. In: *Studia Celtica et Indogermanica. Festschrift für Wolfgang Meid zum 70. Geburtstag*, eds. P. Anreiter and E. Jerem, Budapest: Archaeolingua Alapítvány, 151-155.

Joseph, Lionel

1986 A survival from the Italo-Celtic legal vocabulary. *Ériu* 37, 119-125.

Joseph, Brian and Rex Wallace

1987 Latin *sum* / Oscan *súm, sim, esum*. *AJPh* 108, 675-693.

Juret, A.

1942 *Dictionnaire étymologique grec et latin*. Maçon: Protat.

Kallio, Petri

2004 Tocharian loanwords in Samoyed? In: *Etymologie, Entlehnungen und Entwicklungen: Festschrift für Jorma Koivulehto zum 70. Geburtstag*, eds. I. Hyvärinen, P. Kallio and J. Korhonen, Helsinki: Société Néophilologique, 129-137.

Katz, Joshua

1998a Testimonia Ritus Italici. *HSCP* 98, 183-217.

1998b How to be a dragon in Indo-European. In: Jasanoff / Melchert / Oliver 1998, 317-334.

2006 The "Urbi et Orbi-Rule" Revisited. *JIES* 34, 319-361.

Keller, Madeleine

1980 Latin *expergiscor*. *BSL* 75, 309-324.

1982 Latin *vescor*. *Revue de philologie, de littérature et d'histoire anciennes* 56, 81-93.

1992 *Les verbes latins à infectum en -sc-, étude morphologique*. Bruxelles: Latomus.

Klingenschmitt, Gert

1972 Die mittelpersischen Pronomina 'yn und h'n, neupersisch *in* und *ān*. *MSS* 30, 93-102.

1978 Zum Ablaut des indogermanischen Kausativs. *ZVS* 92, 1-13.

1980 Zur Etymologie des Lateinischen. In: *Lautgeschichte und Etymologie: Akten der VI. Fachtagung der Indogermanischen Gesellschaft, Wien, 24.-29. September 1978*, eds. M. Mayrhofer, M. Peters and O. Pfeiffer, Wiesbaden: Reichert, 208-222.

1982 *Das altarmenische Verbum*. Wiesbaden: Reichert.

1987 Erbe und Neuerung beim germanischen Demonstrativpronomen. *Althochdeutsch. Band I: Grammatik, Glossen und Texte*, eds. R. Bergmann, H. Tiefenbach and L. Voetz, Heidelberg: Winter, 169-189.

1989 Altlateinisch *exprētus* (Plaut. Bacch. 446). In: *Indogermanica Europaea: Festschrift für Wolfgang Meid zum 60. Geburtstag am 12.11.1989*, eds. K. Heller, O. Panagl and J. Tischler, Graz: Institut für Sprachwissenschaft, 79-100.

1992 Die lateinische Nominalflexion. In: Panagl / Krisch 1992, 89-135.

2004 Zur Etymologie der klassischen Sprachen. In: *Artes et Scientia: Festschrift für Ralf-Peter Ritter zum 65. Geburtstag*, eds. P. Anreiter, M. Haslinger

and H.D. Pohl, Wien: Praesens, 239-252.

- 2005 *Aufsätze zur Indogermanistik*. Eds. M. Janda, R. Lühr, J. Matzinger and S. Schaffner. Hamburg: Dr. Kovač.

Kloekhorst, Alwin

- 2006a Hittite *pai-/pi-* 'to give'. *IF* 111, 110-119.  
 2006b Initial laryngeals in Anatolian. *HS* 119, 77-108.  
 2008 *Etymological Dictionary of the Hittite Inherited Lexicon*. Leiden: Brill.

Kluge, Friedrich and Elmar Seebold

- 1999 *Etymologisches Wörterbuch der deutschen Sprache*. 23., erweiterte Auflage. Berlin – New York: Walter de Gruyter.

Knobloch, Johann

- 1973 Lat. *helluo*, *-onis* m. 'Prasser'. *Die Sprache* 19, 63.  
 1977 Lat. *fēnus*, *pignus* und die Viehverstellung. *Rheinisches Museum* 120, 92-93.  
 1978 Untersuchungen zur umbrischen Wortkunde. *Rheinisches Museum* 121, 161-163.

Kölligan, Daniel

- 2005 Lat. *lugēre* 'trauern'. *IJDLR* 2, 169-175.

Kortlandt, Frederik

- 1980a More evidence for Italo-Celtic. *Ériu* 32, 1-22.  
 1980b *H<sub>2</sub>o* and *oH<sub>2</sub>*. *Lingua Posnaniensis* 23, 127-128.  
 1983a Greek numerals and PIE glottalic consonants. *MSS* 42, 97-104.  
 1983b Demonstrative pronouns in Balto-Slavic, Armenian and Tocharian. *Studies in Slavic and General Linguistics* 3, 311-322.  
 1983c Notes on Armenian historical phonology III: *h-*. *Studia Caucasica* 5, 9-16.  
 1985a Long vowels in Balto-Slavic. *Baltistica* 21/2, 112-124.  
 1985b Arm. *artawsr* 'tear'. *Annual of Armenian Linguistics* 6, 59-61.  
 1986 Posttonic \**w* in Old Irish. *Ériu* 37, 89-92.  
 1989 Lachmann's Law. In: *The new sound of Indo-European: Essays in phonological reconstruction*, ed. T. Vennemann, Berlin – New York: Mouton de Gruyter, 103-105.  
 1992 The Germanic fifth class of strong verbs. *NOWELE* 19, 101-107.  
 1993 Proto-Armenian numerals. In: *In honorem Holger Pedersen: Kolloquium der Indogermanischen Gesellschaft vom 26. bis 28. März 1993 in Kopenhagen*, ed. J.E. Rasmussen, Wiesbaden: Reichert, 253-257.  
 1996 Old Irish *ol* 'inquit'. *Études celtiques* 32, 143-145.  
 1997a Arm. *nēr* 'sister-in-law'. *Annual of Armenian Linguistics* 18, 7-9.  
 1997b Baltic *ē-* and *ī/jā-* stems. *Baltistica* 32, 157-163.  
 1999 Lachmann's law again. In: *Language change and typological variation: in honor of Winfred P. Lehmann on the occasion of 83<sup>rd</sup> birthday*. Vol. I: *Language change and phonology*, ed. E. Polomé and C. Justus, Washington DC: Institute for the Study of Man, 246-248.

- 2000 Old Norse *taka*, Gothic *tekan*, Greek τεταγών. *NOWELE* 36, 59-65.
- 2003 *Armeniaca: comparative notes. With an appendix on the historical phonology of Classical Armenian by Robert S.P. Beekes*. Ann Arbor: Caravan.
- 2005 Lithuanian *tekėti* and related formations. *Baltistica* 40, 167-170.
- 2007 *Italo-Celtic origins and prehistoric development of the Irish language*. Amsterdam – New York: Rodopi.
- Kroonen, Guus
- 2006 Gemination and allomorphy in the Proto-Germanic *mn*-stems: *bottom* and *rime*. *ABĀG* 61, 17-25.
- Kuiper, Franciscus
- 1995 Gothic *bagms* and Old Icelandic *ylgr*. *NOWELE* 25, 63-88.
- Kümmel, Martin
- 2000 *Das Perfekt im Indoiranischen*. Wiesbaden: Reichert.
- 2004a Das Wort für ‘Biber’ und einige Probleme der altgermanischen Phonologie. In: *Historisch-vergleichende Sprachwissenschaft und germanische Sprachen. Akten der 4. Neulandtagung der Historisch-Vergleichenden Sprachwissenschaft in Potsdam 2001*, eds. M. Fritz and I. Wischer, Innsbruck: IBS, 105-117.
- 2004b Zu einigen seltenen Wörtern in der *Cistellaria*. In: *Studien zu Plautus’ Cistellaria*, eds. R. Hartkamp and F. Hurka, Tübingen: Gunter Narr, 347-362.
- 2005 Ved. *tand-* und ein neues indoiranisches Lautgesetz. In: *Indogermanica. Festschrift für Gert Klingenschmitt*, ed. G. Schweiger, Tübingen, 321-332.
- de Lamberterie, Charles
- 1990 *Les adjectifs grecs en -ος; sémantique et comparaison*. Two vols. Louvain-la-Neuve: Peeters.
- 1994 L’adverbe grec ὑπέρπευ. In: *Mélanges François Kerlouégan*, eds. D. Conso, N. Fick and B. Pouille. Paris: Les Belles Lettres, 321-340
- 1996 Latin *pigmus* et la théorie glottalique. In: *Aspects of Latin: papers from the seventh International Colloquium on Latin linguistics, Jerusalem, April 1993*, ed. H. Rosén, Innsbruck: IBS, 135-152.
- Lazzarini, Maria Letizia and Paolo Poccetti
- 2001 *Il mondo enotrio tra VI e V secolo a.C. Atti dei seminari napoletani (1996-1998). L’iscrizione paleoitalica da Tortora*. Napoli: Lofredo.
- Ledo-Lemos, Francisco Jose
- 2002 The etymology of Latin *uirgo* and the Latin evolution of the consonantal group *\*-rgʷ-*. *IF* 107, 219-239.
- Lee, D.J.N.
- 1967 The modal particles ἄν, κε(v), κα. *AJPh* 88, 45-56.



Lejeune, Michel

- 1974 *Manuel de la langue vénète*. Heidelberg: Winter.  
 1990 Notes de linguistique italique XL. *Revue des études latines* 68, 28-30.

Leumann, Manu

- 1940 Literaturbericht für das Jahr 1937. *Glotta* 28, 1-21.  
 1959 *Kleine Schriften*. Zürich – Stuttgart: Artemis.  
 1960 Zwei lateinischen Wortbedeutungen: *Lucina* und *bubō*. *Die Sprache* 6, 156-161.  
 1977 *Lateinische Laut- und Formenlehre*. München: C.H. Beck.

Levin, Saul

- 1983 Hebrew *pi(y)legeš*, Greek *πλλακή*, Latin *paalex*: the origin of intermarriage among the Early Indo-Europeans and Semites. *General Linguistics* 23, 191-197.

Lindeman, Frederik Otto

- 1965 La loi de Sievers et le début du mot en indo-européen. *NTS* 20, 38-108.

Lindner, Thomas

- 2002 *Lateinische Komposita. Morphologische, historische und lexikalische Studien*. Innsbruck: IBS.

*Lexikon der indogermanischen Verben*<sup>2</sup>. *Die Wurzeln und ihre Primärstambildungen*. Unter Leitung von H. Rix ... bearbeitet von M. Kümmel, Th. Zehnder, R. Lipp, B. Schirmer Wiesbaden: Reichert. 2001.

Livingston, Ivy

- 2004 *A linguistic commentary of Livius Andronicus*. New York – London: Routledge.

Lloyd, Albert and Otto Springer

- 1988 *Etymologisches Wörterbuch des Althochdeutschen*. Bd. I: *a-bezzisto*. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht.

Lockwood, William B.

- 1990 Latin *columba*, *palumbes*, Greek *κόλυμβος*. *HS* 103, 261-263.  
 2001 On the origin of Lat. *hirundo* and Gr. *χελιδών*. *Glotta* 77, 217-218.

Lubotsky, Alexander

- 1981 Gr. *πήγνυμι* : Skt. *pajrá-* and loss of laryngeals before mediae in Indo-Iranian. *MSS* 40, 133-138.  
 1985 The PIE word for 'dry'. *ZVS* 98, 1-10.  
 1988 *The system of nominal accentuation in Sanskrit and Proto-Indo-European*. Leiden etc.: Brill.  
 1989 Against a Proto-Indo-European phoneme *\*a*. In: *The new sound of Indo-European: Essays in phonological reconstruction*, ed. T. Vennemann, Berlin – New York: Mouton de Gruyter, 53-66.  
 1990 La loi de Brugmann et *\*H<sub>3</sub>e-*. *La reconstruction des laryngales*, ed. Liège –

- Paris, 129-136.
- 1994 RV. *ávidhat*. In: *Früh-, Mittel- und Spätindogermanisch: Akten der IX. Fachtagung der Indogermanischen Gesellschaft vom 5. bis 9. Oktober 1992 in Zürich*, eds. G. Dunkel, G. Meyer, S. Scarlata and C. Seidl, Wiesbaden: Reichert, 201-206.
- 1995 Reflexes of intervocalic laryngeals in Sanskrit. In: *Kuryłowicz Memorial Volume, Part One*, ed. W. Smoczyński, Cracow: Universitas, 213-233.
- 1997 (ed.) *Sound Law and Analogy : papers in honor of Robert S.P. Beekes on the occasion of his 60<sup>th</sup> birthday*. Amsterdam – Atlanta: Rodopi.
- 2000 The Vedic root *vr-* ‘to cover’ and its present. In: *Indoarisch, Iranisch und die Indogermanistik*, eds. B. Forssman and R. Plath, Wiesbaden: Reichert, 315-325.
- 2001 Reflexes of PIE *\*sk* in Indo-Iranian. *Incontri Linguistici* 24, 25-57.
- 2004 Avestan *siiazd-*, Sanskrit *sedh-*, Latin *cēdere*. In: Hyllested et al. 2004, 323-332.
- 2006 Indo-European ‘heel’. In: *Studi linguistici in onore di Roberto Gusmani*, eds. R. Bombi, G. Cifoletti, F. Fusco, L. Innocente and V. Orioles. Alessandria: ed. dell’Orso, 1005-1010.
- fthc. The Sanskrit root *stig(h)*. In: *Memorial volume for T.J. Elizarenkova*.
- Lühr, Rosemarie  
2000 *Die Gedichte des Skalden Egill*. Dettelbach: J.H. Röhl.
- Mallory, James and Douglas Q. Adams (eds.)  
1997 *Encyclopedia of Indo-European culture*. London – Chicago: Fitzroy Dearborn.
- Manessy-Guitton, Jacqueline  
1964 *facinus* et les substantifs neutres latins en *-nus*. *Revue de philologie*, 3<sup>me</sup> série 38, 48-58.
- Marinetti, Anna  
1999 Venetico 1976-1996. Acquisizione e prospettive. In: *Protostoria e storia del ‘Venetorum Angulus’*, ed. A. Maggiani, Pisa – Roma: Istituti editoriali e poligrafici internazionali, 391-436.  
2004 Venetico: rassegna di nuove iscrizioni (Este, Altino, Auronzo, S. Vito, Asolo). *Studi Etruschi* 70, 389-408.
- Martzloff, Vincent  
2006 *Les thèmes de présent en yod dans l’épigraphie italique et en latin archaïque*. Thèse doctorale, Univ. Lumière – Lyon II.
- Masson, Émilie  
1967 *Recherches sur les plus anciens emprunts sémitiques en grec*. Paris: Klincksieck.
- Mastrelli, Carlo Alberto  
1979 Una nota su lat. *rēnēs* e gr. *πόρις*. *Incontri Linguistici* 5, 37-42.  
1980 Un falso arcaismo: lat-fal. *uxor*, pel. *usur*. *Archivio glottologico italiano*

65, 14-18.

Matasović, Ranko

2004 *Gender in Indo-European*. Heidelberg: Winter.

Matzinger, Joachim

2003 Albanisch *kam* 'ich habe'. *Studia Etymologica Cracoviensia* 8, 111-118.

2005 Messapisch und Albanisch. *IJDLLR* 2, 29-54.

Mayrhofer, Manfred

1986-2002 *Etymologisches Wörterbuch des Altindoarischen*. 3 volumes. Heidelberg: Winter.

McCone, Kim

1991 *The Indo-European origins of the Old Irish nasal presents, subjunctives and futures*. Innsbruck: IBS.

1992a OIr. *torc*, Av. *θβ̥arasō* < PIE *\*twoṛkos* '(cutter,) boar'. *MSS* 53, 99-100.

1992b OIr. *aub* 'river' and *amnair* 'maternal uncle'. *MSS* 53, 101-111.

Meid, Wolfgang

1956-57 Zur Dehnung praesuffixaler Vokale in sekundären Nominalableitungen. *IF* 62, 260-295 and *IF* 63, 1-28.

1957 Das Suffix *-no-* in Götternamen. *Beiträge zur Namenforschung* 8, 72-108, 113-126.

1958 Zur Bedeutung und Bildung von altindisch *dāmūnas-*. *IF* 63, 151-162.

Meier-Brügger, Michael

1977 Lateinisch *humī* und *domī*. *ZVS* 91, 159-165.

1979 Lateinisch *sōspes*, *Sōspita* und vedisch *svastī-*. *ZVS* 93, 100-102.

1980 Lateinisch *audīre/oboedīre*: Etymologie und Lautgeschichte. In: *Lautgeschichte und Etymologie: Akten der VI. Fachtagung der Indogermanischen Gesellschaft, Wien, 24.-29. September 1978*, eds. M. Mayrhofer, M. Peters and O. Pfeiffer, Wiesbaden: Reichert, 287-292.

1990 Griechisch (επι)λίγδην und λιχάνος, lateinisch *pollex* und *pollingere*. *Glotta* 68, 1-3.

1992 Zu lateinisch *ōmen*. *Glotta* 70, 248-249.

2004 On the Proto-Indo-European secondary root *\*swed<sup>h</sup>(h<sub>1</sub>)-* /*\*swed<sup>h</sup>(h<sub>1</sub>)*. In: *Indo-European word formation: Proceedings of the conference held at the University of Copenhagen, October 20<sup>th</sup>-22<sup>nd</sup>, 2000*, eds. J. Clackson and B.A. Olsen, Copenhagen: Museum Tusulanum, 183-188.

Meillet, Antoine

1918 À propos de latin *formīca*. *Mémoires de la Société de Linguistique de Paris* 20, 115.

Meiser, Gerhard

1986 *Lautgeschichte der umbrischen Sprache*. Innsbruck: IBS.

1993 Das Gerundivum im Spiegel der italischen Onomastik. In: Heidermanns /

- Rix / Seebold 1993, 255-268.
- 1998 *Historische Laut- und Formenlehre der lateinischen Sprache*. Darmstadt: Wissenschaftliche Buchgesellschaft.
- 2003 *Veni Vidi Vici. Die Vorgeschichte der lateinischen Perfektsystems*. München: Beck.
- Meiser, Gerhard et al.
- 1993 *Indogermanica et Italica: Festschrift für Helmut Rix zum 65. Geburtstag*, ed. G. Meiser in cooperation with J. Bendahman, J.A. Harðarson and C. Schaefer. Innsbruck: IBS.
- Melchert, H. Craig
- 1985 Hittite *imma* and Latin *immo*. *ZVS* 98, 184-205.
- 1994 *Anatolian Historical Phonology*. Amsterdam – Atlanta: Rodopi.
- 1998 Hittite *arku-* “chant, intone” vs. *arkuwā(i)-* “make a plea”. *Journal of Cuneiform Studies* 50, 45-51.
- 1999 Hittite *tuk(kan)zi-* “cultivation, breeding”. *Ktema* 24, 17-23.
- 2002 Hieroglyphic Luvian REL-*ipa* ‘indeed, certainly’. In: *Indo-European Perspectives*, ed. M. Southern, Washington DC: Institute for the Study of Man, 223-232.
- 2005 Latin *īnsolēscō*, Hittite *šulle(šš)-* and PIE staves in *-ē-*. In: *Hṛdā Mānasā. Sbornik statej k 70-letiju so dnja poždenija profesora Leonarda Georgieviča Gercenberga*. Sankt-Peterburg: Nauka, 90-98.
- 2007a PIE *\*h<sub>2</sub>esp-* ‘to cut’. In: Nussbaum 2007c, 253-258.
- 2007b Luvian Evidence for PIE *\*h<sub>3</sub>eit-* ‘take along, fetch’. *Indo-European Studies Bulletin* UCLA.
- Mignot, Xavier
- 1969 *Les verbes dénommatifs latins*. Paris: Klincksieck.
- Morani, M.
- 1984 *Augurium augur augustus: una questione di metodo*. *Glotta* 62, 65-71.
- Moussy, Claude
- 1980 Une étymologie de lat. *uxor*. *BSL* 75, 325-346.
- Muller, F.M. Jzn.
- 1926 *Altitalisches Wörterbuch*. Göttingen.
- Nepokupnyj, Anatolij
- 2002 The Prussian taboo: *mosuco* [Elbing Dictionary, 662] ‘weasel’ as a diminutive of *moazo* [Elbing Dictionary, 178] ‘aunt’. *Baltistica* 37, 35-43.
- Neri, Sergio
- 2007 *Cadere e abbattere in Indoeuropeo. Sull’ etimologia di tedesco fallen, latino aboleo e greco ἀπόλλυμι*. Innsbruck: IBS.
- Niedermann, Max
- 1899 Studien zur Geschichte der lateinischen Wortbildung. *IF* 10, 221-258.

1945 *Phonétique historique du latin*. Paris: Klincksieck.

Nieto, Emilio

1988 Veia: Apud Oscos Dicebatur Plaustrum (P.F., 506,3). *Emerita* 56, 37-41.

Nowicki, Helmut

1978 Zur Stammbildung von lat. *custōs*. *ZVS* 92, 184-194.

2002 Lateinisch *-īna* als Motionssuffix. In: Fritz / Zeilfelder 2002, 333-341.

Nussbaum, Alan

1973 *benuso*, *couortuso*, and the archetype of *Tab. Ig. I* and VI-VIIa. *JIES* 1, 356-369.

1976 Umbrian *pisher*. *Glotta* 54, 241-253.

1986 *Head and horn in Indo-European*. Berlin – New York: Walter de Gruyter.

1994 Five Latin verbs from a root *\*leik-*. *HSCP* 96, 161-190.

1996 “Decasuative” derivatives (Latin *acētum*, *aurītus*, *acūtus*, *avītus*: four of a kind?). Handout, *ECIEC* 15, June 1996.

1997 The “Saussure Effect” in Latin and Italic. In: Lubotsky 1997, 181-203.

1998a *Two studies in Greek and Homeric Linguistics*. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht.

1998b Severe Problems. In: Jasanoff / Melchert / Oliver 1998, 521-538.

1998c More on “Decasuative” nominal stems in IE. Handout, *ECIEC* 17, May 1998.

1999a *\*Jocidus*: An account of the Latin adjectives in *-idus*. In: Eichner / Luschützky 1999, 377-419.

1999b Some old stories and a “new” adjective in Latin. Handout, *ECIEC* 19, May 1999.

2003 A benign interpretation. Handout, *ECIEC* 22, June 2003.

2004a Cool *\*-ēd-*: The Latin *frīgēdō* and Gr. ἀλγηδών, τηκεδών, and ῥίγεδανός types. Handout *ECIEC* 2004.

2004b A *-t-* Party: Various IE nominal stems in *\*(o/e)t-*. Handout, *West Coast Indo-European Conference*, November 2004.

2007a What does *\*h<sub>2</sub>ner* do? Well... Handout, *ECIEC* 2007.

2007b Latin present stems in *-sā-*: A possibly not so minor type. Handout, Kyoto, September 2007.

2007c (ed.) *Verba Docenti. Studies in historical and Indo-European linguistics presented to Jay H. Jasanoff by students, colleagues, and friends*. Ann Arbor – New York: Beech Stave Press.

Nyman, Martti

1982 Positing a Lautgesetz: Latin *capillus*, *pullus* and kindred issues. *Folia Linguistica Historica* 3, 87-108.

1987 Semantic selection properties and etymology: Latin *mīca*. *Glotta* 65, 221-239.

1990 Hits and misses: Lat. *considerare* and *desiderare*. *HS* 103, 51-68.

Oettinger, Norbert

1976 *Die militärischen Eide der Hethiter*. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.

1979 *Die Stammbildung des Hethitischen Verbums*. Nachdruck [2002] mit einer

- kurzen Revision der hethitischen Verbalklassen.* Dresden: TU.
- 1998 Wiesel und Schwägerin. Zu einem semantischen Problem. In: *Man and the animal world. Studies ... in memoriam Sándor Bökönyi*, eds. P. Anreiter et al., Budapest: Archaeolingua Alapítvány, 649-654.
- 2003 Zum Ablaut von *n*-Stämmen im Anatolischen und der Brechung  $\bar{e} > ya$ . In: *Derivation, Flexion und Ablaut. Akten der Arbeitstagung der Indogermanischen Gesellschaft. Freiburg, 19. bis 22. Sept. 2001*, eds. E. Tichy, D. Wodtke, B. Irslinger, Bremen: Hempen, 141-152.
- 2007 Hieroglyphen-luwisch *latara/i-* 'erweitern', ai. *rándhra-* und nhd. *Lende, Land*. In: Nussbaum 2007c, 259-262.
- Oleson, J.P.
- 1976 A possible physiological basis for the term *urinator* 'diver'. *AJPh* 97, 22-29.
- Oniga, Renato
- 1999 Etimologia e struttura morfologica di *acipenser*. In: *De lingua latina novae quaestiones. Actes du X<sup>e</sup> Colloque International de Linguistique Latine*, ed. C. Moussy, Louvain: Peeters, 133-141.
- Panagl, Oswald
- 1991 Die Etymologie von lat. *(ir)rigare*. *HS* 104, 199-204.
- Panagl, Oswald and Thomas Krisch
- 1992 *Latein und Indogermanisch. Akten des Kolloquiums der Indogermanischen Gesellschaft, Salzburg, 23.-26. September 1986*. Innsbruck: IBS.
- Panagl, Oswald and Thomas Lindner
- 1995 Etyma Latina. In: *Studia onomastica et indogermanica. Festschrift für Fritz Lochner von Hüttenbach zum 65. Geburtstag*, eds. M. Ofitsch and C. Zinko, Graz: Leykam, 167-174.
- Pariente, Angel
- 1975 *Necessse*. *Emerita* 43, 25-39.
- Parker, Holt N.
- 1988 Latin *\*sisō > serō* and related rules. *Glotta* 66, 221-241.
- 2000 *Flaccus*. *Classical Quarterly* 50, 455-62.
- Parlangèli, Oronzo
- 1960 *Studi Messapici*. Milano: Istituto Lombardo di Scienze e Lettere.
- Patri, Sylvain
- 2001 Une correspondance irano-slave en novgorodien. *HS* 114, 290-306.
- Penney, John (ed.)
- 2004 *Indo-European perspectives: studies in honour of Anna Morpurgo Davies*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Peruzzi, Emilio
- 1969 *Velum*. *Athenaeum* 47 (nuova serie) / 57 (della rivista), 256-265.

- 1992 Umbro *kletra* e latino *clitellae*. *La parola del passato* 47, 343-362.
- Peters, Martin  
 1980 *Untersuchungen zur Vertretung der indogermanischen Laryngale im Griechischen*. Wien: Akademie der Wissenschaften [= Phil.-Hist. Klasse, Sitzungsberichte, 377. Band].
- Petit, Daniel  
 2004 On the Hittite verb *ark-*. *Die Sprache* 44, 1-25.
- Pike, Moss  
 2007 Latin *salūs* 'health'. Handout *ECIEC* 26, June 2007, Yale Univ.
- Pinault, Georges-Jean  
 1987 *Bellum* : La guerre et la beauté. In: *De Virgile à Jacob Balde. Hommage à M<sup>me</sup> Andrée Thill*, ed. G. Freyburger, Mulhouse: Université de Haute Alsace, 151-156.  
 1997 Terminologie du petit bétail en tokharien. *Studia etymologica cracoviensia* 2, 175-219.  
 1998 Analyse de latin *caesaries*. In: *Moussyllanea. Mélanges de linguistique et de littérature anciennes offerts à Claude Moussy*, eds. B. Bureau and C. Nicolas, Louvain – Paris: Peeters, 15-30.  
 1999 Tokharien A *kapsāñi*, B *kektseñe*. In: Eichner / Luschützky 1999, 457-478.  
 2000 Védique *dámūnas-*, latin *dominus* et l'origine du suffixe de Hoffmann. *BSL* 95, 61-118.  
 2001 Le type latin *uorāgo*: un reflet d'un suffixe indo-européen. *Glotta* 77, 85-109.  
 2006a L'indo-iranien et les nouvelles langues apparues en asie centrale dans le travail d'Antoine Meillet. In: *Meillet aujourd'hui*, eds. G. Bergounioux and C. de Lamberterie, Louvain – Paris: Peeters, 191-243.  
 2006b Sur l'évolution phonétique *tsk* > *tk* en tokharien commun. *MSS* 62 [2002], 103-156.  
 2007 A star is born: a "new" PIE *\*-ter-* suffix. In: Nussbaum 2007c, 271-279.
- Pisani, Vittore  
 1979 *Feber, februm, februārius* e le continuazioni latini di *sr*. *Archivio Glottologica Italiano* 65, 102-106.
- von Planta, Robert  
 1892 *Grammatik der oskisch-umbrischen Dialekte. Erster Band: Einleitung und Lautlehre*. Strassburg: Trübner.
- Pokorny, Julius  
 1959 *Indogermanisches etymologisches Wörterbuch*. Bern: Francke.
- Porzio Gernia, Maria Luisa  
 1981 Gli elementi celtici del latino. In: *I Celti d'Italia*, ed. E. Campanile, Pisa: Giardini, 97-122.

Postgate, J.

- 1899 *Operatus and operari. The Journal of Philology* 26, 314-320.

Praust, Karl

- 1998 Armenisch *əmpem* 'trinke'. *Die Sprache* 38, 184-200.  
 2004 Zur historischen Beurteilung von griech. κλίνω, äol. κλίννω, der altindischen 9. Präsensklasse und zur Frage grundsprachlicher "ni-Präsentien". In: *Artes et Scientia: Festschrift für Ralf-Peter Ritter zum 65. Geburtstag*, eds. P. Anreiter, M. Haslinger and H.D. Pohl, Wien: Praesens, 369-390.  
 2005 What Greek can tell us about the prehistory of English 'to fall'. Paper at the conference *Greek and Latin from an Indo-European Perspective*, Cambridge, UK, July 2005.

Prosdocimi, Aldo

- 1988 La lingua. In: *I Veneti Antichi. Lingua e cultura*, eds. G. Fogolari and A. Prosdocimi, 223-440.

Puhvel, Jaan

- 1992 Shaft-shedding Artemis and mind-voiding *Ate*: Hittite determinants of Greek etyma. *HS* 105, 4-8.  
 1998 Latin *furor*: help from Hittite. In: *Jasanoff / Melchert / Oliver 1998*, 607-609.  
 2006 Latin *merē* and Hittite *marri*. *Glotta* 81, 184-186.

Pulgram, Ernst

- 1976 Venetic *.e.kupeřari.s.* In: *Studies in Greek, Italic and Indo-European linguistics. Offered to Leonard L. Palmer on the occasion of his seventieth birthday*, eds. A. Morpurgo Davies and W. Meid, Innsbruck: IBS, 299-304.

Radke, Gerhard

- 1981 *Archaisches Latein. Historische und sprachgeschichtliche Untersuchungen*. Darmstadt: Wissenschaftliche Buchgesellschaft.

Rasmussen, Jens Elmegård

- 1984 Miscellaneous morphological problems in Indo-European languages III. *Arbejdsrapporter udsendt af Institut for Lingvistik, Københavns Universitet* 4, 135-149 (= Rasmussen 1999 1: 146-156).  
 1989 *Studien zur Morphophonemik der indogermanischen Grundsprache*. Innsbruck: IBS.  
 1993 Review of Schrijver 1991. *Acta Linguistica Hafniensia* 26, 175-205.  
 1999 *Selected Papers on Indo-European Linguistics*. 2 volumes. University of Copenhagen: Museum Tusulanum.

Regnaud, Paul

- 1908 *Dictionnaire étymologique du latin et du grec dans ses rapports avec le latin*. Lyon – Paris.



## Rieken, Elisabeth

- 1999 *Untersuchungen zur nominalen Stammbildung des Hethitischen*. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- 2003 Hieroglyphen-luwisch *zi+ra/i-la-mi-i* ("SCALPRUM.ARGENTUM") *su-ha-pa-na-ti*: ein Kompositum und eine neue luwisch-lateinische Isoglosse. *HS* 116, 35-53.

## Risch, Ernst

- 1954 Der Typus *parturire* im Lateinischen. *IF* 61, 187-195.
- 1979 Zur altlateinischen Gebetsprache. *Incontri Linguistici* 5, 43-53.
- 1984 *Gerundivum und Gerundium. Gebrauch im klassischen und älteren Latein. Entstehung und Vorgeschichte*. Berlin – New York: Walter de Gruyter.
- 1985 Gab es im Latein ein Neutrum Singular *mundinum*? In: *Sprachwissenschaftliche Forschungen. Festschrift für Johann Knobloch*, eds. H. Ölberg and G. Schmidt, Innsbruck: AMCE, 329-338.

## Ritter, Ralf-Peter

- 2004 Zur Etymologie von lat. *lignum*. In: Hyllested et al. 2004, 487-490.

## Rix, Helmut

- 1965 Lat. *iecur, iocineris*. *MSS* 18, 79-92.
- 1966 Die lateinische Synkope als historisches und phonologisches Problem. *Kratylos* 11, 156-165.
- 1976 Die umbrischen Infinitive auf *-fi* und die urindogermanische Infinitivendung *-d'iōj*. In: *Studies in Greek, Italic and Indo-European linguistics. Offered to Leonard L. Palmer on the occasion of his seventieth birthday*, eds. A. Morpurgo Davies and W. Meid, Innsbruck: IBS, 319-331.
- 1978 Die Altersangabe in der oskischen Inschrift Ve. 70 und osk.-umbr. *akno* 'Jahr'. *MSS* 37, 149-163.
- 1981 Rapporti onomastici fra il panteon etrusco e quello romano. In: *Gli Etruschi e Roma*, ed. G. Colonna, Roma: Bretschneider, 104-126 (= *Kleine Schriften*, 2001, 272-294).
- 1985a *sūdōr* und *sīdus*. In: *Sprachwissenschaftliche Forschungen. Festschrift für Johann Knobloch*, eds. H. Ölberg and G. Schmidt, Innsbruck: AMCE, 339-350.
- 1985b The last word of the Duenos inscription. *MSS* 46, 193-220.
- 1992 Zur Entstehung des lateinischen Perfektparadigmas. In: Panagl / Krisch 1992, 221-240.
- 1993 osk. *úpsannam* – *uupsens* und Zugehöriges. In: Heidermanns / Rix / Seebold 1993, 329-348.
- 1994a *Die Termini der Unfreiheit in den Sprachen Altitaliens*. Stuttgart: Steiner.
- 1994b Lateinisch und sabellisch. Stammbaum und/ oder Sprachbund? *Incontri Linguistici* 17, 13-29.
- 1995a Einige lateinische Präsensstammbildungen zu Set-Wurzeln. In: *Kuryłowicz Memorial Volume, Part One*, ed. W. Smoczyński, Cracow: Universitas, 399-408.
- 1995b Oskisch *bravis*, oskisch *uruvú*, lateinisch *urvum* und 'europäisch' *bravo*.

- HS 108, 84-92.
- 1996 Review of Schrijver 1991. *Kratylos* 41, 153-163.
- 1998 Bemerkungen zu den lateinischen Verbformen des Typs *faxo faxim*. In: Jasanoff / Melchert / Oliver 1998, 619-634.
- 1999 Schwach charakterisierte lateinische Präsensstämme zu Set-Wurzeln mit Vollstufe I. In: Eichner / Luschützky 1999, 515-535.
- 2001 *Kleine Schriften*. Ed. G. Meiser. Bremen: Ute Hempen.
- 2002a *Sabellische Texte. Die Texte des Oskischen, Umbrischen und Südpikenischen*. Heidelberg: Winter.
- 2002b Oskisch *niir kulupu*. In: Fritz / Zeilfelder 2002, 417-430.
- 2004 Ein neuer oskisch-griechischer Göttername in Pompei. In: Hyllested et al. 2004, 491-505.
- 2005 Lehnbeziehungen zwischen den Sprachen Altitaliens. In: *Sprachkontakt und Sprachwandel. Akten der XI. Fachtagung der Indogermanischen Gesellschaft*, eds. G. Meiser and O. Hackstein, Wiesbaden: Reichert, 559-572.
- Rosén, Haiim B.
- 1992 Die Komposita mit *co(n)-* in funktioneller und vergleichender Sicht. In: Panagl / Krisch 1992, 357-367.
- 1994 Lat. *sacēna*, Heb. *šakkān* and the Mediterranean substrate. *JIES* 22, 165-175.
- 1995 Lat. *rete*. *IF* 100, 210-212.
- Russell, Paul
- 1988 Preverbs, prepositions and adverbs: sigmatic and asigmatic. *TPS* 86, 144-172.
- Saalfeld, Günther
- 1884 *Tensaurus italograecus: ausführliches historisch-kritisches Wörterbuch der griechischen Lehn- und Fremdwörter im Lateinischen*. Wien.
- Schaffner, Stefan
- 1996 Altenglisch *nihol*, *nīowol* und lateinisch *procul*. *MSS* 56, 131-171.
- 2001 *Das Vernersche Gesetz und der innerparadigmatische grammatische Wechsel des Urgermanischen im Nominalbereich*. Innsbruck: IBS.
- 2006a Lateinisch *mūstella*, *mūstēla* 'Wiesel; Quappe' und der Wortbildungstyp vedisch *aśvatará-*. *IJDLLR* 3, 1-50.
- 2006b Altenglisch *umbor* 'Kind'. *IJDLLR* 3, 147-185.
- Schindler, Jochem
- 1966 Bemerkungen zum idg. Wort für "Schlaf". *Die Sprache* 12, 67-76.
- 1969 Die idg. Wörter für "Vogel" und "Ei". *Die Sprache* 15, 144-167.
- 1973 Bemerkungen zur Herkunft der idg. Diphthongstämme und zu den Eigentümlichkeiten ihrer Kasusformen. *Die Sprache* 19, 148-157.
- 1977 Notizen zum Sieversschen Gesetz. *Die Sprache* 23, 56-65.
- Schrijver, Peter
- 1990 Latin *festīnāre*, Welsh *brys*. *MSS* 51, 243-247.

- 1991 *The reflexes of the PIE laryngeals in Latin*. Amsterdam – Atlanta: Rodopi.
- 1995 *Studies in British Celtic historical phonology*. Amsterdam – Atlanta: Rodopi.
- 1997a Some western European substratum words. In: Lubotsky 1997, 293-316.
- 1997b *Studies in the history of Celtic pronouns and particles*. Maynooth: Maynooth Studies in Celtic Linguistics II.
- 1998 The British word for ‘fox’ and its Indo-European origin. *JIES* 26, 421-434.
- 1999 On henbane and early European narcotics. *Zeitschrift für celtische Philologie* 51, 17-45.
- 2001 Lost languages in Northern Europe. In: *Early contacts between Uralic and Indo-European: Linguistic and archaeological considerations*, eds. C. Carpelan, A. Parpola and P. Koskikallio, Helsinki: Mémoires de la Société Finno-Ougrienne, 417-425.
- 2003 Athematic *i*-presents: the Italic and Celtic evidence. *Incontri Linguistici* 26, 59-86.
- 2004 Indo-European \*(s)mer- in Greek and Celtic. In: Penney 2004, 292-299.
- 2006 Review of Meiser 2003. *Kratylos* 51, 46-64.
- Schumacher, Stefan  
2004 *Die keltischen Primärverben*. Innsbruck: IBS.
- Schwyzler, Eduard  
1929 Prognatus. Ein Beitrag zur lateinischen Verbalbildung. *ZVS* 56, 10-22.
- Seldeslachts, Herman  
2001 *Études de morphologie historique du verbe latin et indo-européen*. Leuven: Peeters.
- Seldeslachts, Herman and Pierre Swiggers  
1995 Remarks on Baltic, Slavic and Latin tool names. *Linguistica Baltica* 4, 23-33.
- Serbat, Guy  
1975 *Les dérivés nominaux latins à suffixe médiatif*. Paris: Sorbonne.
- Sihler, Andrew  
1995 *New comparative grammar of Latin and Greek*. New York – Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Sims-William, Nicholas  
1997 The denominal suffix *-ant-* and the formation of the Khotanese transitive perfect. In: Lubotsky 1997, 317-325.
- Skutsch, Franz  
1892 Iaientare, iaiunus. *Archiv für lateinische Lexikographie und Grammatik* 7, 527-528.
- Skutsch, Otto  
1985 *The Annals of Quintus Ennius*. Oxford: Clarendon.

Solta, Georg

- 1967 Lat. *limpidus* und seine Verwandten. In: *Beiträge zur Indogermanistik und Keltologie: Julius Pokorny zum 80. Geburtstag gewidmet*, ed. W. Meid, Innsbruck: Universität Innsbruck, 93-97.

Sommer, Ferdinand

- 1914 *Handbuch der lateinischen Laut- und Formenlehre. 2. und 3. Auflage.* Heidelberg: Winter.

Sommer, Ferdinand and Raimund Pfister

- 1977 *Handbuch der lateinischen Laut- und Formenlehre. Vierte, Neubearb. Auflage, Band I: Einleitung und Lautlehre.* Heidelberg: Winter.

Southern, Mark

- 2000 *Tabula rāsa. The "tablet" word in Italic, and its Indo-European relatives.* *MSS* 60, 89-133.

van der Staaïj, Robert

- 1995 *A Reconstruction of Proto-Italic.* PhD dissertation, Leiden University.

Steinbauer, Dieter

- 1989 *Untersuchungen zu den bei Plautus belegten Verben der lateinischen ersten Konjugation. Unter besonderer Berücksichtigung der Denominative.* Dissertation Regensburg. Altendorf b. Bamberg: Druckerei Gräbner.

Strunk, Klaus

- 1993 Lateinisch *naucum* (-i, o) und Fragen einer Alternanz -au-/-u-. In: Meiser et al. 1993, 424-435.
- 1994 Lateinisch *sacerdos* und damit verglichene Komposita. *Glotta* 72 [1995], 222-234.

Stuart-Smith, Jane

- 2004 *Phonetics and philology. Sound change in Italic.* Oxford University Press.

Stüber, Karin

- 1997 Urindogermanisch \**h<sub>1</sub>nóm̥n̥* 'Name', \**h<sub>2</sub>óng<sup>h</sup>n̥* 'Salbe' und der Ablaut der neutralen *n*-Stämme. *Die Sprache* 39, 74-88.
- 1998 *The historical morphology of n-stems in Celtic.* Maynooth.
- 2002 *Die primären s-Stämme des Indogermanischen.* Wiesbaden: Reichert.
- 2006 Die indogermanischen Ausdrücke für 'rechts' und 'links'. *IJDLR* 3, 61-72.

Szemerényi, Oswald

- 1960a Latin *hibernus* and Greek *χειμερινός*. *Glotta* 38, 107-125.
- 1960b *Etyma Latina I. (1-6).* *Glotta* 38, 216-251.
- 1989 *An den Quellen des lateinischen Wortschatzes.* Innsbruck: IBS.
- 1992 *Quellen des lateinischen Wortschatzes mit besonderer Berücksichtigung der Bedeutungslehnwörter.* In: Panagl / Krisch 1992, 311-326.
- 1995 *Etyma Latina VII, 38-44.* In: *Kurylowicz Memorial Volume, Part One*, ed. W. Smoczyński, Cracow: Universitas, 409-416.

Thieme, Paul

- 1963 'Jungfrauengatte': Sanskrit *kaumarah patih* – Homer. κούριδιος πόσις – Lat. *marītus*. *ZVS* 88, 161-248.

Thurneysen, Rudolf

- 1907 Etymologisches und Grammatishes. *IF* 21, 175-180.  
 1946 *A grammar of Old Irish. Revised and enlarged edition. Translated from the German by D.A. Binchy and Osborn Bergin.* Dublin: School of Celtic Studies.

Tichy, Eva

- 1983 *Onomatopoeische Verbalbildungen des Griechischen.* Wien: Österreichische Akademie der Wissenschaften.  
 2002 Gr. οἴσειν, Lat. *ūtī*. *Glotta* 78, 179-202.

de Tollenaere, F.

- 1983 Notizen zu germanischen Etymologien: 1. Gotisch *gaits*, altenglisch *gāt*, 2. Altenglisch *lēag*, altisländisch *laug*. *ZVS* 96, 141-145.

Torzi, Ilaria

- 1991 Volup e volupe nella tradizione manoscritta di autori latini arcaici e tardoantichi. *Maia: Rivista di Letterature Classiche* 43, 89-102.

Tremblay, Xavier

- 1998 Jungawestisch *sanat*; Exkurs: Die Herkunft der Intensiva des Typus στρωφάω. *Die Sprache* 38, 14-30.  
 2004 Die Ablautstufe des Lokativs der akrostatischen Nomina. *Apophonica III*. In: Hyllested et al. 2004, 573-589.

Tucker, Elizabeth Fawcett

- 1990 *The creation of morphological regularity: early Greek verbs in -éō, -áo, -óō, -úō and -ío.* Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht.

Tucker, Thomas George

- 1931 *A concise etymological dictionary of Latin.* Halle a/d Saale [reprint 1973 Hildesheim].

Unceta Gomez, Luis

- 2002 The semasiological evolution of the Indo-European root \*pet- 'to fly' and its rich polysemy in Latin. *Cuadernos de Filología Clásica: Estudios Latinos* 22, 309-331.

Untermann, Jürgen

- 1980 Die venetische Sprache. *Glotta* 58, 281-317.  
 1992 Wurzelnomina im Lateinischen. In: Panagl / Krisch 1992, 137-153.  
 1993 Gr. ἔθηκα = lat. *fecī*, gr. ἱέθηκα = lat. *ieci*? In: Meiser et al. 1993, 461-468.  
 2000 *Wörterbuch des Oskisch-Umbrischen.* Heidelberg: Winter.  
 2002 Das Perfekt der Sekundärverben im Oskisch-Umbrischen. In: Fritz / Zeilfelder 2002, 489-495.

Vaahtera, Jyri

1993 The origin of Latin *suffrāgium*. *Glotta* 71, 66-80.

de Vaan, Michiel

1999 The etymology of English *shower*. *Die Sprache* 41, 39-49.

2000 The Indo-Iranian animal suffix *\*-ācá-*. *Indo-Iranian Journal* 43, 279-293.

2001 Reconsidering Dutch *rups*, German *Raupe* 'caterpillar'. *ABĀG* 54, 151-174.

2003 *The Avestan Vowels*. Amsterdam – Atlanta: Rodopi.

2004a 'Narten' roots from the Avestan point of view. In: Hyllested et al. 2004, 591-599.

2004b PIE *\*e* in Albanian. *Die Sprache* 44, 70-85.

2007 The etymology of Latin *adūlāre*. In: *Greek and Latin from an Indo-European Perspective*, eds. C. George, M. McCullagh, B. Nielsen, A. Ruppel, and O. Tribulato, Cambridge: Philological Society, 140-144.

fthc.: Latin *au-* 'away', an allomorph of *ab-*. *Anuari de Filologia* 25-26 [2003-2004]. *D: Studia graeca et latina*.

Vaniček, Alois

1881 *Etymologisches Wörterbuch der lateinischen Sprache*, 2. Auflage. Leipzig: Teubner.

Vasmer, Max

1950-1958 *Russisches Etymologisches Wörterbuch*. Heidelberg: Winter.

Vendryes, Joseph

1920 Sur quelques formations de mots latins. *Mémoires de la Société de Linguistique de Paris* 22, 97-106.

1929 Lat. *polīre* et *interpolāre*. In: *Donum Natalicium Schrijnen*, eds. St. Teeuwen et al., Nijmegen: Dekker & van de Vegt, 702-704.

Vennemann, Theo

1998a *Germania Semitica: Biene und Imme: Mit einem Anhang zu lat. apis*. *Sprachwissenschaft* 23, 471-487.

1998b Etymology and phonotactics: Latin *grandis* vs. Basque *handi* 'big' and similar problems. *JIES* 26, 345-390.

Vine, Brent

1986 An Umbrian-Latin correspondence. *HSCP* 90, 111-127.

1993 *Studies in archaic Latin inscriptions*. Innsbruck: IBS.

1998a *Aeolic ὀπρετον and Deverbative \*-etó- in Greek and Indo-European*. Innsbruck: IBS.

1998b Remarks on the Archaic Latin "Garigliano Bowl" inscription. *Zeitschrift für Papyrologie und Epigraphik* 121, 257-262.

1999a Latin *\*opiō* and *optāre*. In: *Poëtika, Istorija literatury, Lingvistika. Sbornik k 70-letiju Vjačeslava Vsevolodoviča Ivanova*. (= *Essays in Poetics, literary history and Linguistics. FS. V.V. Ivanov*), eds. A. Vigasin et al., Moskva: OGI, 520-526.

- 1999b Greek  $\rho\acute{\iota}\zeta\alpha$  'root' and "Schwa Secundum". *UCLA Indo-European Studies* 1, 5-29.
- 1999c Latin  $-\bar{i}n\bar{a}re/-\bar{i}n\bar{a}r\bar{i}$ . *UCLA Indo-European Studies* 1, 71-83.
- 1999d A note on the Duenos inscription. *UCLA Indo-European Studies* 1, 293-305.
- 2002 On full grade  $*-ro$ -formations in Greek and Indo-European. In: *Indo-European Perspectives*, ed. M. Southern, Washington DC: Institute for the Study of Man, 329-347.
- 2004 New thoughts on an old curse. In: Hyllested et al. 2004, 615-626.
- 2006a On 'Thurneysen-Havet's Law' in Latin and Italic. *HS* 119, 211-249.
- 2006b An alleged case of "inflectional contamination": on the  $i$ -stem inflection of Latin *civis*. *Incontri Linguistici* 29, 139-158.
- 2007 Latin *gemō* 'groan', Greek  $\gamma\acute{\epsilon}\gamma\omega\nu\epsilon$  'cry out', and Tocharian A *ken-* 'call'. In: Nussbaum 2007c, 343-358.
- Viredaz, Rémy  
2002 Le nom du 'gendre' en indo-européen et en balto-slave. *IF* 107, 152-180.
- Wachter, Rudolf  
2004 Sprachwissenschaftlicher Cocktail zur *Cistellaria*. In: *Studien zu Plautus' Cistellaria*, eds. R. Hartkamp and F. Hurka, Tübingen: Gunter Narr, 371-383.
- 2006 Review of *Lexikon des frühgriechischen Epos*, 19. und 20. Lieferung. *Kratylos* 51, 136-144.
- Walde, Alois and Johann Baptist Hoffmann  
1930, 1954 *Lateinisches etymologisches Wörterbuch* I + II. Heidelberg: Winter.
- Wallace, Rex  
2005 A Faliscan inscription in the Steinhardt Collection. *Zeitschrift für Papyrologie und Epigraphik* 153, 175-182.
- Watkins, Calvert  
1957 *marītus*. In: *Studies presented to Joshua Whatmough on his sixtieth birthday*, ed. E. Pulgram, 's-Gravenhage: Mouton, 277-281.
- 1962 *Indo-European Origins of the Celtic verb. I. The sigmatic aorist*. Dublin: The Dublin Institute for Advanced Studies.
- 1969 A Latin-Hittite etymology. *Language* 45, 235-242.
- 1973a An Indo-European agricultural term: Latin *ador*, Hittite *hat-*. *HSCP* 77, 187-193.
- 1973b Etyma Enniana. *HSCP* 77, 195-206.
- 1985 *The American Heritage Dictionary of Indo-European Roots*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin.
- 1990 A Celtic-Latin-Hittite etymology. In: *Lingering over words: Studies in ancient Near Eastern literature in honor of William L. Moran*, ed. T. Abusch, J. Huehnergard and P. Steinkeller, Atlanta (GA): Scholars Press, 451-453.
- 1995 *How to kill a dragon: aspects of Indo-European poetics*. Oxford University Press.

Watmough, Margaret

1997 *Studies in the Etruscan loanwords in Latin*. Firenze: Olschki.

Weiss, Michael

1993 *Studies in Italic nominal morphology*. Cornell: PhD dissertation.

1994 On the non-verbal origin of the Greek verb νήφειν 'to be sober'. *HS* 107, 91-98.

1995 Life Everlasting: Latin *iūgis* 'everflowing', Greek ὑγής 'healthy', Gothic *ajukduþs* 'eternity' and Avestan *γauuaējī-* 'living forever'. *MSS* 55, 131-156.

1996a Greek μῦσιος 'countless', Hittite *mūri-* 'bunch (of fruit)'. *HS* 109, 199-214.

1996b An Oscanism in Catullus 53. *Classical Philology* 91, 353-359.

2002 Observations on the South Picene Inscription TE 1 (S. Omero). In: *Indo-European Perspectives*, ed. M. Southern, Washington DC: Institute for the Study of Man, 351-366.

2006 Latin *orbis* and its cognates. *HS* 119, 250-272.

2007a Cui Bono? The beneficiary phrases of the Third Iguvine Table. In: Nussbaum 2007c, 365-378.

2007b What's it all about, *alfir*? Handout at *ECIEC* 26, June 2007, Yale Univ.

fthc.a *Outline of the Comparative Grammar of Latin*.

fthc.b *Language and Ritual in Sabellic Italy: The Ritual Complex of the Third and Fourth Tabulae Iguvinae*.

Willi, Andreas

2004 Flowing Riches. In: Penney 2004, 323-337.

van Windekens, Albert

1984 Encore lat. *uxor* et i.-e. *\*ukson-*. *ZVS* 97, 96-98.

Wyatt, W.S.

1984 Two fragments of the Elder Cato. *Glotta* 62, 248-250.

Zimmer, Stefan

1985 Zur Etymologie und zu den ältesten Belegen von lat. *totus*. *Glotta* 63, 221-225.



## INDICES

Numbers refer to pages. All languages are given in the order of the Latin alphabet with the exception of Greek.

<p>Italic</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Latin 725</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Faliscan 765</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Oscan 766</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Umbrian 769</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">South Picene 775</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Venetic 775</p> <p>Celtic</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Celtiberian 775</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Gaulish 775</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Irish 776</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Welsh 778</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Cornish 780</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Breton 780</p> <p>Anatolian</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Hittite 781</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Luwian 783</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Lycian 783</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Lydian 783</p> <p>Indo-Iranian</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Sanskrit 783</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Avestan 788</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Old Persian 792</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Middle Iranian 792</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Modern Iranian 792</p> <p>Greek 793</p>	<p>Phrygian 799</p> <p>Armenian 799</p> <p>Albanian 800</p> <p>Baltic</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Old Prussian 800</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Lithuanian 801</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Latvian 804</p> <p>Slavic</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Old Church Slavonic 805</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">East Slavic 807</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">West Slavic 808</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">South Slavic 808</p> <p>Germanic</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Gothic 809</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Old Icelandic 811</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Old High German 812</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Old Saxon 815</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Old English 815</p> <p>Tokharian</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Tokharian AB 817</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Tokharian A 817</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Tokharian B 818</p> <p>Proto-Indo-European 818</p>
---	---



## ITALIC

### Latin

ab 19 47  
abarcēre 51  
abdicāre 169  
abdōmen 20  
abdūcere 181  
Abella 20  
abellānus 20  
aberrāre 194  
abesse 599  
abhibēre 277  
abhorrēre 290  
abicere 293  
abiectum 293  
abiēs 20  
abigere 30  
abīre 191  
abitiō 191  
abitus 191  
abiugāre 314  
abiūrāre 316  
ablaqueāre 327  
ablēgāre 337  
ablegmina 332  
abluere 330  
abluī 330  
ablūtum 330  
abluvium 330  
abnuere 419  
abnuēre 419  
abnūtāre 419  
aboleō 21  
aborīginēs 434  
aborīrī 434  
aborīscere 434  
abortāre 434  
abortiō 434  
abortus 434  
abrādere 512  
abripere 513  
abrōdere 526  
abrogāre 526  
abrumperere 529  
abscedere 103  
abscondere 79  
absēns 599  
absilīre 535  
absolvere 573  
absorbēre 575

abstāre 589  
abstēmīus 609  
abstergēre 614  
absterrēre 617  
abstulās 621  
absūmēdō 188  
absūmere 188  
absurdus 602  
abundāre 641  
abūfī 648  
ac 59  
accēdere 103  
accelerāre 104  
accendere 106  
accensus 107  
accessiō 103  
accessitāre 103  
accidere 78  
accingere 114  
accipere 89  
accipiter 21  
accīre 113  
acclivis 122  
accola 125  
accolere 125  
accomodāre 384  
accrēdere 141  
accrēscere 144  
accubāre 152  
accūdere 149  
accumbere 152  
accumulāre 152  
accūrāre 156  
accurrere 157  
accūsāre 100  
accūsātor 100  
aceō 21  
acer 21  
ācer 22  
acerbus 22  
acernus 21  
acerōsus 23  
acerra 22  
acervus 22  
acētābulum 21  
acētum 21  
acia 23  
acidus 21  
aciēs 21  
acinus 23

acipēns 23  
acor 21  
acquiēscere 508  
ācrīmōnia 22  
ācritās 22  
ācritūdō 22  
ācrufolius 22  
actiō 30  
actor 30  
actus 30  
actūtum 30  
acu- 23  
acuere 23  
aculeus 23  
acūmen 23  
acupediū 23  
acupēns 23  
acus 23  
acūtus 23  
ad 24 400  
ad fatim 204  
ad( )amusšim 40  
ad(i)icere 293  
adaequē 27  
adaperīre 429  
adarēscere 53  
adbibere 71  
adbītere 68  
addīcere 169  
addictus 169  
additiō 175  
addubānum 180  
addūcere 181  
adeps 24  
adeptus 47  
adesse 599  
adēsse 185  
adhaerēre 278  
adhaerēscere 278  
adhaesus 278  
adhibēre 277  
adhortārī 289  
adiacēns 293  
adigere 30  
adimere 188  
adipātus 24  
adipiscor 47  
adīre 191  
aditāre 191  
aditiō 191

aditus 191  
adiugāre 314  
adiūmentum 314  
adiungere 314  
adiūrāre 316  
adiūtābilis 318  
adiūtāre 318  
adiūtōr 318  
adiūtōrix 318  
adiuvāre 318  
admētīrī 377  
admigrāre 379  
adminiculāre 24  
adminiculum 24  
administer 381  
ministra 381  
ministrāre 381  
admīrārī 382  
admiscēre 382  
admissārius 384  
admissiō 384  
admissūra 384  
admittere 384  
admixtiō 382  
admoderārī 384  
admodum 384  
admoenīre 385  
admōlīrī 386  
admonēre 387  
admordēre 389  
admovēre 391  
admutilāre 397  
adnectere 404  
adnīctāre 130  
adnīfī 410  
adnuere 419  
adnumerāre 419  
adnūtāre 419  
adoleō 24  
adolēscere 35  
adolēvī 35  
adopīnārī 430  
adoptāre 431  
adoptāfīcius 431  
ador 25  
adōrāre 435  
adōreus 25  
adorīrī 434  
adōrnāre 434

- adprīmus 489  
 adrēpere 520  
 adrīdēre 522  
 a(d)scribere 546  
 a(d)spargere 578  
 a(d)stāre 589  
 a(d)stituere 589  
 adstringere 592  
 adulēscēns 35  
 adulescentia 35  
 adulescentiārī 35  
 adulescentulus 35  
 adulescenturīre 35  
 adūlor 25  
 adulter 34  
 adultus 35  
 aduncus 640  
 adūrere 645  
 advectus 658  
 advehere 658  
 advena 661  
 advenīre 661  
 adventāre 661  
 adventīcius 661  
 adventor 661  
 adventus 661  
 adversus 667  
 advesperāscere 670  
 advigilāre 677  
 advocāre 692  
 advorsus 667  
 aedēs 25  
 aedīcula 25  
 aedificāre 25  
 aedificātiō 25  
 aedificātor 25  
 aedificium 25  
 aedilīcius 25  
 aedīlis 25  
 aedilitās 25  
 aeditimus 25  
 aeger 26  
 aegrēre 26  
 aegrēscere 26  
 aegrīmōnia 26  
 aegrītūdō 26  
 aegrōtāre 26  
 aegrōtus 26  
 aemīdus 26  
 aemula 26  
 aemulārī 26  
 aemulus 26  
 a(h)ēneus 27  
 a(h)ēnus 27  
 aequābilis 27  
 aequābilitās 27  
 aequālis 27  
 aequāmen 27  
 aequāre 27  
 aequinoctiālis 416  
 aequinoctium 416  
 aequiperābilis 444  
 aequiperāre 444  
 aequor 27  
 aequus 27  
 aerumna 27  
 aeruscō -āre 27  
 aes aeris 27  
 aesculus 28  
 aestās 28  
 aestimō -āre 28  
 aestivāre 28  
 aestivus 28  
 aestus 28  
 aetās 29  
 aeternus 29  
 aevus 29  
 affābilis 231  
 affārī 231  
 affatim 204  
 afferre 213  
 affigere 219  
 affingere 221  
 affīnis 222  
 affīnitās 222  
 affirmāre 223  
 afflāre 226  
 afflātus 226  
 afflēre 226  
 afflīctāre 226  
 afflīctim 226  
 afflīgere 226  
 affluere 228  
 affundere 249  
 āfluere 228  
 ager 29  
 agger 259  
 aggerere 259  
 agglūtināre 266  
 aggravēscere 272  
 aggredi 269  
 aggreus 269  
 agilis 30  
 agitāre 30  
 agitātor 30  
 agmen 30  
 agna 29  
 agnāscī 400  
 agnātiō 400  
 agnātus 401  
 agnellus 30  
 agnōscere 413  
 agnus 30  
 agō 30  
 agolum 30  
 agrārius 29  
 agrestis 29  
 agricola 29 125  
 ain 403  
 aiō aiś 31  
 al(l)ers 55  
 āla 66  
 alacer 32  
 albēre 32  
 albēscere 32  
 albicāre 32  
 albulus 32  
 albus 32  
 ālea 33  
 āleō 33  
 alēscere 35  
 alga 33  
 algeō 33  
 algidus 33  
 algor 33  
 aligus 33  
 alica 33  
 alicubi 34 636  
 aliēnigena 260  
 aliēnus 34  
 alimentum 35  
 alimōnia 35  
 aliquantīspēr 459  
 aliquis 34 510  
 ālium 33  
 alius 34 510  
 allaudābilis 330  
 allaudāre 330  
 allēgāre 337  
 allēgātus 337  
 allicere 321  
 allīdere 323  
 allīgāre 341  
 alloquī 348  
 allubēscit 338  
 allūdere 350  
 allūdiāre 350  
 almus 35  
 alneus 34  
 alnus 34  
 alō 35  
 altare 24  
 altarī 24  
 altāria 24  
 alter 34  
 altercārī 34  
 alternus 34  
 altīlis 35  
 altītūdō 35  
 altrim secus 551  
 altrinsecus 551  
 altus 35  
 alum/s 36  
 alūmen 36  
 alumnus 35  
 alūta 36  
 alveus 36  
 alvus 36  
 amāritūdō 37  
 amāror 37  
 amārus 37  
 amāscere 39  
 amāsius 39  
 ambāgēs 30  
 ambegnus 30  
 ambēsse 185  
 ambēstrīx 185  
 ambi- amb- am- an-  
 37  
 ambiegnus 30  
 ambigere 30  
 ambiguus 30  
 ambīre 191  
 ambitio 191  
 ambitus 191  
 ambō 37  
 ambricēs 38  
 ambulācrum 38  
 ambulātiō 38  
 ambulātor 38  
 ambulō 38  
 ambūrere 645  
 ambustulātus 645  
 āmens 372  
 āmentia 372  
 ames 40  
 amīca 39  
 amicīre 293  
 amictum 293  
 amictus 293  
 amiculum 293  
 amīcus 39  
 amita 38  
 āmittere 384  
 amma 38  
 ammentum 30  
 amnis 39  
 amō 39  
 amoenus 39  
 āmōlīrī 386  
 amor 39  
 āmovēre 391  
 ampla 40  
 amplectī 471  
 amplexārī 471  
 amplexus 471  
 amploctēns 471  
 amplus 40  
 ampruō 40  
 amputāre 502  
 amussis 40

- an 40  
 anās 45  
 anas 41  
 anatem 45  
 anafīnus 41  
 anc(u)lāre 41  
 ancaesa 79  
 anceps 91  
 ancile 79  
 ancilla 41  
 ancipes 91  
 ancīsus 79  
 anclābris 41  
 anculus 41  
 ancunulentae 304  
 ancus 41  
 Ancus 41  
 ānellus 45  
 angiportum 42 482  
 angiportus 42  
 angō 42  
 angor 42  
 anguīla 42  
 anguīlla 42  
 anguīnus 42  
 anguis 42  
 angulus 42  
 angustus 42  
 anhēlāre 43  
 anhēlus 43  
 anicula 45  
 anima 43  
 animal 43  
 animālis 43  
 animulus 43  
 animus 43  
 annālis 43  
 anne 40  
 anniculus 43  
 annōna 43  
 annōsus 43  
 annus 43  
 annuus 43  
 ānsa 44  
 ānsātus 44  
 ānser 44  
 ant(e)īre 191  
 antae 44  
 ante 45  
 antecēdere 103  
 antecellere 105  
 antennā 610  
 antepagumentum 442  
 antepōnere 479  
 antēs 45  
 antestāre 589  
 antestārī 618  
 antevenīre 661  
 antiae 45  
 anticipāre 89  
 antīcus 45  
 antidīre 191  
 antīquus 45  
 ānulus 45  
 ānus 45  
 anus 45  
 anxius 42  
 ap(p)lūda 470  
 aper 46  
 aperiō -īre 46  
 apertāre 46  
 apertiō 46  
 apex 46  
 apicula 47  
 apiō 46 47  
 apis 47  
 apīscor 47  
 apor 48  
 apparāre 446  
 appārēre 445  
 appārītor 445  
 appellāre 456  
 appellātiō 456  
 appellere 455  
 appendere 457  
 appendix 457  
 appetere 463  
 appetissere 463  
 appetō 463  
 appingere 465  
 applaudere 470  
 applicāre 472  
 appōnere 479  
 apportāre 482  
 appre(he)ndere 487  
 apprīmus 489  
 approbāre 490  
 approbus 490  
 aprīcus 47  
 aprīlis 48  
 aprīnus 46  
 Aprō 46  
 Aprōnius 46  
 aprugnus 46  
 aptāre 47  
 aptus 47  
 apud 48  
 apurfinem 48  
 aqua 48  
 aquālis 48  
 aquārius 48  
 aquātīlis 48  
 aquātus 48  
 aquila 49  
 aquilex 48  
 aquilīnus 49  
 aquilō 49  
 aquilus 49  
 aqnola 48  
 aquōsns 48  
 āra 49  
 arānea 49  
 arāneus 49  
 arātiō 55  
 arātor 55  
 arātrum 55  
 arbiter 50  
 arbitrārī 50  
 arbitrātus 50  
 arbitrium 50  
 arbor 50  
 arbuscula 50  
 arbustum 50  
 area 50  
 arcāmus 50  
 arceō 51 476  
 arcera 50  
 arcessō / accersō 51  
 arcitenens /  
     arquitenens 52  
 arcuātus 52  
 arcula 50  
 arcus 52  
 ardea 52  
 ardere 53  
 ardor 53  
 arduitas 52  
 arduus 53  
 arduus 52  
 ārea 53  
 ārefaciō 53  
 āreō 53  
 arfaciō 53  
 argenteus 53  
 argentum 53  
 argūmentum 53  
 arguō 53  
 argūtiae 53  
 argūtus 53  
 āridus 53  
 ariēs 54  
 arietāre 54  
 arma 54  
 armāre 54  
 armātus 54  
 armenta 54  
 armentum 54  
 armiger 54  
 armilla 55  
 armillūstrium 354  
 armus 55  
 arō 55  
 arquātus 52  
 arquītēs 52  
 arrigere 517  
 arripere 513  
 arrogāre 526  
 arruere 530  
 ars 55  
 artāre 55  
 artē 55  
 articulus 56  
 artifex 55  
 artificium 55  
 artire 55  
 artufices 55  
 artus 55  
 artus 56  
 aruīna 56  
 aruos 56  
 aruus 56  
 arva 56  
 arvocitat 692  
 arvorsum 667  
 arvum 56  
 arx arcis 57  
 as 57 457  
 ascendere 542  
 ascēnsiō 542  
 ascia 57  
 ascriptīvus 546  
 asellus 57  
 asīlus 57  
 asina 57  
 asinārius 57  
 asinus 57  
 aspectāre 579  
 aspectus 579  
 aspellere 455  
 asper 58  
 asperāre 58  
 aspergō 578  
 aspernābilis 579  
 aspernārī 579  
 aspicere 579  
 aspirāre 581  
 asportāre 482  
 ass 505  
 assarātum 58  
 assectārī 555  
 assecuē 555  
 assentārī 554  
 assentātiō 554  
 assentātiuncula 554  
 assentātīx 554  
 assentire 554  
 assequī 555  
 asser 58  
 asserere 557  
 asservāre 559  
 asses 57 415 457  
     509

- assevĕrĕre 560  
 assidĕre 551  
 assidere 562  
 assiduus 551  
 assimilis 564  
 assimilĕre 564  
 assipondium 457  
 assis / axis 58  
 assistere 567  
 assolĕre 570  
 assuĕscere 597  
 assuĕtūdō 597  
 assūgere 598  
 assula 58  
 assūmere 188  
 assus 58  
 assyr 58  
 ast 59  
 astus 59  
 astūtia 59  
 astūtus 59  
 at 59  
 āter 60  
 atque 59  
 atquĭ 59  
 ātrium 60  
 atrōcitas 60  
 atrōx 60  
 atta 60  
 attemptĕre 611  
 attendere 612  
 attenuĕre 613  
 atterere 616  
 attiga 606  
 attinĕre 612  
 attingere 606 620  
 attollere 621  
 attondĕre 622  
 attractĕre 626  
 attractĕtus 626  
 atrepidĕre 628  
 attribuere 629  
 au 139  
 aububulcus 77 437  
 auca 65  
 auceps 65  
 auctĕre 61  
 auctor 61  
 audĕcia 60  
 audĕx 60  
 audeō 60  
 audĭn 403  
 audiō 61  
 auferre 213  
 aufugere 246  
 augeō 61  
 augĕscere 61  
 augmen 61  
 augur 61  
 augurĕre 61  
 augurium 61  
 augustus 61  
 aulicoquis 134  
 aulla 62  
 Aululĕria 62  
 aureax 31 62  
 Aurĕlius 63  
 aureolus 63  
 aureus 63  
 auricula 63  
 aurĭga 62  
 auris 63  
 aurōra 63  
 aurum 63  
 auscultĕre 63  
 auspex 65 579  
 auspicĕre/ĭ 65  
 auspiciū 65 314  
 auster 64  
 austrĕlis 64  
 ausum 63  
 aut 64  
 autem 64  
 autumnus 64  
 autumō 64  
 auxiliū 61  
 auxilla 62  
 avĕritia 65  
 avĕrus 65  
 avĕllere 659  
 avĕna 64  
 aveō 65  
 āverrucĕre 666  
 avia 66  
 avicula 65  
 aviditas 65  
 avidus 65  
 avillus 30  
 avis 65 579  
 avĭtus 66  
 avunculus 66  
 avus 66  
 axĕmenta 31  
 axĕre 31  
 axilla 66  
 axiō 66  
 axis 66  
 bāca 67  
 bacar 67  
 bacillum 67  
 bacriō 67  
 bācula 67  
 baculum 67  
 badius 67  
 baetō 68  
 bāiulĕre 68  
 bāiulus 68  
 balbus 68  
 balbutĕre 68  
 bālĭtĕre 69  
 ballaena 68  
 bālō -ĕre 69  
 barba 69  
 barbĕtus 69  
 barbitium 69  
 barbula 69  
 bardus 69  
 bĕsiĕre 69  
 bĕsĭum 69  
 beĕtus 70  
 bellĕtor 70  
 bellicus 70  
 Bellōna 70  
 bellum 70  
 bellus 73  
 bĕlua 70  
 bĕluĕtus 70  
 bĕlūtus 70  
 bene 73  
 benedicĕ 169  
 benedicĕre 169  
 benevolĕns 687  
 benevolus 687  
 benignus 73 260  
 beō 70  
 berber 70  
 bĕs 57  
 bĕstia 71  
 bi 71  
 bibō 71  
 bibōsus 71  
 bibulus 71  
 biceps 91  
 bicorpor 137  
 bidens 71 166  
 bĭduum 173  
 biennium 43  
 bĭgae 71 314  
 bigener 260  
 bĭgnae 71 260  
 biiugus 314  
 bilinguis 343  
 bilis 72  
 bilix 340  
 bimĕ(n)stris 373  
 bĭrnus 71 284  
 bĭnĭ 72  
 bipennis 458  
 bipertĭtus 448  
 bipĕs 71 462  
 birĕmis 518  
 bis 72  
 bisulcis 598  
 bisulcus 598  
 bitūmen 72  
 blandimentum 73  
 blandĭrĭ 73  
 blandus 73  
 blatĭō 73  
 bonus 73  
 bōs bovis 74  
 bovĭle 74  
 brevis 75  
 brūma 75  
 brūtus 76  
 bū 76  
 būbile 74  
 būbō 76  
 Būbōna 74  
 būbilĕre 76  
 būbula 74  
 bubulcĕre 74  
 bubulcus 74 77  
 būbulus 74  
 būcaeda 74  
 bucca 76  
 buccō 76  
 buccula 76  
 bucculentus 76  
 būcĕtum 74  
 būcina 74  
 būcula 74  
 būculus 74  
 būfō 76  
 -bulcus 77  
 bustirapus 77  
 bustuĕrius 77  
 bustum 77  
 būteō 77  
 caballus 77  
 cacō 78  
 cacūmen 78  
 cadĕver 78  
 cadĕverōsus 78  
 cadō 78  
 cadūcus 78  
 caecĕre 79  
 caecilia 79  
 caecitas 79  
 caecultĕre 79  
 caeculus 79  
 caecus 79  
 caecūfĕre 79  
 caedĕs 79  
 caedō 79  
 caedus 79  
 caelĕre 79  
 caelebs 80  
 caeles 80  
 caelestis 80  
 caelibĕtus 80  
 caelicola 125

- caelum 79 80  
 caementum 79  
 caenum 81  
 caerimōnia 81  
 caerul(e)us 80  
 caesariēs 81  
 caesius 82  
 caespes 82  
 caestus 79  
 cāia 79  
 cāiare 79  
 cal(e)facere 83  
 calābra 84  
 calamitās 82  
 calamitōsus 82  
 calātiō 84  
 calātor 84  
 calcar 86  
 calcāre 86  
 calcārius 86  
 calceāmentum 86  
 calceolārius 86  
 calceus 86  
 calcitrō 86  
 caldus 83  
 calefactāre 83  
 calendae 82  
 caleō 83  
 calēscere 83  
 calicāre 86  
 caliculus 83  
 calidus 83  
 caliga 83  
 cāligāre 83  
 cāligō 83  
 Caligula 83  
 calix 83  
 callēre 84  
 callidus 84  
 callis 84  
 callum 84  
 calō 84  
 cālō 85  
 calor 83  
 calumnia 85  
 calva 85  
 calvāria 85  
 calvor 85  
 calvus 85  
 calx 86  
 campānicus 86  
 Campānus 86  
 campestris 86  
 campus 86  
 cancelli 86  
 cancer 86  
 candefaciō 87  
 candēla 87  
 candēlābrum 87  
 candeō 87  
 candidātus 87  
 candidus 87  
 candor 87  
 canēs 87  
 canīcula 87  
 canīnus 87  
 canō 87  
 canor 87  
 canōms 87  
 cantāre 87  
 cantilēna 87  
 cantiō 87  
 cantitāre 87  
 cantor 87  
 cantus 87  
 cānus 88  
 canūtus 88  
 capāx 89  
 caper 89  
 capessō 89  
 capillus 89  
 capiō -ere 89  
 capis 90  
 capissam 89  
 capistrum 89  
 capitālis 91  
 capitō 91  
 capitulum 91  
 capra 89  
 caprea 89  
 capreāginus 89  
 caprificus 89  
 caprigenus 260  
 caprinus 89  
 capsula 89  
 capsus 90  
 captāre 89  
 captiō 89  
 captivus 89  
 captus 89  
 capula 89  
 capulāre 89  
 capulāris 89  
 capulātor 89  
 capulus 89  
 cāpus 91  
 caput 91  
 carbō 91  
 carbōnārius 91  
 carbunculus 91  
 carcer 92  
 carcerārius 92  
 cardō 92  
 carduus 95  
 carēnsēs 109  
 careō 92  
 cārex 93  
 caria 109  
 cariēs 93  
 carīna 93  
 carīnō -āre 93  
 cariōsus 93  
 carītās 95  
 carmen 88 95  
 carmināre 95  
 carnārium 94  
 carnifex 94  
 carnificīna 94  
 carnificius 94  
 carō 94  
 carpinus 94  
 carpō -ere 95  
 carrō -ere 95  
 carrus 157  
 caruncula 94  
 cārus 95  
 casa 96  
 cascus 96  
 cāseus 96  
 casilam 97  
 cassābundus 78  
 cassāre 78  
 cassis 97  
 cassis 97  
 cassus 92  
 castellum 97  
 castigāre 31 92  
 castigātor 92  
 castrō -āre 97  
 castrum 97  
 castus 92  
 cāsus 78  
 catēlla 98  
 catellus 98  
 catēna 98  
 caterva 98  
 catervātum 98  
 catillāre 98  
 catillus 98  
 caffinus 98  
 Catō 99  
 catulus 98  
 catus 99  
 cauda 99  
 caudeus 99  
 caudex 99  
 caudicālis 99  
 caulae 99  
 cauliculus 100  
 caulis 100  
 caupō 100  
 caupōnārī 100  
 caupōnius 100  
 caurus 100  
 causa 100  
 causārī 100  
 causidicus 101 169  
 causificārī 101  
 cautēla 101  
 cautēs 139  
 cautiō 101  
 cautor 101  
 cautus 101  
 cavāre 101  
 cavātus 101  
 cavea 101  
 caveō 101  
 caverna 101  
 cavilla 101  
 cavillātiō 101  
 cavillātor 101  
 cavum 101  
 cavus 101  
 -ce 102  
 cedo 102  
 cēdō -ere 103  
 ceber 104  
 celebrāre 104  
 celebrēscere 104  
 celer 104  
 celeranter 104  
 celerāre 104  
 Celerēs 104  
 cella 104  
 cellārius 104  
 -cellō 1 105  
 -cellō 2 105  
 cellula 104  
 cēlō -āre 106  
 celōx 104  
 celsus 105  
 -cen 88  
 cēna 106  
 cenāculum 106  
 cenāre 106  
 cenāticus 106  
 cenātus 106  
 -cendō 106  
 cēnsēō 107  
 cēnsiō 107  
 cēnsor 107  
 censōrius 107  
 cēnsūra 107  
 cēnsus 107  
 centēnī 107  
 centēsīmus 107  
 centiē(n)s 107  
 centō 107  
 centum 107  
 centumplex 107 473  
 centuria 107  
 centuriō 107

- cēpa 108  
 cēpe 108  
 -ceps 108  
 cēra 108  
 cērātus 108  
 -cerda 109  
 cerebellum 109  
 cerebrōsus 109  
 cerebrum 109  
 Cerēs -eris 109  
 Ceriālis 109  
 cernō -ere 110  
 cernuāre 110  
 cernuus 110  
 certāmen 111  
 certāre 111  
 certātīm 111  
 certātiō 111  
 certus 111  
 Cerus 109  
 cerva 111  
 cervīnus 111  
 cervīx -īcis 111  
 cervus 111  
 cessāre 103  
 cessātiō 103  
 cēterus 112  
 cette 102  
 ceu 112  
 cēveō 112  
 cibārius 112  
 cibātus 112  
 cibus 112  
 cicāda 112  
 cicātricōsus 113  
 cicātrix 113  
 cicer 113  
 cicindēla 87  
 cicōnia 113  
 cicur 113  
 cicurāre 113  
 cieō 113  
 cilium 114  
 cīmex 114  
 cincticulus 114  
 cinctus 114  
 cinerārius 115  
 cingillum 114  
 cingō -ere 114  
 cingulum 114  
 cinis 115  
 cinnus/m 129  
 cippus 115  
 circā 115  
 circiter 115  
 circu(m)itiō 191  
 circu(m)itus 191  
 circulus 115  
 circum 115  
 circumagere 30  
 circumcīdāneus 79  
 circumcīdere 79  
 circumcīrca 115  
 circumdare 174  
 circumferre 213  
 circumfodere 229  
 circumfricāre 243  
 circumfundere 249  
 circumficere 293  
 circumiectus 293  
 circumire 191  
 circumlinere 344  
 circumfūstrāre 354  
 circummingere 369  
 circummoenire 385  
 circumpōnere 479  
 circumsecāre 550  
 circumspectāre 579  
 circumspectātrix 579  
 circumspicere 579  
 circumtēctus 608  
 circumtergēre 614  
 circumvallāre 652  
 circumvectārī 658  
 circumvehī 658  
 circumvenire 661  
 circumvincire 679  
 circumvisere 676  
 circus 115  
 cis 115  
 cistella 99  
 citāre 113  
 citer 115  
 citerior 115  
 citimus 115  
 citō 113  
 citrā 115  
 citrō 115  
 citrōsus 116  
 citrum 116  
 citrus 116  
 citus 113  
 cīvicus 116  
 cīvīlis 116  
 cīvīs 116  
 cīvītās 116  
 clādēs 117  
 clam 117  
 clāmitāre 117  
 clāmitātiō 117  
 clāmō -āre 117  
 clāmōr 117  
 clamōs 117  
 clanculum 117  
 clandestīnus 117  
 clārāre 118  
 clārēre 117  
 clārēscere 117  
 clāritūdō 118  
 clārus 117  
 classicus 118  
 classis 118  
 claudēre 118  
 claudicāre 118  
 claudō -ere 118  
 claudus 118  
 claustrum 118  
 clāva 119  
 clāvātor 119  
 clāvis 119  
 clāvula 119  
 clāvulus 119  
 clāvus 119  
 clēmēns 119  
 clēmēntia 119  
 clepō 120  
 cleps 120  
 clipeāre 121  
 clipeātus 121  
 cliēns 120  
 clienta 120  
 clientēla 120  
 clīnāmen 121  
 clingō -ere 120  
 -clīnō -āre 121  
 clipeus 121  
 clītellae 121  
 clītellārius 121  
 clīvius 122  
 clīvus 122  
 cloāca 122  
 Cloācīna 122  
 cloāre 122  
 clueō 122  
 cluere 122  
 clūnis 123  
 co(ho)nestāre 288  
 co(n)icere 293  
 coāctum 30  
 coāgulum 30  
 coctilis 134  
 coculum 134  
 cōdētum 99  
 cōdicillus 99  
 coēgī 30  
 coemere 188  
 coepiō 47  
 coeptāre 47  
 coepulōnus 192  
 coera 156  
 coercēre 51  
 coetus 191  
 cōgere 30  
 cōgitāre 30  
 cognāta 401  
 cognātiō 401  
 cognātus 401  
 cognitus 413  
 cognōbilis 413  
 cognōmen 412  
 cognōmentum 412  
 cognōmināre 412  
 cognōscere 413  
 cohaerēre 278  
 cohibēre 277  
 cohors 290  
 cohors 123  
 cohortārī 123 289  
 cohūm 123  
 coinquāre 304  
 coinquō -ere 124  
 coira 156  
 coīre 191  
 coitiō 191  
 cōleātus 100  
 cōleī 100 124 150  
 collabāscere 319  
 collabefieri 319  
 collabellāre 319  
 collābī 319  
 collāre 125  
 collaudāre 330  
 collaxāre 331  
 collēga 337  
 collēgium 337  
 collībrāre 339  
 colliciae 321  
 colliciāris 321  
 colligāre 341  
 colligere 332  
 collīnus 124  
 colliquefacere 345  
 colliqueſcere 345  
 collis 124  
 collocāre 347  
 collocuplētāre 472  
 colloquī 348  
 collūcāre 350  
 collus 125  
 collūsim 350  
 collūsor 350  
 colō -ere 125  
 colōnia 125  
 colōnicus 125  
 colōnus 125  
 color 126  
 colōrāre 126  
 colostrum 127  
 coluber 126  
 colubra 126  
 colubrīnus 126  
 colūm 126



- columba 126  
 columbār(e) 126  
 columbārium 126  
 columbārius 126  
 columbīnus 126  
 columbus 126  
 columella 127  
 columnen 127  
 columna 127  
 columnātus 127  
 columus 138  
 colus 127  
 colustra 127  
 combibere 71  
 combibō 71  
 combrētum 128  
 combūrere 645  
 comedō 185  
 cōmere 188  
 comes 129  
 comēsse 185  
 cōmis 129  
 comitārī 129  
 cōmitās 129  
 comitia 129  
 comitiālis 129  
 comitiātus 129  
 comitium 129  
 commadere 358  
 commandūcare 361  
 commarītus 365  
 commeāre 373  
 commeātus 373  
 commemorābilis 371  
 commemorāmentum 371  
 commemorāre 371  
 commemorātiō 371  
 commendāre 363  
 commentārī 371  
 commentārūs/nā 371  
 commercārī 376  
 commercium 376  
 commerēre 374  
 commers 376  
 commētāre 373  
 commēfirī 377  
 commigrāre 379  
 comminārī 380  
 comminiscī 371  
 comminuere 381  
 comminus 363  
 commiscere 382  
 commissum 384  
 commissūra 384  
 committigāre 383  
 committere 384  
 commixtura 382  
 commodāre 384  
 commoditās 384  
 commodulum 384  
 commodum 384  
 commodus 384  
 commoe/ūnīre 385  
 commoetāculum 398  
 commōliri 386  
 commonēfacere 387  
 commonere 387  
 commōnstrāre 387  
 commorārī 388  
 commorī 389  
 commōtus 391  
 commovēre 391  
 commūnicāre 395  
 commūnis 395  
 commūnitus 395  
 commurmurāre 395  
 commūtābilis 398  
 commūtāre 398  
 commūtātiō 398  
 commūtātus 398  
 comoin 395  
 compa/ectum 452  
 compaciscī 452  
 compāgēs 442  
 compār 444  
 comparāre 444 446  
 compārere 445  
 compedēs 462  
 compedire 462  
 compellāre 456  
 compellere 455  
 compendere 457  
 compendiārius 457  
 compendium 457  
 compēnsāre 457  
 comperere 445  
 comperārī 446  
 compernis 460  
 compescere 445  
 competere 463  
 competitor 463  
 compilāre 465  
 compingere 442  
 Compitālis 463  
 compitum 463  
 complacere 469  
 complexī 471  
 complexus 471 472  
 complicāre 472  
 compluit 474  
 complūrēs 475  
 complūriē(n)s 475  
 complūsculī 475  
 compluviātus 474  
 compluvium 474  
 compōnere 479  
 comportāre 482  
 compos 484  
 compos(it)tura 479  
 compositīcius 479  
 compotire 484  
 compōtrix 485  
 comprehendere 487  
 comprecārī 488  
 compressiō 487  
 compressus 487  
 comprimere 487  
 comprobāre 490  
 comptiōnālis 188  
 computāre 502  
 cōnāmen 130  
 cōnātus 130  
 concēdere 103  
 concelebrāre 104  
 concertāre 111  
 concessāre 103  
 concidere 78  
 concidere 79  
 conciere 113  
 conciliābulum 85  
 conciliāre 84  
 conciliātrix 85  
 concilium 84  
 concinnāre 129  
 concinnus 129  
 concipere 89  
 concipilāre 89  
 concitāre 113  
 conclāve 119  
 conclūdere 118  
 concoquere 134  
 concordāre 134  
 concordia 134  
 concorditās 134  
 concors 134  
 concrēdere 141  
 concrepāre 143  
 concrēscere 144  
 concrētus 144  
 concubīna 152  
 concubinātus 152  
 concubitus 152  
 concubius 152  
 conculcāre 86  
 concumbere 152  
 concupiscere 155  
 concurrere 157  
 concursāre 157  
 concursus 157  
 concurvāre 158  
 concustōdire 159  
 concutere 504  
 condecet 164  
 condecorāre 164  
 condemnāre 161  
 condēnsus 167  
 condicere 169  
 condiciō 169  
 condictor 169  
 condimentum 130  
 175  
 condiō -ire 130  
 condire 175  
 conditāneus 175  
 conditiō 130 175  
 conditīvus 130 175  
 condūcere 181  
 conductīcius 181  
 conductor 181  
 conducticāre 473  
 cōnea 113  
 cōnectere 404  
 cōnexus 404  
 cōnfābulārī 231  
 confercire 202  
 conferre 213  
 confertus 202  
 confervēfacere 215  
 confestim 216  
 confidentia 218  
 confidentiloquus 218  
 confidere 218  
 cōnfieri 222  
 cōnfigere 219  
 cōnfigere 221  
 confinis 222  
 confinium 222  
 cōnfirmāre 223  
 confiteri 204  
 conflāgēs 228  
 confiare 226  
 cōnflctāre 226  
 cōnfligere 226  
 cōnfluere 228  
 conflūgēs 228  
 cōnflūgēs 228  
 cōnfluvium 228  
 cōnfodere 229  
 cōnfoedustī 218  
 cōnforire 233  
 cōnfracēscere 238  
 cōnfragōsus 239  
 cōnfricāre 243  
 cōnfringere 239  
 cōnflūgere 246  
 cōnflūcīre 247  
 cōnfundere 249  
 confūsicius 249  
 confusim 249  
 congelāre 256  
 congenināre 256

- congeminatiō 256  
 congenerāre 260  
 congenūclāre 259  
 congerere 259  
 conglaciāre 263  
 congliscere 265  
 conglomerāre 265  
 conglutināre 266  
 congrātulārī 271  
 congregī 269  
 congregāre 273  
 congruere 274  
 congruus 274  
 coniectāre 293  
 coniector 293  
 coniectrīx 293  
 coniectūra 293  
 conīfī 410  
 coniu(n)x 314  
 coniugālis 314  
 coniugium 314  
 coniugulus 314  
 coniunctiō 314  
 coniungere 314  
 cōnīveō 130  
 cōnor -ārī 130  
 conquassāre 504  
 conquerī 507  
 conquiēscere 508  
 conquināscō 131  
 conquīrere 503  
 conquīsītōr 503  
 conrēpere 520  
 conrīdēre 522  
 cōnsaepīre 533  
 cōnscendere 542  
 cōnscindere 544  
 cōnsciscere 545  
 cōnscius 545  
 cōnscrībere 546  
 cōnscrībillāre 546  
 cōnsecrāre 532  
 cōnsectārī 555  
 cōnsēdāre 551  
 cōnsēns 599  
 cōnsentāneus 554  
 cōnsentīre 554  
 cōnsequē 555  
 cōnsequī 555  
 cōnserere 557  
 cōnserva 559  
 cōnservāre 559  
 cōnservitium 559  
 cōnservus 559  
 considerāre 562  
 cōnsīdere 562  
 cōnsīgnāre 563  
 consilēscere 563  
 cōnsiliārius 131  
 cōnsiliōsus 131  
 cōnsilium 131  
 cōnsimilis 564  
 cōnsistere 567  
 Cōnsivius 557  
 cōnsobrīnus 576  
 cōnsociāre 569  
 consol 131  
 cōnsōlārī 572  
 consonāre 574  
 cōnsōpīre 575  
 cōnspectus 579  
 cōnspergere 578  
 cōnspicārī 579  
 cōnspicere 579  
 conspicillum 579  
 cōnspiciō 579  
 cōnspūrāre 581  
 cōnspōndēre 582  
 cōnstabilīre 589  
 cōnstāre 589  
 cōnsternāre 586  
 cōnsternere 586  
 cōnstibilis 589  
 cōnstituere 589  
 cōnstruere 592  
 cōnsuādēre 594  
 cōnsūcidus 596  
 cōnsūdāre 596  
 cōnsuēfacere 597  
 cōnsuere 600  
 cōnsuēscere 597  
 cōnsuētīō 597  
 cōnsuētūdō 597  
 cōnsul 131  
 cōnsulō -ere 131  
 cōnsultāre 131  
 cōnsultātiō 131  
 consūmere 188  
 consurgere 517  
 contābēfacere 603  
 contābēscere 603  
 contāgēs 606  
 contāgiō 606  
 contāgium 606  
 contāminō -āre 131  
 contegere 608  
 contemnere 609  
 contemnificus 609  
 contemplāre 610  
 contemptim 609  
 contemptrīx 609  
 contendere 612  
 contenebrāscere 612  
 conterere 616  
 conticēscere 604  
 conticinium 604  
 continēre 612  
 contingere 606  
 continuus 612  
 contiō 132  
 contollere 621  
 contonat 623  
 contorquēre 624  
 contortiplicātus 624  
 contortor 624  
 contrā 132  
 contrārius 132  
 contremere 628  
 contremēscere 628  
 contremulus 628  
 contrōversia 667  
 contrōversus 667  
 contrūdere 630  
 contruncāre 630  
 contubernium 604  
 contuērī 632  
 contumāx 132  
 contumēlia 133  
 contumēliōsus 133  
 contundere 633  
 conturbāre 634  
 cōnūbium 417  
 convadārī 655  
 convalescere 651  
 convāsāre 655  
 convehere 658  
 convellere 659  
 convenae 661  
 convenīre 661  
 conventicius 661  
 conventus 661  
 convestīre 671  
 convexus 133  
 conviciārī 133  
 conviciium 133  
 convincere 679  
 convīserere 676  
 convīva 685  
 convīvārī 685  
 convīvium 685  
 convo/errere 666  
 convocāre 692  
 convolvere 689  
 convolvulus 689  
 convōvēre 691  
 coorīrī 434  
 cop(i)s 431  
 cōperīre 429  
 cōpia 431  
 cōpis 431  
 cōpula 47  
 coquināre 134  
 coquinaris 134  
 coquīnus 134  
 coquō -ere 134  
 coquus 134  
 cor cordis 134  
 cōram 135  
 corbis 135  
 corbīta 135  
 corbīla 135  
 corculum 134  
 cordātus 134  
 cordolium 134  
 cordus 136  
 corgō 194 517  
 corium 136  
 cornētum 137  
 corneus 137  
 cornicen 88 136  
 cornifrons 136  
 corniger 136  
 Cornīscāe 136  
 cornīx 136  
 cornū 136  
 cornus 137  
 cornūtus 136  
 corporāre 137  
 corporeus 137  
 corpulentus 137  
 corpus 137  
 corpusculum 137  
 corrādere 512  
 corrector 517  
 corrigere 517  
 corrigia 138  
 corripere 513  
 corruere 530  
 corrumpere 529  
 corruptēla 529  
 corrūspārī 531  
 cortex 138  
 corticeus 138  
 cortīna 138  
 cortīnipotēns 138  
 corulus 138  
 coruscāre 139  
 coruscus 139  
 corvus 139  
 cōs 139  
 cosmittere 384  
 cossim 140  
 costa 140  
 costātus 140  
 cōtēs 139  
 cottīdiānus 511  
 cottīdiē 170 511  
 coxa 140  
 coxendīx 140  
 coxim 140  
 coxus 140  
 crābrō 140

- cracēns 268  
 crās 140  
 crassitūdō 141  
 crassundia 141  
 crassus 141  
 crastinus 140  
 crāticula 141  
 crāfire 141  
 crātis 141  
 creātrix 142  
 crēber 144  
 crēdibilis 141  
 crēdō -ere 141  
 crēmentum 144  
 cremitāre 142  
 cremō -āre 142  
 cremor 142  
 creō -āre 142  
 creper 143  
 crepitacillum 143  
 crepitāre 143  
 crepitus 143  
 crepō -āre 143  
 crepundia 143  
 crepusculum 143  
 crēscō -ere 144  
 crēta 144  
 crētātus 144  
 crēteus 144  
 crētōsus 144  
 crībrum 144  
 crīmen 110  
 crīmināre/ī 110  
 crīminātor 110  
 crīnis 145  
 crīnītus 145  
 crīsō -āre 145  
 crispus 145  
 crista 145  
 crōciō -īre 145  
 cruciābilitās 147  
 cruciābiliter 147  
 cruciāmentum 147  
 cruciāre 147  
 cruciātus 147  
 crucius 147  
 crūdēlis 146  
 crūdēlitās 146  
 crūdītās 146  
 crūdus 146  
 cruentāre 146  
 cruentus 146  
 cruor 146  
 crūricrepida 147  
 crūrifragius 147  
 crūs 147  
 crūsculum/s 147  
 crusta 147  
 crustulum 147  
 crustum 147  
 crux 147  
 cubiculāris 152  
 cubiculum 152  
 cubīle 152  
 cubitāre 152  
 cubitūra 152  
 cubitus 148 152  
 -cumbō -ere 152  
 cucūlus 148  
 cucumis 148  
 cucurbita 149  
 cucurbitīvus 149  
 cūdō -ere 149  
 cūiās 149  
 cūius 149  
 cūiusnam 149  
 culcita 150  
 culcitula 150  
 culex 150  
 culīna 134  
 culleāris 150  
 culleus 150  
 culmen 127  
 culmus 150  
 culpa 151  
 culpāre 151  
 culpitāre 151  
 cultellus 151  
 culter 151  
 cultor 125  
 cultūra 125  
 cultus 125  
 cūlus 151  
 com- con- co- 128  
 cum 152  
 -cumque 152 508  
 510  
 cumulāre 152  
 cumulus 152  
 cūnae 153  
 cunctātiō 153  
 cūnctor 153  
 cunctus 154  
 cuneus 154  
 cūniō -īre 154  
 cūnīre 304  
 cunnus 154  
 cūpa 155  
 cūpē 155  
 cupiditās 155  
 cupidō 155  
 cupidus 155  
 cupienter 155  
 cupiō -ere 155  
 cuppēdinārius 155  
 cuppēdia 155  
 cuppēdō 155  
 cuppēs 155  
 cūr 155  
 cūra 156  
 cūrāre 156  
 cūrātiō 156  
 cūrātor 156  
 cūrātūra 156  
 curculiō 156  
 curculiunculus 156  
 cūria 157  
 cūriālis 157  
 cūriō 157  
 cūriōsus 156  
 curis 159  
 curriculum 157  
 currō -ere 157  
 currus 157  
 cursāre 157  
 cursim 157  
 cursiō 157  
 cursitāre 157  
 cursor 157  
 cursūra 157  
 cursus 157  
 curtus 158  
 curvor 158  
 curvus 158  
 cuspis 159  
 custōdēla 159  
 custōdia 159  
 custōdīre 159  
 custōs 159  
 cutis 160  
 -dam 510 160  
 damnāre 161  
 damnōsus 161  
 damnum 161  
 daps 161  
 dapsālis 161  
 dapsilis 161  
 datāre 174  
 datātīm 174  
 datiō 174  
 dator 174  
 datus 174  
 dautia 161  
 -de 162  
 dē 160  
 dea 167  
 dēambulāre 38  
 dēambulātiō 38  
 dēbeō 162  
 dēbilis 162  
 dēbilitāre 162  
 dēcēdere 103  
 decem 163  
 december 163  
 decemplex 473  
 decemplicāre 473  
 dēcernere 110  
 dēcerpō 95  
 dēcertainē 111  
 decet 164  
 dēciderē 78  
 dēcīdere 79  
 deciē(n)s 163  
 dēcipere 89  
 dēclārāre 118  
 dēclīnāre 121  
 decor 164  
 decorāre 164  
 decōrus 164  
 dēcōtes 139  
 dēcēpitus 164  
 dēcēscere 144  
 dēcētum 110  
 decimus 163  
 decumānus 163  
 dēcumbere 152  
 decuria 163  
 dēcurrere 157  
 dēcursus 157  
 decus 164  
 dēdecus 164  
 dēdere 174  
 dēdicāre 169  
 dēducere 181  
 dēerrāre 194  
 dēesse 599  
 dēfae/icāre 199  
 dēfe/atīgāre 204  
 dēfensāre 210  
 dēfensor 210  
 dēferre 213  
 dēfervēfacere 215  
 dēfervescere 215  
 dēfessus 204  
 dēfetiscī 204  
 dēferī 222  
 dēfigere 219  
 dēfinīre 222  
 dēfinitīō 222  
 dēflagrāre 224  
 dēflāre 226  
 dēflēre 226  
 dēfloccāre 227  
 dēfiuere 228  
 dēfodere 229  
 dēformāre 233  
 dēformis 233  
 dēfraudāre 240  
 dēfricātē 243  
 dēfringere 239  
 dēfrustrārī 240  
 dēfrutāre 165

- dēfrutum 165  
 dēfugere 246  
 dēfundere 249  
 dēfungī 250  
 dēgere 30  
 dēglūbere 266  
 dēgredī 269  
 dēgrūmāre 273  
 dēgūnere 276  
 dēgustāre 276  
 dēhortārī 289  
 dēicere 293  
 dēierāre 316  
 deinus 167  
 dēiugāre 314  
 dēiungere 314  
 dēiuvāre 318  
 dēlābī 319  
 dēlassāre 328  
 delectāmentum 321  
 dēlectāre 321  
 dēlectātiō 321  
 dēlēgāre 337  
 dēlēnificus 333  
 dēlēnīmentum 333  
 dēlēnīre 333  
 dēleō 165  
 dēlētilis 165  
 dēlētiō 165  
 dēlībāre 339  
 dēlībērāre 339  
 dēlībātum 337  
 dēlibūtus 339  
 dēlicātus 321  
 dēlicere 321  
 dēlicia 321  
 dēliculus 165  
 dēlicus 165  
 dēlicuus 165 344  
 dēligāre 341  
 dēligere 332  
 dēlingere 343  
 dēlinquere 344  
 dēliquāre 345  
 dēliquiō 344  
 dēlīrāmentum 345  
 dēlīrāre 345  
 dēlīritās 345  
 dēlīrus 345  
 dēlītī/ēscere 329  
 dēlūbrum 330  
 dēluctārī 350  
 dēlūdere 350  
 dēlūdificāre 350  
 -dem 166 510  
 dēmāgis 359  
 dēmēns 372  
 dēmēntia 372  
 dēmēnfīre 372  
 dēmēre 188  
 dēmērēre 374  
 dēmētere 377  
 dēmigrāre 379  
 dēmīnuere 381  
 dēmīnūtiō 381  
 dēmīrārī 382  
 dēmīssīcius 384  
 dēmīttēre 384  
 dēmōlīrī 386  
 dēmōnstrāre 387  
 dēmōrārī 388  
 dēmōrī 389  
 dēmōvēre 391  
 dēmptiō 188  
 dēmūlcēre 392  
 dēmūtāre 398  
 dēnārius 163  
 dēnarrāre 267  
 dēnāscī 401  
 dēnī 163  
 dēnicālis 407  
 dēnigrāre 409  
 dēnique 160  
 dēns 166  
 densāre 167  
 densēre 167  
 dēnsus 167  
 dentātus 166  
 dentifrangibulus 166  
 dentilegus 166 332  
 dēnūdāre 417  
 dēnumerāre 419  
 dēnuō 416  
 dēōriō 281  
 deorsum/s 667  
 dēōsculārī 436  
 dēpangere 442  
 dēpāscere 448  
 dēpecīscī 452  
 dēpectere 453  
 dēpecūlātus 454  
 dēpellere 455  
 dēpendere 457  
 dēpendēre 457  
 dēpetīgō 463  
 dēpilātus 465  
 dēpingere 465  
 dēplantāre 470  
 dēpōculāre 485  
 dēpōlītīō 476  
 dēpōnere 479  
 dēpōntāre 479  
 dēpōpulārī 480  
 dēpōpulātor 480  
 dēportāre 482  
 dēportātiō 482  
 dēprāns 486  
 dēprāvāre 487  
 dēpre(he)ndere 487  
 dēprecārī 488  
 dēprecātiō 488  
 dēprimere 487  
 dēpudicāre 496  
 dēpūgnāre 499  
 dēpūgnātiō 499  
 dēpulsāre 456  
 dēputāre 502  
 dēpūvīre 451  
 dērādere 512  
 dērelinquere 344  
 dērepente 520  
 dērēpere 520  
 dērīdēre 522  
 dērīdiculum 522  
 dērigere 517  
 dērīpere 514  
 dērīvāre 524  
 dēscendere 542  
 dēscobīnāre 541  
 dēscribere 546  
 dēse/īcāre 550  
 dēserere 557  
 dēsīderāre 562  
 dēsīdēre 551  
 dēsīdere 562  
 dēsīderium 562  
 dēsīdia 551  
 dēsīdiābula 551  
 dēsīdiōsus 551  
 dēsīgnāre 563  
 dēsīlīre 535  
 dēsīnere 566  
 dēsīpere 538  
 dēsīstere 567  
 dēspectus 579  
 dēspicārī 579  
 dēspicere 579  
 dēspicus 579  
 dēspol[i]ātor 581  
 dēspoliāre 581  
 dēspondēre 582  
 dēspuere 583  
 dēsquāmāre 583  
 dēsūllāre 587  
 dēsūnāre 589  
 dēsūtīuere 589  
 dēsūdāscere 596  
 dēsūēscere 597  
 dēsultūra 535  
 dētegere 608  
 dēterere 616  
 dētergēre 614  
 dētermināre 615  
 dēterrēre 617  
 dētestātum 618  
 dētīnēre 613  
 dētōndēre 622  
 dētrahere 626  
 dētrīmentum 616  
 dētrūdere 630  
 dētūdēs 633  
 deunx 642  
 deus 167  
 dēvellere 659  
 dēvenīre 661  
 dēverberāre 664  
 dēverrere 666  
 dēvexus 133  
 dēvincīre 679  
 dēvītāre 684  
 dēvorāre 690  
 dēvōtāre 691  
 -dex 169  
 dexter 168  
 dextrorsum 168  
 dextrōuorsum 168  
 di(s)iungere 314  
 diālis 170  
 Diāna 168  
 dicāculus 169  
 dicāre 169  
 dicāx 169  
 diciō 169  
 dīcō 169 683  
 dictāre 169  
 dictātor 169  
 dictātrix 169  
 dictiō 169  
 dictitāre 169  
 -dīcus 169  
 dīdere 174  
 dīdūcere 181  
 diēcula 170  
 diēs 170  
 Diespiter 315  
 differre 213  
 difficilis 198  
 difficul 198  
 difficultās 198  
 diffīdere 218  
 diffīndere 221  
 diffīlagītāre 224  
 diffīlāre 226  
 diffīluere 228  
 diffīngere 239  
 diffūgere 246  
 diffūndere 249  
 diffūndītāre 249  
 diffūsīlis 249  
 dīgerere 259  
 dīgītābulum 170  
 dīgītulus 170

- digitus 170  
 dignāre 164  
 dignitās 164  
 dignus 164  
 digredi 269  
 dilābī 319  
 dilacerāre 320  
 dilargīrī 327  
 dilātāre 329  
 dilaxāre 331  
 diligenter 332  
 diligentia 332  
 diligere 332  
 dilucēre 355  
 dilucēscere 355  
 dilucidus 355  
 diluculum 355  
 diluere 330  
 diluviāre 330  
 diluviēs 330  
 dimētīrī 377  
 dimicāre 378  
 dimidiātus 369  
 dimidius 369  
 dīmittere 384  
 dīmovēre 391  
 dīnumerāre 419  
 -dinus 171  
 dīnus 167  
 Dīrae 171  
 diribēre 277  
 dirigere 517  
 dirimere 188  
 diripere 514  
 diruere 530  
 dirumpere 529  
 dīrus 171  
 Dīs 173  
 dis- 171 193  
 discernere 110  
 discerniculum 110  
 discerpō 95  
 discidium 544  
 discingere 114  
 disciplina 172  
 disciplinōsus 172  
 discipulus 172  
 disclūdere 118  
 discō -ere 172  
 discordābilis 134  
 discordāre 135  
 discordia 134  
 discorditās 134  
 discors 134  
 discrepāre 143  
 discrepitāre 143  
 discrībēre 546  
 discrimen 110  
 discrimināre 110  
 discumbere 152  
 discupere 155  
 discutere 504  
 disertāre 557  
 disertim 557  
 disertiones 193  
 disicere 293  
 disiectāre 293  
 dismota 171  
 dispālārī 441  
 dispālēscere 441  
 dispandere 442  
 dispār 444  
 disparāre 444 446  
 disparilis 444  
 disparilitās 444  
 dispellere 455  
 dispendere 457  
 dispendium 457  
 dispennite 442  
 dispēnsāre 457  
 dispensātor 457  
 dispergere 578  
 disperīre 191  
 disperīre 448  
 dispeścere 445  
 dispessum 442  
 dispicere 579  
 Dispiter 315  
 displānāre 470  
 displicāre 472  
 displicēre 469  
 displō/ūdere 470  
 dispōnere 479  
 dispositūra 479  
 disputet 496  
 dispulverāre 498  
 disputāre 502  
 disscindere 544  
 disse/icāre 550  
 dissēnsiō 554  
 dissentīre 554  
 disserere 557  
 disserpere 558  
 dissignātor 563  
 dissilīre 535  
 dissimilis 564  
 dissimilāre 564  
 dissipāre 601  
 dissociāre 569  
 dissolvere 573  
 dissuādēre 594  
 dissuēfieri 597  
 dissultāre 535  
 distāre 589  
 distendere 612  
 distinguere 588  
 distorquēre 624  
 distrahere 626  
 distringere 592  
 distruncāre 631  
 disturbāre 634  
 ditēscere 173  
 ditiae 173  
 diū 172  
 diurnus 173  
 dius 172  
 Dius 218  
 diūs 167 173  
 Diūs Fidius 172  
 diutinus 173  
 diūtius 173  
 dīverberāre 664  
 dīves 173  
 dīvexāre 673  
 dīvidia 174  
 dīvidō -ere 174  
 dīvidus 174  
 dīviduus 174  
 dīvīnitus 167  
 dīvīnus 167  
 dīvitāre 173  
 dīvitiae 173  
 dīvulgāre 686  
 dīvus 167  
 dō dare 174  
 -dō -derē 160 175  
 182  
 doceō 176  
 docilis 176  
 doctor 176  
 doctrīna 176  
 documen 176  
 documentum 176  
 dolābra 176  
 doleō 176  
 dōliāris 176  
 dōlitāre 176  
 dolium 176  
 dōlium 176  
 dolō -āre 176  
 dolor 176  
 dolōsus 177  
 dolus 177  
 domesticus 178  
 domicilium 178  
 domina 177  
 dominārī 177  
 dominicus 177  
 dominium 177  
 dominus 177  
 domus 178  
 dōnābilis 179  
 dōnāre 179  
 dōnāticus 179  
 dōnec 160  
 dōnum 179  
 dormiō -ire 179  
 dormītāre 179  
 dormītātor 179  
 dorsuārius 180  
 dorsum 180  
 dōs 174  
 dōtālis 174  
 dōcātus 174  
 dubat 180  
 dubitāre 180  
 dubitātīm 180  
 dubitātiō 180  
 dubius 180  
 ducentī 107 183  
 dūcō -ere 181  
 ductābilītās 181  
 ductāre 181  
 ductīm 181  
 ductitāre 181  
 dūdum 181  
 Duelonai 70  
 duim 182  
 dulcēdō 182  
 dulciculus 182  
 dulcifer 182  
 dulcis 182  
 dulcītās 182  
 dum 160  
 dūmētum 182  
 dumtaxat 606  
 dūmus 182  
 duo 183  
 duodecim 163 183  
 duodēvigintī 678  
 duplex 473  
 duplicāre 473  
 duplicārius 473  
 dupliō 475  
 duplus 475  
 dupondius 457  
 dūrācinus 184  
 dūrāmen 184  
 dūrāre 184  
 dūrīter 184  
 dūrītia 184  
 dūrītūdō 184  
 dūrus 184  
 duumvirī 183  
 dux 181  
 dvi 71  
 dvicēnsus 71  
 dvidēns 71  
 ē 465  
 eā 309  
 ēbībere 71  
 ēbītēre 68

- ebriacus 184  
 ebriolātus 184  
 ebriolus 184  
 ebrius 184  
 ebulus 185  
 ecce 185  
 eculeus 192  
 edācitās 185  
 edāx 185  
 ēdentāre 166  
 ēdentulus 166  
 ēdere 174  
 ēdicere 169  
 ēdictāre 169  
 ēdictiō 169  
 ēdissere 557  
 ēdissertāre 557  
 edō esse 185  
 educāre 181  
 educere 181  
 ēductiō 181  
 edūlia 185  
 effārī 231  
 effercire 202  
 efferre 213  
 efferus 215  
 efferv(e)ō 215  
 effervescere 215  
 effētus 217  
 effigia 221  
 effigiēs 221  
 effingere 221  
 efflāre 226  
 efflīctāre 226  
 efflīctim 226  
 efflīgere 226  
 effluere 228  
 effodere 229  
 effrēnātus 241  
 effringere 239  
 effugere 246  
 effugium 246  
 effundere 249  
 egēnus 186  
 egeō 186  
 ēgerere 259  
 egestās 186  
 ēgignere 260  
 egō 187  
 ēgregius 273  
 ēgretus 269  
 ēgurgitāre 275  
 ēicere 293  
 ēierāre 316  
 ēiuncidus 313  
 ēlecebra 321  
 ēlectāre 321  
 ēlectilis 332  
 ēlegāns 332  
 ēlegantia 332  
 ēlicere 321  
 ēlidere 323  
 ēlīgere 332  
 ēlīmīnāre 342  
 ēlinere 344  
 ēlinguāre 343  
 ēlinguis 343  
 ēliquāre 345  
 ēliquescere 345  
 ēlix 321  
 ēlixus 347  
 ellam 188  
 ellum 185 188  
 ēloquentia 348  
 ēloquī 348  
 ēluācrum 331  
 ēlūdere 351  
 ēlūdificārī 351  
 ēluere 330  
 ēlūrēscere 354  
 ēlūtriāre 331  
 ēluviēs 331  
 em 188  
 ēmāncipāre 363  
 emāx 188  
 ēmentīrī 372  
 ēmerēre 374  
 ēmergere 375  
 ēmicāre 378  
 ēmigrāre 379  
 ēminārī 380  
 ēminatīō 380  
 ēminere 380  
 ēminulus 380  
 ēminus 363  
 ēmissīcius 384  
 ēmittere 384  
 emō -ere 188  
 ēmōlīrī 386  
 ēmorī 389  
 ēmortuālis 389  
 ēmovēre 391  
 empfīcius 188  
 emptor 188  
 empturīre 188  
 ēmungere 392  
 ēn 189  
 ēnāre 411  
 ēnarrāre 267  
 ēnāscī 401  
 endo indu(-) indi-  
 189  
 ēni/ecāre 407  
 eniber 190  
 enim 190  
 ēnitēre 410  
 ēnīfī 410  
 ēnōdāre 412  
 ēnsiculus 190  
 ēnsis 190  
 enubrō 190  
 ēnumerāre 419  
 ēnūntiāre 419  
 eō 309  
 eō ire 191  
 ēpōtāre 485  
 epulae 192  
 epulārī 192  
 epulōnus 192  
 epulum 192  
 equa 192  
 equāria 192  
 eques 192  
 equīle 192  
 equīnus 192  
 Equirria 192  
 equīsō 192  
 equitāre 192  
 equola 192  
 equus 192  
 ēr 193  
 era 194 259  
 ērādere 512  
 ērādicāre 512  
 ercīscō -ere 193  
 -erctiōnes 193  
 ērēpere 520  
 ergā 194 517  
 ergō 194 517  
 ērīcius 193  
 ērigere 517  
 erilis 194  
 ēripere 514  
 ērogitāre 526  
 errābundus 194  
 errantia 194  
 errāticus 194  
 errātiō 194  
 errō -āre 194  
 error 194  
 ērūca 194  
 ēructāre 529  
 ēruere 530  
 ērumpere 530  
 erus 194  
 ervilia 195  
 ervum 195  
 ēsca 185  
 ēscārius 185  
 ēscendere 542  
 escit, escunt 599  
 ēsitāre 185  
 ēsuriālis 185  
 ēsurīgō 185  
 ēsurīre 185  
 et 195  
 etenim 195  
 etiam 195  
 etsī 195  
 ēvādere 650  
 ēvānēscere 653  
 ēvehere 658  
 ēvellere 659  
 ēvenīre 661  
 ēventus 661  
 ēverrere 666  
 ēvirāre 681  
 ēvīscerāre 683  
 ēvitāre 684 685  
 ēvocāre 692  
 ēvolāre 687  
 ēvolvere 689  
 ēvomere 690  
 ex 188 195 218 315  
 321  
 ex(s)ilium 196  
 ex(s)ul 196  
 ex(s)ulāre 196  
 exadversum 667  
 exāmen 30  
 examussim 40  
 exanimābilis 43  
 exanimālis 43  
 exanimāre 43  
 exanimis 43  
 exārēscere 53  
 exasperāre 58  
 exaudīre 61  
 excandēscere 87  
 excēdere 103  
 excellere 105  
 excerpō 95  
 excidere 78  
 excidere 79  
 excidium 544  
 exciēre 113  
 excissātus 544  
 excitāre 113  
 exclāmāre 117  
 exclūdere 118  
 exclūsiō 118  
 excolere 125  
 exconcināre 129  
 excors 135  
 excrēscere 144  
 excrucīābilis 147  
 excrucīāre 147  
 excubiae 152  
 excūdere 149  
 excūrāre 156  
 excūriāre 157  
 excurrere 157

- excūsāre 100  
 excutere 504  
 exemplar 188  
 exemplum 188  
 exemptiō 188  
 exercēre 51  
 exercitus 51  
 exēsor 185  
 exēsse 185  
 exfir 597  
 exfutī 249  
 exhālāre 279  
 exhauriō 281  
 exhērēs 282  
 exhibēre 277  
 exigere 30  
 exiguus 30  
 exil 196  
 exilis 30  
 eximere 188  
 eximius 188  
 exire 191  
 exīstimāre 28  
 exitiābilis 191  
 exitiālis 191  
 exitiō 191  
 exitium 191  
 exitus 191  
 exoculāre 425  
 exolēscere 35  
 exolētus 35  
 exonerāre 428  
 exoptābilis 431  
 exoptāre 431  
 exōrābula 435  
 exōrāre 435  
 exōrātor 435  
 exōrdior 433  
 exōrdium 433  
 exorīrī 434  
 exōrnāre 434  
 exos 436  
 exossāre 436  
 expandere 442  
 expavidus 451  
 expedire 462  
 expeditiō 462  
 expellere 455  
 expendere 457  
 expergēfacere 517  
 expergere 517  
 expergīscor 517  
 experientia 446  
 experimentum 446  
 experīrī 446  
 expers 448  
 expetere 463  
 expetessere 463  
 expiāre 468  
 explānāre 470  
 explēmentum 472  
 explicāre 472  
 explōdere 470  
 explōrāre 473  
 explōrātor 473  
 expolīre 476  
 expōnere 479  
 exportāre 482  
 exposcere 483  
 expositicius 479  
 expostulāre 483  
 exprētus 196  
 exprimere 487  
 exprobrāre 490  
 exprobrātiō 490  
 exprōmere 188  
 expūgnāre 499  
 expulsim 455  
 expungere 499  
 expūrgāre 500  
 expūrgātiō 500  
 exputāre 502  
 expūtēscere 501  
 Exquiliae 125  
 exquīrere 503  
 exquisītim 503  
 exsarcīre 539  
 exscribere 546  
 exsculperere 542  
 exsecāre 550  
 exsequī 555  
 exsequiae 555  
 exsiccāre 562  
 exsīgnāre 563  
 exsolvere 573  
 exsorbēre 575  
 exspectāre 579  
 exspectātiō 579  
 expēs 580  
 expīrāre 581  
 exspoliāre 581  
 exspuere 583  
 exstāre 589  
 exstīllāre 587  
 exstinguere 588  
 exstruere 592  
 exsūgere 598  
 exsultāre 535  
 exta 550  
 extemp(u)lō 610  
 extendere 612  
 extentāre 612  
 extenuāre 613  
 exter(us) 195  
 exterebrāre 616  
 exterere 616  
 extergēre 614  
 exterior 196  
 extermentārium 616  
 externus 196  
 exterrēre 617  
 extexere 619  
 extimēscere 620  
 extimus 196  
 extollere 621  
 extorquēre 624  
 extorris 616  
 extortor 624  
 extrā 195  
 extrārius 195  
 extrēmus 196  
 extrīcāre 629  
 extrīnsecus 195 551  
 extumēre 633  
 extumidus 633  
 extundere 633  
 exūberāre 636  
 exuere 642  
 exul 196  
 exulcerāre 637  
 exunguī 642  
 exūrere 645  
 exurgēre 644  
 exuviae 642 643  
 faba 197 :  
 fabāginus 197  
 fabālis 197  
 fabārius 197  
 fabātus 197  
 faber 197  
 fabrica 197  
 fabricāre/ri 197  
 fabricātor 197  
 fabrīlis 197  
 fābula 231  
 fābulārī 231  
 fābulīnus 231  
 fabulus 197  
 facēs 207  
 facētia 207  
 facētus 207  
 faciēs 198  
 facilis 198  
 facilitās 198  
 facinus 198  
 faciō -ere 198  
 facit are 53  
 factiō 198  
 factiōsus 198  
 factor 198  
 facul 198  
 facula 207  
 facultās 198  
 fācundia 231  
 fācunditās 231  
 fācundus 231  
 fae/ēnerāre 211  
 fae/ēneratō 211  
 fae/ēnerātor 211  
 f(a)enisex 550  
 fae/ēnuscūlum 211  
 faecārius 199  
 faecātus 199  
 faeceus 199  
 faecula 199  
 faenārius 211  
 faeniculum 211  
 faenisex 211  
 faenisicia 211  
 faex 199  
 fāginus 199  
 fāgus 199  
 fāgūtālis 199  
 falcula 200  
 fallācia 199  
 fallāx 199  
 fallō -ere 199  
 falsārius 199  
 falsidicus 199  
 falsificus 199  
 falsiūrius 199  
 falsiloquus 199  
 falsimōnia 199  
 falsus 199  
 falx 200  
 fāma 231  
 famēlicus 200  
 famēs 200  
 fāmex/-ix 200  
 famigerābilis 231  
 famigerātiō 231  
 famigerātor 231  
 familia 200  
 familiāricus 200  
 familiāris 200  
 familiāritās 200  
 familiās 200  
 fāmōsus 231  
 fānula 200  
 famulanter 200  
 famulitās 200  
 famulus 200  
 fānāre 201  
 fānāticus 201  
 fānum 201  
 far 201  
 fārcīmen 202  
 fārciō -ire 202  
 -fāriam 202  
 fārīna 201  
 fārīnārius 201  
 fārnus 203

- farrāceus 201  
 farrāgō 201  
 farrārius 201  
 farreārius 201  
 farrīna 201  
 fars 202  
 farte 202  
 farticula 202  
 fartim 202  
 fator 202  
 fartum 202  
 fartūra 202  
 fās 203  
 fascia 203  
 fasciculus 203  
 fascīna 203  
 fascinum 203  
 fasciola 203  
 fascis 203  
 fastī 203  
 fastīdīlīter 204  
 fastīdiōsus 204  
 fastīdīre 204  
 fastīdium 204  
 fastīgāre 31  
 fastīgium 203  
 fastīgō -āre 203  
 fāstus 203  
 fastus 204  
 fateor 204  
 fatīgāre 31  
 fatīgō -āre 204  
 fatiscere 204  
 fātum 231  
 fatuus 205  
 Fatuus 205  
 Fauna 205  
 Faunus 205  
 faustus 206  
 fautor 206  
 fautrix 206  
 faux 207  
 favea 206  
 faventia 206  
 faveō 206  
 favīlla 206  
 favīsaē 237  
 favior 206  
 Favōnius 205  
 favus 207  
 fax 207  
 febrīculōsus 208  
 febris 208  
 febrūālis 208  
 febrūāre 208  
 Febrūārius 208  
 febrūātīō 208  
 febrūātus 208  
 februum 208  
 fēcundus 208  
 fel 209  
 fēlēs 209  
 fēlicitās 209  
 fēlix 209  
 fellāre 210  
 fēlō -āre 210  
 fēmina 210  
 femineum 560  
 fēminīnus 210  
 femur 210  
 -fendō -ere 210  
 fēnum / faenum 211  
 fēnus / faenus 211  
 fer(c)tum 212  
 Fērālia 211  
 fērālis 211  
 ferāx 213  
 ferē fermē 212  
 ferentārius 213  
 fēriae 212  
 fēriātus 212  
 ferīnus 215  
 feriō -īre 213  
 fermentāre 213  
 fermentum 213  
 ferō ferre 213  
 ferōx 215  
 ferrāmentum 214  
 ferrārius 214  
 ferrātilis 214  
 ferrātus 214  
 ferreus 214  
 ferrūgin(e)us 214  
 ferrūgō 214  
 ferrum 214  
 ferula 214  
 ferūmen 215  
 ferus 215  
 fervēfacere 215  
 ferveō 215  
 fervēscere 215  
 fervidus 215  
 fervor 215  
 fessus 204  
 festīnō -āre 216  
 festīnus 216  
 fēstīvitās 212  
 fēstīvus 212  
 festūca 216  
 festūcāre 216  
 festūcula 216  
 fēstus 212  
 fēta 217  
 fētīālis 216  
 fētūra 217  
 fētus 217  
 fiber 217  
 fībula 219  
 ficēdula 218  
 ficēdulensēs 218  
 ficētum 218  
 fictilis 221  
 fictor 221  
 fictrix 221  
 fictūra 221  
 ficula 218  
 ficulneus 218  
 ficulus 218  
 ficus 218  
 fidēlis 218  
 fidēlitās 218  
 fidēs 218  
 fidicen 88  
 fidicina 88  
 fidicinius 88  
 Fidius 218  
 fidō -ere 218  
 fidūcia 218  
 fidus 218  
 fidusta 218  
 fig(i)linae 221  
 figō -ere 219  
 figulāris 221  
 figulus 221  
 figūra 221  
 figūrāre 221  
 figūrātor 221  
 filātīm 220  
 filia 219  
 filicula 220  
 filiolus 219  
 filius 219  
 filix 220  
 filum 220  
 fimbriae 217  
 firmum 220  
 findō -ere 221  
 fingō -ere 221  
 finūre 222  
 finis 222  
 fīnitīmus 222  
 fīnītor 222  
 fiō fierī 222  
 firmāmentum 223  
 firmāre 223  
 firmitās 223  
 firmiter 223  
 firmitūdō 223  
 firmus 223  
 fiscella 223  
 fiscina 223  
 fiscus 223  
 fissilis 221  
 fissum 221  
 fistula 223  
 fistulōsus 223  
 fitilla 221  
 fixus 219  
 flābellifera 226  
 flābellulum 226  
 flābellum 226  
 flābrum 226  
 flaccēre 223  
 flaccēscere 223  
 flaccidus 223  
 flaccus 223  
 flagellum 224  
 flāgitātor 224  
 flāgitium 224  
 flāgitō -āre 224  
 flagrantia 224  
 flagritriba 224  
 flagrō -āre 224  
 flagrum 224  
 flāmen 225 226  
 Flāminius 225  
 flamma 224  
 flammāns 224  
 flammārius 224  
 flammēscere 224  
 flammeum 224  
 flammeus 224  
 flammifer 224  
 flavēscere 225  
 flāvus 225  
 flaxāre 242  
 flēbilis 226  
 flectō -ere 225  
 fleō 226  
 flexānimus 225  
 flexāre 225  
 flexibilis 225  
 flexuōsus 225  
 flexūra 225  
 flīgō -ere 226  
 flō flāre 226  
 floccēs 227  
 floccus 227  
 Flōra 227  
 flōrālia 227  
 flōrēre 227  
 flōrēscere 227  
 flōridus 227  
 flōrifer 227  
 flōrus 227  
 flōs 227  
 flu(u)idus 228  
 flu(u)itāre 228  
 flūctīfragus 228  
 flūctuāre 228  
 flūctuōsus 228  
 flūctus 228



- fluentum 228  
 flūmen 228  
 fluō -ere 228  
 flūstra 228  
 fluvius 228  
 fōculāre 237  
 fōculum 237  
 focus 228  
 fodicāre 229  
 fodiō -ere 229  
 foedāre 229  
 foederātus 218  
 foedus 218 229  
 foeteō 229  
 foetidus 229  
 folium 230  
 folliculus 230  
 follis 230  
 follītus 230  
 fōmentum 237  
 fōmes 237  
 fōns 230  
 Fontānālia 230  
 for fārī 231  
 forāmen 235  
 forās 233  
 forceps 231  
 forda 232  
 fordicīdia 232  
 forēnsis 237  
 forfex -icis 232  
 foria 233  
 foricula 233  
 foriolus 233  
 foris 233  
 forīs 233  
 fōrma 233  
 formāmentum 233  
 formāre 233  
 formaster 233  
 formātūra 233  
 formīca 234  
 formīcīnus 234  
 formīdāre 234  
 formīdō 234  
 formīdulōsus 234  
 formidus 235  
 formōsus 233  
 formula 233  
 formus 235  
 fornācālia 235  
 fornāx 235  
 fornus 235  
 forō -āre 235  
 fors 236  
 forsitan 236  
 fortasse 236  
 fortassis 236  
 fortis 236  
 fortitudō 236  
 fortūitus 236  
 fortūna 236  
 fortūnāre 236  
 fortūnātīm 236  
 fortūnātus 236  
 forum 237  
 forus 237  
 fossa 229  
 fossīcius 229  
 fossilis 229  
 fossula 229  
 fōstia 292  
 fovea 237  
 foveō 237  
 fracēs 238  
 fracēscere 238  
 fracidus 238  
 frāga 239  
 fragēscere 239  
 fragilis 239  
 fragmen 239  
 fragmentum 239  
 fragor 239  
 fragōsus 239  
 fragrō -āre 238 364  
 frangō -ere 239  
 frāter 239  
 frāterculāre 239  
 frāterculus 239  
 frāternus 239  
 fraudāre 240  
 fraudātiō 240  
 fraudulentus 240  
 fraus 240  
 fraxāre 242  
 fraxinus 240  
 fremibundus 241  
 fremitus 241  
 fremō -ere 241  
 frendō -ere 241  
 frēnum 241  
 frequēns 242  
 frequentāre 242  
 fretāle 242  
 fretum 242  
 frētus 242  
 fric(ā)tum 243  
 fricāre 243  
 frīgēdō 243  
 frīgēfactāre 243  
 frīgēre 243  
 frīgēscere 243  
 frīgīdārium 243  
 frīgīdus 243  
 frīgō -ere 243  
 frīgus 243  
 friō -āre 243  
 frīvolāria 243  
 frīvolus 243  
 frīvusculum 243  
 frondēre 244  
 frondēscere 244  
 frondifer 244  
 frondōsus 244  
 frōns -ondis 244  
 frōns -ontis 244  
 frūctuārius 244  
 frūctuōsus 244  
 frūctus 244  
 frūgālior 244  
 frūgāliter 244  
 frūgēs 244  
 frūgī 244  
 frūgifer 244  
 frūgiferēns 244  
 frūgīparus 244  
 frūmentārius 244  
 frūmentum 244  
 frūnīscere 244  
 fruor fruī 244  
 frustātīm 245  
 frustillātīm 245  
 frūstra 240  
 frūstrāmen 240  
 frūstrārī 240  
 frūstrātiō 240  
 frustulentus 245  
 frustum 245  
 frutex 245  
 frūx 244  
 fūcus 245  
 fuga 246  
 fugāre 246  
 fugāx 246  
 fugēl(l)a 246  
 fugiō -ere 246  
 fugitāre 246  
 fugitivārius 246  
 fugitīvus 246  
 fugitor 246  
 fūī 246  
 fūlcīō -īre 247  
 fulcrum 247  
 fulgens 247  
 fulgēre 247  
 fulgetrum 247  
 fulgidus 247  
 fulgō -ere 247  
 fulgor 247  
 fulgur 247  
 fulgurātor 247  
 fulgurīre 247  
 fulgus 247  
 fulica 248  
 fūligō 248  
 fulix 248  
 fullō 248  
 fullōnia 248  
 fullōnica 248  
 fullōnius 248  
 fulmen 247  
 fulmentum 247  
 fulmineus 247  
 fulvus 248  
 fūmāre 249  
 fūmidus 249  
 fūmificāre 249  
 fūmificus 249  
 fūmigāre 249  
 fūmōsus 249  
 fūmus 249  
 fūnambululus 250  
 funda 249  
 fundāmentum 250  
 fundāre 250  
 funditāre 249  
 funditus 250  
 fundō -ere 249  
 fundula 249  
 fundulum 249  
 fundus 250  
 fūnebris 251  
 fūnestus 251  
 fungīnus 250  
 fungor -ī 250  
 fungus 250  
 fūniculus 250  
 fūnis 250  
 fūnus 251  
 fūr 251  
 fūrārī 251  
 fūrāx 251  
 furca 251  
 furcifer 251  
 furcilla 251  
 furcillāre 251  
 furcillātus 251  
 furfur 252  
 furia 252  
 fūrīnus 251  
 furiōsus 252  
 furō -ere 252  
 furor 252  
 furtificus 251  
 furtīm 251  
 furtīvus 251  
 fūrtum 251  
 furvus 252  
 fuscus 252  
 fūstis 253  
 fūstitudinūs 253

- futāre 246  
 fūtis 249  
 -fūtō -āre 253  
 futuō -ere 254  
 gallīna 254  
 gallīnāceus 254  
 gallīnārius 254  
 gallus 254  
 gānea 254  
 gāneō 254  
 gāneum 254  
 ganniō -īre 254  
 garriō -īre 255  
 garrulus 255  
 gaudeō 255  
 gaudium 255  
 gāvīa 256  
 gelāre 256  
 gelicidium 78 256  
 gelidus 256  
 gelus 256  
 gemināre 256  
 geminitūdō 256  
 geminus 256  
 gemma 257  
 gemmāre 257  
 gemō -ere 257  
 gena 257  
 -gena 260  
 gener -erī 258  
 generālis 260  
 generāre 260  
 generāscere 260  
 generātīm 260  
 genētīvus 260  
 genetrīx 260  
 geniculum 259  
 genitābilis 260  
 genitālis 260  
 genitor 260  
 genius 260  
 -genius 260  
 gēns 258  
 genfīlicius 258  
 genfīlis 258  
 genfīlītās 258  
 genu 259  
 genuīnus 257  
 genus 260  
 -genus 260  
 ger 259  
 germānus 260  
 germen 260  
 germināscere 260  
 gerō -ere 259  
 gerulifigulus 259  
 gerum 259  
 gestāre 259  
 gestūre 259  
 gestitāre 259  
 gestor 259  
 gestus 259  
 gibber 259  
 gibbus 259  
 gignō -ere 260  
 gingīva 262  
 -gintā 262  
 glaber 263  
 glaciēs 263  
 gladiātor 263  
 gladiātōrius 263  
 gladius 263  
 glandifer 263  
 glandium 263  
 glāns 263  
 glārea 264  
 glēba 264  
 glēbārius 264  
 glīs 264  
 glīscō -ere 265  
 glittus 266  
 globōsus 265  
 globus 265  
 glomerāmen 265  
 glomerāre 265  
 glomus 265  
 glōria 265  
 glōriārī 265  
 glōriōsus 265  
 glōs 266  
 glūbere 266  
 glūbō -ere 266  
 glūma 266  
 glūten 266  
 glūtinātor 266  
 glūtinum 266  
 glutīre 267  
 glutō 267  
 gnārigāre 267  
 gnārus 267  
 gnārus 267  
 (g)nāta 400  
 (g)nātus 400  
 (g)nāvus 268  
 (g)nōbilis 413  
 gracilēns 268  
 gracilentus 268  
 gracilis 268  
 gracilitās 268  
 graciilitūdō 268  
 grāculus 268  
 gradātīm 268  
 gradibilis 268  
 gradior 268  
 gradus 268  
 graillae 268  
 grallātor 268  
 grāmen 269  
 grāmīae 270  
 grammōsus 270  
 grānārium 271  
 grānātus 271  
 grandaevitās 270  
 grandaevus 270  
 grandēscere 270  
 grandiculus 270  
 grandinat 270  
 grandīre 270  
 grandis 270  
 granditās 270  
 grandō 270  
 grānea 271  
 grānum 271  
 grassārī 268  
 grassātor 268  
 grātārī 271  
 grātēs 271  
 grātīa 271  
 grātuītus 271  
 grātulārī 271  
 grātus 271  
 gravāre 272  
 (g)rāvāstellus 515  
 gravātīm 272  
 gravēdō 272  
 gravēscere 272  
 gravidāre 272  
 gravidus 272  
 gravis 272  
 gravitās 272  
 graviter 272  
 gregālis 273  
 gregātīm 273  
 gremium 272  
 gressiō 269  
 -gressus 269  
 grex 273  
 grossus 273  
 gruere 274  
 grūmus 273  
 grunda 273  
 grundīō -īre 274  
 -gruō -ere 274  
 grūs 274  
 gula 275  
 gumia 275  
 gurdus 275  
 gurges 275  
 gurguliō 276  
 gustāre 276  
 gustus 276  
 gutta 276  
 guttātīm 276  
 guttuia 276  
 guttur 276  
 habēna 277  
 habeō 277  
 habilis 277  
 habitāre 277  
 habitātiō 277  
 habitūdō 277  
 habiturīre 277  
 habitus 277  
 haedillus 278  
 haedinus 278  
 haedus 278  
 haereō 278  
 haerēscere 278  
 haesitāre 278  
 hālitāre 279  
 hālitus 279  
 (h)allus/x 278  
 hālō -āre 279  
 hāmātilis 279  
 hāmātus 279  
 hāmiōta 279  
 hamus 279  
 hānula 201  
 hara 279  
 harēna 279  
 harēnātus 279  
 (h)arēnōsus 279  
 hariola 280  
 (h)ariolārī 280  
 hariolātiō 280  
 (h)ariolus 280  
 (h)arundinētum 279  
 (h)arundō 279  
 haruspex 280 579  
 haruspica 280  
 hasta 280  
 hastātus 280  
 hastīle 280  
 baud 280  
 hauriō 281  
 haustum 281  
 hebēre 281  
 hebes 281  
 hebēscere 281  
 hedera 281  
 hederāceus 281  
 (h)el(l)uō 282  
 (h)elluārī 282  
 helvella 282  
 helvius 282  
 helvolus 282  
 helvus 282  
 herba 282  
 herbeus 282  
 herbidus 282  
 herbilis 282  
 herbōsus 282

- hērēditās 282  
 hērēdium 282  
 hērēs 282  
 heri 283  
 hesternus 283  
 hiāscere 285  
 hiātus 285  
 hībernāre 284  
 hībernus 284  
 hic haec hoc 284  
 hiemālis 284  
 hiemātiō 284  
 hiems 284  
 hietāre 285  
 hīllae 285  
 hīlum 285  
 hiō hiāre 285  
 hīra 285  
 hircīnus 286  
 hircōsus 286  
 hircus 286  
 hirpus 286  
 hirquitallus 286  
 hirsūtus 286  
 hirtus 286  
 hirūdō 286  
 hirundinīnus 286  
 hirundō 286  
 hīscere 285  
 hiulcus 285  
 hodiē 170 287  
 hodiernus 287  
 (h)olerāre 287  
 (h)olitor 287  
 (h)olitōrius 287  
 (h)olus 287  
 homicīda 79  
 homicīdium 79  
 homō 287  
 homullus 287  
 homunciō 287  
 homunculus 287  
 honestāre 288  
 honestās 288  
 honestitūdō 288  
 honestus 288  
 honorārius 288  
 honorātus 288  
 honōs 288  
 hordeāceus 288  
 hordeum 288  
 horior 289  
 horitātur 289  
 hōrnō 289  
 hōrnōtinus 289  
 hōrnus 289  
 horreō 290  
 horrēscere 290  
 horreum 290  
 horribilis 290  
 horridulus 290  
 horridus 290  
 horrifera 290  
 horrificābilis 290  
 horrificus 290  
 horrisomus 290  
 horror 290  
 hortāmentum 289  
 hortārī 289  
 hortātor 289  
 hortātrīx 289  
 hortus 290  
 hospes 291  
 hospita 291  
 hospitālis 291  
 hospitium 291  
 hostia 292  
 hostiātus 292  
 hosticapās 89  
 hosticus 291  
 hostificus 291  
 hostīlis 291  
 hostīmentum 292  
 hostīre 292  
 hostis 291  
 hostus 292  
 hūmānitas 287  
 hūmānus 287  
 humāre 292  
 humilis 292  
 humilitās 292  
 humus 292  
 iacere 292  
 iaciō -ere 292  
 iactāre 293  
 iactus 292  
 iaculārī 292  
 iaculum 292  
 iaculus 292  
 iam 293  
 iānitor 294  
 ianitrīcēs 294  
 iānitrīx 294  
 iānuā 294  
 iānuālis 294  
 iānuārius 294  
 iānus 294  
 ibī 295  
 ibīdem 295  
 īcō -ere 295  
 ictus 295  
 idcirco 115  
 idem 295  
 identidem 295  
 ideō 309  
 idōneus 295  
 idūs 295  
 iecur 296  
 ieientāculum 296  
 ieientāre 296  
 ieīūniōsus 296  
 ieīūnitās 296  
 ieīūnium 296  
 ieīūnus 296  
 igitur 297  
 ignārus 267  
 īgnāvāre 268  
 īgnāvia 268  
 īgnāvus 268  
 ignēscere 297  
 igneus 297  
 ignifer 297  
 ignis 297  
 ignōbilis 413  
 ignōbilitās 413  
 ignōminia 412  
 ignōrantia 297  
 ignōro -āre 297  
 īgnōscere 413  
 īgnōtus 413  
 īlex 298  
 īlia 298  
 īlicet 340  
 īlicō 347  
 īlignus 298  
 īlignus 298  
 illā 298  
 illāc 298  
 illaqueāre 327  
 ille 298  
 illecebra 321  
 illecebrōsus 321  
 illepidus 335  
 illex 321  
 illī 298  
 illic 298  
 illic 298  
 illicere 321  
 illicium 321  
 illīdere 323  
 illim 298  
 illinc 298  
 illō 298  
 illō/ūtus 331  
 illōc 298  
 illocābilis 347  
 illūc 298  
 illūcere 355  
 illūcēscere 355  
 illūdere 351  
 illūstrāre 355  
 illūstris 355  
 illuviēs 331  
 imāgō 298  
 imbēcillus 298  
 imber 299  
 imberbis 69  
 imbitere 68  
 imbrex 299  
 imbricator 299  
 imbricus 299  
 imbuō 299  
 imitāre 298  
 imitārī 298  
 immānis 364  
 immāturus 367  
 immemor 371  
 immemorābilis 371  
 immēnsus 377  
 immerēns 374  
 immergere 375  
 immeritō 374  
 immigrāre 379  
 imminuere 381  
 immiscēre 382  
 immittere 384  
 immō 300  
 immodestia 384  
 immodestus 384  
 immolāre 386  
 immortalis 389  
 immortalitās 389  
 immulgēre 393  
 immunditia 394  
 immundus 394  
 immūnis 395  
 immūtābilis 398  
 immūtāre 398  
 impār 444  
 imparātus 446  
 impedimentum 462  
 impedire 462  
 impellere 455  
 impendere 457  
 impendēre 457  
 impendiōsus 457  
 impendium 457  
 imperāre 446 447  
 imperātor 446  
 impercere 445  
 imperiōsus 446  
 imperītus 446  
 imperium 446  
 impermixtus 382  
 impertire 448  
 impes 463  
 impetīgō 463  
 impetrābilis 450  
 impetrāre 450  
 impetrare 450  
 impetus 463  
 impexus 453

- impietās 468  
 impiger 464  
 impingere 442  
 impius 468  
 implectere 472  
 implicāre 472  
 impliciscere 472  
 implōrāre 473  
 impluere 474  
 impluviātus 474  
 impluvium 474  
 impōnere 479  
 importāre 482  
 importūnitas 482  
 importūnus 482  
 impos 484  
 impositicius 479  
 impositiō 479  
 impositor 479  
 impotēns 484  
 impotentia 484  
 imprānsus 486  
 imprimere 488  
 improbāre 490  
 improbus 490  
 imprōvīsus 676  
 imprūdēns 676  
 impūbēs 495  
 impudēns 496  
 impudicitia 496  
 impudicus 496  
 impulsor 455  
 impūrātus 500  
 impūritia 500  
 impūrus 500  
 īmus 300  
 in 300  
 in- 301  
 inambulāre 38  
 ināniae 301  
 inānilogista 301  
 inānīre 301  
 inānis 301  
 inaurēs 63  
 incēdere 103  
 incendere 106  
 incendium 106  
 incernere 110  
 incerniculum 110  
 incertāre 111  
 incertus 111  
 incidere 78  
 incīdere 79  
 inciens 301  
 incīlāre 79  
 incīlis 79  
 incipere 89  
 incitus 113  
 inclāmāre 117  
 inclēmenter 119  
 inclināre 121  
 inclitus 122  
 includere 118  
 inclutus 122  
 incognitus 413  
 incohāre 123  
 incohātus 123  
 incola 125  
 incolere 125  
 incolumis 82  
 incomitiāre 129  
 incommodus 384  
 incōnsultus 131  
 incontāminātus 131  
 incoxāre 140  
 incrēbrāre 144  
 incrēbrēscere 144  
 incrēdibilis 141  
 increpāre 143  
 incubāre 152  
 incubitāre 152  
 incultus 125  
 incūnābula 153  
 incūria 156  
 incurtere 157  
 incursāre 157  
 incursim 157  
 incurvēscere 158  
 incurvicervīcus 111  
 incurvus 158  
 incūs 149  
 incūsāre 100  
 indāgō 30  
 indeptus 47  
 index 169  
 indicāre 169  
 indicātiō 169  
 indicere 169  
 indicium 169  
 indictīvus 169  
 indigem 186  
 indigena 189 260  
 indigēre 186 189  
 indiges 189 301  
 indigis 186 189  
 indigitāmenta 301  
 indigitāre 301  
 indigus 186 189  
 indipīscor 47 189  
 indolēs 189  
 indostruum 592  
 indotuētur 189 632  
 indouc 181  
 indūcere 181  
 indūcula 642  
 induere 189 642  
 indugredior 189 269  
 indulgeō 302  
 indulgitās 302  
 indūmentum 642  
 indupedīre 189 462  
 induperāre 189  
 induperātor 189  
 industriōsē 592  
 industrius 189 592  
 indūtiae 302  
 indūtīlis 642  
 indūtus 642  
 induviae 642 643  
 induvolāre 189  
 inebra 190  
 inedia 185  
 inēnōdābilis 412  
 ineptus 47  
 inercta 193  
 inermis 54  
 iners 55  
 inescāre 185  
 inesse 599  
 inexōrābilis 435  
 infabrē 197  
 infāmia 231  
 infāmis 231  
 infandus 231  
 infāns 231  
 infantia 231  
 infēlicāre 209  
 infēlicitās 209  
 infēlix 209  
 inferī 302  
 inferior 302  
 inferius 213  
 infernus 302  
 inferre 213  
 inferus 302  
 infervēfacere 215  
 infervēre 215  
 infervēscere 215  
 infestus 303  
 inficētus 207  
 infidēlis 218  
 infidus 218  
 infigere 219  
 infimus 302  
 infindere 221  
 infīnītus 222  
 infirmāre 223  
 infirmitās 223  
 infirmus 223  
 infit 222  
 infitiās īre 204  
 inflammāre 224  
 inflāre 226  
 inflectere 225  
 inflexus 225  
 influere 228  
 infodere 229  
 inforāre 235  
 informāre 234  
 infortūnātus 236  
 infortūnium 236  
 infrā 302  
 infrenāre 241  
 infrequens 242  
 infriāre 243  
 infringere 239  
 infimātis 302  
 infundere 249  
 infundibulum 249  
 infuscāre 252  
 ingemere 257  
 ingeniātus 260  
 ingenium 260  
 ingēns 303  
 ingenuus 260  
 ingerere 259  
 ingluviēs 267  
 ingrātificus 271  
 ingrātus 271  
 ingredi 269  
 ingruere 274  
 inguen 303  
 ingurgitāre 275  
 inhiāre 285  
 inhibēre 277  
 inhonestus 288  
 inhorrēscere 290  
 inhūmānus 287  
 inhumātus 292  
 inibi 295  
 in(i)icere 293  
 inigere 30  
 ininūcitia 39  
 inimicus 39  
 iniquāre 27  
 iniquus / inīcus 27  
 inīre 191  
 inītāre 191  
 initiāre 191  
 initium 191  
 initus 191  
 iniugēs 314  
 iniūrātus 316  
 iniuria 316  
 iniūrius 316  
 iniūrus 316  
 iniussū 312  
 inlicīre 321  
 innāsci 401  
 innectere 404  
 innocēns 411  
 innoxius 411

- innuba 417  
 innūbilus 417  
 innuere 419  
 innumerābilis 419  
 innumerālis 419  
 innumerus 419  
 inolēns 426  
 inopiōsus 431  
 inops 431  
 inōrātus 435  
 inquilīnus 125  
 inquinō -āre 304  
 inquirere 503  
 inquisītus 503  
 īnsānia 538  
 īnsānīre 538  
 īnsānus 538  
 īnsatiābilis 540  
 īnsatiētās 540  
 īnscendere 542  
 īnscēnsiō 542  
 īnsciēns 545  
 īnscītia 545  
 īnscītus 545  
 īnsciūs 545  
 īnscrībere 546  
 īnsecāre 550  
 īnsece / inquam 304  
 īnsectārī 555  
 īnsectiō 304  
 īnsecundus 556  
 īnsēdābiliter 551  
 īnsēnsilis 554  
 īnsequī 555  
 īnserere 557  
 īnserinuntur 557  
 īnservīre 559  
 īnsicium 550  
 īnsīdere 562  
 īnsīdiae 551  
 īnsīdiārī 551  
 īnsīgnis 563  
 īnsīgnītus 563  
 īnsilīre 535  
 īnsimulāre 564  
 īnsinuāre 567  
 īnsipāre 601  
 īnsipere 601  
 īnsīpiens 538  
 īnsistere 567  
 īnsolēns 304 570  
 īnsolēscō -ere 304  
 īnsomnis 573  
 īnsōns 574  
 īnspectāre 579  
 īnspērātus 580  
 īnspicere 579  
 īnspīrāre 581  
 īnspūtāre 583  
 īnstabilis 589  
 īnstar 305  
 īnstāre 589  
 īnstaūrō -āre 305  
 īnstīgāre 305  
 īnstīllāre 587  
 īnstīpāre 588  
 īnstīpulārī 588  
 īnstituere 589  
 īnstrātum 586  
 īnstrēnuus 591  
 īnstruere 592  
 īnstrūmentum 592  
 īnsuēscere 597  
 īnsula 306  
 īnsultāre 535  
 īnsuper 600  
 integer 606  
 integere 608  
 integrāre 606  
 integrāscere 606  
 integrātiō 606  
 integumentum 608  
 intelligentia 332  
 intellegere 332  
 intemperāns 611  
 intemperīae 611  
 intempesīvus 611  
 intempēstus 611  
 intendere 612  
 inter 306  
 interātim 306  
 interbītēre 68  
 intercalāre 84  
 intercēdere 103  
 intercessiō 103  
 intercipere 89  
 interclūdere 118  
 intercurrere 157  
 intercursāre 157  
 intercus 160  
 interdare 174 182  
 interdicere 169  
 interdiū 173  
 interdius 173  
 interduim 182  
 interduō 182  
 intereā(d) 306  
 interere 616  
 interesse 599  
 interfierī 222  
 interfodere 229  
 interfrāgere 239  
 intericere 293  
 interim 306  
 interimere 188  
 interior 306  
 interīre 191  
 interloquī 348  
 interluere 331  
 intermēstris 373  
 interminārī 380  
 intermittere 384  
 intermorī 389  
 internecāre 408  
 interneciō 408  
 internōdium 412  
 internōscere 413  
 internūndinum 415  
 internūntius 419  
 interpellāre 456  
 interpellātiō 456  
 interpolāre 477  
 interpolis 477  
 interpres 307  
 interpretārī 307  
 interpretātiō 307  
 interputāre 502  
 interrogāre 526  
 interrumpere 530  
 interserere 557  
 interstinguere 588  
 interstīnus 307  
 interstringere 592  
 intertrahere 626  
 intertrīgō 616  
 intertrīmentum 616  
 intervallum 652  
 intervenīre 661  
 intervīsere 676  
 īntestābilis 618  
 īntestātus 618  
 īntestīnum 307  
 īntīmus 306  
 īnting(u)ere 620  
 īntolerandus 621  
 īnrā 306  
 īnrāre 306  
 īnrīcāre 629  
 īntro 306  
 īnrōcurrere 157  
 īnrōducere 181  
 īntroīre 191  
 īntroītus 191  
 īntuērī 632  
 īntus 300  
 īnultus 636  
 īnūmigāre 639  
 īnuncāre 640  
 īnūsītātus 648  
 īnūtilis 647  
 īnvehere 658  
 īnvenīre 661  
 īnventor 661  
 īnvenustus 663  
 īnvergere 665  
 īnvestīgāre 671  
 īnvestīre 671  
 īnveterāscere 672  
 īnvictus 679  
 īnvidēre 676  
 īnvidia 676  
 īnvidiōsus 676  
 īnvidus 676  
 īnviolābilis 680  
 īnvītō -āre 307  
 īnvītus 307  
 īnvocāre 692  
 īnvolūcre 689  
 īnvolvere 689  
 īnvolvulus 689  
 īocārī 308  
 īocōsus 308  
 īoculāris 308  
 īoculārius 308  
 īoculus 308  
 īocus 308  
 īpse 308  
 īpsissimus 308  
 īra 308  
 īrācundia 308  
 īrācundus 308  
 īrāscī 309  
 īrrādere 512  
 īrrīdēre 522  
 īrrīdiculum 522  
 īrrīgāre 523  
 īrrīgātiō 523  
 īrrīgīvus 523  
 īrriguus 523  
 īrrītō -āre 309  
 īrrītus 519  
 īrrogāre 526  
 īs ea id 309  
 īste 310  
 īstic 310  
 īstīc 310  
 īstīnc 310  
 īstō 310  
 īstōc 310  
 īstorsum 310  
 īstūc 310  
 īta 311  
 ītaque 311  
 ītem 311  
 īter 311  
 īterāre 311  
 īterum 311  
 ītidem 311  
 īuba 312  
 īubar 312  
 īubātus 312  
 īubeō 312

- iūbilō -āre 313  
 iūcundus 318  
 iūdex 169 316  
 iūdicāre 169 316  
 iūdicātiō 169 316  
 iūdicium 169 316  
 iug(u)mentum 314  
 iugāre 314  
 iugātiō 314  
 iūgera 314  
 iūgere 313  
 iūgerum 314  
 iuges 314  
 iūgis 313  
 iūglāns 263  
 iugulae 314  
 iugulāre 314  
 iugulum 314  
 iugum 314  
 iugus 314  
 iūmentum 314  
 iuncētum 313  
 iunceus 313  
 iuncus 313  
 iungō -ere 314  
 iūnior 317  
 iūnipe/irus 313  
 iūnius 317  
 iūnīx 317  
 iūnō 208 317  
 iūpiter 315  
 iūr(i)gāre 316  
 iūrāre 316  
 iūrātor 316  
 iūrgium 316  
 iūrigāre 31  
 iūs 316  
 iūsculum 316  
 iussus 312  
 iūstītia 316  
 iuvenca 317  
 iuventus 317  
 iuvenis 317  
 iuventa 317  
 iuventās 317  
 iuventūs 317  
 iuvō -āre 318  
 iuxtā 318  
 iuxtim 318  
 labāscere 319  
 labea 319  
 labefacere 319  
 labefactāre 319  
 labellum 319  
 labellum 330  
 labeōsus 319  
 labēs 319  
 labī 319  
 labia 319  
 labium 319  
 labō -āre 319  
 labor 320  
 laborāre 320  
 labōriōsus 320  
 labōsus 319  
 labrum 319  
 labrum 330  
 labundus 319  
 lac 320  
 lacer 320  
 lacerāre 320  
 lacerta 321  
 lacertōsus 321  
 lacertus 321  
 lacertus 321  
 lacessere 321  
 lacinia 320  
 laciō -ere 321  
 lacruma 322  
 lactāns 320  
 lactāre 321  
 lactārius 320  
 lactēns 320  
 lactēs 320  
 lacteus 320  
 lactūca 320  
 lacūna 322  
 lacūnar 322  
 lacus 322  
 laedō -ere 323  
 laetāre 323  
 laetārī 323  
 laetificāre 323  
 laetificus 323  
 laetīscere 323  
 laetitīa 323  
 laetitūdō 323  
 laetus 323  
 laevus 323  
 lallō -āre 323  
 lāma 324  
 lamberāre 324  
 lambō 324  
 lāmenta 324  
 lāmentārī 324  
 lāmentārius 324  
 lāmentātiō 324  
 lāmina 325  
 lāna 325  
 lānāris 325  
 lānārius 325  
 lancināre 320  
 lancula 326  
 lāneus 325  
 langueō 325  
 languēscere 325  
 languidus 325  
 languor 325  
 laniāre 326  
 laniārium 326  
 laniēna 326  
 laniēnus 326  
 lānificium 325  
 lāniger 325  
 lanista 326  
 lānitia 325  
 lanius 326  
 lānūgō 325  
 lanx 326  
 lapicida 326  
 lapicidīnae 326  
 lapidārius 326  
 lapideus 326  
 lapidicīnae 326  
 lapidōsus 326  
 lapillus 326  
 lapis 326  
 lapit 327 335  
 lāpsus 319  
 laqueātus 327  
 laqueus 327  
 Lār 327  
 Lārentia 327  
 Lārentīnae 327  
 largificus 327  
 largifluus 327  
 largiloquus 327  
 largīrī 327  
 largitās 327  
 largiter 327  
 lārgus 327  
 lāridum 327  
 larix 328  
 lārua 328  
 lāruātus 328  
 Lārunda 327  
 lascīvia 328  
 lascīvībundus 328  
 lascīvīre 328  
 lascīvulus 328  
 lascīvus 328  
 lassitūdō 328  
 lassus 328  
 latebra 329  
 latebricola 125 329  
 latēbrōsus 329  
 lateō 329  
 later 329  
 laterālis 330  
 laterāmen 330  
 laterculus 329  
 latericius 329  
 latex -icis 329  
 latibulāre 329  
 latitāre 329  
 lātitūdō 329  
 lātrāre 324  
 lātrātus 324  
 lātrina 330  
 lātus 329  
 latus -eris 330  
 latusculum 330  
 laudāre 330  
 laus 330  
 lautīa 161  
 lavābrum 330  
 lavandāria 330  
 lavātiō 330  
 lavō -āre 330  
 lax 321  
 laxāre 331  
 laxus 331  
 lectīca 332  
 lectisterniātor 332  
 lectisternium 332  
 lēctor 332  
 lectulus 332  
 lectus 332  
 lēgāre 337  
 lēgātus 337  
 lēgerupa 337  
 lēgerupiō 337  
 legiō 332  
 lēgitimus 337  
 legō -ere 332  
 legulus 332  
 legūmen 332  
 lemūrēs 333  
 Lemūria 333  
 lemuriī 333  
 lēna 334  
 lēnīre 333  
 lēnis 333  
 lēnitās 333  
 lēnitūdō 333  
 lēnō 334  
 lēnōcinium 334  
 lēnōnius 334  
 lēns -endis 334  
 lēns -tis 334  
 lentēre 335  
 lentus 335  
 lēnullus 334  
 lēnunculus 334  
 lepidus 335  
 leporārium 335  
 leporīnus 335  
 lepōs 335  
 lepus 335  
 lepusculus 335  
 lessus 335  
 lētum 335  
 lēvāmentum 336

- levāre 336  
 lēvāre 336  
 levifidus 336  
 lēvigāre 337  
 levipēs 336  
 lēvir/laevir 336  
 lēvis 336  
 levisomnus 336  
 lēvitās 337  
 lēvor 337  
 lēx 337  
 li(n)gula 343  
 lībāmentum 339  
 lībella 339  
 libelliō 337  
 libellus 337  
 Libentīna 338  
 liber -brī 337  
 līber 338  
 Līber 338  
 līberālis 338  
 līberālitās 338  
 liberāre 338  
 liberātor 338  
 libēre 338  
 līberī 338  
 lībēta 338  
 lībertās 338  
 lībertīna 338  
 lībertūnus 338  
 lībertus 338  
 lībet 338  
 -libet 338 508  
 libīdinitās 338  
 lībō -āre 339  
 lībra 339  
 lībrāre 339  
 lībrārius 339  
 lībripēns 339 457  
 lībum 339  
 licentia 340  
 licentiātus 340  
 licēre 340  
 licērī 340  
 licitārī 340  
 līcium 340  
 līctor 341  
 liēn 340  
 liēnōsus 340  
 lignārī 340  
 ligneolus 340  
 ligneus 340  
 lignum 340  
 ligō -āre 341  
 ligurrīre 343  
 līlium 341  
 līma 341  
 līmāre 341  
 līmāx 342  
 limbulārius 341  
 limbus 341  
 līmen 342  
 līmes 342  
 līmitāre 342  
 līmitāris 342  
 limpidus 342  
 limpor 342  
 līmulus 342  
 līmus 1 342  
 līmus 2 342  
 līnea 344  
 līneāre 344  
 lingō 343  
 lingua 343  
 lingulāca 343  
 linō 344  
 līnquō 344  
 līnteō 344  
 līnteolum 344  
 līnteum 344  
 līnum 344  
 lippīre 345  
 lippitūdō 345  
 lippus 345  
 liquāre 345  
 liquefacere 345  
 liqueō 345  
 liquēscere 345  
 liquidiusculus 345  
 liquidus 345  
 liquor 345  
 līra 345  
 līs 345  
 litātiō 346  
 liticen 88 347  
 litigāre 31 345  
 litigium 345  
 litō -āre 346  
 littera 346  
 litterārius 346  
 litterātus 346  
 litus -oris 346  
 lituus 347  
 līvēscere 347  
 līvidus 347  
 līvor 347  
 lixa 347  
 lixīvus 347  
 lixula 347  
 locāre 347  
 locārium 347  
 locitāre 347  
 loculātus 347  
 loculus 347  
 locuplēs 472  
 locuplētāre 472  
 locus 347  
 locusta 347  
 lolium 348  
 lolleāceus 348  
 longē 348  
 longinquitās 348  
 longinquus 348  
 longiscere 348  
 longitūdō 348  
 longulā 348  
 longus 348  
 loquāculus 348  
 loquāx 348  
 loquēla 348  
 loquitārī 348  
 loquor loquī 348  
 lōra 349  
 lōreus 349  
 lōrica 349  
 lōricāre 349  
 lōripēs 349  
 lōrum 349  
 lōtiolentus 330  
 lōtium 330  
 lu/ibēns 338  
 lu/ibentia 338  
 lu/ibīdō 338  
 lūbricus 349  
 lūcar 350  
 lūcēre 355  
 lucerna 355  
 lūcēscere 355  
 lūcidus 355  
 lūcifer 355  
 lūcifugus 355  
 Lūcīna 350  
 Lūcius 355  
 lucrifer 349  
 lucrificābilis 349  
 lucrifuga 246 349  
 lucripeta 349  
 lucrum 349  
 luctātor 350  
 luctor -ārī 350  
 lūcubrāre 355  
 lūcubrātiō 355  
 lūculentaster 355  
 lūculentitās 355  
 lūculentus 355  
 lūcus 350  
 lūdibrium 350  
 lūdibundus 350  
 lūdicer 350  
 lūdicrē 350  
 lūdificābilis 350  
 lūdificārī 350  
 lūdificātor 350  
 lūdificātus 350  
 lūdō -ere 350  
 lūdus 350  
 luēlla 353  
 luēs 353  
 lūgeō 351  
 lūgubris 351  
 lumbifragium 352  
 lumbīcus 351  
 lumbus 352  
 lūmen 355  
 lūmināre 355  
 lūna 352  
 lunter 353  
 lūnula 352  
 luō -ere 353  
 lupa 353  
 lupānar 353  
 lupārī 353  
 lupillum/s 353  
 lupinārius 353  
 lupīnus 353  
 lupus 353  
 lurcāre/t 353  
 lurcinābundus 353  
 lurcō 353  
 lūridus 354  
 lūrōr 354  
 luscīnia 354  
 lusciniola 354  
 luscīosus 354  
 luscītīosus 354  
 luscus 354  
 lūsiō 350  
 lūsitāre 350  
 lūsor 350  
 lustra 355  
 lūstrāre 354  
 lustrārī 355  
 lustrō 355  
 lustrum 355  
 lūstrum 354  
 lutāmentum 355  
 lutāre 355  
 luteus 355  
 lūteus 354  
 lutitāre 355  
 lutōsus 355  
 lutra 355  
 lutum 355  
 lūtum 354  
 lūx -cis 355  
 luxāre 356  
 luxuria/luxuriēs 356  
 luxuriōsus 356  
 luxus 356  
 macellus 356  
 macer 356  
 macēre 356

- mācerēscere 357  
 māceria 357  
 māceriēs 357  
 mācerō -āre 357  
 macēscere 356  
 maciēs 356  
 macilentus 356  
 macor 356  
 macritūdō 356  
 mactābilis 357  
 mactāre 357  
 macte 357  
 mactus 357  
 macula 357  
 maculōsus 357  
 madefacere 358  
 madefactāre 358  
 madeō 358  
 madidus 358  
 madulsa 358  
 maereō 358  
 maeror 358  
 maestāre 358  
 maestiter 358  
 maestitia 358  
 maestitūdō 358  
 magis 359  
 magister 359  
 magisterium 359  
 magistra 359  
 magistrātus 359  
 magmentārium 357  
 magmentum 357  
 magnanimus 358  
 magnidicus 358  
 magnificāre 358  
 magnificentia 358  
 magnificus 358  
 magnitās 358  
 magnitūdō 358  
 magnus 358  
 māiālis 359  
 māiestās 359  
 maior 359  
 Māius 359  
 māiusculus 359  
 māla 359  
 maledicāx 169  
 maledficere 169  
 maledicus 169  
 malefacere 360  
 malevolēns 687  
 malevolus 360 687  
 malignitās 360  
 malignus 260 360  
 malitia 360  
 malle 687  
 malleolus 360  
 malleus 360  
 mallō 360  
 malluviae 331 363  
 māluī 687  
 malus 360  
 mālus 361  
 malva 361  
 Māmers 366  
 mamilla 361  
 mamma 361  
 mammeātus 361  
 mamma 361  
 mammōsus 361  
 mammula 361  
 mārābilis 363  
 mārālis 363  
 manceps 363  
 mancipāre 363  
 mancipium 108 363  
 mancus 361  
 mandāre 363  
 mandō 361  
 manducāre 361  
 mandūcō 361  
 mandūcus 361  
 māne 364  
 maneō 362  
 Mānēs 364  
 mānī 364  
 Mānia 364  
 manicae 363  
 manicula 363  
 manifestārius 303  
 363  
 manifestus 303 363  
 manip(u)lāris 363  
 manip(u)lātīm 363  
 manip(u)lus 363  
 mārō -āre 363  
 mānsiō 362  
 mānsuēfacere 597  
 mānsuēs 597  
 mānsuēscere 597  
 mantāre 362  
 mantēlium 363 614  
 mantēlum 363 614  
 manuālis 363  
 manuārī 363  
 manuārius 363  
 manubiae 277 363  
 manubiārius 277 363  
 manubrium 277 363  
 manuleārius 363  
 manuleātus 363  
 manuleus 363  
 manūmittere 363  
 manupretium 363  
 manus 363  
 mānus 364  
 marceō 364  
 marcēscere 364  
 marculus 360  
 mare 365  
 margō 365  
 marīnus 365  
 mariscus 365  
 marītāre 365  
 maritimus 365  
 marītus 365  
 Marmar 366  
 Marmor 366  
 Mārs 366  
 Mārspiter 366  
 Mārtius 366 464  
 mās 366  
 masculinus 366  
 masculus 366  
 māsūcins 361  
 mateola 366  
 māter 367  
 mātercula 367  
 māteria/māteriēs 367  
 māteriārius 367  
 māterīnus 367  
 māternus 367  
 mātertera 367  
 mātrālia 367  
 mātrēscere 367  
 mātrimōnium 367  
 mātrīx 367  
 mātrōna 367  
 mātrōnālis 367  
 mātūrāre 367  
 mātūrēscere 367  
 mātūrus 367  
 Mātūta 367  
 mātūtīnus 367  
 māvolō/mālō 687  
 Māvors 366  
 maxilla 359  
 maximitās 359  
 maximus 359  
 mē 367  
 meāre 374  
 medeor 368  
 mediast(r)īnus 369  
 medicāmentum 368  
 medicāre 368  
 medicīna 368  
 medicīnus 368  
 medicus 368  
 mediocris 424  
 medioximus 369  
 meditor -ārī 368  
 meditullium 608  
 medius 369  
 medulla 369  
 medullitus 369  
 meiō -ere 369  
 mel 370  
 melculum 370  
 melior 370  
 melius 370  
 meliusculus 370  
 mella 370  
 mellārius 370  
 mellilla 370  
 mellīna 370  
 mellītus 370  
 membrāna 370  
 membrātīm 370  
 membrum 370  
 meminī 371  
 memor 371  
 memorābilis 371  
 memorāre 371  
 memoria 371  
 memoriter 371  
 menda 372  
 mendāciloquus 372  
 mendācium 372  
 mendāx 372  
 mendicābulum 372  
 mendicāre 372  
 mendicimōnium 372  
 mendīcitās 372  
 mendīculus 372  
 mendīcus 372  
 mendum 372  
 mēns 372  
 mēnsa 372  
 mēnsis 373  
 mensor 377  
 mēnstruālis 373  
 menstruum 373  
 menstruus 373  
 mēnsula 372  
 mentiō 372  
 mentīrī 372  
 mentum 373  
 mentus 371  
 meō -āre 373  
 merāculus 376  
 mercārī 376  
 mercātor 376  
 mercātōrius 376  
 mercātūra 376  
 mercēdimerus 376  
 mercēn(n)ārius 376  
 mercēs 376  
 mercimōnium 376  
 Mercuriālis 376  
 Mercurius 376  
 merda 374



- merenda 374  
mereō 374  
meretrīcius 374  
meretrīcula 374  
meretrīx 374  
mergae 375  
merges 375  
mergō -ere 375  
mergus 375  
merīdiānus 369  
merīdiē 170  
merīdiēs 369  
merītāre 374  
meritum 374  
merobibus 376  
mersāre 375  
mertāre 375  
merula 375  
meruleus 375  
merus 376  
merx 376  
messē 377  
messem 377  
messiō 377  
messis 377  
messor 377  
-met 376  
mēta 377  
mētārī 377  
meficulōsus 378  
mētior -iŕī 377  
metō -ere 377  
metuere 378  
metūi 378  
metus 378  
metūtum 378  
meus 367  
mīca 378  
micō -āre 378  
migrō 379  
mīles 379  
miliāria 379  
miliārius 379  
mīliē(n)s 379  
militāre 379  
militāris 379  
militārius 379  
militia 379  
milium 379  
mille 379  
mināciae 380  
minae 380  
minārī 380  
mināx 380  
minēre 380  
Minerva 380  
minerval 380  
Minervius 380  
minimus 381  
miniscī 371  
minister 381  
ministerium 381  
ministra 381  
ministrāre 381  
ministrātor 381  
minitābiliter 380  
minitāre/ī 380  
minor 381  
minuere 381  
minusculus 381  
minūtātim 381  
minūtum 381  
minūtulus 381  
minūtus 381  
mīrābilis 382  
mīrāculus 382  
mīrārī 382  
mīrificus 382  
mīriō 382  
mīrus 382  
mīscellus 381  
miscēō 382  
miser 383  
miserārī 383  
miserēre/ī 383  
miseria 383  
misericordia 135 383  
misericors 135 383  
miserimōnium 383  
miseritūdō 383  
miserulus 383  
missiculāre 383  
missilis 383  
mitat 383  
mītēscere 383  
mītis 383  
mittō -ere 383  
mixtārius 382  
mixtum 382  
mixtura 382  
mōbilis 391  
mōbilitās 391  
moderanter 384  
moderāre 384  
moderātum 384  
moderātor 384  
moderātrīx 384  
modestia 384  
modestus 384  
modiālis 384  
modicus 384  
modiolus 384  
modius 384  
modō 384  
modulus 384  
modus 384  
moe/ūnis 395  
moenia 385 395  
moenīre 385  
mola 386  
molārius 386  
mōles 386  
molestia 386  
molestus 386  
moletrīna 386  
molīle 386  
mōlīmen 386  
mōlīmentum 386  
mōlīrī 386  
mollēscere 386  
molliculus 386  
mollīre 386  
mollis 386  
mollitia 386  
mollitūdō 386  
molluscus 386  
molō -ere 386  
molta 394  
moltāre 394  
mōmen 391  
mōmentum 390  
moneō 387  
monēr/dula 387  
Monēta 387  
monētrīx 387  
monīle 388  
monitor 387  
mōns 388  
mōnstrāre 387  
mōnstrātīō 387  
mōnstrificābilis 387  
mōnstrum 387  
montānus 388  
montivagus 388  
mora 388  
morāre/ī 388  
morātus 390  
morbidus 389  
morbōsus 389  
morbus 389  
mordāx 389  
mordeō 389  
mordicus 389  
moribundus 389  
morigerāre/ī 390  
morigerātīō 390  
morigerus 390  
moriōr morī 389  
mōrōsus 390  
mors 389  
morsiuncula 389  
morsus 389  
Morta 374  
mortālis 389  
mortārium 390  
morticīnus 389  
mortifer 389  
mortuālia 389  
mortuus 389  
mōs 390  
mōstellāria 387  
mōtus 390  
moveō 390  
mox 391  
mū/oeīnus 398  
mūcēre 392  
mūcidus 392  
mūcrō 391  
mūcus 392  
mūgil(is) 392  
mūgnārī 392  
mūgiō -īre 392  
mūla 394  
mulcāre 392  
mulceō 392  
mulctra 393  
mulgeō 393  
muliebris 393 560  
mulier 393  
mulierāre 393  
muliercula 393  
mulierōsus 393  
mūliō 394  
mulleus 393  
mulsus 370  
multa 394  
multāre 394  
multēsimus 394  
multigener 260  
multiplex 473  
multitāre 394  
multitūdō 394  
multus 394  
mūlus 394  
munditia 394  
mundulus 394  
mundus 394 395  
mūnerālis 395  
mūnerāre 395  
mūnerigerulus 395  
mūniceps 395  
mūnicipium 108 395  
mūnificāre 395  
mūnificus 395  
mūnīre 385  
mūnītīō 385  
mūnus 395  
mūnusculum 395  
mūrālis 396  
murcidus 364  
mūrex 396

- murieidus 364  
 mūrīnus 396  
 murmur 395  
 murmurāre 395  
 murmurillāre 395  
 murmurillum 395  
 mūrus 396  
 mūs 396  
 musca 397  
 muscēla 394  
 mūscerdae 396  
 mūscipulum 89 396  
 muscōsus 397  
 mūsculus 396  
 mūscus 397  
 mustāceus 397  
 mustārius 397  
 mūstēla 396  
 mustēlinus 396  
 musteus 397  
 mustricula 396  
 mustulentus 397  
 mustus 397  
 mūtābilitās 398  
 mūtāre 398  
 mūtātiō 398  
 mutilāre 397  
 mutilus 397  
 mūt(t)ō 398  
 muttīre 398  
 muttītīō 398  
 mūtuāre/ī 398  
 mūtuitārī 398  
 mūtuitēr 398  
 mūtūnium 398  
 mūtus 398  
 mūtuis 398  
 nam 399  
 -nam 508 510  
 namque 399  
 nanciō 399  
 nancīscor -ī 399  
 nāris 400  
 narrāre 267  
 narrātiō 267  
 nāscor nāscī 400  
 Nāsō 400  
 nassa 401  
 nāsus/m 400  
 nāsūtus 400  
 nātālis 400  
 natāre 411  
 natātor 411  
 natēs 402  
 nātīnor -ārī 401  
 nātiō 400  
 natis 402  
 nātīvus 400  
 natrix 402  
 nātū 400  
 nātūra 400  
 nātūrālis 400  
 naucum 402  
 naufragium 402  
 naufragus 402  
 nāvālis 402  
 nāvicula 402  
 nāviculārius 402  
 nāvigāre 31 402  
 nāviger 402  
 nāvīgium 402  
 nāvis 402  
 ne- 403  
 -ne 403  
 nē 403  
 nebrundinēs 404  
 nebula 404  
 nebulō 404  
 nebulōsus 404  
 nec 403  
 necāre 407  
 necessārius 103  
 neesse 103  
 necessitās 103  
 necessus esse 103  
 nectō -ere 404  
 nēcubi 636  
 nefāns 231  
 nefārius 203  
 nefās 203  
 nefāstus 203  
 nefrēns 241 404  
 nefrōnēs 404  
 nefrundinēs 404  
 negāre 403  
 negitāre 403  
 neglectus 332  
 neglegentia 332  
 neglegere 332  
 negōtiolum 437  
 negōtiōsus 437  
 negōtium 437  
 nem- 405  
 nēmō 287  
 nempe 452  
 nemus 405  
 neō 405  
 nepōs 405  
 nepōtulus 405  
 neptis 405  
 Neptūnālia 406  
 Neptūnus 406  
 nēquam 507  
 neque 403  
 nequeō 506  
 nēquior 507  
 nēquīquam 510  
 nēquissimus 507  
 nēquiter 507  
 nēquitia 507  
 nerjō 406  
 Neriō / Neria /  
 Nerienis 406  
 nerjōsus 406  
 Nerō 406  
 nervia 407  
 Nervolāria 407  
 nervus 407  
 nescīre 545  
 nescius 545  
 neu 403 656  
 neuter 647  
 neutrubī 647  
 nēve 403 656  
 nex 407  
 nexere 404  
 nexilis 404  
 nexus 404  
 nī 408  
 nictāre 130  
 nictus 130  
 nīdāmenta 408  
 nīdor 408  
 nīdōricupius 408  
 nīdulārī 408  
 nīdus 408  
 nigellus 409  
 niger 409  
 nigrāre 409  
 nigrēre 409  
 nigricolus 409  
 nigrītia 409  
 nigror 409  
 nihil 285  
 nihilum 285  
 nīl 285  
 nīlum 285  
 nimbātus 409  
 nimbus 409  
 nimis 409  
 nimium/s 409  
 ningit -ere 409  
 ninguis 409  
 nisī 408 561  
 nīsus 410  
 nītēdula 410  
 nītēlā 410  
 niteō 410  
 nītēscere 410  
 nitidāre 410  
 nitiditās 410  
 nitidiusculus 410  
 nitidus 410  
 nitor 410  
 nītor -ī 410  
 nīve 408  
 nīveus 409  
 nivis 409  
 nix 409  
 nixārī 410  
 nixus 410  
 nō nāre 411  
 nōbilitāre 413  
 nōbilitās 413  
 noceō 411  
 noctieolor 416  
 noctilūca 416  
 noctipuga 416  
 noctivagus 416  
 noctū 172 416  
 noctua 416  
 noctūnus 416  
 nocturnus 416  
 noctuvigilus 416  
 nōdāre 412  
 nōdus 412  
 noenum 403  
 nōlle 687  
 nōmen 412  
 nomenclātor 84  
 nōminālis 412  
 nōmināre 412  
 nōminātim 412  
 nōminātvus 412  
 nōminitāre 412  
 nōn 403  
 Nōnae 415  
 nōnāgēsimus 262  
 415  
 nōnāgintā 415  
 nōnālis 415  
 nōngentī 107  
 nōnnūllus 642  
 nonnus 413  
 nōnus 415  
 nōnussis 57 415  
 nōs 413  
 noscitāre 413  
 nōscō -ere 413  
 noster 413  
 nostrās 413  
 nostrātim 413  
 nota 414  
 notāre 414  
 nōtiō 413  
 nōtitia 413  
 nōtitīes 413  
 novācula 414  
 novālis 416  
 novāre 416  
 novellus 416  
 novem 415

- november 415  
 novēnārius 415  
 novendiālis 170  
 novēnī 415  
 novēnsidēs 416  
 noverca 416  
 novīcius 416  
 novīē(n)s 415  
 novitās 416  
 novus 416  
 nox 416  
 noxa 411  
 noxia 411  
 noxītūdō 411  
 noxius 411  
 nūbēs 417  
 nūbilāre/ī 417  
 nūbilārium 417  
 nūbilus 417  
 nūbō -ere 417  
 nuc(u)leus 420  
 nuceus 420  
 nucifrangibulum 420  
 nūdāre 417  
 nūdiūstertius 172  
 nūdus 417  
 nūgae 418  
 nūgārī 418  
 nūgātor 418  
 nūgātōrius 418  
 nūgāx 418  
 nūgigerulus 418  
 nūllus 642  
 num 418  
 nūmen 419  
 numerāre 419  
 Numeria 419  
 numerus 419  
 numnam 399  
 nunc 418  
 nunciam 418  
 nuncubi 636  
 nuncupāre 89 412  
 nūndinae 415  
 nūndinālis 415  
 nunquam 640  
 nūntiāre 419  
 nūntium 419  
 nūntius 419  
 -nūō -ere 419  
 nūper 418 459  
 nūperus 418  
 nupta 417  
 nuptiae 417  
 nuptiālis 417  
 nuptula 417  
 nurus 420  
 nusquam 646  
 nūtāre 419  
 nūtrīcāre 420  
 nūtrīcātiō 420  
 nūtrīcius 420  
 nūtrīcula 420  
 nūtrīre 420  
 nūtrīx 420  
 nūtus 419  
 nux 420  
 ob 421  
 obambulāre 38  
 obbrūtescō 76  
 obcallēscere 84  
 obdormīre 179  
 obducere 181  
 obductāre 181  
 obdūrāre 184  
 obdūrēscere 184  
 obesse 599  
 obēsus 186  
 obex 293  
 obhaerēscere 278  
 obiacēre 293  
 obicere 293  
 obiectāre 293  
 obīre 191  
 obiūr(i)gāre 316  
 oblātrātrīx 324  
 oblectāre 321  
 obligāre 341  
 oblinere 344  
 obliquus 421  
 oblitēscere 329  
 obliviō 422  
 obliviōsus 422  
 obliṽschor -ī 422  
 obliṽius 422  
 obloquī 348  
 oblūdiāre 351  
 obmovēre 391  
 obmūtēscere 398  
 obnectere 404  
 obmīti 410  
 obnīxe 410  
 obnoxīōsus 411  
 obnoxius 411  
 obnūbere 417  
 obnūntiāre 419  
 oboedīre 61  
 obolēre 426  
 oborīti 434  
 obrēpere 520  
 obrēptāre 520  
 obrōdere 526  
 obruere 530  
 obscaenus 422  
 obscaevāre 541  
 obscūrāre 422  
 obscūridicus 422  
 obscūrītās 422  
 obscūrus 422  
 obsecrāre 532  
 obsecula 556  
 obsecundāre 556  
 obsequella 556  
 obsequī 556  
 obsequiōsus 556  
 obsequium 556  
 obserāre 557  
 obserere 557  
 observāre 559  
 observātiō 559  
 obses 551  
 obsessor 552  
 obsidēre 551  
 obsidere 562  
 obsidiō 551  
 obsidium 551  
 obsignāre 563  
 obsipāre 601  
 obsolēscere 571  
 obsolētus 571  
 obsonāre 574  
 obsorbēre 575  
 obstāre 589  
 obstetrix 589  
 obstināre 589  
 obstipēscere 593  
 obstīpus 588  
 obstrigillāre 592  
 obstrigillātor 592  
 obstringere 592  
 obstupefacere 593  
 obstupidus 593  
 obtegere 608  
 obtemperāre 611  
 obterere 616  
 obtestārī 618  
 obticēre 604  
 obticēscere 604  
 obtinēre 613  
 obtingere 606  
 obtrūdere 630  
 obtruncāre 631  
 obtu(er)ī 632  
 obtundere 633  
 obturbāre 634  
 obturgēscere 635  
 obtūrō -āre 423  
 obvagīre 651  
 obvāgulāre 651  
 obvārāre 655  
 obvenīre 661  
 obviam 673  
 obvigilāre 677  
 obvius 673  
 occa 423  
 occāre 423  
 occāsio 78  
 occāsus 78  
 occātor 423  
 occēdere 103  
 occidere 78  
 occīdere 79  
 occillāre 79 423  
 occipere 89  
 occipitium 91  
 occisor 79  
 occlūdere 118  
 occulō -ere 423  
 occultāre 423  
 occupāre 89  
 occurrere 157  
 occursāre 157  
 ocellātum 425  
 ocellus 425  
 ōcior 424  
 ōcissimus 424  
 ocquinīscere 131  
 ocris 424  
 octāvus 424  
 octingēnārius 424  
 octingentī 107 424  
 octō 424  
 octōber 424  
 octōgēnī 262 424  
 octōgintā 424  
 octōnārius 424  
 octōnī 424  
 oculātus 425  
 oculeus 425  
 oculissimus 425  
 oculitus 425  
 oculus 425  
 odefacere 426  
 ōdī 425  
 ōdibilis 425  
 odiossicus 425  
 odiōsus 425  
 odium 425  
 odor 425  
 odorārī 425  
 odōrisequus 425  
 odōrus 425  
 offensāre 210  
 offensiō 210  
 offensus 210  
 offerre 213  
 offerrūmenta 215  
 officiōsus 431  
 officium 431  
 offigere 219  
 offirmāre 223  
 offivēbant 219

- offringere 239  
 offundere 249  
 oggannire 254  
 oggerere 259  
 ol(e)facere 426  
 ol(e)factare 426  
 ol(e)factus 426  
 olefacere 426  
 olere 425  
 olere 425  
 olim 426  
 olle / ollus 426  
 olor 425 427  
 omen 427  
 omentum 428  
 ominari 427  
 ominator 427  
 omittere 384  
 ommentare 362  
 omnino 428  
 omnipotens 428  
 omnis 428  
 onerare 428  
 onerarius 428  
 onus 428  
 onustus 428  
 opacare 429  
 opacus 429  
 opella 432  
 opera 432  
 operari 432  
 operaria 432  
 operarius 432  
 operatus 432  
 operculum 429  
 operimentum 429  
 operio -ire 429  
 optare 429  
 opifex 431  
 opificina 431  
 opificium 431  
 opilia 429  
 opilio 429  
 opilius 429  
 opimitas 429  
 opimus 429  
 opinatus 430  
 opinio 430  
 opinor -ari 430  
 opiparus 431 447  
 Opis 431  
 opiter 450  
 opitulare/i 431 621  
 opitulus 431 621  
 oportet 430  
 oppectere 453  
 opperiri 446  
 oppetere 464  
 oppido 430  
 oppidum 430  
 opponere 479  
 opportunitas 482  
 opportunus 482  
 oppressio 488  
 oppressiuncula 488  
 oppressus 488  
 opprimere 488  
 opprobriamentum 490  
 opprobare 490  
 opprobrium 490  
 oppugnare 499  
 ops opis 431  
 optabilis 431  
 optimas 421  
 optimus 421  
 optio 431  
 optio-natus 431  
 opto -are 431  
 opulenter 431  
 opulentitas 431  
 opulentus 431  
 opulus 432  
 opus 432  
 oraculum 435  
 oratio 435  
 orator 435  
 oratrix 435  
 orbare 433  
 orbiculatus 432  
 orbiculus 432  
 orbificare 433  
 orbile 432  
 orbis 432  
 orbita 432  
 orbitas 433  
 orbitudo 433  
 orbitus 432  
 orbus 433  
 ordia prima 434  
 ordinare 434  
 ordinarius 434  
 ordior -iri 433  
 ordio 434  
 oreae 436  
 origo 434  
 orior -iri 434  
 ornamentum 434  
 ornare 434  
 ornus 435  
 oro -are 435  
 orsus 434  
 os oris 436  
 os ossis 436  
 oscen 88  
 oscitare/i 436  
 osculari 436  
 osculentia 436  
 osculum 436  
 osseus 436  
 ossiculatim 436  
 ossifraga 436  
 ossua 436  
 ossum 436  
 ostendere 612  
 ostentare 612  
 ostentator 612  
 Ostia 436  
 ostiarius 436  
 ostium 436  
 ostiosus 437  
 otium 437  
 oviaria 437  
 ovile 437  
 ovillus 437  
 ovis 437  
 ovo -are 438  
 ovum 438  
 oxime 424  
 pabulari 448  
 pabulatio 448  
 pabulum 448  
 pacare 452  
 pacere 452  
 pacificari 452  
 pacio 452  
 paciscere 452  
 pactio 452  
 pae/enuria 439  
 paedor 439  
 paelex 439  
 paene 439  
 paenitere 439  
 paenitudo 439  
 paganalia 443  
 paganicus 443  
 pagi 443  
 pagina 443  
 pagus 443  
 pala 443  
 palam 439  
 Palatinus 440  
 Palatium 440  
 Palatua 440  
 Palatuālis 440  
 palatum 440  
 palea 440  
 palear 440  
 palla 440  
 palleo 440  
 pallescere 440  
 palliatus 440  
 pallidus 440  
 palliolatim 440  
 palliolum 440  
 pallium 440  
 pallor 440  
 pallula 440  
 palma 441  
 palmaris 441  
 palmarium 441  
 palmipedalis 441  
 palmula 441  
 palmus 441  
 palor -ari 441  
 palpatio 441  
 palpator 441  
 palpebra 441  
 palpitare 441  
 palpō -are 441  
 palpus 441  
 palumbes 442  
 palus 443  
 palus 442  
 paliister 442  
 panarium 443  
 Panda 442  
 pandiculari 442  
 pandō 442  
 pandus 442  
 pangō 442  
 panicula 444  
 panici 443  
 panicum 443  
 panificium 443  
 panis 443  
 pannus 443  
 panus 444  
 papilio 444  
 par 444  
 paratio 446  
 parciter 445  
 parco -ere 445  
 parcus 445  
 parens 445  
 parentare 445  
 parentatio 446  
 parenticida 445  
 pareo 445  
 paret 445  
 paricida 79  
 paries 445  
 parilis 444  
 pario -ere 445  
 paritare 446  
 paro -are 446  
 parra 447  
 parricida 447  
 parricidium 447  
 pars 448  
 parsimonia 445  
 particeps 448

- participālis 448  
 participāre 448  
 participium 108 448  
 particula 448  
 particulātīm 448  
 particulō 448  
 partim 448  
 partiō 446  
 partīre/ī 448  
 partītūdō 446  
 partūra 446  
 parturīre 446  
 parum 448  
 parumper 448 459  
 parvulus 448  
 parvus 448  
 pāscālis 448  
 pāscō -ere 448  
 pāscuus 448  
 passer 449  
 passerculus 449  
 passerīnus 449  
 passim 442  
 passiō 450  
 passus 442  
 pāstillum 443  
 pāstillus 443  
 pāstiō 448  
 pāstor 448  
 pāstōrālis 448  
 pāstōricius 448  
 pastus 448  
 patefacere 449  
 pateō 449  
 pater 449  
 patera 449  
 paternus 449  
 patēscere 449  
 patibulātus 449  
 patibulum 449  
 patientia 450  
 patior patī 450  
 patrāre 449  
 patria 449  
 patricē 449  
 patricius 449  
 patrimōnium 450  
 patrītus 449  
 patrius 449  
 patrōcinārī 450  
 patrōna 450  
 patrōnus 450  
 patruēlis 450  
 patruus 450  
 patulus 449  
 pauciēns 450  
 pauciloquium 451  
 pauculus 450  
 paucus 450  
 paul(I)īspēr 450  
 paulātīm 450  
 paulīspēr 459  
 paululum 450  
 paululus 450  
 paullus 450  
 paulum 450  
 paulus 450  
 pauper 451  
 pauperāre 451  
 pauperculus 451  
 pauperiēs 451  
 pauperium 451  
 paupertās 451  
 paupertīnus 451  
 pauxillātīm 450  
 pauxillīspēr 450 459  
 pauxillulus 450  
 pauxillus 450  
 paveō 451  
 pavīcula 451  
 pavidus 451  
 pavīmentum 451  
 paviō -īre 451  
 pavitāre 451  
 pavor 451  
 pāx pācis 452  
 paxillus 443  
 -pe 452 510  
 peccātum 453  
 peccō -āre 453  
 pecciolus 462  
 pecten 453  
 pectō -ere 453  
 pectorālis 453  
 pectunculus 453  
 pectus 453  
 pecu 454  
 pecuārius 454  
 pecuīnus 454  
 peculātus 454  
 pecūliāris 454  
 pecūliōsus 454  
 pecūlium 454  
 pecūnia 454  
 pecūniōsus 454  
 pecus 454  
 peda 462  
 pedālis 462  
 pedāmentum 462  
 pedārius 462  
 pedātus 462  
 pedes 462  
 pedetemptim 462  
 611  
 pedica 462  
 pedicinus 462  
 pēdicōsus 454  
 pediculus 462  
 pēdis 454  
 pedisequus 462 556  
 peditāstellus 462  
 peditātus 462  
 pēdō -ere 454  
 pēiīe/urāre 316  
 peiior 455  
 pēiīūriōsus 316  
 pēiūrium 316  
 pēiūrus 316  
 pellācia 321  
 pellecebra 321  
 pellegere 332  
 pellesuīna 455 600  
 pellicere 321  
 pellicula 455  
 pelliō 455  
 pellis 455  
 pelītus 455  
 pellō -ere 455  
 pelluviae 331  
 pēluis 456  
 penārius 458  
 Penātēs 458  
 penātor 458  
 pendēre 457  
 pendō 457  
 pendulus 457  
 penes 458  
 penetrālis 458  
 penetrāre 458  
 pēniculāmentum 458  
 pēniculus 458  
 pēnis 458  
 penitus 458  
 pēnītus 458  
 penna 458  
 pennātus 458  
 pēnsilis 457  
 pēnsiō 457  
 pēnsūm 457  
 pēnsūra 457  
 penus 458  
 per 459  
 -per 459  
 peragere 31  
 perambulāre 38  
 perārēscere 53  
 perāridus 53  
 peraudire 61  
 perbibere 71  
 perbītēre 68  
 percellere 105  
 percensēre 107  
 perciēre 113  
 percipere 89  
 percontumāx 132  
 percoquere 134  
 percrepāre 143  
 percupere 155  
 percurrere 157  
 percutere 504  
 perditus 175  
 perdocēre 176  
 perdūcere 181  
 perductāre 181  
 perductor 181  
 perduelliō 70  
 perduellis 70  
 perdūrāre 184  
 peregrē 29  
 peregrī 29  
 peregrīnus 29  
 perendiē 170 460  
 perendinus 460  
 perennis 43  
 perēsse 186  
 perfabricāre 197  
 perferre 213  
 perferus 215  
 perfervēfierī 215  
 perfidia 218  
 perfidiōsus 218  
 perfīnēs 222  
 perflāre 226  
 perflūctuāre 228  
 perfluere 228  
 perfodere 229  
 perforāre 235  
 perfossor 229  
 perfrēmere 241  
 perfricāre 243  
 perfrīgēfacere 243  
 perfrīgēscere 243  
 perfringere 239  
 perfugere 246  
 perfugium 246  
 perfungī 250  
 perfurere 252  
 pergere 517  
 pergrandēscere 270  
 pergrandis 270  
 pergula 460  
 perhibēre 277  
 perīclitārī 446  
 perīculārī 446  
 perīculōsus 446  
 perīculum 446  
 perimere 188  
 perīre 191  
 perītus 446  
 perlābī 319  
 perlepīdē 335  
 perlongus 348

- perlūcere 355  
 perlūcidus 355  
 permacer 356  
 permadefacere 358  
 permagnus 359  
 permānāre 363  
 permānāscere 363  
 permanēre 362  
 permarcēre 364  
 permeditātus 368  
 permeiere 369  
 permētūrī 377  
 permūrus 382  
 permiscēre 382  
 permiitālis 460  
 permiitālis 460  
 permittere 384  
 permulcēre 392  
 permundus 394  
 permūtāre 398  
 perna 460  
 perniciēs 408  
 perniger 409  
 pernīx 460  
 pernoctāre 416  
 pernōnida 460  
 pernōscere 413  
 pernumerāre 419  
 perolēre 426  
 perōrāre 435  
 perparcē 445  
 perpaucī 450  
 perpauper 451  
 perpauillum 451  
 perpavefacere 451  
 perpellere 456  
 perpendere 457  
 perpendiculum 457  
 perperam 461  
 perperitūdō 461  
 perperus 461  
 perpes 463  
 perpetī 450  
 perpetrāre 450  
 perpetuāre 463  
 perpetuītus 463  
 perpetuus 463  
 perplexābilis 472  
 perplexārī 472  
 perplexim 472  
 perplexus 472  
 perpli/acēre 469  
 perplicātus 472  
 perpluere 474  
 perpolire 477  
 perpōtāre 485  
 perpulcher 496  
 perpūrgāre 500  
 perpūrigāre 500  
 perputāre 502  
 perquīrere 503  
 perquīsitor 503  
 perscindere 544  
 persectārī 556  
 persēfire 554  
 persentiscere 554  
 persequī 556  
 persibus 561  
 persidere 562  
 persillum 554  
 perspectāre 579  
 perspergere 578  
 perspicāx 579  
 perspicere 579  
 perspicuus 579  
 perstreperere 591  
 persuādere 594  
 persuāstrīx 594  
 persultāre 535  
 pertaedere 605  
 pertaedēscere 605  
 pertegere 608  
 pertemptāre ( 611  
 pertendere 612  
 perterrefacere 617  
 perterrere 617  
 perterricrepus 143  
 pertica 461  
 pertimēscere 620  
 pertinācia 613  
 pertināx 613  
 pertinēre 613  
 pertisum 605  
 pertolerāre 621  
 pertorquere 624  
 pertractāre 626  
 pertundere 633  
 perturbāre 634  
 perūrere 645  
 pervehere 658  
 pervellere 659  
 pervenire 661  
 pervicāx 679  
 pervicus 679  
 pervidēre 676  
 pervigilāre 677  
 pervincere 679  
 pervius 673  
 pervolvere 689  
 pervulgāre 686  
 pēs 462  
 pessimus 455  
 pessum 463  
 pestilēns 463  
 pestilentus 463  
 pestilitās 463  
 pestis 463  
 petessere 463  
 petilus 463  
 petimen 463  
 peñitus 463  
 petō -ere 463  
 petulāns 463  
 petulus 463  
 piāculāris 468  
 piāculum 468  
 -piam 510  
 piāre 468  
 piāca 464  
 picāre 469  
 pictor 465  
 pictūra 465  
 Picus 464  
 picus 464  
 pietās 468  
 piger 464  
 piget 464  
 pigitum 464  
 pigmentum 465  
 pignus 465  
 pigrāre 464  
 pigrere 464  
 pigritia 464  
 pigror 464  
 pila 465  
 pīla 465 466  
 pilāre 465  
 pilleus 465  
 pilōsus 465  
 pilum 466  
 piūsus 465  
 pineus 467  
 pingō -ere 465  
 pinguēdō 466  
 pinguēscere 466  
 pinguis 466  
 pinguitūdō 466  
 pinna 458  
 pinnātus 458  
 pinniger 458  
 pinnula 458  
 pīnsitāre 466  
 pīnsō -ere 466  
 pīnus 467  
 pipātus 467  
 pipiō 467  
 pipō -āre 467  
 pipulus/m 467  
 pirum 467  
 pirus 467  
 piscārī 467  
 piscārius 467  
 piscātor 467  
 piscātōrius 467  
 pisciculus 468  
 piscīna 468  
 piscīnārius 468  
 piscīnēnsis 468  
 piscis 467  
 pisculentus 468  
 pistillum/s 466  
 pistor 466  
 pistrilla 466  
 pistrīna 466  
 pistrīx 466  
 pītūita 468  
 pius 468  
 pix 469  
 plācābilis 469  
 plācāre 469  
 placeō 469  
 placiditās 469  
 placidus 469  
 placitāre 469  
 plaga 469  
 plāga 469  
 plāgiger 469  
 plagula 469  
 plangō -ere 469  
 plāniloquus 470  
 plānitiēs 470  
 planta 470  
 plānus 470  
 plaudō -ere 470  
 plausus 470  
 plautus 471  
 Plautus 471  
 plēbēius 471  
 plēbēs 471  
 plēbīscītum 471  
 plēbitās 471  
 plebs 471  
 plectilis 471  
 plectō -ere 471  
 plector 472  
 plēnus 472  
 -pleō 472  
 pleoris 475  
 plērumque 472  
 plērus 472  
 plērusque 472  
 -plex 473  
 plicāre 472  
 plicātūrīx 472  
 plōrātillus 473  
 plōrātus 473  
 plōrō -āre 473  
 plūma 474  
 plūmārius 474  
 plūmātīlis 474  
 plumbāre 474

- plumbeus 474  
 plumbum 474  
 plūmeus 474  
 plūmula 474  
 pluō pluit 474  
 pluor 474  
 plūrālis 475  
 plūrimus 475  
 -plus 475  
 plūs 475  
 plūsculum 475  
 plūsculus 475  
 pluteus 476  
 pluvia 474  
 pluvius 474  
 po- 476  
 pōcillum 485  
 pōculum 485  
 pōdex 454  
 pol(l)enta 477  
 polentārius 477  
 poliō -īre 476  
 polīre 476  
 polītiō 476  
 polītor 476  
 pollen 477  
 pollentia 477  
 polleō 477  
 pollex 478  
 pollicēī 340  
 pollicitārī 340  
 pollicitātiō 340  
 pollinārius 477  
 pollīnctor 478  
 pollingō -ere 478  
 pollūbrum 331  
 pollūceō 478  
 pollūcibiliter 478  
 pollūctūra 478  
 polluō -ere 479  
 pōmārium 479  
 pōmārius 479  
 pomērium /  
   pomoerium 396  
 Pōmōna 479  
 Pōmōnālis 479  
 pōmus/m 479  
 ponderāre 457  
 ponderitās 457  
 ponderōsus 457  
 pondō 457  
 pondus 457  
 pōne 483  
 pōnō -ere 476 479  
 pōns 479  
 pontificālis 479  
 pontificius 479  
 pontifex 479  
 popīna 134  
 poples 480  
 Poplifugia 480  
 populābundus 480  
 populārī 480  
 populāris 480  
 populāritās 480  
 populātim 480  
 pōpuleus 480  
 pōpulneus 480  
 pōpulnus 480  
 populus 480  
 pōpulus 480  
 por- 481  
 por(r)gere 517  
 porca 481  
 porcellus 481  
 porcēre 51  
 porcet 476  
 porcetra 481  
 porcīnārius 481  
 porcīnus 481  
 porculātiō 481  
 porculus 481  
 porcus 481  
 porod 481  
 porricere 293  
 porīna 481  
 porrō 481  
 porrum 481  
 porta 482  
 portendere 612  
 porticus 482  
 portiō 448  
 portisculus 482  
 portitor 482  
 portō -āre 482  
 portōrium 482  
 Portūnālis 482  
 Portūnium 482  
 Portūnus 482  
 portus 482  
 pōsca 485  
 poscō -ere 483  
 positūra 479  
 possessor 552  
 possidēre 552  
 possidere 562  
 post 483  
 posterī 483  
 posterior 483  
 posterus 483  
 posthibēre 277  
 postūculum 483  
 postūcus 483  
 postiliō 483  
 postis 484  
 postrēmus 483  
 postrīdiē 170  
 postulāre 483  
 postulātiō 483  
 postumus 484  
 Postumus 484  
 pōtāre 485  
 pōtātiō 485  
 pōtātor 485  
 potēns 484  
 potestās 484  
 potī(r) 484  
 pōtiō 485  
 potior 484  
 potīre 484  
 potis 484  
 potissimus 484  
 pōtītāre 485  
 potius 484  
 pōtus 485  
 prae 485  
 praebēre 277  
 praebia 277  
 praebitiō 277  
 praecaveō 101  
 praecellere 105  
 praiceps 91  
 praecidēre 79  
 praecingere 114  
 praecipe 91  
 praecipere 89  
 praecipēs 91  
 praecipuus 89  
 praecīlārus 118  
 praecō 169  
 praecōnium 169  
 praecordia 135  
 praecox 134  
 praecurrere 157  
 praeda 487  
 praedārī 487  
 praedātor 487  
 praedicāre 169  
 praedicātiō 169  
 praedicere 169  
 praedium 655  
 praedō 487  
 praedōnulus 487  
 praesesse 599  
 praefārī 231  
 praeferre 213  
 praefervidus 215  
 praefestīnāre 216  
 praefestīnātīm 216  
 praefinīre 222  
 praefringere 239  
 praefulcīre 247  
 praefurnium 235  
 praegnās 401  
 praegnātiō 401  
 praegradāre 268  
 praegrādis 270  
 praehibēre 277  
 praefire 191  
 praeligāneus 332  
 praeligāre 341  
 praeloquī 348  
 praemandāre 363  
 praemāturus 367  
 praemercārī 376  
 praemetuere 378  
 praemiātor 188  
 praemiōsus 188  
 praemium 188  
 praemodum 384  
 praemōnstrāre 387  
 praemōnstrātor 387  
 praemordēre 389  
 praemorī 389  
 praenarrāre 267  
 praenōmen 412  
 praenōmināre 412  
 praenūntius 419  
 praecolēre 426  
 praecoptāre 431  
 praepandere 442  
 praeparāre 446  
 praepedīmentum 462  
 praepedīre 462  
 praepēs 463  
 praepetere 464  
 praeponderāre 457  
 praepōnere 479  
 praepotēns 484  
 praepūtium 501  
 praerōdere 526  
 praes 655  
 praesaepēs 533  
 praesāgīre 534  
 praesciscere 545  
 praescribere 546  
 praesecāre 550  
 praesegmen 550  
 praesēns 599  
 praesentārius 599  
 praesēpium 533  
 praesertīm 557  
 praeservīre 559  
 praeses 552  
 praesidēre 552  
 praesidium 552  
 praest(r)īgiae 592  
 praestābilis 589  
 praestāre 589  
 praestūgiātor 592  
 praestūgiātrīx 592  
 praestināre 589

- praestituere 589  
 praestō 485 589  
 praestōlō/or 486  
 praestringere 592  
 praesul 535  
 praeter 485  
 praeterbītere 68  
 praeterere 616  
 praeterīre 191  
 praeteritus 191  
 praetermeāre 373  
 praetermittere 384  
 praetexta 619  
 praetimēre 620  
 praetor 191  
 praetōrius 191  
 praetorquēre 624  
 praetūra 191  
 praeuides 655  
 praevellere 659  
 praevenīre 661  
 praeverbium 664  
 praeviridāns 682  
 praeuius 673  
 prandēre 486  
 prandium 486  
 prānsor 486  
 prātum 487  
 prāvitās 487  
 prāvus 487  
 precārī 488  
 precārīō 488  
 precātor 488  
 prehendō -ere 487  
 prēlum 487  
 premō -ere 487  
 pressāre 487  
 pretiōsus 488  
 pretium 488  
 prex 488  
 prīdem 488  
 prīdiē 170 489  
 prīmānus 489  
 prīmārius 489  
 prīmātus 489  
 prīmigenius 260  
 prīmitus 489  
 primogenia 260  
 prīmōrdium 434  
 prīmōris 489  
 prīmulus 489  
 prīmus 489  
 prīnceps 489  
 prīncipium 108 489  
 prior 488  
 prīscus 489  
 prīstinus 489  
 priusquam 488  
 prīvāre 489  
 prīvātīm 489  
 prīvīgna 489  
 prīvīgnus 260  
 prīvus 489  
 pro 489  
 prō 489  
 prō(r)sus/m 667  
 proavus 489  
 probābilis 490  
 probāre 490  
 probātiō 490  
 probēre 277  
 probitās 490  
 prōbītere 68  
 probrum 490  
 probus 490  
 procāre 492  
 procāx 492  
 prōce/austria 97  
 prōcēdere 103  
 procella 105  
 prōcellere 105  
 procerēs 491  
 prōcērus 491  
 procī 491  
 procītum 492  
 prōclivis 122  
 prōclīvus 122  
 prōcreāre 142  
 prōcrēscere 144  
 prōcūdere 149  
 procul 491  
 prōcumbere 152  
 prōcūrāre 156  
 prōcūrātiō 156  
 prōcūrātor 156  
 prōcurrere 157  
 procus 492  
 prōdere 174  
 prōdesse 599  
 prōdicere 169  
 prōdigere 30  
 prōdigīālis 30  
 prōdigium 30  
 prōdigus 30  
 prōdīre 191  
 prōditor 174  
 prōdūcere 181  
 prōductāre 181  
 proeliāre 492  
 proeliāris 492  
 proelium 492  
 profānāre 201  
 profānus 201  
 prōfārī 231  
 prōfectō 198  
 prōferre 214  
 prōfēstus 212  
 prōfitērī 204  
 prōflīgāre 226  
 prōfluere 228  
 prōfluvium 228  
 prōfluvius 228  
 prōfugere 246  
 prōfundere 249  
 profundus 250  
 prōgeniēs 260  
 prōgenitor 260  
 prōgignere 260  
 prōgnārīter 267  
 prōgnātus 401  
 prōgredi 269  
 prōhibēre 277  
 prōicere 293  
 prōiectāre 293  
 prōiectīcius 293  
 prōiectus 293  
 prōlābī 319  
 prōlectāre 321  
 prōlētārius 426  
 prōlicere 321  
 prōlixitūdō 347  
 prōlixus 347  
 prōloquī 348  
 prōloquium 348  
 prōlubium 338  
 prōluere 331  
 prōluviēs 331  
 promenervat 380  
 prōmere 188  
 prōmerēre 374  
 prōmicāre 378  
 prōmiscuē 382  
 prōmittere 384  
 prōmonēre 387  
 prōmovēre 391  
 promptāre 188  
 promptārius 188  
 promptus 188  
 prōmulgāre 393  
 prōmuntū/ōrium 388  
 prōmus 188  
 prōnōmen 412  
 prōnus 489  
 prōpāgāre 443  
 prōpāgātiō 443  
 prōpāgēs 442  
 prōpagmen 443  
 prōpāgō 443  
 prōpalam 439  
 prope 492  
 prōpellere 456  
 prōpendēre 457  
 properāre 447  
 properātīm 447  
 properiter 447  
 properus 446  
 propinquāre 492  
 propinquitās 492  
 propinquus 492  
 propior 492  
 propitiābilis 492  
 propitiāre 492  
 propitius 492  
 propriāssit 493  
 proprītīm 493  
 proprius 493  
 propter 492  
 propudiōsus 496  
 propudium 496  
 prōpūgnāculum 499  
 prōpūgnāre 499  
 prōpulsāre 456  
 prōquirītāta 510  
 prōripere 514  
 prōrogāre 526  
 prōrsus 667  
 prōruere 530  
 prōscindere 544  
 prōsecāre 550  
 prōsequī 556  
 prōserpere 558  
 prosiciēs 550  
 prōsilīre 535  
 prōspectus 579  
 prosperāre 493  
 prosperus 493  
 prōspicere 579  
 prōspicus 579  
 prōstāre 589  
 prōstībilis 589  
 prōstībulum 589  
 prōstituere 589  
 prōsum 599  
 prōtēlāre 612  
 prōtēlum 612  
 prōtervitās 494  
 prōtervus 494  
 protinam 489  
 protinus 489  
 prōtollere 621  
 prōvehere 658  
 prōvenīre 661  
 prōvidēre 676  
 prōvincia 679  
 prōvinciālis 679  
 prōvisere 676  
 prōvocāre 692  
 prōvocātor 692  
 prōvolvere 689  
 proximus 492  
 prūdēns 676



- pruīna 494  
 prūna 494  
 prūriō -ire 494  
 -pte 494  
 pūbertās 495  
 pūbēs -is 495  
 pūbēscere 495  
 pūblicānus 495  
 pūblicāre 495  
 pūblicitus 495  
 pūblicus 495  
 Publius 480  
 pudeō 496  
 pudicitia 496  
 pudicus 496  
 pudor 496  
 puella 496  
 puellāscere 496  
 puellitārī 496  
 puellula 496  
 puellus 496  
 puer 496  
 puera 496  
 puerculus 496  
 puerilis 496  
 puerilitās 496  
 pueritia 496  
 puerpera 447  
 puerperium 447  
 pugil 499  
 pugilatōrius 499  
 pugilatūs 499  
 pugilicē 499  
 pugillāris 499  
 pugillus 499  
 pūgiō 499  
 pūgna 499  
 pūgnāculum 499  
 pūgnāre 499  
 pugneus 499  
 pūgnus 499  
 pulcher 496  
 pulchrālia 496  
 pulchritās 496  
 pulchritūdō 496  
 pūlex 496  
 pullārius 502  
 pullitra 502  
 pullus 497 502  
 pulmentārium 497  
 pulmentum 497  
 pulmō 497  
 pulmōneus 497  
 pulpa 497  
 pulpāmentum 497  
 puls 498  
 pulsāre 456  
 pulsātiō 456  
 pultāre 456  
 pultātiō 456  
 pultiphagus 498  
 pulverāre 498  
 pulverulentus 498  
 pulvīnār 498  
 pulvīnus 498  
 pulvis 498  
 pulvisculus 498  
 pūmex 498  
 pūmicāre 498  
 pūmiceus 498  
 pūmiliō 499  
 pūmilus 499  
 pūnctāriola 499  
 pungō 499  
 pūpa 500  
 pūpilla 500  
 pūpillus 500  
 puppis 500  
 pūpula 500  
 pūpuīus 500  
 pūpus 500  
 pūr(i)gō 500  
 pūrgāmentum 500  
 pūrgātiō 500  
 purgō 31  
 pūrigāre 31  
 pūruīentus 501  
 pūrus 500  
 pūs pūris 501  
 pūsa 502  
 pusillus 502  
 pustula 501  
 pūsus 502  
 putāmen 502  
 putātiō 502  
 putātor 502  
 puteālis 502  
 Puteolānus 502  
 Puteolī 502  
 puter 501  
 pūtēre 501  
 pūtēscere 501  
 puteus 502  
 puticulī 502  
 pūtīdus 501  
 putillus 502  
 putō -āre 502  
 pūtor 501  
 pūtrefacere 501  
 putrēre 501  
 putrēscere 501  
 putridus 501  
 putus 502  
 quā 507  
 quadrāgēnārius 262  
 505  
 quadrāgēnī 262 505  
 quadrāgēsīmus 262  
 505  
 quadrāgiēns 262 505  
 quadrāgīnta 505  
 quadrāns 505  
 quadrantālī 505  
 quadrātus 505  
 quadrīduum 173  
 quadrīga 314  
 quadrīgārius 314  
 quadrīgeminus 256  
 quadrīugus 314  
 quadrīmē(n)stris 373  
 quadrīmulus 284  
 quadrīmus 284  
 quadrīngētī 107  
 505  
 quadrīnī 505  
 quadrupedāns 462  
 quadrupedus 462  
 quadrupēs 462  
 quadruplāre 475  
 quadruplātor 475  
 quadruplex 473  
 quadruplicāre 473  
 quadruplus 475  
 quaeque 506  
 quaeritāre 503  
 quaerere, -ō 503  
 quaesere 503  
 quaesitiō 503  
 quaesticulus 503  
 quaestiō 503  
 quaestor 503  
 quaestuōsus 503  
 quālis 507  
 quālus/m 504  
 quam 507  
 -quam 510  
 quamde 507  
 quamdiū 173  
 quamquam 507  
 quande 507  
 quandō 160 507  
 quansei 507  
 quantillus 507  
 quantisper 459 507  
 quantulus 507  
 quantus 507  
 quantusquantus 507  
 quāquā 507  
 quārīariis 505  
 quārītālō 505  
 quārītus 505  
 quasi 507  
 quasillum/s 504  
 quassāre 504  
 quater 505  
 quaternī 505  
 quatiō -ere 504  
 quattuor 505  
 quattuordecim 163  
 505  
 -que 506 510 553  
 quēō quīre 506  
 quercus 506  
 querēla 507  
 querimōnia 507  
 querneus 506  
 queror -ī 507  
 querquētum 506  
 quī 510  
 quī quae quod 507  
 quia 510  
 quianam 399  
 quicquam 507  
 quīdam 160  
 quidque 506  
 quidquid 510  
 quīēs -ētis 508  
 quīēscere 508  
 quīlibet 338  
 quīn(c)tus 509  
 quīnārius 509  
 quīnavīcēnārius 509  
 quīncunx 509  
 quīndecim 163 509  
 quīngētī 509  
 quīngētī 107  
 quīnī 509  
 quīnquāgēnārius 262  
 509  
 quīnquāgēsīēs 262  
 509  
 quīnquāgiē(n)s 262  
 quīnquāgītā 509  
 quīnquātūris 509  
 quīnque 509  
 quīnquertīō 509  
 quīntānus 509  
 Quīntīlis 509  
 quippe 452  
 Quīrīnālis 509  
 Quīrīnus 509  
 quīrīs 509  
 quīrītāre 509  
 quis quae quid 510  
 quispiam 452  
 quisquam 507  
 quisque 506  
 quisquis 510  
 quō 507  
 quōdīcā 115  
 quodque 506  
 quōdmodō 384

- quondam 152 160  
 quoniam 152  
 quoque 507  
 quōquō 507  
 Quorta 505  
 quot 511  
 quotannis 511  
 quotiens 511  
 quotquot 511  
 quotumus 511  
 quotus 511  
 rabidus 511  
 rabiēs 511  
 rabiō -ere 511  
 rabiōsus 511  
 racēmus 511  
 radiāre 512  
 rādīcitus 512  
 rādīcula 512  
 radiōsus 512  
 radius 512  
 rādīx -īcis 512  
 rādō -ere 512  
 raia 512  
 rāllum 512  
 rāllus 512  
 rāmenta 512  
 rāmentum 512  
 rāmes 513  
 rāmex 513  
 rāmōsus 513  
 rāmulus 513  
 rāmus 513  
 rāna 513  
 ranceō 513  
 rancidus 513  
 rāpa 514  
 rapāx 513  
 rāpicus 514  
 rapidus 513  
 rāpīna 514  
 rapīnātor 513  
 rapiō -ere 513  
 rapister 513  
 rapsāre 514  
 raptāre 513  
 raptim 513  
 raptiō 513  
 raptor 513  
 rāpula 514  
 rāpum 514  
 rarēfacere 514  
 rāreter 514  
 rārēscere 514  
 rāritūdō 514  
 rārō 514  
 rārus 514  
 rāstellus 512  
 rāstrum 512  
 rāsus 512  
 ratiō 519  
 ratis 514  
 ratītus 514  
 ratiuncula 519  
 raucus 515  
 raudus 515  
 raudusculum 515  
 rausūrus 515  
 rāviāre 515  
 ravis 515  
 ravus 515  
 rāvus 515  
 re- red- 516  
 rebītere 68  
 recalvus 85  
 recēdere 103  
 recellere 105  
 recēns 516  
 recessim 103  
 recidere 78  
 recīdere 79  
 reciperātor 89  
 recipere 89  
 reciprocāre 516  
 reciprocus 516  
 reclāmāre 117  
 reclīnāre 121  
 reclūdere 118  
 recolere 125  
 recommentārī 371  
 recomminīscī 371  
 reconciliāre 85  
 rēconcinnāre 129  
 recordārī 135  
 recreāre 142  
 rector 517  
 recubāre 152  
 rēcula 520  
 recumbere 152  
 recuperāre 89  
 recurrere 157  
 recursāre 157  
 rēcūsāre 101  
 redambulāre 38  
 redamptruāre 40  
 reddere 174  
 redemptitāre 188  
 redemptor 188  
 redhalāre 279  
 redhibēre 277  
 redhostire 292  
 redigere 30  
 redimere 188  
 redimīculum 517  
 redimiō -īre 517  
 redīre 191  
 reditiō 191  
 redivīvus 642  
 redōrdior 433  
 redūcere 181  
 reduvia 642  
 reduviōsus 642  
 redux 181  
 refellere 199  
 referīre 213  
 referre 214  
 reflāre 226  
 reflectere 225  
 refrāgāre/ī 597  
 refrēnāre 241  
 refricāre 243  
 refrīgerāre 243  
 refrīgēscere 243  
 refringere 239  
 refriuvus 243  
 refugere 246  
 refulgēre 247  
 rēgālis 522  
 rēgia 522  
 rēgificus 522  
 regignere 260  
 regillam 522  
 rēgillus 517 522  
 regimen 517  
 rēgīna 522  
 regiō 517  
 rēgius 522  
 reglīscere 265  
 regnāre 522  
 regnātor 522  
 regnum 522  
 regō -ere 517  
 regredī 269  
 rēgula 517  
 rēgulus 522  
 rēicere 293  
 rēiculus 293  
 rēiectāre 293  
 rēlaxāre 331  
 relēgāre 337  
 relegere 332  
 religāre 341  
 religiō 341  
 religiōsus 341  
 relinquere 344  
 reliquiae 344  
 reliquus 344  
 relūcēre 355  
 reluere 353  
 remānāre 363  
 remanēre 362  
 remeāre 373  
 remedium 368  
 rēmex 30 518  
 rēmigium 518  
 remigrāre 379  
 reminīscī 371  
 remissārius 384  
 remissiō 384  
 remittere 384  
 remora 388  
 remorārī 388  
 remorbēscere 389  
 remordēre 389  
 removēre 391  
 rēmus 518  
 rēnēs 519  
 renīdeō 519  
 renidēscere 519  
 renovāre 416  
 renumerāre 419  
 renūntiāre 419  
 renūntius 419  
 renūtāre 419  
 reor rēī 519  
 repāgula 443  
 repandirōstrus 442  
 repandus 442  
 reparcere 445  
 repedāre 462  
 repellere 456  
 repēns 520  
 repentīnus 520  
 reperīre 446  
 repertum 446  
 repetere 464  
 replicāre 472  
 rēpō -ere 520  
 repōnere 479  
 reportāre 482  
 reposcere 483  
 repperī 446  
 repre(he)ndere 487  
 reprīmere 488  
 reprōmittere 384  
 rēptāre 520  
 repudiāre 496  
 repudiōsus 496  
 repudium 496  
 repuerāscere 496  
 repūgnāre 499  
 repulsāre 456  
 requiēs 508  
 requiēscere 508  
 requirere 503  
 requirītāre 503  
 rēs 520  
 resarcīre 539  
 rescindere 544  
 rescīscere 545  
 reseccāre 550  
 reseccāre 532

- reserere 557  
 reses 552  
 residere 552  
 residere 562  
 residuus 552  
 resilire 535  
 resipere 538  
 resipiscere 538  
 resistere 567  
 resolvere 573  
 resonare 574  
 resonere 574  
 respergere 578  
 respirare 581  
 respondere 582  
 responsare 582  
 responsio 582  
 responsor 582  
 respuere 583  
 restare 589  
 restaurare 305  
 restibilire 589  
 restibilis 589  
 resticula 521  
 restinguere 588  
 restio 521  
 restis 521  
 restitare 589  
 restituere 589  
 restringere 592  
 resultare 535  
 resumere 188  
 resupinare 595  
 resupinus 595  
 rete / retis 521  
 retentare 613  
 reticentia 604  
 reticere 604  
 reticulatus 521  
 reticulum 521  
 retinaculum 613  
 retinere 613  
 retorridus 624  
 retribuere 629  
 retributum 616  
 retrō 516  
 retrorsus/m 667  
 retrudere 630  
 retundere 633  
 returare 423  
 reus 521  
 revellere 659  
 revenire 661  
 reverecunditer 665  
 revereri 665  
 revidere 676  
 revisere 676  
 revivescere 685  
 revocare 692  
 revolvere 689  
 revorrere 666  
 rex 522  
 rēcinium 522  
 rica 522  
 ricinus 522  
 rictus 524  
 rideo 522  
 ridibundus 522  
 ridica 522  
 ridicularius 522  
 ridiculus 522  
 rigeo 523  
 rigidus 523  
 rigo 523  
 rigor 523  
 rima 523  
 rimare/ī 523  
 ringor -ī 524  
 rīpa 524  
 risio 522  
 risitare 522  
 rīte 524  
 ritus -ūs 524  
 rivālis 524  
 rivulus 524  
 rivus 524  
 rixa 525  
 rixari 525  
 Rōbigalia 525  
 rōbiginosus 525  
 rōbigō 525  
 Rōbigus 525  
 rōborare 525  
 robōsem 525  
 rōbur 525  
 rōbus 525  
 rōbustus 525  
 rōdō -ere 526  
 rogatio 526  
 rogator 526  
 rogatus 526  
 rogitare 526  
 rogō -āre 526  
 rogos 526  
 rōrare 526  
 rōrifera 526  
 rōrulenta 526  
 rōs 526  
 rōscidus 526  
 rōstratus 526  
 rōstrum 526  
 rota 527  
 rotula 527  
 rotundus 527  
 ruber 527  
 rubere 527  
 rubicundus 527  
 rūbidus 527  
 rubor 527  
 rubrica 527  
 rubricosus 527  
 ructare/ī 529  
 ructus 529  
 rūductus 527  
 rudis 527  
 rūdō -ere 528  
 rūdus 527  
 rūfulus 528  
 rūfus 528  
 rūga 528  
 rūgare 528  
 rūgiō -ire 528  
 -rūgō -ere 529  
 rūgōsus 528  
 rūina 530  
 rūma 529  
 rūmare 529  
 rūmen 529  
 rūmentum 529  
 rūmiferare 529  
 Rūmīna 529  
 Rūminālis 529  
 rūminare 529  
 rūmis 529  
 rūmitare 529  
 rūmor 529  
 rumpō -ere 529  
 rūna 530  
 runcō -āre 530  
 ruō -ere 1 530  
 ruō -ere 2 530  
 rūpēs 530  
 rupex 530  
 rūrare/ī 531  
 rursus/m 667  
 rū(s)us/m 667  
 rūs 531  
 rūsceus 531  
 rūscus 531  
 rūspor -ārī 531  
 russescere 527  
 russus 527  
 rūsticātim 531  
 rūsticus 531  
 rūta 530  
 rutabulum 530  
 rutellum 530  
 rutilare 527  
 rutilus 527  
 rutrum 530  
 rutus 530  
 sabulō 531  
 sabulosus 531  
 sabulum 531  
 saburra 531  
 saburrare 531  
 sacellum 532  
 sacer 532  
 sācer 532  
 sacerdos 532  
 sacerdotula 532  
 sacramentum 532  
 sacrarium 532  
 sācrem 532  
 sācres 532  
 sacrificare 532  
 sacrificium 532  
 sacrificulus 532  
 sacrilegus 332 532  
 saeculum 533  
 saepe 533  
 saepēs 533  
 saepiculē 533  
 saepimentum 533  
 saepire 533  
 saeptuosus 533  
 saeta 533  
 saetiger 533  
 saevire 534  
 saevitia 534  
 saevitūdō 534  
 saevus 534  
 sāga 534  
 sagātus 534  
 sagāx 534  
 sāgire 534  
 sagitta 534  
 sagittarius 534  
 sagittatus 534  
 sagmen 532  
 sagulum 534  
 sagum 534  
 sāgus 534  
 sāl 535  
 Salacia 535  
 salārius 535  
 salebra 536  
 salictarius 536  
 salictum 536  
 saligneus 536  
 salignus 536  
 salilium 535  
 salinator 535  
 salinum 535  
 salio -ire 535  
 saliva 536  
 salix 536  
 sallere 535  
 sallire 535  
 salsamentum 535  
 salsum 535  
 salsūra 535

- salsus 535  
 saltāre 535  
 saltātiō 535  
 saltem 536  
 saltus 535  
 salūber 537  
 salūbritās 537  
 salum 535  
 salūs 537  
 salūtāre 537  
 salūtāris 537  
 salūtigerulus 259  
 salvēre 537  
 salvus 537  
 sānāre 538  
 sancīre 532  
 sānctēscere 532  
 sānctitūdō 532  
 Sancus 532  
 sanguinolentus 537  
 sanguīs 537  
 sanguisūga 598  
 saniēs 538  
 sānitās 538  
 sānus 538  
 sapa 538  
 sapiō -ere 538  
 sapor 538  
 sarcina 539  
 sarcinātor 539  
 sarcinātrix 539  
 sarcinātus 539  
 sarcio -īre 539  
 sarcta 539  
 sarculum 539  
 sāriō -īre 539  
 sarmentum 540  
 sarp(i)ō 540  
 sartor 539  
 satiāre 540  
 satiās 540  
 satietās 540  
 satīn 403  
 satiō 557  
 satis 540  
 satisfacere 540  
 satīvus 557  
 sator 557  
 satullāre 540  
 satullus 540  
 satur 540  
 saturāre 540  
 saturitās 540  
 sauciāre 540  
 sancius 540  
 sāvillum 594  
 sāvium 594  
 saxātilis 541  
 saxeus 541  
 saxum 541  
 scaber 541  
 scabi/ellum 542  
 scabiēs 541  
 scabō -ere 541  
 scabrēre 541  
 scabrēs 541  
 scaeva 541  
 scaevola 541  
 scaevus 541  
 scālae 542  
 scalpō -ere 542  
 scalprum 542  
 scalptūra 542  
 scalpurrē 542  
 scamnum 542  
 scandō -ere 542  
 scandula 544  
 scānsiō 542  
 scapulae 543  
 scāpus 546  
 scatebra 543  
 scatō -ere 543  
 scaturrex 543  
 scauripeda 544  
 scaurus 544  
 scelerātus 544  
 scelerōsus 544  
 scelestus 544  
 scelus 544  
 scientia 545  
 scīlicet 340 545  
 scindō -ere 544  
 scindula 544  
 scintilla 545  
 scintillāre 545  
 sciō scīre 545  
 scīpiō 545  
 scirpeus 546  
 scirpiculus 546  
 scirpus 546  
 scīscere 545  
 scīscitārī 545  
 scītamenta 545  
 scītārī 545  
 scītulus 545  
 sciūs 545  
 scobīna 541  
 scobis 541  
 scōpae 546  
 scōpiō 546  
 scōpus 546  
 scortārī 546  
 scortātor 546  
 scortēs 546  
 scorteus 546  
 scortum 546  
 scrautum 548  
 scrība 546  
 scrībō -ere 546  
 scrīnium 547  
 scrīp(t)ulum 548  
 scrīptor 546  
 scrīptūra 546  
 scrīptūrārius 546  
 scrobiculus 547  
 scrobis 547  
 scrōfa 547  
 scrōfipascus 547  
 scrōtum 548  
 scrūpeus 547  
 scrūpōsus 547  
 scrūpulōsus 547  
 scrūpulus 547  
 scrūpus 547  
 scrūta 548  
 scrūtārī 548  
 scrūtārius 548  
 scrūtillus 548  
 sculpōneae 542  
 scurra 548  
 scūta 548  
 scūtārius 548  
 scutella 548  
 scūtigerulus 259  
 scutra 548  
 scutriscum 548  
 scutula 548  
 scūtum 548  
 sē 549  
 sē- se- sō- so- 549  
 sēbum 550  
 sēcēdere 103  
 sēcernere 110  
 secespita 550  
 secō -āre 550  
 sēcētus 110  
 secta 555  
 sectārī 555  
 sectārius 555  
 sectātor 555  
 sectiō 550  
 sector 550  
 sectūra 550  
 sēcula 550  
 secundāre 556  
 secundārius 556  
 secundus 556  
 secūricula 550  
 secūris 550  
 sēcūrus 156  
 secus 551 560  
 sed 549  
 sēd 549  
 sēdāre 551  
 sēdecim 560  
 sēdecin 163  
 sedentārius 551  
 sedeō 551  
 sēdēs 551  
 sediculum 551  
 sedīle 551  
 sēditiō 191  
 sēditiōsus 191  
 sēdūcere 181  
 sēdulitās 177  
 sēdulō 177  
 seges 552  
 sēgnis 552  
 sēgnitās 552  
 sēgnitia 552  
 sēgregāre 273  
 sēiugis 314  
 sēiungere 314  
 sēlībra 339 553  
 sēlīgere 332  
 sella 551  
 sem- sim- 553  
 sēmē(n)stris 373  
 semel 553  
 sēmen 557  
 sēmentis 557  
 sēmentivus 557  
 sēmestris 560  
 sēmi- 553  
 sēmifūnium 250  
 sēmimarīnus 365  
 sēmimās 366  
 sēmināre 557  
 sēminārius 557  
 sēminium 557  
 sēmis 57 553  
 sēmita 373  
 sēmitātīm 373  
 sēmodius 384 553  
 semper 459 553  
 sempiternus 553  
 semul 564  
 senāculum 553  
 senātor 553  
 senātus 553  
 seneciō 553  
 senecta 553  
 senectūs 553  
 senēre 553  
 senēscere 553  
 senex 553  
 sēnī 560  
 senica 553  
 senīlis 553  
 senior 553  
 seniōsus 553  
 senium 553

- sensibilis 554  
 sēnsilis 554  
 sēnsim 554  
 sēnsus 554  
 sententia 554  
 sentīna 554  
 sentīnāre 554  
 sentīnōsus 554  
 sentiō -īre 554  
 sentiōscere 554  
 seorsus 667  
 sēparāre 446  
 sepelībilis 555  
 sepeliō 555  
 septem 555  
 septemdecim 163  
 555  
 september 555  
 septēnī 555  
 septentrionālis 555  
 septentrionēs 555  
 septimānus 555  
 septimus 555  
 septingentī 555  
 septuāgēmī 262  
 septuāgintā 555  
 septuennis 555  
 septunx 555  
 sepulcrum 555  
 sepultūra 555  
 sequāx 555  
 sequester 551  
 sequius 551  
 sequor 555  
 sera 557  
 serēnus 556  
 serēscere 556  
 seriēs 557  
 serīlia 557  
 sērius 556  
 sermō 557  
 sermōcinārī 557  
 sermōnātus 557  
 sērō 558  
 serō 1 557  
 serō 2 557  
 serpens 558  
 serpō -ere 558  
 serpullum 558  
 serra 558  
 serrula 558  
 serum 558  
 sērus 558  
 serva 559  
 servāre 559  
 servātor 559  
 servātrix 559  
 servīliculus 559  
 servīlis 559  
 servīre 559  
 servitium 559  
 servitūs 559  
 servola 559  
 servolus 559  
 servus 559  
 sescentī 107 560  
 sēsque 553  
 sessibulum 551  
 sessiō 551  
 sēstertius 553  
 sētius 559  
 seu 561 656  
 seuorsum 667  
 sevērītās 560  
 sevērītūdō 560  
 sevērus 560  
 sex 560  
 sexāgēnārius 262  
 sexāgēnī 262 560  
 sexāgēsīmus 262  
 560  
 sexāgintā 560  
 sexennis 560  
 sextāns 560  
 sextārius 560  
 sextīlis 560  
 sextus 560  
 sexus 560  
 sī sic 561  
 sībilāre 561  
 sībilus 561  
 sībus 561  
 sīca 561  
 sīcārius 561  
 siccāre 562  
 siccītās 562  
 siccoculus 562  
 siccus 562  
 sīcilicula 561  
 sīcīlimenta 561  
 sīcīlire 561  
 sīcīlis 561  
 sīcubi 636  
 sīdō -ere 562  
 sīdus 562  
 sigillātus 563  
 sigillum 563  
 sīgnāre 563  
 significāre 563  
 significātiō 563  
 sīgnum 563  
 silentium 563  
 silentus 563  
 sileō 563  
 silēscere 563  
 silex 564  
 siliceus 564  
 silicula 564  
 silīgineus 564  
 silīgō 564  
 siliqua 564  
 silva 564  
 Silvānus 564  
 silvāticus 564  
 silvester 564  
 silvicola 125 564  
 simbella 339 553  
 similis 564  
 similitās 564  
 similitūdō 564  
 simītū 191 553  
 simplex 473 553  
 simplicitās 473 553  
 simplus 475 553  
 simpu(v)ium 565  
 simpulum 565  
 simul 564  
 simulācrum 564  
 simulāre 564  
 simulātiō 564  
 simultās 564  
 simulter 564  
 sīn 561  
 sincēris 565  
 sincērus 565  
 sincīnia 553  
 sincipitāmentum 553  
 sinciput 91 553  
 singillātīm 566  
 singulāris 566  
 singulārius 566  
 singulī 566  
 singultāre 566  
 singultus 267 566  
 sinister 566  
 sinō -ere 566  
 sinus 567  
 supō -āre 601  
 Sīspita 577  
 sistō -ere 567  
 sitīcen 88 568  
 sitīre 568  
 sitis 568  
 sitis 566 568  
 sive 561 656  
 so 568  
 sobrietās 568  
 sobrius 576  
 sobrius 576  
 sobrius 568  
 socer 569  
 socia 569  
 sociennus 569  
 societās 569  
 socius 569  
 socordia 135  
 socors 135  
 socrus 569  
 sodālicium 570  
 sodālis 570  
 sodalitās 570  
 sōl 570  
 sōlācium 572  
 sōlārium 570  
 solea 572  
 soleārius 572  
 soleātus 572  
 soleō 570  
 soliar 571  
 solidus 571  
 sōlitārius 573  
 sōlitās 573  
 sōlitūdō 573  
 solium 571  
 sollemnis 571  
 sollemnitus 571  
 sollers 55 571  
 sollicitāre 113 571  
 sollicitātiō 113 572  
 sollicitūdō 113 572  
 sollicitus 113 571  
 sollicurius 571  
 solliferreum 571  
 sollistimum 571  
 sollus 571  
 sōlor -ārī 572  
 sōlōx 572  
 sōlstitiālis 570 589  
 sōlstitium 570 589  
 solum 572  
 sōlus 573  
 solūtīō 573  
 solvō -ere 573  
 somniāre 573  
 somnīculōsus 573  
 somnium 573  
 somnurnus 573  
 somnus 573  
 sonipēs 574  
 sonitus 574  
 sonīvius 574  
 sonō -āre 574  
 sonor 574  
 sōns 574  
 sonticus 574  
 sonus 574  
 sōpire 575  
 sopor 575  
 sorbeō 575  
 sorbilāre 575  
 sorbilō 575  
 sorbitiō 575



- submergere 375  
 submerus 376  
 submonēre 387  
 subnīxus 410  
 subolēre 426  
 suborūrī 434  
 subōrnāre 434  
 subrectitāre 517  
 subreptīcius 514  
 subrīdēre 522  
 subrūmus 529  
 subscribere 546  
 subscūs 149  
 subsecāre 550  
 subsequī 556  
 subservīre 559  
 subsicīvus 550  
 subsidere 562  
 subsidium 552  
 subsilīre 535  
 subsistere 567  
 substāre 589  
 substernere 586  
 substrāmen 586  
 subsultāre 535  
 subtegmen 619  
 subtel 605  
 subtēmen 619  
 subtendere 612  
 subtenuis 613  
 subter 594  
 subterere 616  
 subtilis 619  
 subtus 594  
 subūcula 642  
 sūbula 600  
 subulcus 77 603  
 sūbulō 561  
 subvectāre 658  
 subvehere 658  
 subvellere 659  
 subvenīre 661  
 subventāre 661  
 subverbustus 664  
 subvexus 133  
 subvulturius 688  
 succēdere 103  
 succendere 106  
 succīdāneus 79  
 succidere 78  
 succīdere 79  
 succinctus 114  
 succingulum 114  
 succrescere 144  
 succumbere 152  
 succurrere 157  
 sucerda 603  
 succīdia/succīdia 603  
 sūcidus 596  
 sūcula 603  
 sūcus 596  
 sūdāre 596  
 sūdātōrius 596  
 sūdor 596  
 sūdus 596  
 suēscō -ere 597  
 suffarcināre 202  
 sufferre 214  
 suffigere 219  
 suffiō -īre 597  
 sufflāre 226  
 suffrāgāre/ī 597  
 suffrāgātor 597  
 suffrāgium 597  
 suffrāgō 597  
 suffringere 239  
 suffugere 246  
 suffulcīre 247  
 suffūrārī 251  
 suggerere 259  
 suggestus 259  
 suggrunda 273  
 suggrundium 273  
 sūgillāre 598  
 sūgō -ere 598  
 suīle 603  
 suillus 603  
 sulcāre 598  
 sulcus 598  
 sulphur 598  
 sulphureus 598  
 sum, esse 599  
 sūmen 598  
 sūmere 188  
 summa 600  
 summānāre 363  
 Summānus 600  
 summās 600  
 summātim 600  
 summātus 600  
 summovēre 391  
 summus 600  
 sumptiō 188  
 sumptuōsus 188  
 suō -ere 600  
 suovetaurīlia 437  
 603  
 super 600  
 superāre 600  
 superbia 490  
 superbus 490  
 supercilium 114  
 superescit 599  
 superesse 599  
 superficiēs 198  
 superfieri 222  
 superior 600  
 supernē 600  
 supernus 600  
 supersedēre 552  
 superstes 589  
 superstitāre 589  
 superstitiōsus 589  
 superus 600  
 supervacāneus 649  
 supīnus 594  
 suppalpārī 441  
 suppāre 601  
 suppetere 464  
 suppetiae 464  
 suppingere 442  
 supplantāre 470  
 supplex 472  
 supplicāre 472  
 supplicium 472  
 supponere 479  
 supprimere 488  
 supprōmus 188  
 suppūrāre 501  
 suppus 601  
 supputāre 502  
 suprā 600  
 suprēmus 600  
 sūra 602  
 surculārius 602  
 surculus 602  
 surdus 602  
 surēmī 188  
 surēmpsit 188  
 surgere 517  
 surpere 514  
 surru/ipere 514  
 surus 602  
 sūs sūis 603  
 suscensēre 107  
 suscitāre 113  
 suspectāre 579  
 suspendere 457  
 suspendium 457  
 suspicāre/ī 579  
 suspicere 579  
 suspiciō 579  
 suspiciōsus 579  
 suspīrāre 581  
 suspīritus 581  
 sustentāre 613  
 sustinēre 613  
 susurrāre 602  
 susurrus 602  
 sūtēla 600  
 sūtor 600  
 sūtōrius 600  
 sūtīnus 600  
 suus 549  
 tab(u)līnum 604  
 tabella 604  
 tābeō 603  
 taberna 604  
 tabernaculum 604  
 tabernula 604  
 tābēs 603  
 tābescere 603  
 tābificābilis 603  
 tābificus 603  
 tabula 604  
 tabulātum 604  
 tābum 603  
 taceō 604  
 tacitulus 604  
 taciturnitās 604  
 taciturnus 604  
 tāctilis 606  
 tāctiō 606  
 taedet 605  
 taeter 605  
 taetrāre 605  
 taetritūdō 605  
 tagāx 606  
 tālea 605  
 tāliō 605  
 tālis 605  
 talpa 605  
 tālus 605  
 tam 606  
 tamen 606  
 tamquam 606  
 tandem 606  
 tangō -ere 606  
 tantillus 606  
 tantisper 459 606  
 tantus 606  
 tardāre 607  
 tardescere 607  
 tarditūdō 607  
 tardiusculus 607  
 tardor 607  
 tardus 607  
 tarmes 616  
 taura 607  
 taureus 607  
 taurīnus 607  
 taurus 607  
 taxāre 606  
 taxillus 605  
 taxim 606  
 taxus 607  
 tēctārium 608  
 tēctor 608  
 tēctōrius 608  
 teges 608  
 tegeticula 608  
 tēgillum 608

- tegimen 608  
 tegō -ere 608  
 tēgula 608  
 tēla 619  
 tellūs 608  
 tēlum 609  
 temerāre 609  
 temerārius 609  
 temere 609  
 temeritās 609  
 temeriter 609  
 temeritūdō 609  
 tēmētum 609  
 temnō -ere 609  
 tēmō 610  
 tempe/orī 611  
 temperantia 611  
 temperāre 611  
 temperātūra 611  
 temperius 611  
 tempestās 611  
 tempestīvus 611  
 tempestūs 611  
 templum 610  
 tempora 611  
 temporālis 611  
 temptō -āre 611  
 tempus 611  
 tēmulentus 609  
 tenācia 612  
 tenāx 612  
 tendō -ere 612  
 tenebrae 612  
 tenebricōsus 612  
 tenebricus 612  
 tenebrīō 612  
 tenebrōsus 612  
 tenellulus 613  
 tenellus 613  
 teneō 612  
 tener 613  
 tenerēscere 613  
 teneritūdō 613  
 tenor 612  
 tēnsa 612  
 tentipellium 455 612  
 tenuāre 613  
 tenuis 613  
 tenuitās 613  
 tenus 612  
 tepefacere 614  
 tepeō 614  
 tepidus 614  
 tepor 614  
 ter 628  
 terebra 616  
 terebrāre 616  
 terenus 613  
 tergeō 614  
 tergīnum 615  
 tergiversārī 615  
 tergum 615  
 tergus 615  
 termen 615  
 termentum 616  
 termes 615  
 terminālis 615  
 termināre 615  
 terminus 615  
 termō 615  
 ternī 628  
 terō -ere 616  
 terra 616  
 terrēnus 616  
 terreō 617  
 terrestris 616  
 terreus 616  
 terribilis 617  
 terricula 617  
 terrificāre 617  
 terrificus 617  
 territāre 617  
 territōrium 616  
 terror 617  
 terruncius 628  
 tertiāre 628  
 tertiārius 628  
 tertius 628  
 tescum 617  
 testa 617  
 testāmentum 618  
 testārī 618  
 testātim 617  
 testēs 618  
 testiculī 618  
 testimōnium 618  
 testis 618  
 testū 617  
 testuācium 617  
 testūdineus 617  
 testūdō 617  
 testum 617  
 tetricus 618  
 texō -ere 619  
 textilis 619  
 textor 619  
 textrīnum 619  
 textūra 619  
 textus 619  
 tībia 619  
 tībicen 88 619  
 tībicina 88 619  
 tigillum 619  
 tīgnum 619  
 tilia 620  
 timēfactus 620  
 timeō 620  
 timiditās 620  
 timidus 620  
 timor 620  
 timōs 620  
 tingō -ere 620  
 tītiō 620  
 tōfus 621  
 toga 608  
 togālis 608  
 togātus 608  
 togula 608  
 tolerābilis 621  
 tolerāre 621  
 tōlēs 621  
 tollō -ere 621  
 tolūtīlis 621  
 tolūtīm 621  
 tōmentum 622  
 tondeō 622  
 tonēscere 623  
 tongēre 623  
 tongitiō 623  
 tonitr(u)ālis 623  
 tonitrus 623  
 tonō -āre 623  
 tōnsa 623  
 tōnsilis 622  
 tōnsilla 621 623  
 tōnsitāre 622  
 tōnsor 622  
 tōnstrīna 622  
 tōnstrīx 622  
 tōnsūra 622  
 topper 459 624  
 torculārium 624  
 torculum 624  
 torculus 624  
 tormentum 624  
 tormina 624  
 torpēdō 624  
 torpeō 624  
 torpor 624  
 torqueō 624  
 torquēs 624  
 torreō 624  
 torrēscere 624  
 torridus 624  
 torris 624  
 torrus 624  
 tortāre 624  
 tortīvus 624  
 torulus 625  
 torus 625  
 torviter 625  
 torvus 625  
 tot 625  
 totidem 625  
 totiē(n)s 625  
 tōtus 625  
 trā(ns)mittere 384  
 trabālis 626  
 trabēcula 626  
 trabica 626  
 trabs 626  
 tractāre 626  
 tractim 626  
 tractus 626  
 trādere 174  
 trāditiō 174  
 trādūcere 181  
 trādūx 181  
 trāgula 626  
 trahāx 626  
 trahō -ere 626  
 trāicere 293  
 trāiectus 293  
 trāma 626  
 trāmes 373  
 tranquillāre 627  
 tranquillitās 627  
 tranquillus 627  
 trāns 627  
 trānsbītēre 68  
 trānscondere 543  
 trānscondere 79  
 transcurre 157  
 trānsferre 214  
 trānsfugere 246  
 trānsilīre 535  
 trānsīre 191  
 trānsitiō 191  
 trānslegere 332  
 trānsloquī 348  
 trānsmarīnus 365  
 trānsmissus 384  
 trānsmovēre 391  
 trānsmūtāre 398  
 trānsnāre 411  
 trānsserere 557  
 trānstinēre 613  
 trānsvolāre 688  
 trānsvolitāre 688  
 trecēnī 628  
 trecēnī 107 628  
 trēdecim 163  
 tremebundus 628  
 tremēscere 628  
 tremō -ere 628  
 tremor 628  
 tremulus 628  
 trepidāre 628  
 trepidulus 628  
 trepidus 628  
 trepit 628  
 trēs 628



- tressis 57  
 tribuere 629  
 tribulāre 616  
 tribūlis 629  
 tribulum 616  
 tribūnus 629  
 tribus 629  
 tribūtim 629  
 tribūtus 629  
 trīcae 629  
 trīcē(n)simus 262  
 trīcēnī 262  
 trīclīnium 121  
 trīcō 629  
 trīcōsus 629  
 trīduum 173  
 triēs 628  
 trientābulum 628  
 trigeminus 256  
 trīgintā 628  
 trilix 340  
 trimē(n)stris 373  
 trimodia 384  
 trimodius 384  
 trīmus 284  
 trīnī 628  
 tripālis 443  
 tripedāneus 462  
 triperūtus 448  
 triplex 473  
 tripodāre 462  
 tripudiāre 462  
 tripudium 462  
 triquetrus 630  
 trirēmis 518  
 triresmos 518  
 trīstis 630  
 trīstitās 630  
 trīstitia 630  
 trīticeus 616  
 trīticum 616  
 trītor 616  
 trītūra 616  
 trivenēfica 660  
 trivolum 616  
 trucīdāre 631  
 trucīdātīō 631  
 truculentus 631  
 trūdō -ere 630  
 truncus 630  
 trūsātilis 630  
 trux 631  
 tū 631  
 tuba 632  
 tūber 632  
 tūberōsus 632  
 tubicen 88 632  
 tubilūstrium 354  
 tubulus 632  
 tuburcinārī 632  
 tubus 632  
 tudes 633  
 tudiculāre 633  
 tuditāre 633  
 tueor 632  
 tum tunc 633  
 tumeō 633  
 tumidus 633  
 tumor 633  
 tumultuārī 633  
 tumultuōsus 633  
 tumultus 633  
 tumulus 633  
 tundō -ere 633  
 turba 634  
 turbāre 634  
 turbēlae 634  
 turbidus 634  
 turbō 634  
 turbulentus 634  
 turdārium 634  
 turdēlice 634  
 turdus 634  
 turgeō 635  
 turgēscere 635  
 turgidus 635  
 turma 634  
 turmātim 634  
 turpāre 635  
 turpiculus 635  
 turpis 635  
 turpitūdō 635  
 tussīre 635  
 tussis 635  
 Tūtānus 632  
 tūtāre/ī 632  
 tūtēla 632  
 Tūtīlina 632  
 tūtōr 632  
 tuus 631  
 ūber 636  
 ūbertās 636  
 ubī 636  
 ubīcumque 636  
 ubinam 636  
 ubiquāque 636  
 ubīque 636  
 ubivīs 636  
 ūdor 648  
 ūdus 648  
 ulcerōsus 637  
 ulcīscor -scī 636  
 ulcus 637  
 ūlginōsus 639  
 ūlīgō 639  
 ullō 636  
 ūllus 642  
 ulmeus 637  
 ulmitriba 637  
 ulmus 637  
 ulna 638  
 uls 638  
 ulterior 638  
 ultimus 638  
 ultor 636  
 ultrā 638  
 ultrō 638  
 ulula 638  
 ululō -āre 638  
 umbilīcus 639  
 umbō 639  
 umbra 639  
 umbrāculum 639  
 umbrāre 639  
 umbrāticulus 639  
 umbrāticus 639  
 umbrifer 639  
 ūmectāre 639  
 ūmectus 639  
 ūmeō 639  
 umerus 640  
 ūmiditās 639  
 ūmidus 639  
 ūmor 639  
 umquam 640  
 ūnā 642  
 uncia 642  
 unciātīm 642  
 unctiō 641  
 unctitāre 642  
 unctiusculus 642  
 unctor 641  
 unctulus 642  
 uncus 640  
 unda 641  
 undāre 641  
 undēcim 163  
 undēvīgintī 678  
 unguen 641  
 unguentārius 641  
 unguentātus 641  
 unguentum 641  
 unguiculus 641  
 unguis 641  
 unguītāre 641  
 unguila 641  
 unguulus 641  
 unguō -ere 641  
 unguustus 641  
 ūnicus 642  
 ūnivo/ersus 667  
 ūnoculus 425  
 ūnōsē 642  
 ūnus 642  
 -uō -uere 642  
 upupa 643  
 urbānus 643  
 urbīcapus 89  
 urbs 643  
 urgeō 644  
 ūrina 644  
 ūrīnārī 644  
 ūrīnātor 644  
 ūrō -ere 645  
 ursus 645  
 urvāre 645  
 urvum 645  
 uspiam 452 646  
 usquam 646  
 ūsque 646  
 ūsquequāque 646  
 ūsūra 648  
 ūsūrārius 648  
 ūsurpāre 648  
 ūsus 648  
 ut, utī 646  
 ūtēnsilis 648  
 uter 646  
 uter, utris 647  
 uterque 646  
 uterus 647  
 utervīs 647  
 ūtibilis 647  
 ūtilis 647  
 ūtilitās 648  
 utinam 399 646  
 ūtīque 646  
 ūtor utī 647  
 utpote 484 646  
 utrimque 646  
 utrimquesecus 551  
 646  
 utrinde 646  
 utrubī 647  
 utrubīque 647  
 utut 646  
 ūva 381 648  
 ūvēscere 648  
 ūvidus 648  
 ūvor 648  
 uxor 648  
 uxorcūla 648  
 uxorcūlāre 648  
 uxōrius 648  
 vabrum 650  
 vacātīō 649  
 vacca 649  
 vacēfierī 649  
 vacillō -āre 649  
 vacivītās 649  
 vacivus 649  
 vacō -āre 649

- vacuus 649  
 vadārī 655  
 vadimōnium 655  
 vādō -ere 650  
 vadum 650  
 vadus 650  
 vae 650  
 vafer 650  
 vagāre/ī 651  
 vagātrix 651  
 vāgīna 650  
 vāgiō -īre 651  
 vāgītus 651  
 vāgor 651  
 vāgulātiō 651  
 vāgulus 651  
 vagus 651  
 val(i)dē 651  
 valentia 651  
 valentulus 651  
 valeō 651  
 valēscere 651  
 valētūdinārius 651  
 valētūdō 651  
 valgus 652  
 validus 651  
 vallāre 652  
 vallēcula 652  
 vallēs 652  
 vallum 652 653  
 vallus 652 653  
 valvae 653  
 valvātus 653  
 valvolī 653  
 vānāre 653  
 vānidicus 653  
 vāniloquus 653  
 vānitās 653  
 vānitūdō 653  
 vannāre 653  
 vannus 653  
 vānus 653  
 vapor 654  
 vapōrāre 654  
 vāpulāris 654  
 vāpulō -āre 654  
 variāre 654  
 vāricāre 655  
 vāricōsus 655  
 varietās 654  
 varius 654  
 varix 655  
 Varrō 655  
 varus 654  
 vārus 655  
 vas 655  
 vās 655  
 vāsārius 655  
 vāsculum 655  
 vāstāre 655  
 vāstēscere 655  
 vāstitās 655  
 vāstitiēs 655  
 vāstitūdō 655  
 vāstus 655  
 vāsum 655  
 vatāx 656  
 vātēs 656  
 vāticinārī 88 656  
 vatilum 653  
 vatius 656  
 -ve 656  
 vē- 656  
 vēcordia 135  
 vēcors 135  
 vectaculārius 658  
 vectāre 658  
 vectīgal 31 658  
 vectis 658  
 vectitāre 658  
 vector 658  
 vectōrius 658  
 vectūra 658  
 Vēdiōvis 315  
 Vēdius 315  
 vegeō 657  
 vegetāre 657  
 vegetus 657  
 vēgrandis 270  
 vehemēns 658  
 vehiculum 658  
 vehis 658  
 vehō -ere 658  
 veia 673  
 veiar(i)ī 673  
 veiatūra 673  
 Vēiōvis 315  
 vel 687  
 vēlābra 660  
 Vēlābrum 660  
 vēlāmentum 660  
 vēlāre 660  
 veles 659  
 vēles -itis 659  
 vēlitārī 659  
 vēlitātiō 659  
 vēlivolus 687  
 vellicāre 659  
 vellicātīm 659  
 vellō -ere 659  
 vellus 659  
 vēlōcitas 659  
 vēlōx 659  
 vēlum 660  
 velut(i) 687  
 vēmēns 658  
 vēna 660  
 vēnābulum 662  
 vēnālis 663  
 vēnāticus 662  
 vēnātiō 662  
 vēnātor 662  
 vēnātūra 662  
 vēnātus 662  
 vendāx 663  
 vendere 174 663  
 vendibilis 663  
 venditāre 174 663  
 venditiō 663  
 venditor 663  
 venēficium 660  
 venēficus 660  
 venēnāre 660  
 venēnum 660  
 vēneō 663  
 venerārī/e 663  
 venerātiō 663  
 venerius 663  
 venia 661  
 veniō -īre 661  
 vēnor -ārī 662  
 vē(n)sīcula 669  
 venter 662  
 ventilābrum 662  
 ventilāre 662  
 ventiō 661  
 ventōsus 662  
 ventriōsus 662  
 ventulus 662  
 ventus 662  
 venus 663  
 vēnus/m 663  
 venustās 663  
 venustulus 663  
 venustus 663  
 vēr 663  
 vērāre 668  
 vērātrum 668  
 vērāx 668  
 verbēna 664  
 verbera 664  
 verberābilis 664  
 verberābundus 664  
 verberāre 664  
 verberetillus 664  
 verberēus 664  
 verberitāre 664  
 verberō 664  
 verbificātiō 664  
 verbivēlitātiō 664  
 verbōsus 664  
 verbum 664  
 verculum 663  
 verēcundia 665  
 verēcundus 665  
 vereor 665  
 Vergiliae 665  
 vergō -ere 665  
 vermiculātus 665  
 vermiculus 665  
 vermina 665  
 vermis 665  
 vernō 663  
 vernus 663  
 verrēs 666  
 verrō -ere 666  
 verrūca 666  
 verruncāre 666  
 versāre 666  
 versātilis 666  
 versipellis 455 667  
 versōria 666  
 versum/s 667  
 versūra 667  
 versūsus 666  
 vertebra 666  
 vertex 666  
 verticula 666  
 vertīgō 666  
 vertō -ere 666  
 veru 668  
 vērus 668  
 verūtum 668  
 vervex 668  
 vervīna 668  
 vēscor vēscī 669  
 vēscus 186  
 vēsīca 669  
 vespa 670  
 vesper 670  
 vesperāscere 670  
 vesperna 670  
 vesperīliō 670  
 vesperīnus 670  
 vesperūgō 670  
 vespīllō 670  
 Vesta 671  
 vestālis 671  
 vester 691  
 vestiārius 671  
 vestibulum 671  
 vestīgāre 671  
 vestīgātor 671  
 vestīgium 671  
 vestīmentum 671  
 vestīre 671  
 vestis 671  
 vestispica 579  
 vestitus 671  
 veterānus 672  
 veterātor 672  
 veterīnus 672

- veteruſus 672  
 veteruſus 672  
 vetō -āre 672  
 vetuluſ 672  
 vetuſ -eris 672  
 vetuſtāſ 672  
 vetuſtuſ 672  
 vexāmen 673  
 vexilluſ 660  
 vexō -āre 673  
 via 673  
 viāliſ 673  
 viāticātuſ 673  
 viāticuſ 673  
 viātor 673  
 vībīcēſ 674  
 vibrīſſāre 674  
 vibrō -āre 674  
 vicāriuſ 674  
 vicātim 675  
 vicē(n)ſimuſ 678  
 vicēnī 678  
 vicia 679  
 vicīē(n)ſ 678  
 vicīnia 675  
 vicīnitāſ 675  
 vicīnuſ 675  
 vicīſ 674  
 vicīſſātim 674  
 vicīſſim 674  
 vicīſſitāſ 674  
 vicīſſitūdō 674  
 victima 675  
 vīctitāre 685  
 victor 679  
 victōria 679  
 victōriātuſ 679  
 victrix 679  
 vīcuſ 675  
 vidēlicet 340 676  
 viden 403  
 videō 676  
 vidertāſ 677  
 vidua 677  
 viduāre 677  
 viduitāſ 677  
 vīduluſ 677  
 viduuſ 677  
 vieō 677  
 viēſcere 677  
 viētor 677  
 viētūſ 677  
 vigeō 677  
 vīgēſcere 677  
 vīgīl 677  
 vīgīlāre 677  
 vīgīlia 677  
 vīgīntī 678  
 vigor 677  
 vīlica 675  
 vīlicāre 675  
 vīlicuſ 675  
 vīliſ 678  
 vīlitāſ 678  
 vīlla 675  
 vīllāticuſ 675  
 vīlluſ 680  
 vīmen 677  
 vīmināliſ 677  
 vīminētum 677  
 vīmineuſ 677  
 vīnāceuſ 680  
 vīnālia 680  
 vīnāriuſ 680  
 vīn(u)luſ 679  
 vīncībīliſ 679  
 vīnciō -īre 679  
 vīncō -ere 679  
 vīnctiō 679  
 vīnctūra 679  
 vīndērnīa 188  
 vīndērnīator 188  
 vīndērnītor 188  
 vīndex 169 683  
 vīndicāre 169  
 vīndiciae 169  
 vīndicta 169  
 vīnea 680  
 vīneāticuſ 680  
 vīnētum 680  
 vīnībua 680  
 vīnolentuſ 680  
 vīnōſuſ 680  
 vīnuſ 680  
 vīocūruſ 673  
 vīolentia 680  
 vīolentuſ 680  
 vīolō -āre 680  
 vīpera 681  
 vīperīnuſ 681  
 vir 681  
 virāciuſ 681  
 virāgō 681  
 vīreō 682  
 virēſcere 682  
 virga 682  
 virgātor 682  
 virgeuſ 682  
 virgīdērnīa 188 682  
 virgīnāliſ 682  
 virgīnāriuſ 682  
 virgīneuſ 682  
 virgō 682  
 virgulta 682  
 virīdāre 682  
 virīdiſ 682  
 virīduluſ 682  
 virīliſ 681  
 virītim 681  
 virōſuſ 681  
 vīrōſuſ 682  
 virtūſ 681  
 vīruſ 682  
 -vīſ 508 510  
 vīſ, vīſ 683  
 vīſcātuſ 683  
 vīſcerātim 683  
 vīſcum 683  
 vīſcuſ 683  
 vīſitāre 676  
 vīſō 676  
 vīſuſ 676  
 vīta 685  
 vītāliſ 685  
 vitelluſ 685  
 vīteuſ 683  
 vltiāre 684  
 vītīārīum 683  
 vītīgīneuſ 683  
 vītīlgō 684  
 vītīliſ 683  
 vītīōſuſ 684  
 vītīſ 381  
 vītīſ 683  
 vītīum 684  
 vītō -āre 684  
 vitreuſ 685  
 vītīricuſ 684  
 vitruſ 684  
 vitta 677  
 Vītula 684  
 vitulīnuſ 685  
 vituluſ 685  
 vituperāre 684  
 vīvāx 685  
 vīverra 685  
 vīvēſcere 685  
 vīviduſ 685  
 vīvō -ere 685  
 vīvūſ 685  
 vix 686  
 vocābuluſ 691  
 vōcāliſ 691  
 vōcāmen 692  
 vōcāre 691  
 vōcātīō 649  
 vōcīferāre/ī 692  
 vōcīferātīō 692  
 vōcīficāre 692  
 vōcītāre 692  
 vōcīvuſ 649  
 vōcula 691  
 vōcuſ 649  
 vola 686  
 volaemum 651  
 volāticuſ 687  
 volātūra 687  
 volgō 686  
 volguſ 686  
 volitāre 687  
 volnuſ 687  
 voiō -āre 687  
 volō velle 687  
 volpēſ 688  
 volpīnārī 688  
 volſella 659  
 volta 688  
 voltur 688  
 voltuſ 688  
 volūbīliſ 689  
 volucer 687  
 volūmen 689  
 voluntāſ 687  
 volup 689  
 Volupia 689  
 voluptābīliſ 689  
 voluptārīuſ 689  
 voluptāſ 689  
 volūtāre 689  
 volva 689  
 volvō -ere 689  
 volvula 689  
 vōmer 690  
 vomīca 690  
 vomītuſ 690  
 vomō -ere 690  
 vorāx 690  
 vorō -āre 690  
 vōſ 691  
 vōſter 691  
 vōtum 691  
 voveō 691  
 vōx 691  
 vulgāre 686  
 vulgārīuſ 686  
 vulnerāre 687  
 vulſūra 659  
 vulturiuſ 688  
 Falīſcan  
 arcentelom 53  
 karai 95  
 carefo 92  
 cela 104  
 cenſor 107  
 ceres 109  
 cra 140  
 cubat 152  
 -cue 506  
 cupa 152  
 (cupa)nt 152  
 cupat 152

douiad 182  
 duenas 73  
 duenom 73  
 eco 187  
 efile 25  
 efiles 25  
 eko 187  
 eqo 187  
 f(if)iqod 221  
 farne(n)tom 201  
 fifiked 221  
 file(ai) 219  
 filea 219  
 fileo 219  
 fio 219  
 fita 221  
 baba 197  
 harasp[ex] 280  
 harisp[ex] 280  
 hileo 219  
 huti[c]ilom 249  
 ifra 302  
 lectet 332  
 loferta 338  
 loifirta 338  
 loifirtato 338  
 mate 367  
 med 368  
 menerua 380  
 meneruai 380  
 mercui 376  
 met 368  
 opidque 421  
 pafo 72  
 pepara[i] 446  
 pipafo 72  
 por- 481  
 porded 481  
 pramed 486  
 pramod 486  
 pramom 486  
 putellio 502  
 rex 522  
 sacra 532  
 sacru 532  
 salue 537  
 salues 537  
 saluete 537  
 salueto(d) 537  
 sociai 569  
 sta 590  
 statuo 590  
 tulom 621  
 ues 691  
 uino 680  
 uinom 680  
 uxo 648  
 uxor 648.

**Oscan**

ā- 231 363 376  
 aamanaf(f)ed 175  
 363  
 aapam 19  
 aapas 19  
 aasai 49  
 aasas 49  
 aasass 49  
 abellanús 20  
 acenei 43  
 aceneis 43  
 actud 31  
 acum 31  
 acunum 43  
 ad- 24 231 376  
 adfust 246 599  
 adpúd 24 508  
 aeteis 28  
 aginss 31  
 aidíl 25  
 aidílis 25  
 aitateis 29  
 a]fttiúm 28  
 akenei 43  
 akrid 22  
 akun 43  
 alii 505  
 allam 34  
 allo 34  
 altrei 34  
 alttram 34  
 alltreí 34  
 am- 37 174 214 301  
 amanafed 175 363  
 amfret 214  
 amiricatud 376  
 amirikum 376  
 ammaí 38  
 amprufid 490  
 amvlannud 673  
 amvianud 673  
 an- 301  
 anafríss 299  
 anams 43  
 anamúm 43  
 ancensto 107  
 ant 45  
 anter 306  
 arage[ 53  
 aragetud 53  
 arnipo 24  
 aserum 557  
 atrud 34  
 aut 64  
 auti 64  
 avt 64

bíitam 685  
 bivus 685  
 brateis 271  
 brateis 271  
 bravús[ 272  
 cadeis 78  
 carneis 94  
 carnis 110  
 carnom 94  
 castrid 97  
 castrous 97  
 cebnust 661  
 censamur 107  
 censas 107  
 censaum 107  
 censazet 107  
 censtomen 107  
 censtur 107  
 cent- 509  
 ceus 116  
 com 128  
 comparascuster 483  
 con 128  
 contrud 132  
 da[da]d? 174  
 dadid 174  
 dadikatted 169  
 dat 160  
 deded 174  
 dedens 174  
 degetasis 163  
 degetasiús 163  
 deícans 169  
 deicum 169  
 deikum 169  
 deiuaid 167  
 deiuast 167  
 deiuatu<n>s 167  
 deiuatud 167  
 deivai 167  
 deívas 167  
 deivinais 167  
 deketasiúi 163  
 dekmanniúis 163  
 destrst 168  
 dicust 169  
 didest 174  
 diivíai 315  
 dípatir 315  
 diúveí 315  
 diuvia[s] 315  
 diuvil(u) 173  
 diuvilam 173  
 dolom 177  
 dolud 177  
 dolum 177  
 dunat 179  
 duneis 179

dunte[ 166  
 dunum 179  
 dunúm 179  
 dùnúm 179  
 duunated 179  
 e]ísai 309  
 edum 186  
 ee- 196  
 eestint 590  
 egm[as] 186  
 egmad 186  
 egmazum 186  
 egmo 186  
 eh 196  
 ehtrad 196  
 ei(tuo) 187  
 eidúis 295  
 eidúis 295  
 eis[ud] 309  
 eísak 309  
 eiseí 309  
 eiseis 309  
 eiseis 309  
 eisiuss 309  
 eísud 309  
 eisunc 309  
 eítuivad 187  
 eitiuvam 187  
 eituam 187  
 eituas 187  
 eizasc 309  
 eizazunc 309  
 eizeic 309  
 eizeis 309  
 eizois 309  
 eizuc 309  
 eizucen 309  
 ek(úk) 102 185  
 eka 102  
 ekak 102  
 ekas 102  
 ekask 102  
 ekass 102  
 ekík 102  
 eks- 568  
 eksuk 102  
 ekúk 102  
 em- 300  
 emanafed 175 363  
 embratur 447  
 emmens 188  
 en 300  
 esei 309  
 esidu[m] 295  
 esidum 295  
 esskazsiúm 543  
 essuf 308  
 est 599

- estud 599  
 esuf 308  
 etanto 190 606  
 ex- 568  
 exac 102  
 exaiscen 102  
 exeic 102  
 ezum 599  
 faamat 231  
 faamated 231  
 faammant 231  
 famatted 231  
 famelo 201  
 fancua 343  
 fangvam 343  
 far 201  
 fatium 204  
 φάτος 205 231  
 Fatuus 205  
 fatuveis 205 231  
 feihúis 221  
 feihúss 221  
 fjerríns 214  
 fifikus 221  
 fiíet 222  
 fiíet 222  
 fiisiais 212  
 fiisiais 212  
 fiisnam 201  
 fiisnú 201  
 fisiais 212  
 fisnam 201  
 flagio- 224  
 flagiuí 224  
 flagiuí 224  
 fluusai 227  
 f<l>uusasiais 227  
 fml 201  
 fortis 236  
 fratrum 239  
 fruktatiuf 244  
 fufans 246 599  
 fufens 246 599  
 fuid 246 599  
 fusid 246 599  
 fust 107 246 599  
 futír 253  
 futrefis 253  
 futrei 253  
 fuutrei 253  
 genetai 260  
 hafie<i>st 277  
 herentatei 289  
 herentateis 289  
 herest 289  
 heretatei 289  
 herettates 289  
 heriad 289  
 hi 505  
 hipid 277  
 hipust 277  
 humuns 287  
 huntras 292  
 huntruis 292  
 huntrus 292  
 húntram 292  
 hürtín 290  
 hürtúi 290  
 hürtúm 290  
 húrú 290  
 iák 309  
 idic 309  
 idik 309  
 idík 309  
 iiuk 309  
 imad 300  
 iní 190  
 inim 190  
 iním 190  
 ínim 190  
 ínoc 309  
 ionc 309  
 isídu 295  
 isídum 295  
 íst 168 599  
 iúk 309  
 iúkleí 170  
 iusc 309  
 iússu 295  
 ússu 295  
 ússúm 295  
 iuvei 315  
 iúvei 315  
 iúveis 315  
 iúviais 315  
 iúvía 315  
 iúvil(ú) 173  
 iúvilam 173  
 iuvilas 173  
 iúvilas 173  
 iuvilu 173  
 izic 309  
 kahad 123  
 kaíla 80 99  
 kalaviis 85  
 kalúvieis 85  
 karanter 94 110  
 kasit 92  
 keenzstur 107  
 kellaked 104 321  
 kenssurineis 107  
 kenzsur 107  
 kerí 109  
 kerrí 109  
 kerríai 109  
 kerríais 109  
 kerríim 109  
 kerríuú 109  
 kerríuú 109  
 kerríuúis 109  
 kersna<t>ias 106  
 kersnu 106  
 kerssnais 106  
 kerssnasias 106  
 krustatar 147  
 kú]mparakineis 483  
 kulupu 120  
 kúm- 128  
 kúmbened 661  
 kúmbennieis 661  
 kúrass 158  
 kúru 158  
 kvaísstur 503  
 kvaísturei 503  
 kvaízzstur 503  
 leginei 332  
 leginum 332  
 legú 337  
 licitud 340  
 liganakdikey 337  
 ligatúis 337  
 ligatús 337  
 ligis 337  
 ligud 337  
 líimitú]m 342  
 líkítud 340  
 loufir 338  
 luisarífs 345  
 lúvfreis 338  
 lúvkei 350  
 maatreis 367  
 maatuís 367  
 maimas 359  
 mais 359  
 malom 360  
 mallud 360  
 malom 360  
 malud 360  
 mam(e)rt(ei) 366  
 mamertiais 366  
 mamertiais 366  
 manafum 175 363  
 manim 363 557  
 medd[í]ks 169  
 meddikiai 385  
 meddikiai 169 385  
 meddiks 385  
 meddis 169 385  
 meddis 169 385  
 meddiss 169 385  
 meddiss 163  
 meddix 385  
 meddixud 169 385  
 medicatinom 169  
 385  
 medicatud 169 385  
 medicim 169 385  
 medikei 169 385  
 medikeis 169 385  
 medikid 169 385  
 medikkiai 169 385  
 mefi[ú] 369  
 mefiái 369  
 memnim 371  
 menere(vas) 381  
 menvum 381  
 min[s] 381  
 minstreis 381  
 mirikui 376  
 mirk- 376  
 mistreis 381  
 moltam 394  
 moltas 394  
 moltas(ikud) 394  
 moltaum 394  
 molto 394  
 múini[kúm] 395  
 múinik 395  
 múinikad 395  
 múinikam 395  
 múinikei 395  
 múinlkú 395  
 múltasikad 394  
 ne.phim 403 510  
 nei 408  
 neip 403 408  
 nep 403  
 ner 406  
 ner(eis) 406  
 nerum 406  
 nesimois 407  
 nessimum 407  
 nessimas 407  
 nessimass 407  
 ni 403  
 niir 406  
 nip 403  
 num(nud) 412  
 numneis 412  
 olu 426  
 op 421  
 osins 599  
 -p]e 506  
 p]ocapid 508  
 paam 508  
 pae 508  
 paei 508  
 pai 508  
 paí 508  
 pam 508  
 pantes 508

- pas 508  
 pat[ 449  
 patanaí 442  
 patensíns 442  
 paterei 450  
 patir 450  
 pavementúm 452  
 ped- 462  
 pedú 462  
 per 461  
 per- 459  
 perek 461  
 peremust 188  
 pernaí 460  
 pert 459  
 pert- 459  
 -pert 459 505  
 pertemest 188  
 pertemust 188  
 pertumum 188  
 perum 459  
 petiropert 505  
 petirupert 505  
 petoritum 505  
 pettiur 505  
 píð 510  
 pidum 510  
 pidum 510  
 piei 510  
 pieisum 510  
 piihiúf 468  
 píís 510  
 pis 510  
 pis.pis 510  
 pitora 505  
 plavtad 471  
 plífriks 471  
 pocapit 508  
 pod 508  
 poizad 508  
 poizeipíd 510  
 pomtis 509  
 pon 152 162  
 posmom 484  
 post 484  
 pous 646  
 prai 485  
 preiuatud 489  
 pru 489  
 pru- 489  
 prí- 489  
 prífated 490  
 prífatted 490  
 prífattens 490  
 príffed 175  
 príftas 175  
 príftúset 175  
 pruhípid 277  
 pruhípus 277  
 prupukid 443  
 pruter 490  
 púd 508  
 puf 636  
 pui 508  
 píiieh 149  
 píiui 149  
 puk 502  
 pukele[ 502  
 púkkapíd 508  
 puklu 502  
 puklui 502  
 puklum 502  
 púmperiais 509  
 pumperias 509  
 púmperias 509  
 pun 152 162  
 pún 152 162  
 punum 152 162  
 púrtam 482  
 pus 646  
 pús 508  
 pust 484  
 púst 484  
 pústin 484  
 pústiris 484  
 pustr[as 484  
 pústr 484  
 pústrei 484  
 pútereipíd 647  
 pútiad 484  
 pútians 485  
 putiia 485  
 putiians 485  
 pútúru[mpíd 647  
 pútúruspíd 647  
 puz 646  
 regaturef 523  
 sjúllad 572  
 sjullas 572  
 saahúm 532  
 sak<r>ahiter 532  
 sakarakleis 532  
 sakaraklúð 532  
 sakaraklúm 532  
 sakarater 532  
 sakrafir 532  
 sakraitir 532  
 sakrann 532  
 sakrannas 532  
 sakrasías 532  
 sakrid 532  
 sakrim 532  
 sakriss 532  
 sakruvist 532  
 sakruvit 532  
 salavs 537  
 scriftas 546  
 se[g]únúm 563  
 seemuneí 557  
 seganatted 563  
 segno- 563  
 segúnú 563  
 sehsk[ 560  
 senateis 553  
 senateis 553  
 sent 432 599  
 serevkiðimaden 559  
 set 599  
 sifeí 549  
 siom 549  
 sipus 538  
 solu 572  
 spentud 582  
 staflatasset 590  
 stahint 590  
 stahint 590  
 staieffud 590  
 staiet 590  
 stait 590  
 statif 590  
 statús 567  
 sú[nt 175  
 suae 561  
 suae.pis 510  
 suaepis 510  
 súll[a]m[n.]ais 572  
 sullum 572  
 sullus 572  
 suluh 572  
 sulum 572  
 sum 599  
 súm 599  
 súnt 599  
 supruis 600  
 suva 549  
 suvad 549  
 súvad 549  
 suvam 549  
 suveís 549  
 svai 561  
 svaí 561  
 svaí.píd 510  
 svaipíd 510  
 sverruneí 602  
 tangineis 623  
 tanginom 623  
 tanginud 623  
 tanginúd 623  
 tautam 618  
 tavffúð 621  
 teer[úm 616  
 teras 616  
 terei 616  
 tereis 616  
 teremenniú 615  
 teremnattens 615  
 teremnatust 599 615  
 teremníss 615  
 terúm 616  
 tfei 631  
 tíf[eí] 631  
 tiium 631  
 tiú 631  
 touer 631  
 toutad 618  
 toutico 618  
 touticom 618  
 touto 618  
 tríbarakat.tíns 626  
 tríbarakat.tuset 626  
 tríbarakattíns 51  
 tríbarakattuset 51  
 tríbarakavúm 51 626  
 tríbarakkiuf 51 626  
 tríbud 626  
 tríbuf 626  
 tríbarakav[úm 626  
 tríibúm 626  
 tris 628  
 trís 628  
 trístaaumentud 618  
 trium 628  
 trstus 618  
 tua 631  
 tuer 631  
 turumíiáð 624 628  
 tuua 631  
 túv[tkad] 618  
 túv[tkai] 618  
 túv[tkom] 618  
 tuvai 631  
 túvtik[ud] 618  
 núvtíks 618  
 ualaemom 651  
 ufteis 431  
 uhftis 431  
 uincter 679  
 úttíuf 648  
 úlam 426  
 ulas 426  
 úlleís 426  
 últiumam 638  
 ulum 426  
 úp 421  
 úp]sannu 432  
 úpsan(am) 432  
 úpsannam 432  
 úpsannúm 432  
 úpsatuh 432  
 úpsed 432  
 úpsed 432  
 úpsens 432

úpsim 599  
 urust 435  
 urunú 645  
 uupsens 432  
 valaimas 651  
 velliám 687  
 veru 668  
 veruís 668  
 vezkeí 673  
 vía 673  
 víai 673  
 víam 673  
 víass 673  
 víkturraí 679  
 víu 673  
 víú 673  
 vocent 505  
 zicolom 170  
 ziculud 170  
 zoves 315  
  
 αφαματεδ 231  
 αφαματεδ 231  
 αζνω 27  
 αναφακετ 36  
 ανα- 36  
 ατφαματτεν[ς 231  
 β[ρα]ιτηω 271  
 βρατηης 271  
 βρατωμ 271  
 δ]ιοφοιοι 315  
 δατας 174  
 δεδενς 174  
 δεδετ 174  
 δεκμας 163  
 διωφει 315  
 διωφης 315  
 διωφιας 315  
 δουνακλωμ 179  
 ειζιδωμ 295  
 εινεμ 190  
 εισειδωμ 295  
 φαλε 651  
 φενζη 663  
 ζωφη 315  
 ιουφη 315  
 καθας 123  
 κενσορατη 107  
 κραιστορ 503  
 λεικειτ 340  
 μαμερτει 366  
 μαμερτινο 366  
 μαμερτινουμ 366  
 μαμερτιοι 366  
 μεδδειξ 169 385  
 μεδδικεν 169 385  
 μεδεκαν 169 385  
 μεδεκον 169 385

μεδικιαι 169 385  
 σπσανω 432  
 ουπσενς 432  
 πελεδ 468  
 πρωφατεδ 490  
 ρεγο 517  
 σακαρακιδιμαι 532  
 σακορο 532  
 σαλαφς 537  
 σεγονω 563  
 σενατης 553  
 σενατης 553  
 σερευκιδιμαμ 559  
 σουφεν 549  
 σταβαλανο 590  
 συπ 595  
 τανγινოდ 623  
 τανγινोट 623  
 τουτικες 618  
 τρειβ[ωμ 626  
 τωFτο 618

**Paelignian**

aetate 29  
 aetatu 29  
 brais 271  
 brat. 271  
 brāta- 271,  
 brāti- 271  
 bratom 271  
 casnar 88  
 cerfum 110  
 ceria 109  
 cerra 109  
 cnatois 401  
 coisatens 156  
 datas 174  
 dida 174  
 donom 179  
 ecic 102  
 ecuc 102 185  
 eite 191  
 em- 300  
 empratois 447  
 et 195  
 ex 88  
 faber 197  
 famel 201  
 fertlid 214  
 fesn(am) 201  
 forte 236  
 herentas 289  
 hospus 291  
 in- 300  
 incubat 152  
 inim 190  
 inim 190  
 inom 190

iocatin 308  
 iouiois 315  
 lexē 332  
 loufir 338  
 medix 169 385  
 minerua 380  
 mineruai 380  
 oisa 648  
 pacrid 452  
 pacris 452  
 pam 508  
 pe- 459  
 pes 468  
 pid 510  
 prai- 485  
 pri- 489  
 prismu 489  
 pritrome 489  
 pros 490  
 puclois 502  
 puus 508  
 sacaracirix 532  
 salauatur 537  
 saluta 537  
 sef<e>i 549  
 semunu 557  
 solois 572  
 sua 549  
 suos 549  
 uai[ 651  
 uicturei 679  
 upsaseter 432  
 uus 691

**Vestinian**

-(e)n 300  
 brat. 271  
 dād- 174  
 data 174  
 didet 174  
 flusare 227  
 ošens 432

**Marrucinian**

agine 31  
 amatens 39  
 assignas 563  
 -ce 568  
 cerie 109  
 cerra 109  
 cibāt 152  
 ecan 102  
 ecuf 102  
 eituam 187  
 encubat 152  
 es- 568  
 esuc 102 309  
 ferenter 214

feret 214  
 herentatia 289  
 iafc 309  
 ioues 315  
 iouia 315  
 iouias 315  
 iuip(atres?) 315  
 leexe 332  
 lixs 337  
 medix 169 385  
 ni 403  
 nipis 403 510  
 ocres 424  
 pacris 452  
 pacrsi 452  
 patres 315  
 peai 468  
 peis 510  
 pelegie[ 332  
 regen[ai 517  
 sacracrix 532  
 salas 537  
 salaus 537  
 seinq 563  
 state 590  
 suam 549  
 taa 606  
 totai 618  
 toutai 618  
 uai 651  
 uenalinam 663  
 uesune 673

**Hernician**

esu 599  
 hvidas 221  
 ni 403

**Palaeo-Umbrian**

herusei 289  
 miom 368  
 poiei 149  
 skerfs 546

**Umbrian**

a- 301  
 ā- 672  
 abrof 46  
 abrons 46  
 abrunu 46  
 acnu 43  
 adrer 60  
 adrir 60  
 adro 60  
 afero 214  
 aferum 214  
 afikta 221  
 ager 29

- agre 29  
 ahatripursatu 462  
 ahauendu 672  
 abesnes 27  
 ahtimen 31  
 ahtisper 31  
 abtrepuřatu 462  
 aitu 28  
 aituta 28  
 alfer 32  
 alfir 32  
 alfu 32  
 am- 36 37  
 amboltu 38  
 ambrefurent 191 246  
     599  
 ambretuto 191  
 amparihmu 446  
 amparitu 36 446  
 ampentu 36 456  
 ampetu 456  
 amprefu<u>s 191  
     246 599  
 amprehtu 191  
 an- 36 301 446 642  
 andendu 621  
 ander- 562  
 andersafust 174 246  
     599  
 andersesus<v> 562  
 andersistu 562  
 anderuacose 599 649  
 anderuomu 331  
 andirsafust 174 246  
     599  
 anferener 214  
 anouihimu 642  
 anpenes 456  
 anseriaia 559  
 aseriato 36 559  
 anřihitir 114  
 anřihitu 114  
 anřihitu 114  
 anřintu 36 588  
 anřiplatu 36 588  
 antakre 606  
 antakres 606  
 antentu 36 621  
 anter  
     menzaru 373  
 anter- 306  
 antervakaze 599 649  
 anzeriats 559  
 anzeriatu 559  
 ap- 196  
 ape 59  
 apehtre 196  
 apelus 456  
 apelust 456  
 apentu 456  
 api 59  
 appei 59  
 apretu 191  
 apruf 46  
 arçlataf 51  
 arfertur 214  
 arpatitu 449  
 arsfertur 214  
 arsferture 214  
 arsferturo 214  
 arsir 34  
 arsueitu 658  
 arueitu 658  
 aruio 56  
 aruvia 56  
 arvamen 56  
 arveitu 658  
 arven 56  
 arvia 56  
 arviu 56  
 -arř 24  
 arř- 24 277  
 arřfertur 214  
 arřferture 214  
 arřkani 88  
 arřpeltu 125  
 arřputrati 50  
 arřveitu 658  
 asa 49  
 asam- 49  
 ase 49  
 aseriater 559  
 aseriato 559  
 aseriato 559  
 aserio 559  
 asnata 411  
 asnate 411  
 asnates 411  
 asnatu 411  
 aso 58  
 astintu 588  
 atentu 621  
 aterařfust 174 246  
     599  
 atrepuřatu 462  
 atripursatu 462  
 atropusatu 462  
 atru 60  
 auirseto 676  
 aveitu 658  
 aviekate 65  
 aviekla 65  
 avif 65  
 avis 65  
 azeriatu 559  
 benurent 661  
 benus 661  
 benuso 661  
 benust 661  
 berus 668  
 berva 668  
 bim 74  
 bue 74  
 buf 74  
 bum 74  
 buo 74  
 cabriner 89  
 calersu 83  
 capif 90  
 capirse 90  
 capirso 90  
 carsitu 85  
 castruo 97  
 caterahamo 98  
 çeř 109  
 cehefi 123  
 çerfe 109  
 çerfie 109  
 çersnatur 106  
 çimu 115  
 ciprus 155  
 çive 115  
 -co 128  
 co- 128  
 com 128  
 com- 128  
 comatir 387  
 combifiansi 218  
 combifiansiust 218  
 combifiansiust 218  
 combifiansust 218  
 combifiatu 218  
 comohota 391  
 comoltu 386  
 conegos 130  
 cringatro 142  
 cubrar 155  
 Cupra 155  
 cupras 155  
 curnaco 136  
 curnase 136  
 cvestur 503  
 daetom 191  
 dea 155  
 dede 174  
 dei 315  
 deitu 169  
 dequrier 163  
 dera 174  
 dersecor 164  
 dersicurent 169  
 dersicust 169  
 dersua 168  
 desenduf 163 183  
 destrame 168  
 destre 168  
 destruco 168  
 desua 168  
 di 315  
 dia 182  
 dirsas 174  
 dirsans 174  
 dirsas 174  
 dirstu 174  
 dirsust 174  
 disleralinsust 171  
 ditu 174  
 duf 163  
 duir 183  
 dun 179  
 dunu 179  
 dunum 179  
 dupla 475  
 dupursus 183 462  
 dur 183  
 duti 184  
 eaf 309  
 eam 309  
 eest 191  
 eetu 191  
 ef 191  
 efurfatu 232  
 eh 196  
 eh- 196  
 eh- 196  
 ehe 196  
 ehe- 196  
 ehiato 285  
 ehueltu 687  
 ehvelklu 687  
 eikvasatis 187  
 eikvasese 187  
 eine 190  
 eiscurent 27  
 emantu 188  
 emantur 188  
 emps 188  
 en- 300  
 endendu 621  
 ene 190  
 enem 190  
 enetu 191  
 enno 190  
 ennom 190  
 eno 190  
 enom 190  
 entelus 621  
 entelust 621  
 ententu 621  
 enu 190  
 enuk 190  
 enumek 190



- eo 309  
 (e)psom/d 549  
 erak 309  
 erar 309  
 ere 309  
 erer 309  
 erek 309  
 eřek 309  
 erer 309  
 ererek 309  
 eretu 289  
 erietu 54  
 ero 309  
 erom 285 309 599  
 eru 156 309 599  
 erucom 309  
 eruku 309  
 es(s)- 568  
 esa 102  
 esir 102  
 esisco 102  
 esmik 309  
 eso 102 185  
 esome 102  
 essu 102  
 est 191 240 434 453  
 590 599 649  
 estac 310  
 este 310  
 esto 310  
 estu 310  
 esu 102 599  
 esuf 308  
 esuku 24  
 esuku 102  
 esumek 102  
 esunu 24  
 esunu 24  
 et 195  
 etantu 606  
 etraf 112  
 etrama 112  
 etre 112  
 etres 112  
 etru 112  
 etu 191  
 etuta 191  
 etuto 191  
 etutu 191  
 eu 309  
 eveietu 675  
 ezariaf 186  
 f<e>rar 214  
 famerias 201  
 far 201  
 farariur 201  
 farer 201  
 farsio 201  
 fasio 201  
 fasiu 201  
 fato 231  
 fefure 246 434 599  
 fel 219  
 feliuf 210  
 ferest 214  
 fertu 214  
 fertuta 214  
 fesnafe 201  
 fesnere 201  
 fi<s>uvi 218  
 ficla 221  
 ficlam 221  
 fikia 221  
 fiklas 221  
 fiktu 221  
 filiu 210  
 fise 218  
 fisei 218  
 fisi 218  
 fisie 218  
 fisiem 218  
 fisier 218  
 fisim 218  
 fisiu 218  
 fiso 218  
 fisoui 218  
 fisouie 218  
 fisouina 218  
 fisouio- 218  
 fissiu 218  
 fisu- 218  
 fito 222  
 fito- 222  
 fondlire 230  
 foner 205  
 fons 205  
 fos 205  
 frater 239  
 fratreca 239  
 fratrecate 239  
 fratreci 239  
 fratreks 239  
 fratrex 239  
 fratrexs 239  
 fratrom 239  
 fratru 239  
 fratrum 239  
 fratrus 239  
 fratuspe(r) 239  
 frehtef 243  
 frehtu 243  
 fri 244  
 frif 244  
 frite 242  
 frosetom 240  
 fuia 222  
 fuiest 222  
 funtiere 230  
 furent 106 246 599  
 furfant 232  
 furfař 232  
 furo 237  
 furu 237  
 fus 246 599  
 fust 182 246 392 483  
 599 649  
 futu 182 246 599  
 fututo 246 599  
 gomia 275  
 habe 277  
 habetu 277  
 habetutu 277  
 habia 277  
 habiest 277  
 habitu 277  
 habituto 277  
 haburent 277  
 habus 277  
 hahtu 277  
 hatu 277  
 hatuto 277  
 hatutu 277  
 hereitu 289  
 heri 289  
 herie 289  
 heriej 289  
 heries 289  
 heriest 289  
 herifi 289  
 heriiei 289  
 herintie 289  
 herinties 289  
 heris 289  
 heritu 289  
 herte 289  
 hertei 289  
 herter 289  
 herti 289  
 holtu 21  
 homonus 287  
 honde 292  
 hondomu 292  
 hondra 292  
 hondu 249  
 -hont 295  
 hunte 292  
 hutra 292  
 ier 191  
 ife 295  
 ifont 295  
 iiouie 315  
 inom 190  
 inuk 190  
 inumek 190  
 inumk 190  
 ioui 315  
 iouia 315  
 iouie 315 317  
 iouies 317  
 iouiu 315  
 irer 309  
 isir 102  
 itek 311  
 iuengar 317  
 iuka 308  
 iuku 308  
 iupater 315  
 iust 191  
 iuue 315  
 iuve 315  
 iuvepatre 315  
 iuvi 315  
 iuvie 315  
 iuviu 315  
 iveka 317  
 -k(e) 311  
 kabru 89  
 kaleřuf 83  
 kanetu 88  
 kapi 90  
 kapiř 90  
 kapiřes 90  
 kapiřus 90  
 kapres 89  
 kapru 89  
 kaprum 89  
 karne 94  
 karnus 94  
 kartu 94  
 karu 94  
 kařetu 85  
 kařitu 85  
 kastruvuf 97  
 katel 98  
 kateramu 98  
 katle 98  
 katles 98  
 katlu 98  
 klavlaf 119  
 klavles 119  
 kletra 121  
 kletram 121  
 krenkatrum 142  
 krikatru 142  
 -ku 128  
 ku- 128  
 kukeřes 123  
 -kum 128 437  
 kum- 128  
 kumaltu 386  
 kumate 387  
 kumates 387

- kumiaf 275  
 kumpifiatu 218  
 kumultu 386  
 kunikaz 130  
 kupifiata 218  
 kupifiatu 218  
 kuraia 156  
 kuratu 156  
 kurçlasıu 268  
 kutef 101  
 kuveitu 658  
 kvestretie 503  
 kvestur 503  
 maletu 387  
 mandraclo 363 614  
 manf 363  
 mani 363  
 mantrahklu 363 614  
 mantraklu 363 614  
 manuve 363  
 marte 366  
 marti 366  
 martie 366  
 martier 366 464  
 marties 366  
 matrer 367  
 matres 367  
 meersta 385  
 mefa 372 582  
 mefe 372  
 mehe 368  
 menes 661  
 menzne 373  
 mers 385  
 meřs 385  
 mersei 385  
 mersi 385  
 mersta 385  
 merstaf 385  
 mersto 385  
 merstu 385  
 mersus 385  
 mersuva 385  
 mestru 359  
 motar 394  
 mugatu 392  
 muie- 392  
 muieto 392  
 muneklu 395  
 muta 394  
 mutu 394  
 naraklum 267  
 naratu 267  
 natine 401  
 ne 403  
 neip 403 408  
 neiřhabas 277  
 nep 403  
 nerf 406  
 nersa 160  
 nerus 406  
 nesimeı 407  
 ninctu 408  
 nome 412  
 nomne 412  
 nomneper 412  
 nomner 412  
 numem 412  
 nuřpener 457  
 nuvime 416  
 nuvis 416  
 ocar 424  
 ocre 424  
 ocrem 424  
 ocreper 424  
 ocrer 424  
 ocriper 424  
 onse 640  
 ooserclome 559  
 opeter 431  
 opset(a) 432  
 orto 434  
 ortom 434  
 osatu 432  
 oseto 432  
 ostendere 612  
 ostendu 421 612  
 ostensendi 612  
 ote 64  
 oui 437  
 paca 452  
 pacer 452  
 pacrer 452  
 padellar 442  
 pafe 508  
 pane 508  
 panta 508  
 pampei 508  
 parfa 447  
 parfam 447  
 pars 444  
 pase 452  
 patre 315  
 -pe 459  
 pehaner 468  
 pehatu 468  
 peica 464  
 peico 464  
 peihaner 468  
 peiqu 464  
 pelmner 497  
 peperscüst 461  
 pepescus 461  
 pepurkurent 483  
 pequo 454  
 per- 459  
 -per(t)] 628  
 -per 31 239 412 459  
 480 520 618 629  
 peracnio 43  
 peracrei 43  
 peracri 43  
 peracrio 43  
 peracris 43  
 perakne 43  
 peraknem 43  
 perakneu 43  
 perakre 43  
 perca 461  
 percam 461  
 peretom 191  
 perkaf 461  
 pernaiaf 460  
 pernaies 460  
 perne 460  
 perscler 483  
 persclo 483  
 persclu 483  
 perse 510  
 persei 510  
 persi 462 510  
 persklu 483  
 persklum 483  
 persklum-ař 483  
 persnihimu 483  
 persnihimumo 483  
 persnihmu 483  
 persnimu 483  
 persnimumo 483  
 persnis 483  
 perso 430  
 persome 430  
 persondro 657  
 perstu 461  
 pert 459  
 pertentu 621  
 pertome 482  
 peře 510  
 peři 462  
 peřu 430  
 peřum 430  
 peřume 430  
 pescler 483  
 pesclu 483  
 pesetom 453  
 pesnimu 483  
 pesnimumo 483  
 pesnis 483  
 pestu 461  
 petenata 453  
 peturpursus 462 505  
 pifi 510  
 pihaclo 468  
 pihaclu 468  
 pihafeı 468  
 pihafi 468  
 pihaklu 468  
 pihaner 468  
 pihatu 468  
 pihaz 468  
 pihos 468  
 piquier 464  
 pir 24 500  
 pirse 510  
 pirsı 510  
 piře 510  
 piři 510  
 pis 561  
 pisher 289 510  
 pisi 510  
 plenasier 472  
 plener 472  
 pleno 472  
 poe 508  
 poi 508  
 poi 508  
 pone 152 162 485  
 poni 485  
 ponne 152 162  
 pople 480  
 popler 480  
 poplicus 480  
 poplo 480  
 poplom 480  
 poplu 480  
 popluper 480  
 pora 508  
 porca 481  
 porse 508  
 porsei 508  
 porsı 508  
 portaiia 482  
 portatu 482  
 portust 482  
 pos 484  
 post 484  
 posti 484  
 postne 484  
 postra 484  
 postro 484  
 pre 485  
 pre- 485  
 prehabia 277  
 prehubia 277  
 prepesnimu 483  
 preplohohatu 471  
 preplotatu 471  
 pretra 485  
 preuendu 672  
 preuilatu 679  
 preuiřlatu 679  
 preve 489

- prever 489  
 pri- 489  
 prinuvatu- 489  
 pro 489  
 pro- 489  
 procanurent 88  
 promom 489  
 proseseter 550  
 prosesetir 550  
 prosešetir 550  
 proseseto 550  
 pru- 489  
 prufe 490  
 prumu 489  
 prumum 489  
 prupehast 468  
 pruseçete 550  
 pruseçetu 550  
 pruseçia 550  
 prusekatu 550  
 prusektu 550  
 prusikurent 595  
 pue 508  
 puemune 480  
 pufe 636  
 pumpe 152  
 pumperias 509  
 pune 152 162 485  
 punes 485  
 puni 152 162 485  
 puntis 509  
 puplu 480  
 puplum 480  
 pupluper 480  
 pupriçes 480  
 pupriçe 480  
 pupriçes 480  
 puprike 480  
 puprikes 480  
 pur- 481  
 purdinšius 182  
 purdinšus 182  
 purdinsust 182  
 purdita 182  
 purdito 182  
 purditom 182  
 purdoui- 481  
 purdovitu 182  
 pure 500 508  
 pureto 500  
 puri 508  
 purka 481  
 purtatu 482  
 purtius 182  
 purtinçus 182  
 purtitaf 182  
 purtitius 182  
 purtitu 182  
 purtuetu 182  
 purtuvetu 182  
 purtuvies 182  
 purtuvitu 182  
 purtuviðu 182  
 puře 508  
 pus 484  
 puse 646  
 pusei 646  
 pusi 646  
 pusme 508  
 pusnaes 484  
 pusti 484  
 pustin 484  
 pustnaiaf 484  
 pustra 484  
 pustru 484  
 putrespe 647  
 puze 646  
 re- 516  
 rehte 517  
 reper 520  
 restatu 516 567  
 reste 567  
 restef 567  
 reveštu 516 676  
 ri 520  
 rofa 528  
 rofu 528  
 rufra 527  
 rufrer 527  
 rufru 527  
 saçe 532  
 saçi 532  
 sacr 532  
 sacra 532  
 sacre 532  
 sacris 532  
 sacru 532  
 sahata 532  
 sahatam 532  
 sahate 532  
 sahta 532  
 sakra 532  
 sakre 532  
 sakref 532  
 sakreu 532  
 salua 537  
 saluam 537  
 saluo 537  
 saluom 537  
 saluua 537  
 saluuum 537  
 sanes 538  
 sansi 532  
 sanši 532  
 sansie 532  
 sansie 532  
 sansii 532  
 sarsite 539  
 satame 532  
 sate 532  
 sauitu 540  
 scalseto 84  
 scalsie 84  
 scapla 543  
 screhto 546  
 screihtor 546  
 sehemienar 557  
 sehemu 553  
 sehmeniar 557  
 sehmenier 557  
 sei 599  
 seipodruhpei 549  
 seme.nies 557  
 semu 553  
 sent 595 599  
 seples 533  
 serfe 109  
 serfer 109  
 seritu 559  
 serituu 559  
 serse 552  
 sersi 552:  
 sersitu 552  
 seso 549  
 seste 567  
 sestentasiaru 560  
 sestu 567  
 sesust 562  
 seuacne 43  
 sevakne 43  
 sevaknef 43  
 sevakni 43  
 ši- 56 599 603  
 sij 385  
 sif 603  
 sihitir 114  
 sihitu 114  
 sim 603  
 sins 599  
 sir 599  
 sis 599  
 sistu 562  
 skalçeta 84  
 snata 411  
 snate 411  
 snates 411  
 snatu 411  
 somo 600  
 sonitu 574  
 sopa 601  
 sopam 601  
 sopir 561  
 sopo 601  
 sorser 603  
 sorso 603  
 sorsom 603  
 sorsu 603  
 spefa 582  
 speture 579  
 spina 580  
 spinamař 580  
 spinia 580  
 spiniama 580  
 staflare 590  
 staflarem 590  
 stafli 590  
 staheren 590  
 stahitu 590  
 stahituto 590  
 stahmei 590  
 stahmeitei 590  
 stahmitei 590  
 stahmito 590  
 stahmo- 590  
 stahu 590  
 stakaz 590  
 statita 590  
 statitatu 590  
 steplatu 588  
 stiplatu 588  
 stiplo 588  
 struçla 593  
 struhçla 593  
 struhçlas 593  
 strusla 593  
 strušla 593  
 su 595  
 su- 595  
 sub- 595  
 subahtu 595  
 subator 595  
 subocau 692  
 subocauu 692  
 suboco 692  
 subotu 595  
 subra 600  
 sue 561  
 suepo 508  
 sueso 549  
 sukatu 595  
 sume 600  
 sumel 564  
 sumtu 188 595  
 sunitu 574  
 supa 601  
 supaf 601  
 super 600  
 superne 600  
 supes 601  
 supo 601  
 supru 601

- supu 595  
 suront 549  
 suror 549  
 surur 549  
 sururo 549  
 sururont 549  
 suŕu 603  
 suŕuf 603  
 suŕum 603  
 sutentu 595 621  
 svai 510  
 sve 561  
 svepis 510  
 svepu 508  
 svesu 549  
 ŝalu 535  
 ŝerfe 109  
 ŝerfer 109  
 ŝerfi 109  
 ŝerfia 109  
 ŝerfiar 109  
 ŝerfie 109  
 ŝesna 106  
 ŝihitir 114  
 ŝihitu 114  
 ŝimo 115  
 ŝitir 114  
 taĉez 604  
 tafle 604  
 tases 604  
 tasetur 604  
 tasis 604  
 tefe 631  
 tehteŕim 608  
 tejo 631  
 teitu 169  
 tekuries 163  
 tekvias 163  
 tenitu 613  
 terkantur 615  
 termnas 615  
 termnesku 615  
 termnome 615  
 termnuco 615  
 tertu 628  
 tertiana 628  
 tertiane 628  
 tertie 628  
 tertim 628  
 tertio 628  
 tertiu 628  
 tertu 174  
 teŕa 174  
 teŕte 174  
 teŕtu 174  
 teŕust 174  
 testre 168  
 testru 168  
 testruku 168  
 tesvam 168  
 tettome 608  
 tetu 174  
 tiĉit 164  
 tikamne 169  
 tio 631  
 tiom 631  
 titu 174  
 tiu 631  
 toce 618  
 todceir 618  
 todcome 618  
 toru 607  
 tota 618  
 totam 618  
 totaper 618  
 totar 618  
 totco 618  
 totcor 618  
 tote 618  
 toteme 618  
 tra 627  
 traf 627  
 trah- 627  
 traha 627  
 trahaf 627  
 tre 628  
 trebeit 626  
 tref 628  
 trefiper 629  
 treif 628  
 tremitu 628  
 tremnu 626  
 tribfiĉu 629  
 tribisine 629  
 trif 628  
 trifo 629  
 trifor 629  
 trifu 629  
 triia 628  
 triuper 628  
 trioper 628  
 tripler 475 628  
 tris 628  
 tuder 633  
 tudero 634  
 tuderor 633  
 tuderus 634  
 tuf 183  
 tuplak 473  
 tupler 475  
 tures 607  
 tursa 617  
 tursar 617  
 turse 617  
 tursiandu 617  
 tursitu 617  
 tursitoto 617  
 turuf 607  
 tuse 617  
 tuse<ŕ>u 617  
 tusetutu 617  
 tuta 618  
 tutaper 618  
 tutas 618  
 tute 618  
 tuva 183  
 tuvere 183  
 tuves 183  
 uapefe 326  
 uapersus 326  
 uapersusto 326  
 uas 649  
 uaseto 649  
 uasetom 649  
 uasetome 649  
 uaso 655  
 uasor 655  
 uasetom 649  
 ueiro 681  
 uerfale 643  
 uerir 668  
 uerisco 668  
 uesclir 669  
 uestra 691  
 uhtur 61  
 uia 673  
 uinu 680  
 uiro 681  
 uirseto 676  
 uitla 685  
 uitlu 685  
 ukar 424  
 ukre 424  
 ukripe 424  
 ukriper 424  
 ulo 426  
 ulu 426  
 umen 428 642  
 umne 428 642  
 umtu 642  
 une 641  
 uocucom 350  
 uofione 338  
 upetu 431  
 upetuta 431  
 urfeta 433  
 urnasiaru 435  
 urnasier 435  
 urtas 434  
 urtes 434  
 urtu 434  
 uŕetu 24  
 ustentu 421 612  
 ustentuta 612  
 ustetu 612  
 ute 64  
 utur 641  
 uve 437  
 uvef 437  
 uvem 437  
 uvikum 437  
 uze 640  
 vaĉetumise 649  
 vapefem 326  
 vapeŕe 326  
 vasus 655  
 ve(n)persuntra 657  
 vea 673  
 veltu 687  
 vera 668  
 veres 668  
 verofe 668  
 verufe 668  
 veskla 669  
 veskles 669  
 vesklu 669  
 vestiĉe 532  
 vesune 673  
 vetu 672  
 via 673  
 vinu 680  
 vitlaf 685  
 vitlu 685  
 vitluf 685  
 vufetes 691  
 vufiune 338  
 vufu 691  
 vuke 350  
 vukes 350  
 vuku 350  
 vukumen 350  
 vutu 331  
 zeŕef 552  
**Volscian**  
 ā- 606  
 aidiles 25  
 ar- 24  
 atahus 606  
 co- 128  
 couehria 157  
 deue 167  
 duno 179  
 estu 599  
 ferom 214  
 iouio 315  
 medix 169 385  
 pihom 468  
 pis 510 561  
 sapere 538  
 sepi 561  
 sepu 538

sistiatiens 567  
statom 567  
toticu 618  
uesclis 669  
uinu 680

**Marsian**

ded 174  
donom 179  
dunom 179  
ioue 315  
iouies 315  
martses 366  
meddiss 169 385  
medis 169 385  
mesene 373  
pacre 452  
patre 450  
pucle[s] 502  
qestur 503  
seino 563

**South-Picene**

ad- 24  
adstaeoms 590  
adstaiuh 590  
akren 29  
alies 34  
bouediin 74  
brimeidinais 75  
eh- 196  
ehueli 659  
ekú 187  
en 484  
-en 300  
enet 191  
esmen 309  
esmik 309  
esmin 309  
estas 310  
esum 599  
eselsit 105  
iepeten 326  
isiins 599  
kduú 122  
koram 158  
kupri 155  
manus 363  
matereih 367  
mefiin 369  
mefistruí 369  
meitims 384  
meitimúm 384  
múfqlúm 387  
nemúnei 287  
nerf 406  
nir 406  
o]psúq 432

okrei 424  
opesauom 432  
opsút 432  
panivú 508  
patereih 450  
per- 459  
persukant 595  
pid 510  
pim 510  
pimpíh 510  
postin 484  
prai- 485  
praistaint 590  
praistait 590  
pra]istaiuh 590  
praistaklasa 568  
puqluh 502  
qora 158  
qoras 158  
qupat 152  
qupírih 155  
suai 561  
suaipis 510  
súais 549  
súhúh 549  
tefeh 631  
tefei 631  
tíom 631  
tokam 608  
tóuta 618  
tóutaih 618  
tutas 618  
veiat 332  
velaimes 651  
vepeten 326  
vepetí 326  
vepetin 326  
viam 673  
videtas 676

**Pre-Samnite**

διποτερες 315  
estam 310  
esum 599  
fefukeδ 221  
fufpοδ 246  
φολαισυμος 651  
φολος 651  
ιοφοιοι 315  
νεπιες 510  
νεπις 510  
sim 599  
sum 599  
τερμανι 615  
tetet 174  
τοFπιδ 618

**Venetic**

a(n)tra 306  
ati- 59  
atisteit 59 590  
augar 61  
deivos 167  
[?d]idor 174  
donasan 179  
donasto 179  
donom 179  
doto 174  
ego 187  
ekupetaris 193  
ekvon 192  
ekvopetaris 192 464  
ekvopetars 192  
entol 300  
epetaris 193  
eppetaris 193  
equpetars 193  
es 300  
[f.]hraterei 239  
filia 219  
horeionte 289  
<horvionte> 289  
kanei 95  
karis 95  
-kve 506  
lag[sto] 321  
leno 687  
louderai 338  
louderobos 338  
louki 350  
magetlon 359  
maisteratorbos 359  
mego 368  
metlon 371  
meu 368  
murtuvoi 390  
op 421  
ostinobos 436  
pater 450  
per 459  
poltos 456  
segtio[i] 560  
sselboisselboi 584  
stati 590  
termonios 615  
teuta 618  
teuta[m?] 618  
tolar 621  
toler 621  
tonasto 179  
tribusiiati- 628  
trumusiiati- 505  
tuler 621  
u 646  
vesces 669

vesketei 669  
vivoi 685  
volterkon 687  
voltio 687

**CELTIC****Celtiberian**

ambitinkounei 221  
bidetud 221  
robiseti 221  
sancilistara 532  
sistat 567  
somei 568  
somui 568  
tekametam 163  
tuater 253  
ueramos 601

**Gaulish**

ad- 24  
Adgenus 260  
Aesus 194  
Allobroges 365  
alios 34  
ambi- 37  
anderon 302  
arganto-(magus) 53  
Artio 645  
ate- 59  
bebru- 217  
biiete 222  
Brogimāros 365  
caii 123  
Caletes 84  
Caleti 84  
carnuātus 136  
Cintugenus 260  
Cintugnatus 401 516  
com- 128  
curmi 142  
decametos 163  
delgu 302  
duxtir 253  
eiabi 310  
eianom 310  
epo- 193  
Esus 194  
eti 195  
etic 195  
gabi 277  
genata 260  
geneta 260  
gia 284  
giam 284  
giamo 284  
giamon 284  
giamoni 284

gnata 260  
 gnátha 401  
 íbetis 72  
 imi 599  
 ivo- 648  
 iþmi 599  
 Lemouices 637  
 Limoges 637  
 -mātrici 369  
 Mediolānum 369  
 neDDamon 407  
 Nevio- 416  
 Novio- 416  
 odocos 185  
 pissiumí 156  
 raie 481  
 rica 481  
 ritu- 482  
 trigaranos 274  
 Uenicarus 661  
 uer- 601  
 Uxama 601  
 Uxellodunum 601  
 uz 601  
 vo- 595

βρατου 271  
 καρνυξ 136  
 κόρμα 142  
 κοῦρμα 142  
 ούάτεις 656

**Galatian**  
 κάρνον 136

**Old Irish**  
 á 436  
 abae 39  
 abann 39  
 accobor 155  
 ad- 24  
 ad·cí\* 156  
 ad·cobra 155  
 ad·condairc 615  
 ad·ella\* 456  
 ad·fét 676  
 ad·gnin\* 414  
 ad·nói\* 419  
 ad·roilli 131  
 ad·tá 590  
 ad·tluchedar 348  
 áe 310  
 áed 25  
 aí 310  
 aigid\* 31  
 aile 34  
 aill 34  
 ainm 412

áinne 45  
 airmed 368  
 aith- 59  
 áith 60  
 all- 34  
 alt 35  
 an- 36 301  
 anaid 43 279  
 anaíl 36  
 and 189  
 aniar 36  
 anim 43  
 anís 36  
 anmann 412  
 anme 43  
 ar 413  
 ar·fen 677  
 ar·fóet 188  
 ar·foim 188  
 ar·ic\* 399  
 ara·chrin 110  
 ara·foima 188  
 arbae 56  
 arbor 56  
 arcaid\* 483  
 ard 52  
 argat 53  
 art 645  
 áru 519  
 as·loi\* 353  
 as·noi\* 419  
 as·sroither 593  
 at·baill 688  
 at·raig 517  
 áth 294  
 áu 63  
 aub 39  
 aue 63 66  
 báe 206  
 baíngen 201  
 bán 312  
 base 203  
 be(i)the 72  
 bech 245  
 beirid 214  
 benaid 460  
 berbaid 215  
 bi(u)r 668  
 biid 222  
 biith 222  
 biuu 222  
 blár 227  
 bláth 227  
 bó 74  
 bobaig\* 250  
 boí 246  
 bongaid\* 250  
 braig(a)id\* 238

bráth 271  
 bráthair 239  
 brí 236  
 bried\* 243  
 Brigit 236  
 broimm 238  
 bronnaid 245  
 bruid 245  
 bruiser 245  
 bruithe 165  
 bruth 165  
 buachail 41  
 búan 205  
 cadéin 162  
 cadesin 162  
 cáech 79  
 cainid 88  
 caire 93  
 cais 78  
 calad 84  
 calath 84  
 carae 95  
 caraid 96  
 carr 157  
 cath 99  
 cechain 88  
 ceilid\* 424  
 céin 162  
 cét 108  
 cét- 516  
 ceth(a)ir 505  
 cetheoir 505  
 cia 510  
 cloth 122  
 cnáo 420  
 cnó 420  
 cnoi 420  
 cnú 420  
 coair 665  
 coeg 79  
 cóem 153  
 cóic 509  
 cóim 153  
 cóir 665  
 coil 139  
 com- 128  
 comaitae 35  
 con 87  
 con- 128  
 con·oi 318  
 con·rig 138  
 con·slá\* 329  
 Conall 651  
 corr 158  
 coss 140  
 cré 144  
 creitid 175  
 creitid\* 141

criad 144  
 criathar 144  
 cride 135  
 crú 146  
 cú 87  
 cúa 101  
 cúäch 91  
 cúal 100  
 cuil 150  
 cuing 314  
 cuir 142  
 cúil 151  
 con- 128  
 -d 634  
 dán 179  
 dáu 183  
 dé 229  
 de·meccim 378  
 dech 164  
 deich 163  
 deil 209  
 dela 209  
 delech 209  
 delg 200  
 denaid\* 210  
 denus 171  
 dér 322  
 dere 615  
 dess 168  
 dé 166  
 di de 160  
 di·tuitt 634  
 dia 352  
 día 167  
 diabul 475  
 dīad 229  
 did 210  
 dīe 315  
 dingid\* 221  
 dó 183  
 do du 160  
 do·dímen\* 385  
 do·écei\* 156  
 do·essim\* 554  
 do·eth 191  
 do·formaig 356  
 do·gó 276  
 do·goa\* 276  
 do·grē 269  
 do·greinn\* 269  
 do·íc\* 399  
 do·lin 473  
 do·muinethar\* 371  
 do·rata\* 175  
 do·rig\* 138 523  
 do·slí 131  
 do·tuit 634  
 donn 252

- Dor 233  
 dorus 233  
 dos 183  
 dotluchedar 348  
 dóu 183  
 dú 292  
 dúas 162  
 duine 287  
 Duir 233  
 é 310  
 ē- 301  
 eblaid\* 456  
 ech 193  
 echter 196  
 ed 310 462  
 ēisc 468  
 elu 427  
 emon 256  
 en- 300  
 én 464  
 Éogan 260  
 erb 54  
 ernaid\* 447  
 ess- 196  
 estir 186  
 eter 306  
 ethae\* 191  
 ethait 186  
 fadéin 162 549  
 fáith 656  
 fal 651  
 fallnaitir\* 651  
 fás 655  
 fáth 656  
 fecht 679  
 fedb 677  
 ·feil/·fai 688  
 féin 162 549  
 fer 681  
 ferr 666  
 fess 669  
 fiche 678  
 fichid\* 679  
 fichit 678  
 figid\* 660  
 ·fil 688  
 filed 689  
 fili 689  
 fillid 690  
 fine 661  
 fir 644 668  
 flaith 651  
 fliuch 345  
 fo 595  
 fo-loing 350  
 fo-luathar 474  
 for(-) 601  
 gaibid 277  
 gaim 284  
 gaimred 284  
 gainidir\* 260  
 gáir 255  
 gairid\* 255  
 gairm 255  
 gáu 280  
 gēiss 44  
 gin 257  
 glenaid 266  
 ·glia\* 266  
 glioid\* 266  
 glomar 265  
 glün 259  
 ·gni 260  
 gniid 260  
 gó 280  
 goi 280  
 ·goin 210  
 gonaid 210  
 gor 289  
 gorn 235  
 gort 290  
 graig 273  
 grán 271  
 guss 276  
 heirp 54  
 iadaid 175  
 iasc 468  
 ibid 72  
 imb 642  
 imb- 37  
 imbliu 639  
 imm-fen\* 677  
 immedōn 369  
 imthach 608  
 i<sup>n</sup> 300  
 in- 300 301  
 in-greinn\* 269  
 in-loing 350  
 indé 283  
 ingen 641  
 inis 306  
 innocht 416  
 insce 304  
 in-tuigethar 608  
 is 599  
 ís 462  
 ithid 186  
 laigid 332  
 laigiú 336  
 lainn 328  
 lám 441  
 land 352  
 legaid 332  
 léicid\* 344  
 lem 637  
 lenaid 344  
 lí 347  
 lia 475  
 ligid\* 343  
 liid\* 346  
 lín 473  
 líthech 346  
 loathar 331  
 loch 322  
 loimm 474  
 loingid 351  
 loth 355  
 -lú\* 474  
 lúaide 474  
 lúain 352  
 luib 337  
 luíd\* 474  
 luithir 474  
 macc 357  
 magdae 359  
 maige 359  
 maith 364  
 máithir 367  
 mál 359  
 mana 363  
 maraid 388  
 marb 390  
 mátar 361  
 meilid\* 387  
 mell 360  
 mennar 372  
 mer 388  
 mescaid 382  
 mess 368  
 mí 373  
 mid- 369  
 midithir 368  
 mií 370  
 min 383  
 mind 372  
 mír 370  
 mligid\* 393  
 mó 391  
 mogaid 359  
 moigid 359  
 móin 363 395  
 moirb 234  
 mos- 391  
 moth 398  
 mruig 365  
 muin 363 388  
 muinēl 388  
 muinithir\* 371  
 muir 365  
 na 403  
 nac 403  
 nach 403  
 námae 39  
 nascaid\* 401  
 nassae 401  
 náthar 413  
 nathir 402  
 nathrach 402  
 náu 403  
 necht 406  
 nemed 405  
 nessa 407  
 nessam 407  
 nía 406  
 niad 406  
 nó 403 404 656  
 nocht 418  
 nóí 415  
 not·ail 35  
 nuē 416  
 óac 317  
 ocht 424  
 ochtmad 424  
 óen 642  
 óí 437  
 ol 426  
 olann 325  
 olc 637  
 om 37  
 omun 451  
 onn 435  
 onnen 435  
 orb 433  
 orb(b)e 433  
 orpe 433  
 ós 601  
 othar 501  
 otre 647  
 raid 518  
 rath 447  
 reithid\* 527  
 renaid\* 524  
 rí 522  
 ríge 522  
 ríge 522  
 rigid 523  
 rīm 524  
 ringid\* 523  
 ro 490  
 ro·ánaic\* 399  
 ro-cluinethar 122  
 ro·finnadar\* 676  
 ro·fitir 676  
 ro·geinn 487  
 ro·ic\* 399  
 ro·laimethar 326  
 róe 531  
 rondaic\* 527  
 rúad 528  
 rúathar 530  
 sàeth 534  
 saidid 552

- saigid 534  
 sail 536  
 sailech 536  
 sáith 540  
 salann 535  
 saltraid 535  
 samail 564  
 scaraid\* 94  
 sceid 544  
 sceinnid 543  
 scéith 549  
 scerdaid\* 109  
 sciath 549  
 sé 560  
 sech 551  
 secht 555  
 seichid\* 304  
 seichidir\* 556  
 seinnid\* 574  
 selg 340  
 sell 585  
 sen 553  
 ser 585  
 sernaíd\* 586  
 sessam 567  
 sét 554  
 sí 310  
 síd 552  
 sindā 162  
 sír 558  
 -sissedar 567  
 sissidir\* 567  
 sith- 559  
 sithithir 559  
 -siu 568  
 siur 576  
 slán 572  
 sluindid 581  
 smech 359  
 smiur 369  
 smólchaē 375  
 snaíd 411  
 snechtae 410  
 sned 334  
 sní 413  
 snigid 409  
 so 568  
 -so 568  
 sodain 162  
 sond 582  
 sruíd\* 593  
 stmólach 375  
 súan 573  
 suide 162 571  
 súil 570  
 sund 162  
 táid 584  
 taíd\* 603
- talam 608  
 tanae 614  
 tarb 607  
 té 614  
 teg 608  
 teinnid\* 622  
 tengae 343  
 teoir 629  
 tess 614  
 tethaim 622  
 tethraig\* 626  
 tige 608  
 tinaid 620  
 tír 616  
 tlenaid\* 622  
 traig 626  
 tre 629  
 treb 626  
 trēdenus 171  
 tres 618  
 tri 629  
 trí 629  
 triss 618  
 truit 634  
 túath 618  
 úa 64  
 úan 30  
 úasal 601  
 úath 451  
 úathad 621  
 ucht 453  
 uilen 638  
 (h)uinnius 435  
 uisce 641  
 uisse 316  
 úr 500
- Middle Irish**  
 ain 313  
 airim 55  
 arathar 55  
 asna 436  
 blá 225  
 bláth 227  
 bond 250  
 brén 238 364  
 caccaim 78  
 cana 516  
 cano 516  
 clithar 121  
 crí 137  
 crim 164  
 cruaid 146  
 daig 237  
 dega 237  
 dethach 229  
 drab 238  
 droch- 631
- dūil 248  
 éisi 44  
 elae 427  
 escung 42  
 fāe 650  
 ferbb 654  
 fī 682  
 gass 280  
 gat 280  
 gnou 268  
 laídid 351  
 lubgort 337  
 luibh 337  
 maide 361  
 mairt 374  
 mart 374  
 mide 369  
 net 409  
 ochair 424  
 orbaind 195  
 rīan 309 524  
 scríp(a)id 547  
 sellaid 585  
 sesc 562  
 -sní 405  
 sníid 405  
 srengim 592  
 sreod 587  
 tamnaid 609
- Early Modern Irish**  
 smólach 375
- Modern Irish**  
 bacc 67  
 caera 89  
 crúach 147  
 delb 176  
 feorag 685  
 gnó 268  
 icht 308  
 iora 685  
 mut 397  
 mutach 397  
 rua 685  
 sal 536  
 salach 536  
 smaol 375  
 smól 375
- Old Welsh**  
 a 31  
 anu 412  
 atan 458  
 beryt 214  
 -bid 222  
 coll 139  
 Congual 651
- diauc 424  
 did 315  
 dou 183  
 duiutit 167  
 eterin 458  
 gaem 284  
 gu- 595  
 gua- 595  
 guar 601  
 guetid 672  
 gulat 651  
 guo- 595  
 gwir(awt) 644  
 hegit 31  
 iou 314  
 istlinit 581  
 ithr 306  
 kelu 424  
 marth 374  
 Morgen 260  
 nes 407  
 rit 482  
 rud 528  
 -tau 590  
 tir 616  
 uceint 678  
 ui 438
- Middle Welsh**  
 afon 39  
 ar 601  
 ascurn 436  
 ascwrn 436  
 assen 436  
 ballaf 688  
 bedw 72  
 braen 238  
 brein 238  
 breu 245  
 bu 246  
 bun 205  
 byð 222  
 byðaf 222  
 byt 222  
 caffael 277  
 cant 108  
 chwarae 138  
 chwech 560  
 chwerthin 602  
 chwyrn 602  
 cneu 420  
 cwrr 158  
 cyfeillt 35  
 cynt 516  
 dala 302  
 daly 302  
 deheu 168  
 deu 183



- diawg 424  
 dichawn 130  
 difyngaf 250  
 digawn 130  
 dilein 332  
 dineu 419  
 doe 283  
 drwg 631  
 dwc 181  
 dwnn 252  
 dygaf 181  
 dyrllid 131  
 dywedaf 672  
 edfynaf 460  
 ehedec 464  
 eidaw 310  
 eithyr 196  
 el 456  
 ellwng- 350  
 erchi 483  
 eskit 160  
 ethyl 176  
 ewin 641  
 ewythr 66  
 eyt 31  
 figgit 678  
 gaeaf 284  
 garðu 255  
 garth 290  
 genni 487  
 gieu 220  
 gnawt 401  
 goleith 332  
 goruc 466  
 gre 273  
 grynnaw 269  
 gw(y)chi 670  
 gwad 672  
 gwadu 672  
 gwaessaf 567  
 gwarae 138  
 gwarwy 523  
 gwassarnu 586  
 gwehynnu 554  
 gweini 260  
 gweith 679  
 gwelet 688  
 gwlad 651  
 gwyðyat 676  
 gwyr 676  
 haeðu 534  
 heaf 552  
 hebu 304  
 hëu 552  
 honni 574  
 hwnn 162  
 hwyat 65  
 hysp 562
- iau 314  
 ieith 308  
 kyfrang 399  
 kyfreing 399  
 kynhanu 279  
 kyscu 152  
 kystuð 634  
 llan 352  
 llei 336  
 lleith 332  
 lliw 346  
 llymeit 474  
 liyu 343  
 magu 356  
 marth 374  
 meðu 368  
 merch 365  
 moch 391  
 moi 359  
 mwn 388  
 mwnwgyll 388  
 nyfel 404  
 odit 621  
 ouyn 451  
 pedair 505  
 pobi 134  
 poeth 134  
 rat 447  
 re 517  
 reaf 517  
 renghi 399  
 rhudd 528  
 rhydd 493  
 rwygaw 525  
 rynghu 399  
 sathar 535  
 sylu 585  
 tafawt 343  
 tannu 613  
 tarðu 627  
 toði 603  
 tramwy 395  
 trei 626  
 tremyn- 374  
 tremynu 395  
 treul 626  
 tyfu 633  
 wy 438  
 yeu 314  
 ymenyn 642  
 ys 186  
 yscar 94  
 ysgeinnyaw 543  
 yuet 72
- Welsh**  
 â 24  
 add- 24
- ag 24  
 ail 34  
 alarch 427  
 allt 35  
 amaeth 31  
 amdo 608  
 ardd 52  
 arddu 55  
 aren 519  
 arian 53  
 arth 645  
 asgwrn 136  
 atnabot 414  
 atwaen 414  
 bach 67  
 barnu 271  
 beich 203  
 bela 220  
 bele 209 220  
 berw 215  
 blawd 227  
 blawr 227  
 blydd 386  
 braen 364  
 bram 238  
 brau 245  
 brawd 239:271  
 brefu 241  
 bro 365  
 brod 165  
 brwd 165  
 brys 216  
 bustl 72  
 cachu 78  
 cae 123  
 caeriwrch 89  
 caled 84  
 sann 87  
 canu 88  
 car 157  
 caredd 93  
 carn 136  
 caru 96  
 carw 111  
 cas 78  
 cawdd 78  
 cawg 91  
 ceinach 88  
 ceri 93  
 chwys 596  
 ci 87  
 cil 151  
 cleddyf 263  
 clir 122  
 clun 123  
 clyd 83  
 coegdall 79  
 craidd 135
- crau 146  
 credu 141  
 crug 147  
 crwydr 144  
 cryf 164  
 crynu 110  
 cwd 154  
 cwn 87  
 cwrwf 142  
 cychwynnu 543  
 cyf- 128  
 cyfaill 35  
 cyllionen 150  
 (cy)mysgaf 382  
 cyn- 128  
 cythrudd 630  
 cyweir 665  
 dail 230  
 dala 200  
 dant 166  
 dawn 179  
 deau 168  
 deg 163  
 deigr 322  
 dor 233  
 dyn 287  
 dynu 210  
 echel 66  
 edmygaf 378  
 edn 464  
 eh- 196  
 eieirch 427  
 elin 638  
 erw 56  
 ewyllys 65  
 ffon 582  
 g(w)ogawn 88  
 gaeafrawd 284  
 galw 254  
 gau 280  
 gawr 255  
 gen 257  
 geneth 260  
 geneu 257  
 geni 260 372  
 gïau 220  
 glynu 266  
 go- 595  
 godiwawd 650  
 gognaw 268  
 gogoniant 88  
 gor- 601  
 grawn 271  
 gwa- 595  
 gwae 650  
 gwaladr 651  
 gwan 211  
 gwar- 601

- gwawd 656  
 gwëu 660  
 gwir 668  
 gwlan 325  
 gwlieth 345  
 gwnn 676  
 gwraidd 512  
 gwy 682  
 gwybot 676  
 gwynt 662  
 bafal 564  
 halawg 536  
 halen 535  
 haul 570  
 heb 551  
 hedd 552  
 helyg 536  
 hen 553  
 hoed 534  
 hoedl 533  
 hun 573  
 hyd 559  
 iaith 308  
 ieuanc 317  
 ir 500  
 llafasu 326  
 llaw 441  
 lliw 347  
 llwyfen 637  
 llynu 344  
 llywarn 688  
 mad 364  
 malu 387  
 mam 361  
 mann 372  
 mant 373  
 marw 390  
 mawn 363  
 medi 377  
 mer 369  
 mor 365  
 morwyn 365  
 mwyalch 375  
 mwydion 383  
 mwyn 383 395  
 mynydd 388  
 myr 234  
 nai 406  
 nain 413  
 nedd 334  
 nedden 334  
 nei 406  
 neidr 402  
 ner 406  
 nessaf 407  
 neu 404 656  
 newydd 416  
 noeth 418  
 nou 656  
 nudd 417  
 nyddu 405  
 nyf 410  
 nyth 409  
 ochr 424  
 odyn 60  
 oedd 599  
 oen 30  
 of 37  
 pen(g)lin 259  
 pridd 144  
 pwy 510  
 pymp 509  
 rathu 512  
 rheg 488  
 rhew 494  
 rhif 524  
 rbych 481  
 rhyd 482  
 saith 555  
 sathru 535  
 ser 585  
 seren 585  
 sugnedydd 596  
 sugno 596  
 talu 605  
 tanow 614  
 tarw 607  
 teneu 614  
 tes 614  
 to 608  
 tref 626  
 tresglen 635  
 trew 587  
 troeth 585  
 trwnc 585  
 tud 618  
 uch 601  
 uchel 601  
 ucher 670  
 un 642  
 uthr 451  
 wyth 424  
 yn- 300  
 ynys 306  
 ys 599  
 ysgwyd 549  
 ystlwn 581  
 ystrew 587  
**Old Cornish**  
 als 35  
 ascorn 436  
 asen 436  
 blodon 227  
 chii 151  
 coref 142  
 coruf 142  
 cuic 79  
 doy 283  
 goiuen 220  
 goyf 284  
 grueiten 512  
 guhien 670  
 hwirmores 602  
 menit 388  
 moroin 365  
 nesheuin 407  
 oin 30  
 onnen 435  
 uy 438  
**Middle Cornish**  
 ar 601  
 atta 590  
 beth 222  
 bethaf 222  
 brew 245  
 bue 246  
 bystel 72  
 byth 222  
 bythaf 222  
 deg 181  
 dehow 168  
 dek 181  
 deleth 131  
 drok 631  
 ello 456  
 erghi 483  
 eva 72  
 glena 266  
 gonis 260  
 gorre 517  
 gorthybi 304  
 gozye 676  
 gruk 466  
 gwana 211  
 gwefes 689  
 hedhi 552  
 hwerthin 602  
 ieyw 220  
 kavoes 277  
 kens 516  
 koska 152  
 krena 110  
 lowarn 688  
 maga 357  
 medhes 368  
 nedha 405  
 nes 407  
 otte 590  
 own 451  
 resek 527  
 tan 613  
 tardha 627  
 tevi 633  
 tremena 395  
**Late Cornish**  
 bledzhan 227  
 gwia 660  
 knyfan 420  
 striwhi 587  
**Cornish**  
 (a) 601  
 aswon 414  
 aswonvos 414  
 awell 65  
 bedewen 72  
 clethe 263  
 cregy 141  
 dioc 424  
 esgis 160  
 eskit 160  
 frau 447  
 genys 260  
 godhvos 676  
 gronen 271  
 gulat 651  
 heb 551  
 huhel 601  
 ieu 314  
 kē 123  
 kev- 128  
 krysi 141  
 lan 352  
 lauasos 326  
 marth 374  
 nader 402  
 naw 415  
 nedhen 334  
 nessa 407  
 oll 572  
 oy 438  
 penglin 259  
 pry 144  
 pyw 510  
 rit 482  
 rud 528  
 sterenn 585  
 steyr 585  
 tus 618  
 ugh 601  
 us 599  
 vs 599  
 whys 596  
 ynter 306  
**Old Breton**  
 a 31  
 abu 246  
 ambactus 31

Ard- 645  
 Arth- 645  
 -bid 222  
 bodocnous 268  
 caiou 123  
 coguenou 661  
 critim 141  
 cruc 147  
 deigim 302  
 diochi 424  
 diren 523  
 douhinnom 554  
 due 181  
 en 300  
 g(u)oiam 284  
 gen 260  
 goanaff 211  
 goyzye 676  
 guad 672  
 guar 601  
 guelet 689  
 gueth 679  
 guletic 651  
 guohi 670  
 hep 304 551  
 in 300  
 is 599  
 monid 388  
 mun 388  
 neuued 416  
 nou 404  
 nouuid 416  
 rec 481  
 reded 527  
 rud 528  
 scoet 549  
 scuit 549  
 staer 585  
 strouis 593  
 tardom 627  
 teuziff 603  
 to 590  
 tre 626

**Middle Breton**

aeren 138 523  
 archaff 483  
 azr 402  
 barn 271  
 benaff 460  
 beraff 214  
 bez 222  
 bezaf 222  
 bezaff 222  
 blezu 227  
 bresic 216  
 brezec 216  
 caffout 277

cann 87  
 carez 93  
 claideb 263  
 clezeff 263  
 cnou 420  
 cousquet 152  
 crenaff 110  
 croezr 144  
 dellit 131  
 dinou 419  
 discar 94  
 ehanaff 279  
 euaff 72  
 felch 340  
 gnou 268  
 go(u)nit 260  
 go(u)ren 517  
 goar 676  
 gor 676  
 halaff 35  
 hezaff 552  
 houat 65  
 huerzin 602  
 keles 424  
 leat 343  
 maezur 357  
 maguaff 357  
 malaff 387  
 map 357  
 midiff 377  
 mo(u)mm 474  
 naska 401  
 nes 407  
 neved 405  
 nezaff 405  
 roegaff 525  
 sunaff 596  
 tremen 395  
 tut 618  
 yal 456  
 yel 456  
 yvet 72

**Breton**

aer 402  
 ahel 66  
 amann 642  
 an- 301  
 aod 35  
 aot 35  
 arz 645  
 askorn 136  
 bara 201  
 bec'h 203  
 ber 668  
 bestl 72  
 bezuen 72  
 bleuñv 227

bramm 238  
 brein 364  
 brev 245  
 brout 165  
 c'hwiliores 602  
 cana 88  
 chouez 596  
 Conuual 651  
 crediff 141  
 crug 147  
 dehou 168  
 den 287  
 diek 424  
 dor 233  
 eil 34  
 eiz 424  
 englenaff 266  
 eontr 66  
 etre 306  
 frao 447  
 garan 274  
 garm 255  
 goalenn 690  
 goañv 284  
 gonn 676  
 gown 676,  
 gouz(o)ut:676  
 greun 271  
 gueaff 660  
 guenell 260  
 gwrizienn 512  
 hes 559  
 hir 558  
 hoal 533  
 hoazl 333  
 holl 572  
 huerniñ 602  
 ieo 314  
 ilin 638  
 ivin 641  
 karo 111  
 kent 516  
 keo 101  
 kev 101  
 kraoñ 420  
 kreñv 164  
 lagen 322  
 lann 352  
 laouer 331  
 louarn 688  
 man 363  
 marzh 374  
 mat 364  
 mel 369 370  
 merien 234  
 moan 383  
 moualc'h 375  
 nant 405

nes 407  
 nessaff 407  
 neuze 416  
 nez 334  
 nezenn 334  
 oan 30  
 oged 423  
 onn 435  
 oun 451  
 ounn 435  
 pennglin 259  
 pet 511  
 piou 510  
 pri 144  
 rahein 512  
 rec'h 481  
 reo 494  
 rev 494  
 ruz 528  
 saotrañ 535  
 scoed 549  
 sellout 585  
 ster 585  
 sterenn 585  
 streviañ 587  
 strofik 585  
 tanao 614  
 teir 629  
 teñviñ 633  
 tez 614  
 to 608  
 tri 629  
 troazh 585  
 uhel 601  
 uy 438  
 vi 438  
 war 601  
 yeu 314  
 yezh 308

**ANATOLIAN****Hittite**

ad- 186  
 aiš 436  
 akniš 297  
 anda 189  
 andan 189  
 andurza 233  
 anije/a-<sup>zi</sup> 428  
 antuhš- 597  
 antuqahhaš- 597  
 anz- 413  
 arai-<sup>i</sup> 435  
 ardāl(a)- 526  
 ardu- 526  
 ari- 435  
 arkuqae-<sup>zi</sup> 53

- arš- 527  
 aršanu-<sup>zi</sup> 527  
 aš- 599  
 ašant- 574  
 aši 310  
 atta- 60  
 au-<sup>i</sup> 61  
 auli- 36  
 ānš- 377  
 ārk-<sup>i</sup> / ark- 193  
 ārš-<sup>zi</sup> 527  
 daššu- 167  
 dā-<sup>i</sup> / d- 175  
 dāi- / ti- 175  
 dākki 176  
 duttarrijata/i- 253  
 ed-<sup>zi</sup> 186  
 edi 310  
 eku-<sup>zi</sup>/aku- 184  
 epp-<sup>zi</sup> / app- 47 431  
 eš-<sup>zi</sup> 599  
 ešhar 537  
 genu- /ganu- 259  
 genzu- 260  
 gimannije/a-<sup>zi</sup> 284  
 gimm- 284  
 gimmant- 284  
 hahhari 519  
 hahri 519  
 hahriš 519  
 hahrišni 519  
 halina- 344  
 hallanna/i-<sup>i</sup> 165  
 hamank-<sup>i</sup> /  
   hame/ink- 42  
 hane/išš-<sup>zi</sup> 377  
 hanna- 45  
 hant- 45  
 hanza 45  
 hapa- 39  
 hapae-<sup>zi</sup> 39  
 happina- 431  
 happinant- 431  
 har(k)-<sup>zi</sup> 51  
 hardu- 435  
 harp-<sup>na(n)</sup> 433  
 harp-<sup>zi</sup> 433  
 harpa/i- 433  
 hartakka- 645  
 haštai 436  
 hašter(a)- 585  
 hašterza 585  
 hašti- 436  
 hāšš- 49  
 hāššā- 49  
 hāt-<sup>i</sup>/hat- 25 58  
 himma- 26 298  
 huhha- 66  
 hui- 659  
 hulana- 325  
 hulija- 325  
 hulle-<sup>na</sup> / hull- 651  
 hurki- 665  
 huuai-<sup>i</sup> 659  
 huuant- 662  
 i-<sup>zi</sup> 191  
 je/a-<sup>na(n)</sup> 191  
 ijanna-<sup>i</sup> / ijanni- 191  
 imma 300  
 ini 310  
 išhā- 194  
 išhahru- 322  
 išhai-<sup>i</sup> 533  
 išhan- 537  
 išhi- 533  
 išhunauar 407  
 iškalla-<sup>i</sup> / iškall- 151  
 išpai-<sup>i</sup> / išpi- 493 580  
 išpant- 582 670  
 išpant-<sup>i</sup> 582  
 išpar- 578 579  
 išpār-<sup>i</sup> 578  
 išpamu-<sup>zi</sup> 578  
 išparra-<sup>i</sup> 579  
 išš- 436  
 ištar(k)-<sup>zi</sup> 585  
 ištarni(n)k-<sup>zi</sup> 585  
 itar 311  
 iuga- 314  
 iuka- 314  
 iūk- 314  
 kala(n)k- 320  
 kalankaddu 320  
 kalankant- 320  
 kane/išš-<sup>zi</sup> 297 414  
 karaš- 288  
 karāuar / karaun-  
   111  
 kard(i)- 135  
 karp(ije/a)-<sup>na(n)</sup> 143  
 karp(ije/a)-<sup>zi</sup> 95  
 karpī- 143  
 karpina- 94  
 karš(ije/a)-<sup>zi</sup> 158  
 kā- 116  
 kānk-<sup>i</sup> / kank- 153  
 ker 135  
 ketkar 141  
 ki- 116  
 kinun 418  
 ki-<sup>na(n)</sup> 153  
 -kkan 128  
 -kku 506  
 kue- 510  
 kue(n)-<sup>zi</sup> / kun- 211  
 kui- 510  
 kukuš-<sup>zi</sup> 276  
 kū- 116  
 kūt- / kutt- 249  
 kuua- 510  
 kuua(n)- 211  
 kuuan/kun- 87  
 lammar 419  
 lamn- 412 419  
 lāman- 412  
 lukkatt- 356  
 lukk-<sup>na</sup> 356  
 patteiant- 464  
 makkešš-<sup>zi</sup> 359  
 maklant- 357  
 maknu-<sup>zi</sup> 359  
 malitt- 370  
 malla- 387  
 manijahh-<sup>i</sup> 363  
 mant- 372  
 markije/a-<sup>zi</sup> 364  
 marnu-<sup>zi</sup> 390  
 marra<sup>na(n)</sup>- 390  
 marri 376  
 marrije/a-<sup>na(n)</sup> 390  
 mau-<sup>i</sup> 391  
 maušš- 391  
 mārki- / mark- 365  
 mekk- 359  
 mekkai- 359  
 mekki- 359  
 mēna- 373  
 mēni- 373  
 mer-<sup>na</sup> 390  
 militt- 370  
 mu- 391  
 mūgae-<sup>zi</sup> 392  
 mūkar- 392  
 mukn- 392  
 mum(m)ija/e-<sup>zi</sup> 391  
 mūri- 398  
 mūua- 398  
 nekumanza 418  
 nekuz 416  
 neku-<sup>zi</sup> 416  
 nēpiš 409  
 nēua- 416  
 nēuahh-<sup>i</sup> 416  
 nu 418  
 padda-<sup>i</sup> / padd- 229  
 pahhur / pahhuen-  
   500  
 pahš-<sup>na(n)</sup> 449  
 pahš 449  
 pahšnu-<sup>zi</sup> 449  
 pai-<sup>i</sup> / pi- 28 431  
 paīi-<sup>zi</sup> 192  
 palhai- 470  
 palhann- 470  
 palhatar- 470  
 palhi- 470  
 paluae-<sup>zi</sup> 226  
 paršna- 461  
 paršnae-<sup>zi</sup> 461  
 pata- 462  
 pattai- / patti- 464  
 pattar / pattan- 458  
 pāš-<sup>i</sup> / paš- 485  
 pāt- / pat- 462  
 peda- 430  
 peje-<sup>zi</sup>/pei- 293  
 peije/a- 293  
 pettar / pettan- 458  
 šalli- 537  
 šamankuruant- 359  
 malla- 387  
 šaptaminzu 555  
 šarāp-<sup>i</sup> / šarip- 575  
 šargau- 539  
 šarkiške/a-<sup>zi</sup> 539  
 šarku- 539  
 šarni(n)k-<sup>zi</sup> 539  
 šaudišt- / šāuitišt-  
   673  
 šāgai- 534  
 šāi-<sup>zi</sup> 534  
 šākije/a-<sup>zi</sup> 534  
 šākk-<sup>i</sup> / šakk- 550  
 šāklāi- 532  
 šiptamija- 555  
 šupp-<sup>na(n)</sup> 573  
 šupparije/a-<sup>zi</sup> 573  
 šupparuant- 573  
 takkanzi 176  
 takn- 292  
 takš-<sup>zi</sup> 619  
 \*taluki- / talugai-  
   348  
 tapašša- 614  
 tappala- 161  
 tar(k)ju-<sup>zi</sup> 624  
 tarhu-<sup>zi</sup> 627  
 Tarhunna- 627  
 tarkuua 625  
 tarkuuant- 625  
 tarma- 615  
 tarš- 624  
 tāje/a-<sup>zi</sup> 584  
 tājuga- 314  
 tān 183  
 tēkan 292  
 titta- / titti- 175  
 tu- 631  
 tuhhae-<sup>zi</sup> 597  
 tuhhu- 230  
 tuhhuuai- 230  
 tukkanzi- 181  
 tukzi- 181

tūyan 181  
 u- 61  
 -ua(r)- 664  
 ualh-<sup>21</sup> 659  
 uarpac-<sup>21</sup> / uarpa-  
 643  
 uarš-<sup>1</sup> 666  
 uāšpa- 670  
 uāšše/a-<sup>21</sup> 672  
 uāš-<sup>1</sup> 678  
 uen-<sup>21</sup> 662  
 uerant- 664  
 uerije/a- 664  
 uerite-<sup>21</sup> / uerit- 664  
 uēšai- / uēši- 669  
 uēšš-<sup>13</sup> 672  
 net- 673  
 uijan- 680  
 ūiniyant- 680  
 uitt- 673  
 uni 310  
 unu-<sup>21</sup> 643  
 ušnije/a- 663  
 uyan- 662  
 ūk 187  
 z- 359 621  
 zamakur 359  
 zamankur 359  
 zankiī- 532  
 zankila<sup>1</sup>- 532  
 zanu-<sup>21</sup> 621  
 zē-<sup>13</sup> 621  
 zik 631  
 zinni-<sup>21</sup> / zinn- 621

**Palaic**

ad- 186  
 ahu- 184  
 anije/a- 428  
 hānta 60  
 hāpna- 39  
 hāri 60  
 kā- 116  
 kārt- 135  
 kijāt 116  
 kī- 153  
 -ku 506  
 kui- 510  
 malitanna- 370  
 nu 418  
 nū 418  
 tiuna- 315  
 -uar- 664

**Cuneiform Luwian**

am(ma)šša- 377  
 am(ma)šši(ja)- 377  
 ari(ja)- 435

aṷa 61  
 āaš- 436  
 ād-/ad- 186  
 ānnara/i- 406  
 ānni- 428  
 ānta 189  
 ārsšija- 527  
 āš- / aš- 599  
 āšhar- 537  
 āššu- 193  
 azzu- 193  
 dūp(a)i- 593  
 hamešha- 377  
 hardu- 435  
 hašp- 58  
 hāpa/i- 39  
 hāš- 436  
 hāyi- 437  
 hišhija- 533  
 hizza(i)- 648  
 hūha- 66  
 hui(ja)- 659  
 imma 300  
 karš- 158  
 -ku 506  
 kui- 510  
 lā- 175  
 maia- 359  
 mal(h)u- 387  
 mallit- 370  
 mūya- 398  
 nānun 418  
 nāya/i- 416  
 pašš- 485  
 pāhūr- 500  
 pāta/i- 462  
 pa-/ppa 452  
 tappaš- 409  
 Tarhunt- 627  
 Tarhuqant- 627  
 tarma/i- 615  
 tata/i- 60  
 tijamm(i)- 292  
 tī 631  
 tu- 631  
 tūya- 182  
 -ua- 664  
 uāšpant- 670  
 uāšš- 672  
 uār 644  
 ušša/i- 673  
 ūinija- 680  
 zā- 116  
 zārt- 135  
 zarṷani(ja)- 111  
 zi- 116  
 zī- 153

**Hieroglyphic**

**Luwian**  
 ād- 186  
 ālaman- 412  
 anta 189  
 anz- 413  
 ās- / s- 599  
 āsu- 193  
 hapa/i- 39  
 hishi- 533  
 huha- 66  
 i- 191  
 ima 300  
 kwi- 510  
 lam(i)ni 419  
 la-tara/i- 352  
 LOCUS-ta- 430  
 malidima/i- 370  
 muwa- 398  
 nimuwinsa- 398  
 pa- 192  
 paḍa/i- 462  
 piija- 47 431  
 pa-/ppa 452  
 pihas- 312  
 swan(i)- 87  
 takam- 292  
 Tarhunt- 627  
 Tarhunza- 627  
 tati- 60  
 tipas- 409  
 tu(va)- 182  
 tuwatra/i- 253  
 twa/i- 183  
 twisu 183  
 we-<sup>21</sup> 192  
 usa/i- 673  
 uwa- 192  
 -wa- 664  
 wijana/i- 680  
 zart(i)- 135

**Lycian**

alāman- 412  
 erije- 435  
 es- / ah- 599  
 esb- 193  
 kbatra- 253  
 kbi- 183  
 mēte- 372  
 muwēte- 398  
 ūte 189  
 pede/i- 462  
 piije- 47 431  
 qānti 211  
 si- 153  
 sūta 108  
 tbiplē 475

ti- 510  
 Trqqt- 627  
 tub(e)i 593  
 tuwe- 182  
 -we- 664  
 ṷawa- 437  
 ṷba(i)- 39  
 ṷrīna- 45  
 ṷuge- 66

**Lydian**

ciw- 315  
 fakorfid 95  
 mēti- 372  
 qi- 510  
 taada- 60

**INDO-IRANIAN****Sanskrit**

abhedam 221  
 abhicara- 41  
 abhicāra- 41  
 abhrā- 299  
 ābhūt 246  
 achān 106  
 adānti 186  
 ādhara- 302  
 ādmi 186  
 ādudrot 40  
 advešā- 171  
 āgan 661  
 agni- 297  
 ahām 187  
 ājati 31  
 ajñāsam 297  
 ajñāsthās 297  
 ājñāta- 414  
 ājñāyi 414  
 ājra- 29  
 akrapīṣṭa 143  
 ākṣa- 66  
 akṣī 425  
 akṣṇās 425  
 ākupyant- 155  
 ālūna- 353  
 āma- 39  
 āmaman 362  
 āmanthiṣ- 361  
 amānti 39  
 āmata 371  
 āmāsi 377  
 ameṣṭa 385  
 arṇhū- 42  
 amiham 369  
 amīṣi 39  
 amlā- 37  
 amṛta- 390

- āmsa- 640  
 āmucat 392  
 āmugdhvam 392  
 āmūrchat 388  
 anaḍvāh- 428  
 anākṣ- 425  
 anākṭi 642  
 ānas- 428  
 anāyā 399  
 anāyoṣ 399  
 anā 399  
 anéna 399  
 āniti 43 279  
 ānīka- 300  
 antār 306  
 āntara- 306  
 ānti 45  
 ānuspaṣṭa- 579  
 āngāra- 297  
 aṅkā- 640  
 āṅkas- 41  
 aṅkté 642  
 aṅjānti 642  
 āṅjas- 642  
 aṅjaté 642  
 āpa 374  
 apājārgurāṇa- 688  
 apās- 432  
 āpas- 432  
 apāt 485  
 āpi 421  
 āpnas- 431  
 aprās 473  
 aprāt 473  
 aprīyamāṇa- 493  
 arā- 54  
 āram 54  
 aratni- 638  
 ārāvīt 515  
 aritār- 518  
 aritra- 518  
 ārjuna- 53  
 ārṣati 527  
 ārśas- 637  
 as 599  
 āsadat 552  
 āsamaṣṭa- 399  
 āsaṣcuṣi- 562  
 āsādi 552  
 āsāmi 553  
 asinvā- 540  
 āsmai 310  
 āsmāt 310  
 āsmēra- 382  
 asnās 537  
 asnām 436  
 asnihat 410  
 āspaṣṭa 579  
 āstj- 537  
 asthābhiṣ 436  
 asthanvānt- 436  
 aṣṭhaviṣam 583  
 āsthi- 436  
 asthnās 436  
 āsti 599  
 āsvanīt 574  
 āśya 310  
 aṣṭamā- 424  
 aṣṭāu 424  
 aṣṭā 424  
 aṣṭī- 424  
 aśnōti 399  
 āśret 120  
 āśri- 424  
 āśrot 122  
 āśva- 193  
 ātamāna- 44  
 ātan 613  
 atasi 44  
 āti 195 305  
 ātikulva- 85  
 ātināvayet 419  
 ātti 186  
 ātya- 44  
 āva 64 369 415  
 āvacchita- 545  
 āvar 46  
 avasā- 65  
 avasāna- 538  
 avasātār- 538  
 āvati 318  
 āvi- 437  
 āvidhat 174  
 avidhavā- 677  
 avikā- 437  
 aviṣyā- 65  
 aviṣyānt- 65  
 aviṣyū- 65  
 āvya- 437  
 āvyas 437  
 ayām 310  
 āyas- 27  
 ā 525 533  
 āhuti- 249  
 ākuvāte 101  
 āmā- 37  
 ānāṃṣa 399  
 ānaṣ 399  
 ānīt 279  
 āp-/ap- 19  
 āpa 47 431  
 āra 435  
 ārata 435  
 ārta 435  
 ās- 436  
 āsā 436  
 āsa- 49  
 āsa 599  
 āsakti- 552  
 āsat- 574  
 āstig- 305  
 āsāra- 106  
 āśiṣṭha- 424  
 āśiyas- 424  
 āśú- 424  
 ātā- 44  
 ātī- 41  
 āva 318  
 āvayat 65  
 āviṣ 61  
 āyú- 29  
 āyu 29  
 āyuṣ- 316  
 babhrú- 217  
 bála- 163  
 balbalā-karōti 68  
 barh- 236  
 barhayati 236  
 bhāra- 214  
 bhārgas- 247  
 bhās- 312  
 bhāsas- 312  
 bhāti 312  
 bhid- 221  
 bhinātti 221  
 bhinnā- 221  
 bhojam 250  
 bhrātar- 239  
 bhrīṇānti 244  
 bhṛjjana- 212  
 bhṛjṣati 212  
 bhṛṣṭi- 203  
 -bhuñjant- 250  
 bhuñjaté 250  
 bhūrjā- 240  
 bhūṣ- 206  
 bibhāya 229  
 bibhēda 221  
 bibhīvāṃs- 229  
 bimba 433  
 bṛhānt- 236  
 °bṛhīha- 236  
 budhnā- 250  
 -ca 506  
 cakē 96  
 candrā- 87  
 cārati 125  
 cārman- 136  
 caskānda 543  
 cātasras 505  
 catúr 505  
 catúras 505  
 cāturasri- 424  
 cātuṣpad- 462  
 catvāras 505  
 catvāri 505  
 cāskāmbha 542  
 chadāyati 106  
 chāndas- 87  
 chāyā- 541  
 chedma 544  
 chinātti 544  
 chindhi 544  
 chinnā- 544  
 °chyāti 545  
 cichēda 544  
 cichidūḥ 544  
 cid 510  
 dādāti 175  
 dadhúr 210  
 dābati 237  
 dākṣina- 168  
 dām- 178  
 dāma- 178  
 dán 166  
 dānt- 166  
 dāntam 166  
 darś- 615  
 dása 163  
 dasāsyati 164  
 datās 166  
 dādṛhāṇā- 236  
 dāhāyati 237  
 dāna- 179  
 dāṣṭi 164  
 dās- 164  
 dāśat- 164  
 dāśati 164  
 dāśnōti 164  
 deh- 221  
 dehī- 221  
 devā- 167  
 dhan 230  
 dhānva 230  
 dhar- 223  
 dhārman- 223  
 dhāyati 210  
 dhā- 175  
 dhāpāya- 210  
 dhārú- 209  
 dhātave 210  
 dhénā- 210  
 dhiṣā 212  
 -dhiti- 216  
 -dhrút- 240  
 dhrúti- 240  
 dhūli- 248  
 dhūlikā 248  
 dhūli 248  
 dhūmā- 249  
 dídes- 169  
 diṣṭā- 169

- diś- 169  
 diś- 170  
 diśāmāna- 169  
 diśānt- 169  
 dīvam 315  
 divás 315  
 divā 315  
 divé 315  
 divi 315  
 divyá- 173  
 dīrghá- 348  
 dravá- 40  
 drāvati 40  
 drāváyati 40  
 dṛdhá- 236  
 dṛfya 302  
 dṛfya- 236 302  
 duhitár- 253  
 dusvápnyam 573  
 dúvas- 162 182  
 duváu 183  
 duvé 183  
 dūrá- 184  
 dváu 183  
 dvá 183  
 dvár- 233  
 dvāram 237  
 dvé 183  
 dveṣ- 171  
 dveṣas- 171  
 dvi- 71  
 dvibárhas- 236  
 dvidhā 202  
 dvipád- 462  
 dvís- 171  
 -dvís- 171  
 dvíṣ 72  
 dviṭṭya- 184  
 dvīpá- 19  
 dyaúh 315  
 dyáuh 315  
 dyáv- 315  
 dyávi 315  
 dyām 315  
 dyóh 315  
 éka- 642  
 éti 192  
 gábhasti- 277  
 gácchati 661  
 galgalīti 690  
 gántu- 661  
 gar' 688  
 garan 690  
 gatá- 661  
 gáti- 132 661  
 gauṣ 74  
 gám 74  
 gārít 690  
 gharmá- 235  
 ghnánti 211  
 ghṛná- 235  
 ghṛsu- 290  
 gir- 271  
 giráti 690  
 giri- 264 266  
 girikā- 264  
 gīrṇá- 690  
 goṣāṇi- 566  
 grāma- 272  
 grām<sub>o</sub>yá- 272  
 grhá- 290  
 grṇáti 271  
 grṇíté 271  
 gula- 263  
 gurú- 272  
 gūrtá- 271  
 gūrti- 271  
 hamsá- 44  
 hánti 211  
 hánu- 257  
 hárati 123  
 hári- 282  
 háršate 290  
 háršáyati 290  
 haryatá- 289  
 háryati 289  
 hārdi 135  
 háyaná- 284  
 héman 284  
 hemantá- 284  
 himá- 284  
 himā- 284  
 hirá- 280  
 hiri- 282  
 hrādūni- 270  
 hṛd- 135  
 hṛṣítá- 290  
 hṛṣyati 290  
 hyás 283  
 icháti 27  
 idám 310  
 -idh- 25  
 idha 295  
 ihá 295  
 imám 310  
 iṣ- 309  
 itara- 312  
 iva 112  
 iyám 310  
 iyarti 435  
 iyáya 192  
 ijate 31  
 irmá- 55  
 írte 435  
 jagáma 661  
 jagāra 690  
 jajñivāms- 414  
 jalgulas 690  
 jan' 260  
 jána- 260 261  
 jánas- 261  
 játu 73  
 jāhṛṣāná- 290  
 jāmātar- 258  
 jānāti 414  
 jánu- 259  
 jānúṣ- 414  
 jātá- 401  
 jáya- 260  
 jihvā- 343  
 jijīva 685  
 jīvā- 685  
 jīvati 685  
 jīvitá- 685  
 jīvīt 685  
 jmás 292  
 jñātá- 414  
 jñu- 259  
 jógu- 256  
 jóguvāna- 256  
 jóguve 256  
 jóṣa- 276  
 jóṣati 276  
 juhóti 249  
 juṣtá- 276  
 júṣtá- 276  
 júṣti- 276  
 jyá- 220  
 ká- 508  
 kákṣa- 140  
 kakúbh- 78  
 kakubhá- 78  
 kakúd- 78  
 kakúdmant- 78  
 kakuhá- 78  
 kanīna- 516  
 kanthā- 107  
 kanyá- 516  
 kárhí 155  
 katará- 647  
 káti 511  
 kavi- 101  
 kākúd- 78  
 kāyamāna- 96  
 kekara- 79  
 kim 510  
 kídrś- 510  
 klomán- 497  
 kopáyati 155  
 krakara- 145  
 kraviṣ- 146  
 kṛkaṇa- 145  
 kṛkara- 145  
 kṛntáti 106 138  
 krós- 145  
 kṛpate 143  
 kṛpá 137  
 kṣáp- 670  
 kṣay- 568  
 kṣáyat 567  
 kṣāh 292  
 kṣéti 566  
 kṣiyánti 566  
 kṣṇaumi 415  
 kṣṇótra- 415  
 \*kṣṇút- 415  
 kṣṇuvāná- 415  
 kṣurá- 415 540  
 kúha 636  
 kulva- 85  
 kupyati 155  
 kúpa- 155  
 lámbate 341  
 likhá- 525  
 liśate 525  
 lobháya- 338  
 lohá- 515  
 loká- 350  
 lopásá- 688  
 lulobha 338  
 lunāti 353  
 luñcati 530  
 mā 368  
 má 250  
 mádati 358  
 mádhya- 369  
 madhyam̐dina- 171  
 mahatí 303  
 mahānt- 359  
 mahāntam 303  
 máhi- 359  
 máhyam 368  
 májjanti 375  
 makṣú 391  
 máma 368  
 mamandhi 362  
 mamanyāt 362  
 mamára 390  
 mamé 377  
 -mamnáte 371  
 -mamur 377  
 manas- 381  
 manthá- 362  
 manthanti 361  
 mányate 371  
 mányá- 388  
 mañi- 388  
 mar-/mṛ- 390  
 marcáyati 364  
 marká- 364  
 marmara- 396  
 marsaya- 393

- martave 390  
 márya- 365  
 mát 368  
 matá- 371  
 mathitá- 362  
 mathyá- 361  
 matí- 372  
 matīkar 366  
 matyá- 366  
 máyā 368  
 mayante 374  
 máyas- 374 383  
 mayeta 374  
 máyi 368  
 mákiḥ 510  
 mām 368  
 māma- 361  
 māmṣúr 393  
 māmśá- 370  
 māmśpácānī- 370  
 mánáyati 387  
 māráyati 390  
 mās 370  
 mās- 373  
 mātár- 367  
 me 368  
 mehanti 369  
 mehayati 369  
 mekṣáyati 382  
 mení- 395  
 methete 384  
 micchamāna- 382  
 mihé 369  
 mimāya 385  
 mijmetha 384  
 mimikṣú- 382  
 mimihí 377  
 mīmīte 377  
 minánti 381  
 mināti 381  
 minotu 385  
 miśrá- 382  
 mita- 377  
 mitá- 385  
 -mívantī- 391  
 -mīvyamāna- 391  
 móda- 394  
 módate 394  
 mýc- 364  
 mṛditá- 389  
 mṛdu- 386  
 mriyáte 390  
 mṛkṣata 393  
 mṛkṣiṣṭa 364  
 mṛktá- 364  
 mṛna- 390  
 mṛnāti 387  
 mṛñāti 387
- mṛñihī 390  
 mṛśáse 393  
 mṛtá- 390  
 múcyate 392  
 múd- 394  
 múhu 75  
 múhuḥ 75  
 muhūrtá- 75  
 múni- 398  
 muñcāmi 392  
 múrmura- 396  
 múka- 398  
 múrtá- 388  
 múṣ- 396  
 ná 403  
 nābhaḥ 409  
 nābhya- 639  
 naca 403  
 nagná- 418  
 nák 416  
 ṇak 399  
 nákiḥ 510  
 nákt- 416  
 náktam 416  
 námas- 405  
 naná 413  
 nanāśa 408  
 nāpāt 406  
 nāpātam 406  
 napṭī- 406  
 napṭriya- 406  
 náptuḥ 406  
 nar- 406  
 náras 406  
 nas 413  
 nas- 400  
 nasi 400  
 nasós 400  
 naṣṭá- 408  
 náśyati 408  
 naṭ 399  
 náu- 403  
 náus 403  
 -nautī 419  
 náva 415  
 náva- 416  
 návā 404  
 návya- 416  
 nābhi- 639  
 nāman- 412  
 nās 413  
 nāsā- 400  
 nāsikā- 400  
 nāsāya- 411  
 návam 403  
 návás 403  
 návya- 403  
 nédīyas- 407
- néśat 408  
 ní 236 390  
 nūḍá- 409  
 nirvāṇa- 653  
 níśaṅgín- 552  
 niṣpád- 463  
 niṣṭarkyá- 624  
 nṛjñ 406  
 nūnám 418  
 óha- 691  
 óhas- 691  
 óhate 691  
 óhāna- 691  
 oṣati 645  
 pad- 463  
 padá- 430  
 padá 462  
 pádyate 463  
 paidvá- 454  
 pajrá- 443 465  
 pákṣman- 453  
 pakthá- 509  
 paláva- 440  
 palvala- 442 456  
 páñca 509  
 pañcamá- 509  
 pañkti- 509  
 pánthā- 479  
 pánthām 479  
 pári 362 459  
 páribṛdha- 236  
 paricará- 41  
 párs- 482  
 parśá- 482  
 paruśá- 497  
 paspaśé 579  
 paścā 484  
 paścāt 484  
 paśú- 454  
 páśu- 454  
 paśumánt- 454  
 páśyati 579  
 pataṅgá- 458  
 pátati 464  
 pathás 479  
 pathí 479  
 páti- 485  
 pátra- 458  
 pávate 500  
 pavīnasá- 400  
 páyas- 466  
 pájas- 443  
 pānti 485  
 pārṣṇi- 461  
 pāsati 449  
 páśa- 452  
 pāt 462  
 pátár- 485
- pátar- 485  
 páti 449  
 pedú- 454  
 phéna- 583  
 pípati 72  
 piká- 464  
 piṃśánti 466  
 piṃśati 466  
 pináṣṭi 466  
 píparti 482  
 píppakā- 467  
 piśáná- 466  
 piṣṭá- 466  
 pitár- 450  
 pitá 315  
 piṭvya- 450  
 pítrya- 450  
 pītá- 485  
 pívan- 466  
 plavá- 474  
 pláva- 474  
 plihán- 340  
 plūṣi- 496  
 plutá- 474  
 prá 390 399 490  
 prabhar- 490  
 prabhú- 490  
 prájāti- 258  
 prākupita- 155  
 pramṛcyati 364  
 prápra 493  
 prásnauti 420  
 prásnuta- 420  
 prastará- 586  
 praśna- 472  
 práti 488  
 práṭga- 314  
 práñá- 43  
 práś- 488  
 práṭá- 473  
 práṭár 490  
 práyaḥ 475  
 práyeṇa 475  
 pṛchāmi 483  
 préśat 493  
 préṣṭha- 493  
 priyá- 493  
 pṛñanti 493  
 pṛtá- 493  
 pṛñāti 447 473  
 pṛṣṭhá- 484  
 pṛt- 488  
 pruśá 494  
 pruśitá- 494  
 pruśnute 494  
 pruśṇuvánti 494  
 pruśvā 494  
 pruśvā 494



- púmāṃs- 495  
 púmāṃsam 495  
 púmān 495  
 puṃsú 495  
 punaḥsara- 558  
 punāti 500  
 -pupūryās 447  
 pūṣyati 501  
 putrá- 503  
 puvas- 501  
 pūm 495  
 pūrbhid- 221  
 pūrdhī 447  
 pūrṇá- 473  
 pūti- 501  
 pūyati 501  
 ra- 53  
 rádati 526  
 raditá- 526  
 raghú- 336  
 raj- 517  
 rajatá- 53  
 ráji- 517  
 rájju- 521  
 rámbate 341  
 rándhra- 352  
 raráda 526  
 rása- 527  
 rátha- 527  
 rauti 515  
 rava- 515  
 ráva- 515 529  
 rávat 530  
 rayi- 520  
 ráj- 522  
 rájya- 522  
 ráviṣam 530  
 ráyáḥ 520  
 ráyati 324  
 réḍhi/leḍhi 343  
 rekhā- 525  
 rikha 525  
 riṇákti 344  
 riṇāti 309 524  
 riréca 344  
 riṣṭá- 525  
 riśāmahe 525  
 rit- 524  
 rīti- 525  
 rīyate 309 524  
 řkṣa- 645  
 řṇjānti 517  
 řṇjate 517  
 řṇóti 435  
 rócate 356  
 rodiṣi 528  
 řtá- 54 55  
 řtú- 56  
 rúe- 356  
 rudanti 528  
 rudhirá- 527  
 rupya- 530  
 rutá- 530  
 ruvāti 515 529  
 sá-/tá- 568  
 sabardúh- 538  
 sácate 556  
 sadāpṇá- 447  
 sahásra- 380  
 sáhuri- 560  
 sáhvan- 560  
 sajāmi 552  
 sákhāy- 569  
 sákhāyam 569  
 sákhye 569  
 sakṣt 553  
 sām 44  
 sām̐marditoḥ 389  
 sána- 553  
 saniṣvanat 574  
 sanóti 566  
 sánt- 574  
 sánti 599  
 sápati 555  
 saparyāti 555  
 saptá 555  
 saptamá- 555  
 saptátha- 555  
 sará- 558  
 sarpá- 558  
 sárpati 558  
 sarpiṣ- 598  
 sárva- 537  
 sasañja 552  
 sasáda 552  
 sasána 566  
 sattá- 552  
 satyá- 574  
 sedhati 103  
 sepur 555  
 sétu- 534  
 sināti 533  
 sisarṣi 535 558  
 sisrate 535  
 siṣakti 556  
 siṣāya 533  
 sídati 562  
 skabhñāti 542  
 skambhá- 542  
 skán 543  
 skándati 543  
 skanná- 543  
 skhalate 199  
 smáyate 129 382  
 snāpáya- 411  
 snātá- 411  
 snātas 411  
 snāñi- 411  
 snāvan 407  
 sneháyat 410  
 snuṣá- 420  
 spás- 579  
 sphariḥ 579  
 sphāya- 493  
 sphāyātai 580  
 sphirá- 493 580  
 sphurāti 579  
 sṇi- 539  
 sṇprá- 598  
 stan 623  
 stanāya- 623  
 stanihi 623  
 stanita- 623  
 starí- 586  
 stāyát 584  
 stāyú 584  
 stegá- 305  
 stená- 584  
 stéya- 584  
 sthūrá- 305  
 stigh- 305  
 stṛbhiḥ 585  
 stṛṇāti 586  
 sudárśa- 615  
 sudína- 171  
 suyáj- 296  
 sūkará- 603  
 sūras 570  
 sūrē 570  
 sūrya- 570  
 sūtra- 600  
 svá- 549  
 svádanti 594  
 svadáya- 594  
 svadhá- 570  
 svanayan 574  
 svápna- 573  
 svápnya- 573  
 svār 570  
 svára- 602  
 svásar- 576  
 svásara- 669  
 svádú- 594  
 svādví- 594  
 svāttá- 594  
 svéda- 596  
 °syāti 533  
 syát 599  
 syúman- 600  
 syütá- 600  
 řáṣ- 560  
 řódaśa 560  
 řthīvati 583  
 řad- 78  
 řaṃs- 107  
 řáñkate 153  
 řaraná- 106  
 řaras- 126  
 řárman- 106  
 řas- 97  
 řástra- 97  
 řasá- 88  
 řasáda 78  
 řatá- 108  
 řatsyanti 78  
 řáye 153  
 řā- 139  
 řālā- 104  
 řāśad- 106  
 řépa- 115  
 řere 153  
 řiras 109  
 řitá- 99  
 řivá- 116  
 řiṣán- 109  
 řivya- 600  
 řlakṣná- 325  
 řmáśru- 359  
 řraddhá- 142  
 řrayate 120  
 řṛṅga- 136  
 řṛṇóti 122  
 řróṇi- 123  
 řrutá- 122  
 řúnas 87  
 řuní- 87  
 řúṣma- 507  
 řúla- 150  
 řvásiti 507  
 řvaśrú- 569  
 řvaśura- 569  
 řvá 87  
 řvánam 87  
 tákṣati 619  
 tala- 608  
 támas- 609  
 tamat 609  
 támisrā- 612  
 tandate 612  
 -tandra- 612  
 tanóti 613  
 tanú- 614  
 tanuté 613  
 tanya- 623  
 tápas- 614  
 tápati 614  
 tára- 627  
 tarantá- 627  
 tarjati 625  
 tarkaya- 624  
 tarku- 624  
 táruṇa- 613

- taṣṭá- 619  
 táṣṭar- 619  
 tatá- 613  
 tatákṣa 619  
 tatána 613  
 táti 625  
 táva 631  
 tavás- 632  
 tavīti 632  
 tāḍhi 619  
 tāmra- 609  
 támyati 609  
 táraḥ 585  
 tāṣṭi 619  
 te 631  
 téjas- 305  
 tejate 305  
 tétikte 305  
 -tirá 627  
 tistrás 629  
 tiṣṭhati 567  
 tīkṣṇá- 305  
 trásanti 617  
 tráyas 629  
 trāsaya- 617  
 trídḥá 202  
 triṃśát- 629  
 triṣ 629  
 trī 629  
 ṭṣa- 624  
 ṭṣāṇá- 625  
 ṭṣá- 625  
 ṭṣyant- 624  
 ṭṭīya- 629  
 túbhya(m) 631  
 tudáti 634  
 túmra- 633  
 tundāná- 634  
 tundate 634  
 tunná- 634  
 tuvā 631  
 túrva- 627  
 tūtāva 632  
 tūtumā- 633  
 tvā 631  
 t<sub>(v)</sub>vām 631  
 t<sub>(v)</sub>vát 631  
 t<sub>(v)</sub>vām 631  
 ubháu 37  
 ubháya- 38  
 ubhá 37  
 ubhé 37  
 uccā 646  
 úd 646  
 udán- 641  
 udára- 647  
 udrá- 355  
 ukhá- 62  
 ukhā- 62  
 úlūka- 638  
 úpa 595  
 upamá- 600  
 úpara- 601  
 upári 601  
 urūpasá- 400  
 urvárā- 56  
 usrá- 64  
 uṣarbúdh- 64  
 uṣás 63  
 uṣás 63  
 uṣṇá- 645  
 út 632  
 uvāha 658  
 ūdhan- 636  
 ūdhar 636  
 ūḍhá- 658  
 ūná- 653  
 ūrdhvá- 52  
 ūrṇá- 325  
 ūrṇuté 46  
 ūta- 318  
 váhati 658  
 vakṣ- 61 658  
 valá- 668  
 valmíka- 234  
 vámiti 690  
 vamrá- 234  
 vánate 662  
 vanāti 662  
 vandhúr- 672  
 vañchati 662  
 vára- 687  
 vārṣman- 666  
 vas 691  
 vásana- 672  
 vasantá- 663  
 vasá 660  
 vásá 660  
 vasná- 663  
 váste 672  
 vastívarṇa- 672  
 vatsará- 673  
 vavāra 46  
 vavṣé 687  
 váy- 65  
 váyas- 683  
 vayā- 677  
 vā 656  
 vācam 692  
 vācás 692  
 vāghát- 691  
 vāgvín- 692  
 vāja- 657 677  
 vājáyati 657 678  
 vāk 692  
 vāmá- 662  
 vār- 644  
 vārāya- 46  
 vāta- 662  
 vāti 659  
 vāyati 653  
 ved- 676  
 véda 676  
 vépate 674  
 veśa- 675  
 veśá- 675  
 vi 525  
 vi- 71  
 vi 374 385  
 vidát 676  
 vidhāvā- 677  
 vidváṃs- 676  
 vimśatí- 678  
 vindáti 676  
 vip- 674  
 vipáya- 674  
 vipāná- 674  
 vipra- 674  
 viś- 675  
 viśá- 682  
 vitarṣaya- 625  
 vittá- 676  
 viviktás 679  
 vivipre 674  
 vivyāca 679  
 viyánti 307 673  
 vīrá- 681  
 vītá- 307 673 677  
 vratá- 664  
 vṛka- 353  
 vṛṇákti 665  
 vṛṇíté 687  
 vṛṇóti 46  
 vṛṣabhá- 666  
 vṛṣan- 666  
 vṛta 687  
 vṛtá- 46 687  
 vyácas- 679  
 vyáyati 677  
 yájati 296  
 yájuṣ- 296  
 yájyu- 296  
 yakani 296  
 yaknás 296  
 yákr̥t 296  
 yamá- 256  
 yamí- 256  
 yánti 192  
 yayí- 294  
 yātar- 294  
 yātar- 294  
 yāti 294  
 yéṣṭha- 294  
 yodha- 312  
 yodháyati 312  
 yóṣ 316  
 yuddhá- 312  
 yúdh- 312  
 yúdhya- 312  
 yugá- 314  
 yúj- 314  
 yunájmi 314  
 yuñkte 314  
 yúvan- 317  
 yuvasá- 317  
 yúṣ- 316  
 Avestan  
 aḡra- 299  
 aešma- 309  
 aēm 310 438  
 aēuua- 642  
 ah-/h- 599  
 ahma 413  
 aiihah- 27  
 aipi 421  
 aiti 195  
 amuiiamna- 391  
 aṅtará 306  
 aōōra- 643  
 arāma- 55  
 asna- 407  
 aspa- 193  
 astuuant- 436  
 aṣa- 54 55  
 atāra- 112  
 auuapasti- 464  
 auui.spašta- 579  
 auuōi 650  
 āh- 436  
 āiiu- 316  
 āp-/ap- 19  
 āsōnaoiti 130  
 āsīiah- 424  
 āsišta- 424  
 āsu- 424  
 ātarš 60  
 āōrō 60  
 āuuiš 61  
 āuuiōia 650  
 āzūiti- 249  
 azu- 42  
 bara- 214  
 baḡra- 217  
 bi- 71  
 bifra- 475  
 biš 72  
 buṅjaiṅti 246  
 būj(i)- 246  
 būjaiiamna- 246  
 būjat 246  
 būna- 250

- ca 506  
 cara- 125  
 carəman- 136  
 ciš 510  
 -cūt 510  
 daēuua- 167  
 daitika- 166  
 dam- 178  
 dar- 223  
 darəs- 615  
 darəsa- 615  
 dā- 175  
 dānu- 231  
 dūirē 184  
 dūrāt 184  
 ərəzata- 53  
 fra- 490  
 frabar- 490  
 fraēšta- 475 493  
 frašnu- 259  
 frauuaši- 687  
 frazaiṛti- 258  
 frā 482  
 frāiiah- 475  
 frīia- 493  
 garəma- 235  
 gati- 132  
 ha-/ta- 568  
 haca- 556  
 hārīia- 574  
 hana- 553 566  
 hapta- 555  
 haptaṭa- 555  
 haraiti 559  
 harətar- 559  
 haše 569  
 hauruua- 559  
 haxa 569  
 haxi- 569  
 hazayra- 380  
 hā 568  
 hāra- 559  
 haṃ.pata- 464  
 hiḍa- 562  
 hišku- 562  
 hizuuā- 343  
 hupāta- 449  
 huuāpah- 432  
 iriḍiia- 346  
 irīrīḍuš- 346  
 išta- 296  
 jiiā- 220  
 juua- 685  
 ka- 508  
 kahrkatāt- 145  
 kaiia- 96  
 kaine 516  
 kainīn- 516  
 kaša- 140  
 katāra- 647  
 kauui- 101  
 maēza- 369  
 mainiia- 371  
 maiti- 388  
 manah- 381  
 maciri- 234  
 masah- 357  
 maziiah- 359  
 mazišta- 359  
 mā 510  
 mātar- 367  
 mərəzu- 75  
 nabah- 409  
 nar- 407  
 narēm 407  
 nas- 408  
 nasīia- 408  
 nasu- 408  
 našta- 408  
 nauua 415  
 nā 407  
 nāman- 412  
 nəmah- 405  
 nərəš 407  
 niḍāiti- 216  
 pəerman- 466  
 pairi.daēza- 221  
 paiti 488  
 paiti- 485  
 parəsa- 481  
 pasu- 454  
 pašna- 453  
 pata- 464  
 patarəta- 458  
 pā- 449  
 pātar- 449  
 pərəsa- 483  
 pərətu- 482  
 puḍra- 503  
 raii-i- 520  
 raocah- 356  
 raopi- 688  
 raoxšna- 352  
 ratu- 56  
 rauuah- 531  
 rauuascarāt- 531  
 rāiiō 520  
 sadaiia- 106  
 saēni- 139  
 saēte 153  
 sanat 130  
 satəm 108  
 sādra- 78  
 saḥ- 107  
 sōire 153  
 spas- 579  
 spasiia- 579  
 spaštar- 579  
 spā 559  
 spərəzan- 340  
 sraoni- 123  
 sruuā- 111  
 srūta- 122  
 star- 585  
 stārəm 585  
 stārō 585  
 strəm 585  
 strəuš 585  
 š(ii)ā- 508  
 tašaṭ 619  
 tauruna- 613  
 təmah- 609  
 ṭkaēša- 156  
 upa.naxturušu 416  
 urupi- 688  
 uruuisiia- 522  
 urūpaiia- 530  
 us 646  
 ušah- 63  
 uši 63  
 uz 646  
 uzdaēza- 221  
 vaβzaka- 670  
 vaēiti- 677  
 vaiiōi 650  
 vairiia- 687  
 vaḡhutāt- 660  
 vaḡhuḡβa- 660  
 vaḡri 663  
 vara- 668  
 varənā- 325  
 vaxš- 62  
 vā 656  
 vāra- 687  
 vāstar- 669  
 vāstra- 669  
 vīsa- 675  
 vohuna- 660  
 voiia- 650  
 xraos- 145  
 xrūždra- 147  
 xšafn- 670  
 xšapan- 670  
 xʹafna- 573  
 xʹāḡra- 44  
 yakara 296  
 yašta- 296  
 yaštar- 296  
 yaḍna 403  
 yauuaēji- 313  
 yaza- 296  
 zairi- 282  
 zaoša- 276  
 zānu- 259  
 zāta- 401  
 zā 292  
 zaṃ 292  
 zrazdāiti- 142  
**Old Avestan**  
 ahiiā 310  
 ahmāi 310  
 ahmāt 310  
 aibi.gəman 661  
 aibi.jarətar- 271  
 aišəm 310  
 anā 399  
 anāiš 399  
 anəšaṭ 408  
 aogədā 691  
 arəiti- 55  
 arəm 54  
 ascūt 187  
 astəntāt- 436  
 ašta- 399  
 auuaḡhāna- 538  
 auuā 64  
 auuāmī 318  
 axiiācā 310  
 azəm 187  
 āuuāēdaiiamahī 676  
 būj- 250  
 cəuuīštā 156  
 cinas 156  
 cīšmahī 156  
 cōiš 156  
 daēdōiš 170  
 daiβišuuant- 171  
 daiβitiia- 184  
 dāiš 170  
 dəraz- 236 302  
 dīdərəzō 236 302  
 dōišā 170  
 dōišī 170  
 dugədar- 253  
 əma- 39  
 faḍrōi 450  
 framīmaḡā 377  
 frasā- 488  
 fraštā 399  
 friiḡmahī 493  
 frīnāi 493  
 frōsiīāt 399  
 gāuš 74  
 ḡam 74  
 haḡšī 555  
 hapī 555  
 hauuaparḡha- 432  
 hābūuant- 538  
 hām.aibi.mōist 384  
 hōi 549  
 huḍama- 594

- huuarā 570  
 idā 295  
 irta- 435  
 iṭ 310  
 jān 661  
 kamnafšuuā 454  
 kəhrpəm 137  
 kudā 636  
 mada- 358  
 maidiia- 369  
 maraka- 364  
 maraxšaitē 364  
 mazbīš 359  
 mazōi 359  
 mānaiia- 387  
 mā 373  
 mərənc- 364  
 mərəng- 364  
 mošuca 391  
 mōiθaṭ 384  
 mōrəpdaṭ 389  
 naē- 408  
 nafšu 406  
 naptiia- 406  
 nāšāmā 399  
 nā 413  
 nāsaṭ 408  
 nā 413  
 niuuarānī 46  
 nūrēm 418  
 pada- 430  
 padəbīš 480  
 pairī 459  
 pairiiaoyzā 691  
 paiṭi 480  
 patarēm 450  
 pavō 480  
 pərənā 473  
 piṭrē 450  
 ptā 450  
 raostā 528  
 rāzan- 522  
 rāzar- 522  
 səngha- 107  
 siiazdaṭ 103  
 siiōzdūm 103  
 siždiiamna- 103  
 sraotū 122  
 šaēitī 567  
 šieipti 567  
 taibiiā 631  
 taibiiō 631  
 taiiā 584  
 tašta- 619  
 tauuā 631 632  
 tāšt 619  
 tuuəm 631  
 ṭβa- 631  
 ṭβam 631  
 uba- 38  
 upā 595  
 uruuata- 664  
 urūdōiitā 528  
 ustāna- 613  
 uzārəšuuā 435  
 uzūiθjiōi 318  
 vacō 692  
 vaēdā 676  
 vaēpiia- 674  
 var- 687  
 vastē 672  
 vaziiia- 658  
 važdra- 658  
 vācam 692  
 vāta- 662  
 vāxš 692  
 vā 691  
 vərənauuaitē 46  
 vā 691  
 vista- 676  
 vīda- 174  
 vīdaṭ 676  
 vīduuah- 676  
 vīnastī 676  
 xšnāuš 415  
 xšnəuuīšā 415  
 xʷa- 549  
 xʷaraiθiia- 602  
 xʷəng 570  
 yaoš 316  
 yauuaēji- 316  
 yauuē 316  
 yauuōi 316  
 yəma- 256  
 zara- 289  
 zastō.frānō.masah-  
 473  
 zimō 284  
 zrazdā- 142  
**Young Avestan**  
 adairi 302  
 adāra- 302  
 aēiti 192  
 aēta- 28  
 aētahmāiiuš 28  
 afnaṭʰant- 431  
 aymō.paidī- 462  
 ah- 525  
 ahe 310  
 ahumərəxš 364  
 ainika- 300  
 airiti- 525  
 aiβi.gaiti- 661  
 aiβi.iritim 525  
 aiβi.napṭim 406  
 aiβi.vərənuuaiti 46  
 ajiiamna- 568  
 ama- 39  
 amaša- 390  
 ana 399  
 anku.paēsəmna- 466  
 aojana- 691  
 aoxta 691  
 apa 674  
 apairiiaθra- 44  
 arəm 54  
 arəθnā 638  
 arša- 645  
 asaiia- 541  
 asəngō.gauua- 277  
 asista- 544  
 aspāiiaodā- 312  
 aspō.gar- 690  
 ast- 436  
 astasca 436  
 astam 436  
 asti 436  
 astō 436  
 astō.bid- 221  
 aša- 66  
 aši 425  
 ašta 424  
 aštāiti- 424  
 aštəma- 424  
 aši- 55  
 aṭā- 44  
 auua 64  
 auua.ašnaoiti 399  
 auua.hisiθiia- 544  
 auua.mīuua- 391  
 auuaṅha- 65  
 auui 271 619  
 auui...iriti 525  
 auui...vaṅti 690  
 aza- 31  
 azdəbīšca 436  
 azəm 187  
 ādbitīm 184  
 āpa 47 431  
 āzuzušte 276  
 āntiā 279  
 aṭiia- 44  
 aṭnah- 41  
 barəšna 236  
 barəzah- 236  
 barəzaiia- 236  
 barəzaṅt- 236  
 bərəzimita- 385  
 bərəzirāz- 522  
 biβiuuā 229  
 bitaēya- 305  
 bitiia- 184  
 brōiθra- 244  
 brōiθrō.taēža- 305  
 caiti 511  
 catanrō 505  
 caturam 505  
 caturō 505  
 caṭβarəsət- 505  
 caṭβārō 505  
 caṭrudasa- 505  
 caṭruš 505  
 cim 510  
 cinahmi 156  
 cinasti 156  
 cišmaide 156  
 cōišta 156  
 daḏāiti 175  
 darəzaiia- 236 302  
 dasa 163  
 dažaiti 237  
 dāṭ 142  
 dərazra- 236 302  
 diiaoš 315  
 drāuuaiiāṭ 240  
 duṅdar- 253  
 duiiē 183  
 dunmō.frut- 474  
 duua 183  
 duuaēca 183  
 duuaēpa- 19  
 duuar- 233  
 ərəḏβa- 52  
 ərənao 435  
 ʷərəta- 56  
 ərazatō.frašna- 472  
 frafrāuuaiia- 474  
 framita- 374  
 framuxti- 392  
 frapixšta- 466  
 frascimbana- 542  
 frasciṅbaiia- 542  
 ʷ(fra)siiazdaiia- 103  
 fraskəmba- 542  
 frasnaiia- 411  
 frasnāta- 411  
 fraspara- 579  
 frastərənata 586  
 frastərənāiti 586  
 fratuiiā 632  
 frauuāiti 312  
 frāraθni.drājah- 638  
 frāuuaiia- 653  
 friθa- 493  
 frīnāmahi 493  
 fšūmaṅt- 454  
 gata- 661  
 gauua- 277  
 gāθrō.raiiṅt- 324  
 gərəṅte 271  
 gərəḏa- 290

- haētu- 534  
 hakarəṭ 553  
 hauruua- 537  
 hauua- 549  
 haṃ.rāzaiia- 517  
 haṃ.tapta- 614  
 hē 549  
 hištaiti 567  
 hū 570  
 hū- 603  
 hūrō 570  
 huuarə 570  
 huuāfrita- 493  
 huuāuuōiia 549  
 huxšnuta- 415  
 iḍa 295  
 iməṃ 310  
 irinaxti 344  
 isaite 27  
 īm 310  
 jasa- 661  
 jināiti 568  
 juuāiaṅṭ- 685  
 katarasciṭ 647  
 kauruua- 85  
 kāhrp- 137  
 kərəṅta- 138  
 kuḍaṭ 636  
 maṃna- 418  
 maḍaite 358  
 maēini- 395  
 mahrka- 364  
 maiḍiia- 369  
 maiia- 377  
 maiiah- 374 383  
 mairiia- 365  
 manaovī- 388  
 mazāṅṭ- 303 359  
 māh- 373  
 °māhiia- 373  
 māta- 377  
 māṅha- 373  
 maṃaiiəṃ 387  
 marəta- 390  
 miriia- 390  
 mošu 391  
 mūš- 396  
 nabānazdišta- 639  
 nafəḍrō 406  
 napā 406  
 napāsa 406  
 napātəṃ 406  
 napta- 406  
 naptārəṃ 406  
 napti 406  
 nauua- 416  
 nauua.naptiia- 406  
 nazdiiō 407  
 nazdišta- 407  
 nāuu(a)iiia- 403  
 nāfa- 639  
 nāṅha 400  
 nāṅhanat 400  
 nərə.gar- 690  
 nistrīta 120  
 nī 463  
 nō 413  
 nūrəṃ 418  
 nūrəṃ 418  
 pacaiti 134  
 pad- 462  
 paḍa- 430  
 paiḍiia- 463  
 pairi 459 613  
 pairi.brīna- 244  
 pairi.daēzaiia- 221  
 pairimāniia- 388  
 paitimīḍnāiti 384  
 paiti.šmuxta- 392  
 pairi.tanuiia 613  
 pairiḍna- 311  
 paiti.zana- 414  
 paṅca 509  
 paṅtānəṃ 480  
 paṅtānō 480  
 paṅtā 480  
 paṅtəṃ 480  
 parāṅtiia 279  
 parša- 482  
 paršta- 484  
 pasca 484  
 paskāṭ 484  
 paḍa 480  
 pazdu- 454  
 pārāiia- 483  
 pāšna- 461  
 pāzaṅhaṅṭ- 443  
 pərət- 488  
 pis- 466  
 pišaṅṭ- 466  
 pištra- 466  
 piṭar- 450  
 piṭarə 450  
 pouruša- 497  
 ptərəbiiō 450  
 puia- 501  
 puxḍa- 509  
 pūiti- 501  
 pūitika- 500  
 raēm 520  
 raṅhā- 527  
 raoca- 356  
 raocaiia- 356  
 raocana- 356  
 raoxšna- 356  
 raḍa- 527  
 rāḍaiti 526  
 °rāzaiia- 517  
 raṅjišta- 336  
 rəuuī- 336  
 sarah- 109  
 -sirinaoiti 121  
 snaēža- 410  
 snaēžana- 410  
 snaoḍa- 417  
 snaoḍəṅṭ- 417  
 snāuuarə.bāzura- 407  
 spaka- 87  
 span- 87  
 spara- 579  
 stairiḥ- 586  
 starəta- 586  
 stija 305  
 °stūra- 305  
 surunaoiti 122  
 suši 507  
 sūniš 87  
 šē 549  
 tafsa- 614  
 taiia- 584  
 tanauua 613  
 taršta- 617  
 taršu- 625  
 tauruuaiia- 627  
 tāiia- 584  
 tāpaiia- 614  
 tāšti 619  
 taṭra- 612  
 tərəsa- 617  
 tiyra- 305  
 tišrō 629  
 titara- 627  
 tiži- 305  
 tumāspana- 633  
 tuuaṅṭ- 632  
 tūiriia- 450  
 tūtauuu 632  
 ūraiiasca 629  
 ūrāiiō 629  
 ūrāṅhaiia- 617  
 ūriš 629  
 ūrišaṅṭ- 629  
 ūrišuua- 629  
 ūritiia- 629  
 ūrī 629  
 udarō.ḍraša- 647  
 udra- 355  
 uiiamna- 653  
 upa 595  
 upa.maṃaiia- 362  
 upairi 601  
 upara- 601  
 upəma- 600  
 uruuāṅṭ- 529  
 uruuataṭ.nara- 664  
 uruuatō 515  
 us 236  
 us...snaiia- 411  
 ušca 646  
 uskāṭ 646  
 usnāiti- 411  
 uua- 38  
 uzdišta- 221  
 ūna- 653  
 vaca 692  
 vacəṃ 692  
 vaēpa- 674  
 vaēpaiia- 674  
 vaēsa- 675  
 vaṅtā- 662  
 vaṅhana- 672  
 varəšna- 666  
 varšna- 666  
 varšri- 666  
 vaste 672  
 vastra- 672  
 vaštar- 658  
 vaza- 658  
 vāciṃ 692  
 vāiti 659  
 vāra- 644  
 vāta- 662  
 vāxš 692  
 vəhrka- 353  
 viḍauua 677  
 viḍra- 674  
 viia- 307 673  
 viiāxti- 642  
 vīmad- 368  
 vīmadāiiaṅta 368  
 vīmītō.ḍaṅtan- 166  
 vīnasti 676  
 viṅḍa- 676  
 viš- 675  
 višaiti 678  
 višpara- 579  
 viš 682  
 viša- 682  
 viṭar- 307 673  
 vō 691  
 xšap- 670  
 xšapar- 670  
 xšuuas 560  
 xšuuas.dasa- 560  
 x<sup>y</sup>aēḍa- 596  
 x<sup>y</sup>anaṭ.caxra- 574  
 x<sup>y</sup>aṅhar- 576  
 x<sup>y</sup>asura- 569  
 x<sup>y</sup>āsta- 594  
 x<sup>y</sup>īsa- 596  
 yauua 317

yauuaēsū- 316  
 yauue 316  
 yōišta- 317  
 yuiiō.səmi 314  
 yuṭjinti 314  
 yuuānəm 317  
 yūiḍiia- 312  
 yūnəm 317  
 zaiiana- 284  
 zanauua 257  
 zarənumaini- 388  
 zarašiiamna- 290  
 zāmaoia- 258  
 zāmātar- 258  
 zəmahe 284  
 zəmanā- 258  
 zəmə 284  
 ziiā 284  
 ziiqm(ca) 284  
 žnātar- 414  
 žnōišta- 414  
 zrasca 142

**Old Persian**

a- 301  
 adam 187  
 aištātā 567  
 aiva- 642  
 amiy 599  
 an- 301  
 ap- 19  
 apiy 421  
 apimča 466  
 arašniš 638  
 ašna- 407  
 avrnavatā 687  
 ābicariš 41  
 āxšnauvaiy/āxšnūmi  
   y 415  
 āxšnūdiy 415  
 āyadana- 296  
 brātar- 239  
 -cā 506  
 -ciy 510  
 danu[...] 231  
 dauštar- 276  
 dān- 414  
 dātū-vahya- 261  
 didā- 221  
 duvaištam 184  
 duvar(a)- 233  
 dūraiṭ 184  
 frāha<sup>(n)</sup>jam 552  
 garmapada- 235  
 ha<sup>n</sup>tiy 599  
 haruva- 537  
 haumavarga- 665  
 iyam 310

jīva- 686  
 kar- 430  
 kašciy 508  
 māh- 373  
 mānaya- 362  
 nai- 408  
 naiy 408  
 napā 406  
 nāham 400  
 nāviyā 403  
 nipadiy 463  
 nipišta- 466  
 niyašādaya- 552  
 nūram 418  
 pariy 459  
 pasā 484  
 pasāva 484  
 patipadam 430  
 patiṭṭsa- 483  
 paṭim 480  
 pāda- 462  
 piča 450  
 pitā 450  
 pṛsa- 483  
 raucāh- 356  
 rāsta- 517  
 rdata- 53  
 -šaiy 549  
 š(i)yāta- 508  
 š(i)yāti- 508  
 trsa- 617  
 turvam 631  
 ūuvām 631  
 ud- 646  
 udpaṭa- 464  
 upariy 601  
 upā 595  
 vimardatiy 389  
 vināḍayatiy 411  
 vindafarnā 676  
 viyamarda 389  
 viṭ- 675  
 xšap- 670  
 xšnāsa- 414  
 yada- 296  
 yāumaini- 395

**Khotanese**

arrā 645  
 astaucā 49  
 āhaa- 438  
 āsī 637  
 bistā 678  
 būnaa- 418  
 gawust 277  
 ggarka- 272  
 ggoštā 277  
 gyagarrā 296

ha- 490  
 hamga'j- 273  
 hamggalj- 273  
 harpgrīs- 273  
 harraa- 539  
 hataljs- 624  
 hīya 600  
 mvīr- 391  
 no 403  
 ora- 299  
 pastramj- 592  
 paysān- 414  
 pārrā- 461  
 pāsa 481  
 pāsa- 452  
 pāysa- 443  
 purs 481  
 rrūvāsa- 688  
 saha- 88  
 stāraa- 585  
 str(r)ī- 592  
 strīs- 592  
 škala 562  
 špuljei 340  
 tsāta- 509  
 ura- 647  
 ūra- 647  
 varrad- 526  
 ysamītha 258  
 ysanuva 257  
 ysār- 255  
 yūš 316

**Sogdian**

'rsx 637  
 'βš'b 496  
 'γwšh 569  
 'rs'nx' 637  
 'stk- 436  
 'wz'm- 258  
 γr'm'k 272  
 nrws- 393  
 n'w- 419  
 nw- 419  
 r'k 527  
 rzy 521  
 šnyš- 410  
 šwnšh 420  
 twnt 634  
 wyš- 290  
 ym'wrc 234  
 z'r'k 255  
 z'r'y 255  
 z'ry 255  
 zm'wr'k 234  
 žyōn 270

**Bactrian**

λιζα 221  
 λιζο 221  
 μαρηγο 365

**Khwarezmian**

(')fcwr 450  
 γr'm 272  
 rw- 515  
 y'k 438  
 zmwrk 234  
 zy- 255

**Parthian**

brm- 241  
 dr'w- 240  
 wynd- 676

**Middle Persian**

bōz- 246  
 brām- 241  
 diz 221  
 grāmag 272  
 gurbag 688  
 gurg 353  
 jagar 296  
 juγ 314  
 nāf 639  
 nāfag 639  
 namb 409  
 nūn 418  
 pist 466  
 puhl 482  
 rōpās 688  
 rōy 515  
 škarwīdan 199  
 starwan 586  
 tab 614  
 tanuk 614  
 tār 612  
 tāriγ 612  
 tund 634  
 vaβz 670  
 wahāg 663  
 wēwag 677  
 windādan/wind- 676  
 wīst 678  
 winj- 679  
 winjīh- 679  
 xāyag 438  
 xūg 603

**Osselic**

(æ)zməntun 362  
 bærz 240  
 bærzæ 240  
 dæjun 210  
 dæjyn 210

don 231  
 duc-/doc- 181  
 fændæg 480  
 idæz 677  
 igær 296  
 iræd/ærwæd 664  
 mal 365  
 marg 364  
 mælzyg/mulzug 234  
 mežay 234  
 morz 75  
 naw/nawæ 403  
 nostæ 420  
 on 640  
 ruvas/robas 688  
 stūr 305  
 styr/(i)stur 305  
 taj- 603  
 tæryn 613  
 tyryn/tærna 613  
 wæz/wæzæ 658  
 yssæz/insæj 678  
 yzmæntyn 362  
 zarun/zard 255  
 zaryn/zard 255  
 zælyt/zæld 255  
 znon/æzinæ 283

**Balochi**

gwabz 670  
 nod 417  
 sāyag 545  
 wād 594  
 zī 283  
 zik 283

**Modern Persian**

ālufan 338  
 ārōy 529  
 bēwa 677  
 dandān 166  
 diz 221  
 gurba 688  
 jigar 296  
 juy 314  
 marz 365  
 mast 358  
 mādar 367  
 mām 361  
 māmā 361  
 māmī 361  
 mōr 234  
 namb 409  
 nana 413  
 navīdan/nav- 419  
 nem 409  
 rīnu 466  
 suna 420

sun(h)ār 420  
 xirs 645  
 xūb 432  
 xwāhar/xwahar 576  
 yād 294  
 yār- 294  
 yor 294

**GREEK**

ἄβληρα 349  
 ἀγείρω 272  
 ἄγευστος 276  
 ἄγιος 296  
 ἄγκος 41 640  
 ἄγκων 41  
 ἀγλευκτής 182  
 ἀγνός 296  
 ἀγρός 29 648  
 ἄγχαυρος 64  
 ἄγχω 42  
 ἄγω 31 187  
 ἄδην 540  
 ἄφώρ 63  
 ἄφώς 63  
 ἄζομαι 25 296 552  
 ἄζυγής 314  
 ἄζυξ 314  
 ἄζω 58 426 562  
 ἄησι 659 662  
 ἀηγίλωψ 28  
 αἰετός 65  
 αἰᾶνής 534  
 αἰέν 29  
 αἰῶν 25  
 αἶνυμαί 28  
 αἰπύς 533  
 αἰώ 61 653  
 αἶσα 28  
 αἰσθάνομαι 61  
 αἶτιος 28  
 αἰτέω 28  
 αἰχμή 295  
 αἶψα 533  
 ἄκοιτις 153  
 ἀκοστή 23  
 ἄκρος 22  
 ἀλάσσομαι 38  
 ἀλδαίνω 35  
 ἄλειφαρ 339  
 ἀλείφω 339  
 ἄλιος 570  
 ἀλιτενής 613  
 ἀλίτειν 344  
 ἄλλομαι 535  
 ἄλλος 34 323  
 ἄλος 652  
 ἄλτο 535

ἀλύδ(ο)μιον 36  
 ἄλυσις 690  
 ἄλυρι 32  
 ἄλυριτα 32  
 ἄλφός 32  
 ἄλφούς 32  
 ἀλώπηξ 688  
 ἄμαξα 66  
 ἄμαω 378 690  
 ἄμβροτος 390  
 ἀμείβω 374 379  
 ἀμέλγω 393  
 ἄμειναι 540  
 ἀμείρω 389  
 ἄμη 377  
 ἄμητος 378  
 ἄμιά 38  
 ἄμμε 413  
 ἄμμες 413  
 ἄμμία 38  
 ἄμνός 30  
 ἀμοιβός 379  
 ἀμπεπαλών 440  
 ἀμέρδω 389  
 ἄμυγμα 391  
 ἀμυκάλοι 391  
 ἀμύσχεσθαι 391  
 ἀμυχή 391  
 ἀμφήκης 23  
 ἀμφίπολος 41  
 ἄμφο 38  
 ἀν- 301  
 ἀνά 36 141 189 300  
 310 405 438 574  
 ἀναλτος 35  
 ἀνεμος 43  
 ἀνεπιός 406  
 ἀνήρ 407  
 ἀνηρέφαντο 514  
 ἀντί 45  
 ἀντα 45  
 ἀνωγα 31  
 ἀνώμοτος 39  
 ἄξων 66  
 ἄορον 46  
 ἄπαξ 553  
 ἀπέληκα 320  
 ἄπιον 467  
 ἄπιος 467  
 ἄπιστος 466  
 ἀποικίας 105  
 ἀπολαύω 349  
 ἄπορος 307  
 ἀραρίσκω 54  
 ἀραρχαίη 50  
 ἀραρχαῖος 49  
 ἀράχνη 49  
 ἀράχνιον 49

ἀργύριον 53  
 ἄργυρος 53  
 ἄργυφος 53  
 ἀριθμός 524  
 ἄρκευθος 52  
 ἄρκος 51 539  
 ἄρκέω 51  
 ἄρκτος 645  
 ἄρμα 54  
 ἄρμενος 54  
 ἀρμόζω 54  
 ἄρουρα 56  
 ἀρώω 55 559 664  
 665  
 ἄραρη 540  
 ἄρσαι 54 557  
 ἄρσην 666  
 ἄρτι 55  
 ἄρτι- 55  
 ἄρτιν 56  
 ἄρτύς 56  
 ἄρτύω 56  
 ἀρφύτνον 433  
 ἄσαι 540  
 ἄσασθαι 540  
 ἄσπαίρω 579  
 ἄσπίς 58  
 ἄσπῆρ 585  
 ἀστραλός 593  
 ἀτάρ 59  
 ἄτρεστος 617  
 ἄττα 60  
 αὔ 64 648  
 αὔληρα 349  
 αὔλος 36  
 αὔριον 64  
 αὔτάρ 64  
 αὔτε 64  
 αὔτοκαρνος 93  
 αὔω 281  
 αὔως 63  
 ἄφατος 231  
 ἄφρός 299  
 ἀφρήτωρ 239  
 βαίνω 661  
 βακτηρία 67  
 βακτήριον 67  
 βάλανος 263  
 βάλλω 688  
 βαμβαλίξω 68  
 βαρύς 272  
 βάσις 132  
 βάσκανος 203  
 βάσκε 661  
 βάσκειν 203  
 βδέω 455  
 βέλτατος 163

- βέλτερος 163  
 βέλτιστος 163  
 βελτίων 163  
 βιβράσκα 690  
 βιός 220  
 βιοτή 686  
 βίσιος 686  
 βιώναι 686  
 βλαδεῖς 386  
 βλασφημέω 360  
 βλήμα 688  
 βορά 690  
 βουκόλος 41  
 βοῦς 74 686  
 βραδύς 275  
 βραχύς 75  
 βρωτός 690  
 βύρμαξ 234  
 βῶν 74  
 γᾶθέω 255  
 γαίω 255  
 γαλαθηνός 320  
 γάλακτος/γάλαγος 320  
 γαλέη 264  
 γαλή 264  
 γαλήνη 264  
 γαλιάγκων 264  
 γαλιάω 264  
 γαλιδεύς 264  
 γάλις 264 266  
 γάλλοι 652  
 γάλως 266  
 γαμβρός 258  
 γαμέω 258  
 γάνυμαι 255  
 γαργαίρω 273  
 γάργαρα 273  
 γᾶρυς 255  
 γέγιθα 255  
 γέγωνα 257  
 γέμω 275  
 γένειον 258  
 γένεσις 258  
 γέντο 275  
 γένυς 258  
 γέρανος 274  
 γέργερα 273  
 γέρην 274  
 γεύομαι 276  
 γηθέω 255  
 γῆμος 255  
 γιγνώσκω 414  
 γλεῦκος 182  
 γλία 267 320  
 γλίνη 267  
 γλίον 267  
 γλίττον 267  
 γλοιός 267  
 γλυκύς 182  
 γλύφω 266  
 γνώξ 259  
 γνώμων 414  
 γνώριμος 267  
 γνώσις 414  
 γνωρίζω 267  
 γνωστός 414  
 -γνωτός 414  
 γόης 256  
 γοάω 256  
 γόμος 275  
 γόνυ 259  
 γρῦ 274  
 γρύζω 274  
 γρύλλος 274  
 γυμνός 418  
 δα- 172  
 δαδύσσομαι 181  
 δαπάνη 161  
 δάπτω 161  
 δαρυάνω 180  
 δᾶρῶν 184  
 δᾶρός 184  
 δάσκιος 172  
 δασύς 167  
 δαφονιός 172  
 -δε 162  
 δέγμενος 164  
 δέδοικα 171  
 δέδορκα 615  
 δεῖδω 171  
 δεῖκνῦμι 170  
 δεῖσαι 171  
 δέκα 163  
 δέκατος 163  
 δέκομαι 164  
 δεξιός 168  
 δεξιότης 168  
 δεξιτερός 168  
 δέξασθαι 164  
 δέρκομαι 615  
 δέχεται 164  
 δέχομαι 164  
 δή 160 162  
 δηρόν 184  
 δηρός 184  
 δι- 71  
 διά 171  
 δια- 172  
 Δία 315  
 διακονός 130  
 διανεκής 399  
 δίδωμι 175  
 Διφεί 315  
 Διφείφιλος 315  
 διηνεκής 399  
 Δι(φ)ί 315  
 δίκη 170  
 Δι(φ)ός 315  
 διπλός 475  
 δίσ 72  
 δίπλαξ 473  
 δμῶς 178  
 δοκεῖ 176  
 δολιχός 348  
 δόλος 177  
 δόμος 178  
 δύο 183  
 δύσχιμος 284  
 δύω 183  
 ἔαρ 537 663  
 ἔβιον 686  
 ἐγκονέω 130  
 εγκυτί 160  
 ἐγών 187  
 ἐδέγμην 164  
 ἔδμεναι 186  
 ἔδομαι 186  
 ἔδοντες 166  
 ἔδω 186  
 εἰκοσι 678  
 ἔθος 570 597  
 εἶ 599  
 εἰαρινός 663  
 εἶδομαι 676  
 εἶδος 596 676  
 εἰδώς 676  
 εἴκοσι 678  
 εἶκω 679  
 εἶλον 131  
 εἰλῶμαι 690  
 εἰλύω 690  
 εἶμαρται 374  
 εἶματα 672  
 εἰμέν 599  
 εἰμένος 672  
 εἰμί 599  
 εἶμι 192  
 εἶναι 293  
 εἶνατερ 294  
 εἶνατέρες 294  
 εἶραι 557  
 εἶρος 668  
 εἶρω 557 664  
 εἶωθα 597  
 ἐκάπυσσεν 654  
 ἑκατόν 108  
 ἐκεῖνος 190  
 ἐκέκλετο 85  
 ἔκτε 113  
 ἔκλυον 122  
 ἐκυρός 569  
 ἐλαφρός 336  
 ἐλαχύς 336  
 ἐλεύθερος 338  
 ἐλίκη 536  
 ἔλκω 598  
 ἔλπομαι 689  
 ἐλίσις 689  
 ἐλπωρή 689  
 ἔλῦτρον 690  
 ἔμμεναι 293  
 ἔμμι 599  
 ἔμμορε 374  
 ἔμορτεν 390  
 ἐνατηρ 294  
 ἔνδον 189  
 ἐνδουκέως 181  
 ἐνεῖκαι 595  
 ἐνέχυρον 560  
 ἐνι 300  
 ἐνισπεῖν 304  
 ἐνίσσω 304  
 ἐννέα 415  
 ἐννεον 411  
 ἐν(ν)έπω 304  
 ἐννη 405  
 ἐννυμι 672  
 ἐννήχιος 416  
 ἐννηχος 416  
 ἐντερα 306  
 ἐντός 300  
 ἐξ 196 511 560  
 ἔξαιτος 28  
 ἔολπα 689  
 ἔορ 576  
 ἐπαιστός 61  
 ἐπέλασσα 456  
 ἔπερος 668  
 ἐπέτασ(σ)α 442  
 ἐπι 421  
 ἐπιθόμην 218  
 ἐπίκουρος 157  
 ἐπλήγην 469  
 ἐπλήσει 473  
 ἐπόθην 485  
 ἐπομαι 556  
 ἐποποιῖ 643  
 ἐπορον 447  
 ἐποψ 643  
 ἐπτά 555  
 ἐπω 555  
 ἐρέβινθος 195  
 ἐρείκω 525  
 ἐρέπω 524  
 ἐρέπτομαι 514  
 ἐρέσσω 518 530  
 ἐρέτης 518  
 ἐρετμόν 518  
 ἐρετόγμαι 528 529  
 ἔρευθος 525  
 ἐρίτην 524



- ἔριφος 54  
 ἔρματα 557  
 ἔρπετόν 558  
 ἔρπυλλος 558  
 ἔρπω 558  
 ἔρριγα 243  
 ἔρυγγάνω 529  
 ἔρυθρός 527  
 ἔσπερος 670  
 ἔσπετε 304  
 ἔσ(σ)αι 672  
 ἐστί 599  
 ἐστόρεσα 586  
 ἔσχατος 196  
 ἐτάλασσα 622  
 ἔταμον 610  
 ἔτεμον 610  
 ἔτι 195 510  
 ετι- 195  
 ἔτλᾶν 622  
 ἔτλην 622  
 ἔτος 673  
 ἐτύπη 593  
 εὔερος 668  
 (ἐν)κτίμενος 567  
 εὔκτο 691  
 εὔληρα 349  
 εὔμενης 381  
 εὔπηγής 443  
 εὔχομαι 691  
 εὔχος 691  
 εὔω 645  
 ἔφθιτο 568  
 Ἐφεινος 62  
 ἐχάρην 289  
 ἐχελευκῆς 499  
 ἐχραον 274  
 ἐχυρός 560  
 ἐχυτο 249  
 ἔως 63  
 φελικῶν 536  
 φέξ 560  
 φετος 673  
 φεχέτω 658  
 φικάτι 678  
 φίς 683  
 φίτυς 677  
 φοῖκος 675  
 φοῖνος 680  
 ζα- 172  
 ζαχρηῆς 274  
 Ζεῦ 315  
 ζευῖμα 314  
 ζευῖνυμι 314  
 ζευῖνος 314  
 Ζεὺς 315  
 Ζῆν 315  
 ζυγόν 314
- ζῦμη 316  
 ζωμός 316  
 ἡγέομαι 534  
 ἡδύς 594  
 ἡε 656  
 ἡμέας 413  
 ἡμεῖς 413  
 ἡμί 31 368  
 ἡμι- 553  
 ἡνία 44  
 ἡπαρ 296 466 481  
 486 573  
 ἡρα 668  
 ἡρυγεν 528  
 ἡσω 293  
 ἡώς 63  
 θαλερός 230  
 θαλέθω 230  
 θαλέων 230  
 θάλλω 230  
 θάλος 230  
 θείνω 211  
 θεμεῖλια 201  
 θεμέλια 201  
 θεμέλιος 201  
 θέμις 201  
 θεμούς 201  
 θεός 212  
 θερμός 235  
 θέσις 217  
 θεσφατός 212  
 θηλή 209  
 θήλυς 209  
 θήρ 215  
 θήσατο 210  
 θήσθαι 210  
 θυγάτηρ 253  
 θύλλα 230  
 θυμός 249  
 θυρᾶ 233  
 θυώ 597  
 ἡέλιος 570  
 ἡῖθεος 677  
 ἡικανός 88  
 ἡκα 293  
 ἰάλλω 535  
 ἰδεῖν 676  
 ἰδίω 596  
 ἰδμεν 676  
 ἰδος 596  
 ἰδρῶ 596  
 ἰδρώς 596  
 ἰδυῖοι 676  
 ἰεμαι 307 672 673  
 ἰθα(ι)γενής 295  
 ἰημι 293  
 ἰκάνω 595  
 ἰκνέομαι 595
- ἴκω 595  
 ἴλαμαι 572  
 ἴλασκομαι 572 659  
 ἴν(α) 683  
 ἰξός 683  
 ἰός 682 683  
 ἠιπνε[ύεσθαι] 62  
 ἰπνός 62  
 ἴππος 193  
 ἰπατότης 193  
 ἰσθι 676  
 ἰσταμι 567  
 ἰστημι 567  
 ἰσχνός 562  
 ἴτυς 677  
 ἴυγή 313  
 ἰυγμός 313  
 ἰύξω 313  
 ἴρι 683  
 καβάλλης 77  
 καικίας 79  
 καινός 516  
 κακκάω 78  
 κάκκη 78  
 καλάμη 150  
 κάλαμος 150  
 καλέω 85  
 καλήτωρ 85  
 κᾶλῖς 83  
 καμπή 86  
 κάμπτω 86  
 καναχή 88  
 κάπηλος 100  
 καπια 108  
 καπνός 654  
 κάπρος 89 155  
 κάπυς 654  
 καπύσσων 654  
 κάρᾶ 109 135 141  
 καρδιά 135  
 κάρη 141  
 κάρηνα 109  
 καρκίνος 92  
 κάρνη 93  
 κᾶρός 109  
 καρπός 95  
 καρτός 158  
 καρύϊνος 93  
 κάρυον 93  
 κασίγνητος 401  
 καυλός 100  
 κεάζω 97  
 κέασσαι 149  
 κέδρος 116  
 κείρω 109 158  
 κείται 153  
 κεκάδοντο 78  
 κεκαδών 78
- κέλις 104  
 κέλλω 104  
 κέλομαι 104  
 κεραός 111  
 κέρας 109 146  
 κέρασος 137  
 κῆδος 78  
 κηλάς 83  
 κηλέω 85  
 κηλήνη 83  
 κηλῖς 83  
 κηριόν 109  
 κηρός 109  
 Κηρυνηται 108  
 κίατο 113  
 κίκερροι 113  
 κικλήσκω 85  
 κίνυμαι 113  
 κίτριον 116  
 κλαῖς 119  
 κλάω 105 117  
 κλειδός 119  
 κλείς 119  
 κλείω 119  
 κλέπος 120 151  
 κλέπτω 120  
 κληῖς 119  
 κληῖω 119  
 κλήω 119  
 κνήσα 408  
 κνήση 408  
 κλίνω 121  
 κλόνης 123  
 κλόνος 123  
 κλύζω 122  
 κλυτε 122  
 κλυτός 122  
 κνῖδη 408  
 κνίζω 408  
 κοέω 101  
 κοῖλος 101  
 κοίτη 153  
 κοῖτος 153  
 κόλυμβος 127  
 κόνιος 115  
 κόνις 115  
 κολεόν 150  
 κολώνη 124  
 κολωνός 124  
 κονίδες 334  
 κονίσσαλος 115  
 κονίω 115  
 κόοι 101  
 κόραξ 136  
 κορέννυμι 110  
 κορώνη 136  
 κρᾶάτος 109  
 κραδίη 135

- κράνεια 137  
 κράνον 137  
 κρή 288  
 κρηθή 288  
 κρίνω 110  
 κριτός 110 111  
 κρούω 147  
 κτείς 453  
 κτενός 453  
 κτίζειν 567  
 κτίσσαι 567  
 κύλιξ 84  
 κύμα 152  
 κύνα 87  
 κυνός 87  
 κύπελλον 155  
 κύπη 155  
 κύπτω 78 90  
 κυρτός 158  
 κυσός 154  
 κύτος 154  
 κύφος 78  
 κύων 87  
 κωπή 90  
 λαγαίω 325  
 λάγανον 325  
 λαγαρός 325  
 λαγγάζω 325  
 λάγνος 325  
 λαγόνες 325  
 λαγώς 325  
 λαθεῖν 329  
 λάθρη 329  
 λάθυρος 334  
 λαίῃα 349  
 λαίειν 324  
 λαι(φ)ός 323  
 λάκη 320  
 λάκημα 320  
 λακίζω 320  
 λακίς 320  
 λάκκος 322  
 λαλέω 323  
 λαλία 323  
 λανθάνω 329  
 λάπτω 324  
 λαφύσσω 324  
 λάψαι 324  
 λάψειν 324  
 -λέγμενος 332  
 λέγω 332  
 λέξο 332  
 λεία 349  
 λείμαξ 342  
 λείω 337  
 λείπω 344  
 λείχω 343  
 λέκτρο 332  
 λεπάδες 326  
 λεπάς 326  
 λεπίς 335  
 λεπρός 335  
 λεπτός 335  
 λέπω 335  
 λευκός 356  
 λεύσσω 356  
 λέχεται 332  
 -λέχθαι 332  
 λέχος 332  
 ληθεῖν 328  
 λήθω 329  
 λήϊς 687  
 λήιτο 329  
 ληνίς 328  
 λήνος 325  
 λήσις 687  
 λίζει 351  
 λιλαίομαι 328  
 λιμπάνω 344  
 λίνδεσθαι 351  
 λίνον 344  
 λιπαρός 345  
 λίπος 345  
 λίσ 337 535 687  
 λοβός 319  
 λόγος 332 350  
 λοέω 331  
 λοετρόν 331  
 λοπίς 335  
 λοπός 335  
 λουτρόν 331  
 λυγγανώμενον 351  
 λυγμός 351  
 λύζω 351  
 λύθρον 355  
 λύκος 353 637  
 λῦμα 355 687 690  
 λύμη 355  
 λύμην 353  
 λύν(ν)το 353  
 λύχνος 352  
 λύω 353 638  
 λῶ 687  
 λῶμες 687  
 λωτρόν 331  
 μαγῆναι 357  
 μάγμα 357  
 μαδαρός 358  
 μαδάω 358  
 μαίνομαι 371  
 μακρός 357  
 μάλα 370 394  
 μάλιστα 370 394  
 μάλλον 370 394  
 μάμμα 361  
 μάμμη 361  
 μαραίνω 390  
 μάρναμαι 390  
 μάσσω 357 391  
 μάττω 357  
 με 368  
 μέγας 359  
 μέδομαι 368  
 μέδω 368  
 μείγνυμι 382  
 μεῖραξ 365  
 μείρομαι 374  
 μείς 373  
 μείων 381  
 μέλεος 360  
 μέλι 370  
 μελίνη 379  
 μέλισσα 370  
 μέμαμεν 371  
 μέμονα 371  
 μένος 381  
 μένω 362  
 μέρος 374  
 μέσος 369  
 μέσσος 369  
 μήδεα 385  
 μηνός 373  
 μήρα 370  
 μηρός 370  
 μήκος 357  
 μήτηρ 367  
 -μήτις 377  
 μίμνω 362  
 μιγῆναι 382  
 μίν 310 373  
 μινύθω 381  
 μίσγω 382  
 μισ 368  
 μονή 362  
 μόρμορος 234  
 μορμύρω 234 396  
 μορμούσσομαι 234  
 μορμώ 234  
 μύδος 398  
 μύζω 392  
 μυῖα 397  
 μῦκάομαι 392  
 μύκλος 394  
 μῦκός 398  
 μυκτῆρ 392  
 μύλη 387  
 μυναρός 398  
 μυνδός 398  
 μύξα 392  
 μύξων 392  
 μῦριος 398  
 μῦρμηξ 234  
 μῦρμος 234  
 μύσσομαι 392  
 μύτις 398  
 μυττός 398  
 μυχλός 394  
 μωρός 388  
 νᾶ- 301  
 νάννας 413  
 νᾶσος 306  
 νᾶσσοσ 306  
 ναῦς 403  
 νᾶχω 411  
 νεᾶλής 35  
 νεανίας 416  
 νεαρός 416  
 νεάω 416 419  
 νείφει 410  
 νεκρός 408  
 νέκῦς 408  
 νεμητον 405  
 νέμος 405 419  
 νέμω 419  
 νέννος 413  
 νέος 416 553 663  
 νευ- 419  
 νευρά 407  
 νεῦρον 407  
 νεῦσαι 411  
 νεφέλη 404  
 νέφος 409  
 νεφροί 404  
 νέω 411 420  
 νη- 301  
 νήριτος 524  
 νηρός 416  
 νῆσος 306  
 νῆσσα 41  
 νήθω 405  
 νήττα 41  
 νηῦς 403  
 νήφω 184  
 νήχω 411  
 νίν 310 405 418  
 νίφα 410  
 νομή 419  
 νομός 419  
 νυ 418  
 νυκτερινός 416  
 νυκτερίς 416  
 νύκτερος 416  
 νύκτωρ 416  
 νυός 420  
 νύξ 416  
 -νυχ- 416  
 νυχεύω 416  
 νύχιος 416  
 νω- 301  
 νωδός 166  
 νωλεμές 326

- νάνυμ(ν)ος 412  
 νῶσι 405  
 νῶτον 402  
 ξερόν 556  
 ξηρός 556  
 ξυρόν 540  
 ξυρός 540  
 ξύω 540  
 ὀγδοος 424  
 ὀγδοήκοντα 424  
 ὄγκη 640  
 ὀδμή 426  
 ὀδοῦς 166 173 540  
 ὀδυνή 166  
 ὀδύσ(σ)ασθαι 425  
 ὀδάδει 426  
 ὀδάν 166 181 303  
 ὄκρῖς 437  
 ὄη 648  
 οἶδα 676  
 οιδάνω 26  
 οιδέα 26  
 οἶδμα 26  
 οἶεος 437  
 οἶη 648  
 οἶκος 675  
 οἶμα 309  
 οἶνή 642  
 οἶνος 680  
 οἶος 437  
 οἶς 437  
 οἰστός 648  
 οἶσω 648  
 ὄκρῖς 424  
 ὀκτώ 424  
 ὀλκός 598  
 ὄλλυμι 165  
 ὄλος 537 652  
 ὄλπη 598  
 ὄμαλός 564  
 ὄμειχω 369  
 ὄμνῦμι 39  
 ὄμοῦμαι] 39  
 ὄμνη 431  
 ὄμόσαι 39  
 ὄμφαλός 639  
 ὄνομα 412  
 ὄντος 574  
 ὄνυξ 641  
 ὄξινα 423  
 ὄξια 435  
 ὄπ- 692  
 ὄπα 692  
 ὄρέγω 517  
 ὄρέω 559  
 ὄρημι 559  
 ὄρθός 52  
 ὄρίνω 309 525
- ὀρκάνη 539  
 ὀρμικας 234  
 ὀρνυμι 435  
 ὀροβος 195  
 ὀρός 558  
 ὀρούω 530  
 ὀρπετον 558  
 ὀρυμαγδός 528  
 ὀρύττω 530  
 ὀρφανός 433  
 ὀρφοβόται 433  
 ὄς 549 568 603  
 ὄσμη 426  
 ὄσσε 425  
 ὄστέον 436  
 ὄσ 316  
 οὔατος 63  
 οὔδύεται 425  
 οὔθαρ 636  
 οὔλος 537  
 οὔρος 559 665  
 οὔς 63  
 ὄφνίς 690  
 ὄχος 658  
 ὄχαγν 249  
 οἰάω 452  
 παλαιάφατος 231  
 παλάμη 441  
 πάλη 477  
 πάλλω 440  
 πάλος 440 467 474  
 475  
 πάλο 440 456 473  
 πάρ 486 490 500  
 601  
 πάρα 485  
 παρὰ 481  
 παραιί 486  
 παῖσομαι 503  
 πατήρ 315 450  
 πάτος 480  
 πάτριος 450  
 πάτρως 450  
 παῦρος 448  
 πέδον 430  
 πείθομαι 218  
 πείθω 218  
 πείρω 483  
 πέκος 454  
 πέκτω 453  
 πέκω 453  
 πελίκη 456  
 πέλλα 456  
 πέλομαι 125  
 πέντε 509  
 πέπαμαι 503  
 πέποιθα 218  
 πέπρωται 447
- πέρθω 232  
 πέρι 459  
 περί 459 601  
 Περσεφόνη 482  
 περτ' 488  
 πέσσω 134  
 -πέτης 494  
 πέτομαι 464  
 πεύκη 499  
 πήγνυμι 443  
 πηδόν 462  
 πήνη 443  
 πήνος 443 573  
 πλέω 467 474  
 πλναμαι 456  
 πίμπλησι 473  
 πιπ(π)ίζειν 467  
 πίσσα 469  
 πίσυρες 505  
 πίτημι 442  
 πίττα 469  
 πιττάκιον 469  
 πλάγχιη 469  
 πλάζω 469  
 πλείστος 475  
 πλέκω 472  
 πλεύμων 497  
 πλέων 475  
 πληθῦς 471  
 πλήρης 473  
 πληρότης 473  
 πλόκος 472  
 πλοχομοί 472  
 πλύνω 474  
 ποδινηκτής 399  
 ποδός 462  
 πόθι 508  
 ποικίλος 466  
 ποιμήν 449  
 ποῖος 149  
 πολίος 497  
 πόλιος 477 498  
 πόντος 480  
 ποποπό 643  
 πορθέω 232  
 πόρος 307  
 πόρριω 481  
 πόρσω 481  
 πόσις 485  
 πόσος 511  
 πότερος 647  
 ποτή 464  
 πούς 462  
 πράσον 482  
 πρίν 489  
 πρόκα 491  
 προλόβιον 319  
 πρόλοβος 319
- πρόμος 490  
 πρόπρω 493  
 πρός 488 500  
 προτί 488  
 πρότι 488  
 πρόχνη 259  
 πρωϊ 490  
 πταιώ 452  
 πταρεῖν 587  
 πταρμός 587  
 πτάρνυμαι 587  
 πτελέα 620  
 πτέρνη 461  
 πτίσμα 467  
 πτίσιω 466  
 πτολίπορθος 232  
 πτύω 583  
 πυγμαῖος 499  
 Πυγμαλίον 499  
 πυγμή 499  
 πυγών 499  
 πύθομαι 501  
 πυθμήν 250  
 πύξ 499  
 πύον 501  
 πύος 501  
 πῶθι 485  
 πῶμα 449  
 ράγος 511 683  
 ράδιξ 512  
 ράξ 511  
 ράπυς 514  
 ραφάνη 514  
 ραφανος 514  
 ράφυς 514  
 ρήτος 664  
 ρήγιον 243  
 ρήγος 243  
 ρουκός 522  
 ροφέω 575  
 ρόφημα 575  
 ρόφος 511  
 ράος 632  
 ρέ 631  
 ρένος 560  
 ριπτή 565  
 ρίφων 619  
 ρκαιός 541  
 ρκαλῖς 84  
 ρκάλλιον 84  
 ρκάλλω 151  
 ρκᾶνά 542  
 ρκᾶπος 546  
 ρκαρῖάομαι 547  
 ρκαρῖφος 547  
 ρκελλός 544  
 ρκέλος 544  
 ρκηνή 542

- σκήπτομαι 546 579  
 σκιδῖ 542  
 σκίπων 545  
 σκίρον 422  
 σκοῖπος 545  
 σκολιός 544  
 σκῦτος 160  
 σμάω 358  
 σμήμα 358  
 σός 631 632  
 σπείρω 578  
 σπένδω 582  
 σπίδιος 581  
 σπιδνόν 581  
 σπιδρέν 581  
 σπλάγγνα 340  
 σπλήν 340  
 σπύγγος 250  
 σπόλια 582  
 σπολάς 582  
 σπονδή 582  
 σποργίλος 447  
 σπῦρός 500  
 σταγών 585  
 στεγνός 608  
 στέγω 608  
 στείρα 586  
 στελεά 591  
 στέλεχος 591  
 στέλλω 486  
 στέμβω 610  
 στίγμα 305  
 στίζω 305 585  
 στίλη 587  
 στολή 486  
 στολιός 486  
 στόλος 486 590  
 στόρνυμι 586  
 στραγγός 592  
 στρατός 586  
 στύπος 593  
 σῦκον 218  
 σφάλλομαι 199  
 σφάλλω 199  
 σφε 549  
 σφι(ν) 549  
 σφίσι(ν) 549  
 σχάω 545  
 σχίζω 544  
 τᾶκερός 604  
 τάκω 604  
 τάλαντα 622  
 τάμνω 610  
 ταναός 614  
 τανύ- 614  
 τανυήκης 23  
 τάνυται 613  
 ταρβέω 625
- ταῦρος 607  
 ταῦς 632  
 -τε 506  
 τέγγω 620  
 τέγξαι 620  
 τέγος 608  
 τείνω 613  
 τείρω 616  
 τεῖχος 221  
 τελαμών 608  
 τέλος 125  
 τέμνω 610  
 τένδω 622  
 τέο 508  
 τεός 631  
 τέρειτρον 616  
 τέρην 613  
 τέρμα 615  
 τέρμων 615  
 τέρσομαι 625  
 τέρνυ 613  
 τερύνης 613  
 τεταγών 606  
 τέτορες 505  
 τέτρασι 505  
 τέτρατος 505  
 τέτρηγα 591  
 τέφρα 208 237  
 τρέ 631  
 τηλικός 605  
 τήκω 604  
 τί 510  
 τίθημι 175  
 τις 510  
 τίς 510  
 τοῖχος 221  
 τόνος 613  
 τόξον 607  
 τόσος 625  
 τόσσοι 625  
 τρανής 627  
 τρεῖς 629  
 τρέμω 628  
 τρέω 617  
 τρητός 616  
 τρία 629  
 τριῖβω 616  
 τρίζω 591  
 τρίπλαξ 473  
 τρίς 629  
 τρισί 629  
 τρυ- 505  
 τῦ 631  
 τῦκον 218  
 τῦνη 631  
 τύπος 593  
 τύπτω 593  
 ὑγιής 313
- ὕδερρος 647  
 ὕδρα 355  
 ὕδρια 647  
 ὕδρος 355  
 ὕδωρ 641  
 ὑλακτέω 638  
 ὑπερος 601  
 ὑπέρφευ 490  
 ὑπερφίαλος 490  
 ὑπερφυής 490  
 ὑπὸ 78 141 421 595  
 654 692  
 ὑπο 595  
 ὑπόδρα 615  
 ὑσμίνη 312  
 ὑστέρα 647  
 ὑφέαρ 491  
 ὕψι 601  
 φάε 312  
 φᾶγός 199  
 φαίνω 312  
 φακός 197  
 φάμα 231  
 φᾶμι 231  
 φᾶμι 231  
 φάσις 231  
 φάτις 231  
 -φατος 204  
 φεῖδομαι 221  
 φέρω 214  
 φεύγω 246  
 φηγός 199  
 φήμη 231  
 φημί 231  
 φθίνω 568  
 φιλομμειδής 129 382  
 φλέγω 247  
 φλέω 228  
 φλίβω 226  
 φλογμός 247  
 φλόξ 247  
 φράσσω 202  
 φράττηρ 239  
 φράττω 202  
 φρύγω 243  
 φύγαδε 246  
 φυγεῖν 246  
 φυγή 246  
 φύξα 246  
 φῦομαι 222  
 φωνή 231 562  
 φώρ 251  
 φώψ 207  
 χαιρω 289  
 χᾶν 44  
 χανδάνω 487  
 χάρις 289  
 χεῖλιοι 380
- χεῖμα 284  
 χειμών 284  
 χέλλιοι 380  
 χέω 249  
 χήν 44  
 χήρ 193  
 χήρα 282  
 χήρος 282  
 χηρωσταί 283  
 χῆς 283  
 χῆσάν 292  
 χῆλιοι 380  
 χιών 284  
 χλωρός 282  
 χοῖρος 193  
 χολή 209  
 χόλος 209  
 χόρτος 290  
 χυτός 249  
 (ψ)άματος 531  
 ψάμμος 531  
 ψόμμος 531  
 ψύλλα 496  
 ὄιον 438  
 ὄκιστος 424  
 ὄκῦς 424  
 ὄλένη 638  
 ὄλην 638  
 ὄλλον 638  
 ὄμος 640  
 ὄμός 37 396 413  
 ὄνεομαι 663  
 ὄπος 425 462 484  
 501 692  
 ὄρτο 435  
 ὄρυγή 528  
 ὄρῦομαι 515 528  
 529  
 ὄρφωσεν 433  
 ὄς 63  
 ὄψ 425
- Mycenaean**  
 a-ko-ro 29  
 a-ku-ro 53  
 a-mo 54  
 a-mo-ta 54  
 a-mo-te-i 54  
 a-ni-ja 44  
 a-ni-ja-pi 44  
 a-pi-ko-ro 41  
 a-ra-ro-wo-a 54  
 a-ra-ru-ja 54  
 ai-ka-sa-ma 295  
 de-ka-sa-to 164  
 de-ki-si-wo 168  
 de-re-u-ko 182  
 di-we 315

e-ri-ka 536  
 e-u-ke-to 691  
 e-u-me-ne 381  
 i-po-no 62  
 i-qo 193  
 (jo-)i-je-si 293  
 ka-po 95  
 ka-ra-wi-po-ro 119  
 ka-ru-pi 93  
 ke-ke-me-no- 97  
 ke-re-na-i 274  
 ki-ti-je-si 567  
 me-re-ti-ri-ja 387  
 me-re-u-ro 387  
 ne-wo 416  
 o-pi 559  
 o-pi-tu-ra-jo 233  
 o-ro-me-no 559  
 pa-ro 486  
 po-de 462  
 -qe 506  
 qo-o 74  
 to-ko-so-wo-ko 607  
 we-te-i 673  
 we-to 673  
 wo-i-ko-de 675

## PHRYGIAN

avap 407  
 αββερετ 214  
 αδδακετ 24  
 βρατερε 240  
 onoman 412  
 otuvoi vetei 424

## ARMENIAN

acem 31  
 acowl 297  
 ašač'em 572  
 alowēs 688  
 amb 299  
 amp 299  
 anic 334  
 anjowk 42  
 ankiwn 42  
 anown 412  
 anowr 45  
 arari 54  
 arawr 55  
 arbi 575  
 arcat' 53  
 ard 56  
 ardow 56  
 argel 51  
 arj 645  
 art 29

ařac 31  
 ařnem 54  
 asem 31  
 astl 585  
 atamn 166  
 ateam 425  
 atok' 540  
 aviwn 65  
 awcanem 642  
 ayc' 27  
 aygi 648  
 ayl 34  
 ayn 399  
 ayr 407  
 aytownm 26  
 barjr 236  
 bay 231  
 berem 214  
 bowcanem 250  
 bowci 250  
 cnawt 258  
 cownr 259  
 c'awl 100  
 e'elaw 151  
 čanač'em 414  
 čem 275  
 čim 275  
 čmlem 275  
 č'ork' 505  
 c'tim 544  
 dalar 230  
 darbin 197  
 dayl 209  
 diem 210  
 dik' 213  
 dizanem 221  
 dowrk' 233  
 dowstr 253  
 drand(i) 44  
 edēz 221  
 egel 690  
 egit 676  
 (e-)harc' 483  
 eker 690  
 ekowl 275  
 ełbayr 240  
 elowngn 641  
 erinj 54  
 erkar 184  
 erkat' 53  
 erki 71  
 erkotasan 183  
 erku 183  
 eřam 194  
 es 187  
 etes 164  
 ew 421  
 eš 193

əmpem 72  
 ən- 294  
 ənd 45  
 gari 288  
 garown 663  
 garwoy 288  
 gin 663  
 gini 680  
 gišer 670  
 gitem 676  
 gog 691  
 gtanem 676  
 gti 676  
 hac'i 435  
 hačar 25  
 haganim 643  
 ham 538  
 han 45  
 hangeaw 509  
 hasanem 399  
 hat 25  
 haw 66  
 hawow 66  
 hayc'em 27  
 hayim 445  
 hayr 450  
 het 430  
 hin 553  
 hing 509  
 holm 43  
 hot 426  
 hoviw 437  
 howm 37  
 hown 480  
 howr 500  
 i 300 601  
 inn 415  
 jean 284  
 jiown 284  
 jow 438  
 jowoy 438  
 jern 235  
 jil 220  
 jnem 211  
 kalin 263  
 kalnoy 263  
 karkowt 270  
 karkti 270  
 karktiw 270  
 kat'n 320  
 kaxc' 320  
 klanem 275  
 kov 74  
 k'akor 78  
 k'erem 109  
 k'ert'em 106 138  
 k'irtn 596  
 k'own 573

k'oyr 576  
 k'erem 158  
 k'san 678  
 lam 324  
 lap'el 324  
 lar 349  
 lezow 343  
 lir 473  
 lizanem 343  
 lk'anem 344  
 lnow- 473  
 logae'e- 331  
 loganam 331  
 low 122 496  
 lowac'e- 331  
 lowanam 331  
 lowc 314  
 macanim 357  
 malem 387  
 mam 361  
 mawrowk' 359  
 mayr 367  
 mec 359  
 meš 360  
 melow 370  
 melr 370  
 merk 418  
 meřani- 390  
 mis 370  
 mit 385  
 mnam 362  
 mnoy 397  
 mown 397  
 mownj 398  
 mimřam 396  
 na 399  
 neard 407  
 nēr 294  
 niri 294  
 nist 409  
 nor 416  
 now 420  
 nowoy 420  
 noyn 399  
 nsti- 562  
 oč' 316  
 orb 433  
 orcam 529  
 oroj 54  
 oskr 436  
 otn 462  
 ows 640  
 owtem 186  
 popop 643  
 p'aycatn 340  
 p'řnem 587  
 p'řngam 587  
 sirt 135

siseŕn 113  
 snaw 130  
 sownk/g 250  
 sowr 139  
 soyl 101  
 sparna- 579  
 stelcanem 486  
 steŕn 591  
 sterj 586  
 sxalem 199  
 šown 87  
 tal 264 266  
 tam 175  
 tasn 163  
 tawn 161  
 tiw 315  
 tordik 635  
 t'anam 604  
 t'k'anem 583  
 t'mrim 609  
 t'ndam 634  
 t'owk' 583  
 t'owz 218  
 vec' 560  
 ver 601  
 xait'em 79  
 yaŕnem 435  
 zgenowm 672  
 zgest 672

#### ALBANIAN

##### Old Albanian

ashtë 436  
 dhae 175  
 ep 47  
 kaa 503  
 laa 331  
 pii 72

##### Albanian

(j)ap 431  
 ah 435  
 amē 38  
 arī 645  
 as 316  
 at 60  
 ā(n)shtë 599  
 bathē 197  
 bē 218  
 besē 218  
 bie 213 214  
 bindem 218  
 bjerrē 213  
 blerē 227  
 blertē 227  
 breshēr 245  
 bung 199

dal 230  
 del 230  
 derē 233  
 derr 193  
 desha 276  
 dhândēr 258  
 dhe 292  
 dhëndēr 258  
 dimēn 284  
 dirsē 596  
 djathtë 168  
 dje 283  
 djeg 237  
 dra 238  
 drathe 239  
 dredhē 239  
 dregē 238  
 drithē 288  
 dy 183  
 elb 32  
 emēr 412  
 (j)ep 431  
 ēshtë 599  
 fle 120  
 gjallē 537  
 gjalpē 598  
 gjarpēr 558  
 gjashtë 560  
 gjen 487  
 gjerp 575  
 gjī 567  
 gjindet 487  
 gju 259  
 gjū 259  
 gjumē 573  
 giunj 259  
 grah 271  
 ha 65  
 helq, beq 598  
 hēnē 87  
 hije 542  
 jam 599  
 je 599  
 jesh 599  
 jeshē 599  
 jetēr 112  
 kur 156  
 labē 337  
 lap 324  
 lapē 335  
 lehtë 336  
 lënd 263  
 lëndē 263  
 lidh 341  
 lind 351  
 lindet 351  
 lodhem 328  
 lum 355

madh 359  
 mbesē 406  
 mbledh 332  
 mēmē 361  
 mish 370  
 mizē 397  
 mjal 370  
 mjalhtë 370  
 mjekrē 359  
 mjel 393  
 motēr 367  
 mushk 394  
 myzē 397  
 na 413  
 ndez 237  
 nduk 181  
 nēmē 405  
 nëndē 415  
 nēnē 413  
 nip 406  
 njeh 414  
 njoh 414  
 pashē 445  
 pērposh 462  
 pērtyp 593  
 pesē 509  
 pjek 134  
 plesht 496  
 pshtyj 583  
 qeth 79 106  
 ra 530  
 rjep 514  
 rrāzē 512  
 rrē- 516  
 rrēzē 512  
 rrush 511  
 shparr 578  
 shperdhē 578  
 shqerr 158  
 shtieŕl 486  
 shtjerrē 586  
 shton 590  
 shtyp 593  
 sjell 125  
 tetē 424  
 thadēr 97  
 theŕlē 101  
 thēnī 334  
 thi 603  
 thjer 113  
 tjetēr 112  
 tredh 630  
 ujē 641  
 uri 662  
 ū(n) 662  
 ve 438  
 venē 680  
 verē 680

vesh 63 672  
 vetē 549  
 vit 673  
 vjet 673  
 voe 438  
 zet 678  
 zjarm 235  
 zjarrē 235

#### BALTIC

##### Old Prussian

ackins 425  
 ackis 425  
 addle 185  
 ainan 642  
 aketes 423  
 alkunis 638  
 anctan 642  
 ane 45  
 anga-anga 41  
 angis 42  
 ansis 44  
 antis 41  
 ape 19  
 as 187  
 asmai 599  
 assa 196  
 assae 196  
 assis 66  
 ast 599  
 aswinan 193  
 auklipts 120  
 aulis 36  
 āusins 63  
 ausis 63  
 awis 66  
 ayculo 295  
 aysmis 295  
 babo 197  
 bebrus 217  
 berse 240  
 biātwei 229  
 bitte 245  
 boadis 229  
 bordus 69  
 brāti 240  
 brote 240  
 corto 141  
 crauyo 146  
 culczi 86  
 curwis 111  
 dantis 166  
 deinan 171  
 deywis 167  
 dragios 238  
 duckti 253  
 dumis 249

- dwi- 71  
 embaddusisi 229  
 emmens 412  
 en 300  
 es 187  
 est 599  
 ėit 192  
 gijwans 686  
 gile 263  
 girtwei 271  
 gīwasi 686  
 golimban 126  
 gorme 235  
 inostran 306  
 insuwis 343  
 irmo 55  
 is 196  
 ĩmt 188  
 juse 316  
 kails 80  
 kailūstiskan 80  
 kalis 584  
 kan 152  
 kaulan 100  
 keuto 160  
 kracto 145  
 krawian 146  
 lagno 296  
 lauxnos 352  
 lindan 352  
 lubbo 337  
 luckis 356  
 lynno 344  
 lyso 345  
 mary 365  
 mensā 370  
 menso 370  
 mergo 365  
 mijls 383  
 muso 397  
 nabis 639  
 nage 641  
 nognan 418  
 pecku 454  
 pedan 430  
 pelky 442  
 pelwo 440  
 penpalo 444  
 pepelis 444  
 pettegislo 220  
 picle 464  
 pintis 480  
 pippalins 444  
 pirmas 486  
 plonis 470  
 polinka 344  
 poquelbton 151  
 posinnat 414  
 postāt 590  
 poūt 485  
 prastian 481  
 prei 489  
 quāits 503  
 quoi 503  
 sal 535  
 salme 150  
 salowis 536  
 sansy 44  
 sarke 136  
 sasins 88  
 saytan 534  
 schis 116  
 sebbei 549  
 sentismu 574  
 seydis 221  
 sien 549  
 sindants 562  
 sins 574  
 sirsilis 140  
 sirwis 111  
 skerptus 94  
 slayx 342  
 smunents 287  
 smūnets 287  
 spoayno 583  
 spurglis 447  
 stallit 486  
 stamite 593  
 starnite 593  
 staytan 549  
 stogis 608  
 subs 584  
 sunis 87  
 sups 584  
 swestro 576  
 swīrins 215  
 syrne 271  
 talus 608  
 tauto 618  
 tresde 635  
 wagnis 690  
 waispattin 675  
 waist 676  
 warsus 654  
 weders 647  
 weldisnan 651  
 weware 685  
 wēisin 682  
 widdewū 677  
 wilna 325  
 wirds 664  
 woaltis 638  
 woasis 435  
 wobse 670  
 woltis 638  
 wudro 355  
 wyse 64  
 yccroy 296  
**Old Lithuanian**  
 āštras 22  
 bit(i) 246  
 edmi 186  
 eimi 192  
 esmi 599  
 eš 187  
 jentē 294  
 kasūlas 139  
 léidmi 351  
 ne 403  
 neptē 406  
 neptis 406  
 nepuotis 406  
 patis 485  
 rausti 528  
 rekstis 521  
 santj 574  
 sēris 557  
 širšuō 140  
 velmi 687  
 žmuō 287  
**Lithuanian**  
 abū 38  
 akėćios 423  
 akis 425  
 aliksnis 34  
 ālksna 34  
 aīksnis 34  
 alkūnė 638  
 alūs 36  
 anās 399  
 āngj 42  
 angis 42  
 anglis 297  
 angu 41  
 ānka 640  
 āntis 41  
 anýta 45  
 ap(i)- 421  
 aprėpti 514  
 ariū 55  
 árklas 55  
 árti 55  
 ašā 44  
 āš 187  
 ašis 66  
 aštrūs 22  
 aštuoni 424  
 āšutas 23  
 ašvienis 193  
 at- 59  
 ata- 59  
 atvérti 46  
 au- 64  
 áuksas 63  
 aūlas 36  
 aulýs 36  
 aūna 643  
 ausis 63  
 aušrà 64  
 aūti 643  
 āvē 643  
 avis 437  
 avižā 64  
 avýnas 66  
 bađyti 229  
 bāksteletī 67  
 balbāsyti 68  
 baņgos 638  
 bāra 236  
 bārti 236  
 barzdōtas 69  
 barzdā 69  
 bēbras 217  
 bēbras 217  
 bebrūs 217  
 bēbrus 217  
 bēda 229  
 ber(g)ždė 232  
 beř(g)ždžias 232  
 beřti 214  
 bėržas 240  
 bėsti 229  
 bezdėti 455  
 bijóti(s) 229  
 bitė 245  
 blāižyti 226  
 blaškiū 224  
 blebėnti 68  
 blebėti 68  
 bliāuti 228  
 blokšti 224  
 blusā 497  
 blužnis 340  
 brólis 240  
 būti 246  
 dabā 197  
 dabař 197  
 dabnūs 197  
 dantis 166  
 darýti 223  
 dāvė 182  
 debesis 409  
 dėgti 237  
 delė 209  
 delū 177  
 derėti 223  
 dėšinas 168  
 dėti 175  
 dėtis 217  
 devyni 415

- dēgti 219  
 diēnā 171  
 diēvas 167  
 dilgē 200  
 dilgūs 200  
 dilti 177  
 dīržti 236  
 dovanā 182  
 drāgēs 238  
 dū 183  
 dukte 253  
 duōnis 179  
 dūoti 175  
 dūrys 233  
 dūlis 248  
 dūmai 249  
 dvāras 237  
 dvi- 71  
 dvi 183  
 ēglē 185  
 ekēcios 423  
 ēlksna 34  
 eļksnis 34  
 elkūnē 638  
 ēšas 574  
 ēsti 599  
 ēmē 188  
 ēšas 574  
 ēškā 186  
 ēsti 186  
 gābana 277  
 gabēnti 277  
 gabūs 277  
 gāmalas 275  
 gāmulas 275  
 gaīdas 290  
 gardis 290  
 gebēti 277  
 geībti 260  
 geībūs 260  
 gelumbē 126  
 gērti 690  
 gērvē 274  
 gilē 263  
 ginti 211  
 girtas 271 690  
 girti 271  
 glēbti 264  
 glēbys 264  
 gléima 267  
 gléiné 267  
 gleņžti 265  
 gliemežys 267  
 gliēti 267  
 glinda 334  
 glodūs 263  
 glomóti 265  
 gobšūs 277  
 gobūs 277  
 grēsti 241  
 griāuti 274  
 grindā 274  
 griūti 274  
 grūmulas 272  
 gurdūs 275  
 gūrguolē 273  
 gylē 263  
 gýsla 220  
 gýti 686  
 gývas 686  
 gyvatā 686  
 ieškóti 27  
 iešmas 295  
 ievā 648  
 ilkras 296  
 iņti 188  
 intē 294  
 irklas 518  
 irmēdē 55  
 irti 518  
 ģ 300  
 ģščios 306  
 ģsnaigas 410  
 jāunas 317  
 jekanas 296  
 jēknos 296  
 ģi 310  
 ģis 310  
 jóti 294  
 judēti 312  
 judūs 312  
 jūngas 314  
 jūngti 314  
 juōkas 308  
 jūšē 316  
 kaīp 452  
 káirinti 93  
 kálnas 124  
 kálti 105 117  
 kalvā 124  
 kaīmpas 86  
 kaības 135  
 kaīšti 95  
 kárvē 111  
 kās 508  
 katrās 647  
 káulas 100  
 káuti 149  
 ka 152  
 kélmas 105  
 kēlti 105  
 kelys 125  
 kēpti 134  
 kerpū 95  
 kérti 106  
 keturi 505  
 kiāutas 160  
 kilti 105  
 Kirnis 137  
 kiřpti 95  
 kiřsti 138  
 klénkti 120  
 kliūti 119  
 kniedēnti 408  
 korys 109  
 kr(i)ōkti 145  
 kraūjas 146  
 krokoti 145  
 krūšti 147  
 kulkšnis 86  
 kuīmpas 86  
 kuīnpti 86  
 kūpēti 155  
 kuī 156  
 kūsti 504  
 kutēti 504  
 kutys 154  
 kvāpas 654  
 kvēpia 654  
 kvēpti 654  
 kviēsti 503  
 kylū 105  
 láidyti 351  
 lalūóti 324  
 lāpas 335  
 lāpē 688  
 lapēnti 324  
 laūkas 350  
 léisti 351  
 lémti 326  
 lénas 333  
 lendū 352  
 leņģvas 336  
 lentā 335  
 lepūs 335  
 liāudis 338  
 liāuti 353  
 liežuvis 343  
 linaī 344  
 linas 344  
 liutynas 355  
 llzdas 409  
 lokšnūs 328  
 lomā 324  
 lóti 324  
 lubā 337  
 luobas 337  
 maīnas 374 395  
 maišyti 382  
 málnos 379  
 málti 387  
 mamā 361  
 marti 365  
 mātas 377  
 máudyti 391  
 maūkti 392  
 mazgóti 375  
 mēlas 360  
 mēlžu 393  
 mensā 370  
 mergā 365  
 mērti 364  
 mēsti 377  
 mētas 377  
 metū 377  
 mēnesis 373  
 ménuo 373  
 mēsā 370  
 mēsti 362  
 miélas 383  
 minēti 371  
 miņti 371  
 mintis 372  
 miřkti 364  
 miřti 390  
 mišras 382  
 momā 361  
 mótē 367  
 mudrūs 394  
 mūkti 392  
 muīvas 393  
 murm(l)ēnti 396  
 murmēti 396  
 muso 397  
 mūsos 397  
 mūšti 391  
 mūkti 392  
 mūsai 397  
 mýžti 369  
 nagā 641  
 nāgas 641  
 naktis 416  
 nāmas 178  
 naūjas 416  
 nē 403  
 nēgi 403  
 nēgu 403  
 neī 408  
 néi 403  
 niaūsti 419  
 nókti 399  
 nósis 400  
 nūn 418  
 nūnai 418  
 núogas 418  
 ovyje 61  
 pa- 476  
 paimen 449  
 paisyti 467  
 paīvas 440  
 paīšas 481  
 pās 484



- pāt 494  
 pātalas 608  
 pāts 485  
 paūnksmē 639  
 paūnksnē 639  
 pēdā 430  
 pēdas 430  
 pēkus 454  
 pēlkē 442  
 pēlūs 440  
 penēti 459  
 penki 509  
 peñktas 509  
 penū 459  
 peř 459  
 pērnai 460  
 peršēti 481  
 peřti 488  
 pēšti 453  
 piemuō 449  
 pīenas 466  
 piepala 444  
 piēpti 467  
 piēšti 466  
 pikis 469  
 pilnas 473  
 pīrmas 486  
 pīrštas 484  
 pīsti 467  
 pjāuti 452  
 plākti 472  
 plaūčiai 497  
 plaūksta 471  
 plaūtas 476  
 plāuti 474  
 plēkti 472  
 plēnē 455  
 plónas 470  
 pra- 490  
 prašyti 483  
 praūsti 494  
 priē 489  
 priē- 489  
 pró- 490  
 prō 490  
 puotā 485  
 pūsti 501  
 puvēsis 501  
 pūras 500  
 pūslē 501  
 pūsti 501  
 pūti 501  
 rasā 527  
 rātas 527  
 raudā 528  
 raūdas 528  
 raudōti 528  
 ráugas 529  
 ráugēti 529  
 ráugti 529  
 ráuti 530  
 ravēti 530  
 rēpliōti 520  
 rezgiū 521  
 rezgu 521  
 rišti 522  
 rōpē 514  
 roplōti 520  
 saīkas 595  
 saītas 534  
 salā 572  
 saldūs 535  
 sañčio 574  
 sāpnas 573  
 sáulē 570  
 sāvas 549  
 sās 574  
 sēgti 552  
 sēkti 304 556 562  
 sēmti 554  
 sēnas 553  
 septyni 555  
 seserēnas 576  
 sesuō 576  
 sēdēti 552  
 sēmenys 557  
 sēti 557  
 siekas 595  
 siekti 595  
 siētas 534  
 sintēti 554  
 siūti 600  
 skabiū 541  
 skaidrūs 82  
 skáidrus 82  
 skáistas 82  
 skaistūs 82  
 skāsti 543  
 skēlti 151  
 skīesti 544  
 skiētas 549  
 skilti 151  
 skirpistas 94  
 skirpstūs 94  
 skirti 94 158  
 sklembti 542  
 skōbti 541  
 skrebēti 547  
 skūsti 540  
 slābnas 319  
 sliekas 342  
 smakrā 359  
 smākras 359  
 smardas 374  
 smirdēti 374  
 smūkti 392  
 sniņga 410  
 sólymas 535  
 sótus 540  
 spáinē 583  
 spāliai 582  
 spālis 582  
 speigliai 580  
 spēti 580  
 spēsti 457  
 spiāuti 583  
 spirti 579  
 spisti 581  
 srēbti 575  
 stiēpti 588  
 stiprūs 588  
 stipti 588  
 stirma 136  
 stógas 608  
 stóti 590  
 strāzdas 635  
 stýrti 587  
 sūpti 601  
 surbti 575  
 suvérti 46  
 šárka 136  
 šērti 110  
 šeši 560  
 šēšuras 569  
 šiaurē 100  
 šiltas 83  
 šimtas 108  
 širdis 135  
 šis 116  
 šiūras 100  
 šiūrūs 100  
 šlaunis 123  
 šleīvas 122  
 šliēti 120  
 šliēti 120  
 šlúoti 122  
 šuō 87  
 tā 568  
 tamsā 609  
 tās 568  
 taūras 607  
 tautā 618  
 tempti 610  
 tēmti 609  
 teřsti 585  
 tēvas 614  
 tilēs 608  
 tinti 610  
 tīrpti 624  
 tirti 616  
 tōlei 605  
 treñti 628  
 trīmti 628  
 trišēti 617  
 trišas 630  
 trobā 626  
 trýs 629  
 tū 631  
 tūkti 632  
 tulžis 209  
 turnēti 633  
 u- 64  
 ugnis 297  
 ulula 638  
 unksmē 639  
 ūnksna 639  
 ūñksnē 639  
 ūodas 166  
 ūolektis 638  
 ūosis 435  
 ūosti 426  
 ūpē 19  
 ūžvadas 655  
 (už)vērti 46  
 ūdra 355  
 vādās 655  
 vadúoti 655  
 vaišius 682  
 vaivarys 685  
 vaiverē 685  
 vaiveris 685  
 vākaras 670  
 valdyti 652  
 vanduō 641  
 vapsā 670  
 vapsvā 670  
 varđas 664  
 varťai 46  
 vasarā 663  
 vāsara 663  
 vēdaras 647  
 vēderas 647  
 veikti 679  
 veikūs 679  
 veikūs 679  
 veīsti 682  
 veizdēti 676  
 veldēti 651  
 vélti 687  
 vēmti 690  
 veršis 666  
 veržti 644  
 vētušas 673  
 vēžti 658  
 vienas 642  
 viēšpats 675  
 vikrūs 679  
 vilkas 353  
 vilna 325  
 vilpišys 688  
 viras 654  
 virbalas 664

- virbas 664  
 virbinis 664  
 viris 654  
 viršus 666  
 visti 682  
 voverē 685  
 vȳras 681  
 vȳsta 682  
 vȳti 308 673 677  
 vytiš 677  
 žāndas 258  
 žarnā 280  
 žašis 44  
 želti 282  
 želvas 282  
 žēmbēti 257  
 žēmē 292  
 žēntas 258  
 žiemā 284  
 žiēsti 221  
 žinōti 414  
 žiōti 285  
 žirnis 271  
 žmogūs 287  
 žmōnēs 287  
 žvākē 207  
 žvēris 215
- Latvian**  
 acs 425  
 aknas 296  
 aluōt 38  
 aŗka 640  
 aŗt 55  
 asins 537  
 ass 22  
 aũle 36  
 aũlis 36  
 āustra 64  
 āuzas 64  
 avs 437  
 badīt 229  
 bakstīt 67  
 barība 201  
 bārti 236  
 bārda 69  
 best 229  
 bezdēt 455  
 beŗbrs 217  
 beŗzs 240  
 bite 245  
 bītiēs 229  
 blaizīt 226  
 bļaūt 228  
 blēt 226  
 bliēzt 226  
 brālis 240  
 cirpt 95
- dāvana 182  
 dēju 210  
 dēle 209  
 dēls 209  
 dēt 210  
 diena 171  
 dievs 167  
 diļt 177  
 dradži 238  
 dūlis 248  
 dzi(k)sla 220  
 dziŗt 271  
 dzīt 686  
 dzīvs 686  
 ecē(k)šas 423  
 ēļkuonis 638  
 ērcis 52  
 es 187  
 esuots 574  
 gabana 277  
 gaīme 235  
 glēbt 264  
 gleīmas 267  
 glemzt 265  
 glūošs 263  
 gnīda 334  
 grūts 272  
 grūts 76  
 gūmt 275  
 guōvs 74  
 guŗds 275  
 iēškāt 27  
 iētalja 294  
 ietere 294  
 iēva 648  
 iz 196  
 jāt 294  
 jēmt 188  
 jūgs 314  
 jūgt 314  
 jūmis 256  
 juōks 308  
 kaļns 124  
 kaļt 105  
 kalva 124  
 kāres 109  
 karināt 94  
 kārs 95  
 kaũls 100  
 kaūt 149  
 kāŗba 135  
 kāŗst 95  
 klencēt 120  
 kniest 408  
 krijāt 110  
 kulksnis 86  
 kūsat 96  
 kvēpt 654
- laist 351  
 lāma 324  
 lapa 335  
 lapsa 688  
 laũks 350  
 lēns 333  
 lēns 333  
 lieku 344  
 liēsa 340  
 ligzds 409  
 likt 344  
 lini 344  
 luba 337  
 luobas 337  
 māids 360  
 malt 387  
 māma 361  
 maudāt 391  
 maūt 391  
 mazgāt 375  
 mēness 373  
 miet 385  
 milns 394  
 mījš 383  
 mizt 369  
 mudrs 394  
 muša 397  
 mūsa 397  
 mustavas 391  
 naba 639  
 nākt 399  
 nāss 400  
 ne 403  
 paīpala 444  
 pēda 430  
 pēlus 440  
 piēns 466  
 piķis 469  
 piļns 473  
 plāns 470  
 pļaūt 452  
 plāuts 476  
 plūto 476  
 pruōjām 490  
 pūrs 500  
 putns 503  
 puvesis 501  
 rāpāt 520  
 rāpt 520  
 rats 527  
 raũds 528  
 sāime 153  
 saļms 150  
 sāls 535  
 sapnis 573  
 sāts 540  
 saũle 570  
 savs 549
- sēdēt 552  
 seīja 542  
 seja 542  
 sekt 556  
 sēt 557  
 seņs 553  
 sieks 595  
 siēva 116  
 silts 83  
 sirnas 136  
 sirpis 540  
 sīrsuonis 140  
 skrabt 547  
 skrīpāt 547  
 slābens 319  
 slābs 319  
 slīēka 342  
 slīēnas 342  
 sliet 120  
 sluv 122  
 sluvēt 122  
 smakrs 359  
 smarīds 374  
 smiēt 129 382  
 snāt 405  
 snlegs 410  
 speŗt 579  
 spina 580  
 spļaūt 583  
 stāgs 608  
 stāt 590  
 strazds 635  
 sūkt 596  
 suns 87  
 sviēdri 596  
 šķēps 546  
 šķiēts 549  
 šūt 600  
 tāurs 607  
 tāuta 618  
 tiēvs 614  
 trāba 626  
 uōds 166  
 uogle 297  
 uōlekts 638  
 ūosa 44  
 uōsis 435  
 ūoss 44  
 uōst 426  
 upe 19  
 ūksme 639  
 vakars 670  
 vāldīt 652  
 vapsene 670  
 vāvere 685  
 vārds 664  
 vāveris 685  
 vēiks 679

veñt 690  
 vepri 46  
 vēdars 647  
 viēns 642  
 vilks 353  
 virba 664  
 virbs 664  
 virsus 666  
 vīt 677  
 vīksts 679  
 vīkt 679  
 zeļt 282  
 zīle 263  
 zināt 414  
 zīfnis 271  
 znuōts 258  
 zuōds 258  
 zvērs 215  
 žul(k)ts 209

## SLAVIC

## Old Church Slavic

agne 30  
 ajce 438  
 azь 187  
 basь 229  
 bebrь 217  
 berq 214  
 bēda 218  
 bēditi 218  
 bēždq 218  
 biti 460  
 blagodētь 217  
 blizь 226  
 bļujq 228  
 bļьvati 228  
 bobrь 217  
 bodq 229  
 bojati 229  
 bolje 163  
 bolji 163  
 boljši 163  
 borjq 236  
 bosti 229  
 brada 69  
 bradatyi 69  
 brašьno 201  
 brati 236  
 bratrь 240  
 bratь 240  
 brēza 240  
 briti 244  
 bystь 246  
 byti 246  
 bьčela 245  
 bьčela 245  
 bьrati 214

cēditi 544  
 cēļь 80  
 česo 508  
 četyre 505  
 čьto 510  
 danь 179  
 dati 175  
 -davati 182  
 desnь 168  
 devętь 415  
 dētь 210  
 dēva 210  
 dobrь 197  
 doiti 210  
 dojq 210  
 domь 178  
 drēmati 180  
 droždje 238  
 duti 597  
 dvorь 237  
 dvьrь 233  
 dymь 249  
 dьštere 253  
 dьšti 253  
 dьva 183  
 dьvь 183  
 dьždь 315  
 dьly 176  
 dьļve 176  
 dьne 171  
 dьnь 171  
 eterь 112  
 gladьkь 263  
 glagolь 254  
 glasь 254  
 gļьtati 275  
 gnēzdo 409  
 golqь 126  
 gospodь 291  
 gostь 291  
 govorь 256  
 gogьnivь 255  
 gradь 270 290  
 gramada 272  
 grano 271  
 granь 271  
 grьdь 275  
 gьnati 211  
 i 310  
 idq 192  
 igo 314  
 ikra 296  
 imę 412  
 imq 188  
 imь 642  
 iskati 27  
 iskoni 516  
 iti 192

iz 196  
 ja 310  
 jađь 186  
 jamь 186  
 jasti 186  
 jastь 186  
 (j)avē 61  
 jahati 294  
 je 310  
 jesmь 599  
 jestь 599  
 jeterь 112  
 jęti 188  
 jętry 294  
 jętrьve 294  
 językь 343  
 jumь 317  
 (j)utro 64  
 juxa 316  
 klati 105  
 klęčęšta 120  
 kogda 152  
 kolese 125  
 kolēno 125  
 koljq 105  
 kolo 125  
 kora 136  
 korь 94  
 kosa 97  
 kotery 647  
 kotory(i) 647  
 kovati 149  
 kovq 149  
 kotь 86  
 kra 110  
 krava 111  
 krępь 164  
 krqgь 142  
 kry 147  
 krьknqti 145  
 kvasь 96  
 kypęti 155  
 kysęļь 96  
 -kysęti 96  
 kuvati 112  
 kьde 295 636  
 kьto 508  
 lajati 324 329  
 lajq 324  
 lakьtь 638  
 lani 426  
 lęnь 333  
 lęto 335  
 lętь 335  
 lęvь 323  
 lęxa 345  
 lędviję 352  
 lęšta 334

ljubiti 339  
 ljubo 339  
 ljubovь 339  
 ljubь 339  
 ljubь 339  
 ljudje 338  
 loku 322  
 lokьve 322  
 lomiti 326  
 lučiti 356  
 ļgьkь 336  
 ļnь 344  
 maka 357  
 mati 367  
 mazati 357  
 mazь 357  
 mesti 377  
 mēna 374 395  
 mēsęcь 373  
 mēsiti 382  
 mę 368  
 męsti 362  
 męto 362  
 miļь 383  
 mimo 374  
 minqti 374  
 mlatsь 360  
 mlęti 387  
 mlęzq 393  
 monisto 388  
 morje 365  
 motyka 367  
 mręti 390  
 muxa 397  
 my 413  
 myšь 396  
 myti 391  
 mьšica 397  
 mьčьta 378  
 mьnęti 371  
 mьnit 371  
 mьnje 381  
 mьnjii 381  
 mьnjq 371  
 mьnjьši 381  
 mьrtvь 390  
 nagь 418  
 nasь 413  
 ne 403  
 nebo 409  
 ni 408  
 noga 641  
 noštь 416  
 novь 416  
 ny 413  
 nypē 418  
 nьnē 418  
 oba 38

- obě 38  
 obuti 643  
 oči 425  
 ognjъ 297  
 oko 425  
 ona 399  
 ono 399  
 omъ 399  
 orati 55  
 orjъ 55  
 ostrujъ 593  
 ostrъ 22  
 osъtъ 23  
 osъ 66  
 otъ 59  
 otъvrěsti 644  
 otъvrъzъ 644  
 otъstъ 60  
 ovъca 437  
 oqъlъ 297  
 oqъtъ 42  
 oty 41  
 padъ 463  
 pamětъ 372  
 pasъ 449  
 pasti 449 463  
 pelena 455  
 pěna 583  
 pědъ 457 582  
 pětъ 509  
 pětъ 509  
 pěstî 472  
 pletъ 472  
 plěvy 440  
 pljujъ 583  
 pljušta 497  
 pluti 474  
 plъnъ 473  
 plъvati 583  
 počijъ 509  
 počiti 509  
 počrěti 95  
 podoba 197  
 polъ 582  
 posteljъ 486  
 postъlati 486  
 pozdě 484  
 požrěti 690  
 pōditi 457  
 pōtъ 480  
 pra- 490  
 prasъ 481  
 prasěte 481  
 prě- 459  
 prědъ 459  
 pri 489  
 prijatelъ 493  
 prijati 493  
 prisěšti 552  
 pro- 490  
 proče 491  
 pročъ 491  
 prokъ 491  
 prositi 483  
 protivъ 488  
 protivъ 488  
 prozěbnōti 257  
 pъstъ 484  
 pъtica 503  
 pъsъtъ 469  
 pъsati 466  
 pъšeno 467  
 gabъ 433  
 galo 55  
 gamo 55  
 gjuti 515 529  
 rosa 527  
 rovъ 529  
 ruda 515  
 sebě 549  
 sedmъ 555  
 sekъ 551  
 selo 572  
 sestra 576  
 sestrimъ 576  
 sěděti 552  
 sěmę 557  
 sěmъja 153  
 sěnъ 542  
 sěsti 562  
 sěšti 551  
 sěti 557  
 sětъ 534  
 sěverъ 100  
 sę 129 229 356 382  
 549  
 sędъ 562  
 sęštъ 554  
 sijati 542  
 slabъ 319  
 sladъkъ 535  
 slama 150  
 slavoočije 536  
 slězena 340  
 sliny 342  
 slovъ 122  
 sluti 122  
 slъnъce 570  
 smijati 129 382  
 sněgъ 410  
 solъ 535  
 sošta 574  
 spěti 493 580  
 srъbati 575  
 srъrъ 540  
 stanъ 590  
 stati 590  
 stojati 590  
 strigъ 592  
 strišti 592  
 -strujiti 593  
 suti 601  
 svekry 569  
 svojъ 549  
 sy 574  
 sytъ 540  
 svraka 136  
 sъnъ 573  
 sъpъ 601  
 sъsati 596  
 sъto 108  
 sъ 116  
 sъršenъ 140  
 šestъ 560  
 štarъ 546  
 štitъ 549  
 ta 568  
 tajiti 584  
 tajъ 584  
 tatъ 584  
 teplostъ 614  
 toli 605  
 toliko 605  
 tolv 605  
 topiti 614  
 trepetati 628  
 trepetъ 628  
 tri 629  
 trudъ 630  
 trъpěti 624  
 trъje 629  
 turъ 607  
 ty 631  
 tъ 568  
 tъla 608  
 tъpъkъ 614  
 ujъ 66  
 ušese 63  
 uvęsti 42  
 uvęzъ 42  
 uxo 63  
 vamъ 691  
 vasъ 691  
 večerъ 670  
 velěti 687  
 veljъ 687  
 verъ 46  
 vesna 663  
 vesti 658  
 vetъchъ 673  
 vezъ 658  
 věděti 676  
 vědro 647  
 vēja 677  
 vėjati 659  
 věmъ 676  
 věra 668  
 věstъ 676  
 viděti 676  
 viti 677  
 vladěti 652  
 vlásti 652  
 vlna 325  
 voda 641  
 voliti 687  
 volja 687  
 vozъ 658  
 vrъxъ 666  
 vy 691  
 vysokъ 601  
 vъ(n) 300  
 vъ(s)kysnōti 96  
 vъdova 677  
 vъdova 677  
 vъsъ 675  
 xladъ 256  
 zavrěti 46  
 zelenъ 282  
 zemlja 292  
 zějъ 285  
 zětъ 258  
 zijati 285  
 zima 284  
 zinōti 285  
 ziždъ 221  
 zlvčъ 209  
 znati 414  
 zrnъ 271  
 zvětъ 215  
 zъlvna 266  
 zъdati 221  
 žěšti 237  
 žila 220  
 žiti 686  
 životъ 686  
 živъ 686  
 žrěti 271  
 žrъcъ 271  
 žrti 271  
 Russian CS  
 bajati 231  
 belená 220  
 belenъ 220  
 blějati 226  
 blъcha 497  
 brěžda 232  
 glěnъ 267  
 gomola 275  
 gomula 275  
 gъmъžďdъ 270  
 gugnati 254

lučь 356  
mesk 394  
mравъjь 234  
nestera 406  
nosъ 400  
osa 670  
qziti 42  
plavъ 440  
pyro 500  
pъchati 467  
rěpa 514  
rodъ 527  
sgьna 136  
tyti 632  
ulii 36  
въхu 666  
želudъ 263

**Serbian CS**

okrijati 144  
qkotъ 640  
гъvati 530  
snъxa 420  
tajati 604  
trěti 616  
тъq 616  
žeti 275  
žьmq 275

**Old Russian**

(j)azъ 187  
borošьno 201  
cěljq 80  
gomoła 275  
graču 268  
grakati 268  
гъrkati 268  
jagnja 30  
jastreбъ 424  
jastrjabъ 424  
jati 188  
jatry 294  
kěhъ 80  
kopъ 516  
krakati 145  
morovej 234  
moxъ 397  
mъskъ 394  
mъxъ 397  
namъ 405  
netii 406  
obora 668  
olъ 36  
ovъsz 64  
robъ 433  
гъvati 531  
serebl'u 575  
stěnz 542

съръ 601  
тъlo 608  
ukotъ 640  
vēverica 685  
vълkъ 353  
žereti 271  
žreti 271  
žъti 271

**Russian**

berěza 240  
berězaja 232  
blějat' 226  
blevát' 228  
blízkiy 226  
blízvyj 226  
bob 197  
bobr 217  
bolobólitъ 68  
borodá 69  
borót' 236  
bzdét' 455  
čěrnij 635  
davát' 182  
den' 171  
desná 166  
dėti 210  
dnja 171  
dóba 197  
dóbryj 197  
doč' 253  
dóčeri 253  
dom 178  
domovój 178  
domovýj 178  
domú 178  
dremát' 180  
drob 238  
drozd 635  
el' 185  
elxá 34  
ěixa 34  
est' 599  
éxat' 294  
gládkij 263  
glej 267  
glen' 267  
glot 275  
glotát' 275  
glotók 275  
gnezdó 409  
gnida 334  
gólos 254  
golubój 127  
gólub' 127  
górdy 275  
górkat' 268  
górod 290

gospód' 291  
gost' 291  
govjádó 74  
grad 270  
grjadá 274  
gugníti 254  
gugnívvyj 255  
gus' 44  
hlína 267  
iglá 295  
igo 314  
ikrá 296  
ikró 296  
il'em 637  
il'm 637  
inój 642  
iva 648  
ja 187  
jajcó 438  
jásen' 435  
jástreb 424  
jat' 188  
jatro 306  
játrov' 294  
kákát' 78  
kalit' 84  
ključ' 119  
kljuká 119  
kolk 86  
kolót' 105 117  
kópot' 654  
kórob 135  
kotóryj 647  
kroit' 110  
kropotá 143  
krov' 147  
lachón 320  
lásy 328  
lébed' 427  
lenój 333  
lépest 335  
let' 335  
léto 335  
lévyj 323  
lěgkij 336  
lěn 344  
líbo 339  
ljač 334  
ljádá 352  
ljádveja 352  
ljánoj 333  
ljubit' 339  
ljubój 339  
ljúdi 338  
ljud 338  
l'na 344  
lob 337  
lókot' 638

lomít' 326  
lópát' 324  
lub 337  
lut 335  
máma 361  
mečtá 378  
meljú 387  
mén'sij 381  
méra 377  
měrtvyj 390  
mestí 377  
mikát' 378  
milyj 383  
minút' 374  
mjastí 362  
mólot 360  
molót' 387  
móre 365  
morjá 365  
mormúlit' 396  
motýga 367  
mox 397  
mšica 397  
múxa 397  
myčát' 392  
na 178  
ni 408  
njánja 413  
nogá 641  
nóne 418  
nos' 400  
nóvyj 416  
nyne 418  
ogón' 297  
ol'xá 34  
os' 66  
osá 670  
osét' 423  
óstryj 22  
ot(o) 59  
otvérzt' 644  
ovcá 437  
ovēs 65  
pastí 449  
péklo 469  
pelená 455  
péna 583  
pére- 459  
péred(o) 459  
pérepel 444  
pjad' 457 582  
pjat' 509  
pjátyj 509  
plená 455  
plestí 472  
plevát' 583  
pljusk 471  
pljusná 471

- pokój 509  
 pólnyj 473  
 polóva 440  
 polóvyj 440  
 polst' 465  
 porošnok 481  
 porosja 481  
 porosjata 481  
 posjagát' 552  
 pótká 503  
 potolók 608  
 pózdyj 484  
 proč' 491  
 próčij 491  
 prok 491  
 proti 488  
 protě 488  
 puđít' 457  
 puđít' 457  
 púxlyj 501  
 púxnut' 501  
 pyrěj 500  
 rak 516  
 ráka 516  
 rakita 52  
 razinut' 285  
 réjat' 309 525  
 rinut' 309 525  
 róju 530  
 rudá 515  
 rúda 515  
 rudój 528  
 rúdyj 528  
 rvat' 531  
 rylo 530  
 ryt' 530  
 seč' 551  
 serbátě 575  
 serp' 540  
 sestrá 576  
 skrebú 547  
 skrestí 547  
 sláběj 319  
 slimák 342  
 slína 342  
 sliva 347  
 smórod 374  
 smýkat' 392  
 snoxá 420  
 sol' 535  
 sólnce 570  
 solódkij 535  
 solóma 150  
 solovéj 536  
 solovój 536  
 solóvyj 536  
 son 573  
 soróka 136
- sosát' 596  
 spet' 493 580  
 spiná 580  
 stlat' 486  
 sytyj 540  
 ščit' 549  
 ščitá 549  
 tájat' 604  
 těplyj 614  
 terét' 616  
 tjat' 610  
 tnu 610  
 tolk 349  
 tónkij 614  
 topit' 614  
 tur 607  
 údit' 636  
 úgol 42  
 úgol' 297  
 úlica 36  
 útka 41  
 uxá 316  
 už 42  
 užá 42  
 užit' 42  
 v(o) 300  
 vdová 677  
 večer 670  
 védat' 676  
 vedró 647  
 véjat' 659  
 velét' 687  
 vepr' 46  
 véra 668  
 vérba 664  
 verx 666  
 verxú 666  
 ves' 675  
 vétxij 673  
 vídet' 676  
 víšnja 683  
 vladét' 652  
 vólja 687  
 volná 325  
 vólná 325  
 volodét' 652  
 voróba 664  
 vy- 601  
 výdra 355  
 výmja 636  
 vys' 601  
 vysók 601  
 výše 601  
 zelěnyj 282  
 zernó 271  
 ziját' 285  
 zját' 258
- znat' 414  
 žat' 275  
 želč' 209  
 želud' 263  
 žíla 220  
 žit' 686  
 živój 686  
 žmět 275  
 žrec 271
- Ukranian**  
 kópit 654  
 krijáty 144  
 morokvá 364  
 múkaty 392  
 rux 530  
 smórid 374
- Old Polish**  
 jedl 185  
 jedla 185  
 ješm 599  
 miązdra 370  
 mięzdra 370  
 spina 580
- Polish**  
 brzmieć 241  
 gęs' 44  
 hupek 643  
 jaje 438  
 jajo 438  
 jastrząb 424  
 jest 599  
 kót 98  
 lach 320  
 łęt 335  
 mrówka 234  
 szezýt 549  
 wąż 42  
 wiara 668
- Old Czech**  
 jadati 426  
 jatrev 294  
 jedl 185  
 křati 144  
 kreját' 144  
 lákati 329  
 léný 333  
 sahati 552
- Czech**  
 beblati 68  
 blblati 68  
 blecha 497  
 blén 220  
 bleti 226
- blín 220  
 břití 244  
 hlína 267  
 hlt 275  
 homole 275  
 hovado 74  
 jasan 435  
 jest 599  
 jácha 316  
 jilm 637  
 jilma 637  
 jsem 599  
 křepel 444  
 kruh 142  
 kůra 136  
 kysati 96  
 kývati 112  
 labut' 427  
 lada 352  
 lado 352  
 leb 337  
 loni 426  
 louč 356  
 lut 335  
 mjeti 374  
 míkati 378  
 mknouti 392  
 mlat 360  
 moucha 397  
 mrmrati 396  
 obora 668  
 otevřiti 46  
 ozditi 58  
 přepel 444  
 pták 503  
 rádló 55  
 rámě 55  
 rob 433  
 rokyta 52  
 ruch 530  
 řinouti 525  
 se 525  
 sit' 534  
 souti 601  
 teplý 614  
 vejce 438  
 veverka 685  
 vědětí 676  
 voliti 687  
 vy- 646  
 zed' 221
- Slovene**  
 bēbar 217  
 bōbar 217  
 diti 597  
 glēn 267  
 gnida 334

- jè 599  
 kivatì 112  
 kraba 135  
 m(a)zèti 369  
 mèra 377  
 miš 396  
 plèna 455  
 prškati 494  
 ràk 516  
 sèti 552  
 sàm 599  
 séstra 576  
 sèver 100  
 srèbljem 575  
 tånak 614  
 tètì 610  
 tnèm 610  
 ùlj 36  
 velèti 687  
 véverica 685  
 više 601  
 žila 220
- Serbo-Croatian**  
 bájati 231  
 bázdjati 455  
 biti 460  
 blåbositi 68  
 bødèm 229  
 bõljì 163  
 bõsti 229  
 bråšno 201  
 bün 220  
 dãbar 217  
 drijèmati 180  
 glådak 263  
 glèj 267  
 gnijèzdo 409  
 grnjica 334  
 gòmoja 275  
 gòst 291  
 gòsta 291  
 gòvedo 74  
 gråd 270  
 gramáda 272  
 gréda 274  
 igla 295  
 jågne 30  
 jáhati 294  
 jáje 438  
 jásen 435  
 jástrijeb 424  
 jèsam 599  
 jèst 599  
 jètrva 294  
 jètrva 294  
 jètrva 294  
 káiti 84
- kániti 130  
 klati 117  
 klèčati 120  
 kljùč 119  
 kljùka 119  
 kòr 94  
 kòra 136  
 kòt 98  
 krmèlj 270  
 krmèlj 270  
 kròjiti 110  
 krùg 142  
 kvàs 96  
 låbùd 427  
 ljen 333  
 ljevì 323  
 lõkva 322  
 mãha 397  
 màknuti 392  
 mižati 369  
 mråv 234  
 mrmjliti 396  
 mrmrati 396  
 mrtav 390  
 netjak 406  
 neže 403  
 ob 668  
 õbor 668  
 õganj 297  
 õsa 670  
 otvòriti 46  
 õvas 65  
 pàkao 469  
 pásti 449  
 pàvit 677  
 pjèna 583  
 pláv 440  
 plèsti 472  
 pljèva 440  
 plúto 476  
 po 476  
 prškati 494  
 püst 465  
 ràk 516  
 ràkita 52  
 ràme 55  
 rti 530  
 sam 599  
 sàsüti 601  
 sèvèr 100  
 sèjati 557  
 šit 540  
 šiti 600  
 sjèn 542  
 slåb 319  
 slåma 150  
 slåvùj 536  
 slina 342
- snåha 420  
 sšljènj 140  
 šljiva 347  
 trùd 630  
 tùr 607  
 u 300  
 ùjak 66  
 ùtka 41  
 vidjeti 676  
 višè 601  
 viti 677  
 vråta 46  
 vùna 325  
 zìd 221  
 zijati 285  
 zìnuti 285  
 zno 271  
 zvijer 215  
 žèlùd 263  
 žila 220  
 žùč 209
- Bulgarian**  
 blaból'ъ 68  
 бълбóл'ъ 68  
 e 599  
 elxá 34  
 gåniv 255  
 glen 267  
 glina 267  
 krèja 144  
 lam 324  
 lapam 324  
 mårmmórja 396  
 neni 413  
 ververica 685  
 zvjar 215
- GERMANIC**
- Gothic**  
 ada 438  
 af- 145  
 afholon 85  
 afhuapjan 654  
 afhuapnan 654  
 ahs 23  
 ahtau 425  
 aha 48  
 ains 642  
 airzeis 194  
 airzjan 194  
 aiz 27  
 ajukduþs 313  
 akrs 29  
 alakjo 34  
 alamans 34  
 alands 35
- aids 35  
 aleina 638  
 aliþs 35  
 aljaleikõ 34  
 aljis 34  
 alls 34  
 alþeis 35  
 amsans 640  
 an 41  
 ana 36  
 anaminds 372  
 anasilan 564  
 and(a)- 45  
 andhruskan 548  
 andwasjan 672  
 arbi 433  
 arbiþa 433  
 arluazna 52  
 arjan 55  
 arms 55  
 at 24  
 atisk 25  
 atta 60  
 aþnam 44  
 aþþan 59  
 auhns 62  
 ausins 63  
 auso 63  
 awiliudon 330  
 awiliuþ 330  
 awistr 438  
 awo 66  
 ba 38  
 bai 38  
 baidjan 218  
 bairan 218  
 bajþs 38  
 barizeins 201  
 beidan 218  
 beitan 221  
 bliggwan 226  
 bloma 227  
 blotan 225  
 boka 199  
 brikan 239  
 broþar 240  
 bruhta 245  
 brukjan 245  
 bruks 245  
 brupþaps 485  
 daddjan 210  
 daigs 221  
 dauhtar 253  
 daur 233  
 digan 221  
 duginnan 487  
 fåhan 452  
 faiflokun 469

- faihu 454  
 fairmeis 460  
 fairra 459  
 fairweitjan 676  
 fairzna 461  
 fana 443  
 faur 481  
 faurwaiþjan 674  
 fawai 448  
 fidwor 505  
 fimf 509  
 fisks 468  
 fon 500  
 fra- 490  
 fraihnan 483  
 fraweitan 676  
 freis 493  
 frijon 493  
 frijonds 493  
 frius 494  
 fruma 486  
 funins 500  
 gadaban 197  
 gadeds 217  
 gadob 197  
 gadof 197  
 gaitis 278  
 gakusts 276  
 galeiþan 346  
 galukan 350  
 gamains 395  
 gamaurgjan 75  
 gaminþi 372  
 ganagljan 641  
 gaqumþs 132  
 garapana 520  
 garda 290  
 gards 290  
 gastagqjan 588  
 gasts 291  
 gatarhjan 615  
 gateihan 170  
 gawadjon 655  
 gawigan 658  
 gazds 280  
 gistradagis 283  
 giutan 249  
 gras 269  
 grundu- 241  
 gurna 287  
 haban 90  
 hafjan 90  
 hahan 153  
 haidus 82  
 haihs 79  
 hails 80  
 haims 153  
 hairto 135  
 haldan 104  
 hallus 124  
 hals 125  
 hamfs 86  
 hana 88  
 hatis 78  
 haubiþ 91  
 haurds 141  
 haurm 136  
 heiwafrauja 116  
 hidrē 116  
 himma 116  
 hina 116  
 hindurmists 516  
 hlaiw 122  
 hlaiwa 122  
 hlauts 119  
 hleiþra 121  
 hlifan 120  
 hlütis 122  
 hnaiwjan 130  
 hneiwan 130  
 hors 95  
 hrugga 142  
 huljan 424  
 hund 108  
 hunds 87  
 huan 152  
 luar 155  
 huas 508  
 luapar 647  
 iddja 192  
 idweit 195  
 ija 310  
 ik 187  
 im 599  
 in 300  
 ina 310  
 inmaidjan 384  
 inweitan 676  
 is 310 599  
 ist 197 599  
 ita 310  
 itan 186  
 iþ 195  
 iup 601  
 iupa 601  
 iuk 314  
 jukuzi 314  
 jund- 317  
 kalds 256  
 kara 255  
 kaurjos 272  
 kaurn 271  
 kausjan 276  
 kinnus 258  
 kiusan 276  
 knawen 268  
 kniu 259  
 -kunds 401  
 kunnan 414  
 kustus 276  
 lailoun 324  
 lats 328  
 lauf 337  
 laufs 337  
 laun 349  
 leihts 336  
 leiþvan 344  
 letan 328  
 ligan 332  
 liufs 339  
 liuhap 356  
 liuþon 330  
 lubjaleis 337  
 malan 387  
 man 371  
 marisaiws 365  
 marka 365  
 maurþr 390  
 meina 368  
 mel 377  
 mena 373  
 miera 234  
 mik 368  
 mikils 359  
 milip 370  
 minniza 381  
 mins 381  
 mis 368  
 missadeþs 217  
 mitan 368  
 miton 368  
 munþs 373  
 nadre 402  
 nahts 416  
 naqapþs 418  
 nati 401  
 nei 408  
 ni 403  
 nih 403 506  
 niujis 416  
 nu 418  
 qiman 661  
 qrammiþa 270  
 -rakjan 517  
 rapjo 519  
 rauþs 528  
 sa 564 568  
 saian 557  
 saihis 560  
 sailuan 556  
 sair 534  
 sakan 534  
 saljan 131  
 salt 535  
 sarwa 557  
 saps 540  
 sauil 570  
 sibun 555  
 sidus 570  
 sik 549  
 silba 584  
 simle 565  
 sind 599  
 sineigs 554  
 sinista 554  
 sinteins 171  
 sis 549  
 sitan 552  
 siujan 600  
 skaban 541  
 skeinan 542  
 skeirs 422  
 sliupan 349  
 so 568  
 sok 534  
 sokjan 534  
 sparwa 447  
 speiwan 583  
 spilda 582  
 staimo 585  
 stairo 586  
 standan 590  
 stautan 634  
 stigqan 588  
 straujan 593  
 sunno 570  
 swarts 576  
 swes 597  
 swistar 576  
 taihswa 168  
 taihun 163  
 taitok 606  
 tekan 606  
 þagkjan 623  
 þahan 604  
 þaurp 626  
 þaursjan\* 625  
 þiuda 618  
 þiudans 618  
 þrins 629  
 þu 631  
 þugkjan 623  
 þulan 622  
 þuhan 181  
 tuggo 343  
 tulqjan 302  
 tunþus 166  
 twai 183  
 tweifls 475  
 uf 595  
 ufar 601  
 ufþanjan 613



- uh 506  
 undar 302 306  
 undaurnimat 306  
 unleds 336  
 us 646  
 ushrisjan 145  
 uslúkan 350  
 uspriutan 630  
 ut 646  
 uzanan 279  
 uzon 43  
 waddjus 677  
 wadj 655  
 wadjabokos 655  
 wai 650  
 waian 659  
 waidedja 650  
 -waih 679  
 waihsta 680  
 wair 681  
 wairilom 654  
 wairsiza 666  
 wait 676  
 wajamerjan 650  
 -wakjan 657 678  
 walðan 652  
 walwjan 690  
 wans 653  
 warei 665  
 wars 665  
 watnam 641  
 wato 641  
 waurd 664  
 waurms 665  
 waurts 512  
 weihs 675  
 weipan 674  
 weitwoþs 676  
 wens 662  
 widuwo 677  
 wigana 680  
 wiljan 687  
 -windan 672  
 winds 564 662  
 winja 661  
 winnan 662  
 witan 676  
 wjþrus 673  
 wods 656  
 wulfs 353  
 wulla 325  
 wulþus 689
- Old Icelandic**  
 aka 31  
 ala 35  
 aldr 35
- allr 34  
 almr 637  
 amma 38  
 apr 37  
 arðr 55  
 arfi 433  
 arfr 433  
 askr 435  
 at 24  
 aurr 644  
 ausa 281  
 á 36  
 áskunnr 401  
 é 66  
 æs 44  
 báðir 38  
 barr 201  
 baun 197  
 beggja 38  
 berja 236  
 beysta 253  
 björk 240  
 bjórr 217  
 blak 224  
 blaka 224  
 blakra 224  
 blár 225  
 blekkja 224  
 blóta 225  
 botn 250  
 bók 199  
 broð 165  
 bröðir 240  
 bý 245  
 dáð 217  
 dálkr 200  
 dóttir 253  
 dregg 238  
 dyrr 233  
 egg 438  
 einir 313  
 ek 187  
 ekkja 42  
 ekla 186  
 elgur 33  
 elptr 427  
 en 313  
 eta 186  
 eþja 425  
 fastr 484  
 fár 448  
 fet 430  
 ffrildi 444  
 fiskr 468  
 fist 669  
 fjall 455  
 fjorr 506  
 fjorrðr 482
- flejri 475  
 fleistr 475  
 flétta 472  
 fleyðr 476  
 for 481  
 fqlr 440  
 framr 490  
 frændi 493  
 frjósá 494  
 funi 500  
 fura 506  
 fúinn 501  
 fúrr 500  
 fýri 500 506  
 fýrr 500  
 gaddr 280  
 gall 209  
 garðr 290  
 gær 283  
 geit 278  
 gestr 291  
 gine 285  
 gína 285  
 gjafa-víxl 680  
 glaðr 263  
 gorn 280  
 grandí 241  
 grár 515  
 gras 269  
 grind 274  
 gróa 269  
 grunnr 241  
 gulr 282  
 gume 288  
 haðna 98  
 hafr 89  
 hals 125  
 harfr 95  
 hasl 139  
 hatr 78  
 hatr 97  
 haufuð 91  
 heimr 153  
 hengja 153  
 herfi 95  
 heri 88  
 hetta 97  
 hið 153  
 hiði 153  
 hjarta 135  
 hjúfa 155  
 hlaun 123  
 hlekk 121  
 hljóta 119  
 hniss 408  
 hnita 408  
 hnot 420  
 holmi 127
- holmr 127  
 horn 136  
 hossa 504  
 hqfuð 91  
 hoggva 149  
 hqss 88  
 hqtr 97  
 hcena 88  
 hraukr 147  
 hræfa 164  
 hringr 142  
 hrifa 547  
 hris 145  
 hross 157  
 hrúga 147  
 hryggr 147  
 hundr 87  
 húð 160  
 húfr 155  
 hvalr 584  
 hvar 155  
 hvæsa 507  
 hvelfa 151  
 hýski 116  
 iðjagrenn 195  
 iðrar 306  
 illr 637  
 i 283 300  
 ikorni 685  
 jór 193  
 ju(g)r 636  
 kala 256  
 kalla 254  
 kind 258  
 kinn 258  
 kjósa 276  
 kljúfa 266  
 knár 268  
 kné 259  
 knita 408  
 kost 276  
 krámr 270  
 kráka 268  
 krákr 268  
 kremja 272  
 kumla 275  
 kundr 401  
 kváða 73  
 lauðr 331  
 láð 336  
 lend 352  
 léttr 336  
 liggja 332  
 lind 335  
 linnr 335  
 ljóð 330  
 ljóða 330  
 lýf 337

- magr 357  
 marr 365  
 maurr 234  
 men 388  
 merja 390  
 meyra 234  
 mál 373  
 miðr 369  
 mikill 359  
 mosi 397  
 mænir 388  
 mœna 388  
 mund 363  
 mundr 363  
 munnr 373  
 mý 397  
 mýrr 397  
 naðr 402  
 naðra 402  
 nafli 639  
 nafn 412  
 nakinn 418  
 nassa 412  
 net 401  
 nifl 404  
 njól 404  
 nór 403  
 nótt 412  
 nof 639  
 nýra 404  
 of 595  
 ofn 62  
 ok 314  
 or- 646  
 ormr 665  
 orvar 52  
 otr 355  
 ó- 301  
 Óðinn 656  
 óðr 656  
 ór 646  
 ör- 646  
 ql 36  
 qld 35  
 qlpt 427  
 qlr 35  
 qnd 44  
 qr 52  
 qrðugr 52  
 qxl 67  
 qxull 66  
 ør- 646  
 rakr 526  
 rauði 515  
 rifa 524  
 róa 518  
 rót 512  
 róta 526
- rýja 531  
 saðr 574  
 safe 538  
 salr 572  
 samkund 132  
 sandr 531  
 sannr 574  
 sax 541  
 sefi 539  
 segger 569  
 segja 304  
 seiðr 534  
 selja 131 536  
 sér 549  
 sik 549  
 sjálfr 584  
 skeið 549  
 skera 158  
 skera 'to 109  
 skið 549  
 skiði 549  
 skírr 422  
 skíta 544  
 skjalgr 544  
 skrúð 548  
 slakr 325  
 smjör 369  
 sqrl 536  
 sörvi 557  
 soefa 575  
 spari 578  
 sparri 445 578  
 spætr 464  
 sperna 579  
 spett 464  
 spíkr 580  
 spjorr 578  
 sporr 447  
 spyja 583  
 standa 590  
 stari 593  
 stíra 587  
 stífla 588  
 strengja 592  
 süga 596  
 svarra 602  
 svart 576  
 sváss 597  
 svefn 573  
 sýr 603  
 tafn 161  
 tal 177  
 tívar 167  
 tök 606  
 tunga 343  
 tve- 71  
 tveir 183  
 tvi- 71
- Týr 167  
 þak 608  
 þekja 604  
 þekja 608  
 þekja 623  
 þel 608  
 þil(i) 608  
 þilja 608  
 þiðr 621  
 þisi 610  
 þjarfr 624  
 þjóð 618  
 þjóðann 618  
 þomb 610  
 þorp 626  
 þrapt 591  
 þrefa 591  
 þrir 629  
 þrjóta 630  
 þrqrtr 635  
 þumalfingr 633  
 þunnr 614  
 þýða 618  
 ull 325  
 Ulme 637  
 usli 645  
 ú- 301  
 úr 644  
 úrigr 644  
 vað 650  
 vaða 650  
 vakka 651  
 val 687  
 valr 686  
 vangsni 690  
 varr 665  
 vatn 641  
 vá 679  
 ván 662  
 vár 663  
 væ 650  
 veð 655  
 veðr 673  
 vega 679  
 vei 650  
 veifa 674  
 vé 650  
 vika 680  
 vín 661  
 vinna 662  
 virgill 644  
 visk 682  
 viska 682  
 vísir 682  
 vqðvi 656  
 vqkr 648  
 vqrr 654  
 ysja 645
- ýta 313  
 ýra 644
- Old Norwegian**  
 bifr- 217  
 rip(e) 524  
 rþ 524
- Norwegian**  
 fœysa 501  
 keiv 260  
 keiva 260  
 krem(m)je 272  
 stíra 587  
 stjøl 591  
 tos 183  
 tossa 183
- Old Swedish**  
 arve 433  
 biæver 217  
 biür 217  
 niüre 404  
 oghn 62
- Danish**  
 bylne 220  
 myre 234  
 spætte 464
- Old High German**  
 ackar 29  
 aha 48  
 ahhar 29  
 ahorn 21  
 ahsa 66  
 ahsala 67  
 alawāri 34  
 albiz 427  
 alt 35  
 ama/i/usla 375  
 amban 639  
 ambon 639  
 amma 38  
 amsla 375  
 an(a) 36  
 ana 45  
 anchal 42  
 ancho 642  
 angust 42  
 ar 646  
 aram 55  
 araweiz 195  
 arbi 433  
 arpeo 433  
 asc 435  
 attah 185  
 attuh 185

- az 24  
 bart 69  
 beide 38  
 beitten 218  
 berjan 236  
 bewelben 151  
 bēde 38  
 bibar 217  
 bibēt 229  
 bileša 220  
 bilisa 220  
 bilša 220  
 bilse 220  
 bini 245  
 birenken 524  
 birihha 240  
 bīa 245  
 blāo 225  
 blāzzen 226  
 blecchen 247  
 bliuwan 226  
 bluojan 227  
 bluowen 227  
 bluozan 225  
 bodam 250  
 borst 203  
 bōzzan 253  
 braccho 238 364  
 brehhan 239  
 bruođer 240  
 brūhhan 245  
 brūhhi 245  
 buoh 199  
 buohha 199  
 buohstap 199  
 burst 203  
 chara 255  
 chniu 259  
 chumft 132  
 chuo 74  
 cuti 73  
 dah 608  
 demar 612  
 den(n)en 613  
 denchen 623  
 derb/p 624  
 derren 625  
 dil 608  
 dilo 608  
 diot(a) 618  
 dihsala 610  
 drāen 616  
 -driozan 630  
 drīsti 630  
 drosela 635  
 dunkōn 620  
 dunni 614  
 durst 625
- ebur 46  
 egida 423  
 ehir 23  
 ei 438  
 eihhumō 685  
 eiscon 27  
 eit 25  
 eiz 26  
 ekorōdo 186  
 el(i)na 638  
 elbiz 427  
 elilenti 34  
 elira 35  
 elmboum 637  
 er 310  
 essa 49  
 ewist 438  
 ezzan 186  
 falo 440  
 fano 443  
 fast 484  
 fater 450  
 faturoo 450  
 fehtan 453  
 feim 583  
 fel 455  
 fereha 506  
 fereheih 506  
 fetiro 450  
 fewen 500  
 fēh 466  
 fihu 454  
 filz 465  
 firmfo 509  
 fīfaltra 444  
 flehtan 472  
 flouwen 474  
 flōh 497  
 folma 441  
 forha 506  
 fouwen 500  
 friunt 493  
 fri 493  
 friten 493  
 fruo 490  
 fiuir 500  
 fuogen 452  
 furuh 481  
 gans 44  
 gart 280 290  
 garto 290  
 gast 291  
 geiz 278  
 gelo 282  
 ger 289  
 gerno 289  
 gersta 288  
 gerta 280
- gesteron 283  
 gikewen 256  
 gikunt 258  
 gimeins 395  
 gimunt 372  
 ginēn 285  
 giwēn 285  
 giwōn 285  
 glat 263  
 gomo 288  
 gras 269  
 grāo 515  
 grint 241  
 grintil 274  
 grunzian 274  
 gruoen 269  
 gruoni 269  
 guolle 584  
 hag 123  
 hal(a)m 150  
 hals 125  
 hamf 86  
 hano 88  
 harawēn 94  
 hasal 139  
 hasan 88  
 haso 88  
 hāla 106  
 heffen 90  
 heia 79  
 heim 153  
 heitar 82  
 hellan 85  
 herbist 95  
 herdo 138  
 herza 135  
 hintana 516  
 hintar 516  
 hirm(i) 109  
 hirso 110  
 hiwo 116  
 hlanka\* 121  
 (h)leitara 121  
 hlinēn 121  
 Hlot- 122  
 (h)niz 334  
 hodo 154  
 hornuz 140  
 houbit 91  
 houwan 149  
 href 138  
 (h)rind 136  
 hros 157  
 hrō 147  
 (h)rukki 147  
 hulis(a) 126  
 hund 108  
 hunt 87
- huolen 85  
 huon 88  
 huot 97  
 huota 97  
 hurd 141  
 hūt 160  
 hwal 584  
 hwār 155  
 hwedar 647  
 hwelira 584  
 ih 187  
 intseffen 539  
 ir 310 646  
 irren 194  
 it(i)wīz 195  
 itarucken 529  
 itmāli 195  
 jehan 308  
 jiht 308  
 joh 314  
 jung 317  
 jūhart 314  
 kala 584  
 klamma 265  
 klioban 266  
 korn 271  
 krimman 272  
 kunnan 414  
 laffan 324  
 lam 326  
 lanca 121  
 lanka\* 121  
 lant 352  
 leffil 324  
 lefs 319  
 leim 342  
 leisa 345  
 lenc\* 120  
 lenk\* 120  
 lentin 352  
 lind 335  
 linda 335  
 lindi 335  
 linsa 334  
 linta 335  
 lintea 335  
 liob 339  
 liod 330  
 liudōn 330  
 liut 338  
 liuti 338  
 liht 336  
 loub 337  
 lōh 350  
 lungar 336  
 magar 357  
 mahhōn 357  
 mana 388

- mari 365  
 mast 361  
 mäen 378  
 mäl 377  
 mäno 373  
 medela 367  
 meisa 375  
 melchan 393  
 meri 365  
 mindel 373  
 mios 397  
 miscen 382  
 mitti 369  
 mos 397  
 mucka 397  
 muckazzen 392  
 mund 373  
 munt 363  
 muoter 367  
 murg(i) 75  
 murmulön 396  
 müs 396  
 naba 639  
 nabalo 639  
 nabulo 639  
 nagal 641  
 napulo 639  
 nasa 400  
 näen 405  
 nätara 402  
 nätra 402  
 nebul 404  
 nest 409  
 nevo 406  
 nezzi 401  
 nift(a) 406  
 nioro 404  
 niun 415  
 nī 408  
 nū 418  
 oba 595  
 ottar 355  
 ovan 62  
 öst(a)ra 64  
 prod 165  
 rad 527  
 radja 520  
 re(c)chan 517  
 reba 520  
 rebo 520  
 redea 520  
 reihhen 523  
 reihhön 523  
 repa 520  
 riga 525  
 rinnan 309 525  
 rīho 522  
 rīm 524  
 rītera 144  
 ruoba 514  
 ruodar 518  
 ruoppa 514  
 ruozen 526  
 saf 538  
 sahhan 534  
 sahs 541  
 sal 572  
 salaha 536  
 salba 598  
 salo 536  
 salz 535  
 sand 574  
 sant 531  
 sārni- 553  
 sæp 538  
 scaban 541  
 sceida 549  
 scelah 544  
 scelifa 542  
 sceran 158  
 scerf 95  
 scirbi 95  
 scit 549  
 scouwon 101  
 scrintan 106  
 scrodön 548  
 scröt 548  
 scrötan 548  
 scrutilön 548  
 scrutön 548  
 scutten 504  
 seid 534  
 selb 584  
 serawēn 556  
 sēr 534  
 sih 549  
 simble 565  
 sid 559  
 sito 559  
 skaft 546  
 skapt 546  
 skerran 95  
 slaf 319  
 slēha 347  
 sliozan 119  
 sloz 119  
 sluzzil 119  
 smero 369  
 snēo 410  
 sparo 447  
 sparro 445 578  
 speh(t) 464  
 spehön 579  
 speihha 580  
 spenula 580  
 sper 578  
 spinela 580  
 spīwan 583  
 spurnan 579  
 star(a) 593  
 stēn/stān 590  
 strīhhan 592  
 suozi 594  
 swarz 576  
 swehur 569  
 sweiz 596  
 swigar 569  
 tāju 210  
 tāt 217  
 thagēn 604  
 thunkön 620  
 tohter 253  
 toum 249  
 trebir 238  
 truosana 238  
 turi 233  
 ubir 601  
 unc 42  
 untar 302  
 untari 302  
 untorn 306  
 uoba 192  
 uoben 432  
 ur 646  
 ūla 638  
 ūtrin 636  
 ūz 646  
 wado 656  
 wafsa 670  
 wagan 658  
 waganso 690  
 wāhhen 657 678  
 wala 687  
 walten 652  
 wankon 651  
 wara 665  
 waspa 670  
 wat 650  
 watan 650  
 wazzar 641  
 wāen 659  
 wār 668  
 webes 670  
 wefsa 670  
 wegan 658  
 wehha 680  
 wehsal 680  
 wehsil 680  
 werna 654  
 werra 654  
 wēwa 650  
 wēwo 650  
 widar 673  
 wini 661  
 winkan 651  
 wint 662  
 wirsiro 666  
 wisc 682  
 wituhopfo 643  
 wituwa 677  
 wīhsela 683  
 wisa 682  
 wohha 680  
 wolla 325  
 wort 664  
 wunna 661  
 wunnia 661  
 wunni 661  
 wunscen 662  
 wuosti 655  
 wuot 656  
 Wuotan 656  
 wurgen 644  
 wurm 665  
 zand 166  
 zeso 168  
 zirzūsön 183  
 zīhan 170  
 Zto 167  
 zunga 343  
 zwi- 71

**Middle High****German**

- alschaf 36  
 blæjen 226  
 bræhen 238  
 büsch 253  
 dehsen 619  
 drostel 635  
 fīfalter 444  
 hatele 98  
 heswe 88  
 hulst 126  
 iuter 636  
 jiuich 314  
 jū 313  
 jüch 313  
 jüchezen 313  
 jūwen 313  
 jūwezen 313  
 lampen 341  
 liehsen 352  
 māt 378  
 meren 364  
 mūhen 392  
 nūn 418  
 ob 595  
 obe 595  
 rebe 520  
 rīhe 525  
 rīhejen 518

- rüzel 526  
 ruozel 526  
 samt 531  
 slampen 341  
 slīm 342  
 spenel 580  
 sperke 447  
 spicher 580  
 stif 588  
 ütēr 636  
 vorhe 506  
 wade 656  
 weigen 680  
 wurz 512  
 züsach 183  
 züse 183  
 zwir 72
- New High German**  
 Acher 21  
 aus 646  
 beißen 221  
 Blume 227  
 Burme 213  
 dämisch 609  
 dämlich 609  
 dehnen 613  
 Distel 305  
 Dorf 626  
 Fahne 443  
 Feder 458  
 Föhre 506  
 grunzen 274  
 Haupt 91  
 Holm 127  
 Hornisse 140  
 kacken 78  
 kitt 73  
 kütt 73  
 lallen 324  
 Mamme 361  
 messen 368  
 mich 368  
 piepen 467  
 scheel 544  
 schier 422  
 Schwager 569  
 serben 556  
 spāhen 579  
 spalten 582  
 Star 593  
 stechen 305  
 Sterke 586  
 winden 672
- Old Saxon**  
 al 34  
 alajung 34
- ald 35  
 alofat 36  
 ambon 639  
 ansebbian 539  
 at 24  
 bever 217  
 bē 38  
 bibar 217  
 bilene 220  
 bothme 250  
 brūkan 245  
 ek 187  
 elilendi 34  
 erbi 433  
 erwit 195  
 fangan 452  
 fersna 461  
 flōkan 470  
 for 481  
 formo 486  
 fōgian 452  
 fur 481  
 galla 209  
 gardo 290  
 gehan 308  
 gerdia 280  
 gēt 278  
 grā 515  
 grē 515  
 grindil 274  
 grōni 269  
 hēm 153  
 hirsī 110  
 hlēo 122  
 holm 127  
 hrissan 145  
 hruggi 147  
 ic 187  
 ik 187  
 karm 255  
 kiosan 276  
 lēmo 342  
 makōn 357  
 mēsa 375  
 milidou 370  
 muggia 397  
 nādra 402  
 nebal 404  
 rethia 520  
 scuddian 504  
 seggian 304  
 sīth 559  
 slac 325  
 sōð 574  
 spēca 580  
 swās 597  
 thagian 604  
 thie 38
- thiod(a) 618  
 thiodan 618  
 thrīsti 630  
 throsla 635  
 un- 301  
 watar 641  
 wathan 656  
 wē 650  
 wēwes 650  
 widohoppa 643  
 wika 680  
 wormo 665  
 wunnia 661  
 wurgill 644
- Middle Low German**  
 barme 213  
 drevelinge 591  
 klei 267  
 lak 325  
 rak 526  
 rugge 512  
 schelver 542  
 schulvern 542  
 stfvele 588  
 stūr 305  
 tōsen 183  
 winne 661  
 winrave 520
- Old Low Franconian**  
 lepor 319
- Middle Dutch**  
 aal 36  
 ad/tmael 195  
 amper 37  
 blāten 226  
 bodem 250  
 bracke 238  
 buuschen 253  
 heien 79  
 hersene 109  
 miere 234  
 pegel 67  
 rochghe 512  
 rogghe 512  
 spēke 580  
 sterre 593  
 sücen 596  
 wrīghe 522
- Modern Dutch**  
 bij 245  
 droesem 238  
 els 35
- grind 241  
 horzel 140  
 juichen 313  
 naakt 418  
 neus 400  
 olm 637  
 rog 512  
 rook 148  
 sap 538  
 slap 319  
 sleeuw 347  
 spijker 580  
 vuurhout 506
- Old Frisian**  
 berd 69  
 bodem 250  
 etmēl 195  
 fiur 500  
 garda 290  
 grē 515  
 haved 91  
 hotha 154  
 hōde 97  
 iāder 636  
 kest 276  
 lepur 319  
 lippa 319  
 naken 418  
 nōsi 400  
 ongost 42  
 ref 138  
 rif 138  
 snore 420  
 sper 578  
 spere 578  
 spiri 578  
 stān 590  
 wada 650  
 wed 655  
 wirm 665
- Old English**  
 alan 35  
 alor 35  
 ampre 37  
 atol 425  
 ācweorna 685  
 ād 25  
 æce 313  
 ælbitu 427  
 æltæw 34  
 æt 24  
 bā 38  
 bau 245  
 bædan 218  
 beard 69  
 bebir 217

- bebr 217  
 belene 220  
 beofor 217  
 beolone 220  
 beorma 213  
 bere 201  
 bēatan 253  
 bēaw 245  
 bēce 199  
 bēo 245  
 bītan 221  
 blāw 225  
 blætan 226  
 blōwan 227  
 bodan 250  
 botem 250  
 bōc 199  
 brýce 245  
 briesan 245  
 broð 165  
 brýsan 245  
 brūcan 245  
 byrst 203  
 calan 256  
 cearm 255  
 cearu 255  
 ciern 255  
 ctegan 256  
 clam(m) 265  
 clæg 267  
 clēofan 266  
 cost 276  
 cracettan 268  
 cuidu 73  
 cumbol 275  
 cweodo 73  
 cwudu 73  
 cyst 276  
 dalc 200  
 delg 200  
 dosen 252  
 dox 252  
 drōsne 238  
 ðencan 623  
 ðeod 618  
 ðeoden 618  
 ðille 608  
 ðinan 621  
 ðrīste 630  
 ðrysce 635  
 ealdor 35  
 eall 34  
 ealu(d) 36  
 ear 644  
 earh 52  
 eatol 425  
 eax 66  
 eaxl 67
- edwīt 195  
 efnen 432  
 egeðe 423  
 elles 34  
 eoh 193  
 ēanian 30  
 ēce 313  
 fana 443  
 fām 583  
 fæst 484  
 fell 455  
 fēa 448  
 fēt 462  
 fiers(i)n 461  
 fisk 468  
 fifealde 444  
 flanc 121  
 folm 441  
 ford 482  
 forma 486  
 fram 490  
 frīdhengest 493  
 frost 494  
 furh 481 506  
 geard 290  
 gecynd 258  
 gedēfe 197  
 gefetan 463  
 (ge)līmpān 341  
 gepiedan 618  
 gewæd 650  
 gewītan 676  
 giestron 283  
 giowian 285  
 giwan 285  
 giwian 285  
 gōs 44  
 grindan 241  
 grindel 274  
 grōwan 269  
 grun(n)ian 274  
 grunnettān 274  
 gycer 314  
 hafola 91  
 haga 123  
 hara 88  
 hasu 88  
 hām 153  
 hān 139  
 hærfest 95  
 hætt 97  
 heaðor 98  
 helan 424  
 heofon-kund 401  
 heorda 138  
 hete 78  
 heafod 91  
 hierwan 94
- hindema 516  
 hīwan 116  
 bȳd 160  
 hȳf 155  
 hlanc 121  
 hlæd(d)er 121  
 hlénce 121  
 hlēotan 119  
 hlinian 121  
 hlōwan 85  
 hnītan 408  
 hritu 334  
 hnutu 420  
 holm 127  
 hors 157  
 hōd 97  
 hōn 153  
 hrēac 148  
 hrēaw 147  
 hrif 138  
 hring 142  
 hrīdder 144  
 hrīðer 136  
 hrīs 145  
 hrung 142  
 hrycg 147  
 hryðer 136  
 hund 87  
 hwæl 584  
 hwær 155  
 hwealf 151  
 hwīt 73  
 hwōsan 507  
 hyl 124  
 ieder 636  
 ield 35  
 ielfetu 427  
 in 300  
 irfa 433  
 lagu 322  
 land 352  
 lapian 324  
 lām 342  
 leaf 337  
 lendenu 352  
 lē 353  
 lēaþor 331  
 lēod 338  
 lēoþ 330  
 lēoþian 330  
 limp 341  
 lind(e) 335  
 lybb 337  
 manu 388  
 mase 375  
 māwan 378  
 mæd 378  
 mæst 361
- melcan 393  
 meledāw 370  
 mene 388  
 metan 368  
 mēos 397  
 micel 359  
 milisc 370  
 miscian 382  
 mīgan 369  
 mūd 373  
 mycg 397  
 mýre 234  
 myrge 75  
 nabula 639  
 nacod 418  
 nafela 639  
 nafu 639  
 nama 412  
 nasu 400  
 nægel 641  
 nefa 406  
 nōse 400  
 ofen 62  
 on 36  
 or- 646  
 ol 35  
 osle 375  
 pægel 67  
 ræc(e)an 523  
 reohhe 513  
 rēotan 528  
 rīd 309  
 rīð 525  
 rocettan 529  
 rōðor 518  
 rōwan 518  
 rund 136  
 sālne(s) 564  
 sām- 553  
 sceolh 544  
 sceorfan 95  
 scēað 549  
 scielf 542  
 scielfe 542  
 scīd 549  
 screpan 547  
 scrēadian 548  
 sealh 536  
 secg 569  
 self 584  
 sīd 559  
 sīð 559  
 simbel 565  
 skrūd 548  
 slāh 347  
 slæc 325  
 sliefe 349  
 slūpan 349

- smeoro 369  
 smeortan 389  
 snoru 420  
 socian 598  
 söl 570  
 spāca 580  
 spearwa 447  
 spere 578  
 spōwan 493 580  
 standan 590  
 stær 593  
 stærling 593  
 steam 593  
 stela 591  
 stern 593  
 stierc 586  
 stif 588  
 strengan 592  
 strīcan 592  
 sulh 598  
 sunne 570  
 sū 603  
 sūcan 596 598  
 sügan 596  
 swāt 596  
 sweart 576  
 swerian 602  
 swēte 594  
 swōt 594  
 Tiw 167  
 torht 615  
 tū 183  
 twi- 71  
 þā 38  
 þeorf 624  
 þīxl 610  
 þræft 591  
 þrostle 635  
 þunor 623  
 ufe- 595  
 ufemest 600  
 ufera 601  
 ūder 636  
 ūrig 644  
 ūt 646  
 waru 665  
 wā 650  
 wāg 680  
 wān 662  
 wæd 650  
 wæfs 670  
 wæps 670  
 wær 665  
 wæsp 670  
 wealwian 690  
 wear 654  
 wearr 666  
 wedd 655
- wehsäl 680  
 weieras 654  
 weoskian 682  
 werian 672  
 wēg 680  
 wēste 655  
 wice 660  
 wicga 680  
 wicu 680  
 wincian 651  
 windan 672  
 wiscian 682  
 wīse 682  
 wōcig 660  
 wōd 656  
 Wōden 656  
 wōsti 655  
 wōþ 656  
 wrencan 524 665  
 wrōt 526  
 wrōtan 526  
 wucu 680  
 wynn 661  
 wyrm 665  
 wyrt 512  
 ysle 645
- Middle English**  
 geu 280  
 mire 234  
 pegge 67  
 reyhhe 513  
 rezge 513  
 tōtūsen 183  
 wrāh 522
- Modern English**  
 dusk 252  
 elm 637  
 else 34  
 gray 515  
 grunt 274  
 hat 97  
 homet 140  
 seek 534  
 thrush 635  
 to 274  
 whether 647  
 yowl 313
- TOCHARIAN**
- Tocharian AB**  
 a(n)- 301  
 am- 301  
 āk- 31  
 āks- 31
- āp 19  
 e(n)- 301  
 em- 301  
 in- 300  
 kāt- 255  
 ku 87  
 luk- 356  
 nāk- 408  
 űu 415  
 on- 301  
 pāk- 134  
 pāl- 247  
 plāk- 469  
 putk- 452  
 tsāk- 237  
 tsāk- 237  
 tsik- 221  
 y- 300  
 yā- 294  
 yāk- 186  
 yerpe 433  
 yn- 300  
 yok- 184
- Tocharian A**  
 antapi 38  
 asatār 53  
 āk 23  
 āknats 414  
 ālak 34  
 āripi 38  
 āntpi 38  
 āriñc 519  
 ārki 53  
 e- 28  
 es 640  
 kanwem 258 259  
 karn- 94  
 kāc 160  
 kālñiñc 122  
 kānt 108  
 kārñ- 94  
 ken- 257  
 ki 74  
 kñasāst 297  
 ko 74  
 ko- 149  
 kom 87  
 kot- 149  
 krant 96  
 krāmārts 272  
 kri 135  
 letaš 346  
 lit 346  
 lyām 341  
 lyīktsi 345  
 lyu 353  
 mañ 373
- mācar 367  
 māk 359  
 mālkan 393  
 mew- 391  
 msār 383  
 nās 413  
 nātsw- 186  
 nāš 187  
 űom 412  
 űu 416  
 okāt 425  
 pats 485  
 pās- 449  
 pātar 229  
 pāñ 509  
 pārkār 236  
 pārtār 214  
 por 500  
 prak- 483  
 präcar 240  
 puwār 500  
 pwār 500  
 salat 536  
 salu 537  
 slākkār 325  
 swār 594  
 šalype 598  
 šālyp 598  
 špām 573  
 šurm 557  
 šanwem 258  
 šarme 285  
 šmās 661  
 štwar 505  
 tārmiñc 628  
 tkam 292  
 trāmāš 628  
 tre 629  
 tri 629  
 trisk- 591  
 tseke 221  
 tsnāntār 231  
 tsno 231  
 tu 631  
 twāsīš 597  
 wak 692  
 want 662  
 wañi 662  
 war 665  
 warpi 643  
 wällāštār 659  
 wār 641  
 wār- 665  
 wās 63 682  
 wāsri 669  
 wāt 184  
 we 183  
 wiki 678

- wir 681  
 wras- 665  
 wu 183  
 yasa 63  
 yiñc 192  
 ysär 537  
 ytär 311  
 yuk 193  
 yutk- 312
- Tocharian B**  
 ai- 28  
 aise 309  
 aknätsa 414  
 alek 34  
 allek 34  
 alyek 34  
 alyiye 638  
 anäššäm 43  
 arañice 519  
 awi 438  
 äke 23  
 äntse 640  
 ärkwi 53  
 campām 610  
 cämpäš 610  
 ceken- 606  
 cešām 606  
 ikärñ 678  
 kakse 140  
 kalneñ 122  
 kante 108  
 kantwo 343  
 kau- 149  
 kaut- 149  
 käryañ 135  
 kälypi 120  
 keñ 292  
 keni\* 259  
 kentse 115  
 keu 74  
 krämär 272  
 krent 96  
 kweñ 87  
 laikanaträ 345  
 laikäte 345  
 laitām 346  
 laiwo 323  
 lañk,tse 336  
 länte 652  
 likšan- 345  
 lita 346  
 lyama 341  
 lyasām 332  
 lyuwa 353  
 maiwe 381  
 mašcitse\* 396  
 mäcer 367
- mäka 359  
 mäts- 186  
 meñe 373  
 miw- 391  
 näsk- 411  
 ñem 412  
 ñuwe 416  
 osotär 53  
 parām 214  
 pikiñc 466  
 piñkem 466  
 piš 509  
 prek- 483  
 procer 240  
 pyakar 452  
 rätre 527  
 ru- 531  
 saikañ 595  
 salamo 536  
 saläte 536  
 sä 568  
 se 568  
 siknañ 595  
 skiyo 542  
 slakkare 325  
 smiyām 129 382  
 syelme 596  
 šarm 557  
 šñaura 407  
 špane 573  
 šcirye 585  
 šerwe 215  
 šman- 661  
 štwer 505  
 tallañ 622  
 tarya 629  
 tärk- 624  
 tkäcer 253  
 trai 629  
 trey 629  
 tsäkañ 219  
 tsnamo\* 231  
 twasastär 597  
 twe 631  
 twere 233  
 waipalau 674  
 waipce 674  
 waikwe 353  
 walo 652  
 war 641  
 warme\* 234  
 warmi 234  
 wase 682  
 wate 184  
 wärp- 643  
 wäl- 690  
 wänträ 672  
 wärs- 665
- wärsk- 665  
 wäs- 672  
 wek 692  
 were 665  
 weru 654  
 werwiye 643  
 wina 662  
 wipä(ššäm) 674  
 yakwe 193  
 yañ 192  
 yasar 537  
 yente 662  
 yesti 186  
 yoñiya 294  
 yoro\* 654  
 ytärye 311
- PROTO-INDO-EUROPEAN**
- \*bel-o- 163  
 \*bl-bl- 68  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>e-b<sup>h</sup>h<sub>2</sub>(o)u- 246  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>e-b<sup>h</sup>r-o- 217  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>e-b<sup>h</sup>r-ü- 217  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>2</sub>-/\*b<sup>h</sup>h<sub>2</sub>- 231  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>2</sub>g-o- 199  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>2</sub>-mo/h<sub>2</sub>- 231  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>2</sub>-os 203  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>(e)h<sub>2</sub>u- 246  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>eid<sup>h</sup>-e/o- 218  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>eid<sup>h</sup>-o- 218  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>eI-e/Ik- 220  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>er(H)-mn 213  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>er-e/o- 214  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>erġ-to- 212  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>erH- 213  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>eru-e/o- 215  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>eug- 246  
 \*-b<sup>h</sup>h<sub>2</sub>-t- 204  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>h<sub>2</sub>-to- 204  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>h<sub>2</sub>-tu- 205  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>h<sub>2</sub>u-eh<sub>1</sub>- 206  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>h<sub>2</sub>u-ie/o- 222  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>h<sub>2</sub>u-n- 205  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>h<sub>2</sub>u-tó- 246  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>i-b<sup>h</sup>r-o- 217  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>id<sup>h</sup>-eh<sub>1</sub>- 218  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>i-n-d- 221  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>i-n-H- 460  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>(e)h<sub>2</sub>g-e/o- 224  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>leh<sub>1</sub>-/\*b<sup>h</sup>lh<sub>1</sub>- 226  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>leh<sub>1</sub>-ie/o- 226  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>leh<sub>2</sub>(d)-mn 225  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>leh<sub>3</sub>-ös 227  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>leh<sub>3</sub>-ro- 227  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>leh<sub>3</sub>-uo- 225  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>leig-e/o- 226
- \*b<sup>h</sup>leuH-(e/o-) 228  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>lg-e/o- 247  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>lg-mh<sub>2</sub>- 224  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>lg-ro- 224  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>lg-s-mn 225  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>lHg-ro- 224  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>liH-ġ-e/o- 226  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>loh<sub>1/3</sub>-ro- 227  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>od<sup>h</sup>-i- 229  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>oH-i- 229  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>oi-ko- 245  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>org-to- 236  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>orH-h<sub>2</sub>- 235  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>orH-ie/o- 235  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>ori-d- 232  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>ou-ko- 245  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>ör 251  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>r- 490  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>rd<sup>h</sup>-o- 232  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>rēh<sub>2</sub>-tr- 239  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>rem-e/o- 241  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>rġ-to- 239  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>rh<sub>1</sub>g-ro- 238  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>rHg-s-e/ino- 240  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>riH-o- 243  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>riH-uo- 243  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>risti- 216  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>r-n-ġ- 239  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>rs-ti- 203  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>rs-tu- 204  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>r-ti- 236  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>ruHg-ie/o- 245  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>rus-to- 245  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>ru-to- 165  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>ud<sup>h</sup>-men- 250  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>ud<sup>h</sup>-n-ó- 250  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>ug-i- 246  
 \*b<sup>h</sup>u-n-g- 250  
 \*de 162  
 \*de-dh<sub>3</sub>- 174  
 \*de-dh<sub>3</sub>-ih<sub>1</sub>- 174  
 \*de-dok- 172  
 \*deh<sub>3</sub> 103  
 \*deh<sub>3</sub>-m 174  
 \*deh<sub>3</sub>-no- 179  
 \*-deh<sub>3</sub>-t- 532  
 \*dē/ōh<sub>3</sub>-t- 175  
 \*deik-e/o- 169  
 \*deik-s- 169  
 \*dei-u-o- 167  
 \*dek-eh<sub>1</sub>- 164  
 \*dek-es- 164  
 \*dekm 163  
 \*dekin-to- 163  
 \*dek-no- 164  
 \*deks-(i)uo- 168  
 \*deks-tero- 168  
 \*d(e)lh<sub>1</sub>-ie/o- 177



\*dem- 178  
 \*d(ē)ns-o- 167  
 \*derk- 615  
 \*d(e)uh<sub>3</sub>- 182  
 \*deuk-e/o- 181  
 \*dh<sub>3</sub>p-no- 161  
 \*dh<sub>3</sub>p-s 161  
 \*dh<sub>3</sub>-me 175  
 \*dh<sub>3</sub>-te 103  
 \*dh<sub>3</sub>-to- 175  
 \*dh<sub>3</sub>-u- 182  
 \*di-d(e)h<sub>3</sub>- 174  
 \*di-dk-sk-e/o- 172  
 \*di-eu- 173  
 \*dieu 315  
 \*diēm 315  
 \*diēus 315  
 \*-dik-s 169  
 \*di-n- 171  
 \*.dino- 171  
 \*diu-b<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>2</sub>-es- 312  
 \*diuei 315  
 \*diuos 315  
 \*dī(o)n-i-d- 334  
 \*dīmtom 108  
 \*dīg<sup>h</sup>-eh<sub>1</sub>- 302  
 \*dlh<sub>3</sub>g<sup>h</sup>-o- 348  
 \*dlong<sup>h</sup>-o- 348  
 \*dng<sup>h</sup>-uh<sub>2</sub> 343  
 \*doh<sub>3</sub>-no- 179  
 \*dok-eje/o- 176  
 \*doh<sub>1</sub>-eie- 176  
 \*doh<sub>1</sub>-ie/o- 177  
 \*doh<sub>1</sub>-o- 177  
 \*dólng<sup>h</sup>- 348  
 \*dom-o- 178  
 \*do<sup>o</sup>emb<sub>2</sub>-o/i- 517  
 \*douH-ó- 162  
 \*dōm 178  
 \*drk-h<sub>2</sub>(e)kru- 322  
 \*drn-je- 180  
 \*du(e)h<sub>2</sub>-ro- 184  
 \*dueh<sub>2</sub>m 181  
 \*duei-ro- 171  
 \*dueis-o- 171  
 \*duh<sub>2</sub>- 181  
 \*duh<sub>2</sub>-eno- 73  
 \*duh<sub>2</sub>os 181  
 \*dui- 71 171  
 \*dui-b<sup>h</sup>h<sub>2</sub>u-o- 180  
 \*dui-dkmt-ih<sub>1</sub> 678  
 \*(d)ui-d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>- 174  
 \*dui-plk- 473  
 \*dūis 72  
 \*(d)ui-tio- 684  
 \*.duk- 181  
 \*du-o-h<sub>1</sub> 183  
 \*du-o-ih<sub>1</sub> 183

\*dus 171  
 \*d<sup>h</sup>eg<sup>wh</sup>-ri- 208  
 \*d<sup>h</sup>eg<sup>wh</sup>-ro- 208  
 \*d<sup>h</sup>(ē)h<sub>1</sub>- 175  
 \*d<sup>h</sup>(e)h<sub>1</sub>i-l- 219  
 \*d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-k- 208  
 \*d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-l(u)-i- 209  
 \*d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-l-o- 210  
 \*d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-mh<sub>1</sub>n-h<sub>2</sub>- 210  
 \*d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-nos- 211  
 \*d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-no- 211  
 \*d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-s-io- 212  
 \*d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-s-o- 211  
 \*d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-s-to- 212  
 \*d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-ti- 216  
 \*d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>-to- 217  
 \*d<sup>h</sup>(e)ig<sup>h</sup>- 221  
 \*d<sup>h</sup>eiġ<sup>h</sup>-(o-) 221  
 \*d<sup>h</sup>eiġ<sup>wh</sup>-e/o- 219  
 \*d<sup>h</sup>e/olH-uo- 248  
 \*d<sup>h</sup>en-ur 210  
 \*d<sup>h</sup>er-mo- 223  
 \*d<sup>h</sup>er-o- 212  
 \*d<sup>h</sup>ġ(e)m-ōn 287  
 \*d<sup>h</sup>ġ<sup>h</sup>-ōm 292  
 \*d<sup>h</sup>ġ<sup>wh</sup>-i- 568  
 \*d<sup>h</sup>ġ<sup>wh</sup>-i-tu- 568  
 \*d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-ie/o- 61  
 \*d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-m-el-o- 201  
 \*-d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-o- 202  
 \*d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>s-no- 201  
 \*d<sup>h</sup>H<sup>h</sup>-ro- 197  
 \*d<sup>h</sup>i-d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>- 175  
 \*d<sup>h</sup>iHg<sup>wh</sup>-e/o- 219  
 \*d<sup>h</sup>i-n-ġ<sup>h</sup>- 221  
 \*d<sup>h</sup>og<sup>wh</sup>-eie- 237  
 \*d<sup>h</sup>olH-to- 230  
 \*d<sup>h</sup>onh<sub>2</sub>-ti- 230  
 \*d<sup>h</sup>(o)rg<sup>h</sup>-to- 236  
 \*d<sup>h</sup>ouH-V- 206  
 \*d<sup>h</sup>r-eh<sub>1</sub>-no- 241  
 \*d<sup>h</sup>r-eh<sub>1</sub>-to- 242  
 \*d<sup>h</sup>ues-ro- 208  
 \*d<sup>h</sup>ugh<sub>2</sub>-tēr 253  
 \*d<sup>h</sup>uh<sub>2</sub>-ie- 597  
 \*d<sup>h</sup>uh<sub>2</sub>-li- 248  
 \*d<sup>h</sup>uh<sub>2</sub>-mó- 249  
 \*d<sup>h</sup>uoh<sub>2</sub>-i- 229  
 \*d<sup>h</sup>uor-o- 237  
 \*d<sup>h</sup>uōr- 233  
 \*d<sup>h</sup>ur- 233  
 \*d<sup>h</sup>us-ko- 252  
 \*d<sup>h</sup>us-uo- 252  
 \*Gen(H)- 257  
 \*geib<sup>h</sup>- 260  
 \*gérh<sub>2</sub>-ōu-s 274  
 \*gtem-o/es- 265

\*gle/oub<sup>h</sup>(s)mh<sub>2</sub>-  
 266  
 \*gleub<sup>h</sup>-e/o- 266  
 \*glg-t- 320  
 \*gloh<sub>1</sub>i-t- 266  
 \*gouh<sub>2</sub>-i- 256  
 \*ġeb-m- 257  
 \*ġe-ġnóh<sub>2</sub>/ġnh<sub>3</sub>- 413  
 \*ġ(e)h<sub>2</sub>-ie/o- 255  
 \*ġeh<sub>2</sub>u-ed<sup>h</sup>- 255  
 \*ġeh<sub>2</sub>u-i-d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-o- 255  
 \*ġel-u- 256  
 \*ġ(e)m-ro- 258  
 \*ġenh<sub>1</sub>-; 260  
 \*ġenh<sub>1</sub>-mn- 260  
 \*ġenh<sub>1</sub>-o/es- 260  
 \*ġenh<sub>1</sub>-ti- 258  
 \*ġenh<sub>1</sub>-tor- 260  
 \*ġen-u- 257 259  
 \*ġ(e)r<sub>h</sub>2-n- 274  
 \*ġ(e)us- 276  
 \*ġi-ġnh<sub>1</sub>-e/o- 260  
 \*ġlh<sub>2</sub>-i- 264  
 \*ġloh<sub>2</sub>-u- 266  
 \*ġnéh<sub>3</sub>/ġnh<sub>3</sub>- 413  
 \*ġn(e)h<sub>3</sub>-uo- 268  
 \*ġne/oh<sub>3</sub>-ri- 265  
 \*ġneh<sub>3</sub>-s- 265 297  
 \*-ġnh<sub>1</sub>-o- 260  
 \*ġnh<sub>1</sub>-sk-e/o- 401  
 \*ġnh<sub>1</sub>-ti- 401 402  
 \*ġnh<sub>1</sub>-to- 401  
 \*ġnh<sub>1</sub>-ro- 267  
 \*ġnh<sub>3</sub>-ské- 413  
 \*ġnh<sub>3</sub>-to- 414  
 \*-ġn-o- 260  
 \*ġr<sub>h</sub>2-eu- 274  
 \*ġr<sub>h</sub>2-no- 271  
 \*ġr<sub>h</sub>2-u-os 274  
 \*ġusto- 276  
 \*ġus-tu- 276  
 \*ġ<sup>h</sup>(e)nd- 487  
 \*ġ<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>b<sup>(h)</sup>-eh<sub>1</sub>- 277  
 \*ġ<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>b<sup>(h)</sup>-(e)-i- 277  
 \*ġ<sup>h</sup>lh<sub>2</sub>d<sup>h</sup>-ro- 263  
 \*ġ<sup>h</sup>-n(e)-d- 487  
 \*ġ<sup>h</sup>od-h<sub>2</sub>- 487  
 \*ġ<sup>h</sup>or-d<sup>h</sup>-o- 290  
 \*ġ<sup>h</sup>(o)r-to- 290  
 \*ġ<sup>h</sup>osti- 291  
 \*ġ<sup>h</sup>rd<sup>(h)</sup>-(e)-i- 269  
 \*ġ<sup>h</sup>r(e)uh<sub>1</sub>-e/o- 274  
 \*ġ<sup>h</sup>rond<sup>h</sup>-h<sub>2</sub>- 274  
 \*ġ<sup>h</sup>-di-es 283  
 \*ġ<sup>h</sup>di-es-tro- 283  
 \*ġ<sup>h</sup>e/ou-ti- 249  
 \*(ġ<sup>h</sup>e-)\*ġ<sup>h</sup>(o)u- 249  
 \*ġ<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>ro- 282

\*ġ<sup>h</sup>eim-en 284  
 \*ġ<sup>h</sup>éiōm 284  
 \*ġ<sup>h</sup>elh<sub>3</sub>-i-uo- 282  
 \*ġ<sup>h</sup>elh<sub>3</sub>-os 287  
 \*ġ<sup>h</sup>er-i- 289  
 \*ġ<sup>h</sup>ēr- 193  
 \*ġ<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>i-eh<sub>2</sub>-; 285  
 \*ġ<sup>h</sup>b<sub>2</sub>ens 44  
 \*ġ<sup>h</sup>ih<sub>1</sub>-ské- 285  
 \*ġ<sup>h</sup>osto- 292  
 \*ġ<sup>h</sup>r-eh<sub>1</sub>- 289  
 \*ġ<sup>h</sup>reh<sub>3</sub>-d-ōn 270  
 \*ġ<sup>h</sup>rh<sub>1</sub>-(s)-mn- 269  
 \*ġ<sup>h</sup>r<sub>h</sub>3-d-n- 270  
 \*ġ<sup>h</sup>rH-u- 280  
 \*ġ<sup>h</sup>rs-eh<sub>1</sub>- 290  
 \*ġ<sup>h</sup>r-ti- 123  
 \*ġ<sup>h</sup>ueh<sub>1</sub>(ro-) 215  
 \*ġ<sup>h</sup>u-n-d- 249  
 \*ġ<sup>wh</sup>eh<sub>3</sub>-u-m 74  
 \*ġ<sup>wh</sup>eh<sub>3</sub>-u-s 74  
 \*ġ<sup>wh</sup>elh<sub>1</sub>-ie/o- 688  
 \*ġ<sup>wh</sup>em-tu- 661  
 \*ġ<sup>wh</sup>er(H)-u- 668  
 \*ġ<sup>wh</sup>et-u- 72  
 \*ġ<sup>wh</sup>lh<sub>3</sub>-ue/o- 685  
 \*ġ<sup>wh</sup>ih<sub>3</sub>-uó- 685  
 \*ġ<sup>wh</sup>lh<sub>2</sub>-n-d<sup>(h)</sup>-(i)- 263  
 \*ġ<sup>wh</sup>m-ie/o- 661  
 \*ġ<sup>wh</sup>m-ti- 132 661  
 \*ġ<sup>wh</sup>m-to- 661  
 \*ġ<sup>wh</sup>o/erh<sub>3</sub>-o/h<sub>2</sub>- 690  
 \*ġ<sup>wh</sup>rd-o- 270  
 \*ġ<sup>wh</sup>reg- 273  
 \*ġ<sup>wh</sup>reh<sub>2</sub>-u- 272  
 \*ġ<sup>wh</sup>r<sub>h</sub>2-u-to- 76  
 \*ġ<sup>wh</sup>[fh<sub>3</sub>]\*ġ<sup>wh</sup>[r<sub>h</sub>3]-et-  
 276  
 \*ġ<sup>wh</sup>rH-to- 271  
 \*ġ<sup>wh</sup>en-d<sup>h</sup>- 210  
 \*ġ<sup>wh</sup>iH-(s)-lo- 220  
 \*ġ<sup>wh</sup>nd<sup>h</sup>i 210  
 \*ġ<sup>wh</sup>oHi-ni- 250  
 \*ġ<sup>wh</sup>oiH-ni- 250  
 \*ġ<sup>wh</sup>o/er-mo- 235  
 \*ġ<sup>wh</sup>rend<sup>(h)</sup>-e/o- 241  
 \*ġ<sup>wh</sup>r-no- 235  
 \*h<sub>1/2</sub>eg-e/o- 31  
 \*h<sub>1/2</sub>e/op-ni- 428  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>d-énti 186  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>éd-mi 186  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>ed<sup>h</sup>-l(o)- 185  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>eg 187  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>eg-es- 186  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>eg-(o)H 187  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>eg-H-om 187  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>eg<sup>h</sup> 196  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>ēg<sup>wh</sup>-ro- 184

- \*h<sub>1</sub>e-h<sub>1</sub>(o)p- 47  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>e-h<sub>1</sub>d- 186  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>e-h<sub>1</sub>m- 188  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>eh<sub>2</sub>no- 45  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>ei-/\*h<sub>1</sub>i- 191  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>eis-h<sub>2</sub>- 309  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>éi-tr 311  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>ek-u- 193  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>elk-os 637  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>em-e/o- 188  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>e/om-o- 479  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>(e)n 300  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>(e)n-do 189  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>en-d<sup>h</sup><sub>1</sub>u- 299  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>e-no- 190  
 \*(h<sub>1</sub>e)ns-i- 190  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>(e)nter 306  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>(e)ntero 306  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>(e)ntm<sup>h</sup>o- 306  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>entos 300  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>ep-lo- 192  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>ep-r- 46  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>ers-o/h<sub>2</sub>- 194  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>es-/\*h<sub>1</sub>s- 599  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>esh<sub>2</sub>-ó- 194  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>esh<sub>2</sub>-r 58 537  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>e-sm<sup>h</sup>-/\*si-/\*i- 310  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>és-nt-s 574  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>e-tero- 112  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>eti 195  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>euHd<sup>h</sup>-n-s 636  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>eup-(o-) 601  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>eus-e/o- 645  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>ēn 189  
 \*(h<sub>1</sub>)i- 310  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>i-b<sup>h</sup>ei 295  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>i-d<sup>h</sup>ei 295  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>i-h<sub>1</sub>euH- 318  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>i-h<sub>2</sub>-m 293  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>i-t- 129  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>i-tén 311  
 \*(h<sub>1</sub>)i-tero- 312  
 \*(h<sub>1</sub>)i-ye 112  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>leg<sup>h</sup>-u- 336  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>leg<sup>wh</sup>-u- 336  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>leud<sup>h</sup>-ero- 338  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>mé 368  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>med 368  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>meg<sup>h</sup>io 368  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>mo- 368  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>moi 368  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>neun 415  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>neun-o- 415  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>ng<sup>wh</sup>-ni- 297  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>og<sup>wh</sup>-r-i- 568  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>oh<sub>1</sub>k-ios- 424  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>oh<sub>1</sub>k-u- 424  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>oiH-uh<sub>2</sub>- 648  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>op-eie- 431  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>opi 421  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>ouHd<sup>h</sup>-r 636  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>p-i- 47  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>pr-o- 46  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>reh<sub>1</sub>-(s)mo- 518  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>reh<sub>1</sub>p- 520  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>reip-h<sub>2</sub>- 524  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>rep-nt- 520  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>re/oud<sup>h</sup>-o- 525  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>reud<sup>h</sup>-os 525  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>reug<sup>h</sup>-e/o- 529  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>rēp- 520  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>rhi-ti- 514  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>r-i-(e)-t- 54  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>rik<sup>h</sup>-s- 525  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>rk-i- 193  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>ros- 527  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>roud<sup>h</sup>-o- 528  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>roug-h<sub>2</sub>- 528  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>rōs 527  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>rp-i- 514  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>rud<sup>h</sup>-rō- 527  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>s-ént-m 574  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>sh<sub>2</sub>-én-s 58 537  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>s-nt-ós 574  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>s-ont-s 574  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>ueh<sub>2</sub>-no- 653  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>ueh<sub>2</sub>-ro- 655  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>ueh<sub>2</sub>-sno- 653  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>u(e)h<sub>2</sub>-sto- 655  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>uh<sub>2</sub>-ko- 649  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>uh<sub>2</sub>-sno- 653  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>ui-d<sup>h</sup><sub>1</sub>eu-o- 677  
 \*(h<sub>1</sub>)uob<sup>h</sup>-s-h<sub>2</sub>- 670  
 \*h<sub>1</sub>uog<sup>wh</sup>-eie- 691  
 \*(h<sub>1</sub>)uper(i) 601  
 \*(h<sub>1</sub>)up-m(H)o- 600  
 \*(h<sub>1</sub>)upo 595  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>d-to- 58  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>eb<sup>h</sup>-n- 39  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>ed 24  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>ed-ōs 25  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>eg<sup>h</sup>-e/o- 31  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>eg<sup>h</sup>-ro- 29  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>eg<sup>wh</sup>-no- 30  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>eh<sub>1</sub>s-eh<sub>1</sub>- 53  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>eh<sub>1</sub>s-h<sub>2</sub>- 49  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>eh<sub>1</sub>-t(-)r- 60  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>é-h<sub>2</sub>us-o- 63  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>ei- 28  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>eid-m(n)- 26  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>(e)id<sup>h</sup>- 25  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>eid<sup>h</sup>-teh<sub>2</sub>t- 28  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>eid<sup>h</sup>-tu- 28  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>ei-es- 27  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>eies-no- 27  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>eig-ro- 26  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>ei-mo- 26  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>ei-os 27  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>eis- 28  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>eis-os- 27  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>ek<sup>h</sup>-eh<sub>1</sub>- 21  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>ek<sup>h</sup>-os 23  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>ek<sup>h</sup>-ro- 22  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>eks-(i)- 66  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>ek<sup>h</sup>-u- 23  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>elb<sup>h</sup>-o- 32  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>el-e/o- 35  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>(e)lg<sup>h</sup>/g<sup>h</sup>- 33  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>elh<sub>2</sub>- 32 38  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>el-io- 34  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>el-no- 34  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>el-tero- 34  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>el-to- 35  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>em(m)-h<sub>2</sub> 38  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>emg<sup>h</sup>-e/o- 42  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>emg<sup>h</sup>-os 42  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>emg<sup>h</sup>-os-to- 42  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>emg<sup>h</sup>-u- 42  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>en 41  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>en-(e)h<sub>2</sub> 36  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>eng-(e)lo- 42  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>(e)ng<sup>wh</sup>-i- 42  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>enhi-mo- 43  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>enhi-slo- 43 279  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>enhi-ti- 41  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>enH-o- 45  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>enHt-h<sub>2</sub>- 44  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>(e)nk<sup>h</sup>-o- 41  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>ens-h<sub>2</sub>- 44  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>ent- 45  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>(e)nt-b<sup>h</sup>oH 37  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>ent-i 45  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>ep- 19  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>er<sup>h</sup>-u- 53  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>erh<sub>2</sub>-ie/o- 55  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>erh<sub>2</sub>-tro- 55  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>erh<sub>2</sub>-uo- 56  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>(e)rH-mo- 55  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>er<sup>h</sup>k<sup>h</sup>-(e/o)- 445  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>(e)r<sup>h</sup>k<sup>h</sup>-s 57  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>(e)r-mo- 54  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>er-mn-to- 54  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>esp-i- 58  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>et(i) 59  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>et-nio- 44  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>et-no- 44  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>et-o- 60  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>eu 64  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>eu(-)s- 63  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>eu-eh<sub>1</sub>- 65  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>eu<sup>h</sup>- 66  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>eu-i- 65  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>eu-is 61  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>eulo- 36  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>eus-ie/o- 281  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>éus-ōs 63  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>eus-tero- 64  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>ēp-s 19  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>g<sup>h</sup>-es- 259  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>gr-em- 272  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>gr-ōm-o- 273  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>h<sub>1</sub>-tr- 60  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>h<sub>3</sub>m-ro- 37  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>ieu-os 316  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>i- 316  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>i-h<sub>2</sub>ik<sup>h</sup>- 295  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>ik<sup>h</sup>-to- 295  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>i-m-h<sub>2</sub>- 298  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>is-ske/o- 27  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>iu-g<sup>wh</sup>h<sub>3</sub>- 313  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>iu-b<sub>1</sub>en- 317  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>iu-h<sub>1</sub>n-iōs 317  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>iu-h<sub>1</sub>n-ko- 317  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>le/oib<sup>h</sup>-o- 339  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>lh<sub>2</sub>- 38  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>lib<sup>h</sup>-u- 339  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>li-n-H- 344  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>li-to- 344  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>l-to- 24 35  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>mb<sup>h</sup>i-dreu-o- 40  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>mb<sup>h</sup>i-k<sup>h</sup>olhos 41  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>me-h<sub>2</sub>mord- 389  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>mei-o- 374  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>mi-g<sup>wh</sup>-ro- 379  
 \*(h<sub>2</sub>)mi(H)-to- 383  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>mlg<sup>h</sup>-eie- 393  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>moi-ni- 395  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>moi-no- 395  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>moi-to- 399  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>mord-(e)ie- 389  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>mrd-to- 389  
 \*(h<sub>2</sub>)muk-ro- 391  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>ne-h<sub>2</sub>no(n)k<sup>h</sup>- 399  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>nep-ōt- 405  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>nep-t-ih<sub>1/2</sub>- 405  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>nep-t-iHo- 406  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>ner- 406  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>nēr 406  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>nk<sup>h</sup>-to- 399  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>n-n-k- 399  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>nr- 406  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>ó/éi-u- 29  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>oi-u 316  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>ok-et- 423  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>ok-ri- 22 424  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>ol-eie- 24  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>ol-no- 426  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>ol-tero- 638  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>ol-tmHo- 638  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>om-es- 640  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>ong-elo- 641  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>onk-o- 640

- \*h<sub>2</sub>or-d- 434  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>(o)r<sup>k</sup>-eje/o- 51  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>ouiom 438  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>p- 19  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>p-o 476  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>r-(e)-i- 524  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>r-ēn 519  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>rġ-nt-o- 53  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>r<sup>k</sup>-h<sub>2</sub>- 51  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>r-ti- 55  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>rtko- 645  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>r-tó- 55  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>r-tú- 56  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>ster- 585  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>stēr-s 585  
 \*(h<sub>2</sub>)st(o)r-no- 593  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>ueh<sub>1</sub>-lo- 659  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>ueh<sub>1</sub>-nt-o- 662  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>uerg- 665  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>uer-i(e)- 429  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>uer-i(e/o)- 46  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>ulh<sub>1</sub>-eh<sub>1</sub>- 651  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>ulh<sub>1</sub>-neh<sub>2</sub>- 325  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>ulfs-en- 666  
 \*h<sub>2</sub>us-s-ós 63  
 \*h<sub>3</sub>d-nt- 166  
 \*h<sub>3</sub>ed-/\*h<sub>3</sub>d- 426  
 \*h<sub>3</sub>(e)kēh<sub>3</sub> 424  
 \*h<sub>3</sub>e/od-io- 425  
 \*h<sub>3</sub>e/ok<sup>w</sup>-(e)lo- 425  
 \*h<sub>3</sub>e/op-(i)- 431  
 \*h<sub>3</sub>eh<sub>1</sub>-os 436  
 \*h<sub>3</sub>e-h<sub>3</sub>(o)d- 425  
 \*h<sub>3</sub>eit- 648  
 \*h<sub>3</sub>ek<sup>w</sup>-s- 427  
 \*h<sub>3</sub>elh<sub>1</sub>- 637  
 \*h<sub>3</sub>elh<sub>1</sub>-eie/o- 165  
 \*h<sub>3</sub>eng<sup>w</sup>-e/o- 642  
 \*h<sub>3</sub>eng<sup>w</sup>-n 642  
 \*h<sub>3</sub>en-os 428  
 \*h<sub>3</sub>ep-os 432  
 \*h<sub>3</sub>eu-e/o- 643  
 \*h<sub>3</sub>eui- 429 437  
 \*h<sub>3</sub>kt(e)h<sub>3</sub>-uó- 424  
 \*h<sub>3</sub>lomH-io- 326  
 \*h<sub>3</sub>meiġ<sup>h</sup>-(i)e- 369  
 \*h<sub>3</sub>mh<sub>3</sub>- 39 40  
 \*h<sub>3</sub>mi-n-ġ<sup>h</sup>- 369  
 \*h<sub>3</sub>nb<sup>h</sup>-(e/o)l- 639  
 \*h<sub>3</sub>nb<sup>h</sup>-n- 639  
 \*h<sub>3</sub>neh<sub>3</sub>-mn 412  
 \*h<sub>3</sub>ng<sup>h</sup>-l-h<sub>2</sub>- 641  
 \*h<sub>3</sub>ng<sup>h</sup>-u- 641  
 \*h<sub>3</sub>ng<sup>w</sup>-én-s 642  
 \*h<sub>3</sub>nh<sub>3</sub>-men- 412  
 \*h<sub>3</sub>o/est- 436  
 \*h<sub>3</sub>olh<sub>1</sub>-eie- 21  
 \*h<sub>3</sub>orb<sup>h</sup>-i- 433  
 \*h<sub>3</sub>orb<sup>h</sup>-o- 433  
 \*h<sub>3</sub>r(e)ud-H- 528  
 \*h<sub>3</sub>rd<sup>h</sup>-ōs 50  
 \*h<sub>3</sub>rd<sup>h</sup>-uo- 52  
 \*h<sub>3</sub>re/oġ-o- 194  
 \*h<sub>3</sub>re/ouH-mo- 529  
 \*h<sub>3</sub>reġ-e/o- 517  
 \*h<sub>3</sub>reġ-m 522  
 \*h<sub>3</sub>reu-e/o- 530  
 \*h<sub>3</sub>rēġ-s 522  
 \*h<sub>3</sub>r-i- 435  
 \*h<sub>3</sub>rīH-to- 309  
 \*h<sub>3</sub>rīH-uo- 524  
 \*h<sub>3</sub>roġ-o- 526  
 \*h<sub>3</sub>rouH-ó- 515  
 \*h<sub>3</sub>ru-n-k- 530  
 \*Heh<sub>3</sub>-én- 638  
 \*Hh<sub>3</sub>-el-en- 638  
 \*Hh<sub>3</sub>-o/es- 435  
 \*(H)ieh<sub>1</sub>- 293  
 \*Hieh<sub>2</sub>-ġe/o- 296  
 \*Hieh<sub>2</sub>-ġ-iu- 296  
 \*(H)ieug-os- 314  
 \*(H)ieug-s- 318  
 \*(H)ih<sub>1</sub>-k-(i)- 293  
 \*Hioud<sup>h</sup>-eie/o- 312  
 \*(H)jud<sup>h</sup>-h<sub>2</sub>- 312  
 \*(H)jugo- 314  
 \*(H)junégti 314  
 \*Hneh<sub>2</sub>-s- 400  
 \*Hnh<sub>2</sub>-es- 400  
 \*HoHl-én- 638  
 \*Hoi-no- 642  
 \*Hreh<sub>1</sub>- 519  
 \*Hreh<sub>1</sub>-i- 520  
 \*Hreh<sub>1</sub>-i-unt- 521  
 \*Hreh<sub>3</sub>d-e/o- 526  
 \*Hresg-ti- 521  
 \*Hret-e/o- 527  
 \*Hreu-os 531  
 \*Hreup- 530  
 \*Hrh<sub>1</sub>-to- 519  
 \*Hriġ- 523  
 \*Hriġ-(i)o- 138  
 \*Hriġ-eh<sub>1</sub>- 523  
 \*Hrot-o/h<sub>2</sub>- 527  
 \*Hru-n-p- 530  
 \*(H)ued-ro- 662  
 \*(H)ueh<sub>2</sub>t-i- 656  
 \*(H)uend<sup>h</sup>-e/o 672  
 \*Huer-o- 668  
 \*(H)uēnh<sub>1</sub>- 662  
 \*Huog-o- 651  
 \*ieh<sub>2</sub>-n-u- 294  
 \*iek<sup>w</sup>-r/n- 296  
 \*iemH-no- 256  
 \*ienh<sub>2</sub>-ter- 294  
 \*i(e/o)uH-s- 316  
 \*im 166  
 \*im-moH 300  
 \*iok-o- 308  
 \*iū 313  
 \*kap-i- 90  
 \*keh<sub>1</sub>-u-eje/o- 112  
 \*k(e)h<sub>2</sub>d-o/i- 78  
 \*keh<sub>2</sub>id- 79  
 \*keh<sub>2</sub>i-ko- 79  
 \*keh<sub>2</sub>i-lo- 80  
 \*keh<sub>2</sub>i-r/so- 81 565  
 \*keh<sub>2</sub>-ro- 95  
 \*keh<sub>2</sub>-u-d- 99  
 \*kelh<sub>1</sub>-/\*klh<sub>1</sub>- 82 85  
 \*kelH-men- 127  
 \*kel-i- 104  
 \*-kel-n-h<sub>2</sub>-e/o- 105  
 \*kel-n-H- 105  
 \*ken-t- 516  
 \*k(e)ntH-n- 107  
 \*k(e)rH-n- 94  
 \*kerp-e/o- 95  
 \*kers- 51  
 \*kert-s-nh<sub>2</sub>- 106  
 \*kh<sub>2</sub>eid- 79  
 \*kh<sub>2</sub>ei-ko- 79  
 \*kh<sub>2</sub>n-e- 88  
 \*kh<sub>2</sub>on-eie- 88  
 \*kh<sub>2</sub>p-i- 90  
 \*kHu-t-i- 160  
 \*kid-no- 129  
 \*kleh<sub>2</sub>-u- 119  
 \*kleh<sub>2</sub>-u-d- 118  
 \*klep-e/o- 120  
 \*klh<sub>1</sub>-d<sup>h</sup>-ti- 118  
 \*klh<sub>1</sub>-m(o)- 117  
 \*klh<sub>1</sub>-ro- 118  
 \*klh<sub>2</sub>-d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>- 117  
 \*klh<sub>2</sub>-em-o/i- 82  
 \*klH-e/o/uo- 85  
 \*kl-né/n-H- 105  
 \*knd-ro- 87  
 \*knei(H)d-os- 408  
 \*kokse-dn-iH- 140  
 \*koks-h<sub>2</sub>- 140  
 \*kolH-n- 124  
 \*kolp-o- 120  
 \*k(o)rb<sup>h</sup>-i- 135  
 \*kor-h<sub>2</sub>- 159  
 \*k(o)r-io- 136  
 \*k(o)r<sup>t</sup>- 138  
 \*kouh<sub>1</sub>-éie- 101  
 \*kreh<sub>1</sub>-ro- 491  
 \*krei- 110  
 \*krei-d<sup>h</sup>ro- 144  
 \*krenġ-o- 142  
 \*krep-eto- 164  
 \*kreh<sub>2</sub>-od<sup>h</sup>-o- 146  
 \*kris-ni- 145  
 \*kris-th<sub>2</sub>- 145  
 \*krito- 110 111  
 \*kr-kr- 92  
 \*kr-kr-o- 86  
 \*kr-n-i- 110  
 \*krs-e/o- 157  
 \*krs-o- 157  
 \*kr-to- 158  
 \*kruh<sub>2</sub>-(e/o)nt- 146  
 \*kruh<sub>2</sub>-ōs 146  
 \*krus-to- 147  
 \*ks-n(e)-u- 415  
 \*ksou-éie/o- 540  
 \*ksou-ó- 504  
 \*kueh<sub>2</sub>-i- 503  
 \*kuh<sub>2</sub>-d-e/o- 149  
 \*kuH-lo- 151  
 \*kuot-i- 504  
 \*kup-eh<sub>1</sub>- 155  
 \*kup-(e)-i- 155  
 \*kup-ro- 155  
 \*kur-uo- 158  
 \*kut-no- 154  
 \*ké 112  
 \*ke/oh<sub>3</sub>-t- 139  
 \*<sup>(i)</sup>kei(h<sub>2</sub>)- 113  
 \*kei-uo- 116  
 \*kel-e/o- 424  
 \*kel-io- 114  
 \*kel-ōs 126  
 \*k/ken(H)-i- 115  
 \*ker(h<sub>2</sub>)-uo- 111  
 \*-kerh<sub>1/2</sub>-ēs 110  
 \*kerh<sub>1/2</sub>-os 110  
 \*ker-h<sub>2</sub> 140  
 \*kerh<sub>2</sub>-s-ro- 109  
 \*kél- 104 106  
 \*kērd 135  
 \*kēr<sub>2</sub>-o- 111  
 \*kh<sub>1</sub>s-ko- 96  
 \*kh<sub>1</sub>s-no- 88  
 \*kh<sub>1</sub>-u-er-o- 100  
 \*kh<sub>3</sub>-to- 99  
 \*ki 116  
 \*kiesd<sup>h</sup>- 103  
 \*<sup>(i)</sup>ki(h<sub>2</sub>)-to- 113  
 \*ki-to- 154  
 \*kl(é)-u- 122  
 \*kl-eh<sub>2</sub>- 117  
 \*klei-tro/h<sub>2</sub>- 121  
 \*klei-uo- 122  
 \*kleuH-o- 122  
 \*klh<sub>1</sub>-eh<sub>1</sub>- 83  
 \*kli-ent- 120  
 \*kl-n(e)-i- 121  
 \*kloi-uo- 122  
 \*kloi-ni- 123

- \*klu-to- 122  
 \*kns-eh<sub>1</sub>- 107  
 \*kns-eie- 107  
 \*koi-no- 153  
 \*kolh<sub>2</sub>-mo- 150  
 \*kom- 128  
 \*kom- 132  
 \*k/kon(H)-i- 115  
 \*konk-(e)ie- 153  
 \*kor(h<sub>2</sub>)-uo- 139  
 \*kor-n- 136  
 \*kouH-6- 101  
 \*kōn- 130  
 \*krd-o/es- 135  
 \*kred-d<sup>h</sup>eh<sub>1</sub>- 141  
 \*kr-éh<sub>2</sub>- 140  
 \*krep-/\*krp- 143  
 \*kr-éh<sub>2</sub>- 140  
 \*kr-h<sub>2</sub>-os 140  
 \*krH-s-r-on- 140  
 \*krm-o- 136  
 \*krm-u- 136  
 \*kr(o)p-(e)ie/o- 143  
 \*krp- 137  
 \*krp-os- 137  
 \*ks-tro- 97  
 \*k<sup>h</sup>uh<sub>1</sub>-mo- 152  
 \*kuH-ló- 150  
 \*kun-os 87  
 \*kuon-m 87  
 \*kuōn 87  
 \*k<sup>w</sup>e 510  
 \*k<sup>w</sup>e 506  
 \*k<sup>w</sup>eh<sub>2</sub> 508  
 \*k<sup>w</sup>eies 510  
 \*k<sup>w</sup>ei-s- 156  
 \*k<sup>w</sup>elh<sub>1</sub>-e/o- 125  
 \*k<sup>w</sup>elh<sub>1</sub>-u- 127  
 \*k<sup>w</sup>esm- 510  
 \*k<sup>w</sup>eso 510  
 \*k<sup>w</sup>e/o-ti 511  
 \*k<sup>w</sup>étuores 505  
 \*k<sup>w</sup>étuōr 505  
 \*k<sup>w</sup>id 510  
 \*k<sup>w</sup>i(e)h<sub>1</sub>- 508  
 \*k<sup>w</sup>ieh<sub>1</sub>-ti- 508  
 \*k<sup>w</sup>ih<sub>1</sub> 510  
 \*k<sup>w</sup>ih<sub>2</sub> 510  
 \*k<sup>w</sup>is 510  
 \*k<sup>w</sup>ol(H)s/no- 125  
 \*k<sup>w</sup>om 152 640  
 \*k<sup>w</sup>or 155  
 \*k<sup>w</sup>osio 149  
 \*k<sup>w</sup>osm- 508  
 \*k<sup>w</sup>oso 508  
 \*k<sup>w</sup>o-tero- 647  
 \*k<sup>w</sup>ot-slo- 126  
 \*k<sup>w</sup>ōr 155  
 \*k<sup>h</sup>reh<sub>1</sub>- 144  
 \*k<sup>h</sup>i(u)r-dkmt- 505  
 \*k<sup>h</sup>tuēms 505  
 \*k<sup>h</sup>tur- 505  
 \*k<sup>h</sup>turó- 505  
 \*k<sup>h</sup>turom 505  
 \*k<sup>h</sup>turs 505  
 \*k<sup>h</sup>tu<sup>h</sup>-tó- 505  
 \*k<sup>h</sup>u- 646  
 \*k<sup>h</sup>u-d<sup>h</sup>i/-b<sup>h</sup>i 636  
 \*k<sup>h</sup>uh<sub>2</sub>ep-s- 654  
 \*Kseh<sub>1</sub>-r6- 556  
 \*leg-e/o- 332  
 \*leg-to- 332  
 \*leg<sup>h</sup>-no- 340  
 \*leg<sup>h</sup>-e/o- 332  
 \*leg<sup>h</sup>-tu- 332  
 \*leh<sub>1</sub>i-u- 337  
 \*leh<sub>1</sub>-n(o/i)- 333  
 \*leh<sub>1</sub>-to- 335  
 \*leh<sub>2</sub>b-e/o- 319  
 \*leh<sub>2</sub>b-eh<sub>1</sub>- 319  
 \*leh<sub>2</sub>i-uo- 323  
 \*leh<sub>2</sub>-mn-to- 324  
 \*leh<sub>2</sub>-tro- 324  
 \*(e)ip-o- 345  
 \*leit-os- 346  
 \*lemb-o- 341  
 \*lent-o- 335  
 \*le/op-i- 335  
 \*lep-os- 335  
 \*leu-e/o- 479  
 \*(é)uH- 353  
 \*leuk- 356  
 \*le/ouk-s-mn 356  
 \*le/ouk-s-nh<sub>2</sub>- 352  
 \*lēg- 337  
 \*lh<sub>1</sub>d-to- 328  
 \*lh<sub>2</sub>b-eh<sub>2</sub>- 319  
 \*lh<sub>2</sub>ei-uo- 323  
 \*lh<sub>2</sub>k-(V)-ro- 320  
 \*lh<sub>2</sub>-m-b- 324  
 \*lh<sub>2</sub>-n-k- 320  
 \*lh<sub>2</sub>s-ko- 328  
 \*lh<sub>2</sub>-to- 329  
 \*lh<sub>3</sub>u-tl6- 349  
 \*lHu-e/o- 479  
 \*(H)u-(s)tro- 355  
 \*l(H)u-to- 355  
 \*liḡ- 341  
 \*lik<sup>w</sup>-to- 344  
 \*li-n-k<sup>w</sup>- 344  
 \*loid- 351  
 \*loik-eie- 478  
 \*loimo- 342  
 \*lois-h<sub>2</sub>- 345  
 \*lok-u- 322  
 \*lond<sup>h</sup>-u-o- 352  
 \*loug-eie- 351  
 \*louh<sub>3</sub>-tro- 331  
 \*louH- 353  
 \*louk-eie- 356  
 \*louk-o- 350  
 \*lub<sup>h</sup>-eh<sub>1</sub>- 338  
 \*lub<sup>h</sup>-ro- 337  
 \*lug-so- 356  
 \*lug-to- 350  
 \*luk-(e/o-) 354  
 \*luk-eh<sub>1</sub>- 356  
 \*mama 361  
 \*med- 368  
 \*med-o- 385  
 \*med-o/es- 385  
 \*med<sup>h</sup>i-o- 369  
 \*m(e)h<sub>1</sub>ti- 383  
 \*meh<sub>1</sub>-n-ōt 373  
 \*meh<sub>1</sub>-n-s- 373  
 \*m(e)h<sub>1</sub>-ōs 390  
 \*meh<sub>1</sub>-ti- 377  
 \*meh<sub>1</sub>-to- 377  
 \*meh<sub>2</sub>- (ō)js 366  
 \*meh<sub>2</sub>ḡ- 357  
 \*meh<sub>2</sub>is- 358  
 \*meh<sub>2</sub>-no- 363 364  
 \*meh<sub>2</sub>-tr- 367  
 \*meh<sub>2</sub>-tu- 367  
 \*meh<sub>1</sub>-iōs- 381  
 \*m(e)h<sub>1</sub>-is- 409  
 \*meik-h<sub>2</sub>- 378  
 \*m(e)jith<sub>2</sub>- 384  
 \*mel-e/os- 386  
 \*melh<sub>2</sub>-/\*mlh<sub>2</sub>- 387  
 \*mélh<sub>2</sub>-i 379  
 \*mel-iōs 370  
 \*mel-i-(t) 370  
 \*m(e)n-eh<sub>1</sub>- 380  
 \*men-i- 371  
 \*men-os 381  
 \*merH-o- 376  
 \*mesg-e/o- 375  
 \*met-e- 377  
 \*me-ti 377  
 \*mēms-ro- 370  
 \*mḡ-(e)h<sub>2</sub>-(e)nt- 303  
 \*mḡ-i(V)s- 359  
 \*mḡ-no- 359  
 \*mh<sub>2</sub>d-eh<sub>1</sub>- 358  
 \*mh<sub>2</sub>-es-m 366  
 \*mh<sub>2</sub>-ēs 366  
 \*mh<sub>2</sub>isro- 383  
 \*mh<sub>2</sub>k-(e/o-) 357  
 \*mh<sub>2</sub>k-ro- 356  
 \*mh<sub>2</sub>sdo- 361  
 \*mh<sub>2</sub>-s-os 366  
 \*mHú-to- 398  
 \*m(i)eh<sub>1</sub>- 391  
 \*mikH-(e)ie- 378  
 \*mik-sk-e/o- 382  
 \*mi-n(e)-h<sub>1</sub>- 381  
 \*mi-n(e)-u- 381  
 \*(H)mi-no- 24  
 \*(H)moi-ni- 385  
 \*(H)moi-ro- 396  
 \*mld-u- 386  
 \*ml-uo- 393  
 \*mn-eh<sub>1</sub>- 362  
 \*mn-ie- 371  
 \*mn-ti- 372  
 \*mn-to- 371 373  
 \*moh<sub>1</sub>-uo- 381  
 \*moK(-)s(-) 391  
 \*molh<sub>2</sub>-h<sub>2</sub>- 387  
 \*molH-lo- 360  
 \*molH-tlo- 360  
 \*m(o)ik-eie- 393  
 \*mol-o- 360  
 \*m(o)l-to- 394  
 \*mon-eie- 387  
 \*mon-i- 388  
 \*mon-ti- 388  
 \*mon-u- 363  
 \*mor-b<sup>h</sup>-o- 389  
 \*mor-(e)i- 365  
 \*morh<sub>2</sub>- 388  
 \*mor-i- 365  
 \*mor-ih<sub>2</sub>- 365  
 \*mork-o- 364  
 \*mor-mo- 234  
 \*mor<sup>h</sup>-o/ī- 234  
 \*mot-(i)- 366  
 \*mōl(-o)- 386  
 \*mug-n- 365  
 \*mḡ<sup>h</sup>-ú- 75  
 \*mr-ie/o- 390  
 \*mrk-eh<sub>1</sub>- 364  
 \*mr-mr- 396  
 \*mr-ti- 390  
 \*mr-to- 390  
 \*mr-uo- 390  
 \*mt-n(é)-h<sub>2</sub>- 361  
 \*mud-no- 394  
 \*mūg- 392  
 \*muHs 396  
 \*mu-s- 397  
 \*mūs-ko- 397  
 \*mū-to- 398  
 \*n- 301  
 \*nb<sup>h</sup>-r-o- 299  
 \*nd<sup>h</sup>ero- 302  
 \*nd<sup>h</sup>mHo- 300 302  
 \*ne 403  
 \*-ne 403  
 \*neb<sup>h</sup>-e-lo- 404  
 \*neb<sup>h</sup>-os 409

- \*neb<sup>h</sup>-tu- 406  
 \*neg<sup>w</sup>s 418  
 \*neg<sup>h</sup>-ro- 404  
 \*nég<sup>wh</sup>-t-s 416  
 \*neh<sub>2</sub>-u- 403  
 \*neh<sub>2</sub>do- 412  
 \*nei 408  
 \*nek-s 408  
 \*nem-e/os- 419  
 \*nem-o/es- 405  
 \*nesd-is- 407  
 \*neu-e/o- 419  
 \*neuio- 416  
 \*neuo- 416  
 \*nē 404  
 \*ng<sup>w</sup>-ēn 303  
 \*nHd-to- 401  
 \*nisdō- 409  
 \*nóg<sup>wh</sup>-t-s 416  
 \*nog<sup>w</sup>od<sup>h</sup>o- 418  
 \*nog<sup>w</sup>s 418  
 \*noHdo- 412  
 \*nok-eie- 411  
 \*nom-o- 419  
 \*no/es 413  
 \*nōdo- 412  
 \*nōs 413  
 \*ns-tero- 413  
 \*nu 418  
 \*n-uih<sub>1</sub>-to- 307  
 \*nu-n(-ō/oi) 418  
 \*pd-os 462  
 \*pe 452  
 \*-pe/o 494  
 \*ped-/\*pd- 457  
 \*ped-i 462  
 \*ped-o- 430  
 \*ped-tu- 463  
 \*peh<sub>2</sub>-d<sup>h</sup>lo- 449  
 \*peh<sub>2</sub>g-n- 465  
 \*peh<sub>2</sub>g-os 443  
 \*peh<sub>2</sub>k- 452  
 \*peh<sub>2</sub>s- 445 449  
 \*p(e)h<sub>2</sub>-sk-e/o- 449  
 \*peh<sub>2</sub>u- 451  
 \*péh<sub>2</sub>-ur 500  
 \*peh<sub>2</sub>u-ro- 448  
 \*peh<sub>2</sub>-/\*ph<sub>2</sub>- 485  
 \*pek-os 454  
 \*pek-u- 454  
 \*pek<sup>w</sup>-e/o- 134  
 \*p(e)lh<sub>1</sub>- 440  
 \*pe/olH-u- 498  
 \*pel-ni- 455  
 \*penk<sup>w</sup>e 509  
 \*pen-o- 459  
 \*pen-os 459  
 \*pe-pk- 453  
 \*pe-porh<sub>2</sub>-e 446  
 \*per(H)no- 460  
 \*perg- 460  
 \*p(é)rh<sub>2</sub>- 446  
 \*pérh<sub>2</sub>-i- 446  
 \*péri 459  
 \*perk<sup>w</sup>-o/u- 506  
 \*per-o- 461  
 \*pesd- 454  
 \*pesd-e/o- 455  
 \*p(e)tk- 453  
 \*p(e)tk-en- 453  
 \*p(e)tk-tro- 449  
 \*pet-n-h<sub>2</sub>- 458  
 \*pet-os 494  
 \*pet-tu- 463  
 \*ph<sub>2</sub>eu-ro- 448  
 \*ph<sub>2</sub>g-to- 443  
 \*ph<sub>2</sub>k-(e/o)- 452  
 \*ph<sub>2</sub>-n-g- 443  
 \*ph<sub>2</sub>tēr 450  
 \*ph<sub>2</sub>tr-iHo- 450  
 \*ph<sub>2</sub>tr-u- 450  
 \*ph<sub>2</sub>u-ero- 496  
 \*ph<sub>2</sub>u-ie/o- 452  
 \*ph<sub>2</sub>ú-ro- 500  
 \*ph<sub>2</sub>-to- 485  
 \*pHu-tó- 502  
 \*piH-n- 466  
 \*pik- 469  
 \*pi-n-k- 466  
 \*pi-n-s- 466  
 \*pi-ph<sub>2</sub>-e/o- 72  
 \*pisk- 468  
 \*pleh<sub>1</sub>- 472  
 \*pléh<sub>1</sub>-d<sup>h</sup>-uh<sub>1</sub> 471  
 \*pleh<sub>1</sub>-ios- 475  
 \*pleh<sub>1</sub>-ist(h<sub>2</sub>)o- 475  
 \*pl(e)h<sub>1</sub>-ro- 472  
 \*-pleh<sub>1</sub>-t- 472  
 \*pl(e)h<sub>2</sub>g-h<sub>2</sub>- 469  
 \*pl(e)h<sub>2</sub>-nó- 470  
 \*pl(e)h<sub>2</sub>-nt-h<sub>2</sub>- 470  
 \*pl(e)h<sub>2</sub>nk- 469  
 \*plek-t-e/o- 472  
 \*pleu-e/o- 474  
 \*ple/ou/(k)s)mo- 474  
 \*plh<sub>1</sub>-nó- 472  
 \*plh<sub>1</sub>-u- 475  
 \*plh<sub>2</sub>-em-h<sub>2</sub>- 441  
 \*plh<sub>2</sub>-em-i 439  
 \*plh<sub>2</sub>-n-g- 469  
 \*plh<sub>2</sub>-o/u- 440  
 \*plh<sub>2</sub>-to- 456  
 \*plh<sub>2</sub>-u- 470 471  
 \*pl-n-eh<sub>1</sub>- 477  
 \*pl-n(e)-h<sub>2</sub>- 456  
 \*plu-mon- 497  
 \*plus- 496  
 \*pnk<sup>w</sup>-(t)o- 509  
 \*pnt-éh<sub>1</sub>-m 479  
 \*pnt-h<sub>1</sub>-ós 479  
 \*po/ed-m 462  
 \*pol(H)-n- 477  
 \*pol(H)-u- 477  
 \*poll<sub>2</sub>-o- 429  
 \*p(o)l-u-o- 497  
 \*pónt-h<sub>1</sub>-s 479  
 \*pórk-o- 481  
 \*por-o- 307  
 \*port-o- 482  
 \*pos(ti) 484  
 \*po-sth<sub>2</sub>-i- 484  
 \*pót-i- 485  
 \*pou-eh<sub>1</sub>- 451  
 \*pōd-s 462  
 \*pr- 481  
 \*preh<sub>2</sub>i 485  
 \*pr(e)i 489  
 \*prei-uo- 489  
 \*prek- 488  
 \*pr(e)k- 483  
 \*pr-es- 488  
 \*preti 488  
 \*preus-i- 494  
 \*prh<sub>2</sub>-éi- 446  
 \*prh<sub>2</sub>-mo- 486  
 \*prh<sub>2</sub>-o- 447  
 \*prh<sub>2</sub>-to- 487  
 \*prH-o- 493  
 \*prk-h<sub>2</sub>- 481  
 \*prk-sk-e/o- 483  
 \*pro 490 491  
 \*proH 490  
 \*pro-k(o)- 491  
 \*prok-o- 492  
 \*pro-mó- 490  
 \*própro 493  
 \*prso- 482  
 \*pr-sth<sub>2</sub>-i- 484  
 \*prto- 482  
 \*pr-tu- 482  
 \*prus-no- 494  
 \*prus-uo- 494  
 \*(p)st-(e)r-nu- 587  
 \*pt-(e)i- 464  
 \*pt-n(é)-h<sub>2</sub>- 442  
 \*puH-io- 468  
 \*puH-o/es- 501  
 \*puH-to- 501  
 \*puH-tro- 501  
 \*pu-n(e)-g/k- 499  
 \*put-lo- 503  
 \*reh<sub>1</sub>-i-ei 520  
 \*reh<sub>1</sub>-i-m 520  
 \*reh<sub>1</sub>-i-s 520  
 \*(H)reuH-e/o- 530  
 \*se 549  
 \*se/ol-o- 572  
 \*seb<sup>h</sup>io 549  
 \*sed-eh<sub>1</sub>- 552  
 \*seg-e/o- 552  
 \*seg<sup>h</sup>-ur/-un- 560  
 \*seh<sub>1</sub>-/\*sh<sub>1</sub>- 557  
 \*seh<sub>1</sub>-mn 557  
 \*seh<sub>1</sub>-ro- 556 558  
 \*seh<sub>1</sub>-to- 559  
 \*seh<sub>2</sub> 568  
 \*seh<sub>2</sub>g-i- 534  
 \*seh<sub>2</sub>-i- 533  
 \*seh<sub>2</sub>-tlo- 533  
 \*seh<sub>2</sub>-to- 534  
 \*seh<sub>2</sub>-uo- 534  
 \*seh<sub>2</sub>k-r-i- 532  
 \*seh<sub>2</sub>l-d- 535  
 \*seh<sub>2</sub>-no- 538  
 \*s(e)h<sub>2</sub>-tu- 540  
 \*seh<sub>2</sub>u-l 570  
 \*sekh<sub>1</sub>-ie/o- 550  
 \*sek(h<sub>2</sub>)-no- 563  
 \*se-kuo- 551  
 \*sek<sup>w</sup>-e/o- 556  
 \*s(e)lh<sub>1</sub>-e/o- 131  
 \*s(e)m-g<sup>h</sup>- 566  
 \*semH- 554  
 \*seu-(o)- 553  
 \*seng<sup>wh</sup>- 566  
 \*senh<sub>2</sub>-is- 566  
 \*sént-i-/\*snt-éi- 554  
 \*sepel-ic/o- 555  
 \*septn 555  
 \*s(e)ptm-ó- 555  
 \*ser-e/o- 557  
 \*sér-o- 558  
 \*s(e)rp- 540  
 \*serp-e/o- 558  
 \*sers-h<sub>2</sub>- 558  
 \*ser-u-o- 559  
 \*ser-u-o/h<sub>2</sub>- 559  
 \*se-s(o)ik- 595  
 \*seue 549  
 \*seug-e/o- 598  
 \*seuk-o- 596  
 \*sēd-/\*sed- 552  
 \*sēh<sub>2</sub>-l-s 535  
 \*sēm-i 553  
 \*sg<sup>wh</sup>h<sub>2</sub>(e)l-n- 199  
 \*sh<sub>1/2</sub>-ló- 564  
 \*sh<sub>1</sub>p-i- 539  
 \*sh<sub>1</sub>-to-; 557  
 \*sh<sub>2</sub>ei-tlo- 533  
 \*sh<sub>2</sub>éi-to- 534  
 \*sh<sub>2</sub>el-d- 535

- \*sh<sub>2</sub>-él-m 535  
 \*sh<sub>2</sub>k-ro- 532  
 \*sh<sub>2</sub>l-d- 535  
 \*sh<sub>2</sub>l-ie/o- 535  
 \*sh<sub>2</sub>l-ós 535  
 \*sh<sub>2</sub>l-to- 535  
 \*sh<sub>2</sub>n-k- 532  
 \*sh<sub>2</sub>ti- 540  
 \*sh<sub>2</sub>u-én- 570  
 \*sinu- 567  
 \*si-sd-e/o- 562  
 \*si-sh<sub>1</sub>-e/o- 557  
 \*si-sk-u- 562  
 \*siuH-ie/o- 600  
 \*siuH-tó- 600  
 \*skb<sup>h</sup>-ro- 541  
 \*skeh<sub>2</sub>-i-no- 422  
 \*skeh<sub>2</sub>-i-uo- 541  
 \*(s)keh<sub>2</sub>u-l-i- 100  
 \*(s)keip-o- 115  
 \*skeip-o- 545  
 \*skel-os 544  
 \*sk(e)lp- 542  
 \*skend-/\*sknd- 543  
 \*(s)kend- 106  
 \*(s)ker-(d-) 109  
 \*(s)ker-s- 95  
 \*skh<sub>1</sub>-i(e/o)- 545  
 \*skid-to- 544  
 \*ski-n-d- 544  
 \*skmb<sup>h</sup>-no- 542  
 \*sknd-e/o- 543  
 \*skob<sup>h</sup>-i- 541  
 \*skoh<sub>2</sub>-i-ro- 422  
 \*skoi-to- 549  
 \*(s)kolh<sub>2</sub>ro-tro- 151  
 \*sk(o)rt-o- 546  
 \*skreib<sup>h</sup>-e/o- 547  
 \*skrib<sup>h</sup>-to- 547  
 \*skrob<sup>h</sup>-h<sub>2</sub>- 547  
 \*skrob<sup>h</sup>-i- 547  
 \*skt- 543  
 \*(s)kuot-i- 504  
 \*sk<sup>w</sup>-e/o- 304  
 \*sle/oh<sub>1</sub>i-mo- 342  
 \*(s)l(e/o)jH-uo- 347  
 \*sle/oub<sup>h</sup>-ro- 349  
 \*slg-so- 331  
 \*slH-u- 537  
 \*slH-V- 536  
 \*slIH-ti- 346  
 \*sl-n-g-u- 325  
 \*sm- 553  
 \*sméi-ro- 382  
 \*(s)me/ouk-o- 392  
 \*smerd-h<sub>2</sub>- 374  
 \*smer-u- 369  
 \*smh<sub>1</sub>-tlo- 358  
 \*smh<sub>2</sub>-el-i- 564  
 \*smi- 129  
 \*smüh<sub>2</sub>g<sup>h</sup>esl-ih<sub>2</sub> 380<sup>a</sup>  
 \*smk-slo/h<sub>2</sub>- 359  
 \*(s)mr-eh<sub>1</sub>- 374  
 \*(s)mu-n-k- 392  
 \*snéh<sub>1</sub>-ur 407  
 \*sn(e)ig<sup>mh</sup>- 409  
 \*sneig<sup>mh</sup>-e/o- 409  
 \*(s)neh<sub>1</sub>- 405  
 \*(s)neh<sub>2</sub>-(ie/o-) 411  
 \*(s)ne/oud<sup>h</sup>- 417  
 \*sneu-tr-ih<sub>2</sub>- 420  
 \*(s)nh<sub>1</sub>-tr-ih<sub>2</sub>- 402  
 \*(s)nh<sub>2</sub>-to- 411  
 \*snh<sub>1</sub>-uén- 407  
 \*snt-ie/o- 554  
 \*snusó- 420  
 \*so 568  
 \*so- 561  
 \*sod-io- 571  
 \*soik-o- 595  
 \*sok<sup>w</sup>-(h<sub>2</sub>)-i-o- 569  
 \*sol(h<sub>2</sub>)-no- 572  
 \*sol-eh<sub>1</sub>- 571  
 \*solh<sub>2</sub>-i-d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-o- 571  
 \*solk-o- 598  
 \*solp-r 598  
 \*soso 308  
 \*souk-o- 596  
 \*sölh<sub>2</sub>- 572  
 \*spéh<sub>1</sub>-m 580  
 \*spéh<sub>1</sub>-s 580  
 \*speh<sub>3</sub>i-m- 583  
 \*speig-/\*spiHg- 580  
 \*spein-/\*spiHn- 580  
 \*spek- 579  
 \*spek-ie/o- 579  
 \*spek-s- 579  
 \*(s)pend-e/o- 457  
 \*sp(e)r-g- 578  
 \*sp<sup>h</sup>(e)rh<sub>2</sub>- 579  
 \*sp<sup>h</sup>er-n-h<sub>2</sub>- 579  
 \*sph<sub>1</sub>-ós 580  
 \*sph<sub>1</sub>-ro- 493  
 \*-sph<sub>1</sub>-t- 577  
 \*spid-to- 581  
 \*sp(i)Huto- 583  
 \*(s)piko- 464  
 \*sp(i)uH-ie/o- 583  
 \*splg<sup>h</sup>-ēn 340  
 \*splnd<sup>h</sup>-eh<sub>1</sub>- 581  
 \*spoHi-m- 498 583  
 \*spol(H)-i(o)- 582  
 \*spond-eie/o- 582  
 \*spond-h<sub>2</sub>- 582  
 \*(s)pond-o- 457  
 \*sprH-e/os- 447  
 \*sprH-o- 578  
 \*srēn- 519  
 \*srīHg-o/es- 243  
 \*srk-ie/o- 539  
 \*srob<sup>h</sup>-eie- 575  
 \*srs-ie/o- 539  
 \*sr-ti- 577  
 \*(s)teg-e/o- 608  
 \*(s)teg-lo- 608  
 \*(s)teg-no- 620  
 \*steh<sub>2</sub>-g- 585  
 \*steh<sub>2</sub>u-ro- 305  
 \*steig- 305  
 \*steip-o- 588  
 \*stel-n- 590  
 \*steng<sup>w</sup>-e/o- 588  
 \*(s)tenh<sub>2</sub>- 623  
 \*ster- 586  
 \*st(e)r-n-h<sub>3</sub>- 586  
 \*sterk-o- 585  
 \*sth<sub>2</sub>-d<sup>h</sup>lo- 590  
 \*sth<sub>2</sub>-eh<sub>1</sub>-ie/o- 590  
 \*(s)th<sub>2</sub>-ie/o- 584  
 \*sth<sub>2</sub>-to- 590  
 \*sth<sub>2</sub>tu- 590  
 \*sth<sub>2</sub>u-ro- 305  
 \*stip- 588  
 \*sti-sth<sub>2</sub>-(e/o-) 567  
 \*st-n(e)-h<sub>2</sub>- 590  
 \*(s)tog-h<sub>2</sub>- 608  
 \*(s)tonh<sub>2</sub>-eie/o- 623  
 \*stōl-o- 486  
 \*streg-sno- 591  
 \*streng<sup>h</sup>-e/o- 592  
 \*strep-e/o- 591  
 \*streu-e/o- 593  
 \*strh<sub>3</sub>-to- 586  
 \*stri-n-g- 592  
 \*(s)tr(o)sd<sup>h</sup>- 634  
 \*stud-eh<sub>1</sub>- 593  
 \*(s)tu-n-d- 634  
 \*stup-eh<sub>1</sub>- 593  
 \*stup-ro- 593  
 \*sue(-)sr- 576  
 \*sue(h<sub>1</sub>-)d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>- 597  
 \*sue-d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>- 570  
 \*sueh<sub>2</sub>d-u- 594  
 \*sueid-s- 596  
 \*(s)ueks 560  
 \*suekrüh<sub>2</sub>- 569  
 \*suekurh<sub>2</sub>- 569  
 \*suekuro- 569  
 \*suenH-(ti) 574  
 \*suep-/\*sup- 575  
 \*suepno- 573  
 \*suep-s 575  
 \*suer- 602  
 \*suesör 576  
 \*suh<sub>1/3</sub>-os 603  
 \*suh<sub>1/3</sub>s 603  
 \*suó- 549  
 \*su(o)h<sub>2</sub>d-eie- 594  
 \*suo/ep-r 575  
 \*suoid-o- 596  
 \*suonh<sub>2</sub>-(e)ie/o- 574  
 \*suopno- 573  
 \*suord-o/i- 576  
 \*suóp-s 575  
 \*sup-i- 601  
 \*surd-to- 594  
 \*-te 494  
 \*teh<sub>2</sub>-b<sup>h</sup>-eh<sub>1</sub>- 603  
 \*-teh<sub>2</sub>-g- 606  
 \*teh<sub>2</sub>-li- 605  
 \*tehm 606  
 \*teh<sub>2</sub>nt-(o-) 606  
 \*tek-no- 620  
 \*tek-s- 619  
 \*tel-h<sub>2</sub>- 622  
 \*telh<sub>2</sub>-o- 608  
 \*temH-os- 609  
 \*temH-s-ro- 612  
 \*(e)mp-lo- 610  
 \*temp-os 611  
 \*(e)mp-to- 611  
 \*tem(K)s(-)jm-n- 610  
 \*ten-d<sup>h</sup>- 612  
 \*teng- 620  
 \*teng-s- 620  
 \*ten-os- 613  
 \*(e)p-eh<sub>1</sub>- 614  
 \*tep-os- 614  
 \*ter-en- 613  
 \*terh<sub>1</sub>-/\*urh<sub>1</sub>- 616  
 \*ter-mn- 615  
 \*ters-h<sub>2</sub>- 616  
 \*teru-no- 613  
 \*te-tolh<sub>2</sub>- 622  
 \*te-touh<sub>2</sub>- 632  
 \*teue 631  
 \*téuh<sub>2</sub>-mn 622  
 \*tēmH- 609  
 \*th<sub>2</sub>-d<sup>h</sup>lo- 604  
 \*th<sub>2</sub>g-men- 132  
 \*th<sub>2</sub>g-to- 606  
 \*th<sub>2</sub>-n-g- 606  
 \*tHk-eh<sub>1</sub>- 604  
 \*ti(H) 631  
 \*tih<sub>1</sub>-ti- 620  
 \*tkei- 566  
 \*tki- 566  
 \*tk-i-n-(e/o-) 479  
 \*tlh<sub>2</sub>-to- 214 622  
 \*tl-n(e)-h<sub>2</sub>- 622  
 \*tlok<sup>w</sup>- 348  
 \*tm-n(e)-h<sub>1</sub>- 609

- \*tn-eh<sub>1</sub>- 613  
 \*tnh<sub>2</sub>(-e)u- 614  
 \*tn-to- 612  
 \*tod- 568  
 \*toi 631  
 \*tom 633  
 \*tond-eie- 622  
 \*tong-ei(e)- 623  
 \*ton-sl-i- 621  
 \*torg<sup>w</sup>-o- 625  
 \*tork<sup>w</sup>-eie- 624  
 \*tors-eie- 624  
 \*to-ti 625  
 \*tough<sub>2</sub>-eie- 622 632  
 \*tr(e)m- 628  
 \*trb- 626  
 \*treb- 626  
 \*treh<sub>1</sub>-i- 616  
 \*treies 629  
 \*trep- 628  
 \*treud(-e/o-) 630  
 \*trh<sub>2</sub>-nt-s 627  
 \*tri- 629  
 \*tri- 262  
 \*tridkmth<sub>2</sub> 262  
 \*tri-d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-u- 629  
 \*trih<sub>2</sub> 629  
 \*trih<sub>2</sub>dkomth<sub>2</sub> 629  
 \*trio- 629  
 \*tris 629  
 \*tritio- 629  
 \*trito- 629  
 \*trito-sth<sub>2</sub>-o- 618  
 \*-tr-ós 450  
 \*tros-eie- 617  
 \*trp-eh<sub>1</sub>- 624  
 \*trs-eh<sub>1</sub>- 624  
 \*trs-to- 624  
 \*tspërsn-h<sub>2</sub>- 461  
 \*tue 631  
 \*tued 631  
 \*tum-eh<sub>1</sub>- 633  
 \*tu-o- 631  
 \*(H)üð(s) 646  
 \*(H)ud-ér-o- 647  
 \*ud-n- 641  
 \*ud-ör 641  
 \*ud-r-i- 647  
 \*ud-ro- 355  
 \*-ue 656  
 \*ued-ro- 685  
 \*ueg-o- 657  
 \*ueg-slo- 660  
 \*ueg-eh<sub>1</sub>- 677  
 \*ueg-l-i- 677  
 \*ueg<sup>h</sup>- 133  
 \*ueg<sup>h</sup>-e/o- 658  
 \*ueh<sub>1</sub>-mn 677  
 \*u(e/o)h<sub>1</sub>-r 644  
 \*ueh<sub>1</sub>-ro- 668  
 \*-ueh<sub>1</sub>-s 471  
 \*ueh<sub>2</sub>d<sup>h</sup>- 650  
 \*ueib- 674  
 \*ueih<sub>1</sub>- 687  
 \*ueih<sub>1</sub>-(ō)n 680  
 \*ueik- 675  
 \*ueip-es- 681  
 \*ueis 682  
 \*ue-k(“)sp-er-o- 670  
 \*uelh<sub>1</sub>- 687  
 \*uel-h<sub>2</sub>- 686  
 \*uelh<sub>3</sub>- 659  
 \*uelh<sub>3</sub>-nos- 687  
 \*u(e/o)lp-i 689  
 \*u(e)l-tu- 688  
 \*uel-u- 690  
 \*u(e/o)l-u-h<sub>2</sub>- 689  
 \*uernih<sub>1</sub>- 690  
 \*uernh<sub>1</sub>-ih<sub>2</sub>- 661  
 \*uernh<sub>1</sub>-os 663  
 \*uerb<sup>(h)</sup>-es- 664  
 \*u(e)r-eh<sub>1</sub>- 665  
 \*uern<sub>1</sub>-d<sup>h</sup>h<sub>1</sub>-o- 664  
 \*u(e)rs-ti- 671  
 \*u(e/o)rs-u- 666  
 \*ueru- 668  
 \*ue(r)-uer- 685  
 \*ues-ii- 678  
 \*ues-no- 660 663  
 \*ues-r/n- 663  
 \*ues-ti- 671 672  
 \*ues-to- 671  
 \*uet- 685  
 \*ue/ot-eh<sub>2</sub>- 672  
 \*uet-os- 673  
 \*uet-s-o- 673  
 \*ue-u(o)rt- 430  
 \*uēs- 669  
 \*u<sup>h</sup>i-i-eie-<sup>to</sup> 677  
 \*u<sup>h</sup>i-i-ti- 683  
 \*u<sup>h</sup>i-mo- 639  
 \*uh<sub>2</sub>d<sup>h</sup>-o- 650  
 \*uh<sub>2</sub>lso- 652  
 \*uid-eh<sub>1</sub>- 676  
 \*uih<sub>1</sub>-e/on-m 680  
 \*uih<sub>1</sub>-eh<sub>2</sub>- 673  
 \*uih<sub>1</sub>-n- 680  
 \*uih<sub>1</sub>-to- 307 684  
 \*uiH-elo- 680  
 \*uiH-ró- 681  
 \*uiH-s 683  
 \*uik- 674 686  
 \*uik-to- 679  
 \*uik- 675  
 \*ui-n-k- 679  
 \*uip/b-ro- 674  
 \*uis-g- 682  
 \*uis-os 682  
 \*uks-ó- 560  
 \*uleik<sup>w</sup>- 345 478  
 \*uik<sup>w</sup>-o- 353  
 \*ul-n(e)-h<sub>3</sub>- 659  
 \*(H)ulp-i- 688  
 \*(H)unh<sub>1</sub>- 662  
 \*uod<sup>h</sup>-s 655  
 \*uog-eie/o- 657  
 \*uog<sup>wh</sup>-ni- 690  
 \*uol-eu-o- 653  
 \*u(o)rb<sup>h</sup>-(i-) 643  
 \*u(o)rg<sup>h</sup>-eie- 644  
 \*u(o)rH-o- 654  
 \*u(o)ru-o- 645  
 \*uo/es 691  
 \*uos-p- 670  
 \*uoth<sub>2</sub>-eie/o- 672  
 \*uök<sup>w</sup>- 692  
 \*uös 691  
 \*uper-o- 601  
 \*ur(e)h<sub>2</sub>-d-iH- 512  
 \*ure 516  
 \*ureh<sub>2</sub>d-e/o- 526  
 \*ureik-h<sub>2</sub>- 522  
 \*üreg- 524  
 \*üjmi- 665  
 \*urs-e/o- 666  
 \*us-tero- 691